MARYLAND ADVISORY COMMITTEE 2 TO THE 3 UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS 4 5 6 PUBLIC HEARING 7 on 8 MORTGAGE LENDING AND EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY IN 9 BALTIMORE SAVINGS AND LOAN INSTITUTIONS 10 11 Room 1208 12 G. H. Fallon Federal Building 31 Hopkins Plaza 13 Baltimore, Maryland Wednesday, 1 October 1975 14 15 The public hearing was convened at 10:00 a.m. 16 BEFORE: 17 MARJORIE K. SMITH, Chairperson, Maryland Advisory Committee 18 BERT BOOTH, Chairperson, Housing Task Force, Maryland 19 Advisory Committee 20 21 MARY LOKER, WILLIAM J. THOMPSON, and CHESTER WICKWIRE, Members, Maryland Advisory Committee 22 ROBERT JEFFERS, VICTORIA SQUIER, NATALIE PROCTOR 23

EILEEN SIEDMAN, Commission Staff

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

24

EDWARD DARDEN, Field Representative, maryland Advisory

$\underline{\mathbf{C}} \ \underline{\mathbf{O}} \ \underline{\mathbf{N}} \ \underline{\mathbf{T}} \ \underline{\mathbf{E}} \ \underline{\mathbf{N}} \ \underline{\mathbf{T}} \ \underline{\mathbf{S}}$

- 1		
2		Page
3	John McCauley, for the Honorable W. D. Schaefer, Mayor of Baltimore, Maryland	9
5	Albert DeSalvo and David Gillece, Citizens Planning and Housing Association	12
7	Robert Hecht, President, Baltimore Federal Savings and Loan	36
9	James Vitarello, D. C. Public Interest Research Group	64
10	Fr. Vincent Quayle, St. Ambrose Housing Aid Center	90
12	Millie Jones, North East Community Organization	112
13 14	George Laurent, Baltimore Neighbors, Inc.	123
15	Msgr. Geno Baroni and Karen Kollias, National Center for Urban Ethnic Affairs	150
17	R. L. Johnson, R. L. Johnson Realty Company	187
18		
[

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

PROCEEDINGS

SMITH: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

This public hearing of the Maryland Advisory

Committee to the U. S. Commission on Civil Rights will come
to order.

I am Marjorie K. Smith, of Baltimore, and I Chair the Maryland Advisory Committee to the U. S. Commission on Civil Rights.

The members of the Advisory Committee who will participate in this hearing are -- starting at your left, at the end of the table, Mary Loker, Bill Thompson, Bert Booth, Chester Wickwire and myself.

Other members of the Advisory Committee who will not participate in this hearing are Rudolph Cane, Daniel Nitzberg, Lane Berk, Calvin Burnett, Jacqueline Fassett, John H. Murphy III, R. Taylor McLean, Janet Patrick and Lucille Metheny.

Commission staff appearing with us today are Robert Jeffers, who will act as counsel of the Committee, and who is sitting to your left of Mrs. Booth, Victoria Squier at the far left, Natalie Proctor and Eileen Siedman of the Regional Office of the Commission.

On my left is Ed Darden, who is Field Representative to the Maryland Advisory Committee.

I now turn the meeting over to Bert Booth, who

Chairs the Housing Task Force of this Committee.

BOOTH: Good morning.

This hearing is being held pursuant to rules applicable to State Advisory Committees and other requirements which are promulgated by the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

The Commission on Civil Rights is an independent agency of the United States established by Congress in 1957 and authorized by the Civil Rights Act since then in 1957, 1960 and 1964.

It's charged to investigate complaints alleging that citizens are being deprived of their right to vote by reason of their race, color, religion, national origin or sex; to study and collect information concerning legal developments which constitute a denial of equal protection of the laws under the Constitution; to appraise Federal laws and policies with respect to equal protection; to serve as a national clearinghouse for civil rights information; and to investigate allegations of vote fraud.

I'd like to emphasize now that this is an informal hearing and not an adversary proceeding. Individuals have been invited to come and to share with the Committee information relating to home mortgage lending practices of savings and loan institutions in Baltimore. Each person who will participate has voluntarily agreed to meet with the Committee.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Every effort has been made to invite people who are knowledgeable about the problems and progress in the area that we're dealing with today.

In an effort to get a well-balanced picture, we've invited savings and loan officials, public officials, representatives of community organizations and concerned citizens.

Since this is a public hearing, of course the press, radio and television stations, as well as individual citizens are welcome to attend.

Any person discussing a matter with the Committee, however, may specifically request, if he wishes, that he or she not be televised. And in that case we will comply with those wishes.

We are very concerned that we obtain all of the information relating to this matter that we're working on.

We are also concerned, however, that no individual be the victim of slander or libelous statements. As a precaution against this each person making a statement today or tomorrow, or answering questions, has been interviewed prior to the meeting. However, if such a situation should develop -- and we think it most unlikely -- but if it should, it may be necessary for us to call this to the attention of the person speaking, and ask that he or she desist from the statement.

If the testimony the person is offering, however, is important to the Committee's findings, it may be necessary

1

7

8

9

6

10

12

11

14

13

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

25

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

for us to hear the information in a closed session. case, the person against whom the allegations are being made will have an opportunity to make a statement in closed session before the Committee if he desires.

In any event, before the report of this Committee is published every effort will be made to get complete documentation of the facts.

This hearing has evolved from the 1970 hearings held in Baltimore County by the U. S. Commission on Civil These were hearings devoted to the question of Rights. suburban access. As a result of those, the State Advisory Committee was charged to follow up the findings.

The Housing Task Force has concerned itself, or did concern itself initially, with County housing problems and policies. However, we soon realized that housing opportunities in the City were of crucial importance to the whole picture in the whole area. Thus we came to focus about two years ago on the availability of housing and home ownership in the City and what factors influenced that.

Our purpose in the next two days is to determine what, if any, changes are needed to bring about better service to minority neighborhoods and women in home mortgage lending. We're also concerned with the need to increase opportunities of participation by minority persons and women in all employment categories of Baltimore savings and loans

institutions.

7

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

We hope by obtaining information directly from all those involved or concerned that we shall be able to recommend action which will ensure equal opportunity for everyone.

At the conclusion of today's testimony anyone wishing to appear in open session who has not previously been contacted by us should notify Eileen Siedman, who is a member of our staff -- and Miss Siedman is sitting on the back row; she just raised her hand -- and we can include you in some way.

Now, we have on the Federal books a new law which I must brief you on before we begin. So this is our next procedure.

This is a new enactment called the Privacy Act, which became effective on September 27 of this year, so it's a brand new act. Pursuant to this, the United States

Commission on Civil Rights and the State Advisory Committees are required to inform the public and individuals from whom it collects information of their statutory authority to collect this information.

The Commission's authority to do so is set out in 42 United States Code Section 1975d. The Advisory Committees' authority is set out in 42 United States Code Section 1975d(c).

Any information collected by this Advisory

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Committee from any individuals prior to this hearing was given voluntarily. All individuals participating in this hearing have volunteered to do so, and any information this Advisory Committee collects from you during the course of this hearing will be voluntarily supplied by you.

The Advisory Committee will not impose any sanctions on any individual who chooses not to answer a particular question or to divulge certain information.

The Advisory Committee's principal purpose for collecting information relating to the savings and loan industry is to obtain enough information for the Committee to prepare a report to the United States Commission on Civil Rights regarding the employment and home mortgage lending practices of savings and loan institutions in Baltimore, Maryland.

Information obtained during the course of this hearing will also be used for other purposes, as set out in the United States Commission on Civil Rights' Notice in the Federal Register, pursuant to the Privacy Act concerning its State Advisory Committees' project files.

Copies of the U. S. Commission on Civil Rights' statement on the relationship of the Privacy Act of 1974 to information collected by the Commission and its Advisory Committees are available to all participants and to members of the audience. They are located on the table at the back

_

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

of the room, and if you'd like to you certainly may pick one up.

Also on the table in the back of the room are copies of other reports which have been made and published by the United States Commission on Civil Rights at various times. These are also there for your information if you'd like to take some with you too.

Our first participant this morning is John
McCauley, who is the Deputy Commissioner for the Baltimore
Department of Housing and Community Development.

Good morning.

MC CAULEY: Good morning.

BOOTH: Mr. McCauley is going to extend greetings to us on behalf of the Mayor, and open our hearing with a brief description of housing problems and progress in the City.

MC CAULEY: Thank you.

Well, I don't know if it'll be that extensive, but we'll do what we can.

Miss Smith, Miss Booth, members of the Maryland Advisory Committee to the U. S. Commission on Civil Rights:

I'm here representing Mayor Schaefer, who has asked me to extend his greetings to you, and welcome, this first day of your hearings.

We understand that you'll be gathering information

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

concerning the mortgage lending and employment practices of the savings and loan institutions. We understand the need for such information gathering hearings as you're undertaking here, and I'm sure the Mayor is going to read very carefully the published record when it's completed.

It is also important, we think, in a City like Baltimore, that we understand and that all citizens have a good understanding and confidence in the essential fairness of its many institutions. This is particularly important with respect to savings and loan institutions.

Of course, as we know, the City and specific neighborhoods in the City are very much affected by mortgage lending practices and policies, and, indeed, the mortgage lending institution has the power to seriously retard the development and stability of neighborhoods.

We look forward to what is developed in that area.

We note that you have a very extensive agenda that includes many people, knowledgeable people, from the City and other places, who will, I'm sure, have a lot of interesting and productive things to say about the purpose of your meeting here this morning.

On behalf of the Mayor let me say that if there's any assistance the City can provide while you're in Baltimore City, please let us know, and we hope that your meeting is productive, and we do look forward to seeing your final

reports.

I think with that I'll close, and if there's anything I could answer for the Committee I'll be glad to do so.

BOOTH: Any questions?

(No response.)

BOOTH: Maybe we'll reserve the right to come back to you later.

MC CAULEY: Okay. I intend to attend the meeting every chance I get.

BOOTH: Thank you.

The next people we've asked to testify are representatives from the Citizens Planning and Housing Association.

Is Mr. Dave Gillece here?

GILLECE: I am, but Al De Salvo is not.

BOOTH: Do you want to wait for him?

GILLECE: He'll be here in a few minutes.

BOOTH: Okay. We can wait for him.

Is Jim Vitarello here?

(No response.)

BOOTH: How about Mr. Hecht?

(No response.)

BOOTH: Well, I think we're going to have to take a ten-minute break, or so, and wait until some of our people show up. So we'll break until 10:30, and then resume.

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

22

23

1 |

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

(Recess.)

BOOTH: May we reconvene?

We now will hear from Albert De Salvo, who is the Executive Director of the Citizens Planning and Housing Association, and David Gillece.

JEFFERS: Before we start asking you some questions
I just want to emphasize on behalf of the Committee that
this is not an adversary hearing, but that we're simply
taking a broad look at the problems of employment and lending
practices in Baltimore savings and loan industry. And so
we want to emphasize the fact that we're going to request
all of our participants to not name any individuals or any
specific institutions, simply because we only want the broad
picture and we want to look at the problem, and not any
individuals or institutions.

So with that, we'll begin the questioning.

Bill, I want to turn those over to you. We got their names and addresses already.

THOMPSON: Okay. Fine.

Would you please give us the goals and objectives of your organization?

DE SALVO: Yes. The Citizens Planning and Housing Association is a private, non-profit 501(c)3 citizens organization with offices in Baltimore City and Baltimore County.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

CPHA has been in existence for 34 years. We have a membership of about 2800 people and institutions and businesses, including about 150 businesses and over 200 City and County improvement associations.

CPHA exists basically to support informed citizen action, particularly in the areas of planning, housing and zoning.

THOMPSON: Please describe some of CPHA's studies which contain information about some mortgage loans. Now, first we'd like to ask you to describe the changing patterns in the home mortgage loan market, and present the reasons for these changes, during the period January 1972 through June 1975.

Secondly, would you please describe the pressures which affected the market?

DE SALVO: Okay, I'd like to -- if you could just hang on a second, let me talk to Dave about that and see how we want to best make our presentation.

(Pause.)

I was wondering if the Committee or the Commission would be interested in hearing an initial presentation by us on some general comments and a statement we have for the Commission prior to the questions, which may help put some of the questions in perspective?

Would you like to proceed that way, or just ask

the questions directly?

2

1

BOOTH: No, I think that's fine.

3

That's fine. Would you please do that? THOMPSON:

4

DE SALVO: Fine. I would indicate, prior to our

5

discussion with you, that we have a statement but it's not

6

ready for distribution in terms of giving everybody a copy.

7

So we'll discuss our findings in the statement, and the

8

statement will be ready for distribution in a few days, after

9

it's typed and properly run off.

10

It would indicate that for nearly two years now

11

the Citizens Planning and Housing Association has been keenly

12

interested in the effect of mortgage lending practices and

13

patterns on the vitality of the area.

14

The CPHA mortgage monitoring project commenced in

15

February of '74 has produced quarterly evaluations of invest-

16

ment performance levels of local lending institutions. CPHA

17

experience with this study, as well as our continued interest

18

in the issue of urban investment and its effects on our

19 20

community have left us with certain rather firm impressions

I'd like to pause here before getting into the

21

that we trust may be of some interest to the Commission.

22

specifics to indicate that we are not going to address

23

ourselves -- and we cannot address ourselves -- to the

24

subject matter that was sent in a letter of September 24th

indicating the interest in equal opportunities for minorities

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

and women. That's something I think other groups might address themselves to. We want to deal with the lending practices, specifically.

Mortgage investment priority surfaced as a major issue in 1974, and the issue peaked in early '74 as State legislation in Maryland to increase the usury limit to 10 percent brought certain demands from City legislators. The City's claim, at its simplest, argued that an increased usury limit was unacceptable without some guarantee that significant amounts of mortgage dollars would be channeled into Baltimore City.

In an exchange for the authority to place mortgages at an increased rate, the lending institutions pledged \$45 million in mortgages for Baltimore City. I think that we felt the actual dollar amount of that commitment was hardly as significant as the fact that an actual articulated commitment to City homes and City residents had been made by lending institutions.

A commitment such as this one, it was hoped, would be a very significant first step in wiping out the specters of redlining and urban disinvestment which haunted so many of our City's older neighborhoods.

In addition to the financial commitment that I indicated, the lending institutions made promises which could be even more important to the mortgage market in

1

7 8

9

5

6

10

11

12 13

15

14

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

Baltimore City; that is, many of the restrictive practices applied by the institutions would be dropped, such as the age of the house, the width of the house -- a major factor, of course, in Baltimore City's row-house market -- the size, and that kind of thing. In the past many lenders would not place loans on homes more than 20 years old, a practice which obviously excluded a majority of Baltimore City, and the working and middle-class areas of Baltimore County, particularly in the southeast and southwest.

In February CPHA appeared before the Maryland House Economic Matters Committee and testified in favor of the increase in the ceiling on home mortgages from eight percent to ten percent. Our organization took this move with rather extreme reluctance, only after intensive study showed that it would be impossible for moderate and middle-income home buyers to either sell or to purchase a new home in Baltimore City or Baltimore County.

CPHA's statistics showed that in the last quarter of 1973 the conventional mortgages declined to 30 percent of the City market, and, more importantly, cash sales and private mortgages increased to 55 percent of the market in Baltimore City.

CPHA's testimony before the House Economic Matters Committee stated in part -- and I quote:

"The clear impression we have received from

- -

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. our study is that the present eight-percent ceiling is denying mortgage opportunities to all but the most wealthy of our citizens. The increase of cash and private mortgages denies participation to all but the wealthy or the investment purchaser."

The facts on Baltimore County were equally startling. CPHA found that conventional mortgages had declined from 80 percent of the market to 67 percent across the County, and that in most working-class or middle-class areas that the decline was rather sharper.

For these reasons, CPHA endorsed the temporary increase in the mortgage ceiling and committed itself to monitor mortgages in order to test the commitment of the banks and savings and loans.

I would also indicate that CPHA has been very active in researching and in testifying in favor of Senate Bill Number 1281 that just recently was passed by the United States Senate and reported favorably out of Senator William Proxmire's committee.

I'd like to turn the rest of the presentation over to CPHA's Deputy Director, David Gillece, who will talk more specifically about the effects of mortgage lending practices, as we have seen them, over the last couple of years in Baltimore City, and he will also give you an indication as to where we see our organization going from

here on out with the mortgage program.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

GILLECE: Thank you.

As Al mentioned, starting in approximately February of 1974, CPHA initiated what we call a mortgage monitoring project. I'm going to try not to get into the specific details, specific banks that we have analyzed in that project, but rather I would like to give you an indication of some general impressions that we have gained as to what's happening in terms of mortgages, what happens in terms of how to look at mortgages, and certain cautions in that regard.

I'd like to make four basic overviews:

One, that the issue of mortgage lending practices is a very complex one, and as such, it defies facile explanations and conclusions. Such, unfortunately, is particularly the case in dealing with discriminatory practices in the industry.

The most fundamental difficulty surfaces because of the lack of available data with which to adequately analyze lending practices. And I'd like to give you the example of the CPHA project.

The CPHA was able to obtain enough data to compare mortgage lending totals in Baltimore City with those in Baltimore County. The reason our project broke down that way was, quite simply, because that's the form that the data is available in today in Baltimore City, primarily because of

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

the publication of the LUSK reports in this area, something that doesn't exist on a nationwide basis. Those LUSK reports merely are broken down into City and County reports. In the County there is some differentiation in terms of an election district breakdown, which we similarly used indicating, as Al mentioned earlier, certain discrepancies within Baltimore County, particularly in working-class areas.

After comparing those totals we quickly learned that there were many limitations to such an approach. While Baltimore County obviously garnered approximately twice as many mortgage dollars as did Baltimore City, we soon discovered that certain working-class areas of Baltimore County were experiencing the same disinvestment syndrome that plagued many of the City's older neighborhoods.

When certain improvements became obvious in the City's mortgage profile during the third quarter of 1974, we quickly realized that such improvements were not spread evenly across the City, as some neighborhoods continued to attract virtually no conventional mortgage dollars. Other limitations surfaced as well.

Were savings and loan institutions offering mortgages under less favorable terms in one locale than in another? What we mean here is that the mere existence of conventional mortgages in a given neighborhood or in a given geographical area does not mean that there are equal lending

ľ

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

practices going on. There are subtle ways of discrimination.

The terms of the mortgage can be worse. For example, you can require a higher down payment, you can shorten the term of the loan, you can charge a higher interest rate or, in the case where points are allowable, higher points might be charged in older neighborhoods than they are in newer suburban neighborhoods.

Were applicants being rejected for morgages on other than legitimate grounds? We had no way of finding that out.

Where were the investments of individual institutions going? To Baltimore, to Baltimore County, Columbia, to Ocean City, or out of the State? Once again, we had no way of quantifying that material, because our available data was limited to LUSK reports, which merely gave us totals for Baltimore City and Baltimore County.

Unfortunately, these are but a few of the factors that must be weighed if one is to make any competent appraisal of the issues of mortgage lending practices and its effect on city neighborhoods.

Our second overview is the fact that, though the issue undoubtedly remains a complex one, our experience has made it clear that citizen and governmental action can produce favorable results. Locally, little pressure has been exerted on lending institutions until the winter of 1973 and

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

the spring of 1974, during which time the lending industry was lobbying heavily for the passage of legislation that would raise the State usury limit from 8 to 10 percent.

During negotiations over that issue, the City of Baltimore obtained a pledge from the lending industry that it would place \$45 million of mortgages in Baltimore City during 1974.

Cognizant of the importance of that pledge, CPHA announced that it would undertake a monitoring project whereby that \$45 million commitment might be evaluated. Incidentally, the \$45 million figure was attained.

More interesting, however, was the change in lending profiles experienced by several of the lending institutions of the Baltimore area during that period.

In 1974, the ten largest savings and loan associations in the area placed 720 mortgages in the City of Baltimore. In 1974, those same institutions placed 1276 mortgages, or some 177 percent of the previous year's total.

The performance of the three largest S&L's, who incidentally underwent the greatest scrutiny during the press coverage of this issue of the \$45 million commitment, the performance of these S&L's was particularly improved. Loyola Federal Savings & Loan placed some 235 loans in the City in 1974, compared to an average of 29 per year in the

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

period 1970 through 1973. Baltimore Federal Savings & Loan led all S&L's in 1974 --

BOOTH: Excuse me. Would you just not mention the institutions by name?

GILLECE: Okay, fine.

The second largest savings and loan led all S&L's in 1974 with some 588 city mortgages, compared to an average annual output of 65 for the preceding four years. And the third largest S&L placed 66 city mortgages in 1974, compared to a total -- a total of 76 mortgages for the entire four preceding years.

The point quite clearly here is 1974 was the first time that there was any citizen or governmental pressure on the savings and loan institutions in the City of Baltimore to properly service this area. What we evidenced during that year was dramatic gains in the commitments of those S&L's, particularly those large S&L's I just indicated.

Other encouraging signs surfaced as well.

I think I can mention the name here, because I'm sure it will be mentioned later anyway. Baltimore Federal Savings & Loan announced its Dedicated Dollar Program, in which individual savers can earmark their savings dollars for mortgage investment in specific neighborhoods. This program has obtained national attention as being one of the most creative affirmative marketing devices of any savings

and loan in the nation.

Positive programs such as this one are welcome departures from the "free gift" philosophy of savings account incentives that we had to live with in the past.

A third general overview is that garnering additional mortgage dollars for heretofore neglected areas is in many respects an educational problem. I'd like to deal with that in several areas.

It has taken a great educational effort on the part of many persons and many organizations to destroy the myth that older housing and city housing are bad risks. Supporters of lending policies that exclude certain areas for mortgage dollars have claimed in the past that good business, combined with fiduciary trust to their depositors, have dictated such practices. All too often, however, such practices have meant the deterioration of otherwise stable neighborhoods.

The renaissance of many of Baltimore's older neighborhoods have helped dispel that myth, as has the growing evidence of viable, integrated urban communities within the City of Baltimore.

Additionally, sales data for the City of Baltimore has revealed a steady appreciation of City property values, the average sales price of city housing having risen from \$12,400 in 1972, to \$13,700 in 1973, to \$16,400 in 1974. It is of paramount importance that these new realities be conveyed

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

керопегs, Inc. to the area lenders.

Mortgage loans in the City of Baltimore reflect not merely good-faith gestures on the part of the lenders chartered to do business in the area, but rather the sound business practices that in no way breach that fiduciary trust.

Additionally, on that educational issue, we might add that mere disclosure of lending on a geographic basis may reveal that the aggregage impact of lending policies by institutions that are to this point unaware of the actual patterns formed by their loans. Redlining and disinvestment may be as much a result of oversight as of any conscious effort to avoid a specific area. That might sound a little confusing, so let me explain it to you if I might.

A given savings & loan, it has become my impression from working in this area, may not know whether they have made a mortgage in Waverly, in Harlem Park or in Guilford over the past three years. They might know how many loans they have made, they might know if they made them in Baltimore City or not, but very often they do not know in what specific geographic areas loans have or have not been made.

What I am suggesting, therefore, is that redlining might not be the issue of the black-hat guy drawing the red line around a particular neighborhood, but rather the aggregate result of individual policies, of individual decisions on individual houses.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

undertaking will be required if the Bill recently passed out of Senate successfully makes its way through the House, will at least reveal to lenders the pattern of their lending practices. Armed with that information, I would then suggest, affirmative marketing campaigns can be much more easily induced upon the lenders, once they realize exactly what the aggregate impact of their lending policies is on a community. And I suggest that the lenders are not aware of the aggregate impact of their lending policies.

The fourth general area of overview is that, as we've indicated, it is a complex problem and that citizen action can work, and that educational programs are necessary, it remains tolerably clear to us that certain problems remain unresolved. And I'd like to turn to some specific data that CPHA has uncovered during the course of its mortgage monitoring reports.

Using some comparative figures for Baltimore City and Baltimore County developed over the period of 1974, first of all we note in Baltimore County mortgage bankers — that is, the mortgage bankers that deal primarily in FHA and VA insured loans, account for some 18 percent of the total mortgage market in Baltimore County.

Commercial banks and savings & loans in Baltimore
County account for some 82 percent of all mortgage transactions.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

The comparable figures for Baltimore City:

Mortgage bankers account for 27 percent; commercial banks and savings & loans for 73 percent.

It would take a long time to get into exactly what that data means, but I might refresh your memory with certain of the problems that have surfaced within the past six months concerning commercial bankers and the fast-foreclosure problems that have been occurring all over the country.

Those fast-foreclosure problems— and I think Fr. Quayle will probably talk more about this — are occurring primarily in the mortgage banking industry. It is a general thesis that it is preferable for the community to have as high a percentage of commercial bank and savings & loan investments in the area as opposed to mortgage bankers, or going even further down the line to private or cash sales.

Cash sales in the City of Baltimore, even though we've seen some improvement, cash sales continue to account for 30 percent of all housing transactions within this City.

Cash sales, once again, I think we can make the assumption that few home owners — that is, few average citizens who are buying a home — are able to pay for that home in cash.

A higher frequency of cash sales necessarily means that there is a higher frequency of investment properties being purchased in a particular geographic area.

A third indicator is conventional mortgages.

Conventional mortgages in the City of Baltimore account for 34.1 percent of the total of all transactions. The comparative figure for Baltimore County is 59.1 percent.

I might add as an aside that I'm doing these
City-County comparisons rather reluctantly, and I'd remind
you that that is because of the limitation of data that I
spoke about before. Baltimore County shares many of the same
problems of Baltimore City, and it can't be dealt with as
an homogeneous political jurisdication. But I think the
comparisons of the totals are valuable and valid, and that
you see at least the comparison to an outlying political
jurisdiction, what kind of relationship there is between that
and Baltimore City.

A fourth indicator of the continuing problem could be indicated in the fact that the load of mortgage investments -- that is, the load being spread across the entire industry -- is not evenly spread. Let me give you an example:

The third quarter of 1974 saw the biggest gains in conventional mortgage activity in the City of Baltimore. \$20.2 million worth of mortgages -- this is total mortgages, not just conventional -- \$20.2 million in mortgages were placed in the City in the third quarter of '74. \$5.3 million of that total in the third quarter was placed by one savings & loan institution in the City of Baltimore. In other words,

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

26 percent, or roughly 26 percent, of every mortgage dollar in the City of Baltimore in the third quarter of 1974 was placed by one savings & loan institution. So even though we saw significant gains, those gains weren't spread across the board. There was one institution holding up more than its share during that period, and I think that you can draw the conclusions on the other side as to what the other institutions were or were not doing.

