OFFICIAL REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

FACT-FINDING MEETING ON THE MEDIA AND ITS EFFECT ON MINORITIES AND WOMEN

University of Montana School of Music Auditorium, Missoula, Montana Place:

Date: April 12, 1975

VOLUME II

Pages 62 to 312

CCR Meet. 153 V.2

> Reported by: MARY J. MERKLING, CSR

John C. Tucker & Associates

COURT AND DEPOSITION REPORTERS POST OFFICE BOX 1625, BOISE, IDAHO 83701 **TELEPHONE 345-3704**

INDEX

WITNESSES	Page
Welcoming Remarks Ms. Peterson Mr. Brown Ms. Dussault Mr. Bowers	3 8 9 15
Overview of the Media: Its Effects on Minorities and Women Ms. Jones	17
The Media and Civil Rights Ms. Siedman	22
Television: Its Responsibilities to Minorities and Women Mr. Monagas	28
Panel A The Press - Its Responsibilities to Minorities and Women Ms. Swiger Mr. Siegel Mr. Coyle Mr. Voeller Mr. Lathrop Mr. Freeman Question and Answer Period Workshop Session C - Moderator, Mr. Murdock Panel B News from a Woman's Standpoint	64 66 72 77 81 79 87
Ms. Skye Ms. Raymond Ms. Bloom Ms. Swiger Ms. Van Valkenburg Ms. Stuart Question and Answer Period	164 177 185 187 190 197 202
Workshop Session B Mr. MacDonald Mr. Monagas	220 225
Panel C Where's Montana Going in the Media Mr. Brier Ms. Meyers Mr. Ewing Ms. Mittal Question and Answer Period Closing Remarks Ms. Peterson	257 262 268 293 299

MISSOULA, MONTANA, SATURDAY, APRIL 12, 1975, 11:00 A.M.

PANEL A

The Press: It's Responsibilities to Minorities and Women

MS. PETERSON: This panel is composed of newspaper people, most of whom are old friends. Before we begin, I want to repeat the information I gave earlier, because there are so many new people here. On this panel each person will speak, and at the end of the panel we will have questions. We encourage questions from the audience. We have a microphone down here, and when you want to ask a question, if you will please just step over to the microphone; and please give your name, your address, and your official position. This is necessary, whether we happen to know you up here or not, for the record that we are making of this conference.

At this time our panel will get under way with Pam Swiger of the Butte Standard as the first panelist.

MS. SWIGER(Butte, Montana): Hi. Can you hear me?

(Microphone handed to Ms. Swiger.)

MS. SWIGER: I can't talk very loudly, because I have a scratchy throat. Can you hear me now?

THE AUDIENCE: Right.

MS. SWIGER: I work for the Montana Standard in Butte, and my topic is editorial policy.

I am an editor, but I am not the editorial page editor, so I had to get most of my information from publisher, Scotty Campbell, and editorial editor, Jeff Gibson. They told me that about 95 per cent of our local written editorials deal with state and local issues. There aren't too many local issues that pertain to women or minorities. There are a few state issues that do, and we do not run a lot of editorials that are pro women or pro minority or about issues. I suppose that's because they don't come up very often.

The editorial page is one of the better read pages in our paper, and judging from the letters that we receive from readers, the audience is split pretty equally between men and women; and the editorials are not written for a particular sex, Jeff said, but he aims them at some faceless person.

The policy of the paper that goes into the editorial page is decided by the publisher. He has the final say, and he insists on this. He says that the paper is not party oriented politically, but is more independent and more liberal than conservative. He gives the editorial page editor pretty free rein; and although they disagree on some issues, he doesn't necessarily kill something because he doesn't like it; but he insists that it be checked out more thoroughly.

Mr. Campbell said that in the future the editorial page editor could very possibly be a woman.

I don't have anything else to say. I'll wait for the questions later.

MS. PETERSON: Thank you, Pam.

Our next panelist is Larry Siegel, who is general manager of the Billings Gazette.

Mr. Siegel.

MR. SIEGEL (Billings, Montana): Thank you.

Can you all hear me?

(No response.)

MR. SIEGEL: When I was invited, I thought there was going to be a group of 500 people here; and I was shaking and nervous, because I am not good

at speaking before 500 people. I'm not good at speaking before people on this type of set up; I would rather have gotten into a workshop situation and talked.

7.1

My discussion is on employment opportunities in newspapers, and we have come a long way. Fifty years or more ago the newspaper's sole single employee female was called a sob sister, and every big newspaper had one. The term came from her ability to sympathize with those of her sex who found themselves in the news, good or bad, and would shy from baring their emotion with a man. The term also came from sob sister's ability to use her womanly wiles in dealing with otherwise crusty, uncooperative males both inside the newsroom and outside the newsroom. Fifty years ago there were no women in advertising. The stenographer, even, was male.

At the Billings Gazette, specifically, about 31 per cent of our work force is female; and in the newsroom, specifically, about 40 per cent of our newsroom staff is female. Fifty per cent of our reporters are female. We are getting away, and have gotten away I would say exclusively from the

tradional role of society writer, or the woman reporter, or the sob sister in that context; because our reporters are covering all aspects of health, welfare, eduction, government, and special-assignment reporting. In advertising sales, 50 per cent of outside sales representatives are female; and in training currently, 71 per cent of our trainees are female. One supervisor, three reporters, two sales representatives. In our internal program where we have recruited college students for summer and/or quarterly work-study, I would say 50 per cent are female. The emphasis seems to be on female. I could go on with the numbers, but I won't.

Dur minority employment efforts have been mixed and have been very difficult in full success. We have currently employed apprentices in our pressroom who progress to journeyman pressman as well as to composing, who are Indian. One I worked with some years ago was an apprentice who had progressed to journeyman status; and after some preemployment counseling and eight years of employment, he elected or decided to leave our employment and go to work with the state on Indian problems and Indian affairs, particularly, with an

emphasis on employment. We have currently one Crow Indian who has finished up his first year of apprenticeship. In our composing room we have two Spanish journeymen printers.

Minority applications have amounted to about 1 per cent of our total application volume, and that leads me into our employment process; and again, I mentioned that opportunities have been mixed where in professional areas we have relied generally on promotion from within and intern training. In production, in the crafts, where employment is declining due to new technology and new processes, we have been involved in extensive retraining and have transferred about 25 or 30 per cent of our production personnel into salaried positions in advertising sales, photography, circulation, accounting, technical work.

rather simply and briefly is that the position request is submitted to the general manager, myself, for review and approval. Included is position description and criteria for selection related to the specific duties that are demanded. Those are then submitted to our personnel department for action, where as I indicated before we have relied

on promotion from within through posting of job openings in all departments of the building as well as reviewing our human resources inventory for potential upgrading or promotion. In professional supervisory positions we frequently contact other leading newspapers in Montana or the Midwest to determine whether there are prospects who are capable of promotion or upgrading. Then if we have no prospects, we generally turn to outside recruiting and advertising, either regionally for professional supervisory positions or locally through walk-in applications, advertising, Montana State Employment Service, and area schools. And our personnel manager does the preliminary interviewing and submits applications to hiring supervisor department heads where multiple interviews are involved, and evaluation of those multiple interviews, and selection made. That's a rather brief synopsis of that.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Going back to the newsroom, because the emphasis is on editorial newsroom, I will cover something which I think Ed Coyle will be covering as well and that's newsroom assignments. And the emphasis is on reporters, because many of our reporters feel they can do far more important work

in the field, dealing with the people at local levels rather than necessarily getting caught up in the bureaucratic processes of administration and sometimes decision making. Reporters, in addition to covering certain beats or activities, are also assigned to special stories or features by editors who use the following guidelines in making assignments.

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

First, interest and/or expertise in the event or individual on which the story is to be written. The reporter, after all, can write better and more understandably when he is on familiar ground, has a rapport with certain people, and likes what he's assigned to write about. first point, however, is frankly tempered by the editor's knowledge of the reporter's degree of bias or involvement in the event or the individual. Such personal feelings of course are not supposed to exist in the "objective reporter," but since reporters are only human, it is a factor to be considered in making assignments. Availability is Is the reporter who can do the best job in the office available, discovering the first two elements. Does the editor in effect have the best person to do the job. If not, is there a substitute; and here we are talking about particularly breaking news which demands immediate coverage regardless of who is available. In other words, you ordinarily don't send the newsroom aesthete to cover a closed meeting conference. On the other hand, if the religion reporter is on vacation and the aesthete is the only one available, you assign the aesthete. If that person is a real reporter, you don't even have to pray for objectivity.

I have covered our situation generally. Five minutes doesn't really allow us time. I have covered some of the mechanics. I think the Billings Gazette is constantly seeking other avenues, other solutions or ideas; and we have been making progress. That's partially why we are here. I would hope at this meeting and others like it in the future that we can help in this effort.

Thank you.

MS. PETERSON: Thank you, Mr. Siegel.

Ed Coyle of the Missoulian is going to talk about assignments.

MR. COYLE (Missoula, Montana): Thank you, Helen.

Now that Larry Siegel has given you my speech, maybe I could tell a couple jokes or

something.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My topic, I was assigned to do assignments. I suppose I should give a little background of the person who has been assigned this topic. I came to the Missoulian in 1960 as the executive editor, and I have been the editor since 1964.

In discussing assignments, I think we probably take something from the composite role of the newspaper, what we are trying to do, what we are trying to accomplish in service to our readers. The role of the newspaper is a rather vague one, and I would refer to a portion of a lecture made by James Reston, the famed Washington Bureau Chief and columnist for the New York Times, who stated: "It has been my experience in more than 40 years in this business that there does not exist in America any generally accepted public philosophy about what newspapers are or what they are expected to do. There are millions of people in this country who do not read newspapers and millions more, given the rising costs of everything, who cannot afford to; but I have yet to meet anybody who doesn't think he could edit them better than we do."

I suppose Helen ran into that when she was the publisher of the fine paper she had in Hardin. So, this is the problem. We try to cover to what extent we can, what facilities, what capacities we have of what we hope is of interest to our readers, what their requirements are, and they are myriad. So, in making a newsroom work, we have to divide first the potential reporting power we have into various fields. We call these the beats, and we have certain beat reporters who are responsible for a certain segment of the coverage; and this is on practically a daily basis. The fields that we cover generally are pretty much the same I imagine in most newspapers. Perhaps they do it a little differently than we do, but our list includes city government, county government and federal governments, eduction, the environment, courts and police, religion, business, politics, women's news, the society section, and sports. So, this gives us quite a field to start Then in addition, of course, we have things that come up that require special attention. Persons who come to town to give talks and things, these have to be covered; workshop seminars, this type of thing; so these have to be included in our

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

assignments.

Now, who makes the assignments, generally, after we establish the beat reporter is generally done by the city editor; and then if there are special events that come up, things that we feel need attention, special problems, issues, controversies that arise in the community, in our Western Montana, then the city editor generally consults with me or the news editor to assign the best possible people to these events and occurrences.

As Larry pointed out, sometimes reporters do not like to cover certain things, and sometimes we try to find the reporter with the special interest who might want to cover a certain interview or event or speech of this type.

In addition to our local coverage, assignments to beat reporters, and special assignments, we also maintain a Flathead Bureau now, which was established in last July. This is an office in Kalispell to cover our area in the northern part of Western Montana where we have approximately now 8,300 subscribers. We had a correspondent up there for many years, but to improve the service to our readers we established a formal office up there with

a staff of five people; and we handle news and classified display advertisements, circulation, all the requirements that go into a newspaper operation.

In addition to that, we have our state Bureau in Helena. This is a three-man Bureau, which the primary purpose is to cover state government. In addition, we also are on call from the editors of the four lead papers in Montana to do special assignments where we feel that issues are arising in different parts of the state, and they are on call to go and cover these stories that we want.

Now, on our staff we have, with all of this scene to cover, we have ll persons who are generally occupied in covering news, reporting or by people coming to the office, or people who cover beats. Eight of these are women, now. Our Flathead Bureau Chief is a woman, so in the area of coverage, we find it very easy to assign women to news of special interest to women. We have no problem there whatsoever.

So, in the long run we have been involved in a new process, too, in our operation here, which is converting our newsroom to an

electronic input system with a computer; and this has caused us some problems lately.

Thank you.

MS. PETERSON: Thank you, Ed.

Now, this next gentleman I might say is from my old newspaper now. I know that he really doesn't believe that I am going to remember his name.

Mike Voeller.

MR. VOELLER(Helena, Montana): My topic is the role of the newspaper. I guess quite simply stated, at least as far as I'm concerned, and I'm sure that most editors agree with me, is very simply to mirror the community, to print what's going on.

Excuse me, I get nervous when I have to talk. I'd rather sit in my ivory tower and write editorials.

I think you have got a pretty good idea from Ed that it's not an easy thing to do, but we try very hard; and the effort never dims as far as I'm concerned. But when it comes to covering, let's say, news of minority groups or women or discrimination, we have to have facts to go on to fullfil our role; and many, many times these facts are sadly lacking; and maybe that's

why sometimes something that one group of people feel should be printed never finds its way into print, because it can't be substantiated. That's one thing, I think, that if a minority group or a women's action group that's involved in some area of activity that when they do come into a newspaper that they give us a handle to go on rather than just walking in and say, "Well, OEO is a rip off; the director isn't any good." And then we're supposed to come up with a big expose. I really don't have an awful lot to say about it, because I think that a newspaper has to try to be all things to all people, and you are naturally going to have a lot of people mad and upset with you much of the time. But we do have that role, and sometimes it's fun; sometimes it's not so fun. I'm sure that you can appreciate the difficulties, and rest assured that we do try to mirror the community.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PETERSON: Thank you, Mike.

Our next panelist is a gentleman I have known for a while. He is not a native Montanan, but he likes us, I think.

Paul Freeman who is the Bureau Chief of the Associated Press for Montana.

MR. FREEMAN (Helena, Montana): Thank you very much, Helen. I think I'm on this panel today because for balance, short Texan's meeting.

ī

I have been the Bureau Chief in Montana for the AP for the last five years. My topic today is the role of the news service. Empirically it's a very simple topic: The role of the news service is to cover news. Beyond that, it gets somewhat complicated.

I have the smallest news staff of any one on the panel. Counting myself, seven AP reporters, full-time staff reporters are charged with covering an increasing, a hugely increasing diet of news in the state. State government has expanded by roughly double. The amount of coverage needed in fields including the fields of news of women and minorities has at least doubled. The amount of even sports coverage in this state has at least doubled. The AP staff has remained the same.

We have, I think, a reasonably good record of presenting what is news in the state on a daily basis. We have some questions put to us occasionally not by minorities or women just as a single entity, but by people who simply don't seem

to understand the role of a news service or in many cases the role of a newspaper or broadcast station. A specific example that comes to mind is on the House Debate on the ERA in, I believe, 1972. I was confronted in my office after an entire day of debate in the Montana House about the ERA by a very angry woman who wanted to counter, counter and answer remarks made by the Speaker of the House about the Equal Rights Amendment. Simply, coverage of that breadth, citizen input into our news reporting simply cannot be handled in any reasonable fashion. We do make an effort to go beyond the formalized lines of communication to get citizen input into the AP report; but it obviously cannot be done much, if at all.

Just to outline what the AP is just for those of you who might not know, the AP is the world's largest news gathering operation. We operate in 106 countries and every state in the U.S.A.

I thank you very much.

MS. PETERSON: Thank you, Paul.

I think, perhaps, I owe Mr. Lathrop an apology. You two gentlemen are sitting in reverse order, so I just took you that way. That's not the

way you are on the program.

T

Mr. Lathrop is the executive editor of the Great Falls Tribune, and he is our next speaker.

Bob.

MR. LATHROP (Great Falls, Montana):

Thank you, Helen, and I don't think the order of

our arrangement here really is greatly significant.

My topic is the press, it's responsibility to minorities and women.

Now, I'm going to speak in a rather general way. I hope not philosophically, because I'm not a speaker; and I have written in longhand, which is taboo, seven pages; and I did that because dealing with editors, I thought certainly it would be brutally cut before I ever had a chance to express it. Now, I do some of that myself, too; and I believe in shortening text whenever it's possible.

I'm going to speak, I think, to start with about the personality of the individual paper. Fundamentally, it's a private enterprise; and every paper, I believe, is as distinctly individualistic probably as its thousands of readers. Now, one paper may stand for one thing,

another may stand for another thing on editorial policies; but the basic responsibility is to inform the people of what's going on in the country, in the state, in the nation, and the world. matter of informing is done through the news columns. When we move into the editorial stands the paper may take, we have a little different position. As collectors of news with access to dockets, public offices, and where the news is gathering as a congregating point for people with causes, fundamentally, the newspaper should be well informed. It's in the basis of its being well informed that it can take a position in civic matters, in minority matters, if you will, whatever might be a matter of concern to its readership at the time. So, I think that we have to accept the fact that every paper has a distinct possibility as do each one of us have a distinct personality.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, this is entirely wholesome in my opinion, because then we get a vast exchange of ideas, which to me is a matter of vital importance. If we had a press that went down the line all the way on one side of an issue, we don't have any matter of exchange of ideas. If we closed our minds to people who come in with minority

causes and cut them off, perhaps it can be done in one paper, but then they will have an outlet in another; and the exchange does come about.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Fundamentally, the news is many things. It ranges from what happens on a docket in a day; it ranges to a meeting like this; it ranges through what may be transpiring in a court. It may deal with murder; it may deal with a speech; and I think probably basically, one of the functions of the press and one of the major functions of the press is that it deals with the abrasions upon our society by members of the society; and these abrasions I think are manifest in violations of the law. They may be manifest by allowing poverty groups to exist without care; and I think there are tremendous responsibilities in this area. But when we come to the news columns, and when we come into such matters, and there has been reference to it before, Ed Coyle pointed out the subject of objectivity, the news columns must be objective. We want people to report what the fact is. Best that it be reported from what the record is, because if two people are standing on a corner and there is an accident in the middle, there are two different points of view. Well, maybe that's

wholesome; but the record, which is based on investigation, then is the source upon which decisions are made.

I can remember times when in my many years of reportorial experience when we used to if someone was on a docket, heck, we used to identify John Jones, a Marine, was arrested for drunken driving; and you know that he was a Marine. I don't know why it was ever done. We did the same, I think with blacks. I don't think we did with Indians, but that's beside the point. If it was a dwarf involved, for example, in a news item, that usually was a point; but that's not so now. The stories stand on the merit of the facts, and the situation of the individual accused or the participant in the news, unless it's relevant to the objective reporting of the story, doesn't enter, or at least we try to keep it out.

I think really that our press, I think the Tribune at least tries to meet its responsibilities to minorities. We have had many news stories from our poverty groups, from our women's organizations, or our equal rights programs and objectives; and we also have had a number of editorials on each. We, too, hope to

maintain an independent stand.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our staffing, I think, as I look through the people charged to the newsroom, and I'll say roughly there are 28. I think 13 of them are women, and these include women with tremendous capabilities. There are women on our staff, our Commission member Jake Beck's wife is I think of another one; I won't mention the name because I don't believe it will mean anything to anybody; but these people can cover anything from a ballgame to a city council meeting. are versatile, and they are capable, and they are experienced; and we depend upon them. I think in one instance we have an excellent copy desk person who we customarily refer to as a copy reader, but the responsibility goes a little deeper than copy reading in many instances in our office. It determines the place stories will get, how big the headline will be; and also, of course, in subjects that come under that jurisdiction, what the headline is going to say. Bearing in mind, however, that the headline must stay within the column inches designated for it; and that's pretty tough.

about minorities, it's quite easy to deal with minority groups in that they have something to say. They come into the office; usually they have an articulate spokesman or spokesperson, perhaps, I'm not used to that; but they are fine. We have taken up Indian causes for many, many years. Thirty-five years ago I was writing stories on behalf of trying to help some of the Indian people; but I want to say that in this respect, I don't think that in dealing with any minority group or any business group or any law group that we can preempt the rights of the others. I think that it's a strong line that we have to go along on when it comes to minorities, whatever they may be. I think that we are probably talking basically about human rights, which to me is a fundamental thing; and I think when we come to human rights, I think fundamentally we are simply talking about the golden rule.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think that that's all I'll say at this moment.

MS. PETERSON: Thank you, Bob.

Now, our time for questions is very limited. We must have questions from our board members first. I'm going to ask you to ask these

questions quickly and try not to cover anything that has been covered in the speeches; and I'll ask the panelists to try to make their answers as brief as possible, also.

I think Mrs. Travis would like to start.

MRS. TRAVIS: I would like to ask Pam a few questions. I'm delighted to see a woman here represented on this panel, and I think she stated that 90 per cent of the news covered by your paper deals with local and state issues.

Number one, I would like to ask you how long have you worked as a news reporter?

MS. SWIGER: Well, to clear up something

first. I said 90 per cent of the editorials.

MRS. TRAVIS: Editorials, I'm sorry.

MS. SWIGER: Yes, this is a viewpoint of the paper.

I worked for a reporter for three years while I was going to college, and I have been with the Standard for almost six years now. Five of that was as a reporter, and one as an editor.

MRS. TRAVIS: One year you have been working with the editorial staff?

MS. SWIGER: This is confusing, I know.

Editorials are the nonobjective where you take a stand on this and there is an editorial editor. The other people who work with copy, there is a city editor, a wire editor. My position is kind of overlapped to both of those. I do both jobs when the other people are gone.

MRS. TRAVIS: That answer leads me to another question. Would you consider the Montana Standard a liberal or a conservative newspaper in it's editorial outlook.

MS. SWIGER: Well, I would say that they are -- I really couldn't quote you an estimate that they are more liberal than conservative. It used to be a lot more liberal than it is now, but it depends on the editorial editor at the time.

MRS. TRAVIS: I find these terms "liberal" and "conservative" very much used, you know, and misused, so what is your definition of liberal and conservative as far the editorials in the Montana Standard?

MS. SWIGER: I haven't the faintest idea.
(Laughter.)

MS. SWIGER: I would say liberal in my estimation, and this is just my assessment of the editorial page, which I really have nothing to do

with except to contribute an idea every once in a while, I would say that liberal is being willing to take a stand on a controversy, you know, to want something that is a little bit out of range.

Conservative is not being willing to go out, because you might insult somebody. And that's just my opinion, and I'm sure that's not politically oriented.

MRS. TRAVIS: How much decision-making power do you have in determining what is written in the editorials?

MS. SWIGER: None. I can suggest, like all reporters can and do, frequently suggest topics for editorials.

I did bring a lot of editorials here, a little stack of editorials here that pertain to women and minorities; and I brought some typical editorial pages, which do not pertain to women and minorities; so I'd be glad to give those to the Commission.

MRS. TRAVIS: Well, I have one other question. Does the editorial staff have any minorities? You said all the newspaper writers contribute to the editorials. Are there any minorities employed?

MS. SWIGER: I don't believe there are any minorities on the newsroom staff. There might be some, you know, maybe quarter blood Indians; but I'm not --

MRS. TRAVIS: So, there is no input in the editorials from minorities?

MS. SWIGER: Not really.

MRS. TRAVIS: What about women? How many women are involved?

MS. SWIGER: Well, I just tried to count in my head a few minutes ago and I got to ten, and five women; so 50-50.

MRS. TRAVIS: And they are news reporters?
MS. SWIGER: Yes.

MRS. TRAVIS: Do you have any recommendation as to how the newspaper could deal with this lack of minority women representation?

MS. SWIGER: Actually, I believe that it's not the newspaper that has to deal with it; because as far as I know, the newspaper has had no applicants, you know, applications from minority women who are qualified to do the job. If there were applications, I'm sure there would be no, you know, bar to their being hired.

MRS. TRAVIS: So, they don't recruit. Do you

have a policy of recruitment of minorities?

MS. SWIGER: We very seldom recruit anybody.

The news media is overflooded with applications

now.

MRS. TRAVIS: So, there is no real solution for minority input unless someone walks in and has all the qualifications and gets hired?

MS. SWIGER: I don't want to get out on a limb with this, because I really don't know; but I would say that, you know, it's pretty much up to the people to come. Just like it's, you know, up to the white people to come in and apply for a job, too.

MRS. TRAVIS: In other words, you don't seek to have any input from these groups?

MS. SWIGER: We have covered a lot of cultural things. I don't know if this is what you mean by "input," but like Indian festivals, art, and cultural things like this. We do have a very active Indian center to get some input, you know, we are getting that.

MRS. TRAVIS: But this lack of minorities -- MS. SWIGER: People on the staff.

MRS. TRAVIS: Do you feel this has any affect on the newspaper in any way?

MS. SWIGER: I would have to say, no. I think that we are all very fair and unbiased.

MRS. TRAVIS: And you make this determination?
MS. SWIGER: Yes.

MS. PETERSON: Mr. Bighorn has some questions.

MR. BIGHORN: I have a couple questions for Mr. Siegel.

Mr. Siegel, do you have what's called an affirmative action plan?

MR. SIEGEL: We have a document recently amended, December '74, that constitutes an affirmative action plan. We talked earlier about the numbers on the EEOC records respecting minorities and I would hope the government changes that; and I know from reading the corporate policy on affirmative action it means a hell of a lot of paperwork; but which is not the problem. The problem is one of out reach and effectively permitting affirmative action. But we do in essence have one, and steps have been taken, steps from within.

MR. BIGHORN: I have had an opportunity to glance over your affirmative action plan, which was submitted; and I noticed that there are no goals or time tables in there. Can you tell me why?

MR. SIEGEL: Yes, I can imagine specifically why. That is a corporate policy that the specific goals and objectives are left to each of our operating units to determine based on their experience and their population situation and their needs what their goals and objectives will be. And that in our planning process, which we do have, which we have had for sometime, we identify specific goals and objectives for recruiting. But in that document, that is a corporate document as contrasted against a local vehicle.

MR. BIGHORN: How many minorities do you have, and particularly Indians that I'm thinking about in terms of?

