CR5479 JRB:jrb	1
243	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24

kce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

MARYLAND ADVISORY COMMITTEE

TO THE

U. S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Hearing on

MORTGAGE LENDING AND EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY IN BALTIMORE SAVINGS AND LOAN INSTITUTIONS AS THEY AFFECT MINORITIES, ETHNICS AND WOMEN.

> Room 1208 G. H. Fallon Federal Building 31 Hopkins Plaza Baltimore, Maryland

Thursday, 2 October 1975

BEFORE:

PRESENT:

BERT BOOTH, CHAIRPERSON, HOUSING TASKFORCE

VIRGINIA SQUIER, MARY LOKER, WILLIAM J. THOMPSON, ROBERT JEFFERS, CHESTER WICKWIRE, MARJORIE K. SMITH, EDWARD DARDEN, Members, Maryland Advisory Committee to the U. S. Commission on Civil Rights.

$\underline{\mathtt{C}} \ \underline{\mathtt{O}} \ \underline{\mathtt{N}} \ \underline{\mathtt{T}} \ \underline{\mathtt{E}} \ \underline{\mathtt{N}} \ \underline{\mathtt{T}} \ \underline{\mathtt{S}}$

2	WITNESSES Honorable Walter S. Orlinsky, President, Baltimore City Council	PAGE 5
	<u>-</u>	
5	Daniel Searing , Staff Attorney, National Committee Against Discrimination in Housing, Inc.	38
6 7	Bruce Tucker, Vice President, Gerald Kavanagh, Vice President for Personnel,	
	American National Building and Loan Association	59
8	Thomas Guidera, Senior Vice President, First Federal Savings and Loan	105
10	Association of Annapolis	105
11	Theodore Brown, Secretary of the Board of Directors, Advance Federal Savings and Loan Association	136
13	Charles Kresslein, Vice President Maryland Savings and Loan League	162
14 15	Roger Windsor Director Home Ownership Development Program,	
16	Department of Housing and Community Development	193
17 18	Milton Aiken, Deputy Director, Maryland Housing Fund	227
19	State of Maryland Department of Economics and Community Development,	
20	and,	
21	Sam Heath, Director, Division of Home Financing	
22 23	Maryland Department of Economics and Community Development.	227
	-	

2

.ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

CR5479 JRB:jrb4

PROCEEDINGS

BOOTH: May we come to order.

I am required again to read the Privacy Act Statement before we start.

On September 27, 1975 the Privacy Act of 1974

became effective. Pursuant to that Act, the U. S. Commission

on Civil Rights and the State Advisory Committee are required

to inform the public and individuals with full information as

to their statutory authority to collect this information.

The Commission's authority to do so is set out in 42 United

States Code, Section 1975(b). Its Advisory Committee

authority is set out in 42 United States Code, Section 1975(d)

(c).

Any information collected by this Advisory

Committee from any individuals prior to this hearing was given voluntarily. All individuals participating in this hearing have volunteered to do so, and any information this Advisory

Committee collects from you during the course of this hearing will be voluntarily supplied by you. The Advisory Committee will not impose any sanctions on any individual who chooses not to answer a particular question or divulge certain information.

The Advisory Committee's principal purpose for collecting information is to obtain enough information for the Committee to prepare a report to the U.S. Commission on Civil

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

ze-rederal keporters, inc.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Rights regarding the employment and lending practices of savings and loan institutions in Baltimore, Maryland. Information obtained in the course of this hearing will also be used for other purposes as set out in the U. S. Commission on Civil Rights Notice in the Federal Register pursuant to the Privacy Act concerning its State Advisory Committee Project Files.

Copies of the U. S. Commission on Civil Rights' statement on the relationship of the Privacy Act of 1974 as to information collected by the Commission and its Advisory Committee are freely available to all participants and members of the audience. Copies are located here in the hearing room on the table.

Now, our first participant this morning is Walter Orlinsky, President of the Baltimore City Council.

Have you a statement, or would you like us to fire some questions?

ORLINSKY: I would like to at least make some preliminary remarks, and then I will try and answer questions.

BOOTH: Okay.

ORLINSKY: As I understand the purpose of the hearing it is to secure information of lending practices by savings and loans in Baltimore City with regard to minorities — and that includes women, although they happen to be a majority; nevertheless, I would like to suggest that it probably is more realistic to think of cities as a minority as a whole. And the problems that have been apparent and inherent in lending

1 2

3

5

7

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

25

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

practices in urban core areas, well, they certainly distinguish in terms of problem areas between white and black, rich and poor, male and female; nevertheless, cities as a whole, in fact, are distinct minorities historically -- meaning probably from the mid-fifties on -- in terms of lending practices by lending institutions.

The overwhelming bulk of most cities from somewhere >in the mid-fifties on found themselves redlined, which is the convenient phrase for saying "we aren't making loans here." .That practice in the areas that are redlined traditionally has certainly a high correlation between the racial characteristics of the areas where there is to be no lending and the areas in which there might be some lending. But the city as a whole relative to lending practices, for example, in the metropolitan area, even in communities that are not redlined where presumably white middle income and better males are either heads of housholds and are getting loans are getting relatively less and have relatively less ability to secure loans than comparable people who are trying to lend for housing in the suburban areas.

It is my own personal opinion, feeling, that the basic reason that that practice arose was that by the mid-fifties the federal government had fairly well established the VA and FHA policies, new policies, which in essence subsidized the existence of the suburbs by making it possible for bankers

· 24 \
\ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

insured basis, and that cities -- well, the book that was used in determining whether a loan should be made under FHA or VA lending basically was designed around construction. And for the most part cities are made up of older houses, housing stocks with relatively less new construction going on as opposed to the suburbs which were the recipients of the Eisenhower road program and these two housing policies.

And given the forties, fifties and on into the sixties, the reality was that blacks were not welcome in the suburban areas. There were clearly overt acts of specific discrimination aganst the availability of housing for blacks at any price whatsoever. That begins to change, obviously, when the Civil Rights Acts were passed, specifically those dealing with lending practices, although the extent of that change is not particularly dramatic or remarkable.

One can prognosticate or theorize I guess is a better word, as to why there was no really dramatic shift in housing patterns after the advent of the Civil Rights Law as it applies to lending practices; one can safely assume that at least in part there is probably a feeling amongst many blacks for whom the economics don't make a lot of difference, a general feeling that they are not overly welcome even if the lending is available -- in a city like Baltimore where traditionally racially, ethnically, religiously and so on, we

irb8

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

25

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

tend to have housing enclaves as an overall general pattern, there is no reason to assume that the black community does not share some of that common feeling of an enclave philosophy.

In any event if one looks at black housing patterns moving out into the suburban areas out of the city, it still follows the almost totally traditional line for older East Coast cities of corridors and wedges based on the peripheral -- the nearest place where the black community is to the city line, it spills over. There is no real random selection of housing that is measurable or really discernible.

Now, Baltimore City is probably unique in America in terms of handling lending, banking, practices for a completely unique happy set of circumstances which we happened to stumble into in 1974, which is probably just a one-time accidental shot that happened to us. But we picked it up.

Maryland has a usury law to top the interest rate relative to the overall market interest rate for lending on housing at a low level. The banks and savings and loans were absolutely insane for their desire to increase the interest rate or to take off the ceiling altogether and the city delegation, when the bill came up, went against it and was in fact a deciding factor during a regular session of the legis lature in the non-passage of that.

Simultaneously -- and there was no real strategy that brought it out -- CPHA had published a report indicating

Fadaral Papartare 1

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc. the full extent of the redlining that existed in the City of
Baltimore, and the clearly discriminatory lending patterns.

Again, I stress, obviously impacting far more greatly the
black community than the white community, but generally showing
the city was in a minority position relative to the total
economy of the metropolitan area.

And the housing community development department of the city also issued a report which substantiated the CPHA finding. There was a lot of screaming and hollering. What the city administration did at that juncture was to approach the banks and the savings and loan industry and make them the proverbial offer they could not refuse: our offer was that as administration we would urge the Baltimore City delegation to support at least an increase in the usury — interest rate level — if in return banks and the savings and loan industry would make a series of commitments in writing to the city in terms of lending practices.

First of all with regard to the banks it was a question of making available additional sums of money for lending, when people chose to go to a bank and make a loan. And we asked for an enforcement procedure of that which would provide that in the event someone applied for a loan and was turned down that a committee made up of banks and the city — city representative — would review the rejection, if the party chose to appeal the rejection. And if it was found

jrbl0

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

that the loan was reasonable within the normal criteria for banks -- which I stress is considerably different than savings and loan situations -- that the banks would agree on a rotating basis to accept that mortgage application and make the loan.

With regard to the savings and loan industry, again it was a question of increasing total dollars available for people in the city who wishes to make loans. The same review procedure for rejections, but in that case it was extremely important to get them to agree to three points that were very significant. And it was the savings and loans' method of redlining without appearing to be redlining.

They had an internal rule of thumb in their guidebook of normal business procedures that said, "Thou shalt not make loans under \$6 or \$7 thousand; and thous shalt not lend on houses that are more than 20 years old; and thous shalt not lend on houses that -- and I believe -- were 12 feet or less in width."

One does not have to be a brainy housing economist to recognize that that was a very effective means of redlining a substantial portion of the City of Baltimore and, in the process, excluding from the potential home-pure chasing market an enormous number of lower middle income and lower income people who might in fact wish to purchase an older house in an older area at a price that could be

jrbll

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

afforded at a rate to pay out per month which would allow him reasonable expectation of the ability to handle maintenance and other normal factors.

On February 20, 1974, I believe it was two weeks earlier, approximately, the banks signed up -- actually February 20, the Maryland Savings and Loan Vice President specifically agreed to those conditions in writing.

Since that time there has been a very substantial increase in the amount of mortgages that have been made: in the City of Baltimore. It is somewhat difficult to discern all of the patterns of the mortgages, and this Commission is probably better able to discern how many were black, how many where white, how many were female, how many were upper or lower income; but on the surface, as far as we have been able to determine there has been a thousand-percent increase in the total amount of money that is lent from that time to this time. We would like to hope under the agreements that were made; we cannot clock for you how much of that thousand percent represents, for example, inflation and how much is real dollars. We have not been able to find the constant dollar ratio.

But there are substantial numbers of mortgages that we are aware of that are now coming out and that are now available to people; and we are not getting the kinds of protests and comebacks as a municipality that we did a year,

jrbl2

_

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

a year and a half ago.

Now, -- and I might say that the dollar amount that we used was a \$30 million increase in money available over and above what was traditionally lent in the city. To date that money has never been used up in toto, but I must say that we have absolutely no evidence that the failure to use up that money has been because of discriminatory bank practices as much as the fact that the overall economy is rotten enough, and interest rates are high enough so that lending, whether it be in the City of Baltimore or anywhere else is substantially down. And people who are desiring to buy houses have not increased to any remarkable extent.

The city also did something else with regard to redlining, which I am very interesting in making a part of the record because I hope other cities might try it. A couple of years back, I guess three years back, four years back, we began to enter into the housing market as a municipality in what amounts to direct competition with the banks through a series of issues which we -- bond issues -- which we have had on the ballot now regularly since four years ago.

We started by first of all making loans available for the purposes of rehabilitation of housing at an interest rate considerably below market. We generally charge one percent above whatever it costs us when we sell our bonds. So if we sell our bonds at 4-1/2 percent, that money is lent

irb13

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc. at 5-1/2; if it's 5-1/2, we lend it at 6-1/2.

In any event these are long-term loans and they are available.

I might say on a business basis our loan experience is very good and we are much more flexible than a rigid financial officer at a bank might be; but basically, you know, we are looking also for some reasonable expectation of security. But our range of lending indicates that our more liberal lending practices give us a loss ratio -- I think we lost one loan and I think that's because somebody died and the survivor went insane -- I mean that's literally the only loss we have sustained on any of these loans.

It would indicate that the S&L's and banks might very well profit from liberalizing some of their own lending practices without necessarily jeopardizing their financial position or fiduciary relationship to their stockholders, or to the participators of mutual savings and loans.

We went from the rehabilitation into the direct housing market, lending for purchase. There we tried to accent those houses that were in reasonably stable residential communities which for one reason or another were boarded up, that single house or those two houses in the residential neighborhoods that were the eyesore in the neighborhood.

We found there was a market for those houses. We lent. We lent at a lower interest than long-term loans and

2

1

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

we have been reasonably successful there in getting a lot of those houses set up. You might take a picture of one, and the nicest one to go look at is at 29th and Calvin Street, where my old college fraternity demolished a beautiful house which stands by itself on a large lot. It had been vacant I guess for about 10 years, which was purchased; and it's probably getting about \$60,000 worth of renovation. We made the renovation loan and the mortgage loan, and it is now quite a handsome house. It was the only house in that whole immediate vicinity that was dilapidated, had fires and all the other problems. And it's been a tremendous asset to the neighborhood.

Those are the kinds of loans that our program is directed to.

We then went into the dollar a year house business, taking older houses and offering them on a basis -- lottery basis -- we discovered we needed a lottery because we discovered there were so many more people who wanted the houses than houses were available.

And there again where our program is different than most cities that played the dollar game, because, recognizing the reluctance of banks -- and here I must say there was some legitimacy from an economic point of view, given the areas and conditions of the houses, the reluctance of the banks to make

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

\$25,000 to \$30,000 substantial loans which would be required for a first-rate upgrading of houses that had no pipes, and facilities of any sort.

We -- most other cities have dollar programs and you can get a house for a dollar, "Go find a bank to lend you money," and that's why most of the programs don't work. We said, "Here is a house for a dollar, and we'll give you the loan."

Well, we found that in screening the applicants for the homes we tend to exclude maybe 10 or 12 percent of those that are interested only because they do not appear to have the financial capacity to handle the kind of renovation in the home. In an effort to change that we selected a couple of areas where lower renovations would be needed in order to produce the house, to produce a completed house, and to attract a lower middle income purchaser who might be interested in staying in the city -- some on Durham Street -- and we are trying to select additional areas like that, so that we can get a better spread in terms of the houses that we make available and which are sold within the economic range of the purchasers under this program.

So it tends to flatten, instead of being bellshaped.

Our next great venture in gracious living will be this November when a \$30, million bond issue is on the ballot

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

in addition to additional bond money for the existing programs I described, making a total of somewhere around \$50 million. The \$30 million will be used to produce our first major effort in new housing in the downtown area at Harbor West.

We are trying to produce reasonable housing in middle rent income range. That \$30 million will be used; to provide, we hope, enough of a subsidy to be able to construct new housing in the downtown that will be affordable for what the current definition of a middle income family is.

Along with that I might say that in the city
generally, and in the country generally, about four years ago
this country found itself in a remarkable position from a
point of view of history: the cost of an average new house
exceeded the cost of the average American's ability to purchase
it for the first time in the history of the country. And that
has not changed, really. It indicates no potential for change.

That gives cities extraordinary opportunities in terms of its existing housing stock and I suspect that irrespective of our agreements and so on with the banks, some of the increase in the lending is by virtue of the fact that the city is somewhat more active as a housing market today and that there is generally a higher or more -- I guess a higher or more -- I don't know the right words: call it a higher economic group that is looking for housing and purchasing in the city as a general matter because there is

rbl7

2

1

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

decent housing available at reasonable prices that is extremely competitive in a positive way with the housing that is available in the surrounding metropolitan areas.

Cities, if they are going to produce housing, particularly in the middle income range, are going to have to subsidize that construction. That gives us a tremendous advantage over a place like, for example, Baltimore Courty, where the word "subsidy" is a sin; and since the city has long been accustomed as a political reality to subsidy in general, voters have no great problem with the subsidy, whether it is for low income or middle income housing.

There has been consistent broad-based support for programs that will do that, and that should give us an opportunity if the economics or the country remain the same, for some length of time to more effectively compete for middle income people. We are concerned for the minorities and women who are people and so on.

Now, let me say that as a basic urban strategy if the city is going to be able to continue to provide over any length of time the level of services necessary for the kind of population it has, it is extremely important for the city to get a better economic income distribution amongst the people who live in it. And one of the traditional urban problems is that we have got a monopoly on poverty, and very few people are excited in investing in poverty, with the

rb18

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25. eponers, inc.

exception of those who sell food stamps.

But that is, you know, a kind of a problem; and so we do have an active and admitted policy to attract where we can -- but not at the sacrifice of any of our low income housing programs -- an effort to attract a better income distribution to the city.

For the most part it is lower middle and middle income people who enter these various programs I have just described.

The other end of the problem is, and I think it has to be recognized that low income families generally have had a reluctance at almost any price to purchase housing where it is available. There is a lack of demand there, whatever the reasons for that may be.

I don't know much about it but I believe about
two years ago the city and the state joint-ventured a project
dealing with a small area of the city, preselected and kept
secret from everybody -- so secret I don't know very much about
it, I don't know where it is -- where we took all of the tools
the state had, the city had, and whatever we could salvage
out of the federal government, and applied it to that area
in an effort to see whether we could substantially alter
the housing quality, ownership patterns, rental quality, and
so on, and stabilize the area.

What happened there, how far it went and whether

2 3

1

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

it succeeded or failed, I don't know. I suspect that the results were not particularly positive because otherwise they would have been ballyhooing it to the skies. But if you can get a handle on where it is and what it is from one of our departments and the state department, you may want to take a look at that and get a better handle on what might be done in an areaslike that.

For better or for worse the question of women in lending and so on is more difficult for me to deal with because at this time there is no data that we have collected that is specifically directed to that question. And I can say that the city as a city has logged a significant degree of complaints directed specifically to that problem. We have had obviously a myriad of complaints dealing with black-white splits, and so we have dealt with that.

But as a casual observer I would imagine whether in the county or the city the question of discrimination against women lenders in housing is fairly persuasive, and probably considerably worse than the practices that would apply generally to blacks. There's a long history of it, a general prevalence of it; far be it from me to say that the City of Baltimore somehow has miraculously managed to escape the national average in that area.

But, as I say, we have no real specific data I don't think anybody would be prepared from the on that.

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

city's point of view would be prepared to-talk directly to
that beyond the obvious generality that we know exists.

The practice there is a bit more difficult to discern, or to
weed out, because a great many of the problems of I suspect
a single woman attempting to make a loan has is probably
roughly similar to the problems that a single male might have
in going about a loan for housing, outside of pregnancy
argument -- as most men don't get pregnant -- but, for example,
I guess it applies to blacks as well: the total structure
of what a woman owns as opposed to twhat the average man owns,
or the average black as opposed to the average white, which
goes into the equation rather heavily when you are making
very substantial institutional loans on a house.

Those kind of problems are obviously not going to be solved in the lending practices specifically. They are going to be solved with a move to some realistic equality -- money, pay for the work done without respect to race or sex.

At that I'll quit and try to answer any questions that you have.

BOOTH: Let me ask you first, you obviously think the pledge that was made has helped?

ORLINSKY: Yes. We have had occasion to ask
the city to go back over that, you know, we have a housing
office that Roger Windsor runs; we assist people and so on.
And through that, whether it's complaints to a legislator or

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

a complaint to the housing department about, you know, "they turned us down," we have gone back over and we feel relatively secure that they are reasonably living up to their pledge.

BOOTH: Do you think they will continue to?
What do you project for the future?

ORLINSKY: I think they are going to continue to first of all, you know -- we have the institutional inclusion of the city as a member of the review process.

ORLINSKY: The pledge is in futuro, there was no limitation on the pledge. It was one a one-year or two-year pledge, it was a general pledge, that these specific practices will stop, that the \$30 million would be a sort of annualized figure until such time as it was justified to revise it.

We weren't very much upset over the need to adjust it upward because if in fact that \$30 million over and above whatever their lending levels were when we started -- it's much more than \$30 million -- if they actually lent the \$30 million, it would be making more money available, because it would indicate that loan experience, low loss ratios, and business would take care of itself. It was to break down the institutional barriers.

And, yes, I think it is going to continue. Again

I would like to tell you it is because we are so good and so

7

.ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

touch, but as I indicated to you, I think the housing market in Baltimore is rapidly becoming a superior housing market.

It's a better real estate buy, and S&L's don't make money unless they lend. And increasingly they are finding the place they are going to lend and that they are going to make money on is in the city.

I read an article in the paper the other day about a variety of federal or state or local subsidies that could be made for low income families to use for purchasing. As I indicated I think the city is probably doing more than any other government institution in the country now in that direction. And I certainly would hope that the federal government might have an attack of sanity -- but it is doubtful -- and do some of those things that were suggested. You know, recognizing the things we're talking about is a subsidy process. We did not intend for example in these rehab loans and so on -- the federal government used to make them 3 percent loans in renewal areas, and we wish them to continue to do it and augment it.

But they are not. What we did is they said they're closing it down and we're stepping into the breach. But the city does not have an economy that can afford the very obvious enormous subsidy that is involved in a 3 percent loan; but we can go into the 5-1/2 to 6-1/2 percent loan which is still -- well, it's not an out of pocket city

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

subsidy, because it is a part of our loan rate and it is a subsidy to the purchaser of anywhere from 4 to 5 to 6 percent between the private market, which is a pretty healthy slug.

BOOTH: Are there other questions?

SMITH: I have a short series of questions, Mr. Orlinsky.

I found your opening remarks very interesting in terms of the role the city is playing -- quite an active role -- in helping improve the housing depression in the city.

I would like to approach the problem from a somewhat different point of view, however. I wonder could you tell me where the city deposits its money and how that decision is made?

ORLINSKY: Yuh, the City of Baltimore within the past four years has deposited its overwhelming bulk of its money in banks based on competitive bids on the interest rates they are willing to pay. We have decreased the size of the flow, which is the traditional thing that housing enthusiasts look at when they are trying to get city, state, etcetera, involved in making — in keeping their monies deposited and active in ways that help housing and other ancillary things.

Our float is almost nil now, so that there are no banks that are enjoying a couple of million dollars laying

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25 Reporters, IIIc.

around for a couple of weeks; and they are getting the interest that we are not. We have squeezed that out. And what we are doing is augmenting I might say rather significantly augmenting municipal income because we are now getting on our money — four years ago we were getting 1 or 2 percent; we are now getting 6, 7, 8 percent on federal monies, for example, deposited on projects. In bonds there is a longer payoff.

We get a full market rate.

We are also investing in federals, 90 days, you know, 90-day notes, getting the 9 percent, and so on, which is one of the reasons -- not a large -- but not an insignificant reason why we have had a somewhat better economic prognosis over the last year and a half than most other subdivisions have had.

SMITH: Has any thought ever been given to using your ability to deposit money as a weapon or a means to exert some pressure on the banks?

ORLINSKY: Yes.

In terms of lending, home lending, the banks are by and large the relatively insignificant part of the market. Where the banks lend on houses they tend to lend with fairly substantial downpayments. Commercial banks are not in the housing markets. They service their clients who are paying 25 and 30 percent down purchasing the expensive houses and don't need help from us; they are able to help

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

SMITH: We had testimony yesterday from Father

themselves. There are some restrictions on our depositing money in savings and loans, legal restrictions, some of which are reasonably sensible in terms of security that we get in terms of the money, and a substantial number of the S&L's would not want our money laying around in terms of how we withdraw and put in and out. Because we would make them broke, and they certainly can't afford to pay the interest rates the commercial banks are paying.

As a municipality, as an old municipality, we are torn between the relationship which exists between government and taxpayer, of how best you use your money; and is it better for the city as a whole for us to have augmented our interest, which has been rather significant in the dollars that come into the general funds as a result of it, or to take substantially less money -- again I stress there aren't that many places where we can get substantially less money -- and effectively toss off other social benefits.

That's also true, by the way, with out pension funds which the city has no control; it's a venture between the city and employees, and I can assure you the city has approached the employees, and the employees are not willing to invest their money at 5 percent or 4 percent where they can make 7 or 8 percent in terms of their pensions on behalf of greater social benefits.

irb 26

2

1

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

Baroni, who shared with us some experience in Chicago, and talked quite specifically about action taken by the city council in Chicago in order to prohibit the patterns which result in urban disinvestment or in redlining -- whatever name you want to use for it.

Do you feel that there is a similar need in Baltimore? Or do you feel that there are other ways which you have devised to deal with this problem?

ORLINSKY: I am not totally familiar with the extent of the Chicago local government control over lending institutions and so on, as opposed to ours: ours are nil.

I would say that on an overall basis what we did by forcing the banks and, say, S&L's to sign the agreement, and to allow us to participate in monitoring the agreement, probably was the single most effective thing that anybody in America has ever tried and succeeded in pulling off in terms of changing the financial institutions' relationship with disinvestment. And most other techniques that are used would fall far short -- no matter how nice they sound -- than this one thing, as I say that was just a happy, crazy confluence of circumstances.

I am sure it was some skill, also.

Some skill perhaps in picking it up at ORLINSKY: the time, but it was a practical time; you know, not many places have that opportunity to pull that off. We just

2

stumbled into it. But we at least recognized what we stumbled into.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

SMITH: Let me ask you one last question:

About 10 days ago the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development in public testimony appearing on the public record made a statement about the fact that she felt very strongly that some programs to provide the means for the poor or lower middle class to buy housing were wrong, that it was putting a burden on HUD's limited income which they could not successfully meet.

She talked about something you referred to before, what happened the first time the roof needs repairing? felt very strongly that what the federal government should be doing is beefing up its programs in the rental area, rental subsidies and related programs, rather than pushing programs which would make it possible for people with limited incomes to buy housing.

Based on some of your earlier remarks it would appear to me that you feel people who can be given the opprtunity to buy houses are able to make a more significant contribution to their own well-being and the well-being of the city. I wonder how you would look at Mrs. Hill's comments with relation to your experience in Baltimore?

I think that by and large, given the ORLINSKY: fact that new housing has outstripped the average American's

.ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

ability to pay for it, that Mrs. Hill is correct that we ought to reorient a proportion of our thinking of what we can do in the rental area, because the increased reality is that in order to provide housing at all, rentals are considerably more significant. They have been for a while. But I think we are first realizing it.

And I think it would be correct to say that that is an area where the question of subsidy and how and why has to be addressed considerably more seriously than it has been in the past. The man on the corner lot of a quarter of an acre and a lawnmower is rapidly dying. In the city we have been working with some coop housing very successfully as a municipal alternative to the private market condominium.

And when I say "very successfully," we are coming at pretty reasonable rentals and we are getting a lot of people interested. We don't have broad enough experience now for me to tell you what happens the first time maintenance really begins to go up. It's shappening in all of the federal housing.

But the city and cities generally escape that overall national picture about what kind of housing is available. Cities are sitting there with enormous stocks of under-utilized houses that are available at rates that are affordable for a majority of Americans. They are not \$35,000, \$40,000 and \$50,000 houses. They pass for middle

_ .

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

income housing. They range from \$6,000 to \$7,000 to \$10,000 to \$14,000 to \$15,000 to \$25,000, and so on.

With a program of subsidized rehabilitation as we are doing there is an enormous reservoir of houses available for ownership in our cities that can rationally be exploited, whereas, it may very well be economically irrational to attempt to subsidize the building of new housing in the suburban areas. It's cheaper, it works better, and it strengths on a major national problem, those festering pains in the neck of the inner cities.

So, you know, she's right and she's wrong, and I think if you take a look at the total picture, yes, you have got to be doing some stuff in the rental area. You'd be crazy not to at this point.

But, at the same time, you can retain for the foreseeable future some of that myth about everyone being able to own a house, if not on a quarter acre with a lawnmower — city housing doesn't necessarily have that; but has very find available housing that can be utilized. And to the extent that it can be owned, I suspect it can be owned and we ought to exploit that resource that's sitting there and available to us.

SMITH: Thank you.

ORLINSKY: Let me posit one other things, because

I played with this in the legislature. We didn't do it, but -

,

2-7

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

it may solve the problem if you adopted it.

One of the things you can do for that person that you are not sure if they buy the house and pay their mortgage, and the boiler breaks or the roof leaks, you might want to factor into a mortgage payment a sum per month that should be interest-bearing, that caps itself at some figure relative to the value of the house as a holding fund against major repair.