Another indicator of a problem:

A local savings & loan announced on their June 30 statement that some \$40-plus million of mortgages had been placed by that savings & loan institution; that is, for the first six months of 1975 a particular savings & loan had placed over \$40 million of mortgages. CPHA data for that institution indicated that only between \$1 and \$2 million were placed in the City of Baltimore. It leaves you the question: Where is the rest of that money going? And, again, I've got a problem with data, and I can't tell you where the rest of that money is going. I can tell you that approximately \$5 million went to Baltimore County, but I quite frankly have to scratch my head and ask where the rest of it went. It doesn't bode well for the City of Baltimore or an even spread of mortgage money getting into the City of Baltimore.

A final area that I'd like to discuss is the fact

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

that CPHA, aware of the weaknesses in just doing City-County comparisons and broad comparisons, is trying to launch a program in which we are going to do evaluations of specific neighborhoods in the City of Baltimore and what's happening in those specific neighborhoods.

There are a lot of problems with that type of research that I'm sure most of you are aware of. Problems such as converting census track material in order to match up with natural boundaries of neighborhoods. To date we've done some initial analysis. It's not perfect, and therefore I'm only going to give you some rough figures. But it can indicate some of the problems we're going to be looking into.

Matching up census tracks as closely as we could, we picked some sample neighborhoods. I'm going to give you some percentages of conventional mortgages -- or conventional mortgages as a percent of the total housing transaction market within those neighborhoods.

Although the City average for 1974 was 34.1

percent conventional: Waverly, approximately 28 percent

conventional; Homewood, approximately 80 percent conventional;

Edna Gardens-Lakeside, 45 percent; Mount Washington, 78

percent; Harlem Park, 3 percent; Mount Holly, 22 percent;

Remington, 18 percent; Bel Air Edison, 39 percent.

You see a rather large fluctuation there. I have

7

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

to caution you, however, that we can't really read a whole lot into that at this point, because there are several limitations in terms of the study that we haven't yet got into.

For example, we haven't been able to measure the demand for housing in any one of those particular areas. It could very easily be said that there were only 18 percent conventional because there really wasn't many applications made for conventional mortgages in that area. I don't know. I don't have the figures to dispute such a claim or to confirm such a claim.

We have no figures to date on the turnover factors within those particular neighborhoods. We haven't measured the terms of the loans within the particular neighborhoods, where you have neighborhoods that look like they might be middle-income, lower-income neighborhoods and a relatively high percentage of conventional mortgages.

Additionally, in terms of conventional mortgages, you would naturally expect wealthier neighborhoods to garner a higher level of conventional mortgages. You'd expect middle and lower-income neighborhoods to go more frequently the FHA or VA route because of a lack of capital assets in order to afford the large down payment usually associated with conventional mortgages.

I think the data is interesting, nonetheless, and

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. we're going to continue that project, hopefully refine it, and we would be glad to make that material available to the Commission upon its completion.

Concluding, then, I would trust that we haven't presented you with too muddled a picture. Progress in the Baltimore mortgage market has been clearly evidenced. Need for further action, however, is similarly clear.

Quantifying the gaps of where we are and where we should be, however, is a virtually impossible task.

Drawing clear lines of what is a good bank and what is a bad bank is similarly futile.

The most reasonable approach, we remain convinced, is one of citizen, governmental and industry cooperation.

We would be glad to entertain any questions.

THOMPSON: David, do you have any projections for the future of the Baltimore mortgage market? Do you want to specifically zero in on that?

The evidence that we've seen in the first half of 1975 has indicated to us that the gains we made in 1974 don't have to be viewed in a short-run frame of mind. We were worried in 1974 that maybe the only reason we were getting mortgage money in Baltimore was because the industry was living up to a particular \$45 million commitment. Evidence in the first half of this year indicates that those S&L's that

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

showed a dedication to the City in '74 are continuing to do so in '75.

If I went down the specific list with you, I could show you some that personally I don't think are doing as good a job as they should, and others that are doing exceptional jobs. But overall I don't see any depreciation in '75 over the levels we attained in 1974.

THOMPSON: In listening to some of your testimony it appears to me that there is a gap, or a strained relationship or no relationship between certain segments of the Baltimore communities and the savings & loans. Would you have any suggestions as to how this relationship might be improved, or how certain segments of the Baltimore community might have a better relationship with the savings & loans?

GILLECE: I would think that there would be several avenues, some of which could be started by the savings & loans, others of which could be started by the residents of the particular neighborhoods. I'll deal with the latter one first.

I've been very encouraged from what I have seen across the country in terms of greenlining efforts. That is, local communities getting together, pledging their savings into savings & loans institutions that, in return for their savings dollars pledges, make pledges that they will grant mortgages in that particular neighborhood.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Certain S&L spokesmen have called that bribery, and other nasty things, but it has worked in certain communities. If it's handled properly I think it can work very well.

A second thing that I think would work, and that would be starting from the S&L's, is that I think we should see more affirmative marketing on the part of savings & loan institutions, indicating not only their willingness but their desire to get involved in communities that in the past they have not been involved in.

DE SALVO: I think to add to that, the Federal Government's National Housing Service Program is one thing that we're hoping, too, would be a model to show savings & loans and city governments across the country that there can be good partnerships among community organizations, municipal government and the banking industry within that government.

I'm hoping, quite frankly, that the National
Housing Service will put itself out of business shortly,
because if it works it's hoped that what's happening, for
instance, in the eastern part of Baltimore City can be
duplicated on a voluntary basis by other organizations in
the City; most specifically, that a number of banks and
savings & loans would see that doing business in middle-class
or working-class neighborhoods is good business, and NHS
doesn't have to continue to make the intense effort that

it's making.

ŀ

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Hopefully, this could at least show them the situation.

THOMPSON: Okay. In working-class neighborhoods, sometimes you have unfamiliarity with savings & loans, with some of the terms and some of the difficulties they have in understanding some of the technicalities with the loans. Is there any intermediary that you might think of, or are there any suggestions you might have as to how someone who is unfamiliar with some of the practices of savings & loans might be educated, so to speak?

I would personally encourage and have GILLECE: encouraged greater utilization of the citizens programs in that regard. The Home Ownership Development Program offers free counseling for prospective home owners.

I would hope that the Mayor and the City Council in their wisdom would funnel adequate funds to that agency so free counseling can be provided to prospective home owners, so that the confusion at the settlement table might be avoided.

Additionally, there are other agencies in the City, such as the St. Ambrose Housing Aid Center and a few others that will provide such counseling services.

I think it is, once again, the problem of not enough people and not enough money to make sure everybody is

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc

covered by these services.

DE SALVO: I think it's important to recognize, too, as Dave indicated there's an educational need here on both sides of the fence, so to speak. I interpreted your question as asking how can people find out about what banks are doing what, what banks would be good to invest in or get mortgages from. And, of course, the greenlining efforts are one way.

I think another thing is there is an educational program awareness that's needed on the part of people about savings in certain banks. Most people feel savings banks that are convenient to them offer the higher interest rate, or, unfortunately, offer certain giveaways that induce you to save there.

I think people have to realize that the private decisions that are made by banks and savings & loans have sometimes as much impact on a neighborhood as the public decisions, and that even though they might get a half a percent less interest, perhaps, at one bank that's doing better in their community as far as lending there, that in the long run it may be better for them to save at that bank because that bank is supporting the neighborhood in which they live.

BOOTH: Are there any other questions?
(No response.)

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

BOOTH: Thank you.

I note that Mr. Vitarello has arrived, and so has Mr. Hecht, and I would like to ask: Mr. Hecht, we understand you are under a time constraint, is that correct?

HECHT: Yes, I am.

BOOTH: Would you like to go next, and Mr.

Vitarello, can you wait?

VITARELLO: Yes, I'll be here all day.

BOOTH: Fine. Thank you.

This is Mr. Robert Hecht, President of the Baltimore Federal Savings and Loan Association.

JEFFERS: Mr. Hecht, as you walked in I think you saw that we had a small problem, in that we'd asked all the participants not to name individuals or a specific savings institution by name, and we want to reaffirm that, our reason being that those individuals or representatives of those institutions may or may not be present to give their side of the story. So we're simply going to add another caveat that if you do name an individual or an institution by name that you do so in a favorable light, or try to refrain from mentioning that at all.

HECHT: I would hope there's an exception on the basis of my own organization.

(Laughter.)

JEFFERS: In any event you may mention that name.

7

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Would you state the address of your institution, for the record, please?

HECHT: 19 East Fayette Street, Baltimore.

JEFFERS: Okay. Thank you. And you are the President or -- do you have any other titles or positions?

HECHT: I'm the Board Chairman and President.

JEFFERS: Okay. Thank you. I'll turn the questioning over to Mr. Thompson.

THOMPSON: Mr. Hecht, we'll be asking you for some documents, and if you have those we'd appreciate it if you'd just set them aside and someone will get them after you're finished. Or, if you don't have them with you, I'd appreciate it if you would get them to us, if you would send them to us in the mail. We'll get the address to you that you should use.

May I ask you first, do you have a written affirmative action plan?

matter of development over a period of time. As early as 1963 a resolution was passed by the Board of Directors in which it was made clear that there would be no discrimination on the basis of race, sex, age or national origin.

We from time to time update this program, and I'd like to go over some of the features of it.

Incidentally, a statement of the policy of the

3

1

4

5 6

7 8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Association was recently re-published and distributed to the officers and staff members of the Association.

There are two officers of the Association appointed as the Equal Opportunity Officers, or directors of equal opportunity and assistant director of equal opportunity.

The program itself calls for a giving in to the support of action programs that have been suggested. We work with the National Alliance of Businessmen, the Veterans Job Fair, Baltimore Urban League's Manpower Service Center, and so forth. And we make available to these organizations the substance of our policies on equal opportunity.

We have a management training program which has been in operation for several years, and during this time four individuals have entered the program and completed it, one of them a Black and one of them a female. The young lady, upon the completion of her program -- or very nearly to the completion of her program became engaged to be married and has left our employ, but we do have the intention to replace her with another female.

The greatest single thrust of the current period on equal opportunity has been given to a staff program of indoctrination on the requirements, the needs, the total concept of equal opportunity.

The Association was able to obtain a slide presentation which has been developed, I understand, under

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

the auspices of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, and it sets out very well the meaning of equal employment opportunity, both from a conceptual standpoint and from a penalty standpoint, it might be said. But the thrust of that, the purpose of engaging in that kind of training for our staff, was to give them a better understanding of what is meant under the laws and regulations of this nation concerning equal employment opportunity.

There was a work book in connection with this, and each seminar lasted approximately four hours. This took six full working days of each of our two officers assigned to this responsibility, and the course was given to some 57 officers and management personnel.

We, of course, keep data as required for the various governmental agencies on race, sex and so forth.

And, lastly, we do make reports to the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission on an annual basis.

THOMPSON: Fine. The only other question I have is, would you please, if you have it, give us a copy of your plan? We'd appreciate it.

SMITH: Mr. Hecht, I wonder if you have the current employment statistics for minority men and women, and non-minority women in your institution?

HECHT: Yes, I have them.

SMITH: Would it be possible for you to share

1

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

25

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

those with us?

I would prefer to do that under less public circumstances. The form of report for these data has been very decidedly phrased or cloaked on a confidential atmosphere by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, and we intend to observe that confidentiality.

I think I can speak in terms of percentages, but not speak in terms of raw data.

I wonder if you could do that? certainly appreciate the confidentiality requirements, and we want to support them. But if you could give us a general idea it would be very helpful to us.

In the period from '72 to '74, the HECHT: I can. Association has augmented its Equal Employment Opportunity programs, beginning perhaps in the most sensitive level. have in this time elected to the Board of Directors a woman of international acclaim as a humanitarian, and from this position we feel that we have the benefit of her thoughts, her advices, on any matters relating to humanitarian needs.

In addition, among officials who would be classified as officers and managers, there has been a 125 percent increase in the female complement.

Among professionals who would be employed as accountants or appraisers, we have a 100 percent increase.

Among data processing technicians and other

technicians, we have a 400 percent increase.

And among office and clerical, we have a 42 percent increase.

The total female augmentation over this period is 13 percent. The total minority staff and officer complement is 57 percent -- shows a 57 percent increase. And the total of female minorities shows a 100 percent increase.

> Thank you very much. SMITH:

WICKWIRE: Mr. Hecht, pursuing this in the same vein, would you tell us what the composition of your loan review board is? For instance, I think what we're interested in is male-female percentage, minority-non-minority, and what do people have to be, residents of the city or residents of the county, and generally what sort of qualifications for membership do you have in the loan review board?

HECHT: Our loan committee qualifications are professional, not geographical. We have both city membership and county -- non-city membership. As the chief executive of the Association, I'm a city resident.

WICKWIRE: In terms of composition, male-female, or minority-non-minority, can you give us any more?

There are no females on the loan committee, HECHT: and there are no minorities on the loan committee.

WICKWIRE: In terms of the Board of Directors, give us an idea of the composition of that, in male-female,

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

minority and non-minority, and residency and qualifications for membership.

HECHT: Well, I'm not prepared to answer with precision all of your questions.

As I've already related, one of the nine members is a female. Of the nine-there are no minority members on the Board-of the nine, the majority are City residents.

WICKWIRE: Can you say any more about qualifications for membership on the Board of Directors, maybe how they're chosen?

HECHT: They're chosen on the basis of their suitability to direct a very large financial institution.

WICKWIRE: Do you have intentions to increase the number of minorities and women in decision-making positions in your institution?

HECHT: I think the record shows that.

WICKWIRE: Do you have any suggestions you want to share with us about increasing the number of qualified minorities and women in decision-making positions in institutions, such as training or whatever?

HECHT: Well, as I've indicated, we have published established policies, we have conducted training sessions.

Again, in terms of the affirmative action program which I've recited to you, all of that is directed toward the results that you've asked about.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

WICKWIRE: Thank you.

HECHT: You're welcome.

LOKER: Mr. Hecht, I'm going to talk to you about

your lending policies. How many applications for home

I take it your question is a repeat of

mortgages has your organization processed in the years

1972 through 1975?

_

LOKER: Okay.

(Pause.)

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

the former question asked or sent to me before the meeting?

LOKER: We're mainly interested in the number of females and males and minorities and non-minorities, in contrast.

HECHT: Yes. I'm unable to give you the statistical components of our loan experience according to minority and non-minority, female and male, on the basis of the identities of the applicants, because records are not kept in that way.

I have some fairly -- well, I have some totally accurate statistics on urban lending, mortgages made within the City of Baltimore, but not on the basis of either sex or race.

LOKER: Would you like to give us these documents?

HECHT: Well, I can -- you have a recorder here --

I can recite them to you now.

HECHT: While I'm looking for that, perhaps if there are some other questions I \dots

LOKER: Well, we're also interested in the criteria that you use to approve applications.

HECHT: Well, financing of any type is predicated on three things being found: the economic capacity of the borrower, the disposition of that borrower to face financial obligation, and lastly the physical condition of whatever collateral is offered.

LOKER: So it's basically those three things?

HECHT: In the case of mortgage financing, that's correct. There isn't always a collateral offered, but in terms of our discussions here there certainly would be when one considers financing homes.

LOKER: Do you have any set criteria to reject applications?

HECHT: The criteria are directed toward the acceptance of applications. If the data do not measure up to the criteria, then a rejection occurs.

LOKER: Do you tell the applicant the reason for rejection?

HECHT: In accordance with prevailing laws, yes.

LOKER: And do you discuss optional methods of financing with a rejected applicant?

HECHT: At times, yes, if we feel there's a

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

solution to the financing needs of the party. By all means.

LOKER: Would you suggest -- could you give me an idea of what you would suggest?

If someone needed 100 percent financing HECHT: for a home, which, as a Federal savings and loan association, Baltimore Federal would be unable to provide, it would be suggested that the Maryland Housing Fund might be able to finance the loan, and at perhaps a lower interest rate because of their subsidized backing.

> Okay. Did you find your statistics? LOKER: No. I got interested in your questions. HECHT: (Laughter.)

HECHT: You indicated I could deliver this later, anyway?

LOKER: Yes, you can.

Mr. Hecht, could I just go back for one SMITH: I was not clear about something. When we talked about that form, would you be willing to submit that to us at a later date, in not so public a manner as would be required here?

HECHT: I would consider that.

SMITH: Thank you very much.

SMITH: Mr. Hecht, what percentage of your home mortgage loans were made in Baltimore City each year, 1973 and 1974, and then for the first six months of 1975?

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

HECHT: Yes. This was one of the questions which I received either late last week or early this week.

Our percentage now -- was your question as it is stated here percentage of investment? Do you mean loans originated in a year, or loans in portfolio in a given year?

SMITH: Loans originated in the year.

HECHT: All right.

SMITH: Rather than -- not your on-going figures, which I assume would be much more complicated.

HECHT: All right. Well, those are the data that I have, and I wanted to make sure we had the same question.

In 1972, the percentage was 1.2 percent.

In 1973, it was 1.36 percent.

In 1974, the percentage was 14.3 percent.

And in 1975, the first six months, the percentage is 8.9 percent.

SMITH: Thank you. Do you have similar figures for Baltimore County, that same period?

HECHT: I do not. As a result of the great interest in Baltimore City financing over the past several years, a matter of great concern to the Association as well as to others, we separately kept records on Baltimore City. However, our operations extend throughout the State of Maryland, and particularly in the surrounding counties of Baltimore, and we did not collect or records and our

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

.5

information on the basis of other geographical subdivisions.

I might say, though, in terms of the total position of Baltimore Federal in Baltimore City financing, I have some very recent information that I think is relevant. It covers the numbers of loans on which taxes were paid. As you know, with a mortgage loan most frequently the tax, insurance and ground-rent money is escrowed.

In the tax payments made in 1975, or for the taxes paid on behalf of borrowers in 1975, 27 percent of the numbers of mortgages held and serviced by the Association represented Baltimore City property, or better than a fourth of our portfolio in numerical terms is located -- or, rather, covers property in the City of Baltimore.

SMITH: In dollar value, or --

HECHT: Numerically.

SMITH: Numerically. I gather from what you said you make loans, of course, outside of Baltimore City and Baltimore County?

HECHT: Of course.

SMITH: Is that throughout the State of Maryland?

HECHT: Yes.

SMITH: And outside the State?

HECHT: At times.

SMITH: Could you give us some idea of just what general percentage of the money goes outside the State?

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

derai keporters, inc. HECHT: Well, I'd say there are times when we go out of State. I couldn't respond to your question as it was put to me, unless I have the data, and I don't have the data here, to reflect the statistics for a period of time.

The position of the Association is to attend to the needs of the community in which it is organized and operates. And when that need is satisfied, and opportunities are available to invest in mortgages outside of this area, then, as a matter of good business practice, it is done.

SMITH: Has your institution ever had a policy on minimum limits for home loans?

HECHT: It did.

SMITH: Could you tell us about that policy?

HECHT: Well, going back several years, underwriting standards as practiced generally in the mortgage finance industry, included limitations on age, size of the home, number of storeys, that sort of thing. Square footage, width of the front.

I'm happy to report that over the last several years those underwriting criteria have been reexamined, and in most if not all cases have been changed now. So there are no fixed limitations as to those aspects of loans.

The conditions of approval are those which I mentioned earlier: economic capacity of the borrower, the disposition to repay, and the stature of the collateral.

7

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

rederal Reporters, Inc. SMITH: And those exclusively? There are no others, such as the length of the loan or the width of the house, or the --

HECHT: Well, we have regulatory limits on the length of the loan. We can't make them for a hundred years.

SMITH: That would be nice, wouldn't it?

Thank you.

HECHT: You're welcome.

BOOTH: Do you have any future plans for increasing the amount of mortgage money available in the City, particularly with minorities and women?

HECHT: We have an on-going disposition to make financing available in Baltimore City. I think our record is very ample to demonstrate that there has been no change in it. There is no change expected.

BOOTH: You don't plan to try to involve women and minorities more than you have been?

HECHT: Oh, I misunderstood your question. Would you ask it again, please?

BOOTH: Maybe I phrased it incorrectly.

Do you have any plans for increasing the amount of mortgage money available to minorities and women in Baltimore City, particularly in the next year? Is there any way you can sort of focus, or do you plan to? Do you think it would be a good idea?

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

HECHT: Yes. I would not consider that to be a bad idea, except possibly the geographical limitations you put on it, if you limit that to the City of Baltimore. certainly urge that it be given a much broader application than that.

BOOTH: But you don't have any specific plans to do it?

HECHT: Well, very frankly, I don't know how I could urge women to apply more than they do. We have no stigma of reluctance to work with women or minorities, and I think that the matter of daily availability -- certainly we do nothing to discourage that. And perhaps as an outgrowth of these hearings some suggestions might develop as to how they might be encouraged.

BOOTH: Are there any other questions? JEFFERS: I have some. I've got a long string of questions, so please bear with me.

I've sat here and I've listened to this, and I haven't heard any mention of a program that your institution has called the Dedicated Dollar Program.

HECHT: Well, I was going to give a little rundown at the beginning, but we got right into the questions, so .

(Laughter.)

JEFFERS: Well, what I wanted to ask you is if

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

you could tell us what that is, and what it's about, and how it works.

HECHT: The Dedicated Dollar Program is a very simple concept. I've heard the earlier remarks of Mr. Gillece. He mentioned greenlining. This is a greenline program, but it doesn't have the thrust or the direction of the kind of greenline programs that are most often spoken of.

This -- the thrust of the Dedicated Dollar Program is a calling out from the financial institution to the community with an offer, and an assurance, that whatever funds are deposited and dedicated to a particular community will be reinvested there and a report made back to the depositor.

The other greenline programs which have gained some notoriety all have their thrust originating in the community.

This is a very simple program, entirely voluntary.

It gives a vehicle, a very workable vehicle, to anyone who

is interested in expressing his preference or her preference

in where his funds should be invested.

JEFFERS: What type of mortgage financing does it normally look to, conventional, FHA, or VA?

HECHT: 'This doesn't look to any one of them. It simply offers financing.

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

JEFFERS: Well, basically, I guess, the question that I'm trying to get to is that assuming your bank were making -- or your savings and loan institution were making loans in what might be termed low-income areas, what type of loan would it normally make? Would it be an FHA-insured loan versus a conventional loan?

If requested. We don't put limitations HECHT: on kind of loan, in terms of whether it's conventional, FHA or VA. A house is being bought -- and, by the way, we're chiefly in the business of financing the purchase of homes, fostering home ownership from that standpoint, although we do some re-financing too.

But when the buyer and seller get together, the terms of how that property is going to be sold are pretty well worked out. That's a rather decided matter when it comes to us. We accept applications on conventional, FHA and VA basis.

I still want to pursue that train JEFFERS: Okay. of thought. A lot of savings and loans have made claims that they can't make what might be termed a low loan or a small loan because it normally goes through FHA, and they want it federally insured or something like that, and they don't want to go conventional.

And I guess what I'm asking you is whether or not your bank would be willing to go conventional, and if it does

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

whether or not you'd see any difference in, let's say, the risk or in terms of the loss ratio if you do go conventional, as opposed to federally insured?

HECHT: No, I think I understood your question and I thought I'd answered it. There are no restraints.

I might note that in connection with the Dedicated Dollar Program a very large deposit was recently received, and the dedication was not to a geographical location, surprisingly, since all the others were. But the dedication was to no loans over \$15,000, a point of view which we honored and will undertake to perform in our response.

JEFFERS: I believe earlier there was a question directed towards the qualifications for board membership. I believe you said that you would pick someone who was suitable. I may be using the wrong phrase, but I was wondering what constitutes suitability. Is that someone who has a sort of stature in the financial community, has a financial background, or just what sorts of things? And, you know, maybe it's a long list, short list, or whatever.

HECHT: What's important, I think, is the capacity to direct. Now, how do you find the capacity to direct? You find it, for the most part, with those who have a certain level of education, those who have a certain amount of business experience, those who have a certain amount of background, those who simply have acquired in their lifetime that

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

experience in dealing with people in a way that their mature judgments can be rendered.

I think that to ask that someone be put on a board of directors because he or she might be a minority member is as discriminatory as it would be to exclude any one of those for the same reasons.

JEFFERS: But then you're saying that if a minority person were to have all those qualifications, then that person might be considered for board membership?

HECHT: I am. And, as indicated, the scope of this inquiry is not just minority, but is female. And we do have a female who did meet those qualifications.

JEFFERS: Okay. I don't have any further questions.

BOOTH: Let me start over here to the right.

LOKER: None.

one thing. There are segments of our community that would be almost wholly unfamiliar with the concepts such as interest rates, principal, closing costs, et cetera. Is there any vehicle or means by which you might be able to think of or suggest wherein a person such as I described might make a better loan risk, or a better person as a homeowner?

HECHT: Yes, there are. Some of them were alluded to by Mr. Gillece. In addition to St. Ambrose Housing Aid

Center and the Housing Home Ownership Development Office of

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

ers, inc. the City, I might mention that the Real Estate Board of Greater Baltimore gives seminars to those interested in finding out more about the matter of home ownership, who had not previously had an opportunity to engage in it.

Now, getting it back down closer to home, in our loan operations we have established a procedure under which applicants for loans are screened as to their probable condition of knowledge about the transaction that they would enter. And in cases where it's obvious that there's been no home ownership in the past, perhaps on the basis of the economic background, we felt that some counseling by Association officers would be helpful. We do that, and we do it before the settlement.

We have senior officers of the Association who reserve time and get in groups of several borrowers before the settlement and give them an A-to-Z presentation, beginning with what to expect at the settlement table, so that wouldn't be such a mystifying and baffling experience. They get to see the documents that they're going to be shown then, not all completed with the numbers, of course, but they get to see what a monthly bill looks like to pay the mortgage, what the responsibilities for home ownership are with regard to insurance, maintenance, and so forth.

And this seminar lasts for several hours. There's an invitation given to the parties attending it to keep in

touch if they have any other questions they might want answered.

Then we tell them, of course, if they run into difficulty in repayment of the loan don't remain silent.

Consult with us, and we'll try to help you and ease you out of your difficulties in any way that we can.