MR. SIEGEL: I think if I went by that

EEOC report, I could say we have 5 per cent minority;

but the EEOC report can be misleading itself when

it comes to real identification. As far as

specific numbers, we have a few Indians on our

staff. I don't know what the specific percentage

might be.

of apprenticeship as I mentioned earlier. In our newsroom, we have no representation. Our efforts there have been principally one of promoting from

within and upgrading training. The preponderance of our applicants, I think, and from statistical projections from journalism schools indicate that in most recent years more women have been entering journalism schools. For example, within the next three years, 50 per cent of the graduating journalism students will be female; and I don't know what the specifics of the statement within in the area of minority employment in professional areas, particularly in Indidan recruitment.

MR. BIGHORN: Just one more question. What efforts has your newspaper put forth in terms of actively recruiting minorities to be employed in your newspaper?

MR. SIEGEL: I think you have to recognize a couple things; A., when we do have openings, particularly local openings where we can recruit from the population, we do work with the Montana State Employment Service; and the Montana State Employment Service has helped us, in fact, in recruiting Indians. I think the black population in Billings is fairly small. We work also with the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Once having hired an Indian apprentice, take for example, the Crow Indian who recently joined us, working with the

Bureau has insured that that individual was properly oriented to the white precedent to insure that he had properly made transition, if you will, from the reservation to finding living accommodations in Billings; and we work very close on that.

MR. BIGHORN: Just one comment. Do you know if there are any Indian organizations in Billings?

MR. SIEGEL: I'm sure there are. I see them in the conference room regularly with our editorial staff.

MR. BIGHORN: Do you know the names of any organizations in Billings?

MR. SIEGEL: Having been in Billings 15 months, no, I don't.

MR. BIGHORN: Okay. Thank you.

MR. SIEGEL: You're welcome.

MS. PETERSON: Mr. Board.

MR. BOARD: I have some questions for Mr. Coyle.

I noticed that you said that you have women who are employed as reporters. Are there any other minorities who are employed as reporters with the Missoulian?

MR. COYLE: No, we have one who is a part

American Indian who is the fourth ranking person in

the newsroom. He is our feature editor.

MR. BOARD: Now, I noticed, too, that in your comments you said that you assign reporters to certain beats; and a question that bothers me, or a beat that bothers me is that you have, I think, you said a women's news.

MR. COYLE: Yes.

MR. BOARD: Well, I am a man, and I always read in the paper, you know, what women are doing; and a lot of times I have a hard time -- I'm wondering if I am neutered, because I find much interest in what's in the women's page.

Why does there have to be, in your opinion, a women's page or a women's editor? Why is it there?

MR. COYLE: Well, this is mostly in response to the demands from the women.

MR. BOARD: What are their demands?

MR. COYLE: Recipes, fashions, oh, an element of things, Dear Abbie. It's the best read thing in the paper.

MR. BOARD: Do any of the women who are employed as editors on the Missoulian, are any of them assigned to sports beats?

MR. COYLE: Women?

MR. BOARD: Right.

MR. COYLE: No, not at the present time.

About two years ago we had a girl who was doing rewrites for us and helping the city editor, and a job opened up in sports, assistant sports editor; and we offered her the job; but she declined the position.

I can see with the proliferation of women's sports that not before too long we will have a woman covering sports. It's very likely with women's basketball and track, which is really -- the basketball has really become quite prevalent in the last two years; so it's down the road, yes.

MR. BOARD: I seem to have heard, and not just from you, Mr. Coyle, but from the others, and I may be wrong in what I heard, that when it comes to women and minorities, I seem to have heard, I think, that there has to be an issue or a cause for this to be covered.

MR. COYLE: No, that's not true.

MR. BOARD: So, I heard wrong in what all of you said?

MR. COYLE: No, that's not true.

In our environment, we have a person on our staff who practically covers nothing but

environment; and this includes the whole housing, you know, whole realm of things.

In our eductional, our education area covers a whole range of minority education, opportunities, all this type of thing; and that's done on a regular assignment. That's part of her job.

MR. BOARD: You did say that you have a reporter now up at the Flathead area?

MR. COYLE: Yes.

MR. BOARD: My understanding is that especially in the western part here there are a number of Native American Indians. What is the image which is put forth by the Missoulian of the Native American Indians? Only when they want to take on the white landowners up around Flathead for water rights or property rights, is that what constitutes the Indian's image in the Missoulian?

MR. COYLE: Do you mean the things we are covering or what we have covered?

MR. BOARD: Yes.

MR. COYLE: About three years ago we sent two reporters up to the Flathead reservation, and we did about a six-part series on Indian housing and economic opportunities.

And, of course, we have another correspondent who lives at Polson, and he covers quite a bit of the Reservation, their problems, all this type of thing.

MR. BOARD: Another question, does the Missoulian have an affirmative action program or plan?

MR. COYLE: Well, yes, it's part of what you asked Mr. Siegel. We have received an outline of a plan, and it's under study now; and it will be implemented, yes. The publisher is the chief operator of this plan.

MR. BOARD: Another question, do you feel that the lack of a let's say a Native American reporter inhibits the type of news which the Missoulian could get if they had a Native American reporter to perhaps gather the news?

MR. COYLE: Not to a direct amount of effect, no. We are very aware of the problems; we see them every day. We have direct contacts with them every day. Like I say, we have people who live on the Reservation, right in the area; and we have done a tremendous amount of work in this field.

For the last three years we have been trying to line up someone, an Indian American, to

at least write a column for us; and we have contacted different people who we thought were qualified, you know, to do this; but so far we have not been able to come up with anybody.

MR. BOARD: One last question. Does the Missoulian in it's employment of reporters and all follow the equal payment, equal assignment regardless of sex?

MR. COYLE: Right. We have a wage salary program, and we have three levels for reporters. Reporter trainee is a pay level seven. We have a junior reporter, which is pay level eight; and a senior reporter as pay level nine. Whatever level they are in, they receive the pay of that. We have a starting, a hiring rate, which sometimes we exceed because of their experience or qualifications.

We have a three-month review in the first three months on the job, and we have a six-month review; and we have an annual review, and an 18-month review of their performance.

MS. PETERSON: I believe that --

MRS. TRAVIS: I would like to ask some questions.

MS. PETERSON: Questions of Mr. Voeller?

MRS. TRAVIS: Yes, I wanted to ask him some questions.

Mr. Voeller, am I correct in saying you are the editor of the Independent Record in Helena?

MR. VOELLER: Yes.

MRS. TRAVIS: I have a question that I would like to ask --

MS. PETERSON: Excuse me, Geraldine.

I believe I must limit our people on the panel to three questions. We are running very late; it's almost noon; and we want to have our workshop.

MRS. TRAVIS: I wanted to ask him, because I have been reading the Helena paper for the past three months since I have been serving in the legislature, and I would like to know as editor, how do you view the role of the newspapers in Montana today?

MR. VOELLER: I think I have pretty much explained it. It's a very general thing.

Again, to mirror the community, to report what's going on, and to editorially comment about it. That really is the role of a newspaper.

MRS. TRAVIS: I would like to know, do you

employ any minorities on your newspaper?

MR. VOELLER: Well, from all the facts and figures, I have got four men; and I guess maybe that's a minority.

No, we don't. I have three women on the newsroom staff.

MRS. TRAVIS: And I suppose being in Helena, you cover the legislature?

MR. VOELLER: Our State Bureau does.

MRS. TRAVIS: Since there have been a number of bills in this legislative session dealing with minorities, women, and civil rights issues, are there any women assigned to cover the state legislature?

MR. VOELLER: Not specifically, no. Sometimes on topics, yes. Sometimes on editorials.

I championed passage of the Equal
Rights Amendment in 1973, and caught holy hell for
a year from the anti-ERAers. That bill was tabeled.
The senate was dragging its feet. I went to one
of my women reporters and said, "Write another
editorial. I don't care what you say, except the
first sentence has to say calling the senate yellow."
And then it started off on that mild note and went
from there.

MRS. TRAVIS: How are the decisions made as to priorities on women and minority issues to deal with the problems, to deal with the coverage?

MR. VOELLER: They are made by me.

MRS. TRAVIS: How do you set your priorities?

MS. PETERSON: That's your last question,

Geraldine.

MR. VOELLER: How do I set my priorities?
MRS. TRAVIS: Yes.

MR. VOELLER: Well, I guess maybe I could best explain it this way: I am intensely interested in the American Indian, and I comment frequently in editorials on the need for better educational opportunities for the American Indian.

I was born in a BIA hospital on a Reservation and spent my first 18 years there; and I think I am very familiar with a lot of their problems.

MRS. TRAVIS: But you don't have any employed, so how do you get your input?

MRS. VOELLER: Like I'm telling you, I think
I know and have as good a viewpoint as if I had an
Indian on my staff. And I would venture to say
that if I had a Blackfeet Indian on my staff and
I sent him to the Crow Reservation or the

Fort Peck Reservation, he couldn't come out of there with as much news as I can; because there is an intense jealousy and rivalry among the various tribes; and I think Helen would substantiate that.

MS. PETERSON: Now, Mr. Board, do you have some questions of Mr. Freeman?

MR. BOARD: Just two, I think.

One of the other people spoke to it, but does the AP ever make identities as to race?

MR. FREEMAN: Only when it's salient,

necessary to the story.

MR. BOARD: Such as?

MR. FREEMAN: Oh, such as in a story -- well, for instance, we had one in Cut Bank where we viewed the identification of a man who was hanged under very strange circumstances in the jail. I believe we identified him as an American Indian; because frankly, we had been contacted by several representatives of Indian groups from here to request us to look further into the story. It seemed that in the local context it was being discussed in that it was a slighly racial issue.

We seldom identify people. We never identify people by race unless it's salient to the story. We simply don't identify accident

victims by race.

Our policy has gone far away from that in recent years. There was a day when, for instance, Negroes were almost always identified. That was 20 some odd years ago but that's slid into the past, thank God.

MR. BOARD: The other question then, does the Associated Press feel that in general it has a responsibility to minorities and to women as far as news coverage is concerned?

MR. FREEMAN: Well, of course. We have a responsibility to cover all of that which we can cover. Again, you know, there is severe -- there is some limitations in what we can do. Limitations of time, of staff, and money.

MRS. TRAVIS: Madame Chairman, I realize
I'm out of order and you are busy, but I do have
a question that I would like to put to all of the
panel members.

I would like to know, do you feel that your responsibility in covering the news is met when there is a lack of reporting? I have noticed this in the legislative session that the responsibility in reporting the news cannot be met if some of the news is deliberately left out.

MR. FREEMAN: For my part of that, there 1 is nothing that's deliberately left out. 2 MRS. TRAVIS: Well, the lack of reporting 3 news is just as important. 4 MR. FREEMAN: Absolutely, absolutely. It's 5 6 an imperfect world. 7 MRS. TRAVIS: It can be as effective as 8 reporting inaccurately. MS. PETERSON: I think Mr. Freeman is the 9 best person to answer that, too. 10 Did you have another question, 11 Mr. Board? 12 MR. BOARD: No. 13 MS. PETERSON: Mr. Bighorn, I believe you 14 15 have a few questions of Mr. Lathrop. MR. BIGHORN: I have three questions, and 16 17 I'll make them very, very brief. 18 How many minorities do you hire in 19 the newspaper? 20 MR. LATHROP: At the moment, we have an 21 Indian machinist in the composing room. We had an 22 Indian pressman who quit our staff and went elsewhere. We have five or six handicapped people 23 24 from the Montana School for the Deaf and Blind in

the composing room. We did have one of our most

what she and have

beautiful people, a little black girl from

Malmstrom Air Porce Base. It's been five or six

years ago. Valerie Dickinson, who was a queen in

everybody in the plant's mind.

At the moment, in the newsroom we do not have any minorities.

MR. BIGHORN: Do you know how many Indians there are in Great Falls? Is there 500 to 1,000, 2,000?

MR. LATHROP: I would just have to take a wild guess, and I really don't know. Say, if there are roughly 15,000, perhaps, non-Reservation Indians around the state, 700 to 1,000 in Great Falls and on the fringes. That's a guess.

MR. BIGHORN: One more question. Do you know of any Indian organizations in Great Falls?

MR. LATHROP: There are several Indian organizations in Great Falls. I can't recite the names of them off the top of my head, because like Larry, I'm not directly associated with working on the news with respect to them; but there are also participants in other organizations like Friendship Inn and the Wesley Center and other areas.

MR. BIGHORN: Okay. Thank you.

MS. PETERSON: Just one moment, please. It's 12:00, and we are going to have to limit questions to 15 minutes; and then I'm going to have to cut you off.

We may have to miss lunch for the workshop sessions. I certainly think these workshop sessions are worth having.

So, please come stand down by the microphone. First come, first serve.

MS. REUSS(Helena, Montana): I'm

Patricia Reuss from the Women's Political Caucus,
and I realize you are in a private business; but
you also say that you want to be objective. So,
I'm asking if you have anything like this
ascertainment program where you go out in the
community and look for both sides of the minority
opinion? Is there anything that you do in that
area called ascertainment where you ascertain what
really you need to be doing in your area of
the media?

MS. PETERSON: Pat, who would you like to answer that? You better select a panelist to answer.

MS. REUSS: Okay. Mr. Lathrop.

MR. LATHROP: Well, not directly. We have on

occasion when issues come up that are pertinent to some immediate situation we seek interviews from people involved to find out what the circumstances are that creates the situation about which they are concerned. On occasion, we will try to carry it a little bit further by getting responses and reactions from other people who may be involved or who may be on the fringes of involvement.

I don't know that that answered it, but these things are matters of judgment at the time and staff capabilities; but we usually welcome them in the office and welcome the chance to take them up. But as far as our taking the initiative, to some degree, yes. We are interested in poverty areas; we are interested in Indian causes; we are interested in equal rights and those issues.

MS. REUSS: Thank you.

MS. BROWN (Bozeman, Montana): I am Alanna Brown from the English Department of M.S.U.

No one here is from the Bozeman Chronicle, so I can't really direct this question to anyone specifically; but I think the problem is common; so I would like to make, more or less, two protest comments.

I really feel that there is an effort to cover women's news, and I do appreciate that.

What I find is the case, however, is that if women, for instance, take a political stand like the NAACP, what happens is that they are put in the women's section rather than where an equal political group, say Montana coverage, in a different section; or at least, I have experienced that in papers.

Another thing, and this is especially on the AP, I can't get over how horrible women athletes look in the paper. Their eyes are crossed; they are biting their tongues, they are some sort of ridiculous picture coming out; and there we have Jack Nicklaus seriously, you know, staring at that ball, conquering the world in golf. These seem to me to be very sexist images. News of women is being covered; it's still very biased.

The last thing I want to mention, I feel if there is a kind of a sexism or racism, I think it's communicated in papers. It's really not the paper's fault, but I would like to bring it up. It's where legislators or someone else really comes out with a racist or sexist statement, which has a lot of -- what should I say, anger appeal, and dramatic appeal, and rhetoric or

whatever. When a paper just states these kinds of statements, it still tends, I think, to bring across a socialized view and to support those biased statements. Whereas the paper, instead of just being factual, also has the potential to be educational and provocative; and I don't really feel that the papers found anything factual; but they don't set up a debate format. For instance, in the state in ERA when someone can come up and say, "Well, God depicts his apostles." This is obviously a very eroded and sexist statement, but I think to find papers that are picking up anything that permitted debate, debate happens in papers in the letters to the editor, and I find that very disappointing. I think that papers can have a lot more, as I say, educational and provocative merit.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PETERSON: I must ask you to be very brief, because you are depriving someone else of the right to speak, unless you are.

Thank you.

MS. SMITH(Missoula, Montana): My name is Judy Smith. I work at the Women's Resource Center at the University of Montana.

I would like to say that one of the primary responsibilities of the media to women and

minorities is to stop stereotyping them, and I don't think anyone on the panel really addressed themselves to that. I will be very brief.

The impact of the paper as a whole on stereotyping, and I'm speaking for women and I'm sure the same point can be made for other minorities, that we have a stereotype image.

I could give you a few examples of women's hews that was already used; the fact that most women are asked specifically if it's Miss or Mrs. or Ms.; that fact that women are still described as to their appearance, or their relationship to different people like their husband, et cetera, rather than just as a person with an independent opinion.

Look at the cartoons that are still -the image of women in cartoons, the image of women
in advertising, the tone that is often taken toward
the women's movement, rather a satirical tone or
perhaps a sensational tone.

I would argue that the impact of the newspaper still is to stereotype men and women, and we have to look at that as an impact of the whole paper. Perhaps you are covering news better than you used to be; perhaps you are employing a few

women; I support that. I hope it continues. I think that socialization is one of the main functions of the newspaper, which no one mentioned today. It happens to be one of my firm beliefs that we learn our images through the media very often, and this image is still very biased.

I suppose that I should direct this to the Missoulian since I'm from Missoula, and I simply comment that I think the Missoulian still has these kinds of images with women; and I would certainly hope that it would be working to avoid and stop stereotyping women and minorities.

MS. PETERSON: Please come to Panel C this afternoon.

MS. SMITH: I will.

Ŧ

MS. PETERSON: Thank you.

MS. VAN VALKENBURG (Missoula, Montana): My name is Carol Van Valkenburg, and I work at the Missoulian.

I would direct this to Mr. Lathrop,
please. You touched briefly on the question of
news in the news columns, and I believe editorials
and that type of thing; and one thing I noticed
that I have been wanting to ask about for a long
time is last fall the Tribune had a strike; and at

the end of the strike when they resumed publication, there was a story on the front page; and it didn't have a by-line; and it wasn't labeled as an editorial or anything; and I wish I had it with me, but I don't; but it said something to the effect that, you know, we were apologizing for our readers for the inconvenience of the strike and that it said we feel this was a very unwarranted strike or something. I don't recall exactly, but it was, in my opinion, obviously an editorial; and I was wondering who wrote it, why it was on the front page, and why wasn't it labeled an editorial?

MR. LATHROP: Trying to answer this very briefly, I don't remember what was in the story announcing our resumption of publication. There was a traumatic experience for everyone involved. I think that an apology would be due to our readers who didn't get a paper for two months, without putting any blame on anyone, without accusing anyone. If a fire had burned down our plant for two months, I think we would have apologized the same way.

I would think, though I do not know this to be categorically so, that the resumption of publication story would be written by the publisher.

And I don't recall any matters of placing responsibility on it on the strike conclusion and publication resumption issue.

I don't think I answered you fully, perhaps.

MS. VAN VALKENBURG: No. I can't say anything, because I don't have the article here; but if you could, when you went back to Great Falls, if you would look, I think it was on the second day and it was in the last paragraph; and I'm sure that it was something about we feel this strike was totally unwarranted. Perhaps that was the feeling of the management, but at the same time, it didn't give the chance for the reporter; because obviously, the reporters felt it was warranted. That's why they were on strike.

MR. LATHROP: I will look up the story just to see, but I might say just in passing that it isn't unknown to have Page 1 editorials.

MS. VAN VALKENBURG: But they are usually labeled as that, or they have a by-line or something.

MR. LATHROP: There is some distinction as a rule; that is correct.

MR. MURDOCK (Duluth, Minnesota): My name is Ray Murdock from the Indian Viewpoint in Duluth, and

I will speak slowly because I want these gentlemen and ladies to hear.

Ŧ

As a radio and television newsman, as a newspaperman, and as an Indian, I totally reject the idea that any of you gentlemen who are non-Indian or the lack of Indian reporters on your staff can cover news at the Indian communities, Reservation or urban, as well as that kind of reporter.

Secondly, Mr. Coyle, you had said that you were aware of problems of the Indian community. You had talked in terms of causes.

That's the only way Indian people are ever thought of -- problems or causes.

You said that you were aware of the problems. I would like you to tell me of the problems. I would like you to tell me and this audience what you believe those problems are. What are the qualifications for the Indian person that would have to work on your news staff? Let me see if I would fit that.

MR. COYLE: Well, you probably would.

Our general qualifications are: A good knowledgeable working knowledge of the English language, expertise in some field of

economics and of environment, politics, the courts, some background in journalism, how to put a story together, how to structure sentences, how to convey ideas; because if we cannot convey ideas through the printed word, then our readers are lost. We have to have the ability to convey ideas.

I'm certain that you would have the qualifications, certainly.

But as far as the problems are concerned, we keep in touch with these people. We have a member of our staff who has started last year a ski program for Indian children with our support, some support from the Missoulian, and other organizations in the community. He is very involved with these people.

MR. MURDOCK: What are the problems that you had said? Give me some. You are a newspaperman, I want specific problems.

MR. COYLE: Lack of eduction opportunities, mostly.

We have on our staff 15 people who are graduates or have been students at the University of Montana. We draw heavily on the J School here. I think they have probably two or three minority people in their entire J School enrollment now; and

I think if an effort was made for the educational systems to attract and make it possible for more of minority people to receive a background in journalism and training in journalism, it would be much easier for us --

MR. MURDOCK: But you are putting the burden and responsibility on the School of Journalism.

MR. COYLE: -- to bring them into the actual newspaper field.

MR. MURDOCK: The responsibility you are putting on the School of Journalism because they don't accept and turn them out.

MR. COYLE: We have to have trained people.

MR. MURDOCK: I realize that. I have never been to a school of journalism. I have been a news director at two radio stations, and an anchorman at a television, and a newspaper editor.

MR. COYLE: You are unusual.

MR. SIEGEL: There are exceptions.

MR. MURDOCK: I understand that, but I don't think there has to be exceptions. I don't believe that I'm an exception, necessarily, simply because I have had some opportunities that other Indian people have not had; but it's up to people like you in the hiring role that have got to take a chance

on people in this country and got to take a chance on Indian people.

MR. SIEGEL: And it's up to all of us to take a chance, whether it's a school system, or political system, or the institutions, and Reservations, and Indians.

MR. MURDOCK: The questions you should be asking yourselves and your staff in a rhetorical sense is why we do not have Indian newspaper reporters on our staff.

MR. SIEGEL: We asked the question, and we also asked the question: What are the problems of minorities, and whatever the problems, properly present those problems to our readership; and we think we do that.

MR. MURDOCK: The problems that Indian people will ask you are what's the problem with your newspaper.

MS. PETERSON: Mr. Murdock, this will come up again, I think, this afternoon; and I do appreciate your comments.

Our 15 minutes are up. Now, I know that there are two more of you who have something to say. I hope these are statements rather than questions. If they are, I will guarantee you both

a chance to express these opinions this afternoon.

MR. WINGATE (Missoula, Montana): This is a question.

MS. PETERSON: Of specifically one of these gentlemen?

MR. WINGATE: Of you.

MS. PETERSON: All right, but we have got to give our workshop people some time to go on.

MR. WINGATE: Jim Wingate. I wonder if you and your committee plan to extend an apology to these people who have come from all over the state under the guise of participating in a conference with a dialogue, which to me is nothing more than a tribunal, a trial, with many questions to which the answers are already known and with many speeches, protests, and all that diatribe which makes this whole thing a farce.

MS. PETERSON: I think that was a statement rather than a question.

MR. WINGATE: The question was: Are you going to apologize?

MS. PETERSON: I would suggest that if anyone thinks an apology is due, they ask. Do any of you feel that way?

(No response.)

MS. PETERSON: All right, thank you very much. We will go to our workshop sessions now. You all know where they are.

WORKSHOP SESSION C

Training Programs and Minorities

BY RAY MURDOCK

MR. MURDOCK: I have a few paragraphs that
I am going to read, which is in connection with the
program that I'm now the project director of in
Duluth, Minnesota. The project that I am currently
involved with, and there are some brochures out
in the hall, is called Motivation Through
Communication. I'm working with an urban Indian
organization in Duluth called the Duluth Indian
Action Council. Motivation Through Education is a
program funded under Part B of Title 4 of the
Indian Education Act under the Office of Education.

Part of what we have done over the last several years is begin a training program for Indian students, and it doesn't necessarily mean that the student has to be young, or in high school, or of college age. But the few paragraphs that I'm going to read are part of the proposal that we submitted to the Office of Education, trying to define better some of the problems the Native

American has in the media generally.

"Hollywood and the electronic media played a major part in characterizing the Indian as savage, void of culture, and bent on the destruction of the white pioneers who moved across the land under the mandate of manifest destiny.

"The familiar sight of the valiant wagontrain and its passengers being surrounded by whooping painted Indians is a legend to most Americans just as is the sight of the Western hero then riding to the rescue with six guns blazing and killing 15 Indians with one shot. That was the ever popular 25-shot six gun used by many a Hollywood good guy. While that characterization seems exaggerated to some, it's an image that America has grown up with since the winning of the West was captured on film.

"Not only must Hollywood share the
burden of responsibility for the portrayal of the
Indian in less than true light, the news media
must acknowledge its part in presenting the
Indian in mostly negative terms. As shown in a
local survey -- one that I'll discuss a little
more -- Indian news or viewpoints occupy very little
time on the air compared with the tens of thousands

of hours broadcast every year on radio and television.

When a news item does find its way to the front,

it's usually about a white and Indian confrontation,

scandal in tribal government, or that the Indians

are making demands on a governmental agency.

"With the organization of the Indian in the 1950s, an entirely new set of circumstances befell those who made the move from Reservation life to city life. With no job skills, little education, and unaccustomed to the confusion and frustration of an urban center, the Indians, for the most part, joined the growing number of blacks, the poor, and the unemployed of the inner city. In 1975 about half the population of the state, referring to Minnesota, lives in the urban area.

"While Minnesota mandated equal educational opportunity for all children, urban schools were no more successful than the rural districts in providing education for Indian children. You will seldom find an Indian child or his or her parents who do not recognize the value of education, but cannot accept it on alien terms. Thus, a skyrocketing dropout rate nationwide, compared with the disproportionate number of young Indian suicides, increased Indian population in penal institutions, and

unemployment second to none across the country.

Education, whether in a formal setting or vocational, is seen by many Indian people as a means of overcoming those problems which engulf their everyday lives. It's a way to break out poverty; on the other hand, explore the rich cultural heritage of the Native American people.