A lot of people say that smacks of paternalism and everything else. If you are looking for -- you know, the banks do this now for example with taxes; they are terribly afraid you won't pay the taxes at the end of the year. And so they add to your mortgage payment by and large a prorated sum that will equal your anticipated taxes, and at least at the end you are only stuck for a small, relatively small, additional amount. And the bank automatically pays your taxes forthe most part.

That same philosophy could be applied to the question of large maintenance; and if you make it interest-bearing, there is no reason why that cannot solve that legitimate fear, and again make it possible to make loans perhaps to people which you would ordinarily reject because of your fear of their marginal ability to handle the mortgage.

WICKWIRE: I have one question:

It is my understanding you have been in Europe and

_

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

looked at other cities and their problems, and yesterday we had some testimony that indicated that in Sweden the governmentplays a large role in determining where private money is to go in terms of location, amounts, and that sort of thing.

I wonder whether -- I know you've already touched on this -- but did you get some insights abroad in the way things were handled in the cities that you would find useful in terms of our own situation?

ORLINSKY: First of all I think the major and underlying problem we have to recognize is that most if not all of the European countries are socialist countries with tremendously different patterns of government intervention from anything we are traditionally aware of here, and that largely in this country to the extent we practice socialism we practice it for the rich. And if you're lucky, you can get a loan; if your Orlinsky, you're not going to get one.

And, you know, you are talking about a reorientation in terms of society's understanding of responsibility and allocation of resources, that is enormously complex and one which there seems to be hardly an indication that the country is willing to undergo.

There are some other very interesting differences, and it's one of the reasons why it is extremely difficult to export good European ideas to this country. Most of the

2 3

1

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

European countries are unitary in nature. There is no federal system, and so the national governments have an underlying responsibility to provide average floors for basic needs and services.

In this country, because of our federal system, we don't have that kind of a national responsibility that is coherent. We sometimes try it but it's rarely coherent. one of the disturbing things to me is that while you can't transpose European realities indexperimentation, in housing and in a variety of other areas, between their governments, national governments, and our national government, our states are not federal; our states are unitary governments.

This balderash about county and municipal lines is nothing more than that. There is nowhere written in the Great Book in the Sky that "thou must have county and state lines, "county and municipal lines". It is written in the Great Book in the Sky that "thou shalt have state governments"

A lot of people are asking why now, and they ought to be asking that, because it is basically becoming irrational in a number of areas. But state governments have no legal responsibility to maintain any of that claptrap. And state governments are in fact fully capable of transposing European methodologies and experiments within the boundaries of their state, and dealing with it at the same level that European do.

rb 33

2

1

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

We don't do that. We don't recognize it. you take a look at this absurd thing that we call the City of Baltimore: it is perfectly obvious that some surveyor in 1919 when the Mayor pulled it out of Baltimore County sat down and drew a series of straight lines and varied it only to the extent that water interferred.

You know, there is no magic in those lines. God didn't carve it on the tablets and give it to the Mayor. was a convenient way. And for the most part in Maryland the system of county and municipal governments is one of our less lovable features. And for all the county executives and mayors who are constantly bemoaning their sad fate that so much of their decision-making power is moving to other levels of government, I can only suggest that those same people are seen all the time in Annapolis asking the state to take over those responsibilities because the irrationality of the boundary lines makes it impossible for them to handle it. Or the fact of the matter is the irrationality of the lines in terms of economics, or the problems themselves transcend the polital capacity because the problem spills over into other areas:

It is constantly escalating upward because that's the only way it can go.

Ten years ago I suggested the state be divided into 8 to 10 regions and that the regions be reviewed on the

:e-Federal Reporters, Inc.

with every census and adjusted so that you could get a better distribution in terms of governmental services and economic realities within the regions. Obviously it's heresy, nobody likes it; and if you were coming to lunch in an hour with me, my opponent and our friend will tell you that I have been trying to disenfranchise blacks and so on.

But the fact of the matter is the system is of no social value that I have been able to discern.

DARDEN: From what I have seen of Baltimore

-- we took a tour not long ago -- construction of houses here
seems to be uniquely suited for individual home ownership.

You talked about a pledge of \$30 million and I guess if it
includes the banks, \$45 million; I would like to know if you
have any way of determining whether that pledge meets any
demand -- or meets the demand for home ownership?

Have you a way of determining what the actual demand is, or a way of determining what the potential demand for home ownership is, so that, you know, you can accurately say whether that pledge meets the demand, or at some point that pledge ought to be raised or lowered to reflect the actual needs of the city?

ORLINSKY: The fact that the money has not been used, and the level of complaint has dropped to almost nil would would tend to indicate that the demand does not equal the amount available.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25.

As I indicated I think the pledge came at a time when the demand for external economic reasons was bound

to drop off somewhat. Let's face it: we're still in a hell

of a depression for about two years at least. I can tell

you when I go down to Bethleham Steel and shake hands at

5 o'clock in the morning that there's a depression going on.

That is our largest single private employer -- potentially,

it hasn't been for a long time.

And that is in part why the city has responded on its own initiative to attempt to go below market and make money available, and that obviously increases demand on the market. And again I have to stress that in the area where we have gone below market and made it available on our grandiose hyper-scale I am not sure that one could ask the banks to reasonably make loans, given the millieu we are in.

Now, if you want to change the social context of the argument I'd probably be on your side, but I can't within the framework we are not operating, say, I demand that they do it. We have to change the ballgame, and they wouldn't like it; but I wouldn't mind it.

That goes back to the question Dr. Wickwire asked, you know, if we are going to increase the level of subsidies, whether by doing it through the banks or by doing it directly from federal, state and local government, I am all for it.

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24 ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

I think it will change the demand, although I would suggest that it probably will not change it as much as we would like to think it would.

I think there are a lot of other factors, and there's an awful lot of people who don't want the responsibility of a house, and who are not accustomed to a lifestyle of having And, you know, that may change over time; that's fine, that's nice; and then we're going to have to meet that demand.

I think that unfortunately most of us who discourse on these things tend to be middle or upper middle income people, and tend to transpose their values on everyone else we are talking about. We tend to overestimate the demand for things we think are valuable.

SMITH: One more quick question:

When I ask the banks this question sometimes I have difficulty understanding the answers, and I thought perhaps you could act as an intermediary for me and explain something.

When a bank collects tax money monthly on a mortgage, do they put that immediately into city accounts, or do they hold that in an escrow arrangement?

> ORLINSKY: They hold it in escrow.

Does that permit them to hold that money with their reserves so that they can invest it with other

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

money?

ORLINSKY: I wish there was a banker here to backcheck what I say.

(Laughter.)

First of all that would vary between whether you are talking about a commercial bank or a savings bank or a savings and loan; certainly a commercial bank is in a position to use that money as part of their flow, which is a nice little nestegg in every bank that's worthwhile if it's a commercial bank wants to have.

The other, the savings and loan and savings banks have different regulations and restrictions and I think they would have somewhat more difficulty using that as something -- as a flow, that average amount. Remember, you're also dealing with an average amount. On July 1 all that money goes out when the bill is due, and then it increases steadily.

And I guess as an absolute guarantee if they can figure out that their first month is always no less than X-number of dollars, they have found a way to use it, no matter what they say. But thereafter it becomes more complikcated.

We have toyed with as a city the idea of trying to force the banks to make payments to the city. As a matter of fact I think we may have even attempted to do that by

e-Federal Reporters Inc

.ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

legislation. It didn't go very far. But I think it may have gone in at one time. Mostly the legislature goes after that in trying to say that the banks should pay interest even if it is a reduced interest, it should pay some interest, which I think is reasonable. They don't like to do it, obviously; nobody likes to pay money they don't have to pay if they can get away with it.

That's part of the conflict of society. The city would benefit in a very real way by getting that money to the banks and collecting it by the month. There are some legal complications of doing that, that transcends just the absence of the law requiring that.

BOOTH: Thank you very much, Mr. Orlinsky.

ORLINSKY: Thank you.

BOOTH: Now we have Daniel Searing.

JEFFERS: Mr. Searing, would you please state your name for the record, please?

SEARLING: Yes.

I am Daniel J. Searing, Staff Attorney, National Committee Against Discrimination in Housing, Incorporated. otherwise known as NCDH, in Washington, D. C.

JEFFERS: Okay. Excuse me just for a second. I have noticed some faces in our audience who were not here yesterday, so I just want to explain to them the procedure we are using. We are asking all of our participants to refrain

1 2

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

from using the names of specific individuals or specific financial institutions. We had some problems with that, so we're just trying to refrain from using those names.

Now let us go ahead. Actually, I will turn this over to Bert Booth, and she will ask you some questions.

BOOTH: Do you have a statement?

SEARLING: Yes, I have a statement.

NCDH is pleased to accept/your invitation to testify on the problem of equal opportunity for minorities and women in the Baltimore Savings and Loan institutions.

NCDH strongly supports the type of fact-finding process which you are engaged in today and yesterday.

On the basis of our 25-year experience in the field of housing discrimination, we are convinced that public hearings on the issue of discrimination of home financing are very beneficial. Such hearings represent a major step in educating the public to the often subtle nature of financial discrimination.

Such hearings serve as a sounding board to identify the problems, to generate new ideas for their solution, hopefully to provide a stimulant to a dialogue between community and industry leaders.

NCDH is a nonprofit, public interest organization. It has been working since 1950 to end housing discrimination in all its forms against racial minorities. In the course of

,

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

our work we have been deeply concerned over the various practices of discrimination in mortgage lending, including the practice of redlining, and the effects of these practices on housing opportunities for minorities, and the quality of life in the nation's urban centers.

From this perspective I would like to take the opportunity to speak with the Commission in two areas: initially, some brief comments on the problem of financial discrimination; and secondly, a review of the legal protection that are available to curb discriminatory practices.

Recent publicity concerning the practices of redlining, such as newspaper articles, citizens housing conferences, passage of legislation in Illinois, Senate hearings by the banking and currency committee, could easily lead one to conclude that redlining is a new issue, and that redlining, per se, is the only issue in equal opportunity in the financial area.

Neither conclusion would be correct. Discrimination based on race is certainly not a new phenomena.

Herbert Swann in the housing market of New York City, 1934, published in 1944, stated the following, and I quote:

"The policy of lending institutions to mark out areas within which they will not make loans for new buildings, though designed to safeguard the funds of depositors, policy holders, and clients, practically dooms the

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

regeneration of mature areas for new construction. If the practice is persisted in for a sufficiently long time, the entire city will ultimately be eliminated as an eligible location for new homes, and present blight areas will be steadily enlarged."

In 1961 the U. S. Commission on Civil Rights concluded that mortgage lending institutions "are a major factor in the denial of equal housing opportunity".

This excellent early report on this subject started out with a quote from the Ohio Advisory Committee on Housing, a conference reported in 1961, "banks dictate where the Negroes can live." I would venture to say that that statement applies in equal force today to savings and loan institutions.

It has been seven years since discrimination in home loan finance was specifically forbidden by Title 8 of the 1968 Civil Rights Act. Thus it is important in reviewing and listening to the testimony to keep in mind that this problem has been around for a long time.

Now, my second concern in this area is that redlining is often perceived as the only issue. Redlining by itself, while it has received the most attention recently, represents only one practice in an umbrella of financial discrimination on account of race. The outright refusal to deal with black loan applications, the imposition of harsher

rjrb42

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

terms, and the institution of policies which have the effect of eliminating minorities from their mortgage market are but a few examples of what could be a very lengthy list.

Your examination in this area should not be confined to redlining.

Now, I know from the agenda that this is not so, and NCDH notes especially you are inquiring into the employment and management area, and that is to be highly complimented. In our opinion this is an area that has much too long been ignored as a matter of exploration.

Time and again over the past few years civil rights and other public interest groups have attempted to focus attention on the problems of financial discrimination; both the industry and the regulating institutions said there was no such problem. I am happy to report today that we are witnessing some change. There can no longer be any reasonable doubt that there is a problem in this area.

And secondly, we are fortunate to witness increasing amounts of administrative, legislative, and ligitative action designed to curb or to eliminate discrimination in home finance.

I know that you have had or will have an '
opportunity to examine the studies that have been conducted
in the Baltimore area, and to hear from citizens and community
groups working on this particular problem. I hope

^

.ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Vitarello mentioned yesterday -- and I can assure you that there are some of our citizens groups conducting important research, undertaking organized efforts in Boston, Cincinnati, Los Angeles, Washington, D. C., of course, St. Louis, Indianapolis, Gary, Indiana, Philadelphia, and Dallas.

While these studies and organizing efforts have been extremely useful in documenting redlining and other types of financial discrimination on account of race, it is only revealing the tip of an iceberg. There has been an absence of systematic information on mortgage lending policies and practices both in the race of the applicant and the racial composition of the neighborhood in which the property is located.

Now, to some degree this lack of information has been corrected by a six-month 18 SMSA -- which is the Metropolitan Statistical Area Research Project --which, was recently completed by the four federal financial regulatory agencies. Although each of the agencies has been busy pointing out the technical problems, the collection and analysis of the data, the findings are remarkably similar: black people are denied loans at twice the rate of white people.

What further proof would you need that a significant problem exists? This problem hurts not only minority
applicants for loans for employment in the lending
agencies, but also is extremely destructive of city areas

~

•

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

and neighborhoods; neighborhoods which are now integrated and wish to stay that way, or neighborhoods that could be integrated once again, are hurt.

The achievements gained through all the research mentioned above must not be allowed to wither and wilt on the grounds that further research is needed.

NCDH and the other national groups are urging that minorities in urban areas need action now to correct this type of discrimination. I hope this Committee can adopt a similar recommendation for the Baltimore area.

Now, what can be done?

Having briefly outlined some of the scope of the problems as we see it, I would like to discuss what legal protections exist to combat it.

In March of 1971 NCDH and 12 other civil rights and public interest groups formally petitioned the four federal financial regulatory agencies, the Comptroller of the Currency, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Federal Reserve Board, and Federal Home Loan Bank Board, to take a variety of steps to end discrimination in home finance.

These steps included detailed recordkeeping of loan applications, posting of clear statements of standards and criteria used on reviewing and deciding on loan applications, the undertaking of affirmative action to inform customers and potential customers of nondiscriminatory

Z

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc. lending policies; and the establishing of working relationships with brokers and other agents who serve members of minority groups.

We also advocated the development of a national data collection system for a comparative analysis of lending data throughout the country. A recently completed pilot project is an outgrowth of this, as are the equal opportunity in lending posters found in institutions making real estate loans.

NCDH can assure you, however, that the display of a few posters in the institution of a pilot study is simply not enough.

ment that all executive departments and agencies shall administer their programs and activities relating to housing and urban development in a manner affirmatively to further the purposes of the housing. The Federal Home Loan Bank Board which has authority over by far the most significant section of home mortgage lending has adopted regulations designed to halt racial discrimination in lending.

They are considering whether or not to launch a national data collection. They have only begun systematic enforcement of their own regulations through the use of an updated examination manual.

NCDH would urge that increasing efforts in this

,

. -

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

area be a primary recommendation as a result of these hearings.

Now, as an aside, I might add that these agencies have done very little of substance, other than to issue policy statements to end the practices in this area. They, too, are now studying instituting a national data collection effort.

I might also add that petitions filed even as far back as 1971 are still legally active, and steps can still be taken to implement the requests in those petitions that have been denied or ignored by the agencies.

While this provision of Title 8 provides some leverage over those institutions which have a major influence if not the major influence in ending these discriminatory practices, other provisions of Title 8 apply and provide protection for the individual.

And it is these sections, I think, that deserve a major publicity effort to acquaint individuals with their legal rights and their legal remedies in this area.

Section 3605 of Title 8 is undoubtedly the most important of the provisions for preventing redlining. This section of the Act is devoted exclusively to financial discrimination and prohibits a wide variety of practices, including redlining.

The language of 3605 clearly contemplates the prohibition of discrimination because of the race or the

7

2

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

presence of prospective owners of dwellings in the neighborhood in which the loan is to be made. Now, both the Department of Housing and Urban Development and the Federal Home Loan Bank Board have interpreted this section in this manner.

NCDH is currently engaged in two lawsuits designed to secure favorable judicial construction of this section.

Secondly, Section 3604(a) of the act makes it unlawful among other things to make a dwelling unavailable to a person or to deny a person a dwelling because of the race. In a typical redlining situation the applicant's house was made unavailable to them through the refusal to process a loan because of race — not necessarily the race of the applicant, but the race, the racial character of the surrounding neighborhood. The authorities have uniformly held that this section should be read expansively and have applied it broadly to factual circumstances other than the refusal to sell or to rent.

Finally, redlining is prohibited under Section

3617 of Title 8, that provision makes it illegal to interfere
with any person in the exercise of enjoyment of the right to
be free from housing finance discrimination as secured by

Title 8.

Now, the foregoing protections can be invoked by a complaint directly to HUD or to the regulatory agency or by the institution of a civil suit in federal court within

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

180 days of an act of discrimination. The ability to enforce one's right in this area is often unrecognized because of the subtle methods of discrimination.

Indeed, as the more overt forms of discrimination disappear, they are being replaced by more sophisticated means. The courts have held that Title 8 applies especially to these sophisticated methods as well as the more overt kind.

Now, the prohibitions in the Fair Housing Act apply not only to purposeful, deliberate discrimination, but also to conduct which actually or predictably results in racial discrimination, irrespective of the institution's motives. The yardstick by which financial institutions need to measure their conduct is not the motive or the intent, but the result.

Thus, while the consideration of the race, color, redigion or national origin of the present or prospective residents of a neighborhood as a sole factor, or one of many factors entering into a lending decision is prohibited, practices which have the effect of discriminating are also similarly constrained.

Such factors could include the failure to include a wife's income, which is now specifically prohibited by regulation effectuated in an amendment to Title 8. More stringent credit checks, a flat prohibition on lending on

rb49

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

homes over a certain age, or consistent under-appraisals in minority neighborhoods. Additionally such prohibition would run as explained by Mr. Orlinsky this morning -- the width of a house or, obviously, the age of a home, if you can show that such a practice has an effect of racially discriminating or if it falls most burdensomely on a minority.

Now, where the consequences of such actions are discrimination by race the burden of proof shifts to the defendant institution to explain their activities, to explain why their actions are not discriminatory. Now, this can only be done on the grounds of what the courts call "business necessity".

Now, in our view the showing of such necessity must also demonstrate that the same business result cannot be achieved through other practices which are less discriminatory in nature, and there is case law to support this point.

This brief review only illustrates that federal legislation does contain protections which can be invoked by both the regulatory agencies and by the individuals to prevent discrimination in home finance. There is no question but that there is discrimination in this area. There is no question but that decisions on where mortgage money goes. and to whom it goes have been made by and known only to a handful of persons in the executive ranks of financial institutions.

ice-Federal Reporters, Inc.

-,

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Now, many times these decisions have been based not on the objective facts, but on stereotyped notions of race, property values and character, which are unsupported by fact.

Official review of these decisions is often superficial, if at all, and is conducted by individuals reflecting many of the identical stereotypes.

Now, these decisions, as everybody knows, have often made neighborhoods, and they have often broken neighborhoods. NCDH feels that the future vitality of our urban areas cannot be left to these arbitrary decisions which are made in private on the basis of preconceptions unsupportable by fact.

Public awareness of discrimination in home finance which you have been provided at this hearing can be of considerable force in generating the reforms that are necessary.

That is the end of my prepared statement.

One thing I think Mr. Orlinsky said this morning in commenting on the demand for housing and indicating that very often people working in this area were upper middle class or upper income who were imposing their own values on whether such demand: exists or should exist -- we have found that very often in terms of making decisions on who gets a home, people who are making lending decisions often impose their values, their constraints; and this alone may have a

rb 51

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

great effect on the type of demand or in the type of expectation of treatment minorities will receive from public institutions.

BOOTH: Are there questions?

DARDEN: I have a question.

You were outlining the legal structure or the legal restraints on lending institutions; are you saying, then that if an individual, say, a minority individual, found himself in an area that might appear to be redlined, that it would be possible for them to bring a civil suit against, you know, a lending institution within the city -- or all the lending institutions within the city?

SEARING: It's possible. Obviously our court system is so structured that you have to have a case or controversy before you can bring a lawsuit; so if you had a minority person who is in an area in which believed was redlined, and he, himself, had applied for a loan and been turned down; and could conceive of no other reason except for race why this was so, then you might have grounds for exploring such a situation.

DARDEN: What kind of remedy could that person expect?

SEARING: I think the remedy in most of the situations would be of two kinds: First, sinjunctive relief, to prevent or halt the practices which were identified in

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

any hypothetical indication; and secondly, affirmative relief on the part of the defendant institution to make up for past discrimination in the area — and many of those have been around for years in establishing working relationships with brokers to serve the minority community, making it known that policies are being reviewed to discover their discriminatory impact; the establishment of affirmative employment action programs designed to increase minority employment, and designed to increase minority presence in management.

Obviously in cases where money damages can be proved, there is no question that those also should be requested.

DARDEN: In other words we heard testimony yesterday about a woman who said that houses in here area were
downgraded, the selling prices were much lower than comparable
houses very close by. Well, could that person in a hypothetical
case, if someone brought a suit against the lending institution
they would be able to claim monetary damages for the
difference in the selling prices?

SEARING: They might be able to, yes; that would certainly be a factor, a consideration in litigation.

We have also run into a situation in which
a potential buyer was forced to seek elsewhere for a loan
at a much higher interest rate; and we feel that the difference
in the amount of interest rate charged over time represents

•

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

s, Inc. an item of damage, -- without commenting on whether or not that will ever be awarded.

JEFFERS: Please correct me if I am wrong, but I believe I heard you say that the Federal Home Loan Bank Board has just begun a systematic enforcement of its regulations in dealing with discrimination.

been in force for a year or two years; now, I was wondering if you could give us your opinion as to why there has been a lag in beginning systematic enforcement.

SEARING: The regulations have been in effect for a year and a half or two years and, theoretically, they have been enforced as all regulations are theoretically enforced.

Often an agency can determine where it is going to put its emphasis in terms of enforcement.

We have been requesting the Home Loan Bank Board ever since 1971 to include in its examination procedures the specific civil rights examination steps that would be designed to determine whether an institution was redlining or was discriminating on mortgages.

Now, our feeling is that these techniques work

very similar to those used to examine for financial soundness

and a spot check of the record to determine where loans were

going and where such data was available, the race of the people

getting the loans -- this is one of the things we are trying

]

]]

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

eral Reporters, Inc. to achieve through this racial data collection system which now you can't collect, or most places do not collect racial data; and you have no way of knowing how effective any purported affirmative action policy is.

The Bank Board has been updating their procedures and including a new chapter in their examination, and they are now in the process of implementing this. This is what I meant when I said that while there has been selective enforcement in the past, hopefully this will become an integral part, an important part, a stressed part, of the examination procedure. It is only when the federal examiners come down to the institutions and say, "Have you been doing this? We are going to spot-check your loans for this.", that I think you are going to achieve some real action in this area.

And this is just one of four or five other key spots that you can identify. The big problem here is one of attitude, as it often is. For many, many years bankers or savings and loan officers were criticized because of the loans that they made. They were either risky loans, they were poor loans; they shouldn't have been made, et cetera.

We are now trying to tell these examiners, "Shift that focus"; and criticize the loan officers for loans that they perhaps should have made. And this is quite a bit of conflict and it requires somewhat of a change in viewpoint.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

But we feel it can be achieved with practice. It's not that hard.

Does that answer that?

JEFFERS: That answers that.

THOMPSON: Mr. Searing, some of your testimony indicates that you are going to depend quite a bit on statistics, perhaps to determine just how well some of these institutions are performing. We heard testimony yesterday that many people are turned down by the persons taking applications, verbally; so that there is no written record, really, of what goes on.

Would you have any recommendation as to how we might get around a practice such as this?

SEARING: That is a real problem. That goes back, I think, to our recommendation several years ago that banks be encouraged to establish an affirmative relationship with brokers who work with minority people so that that factor of verbal putdown or discouragement, which, indeed, often takes place much earlier.

It has been our experience that having established a relationship with a financial institution, brokers -- white or black -- will not step out of bounds to seek an agreement with another institution; or, conversely, they will not complain about the institution they are working with for fear of being cut off.

O

:e-Federal Reporters, Inc.

At one time we were advocating a log of telephone inquiries or of walk-ins or a log of referrals for brokers to determine out of the number of people who actually received information what was the percentage of people who went on to either making an application and being denied, or accepted. And I think basically that is a problem, but basically that is the only answer.

Two years ago in the hearings of the FDIC, when we were advocating a telephone -- collection of data over the telephone, one of the FDIC Commissioners said, "Well," -- he was talking to Mr. Martin Sloan who at that time was the Assistant Staff Director of U. S. Civil Rights Commission, and he said, "Mr. Sloan, that's the first question you'd ask? That's the first thing you'd say to someone over the phone -- what is your race?"

Mr. Sloan said, "No, I'd say 'hello", and then to on to other questions.

When I heard that story, I couldn't believe it.

Mr. Sloan is now the general counsel at NCDH and I had to go
back and check the testimony; and it is right there. So,
obviously that poses some problems, but I don't think they
are insurmountable to establish that kind of a record. There
is no question in my mind in reviewing the specific institution-by-institution data or the area data provided by two
of the agencies engaged in the studies that there was

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

some skewing of the collection. In other words, people weren't given a form to fill out, although they were pretty sure they were going to be accepted in some situations; or they were -- it's easy to discourage someone, whether minority or majority, in filling out this. There is no question that this kind of problem is going to be encountered.

As in the employment area, discrimination in the financial area is going to be a matter of statistics, as I said in my statement. A lot of that is because purposeful discrimination is, I think, mostly going underground or being done away with, or being practiced by practices which have the effect of discriminating, which are equally as illegal; but it is only through collection and analysis of statistical information that we will be able to make a record of this.

It has been our feeling for a long time that the federal regulatory agencies, state regulatory agencies, collect; massive statistics relating to financial safety and soundness; there is no reason they cannot collect additional data to determine the status of civil rights actions.

> Thank you very much. BOOTH:

> Mr. Tucker and Mr. Kavanagh?

TUCKER: Mr. Kavanagh is in the audience. do most of the testifying for American National, and if I have difficulties in the personnel area, I will call upon him.

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

BOOTH: Okay.

JEFFERS: Mr. Tucker, could you please state your name and the name of your institution for the record? TUCKER: Yes.

My name is Bruc Tucker. I am a Vice President of the American National Building and Loan Association.

JEFFERS: Can you give the address of your institution?

TUCKER: Lexington and Liberty Streets, Baltimore.

JEFFERS: If you have a prepared statement we would like you to read that first, and if not, we would turn our questioning over to Mary Loker, to my right.

TUCKER: With a five-minute constraint on the statement I felt as though I couldn't do justice to what I really wanted to address myself to; so I think I will just start with the questions.

JEFFERS: Okay.

LOKER: Mr. Tucker, do you have a written affirmative action plan?

TUCKER: Yes, we do.

Did you bring a copy of it with you?

Yes. TUCKER:

LOKER: How long have you had this plan?

TUCKER: Well, I can't say exactly. I think that we started it -- I know it was revised in 1974; I can't tell

J

7

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

you exactly how long we've had a written one. We always had a plan that we felt was not discriminatory.

LOKER: Can you tell me how you implement your 402 action plan?

TUCKER: Well, as I say, I am not quite familiar with the program. I would be happy to read some sections from it. Obviously I am more oriented to the mortgage part of the association, so I am not familiar with the personnel part.

We actively group employees with, you know, based on equal treatment as far as race, sex, religion and national origin is concerned. I am sure we comply with all the requirements of government regulations as to what the affirmative action program should contain.

We maintain liaison with the state employment commission, state job corps, placement bureau, National Alliance of Businessmen, concentrated employment programs; we recruit on minority campuses; we have contacts with boards of education, and request that these agencies refer minority applicants to the Association.

LOKER: Okay.

Can you tell me your current employment statistics for minority men and women and non-minority men and women?