It's a strengthening factor in our lending. We're glad to do it, and we think it's a service to the borrower.

WICKWIRE: Mr. Hecht, as I recall, earlier Mr. Gillece indicated, when talking about educational problems that needed attention, he stated that often maybe a lender is unaware of the disinvestment that may be occurring in terms of geographic pattern.

Now, the impression I get from your testimony is that you're aware of where your money is going, geographically and otherwise, and I was wondering whether you had anything you would share with us about — that we might share with others — knowing where the money is going, so that — again, I hope I haven't misquoted Mr. Gillece in terms of the possibility of an oversight in disinvestment. I get the impression that you know where your money is going.

Would you respond to this?

HECHT: I'm uncertain as to the intent of your question, Mr. Wickwire. Would you rephrase it?

WICKWIRE: All right. Again -- Mr. Gillece,

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

correct me if I'm wrong -- but I understood you to say that possibly the whole matter of disclosure of lending on a geographical pattern -- okay, this is desirable -- that maybe it's been disinvestment. The term disinvestment may mean it's simply been an oversight on the part of the lender.

Now, I get the impression from you, Mr. Hecht, that you know where your money is going, in terms of geographic pattern or whatever. I'm wondering whether you have any advice to share with others about how to know where their money is going.

HECHT: Well, if I understand your question, perhaps there are two different phenomena involved here.

WICKWIRE: Yes.

HECHT: One is that it perhaps might be an oversight, as you feel you're quoting Mr. Gillece. And the other is an oversight on disinvestment. And the other is, you say we know where our money is going.

Knowing where your money is going is after the fact. True, we can report what our results are. I couldn't tell you today, though, how many loans in any particular area or in any particular group are going to be made at any point in the future.

It is an after-the-fact situation.

Now, disinvestment, let me say that I wanted to cover this in some opening remarks. A word like disinvestment

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

or redline does have meaning, but it doesn't have the same meaning to all people, and these are words that should be defined in terms of having an intelligent conversation about them.

So, I'm not being at all critical about your use of the words. I understood the way that you were using them. But I would like to say that disinvestment, if that means that there is arbitrary refusal to consider loans in a certain prescribed geographical sector, then that's wrong in my opinion. It should not be that way.

Our policies and procedures are directed to the opposite.

SMITH: Mr. Hecht, in reading public testimony of hearings interested in somewhat the same area with which we're concerned today, I have read again and again testimony from officers of savings and loans institutions which would indicate that they have no idea of the geographic location of where they are approving loans, except for the general jurisdiction, whether it's a city or a county or a township. They know nothing more specific. They don't keep records in any way which would reflect census track location or zip code or even traditional community designation.

Because of your Dedicated Dollar plan, it would seem to me that you have to know where your money is going, because in part you'd have to know where your depositors

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

expect you to put that money.

Can I conclude from that, then, that your record keeping system at Baltimore Federal Savings and Loan does permit one -- permit the institution, to keep track on a rather specific basis of where the money is going? Again, after the fact?

HECHT: Yes. Well, no, that isn't possible, for several reasons, the chief of them being that a Dedicated Dollar depositor selects his own terminology and his own topography.

SMITH: Well, how do you know you're complying with his wishes?

HECHT: Well, we can understand what he's saying.

We can respond to it in those terms -- which brings me to

the second point that I wanted to make, and it may be the

basis for a broad misunderstanding between financial organ
izations and any large business members of the community -
business citizens.

In the day and age of mass data accumulation processing, the need for automating is obvious to everyone. And systems are developed to collate information in a certain way according to the needs which are determined by the user of the information.

As data is developed, and as a timely process -- and an expensive one -- at some later time a party might come

1

3 4

5

7

6

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

in from the outside and ask, "Do you have this set of data?" or "Do you have other information?" And it simply doesn't fit the patterns of collection and retrieval that's set there.

That does not necessarily indicate an unwillingness to do -- to break down information in the way that the outsider is seeking, but simply tells you that mechanically it's a misfit.

Well, I can understand that, and respect SMITH: that problem in terms of programming a computer. But as a depositor in Baltimore Federal Savings & Loan, I'm a little unclear as to how you take a request under your program and make sure that you are meeting that request.

Perhaps -- let me ask the question in a slightly different way: Could you give us a general idea of what you have found with that Dedicated Dollar Program? Have people, indeed, been participating in it; and, if so, what kinds of suggestions have they made? You mentioned one which I think we all found interesting which dealt with the size of the loan, rather than a geographic area. What other general kinds of descriptions have been given?

HECHT: There are neighborhood descriptions, and this is perhaps what you're looking for here, to get together on the subject.

Normally, geographical classifications are based either on zip codes or census tracks or the like. Neighborhood

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

descriptions are more meaningful to the citizens or the community residents. And if he says, "I would like my money invested in Edna Gardens," that's a geographical thing. But we don't have anything wired up for Edna Gardens, but we have addresses that come out of our computer and tell us that this is where the activity is.

Now, when we do have applications coming up on this area we can respond to that dedication by reporting that an Edna Gardens mortgage has been made.

SMITH: But it would be a mortgage that you would have made in any event. The only difference is that somewhere in the City there's a depositor that feels good about the fact that that money is going into Edna Gardens. If, indeed, it hadn't been his money, it would have been money from your general pool, because the mortgage met your requirements which aren't changed by virtue of this program?

HECHT: The question you're asking is: If we didn't get any depositors, would we still loan in Baltimore City? The answer is emphatically yes. And maybe we don't get any requests for a dedication in a particular community and we still get an applicant, we don't turn that applicant aside.

There is some fortuity in this thing, but that's not the important part of it. The important part of it is the satisfaction the depositor gets in knowing that, having

I

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

SMITH: Thank you very much.

HECHT: You're welcome.

BOOTH: I have one additional question.

put the money there, he will be assured that it was so used.

First, does it seem feasible to you to do -- and I don't mean necessarily your institution, but the entire industry -- to do some kind of market research and direct its advertising, maybe, so that you can have a pre-knowledge of where you might be lending?

HECHT: Well, yes, a business organization can stimulate their markets, that's true. We do this in a number of ways: by contact with -- let's say the subject at hand, minority real estate brokers, which we've done over a period of time; communication with citizens organizations, publicizing the Dedicated Dollar Program; or with church groups, pastors of churches of any denomination, synagogues.

So it can be stimulated in that way, yes, and we do exercise those kinds of steps.

BOOTH: You do already?

HECHT: Yes.

BOOTH: The other question I had is, you said that you submit your affirmative action plan to the EOC. Have you ever been monitored by the EOC? Do they ever respond to the plan you send in?

HECHT: We don't submit an affirmative action plan -

22 23

25

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. BOOTH: Report -- I'm sorry -- you send a report.

HECHT: We -- EEO-1, it's a statistical report.

BOOTH: Yes.

In the past, the department or the office HECHT: in the Treasury Department has reviewed our affirmative action plan, several years ago, and reports have been made to that agency yearly.

> Yes, and --BOOTH:

-- and responses have been made --HECHT:

BOOTH: Responses have been made?

-- that it was satisfactory. HECHT:

BOOTH: Okay. Thank you.

You indicated in the beginning that you might have had an opening statement. Are there other things you'd like to tell us?

I only wanted to say that I welcome the HECHT: opportunity to be here. I'm interested in the subject matter, and it's a very broad and very complex subject.

Words, as mentioned a minute ago, like disinvestment, redline, are too easy of misunderstanding and so difficult of understanding, I think this kind of forum can produce some better results in that respect.

I am vice chairman of a national committee of the U. S. League for Savings Associations on urban problems, so my interest in it goes beyond our meeting today in the daily

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

operations at Baltimore Federal.

I am grateful, of course, for the willingness of the Committee to hear me out of order, and I hope by that same token that the information that we've been able to exchange today you have found to be in order.

I have nothing more to offer.

BOOTH: We thank you very much for being here.

Mr. Vitarello, do you want to talk to us?

JEFFERS: Mr. Vitarello, will you please state your name for the record, please?

VITARELLO: Yes. My name is James Vitarello. I am the Executive Director of the D. C. Public Interest Research Group, in Washington, D. C.

JEFFERS: Okay. Thank you.

Bert?

Can you tell us what you believe to be BOOTH: the factors that create urban disinvestment, urban decay, and what, if anything, can be done to address the problem?

VITARELLO: Okay.

Thank you, first of all, for this opportunity to come here, and I certainly applaud the Committee's attempt -and it's a very difficult attempt -- to understand redlining and disinvestment and other such nebulous words. It's certainly a problem, by whatever term we call it.

I would like to, for the record, before going into

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

that, point out that I'm going to leave with you our report
on mortgage disinvestment in the District of Columbia, by not
only PIRG but also the Institute for Local Self Reliance,
a national organization called the Institute for Policy Studies,
which some of you may have heard of. And I will discuss that
report later on.

I'd also like to submit in the record an article that I wrote for "Focus" called "The Redlining Route to Urban Decay," where I talked at great length about the national scene. Incidentally, this magazine is written by Howard University's Joint Center for Political Studies, and is a national newsletter covering 12,000 recipients.

Specifically with regard to the factors of neighborhood disinvestment; I don't think anyone knows exactly what their
relative values are. I have seen a report by the Harvard-MIT
study, commissioned by the Federal Home Loan Bank Board,
which, as you know, is the agency which regulates savings
and loans -- or at least is supposed to regulate savings and
loans.

They concluded, at least in their initial findings, that the factors are many, and that no one quite understands—and they admitted right out that they did not understand—the interrelationships. So who am I to refute the Harvard—MIT Joint Center?

I would, however, like to list what I consider to

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

be the four or five major factors in disinvestment, without attempting to really quantify them, because I do think it is a very difficult thing.

Certainly financing, in my opinion, is, if not the major, one of the two major factors in neighborhood deterioration or neighborhood stability. I've been in the housing industry a short period of my life, about seven or eight years. I'was a special assistant to Secretary Romney, and I also worked for the National Tenants Organization in the national office, and I also studied housing in Sweden for over a year.

The thing I found about Sweden, for example, was that the banks are told, "You shall commit 'X' million kroner to housing the following year, and in the following geographic areas." They're told. They have absolutely no choice. So when the Swedish Government determines that they shall build 100,000 new units per year, and that they shall rehabilitate, oh, somewhere in the neighborhood of about two million units per year, they know exactly where the financing is going to be. And it comes from private -- mainly private -- money, not socialist government money -- okay -- it comes from private money.

And the reason being is very simple: that these private organizations -- so-called private -- are given substantial government support, which I don't really

1

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

Ace-Federal Reporters.

want to go into now, but not unlike our own private institutions, which I'll discuss a little later.

Well, that's one thing.

Another thing, of course, is taxes, which we also are studying in D.C., studying at greath length, in fact, because in marginal neighborhoods the current real estate tax system -- regardless of what city it is; every city I know of in the country has the same system -- you actually penalize for rehabilitating and improving a home.

Inamany disinvestment neighborhoods or deteriorating neighborhoods there's no incentive to put any rehabilitation money into your home, because you know that your property is only going to devalue. It's not going to increase in value. And I've known several people in D.C. who had to sell their rehabilitated home at a loss -- several years ago, not now. The trend is quite different right now in most areas of D.C.

So particularly in those neighborhoods that we're interested in, that being declining neighborhoods, the whole real estate tax system is totally inequitable. I would like to see a more personal income tax approach as opposed to a real estate tax approach.

Another factor, of course, is the whole housing code, building code -- let's just call them government building restrictions. For example, some building codes do require copper pipes, which in many areas in the city is ridiculous

7

to even think of, in terms of cost, also because they are easy to vandalize, and so on.

Many building and housing codes should be reformed.

Again, I'm not going to get into specifics about how it should be done, because every city obviously is going to have to look at their own. But that's certainly a disinvestment factor.

Another is zoning. Zoning is another one of those crazy, hodge-podge, good-will type things that never really quite came out right. Zoning originally was intended to direct the growth, the size, the quality and so on. It never really quite came out that way. If you look at a variance map on a city planner's desk, you'll see that zoning is a myth. If you're big enough, powerful enough, and rich enough, or sweet enough -- or whatever -- you'll get a variance nine times out of ten -- at least in D. C. And I don't think it's much different -- plus things like political corruption that's not unknown in Maryland, as in D. C. and other places too. It's just too susceptible to a patch-quilt, non-planning sort of approach.

Again, there are several solutions to this problem.

I'm not sure whether or not they are equitable and reasonable solutions, but the present zoning system, as I'm sure you have in Baltimore, and as we have it in D. C., is actually an aid to disinvestment.

Also, the presence of a city-wide housing and economic development program is absolutely essentially. Again I'll discuss Sweden very briefly. In Sweden every city has to develop a housing and economic development program every year for a five-year projection. The five-year program is revised every year. But at least it gives you some guidance in terms of the quality and the quantity of housing, and in different parts of the city where they're going to put their money in, so to speak.

In fact, they are told to do this by that same federal government that tells the banks, "You shall reserve 'X' amount of money." So it's all done in a fairly orderly way.

Of course, there are problems with that system too, because it is difficult to determine housing needs because of demand. We are doing this now for the City Council of D. C. They commissioned our organization, along with the Institute of Local Self Reliance, to do such a study.

So to really project what the housing and economic development demands -- and by economic development I mean primarily commercial development, which goes hand in hand with neighborhood disinvestment. If little businesses, particularly small, necessary retail shops in neighborhoods begin to disappear, you can pretty much bet that the whole neighborhood is on its way out. They're usually the first to go -- sort of

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

like rats on a sinking ship, that sort of thing. But really you can't blame them.

So you really have to look at the commercial development. And I urge you, when you speak to the banks, to ask them not so much about housing -- because the first thing they'll tell you is we don't many housing loans, and unlike savings and loans they're not required to do so. But they do have quite an important role in the commercial development, which I'd say is equally important to housing.

So it is important to also look at the economic development of the city as a whole, and then broken down by neighborhoods.

I don't know about your City Department of Housing and Urban Development, but I know ours is absolutely a mess.

They have absolutely no idea where they're going from day to day -- and they sort of proudly admit that. We're really in bad shape in D. C.

I understand that your Department is quite good, and I'm glad to hear that. I don't know what sort of plans they have, and again, I obviously cannot discuss them. But that, to me, is actually foremost in my opinion in terms of resolving the problem. Unless you have a fairly well thought out, long-range plan in these two areas, the banks really have no direction, the savings and loans really have none, community organizations basically have none outside of maybe

1

2

4

6

5

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

their own little neighborhood.

So who is to say where they should be going, and how much, and so on? That's first. Everything else should then sort of mold around that, zoning, taxes, housing assistance programs that I know this city has, and perhaps can develop even others, because redlining -- or the opposite, greenlining, could not truly help the very poor. Let's face it.

People earning -- at least in D. C. -- \$5,000, \$6,000, \$7,000 a year are not going to buy anything near a house in D. C., and still be able to rehabilitate it satisfactorily to the code: They could probably buy a shell for two or three thousand, but I don't think they can live in a shell. They're going to have to fix it up. And even under our Homesteading program in D. C., which is again very limited, it was estimated that the average rehab cost is \$15,000, which they have to put up. We don't have any assistance for that in D. C. I understand, again, Baltimore does.

So the City government has to decide, along with the communities and the lenders, what neighborhoods and what income groups need particular assistance, assistance beyond conventional loans. And there are going to be a substantial number of people in that.

In the areas where conventional loans can meet

]

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

demand, then I think that they should be pushed to their limits. But I don't think you should expect the private lenders or the developers to go into ventures where the incomes are too low, or where the neighborhoods are already so bad that private financing, per se, would be very marginal.

Does that answer your question?

BOOTH: Yes.

Are there programs being developed, or on-going programs in other cities?

VITARELLO: Yes. Oh, yes, the second part of your question. There are many things going on around the country and, again, it would take hours to discuss them. There are, as I'm sure you know, many reports similar to the PIRG report documenting redlining. That's a first step.

The City of Chicago passed an ordinance requiring disclosure, initially by zip code and thereafter I believe by census track, and the disclosure not only of the number and volume of loans, commercial, consumer and housing loans, but also the terms of the loans. I believe it's called the effective average weight, something like that — again, by geographic area. That's a good start.

The State of Illinois has recently passed an even more comprehensive anti-redlining statute that prohibits redlining, per se, and begins to develop some sort of a policy for city governments to follow.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

The State of California Banking Commission, I believe it's called, is in the process of drafting disclosure laws and affirmative action plans for all of the State institutions.

The State of Massachusetts Commission on Banking -I guess, again, it's called that -- themselves have also
already promulgated -- and they are being challenged in the
courts today by savings and loans -- promulgated disclosure
regulations, again.

And I believe that the State of New York is in the process of developing a State-owned bank, which is, again, related to this, where the bank would finance ventures that are simply too risky for private investment.

There are a number of greenlining agreements that have been signed around the country, Chicago being the most noteworthy, I suppose, because they probably have the greatest number.

Minneapolis had the first greenlining agreement a couple of years ago, where the second largest S&L -- I won't mention its name, because I've probably forgotten it anyway -- it doesn't matter -- agreed to increase its investment in Minneapolis-St. Paul from \$19 million average for the last three years to \$54 million. And I understand there were some problems with that, monitoring and so on, and I'm not quite sure how successful it's been.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

These are the basic approaches that community groups and governments have in dealing specifically and directly with the redlining problem. But I might add that, getting back to what someone said earlier, we cannot look at disinvestment in a fish bowl. You have to look at it in terms of the whole range of housing and economic problems, and various tools that are accessible or readily available to the finance industry, developers, government in that area, and so on.

I can give you -- well, examples are, like

neighborhood housing services, which is a very meek start, but

at least it's a start. It really isn't that great, I don't

believe, because it really has no teeth at all. I particularly

like the approach that Sweden does, not only credit alloca
tion but, even more importantly, the Swedish Government gives

between five and thirty percent of the selling price of a

home as a down payment. As a matter of fact, I think it's

zero to thirty percent -- no, how could it be zero -- one to

thirty percent, I think it is, of the selling price as a

down payment; a low-interest loan that is spread out over a

much longer period of time than the mortgage, depending upon

the income of the recipient.

Now, one of the major problems I've found in D. C. is that moderate-income people who could afford a mortgage payment even under these ridiculously high rates today, don't

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

have the down payment. Now, where do they go? They try to get a second mortgage. But do you know that a number of savings and loans in D. C. -- in fact, most of them that I know of -- prohibit a second mortgage? They say you've got to come up with 20 percent or 30 percent yourself, you can't go and get it from the seller or from your mother, or from your uncle, it's got to be yours. I think that's absurd. But, nevertheless, they require that.

Now, .if government got into providing some of these low-interest, long-term, down payment loans, I think that you'd remove a lot of the barriers to marginal income people, because they just don't basically have much of a savings account.

So that's a program that, I'm sorry to say, we've had in the District of Columbia for three years, and yet they've never funded a person under it. And they had the money to. That's really sad.

There are other cities, however, in the country that have it. And New York City -- perhaps a bad example -- has it. It has worked fairly well there, I understand. I believe the City of San Francisco also has this, and I believe the City of Milwaukee has it as well.

I'm sure that other cities are developing all these things. And, again, tax abatements. You have one, I believe, in this City too, where people do not have to pay

1.5

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

oorters, Inc. higher taxes for the improvements they make over, let's say, a five-year period of time. So that it encourages them to make improvements.

There are numerous ones. There are at least 30 or 40 different programs, some of which are suitable to Baltimore, some of which probably are not. But I've got to reiterate again that they should not be done on a piecemeal basis, that they should be done on a very rational, clearly understood basis, and various programs should apply to various neighborhoods.

Could I possibly do this? Could I -- are you interested at all in my sort of explaining the results of this study? Some of you are already familiar with it. It pretty much follows the same lines -- do you want me to just read one or two major findings, that's all, and then I can get into something else that I want to talk about? Is that all right?

BOOTH: Yes.

VITARELLO: All right. Again, we use LUSK's. We have LUSK, which is a real estate directory guide, in case anyone doesn't know it. What we did was we divided it between City versus suburbs, and then what we call geographic distribution within the city. All right.

The major finding, city versus suburbs, was that only 11.6 percent of the total real estate loans made by

1

6

7

8

15

13

14

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

D. C. Some savings and loans were as little as one and two percent of the total real estate loans -- it's not total assets now, it's total loans made during the year. And this is volume by dollar, not number. I don't care that much about number, I care about money. The other interesting fact that we found was,

D. C. savings and loans, were made to properties located in

comparing -- and I don't know whether you've done this in Baltimore or not yet, but I think it's an interesting comparison -- we compared four predominantly Black zip code areas -we had to do all this by zip codes, by the way, since it was the easiest way -- 92 percent Black, 1970. They were middleincome neighborhoods. There was a very high owner-occupied percentage, and having 28 percent of the city population. Yet they only received 7.7 percent of the total volume of loans made within the city. Meaning of all the loans made in D. C., only 7.7 percent.

Now, let's look at their White counterparts across Again, four zip codes, with half the population; the park. yet they had 40 percent of the loans, or almost five, six -five and a half times the amount of loans.

The average loan in those four zip code areas, in the White zip code areas, was \$72,500. Savings and loans, now.

The average loan in the Black neighborhood -- again,

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

remember, these are middle class, these are not ghetto, wartorn, riot-scarred neighborhoods. These are very solid Black neighborhoods. Their average loan was only \$22,000, less than one third.

All right. So much for statistics in D. C.

My major point, of course, is to come here and talk to you about what's going on in the nation, and I wanted to specifically address three major myths that I keep hearing bankers and savings and loan people say, and want to try and convince the public of their horrible plight.

The three myths are these:

One, that savings and loans and banks operate in a free-market system. They have free enterprise, with a lot of competition going on. Absolutely false.

Second, that a lender's determination of what is or is not a high-risk loan is based on their long experience in the area, on objective data they have, that supports these conclusions. That's also false, at least in D. C., and I've understood by my colleagues in other cities that it's equally false in other cities.

And, thirdly, that there's no demand in the inner city for conventional loans, particularly by Blacks. This was specifically mentioned by the representative of the U.S. Savings and Loan League, who made the statement that:

"Blacks do not want conventional loans." That's

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

actually what he said, at the hearings before Senator

Proxmire's committee on the Mortgage Disclosure Act. I was
appalled to hear that, but yet he said it.

That is, again, absolutely false.

Now, I will address these three, because I think they deal with a national phenomena, not just D. C., and I'm sure it occurs in Baltimore too.

Let's look at the so-called free-market enterprise myth. The most heavily-regulated industry in America
today are banks and savings and loans. This is told to us
when we're in law school time and time again. Professor
Davis from Harvard, who wrote the Administrative Law
Treatise, is quoted in that thing by saying that they are,
by far, the most heavily-regulated industry in the United
States.

I've spoken to the President of the largest savings and loan in D. C., and he told me without the Federal Government's support, economic support, of his institution -- which is very viable, very large, \$750 million of assets: they would close tomorrow.

That's right.

Let's look at the growth of the savings and loan industry.

At the end of World War II there were only \$7 billion in outstanding first mortgages -- all first mortgages.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

orters, Inc. By 1973, it was \$186 billion, in just 1 to 4 family units. There was another \$32 billion in multi-family. And that's just savings and loans. That's three times higher than their next competitor, which was commercial banks, even though the commercial banks are three times larger than the savings and loans in total assets. So you see that the savings and loans are very committed to housing. The question is where.

The Federal Home Loan Bank Board system, which was created by Congress in 1932, and again under the Home Owners Loan Act of 1933, specifically set up a regulatory system and created savings and loans to ensure that financial -- and I'm quoting now from the Act --

"...ensure the financial soundness and continuity

of local mutual thrift associations..." -
which means cooperatives

"...designed to provide financing to individual home buyers."

The Home Loan Bank Board truly shields the savings and loans from many of the risks of the so-called free market enterprise system.

For example -- there are three major examples; there are actually many, many more, but three very obvious ones:

One is one that most of you know about, deposit

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

insurance. You may not think about that too much. So what? \$40,000 on each deposit. Well, that means a lot to a savings and loan. Do you see how many times that man stands up before Congress in that ad -- I hope you have it here in Baltimore too, it's an hilarious ad -- and he pointed to Congress, and he said, "The Federal Government protects your loan in our institution." FSLIC, which stands for Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation, is flashed all over the screen. And I'm sure that in every savings and loan that you go to, or every bank, you'll see a great big shield with the eagle of the United States there as you walk in, FSLIC or FDIC, which is a counterpart for the banks.

Believe me, they think of that very, very seriously. They remember the Depression. They remember how gun shy people were to put their money in the banks after the Depression, and how important the Federal Government's backing of every deposit in their institution is, of crucial importance for attracting depositors.

Another important economic support are the direct loans or advances that they get from the Federal Home Loan Banks. There are twelve Federal Home Loan banks in the twelve regions around the country. They literally lend millions of dollars every year -- these are Federal agencies, now -- they lend every year millions of dollars to virtually all savings and loans that I know of, at least in D. C., on

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

whatever basis they choose. I don't know what their basis is.

The loans are normally fairly low interest. They usually

follow the prime rate, which is, as you know, lower than the

financial rate.

And, again, without this added shot in the arm, sometimes up to one-third the assets of an institution -- at least, again, in D. C. -- one-third the assets of a \$300 million institution is a lot of money.

Okay. This is money that's raised by the Federal Home Loan Banks in the Wall Street markets, and then are funnelled to various savings and loans. This is not from depositors. Okay? Again, another economic propping.

A third important propping is the purchase of first mortgages by something called Freddie Mac. I think it's called Federal . . . - I don't know. I always call it Freddie Mac, so I don't even know the actual name -- something like the Federal Mortgage -- something or other -- corporation. It doesn't really matter. It's all part of, again, the Federal Home Loan Bank system. All these are part of the same system, and these are all nice benefits you get by being a member of the system. You don't even have to be federally chartered, per se, although a lot of institutions are. The biggest institutions in an urban area normally are federally chartered, as in your area. And in D. C., incidentally, they are all federally chartered in D. C. It's

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

strange that as soon as home rule came to D. C., that the five institutions that were not federally chartered immediately ran in and got their federal charter.