"The partial thrust of the MTC program, as we call it, or Motivation Through Communication is to portray the Indian in a positive manner and show the non-Indian community that all Indians do not receive government checks, they are not all lazy, they are not all drunkards. It's a program designed to project educational, employment, entertainment, or social information to the Indian; thus making them better informed and better able to take advantage of opportunities, or having a meaningful input into programs, projects, and activities that affect their daily lives.

"Another aspect of our program is to create semi-skilled craftsmen who will have the employability to work in the mass media and perhaps inject a fresh viewpoint into news programs, editorials, art work, or photography. These skilled Indian craftsmen will be trained to create and

interpret events of the day with camera or the pen, using this skill to help educate their people.

Motivation deals with the need for educational motivation among Indian people, the need for multi-media publications directed toward the Indian community, and provide vocational direction and guidance for the Indian oriented toward the media and mass communication skills.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Part of how we in the Duluth Indian Action Council and our group define mass communication in relationship to the Indian is that mass communication can have several meanings, but in terms of Motivation Through Communication it means the transmitting of information between Indian groups, organizations, and individuals. Historically, the gathering of information throughout the Indian community has been piecemeal, and it's only through the sometimes misquoted, misinterpreted moccasin telegraph that the Indian has been able to gain knowledge which will benefit him directly. Oftentimes misinformation transmitted this way can create a poor understanding among the Indian and non-Indian, reinforcing invalid stereotypes. The Indian parent who does not become involved in the system is excluded from knowledge, and the Indian student who

does not participate or regularly attend school is also excluded from programs that could assist him or her in furthering personal goals.

"The old saying 'What he doesn't know can't hurt him' simply can't be tolerated in the Indian community. It's acknowledged that the media has the powerful influence on the thinking of Americans is certainly no different in the Indian community. This communication will work to establish a feeling of self worth and unity among Indian people and provide a vital informational link."

So, that's the reading part of it.

journalism whether you work in newspaper or television or whatever, and in the training program that we have is that we can ask the five Ws that are asked in journalism classes all over, the who, what, where, why, and when. First of all, we look at who; and that is the target population, is who are these training programs to be for? Who can you work with; what population do you have? Does someone here know roughly what the Indian population of Montana is? Any idea at all, but in close terms.

MR. BIGHORN: About 30,000.

MR. MURDOCK: Thirty thousand, roughly, in the state. There are probably between 30,000 and 40,000, I would guess, in the State of Minnesota; so we have roughly the same population.

In a population of this size, I have heard, and I really don't want to get back on to the panel that was just here, because I get a little depressed when I hear those kind of statistics and that kind of thinking to an extent, is that when there are that many Native Americans, Indian people, living in any state or any area, there are going to be news events which occur; and I had challenged not only that panel, but all the Indian people and non-Indian people in the state to say when is that problem going to be rectified? When are Indian people going to be employed by the media?

Myself and about, I would guess, less
than ten other people in the entire United States
are on the air regularly on any television program.

I have a television program that I do in Duluth,
Minnesota, which is a half hour every Sunday
provided by one of the local television stations
there. One of the ladies also at the conference,
Harriet Skye, has a half hour twice monthly that she

broadcasts on KFYR in Bismarck. And there are those type of examples all over the country where Indian people have come to participate in the media; but as you can see, and I believe that it would hold true in the State of Montana I don't know of any Indian newsmen, any Indian staff people in radio and television stations.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Part of the training program that we are looking at, and admittedly and unfortunately we are a very small program. We are at the end of our second year in the Motivation Through Communication program, and we probably have, I would quess, have about 20 students. The majority of them have been in high school and are still in high school, but we believe that we can begin working there so that when that Indian boy or girl, young man and young woman, get out of high school, that they can make some kind of decision as to whether or not they want to go into the media in any aspect of it full time; because we deal in 16 millimeter motion picture, sound and silent film, shooting specifically television film. deal with radio broadcasting, some kind of voice instruction and speech training. We also deal in Indian journalism, which is again a newspaper that I put out there called Indian Viewpoint; and we deal also in photo journalism, in black and white still photography and some of the skills involved with that.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We cannot, again, unfortunately, turn out super skilled craftsmen in the time we have or in the amount of resources that we have; because simply there is not enough money to do it. But all we are trying to do is get Indian people interested in the media, involved in the media, and hoping to see if they can, whether it be go back to other urban areas or go back to Reservation areas and become involved with their own people on that extent. Also, even if while they are involved in our program, if they find another educational opportunity totally irrelevant to the media and they pick that out, if they happen to be working with us or anything like that at all, if they find a medical program that they might want to become involved in, higher education, any of that, then we have succeeded in doing our jobs; because then that has motivated somebody into some other kind of an educational skill. It doesn't make me mad when students find something else to do and drop out of my program. I'm not that possessive of them,

because I think that it's important that they discovered through our program the information that would allow them to do that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The number of Indian people that we have worked with have ranged in age from about 12 or 13 up to about their mid-20s or late 20s, and there have been several other Indian people older than that who we have helped establish some oh-Reservation news letters and things of this kind. They have come to our Indian Center in Duluth, and we have worked with them simply showing them layout design, perhaps how to take pictures better, different things like this on a several week or even a several month kind of crash course. They simply come in when they can and do the job that they can. Ours is, as well as I think, many training programs dealing with Indian people, has got to be very flexible. It must be flexible in terms of time, terms of resources, in terms of the Monday through Friday kind of business, the 8:00 to noon and 1:00 to 4:00, and so on and so forth; because not only in dealing with the Indian population, but also dealing with news events themselves which occur. Because, take for example, in early January, January 1st, when the Menomonee

Indians occupied Gresham, Wisconsin. That's a situation that went on for a number of weeks, one which could not be necessarily predicted from day to day. We had some of our reporters go down there and cover it. News events simply don't occur on time. They don't occur necessarily on early Monday morning so that you can get away by 4:00 in the afternoon; so it also has to be flexible as far as that's concerned.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Anybody who begins a training program in the media for Indian people must be aware, also, about urban and Reservation Indians. Many Indian people across the country will deny that there are any differences between the Indian on the Reservation and the Indian who lives in the urban area, but there are some very specific differences. There are differences in terms of transportation, where you live, how you live, relatives that are there, personal pressures, family pressures and so on and so forth. There are simply a number of ways that those things occur, so if you happen to be working in media on the Reservation, it's going to demand different things of you than it would if you were working in an urban area. Also, those people who are going to set up that kind of system

must be aware of those differences.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Also, in some very specific areas, for instance, anything to do with the broadcast media where Indian people are going to be davelyed involved in talking to people, in being on the air, is that some of the experiences which I have had at two television stations which are I had a number of conversations with program directors who found or who told me in kind of an aside way, is that they have a lot of difficulty with having a long-haired Indian walking around where the public could come in and see them. Where if that Indian boy had his hair wrapped and braided, they weren't particularly happy about seeing him out front in the lobby. He had to be in the composing room of a newspaper. He had to be a pressman that you could hide. He had to be a janitor that you could put downstairs. He couldn't be on the air. couldn't have an accent that many Indians know as a Reservation accent. He couldn't have that. had to be almost Bostonian for an accent before they could let him on the air. He had to have all of the kind of grammar, all of the English, all of the poise, and all of the dignity which comes with being a non-Indian in many cases.

The gentleman up here explained to me earlier, I guess it was an explanation, that I was an exception to Indian journalism and that there were Indian people across this country who because they were in the broadcast media were an exception. And again, as I explained to them, I don't think I'm an exception simply because my English might be more understandable than others. It's certainly not as good as others. I have a certain amount of skill in writing, or reporting, or whatever; but it's simply because I had, as well as a very, very limited number of other people, have had some opportunities presented to me which don't occur for everyone. But I think that in having a quick look, and let me just show you something in one area, is that in the City of Duluth, Minnesota, and Superior, Wisconsin, which is just across the bridge in Wisconsin, there are four television stations and seven radio stations. The hours broadcast per day on both radio and television is 210 hours; hours broadcast per year, 76,650 hours of broadcast. The total number of employees in all of these stations is 252. The number of minority employed is 8, and at this point because of some leaving and because others were more or less

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

17

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

trainees that is now down to about three.

Ŧ

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hours of minority broadcasting, this is per year, 26 hours; and the 26 hours is the half hour per week which I do on Indian Viewpoint it's called; and it's a half hour every Sunday at noon between Wrestling and Issue and Answers, which is darn good time. You see, they do that. Television and radio stations will do that to Indian programming all over the country. They will give you between 6:00 and 6:30 on Sunday morning. They have then, according to Mr. Monagas, again I don't question his integrity or the rules that he represents from the Commission, but I do question the licensee; I do question the radio and television stations who think that they can fulfill their public service commitments by putting the Indian programming early on Sunday morning, or someplace on Saturday morning, or at a time period when they know damn well that nobody's listening. They have demographic projections for all age groups, sexes, housewives, mechanics, and everything else you can name; and they will put Indian programming in a place generally where no one sees it. They then have the opportunity three years later to go to the Federal Communications requirement. See, and that's what I as well as a number of other Indian broadcasters across the country want to change.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In looking at the five Ws that we talked about a minute ago in the who and the what and the where, is what are you going to do in terms of the training program, if that's what you want to set up. There has to be some administration involved, and that's with any program. There is bureaucracy involved in a good training program. Who you get to run, or as far as instructors, and kind of instruction that you offer in any training program. There are many people, whether they be Indian or non-Indian alike, when we began the training program in Duluth is that I was concerned first of all of bringing Indian people into the program and offering the best kind of skill the best craftsmen that I knew how, Indian or non-Indian; but I simply thought that whether it be in the community of Duluth or the surrounding area, that there are people who are non-Indian who I have worked with and because it was my intent and the intent of the Duluth Indian Action Council to turn out the best qualified people we can on the

must have a journalism major or a journalism student
who has a degree in journalism or a ten-year
newspaperman who is an Indian to come in and
instruct; because I think you can find skilled people
all over the country.

It's my opinion that first of all for Indian people to become involved in it, they must be skilled; and I don't argue with qualifications in any of those fields; but I do believe that Indian people can be Indian as well as being skilled; but they must get the skill first. If they want to go out and be Indian after that, fine; but I think that if there are jobs in radio, television, newspapers, any of these places, that they have to be qualified.

I was talking with a lady earlier that, say, five or six or seven years ago it was very much in fashion and in vogue for television stations all across the country to put a black face on television as a sportsman. They didn't give a damn whether that sportsman could speak at all, as long as they had a face, as long as they had a black face in the sports department. It was then easier for that radio station or television station to then

say to the Federal Communications Commission or any kind of equal opportunity employer group to say, "We tried black people. We tried Indian people, and they didn't work; because they simply couldn't handle the job. They couldn't handle it, because they weren't skilled. People would write us letters and say that sportsman doesn't know what he's talking about." So, that was an easy out for them. They would hire one or two blacks. They might hire an Indian or two. They would probably say, "Here is an Indian guy who looks really Indian. We can put him up front. We can make sure that people see him when they come into the station on a tour. We can make sure that every so often his name is mentioned,"and so on and so forth, thereby lessening their responsibility to the community at large."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

minority people in the media has to do with making them responsible, skilled individuals; and I don't like tokenism no matter how it comes about. I don't believe that Indians have to accept tokenism, but I think that if you have to start somewhere, then you have to start with that; but I think that as the image of the Indian builds across the country in

Arrana &

a responsible way instead of simply having to deal with our causes and our problems, that there are easier — not easier, but better ways to present the image of the Indian in this country. Part of it is responsibility on the part of the Indian community and more specifically on the non-Indian employers in the media.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When we talk about where as far as training programs are concerned for Indian people, is that they can be located almost anywhere, in urban areas in Montana, on Reservation areas that perhaps tribal governments might want to set up, anyplace that there is a building, and you do have to have some equipment. You cannot set up training journalists or photo journalists or people who would use their voice to broadcast or any of these things, you cannot train them unless you have equipment to do it; and in many cases it's an expensive proposition; and there has got to be a commitment of resources somewhere. Then again, that leaves it up to many of your own devices as to how you get it, whether it be through a federal grant, private foundation, universities, television, radio, newspaper, training programs, and so on and so forth; but in any of these programs Indian people, minority people must have input into those programs.

To non-Indian people who would set up programs,

don't you dare start one without any input of the

minority community you are trying to serve; because

if the local community doesn't get you, somebody

else will.

And also, you talk about the why for training programs for minority people. Part of that we touched on earlier, because of the stereotypes of Indian people and minority people around the country. During the 1960s the only thing you saw reported about blacks was who marched in Selma, Alabama, with Martin Luther King. In the 1970s all you heard and saw about who occupied the Bureau of Indian Affairs Building in Washington, the occupation of Wounded Knee, the Menomonees in Gresham, Farmington, and so on and so forth, it all had to do with confrontation. It all had to do with problems and causes, and we all know that. And I ask all journalists and all people who have to do with hiring and programming and everything is that why is it necessary only to report those things? There are many, many other things.

(Audience participant raised his hand.)
MR. MURDOCK: Yes.

1

Z

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. DUNHAM(Great Falls, Montana): I'm

Ken Dunham from Great Falls, KFBB television news

director.

You are covering a lot of areas here.

MR. MURDOCK: I have to.

MR. DUNHAM: Can we have a chance to respond to a few of these things as you go through them?

There are a couple things you brought up that I feel are inadequate. Can I do that?

MR. MURDOCK: Sure. I have to ask the girl how much time we have left before we break up.

MR. I DUNHAM: Ten minutes or so, but I think there are a couple things that I would like to respond to.

MR. MURDOCK: All right, why don't you go ahead now, and then some of the other ones we'll save for later.

MR. DUNHAM: One thing that you stated is you say that Indian affairs public programming you objected to the time slots that are given.

I agree. As a news director for a TV station, most public affairs programming is relegated to fringe times.

I do a farm program that runs at five mintues to 6:00 every morning, and farming is

probably the major business of Northcentral Montana. So, that's not a problem just for Indians. It's for any public affairs programming.

MR. MURDOCK: All right, but you see, Indian people and farmers and blacks and all of these kind of minority problems that exist, why are they relegated to those times?

MR. DUNHAM: Because --

MR. MURDOCK: If I were you, who ran a farm program, I'd object to that. If I was a farmer, I'd object to that.

MR. DUNHAM: Well, the program, the name of the game on television is making money.

MR. MURDOCK: Is money, I know that.

MR. DUNHAM: It's entertainment, and people are not generally that interested, or they don't appear to be that interested; and that's a fact of life.

MR. MURDOCK: But you know, as well as -MR. DUNHAM: Those of us in news don't
like it. We'd like to change it.

MR. MURDOCK: Let me respond. You know as well as I do that the application -- and I have been a television newsman for about seven years now and worked in a number of television stations --

I know that when you deal in that situation as far as time and as far as money and all of those things like that, is that we are dealing with public airways and the public trust. And that when you deal with public airways, then you better respond to the public. Then the station, all stations, and you have dealt with your general manager; I have dealt with general managers who always talk about overhead, who always talk about cutting costs. They realize that somewhere along the way the public interest is lost for the sake of money.

MR. DUNHAM: I think that's a general problem --

MR. MURDOCK: For the sake of money?

MR. DUNHAM: Right.

MR. MURDOCK: Then that's something that should be corrected.

MR. DUNHAM: Well, fine. I think that if you can get people to watch the program, I certainly welcome any ideas that you have other than sensationalizing events.

Now, you mentioned the problem of covering of Indian news. I'd like to just speak for a moment to you about the situation of Great Falls. I'm not sure you are aware of it.

The Great Falls coverage area for the two Great Falls television stations includes at least three Indian Reservations, the Blackfeet, the Rocky Boy, which is a Chippewa-Cree, and also the Assinniboine Reservation. We also, in Great Falls, have a large number of Landless Indians around the area. I don't know; the numbers vary; 700 to maybe 1,500 to 2,000 people at various times. It's a very difficult problem for news people to get responsible information from the Indian communities. They don't talk. If you have ever dealt with any --

MR. MURDOCK: Try an Indian reporter.

MR. DUNHAM: All right, then we get into a matter where the reporting becomes extremely objective.

MR. MURDOCK: Not necessarily.

MR. DUNHAM: We have had --

MR. MURDOCK: You are able to get Indians then who report Indian stories as always being subjective.

MR. DUNHAM: I have a gentleman who works for me who happens to be the president of the local JC organization. I certainly wouldn't send him out to cover a story on the JCs. The same would go for

the Indians.

MR. MURDOCK: I covered the occupation of Wounded Knee, and Menomonee, Wisconsin, Gresham, and the American Indian Movement, and Indian events all over the country for a number of television and news services; and I have never been advised that I was being biased and subjective.

MR. DUNHAM: I would like to see the reports and make my own determination.

MR. MURDOCK: All right.

MR. DUNHAM: In Great Falls at the present time there is a group within the Indian community who charges police brutality. They have come to the local newspaper; they have come to both TV stations; they have come to the radio station, claiming police brutality, prejudice against Indians.

Okay. We would be interested in doing stories on this. I think it's generally agreed among us in the news media that we have a problem in determining who is a responsible spokesman, spokesperson within the Indian community to make these charges. Somebody walked into my office three weeks ago and said, "The police are discriminating against us Indians." Okay. I want facts; I want incidents. I don't get them; and I

just get blanket condemnation of the police department in Great Falls.

Z

MR. MURDOCK: Then you should have talked to that gentleman then about what specific charges and did he have anything to back them up.

MR. DUNHAM: He did not, and I said, "I'm not going to do a story, because you don't have anything to back it up."

MR. MURDOCK: Fine, I believe I would have done the same thing; but I think if you are talking about looking for an Indian spokesman in the Indian community, that would be like my coming to you and asking you if you want to be a white spokesman for the white community. You can't do it, nor can I.

MR. DUNHAM: That's not right. That's --

MR. MURDOCK: That is true, because when

I have been on the air on news stories as say,

for instance, in responding to a certain question,

I have seen the label supered across the bottom

of me as Indian spokesman. I'm not an Indian

spokesman.

MR. DUNHAM: Well, if you represent a particular Indian group, you might be considered a spokesman.

MR. MURDOCK: But not necessarily. Simply

because I speak on behalf of Indians in some cases, does not label me as an Indian spokesman.

MR. DUNHAM: I won't argue that point.

Maybe you can help me with a problem.

KFBB television in Great Falls along with, I think,

just about every other TV station in Montana has

engaged in rigorous recruitment programs to hire

minorities. Now, the predominant minority in

Montana is Indians; so therefore, we are attempting

to hire Indians.

Now, we have in Great Falls at our station, I can't speak for any of the other ones, we have had some real problems; and I think, not being a sociologist, but I think they are cultural problems. Now, how do we get around this? The problems that we have had with the Indian workers at our station: No. 1, getting to work on time and every day. Now, when the news starts at 5:30, it starts at 5:30; it doesn't start at 5:37 or 5:39 or whenever the person feels like getting there and turning on the lights and the camera.

We have had a problem with language difficulties, both the spoken and the written. We had an Indian woman who worked for us at one time. She's been gone for about six months now.

Terminated, because she didn't come to work for three days. But we attempted to use her on the weather. We thought that was an easy place for her to start. It was something that she didn't have to -- she could talk.

MR. MURDOCK: You mean standing in front of a camera doing the weather?

MR. DUNHAM: We worked with her off camera and on camera. We used her on camera, and we had people who had a very, very difficult time understanding her because of the Reservation accent.

MR. MURDOCK: Right. These problems that --

MR. DUNHAM: That's a disservice to our viewing audience to put a person on the air who they can't understand.

MR. MURDOCK: And it's a disservice to the woman to put her on the air when she talks like that or simply not being understandable.

MR. DUNHAM: Where do we find the person who can talk?

MR. MURDOCK: Well, I think that out of 30,000 Indian people in the State of Montana, there has got to be a woman or man who qualifies to work at your station.

MR. DUNHAM: We have looked. If you can find -

MR. MURDOCK: Do you think that I would 1 qualify to work at your station as anchorman? 2 MR. DUNHAM: Yes, you would. 3 MR. MURDOCK: Because I have done it before 4 and have experience? 5 MR. DUNHAM: I think you would probably 6 7 cost us more than we are willing to pay. MR. MURDOCK: I'm sure I would. 8 MR. DUNHAM: Yes, you would. 9 MR. MURDOCK: There was a question. 10 11 MR. BROWN (Helena, Montana): Raymond Brown from the Human Rights Bureau. 12 Contact Bob Swan of the Native 13 American Studies at the University of Montana. 14 has two graduates in media and TV. 15 Thank you, Ray. 16 MR. MURDOCK: We can expect them on the air next week. 17 No, you won't. 18 MR. DUNHAM: 19 Well, maybe the week after. MR. MURDOCK: 20 MR. DUNHAM: Just one more thing. I'm 21 not sure if you said this or not, but did you say 22 that you would put an Indian newssegment within 23. Did you advocate putting Indian news news? 24 within the content --

MR. MURDOCK: No, not necessarily segregating

25

it that way. No, not at all. I think that when we are talking about covering human events that you cover, whether it be black or Indian or Mexican or anything else, is that they are simply people who make news.

1

2

3.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Several years ago the Associated Press in Minneapolis, when Senator Humphrey was being challenged in the Senate by Erl Craig, who was a black man from Minneapolis, Associated Press continually referred to Erl Craig, and not even being necessarily relevant in the story, referred to him as Minneapolis black. And I phoned them one night, and I said, "I would like to question you about your material that you have on Senator Humphrey and also about Erl Craig, " because the stories that they were running at the time, the majority of Minnesotans who were familiar with the Humphrey-Craig Senate race knew that Erl Craig was black. You couldn't look at a picture of him without seeing that the man was black. working as a newsman at a television station then, and I said, "If you are going to continually refer to Erl Craig as a Minneapolis black, please refer to Senator Humphrey as a Waverly white." That same night they dropped using that kind of language.

I'm talking about that very subtle kind of influence. The gentleman who spoke from Associated Press who talked about an incident in Cut Bank about an Indian person being hanged under strange circumstances, referring to him as an Indian. If these same strange circumstances existed, and a non-Indian was hanged in that same jail, would he be referred to as a white or a Caucasian?

MR. BROWN: No. I think he qualified that

1.2

MR. BROWN: No. I think he qualified that statement. He said that statement was made in the context that there were racial overtones; and I happen to know --

MR. MURDOCK: Tell me about that incident.

MR. BROWN: Well, I don't know the whole story, but I'm saying we are looking at the story; and there are racial incidents and racial overtones in that story.

MR. MURDOCK: I don't understand.

MR. BROWN: All right, the story is --

MR. MURDOCK: Did the deputy hang the Indian? Did an inmate hang the Indian?

MR. BROWN: Well, nobody is sure at this point. The man's family claims that he was taken to the jail, and I can't remember the charge; I

think it was drunkeness. He was taken to the jail.

He was later found hanged with a belt. His family claimed the man didn't own a belt, and the accusations have been made by Indian groups in Great Falls, I think in Helena, also; and also from the Reservation that there are racial overtones; and in that context the man should be identified as being an Indian. And that's the only reference that was made to the man. I don't think it was made in a derogatory manner. It was made as a point of information that this man, an Indian, was hanged in the jail.

(Audience participant raised his hand.)
MR. MURDOCK: Yes, sir.

MR. KILLMER(Missoula, Montana): Mr. Murdock, I just have a comment, and it doesn't necessarily need to be part of the record.

MR. MURDOCK: It has to be.

MR. KILLMER: Alliright, my name is
Wayne Killmer. I'm from Missoula, a recent arrival
in Montana.

I am a little bit frustrated by the last 35-minute presentation. You are charged with the responsibility of giving us information on training programs and minorities.

MR. MURDOCK: Yes.

MR. KILLMER: I'm not sure that it's relevant as to coverage style of news stories has really no relevance to training of minorities.

MR. MURDOCK: "I think it has to do with who covers those stories.

MR. KILLMER: You and I have a different opinion on that point.

MR. MURDOCK: Surely.

MR. KILLMER: But I feel frustrated in that I came to hear you today to learn of these programs, and you have yet to my satisfaction let us know how a program like you have in Duluth could be established in Montana. I think there is a need for it.

MR. MURDOCK: I couldn't agree more.

MR. KILLMER: I would like to have more information from you on where broadcasters, both radio and television as well as the printed news media, would have an opportunity to find, using your terms, those that are qualified to begin, even if only in an apprenticeship position. We have talked of many things in this last 35 or 40 minutes, none of which have addressed themselves to the very salient point of how dowe, as those who are

charged with the responsibility of seeing that minorities of every type of minority is represented fairly and adequately, and we have a desire to do so, where do we find qualified, beginning apprenticeship members of the various Indian tribes of Montana to begin working in these areas?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MURDOCK: You are not necessarily going to find them, because the training programs for Indian people, whether it be in Montana or Minnesota or anywhere else, have not been established, totally new. It's up to people like you and the other people who have a responsibility to hire people to institute those at your radio station, television station, newspaper station. If you want to find out how to do it, is that you also, as I mentioned earlier, is that you cannot and should not set up any kind of training program. You have the expertise in terms of journalism and perhaps the broadcast media, that I don't know; but then you turn around and you go to the tribal organizations; you go to the urban organizations; and say here is the kind of program that I would like to help institute, or with your help institute at this television station or this newspaper. have to work with the local organizations.

MR. KILLMER: Part of my frustration has to have been by your statement there, that we have to obviously be involved in the training programs.

We are prepared and willing to do this --

MR. MURDOCK: You must be.

MR. KILLMER: But there is a very important point that must be answered, and that is: At what level do we have to become involved to even get people that are interested in continuing into a program that would qualify them to begin on an apprenticeship level and actually perform some of the tasks and the functions that we need done within the day to day activities.

MR. MURDOCK: At what level would you have to become involved?

MR. KILLMER: Yes.