TUCKER: Well, we got the request for that Monday afternoon, the data went back to 1972. Quite frankly, for an

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

institution of our size it has been a little difficult to compile. I will be happy to have that information for you and I will submit it to you later. I don't have it with me.

LOKER: Okay.

We would like to have it if you could get it to us?
TUCKER: Yes.

LOKER: Can you tell me if you do have minority men and women and non-minority men and women in supervisory positions for the bank?

TUCKER: Well, currently, we do not have minority men or women in supervisory positions. We do have women -we have several women officers. We currently have a black
gentleman who is training with us on our management training
program; and will probably be in a position -- I guess we
could assume he has, he does do some supervisory work now,
since his current title is Assistant Manager in one of our
branches.

Currently we plan to bring him to our mortgage application department.

LOKER: Okay.

You do have training programs for minorities?

TUCKER: Yes. We have currently in our training program, we have a young lady who is an Assistant Manager of one of our branches; a continuous training program. Currently we have two Branch Managers who are women, who are titled

vce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Assistant Vice President. We have several women that have various capacities within the Association that are titled Assistant Secretaries. We have a woman Loan Officer, and another woman who is on our Board; and also we have a woman on our loan committee.

LOKER: Okay.

Can you tell me about the composition of your loan review board?

TUCKER: Our loan committee is made up of -- well, how do you want me to do this?

LOKER: Male and female, and minority and nonminority, and as to members who live in the city as opposed to those who do not.

TUCKER: Currently we have no minority members on our loan committee. As so stated, we have one woman on our loan committee; out of seven people who are on our loan committee, we have two people who live in the city.

LOKER: Okay.

What are the qualifications for membership on your loan committee?

TUCKER: Well, obviously, mortgage background and experience and knowledge, qualifications in underwriting techniques.

LOKER: Okay.

Now, the woman you have on your loan committee,

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

does she meet all these qualifications?

TUCKER: Yes, she has been with the Association for quite some time and has been active, and has always been active in the mortgage application section.

> LOKER: Okay.

Can you give me the same sort of information about the Board of Directors?

TUCKER: Well, out of eight members of the board, there are no minority members; there's one female board member; four of the board are city residents.

And how are the Board members chosen?

TUCKER: Well, obviously, I am not on the Board and have never participated in choosing a Board Member. From the ability of the people who are on the Board and their background I am sure at in other businesses -- and we do have the one female board members, who has been a longterm employee of the Association and has a good working background as far as S&L's, and American National is concerned I can safely say I would assume that it is certainly qualities and the ability to direct a major S&L institution, based either in experience in the business, American National itself or certain qualities to be derived from businesses that are outside the S&L.

Do you expect to increase the percentages of women and minority members on your board?

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

ters, Inc. TUCKER: Well, there again, I am not a board member. I could not address myself to that question. I have no knowledge of what the board might anticipate doing.

LOKER: Well, would you make any suggestions for making these increases of women and minority members?

TUCKER: There, again, that is a difficult question to address oneself to. I can't -- because of my position with the Association, not being a board member, I really don't feel as though I can safely address myself to that question and reflect what the Board's thinking would be.

LOKER: I can understand that.

SMITH: Mr. Tucker, I am going to move into an area in which you have much more familiarity -- lending policies. I wonder if you could share with us how many applications for home mortgages your organization processes in each of the years '72, '73, '74; and then '75 -- I assume your figures are at least for the first six months?

TUCKER: Right.

Okay, in 1972, the Association processed 830 applications. It amounted to approximately \$27,500,000.

In '73, we processed approximately 1,000 applications, total amount of loans were approximately \$52 million.

In '74, we processed 393 loans and we put on our books approximately \$12,700,000 worth of loans.

So far in '75, we processed 289 loans and we are

]

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

-- the loans that have been put on the books have been \$8,313,650.

SMITH: Do you have any information on the number of applications accepted as against those which are rejected?

TUCKER: Well, I have the number that were rejected. And the dollar amounts -- in '72 we rejected 35 loans amounting to \$1,097,000.

In '73 we rejected 29 loans which amounted to \$1,071,000.

In '74 we rejected 23 loans that totaled \$389,000.

And so far in '75, 14 loans, \$345,000.

SMITH: Thank you very much.

Do you have information in terms of minority and non-minority applications which are accepted and rejected?

TUCKER: No. Unfortunately, except for the program that was alluded to where we were asked to keep records for a six-month period for the Federal Home Loan Bank Board, in the Association there are no such records.

SMITH: Does that same answer apply to male and female applicants?

TUCKER: The majority of our applications are couples, and we have never seen the necessity of trying to keep it as male and female. I would say the majority of these were both male and female.

SMITH: Do you keep any track of telephone

,,

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

inquiries, of what ordinarily be a mortgage application which you turn off before the papers are even initiated?

TUCKER: No, ma'am, we don't. Under the new law as a matter of fact it is a question of area when you get on the phone, and the information you quote as to whether it is considered an application, and how you can comply with the requirements of Wrestler. And we have been very hesitant to get into any lengthy telephone conversations because we are concerned that the person might consider that a commitment and then we would not be able to comply with all the other requirements that go hand in hand with mortgage commitment.

I think based on our rejection rate and probably a total I should have given you that I didn't give you is that the amount of loans that were cancelled either by, you know, cancelled by the applicant, leads me to believe that almost always we encourage the person to come in and make an application; and later, if he finds out he doesn't like the rate, he doesn't like the institution, or he doesn't like the information we are asking, or he finds through counselling that perhaps this is not the house he wants to buy, so he cancels the application on his own; as far as keeping actual track of telephone calls, no.

SMITH: I wonder would you be able to leave with us those figures which you quoted?

TUCKER: Yes.

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

SMITH: Do you have any explanation or could you enlighten us as to the differences in the dollar amounts in those years? There seem to be significant changes?

of deposits, had considerable money for lending. In '73 and '74 we went through a tremendous -- well we call it a money crunch. There was more disinvestment, which, instead of putting their funds with us, most individual deposits went into direct investments, Treasury bills, or other investments that guaranteed a higher yield than we could give.

And during those years we didn't have the funds to lend. In the first part of '73, disenchantment with the general stock market, and the fact everyone seemed to be more concerned with saving money and not buying items, or going from Treasury bills at that time -- they were at their very lowest rate, and we are already beginning to see Treasury issues which have fallen off, and savings have fallen off considerably; and probably towards the end of the year we will not have monies to lend in the same volume that we did, say, in '70 and '72.

SMITH: Would it be fair, then, to assume that these dollar figures are in exact proportion to the total available pool you have for lending?

TUCKER: Yes.

Yesterday in someone's testimony I heard them say

7

ice-Federal Reporters, Inc. that, you know, they thought that S&LS -- they faulted

S&L's for not advertising for mortgages, and, actually, very

seldom do I know, you know -- I know American National

has never to my knowledge in its history since I've been there

advertised for mortgages, because we are always advertising

for savings.

Because, obviously, the mortgage demand has always exceeded normally what we have available to lend out.

SMITH: Thank you.

Sir, what criterion are used to approve applications?

TUCKER: Well, on an individual basis, we are primarily concerned with the applicant's employment, his job history, ability in his employment, his credit, how well he has lived up to his obligations in the past, his ability to meet the downpayment requirements whatever they may be; and settlement costs.

On the property analysis we are concerned with

-- probably the two main items are: what the current market

value is of the property based on other sales prices, and

the market within the area, and probably then the second most

important area we call "remaining items," where the appraiser

sort of estimates as to what he thinks the expectancy for

the house as far as its quality and livability will be in the

future.

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

SMITH: We are going to get back to matters of appraisal a little later. I think they play an important role in all of this.

But let us just for the moment continue through with our discussion of the application, and then pick up the appraisal.

You gave us then the criterion to approve applications; what criterion are used to reject applications?

TUCKER: Well, normally we are concerned with the ability of the prospective purchaser to meet his monthly mortgage obligations and his long-term debt; so we would be looking at what disposable income he would have as opposed to whatever his new mortgage payment would appear to be in terms of long-term debt; and secondly, how well he is going to -- based on his previous experience and verification -- we get a credit report in our interview -- whether he is an individual who meets his obligations in the past and has been able to manage his money to the point that he is able to keep a reasonable ratio between his incomes and whatever is his monthly debts would come to.

SMITH: When you have occasion to reject an application do you discuss alternative means of financing?

TUCKER: Yes. Well, we do discuss, we give written notices to everyone as to why their appliations was rejected. If it's a matter of credit, obviously -- of their

Ó

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc. credit practices, we are restricted on exactly what we can tell them. And that is a difficult area.

We advise them that -- but normally, we do. If for some reason the monthly mortgage payment is more than he can handle, we certainly suggest to him that if everything else was good, perhaps he was looking for housing that was a little beyond his means now.

If we find out that perhaps we think there is an increased risk because of the amount of the mortgage payment and disposable income, we might suggest to him that rather than lose the house we process his application with a housing fund that would allow us to give him more of a mortgage, and also would probably insure the institution against this increased risk.

We do suggest other alternatives. Obviously the biggest suggestion is his employment, if his salary should increase that would allow him to buy the house, or acquire more money to put down on a mortgage so his monthly mortgage might not be so great, to come back and talk with us.

SMITH: You made reference to your Association's policy for a downpayment; you said whatever that policy is. What is that policy?

TUCKER: Well, the policy ranges -- we obviously have rates that would encourage people to put more money down. The Association also lends on mortgages that require

.

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc. no downpayment. And it goes up and down the spectrum. Some people fortunately have 30 percent down; some have none.

SMITH: Under what conditions would you give a no-downpayment loan?

TUCKER: FHA, they normally don't require down-payments; 100-percent-GI's don't.

TUCKER: Yes. Because of regulation we are required to have insurance over mortgages that exceed 80 percent; so we use the housing fund extensively, the Maryland Housing Fund, which has a program which allows us to borrow 100 percent of the sales price, plus some settlement costs, and put a very minimum downpayment, \$500, to be applied against downpayment, settlement costs, or however they want to apply it; and then they insure the loan as a matter of coinsurance behind the institution.

SMITH: What is the smallest loan you will make, smallest mortgage?

TUCKER: Well, we have changed our attitude in that -- over the years -- currently -- I can remember now that we have no minimums. I think the lowest one we put on our books in the last several years to my personal knowledge, was around \$2,000 to \$3,000; but we have no minimum.

Obviously if someone came in and asked us for \$1,000 loan we would take a look at it, and we could suggest

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

to him some alternative that might get around settlement costs and some of the expenses. But if he insisted, we would certainly process it, while we would think he didn't show very good judgment.

SMITH: What percentage of your home mortgage loans were made in Baltimore City in each of those years.

'73, '74, and the first six months of '75?

TUCKER: Okay.

In '72, investment in the city was approximately 2 percent. The same in '73; and '74, '74 it was 18 percent.

SMITH: Can you give us any explanation?

TUCKER: There again I think probably, well, obviously, the pledge was one major factor, so I'll discount that.

But the other thing I think that has been crucial to American National particularly was the fact that this State was willing to step in behind these Associations and coinsure mortgages. The Housing Fund is only several years old and we have begun to use that quite extensively. And in an area where people are not able to put down the mormal downpayments, and while a lot of people discount this, at least it is in the eyes of savings and loans, certainly more risk—not individual, but because of the fact that he doesn't have any of his own personal money into the property, he could easily walk away from the property without any financial

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

problems of his own.

The fact that the State was willing to come up with this Housing Authority, I think, had a lot to do with our ability to come into the city.

SMITH: What percentage of such loans were made in Baltimore County during this same period?

TUCKER: I -- your question came to me, came asking specifically for the City; and I didn't prepare for the County.

SMITH: Would it be possible for you to prepare that: for submission in the future?

TUCKER: I think so.

SMITH: We would appreciate that.

Do you make loans outside of Baltimore City and County?

TUCKER: Yes, we make loans in all the counties that surround Baltimore City, what we classify as the Baltimore metropolitan area, Howard, Hartford, Harold, Ann Arrundel, Prince George's, Montgomery County.

SMITH: Do you have any loans out of state?

TUCKER: To my knowledge we have only one, and that was recently put on, a participation loan with a group of savings and loans on properties in Florida; but it is a very minute percentage of our portfolio.

With that exception I would say our entire

1 |

,

.ce-Federal Reporters, Inc. portfolio is within the State of Maryland.

SMITH: Thank you.

BOOTH: Do you ever make decisions based on, oh, the amenities in a house, the plumbing?

TUCKER: I don't quite understand the thrust of your question.

You mean are we more apt to lend if the house has two bathrooms rather than one?

BOOTH: Yes, that sort of thing.

TUCKER: No.

An individual comes to us with a signed contract, tell us this is the house he wants to buy; we take a look at the house and decide whether we think it is a reasonable value for the existing market in that area, and the gentleman's qualifications or woman's qualifications, or combination of those are sufficient to purchase the house.

BOOTH: You talked about the livability of a house?

TUCKER: Well, we have taken a look -- we call it
the "remaining economic life". It's a theoretical thing.

In the appraisal obviously the assumption is a new home will
last for 50 years. You have to start with some assumption,
and appraisers have done this.

And depending on how the house has been maintained, if it were a hundred-year-old-house in the city it would have a remaining economic life still of 50 years, because the house,

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

the plumbing has been updated, the electrical has been updated, so that theoretically the house is still, you know, livable.

We have other houses where there is -- people come to us and they don't have furnaces -- space heaters -- and the bathrooms, there might not be a bathroom; I think that's a particular problem in the city; furnaces are inoperable -- those would naturally tend to bring down what we call the "livability" of the house. This is certainly not what we call shelter.

BOOTH: Don't you think those could be added?

TUCKER: Well, yes. You read something into my question or my answer.

That is not saying that if somebody came to us and said, "I want to buy this house, and I have X-number of dollars to bring this house up to what we call 'code' or 'standard'", certainly we would consider that. Underwriting -- let me stress this a little bit more:

Ultimately in underwriting you are looking at an investment. You are putting people in housing, and that is certainly not the major portion of it; but always you have in the back of your mind whether you are lending on a few thousand dollar house or a \$70,000 house, the responsibility you have to the Association. And if for some reason a person is unable to complete the payments, that you have to take

e-Federal Reporters, Inc.

this house back; and it has to be held in the portfolio. S&L's are not in the real estate business. Therefore, ultimately we are not interested in taking them back.

We are interested in getting income from the loan so that we can put that out in divident for our investors that have deposits, or putting it out into other mortgages. So ultimately in the back of your mind is what happens if you have to take this house over, and you have to dispose of it?

And, you know, while we do and some people come in and they'd love to renovate barns, aesthetically, that's wonderful. But, ultimately, in our view, decision-making is, you know: what is the market for this if you have to take it back? And houses that are below standard for the area ultimately we are concerned with w- if we have to take them back -- is what is the market for that house, and how can we sell it to recoup whatever current mortgage balance there is.

So we don't have standards, we don't have property standards, but so long as there is a market for that particular dwelling in that area, that's what we based our appraisal on; that is how we determine what we think the purchase price should be.

That would be the perimeter of our thinking so far as standards are concerned.

BOOTH: Are you saying as a part of this, the

jrb78 1

_

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

the evaluation of the entire house, you have to consider the house in the context of its neighborhood?

TUCKER: No, only in its marketable area. In appraising we consider what we call the market value of the house against -- that's the primary consideration; and that is determined by taking comparable properties, as similar as possible. Obviously, the best would be a group of homes where we have one house after the other which are the same, and determining what the market is in that particular area based on the houses that are sold.

BOOTH: Do you restrict the amount of loans on older houses?

TUCKER: Only in the context of "what is the remaining economic life" -- on a theoretical basis; if remaining economic life of the house is, let's say, 35 years, and -- normally you would have no problem with that. We might take a look at it, but normally we don't.

Most of our mortgages at least we assume would be the maximum we could lend which is 30 years, and taking a look at the property we might offer a different term. But ultimately we always assume that the house is going to be 30 years, that the loan will be a term of 30 years.

BOOTH: Do you find your appraisers use different criteria now than they did a year or so ago?

TUCKER: In what respect?

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

oorters, Inc.

BOOTH: I don't know, I am just wondering whether your assessment of housing worth is changing?

TUCKER: Well, the estimate of housing worth is —
the primary thrust of the thing is the marketability of the
area, and it is derived from free market sales within the
area. So I would say not necessarily; there has been some
change in appraisal technique as far as years ago. I can
remember the ultimate value of the house was what it cost
to build. Now you have houses in the city you couldn't
duplicate them at the prices they are selling, the quality of
the construction.

And so we have discounted, certainly, the cost approach, what we call the "cost approach" to appraising in the city because there is just no way we could duplicate the building of some of these brick row houses which would cost \$150,000 now; and they may be selling for \$14,000.

Basically it is based on whatever the free market is.

BOOTH: So it is bound to fluctuate?

TUCKER: Yes.

BOOTH: Do you use appraisers -- outside appraisers, or are they employed?

TUCKER: We use our own appraisers.

BOOTH: And what plans -- if you have any plans -- have you, for the increasing the amount of mortgage money

jrb80 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

available in the city?

TUCKER: Well, we have done nothing to actually deter minorities or women from coming in our shops. take mortgage applications, we solicit mortgages through minority realtors.

I would say the only thing that we have constructively done that would change this thrust is probably that we are trying to attempt to develop a program to do more with 221(b)(2) problems. We haven't had a great request for that, and we didn't have the personnel to handle it; but, obviously, with money tightening up a little bit, normally when money begins to tighten up, the general thrust is to go into governmental mortgages. As rates begin to move up, governmental mortgages go low; so we think we can service the area best in that program.

SMITH: You indicated at the beginning Mr. Kavanagh was there, and I know that you had some difficulty in answering questions about the affirmative action program; I wonder if we might want to inquire now as to whether Mr. Kavanagh has anything to add to your discussions? I would assume that he would have primary responsibility?

TUCKER: Well, I would be happy to ask him that. We are going to give you copies of the program, but I would be happy to ask him that. .

Do you have anything to add to that?

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

jrb81 1

KAVANAGH: Yes.

JEFFERS: Why don't we just get your name and title for the record?

KAVANAGH: Gerald Kavanagh, Vice President, American National, Baltimore.

I think Ms. Smith had a question -- one of the questions asked in the beginning, and I thought --

LOKER: Do you know how long you have had this affirmative action plan?

KAVANAGH: Yes, approximately 1969.

LOKER: Was it written then?

KAVANAGH: Yes, it was written then, and it was approved by the president; and we updated it annually.

LOKER: Okay.

And how do you implement the plan?

KAVANAGH: Well, I am involved -- or was -- I am still a member of the Personnel Administration Association, which at the time was just Baltimore City. First I should say that this is not my only function, so I have to attend this thing as Vice President in Charge of Personnel,

Marketing, Advertising, and a new thing we just threw in which is called Urban Services. So I have to keep abreast of all these changes, rules and regulations in all the areas that I possibly can.

And my relationship with Personnel Administration

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

7

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Association of Baltimore I come in contact with many people in various industries, not just in our field, but also industry as well. And we knew then by law that you have to have an affirmative action program regarding race discrimination.

So therefore we implemented it in '69 and we have updated it ever since. I have kept close contact with the Federal Reserve Bank, its member institutions, the other companies; and we feel we have a pretty good one.

Now, I am going to be perfectly honest: we do our best to keep up with this thing, and do what we put in writing. There are some cases that I'm sure we're not the only ones that are "guilty" of this: sometimes you can't do all the things that you want to do and put down on paper.

To give you an example, in the very beginning -- in fact, we still do -- we send all of our requests to the State of Maryland; okay, it's a one-man operation. They do send down -- respond quite good, in fact. But they send down perhaps 70 or 75 individuals that we have to take a look-see at, and select from that for possibly one position. This is time-consuming to say the least.

They also request that we should -- I'm not saying they "demand" -- they request we run ads in various minority papers as well as local papers. Well, as Mr. Tucker has already testified, because of the crunch, what we do, our

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

policy is, we go through the local agencies; we also -job placement center -- wel also advertise in The Sun. We
feel that this is mostly read by all minorities, and, hopefully,
this is where we get our largest response from.

But we have not had much success with the State. We have notified various city agencies and have worked with these people.

I would just like to say, Charlotte Renee -- I don't know how many of you know her -- she's a tremendous gal in industry in the Baltimore City area; she has worked hard and I've worked with her in many cases.

However, she has a certain function and she needs a certain amount of help, and that help has not always been the best in getting the school kids prepared for when they getout of high school to come in for the interview. And I suggested what we do -- we have a group of maybe five or six individuals in the personnel area to go out to the high school and talk to these young men and women as how to properly come in and be interviewed.

But it has not met with much success. In fact I don't know of anyone who does it now because we have not been offered the opportunity to come back. There was a time when we did this. That's another story.

LOKER: You don't have statistics, either, of -- regarding the minorities?

KAVANAGH: In our organization?

LOKER: Yes?

KAVANAGH: Mr. Tucker said he was going to send it

TUCKER: Yes, we are compiling those.

KAVANAGH: There are 119 employees in our organization, and it's somewhere in the area of about eight or nine.

SMITH: Are what?

KAVANAGH: Minority.

LOKER: Okay.

TUCKER:

Mr. Tucker answered all the other questions to my satisfaction.

I would like to ask Mr. Tucker another question.

When you have a married couple that comes in to try for a mortgage with your S&L, what percentage of the wife's income do you consider?

TUCKER: All of it.

LOKER: In all cases?

Sure.

Well, yes, I would say once portions of it were discounted; but currently we're counting all of it. The thing we are concerned about when we're looking at her salary is -- no, no, we count all of it.

For a while we were looking at the job stability, you know, how long had she been on the job; obviously, somebody

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

22

23

25

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

who had just started on a job, been there two weeks, and had graduated from high school, we were less apt to count all of her salary. We looked at her salary as monies that they would be saving for downpayments, and used for that. But since that time we, you know, have changed.

There are more women working, the economy is taking a dip; more people are having to work; there are better programs with maternity benefits, and more women having children are returning back; so we count all of it.

LOKER: Well, in the case where the husband and wife have both been working a short length of time, and they come in to buy a house --

TUCKER: Do we value them separately? No. We would do the same -- use the same criteria. If the gentleman had only been on the job for a few weeks, we would be . concerned about his job stability.

KAVANAGH: Can I interject something?

I am not sure how the testimony went earlier, but we do have one minority who is Assistant Manager of one of our branches.

LOKER: Mr. Tucker said that.

KAVANAGH: I wasn't sure it came out. We are presently looking for management trainees, actively at the present time.

DARDEN: I have a few questions -- they are not

personal wquestions; but, Mr. Tucker, can you describe

a typical borrower that you service in the institution? And

could you give us some idea of how many loans that you make

that don't necessarily fit that typical borrower's description?

TUCKER: "Typical borrower"?

DARDEN: Yes?

TUCKER: Well, that's such a difficult description, particularly since we lend to such a broad area; we lend mortgages with no downpayment to 50 percent down. There's just no way to come up with a "typical borrower". I would do you a disservice and myself a disservice if I tried to.

I have never compiled any statistics to say, you know, I could tell you what the average loan is -- I run that every month based on our portfolio. It's running between \$30,000 to \$35,000. However, I would say the majority of the loans we place in the city are probably \$29,000 or less.

So in some cases, then, we are certainly away from the typical or the normal in that area. But to try to come up with what the average salary would be, or the average age, or — unless you give me some more areas of description, I can't do that.

DARDEN: Let me ask you, then, in a different
way: would, say, a single woman, head of household, single
minority woman head of household, have a difficult time getting
a loan, assuming that all other --

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

- -Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

TUCKER: No, not at all.

DARDEN: The other one you mentioned --

TUCKER: As a matter of fact, if you took a survey you would find in some cases we probably have accepted more of those loans than individual men, because apparently the women are much more realistic with what they can afford than men, who come in to buy houses, or go into coops, or condominiums that are far beyond their earning capacity at this particular time.

DARDEN: The other question is a short one, too. You mentioned that your mortgage demand usually exceeds the availability of mortgage money?

TUCKER: Yes.

DARDEN: Would the availability be increased, well, I guess there's two questions:

First, does your organization invest in federal securities packages, and would your availability be increased if you did less of that and made more money available to the individual home loans?

TUCKER: Well, the Association is required by law to have what we call "reserve requirements". Reserve requirements are the hedge against possible -- I don't want to get into a lengthy description of it, but we are required by law to put a certain amount of money aside in what we call reserves. When you talk about the strength of an

irb88

2

3

4

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

institution, you may have to maintain a certain liquidity because of the number of withdrawals we can anticipate at any time; so we do get in these governmental programs. do get in these investments because they are classified as liquidity, and because we are required -- no, we do not get into them because they'll get us a better yield than our mortgage money. We would prefer when mortgage money is tight the Government normally lowers your liquidity, so you can put more money out into mortgages; so you have just the opposite effect.

But when money is tight what we do do is try to sell off to different organizations interests in our portfolio; Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation -- and they perform a great service for S&L's, and we have this portfolio of good investments that were mortgages, and no one else, out of state, when the money got tight, had any money to buy The government did set up the program, and they them. bought interest in these places. We sold off 50 percent of these mortgages, millions of dollars; and if we hadn't had that vehicle through the government, we probably wouldn't have had any money to lend in these areas.

THOMPSON: I want to ask a question: we have heard testimony that some people who sell homes -- you certainly get the message from people who take applications from S&L's -- it's not necessarily your institution -- for

24 ice-Federal Reporters, Inc.

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

example, a message is sometimes asked -- if you're married and have two children, you have a better chance of getting a loan?

I have found in some of my dealings that the administrators of institutions -- is there any checking of policy -- do you have or every try to figure out or have a feel for --

TUCKER: A policy of soliciting loans? -- if that's the term you use?

It's set up by the loan company. We take a look at several things: obviously, the most important is the availability of funds. And we do not -- as one person said, we do not wine and dine, whether they be minorities or others; so I would assume that whatever our requirements are, and we advise realtors what we are looking for -- the only thing we stipulate is the amount of downpayment t-hat we are looking for and what we consider would probably be the interest rate.

That is the only thing we put on our sheet. other thing we put on the notice is what our current point structure would be, so if you get one of our sheets, you probably would see a lot of it would be dependent on what money we had available, and it would probably say we were : accepting applications immediately, an 80-percent loan will have an interest rate of so-and-so, and anticipate 30 years

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. of VA depending on what the market was at that time. But it would say we are accepting VA mortgages at the government regulated rate. And that's the only definition.

THOMPSON: Okay, fine.

You are very confident the people who are taking applications actually reflect the policy of the institution?

TUCKER: Yes, sir.

THOMPSON: Does it cost more to service a loan between \$5,000 and \$15,000 than perhaps a higher priced loan?

TUCKER: Well, we have never run a survey on that. We would have to assume that, obviously, the return you would get on a more sizeable loan, depending on the interest rate would be greater than a smaller loan. We have not run any test on that. I would assume it would be, but that doesn't stop us from making the loan.

THOMPSON: Do you have any particular pecking order for your loans? If I came and asked for \$100,000 and my brother came and asked for \$15,000, would there be any choice your institution might make between us?

TUCKER: Well, depending on the money available.

Normally, because of the money crunch we do put limits on

our loans. But, no, we don't have any pecking order.

Most of our loans are called conforming loans because they are more easily saleable, and we try to keep the maximum loan in the area between \$55,000 and \$65,000.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

\$65,000 and on a month to month basis we keep tabs on what our average in the portfolio is; and I would say for the past several years it's been averaging between \$30,000 and \$35,000.

THOMPSON: Okay.

Conversely someone comes to you with a request for

we might consider his loan. We do have some that are worth

But if a qualified buyer came for more than that,

Conversely someone comes to you with a request for between \$5,000 and \$15,000, do they get the same consideration as a person asking for \$55,000; or are there other criteria?

Do you think the criteria are different for a lower amount?

TUCKER: Well, no.

The tendency would be to be more deliberate with the higher amount of money.

THOMPSON: Thank you very much.

WICKWIRE: You said before and I understand that there has been a very dramatic drop in terms of home mortgage business, going from like 73 to 74; and then later you indicated that as far as the city is concerned there has been a phenomenal increase in your writing of mortgage loans?