Then -- and I might add this too -- that the

Home Loan Bank Board, in my opinion, has the most comprehensive tools available -- sanctions some people might call

them -- to enforce their regulations. They can literally

remove a director from the board of directors of a savings

and loan. They can literally put in a receiver, and run

that institution. Of course, there are hearings required

and a legal process, naturally. Just like other alleged

defendants, they should have their rights too.

They have the power to issue cease nad desist orders, which basically are demands to stop doing what you're doing. They can even require affirmative action, telling them you shall lend here, or you shall hire minorities or women here. They can do all that.

In my opinion there is no single agency in the United States Government -- and I've been around Washington for a little while -- that has these extensive powers.

Have they ever used it against savings and loans in the area of civil rights enforcement? Absolutely not. Several complaints brought against the savings and loans under their jurisdiction have been summarily rejected, with a very, very cursory, non descript reason why.

13.

7

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

I might point out, sort of ironically, that the best regulation and the most authoritative legal opinion on redlining by any of the regulatory agencies has come out of the Home Loan Bank Board.

I'd like to read just one description from the general counsel of the Home Loan Bank Board. Remember, this is not Ralph Nader speaking. This is the Home Loan Bank Board's general counsel who wrote this:

"There is substantial legal precedent for the Board to assume that redlining that is discriminatory in effect is unlawful, and to shift the burden of proof to the institution to demonstrate..." --

that is, the savings and loans --

"...to demonstrate some reasonable, genuine business purpose for redlining. In any case, such a business necessity would not be established by the institution's unsubstantiated belief that no profitable loans could be made to a given area."

And that's a very radical statement. If you were a lawyer I think you'd really appreciate that, but I don't even think you have to be a lawyer. It basically says that you do not have to prove motive of the alleged discriminator. All you have to prove is the effect, in a documented, objective

7

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

way, of course, and then it's up to the savings and loan to show why.

We -- as I said before -- we've traced several cases against D. C. savings and loans, where the effect was unclear -- I mean totally clear. The business necessity was unclear. And yet the Home Loan Bank Board summarily dismissed these, without even inquiring into the business necessity.

Now, the paradox we have here is basically this:

HUD, the Federal Reserve Board, which regulates

some banks -- banks are very broken up, they don't have one

system. There's the Controller of Currency, who regulates

national banks, the FDIC, which regulates FDIC banks, and so

on. And then the Home Loan Bank Board. All of them are

given a specific requirement to affirmatively enforce a

provision of the 1968 Civil Rights Act, specifically Section

805, which prohibits discrimination in lending or fixing the

terms of mortgage loans.

None of them have taken any affirmative action at all. There's some wishy-washy regulations about putting posters up in the lobby saying that we don't discriminate. That's nonsense. I have not seen any affirmative marketing practices on the part of lending institutions. I have not seen any cases brought against lending institutions since 1968. The Department of Justice has never taken a case against a lending institution since the enactment of the

1

4 5

7

8

6

9

11

10

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

ederal Reporters, Inc. 25 law. And it's quite obvious that, while redlining obviously exists against minorities and ethnic groups, the Federal Government, since the beginning of our beloved Mr. Nixon's administration, has done nothing in this area.

I understand the winds of change are coming about in Washington, but I think if this Committee does anything you should put as much pressure on the Federal Government to do exactly what's right on the books, what they're supposed to be doing. And they can't tell you that the law is unclear. They can't tell you they don't have the power. Because it's a lot of hogwash. It's there. They just simply are not doing it. And it's very obvious why. They are so economically tied to these institutions -- and they were set up to prop these institutions up, to get them out of the quicksand -that for you to now tell them, "Look, enforce the civil rights laws," they see that as a total contradiction. they're wrong. I think that you can lend to minority neighborhoods and to marginal neighborhoods and not go against sound lending practices -- which is the second thing I want to talk about.

But again, these myths prevail, and they think
that they will collapse. And I'm talking about the federal
regulatory agencies too, who are normally, by the way,
manned by savings and loan presidents and vice presidents, and
so on. They usually have their stint in Washington for two

Now, --

talking about a non banker, okay?

BOOTH: I hate to interrupt you. We're running -VITARELLO: Okay. I'll quickly run through the
last two.

or three years and then go back to their field. There hasn't

been any sort of a consumer representative on the Home Loan

Bank Board or the Federal Reserve that I've ever heard of,

and I'm not talking about someone like Ralph Nader. I'm

that the banks understand this fully. Okay. Absolutely false in D. C. I have asked all the banks and savings and loans in D. C., through the City Council, to document any lending criteria they have, and particularly the basis for that lending criteria. Except for one or two little dribbles and drabs of very out-dated data, the only S&L that had something worthwhile was Black Independence Federal Savings and Loan, and they've made substantial --

JEFFERS: Please, we have asked that you not mention names.

VITARELLO: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, okay. It's the largest Black savings and loan in the City. It's been around for about eight years. And they've made about 75 percent of their loans in these redlined neighborhoods. And they have the best default and delinquency rate of any

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

7

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

savings and loan in the three-state area, including Maryland, Virginia and D. C., of any savings and loan. Yet, 75 percent of their loans go into these so-called bad-risk neighborhoods.

So somebody's wrong somewhere. Okay.

The other important point I wanted to point out is the low demand factor, the fact that many minority applicants apparently do not go to savings and loans in quest for financial loans. All right.

Very briefly, let me point out three reasons why this phenomenon occurs, but it's not true. Okay?

One is that applicants who come in to a savings and loan or bank are not necessarily processed, with an written application. In fact, we ran a little monitoring in D. C. and found only two of twenty-five were actually given a written application, and the others were turned down orally. So how could you tell by looking at the written applications? Okay?

Secondly, the applicants are normally referred by realtors. Now, I've spoken to the Black Real Estate

Brokers Association in D. C., and they told me, after years of conditioning, they just don't bother. They need money and they'll get it anywhere they can. That's legal, obviously so, therefore, they go to mortgage bankers. They go to, like a Black insurance company in North Carolina. They're not going to keep banging the door and begging them to do it.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

1

Now, 25 or 30 years ago it was impossible for Blacks in D. C. to get a conventional loan, southey, tell me. So after years of conditioning and passing on, father to son and so on, they know that it's worthless to go to a savings and loan. So naturally they don't refer their Black clients to a savings and loan.

But to put the blame on minorities for not going I think is putting the cart before the horse.

Finally, the advertising practices of savings and loans is absolutely Madison Avenue P.R. nonsense. I have never seen a TV advertisement for mortgage loans. It's always "We want your deposits, it's a safe place to save, blah, blah, blah, FDIC, FSLIC." They don't talk about, "We want to make loans to you." Never.

And advertisings that they make in minority press or media -- and there are several Black journals in D. C. -are non-existent. They don't make them. They make them in the Washington Post and so on, with nice, White, suburban couples smiling by a little sailboat -- you know -- that kind of thing.

And it's very clear where they want to put their money, out in the suburbs, to primarily White clients.

There's only one savings and loan -- I won't mention the name -- that uses a Black person in the ad. That happens to be Roy Jefferson of the Redskins -- and their

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

1

5

6

7 8

9 10

12

11

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

long.

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

I'm talking about the White banks and savings and loans -don't use Black models or anything of the kind either. So it's all pre-conditioned.

The others -- except the two Black savings and loans -- but

record is improving all the time. They're the only ones.

I'm sure that if they changed their marketing, advertising practices, it would attract many, many, many Black applicants. But they simply are not doing that.

I just want to close by saying that the public must take a very strong stand and look at the operations and the accountability of not only the S&L's but the Federal and State banking agencies, commissions, what have you, in your particular area as well. It's the absence of this accountability which brings about, in my opinion, very irresponsible lending practices -- very traditional, but not necessarily responsible lending practices. And until private lenders in this City turn their practices around, I think that you will continue to see a phenomenon of urban decay.

> BOOTH: Does anybody else have any questions? (No response.)

Thank you, Mr. Vitarello. BOOTH:

VITARELLO: Thank you. I'm sorry for being so

BOOTH: Father Quayle?

QUAYLE: Is anybody awake? JEFFERS: Will you please state your name for the record?

QUAYLE: My name is Vincent Patrick Quayle.

JEFFERS: Would you give us the name and the address of your organization?

QUAYLE: I'm Director of the St. Ambrose Housing Aid Center, and we're located at 319 East 25th Street, here in Baltimore.

JEFFERS: I'll turn the questioning over to Chester Wickwire.

WICKWIRE: Father Quayle, what are the goals and objectives of your organization?

QUAYLE: We get loans for people, Chester. We're a consumer protection agency here in Baltimore to help individual families with their housing problems, and to shake up the institutions and work out some change on an institutional level.

WICKWIRE: How did the organization get involved with the issues of housing -- why? Why did you get involved?

QUAYLE: I was trained in Chicago, when I was in a seminary with the Solininsky Community Group in a changing neighborhood, and when I came back to Baltimore and saw the same problems and a couple of priests in St. Bernard's Parish—John Ballard got me involved in there, and I just got in and got deeply involved in housing.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

WICKWIRE: Has the Center been funded by any State, Federal or local agencies to provide counseling, for instance, for home-owners, or --

QUAYLE: Gee, that's a difficult question, Chester.

Most of our money comes from Catholic charities. We ve helped HUD out for five years now. We've never gotten a penny from HUD.

The State, I think we've bailed out a couple of their families who have been foreclosed on, and they paid us \$200, I think, to do it.

The City -- I enjoy my relationships with the City -- I'm not going to talk about that.

QUAYLE: We're a so-called approved house-hunting agency of HUD, so if they're going to turn down certain families on loans they often send the family over to us.

It's called the 237 program. And after a counseling session we decide whether or not the family can get a house.

So we've helped many families that way.

Also, we've been bailing HUD out for two years now on what they call their D&D families, families who are in default, who are threatened with the loss of their house. We go out and track them down, find out what the problem is, try to establish relationships with the lender, and get them back in shape. We've been doing that for a couple of

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

, Inc. years. We've probably worked with 200 families for HUD.

WICKWIRE: In your study of foreclosures, as I understand, you recommend pre-purchase counselling. Why is this?

QUAYLE: Well, I guess it comes mostly from our experience with the families who do get in trouble. Some of them should never have bought a house in the first place. You can just spot it like that, okay? The marriage is about to break up -- whatever it might have been. They were under pressure to move out of their house, and they get mixed up with some broker who just shoves them into ownership in another house.

Other families don't know what to do when they get into trouble. A lot of the families we work with are marginal families. They're in and out of jobs often, especially when the economy is as it is now, and the family doesn't know who to turn to. They think the banker is going to scold them if they go down and talk to the banker. And in the meantime they've got the guy who sold them the encyclopedia calling them up every night, and the car dealer.

So the money they have, they're paying off to these characters, rather than using it for priorities.

with problems of foreclosures by out-of-town lenders. I wish that you'd describe for us how the problems that you identified

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

in this study can be resolved with mortgage service by local lenders?

QUAYLE: Our experience is that the local lenders do not readily foreclose on families. And the reason is -- you had Mr. Hecht up here today; I've had very good dealings with their organization -- one reason is you've got to face the family. You've got to tell them, I'm going to foreclose. Because the family is going to come and see you. They live in the City.

Whereas the out-of-town fellows, there's no way for the family to communicate with him, other than by phone or by mail. So you never have that personal contact.

I mean these bank presidents are only human beings.

They don't want to, really. It's hard for them. The

families can twist their arms.

Really, the local lenders, once they take on a family, are pretty sensitive with that family. They don't want to get a bad reputation for foreclosing.

Now, you've got a few crooks in town that really don't care about the foreclosures. We're going to expose them -- one operation here in another month.

But the big, respectable lenders do not want to foreclose on families once they take a family. So our goal is to get rid of the mortgage bankers on marginal families.

And by "marginal" we mean any family that has to borrow more

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

ters, Inc.

than 100 percent -- or 100 percent of the loan, we think should be linked up with a local lender. Of course, that's the type of family who, chances are, is going to need extra help in the course of their mortgage.

We're having a lot of trouble -- you have the brokers coming on here this afternoon, and I'd like to say a word about that afterwards, because they've changed their whole tune from our hearings. We had hearings in this room a couple of months ago. Congressman Mitchell and the whole community came out here, and said we need pre-purchase counseling. The lenders were here. The mortgage bankers were here. The brokers were here. And the community organizations were here.

And one of the reasons that we're having trouble with these city brokers these days is that they are afraid of this pre-purchase counseling. Obviously, you know, it's going to infringe on their operation.

WICKWIRE: Okay. A recommendation that you make, as I understand it, is that Baltimore real estate brokers and financial institutions ought to attempt to place FHA-221 loans exclusively with local financial institutions. Why are you recommending this?

QUAYLE: That's that reason I just gave, Chester. Since they are so sensitive, once they do take the family on, we feel the families who are probably going to need sensitive

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. loan officers, or collection officers, in the future should get linked up with the locals, because they're right here and they are -- they have proven to be more sensitive.

And yet the very opposite is happening. The families who most need the sensitive counseling, servicing, are getting linked up with these out-of-town characters.

WICKWIRE: Is it your opinion that low and moderate income people should own their own homes?

QUAYLE: Yes, by all means. I've been convinced of it. And I've had my doubts over the years. But our organization -- we probably have helped 750 low-income families purchase in the last five years, and our experience really -- with one or two exceptions -- has been excellent. The families can take care of the homes, they have all the pride that you expect with home ownership, you see the homes improve -- you just see a change in a family's life.

So -- and they've got to believe in the community too. I mean, I've experimented in communities where I've tried to turn tenants into homeowners, and yet I've picked out some communities sometimes that are bad right now, and the tenants would say, "Why should I buy a house in this thing when I don't even believe in it?"

So it's not enough just to make homeowners out of tenants. The tenants have to have some faith that they're living in a vibrant community, too. That's important.

..

7

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

WICKWIRE: In terms of your counseling -- going back a little bit -- does this mean that St. Ambrose follows up with people, once they buy?

QUAYLE: We do, Chester, but realistically. We're not calling families every couple of months and saying how are you doing. The families get in touch with us if they get in trouble. That's one big advantage of counseling. The family knows that we're an objective organization, and if they do get in trouble they can call us. And they know we're not going to go running to the bank. In fact, we're going to do everything we can to help the family overcome it.

WICKWIRE: I know you described a number of problems concerning home loan policies, and you made certain recommendations that were addressed to housing in this City.

How do you feel about board composition and employment policies, in any lending decision concerning minorities and women?

QUAYRE: It's crucial. It's crucial. In our organization we take out memberships in the three largest savings and loans, and we show up at all their board meetings. And we're constantly saying, "We, as an organization..."--we pretend like we're part of the organization, all right, we're going to have a membership in it.-- "...we should have minorities on our board."

And I usually get the board members there, and

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

I say, "Where do you people all live? How many live in the City?" And you see a couple of hands go up.

So that's crucial, that the minorities, the Blacks and the women, get on the board of these directors.

And also, as loan officers, because usually what we have in Baltimore is we have middle-class Whites judging low-income White and Black families. Their value systems, their savings habits -- all this stuff which they really don't understand. A few do. A few do. But most of them don't understand.

And the natural prejudices come through, you know?
"You mean you don't have a savings account? You save at
home, in this day and age?"

All these natural prejudices that we middle-class Whites have about how people should live and conduct their finances come through in the way we decide whether or not a family should be approved. It's natural, and it's happening.

Just having Blacks in those positions, they'll keep raising the questions, you know, which are important.

The whole thing -- you know, we forget. We think of these things as institutions. They're all people. The whole thing is personalities. If an institution is conservative and bad, that's because one or a few people are very conservative in their thinking. And I constantly go

down and confront these people, and it's all personalities.

I know I'm not supposed to mention names, but Gene Williams is dead -- okay? He was the president of Baltimore Federal. So -- I mean I can mention him. He went through a conversion on his institution. He said, "Damnit, we haven't served this City." And he actually -- I saw this happen to him over the years -- he said, "We should be serving these low-income families." And that's why Baltimore Federal is getting all this national acclaim today.

And Bob Hecht -- I think it was the two of them -their personalities. They were committed to this, and they changed the whole institution.

Of course, I can take the other institutions and I can tell you horror stories, you know, because of the personalities. "People should have 10 percent down, or 20 percent, before they even think of buying a house."

Now, when you have that mentality running an institution in this day and age, that institution is not going to be responsive to people.

WICKWIRE: I take it in your work that you run into a lot of tragedies in connection with the foreclosures, that most foreclosures involve a personal tragedy, or problems that are unusual. And some of those can be spotted, you've indicated.

QUAYLE: They can be spotted, and they can be

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

corrected when they happen, Chester. Baltimore Federal has a very good policy for dealing with families once they get behind.

You know, the tragedy there is a family gets behind, and there's a reason for the family being behind. The banker will say to the family, as the out-of-town mortgage bankers do, "Bring in the three months payments, that's the only solution we're going to accept."

Now, the banker knows the family doesn't have the three months money or they would have paid. So the family has to go out and borrow it at high interest rate, or steal it from a bank, or relative, or grocery store -- or somehow come up with this money.

Whereas, the natural solution would be, okay, this family is in trouble. They've been with us 3, 4, 5, 10 years, let's hook it on to the back of the mortgage, all right? Let's help them resolve whatever their difficulties are. And the three months that they should've paid, they'll pay it, but they'll pay it later.

That's the way Baltimore Federal does it.

Now, there's a limit to how many times you do this with a family, okay? You're not going to do this with a family a couple of times a year. But you do it a few times anyway, if you're really interested in saving that family's mortgage.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

There are very few houses that have to go to foreclosure. I think virtually there are none, except the family
who, out of some reason, whatever it might be, abandons the
house. Okay, there's a justifiable foreclosure. I don't
know of any other time. Of course, there's usually a human
tragedy that causes it, and that's when we as the community
should come in and help that family in that tragic situation.

Instead, what do we do? We crush them all the more, you know? We add another tragedy. We take away their house.

WICKWIRE: Do you have a feeling -- I gather from what you said earlier that things are turning around somewhat with lending practices? But you think --

QUAYLE: Right.

WICKWIRE: Do you think --

QUAYLE: There are some decent lenders in town, you know. One of the reasons -- a couple of your investigators came out and talked to me, and they wanted me to produce families who didn't get loans. Well, we get loans for families. I don't have any who didn't get them.

But that's because I know what institutions give loans, all right? And when we call up for a family, damnit, that family is going to have to be in jail not to get a mortgage. We're going to find out why. And we'll go down and demonstrate, or whatever we have to do. And the bankers

know that.

And I think that's why we're not having any problem with them.

But I know the more sensitive institutions in town, and we're constantly testing some of the others -- because I know the bad ones. I've got them on page 20 of that foreclosure study. You know. They're right there.

WICKWIRE: Are there any other comments you'd like to make, other than disclosure of names of any --

(Laughter.)

QUAYLE: All right. I want to say a word about the -- oh, yeah, a couple of good things.

If an institution wants to lend money they'll do it, you know, and they'll do it the same way they do it with rich people. They'll take them out and wine them and dine them. That's just what Baltimore Federal did with them when they got into this market. And they went out to all the Black brokers -- and I know the guys who did it, they told me afterwards, you know -- and they went out and they told them, come on, bring in the families and stuff. They did it the same way they treat any other client.

so when you have an institution that says the people don't come in, it's because you don't want them to come in. If you wanted them to come in you'd find out how to get them in, or else they wouldn't be in business.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

2 3

4

6

5

8

7

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

All right. The brokers here -- here's our problem, and I -- the man who spoke before me, he said one very true thing at the end, that those brokers in Washington, the Black brokers, that they've gotten into habits of working against a discriminatory system, and it's very hard for them to break these habits now. Because the mortgage bankers have come in and wined and dined them, all right? And there are great relationships that are established right now.

So they are very comfortable in this present market now. They're comfortable as businessmen. I don't know how they are, you know, as the racial scene goes. But they have a very comfortable way of operating. They can get mortgages for families, probably faster than they can through these local lenders.

And it's very hard to change the attitude of these city brokers now, and say wait a second, you guys have a responsibility too, to take your families to these institutions.

We're constantly -- I'm constantly fighting these We used to be friends. I used to be friends with quys now. the Black brokers. Now I'm their enemy, because we want pre-purchase counseling. It's going to interfere with their business, because we want them to use the local lenders, because it's going to interfere with their quick sales and things. All right?

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

So it's fascinating what's happening here.

I don't know how long you want me to go on. There are a lot of things I could say here, if you want me to keep going on. Or if you have some questions --

BOOTH: We have a little while, if you want to go on a little bit.

QUAYLE: Do you have a couple minutes? Okay.

For a lot of lenders, the notion of a 100-percent loan, or 100 percent and more, is revolutionary. Our lending institutions are so conservative — they're used to families putting down 25 percent, or 20, or 10 percent, but they can't think of a family coming in and buying a modest home with \$500 or \$400. And yet, given a working class — if you look at our working class people today in this City, that's all the families can reasonably expect to have, is \$500, \$700.

So we've got to devise programs to help the guy with \$500 who's got a good job, good credit, to get a house. That's why we need the 221-2D program. I guess you know that the FHA -- we have a Maryland program that's similar to it. We have these programs on the books, but the lenders won't use them. That's the problem.

The Maryland -- if you got the State people in here they'd tell you that very few of your non-federal savings and loans are using their Maryland housing fund 100 percent program. For example, the federal savings and loans,

they can't use the 100-percent State program. That's one of the changes that maybe you people can make. I don't know.

But the State organizations can use the 100-percent and they're not using it. They're not using it because of that mentality that I mentioned. They think a family should put down 10 percent plus settlement to buy a house. That's how they're coming at it. They're coming at it from their point of view.

Now, all we can say is, "Well, listen, come at it from the family's point of view, and know that your loan is insured. What more do you want? The family needs the loan, your loan is insured, you're not going to lose anything. The family is a good family. The guy's a bus driver, been on the job eight years, and he pays his bills. What more do you want to know -- allright? And you're insured."

The Pilot Program -- we had three of the largest savings and loans here in town experiment with a pilot project, The pilot project was like the 221. It said a family with \$500 could buy a house for 10 or 12 thousand dollars. One of the local lenders, a very conservative one, took 72 loans. And I was talking to their collection department officer -- it was really a decent guy, you know; we call him liberal and things like that -- he said to me, "You know that pilot program?" I said, "Yes." He said, "You know those 72 loans we gave? There are six delinquent loans." And I said,

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

"Gee, that's great." He said, "Great?" He says, "That's awful, six families that are a month or two behind." I said, "Why are the families behind?" He said, "I don't know; what difference does that make?"

I said, "Harry -- whatever your name is -- if
you get into a program like this you're going to have to
expect a different procedure than what you're used to, with
a family that's putting 20 percent down. Your families are
going to become delinquent, but they'll catch up. Go out and
find out why they're delinquent. How can you help them catch
up? You know, they'll catch up."

And if we get into a program to really help low-income families, we're going to have to use a different set of criteria in dealing with the families. That's what I'm trying to say. And let some of the local lenders that are screaming about their experience with poor families -- it's because they haven't changed their mode of operation. That's why they're so naive in that.

Again, we talked about how difficult it is to find families to come in here and say they tried and weren't able to get a loan. I tried to show your investigators how these bulletins worked. I don't know -- did they explain to you how a lender puts out a bulletin to all the real estate brokers in the City that they're used to dealing with, and the bulletin describes the criteria for bringing in families.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And it's very nice. I've got some from the past. It's hard to get recent ones. But I gave your man one that says, "Don't bring in anyone under \$18,000, don't bring in any house that's more than 10 years old ... " -- which is the whole city, you know?

You know, this is how the policy is established.

That's all I can think of. You're tired. I've got things to do -- Oh, I know what I want to say. I brought the tapes from our civil rights hearing that we had in here with Harry Mitchell. These brokers got up and -- there were three of them. And it just so happens they're the same three you've got this afternoon --

(Laughter.)

-- there are the tapes. Here's their testimony in writing, all right? You can tell them you have it, or you can tell them after they give their testimony, all right?

One of them was really honest. I've never known a broker to be as honest as that man was. I don't have the written testimony, but he's on the tape there.

The others, I have the written testimony, and just a couple of things --

JEFFERS: If you'd please refrain --

There it is. I want these back. OUAYLE: my only copy. All right?

BOOTH: We'll get them back to you.

22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

Thank you, Vinnie. WICKWIRE:

2

BOOTH: Thank you very much.

3

QUAYLE: All right.

4

SMITH: Father Quayle, when you talk about those

5 6

recent experience that those same limitations exist, or do

bulletins, do you have any indication based on your most

7

you think that you see different limitations, or --

8

QUAYLE: They don't send me the bulletins,

9

Marjorie.

10

(Laughter.)

11

SMITH: I understand.

12

I think -- I don't think that we can find OUAYLE:

13

those bulletins anymore, like the example I gave you.

14

I think what happens is most of your real estate industry

15

have developed ways of operating with certain lenders, and

16

they -- they're the same. All -- your real estate broker

17

operates the same way as the Black brokers in Washington

18

operate. They establish their own way of working within

So the brokers that got those bulletins a few

19

the system.

with. All right?

20

21

22

23

24

They're going to use the lenders they were used to operating

years ago, unless they've been given reason to suspect that

the lenders have changed their policies, they're not going

to go out and pry into the business of those lenders.

25

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

That's why you need the people to go out and wine and dine the brokers, and that's why -- we had a program here in town a few years ago. Gene Williams, who is dead, set it up. And it was to work on low-income families. But it never worked.

Chester, you remember, they sent it out to the ministers, you know? They sent letters to the ministers:

"Send in families." All right?

Did they ever send a letter to you asking you if they could lend money to some of the big people in town? No.

That's not the way they get business, by sending letters to ministers. They know how to get business. They went out and wined and dined the people they wanted to come in.

That's what the lenders who aren't lending have to do now. It's going to be a little bit hard, because the Black brokers are suspicious of them to begin with.

BOOTH: Are there other questions?
(No response.)

BOOTH: All right. Thank you, Father.

QUAYLE: Okay. Thank you.

BOOTH: That completes the people we've asked to come and talk to us this morning, so we'll break for lunch now and reconvene at 2:30.

(Whereupon, at 1:05 p.m., the hearing was recessed, to reconvene at 2:30 p.m., this same day.)

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

25

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

AFTERNOON SESSION

BOOTH: May we call the afternoon session to order?

We're required to start off by reading the Privacy Act statement.