MR. MURDOCK: At the very beginning level as well as the organization that you are dealing with, is that all you have to -- I don't know what position you hold --

MR. KILLMER: I'm the general manager of a television station.

MR. MURDOCK: Okay.

MR. KILLMER: Perhaps I should phrase it a little differently. Again, I'm learning from you

at this time, and I'm certainly not trying to create an adversary situation. I'm just anxious to get answers.

whereby minorities in general would have opportunities to get into broadcasting and into the news media or whatever phase of interest their interest might bring them to, you tell me that we have to begin at the beginning level. Well, obviously the most — based on many years of experience in broadcasting, the area where most minorities would prefer to be involved would be that which has the highest public image; and that is on camera and television or wherever in broadcasting.

MR. MURDOCK: No, I think that's a wrong assumption.

MR. KILLMER: Well, experience has taught me otherwise.

MR. MURDOCK: I have some experience there, also.

MR. KILLMER: I know you have, and perhaps we have a difference of opinion there, too.

MR. MURDOCK: Okay.

MR. KILLMER: Now, based on that, and perhaps

my -- you feel that I am not right, and I feel
perhaps --

MR. MURDOCK: No, I'm just saying that if your image or if your idea is that you want an on-camera Indian, if that's what you are looking at doing, in all phases of broadcasting, perhaps Mr. Bighorn could be of some help to you in terms of employment opportunities.

MR. KILLMER: I'm sure that he will be able to --

establish contact with people like Mr. Bighorn,
with urban organizations within your own city.

Call them and say, "Listen, I have some ideas about
a training program for minority people. Will you
come and talke to me about it?" It's a long
process. You are not going to be able to --

MR. KILLMER: I understand that, and I guess right now you are answering part of the frustration I have been sufferring for the last --

MR. MURDOCK: It is a long process, and you are now --

MR. KILLMER: You are now addressing yourself to what I thought was the subject of this which is how we really implement and get a program of this

nature started.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MURDOCK: It's a long process. are no Indian people that I know of, for instance, that I have been involved with in broadcasting or in the media at all that expect to be a radio broadcaster, that expect to be a television newsman, commentator, analyst, any of those things without training, without guidance, without any of the work and discipline that goes into it. I would never advise any Indian person or any minority person to go into a radio or a television station without the simple belief that there is a hell of a lot of work involved in it. There has got to be discipline involved in it. There has got to be getting to work on time involved in it. I know that. When I have to go on the air at 6:00 in the morning and do a newscast, I have got to be there on time. I have got to write the I have got to have all of those skills involved with it, but I didn't learn those the first day that I went to the job. The first day that I went on the air as a jock, I had never been on the air before; and that in any process, in any job, in any employment, it's difficult to do that. But all you have to do is show that kind of

willingness to accept responsibility for the trainees, for the skill that you have; because whatever you have, you can pass on. They can be Indian some other time, but if you give them some of the skill that you have and the other people in your news department and so on and so forth, or engineering, production, whatever, if you pass on some of that skill to them, they know about being Indians themselves. They can combine those two if that's what's necessary to do.

You had a question, Mr. Bighorn?

MR. BIGHORN: Yes. My name is Ernie Bighorn,
and I would like to respond to this gentleman's
question over here as to being frustrated.

T have been frustrated for almost 200 years, so I think, you know, I know --

MR. KILLMER: You have?

MR. BIGHORN: Well, I mean, our own people have, you know, and that's the point. We were frustrated as a group of people.

But I want to give you some names and perhaps some addresses in terms of, you know, willing to train some people for what you would like to do. Perhaps in Great Falls and so forth, I would like to give you some names of

organizations that you can contact if you are sincerely interested in training programs.

I think, first of all, you can contact all the colleges and universities here in Montana, and contact the journalism departments. They will give you some assistance there. And Montana United Scholarship Service in Great Falls can give you some help, and also Mr. Carl Gleadeau of Great Falls. And "Bill Meeko" in Billings, the Eastern Montana College in Billings, and there are a lot of organizations that are in kinds of training programs, but you have got to go out and find those things. That's why I was asking the question I asked today, you know, have you made an effort to locate —

MR. DUNHAM: Yes, for the record, we have.

We have contacted Mr. Carl Gleadeau that you

mentioned. He is contacted on a regular basis.

I have talked with Carl, I have known him for

years, many times by asking these same questions
that I asked you today.

MR. MURDOCK: Yes.

MR. DUNHAM: Frankly, how do we get Indians to work on time.

MR. MURDOCK: When we talk about -- there are

Indians who go to work on time.

MR. DUNHAM: I'm sure there are.

MR. MURDOCK: Harriet Skye --

MR. DUNHAM: But we have not had them. In the five and a half years that I have been at KFBB television, we have not had one Indian person trainee stay at that station more than seven months. Everyone of those people have left on under less than honorable circumstances.

MR. MURDOCK: That is part of the understanding MR. DUNHAM: We have tried to understand time and time again. The prime example, the worst example that we have had, we had a man who was 27 years old; he had two and a half years of college. He came to the station; he was walking to work, from a mile north of town, he either walked to work or somebody gave him a ride. He worked out great for four months. At that point, the station said, "This guy is doing well. We want to keep him here." We thought we'd start using him on the air, as a matter of fact. He was an extremely intelligent man.

They loaned him \$350 to buy a car. Straight, no interest, \$350 to buy a car. The next day he showed up in a 1961 Cadillac. Two

days later, it was payday; we haven't seen him or the Cadillac since.

MR. MURDOCK: But I can use examples, of course, of white people who have screwed up their job as well.

MR. DUNHAM: Right.

MR. MURDOCK: The point is that there has got to be on the part of news directors, on the part of program directors and general managers, there has got to be some compromise involved. There has got to be some understanding involved.

when I hear talk about Indian people who you talk about, Indian people involved in the media, because I have been in it, because I know some of the disciplines involved in it, I can understand the job that's required to be able to do it. When you talk about bringing someone new into your station who does not necessarily have all of the time skills, all of the grammatical skills, and reportorial skills, and all of that involved, then you have to be willing to do something about that. You have got to meet that person half way and not simply sit back and say, "We tried."

Because as long as you are dealing in public media, as long as your station carries a Federal

Communication Commission license, then you have to be willing to work in that context. I know a hell of a lot of white people who are drunks and who don't get to work on time and who are on unemployment. MR. DUNHAM: And we don't hire them. MR. MURDOCK: Well, you must have a very unique station then. (Applause.) MR. MURDOCK: Thank you very much. (Workshop Session C then concluded.) (Noon recess taken.) 12.

PANEL B

News from a Woman's Standpoint

MS. PETERSON: It's a real pleasure for me to introduce our first speaker of the afternoon, someone I have known for a long time and haven't seen for several years, and who is now very active in pursuing a career in several forms of journalism. I'll let her tell you what she's doing.

Harriet Skye.

MS. SKYE (Bismarck, North Dakota): Thank you,

My name is Harriet Skye, and I'm the supervisor of the Office of Public Information for the United Tribes Center in Bismarck,

Most of what I'm going to say today is real and is true. When we talk of Indians, American Indians in the media today, we must deal with some unpleasant realities. We did that to some extent this morning, but they are part of the story to be understood if we are to face issues squarely and be responsible in our task of meeting the needs of the racial and ethnic minorities in

our country today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

American Indians today are the most culturally and diverse of all minorities in our country. We speak over 260 languages. Many of our people do not speak English at all or prefer not to since conceptual differences are so great. After describing the aspects of the American Indian cultural differences a little, I'm not going to get into it very heavily, I would like to address the issues that are facing those of us in the media.

In order to understand these special needs of American Indians, it's necessary to become aware of the diversity of the culture, the languages, the values, and the belief systems of the 757 tribal, entities still vital in America today. The American Indians have always had a special relationship with the United States based on the The United States Government has Constitution. exercised plenary power over Indians for approximately 200 years. Indian tribes have tradionally been viewed by federal courts as a dependent, tributary nation possessed of limited elements of sovereighty and requiring federal protection. Congress has ultimately viewed tribes as sovereign political entities for as anarchisms which must be extinguished

or annihilated.

Indians today consist of 481 federally recognized tribal entities, 51 official approved organizations outside a specific statutory authority, and 225 traditional organizations having recognition without formal federal approval of their structures. Of approximately 750,000 American Indians in our country today, one-half live off the Reservations.

To help you understand one aspect of tribal diversity, I would like to personalize this narrative a little bit. I am an enrolled member Standing Rock Sioux Tribe. I was born on the Rosebud Indian Reservation in South Dakota, and I grew up on the Standing Rock Indian Reservation in North Dakota. Part of our Reservation, one-third of it, lies in North Dakota; two-thirds of it lies in South Dakota; I come from Sitting Bull's band.

I have learned two ways of life, yet

I prefer to follow the Indian way in my personal

life. I have attended schools and the churches

of Christian Worship. I have observed the operative

value systems of the predominant society and I

must say in all honesty that I reject those values;

because the Sioux values of compassion for the group, generosity, and a responsibility that human beings achieve a harmony with nature seem to be more important for the survival of us all. Christianity seems to be contradictory. It is difficult to understand the apostlitic nature of Christians. I know of no Indian religion that purports to be superior to another or that would force its beliefs on other people. Yet, the American Indian has always been a moral and ethical people; and our diverse belief systems and cosmologies are complex.

Indian women in the forefront of the women's liberation movement, and this is because we already have the respect of our people; and this respect deepens as we mature. This is not to say that we do not understand the struggle for equal pay and the rights of women, but that issues that face us today are critical. Our health, education, trying to fight for equality programs for our respective tribes, and all our energies are turned in that direction.

Among those quota of women, there is a category called the manly hearted woman. This

category of behavior expectation also exists among the Cheyenne Tribe, who are an ally of the Sioux.

Manly hearted women had a long preparation for their role. They were expected to achieve for their people and to perform acts of bravery, compassion, and generosity that was normally expected of men of the tribe. They were called upon particularly in times of battle, and when warriors would fall, they would rescue them on horseback and bring them back to safety.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

To make a modern translation of this role would mean that Indian women have the responsibility to protect the extended family by fighting for quality programs. Sometimes a means to accomplish this is to expose the dynamic and operational racism present in the various agencies, organizations, and institutions which impact upon the well being of Indian people. must, through the effective use of the media and editorializing, strive to expose and eliminate racial structures and behavior within these organizational systems, to understand and be aware. of the dynamic aspect and effects of these human service agencies and to help us all to devise alternative strategies.

Media? There are some that have been attempting to effect changes, some people, and Indian people I'm talking about, through the medium of television.

In retrospect, the television industry has probably done the most to stereotype American Indians from the very beginning. It is my desire to counteract three major problems in Indian Country in what I'm talking about in the television industry: A high American Indian unemployment rate, a disporportionatly small number of American Indians employed in the media, and the stereotype media portrayal of American Indians.

Our unemployment rate is much higher than the national average. Our statistics, all of our statistics, are much higher than the national average. We have a high of everything that's bad, the highest suicide rate, the highest unemployment rate, the highest dropout rate. It's a very grim picture. The regional picture does not change very much. In North Dakota where I come from we have four Reservations that are located within the boundaries of the state: The Standing Rock Sioux Tribe, the Fort Berthold Indian Reservation, the Fort Totten Sioux, the Turtle Mountain Chippewa,

and a small part of the Sisseton-Wahpeton Sioux, which is largely in South Dakota, although they are a member of the United Tribes of North Dakota. The Devils Lake Sioux Tribe, the employment rate is 61.3 per cent. The Standing Rock Sioux Tribe is 35.6 per cent. The Turtle Mountain Chippewa is 45.2 per cent. The three affiliated tribes, which is Fort Berthold, is 35.3 per cent, and the Sisseton Wahpeton Sioux is 60 per cent. These statistics are grim, and along with that, we have a wicious environmental, social, and economic cycle that in turn demoralizes each new generation.

There is a very small number of
American Indians in the media. The latest available
figures from the FCC indicate that 182
American Indians are working in both commercial
and non-commercial television stations in various
work capacities during the year of 1973. However,
even these figures may be misleading. A substantial
portion of these individuals are employed on a
part-time basis only. The FCC requires each
television station to file an annual report
indicating the number of racial minorities and
the number of women employed. Other groups have
discovered how few American Indians are employed in

the media. The American Indian Press conducted a survey in the fall of 1973, which found that 72 American Indians were employed in radio and television stations. A survey conducted by the Office of Public Information at United Tribes, under my direction, of the largest television stations in North Dakota, South Dakota, and Montana, shows that 586 people were employed. Of these 586 television employees, only 15 were American Indians. A survey conducted by the Indian Viewpoint in Duluth under Ray Murdock's direction found similar results. Of 252 radio and television employees, only six are Native Americans. The only half hour show of minority broadcasting was produced by one station in North Dakota. That's KFYR-TV, Channel 5, and that's the show that I do. The other stations found no time for any minority 2 broadcasting. Obviously, a greater number of American Indians must be utilized in media positions. Developing a skilled American Indian

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Developing a skilled American Indian media work force will also counteract this stereotype media portrayal of American Indians. Traditionally, the media, literature, newspapers, films, television, radio, and advertising has portrayed the American Indian in two ways, either

a blood thirsty savage or as a noble but simple child of the forest. There can be no excuse for the media to ignore the cultural damage effected by repetitious distortion of historical facts pertaining to a way of life of any race or creed, and including the American Indians.

One way that we might utilize to
eleviate some of this is for every major newspaper
and television station in Indian Country to apply
for the news releases that are written and done
by the American Indian Press in Washington. D.C.
The American Indian Press has an office there.
There is an executive director and two staff writers.
They are both Indians. They cover legislation;
they cover any and all of the grave issues that are
facing American Indians today.

As near as Tican determine, there are approximately seven American Indians in the United States and five in Canada that are currently handling their own television shows. By that I mean not necessarily television show, but I mean working in front of the camera. There is a lady in Seattle that does a daily news broadcast. There is a dedicated group working effortlessly to indicate that American Indians are not all on welfare; we do

not all receive a check every month because we are Indians; and we are not all drunks wandering around the street.

In my show, Indian Country Today, I absolutely refuse to deal with any type of negativism. I believe that as Indian people, we are born into a negative society.

The American Indian Press Association was formed in 1970 by Charles Trimble who is now the executive director of the National Congress of American Indians. The point of this is that there still is a very negative effect that television has. Our history many times is distorted. School children of all races are deprived of the facts and given distorted views in the curricula and through television; but I'm convinced, too, that as American Indians if those of us who are in television and are working with radio, can look sanely at our own past, if we can create more pride in American Indians as Indians, then we can begin to look at our commonalities as human beings.

The television show that I do is transmitted all over KFYR-TV, as I said before. It is sponsored by the United Tribes of

North Dakota and the "Meyer" Broadcasting System. Indian Country Today was born because a general manager of KFYR-TV was willing to take a chance on I have no journalism background. I have no television background. Up until the time that I did the first show in October of 1973. I had never been in front of the cameras before in my life... I felt in doing that show, and I'm looking right now for other Indians to train to do this, because I don't want it to go away if I should get sick and I'm looking for someone to train to do this kind of show. It's badly needed. Most of the mail that I receive, and I receive a lot of mail, comes from our non-Indian neighbors who are telling me we are very happy that you are doing this kind of thing. My attitude is changing. And that's what we are looking for. That's what I'm looking It's a very slow process when you deal with attitudes, but rather than go in swinging, I would rather do it this way if I can.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would like to think that Indian

Country Today is a variety show. We deal with,

as I said, issues. I have had Indian poets on. I

have had Indian editors, writers. I have had

the Lummi Indians on with their aquaculture project

from Western Washington. I have had Barney Old Coyote on, the president of the American Indian National Bank, Indians anywhere in this country who are in motion, Indian people who are doing things, people that are working, and there are a lot of them out there that are working. I have had college students on. We have talked about, you know, why do you want to go to college, which a lot of people have asked Indians. You know, what do you want to go to college for when you can stay home on the Reservation and get your check every We have discussed the problem of alcoholism. We have discussed the water rights issue, which is very grave at this moment. We have discussed Reservations, everything.

I want to go back and talk a little bit about some of the things we have faced. say America is in a depression right now. Well, I think Indian people have been in a depression and inflation for a long time, ever since the assimilation process began. Right now Indian people are experiencing strip mining attempts to control gasification on Reservations. Those of us in television, and I'm convinced of this, must give an accurate accounting of our history and what is

175

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

happening with us now. This side of the story hasn't been presented by expert Indians, just recently this has been happening. We have had a lot of Indian experts. Generally speaking, it has always been the other way around; and as the moderator of Indian Country Today, I have attempted to show our non-Indian neighbors that there are American Indians who are really doing positive kinds of things and that we are concerned with one another's well being.

It is the responsibility and the expectation of those of us in the Indian press to develop our skills as editors, journalists, and to project the true history of our people to strengthen our alliances with other newspapers throughout the country in an honest effort to create an atmosphere of mutual respect. Our future generations are at stake, so rather than ignore it and walk away from it, it should be our effort to use these tools for a positive portrayal of the American Indian as we know it. The blacks, the Jews, the Japanese, and various other minorities are now effectively using the media to reflect positive images of their culture. It is now time for the American Indian to do the same with our skills.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

One need look no further than the cruel portrayal of the American Indian in countless cowboy movies, or read our bad publicity to know the strength of the mass media. Our time is coming when the industry will recognize our talents and our spirits will be free again to be American Indians. There will be a time, and hopefully soon, when criticism will be met with understanding and when empty words will meet deaf ears.

I hope that some of these views have been of benefit to you, and I want to thank Helen and all the people that asked me to be here.

Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

ź.3

MS. PETERSON: Will you come back and sit with our panel. We'll make room so that when people wish to question this panel, if they also want to address some questions to Harriet.

If you don't have to leave, I wish you would join us up here, Harriet.

Our panel is composed of Montana
women journalists in the area of newspaper and
television; and our first panelist is
Betty Ann Raymond, Women's Editor of the Montana
Standard.

MS. RAYMOND (Butte, Montana): Thank you,

Good afternoon folks. I know I think

I feel a little bit like the villain on the

Perils of Pauline. I know I am going to be hissed.

I'm a women's editor.

I am really proud of being the women's editor at the Montana Standard. I like what I'm doing; I work very hard at it; and I'm given a great deal of responsibility; and I try very hard to live up to that responsibility. When I speak of responsibility, I mean to my boss, to our product, the Montana Standard, and to my sex, women. I am proud to be a woman. I don't think conferences or movements or militarism or anything else is ever going to do away with the sexes; and I'm very happy that I am a part of the women's half of the sex.

In our women's pages at the Montana
Standard we call them women's pages for the lack
of anything else to call them. I would just as
soon be called the women's editor as accent editor,
or people editor, or trend editor, or emphasis
editor. There has been much time and energy spent
on naming a few pages in any given newspaper to get

away from the terrible stigma -- women. I say balderdash. I submit that it doesn't make any difference how much content you have, or how many news segments have broken in any given day, you have a certain number of pages to be filled, so much white space; and all things, no matter how excellent, cannot possibly be placed on Page 1. I consider that if the content is good, if it is well done, well written, accurate, well edited, has good headline, it will be read if it's on the last page of the newspaper.

We also know that some people never read newspapers. We also know that we all have to gear our content to be relevant for all kinds of individuals, all races, all creeds. We can't possibly please the entire world at one time, so as I do my job every day, I hope that one day I'm pleasing one faction of my readership; and I hope another day I'm pleasing another faction of my readership.

I'm given quite a bit of responsibility and some authority. That word, I use rather loosely, because I have no staff. I have asked for a staff of one. Management knows I feel I need it; management agrees that I probably do need it; and

that's in the offing. In the meantime, I'll do the best I can. Now, I don't mean to imply that what's on the pages over which I am responsible that I'm the only one writing; but I have no one to which'I can give an assignment. It amazes me that the Montana Standard comes up with as fine a balance as we do with as little structured assigning as is done in the Montana Standard newsroom.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On the pages in Big Sky View, that's what we call our second section of the Montana Standard on Sunday, you will find many types of articles. We do things on such as a series in child abuse in depth. We have done many stories on alcoholism, and we have done individual stories on the alcoholism program relegated for the Indians in our community; because they particularly asked for a separate alcoholism program, separate alcoholism counselors, which they received. have done a series on divorce, the economic aspects of divorce, the psychological aspects of divorce. We had a story with great impact just a short time ago, a first person story on mental illness. have done many consumer stories. We do a lot in health, welfare, old age, the dealings with the

elderly, institutions. I would like to think that the Montana Standard perhaps even led the way in the state toward enlightening the public so that the legislature could no longer turn its back on the problems that are in our institutions in Montana today. I might say, too, some of our very finest stories exposing the needs in the institutions in our state today were placed on our so-called women's pages in the Big Sky View in Butte.

It is our business as editors to think of our product. Anything we put in the newspaper is to inform, to educate, to entertain, or it could be breaking news, or -- did I say educate -yes, I did -- to serve. And when you people laugh about stories about food or even recipes, this isn't laughable. You all eat, and food stories and recipes contain information, information that people, all people don't know about nutrition, about costs, about preserving foods, about storing It's an important service that people need; them. and if you happen to be well enough educated you don't need any such thing, it is not a laughing matter if a women's editor or an accent editor or the Queen of Sheba puts a food story on a piece of white paper.

oh, I won't either, not for a minute.

I want to say that in Butte and in the surrounding area, my readership area, we do not have a number -- we have a hell of a bunch of women, but we don't have a number of minority people. We have done some stories on blacks.

If I have a forte in my own writing, it's human interest. I have done several stories on black families. I have done one story on Indian-white marriage. I have never written a story on confrontation regarding an Indian or a black.

In Butte there is NAIL, North American Indian League. There is an active group, and one of the things they are trying to do, and it's a pilot program being done on government money, is Pride in Heritage, a program being brought into the Headstart classrooms and first grade classrooms to improve the image of the Indian children about their heritage. Someone earlier this morning said, I think it was Ray Murdock that said all we ever know about Indians, all we ever learned was what we heard through Hollywood; and of course, it's Hollywood and not valid; and these little Indian

children, that is what they have learned; and the NAIL in Butte is trying to offset that. This is a pilot program. If they deem it valid, it will be inaugerated in other places.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about in listening to Harriet Real Bird Skye. The thrust of what she was saying, a good share was that there is such a lack of communication between the white population and the Indian population. This is so true, and there is a heck of a lack of communication among the Indians themselves.

Not long ago, a couple years ago, I visited a buffalo jump in Montana, and I wanted to do an authentic story. I could look up -- I know what buffalo jump is, but I thought maybe I could get some authentic information regarding a buffalo jump; so I went to great length, believe me, many phone calls, and several personal interviews; and I got nothing. In fact, I finally got a rather rude kiss off: You reporters don't really want to know anything anyway, and so we're not going to tell you anything. That was a Billings Indian down there at Crow Agency. I went to the top through my Indian friends and acquaintances. went to the top, and this is what I got; and I said, "How do you expect us to improve the image, to paint a proper picture if when we ask a question, we get nothing?" Well, he didn't care to answer that. He didn't give a "tittle-ti-toot". So, this is only an isolated incident; but it isn't an isolated incident. We in the white community and all the minority groups are going to have to have a more feeling, a better feeling. We have got to try harder. People can't be trained, Indian groups can't be trained in the journalistic field until we have a feeling for each other.

Every day as a woman I am working on my own self-image, and I submit that all the minority groups must work on their self-images.

Thank you.

Ī

MS. PETERSON: Betty Ann is the moderator with the panel, so please go ahead and introduce the rest of the panel, Betty Ann.

MS. RAYMOND: I shall. These gals next to me here: Nedra Bloom, a reporter from the Missoulian here in Missoula; and Pam Swiger, who is a reporter-editor at the Montana Standard in Butte; and Carol Van Valkenburg, who is a reporter at the Missoulian.

I believe it will be Nedra who will

speak next.

MS. BLOOM (Missoula, Montana): My topic is the image of the woman news reporter, and I think one of the things you have to realize about image is that it's in the other person's mind and not your own. As far as I'm concerned, and I think this is true of the other women reporters I have talked to, in our jobs it's the same job whether I'm doing it or whether a man is doing it. The only time there is a problem is with the people that we are dealing with.

I think as women reporters we have all gone to a school board meeting or an airport board meeting or some meeting where the people were surprised that we knew what was supposed to happen, what we could ask, what we could sit in on, and what we could report about.

A lot of times you deal with men who sort of go "hi, sweetie," and try to put you in your place. You have to decide how you are going to deal with it and if you are going to be as hard hearted as a man would be in the same circumstances. Occasionally, you call a man on the phone and he'll say, well, I'm not going to tell you anything, but you sound like you're neat or something like that.

He'll presume that you're as nonprofessional as he's treating you and tell you a lot of things you might not have heard otherwise, because he figures you don't know enough to write about them; and it usually only happens once.

Sometimes women reporters have trouble with women's groups. I know that whenever someone comes to Missoula now, they try to arrange to have a woman cover the speech, presuming that they will get, I guess, better coverage that way.

I think that I have been quoted as saying that the Missoulian treats women reporters as something less than men, and let me preface this story with the fact that I did not say that; I don't think. But recently we had some brucellosis testing going on in Missoula County, and this story was sort of an outgrowth of my beat; and when it came time to decide who was going to go out and trapse around through the cow manure, they let me go. I think that might prove either way.

MS. RAYMOND: Thank you, Nedra.

These gals are members of Montana

Press Women. I'm very proud of them. As members

of Montana Press Women, we are an affiliate, one

of 36 in the National Federation of Press Women;

and not that it has a heck of a lot to do with anything, we are still press women. We have not changed the name. Montana has one male member, and the National Federation has 21 in about 3,500 members.

Pam.

MS. SWIGER (Butte, Montana): My topic is upward mobility, and it does exist; but it's not going to be handed to you.