TUCKER: That is right.

WICKWIRE: It's gone from 2 percent to 18 percent in '74; 22 percent in '75?

Does this mean -- I think you've touched on it already, and maybe we could expand on it -- could this mean

irb92 j

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the home mortgage business is generally pretty bad? Does it suggest maybe this is a trend?

You are going to be putting much more money into the inner city in terms of mortgage because of some attractive features that are not available elsewhere?

TUCKER: The city pilot program, the pilot program of the Maryland Housing is there so you can lend more loans in the city thar are insured.

Basically I would say that the dramatic increase was based on our pledge to the city, to be quite frank with I can recall when we were making no loans for a period in '74, and the only processing was city loans. don't anticipate obviously in our performance in '75 that once we felt as though we settled this obligation that we're going to step out on making loans in the city.

I think -- I did not run any total for the portfolios, but, obviously, when you have money, the money runs with the demand. During that period of '72 and '73 we weren't as actively participating -- we were very active in the counties where there was a tremendous demand for mortgages. Normally the money follows the demand.

People think the demand follow the money, and that's not necessarily true; and you can get into long discussion of that. But I would have to qualify: the money ` normally follows the demand.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

jrb93 1

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.
25

Because of various programs, homesteading, et cetera, there is a greater demand in the city than there was in '72.

JEFFERS: Mr. Tucker, you mentioned somewhat earlier a hypothetical situation of someone coming in and asking for a \$1,000 mortgage, and I believe you indicated you would suggest some sort of alternatives to him.

And the question I want to ask you is: what would those alternatives be, and would they also be feasible for someone coming in for, say, a \$5,000 mortgage, or somewhere in between?

TUCKER: The obvious alternative would be in an individual is looking to borrow \$1,000 to buy a piece of property, it probably would be easier for him to make some sort of a personal loan and buy it for outright cash. The process of that loan is expensive, so if he could pay cash for the property, he might get a better sales price for it.

I can't, you know, I can't think of any alternative with the lower priced mortgages.

TUCKER: Well, if he had other collateral he could pledge to a commercial bank, then it would be cheaper to go that way than to pay -- every loan that the Association has to put on the books requires certain things in the federal regulation, an appraisal and a credit report. So there

irb94 1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

are a few fees involved there. If the man thinks definitely he wants this house, no matter what the appraisal is, well he pays a fee and it is costing him to purchase a \$1,000 house through the mortgage route; it's much more expensive than he could do it just on a cash sale basis.

Assuming he could get the cash?

TUCKER: Yes, assuming he could get the cash. But I think I qualified that -- if he insisted he wanted to mortgage, we would certainly process it for him. think it would be in his best interest. If it was something he could get a personal loan for, or had a relative to make the loan from, or if he had a savings account he could make a loan against his savings account. There are other avenues I think he could use for a loan of that type.

While I'm here, though -- no one asked me a question that I came somewhat prepared to address.

(Laughter.)

That might be my last question then. BOOTH:

TUCKER: In the discussion yesterday several people alluded to a program of American National and several S&L's have been very active in called Neighborhood Housing Services. One of the participants discounted it when he said it "didn't have any teeth".

When he said that I felt as though he didn't understand what the program was designed for. Neighborhood

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

jrb95 _l

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Housing Services is a coalition of people who are -- that represent community involvement, S&L's in Baltimore City, and in any program that has been successful under this particular concept that needs the city's help.

The program is not designed to get into an area that is totally demolished or -- I've seen some articles called "bombed out", where there is a lack -- where most of the properties are owned by absentee landlord.

The program is designed. to get into an area and it has been very successful where there is still a lot of community action, where there are a lot of owner-occupants, and these people have seen urban blight gradually moving on a block-to-block basis towards their particular development And they are interested.

So, what the Neighbors Program was designed to do was to step in and before the neighborhood got into complete decay, to step in and see if we could not reverse the trend. What we have done is to work together in this coalition and -- on a two-pronged process: one is code enforcement. That's where you need the city's action.

Everybody has talked about urban decay and redlining, and we won't g-et into it now, because I know I am a little bit beyond my time; but no one mentioned or got into some of the reasons for this. So we won't dwell on it now.

1.1

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

But, one -- and that was probably one of the basic reasons, was a lack of code enforcement by the city. Everyone assumed that one day some S&L loan officer came in and decided that they were not going to lend in that neighborhood. I don't think it operates quite that way.

That's a very general statement, but I don't think it operated that way. If it was a good area that was viable, that improvements could be made, if there was a market for it, I don't think any loan officer walked away. And I am qualifying that.

So basically what happened in some of these areas is that people owned houses and were affluent enough to move into other areas, and because of their affluence they were able to rent. And we got this pattern of absentee. landlords.

And so, getting back to my main topic, we concentrated heavily on turning around the occupancy in the neighborhood to owner-occupants. We found out that was primary, that the only way you are going to get them interested — and I think one of your participants yesterday alluded to the fact that people, that renters weren't interested in improving the area.

We were looking for owner-occupants and strength and interest in that particular neighborhood.

The other thing we did was to talk to the city and

\ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

tell them how important we felt code enforcement was, that these houses had to be maintained, and that was a difficult task.

So under the auspices of the urban task force we held a workshop, and we selected a target community that we could see was in the process of slowly decaying; but there were still enough residents in that area that were interested in saving the neighborhood.

We held a workshop. We overcame some intrinsic problems in this -- that the residents didn't know or understand or appreciate S&L's loan officer's point of view, and other things. We got the program off on a very active footing in January of 1975.

That is an incorporated, nonprofit — our biggest problem was to get together with S&L's in the city and be sure that the S&L's would make a commitment to this area to lend on what we call bankable mortgages; "bankable is defined as those loans that lenders would normally make giving the value of the property and the credit stability and employment stability of individuals buying the property.

And the reason we needed that particular pledge at that particular time is not that they were not lending in the area; it was because at that particular time money was tight.

But we needed that particular pledge to be sure that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25 even though money was tight and funds might not normally be available that the savings and loans would try, if they had to borrow -- whatever route they had to take to get this money together to lend in this area. And we were successful in that.

We then went to the city and asked for a very active program of code enforcement. There wasn't enough that we could come up with. Code violations were needed to be enforced by the city. Because of the public group we could not do this. The city was very helpful.

And not only did they help us on the code enforcement program, but in addition to that, they also increased -and I think that this is particularly important in the context I am giving this presentation -- in the city's responsibility, they also upgraded the services to the area. Trash collection was increased because there was a need to have trash collection. Better lighting was put up because there was a need for better lighting. Curbings were put up because there was a need.

It wasn't that this was to be a showplace. city realized that in this concentrated effort they had to get back in and perform the city services that they should have been performing.

So we got that commitment from the city. next biggest problem was to be concerned with how about the

,

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

people who live in the area who are not bankable loaners?

This was a major hurdle to overcome. And based on some experiences we heard about where the program was derived in Pittsburgh, we set up what we called a "high risk fund", and this is a unique lending fund in the fact that the high risk fund is composed of money gotten from private philanthropic groups; the one who helped us the most in Baltimore is Barbara Mikulski who helped get a grant from the Ford Foundation.

And we were able to get a pledge from the Ford Foundation that they would contribute \$100,000, but that was a \$50,000 pledge; and we had to meet double that pledge. It was a two-for-one basis and we had to, in order to get the program moving, we had to come up with \$100,000.

And initially our thrust in trying to get the local merchants' funds were not very successful at all. At that time money was tight with everyone, including everybody was in the throes of a recession; and money was not available for these particular type of enterprises.

There again the City of Baltimore I think did a very realistic approach; the mayor was behind the program.

The housing people were behind the program. So what the city arranged to do for S&L's in Baltimore was to give us or to lend us the \$100,000 we needed on the basis of a loan, but it was as close to a grant as we could get, since the loan

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. had no interest and it was long-term.

With this money we set up what we called a high risk revolving loan fund, and then we actively got into the area with this loan fund. The loan fund is administered by people in the community that are members of NHS Corporation. The loan fund makes whatever loans it has to on whatever terms — a 70-year old person has a 45-year term loan. They can make that. S&L's could never do that, because of certain lending requirements and federal regulations. They can do whatever has to be done.

In addition to the purchase of properties to put owner-occupants back in the properties, we were able also through S&L's that were active in home improvements to get a home improvement loan:

So now we have three things that we thought were most important: a commitment from the city; we had a pledge from S&L's to make the loans they could within their lending authority; and finally we had a high-risk loan.

And so I don't misquote I want to read off these:

In addition to the Ford Foundation it has come back and rated us and found out NHS Baltimore is probably the

second most active NHS program in the country. The other one thatis more active is the program in Pittsburgh, and it has been in effect for over seven years.

Currently from January through September of 1975 instituional mortgage lending in the area and the area was roughly from the western down to -- I don't know if you are familiar with the area we chose, it was an area around Patterson Park, north of Patterson Park. The western boundary was Chester Street, and the eastern boundary was Linwood Avenue.

The loans made in that area through financial institutions, 28, and the amount \$231,550. The loans in process now in financial institutions are 3; so so far to September we are talking about actual loans of approximately \$250,000.

Our institutional home improvement financing loans, \$26,400; there is one loan in process for total improvement loans through institutions of 10, and they are amounting to close to \$30,000.

The NHS high risk loan fund, through this fund they have made 26 loans, 22 are in process, total 28, and they now amount to \$120,000.

The city in their homesteading program have made 12 loans; they have 3 in process, currently talking about \$250,000.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. jrbl02

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

In a period of six months we have 75 loans, \$546,900 of loans on the books, \$74,000 in loans in process; total loans of the investment in that area in six months has been \$600,000.

In addition to that is the code enforcement. is a difficult program. When they found out it was totally voluntary -- you couldn't force the people to submit to allowing the inside of their homes to be rated as far as code is concerned. We went on a block-to-block basis, the total number of blocks to date that have been inspected is 11; 11 blocks; total number of homes have been 250. And so far the total number of homes that have been improved and brought up to code is about 125.

We think certainly we are achieving results in that area, and we think the thing we would like to mention probably most enthusiastically is that this was done without federal monies, and with the exception of the loan from the city, it was done without city governmental money.

And we think in certain areas that this particular program is certainly going to be most successful.

We would hope that after we have completed our area, work in the target area around Patterson Avenue, that we would allow the program to expand to other areas, and certainly to help set up other programs.

I think the next speaker, as a matter of fact,

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

irbl03

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

a gentleman by the name of Tom Guidera, Vice President of First Federal Savings and Loan -- if you will allow Tom to tell you, they let Tom work with NHS for a three-month period -- he is a lot more familiar with the results than I would be.

So if you want to pursue it any further, I am sure you will want to talk to him.

Glad you told us about that.

I have one question: JEFFERS:

How many S&L's in Baltimore are working with this?

TUCKER: Sixteen.

JEFFERS: Are they all federally-chartered and insured? Yours obviously isn't.

> TUCKER: They are both, both state and federal.

The other thing I probably didn't mention about S&L participation, S&L's have undertaken responsibility to underwrite total operating budget -- that is averaging approximately \$50,000. So we are underwriting that in addition to going in and making our bank loans, the things that we can do within our regulatory authority.

My other question is what is the rate JEFFERS: of assistance you are getting from the Federal Home Loan Bank Board?

Well, the Federal Home Loan Bank Board, TUCKER: with the exception of financing the original workshop,

25

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc

5

8

11

12

14

20

22

23

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25 as far as financial contributions, has been none. obviously the prestige of the Board behind this particular endeavor, I think, probably encouraged S&L presidents to look into it -- probably helped us in our contacts with the city.

So often these programs come out, you work and you work, and nothing happens; and they look beautiful on paper, but there are no results.

We were able, I think, through Federal Home Loan Bank Board, in lending their prestige to this, being a member, 10 to get us to some areas where we could look at some people, and say, "Let us take you by the hand". And on one occasion we did take representatives of the city and the state up to 13 Pittsburgh to see how the thing operated and the results.

And I think, you know, that helped. In addition to that the state has sent regulatory -- well, state examiners 16 to take a look. And we are trying to take a look at what our 17 | regulations are now, and why S&L's can't do something that 18 high risk loaners can do and maybe change some of the regs 19 to allow us to make these loans.

JEFFERS: I have more questions, but in view of the time I'll save those for the next witness.

> BOOTH: Thank you.

Mr. Tucker, please convey our thanks to Mr. Kavanagh. And you will be sending us that information? TUCKER: Yes. I have your number and I'll call you.

```
The amount of lending we did in Baltimore County in '72 to
            2 \parallel 75, and I think you were interested in the figures on what
             '72 to '75 -- our profiles of minority employment?
                                 Thank you very much.
                         SMITH:
            5
                                 We are running so late that what we would
            6 Like to do if you don't mind is talk to you about employment
            7 practices generally, and then take a break. All of us have to
             get some lunch, and then come back.
                         SMITH: Did you have another commitment this after-
           10 noon?
           11
                         GUIDERA: Not until about 3 o'clock.
           12
                         JEFFERS:
                                   Okay.
           13
                         Would you please state your name and your institution
           14 ∉or the record?
           15
                                   Thomas J. Guidera, Senior Vice President,
                         GUIDERA:
           16 First Federal Savings and Loan Association of Annapolis.
           17
                         JEFFERS: Do you have a prepared statement which you
           18 would like to read?
           19
                                   I think I ought to say briefly what
                         GUIDERA:
           20 rederal of Annapolis is doing in Baltimore: We are an Annapolis-
           21 hased association with 17 branches. The Association is present
           22 here as a result of a merger of Union Federal, of which I am
           23 President, and Sterling Federal, which is a city association.
           24
                        As a result of that, we have three branches in the
Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.
           25 City of Baltimore and one branch in Baltimore County.
```

The asset size of the Association is about \$200 million.

We are the third-largest federal association in the state.

THOMPSON: Mr. Guidera, may I ask if you have a written affirmative action plan?

GUIDERA: We do.

THOMPSON: Could you let us have a copy of that?

GUIDERA: Yes.

THOMPSON: Do you recall how long you have had your plan?

GUIDERA: The plan was written in May of 1973.

THOMPSON: Can you explain to us or walk us through the implementation? How do you implement your affirmative action plan?

GUIDERA: On the practical side it is implemented primarily through the personnel office of the association and the department heads -- we had several sessions on the affirmative action plan. The purpose of the plan, the goals, the law at the time it was put into effect, we met with the department heads and we had knowledge of it and the department heads are primarily responsible for carrying it out. It works on the basis of five levels of employment, tellers, secretaries, clerks; the second level, assistant manager; the third level, branch manager; and the loan and savings officers; fourth level, assistant officers; fifth level, is the vice presidents and corporate secretaries, treasurer,

\ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

senior vice president and president.

I can review the progress of it for you. I have it pretty well condensed here.

In October '73, which is the time we had the first six months review, there were 78 employees, 56 were female and 3 minority at the entry level; female employment, the entry level -- the second level, five at that time were assistant officers and one female was vice president, secretary to the corporation.

The last review which was the end of September there were 123 employees, this includes custodial personnel; 88 female employees, 16 minority; on a level basis there were 12 minority employees at level 1, 62 female employees, 2 minorities at level 2, assistant managers, and 12 female employees; 2 minorities both of which are branch managers at level 3; 11 female employees, officers; and most of those are branch managers. There was one assistant officer and there's now two vice presidents, female, at level 4 and 5 there are no minority employees.

The way we developed the program is mainly through the branch system, virtually all the people in the association came up into better positions in the association and at one time or another worked in the branches, or are ordinarily employed in the branches; and most of the branches we find the best source for minority employment, particularly

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

irbl08

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

in our savings customers in the association who refer people in the community. That seems to be the single most acceptable source we have.

THOMPSON: Do you have any training programs on the premises for minorities and women?

GUIDERA: Not specifically aimed at minority people or women. Most of our training comes -- beyond the orientation training at basic levels -- any formal training beyond that is done through the Maryland League's education They deal with specialized areas.

THOMPSON: Can you give us an idea what the composition of your loan review board is?

GUIDERA: As far as male-female breakdown, as far as minority, non-minority?

We have two committees through which loans are reviewed, a management committee which consists of the President and three Senior Vice Presidents, who review any loars in excess of \$60,000.

Loans under that we made are reviewed and passed by a separate loan committee that consists of the Vice President in Charge of Loan Department, the Assistant Loan B Officer, and one person that rotates on that committee each week I believe, and the rotating person is usually someone connected with the mortgage function.

There are no minority or female persons permanently

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

no minorities.

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

white males. . THOMPSON: Okay.

on that committee. The two people that are there are both

I believe now you said your institution is based in Annapolis? We have been asking everyone whether they had any residents of Baltimore City on their loan review board.

GUIDERA: The residential loan review board -- no,

I am the only resident of Baltimore City who passes on loans,
but typically not the residential loans.

THOMPSON: Are there any particular qualifications you have for membership on the loan review board?

GUIDERA: Primarily technical competence in the lending area, and both of the people permanently on the loan committee are people who have had a number of years experience in underwriting -- mortgage underwriting.

THOMPSON: Mr. Guidera, did you go over your Board of Directors -- male or female, minority or non-minority?

GUIDERA: We have eight Board members, no females,

THOMPSON: Are all of your members from Annapolis, or are there some from the city?

GUIDERA: I can give you a breakdown: we have

17 branches so the area we operate in stretches from Baltimore

County down to St. Mary's County and over on the Eastern Shore,

and fairly substantially into the suburban Washington area.

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

We have eight directors, five in Ann Arrundel County one in Calvert County, one in Prince George's County, and one Baltimore County.

We have one member from Baltimore City who retired I think last year; we now have no member from Baltimore City.

Can you relate to us how Board members THOMPSON: are chosen?

Like Mr. Tucker, I don't serve on the GUIDERA: I will have to give you a little bit from having served on a Board before. I assume it is fairly consistent here.

Generally a person is recommended by another It's got to be for some business or professional Board member. background and with some capacity to be able to understand the operation of a pretty large financial institution. assume you know the process formally for selecting directors is that the savers theoretically vote for the directors once a year. But generally this is done by proxy. And I think it is fairly typical of most Boards it is pretty much a recommendation process from within the Board.

THOMPSON: Do you expect to increase the number of minorities and/or women in decision-making positions in your institution over this coming year?

GUIDERA: Our affirmative action program is, if you read it, aims at that over a period of time. I think we are a littlebit behind in the time frame in the top levels;

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc

25

but it is pretty hard to get anybody out of the top level, as well as to get in.

(Laughter.)

THOMPSON: Are there any suggestions you might have for increasing the number of loans by minorities, women in the city by your institution?

I think particularly given the markets GUIDERA: we deal with and the varied nature of the housing markets, especially in the Baltimore City area, it is important if you have a successful loan program to have people who can relate to the markets you are working in. I hope we can gear our promotion operations into these areas.

That is a priority.

THOMPSON: Thank you very much.

Why are the numbers of those sitting on SMITH: a Board of Directors so small -- six, seven, four, eight?

I can only give you my personal opinion and that is not a universal thing, why the number is small. It is fairly typical.

Having been officer of an association myself much smaller than the one we are in now, and having to conduct a Board meeting every month, one benefit I found was that there were just fewer people to have to respond to and contend with -- being very practical.

I think in a smaller association from an

jrbl12

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

operational standpoint it is fairly important. With a larger association I think there is a lot to be said for having full Boards, 15-member boards, particularly, say, when you are dealing with a number of different projects and as spread out as most associations are.

SMITH: I would think the very reason why you like a small board is why I don't, because it is possible to be much more homogeneous when you are dealing only with a few people.

GUIDERA: That is quite true.

I would have to wear two hats and give two answers there. As I say, I've been in the position of having to conduct board meetings for a long time; I found it much easier to deal with a small number of people.

SMITH: Thank you.

DARDEN: This question relates to work competition. We have heard a suggestion on the part of some other people that it might be a good idea to tie participation on boards with some geographical designation. And if an S&L serves the city, you know, you might require that some percentage of the board should come from that city.

How do you feel about that? Do you she it as being in any way advantageous to increasing the responsiveness of the institution to the needs of the city?

GUIDERA: I think in the future it is probably

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

going to be a critical thing. The S&L's started out 125
years ago as small clubs operating simply to meet the make housing needs, the home financing needs of the people that put a little money in each week. And they operated that way, I guess, up through the 1940's, in most cases strictly a local neighborhood base, in most cases, until into the 50's, there wasn't any branching among S&L's.

So we are still operating, even though we are larger institutions, on a pretty localized basis. The major change in the last 10 years, particularly, is we have added 10 branches in the -- since I have been with the association, which has been three years. And while that is an unusual number, I think it is not atypical of what is going on.

Our institution, for instance, was based in Annapolis, pretty much dealing with one community. In larger institutions, that's no longer the case. You have a large population area if you are a larger association. And the Board sets the broad policies.

DARDEN: One follow-up question:

If that is a good suggestion, do you think it would best be accomplished through voluntary action on the part of institutions or through some stimulation by the Federal Home Loan Bank Board?

GUIDERA: I think the Federal Home Loan Bank Board is in the process of amending regulations right now. They have

irbl141

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

been out for comment all year; and I understand they are about to issue for the first time ever a good deal to say about Board representation.

I think they can modify it considerably. I think the Board can do a great deal to get this sort of thing done and unlike an ordinary corporation it probably isn't out of order for the Board to have something to say about it.

BOOTH: Does anybody else have any questions dealing with this subject?

(No response.)

BOOTH: Then we'll break now and come back at 2.

2 October 1975, the hearing was recessed, to reconvene at 2 p.m., this same day at the same place.)

(Whereupon, at 1:20 p.m., Thursday,

jrbl15

tape

AFTERNOON SESSION

(2 p.m.)

BOOTH: Can we come to order.

As required by law I must make a policy statement. On September 27, 1975 the Privacy Act of 1974 became effective. Pursuant to that Act, the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights and the State Advisory Committee are required to inform the public and individuals with full and correct information as to their statutory authority to collect that information. The Commission's authority to do so is set out in 42 United States Code, Section 1975(b). Its Advisory Committee authority is set out in 42 United States Code, Section 1975(d)(c).

Any information collected by this Advisory

Committee from any individuals prior to this hearing was

given voluntarily. All individuals participating in this

hearing have volunteered to do so, and any information this

Advisory Committee collects from you during the course of

this hearing will be voluntarily supplied by you. The

Advisory Committee will not impose any sanctions on any

individual who chooses not to answer particular question

or divulge certain information.

The Advisory Com mittee's principal purpose for collecting information is to obtain enough information for the Committee to prepare a report to the U.S. Com mission

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

on Civil Rights regarding the employment and lending practices of savings and loan institutions in Baltimore, Maryland. Information obtained in the course of this hearing will also be used for other purposes as set out in the U. S. Commission on Civil Rights Notice in the Federal Register pursuant to the Privacy Act concerning its State Advisory Committee Project Files.

Copies of the U. S. Commission on Civil Rights' statement on the relationship of the Privacy Act of 1974 as to information collected by the Commission and its Advisory Committee are freely available to all participants and members of the audience. Copies are located on the table at the rear of this room.

And also on that table are copies of other studies which have been done by the Commission on Civil Rights.

Now, Mr. Guidera?

SMITH: We are going to proceed this afternoon by discussing with you some issues relating to lending policies. I wonder if you could share with us how many applications for home mortgages your organization has processed in each of the years 1972, '73, '74, and '75.

Now, as part of that, we are also going to want to know the number accepted, the number rejected, and then what information you have in terms of male and female or minority, non-minority -- any way that you would like to answer that

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

question?

GUIDERA: I am going to have to give you that information later. As Mr. Tucker said, I am up here working full-time for three months with NHS, and I got this assignment a couple of days ago, so what I have gotten, I have gotten over the phone.

> Can I tell you what I have here? SMITH: We would like that very much.

GUIDERA: And then the rest of it we'll have to get together and submit.

What I've gotten is the Association's total loan volume for the four years, Baltimore City loans, the number of Baltimore City loans, and the total number of Baltimore City loans that are on our book.

As far as application rejection and acceptance, I don't have that available.

SMITH: Could you share what you do have with us, sir?

GUIDERA: The association's total in 1972, that was the first year of the merger between New Federal and First Federal, the association's total loan volume was \$51, 891,800. Of that, there were 150 Baltimore City loans in the amount of \$2,292,200. So that's an average loan of \$16,600 for Baltimore City.

In 1973 there were 106 loans totalling \$2,082,710,

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

and the association's total was \$37,644,800.

In 1974 Baltimore City loans were 31 for a total of \$812,250, an average loan of \$19,800. The association's total was \$43,494,372.

For six months in 1975, I don't have the association total loans, but Baltimore City loans were 17, totalling \$131,200, with an average of \$7,700.

The association is servicing 808 loans currently in Baltimore City, and that is approximately 12 percent of the family loans on the association's book.

> SMITH: Thank you.

What criteria are used to approve applications? GUIDERA: The application process, and that's part of the approval or disapproval process, involves evaluating the applicant's income as a proportion -- let me put it in another way.

It involves evaluating the applicant's housing expense based on the loan he is applying for as a portion of total income, their credit pattern, and an appraisal of the property; those are the three basic things we get together in an application. Typically if the ratio of the family's debt service or mortgage service to their verifiable income is 25 to 26 percent or less, and there is a reasonable credit pattern, the appraisal bears a close relationship to the purchase price, then the loan is approved.

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

I think from what I have seen over the years the chief reason for disapproval is what we consider to be a disproportion between a person's verifiable income and `the mortgage installments to be taken on. Occasionally there will be rejections on the basis of credit. Generally if it is not a serious credit problem there is an attempt made to find out the reason and work it out if we can.

SMITH: Thank you.

What criteria are used to reject applications?

GUIDERA: As I say, the principal reason, as far
as I can determine, has been that the proportion of a person's

-- the ratio of a person's mortgage payment to their income
gets up over, exceeds 30 percent, then we generally don't
pass the case. There will be exceptions to this.

SMITH: Are there any other reasons for which an application is rejected?

GUIDERA: Occasionally we will find a property is so obsolete or so run down, particularly in terms of a person's projected ability to get it back into shape, that it will be rejected on the basis of the property. I would say that is pretty unusual.

SMITH: I think you were here this morning when Mr. Tucker was speaking, what he referred to as the length of livability; would you include in that category, then, houses which are conceivably not likely to last? He was

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

speaking economically.

GUIDERA: We don't reject an application because the property doesn't have, for instance, the appraiser's copinion of a 30 or 40 year economic life, but typically we won't grant a mortgage for a longer term than the estimated remaining life of the improvements. And as he pointed out, you could have a property that would go for 75 or 100 years old with an economic life possibility of 50 years because you have brought it back in its mechanical systems, kitchen, bath, that sort of thing, to the equivalent of a much newer home.

Occasionally we run into one where nothing has been done of any consequence for 30 or 40 years, and if the application — there has to be an ability somewhere on the part of the borrower to do the work necessary to bring it back into a much longer economic life.

SMITH: If you reject an application, do you tell the applicant the reason for that rejection?

We don't, but we call and discuss it; and there is some occasion for doing that now under the Fair Credit Disclosure Act. But if it is a credit problem, we are pretty much obliged to refer him to the Credit Bureau rather than to discuss the particular problem with him. We strictly follow the law as we understand it.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

If it is for some other reason, say, an imbalance in the ratio of mortgage payment to income, we will generally try to discuss some other alternatives particularly in the way of finding maybe a lower priced property or something of that sort.

SMITH: The main way you would discuss it -- an alternative way -- would be to suggest that they look at houses with a lower cost rather than come up with other funds and sources for that house they were interested in financially?

that the loan the people applied for is probably the most generous I suppose we have available, as far as length of time, type of loan, we can occasionally suggest to a family, if they are very short on downpayment, we'll put it through FHA. But we don't have the latitude a state charter has to the federal charter to use the Maryland Pilot Program, where they can get 100-percent financing in some cases without going through FHA.

SMITH: I believe you told us that 12 percent of your total portfolio -- would that word be correct -- of your institution is now invested in Baltimore mortgages? That I assume takes into account all those mortgages which were given by the separate institutions which have now merged?