On September 27, 1975, the Privacy Act of 1974 became effective. Pursuant to that Act, the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights and its State Advisory Committees are required to inform the public and individuals from whom it collects information of their statutory authority to collect this information.

The Commission's authority to do so is set out in 42 United States Code Section 1975d. Its Advisory Committee authority is set out in 42 United States Code, Section 1975d(c).

Any information collected by the Advisory Committee from any individuals prior to this hearing was given voluntarily. All individuals participating in this hearing have volunteered to do so. And any information this Advisory Committee collects from you during the course of this hearing will be voluntarily supplied by you.

The Advisory Committee will not impose any sanctions on any individual who chooses not to answer a particular question or divulge certain information.

The Advisory Committee's principal purpose for

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

collecting information relating to the savings and loan industry is to obtain enough information for the Committee to prepare a report to the U. S. Commission on Civil Rights regarding the employment and home mortgage lending practices of savings and loan institutions in Baltimore, Maryland.

Information obtained during the course of this hearing will also be used for other purposes, as set out in the U. S. Commission on Civil Rights Notice in the Federal Register, pursuant to the Privacy Act, concerning its State Advisory Committee project files.

Copies of the U. S. Commission on Civil Rights' statement on the relationship of the Privacy Act of 1974 to information collected by the Commission and its Advisory Committees, are freely available to all participants and members of the audience. Copies are located on the table at the back of the hearing room.

And, again, I would like to add that there are also copies of other studies that have been done by the Commission which you're certainly welcome to take with you.

Our first participant this afternoon is Millie Jones.

Would you come up to the table, please?

JEFFERS: We've tried, during the first part of
this hearing, to have all of our participants not name -- not
mention the names of individuals or specific institutions, and

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

we've had a slight slippage in that. You weren't here, so we hope that you will just try to refrain from naming individuals or a specific institution, unless they can be mentioned in a favorable light.

And, with that, I'll start with the questioning.
Would you please state your name for the record?

JONES: Mildred B. Jones.

JEFFERS: Will you state the name and address of your organization with which you are working?

JONES: North East Community Organization. I don't remember the exact address. It's the Alameda Shopping Center, Baltimore, 21239.

JEFFERS: Do you have any sort of prepared statement that you would like to read before we proceed with the questioning, or something of that nature?

JONES: I don't have a statement as such, but I would like -- a prepared statement, that is -- but I would like to make a statement.

JEFFERS: Fine. Please go ahead.

JONES: Thank you.

As I stated when I was interviewed a couple of weeks ago, that my involvement in the area of housing and real estate has not been covering the financial institutions—or redlining by financial institutions. Basically, in the North East area we have been involved in a preventive

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

measure, hoping that we would not succumb to the kinds of redlining that has occurred and is occurring throughout the City of Baltimore.

We have found our biggest problem in real estate in North East Baltimore has been in the area of appraising properties -- low appraisals, that is -- giving us more or less -- well, downgrading our properties.

And also the problem of steering. We have been more or less known to some agencies, basically Federal and State at this point, as an inferior community.

And it's because of this we have been getting lower prices than the competitive market would permit.

Basically, that's what I would like to say.

JEFFERS: Okay. Thank you. I'll turn the questioning over to Marge Smith.

SMITH: Thank you for being here, Miss Jones.

Could we begin for a moment talking about the North East Community Organization itself? What are the goals and objectives of that organization?

JONES: Well, several. Briefly -- it would take a good while to really go into it -- but briefly, we are concerned with maintaining -- well, holding on to a way of life. We feel that integration is healthy and wholesome, and basically these are the kinds of things we have been working on, to remain a good integrated community, with all phases

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

of community living lending itself to a good community.

This would go into schools, upgrading our schools, upgrading our shopping areas, working with transportation, and our public facilities -- City and State agencies. Anything that would affect community living within our North East area.

SMITH: What geographic area does the North East Community Corporation encompass?

You might want to look at that map, and if you could pick out from that -- perhaps Miss Squier could help.

JONES: Do you want me to go over?

SMITH: Well --

JONES: I don't read maps very well. If you could find York Road, I think perhaps I can show you from here -- or 33rd Street, Memorial Stadium --

SMITH: Well, it's really basically the upper right quadrant of the map?

JONES: Which is the North East section of Baltimore City, adjacent to Baltimore County.

SMITH: Thank you very much.

JONES: If I'm allowed to elaborate a wee bit, in reference to discrimination, low appraisals of property and steering, we have within this North East area White pockets, whereby real estate people have not been working to show properties available to all prospective buyers. We find

- 6

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

a clear-cut pattern of real estate persons bringing Negro families to the already integrated community, rather than obeying the Fair Housing laws and going into the adjacent communities where there are similar type housing, and prices are similar.

SMITH: Well, do you know of any instances when a house in your neighborhood, or in this area -- let me -- you do live in this area, too?

JONES: Oh, yes.

SMITH: Fine. I just wanted to make that clear.

Do you know of any instance when a house in your neighborhood has been appraised at a lower value than its fair market value?

JONES: I could give you several. In fact, I have a couple of letters or statements with me now. Frankly, our Federal Government seems to be the biggest -- if I must say -- wrong-doer in this particular pattern of under-appraising. We've heard statements made -- and we can get witnesses to bear this out -- to the effect that, indicating that since the neighborhood is integrated perhaps this is why White families are moving. This is not always the case. There's a transfer sometimes which could account for the move.

We find our State agencies -- not State agencies, but one of our State home funding programs is guilty of this

also. I have a letter here that was sent to an applicant who made application for mortgage financing, which is a 100-percent financing at six percent. The application was sent back with a letter stating that, "We feel the property will not appraise for the contract price; therefore, we are refunding your \$100 deposit, because we do not think you canthat the property is over priced. I'm sorry."

These are some of the kinds of things that have been involved. Definitely many under-appraised properties.

SMITH: When you talk about the Federal Government,

I assume what you mean there are federal agencies such as -
JONES: Home loan guarantees.

SMITH: Where they send out their own staff appraisers, which --

JONES: Yes. Yes.

SMITH: You mentioned, or you seemed to indicate, at least, that it's your conclusion that these houses are appraised at below fair market value because of a state of integration.

JONES: On what do I base that?

SMITH: On what do you base this?

JONES: Yes. On comparable value. See, we have in our community homes that were constructed by the same builder who constructed homes in an adjacent community. These may be two or three given blocks away -- same type construction.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

We find properties there appraising for anywhere from ten, fifteen, twenty thousand dollars more than properties are in our integrated community.

SMITH: Is there a difference in the rate of turnover in those neighborhoods?

JONES: No, not necessarily, no. We find this property coming on the market -- I know this, because I have access to the multiple listing sheets, put out by the multiple listing service. And there is a turnover in the adjacent neighborhoods as well as in our own neighborhood.

But the rate of change, as far as race is concerned, the properties in the all-White neighborhoods remain virtually all White. While in our community, the turnover is from a White family to a Negro family -- unless someone in the community has a friend, or maybe a neighbor who knows someone who wants to move in, and thereby we are able to get White families to move in.

We have not had this kind of cooperation from the real estate industry.

SMITH: I guess the logical question to follow is:

Do you know specifically of instances of racial steering
in your neighborhood?

JONES: Yes. Yes. As a matter of fact, I think
maybe late '69 early '70 or so we worked extensively with the
Justice Department Housing in Washington, and gave many

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

specific cases of persons who were steered to the community, or who were discouraged -- White persons were discouraged from moving into the community. They were interviewed. I don't know what became of that. We never heard anything at all after the investigations were completed.

SMITH: You have problems, then, with the area of steering in terms of bringing potential new buyers in, and you have problems in terms of appraisals from various agencies, be they Federal, State -- do you also see problems on the part of other lending sources which are available, for example, savings and loans in the City -- either a hesitation or a willingness to become involved in mortgages in that area?

JONES: Well, again, I personally have not been involved in financing to a great degree. There are some cases, we feel, where there is discrimination. These are perhaps isolated.

Then, again, some things are done in such a subtle way until one perhaps doesn't notice right away, until after he thinks about it.

What we've been able to do is, if there is hesitancy on the part of the lending institution to lend mortgage money in our area, we have been able to go someplace else to get a mortgage. And our main objective is to get a mortgage for the community, more or less. We're working,

as I said before, in a preventive way.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

SMITH: In addition to the problems which your community faces based on the changing racial pattern, are you aware of any incidents of discrimination against women who have attempted to purchase homes in your carea?

JONES: No, I can't say that I am. Going back a few years, we had quite a few one-parent families, mostly women, who purchased homes, mostly through the FHA-235 program a few years ago.

I have heard of an isolated case against a male who was looking to purchase a property. But I just don't know. I can't say that I know of any.

SMITH: Thank you.

JEFFERS: You said that you heard of an isolated case against a male. Could you tell us why -- what happened to him?

JONES: Well, this is strictly hearsay, but if you want further proof to back up my statement I can furnish this to you.

I understand this letter -- the letter came back to this particular person stating that "Our first commitment is to families, and if and when your marital status changes give us a call or contact us and we will be happy to work with your application, or process it in a formal manner."

Something such as this.

JEFFERS: Was this from a private organization?

2

JONES: No. This was from a State organization.

3

State housing? JEFFERS:

4

JONES: Yes.

5

DARDEN: You said that when you had trouble getting

6

a loan you usually were able to get one from another source?

7

JONES: Yes.

8

DARDEN: Well, in the cases that you had trouble

9

10

when you did get a loan from an alternate source, were they

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

getting loans, were they from local lending institutions, and local entities?

Yes. Yes. I can't -- I bought a home just a few years ago, and I didn't have any problems getting mortgage money for it. So I don't think you can really say that this happens .

WICKWIRE: Would you give us an idea of the value of an average house in that area? Can you give us a rough idea?

JONES: That's a very hard question to answer. would like to think of a competitive market value, but because of low appraisals we have the two things working against the other. I would say \$30,000 is a very high figure to get a home appraised in our community, whereas five blocks away we know of houses being appraised for 35, 40, 45 thousand dollars.

WICKWIRE: These are comparable houses?

2

JONES: Oh, yes, yes, definitely so. Same builder.

3

Yes, sir.

4

WICKWIRE: Are reasons for limitations on lending

5

sometimes like the age of the house, or --

6 7

JONES: No. No, sir. I would like, if I might take a moment of your time, to further support the statements

8

I have made.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

This statement was made by one of our Federal people in reference to lending on how to consider the desirability on the part of the buying public, and went on to say that the stigma to North Woods placed by a guy who killed a woman there several months ago.

So these are the kinds of things that in the minds of these people affect property as such.

This statement was made -- let's see, the date on the newspaper article near the top is August 13.

I have a copy of an article dated 4-12-72, where this same person stated that his agency was making a study of the appraisal policy for the Northwood area, and that it would be completed within about thirty days. I'm talking '72 and '75.

I have a letter from the same loan quaranty officer -- this goes back to '74, I believe -- where he's more or less saying the same kinds of things.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

And I have all kinds of goodies that will support what I'm saying, if anyone is interested.

BOOTH: Any other questions?

THOMPSON: May I ask Mrs. Jones one question? I understand that you've made agreements with several realtors, and these agreements are in effect affirmative action, and these realtors have promised that they would try to get White families into your neighborhood.

What success or lack of success -- how do you rate them? Do you monitor their success or lack of success?

What's your opinion?

JONES: If I might clarify something, I don't think the agreement was to get White families into our neighborhood. We are concerned that many agents will take a listing in a community and will not work that listing as such. And we are quite concerned that when the seller hires an agent to work for him to sell his home, that hopefully that agent will work that listing, will have open house, will, himself, show that property.

As far as success is concerned, in integration, we have met with the brokers and agents who have signed the affirmative action agreement with us. We have taken them on tours, several tours, around the community, and have pointed out -- well, they can see for themselves if there were some negative things -- we pointed out the positive

things, of course, in the community.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

24

25

In meeting with these people after having had a tour, having gone on a tour, why; they would agree, "You do have a good neighborhood, your houses are beautiful, very well constructed. It's a very desirable neighborhood."

And what it boils down to, someone from this particular company eventually, if possible, selling that house. It's always sold -- this is from our own observation -to a Negro family.

So basically I would say in my own estimation I can't see how this has been anything great to promote and encourage, agent and real estate broker participation in working in our communities.

BOOTH: Miss Jones, if you have some documents you'd like to leave with us, we'd love to have them.

JONES: Thank you. Would you like some more? I only brought a few.

BOOTH: We'd like anything you'd like to offer.

Thank you very kindly. JONES:

BOOTH: Thank you.

The next person we've invited to come is George Laurent.

JEFFERS: Mr. Laurent, would you please state your name for the record?

LAURENT: Yes. I'm George B. Laurent, Executive

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

ters, Inc. Director of Baltimore Neighborhoods, which is located at 32 West 25th Street, zone 21218, in the City of Baltimore.

JEFFERS: Okay. Thank you very much.

I think the questions will be directed to you by Mary Loker.

LOKER: Did you have a prepared statement, before we begin?

LAURENT: If you'd like to hear it, yes.

LOKER: We'd love to.

LAURENT: Baltimore Neighborhoods is an organization devoted toward working for an open housing market in the Baltimore metropolitan area, resisting or fighting racial steering, and also being concerned with integrated maintenance of relatively stable integrated neighborhoods.

As such, we became interested in the savings and loan situation a couple of years ago when we began to get reports of materials -- in fact, I've seen some of this material -- where when a savings and loan would send out a notice, and it would say something like -- going out to real estate people -- "We don't want houses more than 20 years old, and we're not interested in financing anything under \$18,000."

And I thought of the good home, the good 40-year home, I had bought in Edna Gardens for \$15,000, and I would have been excluded from this. Now, I did get it through a

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

savings and loan. But I think all of us -- I go along with Millie Jones -- are concerned about preventive medicine. We've heard about the problems of redlining and steering, which has been pretty severe in a lot of other cities, and I think we're very sensitive to this fact.

So from Baltimore Neighborhoods' point of view on the maintenance of integrated neighborhoods, this is one thing that we wanted to take a look at. And we joined with others in this process of getting more money for the Baltimore area by supporting the rising of these interest ceilings on savings and loans.

But, as we said to the industry, we wanted to make sure that when this was done that Baltimore City was properly treated.

And, as a result, what we did as our part of this endeavor, which a number of other organizations which you're aware of are also concerned about, we decided that we would take a look at the composition of the boards of directors, and at the employment practices of the savings and loans. on a kind of thesis that if -- well, put it positively -the way you select your board of directors, and their composition, must have impact on the way you select your officers who run and your policies that you set; and the way you establish your officers and set your policies is bound to have some impact on the mortgages you make.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

So we thought we'd like to take a look at the composition of the boards of directors and employment practices of savings and loans, in order to interpolate improvements that might be needed. Because it's very difficult, as you can be well aware, unless you're going to make a tremendous effort, to find out what's going on in the mortgages practices. That becomes very complex.

So the first thing that we did was to take the 10 largest federally-chartered savings and loans, or if they were a State savings and loan that had federal insurance, they would be under the supervision of the Federal Home Loan Bank Board. And we took the 10 largest federal savings and loans -- and I can just concisely read this to you -- it came out this way:

We sent some 57 questionnaires asking this information to 57 savings and loans. And we came up with eight, or 14 percent of the 57 savings and loans responding to the questionnaire. Seven gave the information requested, one savings and loan declined to do so. They answered our letter, but they declined to do so.

The 16 savings and loans we know about, in other words, the 10 that we had gone out and checked first, the 10 largest plus the 6 that came in, have a total of 139 directors, of whom three, or two percent, are women.

Excluding Advanced Federal Savings & Loan, which

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

is considered to be a Black savings and loan, the directors are Black, the remaining 15 savings and loan have 130 directors all of whom are White. And it seems save to presume that the remaining 41 savings and loans have no Blacks.con their boards, and hardly any women.

And we did a similar study on the State savings and loans and found the same thing.

We sent this data on too the Federal Home Loan

Bank Board, with a request -- because they were having hearings

on conflict of interest as it concerned the boards, and we

had said to the Federal Home Loan Bank Board a couple of

things:

First of all, the boards of directors of savings and loans are too small and too interwoven. You know, too few people on them, and having to do with similar industries, and not representative of the public, and we'd like to see you increase the number of people that can be on the federally-chartered savings and loans. And also, since you have a considerable amount of influence and authority over the savings and loans, we would like you to write to them and request that they give you the information that we asked for, that we think should be public, and that you give it to us to make it public.

The federal bureaucracy, as is normally the case, wrote back and said, "This kind of information may be in our

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. files. We would be glad to get it for you. It will cost you somewhere between \$50 and \$150 for us to look up this material. If you want it, let us know if you're willing to pay for it."

And our response back to them -- because we were sending, you know, letters back to Congressmen and all the rest -- was that this is something a simple letter from you would have elicited this information. You should have had it a long time ago. You ought to keep records on it.

And they came out with stuff like, you know, why should we do it for you in this local area, and all that. As far as we're concerned this should be computerized and should be information available to everyone.

So the next step, we decided to take a look at the employment practices, and to, you know, continue to work on. And what we've done -- and unfortunately we're in a position of having a great deal of data that we haven't thoroughly analyzed because we're just finishing this study -- we did a walk-in survey of these savings and loans, the main branches -- the federally-chartered savings and loans main branches -- and we came up -- we went to 148 offices, and we checked for a number of things.

It's my understanding that they're supposed to have equal opportunity posters posted, and we found out that 97 did display a poster, or 66 percent. The remainder did

not, 49 did not.

opportunity lenders.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

to be in a good location -- 66 percent, 26 percent in a fair location and 6 in a poor location.

So the savings and loans could be a little more enthusiastic about advertising to people that they are equal

good location, fair location or poor location. And out of

the 64 that did have them up, 66 were in what we considered

And then we analyzed whether the posters were in a

Then we surveyed, and we took a look at the total number of people that we saw employed there, and we got their brochures and we analyzed them as to the women directors.

And of the savings and loans, which actually numbered 61 -- we had missed 4 in our original survey that we picked up -- these 61 savings and loans in the Baltimore metropolitan statistical area have 490 directors, of which 15 or 3 percent are women, which confirmed the kind of random sample we took on the first. So they're still low on directors.

Then we -- let me get some figures here, adding up the employees

(Pause.)

We observed 691 employees, of which there were

122 White male, 532 White female, 5 Black males, including 3

janitors, and 32 Black females. And this comes out to the

fact that in the savings and loan industry -- that we saw, you

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

know, walk-in survey, we saw 82 percent women, 18 percent men. And we saw, of the men, something -- the White-Black ratio is 96 percent White, 3.9 percent Black -- and I'll give you these statistics.

But that shows that there's a low employment variation.

Now, interestingly enough, when you take a look at the employment of the Black that are employed in the Baltimore metropolitan area, we find, of the 5 men, of the 2 men who were not janitors, one was employed in Baltimore City and one was in Severna Park.

When we take a look at the 32 Black women, which doesn't actually include two other minorities, so we eliminated those two and it comes to 30, and 6 of the Black women worked for Advanced Federal Savings and Loan, a Black savings and loan, which really leaves 24. You find that 12 are in the downtown area, 3 are in the Annapolis area, 2 on Washington Boulevard, 4 out in the Pimlico area, 2 in the Woodlawn area, 2 in Severna Park, and that's it.

So that even outside of Baltimore City, some savings and loans do employ Blacks, and there's certainly Black population, because most of the savings and loans went to Havre de Grace, Westminister -- all the populations have some Black people there.

So that if you were to summarize, you would say

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. that there are two Black males, non-janitors, out of 127 non-janitor White males, or 1.5 percent.

And then if you were to eliminate Advanced Federal Savings and Loan, you'd find out there are 24 Black women out of a total of 564 women we saw, and that these women represent 4.1 percent of the female work force that we saw.

So you see you have a very low percentage of Blacks.

And we would say in the Baltimore metropolitan area there must be -- what is it, about 2 million at the most, and about, what, 6 or 7 hundred thousand Blacks at the most -- and you'll see it's a substantial part of the population.

And when we analyzed the 10 largest federal savings and loans, we pointed out the significance of this to us was that if you have 2 women out of 92 directors, and no Blacks, what you're saying is that these 10 largest savings and loans you cannot find one woman out of a million women -- well, I mean out of a million population you can't find one worthy to be on the board, and you find no Blacks. What does this symbolically say to the community? There's something wrong with women and there's something wrong with Blacks.

And this would be a reinforcing thing, that when
a Black or a woman comes in to get a mortgage, well you've
got to look at them doubly hard, because there's something
wrong with these people, because they are not in positions
of real directorship and power. That's our kind of presupposition

_

8 9

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Now, we've also taken a look at the officers by sex, as listed in the brochures, to see what kind of officership, because the vast majority of employees in the savings and loan industry are women. And so the question comes -- and we don't know of any Black officers, we couldn't tell from looking at a brochure, but I would be very surprised, if outside of Advanced Federal, there's a Black officer. We have no way of knowing that, but I would really be very, very surprised, basically.

And if you take a look at it, of the savings and loans, we found data on 56 out of the 62, because we couldn't get brochures from 6 of them, and we found out that there are two women presidents of savings and loans, one of a very small savings and loan -- and we have reason to feel that the real officer is the chairman of the board of this small savings and loan. And one about a medium size. Okay?

And of the vice presidents, 7.7 percent of the vice presidents are women.

Treasurers, 11.1 percent are women.

Of the secretaries -- that's the secretary of the board -- 36 percent are women.

Assistant vice presidents, 27 percent are women.

Assistant treasurers, 66 percent women.
Assistant secretaries, 65 percent women.

Branch managers and other officers, 43 percent

are women.

So we haven't fully analyzed that. That may be a relatively acceptable officer rate. I'm not sure. But this is being completed by us.

We're also doing the same thing with State chartered savings and loans, and we haven't had time to analyze this.

We've just completed this survey of the numerous branches.

Most of them are a lot smaller than the federals. Some of them are sizeable. But it's very difficult to get full information on the small state-chartered savings and loans.

We intend to bring all those data together. We intend to keep our pressure on the Federal Home Loan Bank Board, which we feel should be -- which is derelict, as far as I'm concerned. It should have had all this information, and should have been supervising the employment practices of the savings and loans. It should be raising the issue of board composition, and also of loan policies.

And you get the kind of usual bureaucratic run around when you deal with this kind of an agency.

We're also concerned because it's our understanding that the Federal Home Loan Bank Board of Atlanta, Georgia, regulates savings and loans in this area. And 8 of the 12 governors of that bank directly come from the savings and loan industry, and that 4 are appointed from Washington.

So you have the industry regulating itself. And

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. why should there be any desire to respond to any of this kind of information?

And you know about the appointment of the top board in Washington. You probably know more about that than I do.

But in general this is what we've been doing, and we have a lot of analytical -- we intend to take a look at these savings and loans that do employ women to see whether there's a concentration in one or two. We haven't had a chance to really, you know, get into that, and also to balance the City against the County.

But I am -- at least in the employment -- most savings and loans are in population areas where there are some Blacks, so that you can't say this would be affected that much by the little savings and loans way out in Timbuctu which has not a Black family for miles and miles around. It is interesting to note that there is some employment, you know, in Annapolis and so on.

So I think it stands up pretty well that Black employment is extremely low in the savings and loan industry, and not anywhere near representative of the communities in this area.

LOKER: Okay. You said earlier that your organization had looked into the practice of steering. Could you say a little bit about the impact on the racial composition of the

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. neighborhoods in Baltimore due to the practice of steering?

LAURENT: We don't know. Actually, with a very limited staff of two people, the main energies of Baltimore Neighborhoods have been working to knock down barriers. We have not concentrated on steering. We have worked to eliminate discrimination by testing, by handling of complaints, private attorneys doing testing and working with the Federal Government.

We are the recipient of a HUD grant which is kind of unique in the country, as a partnership between the Real Estate Board of Greater Baltimore, the real estate brokers of Baltimore and Baltimore Neighborhoods, to begin to take a very in-depth look at what is going on, and to see just how much steering exists, or just how much discrimination exists, and what have you. And hopefully we'll be able to get some very concrete data on this.

LOKER: But you don't have anything to say about that right now?

LAURENT: Not now.

LOKER: Okay. I think you've pretty well answered our other questions in your statement, so does anyone else have any questions?

SMITH: Mr. Smith, I am trying to work through something in my own mind, and that is the relationship between the absence of Blacks and/or women on the boards of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

directors or on loan committees, and how that relates to a willingness or lack of willingness to give mortgages to Blacks and women?

LAURENT: Well, as I said in the letter to the government, I once worked for a major bank in New York City a number of years ago. And I'd been there for about six months when I knew that no Jew or Black was to be hired in that place. And I couldn't even conceive of making a loan to a Black.

And it was by osmosis. Nobody ever sat me down and said, "Buddy, this is the way this bank operates," and all the rest of it.

You also knew if you had a Polish name -- you know, in other words, kind of by osmosis. And I m not a very politically orientated person, so I was probably the most naive person in this whole -- in this training program.

But the guys who were smarter, you know, had figured out who was who, and the politics of the bank, and all that, through osmosis. It just came. There were certain people you just didn't deal with, just as you knew you don't fool around with joining a bank union.

They don't have to say a word. You pick up a kind of feeling, you know, that there are people we don't think too well of, that don't work for you.

All I'm saying is that that can have some influence upon whether you're making a loan or not. If you have an

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

all-White organization and a Black comes in, and you know no Blacks are worthy to work for this organization or be a director or something, there must be something funny about them. You know, that kind of thing.

And then you have a kind of, you know, male chauvinist point of view that gives you a kind of impression that men really know how to manage, and women don't. And so no company in its right mind would have a woman in it, and you prefer to loan to a man. You know the credit problems women have, and the concept of the man of the family. He's the guy who -- you know, if a woman doesn't have a man, well, you know, there's always a question mark there, because how responsible is she?

I'm just saying it's, you know, inferential. we have to go -- you know, we're going on that kind of a basis. There must be something back of this. We have scuttlebutt about certain prejudices within the industry, but that is hearsay, giving opinions of people who know the industry, and that kind of thing, and I don't think that's scientific or fair.

SMITH: Do potential home buyers come to you to ask your help in getting them hooked up with a lender?

