OFFICIAL REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

FACT-FINDING MEETING ON THE MEDIA AND ITS EFFECT ON MINORITIES AND WOMEN

University of Montana School of Music Auditorium, Missoula, Montana Place:

April 12, 1975 Date:

VOLUME I

Pages 1 to 61

CCR 3 Meet.

> Reported by: MARY J. MERKLING, CSR

John C. Tucker & Associates

COURT AND DEPOSITION REPORTERS POST OFFICE BOX 1625, BOISE, IDAHO 83701 TELEPHONE 345-3704

INDEX

WITNESSES	Page
Welcoming Remarks Ms. Peterson Mr. Brown Ms. Dussault Mr. Bowers	3 8 9 15
Overview of the Media: Its Effects on Minorities and Women Ms. Jones	17
The Media and Civil Rights Ms. Siedman	22
Television: Its Responsibilities to Minorities and Women Mr. Monagas	28
Panel A The Press - Its Responsibilities to Minorities and Women	
Ms. Swiger Mr. Siegel Mr. Coyle Mr. Voeller Mr. Lathrop Mr. Freeman Question and Answer Period	64 66 72 77 81 79 87
Workshop Session C - Moderator, Mr. Murdock	122
Panel B News from a Woman's Standpoint Ms. Skye Ms. Raymond Ms. Bloom Ms. Swiger Ms. Van Valkenburg Ms. Stuart Question and Answer Period	164 177 185 187 190 197 202
Workshop Session B Mr. MacDonald Mr. Monagas	220 225
Panel C Where's Montana Going in the Media Mr. Brier Ms. Meyers Mr. Ewing Ms. Mittal Question and Answer Period Closing Remarks Ms. Peterson	257 262 268 293 299

May 21, 4975

Milli-WLawis

John C. Tucker & Associates
P.O. Box 1625
Boise, Idaho 83701

On March 12, 1975, the Countission contracted with the John C. Tucker and Associates, Selse, Idohe, to transcribe the April 12 open meeting Dear Ms. Merkling acry Committee. The contract specified that Tucker Will delicer complete Transcript of the April 12 open meeting of the Montana Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. The Commission received the document on May 15. Listed below are corrections that should be made in the record, sent to Tucker sutlining

Line 24, page 9, should be "Ann Mary Dussault." The fourth word on line 19, page 15, should be "propitious." On page 54, line 25, and page 55, lines 1-4, Mr. Monagas was talking about either Richard Wiley or Dean Burch as chairman of the Commission. The initials on line 8, page 56, should be "ACLU".

In Volume II, the word "aesthete" on lines 4, 7 and 8, page 72, should be "atheist." In addition, the "closed meeting conference" on line 5 should be a kind of religious conference. On page 76, line 9 should be the "four Lee papers." Should it not be "Earl Craig" on lines 8, 10, 15, 18 and 23 on page 150? The third word on line 16, page 157, should be "talm". The next to last word on page 167, line 4, should be "apostolic". It is my recollection that Ms. Skye was talking about the "undeployment" are on page 170, line 4. The second word on page 174, line 4, should be "Lumbee". On page 192, line 22, the fourth word should be "this". "G.B." on line 18, page 213, and line 8, page 214, should be "G.E.D." The fourth word on line 4, page 258, should be "Lee" and the third word on line 17 of the same page is "mandatory".

If you have any questions in changing the transcript, please contact me. WILLIAM LEVIS RSincerely, corner

ons

Regional Attorney OGC

bcc: John I. Binkley
Depaty Director, OFO

MISSOULA, MONTANA, SATURDAY, APRIL 12, 1975, 9:00 A.M. (HELEN PETERSON, CHAIRPERSON)

MS. HELEN PETERSON (Helena, Montana): Good morning and welcome.

I'm Helen Peterson, Chairperson of the Montana Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights; and I want to thank you all for coming today. I was just informed that the crowd always arrives at the university at 10:00, so part of the program will be gone by that time.

First, I would like to introduce the other members of the Montana Advisory Committee:

Jake Beck, Great Falls; Ernie Bighorn, Miles City;

Geraldine Travis, Great Falls; John Board of

Great Falls; and Arlyne Reichert of Great Falls;

and some of the staff of the Commission's

Mountain States Regional Office in Denver, and

these are the people who worked very hard to put this

conference together: Dr. Shirley Hill Witt, who is

Regional Director, and staff members Norma Jones,

Maria Pares, William Levis, and Esther Johnson,

who is at the back.

The Commission on Civil Rights is an

independent, bipartisan agency of the United States Government, established by Congress in 1957 and authorized by Federal Civil Rights legislation to:

Investigate complaints alleging that citizens are being deprived of their right to vote by reason of their race, color, sex, religion or national origin;

Two, to collect and study information concerning legal developments which constitute a denial of equal protection of the laws under the Constitution;

Three, to appraise Federal laws and policies with respect to denials of equal protection of the law;

To serve as a national clearing house for civil rights information; and

To investigate allegations of voter fraud in federal elections.