I read a survey the other day with a lot of corporate executives who were women, and the conclusion of the survey was that women executives are just as power hungry, want just as much status and as much money as men. I think that you have to want power and money and what goes with it, position, self-esteem; and you have to be willing to work for that in order to move up in any organization whether it's the press, or a corporation, or what, even government, I suppose. Without working from within under your own power, you can't get anywhere. I believe that holds with men, too.

I don't really have too much to say about upward mobility, except that it can be had. I am a semi-example, or I like to use myself as what I want to speak on this topic. I was just

for five years with the Standard, and I got a big raise, not a really big raise, but enough to make it worthwhile for the hassle; and I really don't know if women, if any woman really likes the executive jobs, other than the money and the power and the esteem; because they get boring. Women have been put down for so long, or they have kept themselves down for so long, and as they are getting into these strongholds that males have held for centuries, and they don't know if they want to be there.

I have two questions that I would like to ask. I guess I can't ask one, because Harriet left; but I'll probably not get another chance to ask them.

I'd like to ask Ms. Peterson, this is kind of from this morning, but when you were the editor of the Hardin News, did you hire Indians?

MS. PETERSON: I was never able to find one in the reporter capacity, but I had two Indian printers.

MS. SWIGER: Thank you.

MS. RAYMOND: Thank you, Pam.

You know, it's interesting about the

various pendulum swings as far as women's pages are concerned. About five years ago is when the tremendous transition began in women's pages, and "Charlotte Curtis" of the New York Times spoke to a workshop at Missouri here a few months back and she said to the women's editors there: I think you girls should just go back to food and fashions and interior design, because you have just about done us in with incest and lesbianism and abortion and the pill and homosexuality and rape. This is true.

In a real effort, a valid effort to do away with irrelevant bluff that had been appearing for many decades on the women's pages, women's editors in some instances have panicked. They have lost their sense of direction; I am sure, and they are playing the heavy with all of these things about women, all of the social problems, just literally pouring it on.

I submit "Charlotte Curtis" I'm sure didn't really mean to go back to those three subjects, although, as late as five years ago those were the best read on the so-called women's pages. Those are the things that readers looked for the most. Well, I'm sure there are many, many people who are

definitely sick and tired of what's appearing in these various sections.

.4

At the Standard, I think we have a heavy emphasis on people, and so we do many feature stories on people, families, people who have never done anything that would put them on the pages as far as breaking news is concerned. They are not legislators; they are not businessmen; they are just little people, but they find their way into our pages by virtue of philosophy, of living an interesting decent life, by just being people. They are not always right; they are not always wrong; but they are like you and me. Our readers can identify with people. A heavy emphasis on family, a heavy emphasis on anyone who can read, a child, a man, or a woman.

MS. VAN VALKENBURG (Missoula, Montana): My topic was to be assignments, and I have changed my remarks some just from listening to the conference this morning. So, they may not follow in quite logical order, but they are things I think should be said.

As far as assignments go, I think if you want to talk about assignments and if you want

that official story, as it seems this conference really is, I mean, we have an official record; I'm not sure exactly what it will be used for, but anyway, 4f you want on official story about assignments, you should speak to the city editor who gives assignments. If you want to talk about women's news, women's pages, and you are talking about the Missoulian, don't ask Ed Coyle; because at the Missoulian we pride ourselves in the reporters and people who do certain types of beats. For instance, I do city government. I generate my own stories as reporters would. They have enough confidence in us, so they allow us to do those things we think are important. So, if you want to know about women's news, ask Evelyn King. She does what she might consider women's news, although she calls her page a people's page.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As far as my opinion of assignments,

I would say if I were an assignment editor, the
thing I would look most closely at is whether the
person that you are assigning a story to, if that's
your way of doing things, has any kind of conflict
of interest with what he's reporting. Now, I
think that's a problem that we have approached
here today, but no one's really spoken to it. For

instance, if I were an assignment editor and we were having a press conference by the American Indian Movement and I had, as a lot of people say, if I had an Indian on my staff who was a reporter, who was a member of the American Indian Movement, would I send that person to cover that story. I would say you would have to judge by that person. A trained reporter supposedly can look at certain things and get both sides of an issue; but at the same time, when a person definitely has a conflict of interest, I think you have to be careful. I know there are certain stories that I myself would not cover, because I know I have a conflict of interest. I think I may be objective; but at the same time, I think we can't open ourselves to having someone say, you know, well, you didn't give an objective account because you had a personal interest in this story. It's a problem that I don't think always can be dealt with, but I think we have an example of it here today.

1

2

3.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I guess I'll explain to some of you my experience with his whole conference. We were interviewed a few weeks ago about our topics.

Apparently, so that we would get an idea about -- or the panelists would get an idea about what type

of questions thousask and that type of thing. The person that interviewed us, I think, probably tried to do a fair and objective job. There were two of us there at the same time, and she was speaking to us. But I think there was a problem, because of the fact that she's probably not a trained journalist. So, as it came out, this information that was taken from these interviews, and I believe there were a lot of other people that were on earlier panels that were also interviewed, was put down and given to the panelists over there so that they could get some idea of what they might ask us.

Yesterday, one of the people who will be on a later panel asked if he might see this information that related to what he said, and he was told no, that this was confidential information. I did see this morning a copy of some of that information. The copy I saw did not have the page where what I was being interviewed about, the resume as given. But I did see what was given as a resume of what Nedra Bloom did say, and she and I were both in there at the same time. Now, I'm not saying that this was any kind of a deliberate thing, but there was a problem because I know a lot

of what was said, that Nedra said, she didn't say. You know, it's kind of a hard thing. I know I resent that when people say that about me, but I think it's just a problem that the person maybe wasn't trained in interviewing people. However, I didn't see what they said that I said.

Ź

Now, I know that some of the things that Nedra was attributed to have said were things that I talked about. However, the conclusions weren't mine.

Anyway, I think first of all, we should have been able to see those things. If this is an official hearing, and you are basing your questions on that information, then I think that something's wrong; because you are basing it on invalid information; and it's the same kind of thing as I was saying before. If you are asking Ed Coyle questions on the women's pages, that's wrong. You have to ask Evelyn King those things.

So, I don't know what all this is going to be used for, see, so I can't really -- but it seems that -- I know a lot of people feel that they are in effect on trial, that what they are saying here will be used against them in effect; but I think that you should proceed on the basis of valid

information when you ask your questions. I'll be interested to see -- I know what questions you have down as you will ask me, but are those the questions you are going to ask me, or will you ask me questions about what I've said. I'll be interested to see that.

í

7.

because I think this is in effect an official hearing, and partly because I think it demonstrates the problem that when you get someone who is interviewing who, say, doesn't have the experience, or hasn't maybe a certain viewpoint, without that person making any outward attempt to slant it, or I don't even know if I mean slant it; but you can see that it doesn't always come out the way it was. Like I said, that's a serious type charge. I mean, if someone says to me that I slanted a story, that's a serious thing; because I consider my objectivity and the fact that I feel I can look at an issue and get both sides of the story something that I value; because that's my whole job.

I guess what I'm all leading it up to is the fact that you have to be careful. You have to watch for conflicts of interest. I'm not saying that you can't have Indians covering Indian

news; you can't have women covering women's news; but you have to look at those things seriously and look at the results; and you have to judge by that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Another thing, I see I'm going all the way off the track, but the first speaker was talking about she does not show the negative side of Indians on her show and that type of thing; and she thinks that you should use the media to show the better side of Indians. I agree that a lot -- she said that a lot of television programs are showing Indians in a bad light, and I'm perfectly in agreement with that; but at the same time, I don't think the media should ever be used to show only the good side. If she's only showing the good side of Indians, that's wrong. She should counter those charges. If people say Indians are drunks and this and that, she should speak to those things. It's just like it reminded me of when Kennedy was running for President and he faced the issue of his Catholicism. He decided you can't fight those things by ignoring them. You have to answer them, and I think that's the same thing there. She has to answer those questions. You can't say, "Well, I think we should use the media to improve the image of Indians." I think that you should show it or tell it like it is. You should show the bad things and show the good things.

I agree a lot of times that the media really does have a great affect on people's attitudes; but at the same time, if anyone ever came to me and asked me to use the media, you know, use the newspaper to write something that they were in favor of and I did that and didn't show the other side, I think I would be sadly lacking; and so you can't, that's not the solution.

I may think of things to say later, but --

MS. RAYMOND: Thank you very much.

Now, we have from the television station here in Missoula, Mary Elizabeth Stewart.

MS. STEWART (Great Falls, Montana): Well, actually, it's Great Falls; but you are pardoned.

MS. RAYMOND: I'm sorry.

MS. STEWART: Actually, I'm here because I protested. I noticed that there were no broadcast representatives on this panel, and I thought I'd get my two cents in; so I'm here.

I just basically want to describe what it's like being a woman working for a television

station in Montana, and I will describe the setup very briefly. My boss will be here later in the day, and you will get this all again.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Garryowen Corporation in Billings owns three stations in Butte, Great Falls, and Billings. The Great Falls station is the feed station, and at that station we have a full-time anchorman that for purposes of our discussion we will not consider part of the active news gathering So, at KRTV there are only two people actively gathering news, and I constitute 50 per cent of the news team. So, in the matter of assignments and who covers what, discrimination cannot exist; because if it did, the job certainly wouldn't get done. As Nedra said, it comes in with the people that you deal with, the people you meetiin day to day contacts, contacts with people on the rounds, the people on the beats. I know, I cover the police station and the sheriff's office; and they are certainly bastions of male chauvinism if there ever were one.

I was really surprised when I first started, which was in September of 1973, at the reactions. There had never been a woman on television in Great Falls as a news reporter. There

have been women, and there still are, on daytime I got a mildly hostile reaction, I talk shows. might say. First of all, I wasn't very good. was the first time I'd ever been on camera, but I did have a surprising backlash of people who resented my being there because I was a woman, because I was taking a job away from a man, and I couldn't possibly know anything anyway. It all came to a head when some frate woman called me up one night and told me I was mentally retarded. At that point, I made a conviction; I better stay. I felt I had an obligation to stick it out. fortunately, those phone calls have died down, and I must say most of my detractors were women. I don't know what that says, but I think it probably indicates that the general consciousness of the woman in Montana about being a woman and about women's movements and all those things incumbent to it, is not very high, which I think, perhaps, maybe that's a responsibility that gets into a little bit I want to touch on, which was 1 Herrison ! coverage in our newscast.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

A newscast, unlike a newspaper, the more advertising you sell in the newspaper business, theoretically, the more newspaper you can buy and the more pages of news you can write. In television,

the more advertising you sell, the fewer minutes you have for news. We have a real time problem in trying to do justice with conflict events, and those especially in Great Falls involve the Indians and to a lesser extent women. These are very high emotional issues. I remember very distinctly the ERA debate, which is still going on. It's very difficult to do justice, but we are very fortunate, also, in television programming we have time that the FCC requires, and I think it's a good thing, public service time.

10,

ľ

At KRTV alone, we have 30 minutes every week that we use as a discussion program where we can get into these types of problems. We also have MTN, which goes statewide which is a state program, which is largely political; but we have done issues involving Indians; and we have done things such as a live ERA debate; and it was live.

on employment of women in broadcast in the news, I know I speak for the MTN setup, the statewide, I was the first to go into news full time that I know of. A second woman has been hired in Butte at KXLF, and I say yeah for that; but generally few people are hired anyway in broadcast in Montana.

It's not a large volume business.

The Garryowen Corporation totaled within its satellite in Missoula has only 95 people.

Twenty-four of those are women, and only three of those are in supervisory capacity; and I'm certainly not. That includes the producer of the Today in Montana show, a production director in Butte, and head bookkeeper; and I'm not sure I even appreciate that title.

broadcast right now is upward mobility just like in a newspaper. One is simply the limited number of higher echelon positions. There is not a great deal of turnover; and when television was but a mere babe some 25 to 30 years ago, there were virtually no women in it; and those men now holding those higher positions have been in broadcasting for many, many years. Women in the broadcast scene are arrelatively new thing, and that's all I have to say.

Thank you very much.

MS. RAYMOND: Thank you.

MS. PETERSON: Thank you very much, all of you.

We feel as members of the Montana

SAC that the audience participation hasn't been as

great as we would like because the time has been so short. So, we are voluntarily limiting ourselves to one question each, and some of us may not ask any.

1.2

I'm going to ask you as I did before, those of you who want to ask questions or make statement to this panel to line up by the microphone; and I also ask if you have a question, when you start, if you would address yourself to one specific panelist so that this panelist can be listening to you.

who at the table here has a question for anyone on the panel?

MRS. TRAVIS: I have a question.

MS. PETERSON: All right, Mrs. Travis.

MRS. TRAVIS: I would like to ask Carol, you mentioned "telling it like it is" as far as reporting is concerned, and my question is: What do you mean by telling it like it is? You see, my idea of telling it like it is might not be your idea of telling it like it is; and you consider telling it like it is as something relative.

I'll give you an example. This morning when I told it like it was, two people at the table here felt that was, you know, made excuses for the television manager. So, when you are doing a story

and you consider yourself telling it like it is, what is your idea on this?

2

3.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. VAN VALKENBURG: That is a problem. I don't think anyone would ever have been in the newspaper business, particularly I speak to that since that's what I am in; I know more about that, could say there is such a thing as total objectivity, because the only way you could get that is if a If you don't have computer wrote the story. unlimited space, you have to rely on your training so that, for instance, when I go to the city council and they have a three-hour meeting and I'm given 15 inches to say what they said in three hours I have to choose from what they say part of it, and by doing that I'm putting in my own prejudices; but I think the only way that you can deal with that is to have the training and confidence in yourself and your editors have the confidence in you that you have enough judgment that you can tell fairly as well as you can both sides of an issue, things that you think are the most important that came up.

I'll have to explain. What I do in that instance, say, if I have a city council story, I maybe pick two things that I think that are

important that happened at that council meeting. I'll tell half my story about one and half about the other. I'll try to tell both sides of the issue in those two segments then I will refer maybe a little bit at the end to other things they did. Then later in the week, I will write further stories about them.

mostly telling it as you see it. There is no such thing, perhaps as telling it like it is; because the way I see it and the way you see it are different: but I'm the one writing it so that's, you know, if you have an objection and you believe it's something different. I think that you either can come to me and tell me that and I'll listen to it; or you can write your side of the way it is through a letter to the editor.

MRS. TRAVIS: Well, this morning, you know, when you were questioning the gentleman, the reason I was asking questions pertaining to minority employment is because, of course, you have brought this out very well, the reporting is being done by people who feel that they are being objective; but very often what I as a black feel would be objective, would not be the same thing as a white Caucasian

reporter. So, this is why it's terribly important
that there is some representation and that people
who relate to the opinions and problems of the
minorities are represented. You see because there
is a difference in sympathy and really understanding.

I

MS. VAN VALKENBURG: Right, I agree.

MRS. TRAVIS: So, I think when people say, "Well, we understand," or "We are sympathetic to the cause," and there is no input from the people who are directly involved, there is some discrepancy there.

MS. VAN VALKENBURG: Yes, I agree that that could be a problem. I just think that the reporter — although I could never tell you what it's like to be black, and you can't tell me what it's like to be white; but perhaps you can tell me what you think in terms that I can understand it and maybe then from that I can take the —

MRS. TRAVIS: My experience with the news media has not always been where you can go and tell the reporter how you feel or what you think, because sometimes when they report the news, they report it as they see it; and the way they see it is not always the way a minority person will see it or feel.

MS. VAN VALKENBURG: Yes, I agree; and that's 1 why I certainly agree that there is a real need 2 to have people from minorities in writing the news; 3 4 because it's true that you can't approach all 5 reporters; and that's unfortunate. We complain a 6 lot about the nuts that we have to deal with, but 7 those people are important because they may be nutty, 8 but they have their opinions. Even though I might 9 complaint about getting a call from a nut, I listen to what that person says; and maybe he has something 10 11 valid to say; and I don't think any reporter should totally disregard what someone tells them or 12 complains about in respect to something they have 13 done, even if they are reluctant to admit that 14 they did this thing they are being accused of, but 15 16 they think about it. Anyway, I agree that there is 17 a need to have minorities represented.

MRS. TRAVIS: You see, because some of the problems that we face because of economic and social isolation and if you do not relate to these things, and you couldn't very well do this not being socially isolated or economically bound as we are in so many areas, then you can't report objectively.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. VAN VALKENBURG: I think that's an argument that has been going on for a long time: Do

you have to experience the thing to know and understand what it is.

MRS. TRAVIS: Oh, I don't feel you have to experience it, but I certainly feel that you have to be aware.

MS. VAN VALKENBURG: I agree.

MS. PETERSON: If there aren't any more questions --

MR. BOARD: I have one.

MS. PETERSON: All right. Meanwhile, if you people who want to ask questions want to start lining up so that we can see how many of you there are.

MR. BOARD: I want to ask Carol another question. A point of clarification more than anything.

Did you say that at the Missoulian the reporters generate their own stories?

MS. VAN VALKENBURG: That's basically true, and I'm not saying that's true everywhere. For instance, my beat so to speak is city government. They give me a free hand in deciding what stories I can do; and also, if I do stories that aren't related to city government, you know, there is no problem there. They don't say, "Well, that's not

on your beat."

It's generally in almost all instances the reporters are given a free hand of doing stories that they feel are stories. I would say that sometimes editors, a city editor might suggest a story, but it's never a case of "Do this." Well, I shouldn't say "never," but generally you generate your own stories, yes.

MR. BOARD: The reason I ask is because I thought it was pointed out this morning by Mr. Coyle that there were assignments made regarding the city, the women's page --

MS. VAN VALKENBURG: Well, you see, what he meant is, for instance, my beat is city government. Nedra Bloom's beat is education. For instance, other reporters are police. That's so that everybody isn't covering one story. I mean, I might think, well, there's a good story today; so ten reporters also think that's a good story, so they go get it. You have to have some kind of a structure. Generally, things that come up that are related to city government, I cover; so that's what he meant by assignments. As far as not putting words in his mouth, but I think that's what he meant.

MR. BOARD: But then you can generate beyond that beat?

MS. VAN VALKENBURG: Yes, that's right.

MRS. TRAVIS: I have another question. I would like to address this one to Mary Elizabeth Stewart.

I think your statement covered the issues very well, but there was one question I would like to ask you concerning salaries. Would you be willing to expand on that?

MS. STEWART: Geraldine, you see the gentleman sitting in the back row?

MRS. TRAVIS: Oh, is he your boss?

MS. STEWART: Yes, that's Mr. Cliff Ewing, and he will be here; but you may go ahead and ask and I'll try to answer the best I can.

MRS. TRAVIS: No, I was just wondering if there was any difference in salaries, in your salary and the other --

MS. STEWART: Well, there is definitely a difference between mine and Ed's. Ed is the news director and has been there four years longer than I have. Now, as from station to station, I don't know about other people in my position.

MRS. TRAVIS: I meant is there any salary

· 25

difference as far as reporters doing the same type of assignments that you are.

MS. STEWART: That's what I was trying to say, Geraldine. I just don't know, because those reporters would be working in Butte and Billings; and I have never met them.

MRS. TRAVIS: I see, okay.

MS. PETERSON: Are all the people who want to ask questions coming down front now?

(No response.)

MS. PETERSON: Okay.

MS. TROCHEE (Missoula, Montana): I'm

Jackie Trochee from Missoula. I want to address

this to Carol and also to Geraldine.

objectivity. I think that many of the news reporters and TV people are not objective simply because they are not educated or sensitized to like Indian people their religion, their humor, and things like that; and I think some effort should be made on behalf of reporters and other people involved in the media to correct that problem.

The other thing that I wanted to say was that you made a comment that both positive and negative aspects of an issue should be reported

especially in relation to Indians, and I would like to say that for so many years Indians have been negatively shown on TV; and I think it's about time we mention the positive things for a while.

That's all.

I think one thing I want to say just to follow up on what Jackie said is the communication between, as Indians living in this community, that the different jobs that we have here or whatever we might be doing in this community benefits us as Indian people living with non-Indians here.

I think a lot of the news articles
have been, like Jackie said flock to the negative
side of the view; and I think that more of the
positive side of the Indians of this town and the
surrounding Reservations, and bring it to the
non-Indian community would serve better
relationships in the future for non-Indians and
Indians, both, of this community and other
communities throughout Montana where urban Indians
range in those areas.

said, our statistics are high in a lot of areas, suicide on down to alcoholism. And when a white

reporter goes out and does these stories, it only adds to a lot of things that Indian people know about. Indian reporters have gone out like she has in her Indian press, have gone out and did the the same thing; and we as Indian people have to read about this from our Indian reporters and white reporters alike that fall upon stories like this. I think that we as Indians have to start knowing now how we can get away from that, get away from that and get into other areas where improved urban Indians and non-Indian relationships in whatever urban areas we are living in. Until that time comes, I think that we are going to have a lot of feelings that are going to be angered on both sides; and I know that you have a job to do as a reporter and so forth; but I feel that through this way, those working relationships, that your job will not be jeopardized by a lot of non-Indians that will get the right story about what's really happening. That is what they want to know about, and I don't think you would be jeopardizing your position at all.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

·24

25

MS. VAN VALKENBURG: I would just like to say one thing. I think an important thing, perhaps, for you to do if you think there are things that

relate to you as an Indian that are of particular importance, you should come in and talk to one of the reporters about that. Tell them, and tell them why you think this should be covered, or you think this deserves a story. Reporters will sit down and talk to you back and forth, and I think that if you have a legitimate thing, I think that it will be something that's covered.

I know, perhaps, you think that maybe as an Indian, maybe only you can cover that and know all the aspects of it, and maybe that's true; but I think maybe, you know, you can start somewhere with doing something like that.

MR. LAME WOMAN: I think one example I would like to show here is that I am a former graduate of the Kicking Horse Job Corps Center, which is located 50 miles out of town here. I was graduated with G.B. training, and I did a lot of constructive things as a corpsman leader among the 211 Indian boys that were up there. When I graduated, about a month later the Missoulian did an article which saddened me very deeply about the Indians ranging in high alcoholism and the damage they did up and down the Valley, a really negative story as far as it went; and it happens to maybe damage the job

there, and I approached the people at the Missoulian right after that, but it seems like nobody didn't want to do a story about the positive side, about how many jobs went to graduates. With a lot of these certificates you can be placed in non-Indian communities. Where I was placed was in Great Falls

where I did construction work, and also my G.B.

has helped me through the university system now,
and we're working there.

A lot of these things aren't told where job corps men go up and down the Flathead Valley and the Missoula community and do a lot of work for non-Indians on a Reservation and Indians on a Reservation both, in the Missoula area.

I just want to make that comment.

MS. WAN VALKENBURG: I see what your point is, and it's the same thing that the paper has gotten for a long time: Why do you report the people that were speeding that day? Look at all those people that weren't speeding. You know, where is the news? The people that were speeding and got fined, or the people that weren't. It's a question of what are you emphasizing, and some people say that's emphasizing the negative.



But I see what you mean, and I agree with your point in a lot of ways. There is a need for those types of things:

ī

°

MR. LAME WOMAN: There is a lot of constructive things that they have did in the past, and as to read the Missoulian every day and see how many corpsmen got drunk, got picked up for drunkeness or littering and so forth, it seems to be the basis of what their training program is all about. The non-Indian community of this town says, "Well, that's all they are, a bunch of drunks up there that come to town and raise hell, and are picked up drunk, littering, and so forth." Well, that's what the Missoulian puts out. If they had another side of the story about the constructive work that they do from Monday through Friday before they come to town and so forth, that would serve as another part of the story.

MS. PETERSON: Our time is limited. There are many people who want to be heard.

MS. SCOTT (Rocky Boy, Montana): My name is Patricia Scott. I'm from Rocky Boy Reservation.

This morning I felt like I would like to direct a question at the executives who were here, and it is too late now; so I will say this and hope that

maybe some of it you will take this home to your offices, but that the time is now that the media offices should think of an Indian or minorities editor. I say Indian, because in Montana we have seven Reservations.

We have problems such as the Mod Squad on the Flathead. We have the lawyers up in Cut Bank who are upset about the fact that the Blackfeet have dared to write a new constitution that will hurt them economically. We have the Governor's Task Force that's going to come up, which may require some serious and fair-minded reporting.

I want to bring this up, because the reason that I came down here, there are a whole group of people that feel very strongly about this. April 2, 1974, there was an article in the Great Falls Tribune, which was one of a series of dispatches. Since that time we have read many dispatches which are irresponsible. Inam well aware that the school where I come from enjoys a marked success with if they have any news, the Great Falls Tribune, particularly, in getting articles in. But this thing was unfair in that it attacked not only the Landless Indians, and I speak of them as

capital L, Landless, because they are incorporated in Great Falls. Many of whom are related to our people in Rocky Boy.

But this thing advocated genocide,
and that's why I am here, although genocide was
not the term that was used. It says, "A few
chronic cases that give the agency program a bad
name." This is one of a series of dispatches signed
by a Tribune staff writer.

become synonymous with welfare in the county. Each name is currently represented by several families receiving ADC assistance. Most of them are referred to as landless Indians." Catch small L; apparently, he was not aware that these are a distinct group. "Their names appear often on the seamy side of the news. The fathers and teenage children and occasionally a mother are periodically being booked by the police for crimes, some minor and some serious."

He goes to say that "The parents and the grandparents were on welfare, and no doubt the children and their children's children will be on welfare, too." He quotes somebody identified as a leading social worker and supervisor. She says,

1 "N
2 th
3 an
4 In
5 lo
6 th
7 pr
8 go
9 sh

"No one knows the answer unless the judge finds the children are terribly neglected and can't do anything to remove them from that environment. In most of the families the children receive a lot of affection and are well fed. It's not until they become teenagers that they start causing problems. We can't stop the girl of 14 or 15 from going out with boyfriends. Soon she's pregnant; she won't give up the child when it's born; she takes it home to momma. About all we can do is teach them birth control. It's very discouraging."