No. If people would come to us seeking LAURENT: that kind of information, we would refer them to, like the St. Ambrose Housing Center, or one of the others.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. what Millie Jones was talking about, we had a young man come in who had been turned down by the Maryland Housing Fund on the basis that he was trying to get a house in our area, which I understand was selling for about \$10,000, and had three bedrooms. And he was turned down on the fact that this, in our opinion, is too much house for a single person. Get married and come back and see us.

We said it was not only contrary to regulations of the Maryland Housing Fund, but it's contrary to the law of Maryland. I checked with a prominent realtor, for example, and said, you know, how good a buy is a two-bedroom house -- or let's say a one-bedroom house. I don't think there's such a thing as a one-bedroom. But let's imply that a single person should have a two-bedroom house, is that a good buy? He said, no, those are freak houses.

So what we're saying is the State of Maryland says a single person can't have a good investment. I happen to be a single person with a three-family house. And our neighborhood welcomes -- our neighborhood is undergoing a tremendous transition, not necessarily from Black to White. It's integrated. Blacks are moving in.

But basically the people in our neighborhood are dying off. They've been there for forty years. And we've got a lot of singles moving in, couples moving in. And in the smaller houses I think it's a very good thing for singles

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

to have home ownership.

Now, I can sympathize, you know, with the State Housing Fund kind of saying, "Well, let's try to get more people in the house." But we maintain -- and we filed a complaint with the State Human Relations Commission for this gentleman, we're backinghhim up on a protest to the Governor -- that it's not -- that the law is the law, and singles should be protected as well as couples, and the State should not discriminate in any way against a single.

DARDEN: You've investigated boards of directors of savings and loan institutions. We heard earlier this morning that it's quite possible that if the resulting urban disinvestment that comes from lending policies of Baltimore savings and loans may be oversight on the part of lending committees and boards of directors.

Would you agree with that?

LAURENT: Well, you have the kind of thing of, let's say, directors who have -- I think Mr. Windsor might bring up some material about this kind of thing -- but let's say that you have a bunch of people who have been directors of savings and loans or of banks, and that they have left the area and gone to suburbia.

I have a gentleman on our street who has been living there for 20 years, and he has a friend in the banking industry -- he had quite an argument. This guy said, "Why are

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

you still living in Edna Gardens. You know that's not a place to live. It's integrating, it's behind." That kind of thing.

And he had a totally prejudicial -- he hadn't been in Edna Gardens for years, and had a prejudicial concept of what Edna Gardens is like, based on, you know, it must be in chaos over there.

And this guy had to really let him know about it.

We've run into this kind of thing. This is the kind of -- you know, you can go out into suburbia. We'd even like to know where some of these directors live. If all the directors live out, how well do they know the city? With these kinds of prejudices you can write off the city. You know, that kind of thing we're really concerned with, and feel that that can happen.

The other kind of thing is that -- it was kind of interesting. We were looking for a savings and loan officer to be on our board, and we had a recommendation of a guy from somebody in the industry who thought that this guy might be one of the few guys that would be willing to serve on the BNI board.

So we approached him. He said, "Yeah, that would be very good. I'd better ask our board of directors about it." And then he called me back and he said, "I sounded out the executive committee, and they said absolutely not. You

don't even bring up such a question to the board."

You know, that's what I'm talking about, the kind of impact. In other words, we were looked upon as apparently a radical organization working in something the savings and loan industry doesn't like.

DARDEN: In other words, you're saying, then, it isn't a result of just ignorance or not knowing, but there is some active prejudice going on in the minds of most board of directors that result in their lending policies, have an effect on their lending policies?

LAURENT: Yes. It's my personal feeling that these are relatively sharp people on boards of directors, and their officers and everything, and they can't be that dumb. And they're not related to a community.

Let's say that with regards to women, I think a lot of us men over the years kind of took it that women were very happy in the position they were in, et cetera, et cetera. et cetera. But I think in the last few years, you know, that we're being disavowed of this kind of thing. And this is one of the benefits of civil rights.

We actually -- when I started in the civil rights
movement in 1954, we had to go out -- it was really very
funny -- and convince people, a lot of White people, that
Blacks were really upset. Because we ran into enough
Uncle Toms who'd get up in front of a White audience and

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

undercut us by saying everything is happy, you're a bunch of White agitators, and all this kind of baloney.

I think since the riots, all the civil rights activity, and all the rest of it, I don't think there are very many White people today who think that Blacks are happy, or have been happy, with American history, the way they've been treated.

I think the same thing today, in the last ten

years I can't see how any man who is involved in the community

and has the responsibility of being on a savings and loan

board -- which is a trusteeship -- can think that women and

Blacks are happy.

I have a kind of a thing -- I would just suspect this, knowing -- because I've been in banking, and I know how it is. Nobody rocks the boat, and everybody with a career in mind, you sit around there and you have the trustees, the directors of the bank, and you have a couple of officers. Then you have a couple of officers say, "Well, maybe we ought to employ some Blacks. Maybe we ought to think about a Black officer. But, gee, the board isn't going to like me to raise this unpopular kind of thing, and maybe I'd better keep quiet unless somebody says something."

Maybe there's one guy on the board who says,
"Well, maybe our savings and loan ought to have somebody,
but I don't want to bring up this -- you know, I know enough

I

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

from my involvment with other groups to know this kind of thing, and I don't want to bring up this unpleasant subject."

And so there's no pressure on me, and it's a conspiracy of silence, with even the liberal guys cow-towing to, you know, the conspiracy of silence. You get into something with suddenly everybody innocently saying, "Well, nobody has a policy here that discriminates." And yet it's set up.

And that's a very powerful -- that's probably as powerful a way of keeping something under control -- and I don't think the industry is ever going to change -- and what we hope to do, is we feel that the Federal Home Loan Bank Board has the authority to put the pressure on for getting more women and Blacks on there. When you get Blacks and women on the boards of directors, they're going to start raising some questions about employment practices. And they're also going to be in a positionato start raising some questions about the loans.

Because most of these savings and loans -- maybe
the giant ones -- but most of these savings and loans, it's
my understanding, that the board of directors, you know,
approves loans. So if you have a bunch of loans coming from
my neighborhood, or coming from the inner city, to the
board of directors, they may question why we're getting loans
from this area, and so on. And I think with your change of

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

composition of the boards of directors will make them more amenable to what's going on in the world.

WICKWIRE: George, one question. You really touched on it, but are you hopeful, or do you have reason to think, that if the pressure is kept on the Federal Home Loan Bank Board and the like, that we're approaching a new day in terms of lending practices?

LAURENT: Yes, I'm optimistic from this point of view. It's amazing how you can take the spotlight off something, and how for years and years—it took us a long time because of limited activities at the beginning to take a little look at savings and loans.

The thing that bothers me is that this is the kind of thing -- and I think the Civil Rights Commisssion itself -- this is the kind of thing that the Home Loan Bank Board ought to have taken years ago. But I think the value of what you're doing, and the value of our group, is that most in groups only react under pressure. Even Baltimore Neighborhoods; a civil rights organization, is under certain pressures from the public.

We know, for example, if we hire someone for this federal contract that it better damn well be through equal opportunity, because the community is going to look at us with a sharp eye, and we'd better set up everything according to law. We're under pressure too.

1

3

4

6

5

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

24

25

Now, if we weren't we might be very tempted to take a short cut and say, "Oh, let's get people we know." Because it's always easier to hire somebody you know. You know what I mean?

But we know we have to advertise, because we know we're going to be looked at like everything.

So that I think this is the kind of thing -- I think that only through this kind of public pressure -- and we intend to keep up this survey on a periodic basis. We'll do it this year, maybe next, you know, to see if there's any That's the only way. Every year keep pressure on progress. the Federal Home Loan Bank Board. Actually, the Federal Home Loan Bank Board should computerize. It would be the simplest thing. Give a report every year? What's your employment and board of directors policy.

And the point was -- I mean we're not saying fire people. If you take a look at the savings and loan boards they're very small in number. They could easily up the number of people on the boards of directors to include Blacks and women, without getting rid of good people who've been on for ten years. We're not saying get rid of people. We're saying, you know, up their number.

And I think that a woman's viewpoint would be excellent compared to -- a woman knows a heck of a lot about homes, loans, and all this kind of thing. So I think a

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

since got an officer, one of the ten largest has since got a woman -- director, I beg your pardon.

BOOTH: Just one?

woman's viewpoint -- one of the big ones, as you know, has

LAURENT: Yes. There was one. There are now three.

I have a -- well, I'll just say I'll give you all the correspondence and our preliminary reports, preliminary data, and you can begin to look at that, and look at our running battle with the Federal Home Loan board. It keeps going.

And then when we have put together and finished our report -- we have to take a lot of looks at the statistics -- we'll give you the complete report.

BOOTH: Thank you. I think we have one more question.

JEFFERS: I have one question. I just want to clarify something for myself and possibly for the record.

But earlier you mentioned something called a conspiracy of silence. And when you mentioned that I thought you might have been referring simply to a conspiracy of silence amongst directors or employees of a specific institution.

And I wanted to know whether you also were referring to a conspiracy of silence amongst different

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

financial institutions also, let's say within the savings and loan industry.

LAURENT: Well, I wasn't implying any kind of real plot. I'm simply saying -- even myself, most of us don't want to get into controversy. What I'm saying is when you come in to an institution which is a basically conservative institution, and you have all these traditions, like the bank I used to work for, who is going to bring to the attention of the directors that the impression is that you don't want Jews, Eastern Europeans and Blacks as employees of this institution? It would look like you were a trouble maker. You don't have any facts. It's just the impression you've got.

It's like saying, "Buddy, have you read the signs?"

So, if you're a guy given a chance to become an officer -- I left for another career, but most of the guys

I was with became officers of the bank -- then you were very careful about not raising things that could be a mark against you for promotion in the future.

And then you're on as a director. And, again, you know, you're put on there and there's a lot of connections and all the rest of it. I'm pretty sure that you don't want to raise a controversial -- you know -- questions about what's going on.

So what I'm simply saying is unconsciously, without-

1

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25 not a deliberate conspiracy, but a conspiracy of silence occurs in an institution. Let's keep it the way it was. Let's not rock the boat. And anybody wanting to make any changes has to fight an uphill battle.

JEFFERS: Let me take this one step further, and perhaps I'm taking you a step further than you may be willing But what I'm getting at is: Is there a possibility that, let's say an enlightened S&L might not be willing to get too far out in front of the rest of the industry, especially considering the fact that when they're getting advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank in their district that money is essentially controlled by other S&L presidents, or whatever?

Oh, today I think an S&L could have LAURENT: a more liberal policy and is not going to be in any way hurt.

But just to give you another illustration, one time we were trying to involve larger businesses in support of open housing, and I thought the response we got from an officer of a company was kind of interesting. I've run into this before. "If you think that our officers of this company want to get hassled at the country club about its support of open housing, you're just plain crazy."

I've run into that -- and the guy was really very honest and candid. In other words, one reason -- you know, it isn't that these guys, these directors and the top people

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

live in neighborhoods -- they're not worried. But it's a social approbation. You know, "What's your company getting into this stuff for?"

And when we had approached a number of larger corporations through a church group, it was -- we were interested in equal opportunity employment -- it was, "We've got enough to do." I got some scuttlebutt on the inside that this was too controversial, and they didn't want to get into this kind of thing because this raises a lot of controversy. It's a hot subject, so stay away from it.

That's part of it. It's a part of this conspiracy of silence. You know, somebody makes a selection in a company that we don't even want to upset our top people by bringing this to their attention. And I make the supposition they don't want to be bothered by this, and therefore we're cutting it off right here. That's the kind of impression I've got.

BOOTH: Any other questions?

(No response.)

BOOTH: Thank you.

LAURENT: I'll just leave these documents with you.

BOOTH: Let's take just a five-minute break to stretch, and then we'll go on to Father Baroni.

(Recess.)

BOOTH: May we come to order? Father Baroni, may we call you up front?

JEFFERS: Before we start, I just want to reemphasize one more time that we will try to avoid the use of the names of specific individuals or financial institutions wherever possible, unless it's done in a favorable sense. That's no reflection on you, Father Baroni, but we've done very well about that this afternoon, so we'll see if we can continue to keep up that sort of record.

I'd like to ask you a couple questions for the record.

First of all, I'd like you to give us your name for the record, please.

BARONI: My name is Geno Baroni, of Washington, D.C., President of the National Center for Urban Ethnic Affairs.

JEFFERS: Okay. And your assistant?

KOLLIAS: Karen Kollias, K-o-l-l-i-a-s.

JEFFERS: And your title or position?

KOLLIAS: Policy analyst, same center, National Center for Urban Ethnic Affairs.

JEFFERS: Okay. Before I turn the questioning ... over to Bert Booth, I want to ask you if you've got a prepared statement which you'd like to read, and if you do, please go ahead and read that.

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25'

ן

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

BARONI: Yes, Mr. Chairman and members of the Committee:

I'm happy to have this opportunity to appear before you this afternoon. I have a prepared statement, but I may depart from it, and I suppose you'd be more interested in questions on whatever experience we may or may not have in this arena.

I might be a Clergyman, but I'll never forget the first sermon that I gave was, "Not by bread alone does man live, but by credit." And I have been hounded by that most of my life.

I've gotten involved in urban affairs. I came from Western Pennsÿlvania to Washington, D. C. in the late fifties and got involved in the inner city in D. C. I was coordinator for Catholic participation in the march on Washington in 1963, and then became Urban Affairs Director of the Archdiocese of Washington. I then became Director of Urban Affairs for the United States Catholic Conference, and I've been involved in a lot of different kinds of urban policies and programs in Washington, D. C.

I also was president and founder of Urban

Rehabilitation Corporation, which did rehab and did the

original, first 221H home ownership houses, and have been

instrumental in trying to develop a number of housing programs

in a number of cities around the country through church groups

and private groups.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Last year I was a Fellow of the Kennedy School at Harvard, and my subject there was Neighborhoods Politics and And one of the things since 1968, the death of Robert Kennedy and the death of Dr. King, I left the inner inner city of Washington to go back to deal with or relate to neighborhoods that were next to Blacks in the Newark area, Detroit, Baltimore -- whatever.

I was very interested in who was left in the cities with the growing Black and Brown or Spanish or Latino people.

In a sense I was reminded of the Kerner Commission's report in terms of do we have a growing kind of anxiety or an inevitable group conflict between rising aspirations of minorities and those Whites who happen to live next to them.

And so I'm doing a 75-city study of cities like Pittsburgh, Baltimore, Detroit, Gary, Newark, and so on, and I'm very interested in knowing the racial factor of cities, but the ethnic factor as well.

And so, in terms of that program, we have had several government contracts with the Office of Minority Business Enterprise, which we're studying 18 cities for OMBE. And we've done several major programs for the Ford Foundation in the urban ethnic community development arena.

Our Center for the past five years has been working in 35 cities in what you might call the older ethnic

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

neighborhoods that are contiguous to or are binding or next to or in between inner city and the suburbs -- what some might call middle city -- or changing older mostly heavily ethnic neighborhoods.

In Maryland I was very instrumental in working with Miss Barbara Mikulski in a development and support of the South East Community Organization, known as SECO. And we're still involved with work in that organization on the technical assistance level.

Our own experience with redlining and disinvestment has surfaced through a variety of community organizations, and particularly in Chicago in 1972 we had a national conference which was sponsored by Miss Gail Sincotta, a neighborhood leader, to which 75 different neighborhood groups came, including some from Baltimore. I think at the time it was NESCO or NECO or some group -- I'm not sure. You know, the closer you are to home, the less you want to talk about somebody else's turf.

But this national group, made up of people from Black, Brown and White communities, began to take a local issue which we called redlining and disinvestment, and have made it into a national issue. And we have been brokers, in a sense of introducing those people to the Housing Banking Committee in the Senate, Mr. Proxmire, and in development of that legislation, of the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act of '75

~

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

which has passed the Senate Committee and the Senate.

So we've been very involved in the development of that legislation through the experience of many neighborhood groups, including groups from Baltimore.

So the issue or the subject you're talking about is not only peculiar to or appropriate of Baltimore, but this is really a local issue that has national implications in many, many cities.

My associate here, Miss Kollias, is the project coordinator to a national program that we're involved with in five different cities.

But since that early beginning in 1972, three to four hundred different communities have raised the question of the relationship and the attitude of financial institutions toward their neighborhood, which we believe is a very subjective kind of thing.

And one of the things that we found very interesting is that a neighborhood becomes disinvested and redlined in a simultaneous kind of sense. There are zip codes in Chicago -- and we may or may not have our own research which we're publishing shortly in this area -- which shows a zip code or an area that had -- what is it, 100 million -- well, we don't have the numbers, but close to 100 million in a number of financial institutions, and they found out that they were getting less than one percent of that money, and

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

they were being disinvested and less than one percent of that money was coming back.

So when you and I or somebody in that community, particularly a minority, and particularly, sometimes, working class and other people that lived in either Black or changing or working-class community, when you'd go to borrow this, they'd say uh-oh, we don't make loans there.

And so we got very interested in the connection, and training people to find out where that money went -- to a Beirut airport or to -- you know -- to a Fort Worth condominium, or to many, many places.

And the people then began to raise the question about equity -- you know, that some of the money certainly -- their own money, in many ways -- ought to come back to their own community.

And that research was done in basements, in courthouses, in -- you know, painstaking research. And I remember, before the Federal Home Loan Bank Board where we tried -- and especially Miss Sincotta and community people -- tried to ask the Congress to ask the Federal Home Loan Board if they would do some experimental studies. And they did one experimental study in Chicago, and I hope that you may get that for your record -- and we may or may not have that with us -- but that information would be very useful to you -- where the Federal Home Loan Bank Board did work with a number

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

of financial institutions to do a kind of a pilot study in Chicago which verified even to a greater extent all our fears about disinvestment, and the concomitant practice of redlining in older neighborhoods that were particularly minority, working class or else ethnic.

So that, you know, we thought the Federal Home
Loan Bank Board -- here's where I'm jumping to one of the
kinds of conclusions or recommendations that I should think
that the Commission might make, is that the regulatory
agencies -- you know, the Federal Home Loan Bank Board
technically is a regulatory agency -- they ought to tell us,
you know, at least by zip code and census track as well,
where money is loaned and not loaned, and so on, in some
general way, that people need that data, people need that
information -- that that data -- you know, and the Federal
Home Loan Bank Board said, "Well, go to a foundation."

Well, I'm saying that they are a public agency and that they should provide the data for the public's interest and the public's good, the common good.

So that in my experience one of the biggest factors has been so very subjective, even in the inner city when we had tried to develop houses for home ownership for low-income people, invariably the inspector or the banks personally would come out and say, "Gee, I wouldn't want to live there."

You know, in a so-called riot corridor or a so-called Seventh

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25, inc.

Street or Fourteenth Street or H Street, and then wouldn't give us the right kind of appraisal, and then say, "Well, those kind of people shouldn't buy houses," and so on, or wouldn't count the wife's income as part of the income that was very viable in terms of affording the house. And home ownership is basic to the communities.

One of the things that we're interested in nationally is that people live in neighborhoods, and if neighborhoods aren't stable or viable or revitalized, then cities die. And we've done a lot of work in Newark -- in fact, even before the Mayor of Newark as well as community groups there, a very up-tight White community that's left in Newark and so on, and that Newark -- you know, as Mayor Gibson said, "If you want to see where cities are going, you come to Newark. They become Black, Brown or Bankrupt." And so on.

And one of the things that we're kind of committed to is what makes a healthy neighborhood, and how can we help neighborhoods to be revitalized or help neighborhoods become viable so they can deal with this tremendous fear and polarization.

Part of this fear and polarization in our own research, particularly in light of what Dr. Coleman says -- he said, "How do we deal with White flight?" in many neighborhoods, and I say SECO is one of those kind of

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

neighborhoods. Many of those people don't necessarily want to leave, you know, even with the options. There are cultural ties, there are economic ties, and so on. And that people in many communities, there -- you know, they have values like cultural ties and identity which are valuable to them as far as places to raise their children. And these are emotional as well as economic investments in their neighborhood.

Lending institutions have their own definition of "headthy neighborhood" primarily determined on what they perceive as a financial risk.

What so often happens, they say to you, "Well, you have a row house, and your wife works and you work, and, you know, why not move to a place..." -- I don't want to mention names -- but they say, "Why don't you move out to Columbia where you can get a 40-year mortgage, rather than trying to buy your mother's house in an older neighborhood, when Blacks are moving in, or they're going to move in?"

You know, all the apparent and real subjective kind of fears that are projected on a community, and so on.

So we ve come to realize that financial institutions' credit policies of redlining and disinvestment are discriminatory, not only to individuals through their racial and ethnic factors, but also discriminate against a whole neighborhood. You know, in Toledo or Cleveland they'll say, "Oh, you're from Collingwood or Maryhill? We don't make loans

1

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

It wouldn't matter if you made \$100,000, is what I'm telling you, or \$15,000 -- you know, it's kind of an attitude: "Well, we don't make loans there anymore."

The whole neighborhood can be discriminated against, so it's not only individuals, be they racial, ethnic, or minority -- whatever. And so on.

So the criteria upon which these credit policies are based, again, are highly subjective. And yet they're developing into structure disincentives, working toward neighborhood decline..

So we say there's a whole series of disinvestments in a neighborhood, the disinvestment of communities and redlining and disinvestment of capital and money for home improvement or home ownership or home loans, conventional mortgages, is part of that practice of disinvesting a community. And many times the decision to redline is made out of a fear or anticipation of racial and/or economic change within the neighborhood.

And financial institutions hold that -- quotes -the credit worthiness of Blacks, and oftentimes other ethnic and minorities in question, somehow considering them high financial risk groups. And we find this is true many times in working-class communities that live in older neighborhoods and so on, where people have been there for years and still find themselves subject to being discriminated against because they live in a certain neighborhood.

Discrimination based on age and the value of the available housing stock impacts on many neighborhoods. It's interesting that an old house in Annapolis, an old house in Georgetown, is a valuable piece of property, you know? And so on. Or Logan Circle in Washington, somebody wants to buy it and fix it up, sometimes because of its age. And even in New England, where you have these three-storey, decker, wood houses, you know, depending on where it's located, can be very valuable.

Yet we get all the time that this is older stock, this might be a wooden house, and it's in the wrong neighborhood, some of which -- you know, sometimes people in the neighborhoods are not even aware that they're being, in a sense, disinvested and redlined.

In addition to the prominently Black neighborhoods we're finding that so-called integrated or changing neighborhoods, as well as the predominantly sometimes so-called White ethnic neighborhoods contiguous to Black neighborhoods, are being cut off from, you know, the conventional mortgage money and home improvement loans. Here I would cite -- careful of your admonishment about citing -- but Detroit -- I'm on a task force at HUD for housing, for the Secretary, Assistant Secretary, and they have a big map at HUD. And HUD is now the tenth largest city in the country in terms of

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

houses they've taken back, you know?

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

(Laughter.)

And so they have this big map, block by block, and day by day, of foreclosures and so on, of the disasters of FHA policies.

And what happens in a neighborhood, usually there's a process where you can't get a conventional mortgage but then maybe you can get an FHA mortgage, and then sometimes you're busted on an FHA mortgage.

One of the things that impressed the Senators more than anything else in the Senate testimony on the Mortgage Disclosure, was the fact that many people came, and that they came appropriately from the right Districts -- like Senator Brooks' district, or Senator Proxmire's district -- you know -with letters of people living in these neighborhoods who were buying FHA houses, and some mother or father, or combination, maybe they were behind five or six hundred dollars on their mortgage, but send in a check for the six hundred dollars and the check would be returned, you know, from FHA in a sense, because the FHA practice there was to turn those houses over, you know -- an FHA practice. And so another kind of redlining.

And that upset more Senators and more Congressmen, that FHA shouldn't do that, or the banks and the institutions shouldn't do that, if people were really honestly trying to

1

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

catch up, and got behind on a mortgage a little bit and actually sending in a six or seven hundred dollar check but having it returned because they missed the date -- you know they were two days behind.

So there are many many practices to look into, but that whole business of conventional mortgage money, home improvement loans, disappearing, many going to the FHA business, and then you go in to none at all.

And so, contrary to popular belief, one of the things I'm very interested in showing is -- I put it in the analogy that sometimes the Black neighborhoods have a broken back, and the White working-class neighborhoods have a broken foot, or a broken toe. But that doesn't mean we don't have any troubles, you know, and it's not an either/or. person with a broken back needs maybe intensive care, and the person with the broken foot needs a clinic -- you know -or something. Your grandmother may need a heart machine, or my grandmother still needs a cortisone shot.

But don't make it either/or. Let's talk about equity or let's talk about need. And let's not put groups off against each other, which redlining and disinvestment does, in an already aggravated, polarized situation in terms of Black and White, in terms of race, you know, in terms of fear, and so forth.

So what we're saying is that, contrary to popular

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

belief, there are many White, ethnic and working-class families that live in cities which have not followed suit with the White flight to the suburbs, and these families have wanted to stay in their neighborhoods, many of them, where their roots are firm.

Now, I'm not sure of this data, because I'm on somebody else's turf here, but I think the SECO area is an example of such a neighborhood, which is predominantly White, maybe 86 percent. More than half of the families are some mixed or working-class or ethnic origin, and about half the population may be at the \$7500 a year level. And this may be based on just a quick look at the study we've done using 1970 census data, and we could look at that again.

But then I've seen the study which you already have by Mr. Embry in the City of Baltimore, which we've looked at as well.

But what I'm saying is that what you find in
Baltimore is true of Milwaukee, it's true in the Jamaica
Plain in Boston, it's true in Toledo, it's true in Cincinnati,
it's true in Chicago. It's a pattern. And that's why if
you raise this up in terms of public policy questions and
recommendations, that perhaps we can develop from a local
concern to a national public policy concern some kind of
regulations and some kind of programs that might meet this
issue, and so on.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25 25

Some other questions -- the negative policies which we say have already affected areas like SECO and many other communities in other cities, in the difficulty of obtaining home improvement loans -- many houses, especially in Detroit, you may go down the block and find five absolutely very solid kind of houses, and two boarded-up houses. And psychologically that says to people, uh,oh, you know, something's happened to this neighborhood; I'm going to fix up my house, are you going to fix up yours? Are you going to stay? Are you going to leave?

And you get into this kind of a problem in the social-change arena with how people feel about themselves and how they feel about their neighborhood. And many of these houses are abandoned or in need of major rehabilitation. And that's another kind of program that needs to be done in some of these communities.