GUIDERA: Yes.

SMITH: One of those institutions was once based

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

Would it be possible for you to tell us what percentage home mortgage loans were made in Baltimore City for each of these years, but I realize that these dollar figures have already given us that.

GUIDERA: Percentagewise it is roughly, 1972, around 4 percent of the volume was done in Baltimore City; 1973, about 6 percent; 1974, it fell off to about 2 percent; and 1975, the amount is very small.

SMITH: Is there any reason for that?

GUIDERA: There are several.

I have been particularly looking at it because of my interest -- I live here. One in 1974 we obviously got a great deal of competition; Union Federal particularly has its main office right in the middle of downtown, and for a number of years we had I think a pretty aggressive city lending program. We had no small loan limits and the Board actually established a certain sum of money each year that was to go to loans in the city whose families did not exceed a certain amount. I think that was a little unique in the city, and as a result, well, and some other things, we developed a pretty good loan volume in Baltimore City.

With all the things you heard before, 1974, there came to be a great deal of competition for loans. At the same time in '74 we ran into a position where we were heavily committed in advance on a number of new house projects and

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

money slowed down substantially; so we cut back considerably.

And I think in looking at it, probably the most important thing -- I think it bears on a lot of what we heard here -- is that we've done some things that I think we're just beginning to try them again. We moved our loan processing to Annapolis.

We did origination up here, but those of us who had been involved in the loan program before got some substantial additional responsibilities, and I think we got sort of a bright young man syndrome that we could put someone up here, as long as they were bright young men, and they would pick all this up.

SMITH: You didn't have any bright young women, by any chance?

GUIDERA: We didn't have that syndrome.

(Laughter.)

But the bank was -- we had two people -- we found this generally worked in the other branches, some of them go to a branch and if they understood the processing, they'd develop and keep the loan business going. In both cases the person was black and the second was white, both capable people, but I think we learned something we should have known before: that this is a much different type of lending up here.

Neither one of them lived in the city or knew all that much about the city, had little relationship with the

•

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

real estate brokers up here, and I don't think -- having been exposed to mortgage work in suburban areas, this did not strike them maybe as easy. It's certainly not as easy.

What I am getting to -- no reflection on these individuals because they've done quite well in other areas of the association's work, but I think we have learned something that to do city lending, particularly in the lower and very moderate income areas, there has to be an individual that has a good deal of experience in the city, that knows the city, that can establish some special relationships.

I think Mr. Johnson discussed some of them yesterday; they are very important.

Those are the sort of things that were lost when we sort of moved the operation out of the city, and did not have that sort of thing. Now we are working on trying to change that in terms of what we have learned out of this.

But it is something that should apply in almost any city loan program, aside from the criteria, whoever has the decision—making responsibility, has to be here, has to have an extensive real estate knowledge, special knowledge of the city, and have very positive feelings towards this kind of work, and be able to — well, relate particularly to the minority real estate firms and other real estate firms that specialize in city sales.

It is a much different situation in town than in the

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 ce-Féderal Reporters, Inc.

25

typical suburban area.

I would say that not only would your bank officer have difficulty relating with the minorities, but also with some of the majorities?

Well, there's nothing like competition.

I understand of course that you are based in Annapolis and your portfolio goes on to St. Mary's County and on; can you give us some idea in percentages, where your money goes -- 2 percent to Baltimore City, well, where's the other 98 percent?

GUIDERA: . We are pretty heavily involved, or at least were until the market slowed down, in new housing, pretty heavily involved in project development. We did some of the 235 program on some large projects that ran out, I think but I think most of our lending is concentrated in the Washington area, Prince George's and Montgomery County, some parts of Ann Arrundel County, and as I say, until this year we have been involved in new construction. But I don't have a breakdown of the percentages that went to any particular area. Probably 10 percent of our lending was done out of state on a participation basis; we in turn probably sold 10 percent of our loans to other institutions over that period, outside of the state.

Would it be possible for you to give us those figures?

2

3

4

5

11

13

15

17

18

19

22

23

GUIDERA: A breakdown as to areas?

Thank you. We'd appreciate that. SMITH:

Do you have a limit, a lower limit, on the size of a mortgage that the institution will consider?

No, we have never had a minimum limit. And that from experience is why we had a pretty active loan program in the city prior, I would say, to 1973; there evidently was considerable difficulty in getting a loan below a certain I think the smallest mortgage we have on the book, amount. we have in process -- it will be the smallest mortgage on the book -- for a \$2,500 purchase over in this neighborhood of the Housing-Service area that Mr. Tucker was talking about.

WICKWIRE: In terms of dollars, county and city, do you take into account the population ratios?

That is not a factor in setting loan policy -- where it goes. I think it is something that should be considered.

We probably have in the meas we serve a population somewhere around 3 million people, maybe a little more; 900,000 of those people live in Baltimore City. And that is why you do have more association with the lending institutions. it is a factor that should be considered.

WICKWIRE: Can you suggest anything that might be done to have S&L institutions consider the population issue more?

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

8

11

13

15

17

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Again, I think a lot of these sort of GUIDERA: priorities may be suggested by the Federal Home Loan Bank Board. I don't know if it's specifically done in those terms. seems to me a factor that where we overlook the forest for the trees -- where the greatest number of people live, and where the largest number of housing challenges exist. I think we are wrong in setting these priorities.

Moving in another direction, this is a question brought up before, but at your institution do you make decisions on home loans that deal with restrictions, plumbing age and that sort of thing? Is the decision appreciably affected by these factors?

GUIDERA: No, not since I've been associated with the association we have never had that problem.

What kind of criteria do your appraisers SMITH: 16 use in determining the value of a single family home?

Single family lending -- other than new GUIDERA: houses the only data we can consider substantive in arriving 19 at value is going back to the market. The appraisal process 20 | is a fairly formalized process. It doesn't vary from one area 21 to another at least in single family lending. It is mainly 22 the appraiser's job to learn what people are paying for 23 comparable properties, preferably within the area that he is 24 doing the appraisal, and have enough of that sort of data so 25 that he knows there is a reasonable number of those sales.

jrb128₁

could do.

presumably the price that was arrived at in those other sales over a fairly recent period was a result of the seller having seen a number of people come through the property, and make him offers, and the buyer having visited a number of properties, and made offers, and finally that seller and buyer got together, and the price they arrived at was the best deal they

That's the best explanation I can make of what the appraiser is looking for. Of course, from there on, the qualifications of the appraiser, his knowledge of the area in which he is working becomes a big point.

SMITH: These are your own appraisers you use, then?

affiliated appraisers, in the Baltimore area we use independent free appraisers, usually they are required to have a professional designation. But our staff appraisers don't work in the Baltimore area, but in some of the other areas.

wickwire: Have criteria for appraisals changed any in the last few years, or have they remained pretty much the same?

GUIDERA: I would say the process and the criteria are pretty much now what they were a few years ago.

I think there is a greater emphasis on finding independent

•

7

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

appraisers to do the work. The Federal Home Loan Bank Board is pushing that. That itself has done a good deal to make us look for professionally designated appraisers and to make a case that they know the area they are working on.

WICKWIRE: Does your S&L have plans to increase the amount of mortgage money available to Baltimore City in the immediate future?

GUIDERA: Well, I think that's a priority. I didn't realize myself that the volume had gone the way it has this year. But we I think collectively as a management committee would recognize the need to get back to the sort of services we offered here before, to bring a person into this position now that, as I said before, knows the city and can relate well, get active in the city, particularly in minority groups, and who is actively interested in this sort of a lending program.

I think that and we plan to give away a dollar sum of money and that in itself should increase the dollar amount alone in the city.

WICKWIRE: Does the Home Loan Bank Board give you good direction and guidance in this sort of troubled time in terms of the institution?

GUIDERA: We have -- one of the purposes of the

Home Loan Bank back in the 1930s was to provide a credit line

for S&L's so that they did not have to be concerned with the

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

ups and downs of the ordinary commercial banks. And as I recall currently we can theoretically borrow up to 25 percent of our savings accounts for additional lending, and of course that money is always available to meet withdrawals. a great many people went into their institution and wanted their savings accounts today, that is another purpose of the Federal Home Loan Bank to be in existence, to make sure the money is always there.

But it's like many times they reduce the liquidy we are required to keep, the cash on hand, so presumably a little more money will be available. The borrowed money line is always there, although if money is tight, the Home Loan Bank goes into the market and borrow this money, and generally, not always, pays the rate they pay on the market.

In the last couple of years that has become a problem because the rate at which we borrowed money for a while from Home Loan Bank.was at the same level with the interest ceiling of the state which from an economic standpoint cancels out any advantage to using it.

Beyond that we've got the secondary market program which I think has been discussed here, which has been coming into existence in the last few years and it has been a big factor in keeping particularly the larger associations in the lending market because it qualifies for sale to the Home Loan Bank. You can sell it, get your money back right away.

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

That's simply servicing a loan through the Home Loan Bank.

DARDEN: In your opinion would it be an advantage to minorities, women, in Baltimore City if federally-chartered institutions could participate in state housing plans?

GUIDERA: I don't think there's any question.

about that.

In fact, one of the things I'm doing with my
time over here during this three months is to try to get
that started between the federal housing fund and Federal
Home Loan Bank. There is someone in the Atlanta bank they
have assigned to work on this problem, and it will take
regulatory change.

I didn't know it before, but working at the lowest priced level of housing in the city, single family houses, where the tenants who have rented there for years would like to own the property, but have little or no cash. So we could do this on the part of the federal with a new program like that.

There is another thing I read yesterday that maybe will help: The Home Loan Bank has just come out with an idea of providing coinsurance of up to 80 percent on city loans; and it's somewhat like FHA insurance, but the institution would only undergo 20 percent of the risk and the insurance program would absorb 80 percent. I think that would change the regulations to allow associations to

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

make 100 percent conventional loans up to a fairly moderate amount; and that would open up the market considerably to minority people and women.

DARDEN: One final one: Did you have anything more to add about the National Housing Service?

of it very thoroughly. I am working closely with it, and
I have worked on other housing programs over the years in
the city and different organizations. I think it is maybe
particularly adaptable to a city like Baltimore, where you
have mile after mile of row houses that structurally are
sound, that are very low priced, that literally poor people
can reach, with prices getting down to \$3,000, \$4,000, \$5,000.

And we have seen it after it began to turn around, the tenancy owner ratio, block by block, you see within a month or two it's beginning to change, the appearance of that block. A lot of things happen.

These loans have to be structured in a way to give the people buying some money to work on the house, work that the landlord couldn't do on the rent they typically get for those houses -- \$90 to \$100 a month; that's very little to do much work on the house. That's one of the reasons

I think there are a lot of abandonments . But it's a clear example of what happens when you have an infusion of capital into a low income neighborhood on terms that are responsive

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

of turning around home ownership. And it provides something that pretty near anybody can take advantage of. Most of the applicants we have seen through the high risk fund are people who live on very limited incomes, either public assistance or social security, things of that nature.

And so far the payment experience has been very successful. Most of these people never go downtown. They don't have checking accounts and that sort of thing. This is a big factor in what is going on here.

The big challenge I think is to keep this alive, keep these resources alive in the neighborhood over a long period of time. If it were withdrawn again I don't think it would take very long before conditions would go back to what they were.

So I think the program -- it's eight months old, it has been, as Mr. Tucker pointed out, a lot of working together on the part of the city, banks, institutions, S&L's, and it is in a very limited area. I suppose there's approaching 100,000 properties in the city that need something of one sort of another. It shows what can happen in response to financial resources working together with the city and the neighborhood people who, incidentally, pretty much run the program.

The neighborhood people are the most numerous on

jrbI34

•

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

the board. They are also in the majority on the loan committee for the small loan fund. You see, all these things work together, and you get a pretty good feeling about it.

And, incidentally, I would like to respond to a question of whether poor people should own homes: I don't know what it is like in other cities, but in a city like this I don't think there's any question but there is the ability and in most areas, not all of them, where tenants are anxious to own their properties. They quite often have an impression going way back that there is just no way they can own a property, but one of these loans we're making that will be paid off in four years, and that probably is the only money that family has ever saved.

BOOTH: How many of the S&L's are cooperating in this?

GUIDERA: Right now I think there's 16. The four largest in the area are predominantly doing the funding. The funding for staff is based on asset size. We are hoping to get -- we are going to need -- this program has worked on a budget of \$50,000, staff, overhead, everything else; that is a staff of five people. We are running in fact a contracting service, lending service, counseling service; if any of us thought we had all that in our own institution we'd think we'd died and gone to heaven.

(Laughter.)

But we can't do all the things that need to be done in the long term on a budget of \$50,000 a year in a program like this.

BOOTH: Is it feasible to do this on a larger scale, or at least to expand it over a long period?

GUIDERA: Well, in larger cities I think you need to have two or three of them going at one time. One of the important factors, the important thing- that starts to make it work, is a strong sense of community identity, and a community organization that institutions can work through to get going for credibility if nothing else.

That is also the theme of SECO over in this neighborhood, while they don't take a hand day to day in this program, they are the ones to introduce this in the area, put their stamp of approval on it; the director was a SECO community organizer.

If you attempt to spread it out over a large geographical area I don't think it will work. This area takes in 4,000 housing units. A great many of them don't need any work. We are trying to keep the blight from spreading into the other areas; but that is as large an area as I can conceive that it would work in.

If all those houses needed work, you would have to reduce the geographical area.

.ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

BOOTH: Okay, thank you. 1 Is Mr. Brown here? Would you like to come forward 2 now? 3 JEFFERS: Would you please state your name? BROWN: My name is Theodore E. Brown, Sr. 5 JEFFERS: Would you please state the name and address of your savings and loan? 7 1611 West North Avenue, also, it is the BROWN: 8 Advance Federal Savings and Loan Association. 9 BROWN: Would you give us your position in that 10 association? 11 Yes, I am the Secretary. 12 JEFFERS: Do you have a prepared statement you 13 would like to read or some sort of opening remarks? 14 I don't necessarily have a set of 15 opening remarks, but I would like to say that the constitution 16 I am connect-ed with is 17 years old. We started off back 17 in 1958 with around \$7,000 as capital, and today we have 18 \$12,500,000, and assets of about \$14 million. 19 We started off with a Board of Directors of 15. 20 members and we have gradually reduced the Board to'9 members 21 at the present time. 22 JEFFERS: Bill Thompson, will you start the 23 questioning? 24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

THOMPSON: Mr. Brown, the first question I would

jrbl37

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

like to ask you is about the composition of your loan review board, that is, do you have males, females; do you have minorities, some minorities? Would you give us an idea?

We have all minorities. We have the President and First Vice President, Secretary and Treasurer that constitute the board; and all of them are male.

THOMPSON: Are they all residents of the city, or do some live in the country? Do you have any idea where they live?

BROWN: Well, all of the members of the executive committee and the board lived in the city up until last month; one of them, the treasurer of our organization, moved to the county, Mr. Wilson.

JEFFERS: I don't think you were here, but what we tried to do is to avoid mention of names unless it is in a favorable light.

BROWN: Okay.

BROWN: Yes.

THOMPSON: Mr. Brown, can we do the same thing as far as the composition of your Board of Directors -- male, female, minority, non-minority?

BROWN: At one time we had one female -- ho, we had two females, and they resigned; and we did not replace them. As I say, we gradually reduced the composition of the board.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

It is nine at the moment.

THOMPSON: And I take it again they are all residents of the city?

> BROWN: Yes.

THOMPSON: Would you give me an idea of what the qualifications for membership on the Board of Directors might Have you any particular criteria you use? be?

I don't think that there is any particular criteria. We try to get people who are in a position, financially, to maybe contribute toward the success of the organization financially, and also education-wise. are the only criteria I would say that we would have.

> THOMPSON: All right.

Would you -- if a vacancy came up would you be advertising in the paper, or would perhaps the name of someone come to you through another avenue?

BROWN: No, we would not advertise in the paper. We would simply discuss the matter with other members of the Board, and through that channel we get our Board members.

THOMPSON: Can you give us any idea how many applications for home mortgages your institution processed in each of the years '72, '73, '74 and whatever figures in you have for the current year?

> BROWN: Yes.

THOMPSON: We would appreciate that.

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

BROWN: Now, if I step out and say the wrong thing do the same as you did just now.

We'll start off with 1972, and move up. Now, in 1972 we had two male white, three female white, and 23 husband and wife applications. Those are applications.

In 1973, we had two male white, two female white, three husband and wife.

In 1974, no whites, male or female applications. There was one husband and wife application, white.

All right, in 1975, we haven't had any in either category.

All right, we will go back to 1972. Black, we had male, 23 applications; female, 30 applications; husband and wife, 88 applications.

In 1973, we had 28 male, 53 female, and 101 husband and wife.

In 1974, we had 13 male, 12 female, and 24 husband and wife.

In 1975 we had 13 male, 12 female, and 15 husband and wife.

Now those are all people who applied for, you know, mortgage loans.

All right, now, we had a total of -- the whole thing for male was 26, total females 33, and total for husband and wives, 111; that's since 1972.

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc. Now, is this too much detail?

BOOTH: No.

THOMPSON: No, you give us what you want to; that's fine.

BROWN: All right, then.

Now, in 1973, total male, 30; female 55; husband and wife, 104. That is all inclusive.

And 1974, we had 13 male, 12 female, and 25 husband and wives.

1975, 13 male, 12 female, 15 husbands and wives.

We didn't have any rejections -- no, wait a minute: in 1974 we had one rejection, a white; 1974.

And we had three rejections of blacks in 1974.

A total of 4. That is all we've had, 4 rejections since

1972, through 1975 to the present time; 4 rejections.

Please note that during the months of June 1974
to November 30, 1974 the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
Board of Governors, Assistant Comptroller of the Currency,
and Federal Home Loan Bank, jointly sponsored a Fair Housing
Information Statement which required a breakdown by zipcode
and purpose of the loan. For the other years involved we did
not keep records of those so far as race.

I sit on the Executive Committee; so far as the processing of loans and whatnot is concerned, and we never think of the race -- whether he's white, black or any other.

irbl41

2

3

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

.ce-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25 We just present the application and the information that we want to know is that this person is in a position financially to take care of his obligations on this loan. And we have to have a credit report. We have to have an appraisal.

We review this application thoroughly and as a rule, the applications are generally approved subject to satisfactory credit report and appraisal; and then it comes back to the committee after we get the appraisal and a credit report, and get ready to discuss the details again.

And as a rule those applications are approved.

THOMPSON: Can you tell us what criteria you use when you reject applications? Can you think of anything that will go across the board when that happens?

Well, sometimes a person's credit is in pretty bad shape, and if we can in some way make an adjustment by having the person come into the office, we sit down and talk with him and maybe sometimes there is a mistake so far as the Credit Bureau itself is concerned as to this individual.

Now, on the other hand, sometimes this person is in a position to go back to the Credit Bureau and explain why such and such a thing prevailed. To give you an example: sometimes there is sickness and whatnot.

Now, we look back over a person's record to see that over a period of years they have assumed their jrbl42

9.

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

obligations and it just happened for a period of a year, year and a half, something like that, they run into a situation where there is illness in the family and so on; and we find that they are not able to take care of their obligations.

Well, now, that is taken into consideration.

Here is a person that is completely recovered from a serious illness or something like that, really trying to get back on their feet again; and prior to that time their records were good. So, therefore, we try to take care of them, that situation.

And if there is any way possible we will approve that application. That's just an example.

THOMPSON: Do you have any particular criteria you use to approve applications? Can you discuss some of the things -- that there are some things you like to see in applications which you approve?

employed, that's No. 1. And we don't like for a person to be overloaded with obligations and things of that kind; and if there is any way possible to scrutinize their whole application and come up with something that would contribute to why we should make this loan, we usually make the loan; because our organization was organized 17 years ago for the purpose of helping in the community.

And if you read the records of our organization

2.

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

there was one year we made more loans in the city of
Baltimore than any other organization, federal organization,
in the city.

THOMPSON: Do you recall which year that was?

BROWN: That was '74, I think it was. That was when we had a tight money market.

THOMPSON: Is the applicant told the reason for rejection when you have to reject him?

BROWN: Absolutely. We have them to come in the office and we sit down and talk with them and explain why and if they are in a position to tell us or justify why that thing exists and what their problems might be, if there is any way possible we can rectify that situation, or do something about it, we try to do it.

Our organization is there for the purpose of helping the people -- no question about it.

THOMPSON: Are there any situations wherein you discuss alternate methods of financing, when a person has been rejected?

BROWN: Yes, we will tell them that, you know,
maybe there is a possibility that they might be able to
get this financed through FHA or some other financial
organization. We encourage them, give them all the information
that is at our disposal to encourage them in the purchase
of a home.

1 LOKER: Can you -- what percent of your mortgages 2 were made in Baltimore City since 1973? 3 I can give you the full picture if BROWN: Yes. 4 you want it. LOKER: I'd like to know Baltimore County and 5 Baltimore City, if you have it? 6 7 BROWN: I don't have the breakdown of Baltimore City and Baltimore County. We don't have too much of a 8 9 breakdown there; but we do have all the figures and percen-10 tages and whatnot for Baltimore City. 11 Now, the percent of one to four family units in Baltimore City, that's talking 1972, if that's all right 12 with you? 13 LOKER: That's fine. 14 All right. BROWN: 15 The number that -- of loans, 156, amounting to 16 \$2,695,635. 17 18 Now, a loan made in Baltimore City in 1973, we had 68 percent of our loans were made in Baltimore City, 19 in the amount of 191 loans, worth \$2,592,252. 20 In 1974, 52 loans amounting to \$1,184,872. 21 In 1975, -- this is for six months -- 41 percent, 22 we had 43 loans, amounted to \$791,200. 23 We have a total for the whole period of 24 ce-Federal Reporters, Inc. \$7,263,959. 25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Does that answer your question?

Well, if you take 1973, for example, 68 percent of the loans were made in Baltimore City; can . you tell me where the other percentage amounts were made?

Well, they are made in surrounding areas, that is in Baltimore County and Ann Arrundel County in the amount of \$740,665 -- that's what was made in the surrounding counties; it was 37 loans total.

> LOKER: And which year?

BROWN: 1973.

LOKER: Okay.

Do you make any loans outside the state?

BROWN: Outside the State of Maryland?

LOKER: Yes?

BROWN: No.

LOKER: Never have?

BROWN: No.

We have seldome made one outside the City of Baltimore.

> LOKER: I see.

Can you tell me what criteria your appraisers use in determining the value of a home?

Well, usually they arrive at the value BROWN: of property, the market price of houses in a certain given area; and they usually our organization requires they submit

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

at least three comparables to the house that they appraise.

And they submit that appraisal to our organization. And
then we have a person within our organization that usually
follows up the appraisal to find out -- be sure that
everything is in order.

It just happens that I happen to be that person -by chance -- to follow up on all appraisals in this
organization.

LOKER: Well, besides using comparables, what other criteria do they use in determining value?

BROWN: Well, they look at the community itself, whether the streets are paved, whether the community is on decline or if the community is going up -- there are many things to look at when you make an appraisal of these properties.

Another thing you take into consideration the type of plumbing, the roof, the type of floors, the additions, just everything in general that you look at when you go in to make an appraisal; and after you evaluate all those things you come up with a figure as to what you feel that house is actually worth.

And then the next move is to check out on other properties within that neighborhood and also neighborhoods that are comparable to this neighborhood. And then you arrive at a value of the property.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

is.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Okay.

LOKER:

Now, in line with that, when you consider accepting a mortgage application, do you place any restrictions on the loan amount? Is there a minimum loan amount you will make?

> 'Minimum loan? BROWN:

LOKER: None at all?

BROWN: None whatever.

We make it based on the value of what the appraisal

LOKER: But you would make a smaller loan, under, say, \$10,000?

> BROWN: Oh, yes.

LOKER: How about width restrictions, the width of the house? Would you place any restrictions on that?

> BROWN: No.

LOKER: And plumbing?

BROWN: That wouldn't have anything to do with The only thing about plumbing is it has to do with the value of the property; that's about the only thing.

> LOKER: What about age restrictions?

BROWN: No. No, because a house could be 75 years old and it could be practically a new house. In areas of the city being developed today are houses that sold for, say, \$10,000, \$12,000, today are selling for \$40,00 and

jrbl48

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

\$50,000. Those properties have been rehabilitated and in many areas that is happening.

LOKER: Do you place restrictions on the length of the loan you will make?

BROWN: Yes, we do, the maximum loan is 30 years.

LOKER: Okay.

The appraisers you use, are they employed by your savings and loan, or are they outside appraisers?

BROWN: Outside appraisers.

LOKER: You are the person who looks over the appraisal?

BROWN: That's right.

LOKER: Are the appraisal criteria used now different than during the tight money period in 1974?

BROWN: I don't think so. I think the same appraisal procedure is used as was used at that time.

LOKER: Can the neighborhood or small-sized S&L be more effective in increasing home ownership in the area it serves than other institutions which might be 10 or 20 times larger, in your opinion?

BROWN: That's a little difficult question: can small agencies do a better job? I don't know. I couldn't say. A smaller agency, institution like we have, we might be a little closer to the people, you might say; and there may be a little better understanding sometimes. But I don't

.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

know; I wouldn't be in a position to determine that.

LOKER: Well, what exactly do you feel the value of being a small S&L is? Do you consider yourself a neighborhood S&L?

BROWN: No, no. We hope to have \$100 million or more within time. We are working on that all the time.

(Laughter.)

LOKER: Do you think it is important to be close to the people you would be lending to? Do you see any value in that?

BROWN: Oh, yes; I tell you, that is a trend at this particular time.

The Federal Home Loan Bank is advocating that you try to establish offices within neighborhoods. That is the reason there are so many branch offices connected with these organizations, not only the Federal Home Loan Bank operation but other banks as well.

Now, we started off with one office and now we have two; and we hope in the next maybe six months or a year to establish two more, because you are a service organization. You are out there to serve the public, and the closer you are to the public, the better service I think you can give.

That is my thinking.

LOKER: When you have a couple, a married couple, come into your savings and loan to take out a mortgage

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

how do you treat the wife's salary? How do you consider the wife's salary?

BROWN: That's taken into consideration. You combine both salaries.

LOKER: Do you consider 100-percent of her salary in all cases?

BROWN: Oh, yes.

LOKER: You always do that?

BROWN: Absolutely.

In other words, if the wife is making -- you find sometimes where the wife makes more money than the husband, and the only way we could process the loan sometimes is to go on the strength of the wife's salary.

LOKER: Okay, that's all the questions I have.

SMITH: I must have zigged when you zagged: the statistics you gave us, the first detailed statistics you gave us, I thought was a list of the mortgages, the applications you had approved, by race -- when you had 23 black male, 2 white male -- what was that?

BROWN: That was the number of applications that were made, you know, to the organization.

SMITH: How can the number of applications be fewer, be lower, than the --

BROWN: I correct myself. This is a group of applications accepted. Now, see, there may be other

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

applications, but these are the ones that were accepted by our organization.

SMITH: But then you gave us a total in Baltimore

City, and unless I wrote the numbers down -- Baltimore

City total was significantly higher than your total total;

1975, 43 mortgages, and yet 15, 12, 27 -- I get 40 applications.

BROWN: In 1975, 41 percent, 43 applications, to the amount of \$791,000 -- is that what you have?

SMITH: Yes.

But in the earlier figures you went 13 black males, plus 12 black females, plus 15 couples, which equals 40.

BROWN: That was 1975, is that right?

SMITH: Yes?

BROWN: All right. 1975 we didn't have any applications for whites at all. Now we had 13 male and 12 female and 15 husband and wife. Now what does that add up to?

SMITH: That adds up to 40. But you said you had 43 in the city for that year, which is a total of 41 percent of your total mortgage investment. So somewhere --

BROWN: There might be a fallacy there somewhere.

After all, these figures were prepared for me, and I didn't check them thoroughly. So there might be a slight fallacy there.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

SMITH: It's like that each year, in '73, again the same thing. You have a total of 180 people, and 191 mortgages, which is only 68 percent of your total. If you could possible -- I am sure it's just an accounting error someplace '-- if you could check those back in your office and send us --

BROWN: I'd be glad to do that.