This person goes on to say. "There is now law that requires abortion or allows us to take babies from them."

type of reporting which we do not like, which makes me, I admit, very emotional. It refers to these people as people who are descendants of people who were anti-Canadian. They were anti-Canadian the same way we were anti-English in the Revolutionary War. Because of them the Province of Manitoba was carved out, and their revolt in Manitoba and Saskatchewan, ancestors of these Landless and Chippewa people I'm talking about, largely because of them Canada is a bilingual nation.

T=

Louis Riel lived in Montana, led the Cree Indian in Canada and was hanged for his efforts. These people were not anti-Canadian. They were hungry, and they had lost their land.

Anyway, this writer goes on to say that "It is too bad that these people no longer can be employed as rock pickers." How would be like to make his living at rock picking?

MS. PETERSON: I'm sorry, Pat.

MS. SCOTT: Okay.

MS. PETERSON: We'll try to find time for the rest of you who have something to say after our final panel.

we will go to our workshops promptly, and we will be back here at 4:30.

WORKSHOP SESSION B

Community Organization:

Its Effects on Newspapers and Television

GREG MACDONALD AND LIONEL MONAGAS, MODERATORS

MR. MACDONALD (Missoula, Montana): My name is Greg MacDonald. I am an assistant professor at the journalism school at the University of Montana. Lionel Monagas of the Federal Communications Commission and myself will be dealing with community organization and effecting change in the media.

Since we already heard an awful lot of comments today, we are going to keep it awfully short and try to deal basically with just questions that you have.

Just to set some kind of limitation on what we are dealing with and some precedents for community organization, I would like to read to you just a few things. This is kind of a weighted panel, a little bit different than the others, since both of us are basically concerned with broadcast media; and the broadcast media by law has

certain funtions and responsibilities that
newspapers don't have. I would like to read you
just one of the passages from the Communications Act
of 1934 entitled Section 301, so that you have some
idea of the responsibilities that you have and
that broadcasters have.

"It is the purpose of this Act, among other things, to maintain the control of the United States over all the channels of interstate and foreign radio transmission, and to provide for the use of such channels, but not for the ownership thereof, by persons for limited periods of time under licenses granted by federal authority; and no such license shall be construed to create any right beyond the terms, conditions and periods of the license."

There are a couple of very important things in here, because the Federal Communications Commission and the courts over the years have consistently referred back to these; and those are that radio stations and television stations do not own the airways. The airways belong to the public. Stations are granted authority to use them for a limited period of time. They are granted authority to use them only so long as they serve the public

interest.

In 1960 the FCC issued a program policy statement, and once again, they reiterated this same policy: "An important element of public interest and convenience affecting the issue of a license is the ability of the licensee to render the best practical service in the community served by broadcasters." Since the very inception of federal regulation of radio, comparative consideration as to the service to be rendered have governed the application of the standard of public interest, convenience and necessity...

Now, for a long time the FCC pretty routinely renewed licenses, and it wasn't until the early 60s really that they started looking in to just what broadcasters were doing. The FCC denied a license to Suburban Broadcasters, a proposed FM station in Elizabeth, New Jersey; because none of the people from that station lived in Elizabeth, New Jersey, none of them had any idea of the problems of Elizabeth, New Jersey; and in fact, their application was identical to applications they filed in both Illinois and California.

Perhaps the most exciting for community interest people cases, the United Church of Christ

took to the FCC the license renewal of WLBT in Jackson, Mississippi. The FCC, despite numerous complaints that WLBT did not serve its minority interests, about 45 per cent of the population served by that station is black, and that going as far back as 1955 when WLBT decided to run its "Sorry, cable trouble" sign instead of carrying a network program on race relations that featured the general counsel of the NAACP. In 1957 the program ran a series of programs that advocated racial segregation; and once again, the FCC refused to intervene. So, the United Church of Christ intervened, went to the FCC; and the FCC said that individuals could not come before the FCC in license renewals. That was the function of the FCC. The case was appealed to the U.S. Court of Appeals, and Circuit Judge Burger made an interesting comment, quoting all the way back to original FCC rules. "It is the public and communities throughout the length and breadth of our country

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

throughout the length and breadth of our country
who must bear the final responsibilities for the
quality and adequacy of television service, whether
it be originated by local stations or by
national networks. Under our system the interests

The commercial needs of the public are dominant. of licensed broadcasters and advertisers must be Hence, integrated into those needs of the public. individual citizens and the communities they compose owe a duty to themselves and their peers to take an active interest in the scope and quality of the television service which stations and _networks provide, and which undoubtedly has a vast impact on their lives and the lives of their children, nor make the public feel that in taking a hand in broadcasting, they are unduly interfering with the private business affairs of others. contrary, their interest in television programming is direct and their responsibilities important. They are the owners of the channels of television, indeed, of all broadcasting."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

^{*} 15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6 J.7.

That kind of sums up where it's at as far as community action goes. Generally, I think broadcasters, you know, you can kind of take the modified Boy's Town theory of broadcasters, not that they are all good; but certainly that they are not all bad. But they are like everyone else, they have power; and it's a very real power; and people in power generally don't want to share that power unless you come in and ask for it. That's where

community action can be involved, but you have to go to them in a very real sense. We would hope that that wouldn't have to be the case, but it is; and if you want to see a change, that's pretty much how it's going to happen.

2.

14.

Mr. Monagas, do you have anything you want to say?

MR. MONAGAS (Washington, D.C.): Greg has set out the parameters on community involvement in FCC action in regards to licensing procedures in the famous WLBT case, and set out quite a few things.

I'm Lionel Monagas from the FCC, the
Office of General Counsel, Chief of the Industry
Equal Opportunity Employment Unit, and I'm not
making a statement or a speech now. I'll take any
questions if you have any questions, and if you
want to discuss something, I'll be very willing
to discuss it with you. I came here to help as
much as I possibly could and provide as much
information as I possibly could out of my
experience and out of my knowledge; and if you
have questions that will help me present information
to you, I will be very happy to do that. Otherwise,
I'm not going to be making any perfunctory speeches.

(Audience participant raised his hand.)
MR. MONAGAS: Yes.

2.

MR. MURDOCK (Duluth, Minnesota): You were talking this morning about community organization, and I think someone had brought up about what it costs to bring a legal action.

MR. MONAGAS: I don't think that anyone brought that up, but it should be brought up.

MR. MURDOCK: They talked about going together with a group or simply having any kind of political weight to go along with it, and unless you know one of the Commissioners or have any kind of visibility in the community. If you are just Margaret or Joe Smith from down the street, and you believe that you have a valid point as to what goes on the air over the radio or television stations, and you don't have the money to sue, what happens? Where does your --

MR. MONAGAS: Well, part of it I agree with, and part of it I disagree with.

MR. MURDOCK: How can the FCC remedy those kinds of complaints? What is the procedure?

MR. MONAGAS: I would have to disagree with you on the part that Joe Smith has no power, no authority, and no opportunity to be represented.

That's not true. The FCC so far as I can ascertain, is not swayed by political weight and political power and this kind of thing. Knowing the Commission doesn't mean a thing in the sense that you can control that Commission's vote no matter who you are.

removal from the FCC for any political reasons.

Moral turpitude is about the only thing that they
can be removed from the Commission once their term
is set; so therefore, they try to be objective as
much as possible and not be swayed by political
pressures.

Yes, it is a very costly kind of activity in terms of money and in terms of time on the part of Joe Smith, but most of the actions that have been brought in front of the FCC, even though representation is by an organization, usually has come through the efforts of Joe Smith or someone like that who has registered a complaint and was able to find the assistance and the help in the community and various kinds of organizations that have the resources to help pursue the issue.

One of the most effective organizations in the national community is the United Church of

• 15

19.

Christ for aiding individuals who have complaints in terms of the broadcasting industry. In pursuing those complaints, they are ultimately in front of the FCC or in front of the courts after FCC decision; and they are great on helping communities organize to know how to monitor broadcasting in such a way that they can make a very valid presentation as to how a broadcaster may not be serving the community and portions of the community. But, yes, Joe Smith is the one that has brought the Commission to his needs in many instances.

MR. MURDOCK: Just one thing, a couple years ago, and I might have a wrong interpretation on this, a couple of years ago the FCC had -- I don't know whether it was in terms of a ruling or had made some kind of a requirement that the networks relinquish some of their network time to be given to the local station for local origination programs.

MR. MONAGAS: That is correct.

MR. MURDOCK: It's been my view, I guess, in looking at some of the local origination that all they have done is buy packages like Gilligan's Island, movies, and things of this kind, when I don't think that that was the spirit in which the Commission

ruled. I believe they wanted television stations to go to their local communities and have local programming originated at the local station, produced at the local station by local people, and not simply originate Bewitched, you know, buy packages like that.

MR. MONAGAS: Really, the intent of the

Prime Time Access Rule, is what it is called, was to create more local time for local programming in the prime time hours of the evening in general; and the Commission is bogged down on the point of not being able to dictate programming for community service. That is entirely in the hands of the licensee to make that determination, but it does have to prove to the Commission later at renewal time that what he did in programming was beneficial to the community; because the community can protest at the time he is renewing that he did not serve them with the Gilligan's Islands and that sort of thing.

The Prime Time Access Rule was designed to turn back evening time, prime time to local stations for programming hopefully that would address the local needs and interests.

Any other questions?

6.

7' ہ 8 اسٹ

MR. BOARD (Great Falls, Montana): I have a question.

. 6

ΙÌ

· 14

1.7

Greg or Mr. Monagas, either one can answer, I think we are dealing with two separate things. Number one, we are dealing with public airways, you know, they belong to the public. And then we have also the private corporations of a newspaper, so I think we have two separate things here when it comes to --

MR. MONAGAS: One regulated, and one unregulated; that is correct.

MR. BOARD: I may be all wrong on this, but I don't think I am completely. The topic of this is community organization and its effects on newspaper and television. I think there is an assumption being made by the people who are here, and I think the very fact that we are here indicates that perhaps we know that people can do something to change things.

Now, here is where my conflict comes in my thinking. Would there not be, or should there not be, or is there not a responsibility on the part of the FCC and the corporations that are regulated by it to indicate to people that they can make changes in the programming? For the

most part, I don't think people realize that they have some power to bring these changes about. You know, for the most part, I think the average American is afraid of power; and the average American doesn't realize that he possesses some power. So, maybe it's a rhetorical question.

1

2

3

10

11

12

ำ3ั

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MACDONALD: The thing is that especially with television, maybe more so than radio or newspapers, there is such a mystique about television. It's so technical; and it's so mechanical It's there; someone else is doing it, that there is not really a very personal side of it. newspapers there has always been kind of, you know, grind-it-out reporter image and a hard working, you know, digging back alley kind of thing; but television has always been such a glamourous powerful thing; and it's not by coincidence that it's like that. T think people who are in power would just as soon maintain that image, because then they don't have to worry about someone coming in from the community group and saying, "Well, we really don't think that Gilligan's Island or I Love Lucy is in the public interest." So, they would not tell you that.

The FCC says that you have to maintain

public files and open records and that, and about once a week on any station they will tell you that sometime during the daytime. They can't do it at 2:00 in the morning, but they do it between sunrise and sunset on a once a week basis; but those people are not going to tell you, generally, that "Yes, in fact, we are a servant of the public," and that "Yes, in fact, you own the airways," and that THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O "Yes, in fact, you can have some input into the CONTRACTOR DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON OF THE programming," because they can make a lot of money doing things like, you know, they will pay \$15 to buy Gilligan's Island, and sell half a dozen spots Commence of the Commence of th in it, and make anywhere from a couple hundred to からないのできることはないのできるというできるというできる。 a thousand dollars with that. Whereas, if they ないにはないは、なればはないとうなっているとうないとうないというというと have to come in and have four or five technicians around and do a community discussion or panel, they are going to have to have someone. them money, and basically, they are in the business to make money for it; so it's the responsibility of groups like this and of workshop sessions like this that hopefully sometime could be better attended.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

-9:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MONAGAS: In regards to the

Commission's activities in the area of informing
the public, it's a fairly new effort on the part of

the Commission to do this. The Commission makes its staff available to go out to these various kinds of community meetings and community organization meetings to pass information about how one deals with the Commission in terms of being a member of the public, so we are available to go out to these kinds of things.

1

2

4

, 有**5**

6

7

8

9

10

<u>.</u>

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Secondly, the Commission itself has under Chairman Wiley this year just instituted a policy of beginning to take the Commission itself, the Commissioners, out to regional meetings and setting up these regional meetings in various parts of the country where the public can come in and talk to the Commission, the voting body. have done three meetings so far. They take staff We have done three meetings so far this as well. fiscal year, one in Atlanta, Chicago, and Washington, D.C.; and four more meetings are scheduled for other parts of the country for the remainder of this fiscal year. The Commission attempts to publicize this effort as much as possible through the printed media in the regional area as well as through broadcast activity operations within that area. It can only do like everyone else does in this case, send a

news release to broadcasting stations that a meeting is taking place where, and when, and so forth, and what it's all about, hoping the broadcaster will use it as a public service announcement. Many of them have done this and even extended themselves to the extent of asking the Chairman to come in and make a videotape or an audiotape so that they can use it on the air to publicize the event within the community so that the public will come out to the meetings and address themselves to the Commission, ask their questions, seek information, and present their complaints to the Commission.

I

1 1

. .

₹**7**.

.8

71-

MRS. TRAVIS(Great Falls, Montana): I have a question.

I would like to know what strategy should we use to get the television stations to become more responsive to minority programming? The reason I'm asking this is because in Great Falls on Saturday there is a program that comes on -- The American Bandstand has been on many, many years; and I have been told in other areas there is a program called Soul Train, which is the same type of program but deals with blacks. It's black orientated. When my daughter came home from college, she said to me, "How can we get Soul Train on the

television stations up here?" Could you give me some answer? Obviously, they are not going to produce it just because my daughter and I would like to see it; but what procedures, what would be the strategy for getting it on our local television station?

2

3

4

5

¹6

8

9

.10

11

- 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

? 7

MR. MONAGAS: The only strategy you have AND REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPE available to you is concerning community small group appeals to the station or the stations within the area on a very frequent basis with great determination that you would like to see this program made available to the community for the total community. Soul Train, while it's black oriented in terms of music and performance and in termscof presentation on the air, it's not 100 per cent black. There are whites on the program in guest spots as well as in participation, so it is totally a community program as they claim that Bandstand is as well.

The only way that you could conceivably do this is to continually pressure the station, suggesting they bring this program in. Now, Soul Train like Bandstand is independently owned by a producing company. It's sold to the stations for use within various communities, so it means

that station has to buy the rights to Soul Train and bring it in and present it, and hopefully sell their local advertisers on the advertising spots within the show. If they can't sell the advertisers, then the station itself has to absorb the whole cost of putting the show on the air; and it might be from a point of view, public service.

But my only answer to you is constant dialogue with the broadcasters in the community by you and other individuals in the community.

MRS. TRAVIS: Would petitions --

MR. MONAGAS: To the station.

б

10.

MR. MACDONALD: I think the thing that's important to remember is that the station you are talking about, Geraldine, if you go down there, you are going to have very little input. If I go down to the local television station, I'm going to have very little input. But it's like, oh, about eight years ago Arlo Guthrie did a song called Alice's Restaurant; and although it was dealing with something completely different, you know, mainly getting out of the draft, which we shouldn't talk about for the White House record, but there was a line in there something about one person goes in and sings a refrain that they will think he's

crazy and they won't take him; and if two people go in, they will think they are both faggots, and they won't take either one of them; and if three people go in, they will think it's an organization; and if 50 people go in, they will think it's a movement. And that's when you are going to get something done. It has to be a large enough body of people that you can scare the broadcaster just a little bit.

MR. MONAGAS And consistently.

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MACDONALD: Or the newspaper, I think it holds the same with the newspaper. If I qo down or you go down, there is very little we can We can write letters to the editor, and they do. come out as a letter to the editor; but if a group of people, you know, a dozen people who are pretty well organized, who will go down and say, "Listen, we would like to sit down with you and I don't Let's get a dialogue started." talk. think you will find anyone who will say, "No, we don't want to talk to you, but no one does that; and the stations won't, unless they are really pressured, the stations aren't going to go out and try to round up the community support. are going to have to eventually. The community

ascertainment studies will force them to do that, 1 to meet with responsible citizens' groups and members 2 for the public before renewal of station licenses. **3** 3 But by then, you know, that's six months before 17 license renewal; and there is not a whole lot you 5 б If you start now and you build with a can do. group of people and you say, "Listen, we are having a meeting, and we would like to talk to you; because 8 there are some guestions we would like to ask you." nigerappises per a la proposition de la You know, it's a matter of getting a dialogue 10 endurable construction and adjust to the same started and making sure that it is a dialogue and ΙĮ not where you plan on bringing the local station 12 manager in and roasting him. 13 Get something started 14 and talk about it. Say, These are some programs we would like to see. We don't think you are 15 portraying this minority or this group. 16 This group has no representation." So, it's more a matter of 17 18 strength in numbers really. 19 Strength in numbers, so that MRS. TRAVIS:

MRS. TRAVIS: Strength in numbers, so that would be the appropriate strategy at this time.

MR. BOARD: Greg, along that line then do you feel the same thing applies then in getting a minority person employed in a television station?

MR. MACDONALD: Yes, I do think so.

MR. MONAGAS: Oh, yes.

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MONAGAS:

MR. MACDONALD:

1

Last year I would say just

nine, which would be the educational licenses.

That's all I know of that were denied for discrimination. It's conceivable --

MR. JOHNSON: For any reason?

MR. MONAGAS: I don't know that number, because I haven't researched it from that point of view; but it is conceivable that other licenses were denied for other reasons, double billing, fraudulency, technical reasons, lack of licensee qualification, or conviction of crime in other areas. There are a lot of other reasons that a license can be denied. It's quite conceivable that some others were denied. I would still say the number is probably not great.

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you.

MR. MURDOCK: When I had talked with you this morning, and we were talking about the three major networks, you had mentioned that they were not licensed; but yet, you know and I would guess that my experience has been also this that when I have worked at television stations and those I have seen operated is that one of their best excuses that television stations will use is, "Well, we can do nothing about it, because it comes down from the network."

MR. MONAGAS: That's not true.

MR. MURDOCK: No, I know that; but that's an excuse they will use.

MR. MONAGAS: That's because they know the public doesn't know that.

à 5

≟.‡8:

£ 1.0∙

MR. MURDOCK: So, it goes back to how does the public become informed if the station is reluctant to inform them about programming that comes from the network? How do people then address that, instead of getting out and writing ABC Television, 1330 Avenue of the Americas, and go through that whole act by sitting down and documenting their complaint and all this?

MR. MONAGAS: I would propose that --

MR. MURDOCK: Stations continually do that.

MR. MONAGAS: I would propose that the public get itself informed by coming to the FCC via letter or phone call, and asking the FCC what the hell it's all about. Send out any kind of pamphlets that would be helpful. We have a lot of pamphlets, various kinds, that can be sent out to the public.

Begin to get in touch with some of the known public interest groups that have an interest in media and media service.

MR - MACDONALD: The National Citizens MANAGEM PARTY OF THE YORK OF THE PARTY OF TH Committee for Broadcasting is in Washington, D.C., and it's headed by Nick Johnson, who is a former none control and the control of the FCC Commissioner and raised a whole lot of hell when he was on the Commission. If you want information, he'll give it to you. MR. MURDOCK: Is that in that ascertainment survey? MR. MONAGAS: No, it's not going to address what you are trying to get, that is the public becoming informed about this. not a question. MR. MURDOCK:

<u>Ç-1</u>

) **Ž**

3,

§5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

 I_{Λ}

Is it in the ascertainment of community needs?

MR. MONAGAS: One step, that's only one After that, you come back and see the step. station --

> MR. MURDOCK: Why can that not be broadened --

It is going to be broadened. MR. MONAGAS:

MR. MURDOCK: -- to include those things?

MR. MONAGAS: It is going to be broadened.

THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF TH That's why two weeks ago the Commission just voted on a notice of inquiry to change the whole ascertainment procedure so that the ascertainment procedure will be an on-going annual reporting and

an on-going annual activity within the community.

MR. MACDONALD: One thing about networks.

Now, networks and their affiliates will say, "Well,
we are not licensed," but the fact is that all
the networks own licenses.

MR. MONAGAS: Oh, yes.

Ž

3,4

ĨO

MR. MACDONALD: They own and operate five to seven stations each. If they want to say, "Well, we are not licensed. We don't have any recourse," you can always take it to the stations that they do own that they have licenses for, which are in all instances in major markets; and they are big money makers; and they sure don't want to lose them. So, there is a possibility there, too.

MR. MONAGAS: Each station is responsible for what goes out over its air, and no matter where it gets it from. Therefore, it has the right to not run a network program if the licensee in that area decided that that is not in the interest of his community.

A most perfect example of that right now would be the network program Hot'l Baltimore.

That is a network originated program that some stations on the network have decided not to carry, and that is a judgment that the individual licensee

can make in spite of his network contract.

6

10

17

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BOARD: We have been talking about the FCC and public airways, but when we start talking about women and minorities in relation to newspapers, and hiring of women and of minorities as staff people there, any people employed with them, I think we are in a whole different ballgame; because that's private enterprise.

MR. MACDONALD: Well, it's private enterprise and really unlike broadcasting, which is licensed by the federal government, there isn't any. Broadcasting always ran on the open market system. Now, if you have got a town that will support the advertising and the subscription of two newspapers, or three newspapers, or four newspapers, it would If not, you would get one, maybe none; so you don't have any real legal recourse there. do have the same kind of thing that, you know, the individual has as far as the broadcaster goes, and that's to organize and get a group of people, and to go to the Missoulian or the Great Falls Tribune or something, and sit down with these people; and say, "We would like to talk to you about these things." Other than that, you know, you can go to advertisers; but if a paper loses an

advertiser, you know, that's one thing. They can go out and sell that advertising somewhere else.

Génerally, I think this is true of newspapers and radio and television stations that if you come in with something that's legitimate, they are willing to listen.

-6.

MRS. TRAVIS: I don't think so -
MR. MACDONALD: Well, if you come in in

big enough numbers, you know, if you go in alone,

again, it's --

MRS. TRAVIS: Well, you see, I see what you mean about this coming in in big enough numbers; and this is where the problem lies; because you see, I think the Indian man who asked questions, you know, here and talked about how they were being stereotyped and negatively in the newspaper, now, what chance does he have? I have experienced this myself, and I don't agree with you that you can go in and talk to them; because I have gone to the editors of newspapers and asked, "Why don't you print my news releases?" I am given some off the wall excuses, so I think that this points out a real problem in the news media here in Montana; because the newspapers aren't responsive to minorities. I mean, they have sat

here and told us they were; but I know from personal experience and from experiences with other minorities that this is not so.

MR. MACDONALD: Well, I can't argue with your personal experience. The only thing is that it's still, it's a matter of if you go in as Geraldine Travis, an individual citizen, you probably have zero chance of getting listened to. If you go in with five other people, you maybe have two per cent chance of getting listened to. It's just a matter of improving your chances.

. 6

There happens to be a local weekly paper in the state that happens to be up near an Indian Reservation that just has a policy: We don't cover Indian affairs. Now, there is really nothing you can, -- you know, you can go in and complain, and you may get something if you go to advertisers, if you go to the people advertising and say, "There are 200 Indians in this area, and we trade in this area; and we are not going to trade in your establishment." You can boycott advertisers. That's a possibility, because you will kill their profits.

MR. BOARD: But Greg, and the people can speak for them much better than I, because I am white, Anglo, and Protestant, so I'm safe, but from students

that I have had in classes and things of this nature, the solution which you have offered here, and this is what I'm trying to get for the record, these are accepted standards among the white Anglo-Saxon Protestants who are educated. But if I were an Indian, and if I were black, and certainly if I were Indian in Montana, but, again, I think because of the culture situation in the state, I think there is an element of fear as to what an Indian may want to do.

MR. MACDONALD: I think that's probably true.

MR. BOARD: My point is, how do we, and I think that this affects everybody's lives, a newspaper, how can assistance be given, and I think it has to be given, to the Indians to help them in saying, you know, -- it was pointed out here by more than one person telling the people here, you are not presenting it from the Indians point of view; because you don't understand. Here's where it is, and I think the very fact that some Indians have come here today and stated this, has been a sign of courage on their part. I won't accept the idea that, well, the leadership has to come from them.

MR. MACDONALD: You can be philosophical about it, or you can be practical about it. Philosophically

.19

-20

the input should not have to come from them.

Philosophically, the broadcaster or the newspaper

publisher should say, "Okay, we have a responsibility,

whether it's legal, or whether it's moral, or

whether it's ethical, to cover all of the issues."

That doesn't mean all of the Anglo issues; it

doesn't mean all of the safe issues; it means all

of the issues; but if that were true, if that worked,

there would be no reason for us to be here today.

So, we have to --

MR. BOARD: There is also an economic issue there.

MR. MACDONALD: So, you have to say if, in fact, we want better coverage, we want a different type of coverage, an end to the stereotypes, if we want some input into the media, they're not doing it. Okay, they are getting what they want. They are making money; and that's basically, you know, they are in business to make money, to turn a profit. I mean, that's just the facts of life. So, if you want to effect the change there, it's obviously not going to come from the people who have the power. It never does. It comes from the have nots.

The civil rights issues in the '60s are

the obvious parallel that you can draw here.

You get enough people and you march in the streets,
that's one way; or you go and meet with the people,
and it's not perfect, but you can at least get some
kind of input. There you have got some input at
least. As it sits right now, there is none.

* * * *

MRS. TRAVIS: Well, you see, the real problem I see here and the real issue is economics. The reason I say this, in Montana it's so easy to economically strangle a minority; and they realize that. Sure, you can get a number of people to go down to the television station; but how many blacks or Indians in the State of Montana can survive economically if the newspaper publisher feels that they are a threat to him?

MR. MACDONALD: I don't know. I don't see how you can take something away from people who don't have anything.