One of the programs -- and on the positive side -this is a very small program, but we've helped a Baltimore
group to get Baltimore Neighborhood Housing Service, and you
may have heard about that. But just the necessity of a
neighborhood housing service in the neighborhood is obviously
an expression that there's no available home improvement
loan money in that community. I mean, you know, that's an
admission that there's something going on.

And we've worked with neighborhood groups to try

1

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

25

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

to try to negotiate that advocacy, to sit down with banks and S&L's and other lending institutions, to try to deal with those kinds of concerns in about 10 or 12 cities.

The other factor which relates to disinvestment and redlining -- and this we think is very important -is the whole question of neighborhood economic or commercial revitalization. There's much discrimination in programs of The Economic Development Administration and many other programs of HUD and other places that ignore small commercial districts in older neighborhoods. And many of those old neighborhoods -- like Eastern Avenue or Highlandtown, some of those small family businesses have a hard time getting loans. And when they begin to get boarded up, like in Newark, New Jersey, Black communities moved through a changing Puerto Rican neighborhood, then moved through a changing Black-White-Puerto Rican neighborhood, then moved all the way out to suburbia. And you can see store after store -- you know, you close up your drug store and she closes up her little store -- and the housing four blocks away can be pretty good. But somebody will say, why should I put new shingles on the place, you know? You going to stay or you going to move?

So the whole attitude about a neighborhood that gets disinvested and then gets redlined, is also related to the commercial and the economic revitalization, or the availability of loans, technical assistance and/or the viability

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

, Inc. of course, too, of businesses. We say that neighborhood residents and city officials should be getting involved in what we call neighborhood commercial revitalization efforts.

Here, what we've tried to do, and we've worked with the Office of Minority Business Enterprise and the IPA -- the Intergovernmental Personnel Act of the Civil Service Commission, tried to involve the community sector, the public sector, and the private sector to look at neighborhoods.

And one of the things we're concerned about, there is no national urban policy. I can remember one of the things I got involved in in Baltimore was something about a freeway, you know, and so forth, that somebody was trying to stop or something. Well, we ran freeways through a lot of these neighborhoods -- beltways, freeways -- through all these kind of communities. We had no -- you know, they looked old, mostly they were minorities or they were working class or lower income, and we ran freeways through them. And now in D.C and in many places across the country that's stopped. And with economic scarcity of fuel and so on, we say there is a viability to many of these older neighborhoods, and that these neighborhoods have to be revitalized.

One of the most serious and the strongest disincentives of all is the attitude of financial institutions in the practice of disinvestment and/or redlining.

So while we mentioned little programs, like

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

Neighborhood Housing Service, or little programs like a neighborhood economic commercial revitalization program, and the community organizations trying to get the State and national legislation, we need more than that. We need a national kind of a local urban policy, just as we have an ecology policy, we have environmental policy, or wetlands policy -- and so maybe a policy about attitudes about neighborhoods, because that's where people live. And those building blocks must be viable if the cities are to survive and build towards each other, and particularly deal with the questions of polarization and race and fear, and so on.

Now, one of the regulations that I'm sure that you're interested in is the regulation of financial institu-And I'm against regulations, but I'm for them if the tions. institutions don't do something about them. And one such move is to regulate the financial institutions through what we call the anti-redlining regulations.

Now, in Illinois -- you may be interested in getting that legislation -- legislation has just been passed in the State of Illinois which has been developed by the Metropolitan Area Housing Alliance, represented again by Gail Sincotta, who was on the Governor's Blue Ribbon Commission on Mortgage Practices, along with bankers and mortgage people in S&L's, and their legislation clearly spells out the way that redlining is not allowed, and to eliminate,

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

if possible, discrimination, either racially or by neighborhood

Just one paragraph of that reads as follows:

"Discrimination and redlining are hereby prohibited.

It shall be considered discriminatory to refuse to grant mortgage loans, to arbitrarily value the terms of those mortgages or those loans, or the application procedures of those loans, because of, in the case of the proposed borrower, his race..." --

it should be "his or her race" --

"...color, religion, national origin, age, sex or marital status; and in the case of the proposed collateral, its geographic location."

You know, not that this kind of legislation is going to be the answer, but it gives people a tool to be able to work with. You know, I'm from Washington too long to know that we have any more perfect programs, or even any Ten Commandments that are going to solve everything, and so on.

But it is a tool, and it is a vehicle by which people can begin to work on this question.

There are also requirements in the new Illinois bill to maintain files of all the applications, their documentation, and the reasons for rejection. Access to such information could serve as a check that applicants are not being denied loans for reasons of race or ethnic origin,

income, or their neighborhood.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Groups like your Commission, I believe, could play a role in making sure that such legislation may develop at a State level, following, perhaps the example of the Illinois regulation if that's applicable here, and that you might have adequate input in the monitoring of that kind of application process.

And while building for that kind of local endeavor, we must build a national case, a national policy.

I'd like also to humbly suggest that your

Committee keep up with the development of the Home Mortgage

Disclosure Act of '75, which is the Proxmire Bill, which

I'm sure you have, and that many cities are now beginning

to pass ordinances on disclosure, and States are developing

similar bills along with other kinds of anti-redlining

legislation.

Mandatory disclosure is necessary to document the financial institution's history of intent in terms of redlining and disinvestment of urban neighborhoods, in exposing how these policies discriminate against individuals as well as entire neighborhoods.

Because without this disclosure and anti-redlining legislation, the credit policies of the lending institutions will continue to be one of structured disincentives, disincentives working for the decline of our neighborhoods, which

]

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

ers, Inc. we really consider the very backbone of our cities where people live.

So I think I'll stop there, and if there are any questions . . .

BOOTH: Well, I wonder now, since we've gotten a kind of broad picture, if you could bring us down and tell us something about the area you've worked with in Baltimore?

And this is, as I understand it, the SECO area. Is that it?

BARONI: Yes. But I'd rather not. I'd rather wish to stay with them, you know, you get them, people from SECO, to speak for that, you know?

BOOTH: Rather than having you --

BARONI: Right, and I think that's one of the conditions I think I mentioned to somebody, that I was not going to come to speak, you know --let's have SECO speak from their background of experience. What's happening to SECO I can verify that that's happening to some more or less degree in a lot of other places. What's happening in Baltimore is not unique, you know, the fact that that seems to be a very strong national pattern, particularly in the last few years, that needs attention. It's not just an isolated situation here in Baltimore.

I guess my only value here is to tell you, or try
to legitimize that this is a national problem, and that to the
degree you address it in Baltimore, you're addressing a local

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

and a national program -- or problem.

Although we may have data coming out of the OMBI study, but I checked yesterday and it has to go first to the Office of Minority Business Enterprise, because they've done it under contract. And it'll be released and I'm sure if I get a copy I could send you a copy, which has data relating to Baltimore and other cities in terms of what we call neighborhoods, looking at Black neighborhoods, changing neighborhoods and/or Spanish neighborhoods or White working class, and looking at the cities, then clooking at the suburbs, and looking at housing stock and age, and the various characteristics of and profile of neighborhoods. Because we don't have such information from the census.

And the census has commissioned a group of us to develop that kind of data material, you know, because we're showing that someday we'll be caught in the squeeze between each other, and put off against each other.

BOOTH: Have you any idea when that will be released?

BARONI: Well, it's due at OMBE the 15th of October, so between probably a two weeks extension, you know, we should have some of that.

> BOOTH: Okay.

But I am sure that the local Baltimore SECO people would have some of their own data.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

The other thing that we have, that Karen could speak to, is this study that we're doing with these national community groups, and that information from Chicago which really convinced Senator Proxmire. He thought the community people were as knowledgeable as any of the economists on the Federal Home Loan Bank Board or HUD in terms of what this was all about, in terms of the work that they had done -you know.

I imagine -- you had Father Quayle here, and other people in Baltimore have done research too that must be comparable.

The OMBE Study?

BARONI:

BOOTH: Would you like to talk about that a little?

KOLLIAS: Well, I don't have any of the specific information about the SECO area, either, and that was stated before we came. Except for the fact that it is one of those areas that is part of the 75 percent of Embry's study.

And there are two important things I would like to stress, which Father Baroni mentioned, is the fact that there are a lot of other interrelated forces that work in addition to home mortgage discrimination, and you can find those kind of actions coming out of the fact that we have to have a neighborhood housing services program within a neighborhood, because people can't get loans. They can't get money, so you've got to set up a program.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

ters, Inc. You know, the government traditionally, at the state, federal and local level responds with programs without dealing with the real issues. Why aren't financial institutions investing in these neighborhoods? Why aren't they investing into certain families? And the fact that the commercial strips can't get loans, that they're closing up, and they are directly related to that same area, that people can't get the housing loans, that there's something that's going on in terms of financial institutions, that impact continuously on the neighborhoods, the homes, the families, people being displaced -- you know, all the different factors are interrelated, and that SECO is one of those areas.

But on the other hand, too, their whole idea of what constitutes a neighborhood is different than how people within a neighborhood themselves — if you were to ask all the people in the SECO area, they fell very committed and tied to their neighborhood. They've been there for years and years and years. And it's a very positive, growth oriented type neighborhood; whereas the cities — the banks look at it as a financial risk. And there's a big discrepancy there.

And this, as Father Baroni said, is not unique.

It's going on in all these different cities.

BOOTH: Are there any other questions?

SMITH: Just a very brief one. Since you said that you wanted to look at the national scene, rather than the

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

local scene, I listened with interest to your discussion of what Illinois was doing as part of this Blue Ribbon Commission, and I sit here and wonder why it's necessary, because, indeed, isn't it true that the Federal Home Loan Bank Board, under its own guidelines, has said quite clearly that these practices are not acceptable? And it's my understanding that they've gone so far as to say that even if the intent to discriminate was not there, if, indeed, the effect was that of discrimination, that policy could not be condoned.

Why would we need State legislation under the federal system, if, indeed, the federal government has already addressed itself to this issue?

KOLLIAS: There's a problem with this, because, you know, just the whole idea in terms of that they have the thing that clearly spells out in '73 that says you can't discriminate against older neighborhoods, because even if the intent is not to discriminate, it impacts on the rights of minorities — I think that's what you're talking about — well, there have been suits filed. There have been all sorts of different complaints registered, and they have the power to cut off financial institutions, and they have the power to reverse the practices if they must. And they haven't used it.

There's a certain case in a certain city that I cannot mention that went before them to try and get a new

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. charter in a certain city, and they passed it right on through.

You know, it's very hard. But the experience, let's say in Illinois, they worked on all three levels. They worked on the city level and they got through an ordinance.

They got through an ordinance that said -- do you have the Chicago ordinance?

SMITH: I don't believe so.

KOLLIAS: Okay, we can send you a copy.

SMITH: We would appreciate it.

KOLLIAS: It says the city government can use its clout in trying to get the lending institutions to do their part. And they said that any of the banks that wanted to have any part of the city government payroll, and any of their services done, they would have to agree to disclosure. They would have to show where their deposits had been coming from, where their investments are, things like that.

So at least that was one thing that they got through.

They got through a lot of different kinds of programs in the neighborhood.

On the State level they were able to participate in this Commission. They were able to get different kinds of legislation through, and that can impact differently.

Then on the federal level they were very instrumental in the disclosure act, and they'll be instrumental

in following it up, and things like that.

2

3

So sometimes you can get immediate results in other areas.

4

5

6

7

8

Our primary area, of course, would be working with, you know, the localities. And it's just a matter that since we're a national center we help give technical assistance to local groups for their cities. You know, whereas, like if there were something like an ordinance to come into Baltimore, we would help the different groups within Baltimore to see what could be done with it.

9 10

11

I think people should start looking in terms of who is responsive to these kinds of issues on the city council. You know that there are some people that are very responsive to the possibility of passing such an ordinance.

12 13

You know, those kinds of things.

15

14

I think, to get to the heart of your question, like what Karen was saying, that question was asked by different Congressmen and Senators, the same question, to the head of the Federal Home Loan Bank Board, many times in hearings that we've been present at.

to prove that the Federal Home Loan Bank Board, you know,

in its role of being a regulatory agency, provides data to

the institutions, but doesn't regulate them in terms of the

And the fact of the matter is, the data is there

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25 public interest at large. I mean it doesn't regulate them in

0.4

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

terms of the communities' interest or the neighborhoods' interest. And technically they could penalize S&L's or banks for this kind of practice. But they really don't, because in essence they do work for, and research, and give data for and promote legislation conducive to the industry, but, you know, they have to take the communities' side, and the neighborhoods' side and the public interest's side.

And so it's regrettable, you know, that a regulatory agency is not serving the total common good. And we think it's to the banks' common good and the S&L's common good as well.

But the fact is they're not doing their job, and at the State level --

SMITH: Then the problem doesn't come from the lack of federal guidelines. The problem comes from lack of enforcement of those guidelines?

BARONI: And teeth, right. It's like so many of these in our civil rights legislation. We've got lots of civil rights laws. But we've got to constantly monitor, constantly make them stronger, constantly be after them, investigate -- you know.

That's even with revenue sharing. It sounds like it's a very good program. But it has to be monitored, where the revenue sharing money and funds go to.

So we constantly -- I don't want any more

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

regulations, or any more regulatory agencies. But the fact is that these regulatory agencies are serving the interests of, you know, not the depositors. They should look out for both the, you know, the agency, the banks and the S&L's, as well as people who should benefit from a savings and loan institution.

we've been involved in that a little bit -- New York State has its own troubles, but they're talking about setting up a state bank, a new kind of . . . In Washington the city council has had a number of hearings to talk about a state or a D.C. kind of bank or financial institution, to do what the S&L's are doing. How do you help people get home loans, for home improvements or to buy houses in the city? The city would put its money in that kind of institution -- because the present financial institutions are not doing it, and the regulatory agencies are not regulating; therefore, we need your kind of recommendations and new kinds of regulations that might make it happen.

KOLLIAS: The other reason why you might want to go to the state on one level is, if you had access to all the different banks and S&L's, and where they're putting their loans, where they're not putting their loans, where they're getting their deposits, if you had state banks that were commissioned by the state, then you'd have to go to the state

in order to get enforcement there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

And if there were federally chartered S&L's, then you'd have to, you know, use that, enforcement pressure on that level.

And also, I was going to say the Federal Home Loan Bank Board, in terms of them not representing the public interests, but rather the banking end of it -- like, say the people they have primarily always come from the S&L industry, is typical of any federal agency. And there are supposed to be three commissioners to the Federal Home Loan Bank Board, and I guess probably you know that the head of the Federal Home Loan Bank Board resigned right after the hearings, the disclosure hearings, and that also the other two are not there.

So in terms of any kind of input to try to get people who are more responsive, if there's a way we could say, "Look, we need some accountability, we need some responsiveness," you know, for those positions. But they're hand appointed.

It seems that most of your efforts are designed to develop a system or some awareness of the need for accountability by local lending institutions to their local neighborhoods.

One of the things that we've heard so far is that the Federal Home Loan Bank Board sees its obligation to be

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

2

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

25

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

meeting the national housing goals, usually set by the administration.

How do you express what seems to be a counter argument, that the housing needs are best met at a local level, as opposed to through some national program?

I believe in national programs as well BARONI: as local programs. And if there weren't some national programs we wouldn't have them in some states, because they wouldn't take them. Even revenue sharing in some places was refused until recently, to take the money.

The disaster of our whole national policy in housing, the fact that we have moratoriums, the fact that the 235's and 236's are going to disclosure, even the non-profit ones that were put together by church groups or non-profit groups, and the fact that -- you know, the disgrace of our public housing, is that somehow -- you know, we have a serious problem that needs to be attacked.

In New York State, the urban development corporation is now bankrupt, even with the State.

So that we do need -- you know -- we do need to address the housing needs, and we do need national regulations in terms of investments and so, but you still need local, if they have state charters, and at the local level where people are related. It's your money in this institution that's not relating to your communities, you know. So you need both

the local and the national kind of implication.

And no single effort, I guess we know -- we've tried national legislation in Washington and it doesn't always work locally. And, you know, local legislation doesn't work without national backup. So that you need both.

KOLLIAS: My feeling on it, just for some more input, is you can have initiatives and policies and directives that come from the federal level, but I think you can get more responsiveness on a local level because there you have a possibility of neighborhood residents actually -- or trying to have input into any kind of program, and being able to determine who is at fault, or where the problems lie.

Now, if you have something like programs that don't address the real issue of unfreezing the banks and the S&L's that are not giving loans on the basis of race or income or because of the neighborhood, but yet they'll say, well, go in on this program because it's subsidized by the government, to me that's not changing the lending pattern, really, except it's going to get so much money to save itself into a certain program, as long as they know the government is backing it up.

And to me that's not changing an attitude of making any kind of commitment on a local level to keep neighborhoods healthy.

And that's why I think that up until now so many of the housing programs have not been as successful as they

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

could have been, and that unless you can really negotiate -and Father Baroni mentioned the city governments with the
neighborhood residents and with the local financial institutions, until you can make some kind of working agreements
that actually are based in trust and confidence, and things
like that within the neighborhoods, I think you are going to
get patchup jobs -- you know, mandated programs.

And that can happen from the national level on down. They'll say, okay, as long as they know that their money is okay in a program, they'll invest in it. That doesn't change their perception of the people who they're taking the money from, basically.

BOOTH: Are there other questions?

JEFFERS: Awhile back, Father Baroni, you mentioned that HUD is now the owner of the tenth largest city in the country, and basically that's a result of the failure of the 221 program.

I'm wondering if you have any thought as to why that program failed?

BARONI: We did some of the original work on the home ownership part of that, and there was a section 235 and there was a 236, and then there was another section called -- these are Washington numbers, I don't know what they mean, maybe you could play them on the streets -- 237 was another section which was supposed to help -- and this

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

was the thing that I got involved in -- it took as much effort to rehab somebody's credit as it did sometimes the house, you know? And so on. Because you had two people working, and they were from this neighborhood that didn't traditionally buy a house? that they shouldn't traditionally, you know, get even a one percent, two or three percent loan. And so the 237, which was to be the counselling part of the home ownership, never was funded at all. And we spent more time and more energy getting people to the mortgage process -- you know, I remember somebody failed the mortgage process and we went down to check out why, and they said, well, you know, this gentleman had an extra job at a certain kind of refreshment store that happens to have been burned down during the riots, and so on.

And you know, since the riots burned down the liquor store, he doesn't have the job anymore, and so on, and therefore we won't accept him having a new job in another store because it might burn down in another riot.

(Laughter.)

Or the stupid kind of protective kind of thing, one time a housewife worked at a job, and she did not get recommended because she was late a few times, you know, and they said she was going to be subject to dismissal and so forth. So that they thought that, you know, her income was too marginal, you know, and so on, so that between the efforts

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

of her husband and her friends, and so on, she was on time for three weeks straight.

She got a raise on that job, and she was over income, you know?

Well, that was like 18 months worth of work and that family then was not eligible, you know, after all kinds of time and energy.

bought; 300 houses at \$8,000 apiece, and to try to rehab them to come in at \$20,000, and do a good job, and then not having any money to process and help people with their credit, and helping them put together, you know, whether they should or shouldn't be home owners, or whatever the choice was, and what was adequate or inadequate, you know, there were just not the amenities. And they didn't stay at it long enough. I mean, you know, they started for awhile, and then they'd pull out. And the amenities weren't there. And then the economy and the cost and so on.

So there's so many reasons. You know, the economy factor, the fact that the sections which were needed, you know, the credit rehabilitation programs, the counselling programs, didn't work for us. And not enough money was put in -- you know, they can guarantee the mortgage loan, you know, and I can get the money for the construction, because it was guaranteed, it was 100 percent -- but I couldn't get

24
Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Reporters, Inc. anything extra to say let's back up some people who are moving from renters to homeowners, you know, who are moving from this kind of dwelling to another kind of dwelling. Let's put in some amenities, or insurance, or services, or backups that'll make the difference in that project carrying itself.

You know, that human factor was always X'd out, it was never insured 100 percent, like mortgage loans were or the construction money was.

So many factors, you know. And, also, I think they gave up too soon.

Another thing is the enormity of the problem. In Capital Hill in the Washington area we're competing with Capital Hill rehab. I used to deliver coal on Corcoran Street near Dupont Circle. You know, it was a very poor neighborhood. And now those houses are \$75,000, with gas lights flickering outside, you know, Georgetown style. Maybe you don't have it in Baltimore, but we have -- you know -- that's private rehab, block by block, and house by house. From Corcoran Street it's moved now to the 1700 block, moved over to the 1600 block, pretty soon it'll be the 1500 block -- you know, block by block by block. And that's happening in Capital Hill. And lower-income people then get forced out, and so on.

So money is available at that level, and so on, and perfectly -- very solid . . . and so there again, a person's income or race or people being at the lower level

income-wise, is, you know . . . I just think it's incredible, the scandal, you know -- and it's going to get worse. We're going to have 28 million families looking at 18 million houses in the next 10 years, and they're going to be lower income, and they're going to be Black and White -- just like, you know, five people looking for three jobs. You make them Black and White or Brown, and you've got extra trouble, and so on. And that exacerbates the polarization, when it's economically at the lower level, and it's racial as well as economic.

So that we're heading for more trouble. Fifty percent -- maybe Jim Vitarello said this -- fifty percent of the people in Washington will never be able to afford a house. So that we're moving into that kind of an economy as well.

BOOTH: Thank you, Father Baroni.

BARONI: If there is any of this Illinois thing -you must be able to get that yourself.

KOLLIAS: Should I send the Chicago ordinance to you?

DARDEN: Yes. Thank you.

KOLLIAS: And I brought some copies of the testimony.

BOOTH: Yes, we got that.

Mr. Johnson, Mr. Warren and Mr. Crockett, would

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

1 you like to come forward? 2 Mr. Johnson, we had intended to talk to you and 3 Mr. Warren and Mr. Crockett sitting together, but since 4 they're not here we'll give you center stage, I guess. 5 JEFFERS: Before we start, let's just get a couple 6 of things for the record, please. 7 Could you state your name and occupation for the 8 record? 9 JOHNSON: My name is Ralph L. Johnson. I trade 10 as R. L. Johnson, owner of the R. L. Johnson Realty Company. 11 JEFFERS: Would you give us your firm address for 12 the record, please? 13 JOHNSON: My address is 3529 Edmonson Avenue. 14 JEFFERS: Thank you. I'm going to turn the 15 questioning over to Marge Smith, who is on your right. 16 SMITH: Mr. Johnson, before we start, do you have 17 anything you wanted to share with us in the form of a state-18 ment, or just some general comments, before we ask any 19 questions? 20 JOHNSON: Not necessarily. I'll listen to the 21 questions, and if you don't ask me some things I think should 22 be asked, I'll make a statement. 23 SMITH: Well, that's fair enough. Thank you. 24 How many years have you been in the real estate Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25 business?

JOHNSON: Well, as an agent-broker, I've been in it about 14 years.

SMITH: And how long have you headed your own firm?

JOHNSON: Since 1968.

SMITH: Seven of those years.

JOHNSON: Yes.

SMITH: Approximately how many housing units did you sell in Baltimore in calendar 1974?

JOHNSON: Well, that was a pretty decent year.

I guess I had close to 300 -- around 300, something like that.

SMITH: Is it possible to give us a profile of your typical client in terms of race, sex, marital status, and also, perhaps, in terms of the price of the house at which they were looking?

JOHNSON: Well, now my typical client would be Black, of course, and he would probably be male. I guess his income -- their incomes, family-wise, would probably be between 20-25 thousand dollars combination, husband and wife, something like a postal worker and a school teacher. And the price house that they would probably buy now would be around thirty thousand -- 25, 30, 35 thousand dollars.

That's the high side of my clientele.

Then the other side of my clientele that -- you know, that pays the rent and feeds me, their income may be

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

between \$8,000 and \$12,000, or \$8,000 and \$10,000, and they're buying houses in the -- you know, in the inner city. And the price of these houses I guess would be somewhere between ten and -- now, I quess twenty thousand dollars.

SMITH: In that first group that you mentioned, then, those houses would not be in the inner city. Where would they be?

JOHNSON: They would be in the County, Baltimore County, Carroll County, Howard County, et cetera. They would be outside of the city limits proper.

So your middle and upper middle income clients buy houses out of the city?

JOHNSON: Predominantly, yes, most of them.

SMITH: But many of your clients buy houses in the city.

> JOHNSON: Yes.

SMITH: Thank you.

Mr. Thompson, do you have some questions? BOOTH:

THOMPSON: Mr. Johnson, can you sort of walk us through what you normally do to assist one of your clients in obtaining financing? Are there any particular things you do?

JOHNSON: Well, up until two years ago it was very simple as far as Black people were concerned in getting mortgage money, because in the City of Baltimore, up until

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

22

23

25

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

two years ago, when the S&L's made the commitment -- you know, the \$30 million commitment for the inner city -- the likelihood of Black people being financed by local S&L's was almost nil, quite remote.

In fact, myself personally -- I don't know whether you would say I became paranoid -- I guess you would just say I became brainwashed by the conditions at the time.

I didn't even conceive of taking Black people, generally, to S&L's prior to two years ago. Most of my financing on Black clients was done with the mortgage correspondents, because of the -- you know -- the straight-nosed, blue-eyed policies of the local S&L's.

It was just virtually inconceivable to think of taking Black people in. So most of my -- now, since the S&L's made this commitment roughly two years ago -- they made the commitment, I guess, for the purpose of getting an increase in the interest rate in Maryland. The interest rate in Maryland was 8 percent. Two years ago it went up to 10 percent. And they did sort of a tradeoff, you know, with the banks, the local banks, that they agreed to commit \$30 million for financing for the inner city, you know, for an increase in the interest rate from 8 percent to 10 percent.

Now, during that time, of course, all of my business I purposely directed to the local S&L's, the Black clients, because they for some reason became much more

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

receptive -- I would assume because they were trying to meet this goal that they had set for themselves of \$30 million.

Then I went to the S&L's.

Now, as a result of their having met their commitment and all, my previous condition has kind of, you know, re-set with me. And now I'm not too receptive to going to S&L!s, and now have reverted back to the mortgage correspondents for financing.

THOMPSON: Okay. Are there any standards particularly that you have? Do you make an examination of a client's income with respect to houses they want to buy?

Do you take any of these factors into account, and provide any counseling? Do you ever do this?