SMITH: -- I would really appreciate it.

You mentioned one particular thing: you said you had four rejections; now, assuming there might be some error in these figures, let's just talk in relative terms it would appear that you accept virtually all of the applications?

BROWN: That's right.

SMITH: Does this mean that you have a screening or counseling process under which you stop a potential applicant before he has actually filed? Perhaps you feel there's just no way he could support that house for whatever reason, do you actually stop people from applying?

BROWN: No.

The thing is this, now, we have a person who works on applications in our office. You go through the office and you apply. All right, now, this person will somewhat screen you and this person might discourage you because of what information you have presented.

Now, the person who handles the applications is a

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

well-training individual who is in a position pretty much to determine whether this person can take care of this obligation. Just like the -- she knows sometimes even more than the Committee, so far as that goes; and maybe she might discourage that.

What factors would she take into consideration?

Well, income -- I think the income would be one of the main things, and the obligation that the person has. Now, suppose this individual has purchased a long-term automobile, things of that kind, and with the obligations as they are, she is in a position to determine right away that in order to be able to buy a house you ought. to have at least four times the amount of money that you make a week in order to take care of this in the manner in which it should be taken care of.

Does that answer your question?

I think that's fine. SMITH: Yes.

Is it possible to give us an idea of your failure rate, whether it be in terms of foreclosures or whatever?

Well, our foreclosures are very limited. BROWN: We have a few. I doubt whether we've had over six foreclosures during the whole period that we have been operating.

Why do you think that is so? Is it just SMITH: that you are better businessmen than the other S&L's in town?

1 2

a great extent.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

BROWN: I don't know. I wouldn't say that.

But I think that we have been a little more lenient on people. I must confess that we have quite a number of delinquent loans that we have tried to encourage the people as much as possible to try to catch up, and if there's any way at all that they can work out some kine of an arrangement to pay up, we are willing to go along with them to

Just as I gave you an example a few minutes ago of sickness and things like that, that may cause people not to do the things consistently as they would like to; and with us, normally, as a minority group, realizing the problems of minority groups, naturally, we extend ourselves as far as we can. We are not out there throwing away money, I'll tell you that; but at the same time we want people to retain their homes if they possibly can.

SMITH: Do you have a formal counseling program or is this just something you do for people who find themselves in financial difficulty?

BROWN: Do we have a formal counseling program?

SMITH: Yes, in which you provide some hand-

holding?

BROWN: Well, no, we don't have a regular set-up like that, but where there is a need we have them come in the office and someone will sit and talk to them, and try to

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

work out some kind of a program with them.

I'll give you an example: we have a man that was almost two years in arrears with his mortgage, and on top of that, he also had an improvement loan; and he was in arrears with that. And the only thing we could do was foreclose on him.

So finally we asked he and his wife to come to the office, and we sat down and we talked with him, and he was a man making a reasonable salary. I don't know what his problem -- he just tried to extend himself too much.

So finally we advised him, and asked him to try and make, say, two payments a month on his mortgage; as a result of that, that fellow has reduced that mortgage and also paid the improvement loan.

Now, he had fallen back to almost two years, but in most cases that property would have been foreclosed. You have to foreclose on them.

SMITH: I notice with interest that you regard yourself as a service institution. I got the feeling after sitting here for a couple of days that a number of other S&L's in the city tend to regard themselves as retail establishments, not necessarily providing a service.

Are you able to fulfill your obligation to your investors and continue to have the profits that you must show or that you choose to show, and carry somebody for

two years?

BROWN: Yes

BROWN: Yes, so far we have been able to do it very successfully.

SMITH: How -- you said one of the things you looked at'was whether a neighborhood was on the "decline".

BROWN: Yes.

SMITH: And that would cause some concern. How do you determine that a neighborhood is on the decline? What do you look for?

BROWN: Well, you begin to observe the lawns, you observe the streets, how they are kept up, and so on.

SMITH: I am going to have to go home and mow the lawn; you are making me nervous.

(Laughter.)

BROWN: Then you look at the property as to how well it is kept. You see, some people obtaining and trying to keep the property up; everyday you see them working on it. That has its effect on the total community and keeps that community in pretty good shape.

But now there are many communities where people just don't care, the let the grass grow; they don't never paint, never try to keep the place up. And pretty soon, you know, you see signs of deterioration; and as a result of that, that community within a very short time will be gone down.

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

24

Do changing racial patterns in the SMITH: neighborhood --

> BROWN: That has its effect.

SMITH: In what way?

BROWN: Well, I think it's slowing down somewhat now, so far as the white and black -- when the black family moved in a neighborhool, the whites have a tendency to get nervous, and begin to move out first chance they get.

But now there is a little leveling off on that situation now. I don't know whether it is because there's no other place to run or what the situation is.

SMITH: Don't you really find that if perhaps a black family does move in and the white family sells that what they are going to end up doing is selling to a broker rather than to someone who wants to -- or to a speculator? Don't you find that the next black who comes in to buy that house pays even more?

Well, it happens. Oh, yeah, that happens. BROWN: There's no question about it. You're right about that.

But as a rule when you get the Negro family move in, as I say --

SMITH: Are you telling me that if you had a black come to you and he wanted to buy a house in a white neighborhood that you would have some concern?

> My organization? Oh, no. I was talking in BROWN:

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

a general way, not so far as my institution is concerned.

We don't care where it is. If you want to buy a house in
a given neighborhood, our organization will let you have the
money. That is the thing that brought our organization into
existence and that was because there were certain lending
institutions in this community where you could not borrow
money -- that is, blacks -- and as a result of that our
organization was born.

SMITH: You did say one of the ways of identifying a declining neighborhood is to have a neighborhood where blacks are moving in?

BROWN: That's been true. That's been true.

You see, over the years in the neighborhoods where blacks have moved in, whites have a tendency to move out. There's no question about that. And anybody with any experience at all in dealing with property is aware of that fact very much; now it isn't always because the blacks move in that that means that the community is going to go down, because, in some of the communities they are kept better than when you just had whites in them.

And you couldn't just say it's because the Negro or blacks move in.

SMITH: If you would let me just ask one other question:

You mentioned one of the criteria used for

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. determining board membership was whether or not a board member could contribute financially; by that do you mean that he or she would invest in your institution? Or did you mean he or she might have contact to be able to bring in deposits?

BROWN: That's exactly what it is. And he might have money to invest, he might have contacts with others who would invest; and we have to get the money from the community in order to run our institution. That is the purpose of trying to get people of influence on the Board of Directors.

WICKWIRE: I have one question:

Do you deal primarily with black brokers?

BROWN: It doesn't matter, makes no difference.

We have -- the majority are black brokers, but we have quite a number of white brokers; and it doesn't make any difference who you are, if you are in a position financially, we'll loan you the money -- whether you are white or black.

WICKWIRE: I got the impression some of the black brokers found it easier to deal with mortgage bankers; they seem to go out of their way to cultivate them more, . take them out, wine and dine them?

BROWN: They don't do nothing like that.

WICKWIRE: No, sir. No, sir, absolutely. If you come to us and place your application, and you have the proper credentials and whatnot to justify that, so far as your

2

3

5

•

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 ScerFederal Reporters, Inc.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25 employment, so far as your credit, and we find you are able to meet your obligations and are not overloaded, your application will be approved.

It makes no difference whether you are white or black; it doesn't matter.

DARDEN: I have a question:

You said a couple of things, one, during 1974 which happened to be the year most of the other S&L's made their largest amounts, largest number of loans in the city, during that year your institution still made the largest number of loans in the city than any other institution?

BROWN: Yes.

DARDEN: Your institution is considerably smaller?

BROWN: It is.

DARDEN: Than the ones we have heard from so far.

And I would like to ask two questions: one, on the loans
that you made in 1974, we have a map over here (indicating).

Would you say that the majority of them fall within the purple or the green sections of that map?

BROWN: Let me see how well I know that map?

DARDEN: It's a map of Baltimore City, the purple sections indicate areas between 75 and 100 percent black population; green, between 50 and 75 percent black population.

BROWN: I would say that the area in green is where most of the loans were made.

sjrbl6l

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. DARDEN: So most of your loans were made in neighborhoods having high black population?

BROWN: That's right.

DARDEN: Would you say that there is an indication on the part of other -- there is a reluctance on the part of other S&L's to lend within black communities?

and make a bald statement in that connection, but I do know that a lot of the larger institutions send their money out of the state, out of the city; and made big loans to condominiums, other, you know, institutional bids; whereas with us, if we did that, we would not be financially able to go into that kind of operation so far as our money is concerned.

Therefore, our interest has been simply right around in the city of Baltimore.

DARDEN: Is there in your opinion, is there any basis to a statement that there is discrimination on the part of major S&L institutions towards neighborhoods with high black populations?

BROWN: Well, now, I wouldn't come out and say that, but there have been signs to that effect so far as discrimination, because you find that in 1974 most of your larger institutions -- very few loans were made in the inner city at all. So there must be something. I am not here to justify

•

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

it one way or the other.

But the way I see it, that's the way I see it.

I wouldn't want to come out and say it, that these institutions actually discriminated; but from a personal point of view:

I would say yes.

(Laughter.)

BOOTH: Are there any other questions?

THOMPSON: Mr. Brown, what was the average sized loan that you have on the books, do you have any idea what that is?

BROWN: We have loans up to \$230,000, that's a church. We have one loan that was just recently made for a dentist of \$110,000 to build a home out in the Eagle's Nest area. And we have made quite a number of loans ranging, say, \$50,000, \$60,000, \$75,000 in the Columbia area.

So it varies.

THOMPSON: Do you have an idea what the average-sized is -- \$7,000, \$8,000, \$9,000?

BROWN: The average size loan would run \$10,000, \$15,000.

THOMPSON: One of our concerns is how we'll S&L's are servicing the neighborhood or area in which they are located; and in our investigation one of the problems we found was making small loans, whether or not a profit could

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

be made, or whether or not a savings and loan could exist, and the question I would like to ask you is:

Do you feel or recommend that a large number of loans be made within the service area of an S&L? In other words, has your experience been that you have made a profit in servicing the community?

BROWN: Oh, yuh. Most of ours are small loans.

And we have been able to make, you know, a fair profit.

Now, one thing I want to make clear here: I am not an expert on this whole thing, so far as S&L's. I am just pinch-hitting for the President of the organization. However, I do have a lot of experience as a result of serving as its secretary for 17 years. But I do not have all the answers.

THOMPSON: Thank you very much.

BOOTH: Thank you very much.

Mr. Kresslein?

JEFFERS: Mr. Kresslein, would you please state your name and the name of your organization for the record?

KRESSLEIN: My name is Charles H. Kresslein, Jr., Execucutive Vice President, Maryland Savings and Loan League.

JEFFERS: Would you give us the address for the record?

KRESSLEIN: The address is Suite 511, 1 Charles

jrbl64

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.
25

Center, Baltimore, 20211.

JEFFERS: Do you have a prepared statement or opening remarks you would like to give?

KRESSLEIN: No. I have no prepared statement.

I was not given a specific set of questions.

WICKWIRE: Let me ask you a little about the goals of your organization?

it's a trade organization for the industry in the State of
Maryland. We are somewhat of a child of the U. S. Savings
and Loan League, out of Chicago, which represents the industry
throughout the country.

We presently have approximately 165 savings and loans who are members, which comprises about 97, 98 percent of the assets in the industry in the state.

Our main function is strictly to being of service to our member institutions. By that I mean we do legislative work in Annapolis as well as before Committees of the Congress of the United States. We analyze and write position papers regarding implementation of rules and regs, new laws and have an educational process for our members.

As an example, most recently on a new real estate act, we did extensive surveys, seminars, over the state advising our members of what they had to do to comply with the law; we held similar type seminars throughout the state

,

Ace-Faderal Reporters, Inc.

Ace-Faderal Reporters, Inc. regarding direct deposit of social security checks which just began in the State of Maryland yesterday. And with the enactment of any law out of Annapolis, we sit down, analyze it, maybe have six or eight members of our League look at the law, and advise the members of what they have to comply with.

WICKWIRE: One of the problems we've heard a good bit about is Neighborhood Housing Services; would you tell us about that?

KRESSLEIN: Yes.

Well, we were approached, I guess now it is about a year ago, with a concept -- having read about it and having discussed it with the officials in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania -- and we were approached by the Urban Reinvestment Task Force, which is an arm of the Federal Home Bank Loan system, and asked to cooperate or investigate the possibility of becoming involved in something like this.

So after an extensive investigation, -- usually when you hear about a program, you have something of apprehension about whether it is going to work, or whether it's just another Committee, and you meet and meet and meet, and nothing ever happens.

Well, ironically, this one did begin to move very rapidly. We got together on an initial meeting with members of our industry, the members of a community which was

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc. through the SECO organization in Southeast Baltimore; and we began discussions.

We then went away down to Eastern Maryland -- we all lived together for three days, the City of Baltimore, representatives of the housing industry, or lenders, and people from the community. We began to discuss how we could implement this NHS concept. I think the initial number was about 40 people.

We broke them down into committees, fund raising committees, housing committees, budget committees -- these types of things.

We then looked at charters for corporations, for a model organization. We drew up our own charter and applied for a 501. We were granted that. Our initial funding was for \$50,000 grant by the Ford Foundation, which was to be used at their insistence for what might be termed a high risk loan fund.

Lending institutions such as S&L's had to match that, two for one, at which time Ford Foundation would come back and grant another \$50,000.

Additionally the lending institutions had to agree to underwrite the operating budget, which was estimated to be in an area of \$50,000 for the first year, and maybe \$50,000 to \$60,000 the second year.

So we embarked on a program, opened another

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

office in the Southeast section of the city, fully staffed, executive director, a home improvement specialist who was supposed to work very closely with the city as far as inspections. And I guess they were in full operation around January '75.

I don't have these figures with me regarding NHS, but I would guess in looking at them -- because we had a meeting just the day before yesterday -- I guess they probably have expended somewhere in the vicinity of close to a million dollars in financing between the private sector and the -- what could be termed the high risk loan fund.

We are now going back to our institutions, we originally started with 12 S&L's who participated, and we are up to 16, I believe, now, with the expectation that more are going to come in.

And we not only asked them to help underwrite the operating budget, but also to become very involved in making improvement-type loans as well as purchasing money orders.

Most of those houses in that area sold in the retail market for anywhere from \$3,500 to \$15,000; so you are talking about a small mortgage. One right next to the office was owned by someone, and it had been vacant for a considerable period of time; and NHS was instrumental

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

in putting tog ether a purchase for a potential homeowner for \$3,500. And then they loaned him about \$7,000 to put the property back together.

WICKWIRE: I understand this area is 80 percent white, 20 percent black; do you have in mind expanding to other areas, maybe some that are all black?

KRESSLEIN: Yes.

We had to begin somewhere, and the main reason

I would suspect for beginning in that area is because Ford

Foundation had been working with SECO in the past and had

a very good rapport with SECO.

And I would suspect that was the real reason that area was chosen as a beginning area, with the idea being that will perpetuate into other areas of the city.

I might add, that is a growing concept within the nation. I think probably about -- if I remember the statistics correctly -- there are about 12 NHS's in existence at the moment.

BOOTH: To change the subject a little now, I would like to talk about a pledge S&L's made to put money, into the city. Would you like to tell us how it evolved?

KRESSLEIN: Yes.

The city approached us -- there was a gentleman here this morning -- I guess it all began with a study which concluded that the S&L's did redlining, refused to make

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

loans on 12-foot houses, that type of thing; and in a particular neighborhood.

We disagree with that finding.

And then we started to talk to the housing people in the city about making a sincere effort to make small loans in all areas of the city and revive all areas of the city.

We wrote a letter to the Mayor of the city of Baltimore back in January of 1974, and in that letter we told him that we would begin to keep records that were never kept before as to where a demand -- we felt that there was not the demand that the study had indicated; and we were meeting the demand that was put forward.

We wrote to the Mayor and told him what we would do; and if the conclusion was a type of redlining, we would agree not to consider the width of a house, the age of a house, or the size of the loan and some other things that we agreed to do.

We received a reply from the Mayor at that time that because of the fact -- incidentally in our initial letter to the mayor we made no reference to any legislation that was being promulgated or had been considered at that time. So the Mayor wrote back and said he appreciated the commitment but he would like it if the S&L industry would give him a similar type agreement that he had received from

Ü

↓ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

porters, Inc. the commercial banking -- and that being a dollar amount.

We were very reluctant to do so, because at the time we were going through what we would call a mass disinvestment period -- and I can give you some numbers.

The money went out of our industry for various reasons. So we were very reluctant to give him that amount -- dollar amount -- initially.

Then the Mayor came back and said "that's the type of commitment we want."

So we discussed, and we were still reluctant, but we thought we would make a realistic committment; so we made the committment, in the third letter dated from my office February 15, made a commitment of \$30 million for mortgages in Baltimore City, either VA, FHA or conventional to qualified homebuyers.

We were asked to make the commitment usingnormal underwriting criteria. We were not asked for 100percent financing or 110 percent; most of our institutions
are prohibited by law from making 100-percent financing.
So we made that commitment.

And this didn't get off the ground until March or April. And the activity for the first two or three months that this discussion was going on was very minimal. We made a sincere effort to meet that commitment.

The commitment made for \$30 did not specify any

jrbl71

·

.

TAPE 4

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

particular period of time, and we were in communication with -- we wanted to make a sincere effort of doing this as quickly as we could.

So within the course of nine months to ten months, let's say, we made the commitment in 1974, and --

BOOTH: Okay.

KRESSLEIN: We concluded in December of '74, that year, in making approximately 1,850 mortgage loans, totaling \$30.5 million -- if my numbers are correct. I think that's somewhere around \$17,000 per mortgage.

At the same time to show what an effort it was and what it entailed, during that same period of time, which had really begun in July of '73 through December '74, our industry had experienced a net outflow of savings in the area of \$50 million. To get it down even closer, because of the time element, in July '73 through September '74, the outflows were \$80 million.

That is withdrawals that exceed deposits. So we termed this a significant effort on the part of our industry in providing financing for the city home owner and, number two, in meeting our commitment. This had to be done during the worst economic period, a period of money restraints, the worst the S&L industry had ever gone through in the history of the business since 1932.

But we wanted to show the people; we met with

2

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

realtors, institutions, people on the street, to contact people in every section of the city to ask them to bring them applications. We had agreed with the City of Baltimore we would review any declined mortgage application and

place it with another lending institution.

To my knowledge, we never even had to meet.

The city people would review applicants prior to coming to us. So that is where we stood.

Insofar as 1975 is concerned we indicated this was not a one-shot thing, or a one-time commitment. 1975, for the first eight months, we have made approximately 1,100 mortgages, totaling close to \$21 million.

Now, the market is beginning to turn around I have no idea of the projection as to whether or not again. we will do another \$30 million in '75. Out-flows have stabilized at probably a breakeven point for the months of July and August.

BOOTH: This is an ongoing program? It wasn't a one-shot deal?

KRESSLEIN: No, it wasn't a one-shot deal as far as our commitment to do financing in the City of Baltimore. We stils do not restrict a house as far as size or width or age or the amount of a loan. Loans are being made for \$2,000 to \$25,000. As you can see from my figures in '74, the average loan was \$17,000.

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

\ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

BOOTH: How did you come up with \$30 million?

KRESSLEIN: That was a number that was pulled out of the hat, and I would suspect one of the reasons for the \$30 million figure was commercial bankers committed \$15 million; our industry does about 53 percent of all mortgage financing, and in Maryland the industry does probably 60 percent — that is vis—a—vis the commercial banks, in insurance companies and everybody else combined.

That is the only type of investment the S&L can do.

BOOTH: Do you think you are going to need to take any special steps to continue this?

KRESSLEIN: Special steps in terms of --?
BOOTH: I don't know what.

KRESSLEIN: I don't think so, to be quite honest with you, because my view is that the progress in the city is much on the upgrade. A lot of areas that were in the \$8,000 to \$10,000 category may now be selling for \$15,000 to \$20,000, Woodbine Avenue you are finding houses over there selling for \$25,000 now. Take East Baltimore or West Baltimore, the markets are appreciating.

So as a consequence I don't think we are going to to have to develop any special programs. There are a lot of sources available to 90 and 95 percent financing.

LOKER: Mr. Kresslein, can you tell me if most

Y

.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

members of the Maryland State S&L have affirmative action plans?

to be quite honest with you; I would suspect most of them do not. There are 226 S&L's in the state, many of whom are in very small one-night operation type things. 150 state charter, 9 FSIC insured, many of which are as small as \$100,000 in total assets. They are open Tuesday nights from 6 to 8; they might make 5 loans in the whole year -- if they make that.

So I do not think that I would say in terms of numbers -- I would probably have to say probably most of them do not have one.

LOKER: Would you encourage the ones without one to impleme nt one?

the numbers, just to give you some idea -- 150 state charter association, there are 60 with less than a million dollars assets. How many loans can they make? They make three in a community in which they are located, or five; they can't be out soliciting business because they just cannot fund them to begin with.

They don't have the flow of savings. They are not competitive insofar as rates that can be paid for the savings dollars so, consequently, they are not going to

attract any dollars.

LOKER: Maybe you are not familiar with what I am talking about:

Affirmative Action Plan has to do with recruiting minorities and women into your organization as employees.

KRESSLEIN: I wasn't addressing myself to that aspect of it, no.

In that regard I can't answer how many do have and how many do not have. Again, relating it to the number of institutions that are so small, you might have a total of two or four people in that organization that do all the work for the one night or the one day, keep the books, take the payments, and so forth. But I would not be in a position to say how many of them have an affirmative action program. We do not get involved in that type of thing with our members.

LOKER: Why not?

We are a trade organization, we have no control over telling anyone what they can do and what they can't do, what they should do and what they should not do.

That would be a personal affront on our part. We are to provide them with services such as statistics, educational type things; we are not in a position of telling them what to do or what they must do.

ce-Fuderal Reporters, Inc. jrbl76

.

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

LOKER: Do you have any statistics on the number of minorities and women who work in the institutions?

KRESSLEIN: No.

LOKER: You don't keep those?

KRESSLEIN: No.

LOKER: Okay, that's all I have.

THOMPSON: Mr. Kresslein, do any of your members have any minorities or women occupying supervisory positions?

KRESSLEIN: Oh, yes.

LOKER: Do you have any idea of how many you are talking about?

I believe to my knowledge there are about -- I can't answer as far as management type positions. I can say in Presidents or Chief Managing Officers -- because that's the only portion we normally deal with, we don't deal with staffs -- and we have three that have women presidents.

I know two who have black presidents. Other than that, that's the only knowledge I have.

LOKER: Are these instances of relatively recent vintage or old, or what?

KRESSLEIN: Well, the three women I'm aware of, one has been president for 20 years, I guess; the second one

jrbl77

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

has been president for two years; the third one maybe five years.

The two blacks have been president as long as I, have been around the business.

LOKER: Can you tell us generally what the qualifications are for membership on the loan review. boards of your member institutions?

KRESSLEIN: No, I would not be able to.

LOKER: The same would be true for the Boards of Directors, you are not aware of the qualifications required for those?

KRESSLEIN: No.

SMITH: You said in your understanding with the city that you were under no obligation to put any loans into the ghetto. How would you define ghetto?

KRESSLEIN: An oral definition or pointing at the map?

Well, relating again to qualified -- a qualified appraised property. I don't think we were doing anything-in terms of specific areas. Maybe that was the wrong terminology but let's take a piece of property that has been completely vacant or vandalized -- or maybe the whole-block had been, and boarded up. In terms of meeting or coming out with any kind of appraisal on the property, or what is one willing to pay for that piece of property -- if they were willing to

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

pay \$5,000 for it, maybe an appr-aiser could not substantiate that purchase price.

SMITH: Wouldn't that just as easily apply to someone who wanted to buy a \$100,000 house and you might have an appraiser who couldn't substantiate the \$100,000?

KRESSLEIN: Yes.

SMITH: So would that be a ghetto area?

KRESSLEIN: No.

SMITH: Okay, tell me whatyou meant by ghetto area? You said you clearly had in your mind an understanding that number one, the city did not expect you to make any — to have the industry make any loans which would be a violation of responsible fiduciary — a normal underwriting criteria?

KRESSLEIN: Okay.

SMITH: That's one. You made that quite clear.

The next thing you said is the city, your understanding with the city, obligated you in no way to make no loans in the ghetto area. I am just trying to find out what that means.

KRESSLEIN: I don't think it's specifically defined; we never defined it.

SMITH: Well, when you walk around with an image in your head and you have all these educational meetings with your S&L's and you are trying to explain to them what

irbl79

2

1.

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

they are obliged to do with reference to the agreement with the city, how do you tell them? How do you convey an idea?

KRESSLEIN: We do not as a result of that commitment have any type of education to our members to tell them where they could lend, where they couldn't, or how.

They were aware of the commitment, that we indicated to the mayor we would make loans throughout the entire city of Baltimore, that is the only thing they are aware of.

So in other words perhaps you meant that you wanted to make it clear that the city did not in any way say to you "go ahead and invest in areas that you might be unwilling to invest- in before, or go ahead and take loans which some people might consider chancey for reasons . as yet undefined."

You want to stress that all this was was a question of being willing to spend \$30 million in the city for very sound mortgages rather than spend that same \$30 million in a county or Ocean City or Dubuque, Iowa.

> KRESSLEIN: Yes. I'll buy that.

So that if you were to go back in your mind over what you said before I assume you would then want to take out of your comment anything related to the fact the city did or did not --

KRESSLEIN: Strike "ghetto area".

jrbl80

24 \ Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

erai Reporters, Inc. SMITH: This is not a court of law in that sense.

You know, there's no judge and jury. I was somewhat confused
by your use of that term.

You did indicate you are a trade organization and one of your major responsibilities is providing education keeping your members up to date on legislation on trends, wouldn't that be an obvious vehicle, then, for discussing ways to bring the S&L industry into dealing more directly with some of the problems of the last third of this century?

KRESSLEIN: Oh, yes. We do that right now we are talking with the S&L's regarding possible funding to provide financing for a massive homesteading project through private financing, that is financing by the S&L industry versus city or state funds. We do that constantly with the city, talking-to them about programs.

SMITH: In those cases you would get yourself in the business of making loans to those boarded up houses; right?

things that have to be worked out. We have investigated what we can do now under our existing regs, and what we cannot do because of certain practices by the city, and we try to work those things out. If we can work t-hem out, then we will go ahead and attempt to have a member institution get involved in such a program.

•

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

SMITH: Do you provide any sort of central referral service in terms of appointments so that people who are interested in seeking employment -- I am fascinated with your term "thrift industry" --

KRESSLEIN: I like that one, too.

SMITH: I wasn't sure whose thrift.

Do you act in any way as a source for employment?

KRESSLEIN: On a very isolated basis we might

get a call from a particular individual who would like to

come or become involved in the S&L business, who would like

to be employed -- particularly now, would like to know what

he has to do to get into the S&L business, learn the financial

aspects of it. We do not have a personnel service. I may

be aware of an institution that may be looking for some

particular type individual, a teller, or what, and if I know

of that at the time, I am glad to tell him, "Call X, Y, Z."

SMITH: Do any of your member S&L's charge you for advice when they are trying to fill a vacancy on a board?

KRESSLEIN: Oh, no.

KRESSLEIN:

SMITH: No one has ever asked you to select people who could help broaded the board membership?

SMITH: Do you have any idea of the usual composition of the board of directors of one of your

No.

member S&L institutions?

KRESSLEIN: In terms of numbers?

2

Well, how about in terms of male-female?

KRESSLEIN: I have no knowledge, we have no

5 6

walked into a room and, say, picked up a financial statement

record of who is on any institution's board. I may have

7

off the counter and read seven names, and I would probably

8

then know if they are female or male. I would not know if

9

they were minority groups or what.

10

Do you tend to deal with the top operating

11

officer of the S&L? Is that who your contact is?