MRS. TRAVIS: Well, you surely take something away from them. They may have a job that's feeding them, that's paying their rent, and buying them clothes. Well, if you are at the bottom of the ladder, and this can be taken away from you, then you cannot function; because how can you survive in a climate like Montana without a job to pay your

utilities?

MR. MACDONALD: It gets down to the point where if 50 people go to the station, that station is going to spend a whole lot of time. If they decide that, well, these people are a bunch of troublemakers and a bunch of rabble-rousers, you know, we want to strangle them off, are they going to try to go to 50 different employers and say, "We think you should get rid of this person"?

MRS. TRAVIS: I have been told that this has happened many, many times in Great Falls. This is kind of a common type thing.

MR. MACDONALD: Well, I can see how if you have one person or a couple of chronic rabble-rousers.

MRS. TRAVIS: No, they don't get to be chronic. They get rid of them before it gets chronic.

50 people, or 30 people? You can maybe do it with a few people ---

with 50. All you have to do is get one or two.

You know, it's just like a cow coming at you. You just throw a stone at one and hit him hard enough, and you've got it done. The others will move back.

1 2

ı̈́ο

- a

MR. MACDONALD: The Japanese students used to go through this whole thing during their demonstration period where they would all wear safety helmets and arm in arm march through the streets. You can't stop something like that. If one person would get cut off, okay, that can happen.

MRS. TRAVIS: What I was saying, though, is with the economic situation being what it is, all they have to do is get one or two people unemployed, and then that automatically takes the wind out of a few.

MR. MACDONALD: If it automatically happens, then they have won. It's a matter of someone else has the power, and if you want the power, what are you going to do, what sacrifices are, you know, whoever it is that's making the sacrifices, what are you willing to sacrifice to try to improve.

MRS. TRAVIS: Well, you see, the sacrifice would be that you have no livelihood; and that would certainly mean that you would have to leave the state.

MR. MACDONALD: Well, that's true.

MRS. TRAVIS: So, this is how the thing has been controlled all these years.

MR. MACDONALD: That's certainly a possibility;

Carried States

19-

__23

1

2

3

- 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Ž1

22

if you take that outlook on it and you say, "Okay, there is nothing we can do, so why bother."

MR. BOARD: This gentleman had a guestion here.

MR. LAME WOMAN (Northern Chevenne, Montana): I just want to clarify some of the things that were said.

This is a community organization thing here?

> MR. MACDONALD: Right.

MR. MONAGAS: Yes.

MR. LAME WOMAN: To clarify my earlier statements that I made here before the rest of the panel members here is that I am a community organizational leader. I sit on the Qua Qui Board of Directors for the Native American Indian Movement here. I sit on the Board of Directors , there. Also in the University Montana Indian Club I represent my tribe; and I feel that whatever I have to say as an individual Indian also is the opinion of a lot of Native Americans throughout this community. I feel that I can come as an individual and state my opinion and they would go along with it, because I represent them; and I was put in the position by elected vote to do so.

Also, I can do it the other way around, too. With my Native American Indian Movement I can bring a group of 50 to 70 people and get something done, also.

Ì

MR. MACDONALD: Yes, that's the point. If you go alone, it's a lot easier to brush you off; but if you go up with ten other people, it's a little harder to get rid of you.

MR. LAME WOMAN: If they had this meeting another couple days, I would.

MR. HESS (Missoula, Montana): My name is Phillip Hess from the University of Montana, Missoula.

One medium which is very important to the people in Montana that has been totally ignored during this conference, and that, of course, is radio. For the record, I think we should note that Qua Qui corporation produces it's own weekly radio program, which is aired on KUFM in Missoula.

(Applause.)

MR. MURDOCK: Mr. MacDonald, I just wanted to ask you, you are an assistant professor of journalism, and this is not necessarily adversary, but have you taught Indian people in your classes that you are aware of?

same assessment you might have.

MR. MURDOCK: I guess what I'm trying --

MR. MACDONALD: You want to know about the

journalism school?

changed.

23.

2.4

MR. MURDOCK: What I'm trying to define, because this morning and throughout the day, so many people have told me there is a lack of qualified Indian people in the State of Montana,

Indian people; and I'd like to know if that's the

MR. MACDONALD: Yes, I would say that's true; and I think it's ultimately going to have to be

Now, we had one of our faculty people who worked last summer at the Missoulian, did a series on lawyers and the law school; and one of the questions that kept coming up was, well, you know, there are very few Indian lawyers. There are none of them in the law school, or maybe one or two; and so he came back and said, "You know, I feel kind of bad. Here we went and did this, and we look around and we don't have any Indians in the journalism school." If you're going to trace the problem back --"

MR. MURDOCK: You have to get to elementary

school and secondary school before you can get into college.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

ີ17

18

19

20

21

- 22

23

24

25

That's right, and it's MR. MACDONALD: partly a problem of recruitment; and I think it's partly a problem of -- I think culturally Indians don't look to journalism as a profession. there were Indians in a respectable position that they could say, "Wow, that looks really good, and I'd like to do that," that would be just a dynamite start on it. As it is right now, that's not the The thing with this journalism school and a lot of journalism schools recruitment is not 他是在全部的一个人一位,一个时间的时候并不是在这个时间的时候就是一个时间的时候,但是这种时间的时候,这个时间,不是这样,不是这样,不是这样的人,不是这样的人,不 something that even goes on; because it's so AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER overcrowded that there just isn't any need to METALLE MESERGESTANDERSESTANDE recruit; and you kind of hope that people aren't The state of the s going to apply, because classes are so big anyway. 大学の大学などといい 一大小田のちかは中ではなるのはないないないのはないないないないないないないないないないないというと

organizations or clubs or that, would stress the fact that if you are undecided, why not try this and see, you know, get some input into it at the start. If you get into the whole thing, the whole circular economic argument, you don't have anyone in a position of authority, or you are in a bad economic plight you can't get out of, and so you are destined to stay there, your kids stay there, and it's --

MR. MURDOCK: I guess I would like to also agree -- this is more for the record than anything else -- with Mr. Hess, who has brought up the point of radio broadcasting in the State of Montana or anyplace, that the production cost in producing minority programming or anything is far, far less than it is in television; and it's an area which I think Native American people here should explore.

MR. MACDONALD: Also, probably more for the record than anything else, and it's in the confidential reports, is we applied last fall, last November for a grant from the Corporation for Public Broadcasting, an organization that is ostensibly supposed to be serving what is called a collective minority to do Indian programming, to do a study of Native Americans in our coverage area, which includes all of the Flathead Indian Reservation; and the Corporation for Broadcasting did not feel that was a significant enough proposal or a worthy enough area to be studied; so, you know, you get all the way up to the hierarchy and that's, you know, it stops.

(Workshop Session B then concluded.)

*:*1

PANEL C

1 2

3

Where's Montana Going in the Media?

5

MS. PETERSON: May I have your attention, please.

7

8

6

We are ready for our final panel of the day, which is entitled Where's Montana Going in the Media.

S

10

11

Our first speaker is W. J. Brier, Dean of the School of Journalism at the University of Montana.

12 13

Dean Brier.

14

15

MR. BRIER(Missoula, Montana): I think we have been given the hardest topic in this seminar, because peering into the future is a nervous task

Literary Digest picked Alf Landon to be President

over Truman in 1948, and that I picked George Forman

over Roosevelt in 1936, that Gallup picked Dewey

over Muhammad Ali in 1974. Be that as it may,

where is Montana going in the media?

16

at best

18

19

20

4

22

23

24

25

I have been a student of Montana journalism for over 13 years, and I am convinced that

I'm sober by the recollection that the

the past at least provides some clues to the future; so I would like to make these points.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

First, I foresee a sunny Montana morning when the leading newspaper executives announce that they are establishing a Washington, D.C. bureau to give us the news and the opinions we need from the nation's capital. This long has been advocated by my colleague at the journalism school, Professor Jerry Holloron; and I look forward to the smile on his face when this is announced by the lead executives. a bureau in Washington, D.C. is desperately needed, and we executives are eminently aware of this fact. Certainly one has to temper the idealism of the halls of academe with the realism of economics. The present environmental and energy crises make it absolutely manditory that we get firsthand, current, precise, reliable information about how our representatives are representing us and how federal agency decisions are affecting us.

will continue to move actively into areas of coverage that hitherto have been ignored or slighted. In moments of reverie, I wish I could bring back some of the old Anaconda era editors like lifetimer

"Lersten Egelston," and show them what some of the Montana newspapers and radio, TV stations are doing in the fields of environmental reporting, consumer reporting, political coverage, and especially criticism of authorities and the establishment. I don't think they'd believe it. The change since 1959 and the end of the Anaconda era has been truly revolutionary, and I think this change will continue.

-20

Certainly, some consideration might be given to expansion of coverage of Native American activities in this state. I am a regular reader of three Native American newspapers in Montana, and my experience from that readership suggests that the Native American story is not being told well by the professional Montana media.

Third, I believe the utilization of the computer in the printing industry now underway, especially at the Missoulian, inevitably will lead to improvements in content and communication.

predictions I anticipate a Montana press that is more closely aware of and responsive to the needs, desires, and preferences of its readers and viewers. Trying to determine these needs and preferences always has

been a major problem, but there are some rather sophisticated techniques available; and I think probably Montana news media will utilize some of these techniques in the future.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In the relationship between the press and women, I would expect additional impressive changes. In the past ten years we have witnessed a virtual demise of the historic bias against women as working journalists, and specifically as general assignment reporters. As more and more women obtain experience as reporters, as copy readers, I am convinced that more and more eventually will be named newspaper and radio and television editors. That is the pattern nationwide, and I'm confident 4 it will become the pattern in Montana. I note in passing that just in the past two months the Associated Press has appointed two women. Bureau Chiefs, and these are the first women Bureau Chiefs ever appointed in the history of the Associated Press in this country.

with racial minorities we encounter a major but not insoluable difficulty. Few blacks and especially few Native Americans are becoming qualified to work for the news media. When they do become qualified, that is, when they obtain a

college degree, they consistently have done very well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I joined the Montana faculty in 1962, and if my facts are correct, only two Native Americans have been graduated from the journalism school in that period. One is Lorraine Edmo, who is a television newscaster in Idaho; and one is Gary Kimble, who is an attorney, state representative, and a professor at the University of Montana. Both are unusually talented young people with brillant futures. I think we need more Gary Kimbles and Lorraine Edmos, and I'm not sure how we are going to get them. distressing fact is that many Native Americans in the journalism school do not complete their BA degree, their union paper so to speak; and this is frustrating and always has been frustrating to us.

For the present, we will continue to encourage them to major in journalism and help them as much as we can. Meanwhile, seeking some kind of innovative solution to this problem.

The Montana media need and want minority
journalists, and I can think of no other profession
in which Native Americans can work more constructively

toward the progress of their race as well as all races.

I am basically an optimist, so I visualize a Montana press that progresses steadily and strives very earnestly to serve this state as well as it can.

Thank you.

21.

MS. PETERSON: Thank you, Dean Brier.

Now, Chris Meyers, who is a very good female reporter for the Billings Gazette.

MS. MEYERS (Billings, Montana): I'm trying to decide if that was sexist, Helen.

MS. PÉTERSON: No, it wasn't meant that way.

MS. MEYERS: If I could, I would like to talk a little bit about evolution in the newsroom and maybe work up to some of the ideas I have about where journalism might be going with specific reference to the Gazette.

About seven years ago, I began working at the Gazette, and like many women at that time, I began as a society reporter doing sort of part-time Sunday magazine feature work. There was one female reporter in the newsroom at the time, and she, too, did an awful lot of feature work. That was in 1968.

20

22

23

24

25

To contrast that, now, in 1975, the Gazette has 11 full time reporters and five of them are women with additional part-time night female reporters. That is in addition to the three-woman society magazine team, which speaking of society and women's pages as we have today, I think it might be meaningful to talk a little bit about the evolution of the women's pages. No longer are they strictly how to make the best dill pickle in town, but they are talking about family planning and birth control and changing roles in society. I have done features on, for instance, a couple of people who have sort of reversed tradional roles. The man is staying home and taking care of the one-year old child, and the woman is finishing her PhD. These are the kinds of things that I am interested in writing, and I think we are doing more of this on the "women's pages." So, they are not so much women's pages as they are sort of evolutionary change pages, and I'm sort of happy to see that happen.

We don't have any women's news editors.

There is a women's magazine editor. We don't have any women sports writers, but I have been told that that's coming in the future; and I checked the applications before I came down here; and interestingly

enough, there are no applications from women on the sports section; so if anybody out there is a female and a sports reporter, maybe we could find a place for you. My boss isn't here, so I don't have to answer that.

I think this change at the Gazette in these past seven and a half years is symptomatic of the national change, which is both by increasing awareness and by changing legislation. The awareness probably precedes the legislation since mostly male editors are finally beginning to realize that all women don't work six months then quit the job to get married or pregnant, or pregnant and married, whichever. In fact, most of the women on our full-time reporting staff now would, I think, show an incredible range of preferences and lifestyles are now existing. Of the five women I mentioned earlier, two are divorced; one is in her 30s; one is just about 50. One is a sixty-some year old widow: One is single, and then I'm married. might be irrelevant, except that I think it proves that women whether married, single, divorced, or widows are not only joining the work force in great numbers, but are establishing serious and professional careers in order to make them both

264

1

, · ·

4.4.3

22

23

24

financially and psychologically independent human beings.

Along with the physical changes in the newsroom, the type of stories we now cover represent a far wider range than they did in the late '60s; and I think that is due to the editorial realization that more activist groups whether racial, ethnic, poor, low income, or old, mental health, whatever it might happen to be, are sprouting up in the country and in Billings, and competing for both well-trained personnel, lecturers and important government grants to spread their word. So, we have regular coverage now of the women's rights coalitions in the city, of the day care centers, the rights of the young child groups, of Indian and Mexican-American groups; and we have done many series. I have done, I think, for both of them on Mexican-American activities in town, the Native American Indian, his culture, his goals, his needs; and dozens of stories on the Chicano culture, the Cinco de Mayo celebrations; Mexican-American problems with obtaining equal right status in town, things like that. It's kind of a two-way street. It's an exchange, the way I look at it.

265

2

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

Į

14

15

16

17

- E,

20

21

22

23

24

I have mentioned increasing news awareness, but just as important, the minorities are getting better organized, and they are actively seeking out the newspaper to cover their panels, or their symposiums, or debates, whatever it might be, and to help them with their problems, whether it's getting enrolled in a college and getting scholarships, or finding a house in a decent part of town, whatever it might be. As an example of that, about six months ago some Indian students who had known me when I was in the education beat, came into the newsroom and just walked in. It was a Saturday, and I just happened to be working. They said, "We have been looking for a place to live for three weeks, and we can't find one. have called the renters, and we have sent in deposits, and we have shown up, and you know, sorry, this apartment has already been filled." went out with them for a couple of days, and turned in a story; and the editors played it very well on the front page. We got a lot of reaction from that story, both from prospective renters, and from landlords, and from civil rights coalitions. So, I think the concerns are changing on the part of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

12

13

- 14

15

16

17

18

19 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

266

both the paper and the public.

Besides minorities, we are covering 1 low income groups through the Community Action Program 2 3 on a regular basis. Senior citizens and the plight of the elderly, especially low income elderly, how 4 5 they exist on the meager Social Security payments that they get. We have had series on nursing home б 7 I have done a series that we are still continuing on women who have broken into jobs that 8 might five or six years ago, or even five or six months ago had been considered tradionally male 10 bastions.

would be that we could someway get beyond the tokenism and beyond the rhetoric and beyond all the jargon that tends to just turn into abstration ultimately and mean nothing, and that people will be able to compete for jobs, and for stories, and for space in the newspaper on the basis of their concerns, and their needs, and as far as jobs are concerned, on the basis of their competency, not their color or the shape of their skin. I hope this isn't naive, but I don't think it's impossible that even up here in the "hitherlands" this isn't too far off.

I think we have a responsibility as a

12

§ 13

14

15

16

2,47

19

18

20

21

22

23

9

-10

11

<u>†</u> 12

-13

14

15

16

newspaper to not only mirror the needs as one of the editors mentioned this morning, but to sort of anticipate the changing needs and the changing moods and concerns of the people. I hope that we will be not the last to know, but the first to find out, that we won't be fullfilling just a passive role of reflecting, but an active role of being an important catalyst for social change.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. PETERSON: Thank you very much, Chris.

Our next panelist is Clifford Ewing,

who is general manager of KRTV in Great Falls.

Mr. Ewing.

MR. EWING (Great Falls, Montana): Thank you.

My area of responsibility this afternoon is supposed to be Are there adequate employment opportunities at television stations in the State of Montana for women, and in particular, minority women. I fear I must take a very negative attitude on this question, because employment opportunities at the stations in Montana are not adequate for minorities, women, white male Caucasians, or graduates of our state universities. We have a very low turnover rate as

-17

18 63

/19 20

21

22

23

24

Mary Elizabeth Stewartalluded to a short time ago.

The stations in Montana are small market stations,

and they are not expanding. I'm quite sure everyone

of my department heads will say, "I need at least

two more people in my department in order to do my

job adequately," but if small market stations like

ours in the State of Montana added two more people

in every department, we would be out of business.

The income just will not take care of it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

, Ì1

. 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other fact is that more than one-third of our employees are engineers and require specialized training and a First Class Operator's License from the Federal Communications Commission. The other fact is that there are almost no female engineers. This is a field that the ladies just have no apparent interest in. are some rays of sunshine there. We do have a young lady at our station in Butte at the present time that we are training. She expects to take her examination for her First Class FCC license sometime this year, and we expect to keep her on our staff. I have always felt that females would be excellent operators in the control room simply because they have more coordination than most men. They can push the buttons and play the switching

equipment like an organ, and I think they would be just absolutely fantastic; but they just aren't there. Many other positions in the television station require specialized training or a very good command of the English language.

One of the other problems, since we are talking about the American Indian in the State of Montana, is that they live on Reservations a distance from the communities where the television stations are located.

We are an equal opportunity employer.

I'm on record with every state employment office in the State of Montana and elsewhere, and with every radio school that we normally do business with when we are looking for engineers, particularly. When we have an opening, we say, "Send me your minorities first." We do that because the federal government tells us to do it, and they started out years ago saying you cannot when you are trying to hire a person ask him what his religion is or what his nationality is; and now they say we have to find out what his nationality is; and if he is black, Indian, Puerto Rican, or what, we must give him first preference.

It doesn't always work out that way.

n 10

° 17

If I have two people apply for a job, and one is black and one is white, and the white has experience and the black does not, I think any employer would say I have got to go with the experience. If we are in a position to create a job so that we can hire that other person and train him, then we will do it; and I have done that; and we have had some miserable experiences with it.

NBC and CBS have a plan whereby local stations who are willing to cooperate with them can pick a minority person who is interested in journalism and send him to Columbia University for a one year course of training in journalism. The station pays half of his way; the networks pay the other half. When the year's course is completed, that individual is guaranteed a full-time job at the station that is sponsoring him.

When this first started, we spent a great deal of time covering the entire State of Montana trying to find an Indian who expressed an interest in learning journalism. We had every Indian agency assisting us, and finally we came up with a person that fulfilled the qualifications that Columbia had. A representative of Columbia came out here and interviewed the person, and he

was accepted. He went back to Columbia and disappeared. He never attended one course.

1

2

3.

4

5

6

7

8

12

Î3

14

Į15

.16

惠 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The next year, we did it again, and exactly the same thing happened. The Indian went back to Columbia, never attended one class, disappeared in the building someplace.

A black airman at Malmstrom Air Force Base in the middle of last year came to my office one day and said that his job classification in the Air Force was being eliminated, and he had planned to make the Air Force his career; and they were taking him out of the Air Force, not because he was black, simply because; and he said, "I think I am interested in television. It was their job It just so happened that one of our training positions for studio camera and production was available at that time, and I said, "Absolutely, we will put you in this training program." He was excited about it, and I was excited about it. said, "Now, in my annual employment report to the FCC I can finally tell them that I have a minority on my staff; because each year they just absolutely can't understand why every television station in the State of Montana doesn't have at least 50 per cent of their staff American Indian."

MR. MONAGAS: I resent that. That is absolutely not true. You are giving a very wrong impression of the FCC requirement here. You are absolutely mistaken.

Now, you can continue your diatribe, and I'll listen to the rest of it to see what you have to say.

MR. EWING: Anyway, we created this job for this young black airman, and he was very interested in it. He was there every day. He learned the routine to our satisfaction, and we put him on a part-time weekend basis to begin with, and after a week of that, he disappeared. We never saw him again.

We regularly invite American Indians from Reservations in our area to come and appear not only on our Today in Montana program, which is telecast statewide, but our regularly scheduled newscasts. We have had some very good dialogue with the leaders of the various tribes. We have many good friends there. Whenever they come to our station for appearances on programs like this, I invite them into my office so that I can interview them on my continuing community ascertainment queries that we do every month at our station, and

. _ _ .

蓝净电

.8 ... 9.:

I asked one of the tribal leaders from the

Fort Benton area one day, I said, "How come there
is no apparent interest in broadcast from any of
the young people of your tribe?" This is a quote,
he said, "I don't know." He said, "It is quite
apparent to me that the only thing they are
interested in television is watching it."

So, we are a little dismayed. That's true, but we haven't given up hope. The results have been disappointing. Our apprentice and scholarship programs have produced a limited number of good staff members. As I mentioned, we are training a woman as an engineer at our station in Butte. An Indian we trained there two years ago had to be discharged for consistently reporting late to work, and the same situation happened at my competition in Great Falls, at KFBB. They had a very nice young lady who worked for a while, and I understand that the same sort of a situation arose there; and that's why she is not any longer on the staff.

Is that correct, Ken?

MR. DUNHAM (Great Falls, Montana): Yes.

MR. EWING: The field training course is probably too new to give us any real indication of

of its value in recruiting, but to date the results have been practically zero. Training in small stations is very difficult, and it requires real dedication on the part of the department head.

Professor Hess of the University of Montana has instigated several years ago a summer session in cooperation with the television stations in the State of Montana, and we expect to have three or four more students with us again at our stations, at each of our stations this summer. I see three of my former students in the audience today, and they are pursuing their journalism careers; and at least one of them has a position to go to this summer that I know of. So, we are continuing our search; and we will continue to actively pursue this thing. I just wish once that somebody would come into my office and say, "Here I am. I can do this, and I would like to go to work." Believe me, we would make every effort to try and put them to work; but I haven't had that experience yet with the one exception that I mentioned earlier; and that turned out very badly.

MS. PETERSON: Thank you, Mr. Ewing.

Mr. Monagas, I want to give you a chance to respond; but first, Chris Meyers has to leave

275

1

·**2**·

3

4

5

6

Ì

ð

-9<u>.</u>

10

11:

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and she has asked me to tell you that she will be very happy to answer questions if they are short enough. So, if anyone has a question or two of Chris right now, would you please ask them.

Yes, Mr. Beck.

MR. BECK(Great Falls, Montana): Do you think there is a real opportunity for you to reach a management position or an editorship?

MS. MEYERS: Well, that's a question often asked of me; and frankly, I feel that I can be much more of an advocate and much more instrumental in working with the kind of change that I think is inevitable and good as a reporter; and I prefer to be a reporter. As long as I am paid well for being a reporter, that will be my choice. Of the five women I mentioned, all of us are pretty much in the same position. We are content to be good reporters, and I am interested in kind of reporter liberation. I would like to see the whole status of reporter upgraded, too.

MR. BECK: Do you feel that there is a real opportunity for someone, not necessarily you, who is a woman, to gain a position? Would there be a real fair opening?

MS. MEYERS: Oh, certainly.

ĭ

MR. BECK: Would they be treated equally?

MS. MEYERS: I have been asked four or five times if I would be interested in a desk job, and there have been women editors in the past, although I said that there have not except for magazine, but they are nothing more than copy editors and wire editors.

MR. BECK: Also, at the Billings Gazette

do you know the pay scale? Do they pay equal salaries

for people s positions?

MS. MEYERS: Absolutely.

MR. BECK: There is no discrimination?

MS. MEYERS: In fact, I make more than a lot of the men right now, who have been there less time than I have.

MS. PETERSON: Chris, I would like to ask one question. Do you feel as a reporter that you are free to write as you see fit; and in this area, at least, you are in a decision-making position?

MS. MEYERS: As I see fit, well --

MS. PETERSON: I don't mean --

MS. MEYERS: I feel that I can cover any story, and cover it fairly and objectively whether it has to do with women's rights, or the baseball game they were talking about this morning, or the

3

4

5

وَ رَا

- K - **8**

์ โก้

11

į 12

13*

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

city council meeting; and I feel that the very fact that a woman is in this position is symptomatic of some kind of change and evolution, and I really think it's a good sign. I don't feel any kind of tokenism like "Let's send a woman."

MS. PETERSON: I don't think I made myself quite clear. Do you feel in the way you write about things that you have the right to make your own decision in many areas?

MS. MEYERS: As much as any observer does, if that's what you mean.

MS. PETERSON: Yes.

between being objective and being fair and none of us is entirely objective. We all have biases, and prejudices, and ideas, and goals, and philosophies; but I do feel that I have, you know, knowing me as they do, and knowing the interest they know that I have, the editors still feel that they can send me out to do a story, that I will do a competent job, a fair job, and that I won't promote my interests except in an editorial; and then they always make certain that they explain that it is an editorial.

So, I think the answer would be, yes.

ţ li

. T**Q**

-24

MRS. TRAVIS: Yes, I want to follow up on Helen's question; because I have had personal conversations with female reporters from a number of newspapers here in Montana, just off the record

Geraldine, did you want to ask something?

felt free to write. What I would like to know is if you take it upon yourself to write a story, will

conversations. And she, you know, asked if you

the newspaper always publish it?