JOHNSON: Yes. Well, most of my business is counseling, really, because of the nature of the people that I deal with, you know.

I made the statement once at some meeting that we consider ourselves to be senior social workers, really, not real estate people.

What we do is just in reverse. Most sophisticated companies, for instance, when they get clients in to buy houses they start at the top of the scale and start with regular conventional financing, wondering whether the person could put down 20 percent. And if they can't put down 20 percent, they look at whether they can put down 10 percent,

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

and if they can't put down 10 percent, they look at whether they can put down 5 percent. And then they go into what they call the subsidized programs. They consider subsidized. programs, of course, to be FHA and VA, believe it or not.

And in my organization -- and I guess you can say that it's bad business, and I say it's bad business in a way -but most of my people, because of the clients that we get, we start at the bottom of the scale, you know. And we start qualifying them in terms of Maryland Housing Fund, and we work up to the FHA and VA. And we don't, you know, get to conventional too often -- unless it's a panic situation and the points are too high on FHA and VA, then we would go that way.

But normally most of my sales are FHA and VA, predominantly. I guess 85 percent of my business would be FHA and VA.

THOMPSON: Okay. Now, can you give us some idea -you said that in the past few years, when the \$30 million was pledged, you would send a lot of people to S&L's. And now you said that had changed.

Can you give us an idea of what proportion of your clients you advise to, in effect, come downtown -- I mean a couple of years ago, and what that percentage would be now?

JOHNSON: Oh, I guess when they created the receptive -- you know, when the attitude, the atmosphere, was

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25 rers, inc.

a receptive one, I guess I pushed maybe 60 percent of my people into S&L's. Now I would doubt very seriously whether we push 10 percent, 15 percent of them at S&L's. We have reverted back to the mortgage correspondents for most of our people.

THOMPSON: Can you tell me what your experience has been with your clients who aren't able to obtain mortgage money from an S&L, someone that you've taken downtown, but yet was not successful in obtaining a loan? Can you talk about that a little bit?

JOHNSON: Well, you see, I'm not in a position to be too experimental and too socially orientated, I guess you would say. So what I do is usually is -- you know, it's just like years ago, when certain conditions existed for people in terms of the social way of life, in business you tend to not necessarily try to buck the system. But what you try to do is go where you have to go in order to do business.

And, you know, psychologically I don't have time to be anything other than a social worker, up to the point where the person is qualified. And I take him to where I can take him and get the money. And I don't have the time necessary to go beyond that.

THOMPSON: And so I gather, if I understand what you're saying correctly, you seldom have a person have a loan turned down, because you pretty much know where the money is,

and you connect the person.

JOHNSON: Yes.

THOMPSON: So you're pretty successful.

_

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

ers, inc. JOHNSON: I take them to the mortgage correspondents where I know the credit criteria and everything are receptive to the person. And also I take them to the mortgage correspondent because I know that the mortgage correspondents have a reason for making the loan other than, you know, some reason of not making it. You know, there's a monetary reason why the mortgage correspondent wants to make the loan.

And I guess it's weird to say, but it's weird when we get a loan turned down. You know, a Black person, these people that can't buy, people that don't have the income and they don't have the credit or anything. And it's almost inconceivable when we get one turned down. You know, we just can't understand it.

But when we go to a bank, you know, if I were to take the same proportion of my people to a bank that I take to the mortgage correspondent, I would be very, very concerned about each one that I take, unless he was -- you know, blue ribbon, what they call the blue-ribbon client.

THOMPSON: Okay, because the intent of this question was, I would gather, if you would have any idea why some of your clients might benturned down, why some of your customers might be turned down at an S&L.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

24 25

JOHNSON: Well, S&L's historically have very sophisticated and very rigid and very formal ways of doing business. And most of the S&L's aren't orientated for anything other than the normal procedure of doing business.

For instance, I took a person to an S&L a couple weeks ago on a conventional loan. I had a Black person I took to a savings and loan here. He was putting down, on a \$32,000 house, \$7800 -- no a \$33,000 house, \$7800. And he was turned down, because he had one payment that was something like 100-some dollars a month or something, one bill. I switched the whole thing around, and took it from being \$7800 down and got him to put \$3000 down. And, you know, with some degree of change on it, he went through.

And it was weird to me how the S&L would be more receptive to a person putting down 10 percent than putting down 20 percent, or putting down \$3000 than putting down \$7800, as against, you know, the mortgage would be decreased 10 percent more.

But I think that generally speaking S&L's are -and when you say S&L's, you have to -- you see, just like there's a dual Black and White housing market, you know --I do Black real estate, understand? Then there's White real estate.

In S&L's you have Black S&L's -- most of your S&L's are White S&L's, you know, White orientated, and they

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

do White business.

When I take a loan to Advanced Federal, which is a Black savings and loan, you know, then I feel almost the same with them as -- and it's not, now, because their criteria are any different. In fact, the Black S&L has stricter criteria, believe it or not, than the White S&L's. Yeah, sure, you'd never believe this. For instance, on a conventional loan, they say that the monthly payments, combinations of the bills, can't be over 25 percent, where most White S&L's say they can be 35 percent -- you know, combination.

But I feel better, and I feel more assured, when I take a Black person to the Black S&L than I would if I took the same person to a White S&L.

Now there are some S&L's that are getting together and changed to a degree, but most of your White S&L's, they have blue-eyed credit criteria, and they're very rigid. And Black people are not as sophisticated, you know, as White people in terms of credit and jobs, and in terms of ability to buy houses.

It's not only Black people, I'm saying, but in terms of single people. There are a lot of Black single women that buy homes, you know. And I've found out over the years since I've been in the business that single women make better credit risks in the Black community than most times a Black family, husband and wife. Black women make for

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

excellent credit risks. They have proven to be better credit risks.

But when you take a single woman in to an S&L, the S&L says that when you come in to borrow money, you should be married and have two children. You know, you should have your car paid off, and you should be buying your second home when you're 26. And they have these things that they go through. And my people haven't advanced that far.

THOMPSON: Can you tell me how you know that? How did you acquire this information, that you know the criteria that they would expect, an S&L? You know, you know that someone should be married, have two children. some way in which this information has been transmitted to you?

JOHNSON: Yeah. Well, you get it from the S&L's. You get it from the mortgage person, the person that's taking the application for the S&L.

You see, where if you go to Advanced Federal, for instance, this is a Black institution and they are receptive. I understand, too, that Advanced Federal Savings and Loan probably has the lowest foreclosure rate, the lowest loan foreclosure rate, than any other savings and loan in the City - - in the State probably. And they're Black, and they do Black business, and they do all inner city business.

> Is your business exclusively Black, or THOMPSON:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

can you give us an idea of how many of your customers are women versus males, or single women, or could you tell us what percentage of your customers are Black versus White?

White sales person. I had three. I have one now.

What I've been trying to do for the last couple of years -- I guess the last 3 or 4 years -- I've been trying to -- in the trade we say "go both ways." You see, White real estate companies can go Black and White. In fact, I guess 85 percent of the homes that are bought by Black people in the City of Baltimore are bought from White companies.

JOHNSON: Well, at the present time I have one

Of course, I don't blame the people that are buying the homes; I blame the industry, myself, the Black brokers.

But I guess now maybe 95 percent of my business is Black. And we do, maybe, 5 percent White business. You know, I'm trying to get much more. We do, I guess, maybe 10 or 15 percent women, single women. We specialize in single women. In fact, I have two agents in my company that consider themselves to be specialists with divorcees and single women, separated and this sort of thing. We specialize in this, you know. I have two girls that specialize in this.

I'm also now trying to specialize in getting some White business, because you know, as they say, White business is better business.

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

THOMPSON: Can you go into some of the trouble, maybe, single women have? Or, first of all, do they have trouble getting financing?

JOHNSON: Well, they don't have trouble with me, because we have developed the technique to finance them. I don't have trouble with single women. In fact, I'd rather have a single woman than to have a husband and wife. Single women are excellent people to buy homes. You know, they're excellent credit risks. We've developed a technique to handle them. I don't have any problems at all.

THOMPSON: But I gather what you're saying is that you handle them specially, you don't just handle them like you --

JOHNSON: Yes, we handle them just like we handle Black people. You have to handle Black people specially, because they're different. To me they are, they're different.

I handle White people differently, you know? we get White people, a lot of times we don't even bring them to our office to do business with them, you know. And I indoctrinate my salesmen, you know, how they're supposed to talk to White people when they're doing business with White people, because you have to talk to them differently.

You know, when you're taking a Black person out, he says -- he'll go where you want him to go. He'll go where you take him.

1

3

5

4

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

But when you take a White person out, he 11, you know, tell you where he wants to go, and he'll tell you where he wants to be five years from now, and tell you where he doesn't want to be, and where he don't want anyone else to be five years from now.

So you have to kind of adapt yourself to this way of doing business with them.

About four years ago I became - - I developed a technique in my business where I could put an ad in the paper and get 60 percent of the people that called my business to be White. And I could do it now. And before I got White agents I was very reluctant to get White people to call my office, because, you know, it was very difficult to indoctrinate Black people to take the subtle approach that they should take in terms of handling White people.

THOMPSON: Okay. But I'd just like to go once again about this special handling that you have with women.

Let's talk about a woman who has a very good income, maybe \$30,000, and wants to buy a house, and let's say had 25 or 30 percent down. Why wouldn't you bring her to an S&L downtown, or would you do that?

JOHNSON: Well, more than likely -- I would be very reluctant to take a single woman to an S&L. You know, unless it was to the Black institution, you know, Advanced Federal -- I'm sorry -- unless it was to a Black S&L, I would

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

be very reluctant. Because most of the S&L's don't like -you know, they like the family unit, you know what I mean?
They want it to be a family unit. They want it to be a
husband and wife.

And if you're single, most of the S&L's require that you have a life insurance policy almost sufficient to liquidate the loan in case of death of the one person, because, you know, there is only one person.

THOMPSON: Now, are there clients that you have, or is there business you have where you have a variety of types of mortgage financing that you suggest? Just how do you handle that? Am I perceiving you correctly when I say that you pretty much suggest in every case what type of financing your client should go after?

JOHNSON: Yes, in most of the cases I do.

THOMPSON: Do you suggest sometimes alternate neighborhoods; or alternate homes, or if you have a problem with financing do you sometimes go into some different arrangement?

JOHNSON: I don't understand what you're asking.

THOMPSON: Well, if, for example, someone wanted to buy in a specific neighborhood, and their conditions, some of their conditions would preclude that, do you suggest a different neighborhood or different price of home or some other arrangements?

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Well, I try very hard to put Black people JOHNSON: in areas of the city where they're not supposed -- where they should not want to go, that because they're Black they should not want to go.

And there are four or five areas in the city, you know, in the City of Baltimore -- when I say the City of Baltimore, I'm talking about Baltimore County -- you know, we include Baltimore County in with the city when we're talking about buying a home. And there are some portions of Baltimore County where Black people just, you know, aren't supposed to go -- even today, even now, you know -- right now.

And we try, but sometimes it's difficult because you see, the key to the real estate game is not to sell, but the key to the game is to list. And most of your listings for sale in Baltimore, in the metropolitan area, are controlled by your sophisticated companies, you know, 90 percent of them, see? And they hold the key to the game.

You know, selling is not the key. In fact, I almost feel that I am something other than a real estate company, because predominantly what Black companies do is sell. They have not developed the sophistication to list. And until we do this, there are going to be these pockets. Because the sophisticated companies control the inventory, control the listings.

So it's very difficult for us to take an active

part in, you know, bringing about the change because of the listings, unless we went on a crusade sort of a thing, you know, and I'm not that large and sophisticated, and I don't have that much money to be, you know, a crusader, as far as business. I would like to be a crusader.

THOMPSON: Let me ask you, if I can, about appraisers. Do you know of any Black appraisers in the City of Baltimore? Are there any in Baltimore?

JOHNSON: Yes, there are. There are some Black appraisers. In fact, I think one of the leading S&L!s has one on their staff -- just recently, within the last year.

THOMPSON: Is that the only one you know of?

JOHNSON: That's the only one I know of on the staff, but FHA and VA use Black appraisers. And I don't know how they happened get, in most instances, properties that are in the inner city. But, you know, they just seem to.

The State program just started using Black appraisers, and FHA and VA use Black appraisers.

THOMPSON: Do they bring them from out of state, or do they get them from D. C., or --

JOHNSON: Who?

THOMPSON: You say the Black appraisers -- you say there's only one? I don't understand.

JOHNSON: No, there's only one on the staff of a

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

local lending institution -- you know, here. But FHA and VA have Black appraisers. I think there are at least five that I know of -- four that I know of, personally.

THOMPSON: Can you tell me what effect, if any, appraisers have on the housing market? What, in your opinion, is their effect?

JOHNSON: In relationship to what, price, or -THOMPSON: Right. Price and the change in
neighborhoods, or just in any way. How does that hit you?
What effect do appraisers have on the housing market?

JOHNSON: Well, actually I think really that appraising is absolutely the key to the business, really. Like Mrs. Jones this morning was talking about the differences in appraisals, the value of houses where she lived, and five blocks away.

And this is one of the things that's really disturbing to me. You can take neighborhoods like Edmonson Village or Harlem Park -- these kind of places, and you know, a similar house that a Black person is selling -- the same house, and you can go like 12 blocks away, to Coos Lane, or right outside of Hunting Ridge, and the same row house would be selling for 24-25. And there are houses going out Route 40, right across from E. J. Korvette, the shopping center, where White people are selling them for 30, up to as high as 32, 34 thousand dollars. And the same house would

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

only bring about 16 thousand, or 15 thousand in a Black area.

And this means that a Black person, if he chooses to, is getting 10 thousand less for his home. And when he buys another home, you know, either in the suburbs or anything out near the suburbs, he's paying maybe \$10,000 more for the same comparable house.

You know, in some instances this is being used --I would feel, because this little area that's right near my office, and I've been trying to do something about putting Black people into that area, there's a little area right there that's 100 percent White, and the houses sell for something like between 29-34 thousand dollars -- row houses. Row houses, you know. In those areas they call them town In the Black areas you call them row. There's a difference -- in terms of price, and in terms of -- you know.

And this is a subtle way of keeping Black people from going into areas, because Black people have a thing about paying \$34,000 for a row house, you know? You know, they're coming off of Harlem Avenue, and they're going up across Cooks Lane, and they're going to pay \$34,000 for something that was worth \$8,000 on Harlem Avenue.

But White people will pay the \$34,000. And I don't know how they know, but they know that Black people are not going to pay it, so they will pay it. And as a result of their paying it, no Black people go in.

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

orters, Inc. The same thing is true on Perry Parkway, where Mrs. Jones was talking this morning. You have houses selling for 34-36 thousand dollars, and the area is White. No Black people.

The same thing is true in Towson. The same thing is true in Catonsville, you know.

And that's the way they stay.

THOMPSON: Thank you. Bert?

BOOTH: Any more questions?

WICKWIRE: I'd like to ask a question.

Going back to a statement you made somewhat earlier, the time was that two years ago, when the S&L's seemed to make a deal and assured some \$30 million coming into the City, and the tradeoff you said perhaps was for a raise in the usury rate from 8 to 10 percent.

Now, do I understand that there was a period of time then, following this, that you did attempt to use the S&L's more? Did you for a period of time?

JOHNSON: Oh, sure, definitely.

WICKWIRE: Do I understand also, then, that now you've reverted back to going to what you call the mortgage correspondents. Does this mean that also the S&L's reverted back to earlier practices, then, and that kind of a pledge they made was kind of a gesture or momentary --

JOHNSON: Well, I don't know whether it was a

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

gesture. But I would think that they -- and it might be on my part that I've done this, you know, it might be the psychology that made me revert back -- but I know at least two institutions, sophisticated S&L's, are committed, I believe. You know, they're strongly committed. I really do believe they are.

But I believe that overall this was done to fulfill the commitment, you know. And they did it. And the way they did it was that they madeliteknown that they were receptive to Black people, see? And this is the only thing that they have to do now, make it known that they're receptive.

Like I went to a meeting right after they made the commitment with the President of the Savings and Loan League. And the President of the largest -- I guess it was the second largest -- S&L in the City made the statement to me, "Where have you been with your business? I haven't seen you around." You know. "Did you know that like 30 days ago we started making inner-city loans?"

It's almost like . . . you know.

I said, well, I didn't know.

But the two savings and loans that I thought were really committed, what they did, they came to my office.

They sent one of their vice presidents out, you know, to my office. And he sat down -- you know, had an appointment with me -- and he sat down and outlined, you know, the feeling of

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

the bank, and the fact that they would be receptive to make the inner-city loans, et cetera. And we started putting our business, you know, there.

And most of the other Black brokers I think started putting their business there, because of this.

I really don't have time to, you know, go to the banks and necessarily try to break down what I think is happening, you know. This is why I think that -- and if the S&L's really made a commitment, and I would like to say that this was all sincere, if they really made a commitment, and they fulfilled their commitment, you know, it's just a shame that this atmosphere, or this conduciveness in terms of the inner city -- not necessarily Black, the inner city -- isn't true now, and isn't necessarily the atmosphere now, and doesn't have the same feeling that they had then. Because it was a very good feeling to do real estate business when you knew that you could take a Black person, or an inner-city person, or an \$8,000 house or a \$6,000 house, to an S&L and get it financed.

Because prior to that, you know, you didn't take loans to S&L's that were under \$10,000, because the feeling was that they could not make money on a loan that was less than \$10,000.

DARDEN: I have a question. First, I just want to make clear what you're calling mortgage correspondents is

JOHNSON:

3

DARDEN: Okay.

Yes.

4

5

6

Now, you've outlined the problems that you have with local lending institutions. We've heard earlier some people say that it would be better for the city and better for residents if they could make loans with local lenders,

also what other people call mortgage brokers, is that correct?

7 8

instead of using mortgage brokers or mortgage correspondents.

If you were able to freely make loans at local

9

lending institutions, would you agree with that statement

11

10

that it would be better?

12

JOHNSON: I would think that it would be better

13

to finance homes with local lenders, yes.

JOHNSON:

consider to be a blue-ribbon person.

14

DARDEN: So is it then because of what you perceive as discrimination that you are forced to use out-of-town

ination" is right. It's because I feel that, you know, that

this it is discrimination because of the feeling, because as

know whether it would be discrimination. As a result of

a result of me having this feeling I don't go to S&L's.

very rarely go to S&L's, you know, unless I have what I

the mortgage correspondents are more receptive.

16

15

lenders?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DARDEN: Well, would you say that there is or has

I don't know whether the word "discrim-

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

been a pattern of discrimination in mortgage lending with 1 local lenders? 2 3 JOHNSON: Oh, certainly. I would say that, yes, definitely. 4 That's all I have. 5 DARDEN: LOKER: When you said that -- you said that for 6 7 about -- during the period of the \$30 million commitment you 8 took Black people freely to S&L's to get mortgages; and then 9 when the commitment was used up, now you feel that you don't 10 want to take them there anymore. 11 Is that because you've gotten any feeling from 12 the S&L's, or is it just because you thought when the money 13 dried up then that was it? Have you gotten anything 14 specifically from an S&L? 15 JOHNSON: Yes, sure. 16 LOKER: You have? 17 JOHNSON: Yes. 18 LOKER: That they didn't want you to bring 19 anybody --20 JOHNSON: No. See, it's not that they -- and I 21 don't even know whether it's pointed towards Blacks, the 22 reason they do it. I really don't, you know. I think it's 23 just a sad commentary, and really they're the loser, believe it or not. According to the way I feel, they're the loser.

And I don't even know whether it's directed -- you

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

see, now -- it's very difficult. I sell a lot of houses under \$10,000, believe it or not. And when the atmosphere changes they don't have to say don't bring Blacks, you know. They just say we don't -- we are now not accepting any mortgages under \$10,000, or we are not accepting any houses on a conventional loan over 20 years old, or 15 years old.

You know, they don't have to -- they're not saying that we don't want to do business with Black people or the inner city. Directly they're not saying that. But that's what it connotes.

DARDEN: But you have gotten those kinds of restrictions recently from S&L's in the City?

JOHNSON: Yes. Under 10, and the age, yes.

What happens is, you know, money is getting tight. And

real estate goes in cycles. And we've gone into another

cycle now where money is going to get tight. So when money

starts to get tight, two things happen. Conventional money,

you cut out the five percent, you cut out the ten percent.

You know.

Then what you do is you raise the thing that you're mortgaging, premium-wise, upward. You know, you cut out the five and ten percent money, and you stop taking houses that are so old. And historically what happens here is the inner city -- other than the two years when the thing was ripe -- the inner city was the last place that you could

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. get mortgages for, because, you know, the times had to get real good before they would take the houses over 25 years old and less than \$10,000 in mortgage, you see?

So when times started going the other way, then
the reverse of the thing started happening, and they started
to stop taking them. And we're going into a period now where
the banks have cut out five percent money altogether, and
ten percent money is rare and hard to get. And we're going
into a tightening of the market.

This might be what it is.

noker: I want to ask another question. I didn't understand too well what you were saying about what neighborhood you take your prospective home buyers to. You do take them to neighborhoods where they are not supposed to go, or you don't?

JOHNSON: Yes, we take them. I take them to neighborhoods -- but you see, we take them to neighborhoods where they're not supposed to go, yes. We take Black people where they're not supposed to go.

LOKER: You do?

JOHNSON: Yes.

LOKER: Well, you said that you don't have time to be a crusader, but you do take them someplace where you think there might be a house, even though they wouldn't be --

JOHNSON: It's difficult to get Black people

in where they don't want to go.

LOKER: Right. I realize that.

JOHNSON: But there's a reason for it, see? And it's not because, necessarily, that they're Black. It's not that obvious, you know.

LOKER: But you say --

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

JOHNSON: Like I say -- beg your pardon -- in areas where they don't want Black people, row houses are selling for over \$30,000. Perry Parkway, Route 40, Catons-ville, Towson -- 34, 35, 36 thousand dollars, you see. And Black people just don't go.

Now, I was fortunate about six months ago to get two listings of houses in Towson, on a certain street in Towson. And you wouldn't believe this -- this is 1975 -- I had to give them up, give the listings back to the man that was selling them, because he was threatened with death, and his house was threatened to be burned down, and all this sort of thing. Towson -- sophisticated, nice area -- you know, real good people out there.

And Catonsville I guess would be comparable.

JEFFERS: Two quick questions.

One, Mr. Johnson, you mentioned earlier -- or at least I got the sense that there might be different credit criteria standards at the mortgage correspondents and the local S&L's. And if that's true I wondered if you could tell

us what those differences are?

JOHNSON: Well, mortgage correspondents are more receptive, for instance, to single people, for one. And they are more receptive to like separated people -- you know, divorcees and things like that, considered to be single people. You know, separated people, as long as they have a legal separation, and papers and all, and the husband can sign saying that he's not going to have anything to do with the house, you know.

We can go through this practice with the mortgage correspondents, you see, and mortgage correspondents will help people.

JEFFERS: In what sense?

JOHNSON: Well, in the sense that it will kind of help clean them up. S&L's don't clean up, and they don't help. You know. They have the criteria, and if you don't fall within their criteria then you're out.

JEFFERS: So you're saying if they have a certain credit rating that they might try to do something to aid that, or let's say they're late on certain payments and it's gotten into the record, they'll overlook that or try to straighten that out, or something like that? Or, you know, what do they do to aid a person if he doesn't fall within their -- quite fall within their credit criteria?

JOHNSON: Well, they work with the case. You know,

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

the cases they work with, they work with them much better than banks and S&L's. S&L's don't work with people. know, if you don't meet the criteria, then you're just knocked off. They don't work with them at all, the banks and S&L's.

If they work with them in that sense, DARDEN: with applications for mortgages, do they also work with them once the mortgage is granted and a person may be delinquent, or even coming in danger of foreclosure, in order to assist that person maintaining their mortgage?

JOHNSON: No. That's probably the weak area, as far as mortgage correspondents are concerned. This is probably one of the reasons why it would be better to have local lenders, as far as any person is concerned, either Black or White.

Now, when you get to that part of the deal, of the business, then the mortgage correspondent is the same then as the S&L's and the banks. They want their money. And if they don't get their money, and you're three months behind, you know, they start taking serious - very serious steps. And then there is a void of the ability to cope with the situation, you know.

Because, like the one we do most of our business with, their home office is a long way away, and they don't have any kind of service -- local service facility. And as

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. a result, when a loan becomes delinquent or when you become in default, they don't have the mechanism or the closeness of the situation to be able to work with it, because the computer from where the home base is spits out the fact that they're three months behind. And if the money is not paid in by the 15th of the next month, then the foreclosure procedures will commence.

Mortgage correspondents don't have that followup, that after thing, that S&L's, I think, would have more so because of the local nature of the S&L's.

DARDEN: Do you perceive that as a problem for your clients, or for the Black community?

JOHNSON: Yes, I would. What we do in our company is we orientate our people when we sell our people that if they do think they're going to run into trouble, we try to serve as the link between the mortgage correspondent I feel it's my responsibility, my obligation, as a real estate broker, to then fill the void.

And what we do, when we sell a person, we orientate them that if they do get into difficulty, or if they do experience any kind of difficulty, that they should contact us.

And then what we try to do is remedy the situation, you know. Now, I have two situations right now, where we are re-selling the house for the people, because

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

you know, there are times when people -- not just because of negligence, or not being concerned -- that they lose homes, they get behind, they're laid off.

Baltimore is one of the -- you know, is a place where you can be laid off if you have 20 years employment in certain industries, and because of this you get into trouble.

So what we do, we try to take the house back and re-sell it for them. Of course, this means that we make another commission. But we try to re-sell it for them, or try to get someone to assume their mortgage, before it goes into foreclosure.

And then what we do, we contact the mortgage correspondent, and I tell the mortgage correspondent what I'm doing. You know, I act as an intermediary between the two. And I tell them to, you know, hold off from taking any drastic action or anything, and then a lot of times I send the payments in myself. You know, I'll keep the payments current until the person gets off, until I sell the house, or something like that.

BOOTH: Anything else?

(No response.)

BOOTH: Thank you, Mr. Johnson.

That concludes our hearing for this afternoon, so we'll stand adjourned until ten o'clock tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 5:15 p.m., the hearing was adjourned, to reconvene at 10:00 a.m., Thursday, 2 October 1975.)