12

KRESSLEIN: Normally, yes, with the top officer.

13

Does the Maryland S&L League aid its

14

member institutions indirectly in -- or directly -- in

15

increasing the number of qualified minorities and women in

16

decision-making positions in the institutions.

KRESSLEIN: We have not.

17 18

SMITH: Do you plan to do that?

19

KRESSLEIN: We have no discussed it.

20

21

do you mean your office?

22

KRESSLEIN: My office has not discussed it.

23

SMITH: Do you think that that might be a role

SMITH: When you say "we have not discussed it,"

24

you could play?

KRESSLEIN:

How? Recommending to them that they .

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

consider appointments to Boards of Directors?

How about employees? Let's begin with SMITH: that? We recognize that all these small S&L's you talked about frequently, you know, their Board is a group of people who got together and played poker every Thursday night, and one night they decided "Hey, let's start an S&L". And I can understand the difficulties of expecting that particular group to want to broaden its board of directors.

Let's begin with some of the larger ones, talk about their own staffing patterns. Can you not see a way in which you might help remind the S&L's, institutions of this obligation to the public in terms not only of the way in which they grant mortgages but also in terms of their employment practices.

KRESSLEIN: We possibly could assist in that. We have not done it to this point in time.

You know, when you speak of the larger institutions, in most cases I view the more senior officer involved in that as being more knowledgeable than I am on the structure of their organization and management.

To answer you specifically, we have not done it. I would not be adverse to entering into discussions with them.

Do you have a staff?

KRESSLEIN: A total staff of three people.

SMITH: How is it financed? Where does the money come from?

KRESSLEIN: Membership dues.

SMITH: Does each organization pay the same dues?

KRESSLEIN: Sliding scale.

SMITH: Based on the assets?

KRESSLEIN: Yes.

SMITH: I guess I have no further questions. Thank you.

LOKER: Mr. Kressleir, we invited quite a few S&L's to come to these hearings, can you give us any indication of why only four came?

KRESSLEIN: No, I can't, to be quite honest with you I think Ms. Booth indicated it might be 8 or 10; I have no knowledge of who was coming and who wasn't.

LOKER: We were advised that there was a meeting of a number of the S&L associations with you on Monday where these hearings were discussed. Can you tell us what the results of that meeting were?

KRESSLEIN: Well, all we did discuss was that the hearings were taking place and some of the feedback I got was that the questions that were raised in the communication to the institution was maybe two or three days prior to the hearing, and in most cases some of the questions asked would be impossible to answer in terms of number of mortgages

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

.

_

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

city versus county, because of computer problems - or just impossible to answer.

I did not ask anyone to be here or not to be here.

Nor did they indicate to me that they would work on it or not.

I was just aware from reading this morning's newspaper that

Mr. Hecht was here yesterday.

LOKER: Why was there a need to have a meeting about the hearings?

KRESSLEIN: I think it was three or four people came by the office and said, "Are you going?" You know, "What is this hearing about?"

I said, "I don't know." I just had a discussion with Ms. Booth and a letter asking me to appear on Thursday at 3 o'clock. I have no questions that have been raised to me. I suppose it relates generally to the affairs of the industry."

LOKER: Well, do you think that the S&L's that were invited to come realized that what we are trying to do here is hold an impartial hearing and get all points of view; and it is very hard to do that unless different points of view will represent themselves.

Do you think they would realize that?

MRESSLEIN: Possibly not. I would suspect -- maybe it was yesterday or the day beforeyesterday, I talked to Mr. Jefferson Jeffers, and he indicated to me that this

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

would be an informal type hearing, no name calling; badgering of witnesses, that type of thing, -- which I was glad to hear. And I would suspect probably if that had been proposed to anybody else or everybody else a week ago at the time the hearing was being talked about that possibly you may have gotten more participation.

I don't know definitely. But that may have very well been one of the reasons some of them didn't show up.

I can't answer it, but I would suspect that had that been conveyed there may have been more here, because I think ithas been the experience of our-industry in the last several years to have constantly been subjected to criticism through the news media and in most cases, we felt, unjustifiably so, for an industry that does do 53 or 54 percent of the financing of homes, and 60 percent in this state; and what we have attempted to do in the City of Baltimore in the last two years -- \$30 million, a neighborhood housing concept -- I think maybe there may have been reluctance on the part of some.

That's my own personal opinion.

JEFFERS: I am going to say some of them were informed we would be using no names and no badgering and they still have not shown up. And I think we need to just clear the record on that.

THOMPSON: Mr. Kresslein, in discussing some of

1 2

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

experience black realtors have in the City of Baltimore the idea of mortgage bankers came up in relationship to the S&L industry, and most I talked to said they would rather deal with S&L's in town than they would mortgage bankers. Several mentioned that immediately after the \$30 million deal was consummated that the S&L's had a great atmosphere: doors were open and everyone let these black realtors know they were open for business, something—that just hadn't occurred before.

Now, they describe this atmoshphere changing; and I understand in the past few months the atmosphere has changed again, and the cold feeling that had been in existence prior to the deal has come back on the scene.

And I was wondering whether you could tell me if you know anything about this, whether this is true or not, and if it is true, what in your judgement would be the cause for a change in atmosphere on the part of the S&L's?

kresslein: Well, Mr. Thompson, I think if there has been a change, -- I strongly feel that there has not been -- if there has been a change it would not have been in terms of the attitude. - The change that may have occurred in the last 30 days has been the very cautious type approach by many institutions as to what may be down the road 30 days or 60 days or 90 days, with respect to money coming into the market for funding, mass disintermediation again beginning;

J

\ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

we saw it starting to level off the first six months to a very low level in July, and short-term rates starting to increase dramatically.

I think a lot of them have taken a very cautious attitude in an attempt to build up liquidity so that they could meet these next 30, 60, 90 days. If there is a change in attitude, I don't think it was in terms of not making mortgages in Baltimore City, it is just in terms of being cautious in making any mortgages.

THOMPSON: That message has not been perceived as it should be. In other words some people are afraid the attitude of the industry has gone back to what it was.

KRESSLEIN: Maybe that is the -- the attitude may be right in that respect; maybe we should do something in terms of information in marketing or whatever term you want to use.

It has been my experience back in real bad times when I have sat down in my office with black realtors and tried to generate activity to meet this \$30 million commitment, we informed them of the statistics in those months and they were somewhat concerned that we werein that financial position; -and they left that meeting feeling much better about the fact that if they were denied a loan it was for justifiable reasons, so far as having the money available.

2

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

And at that time the money was being borrowed by industry at the rates of 10 percent, 11 percent; money was being borrowed to meet withdrawals and commitments that were made. They said, "Well, we could understand, you can't continue to borrow money at 10 or 11 percent and loan it out at 8 or 9."

They understood that. I would tend to agree with you that maybe in terms of our educational process maybe our communications should be a little bit better with the realtors in the community and in terms of why things happen.

THOMPSON: Yes, they may be oriented to the last 30 years rather than the last 18 months and so maybe it would be a good idea if you could reinforce the idea that. nothing has really changed.

> KRESSLEIN: I welcome that suggestion.

WICKWIRE: One quick question: give me a rough idea how the League has felt about the whole mortgage disclosure act?

KRESSLEIN: The redlining-bill on the Senate?

WICKWIRE: Senator Proxmire's bill.

KRESSLEIN: The only objection the industry had is that the mass of work that would have been involved in coming up with these details would have involved very expensive programming of computers. We would much rather have

-

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

done it on a zipcode basis, because we could have gotten that out of the computer.

DARDEN: Would you rather see no usury law at all in the State of Maryland?

KRESSLEIN: Yes.

DARDEN: Would you say that there is any evidence or indication of present or past discrimination on the part of the industry towards mortgages in the city and particularly towards minorities? Any indication of discrimination in mortgage lending, either current or past towards minorities in Baltimore?

KRESSLEIN: I have not seen any discrimination.

DARDEN: Can you then tell me why the city would request the pledge from you for more lending in the city? How did they express that desire to you? What reason did they give you for asking?

DARDEN: I think you said earlier they didn't ask you for \$30 million, you came up with that figure?

The mayor asked you for a dollar pledge; all right, why were they that specific?

KRESSLEIN: I would suspect, Mr. Darden, a lot of ithad to do with the legislator, that in order for him to go back to his constituents and say he extracted \$30 million from us, an out-right commitment of those dollars; by not

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

ers, Inc.

extracting a dollar amount --

DARDEN: Are you saying it was just political?

KRESSLEIN: I would.

DARDEN: Had nothing to do with the actual amounts, actual number of loans that are made in the city as compared to loans made outside the city?

KRESSLEIN: I found the relationship of the \$30 million --

DARDEN: Why did you consent to the pledge?

KRESSLEIN: That's what they wanted. We said,

if that's what you want in terms of dollars, we'll go back

and see if it's possible.

DARDEN: Are you usually so agreeable?

KRESSLEIN: I guess it was a reaffirmation of our commitment to the city. We made the commitment. They insisted upon our sincere effort. We wanted to make the decision. They deemed a dollar commitment necessary. They deemed that a prerequisite. We backed off and gave it to them.

In terms that the banking industry gave them \$15 million, it was viewed by many as a blackmail type situation. In turning it around, reversing that idea, we almost viewed that \$30 million as a blackmail by the city.

DARDEN: It just seems very strange to me if you made a voluntary commitment in good faith and that was then

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

ice-Federal Reporters, Inc

used against you in a blackmail effort by the city, I would expect that, you know, that situation would then give you an opportunity to reconsider your original good faith offer. But you seem to continue to be obligated by that and usually you can't blackmail someone unless they are afraid of what it is you've got on them.

How were you blackmailed? How could they blackmail you?

The reason they did not accept our KRESSLEIN: first commitment, which did not encompass a dollar amount was because of the preconceived type feeling toward the industry. We just gave them a commitment that said, we'll open our doors and make mortgage loans to anyone who's qualified in the city. And it was just another overture by us that we would not -- or that they could come back and say, okay you didn't meet in May; there was a demand for \$30 million; you opened the doors but you only did \$10 million. We would have to say then, that's all the demand was.

Well, maybe then they come back and -- and in my eyes they just did not trust us, so to speak in that overall type commitment. They wanted to pin us down to a dollar amount.

DARDEN: Are you happy now that you made that commitment?

> KRESSLEIN: We are happy that we met it. We would

have b

have been very unhappy had we not met it.

BOOTH: Further questions?

Mr. Kresslein, could I just ask you one other?
Could you show us a copy of the pledge agreement?

KRESSLEIN: I have it with me. Here.

BOOTH: Oh, my.

KRESSLEIN: This is the press release that was issued January '75 indicating the dollar amount. This is a copy of the letter to the mayor dated February 15, 1974, which included the \$30 million pledge.

(Recess.)

BOOTH: Okay, are we ready to go?

Mr. Windsor, I understand you are authorized to speak for Mr. Embry:

WARNWICK: You might say I have never felt I have been authorized to speak for him. I can represent the view of our department.

I am Roger Windsor, Director, Home Ownership
Development Program, Department of Housing and Community
Development.

I would like to int-roduce to you, Dr. Wickwire, a Johns Hopkins Mayor's fellow, Andy Wachtel, who is a junior at Johns Hopkins University, Economics major; who is working with us for the next few months as part of his course work. One of the things I have him doing is

24 ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

_

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

•

take some further looks at mortgage lending in the city,
a follow-up of the study we did a few years ago. I don't
know how far we'll get, because it is an expensive proposition
to run that computer.

BOOTH: Before you start, may we ask the spelling of his name?

WINDSOR: WACHTEL.

He has been with us now for a couple of weeks.

I might also mention when we conducted our study at that time there was another Hopkins fellow working with us who had no small part in the development of this study of this appraoch and technique, and a lot of the paper-shuffling that went on as well. And we were very pleased to have him.

So I told Andy when he came to work for us that he has good shoes to fill. It is an exciting program.

I'd like to apologize for Mr. Embry, due to the number of commitments he could not be here today. He asked that I come and represent the Department in any way I could and answer questions.

If I can I would like to take a couple of minutes.

I am under the impression -- if I am wrong, now is the time
to correct me -- that my primary reason for being here stems
from the study we did a few years ago entitled "Home
Ownership in the Baltimore Mortgage Market." I ran out of

-+

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

copies. I'm using the Senate's copy.

The study was performed -- and there is no date on it -- and if we can do it all over again, I think in reading it some months ago, I think it should probably be rewritten for style if forno other reason.

The study was performed over the year 1973 and it was published in December of that year. I don't think that's indicated anywhere in the study itself, in the copy you have.

We feel that the significance of the study, because it wasn't intended when we started to end up with a printed document such as we have, but to take a look at mortgage lending in Baltimore city for a lot of reasons.

And it was the development of certain -- using computer technology rather than research study in the formal sense of the word, using-certain printed materials; at the end it was only after there was a fair amount of concern that we were doing a lot of work that had broad implications, to put it on paper and disseminate it in the fashion we did.

So it was at best a hurried job to get a lot of material condensed and put in its own quasi-readable fashion, perhaps so that we could share this with neighborhood groups, with the industries we were talking about, as well as with certain other agencies.

And I believe one of the persons or groups we

irb196

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

sent copies to was the U. S. Civil Rights Com mission.

The study we feel, attempted to, or the effort attempted to look at lending from several points of view: where the loans are made, how they are made, and who made them.

Some looked at contrasts between the loans and their placement and characteristics of neighborhoods; and perhaps another thing, one section is devoted to new ways of looking at data, perhaps to use that in a more positive fashion.

Primarily in the realm of appraisal, and in the realm of appraisal in assessing some kind of value for properties, the report -- one of the pressures on us to publish the report was preceding this for about a year and a half I met along with members of my staff with key officials of S&L's, savings banks, commercial banks, trying to find out how we could reach some reasonable levels of lending in the city because we were faced at that time with trying to assist people in buying housing in the city, only to find that with all of the counseling we could give them that they couldn't end up with the money to buy the house.

So we were kind of chasing after our shadows. So what we did is we met with lenders and tried to share with them the experiences we were having. And among the lending group of course were some skeptics, and there were some other

I

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

people that I think took us very seriously.

But one thing that did come out of these meetings was perhaps the need to document a lot of the things that we were off the cuff saying was happening. We could share with them an experience where somebody walked into their offices — for example, a broader experience we had, but to be able to document that with cold, hard figures was at best difficult.

So one of the primary reasons, one of the major reasons for publishing the report was to establish perhaps once and for all our experiences. I think significant also was that this is not a sample survey, which a lot of people were doing at the time; it is in effect a whole universe. Hopefully it is every loan or almost every loan that was made in the City of Baltimore over a period of three years.

The significance of this was that many of the areas where we were leaning and talking with people that in trying to pin down whether or not there were patterns emerging, one of the criticisms was the size of the sample, choice of the sample, and Lord knows what all. And it was very easy for us given the approach we have taken to kind of come up with a 100-percent sample.

But what we did is to take the LESS reports,
which are commercial reports showing the location of property,
selling price, FHA, VA-insured, name of the lender and we were

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

able to take this and some existing programs that were available through our planning department to translate street locales into census tracts, and they were able to combine all this information -- I am oversimplifying -- but we were able to come up at least for the first time a simple listing by census tracts of all the loans that were being made in that census tract, as well as who, to whom, what rate, and so forth.

I think in the report, and it is on page 1482, the book that you have, we said that we hoped that the information provided in this report can be used to correct some of the imbalances in the availability of home ownership opportunities to people in the city.

So our intention was to try to use this report as another tool in correcting what we saw were some of the major deficiencies, to the increase of home ownership in Baltimore City, which our charter call in part for us to do.

We wanted to shed some light on lending policy because we had the feeling after talking with a lot of people that there were certain patterns emerging, that in summary spelled I think a rather critical future for Baltimore City.

For instance, we found as a matter of practice the lending industry -- that is a misnomer in and of itself because there is no such thing as a lending industry in

1 2

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Baltimore. There are a lot of segments that make up a group of lenders; but we found the lending industry had a policy where for instance it was moving in the direction of not making loans under \$12,000, \$13,000, \$14,000, \$18,000, whatever the individual firm policy or association was, contrasted with the fact that 75 percent of the sales transactions in Baltimore City at the time were \$15,000.

That meant soon they were going to reach a point where the lenders were in effect saying we are not going to finance any purchases in Baltimore City. I believe they did not realize that the substance of their individual policies were leading to such drastic effects on the Baltimore marketplace.

I think the study itself was significant not so much in what it says, but that for us in having to deal with this question it pointed out I think certain significant factors. For instance, one that I said earlier was that there is no industry, and yet when we attempted to address the problem of mortgage lending, we attempted to do it on an industry-wide basis; and we found out that there are major segments and the segments operate quite differently from each other. We were not experienced lenders, and this was I think important because we could talk perhaps to one lender or one group of lenders, about a facet of something and if they weren't in that facet of business we weren't going to get

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

anywhere. We hadn't realized that.

So we found out that there were -- that the lenders themselves began to operate in quite different fashions among themselves, or contrasted with themselves. That I think was very important.

The fact about the \$15,000 mortgages or the policies reaching in that direction I think was significant.

The need for insurance, I think began to become very clear to us when we were working with the data, some of which is in here and some of which is not; but I think that was more significant than what is shown in here.

I think the changing patterns of lending, as a neighborhood changes, was important to us, because I think it began to point out for instance the shift and need based on historical patterns to make for instance as they did work in neighborhoods where they had very little track record up to that point in time -- so that was significant to us.

I think we had to view the lenders' roles as they changed their traditional roles, as they moved from one neighborhood to another. I am not saying we understood all of these, but at least we had to be aware that these were all considerations.

I -think we had to in working with the lending industry and its participants, I must say we did have fairly

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

good learning experience and we did find that there was need for regulatory change, certain things that they were attempting to do could not be done because of regulation.

And I think we had to really take a look at the industry and break it down just to find out where we were going in the future, because our role was defined by the desire to purchase a house, simply stated.

If you have this -- I'm sure you've read it -- if not, the data is in there; and you may have questions about it, and I hope I can answer.

But coming back to the commitment we talked about

-- that you talked about with Mr. Kresslein, there was

a commitment made. I think the significance of this

commitment is not so much in dollar amounts. The significance

of the commitment was that the lending industry, either

recognized or at least moved in the direction that they

were willing to entertain any bankable loan from a prospective

home buyer in the City of Baltimore.

I think that is significant. Now, whether it was \$10 million or \$15 million or \$40 million or \$100 million, because all of those numbers, I think if we examined the documents saying those amounts of money were above the previous year's lending -- and all we were interested in was that the buyer who had come to the-marketplace with a willingness and the desire to buy, would get some fair

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

treatment at the lending marketplace, so that that buyer could have some idea of whether or not they were going to be able to be financed, that they would not be faced with the problem of, well, that neighborhood has houses over 20 years of age; therefore, we are not making loans on those kind of houses.

So the significance is the lenders agreed I believe to make loans, and if -- I think more importantly that if a person felt that they were unduly denied a loan, by that lender, that we set up a mechanism between the city and ourselves to review anybody who felt he or she felt was discriminated for the loan for a review committee to look at that person's loan application. And we had the commitment from the lenders -- if not in writing-, verbally t-hat they would attempt to place that loan within their member agencies if that proved to be the case, where there was some discrimination, whatever that discrimination came to be.

We have found that in two years now of the operation of that commitment that we have never had to call that committee together; that is not to say there are not people out there who perhaps have been denied a loan; but we have not had anybody approach us. It is our experience that the lending industry has been apprised of this condition, and every time I talk to lenders I always will bring up --

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

I recently, several months ago, apprised the public of this.

I know that the industry associations have gone back to their legislative representatives on more than one occasion and restated that principle again.

And we have yet to have anybody come in and complain. So I don't know what that means except that if there are person out there who still have this problem, we can still call that committee together.

I have met with the committee on other subjects, and as Mr. Kresslein mentioned about financing of homesteading with his segment, and also the commercial banks have a similar committee. So I think where we are heading is -- one thing I have, it's rather curious to me, one stance I have always had with the question of lending in Baltimore is that if we permitted loans to be made freely -- freely as long as they were good, bankable loans -- that perhaps neighborhoods would turn around; and we would have viable and vital neighborhoods.

I was curious to hear Mr. Kresslein suggest that neighborhoods are on the upswing and maybe there is a caus-effect relationship there; I think that it is.

That is the end of my introduction. I am happy to answer any questions.

THOMPSON: I am going to ask you some questions about-your study.

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

Can you relate to us the proportion of home mortgage loans made by Baltimore S&L's in the city versus the county?

I was kind of hoping you wouldn't get WINDSOR: into the specifics of the study, because it's been a while. It's in the report, and I believe that what we found for the year 1972 -- here's the chart on page 1502 -- in 1971 there were approximately 10,000 sales in Baltimore city. And of those sales we estimated that about 6,000 of them are what we call single mortgages which we would consider would have a bankable loan flowing to it; and in Baltimore county in the same year, there was about 8,000 sales of which 6,300 of them went to the traditional lending industry.

So 6,000 out of 10,000 went to the traditional lending industry in Baltimore county.

THOMPSON: Can you give us a general idea what the total dollar investment in the city was during that year by S&L's?

WINDSOR: By S&L's? No, I cannot. I would only be guessing at the numbers; it's in here. It is in one of these charts. About \$60 million was the total.

But without getting into the specifics I would be hesitant to quote.

THOMPSON: Does your agency provide any specific support to minorities, women, who are seeking home mortgage irb205

loans?

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

WINDSOR: Yuh.

So that you might understand our division better, we are among other things a counseling agency funded by the ` city to assist any homebuyer in purchasing a house in Baltimore city.

That would flow to anybody; the service is free. So we've had people come in over the course of the last four years, from a person who had a very specific question about an arrangement to somebody who said, "Gee, I'd like to buy a house, how do I go about doing it?". We go through the whole process.

Do you have any specific programs THOMPSON: other than the support you mentioned that would help to increase the number of minorities and women receiving mortgage loans?

No, sir, no programs as such. WINDSOR: as agent for the state in home financing their direct loan program.

THOMPSON: Are there any programs otherwise that you know of in the city that are designed to increase employment opportunities of minorities and women?

I am sure there are a lot of them. WINDSOR: Ι specifically don't know of any.

LOKER: Can you give us your views on what

y

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

responsibilities savings and loans have for granting mortgage loans?

WINDSOR: Well, I think the obligation for the savings and loans -- particularly the savings and loans -- or most lenders in the mortgage business is generally set out in their charter. Historically the thrift institutions, as they are known, were designed to provide -- particularly the S&L industry -- designed to provide purchase money mechanism, mortgages, for persons wishing to buy their house. And that is their primary obligation, is to finance home purchases. It's set out in everyone's charter.

LOKER: Do you think there is any need for the city now to foster that original reason why S&L's were set up?

WINDSOR: I'm not sure how you mean to "foster" it.

LOKER: To make sure that they continue to meet the needs of city residents?

WINDSOR: Well, it's obviously the city's interest to have homebuyers, if we subscribe in any way to the theory of sociologists that home ownership brings with it certain other positive social-economic characteristics -- yes; I think it is in the city's interest to make sure that home ownership remains at its usual levels; and, perhaps, even increases.

_

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

One of the purposes of our charter is to monitor that kind of activity, and to look at obstacles to home ownership, and to come up with suggested solutions in removing those obstacles. That's what I spend a good part of my time doing.

LOKER: Have you observed any changes in mortgage lending practices in Baltimore City since 1973.

WINDSOR: Since 1973? Again, perhaps the persons on my staff who counsel people who come in my door to buy houses could -- I have not had -- let me contrast for you where I was when I arrived, and where we are today:

Back in 1971, when we started the program, I was having everybody -- lots of people -- call and say, "Gee, we're having trouble financing houses all over the city."

Today we seem -- to the best of my knowledge, my counsel -- seem to be able to place most buyers with reasonable mortgage loans. We don't seem to have the difficulties that we had before.

Now, I'm sure that doesn't mean that there aren't people out there who are not having difficulties. We just aren't running into it as frequently as we were. Ocasionally we have somebody that perhaps introduces into the scheme of things difficulties beyond just the ability to pay the loan.

For instance, things like open-ended divorce

7

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

agreements, things like that, that we have to look into. But we have had no difficulty placing mortgages.

LOKER: Since when?

WINDSOR: Since the time of the commitment by the lenders.

LOKER: Why do you think there was difficulty prior to that?

WINDSOR: Lack of understanding of what was happening in the city; part of it stemmed from the lack of knowledge of the neighborhoods; part of it is the opportunities that existed: remember, at the time we negotiated, the time we entered on the scene, was a time of great expansion, a time of great growth in the housing field. There were virtually limitless opportunities. There were houses being built everywhere, and you had the lending industry looking at it generically. They are in business to provide financing for that growth, and it was a question, in my mind at least, in part of more attractive loans elsewhere, more attractive in terms of income, earning ability, more attractive loans in perhaps their size.

I don't know. We felt there were a lot of factors.

We felt that was part of it.

Another part was the lack of understanding about what was happening in the city to the extent that when I came on board, we took at least 25 or 30 presidents or vice

24. ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. presidents -- a lot of these local lenders -- put them on a bus and took them around neighborhoods and showed them what was happening. After that time we began to loosen up in some neighborhoods in Baltimore. That was a part of it as well.

LOKER: Do you feel it was more of not understanding the city on the part of the people in the position to
make the mortgage rather than conscious discrimination?

WINDSOR: I think in part it was. You know, again,
I can't get into the motivation of why; I can only look at
facts. I don't sit on loan committees; I am not privy to
the reasons for which lenders turn down applicants.

When we looked, in the study, there is one section devoted to the racial implications of lending patterns; we prefaced that section by saying we don't know whether there is conscious discrimination. But some of the patterns are very interesting. And yet, does that mean there's discrimination?

Well, one of the conclusions we drew in looking at some of these patterns was that in lending generally as incomes went up, the volume of lending, the dimension of lending, went up -- be that black of white. In low income neighborhoods there was a much higher ratio than in white neighborhoods; yet when we look at it, I think the S&L industry in general, there was nothing to discern. And then

_

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

we found that the state savings and loans, these little
Ma and Pa's, such as Mr. Kresslein talked about, that
proliferate in particularly white ethnic neighborhoods, that
they were there: it was my next-door neighbor who, as you
described, met for poker one night and all of a sudden they
started an S&L that they were making the small loans in these
white neighborhoods.

And apparently there was no counterpart to that mechanism in black low income neighborhoods. In fact there are only two black S&L's in the entire city, one a state and the one Mr. Brown, a federal S&L. So we felt there was some connection between those facts.

LOKER: Do you have any suggestions for increasing the number of mortgage loans made to minorities?

WINDSOR: Again, I have no evidence -- this is a strange position for me to be in, because I was arguing the other way two years ago: I have no evidence that there is a greater demand for bankable loans at the, you know, what they would consider an approved loan. There are obviously a lot of demands for lower income minorities to get a stake in the action; and I think that is not necessarily a legitimate role for the private sector.

And we in government in Baltimore are addressing those problems to see what we can do to make home ownership a possibility for those persons, with, say, lower

3 4

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

than average income.

But when you talk about the normal marketplace, our doors have been open for anybody -- we're almost wishing someone would walk in. I can't believe there isn't somebody out there that has been turned down. But when you have an industry, real estate industry -- I think it was Mr. Jeffers mentioned earlier, the real estate industry is beginning to feel a change in business, some change recently; but if the real estate industry goes out there with vested interest in selling this property and are producing people they can't finance, I get a warmer feeling that all of the demand is being met -- the normal demand.

Again when we have to stop to talk about subsidies and things like that, I think that is outside the periphery of industry.

You said earlier in your presentation that the dollar amount of the pledge really wasn't as significant.

WINDSOR: I said it wasn't significant to me.

DARDEN: Okay, fine.

Mr. Kresslein called it "blackmail", but the one thing he did say was that in his opinion the reason for a specific dollar amount -- was asked for -- was because the city did not trust the local lenders to meet a generalized commitment; is that true? Can you shed any light on that?