MS. MEYERS: I can honestly say that I have never turned in a story that they have tossed back and said, "The story stinks," or "We can't use this." Maybe I have just been lucky, but I do contract a lot --

MRS. TRAVIS: Have they edited it very much?

MS. MEYERS: No. Well, do you remember the story, in fact, you remember the story I did on you when you came to town?

MRS. TRAVIS: Yes.

MS. MEYERS: The whole women's lib thing was sort of at a height then, and I remember one of the main things we talked about was that you weren't just interested in burning bras and all the stereotypical things that people thought the

women's rights movement was interested in; and they gave that story marvelous play on the section front, and it had a big eight-column banner headline and everything. They thought it was great, because I think I had written it so it would be interesting to people whether they were interested in feminism in particular, or that it might just be a well read story.

* / MRS. TRAVIS: Do yoù know of any instances where stories have not been published?

MS. MEYERS: I personally don't, Geraldine.

As I said, I have had a pretty contented existence
there; because I have had to argue in certain points;
but I have always argued fairly well and come out
all right.

MRS. TRAVIS: Thank you.

Ť

2.

3

4

5

*8

10

.11

12

13

14

15.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PETERSON: You had a question?

MS. BROWN (Bozeman, Montana): Yes, it had something to do with something you were talking about before.

Given the economic vested interest behind the media, whether in television, newspapers, or whatever, I am interested in how difficult or what limits you feel this puts on editorial policy, or really what you can write about or positions a

~;

paper could take. In other words, what are the economic and central controls that really perhaps inhibit debate on really political issues, or would really work at changing the images of the passive dumb female or the inept drunken minority figure or whatever?

controls there might be or what there might be to offset this?

MS. BROWN: Yes, it's my feeling that there are obviously in your advertising or whatever, some people would just cut off from the paper if you weren't too far; and the same thing on the -- it's a question that could be directed to TV, too. Someone selling a certain product is not going to want, perhaps, to sponsor a program that goes a little too far. That's the kind of control I'm talking about, the economic one.

MS. MEYERS: I understand what you are saying. I don't experience that kind of frustration in the newspaper room, because I have great liberty to do the kind of stories I want. If they are well written, they will appear in the paper. I can be assured of that.

The advertisers, on the other hand,

that's a whole different ballgame, and you would have to talk to some of them; but they are more selective. They do have certain screening procedures that I am not familiar with, and maybe that gets back to what we were talking about in the workshop earlier about perpetuating the role of the woman as, you know, the chambermaid and this sort of sex statusism.

In my job, if people come in to me with a story and it's something they think they want known, and if I write that story competently, and I think this would be true of any reporter, the desk will give it a fair shot without any fear of reprisal, or repercussion, or what are the readers going to say, and so on. Maybe you might be interested in going and spending an afternoon and talking with some of the advertisers; because they have a much different idea of images and of social change; and I think are much more controlled by whether people will like what they see than the news media is; because people don't pay for stories; but they do pay for ads.

MS. PETERSON: Thank you so much, Chris.

Mr. Monagas, would you like to respond

2

3

م نیم د

-

8-

9

.10

11

___;1:2

13 14

15

--

16

17 18

19

20

21

23

24

25

now, or should we finish our panel first?

MR. MONAGAS: I'll leave it up to you.

MS. PETERSON: Respond now then.

MR. MONAGAS: This won't be a response to all of the remarks that the licensee made, but this will be particularly a statement of clarification of the Equal Employment Opportunity Rules and the affirmative action required on the part of the licensee.

Desconfused about our rules and requirements, and I suggest that he either get in touch with me or have his counsel get in touch with me so that there is absolute clarification of the rules.

to: If he could hire a minority, so therefore, his report would then show that he has at least 50 per cent of his work force made up of minorities would bring great satisfaction to the Commission, is not the requirement or interpretation of the affirmative action. The requirement is that according to the work force that he has full time, and according to the population of his minority community and his SMSA, we ask the question when he shows no minorities employed on the annual

.20

employment report for a period of two years as he comes into license renewal to tell us why there are no minorities employed. His response can be one that sets out all of the facts. That he has had no job turnover, obviously, he cannot hire minorities in that period; and there is no requirement in the affirmative action rules that requires you to hire a person over and beyond your absolute need so that you get into an economic bind by creating a job.

Secondly, if the minority population within his SMSA in the area he serves, is a certain percentage of the total population that he serves, be it 3 per cent, 7 per cent, 15 per cent, or what have you, the requirement there is not to have that comparable amount of minority employees within his work force, but something within what is known as the zone of reasonableness out of a court case I hope he is familiar with called Chuck Stone vs. FCC.

The ruling in that case against the licensee was that if a licensee employed minorities in some reasonable proportion to their percentage within the population of the area served, then the licensee has shown compliance to the affirmative action.

ī

6 **'7**

9

10

8

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

I just want to make a clarification of the rules and not get into an argument with the licensee; but if there is any question as to what our rules mean, I suggest that he get in touch with us at the FCC, either that or have his counsel get in touch with us so that there is that kind of clarification.

What particularly annoyed me is the misinterpretation of the rule and presentation of that to the public as well as to this panel. think, all we need is facts that deal with facts only and not with what is assumption.

Thank you.

MS. PETERSON: Thank you, Mr. Monagas. (Applause.)

MR. EWING: May I say something?

MS. PETERSON: Certainly.

MR. EWING: Mr. Monagas, I think you misunderstood me. At least, I hope you did anyway, and far be it from me to get into a debate with the office of the general counsel.

You stated the rules precisely, and you know them better than I do I'm sure. was saying, at least what I was attempting to say, was that when the employment reports, when

<u>.</u>∉11

we first started having to fill them out you recall, the feedback came back to us through our counsel to the effect that they couldn't understand why, because a certain percentage of our population was American Indian, that we did not have any American Indians on our staff. My own statement was, the way I reacted, you know, I said, "I think they think we should have at least 50 per cent American Indian on our staff, and we can't even get one."

of the rules, and we do make every attempt to find these people as I have stated, although we have been very unsuccessful so far.

MR. MONAGAS: Well, fine. I mean, it's a closed issue as far as I'm concerned. Let me only suggest to you as Chairman Wiley has indicated that a licensee has the right to get in touch with Commission staff as well.

MR. EWING: Right.

MR. MONAGAS: He does not necessarily have to wait for his counsel to do it or be bound to his counsel that says don't get in touch with the FCC about these things at all. If a licensee has a simple question that he wants clarification on,

he's perfectly entitled to call up the FCC and deal directly --

MR. EWING: And I have done that.

MR. MONAGAS: Oh, very good.

<u>.9</u>

MR. EWING: We appreciate your help.

MR. BOARD: Madame Chairperson.

MS. PETERSON: Mr. Board, we have one more panelist.

MR. BOARD: Well, I would like to, if I could since everyone is baring souls and making clarification I think we need to make a clarification so that perhaps the next panelist unlike the other panelists wouldn't approach it with perhaps fear.

In a previous panel the statement was made, and I hope I am correct in this, or the assumption was made that the compilation of the blue books which the committee members have was compiled by a person who was not a journalist and that the material contained in this confidential information was slanted. I think for the audience's information, I have learned that the person who compiled it is a trained journalist, that the person who compiled it has also had five years of actual journalism experience.

I think it needs to be pointed out, too, 1 that the purpose of gathering the information was not as in journalism to publish it as a journalist would gather news for public consumption. 5 also want to point out to the members of the 6 audience that this information was given to the 7 epanel members simply, as I understand it, as a 8 committee member, as simply a guideline and that none of the questions -- we are not bound to ask any of the questions which have been suggested. 10 The questions which have been asked by me personally 11 have been questions which may have been prompted 12 by the material which I have seen, but not 13 restricted to them. I think that we have to 14 15 recognize that the nature of the conference deals with an explosive subject and that subject is the 16 17 business of civil rights. Thus, when a person 18 perhaps has it pointed out to them that maybe there 19 is an area that has not been totally treated, you 20 might be perceived as a threat to them; and 21 consequently, people's tempers may fly.

Also, I think that the committee members should know that whoever it was who revealed for the purpose of the committee the confidential report is subject to criminal prosecution for having done so.

22

23

24

25

That's all I have to say. So, I mean, any questions which I ask of any of the people who are going to speak or have spoke, I have the integrity that no one is going to tell me what I am going to say at any time or place; and I would hope that counsel, if I get off on a tangent where I might incriminate myself, would tell me that I better shut up.

MRS. TRAVIS: I would have something I would like to say, also.

I would like to know if the person who saw the blue confidential book would be willing to state and give the person's name.

MR. MACDONALD: I would gladly do it. I'm the person who found the book; and actually, no one revealed it to me. I asked three members of the panel last night if I could see simply what was written in the book about myself, because I wanted to find out if it was accurate and clear; and while it was very flattering, unfortunately, it was not true.

The blue book stated that I was instrumental in obtaining a grant from the cooperation, rather than corporation, for Public Broadcasting to do a study of the Flathead Indian

Reservation. I would very much like it that that had come about; but unfortunately, we did not receive the grant. I think it's important that that be established.

1

3

1 15

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, as to who revealed the book, no one revealed the book. In fact, I picked it up off the table when it was left behind, and I haven't any idea whose book it was.

MR. BIGHORN: Can you tell us where the table was?

MR. MACDONALD: The table was in the lounge back at the Holiday Inn, so I'm the guilty cohort. If I'm suspect or subject to prosecution, I don't know if that's the case; but the point being there was this mysterious confidential report, which it seemed to me, especially after seeing it and seeing what was printed, at least the members of this panel, of all the panels and the workshops should have been granted the opportunity to take a look at that; because Ms. Van Valkenburg stated that information she saw was not accurate. Ms. Bloom stated that information that was attributed to her was not accurate, and information concerning, me, although I wish it were accurate, is not. think that was the main point, because we are dealing

with something that -- this is a conference; the report is going to be an official report; and it's important that that information be as specific and as accurate as possible. So, I think that's the reason that I myself was interested in the report, aside from the mere curiosity. When you stamp confidential on something, it makes it all the more fun to look at.

· 4

~ 8:

MR. LEVIS (Denver, Colorado): Could I just make one clarification. The only clarification I want to make is first of all, the information was provided for the committee based on the interviews we did. The reason for the court reporter today is so that we get an accurate record. There was some criticism earlier that this was a hearing type situation. It's not meant to be that way. The reason the court reporter is here is to make sure that every word is copied so that we have an accurate record so that when the report is written, we will have the right information.

The report which you looked at last night, the copy, whatever you want to call it, the blue book was not the report. It was just information. It was a briefing book for the

committee. The final report, which will be distributed to everyone who has attended this conference is based on information provided at this conference, plus interviews, plus letters that will be sent to some of the members who have testified today as far as clarification. Everything will be double checked before it's released to the public, and that is the reason for the court reporter, for the interviews, and also the conference today.

įį

14.

MR. MACDONALD: I appreciate that, and I think it's a fine idea. I appreciate the fact that there is a court reporter, and I think that only points out the need to have accurate information at the beginning. What good is it to start out with a report that it is not accurate, and that to quiz people on specific subjects dealing with employment, dealing with minority opportunities, if you don't have the facts correct in the first place; and I think this is something that just discussing with several members of the committee they were concerned about, also.

MS. PETERSON: This whole procedure is very much out of order and very unfair to our final panelist, and I'm going to call on Barbara Mittal right now. Once the panel is completed, any comments

anybody wants to make will be welcomed. We will be happy to sit and listen to them.

-6

MS. MITTAL (Great Falls, Montana): Thank you.

Recently one of the desk printers at the Tribune
stopped to talk to me; and he said, "I see you are
in women's lib now." I didn't quite know what to
think, because he often tells some pretty sick jokes;
but he said, "That's okay. I'm a union man, and
I believe in equal pay for equal work." In fact,
it was his union, The International Typographical
Union, that was a leader in equal pay for women.
The ITU has negotiated equal pay and conditions
since 1885. It's taken some unions a long time to
accept that policy, but they are all caught in the
momentum now.

The Newspaper Guild introduced the resolution supporting the Equal Rights Amendment that was adopted by the AFL-CIO, and has been created with the ratification of the ERA in several states.

The Great Falls Newspaper Guild, of which I am a member, has recognized men and women as equals since it was chartered in 1936. Men and women organized the Guild, they went to the bargaining table together, and negotiated a contract with the

employer that recognized them as equals within broadcasting job classifications, broke them down only by experience rating.

:8

One of the benefits that have been achieved more recently, but has been in effect for several years in Great Falls, is the six months maternity leave that assures a woman of her previous job at her pay scale when she returns to work.

Last fall I met a woman who had worked for Time Life back when Henry Luce was in charge and was working under a Guild contract. She credited maternity leave with her ability to achieve success in her career, because she was allowed this leave to have three children. She was able to return to her job and also have a family. This allowed her an upward mobility in her career, and at the time I met her she was receiving a national award for her success as a journalist.

Similarly, a reporter at the Great Falls
Tribune took six months maternity leave, returned
to her job as general assignment reporter at her
same pay level, and within a few months, about
six, I think, she was city hall reporter. If she
had had to go to, say, another job, she would have
had to start all over.

The value of a Guild is not only to contract wages and benefits, but in enforcing them. If any employee is not treated fairly, organized action has greater impact than one small voice crying out. And the Guild contract goes far beyond federal guidelines; and this allows sex, race, creed, religion, color, marital and parental status, national origin, and political belief or activity, as hiring criteria.

.

4:

. 5

The news media have done such a good job in telling people about equal employment that there are about 100,000 cases awaiting EEOC action, and this could take more than four years to settle, to complete. So Guilds are working from the grass roots by bringing their local organized weight to bear through collective bargaining to insure equality of their own members.

Unions are aware that they as well as the employer can be sued for discriminatory practices.

Management and unions are in this together. Some of the best newspapers in the country, with some of the best contracts have been sued for discriminatory practices. These include The New York Times,

Washington Post, San Francisco Chronicle and Examiner, and Seattle Post Intelligencer.

Dean Brier mentioned two women who have been appointed Bureau Chiefs with the Associated Press. This came after action was filed against the AP, and it could happen in Montana, too.

p 1

ž

Unions can take action on behalf of potential members also. The New York Guild recently helped win three jobs for Puerto Rican women who had taken tests for an advertised job, and their scores didn't differ greatly from those of 18 Gaucasian women who were hired. An arbitrator found the New York Times guilty of discriminating in its hiring.

Now, some labor department literature encourages women and minorities to enter apprenticeship which provides on-the-job training and pretty much assurance of a job. However, the outlook for employment in many newspaper crafts is grim.

Computers are becoming widely used in Montana as elsewhere in the country, and there are large reductions in craft union staffs; and unions are now training fewer apprentices, because there are no prospective jobs for them.

So, where do people get training so they can get into the field? I think that high school journalism programs are the most important.

Some high school journalism programs suffer because the teacher is just thrown into it with no interest at all. The Montana Interscholastic Editorial Association has been high school journalism's best friend; but I think that unions, publishers, broadcasters, and professional journalism organizations should work together to help improve high school journalism.

-5

~7

People from the Tribune speak to high school journalists in Great Falls every year, and I'm sure they do in all the other major cities in the state; but what about the people in places like Sula and Chinook, Brady, Valier? These are places where some of the Great Falls Tribune staff members came from. They want to get into the business, too. And what about the high schools on the Indian Reservations? I think there should be special emphasis put on journalism in those high schools so that Indian students will become interested in the field and want to enter.

Equal pay for equal work is a simplistic look at the employment situation. It goes far beyond that, and it will take educators to guide women and minorities into journalism; employers to place women and minorities into jobs; and it will

take unions to help assure those employees of fair treatment as individuals.

(Applause.)

į 1

Ž

,6

MS. PETERSON: Now, the floor is open for questions if you want to ask them.

I'm going to make one request. It's 5:30, our staff has to catch a plane; so I am going to ask you not to make statements but simply to ask questions if you please. You will have a chance to make statements later on.

MR. MURDOCK (Duluth, Minnesota): Mr. Ewing, could you explain to me in more detail your recruitment policies and procedures, the training programs you have, and again, perhaps for my own benefit, why you have no Indian people on your staff.

MR. EWING: Every year the Montana Television Network awards two scholarships, one at the film television department at Montana State University, and one here at the University of Montana television department. Those two scholarship recipients have the opportunity to work at any one of our MTN stations in the state for the entire summer. The people who get these scholarships have finished their junior year and

will be going on to their senior year after spending the summer with us.

We also hire a news intern from the school of journalism here, somebody who feels he wants some experience in the electronic news media.

The other regular training program that we have is a part-time camera production position that we have at all of our stations, and this generally is a high school senior who feels he has an interest in television, and we give him the opportunity to learn something about the business; and then he is able to determine whether he wants to continue with it. We have been pleased in many instances that these young people have gone on to either the film-television department at Bozeman or the television school here at the university.

That's the extent of our regular training program; and as I stated earlier, it's in addition to the one month long university course that students sign up for and get credit for from the university here that we are going into — what is it now, the fourth year, Phil?

MR. HESS (Missoula, Montana): Fourth year this summer.

MR. EWING: But that's the extent of it right now, and we just can't handle more as I stated earlier; because we just don't have the wherewithal to have a whole flock of people in our facility and try to train them.

Does that answer your question?

MR. MURDOCK: I have just an additional

part on it as to why you have no Indian people on

your staff in any department.

MR. EWING: I discovered after we started having to file this employment report with the FCC, in going around and inquiring of my staff if any of them were a member of a minority group, and I discovered that I had a person in my film and delivery department that had a quarter per cent of — I don't even remember what tribe; but the reason, as I stated earlier, is that when we have an opening and we go to the schools or go to the employment offices and say send us your minority group, I have never had an Indian applicant. Never.

MS. PETERSON: Are their any other questions?

MR. VAN VALKENBURG(Missoula, Montana): My

name is Fred Van Valkenburg. I am an attorney from

Missoula. I would like to direct my question to

Mr. Board.

explain what your concept of civil rights is in relationship to the right of free speech, the right of freedom of press, the right of freedom of association in light of your statement that people should be subject to criminal prosecution for disseminating confidential reports of your committee, and how you expect we as the citizens of Montana to believe that you have a real sincere valid interest in individual civil rights when you throw around words like criminal prosecution.

MR. LEVIS: Madame Chairperson, could I just explain what the law says, and then Mr. Board can answer that as far as --

MS. PETERSON: Yes, briefly; but try to keep it short.

MR. LEVIS: The law pertains to employees of the federal government. It does not pertain to anyone else as far as the release of material that is exempted from public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, which is for your information 5 U.S.C. 552. The law only pertains to federal employees. It does not pertain to anyone else as far as confidential material.

MR. VAN VALKENBURG: Now, would Mr. Board

please respond to my question.

Ì

£.

MR. BOARD: All I meant is that when I received this and it has this part that says confidential and that "Any Commission officer or employee, including State Advisory Committee members who release or abuse such confidential information is subject to criminal prosecution and will be removed from office" then it has the number here U.S.C. 1905; and what I attempted to say that if someone deliberately who had read this, who was a committee member, passed this out, they were responsible, not the person who read it.

MR. VAN VALKENBURG: Is it your opinion then that just because it was distributed to someone that they should be prosecuted? Is that the way your mind works? That's what I'm trying to get at.

MR. LEVIS: I don't think this is a trial either from our side or their side.

MS. PETERSON: No, I don't think so; and
I'm just going to tell you I am going to have a little
bit to say about this in my closing remarks as a
person who is in the middle and has probably been
criticized by everyone today. I'm not a bit mad
about it, and I wish the rest of you would not be

angry.

₹2

mR. VAN VALKENBURG: Are you ruling this question then out of order?

within the scope of this conference. It has come up at the conference, but we are really talking about --

MR. VAN VALKENBURG: We are talking about civil rights, human rights, and I want to know if that's the way he thinks, and if we as Montana citizens should have him on this Advisory Committee when he thinks that people should -- if he does in fact think that -- that people should be prosecuted simply because there is a law there.

MR. LEVIS: Madame Chairperson, I think
Mr. Van Valkenburg can discuss this with Mr. Board
after the meeting.

MS. PETERSON: I'm inclined to agree, and I feel that this whole discussion is out of order at this point.

Are there any further questions?

MS. BABBY(Billings, Montana): I'm Bert Babby,
and I'm from Billings, Montana. I would like to
direct this question to Mr. Ewing.

I would like to know if you would like to

have Indian employees in your organization? I say this because I have worked 21 years, 7 with the government, 7 with the State of California, and 7 in private industry. I have had approximately 15 jobs. At every job interview -- I am Indian -- I have been cautioned as to what would happen to me if I was tardy, missed work because of drunkeness, all of these things that Indians supposedly do.

MS. PETERSON: Would you please assign yourself to a question rather than a statement.

MS. BABBY: This has been mentioned at practically every session today, and every job I have had these questions come up. They still exist where I work. This is the general feeling of the employers, and I have seen that it becomes a pattern of some of the employees, because the employers feel this way; and I really wonder if you want Indian employees.

MR. EWING: If I had a job position and an applicant was an Indian and he was qualified for the position, I certainly would hire him. To my recollection, and I hope one of my employees who is in the audience will back me on this, I don't think I have ever stated to any person that I have ever hired that you are going to be out on your ear

if you ever report to work late or come to work drunk. This is something that I assume the individual knows himself. I explain company benefits, policies, and that's it.

~ .3 ુ

MS. BABBY: Well, you seem to feel it necessary to tell us about the two incidents of the Indians going off to college. I don't know what the relevance was.

MS. PETERSON: Please, confine yourself to questions rather than statements. We have no time. You may make a written statement to this committee, and I'll tell you how in just a minute.

Is there anyone else who has a question rather than a statement?

MR. DUNHAM (Great Falls, Montana): I am

Ken Dunham, the news director of Channel 5 KFBB

television in Great Falls. I have a question for
the staff members of the Civil Rights Commission.

It appears that this matter of the reports, the confidential reports, would not have come up, and no one in the audience would have known about these reports had not one been left on the desk by mistake. Several of us who were interviewed by the Civil Rights Commission prior to this conference are concerned that statements

we made may not be all that accurate either. People today have expressed a concern that statements they made were not reported accurately. Will those of us who gave interviews to the Civil Rights Commission prior to this conference have an opportunity to see our statements before you compile those in any finalized report?

MR. LEVIS: Under the Freedom of Information Act, Madame Chairperson and Mr. Dunham and everyone else in the audience, any person under the Freedom of Information Act has a right to see any public documents. If anyone writes requesting the information in writing concerning their interview, we will send that inverview to them.

MR. DUNHAM: Thank you.

MR. LEVIS: We just request that you send us a letter. It would make things a lot easier.

MR. DUNHAM: I will.

MS. WALLWORK (Missoula, Montana): My name is Susan Wallwork. I am from Missoula.

Before I ask the question, I would like to make a very brief but strong comment -- protest, if you will, about the second panel, which was to address the topic of news from a woman's standpoint. It did not really fully address itself

to that topic, and I wonder myself what that was supposed to mean anyway.

Some comments were made on the panel, which raised some serious questions and points.

It is unfortunate there was not enough time to challenge or explore them any further.

, 4

I'm curious, will your final reports be the end of this, or will there be any further study of the issues raised and of the Montana situation?

MS. PETERSON: Well, perhaps this is the best time for me to say, and for those of you who want some more input, take out your pens and write down some addresses. We realize this that our time has been far too limited.

Anyone who wishes to make any further statements or comments is asked to send these statements or comments to: The Denver Regional Office, United States Commission on Civil Rights, 1726 Champa Street, Suite 216, Denver, Colorado, 80203.

Now, do you want me to repeat that so that everyone who wants it, has it.

MR. LEVIS: Madame Chairperson, just for the record it's 80202, for whatever that means. MS. PETERSON: I think probably either one would get to you. And if you don't get this, you may call me in Helena.

Any further questions?
(No response.)

MS. PETERSON: If not, I have one short final statement.

We have had a good many criticisms from a good many people today, and I think that's healthy. I think that people in every segment of this audience have been critical of some things that happened this afternoon, and I think we wouldn't have had a successful conference if this hadn't happened. Some of the criticism may be justified, and some of it may not.

As a former newspaperwoman, Licertainly feel very strongly about the importance of freedom of speech and freedom of information, and I do want to say that perhaps the only serious mistake that the Denver staff made was in stamping confidential on the outside of the blue books, because there is nothing like that word "confidential" to get newspaper people excited and get them to want to see what's inside.

Now, I want very much to thank all of

the panelists and all of our speakers, and I'm not going to take the time to name them all now; but a very special thanks to Dean Brier and the other faculty members of the University of Montana, and to the journalism school. We really appreciate your help, and to the faithful five of the Montana State Advisory Committee, and also to our Denver Regional Staff, whose members are very often in the middle, because believe me all of these SAC members have minds of their own as I think perhaps you have realized today. And just a very, very special thank you to Norma Jones who has worked awfully hard on this conference. Thank you a lot, Norma. this was too much to try in one day. I'm not sure. And maybe a good many of you didn't get an opportunity to say what you wanted to say, but when it's all boiled down and put in a report, I think that we are going to find out that we have learned quite a lot.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I am convinced that the Montana media is going the right direction based, as I said this morning and as Dean Brier said much better this afternoon, on the changes that have taken place in the Montana news media in the last few years. I am equally convinced pretty much as a result of this

conference that greater efforts should and must be made to attract minority youth to and to train them for careers in journalism. We have had our disagreements today and our confrontations, and from these things we grow. Thank you very much. (Applause.) (The conference then concluded.) --000--

2£.

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

3 STATE OF IDAHO)
) ss.
4 County of Ada)

I, MARY J. MERKLING, CSR, Court Reporter, hereby certify:

That the foregoing Reporter's Transcript, consisting of pages numbered 1 to 311, inclusive, contains a full, true, and accurate record of the proceedings had in the above and foregoing cause which was heard at the University of Montana, Missoula, Montana, commencing on April 12, 1975.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 12th day of May, 1975.

MARY J. MERKLING