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

WINDSOR: Again, I guess there is no one party
who was privy to all of the discussions, other than
Mr. Embry; but I was probably there most of the time, meetings
with the mayor, and the general assembly.

Again, you have to examine what happened, I think, in the context of the times: There was pending before the general assembly a whole host of bills related to the usury rate, and there were hearings being held. I think there were bills coming from both ends, the house and senate; and there were hearings being conducted on these bills.

And on one particular day as I recall there
was a hearing on usury, I think it was a hearing on everything,
the whole subject. And that day there was some feeling we
could reach, accord, on a commitment; because what we felt
we needed -- what happened is that the first attempt -not in that session but in the previous special session -which was held I believe in August preceding this session;
I guess it was in 1973 -- this matter of usury came on the
floor of the special session, and I think the city delegation
as a block voted down the bill, and killed it for that
special session.

At that time, saying that what they wanted before they, the legislators, were willing to give an increase in interest rate, they wanted some commitment that they would meet the city demand, because we were on the other side

Z

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

talking about steady demand, negotiating and talking about loosening up the money.

We got into the '73 session and this question still came back; and I think at that time -- I don't know the exact chronology -- commercial banks came up with a figure of \$15 million; and more importantly, as I said, it was not the commitment of dollars, but the fact that they would entertain loans. And if it didn't work out, they would review them; and if the fact was unreasonable denial, they would re-place them.

The legislators wanted as I recall at the time a dollar commitment. They were not content with a statement from the industry saying "we will make the loans." They wanted to be able to pin it down in cold, hard dollars and cents as to what that commitment was going to be.

And I think they said at the time when they got a dollar commitment they would then be willing to entertain passage of the legislation; they wouldn't consider it until we got at that point.

So there was a hearing on that day we arrived at the \$30 million; I think there was no magic formula that came up with a figure because, again, I think if you read —— I would have had these —— it was an amount of money above the previous year's lending; and the dollar amount was just something cold and hard that you could look to and say,

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

if you did, you made it; and if you didn't you didn't make it.

And at a minimum you are starting -- whatever the amount was,

\$60 million bucks was the entry fee, the \$15 million or

\$30 million was above that previous year's lending.

So that's how we arrived at numbers and the \$30 million came from a very quick calculation of what the industry did, took a proportion, and added it on; it was something we did in the middle of a hearing.

DARDEN: One follow-up question:

Does that mean, then, that the city perceived a need for home lending that was not being met, and also -- what in past performance in local lenders had made you believe you needed that kind of commitment in order to get action?

WINDSOR: We wanted an increase in market at a time when lending demands were increasing. Unfortunately since that time the demand has been down because of economic conditions.

But one of the things that disturbed us was that at the lower end of the economic scale in terms of price a substantial amount of the transactions had to be financed through what we would call private transactions; and we felt that the amount of money -- whatever the figure was in that year only ran to a portion of what we would consider to be the normal bankable loan. And we were after

.

•

- -Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

additional commitment anticipating the growth rate as it
had been over the past two years preceding that, which still
would be up; but we wanted to be sure we were covered in
terms of the amount of dollars to meet that obligation,
because they were saying, "we're going to pull the lid off
of the small loans restriction." And if they were going to
do that, the numbers from the previous year indicated
at the previous year's lending they would not be able to.
And that's why we ended up with some additional money beyond.

What happened as I said in fact is that the market -- I don't think the numbers of loans since 1972 have been at the level they were in 1972.

DARDEN: My final question is:

What effect can your foresee on the availability of mortgage money if there were no usury limit in the State of Maryland?

WINDSOR: That is a very, very complicated question, that economists can tackle. Nobody seems to know.

There is the argument of the free economy, and it will find its own level. And there is support to that argument.

And there is the restriction argument, that it tends to keep the prices down, where there is a limit; and there is support for that.

It almost defies description. You can pick a

2/

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

side and find support for the side chosen.

One of my concerns is that in the industry
there is a lot of regulation, and the regulation increasingly
is moving in the direction toward federal regulation, even
state; little Ma and Pa state savings and loans, they are
federally insured and have to comply with federal regs.

And the state usury limit, for whatever it is worth, is at least one vestige of regulation or control that is still being exerted locally by the state over this rather broad industry. Whether that makes sense or not, I am not sure I could offer anything other than my opinion.

But I think that is at least another argument I have heard proposed time and again for a continuation of the usury limit.

Some people say it is artificial, others say it works to keep the lid on the market. I don't know. It's peculiar.

I am not a lender. I am a simple lawyer. One thing I have learned about it is it is a very, very complex business; it's getting more complex every day because we are moving away from a localized structure to a broader, maybe regional structure, a national structure. And if you look at participation, you've even got an international structure.

How it all fits in with limitations of the usury

حم Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

rate in the state, and the effect that would have on the supply of money -- I don't know.

One thing that is clear to me and that has not been changed is that the primary oblig ation of the lender, according to the charter is to their local market, something the federal reg agencies are starting to define. And how local is that? It extends up to Cumberland for Baltimore lenders.

WICKWIRE: This disinvestment, at times has it been due to oversight because lenders were unaware of a geographical pattern that had developed -- lack of awareness?

WINDSOR: When you say "disinvestment" does that trigger: something? I've learned some of the jargon of the industry.

Are you saying do I think the lenders were unaware of the comprehensive effect of their individual policies? Is that your question?

WICKWIRE: Yes, or that they were unaware, for instance, that the money -- where the money was going, a geographical pattern was developing?

WINDSOR: Again, I'll tell you what we did, and
I'll tell you what the response was:

We took the patterns in terms of profiles of many of the key firms, and we submitted that profile to them; and in that profile there was just a brief plot that

'

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc. where they were making the loans, where the concentrations were, the amount, and FHA -- and we asked them before we filed this report: "Is that correct?"

began to recite, based on data we were collecting, that

And most of them came back and said, "We can't really tell you because we don't keep data that way."

So whether they knew it or not? The implication is that they did not.

WICKWIRE: How do you feel about the home mortgage disclosure act?

WINDSOR: Senator Proxmire's bill? We testified in favor of it, and asked that they change it from zipcode to census tract.

One interesting thing, the zipcode I live in it covers Guilford, Waverly and Owen only; there is nothing consistent or homogeneous about those three areas.

WICKWIRE: Lenders don't like the census tract idea?

windsor: I understand it is difficult because even when we did this study, we did it by census tract; but we had a program developed to translate street address into zipcode into census tract. So it was a relatively simple task.

But, you know, again, we didn't want to put zipcodes on our letters 10 years ago; we do it now.

Ó

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

SMITH: Mr. Orlinsky this morning suggested we were perhaps using middle class and upper middle class values and imposing those values on other segments of society in terms of our assumption that -- an assumption that everyone wants to own a home.

We began this discussion talking about the recent testimony by Ms. Hill of HUD that we should keep pushing for more rental programs than ownership programs, because the first time the roof leaks somebody with limited income and who has bought a house is going to be unable to meet those added burdens.

In your comments earlier you seemed to disagree somewhat with Mr. Orlinsky's position?

WINDSOR: No, I don't disagree with him.

I do feel, and we have done this on a number of occasions I am personally aware of in the office -- not everybody is suited to be a homeowner; and it would be a superimposition of our own middle class values to try to force them in that direction.

I think any good counselor or agency will have the foresight to tell somebody that, and perhaps put them in the position of being a homeowner if they want to go in that direction, but not force them into something that can only be a subjective judgment in a situation that appears not to be in the best interest.

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

On the other hand, I think what we need to do

is if homeownership -- and I say if, because that's another

issue where you can get both sides and find support -
if it is good for the individual or good for the neighborhood,

and there seems to be some evidence with regard to the

neighborhood, if it is desirable, I think we've got to make

some changes in the way we do business so that we can

enable people to participate.

Some took the position a few years ago that the 235 program was an absolute disgrace, terrible, it wasn't meeting the needs, full of problems. There was a lot of evidence to support that.

There is a lot of evidence, also, to support that the program was abused.

We have some 235 houses in Baltimore that on the average we think the neighborhood is a pretty good neighborhood, and that the program did work. But it worked because we brought a lot of new innovations into the program.

I think the same thing holds true for a lot of other neighborhoods wherein today's market at today's going approach, maybe home ownership isn't the best thing.

But I think that's not to say it can't be changed, and that we can't introduce more péople to it if they want to be there.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

But don't force anybody there. I think that's what's happened in a lot of places.

of a lot of this. You see communities where you have more and more absentee ownership, where you have higher and higher rentals, percentages; and it frequently seems to go with a community like that, particularly in urban areas where the housing is old to begin with, there is an increased rate of deterioration.

Some of that seems to be able to be turned around when home ownershkp becomes possible for these people who might not otherwise have been able to become involved in homeownership.

of course the National Housing Service Project at Patterson Park is an example. Mr. Kresslein is talking about communities improving. He mentioned one which made me laugh when he mentioned Charles Village, which I think is an ideal case of what happens when in very recent years S&L's were unwilling to provide mortgage money in that area; and it was only because that middle class with other means of finding financing began to go in and fight that they did two things:

They began to demonstrate the livability, viability, of that community; and then eventually began to be able to convince the S&L that it was a good investment.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

And now you see significant changes in housing values in that area.

And I am sure there is not an S&L in the city who would be unwilling today to put money in there, whereas ` as recently as five years ago they might not have. The community was able to find the resources to turn the place around.

WINDSOR: I would also like to think that our bus tour had something to do with it.

I would be delighted to have you take credit for it.

I'm not trying to take credit for it. That was my classic case in point, was Charles Village.

Charles Village is an easy one, there's SMITH: a lot of others out there in the great beyond where you have the same circumstances, where you have communities that could be very livable, where people choose to live, where there could be a sense of community and neighborhood and permanence, and all the other intangibles.

And how do you convince the S&L to go in there when the S&L's say they don't really know where their loans are, they just look at the qualified applicant?

Well, their appraisers have to be able to figure out how to get from the home office to the house house and they would have, at some point, to look at a map and say

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

we're going to look at a house here, and this is where it is. Somebody has to know where those houses are, you know, in relation to the whole city.

We heard somebody yesterday who talked about his dedicated dollar program, and we said, marvelous; now you can tell the other S&L's to keep track of where your loans are. Obviously you must know, since this is part of your program. He said, "Oh, no, we don't know where they are." But they do. They have to.

And there has to be a way to use your office and other public offices and hopefully private pressures to be able to bring about a more universal or uniform willingness to invest in the city.

WINDSOR: One of the things we do in our work is, now, a lot of the basic data we used in basic generation of this data for the city, is transmitted now directly to the city and their monitoring based on the system developed a few years ago -- again, I have a very limited staff as well; and each day tends to bring a different kind of program. If we had worked on this one for the last couple of years, we wouldn't have done anything else.

I think the point-is also well-made, also, I have to keep in mind that the world is changing every day.

And unfortunately maybe lenders don't change as quickly as the world does -- at least lenders in Baltimore.

.ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

And lots of things that really impact lending -
I've been around cases where lenders like to do something

and they can't because of regulatory problems. And again,

the more we get removed from the local scene, the more

difficult it is going to be to adjust the local scene.

Things like appraisals are just very classic because one

of the approaches to the old appraisal technique we are

And when you have a down market and all of a sudden it turns around, your comparables at least for a period of ;time are still down; so it's not going to give an appraiser a warm feeling when he looks back. If he's going to err, he'd rather err on the low side than the high side.

using today is looking at comparables.

So, for instance, Jim Hunter at the Baltimore Federal, is espousing a new appraisal technique, that he is hoping will at least get some support in his industry that looks more to the ability of the borrower rather than the brick and mortar. But this is going to take a great amount of change within the structure of the S&L industry to reach adoption if in fact it ever does.

Because, in a sense, it is rocking the boat.

SMITH: One quick thing:

When Mr. Orlinsky testified this morning one of the things he talked about was a secret impact

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

program in the City of Baltimore where an effort was made to funnel lots and lots of resources into one area in the city and see what would happen if that could help increase the vitality of the area. He doesn't know much about it.

He assumes the project wasn't terribly successful or it would have been made public by now.

You mentioned that in your 235 project you tried to put lots of resources in it?

If I said that -- a secret project is WINDSOR: a secret to me.

> SMITH: Okay.

And it wasn't Rosemont where the 235 WINDSOR: took place.

I understand the city planning department has developed data on every mortgage transaction in the city with, you know, descriptive information with some kind of time-line from '72 to '75.

Are you familiar with what I am talking about? WINDSOR: It would only be conjectural on my part as to what in fact they are doing.

The data that we used -- I think I know what you are talking about -- the data we used was in a sense second-hand, because we got it from a commercially available They did the legwork down at the land record office. We ran a statistical check on this and found it to be

25

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

1 |

Endoud Donaston Inc

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

reasonably accurate.

Now there has been instituted a new policy at the land record office, a new form is filled out and the data goes directly from that source rather than through `LESS. They are just little cards that come through my office and we code them for lender and maybe even neighborhood.

But we are getting it directly from the source rather than through the commercial source.

DARDEN: That's not what I am talking about.

The question was going to be: what is it going to be used for?

My understanding was that your office is developing some new follow-up looking at mortgage lending practices in this city since the publication of the '73 report?

WINDSOR: All I can say to you is that among other things we do, we manage a lot of tax sale buildings; we have recently enhanced our own direct computer capability by getting a remote terminal. We are planning to do some things, but we are not capable of putting in a massive job like all the records in here (indicating).

So I don't know what kind of information you are getting, but certainly I would be interested in hearing more about it myself.

DARDEN: So you are not planning a study or a report

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25 that would update?

WINDSOR: No, as I said earlier, I have asked Andy to take a look at this and see perhaps what can be done to improve on it; and the data is available. -- Because I know planning department has collected data since 1972; it's just a question of defining what it is that we want to do. And Andy can do that under my direction, and maybe we'll know how to do it.

There is nothing right now, no plan that is specific.

> Thank you, Mr. Windsor, Mr. Wachtel. BOOTH:

First of all I am Milton Aiken; Mr. Robert Miller, Assistant Secretary, Maryland Departme nt of Economic and Community Development, had a last-minute pressing problem and couldn't be here.

With your permission I would like to read a prepared statement.

This is Ms. Sam Heath, Director of Division of Home Financing of the Department.

Mr. Miller is Assistant Secretary, and in his capacity he is responsible for a number of housing programs of the State. They are directed toward helping families attain homeownership. Although there are a number of other programs concerned with rental occupancy, our remarks will be addressed to homeownership activities only.

2.4

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

There are essentially three programs available with the state for homeownership: Maryland Housing Fund, Maryland Home Finance Program, and Development and Financing Program.

The Maryland Housing Fund is an insurance program enacted in 1971 by the Maryland General Assembly. The purpose of the fund is to stimulate investment of capital in the Maryland mortgage market. It provides mortgage guarantee insurance to qualified lending institutions in the state. This coverage protects the lender against loss in nonpayment of mortgages and thereby expends housing opportunities in Maryland.

Under the fund they attempt to establish individual programs to meet local needs that would help in stimulating investment for purchase of single family residences. An example of this is the Baltimore City Pilot Program where we insure the total mortgate loan up to a stipulated amount. This pilot program has generated 310 insured loans for a face value of over \$2-1/2 million.

We also have regular programs for 438 loans at face value of \$7-1/2\$ million.

On page 7 of our enclosed summary -- I think we handed that out, you may have a copy -- we have identified short mortgage loan characteristics: 82 percent of the families investing \$18,000 a year; 21 percent single heads of

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

households; other single heads of households, 32 percent were female; 48 percent of the families were under 30 years of age; the average property value was \$15,500.

Maryland Home Financing Program was enacted by the General Assembly in 1972 to provide direct loans at a preferred rate of interest to residents of the state unable to obtain mortgate financing from conventional financial institutions at favorable terms and rates. This program was essentially for families seeking homeownership for the first time. This is on page 9 and 10.

Mortgage characteristics are now broken down on this program; however, relative statistics indicate that average age of the applicant is 30, with family size of 3; over 95 percent of the mortgages made were for families with an annual income of less than \$15,000; average mortgage amount about \$21,000. Mortgage money under this program is directed toward every political subdivision within the state.

The Development and Finance Program is a more recent effort by the state to produce housing in those areas where there is a shortage of families of moderate income.

Our first step in this area was a 116-unit project in Columbia, which is now being marketed by the sponsor,

Thomas B, Harkinson, Incorporated. Efforts are being directed at families who normally would not be able to pay for

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

financing from private sources, or where there is limited investment capacity or limited availability of housing at a price meeting income needs.

BOOTH: We were told earlier today that federally insured -- federally charted savings and loans cannot take advantage of Maryland's Housing guarantee?

AIKEN: They can't. The housing fund is available to Maryland S&L's. However, federally chartered savings and loan cannot make a loan, a hundred percent of loan value; they can make a 90 percent loan under our city pilot program or even our regular program.

BOOTH: I see what you are saying.

AKIEN: They must require 10 percent downpayment; however, that 90 percent loan can be insured and guaranteed 100 percent under the pilot program, provided there is a loan limitation in the city -- a 3-bedroom -- we just increased these -- 3-bedroom was originally \$14,000 max sales price; it increased from \$14,000 to \$16,000 for a 3-bedroom; and the original 4-bedroom, \$16,000 has increased to \$18,000. So there are a number of federal institutions in the city using us a great deal. As I say, out of 300 loans under this special pilot program a goodly number of those loans were from federally chartered savings institutions.

BOOTH: Would there be more opportunity for minorities if federally-chartered savings and loans could

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

tape 5 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

issue 100 percent?

AIKEN: Yes. We don't keep any particular statistics on minorities. We can go into female because of the application of the individual, but we did have an actuarial study of our program, and we found in general the institutions have availed themselves of the housing funds, are directing their loans to the minority singles.

So in effect actually we have \$2-1/2 million special pilot program, the state will guarantee the entire loan amount.

We have another 300 loans in the city of Baltimore of about \$5 million, where we will cover a top 20 or 25 percent portion of that loan. In other words, the exposure of the lending institution --

So really what we are saying is that the lending institutions in the City of Baltimore are using this fund, are directing their loans towards minorities and females, because, really, basically, the City is made up majority-wise of minority groups. So of 600 loans insured let's say the great bulk of those loans were towards minority and singles.

So by use of the fund lending institutions can avail themselves of that type of loan, and they are doing it.

> BOOTH: How about other programs you have?

Well --AIKEN:

2

BOOTH: Are they doing these in the city?

3 4

HEATH: Mr. Windsor's group, as he said, was

And other than that, people apply to us directly.

In this activity on page 11 you will find that

5

an agency for us in the Baltimore city area and referrals

come also from real estate people. Industry is very familiar

The read about our program or heard about our program

somewhere, neighbors told them about it. So they apply

\$30 million we have spent since May of '73, -- we're out of

So I think we really do get into the city.

We do keep statistics on single heads of household, but a

federally chartered S&L's are using the state, Maryland State

We also do not keep statistics on minorities.

First, is it possible that some of the-

money right now, have been since June -- '22.72 percent

has gone into the city; and that compared to a state

population, that proportion is 23.10 percent.

6

with our program.

directly to us.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

That is highly unlikely. AIKEN:

24

DARDEN: The second question:

could not tell you whether it was male or female.

DARDEN:

Housing Fund and don't know it?

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Is it likely that some of what we have heard

·

_

~

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

to be the \$30 million pledge, you know, lending that was made in Baltimore City, also includes the loans you are talking about?

AIKEN: I would, not knowing for certain I would have to say yes, depending on the availability of the funds, when they committed out, how they committed I don't know. But I would assume that some of it was insured by Maryland.

I can only make that assumption. There's no way of telling you for sure. That would be up to them to say.

I would just have to make the assumption they did.

DARDEN: An S&L makes a loan under the Housing Fund that is insured by you, does that represent any risk to them, you know?

AIKEN: Depending on what type of loan they make, if it is made only with 5 or 10 percent down, the federal savings would have to have some type of insurance. In any loans federals make where the home value is over 75 or 80 percent, they must have some insurance whether it be VA, FHA or private mortgage insurance; they are restricted by regulations.

DARDEN: When you say "insurance" you mean' insurance to cover the difference or the entire --

AIKEN: Not the entire, just the difference.

One feature of the Maryland Housing Fund is based

.ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

on providing -- we can guarantee that loan 100 percent,
the entire amount; we will cover the entire risk. Otherwise
they put down 25 percent to cover that top 20 percent.

A 10 percent down will cover the top 20 percent. So in effect
it brings down the exposure in a loan value of the institution
whereby if applicant puts down 10 percent, we cover the top
20 percent. So it brings it down to an effective ratio
of 76 percent.

DARDEN: So any loan you make is easily considered a bankable loan?

AIKEN: They though it was a bankable loan to start with, but if it didn't meet the requirements of regulations covering federal S&L's as to the loan amount the state acts as the vehicle to provide housing for low and moderate income persons which encompasses a great many of the minority and single.

We are not finished. We are continuing on, hopefully, there will be other programs.

WICKWIRE: I have one question:

People know about your services?

AIKEN: As far as this housing fund there is a constant contact with the lending institutions, personal visits, letters; this is an ongoing thing, trying to promote lending institutions who are not members of the fund to become members of the fund.

.ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Presently we have some 71 institutions throughout the state. In the City of Baltimore we have over 45 members who are members now. Most of your real estate industry is aware of the program, and the direct loan program. It's been in the new media. Everyone is quite well aware of the program.

HEATH: They are so aware of our funds that our first \$10 million allocation lasted not counting the moratorium we imposed in some areas for five months; and the secone \$20 million lasted 8 months. So they are very well aware that we are there.

BOOTH: The pilot project, how long did that last?

AIKEN: The insurance will last as long as we feel it should be necessary or until the loan is paid off.

BOOTH: How long will the pilot program last?

AIKEN: That's in effect for infinity.

BOOTH: It's not a pilot in the sense it's limited in time?

AIKEN: No, it's an open-ended program, it will go on as long as there are people willing to use the program.

BOOTH: Any other questions?

(No response.)

BOOTH: I just want to say that our last witness was to have been Mr. Warwick from the Federal Home Loan

وسبكاء سالا مستعطين ويتوسيق والمائية والمتعادية والمتعادة والمتعادية والمتعادية والمتعادية والمتعادية والمتعادية والمتعاد

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

•

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

Bank Board. We received a letter from him on Monday saying he was -- it was impossible for him to be here, and we have written a letter in return including the questions we would have asked had he come.

So we would like to enter both of these letters into the record.

(The documents follow:)

Mr. Bert Booth, Chairperson

9/26/75

Maryland Advisory to the U.S.

Commission on Civil Rights

Mid-Atlantic Regional Office

2120 L Street, N. W.

Housing Taskforce

Room 510

Washington, D. C. 20037

Dear Mr. Booth:

Thank you for your letter of September 18, 1975 describing your upcoming two-day public hearing on urban disinvestment in Baltimore and your letter of September 24 requesting that I make a presentation on Thursday, October 2, 1975, at 4:00 p.m. Unfortunately, my schedule on those two days is such that I will not be able to appear in person to participate in this informal hearing.

To assist you in your examination of certain aspects of mortgage lending in Baltimore, I am enclosing a copy of a

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

statement containing the Board's thoughs on a number of issues related to urban disinvestment, originally presented by former Chairman Thomas R. Bomar to the Senate Committee on Banking, HOusing and urban affairs on May 7, 1975. Attached to this statement are the Federal Home Loan Bank Board's nondiscrimination regulations, related legal opinions from the Board's Office of General Counsel, information concerning minorityowned savings and loan associations, and a number of items describing various aspects of the Board's Neighborhood Housing Services program and its Urban Reinvestment Taskforce. A complete listing of these attachments appears at pages 19 and 20 of the statement. I am also enclosing a copy of a major address by Acting Chairman Garth Marston entitled "Fulfilling the Promise of Urban Neighborhoods," which he delieved to the California Savings and Loan League Annual Convention on Wednesday, September 24, 1975.

Although it is unfortunately too late to be of assistance to you in your upcoming hearings, I might also suggest that future questions which might arise concerning federally-chartered FSLIC-insured savings and loan associations in the State of Maryland be addressed to Mr. Carl O. Camp, Jr., President of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta and the Board's principal Supervisory Agent for District Four, which includes the State of Maryland. The Bank Board's Office of Housing and Urban Affairs here

2

1

5

6

7

8

Q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

erai keporters, inc. 25 in Washington works with and provides assistance to all 12 Federal Home Loan Banks in urban affairs matters, but the Regional banks themselves are usually the best source of information concerning specific cities and states.

Again, I regret that I will be unable to appear at the hearings you have scheduled next week, but I would be pleased to attempt to respond as soon as possible to any questions which you may have about the materials I am enclosing which might arise during the course of these hearings.

Sincerely,

(SIGNED)

ROBERT S. WARWICK

Deputy Director -

Office of Housing and Urban

Affairs

Federal Home Loan Bank Board

320 First Street, N. W.

Washington, D. C. 20552

Enclosures.

Mr. Robert S. Warwick

Deputy Director

Office of Housing and Urban

Affairs

3

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

Federal Home Loan Bank Board 320 First Street, N. W.

Washington, D. C. 20552

Dear Mr. Warwick:

Thank you for your letter of September 27, 1975, informing us of the scheduling conflicts which prevent you from participating in the Maryland Advisory Committee's hearing on urban disinvestment on October 1 and 2, 1975 in Baltimore.

As you know, we had hoped that either you or any other representative of the Federal Home Loan Bank Board would be able to provide important information about home mortgage lending and employment practices in Baltimore savings and loan institutions at our public hearing. addition, we had hoped to ask you or another representaive of the Federal Home Loan Bank Board the following questions during our hearing:

- How do you think the number of decision-making 1. positions for qualified women and minority group members may be increased in savings and loan institutions?
- 2. What monitoring means does the Federal Home Loan Bank Board employ to ascertain compliance with its nondiscrimination regulations by savings and loan institutions?
- What steps does the Federal Home Loan Bank 3. Board take to ensure future compliance when noncompliance

is discovered?

4. How do you think the number of home mortgage loans to minorities and women may be increased by savings and loan institutions?

5. What were the results of the pilot fair housing study conducted by the Federal Home Loan Bank Board?

Although we recognize that time constraints will not allow you to personally participate in our hearings this week, we respectfully request that you provide us with written answers to the foregoing questions so that your information can be included in our written report to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

Thank you for sending us the materials regarding the Federal Home Loan Bank Board's nondiscrimination . regulations as your staff requested several weeks ago. We appreciate your cooperation and will be back in touch with you.

Cordially,

BERT BOOTH, Chairperson

20 Housing Taskforce

Maryland Advisory Committee to the U. S.

Commission on Civil Rights.

BOOTH: I believe that will conclude our hearing.

For two days, the Maryland Advisory Committee to the

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

rb241

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

U. S. Commission on Civil Rights has been conducting
a public hearing focused on urban disinvestment, specifically
mortgage lending and employment practices of federally
chartered or insured savings and loan institutions in
Baltimore. Based on the information gathered in these
hearings, and by staff and committee investigation, the
Maryland Advisory Committee will submit a public report of its
findings, conclusions and recommendations to the U. S.
Commission on Civil Rights. The Committee intends to
direct recommendations to the Commission itself, to federal
and state authorities charged with regulating savings and
loan institutions, and to other organizations or agencies
as appropriate.

The Committee is deeply grateful to the individuals, organizations, and agencies whose cooperation made this hearing possible. Your thoughtful comments have been enlightening and helpful.

If there is anyone in the audience who would like to offer information for consideration by the Committee, please submit your statement in writing to the Maryland Advisory Committee to the U. S. Commission on Civil Rights, 2120 L Street, N. W., Washington, D. C. 20037, by November 1, 1975.

Commission staff people are wearing badges and will be happy to receive your written statements or answer

ġ

:e-Federal Reporters, Inc.

your questions today.

Reports by the U. S. Commission on Civil Rights are available to all on the back table in this room.

Thank you for being with us. The hearing is adjourned.

> (Whereupon, at 6:10 p.m., Thursday, 2 October 1975, the hearing was adjourned.)