The Montana Advisory Committee, one of fifty, was one of the committees created to advise the Commission of relevant information concerning matters within the Commission's jurisdiction and matters of mutual concern in the preparation of reports to the Commission and to the President and Congress. And our committee may also receive reports,

suggestions, and recommendations from individuals, and may I say they are very welcome, and from public and private organizations, and public officials on matters pertinent to inquiries conducted by the State Advisory Commission.

Discrimination may have been outlawed, but discrimination is not dead; and it feeds on prejudice. The reason for this media conference is that there is no greater weapon in the fight against prejudice than informed, sympathetic, and sensitive media.

I have lived in Montana for more than 30 years. In that period I have seen many changes, and not the least of these has been a vast improvement in media attitudes toward minorities and women.

If you don't believe me, I invite you to compare Montana newspapers and the programs of the electronic media in the early 1940's with the media product in the state today. Media sensitivity is vastly greater now than it was even 15 years ago.

There is further evidence of increased media sensitivity in the area of the images of minorities and women which newspapers and television do so much to create.

_

Ĩ

.19

Why do you think we have so many media representatives here today, and many of them on our program? We are here to talk about the difficulties that arise in giving a true and sensitive picture. We are here to listen as members of minority groups and women say what they think about their image as projected to Montanans. I'm sure every group still has an image problem. I'm equally sure that once Montana media understand what these problems are, they'll do their best to paint a better picture.

, 1/9

There is another important issue of concern to the Montana Advisory Committee at this conference: Equal employment opportunity. It may well be more important in the media than anywhere else. No matter how well television and newspapers succeed in giving a more sensitive and accurate image of minorities and women, this picture can be made ineffectual unless the media practices what it preaches. And won't the presence of minorities and women, especially in decision-making roles, in turn increase both media sensitivity and media accuracy in the fields we're discussing today.

Here is how the conference will work: Speakers and panelists will use about half of the Advisory Committee members and Regional Staff from
Denver will start the question and answer sessions.

We will try to be very brief, because we particularly
want members of the audience to have ample opportunity
to ask questions and express opinions. We hope that
most of the question and answer period can be used
by those of you who want to participate. We ask
only that you remember that there are others who
want to be heard, so please be concise.

People who have questions should come up to the microphone right over there, and use it so that everyone can hear. The session is being recorded by a Court Reporter, so please state your name and the town you come from. If you have an official position, state that, too, so that we will have it all on the record.

in the panel sessions can always be continued in the workshop sessions which follow.

Again, thank you all for coming. We hope and believe this will be a productive day.

Let's start producing.

Our first speaker is Norma Jones. Oh, I'm sorry. Give me my agenda.

્ુ25

(Agenda handed to Ms. Peterson.)

MS. PETERSON: Where is Ray Brown?

(Mr. Brown acknowledges.)

MS. PETERSON: Ray Brown, who is the Director of the Montana Human Rights Commission will greet you.

MR. BROWN (Helena, Montana): I bring you greetings on behalf of Thomas Judge, Governor of the State of Montana.

I am Ray Brown, Chief of the Human Rights Bureau for the state. The Governor has asked me to extend to you his very warmest greetings and a encouragement in the work you are doing.

Governor Judge has been very supportive of civil rights. Through his administration and backing the 43rd Legislature of the State of Montana has passed one of the strongest civil rights laws in the country. The Human Rights Commission of this state has the power to prohibit and remedy discrimination based on sex, race, religion, color, national origin, age, physical and mental handicap. The 44th legislative session may add marital status to the statute.

Generally, discrimination is prohibited in the areas of employment, public accommodations,

9.

18,

25.

credit transactions, state services, housing, and education. In addition, the state and its political subdivisions are prohibited from discriminating in employment because of an individual's political belief.

The Human Rights Bureau, arm of the Human Rights Commission, is responsible for investigating and conciliating points of discrimination within this state.

Governor Judge, the Human Rights

Commission, and I pledge you our encouragement in
your efforts to end discrimination in Montana.

We give you our promise of support in every
possible way, and we do look forward to working
with you.

Thank you.

MS. PETERSON: Now, may I introduce one of our youngest legislators from Missoula County,

Ann Mary Dussault, State Representative.

MS. DUSSAULT(Missoula, Montana): Ladies and gentlemen, good morning. Welcome to the conference at the University of Montana and my hometown, Missoula.

I am Ann May Dussault. I am a state legislator and actually stand before you today

1.2

wearing a couple of different hats. As a legislator, I am very, very interested in the area of human and civil rights, not only for minorities and women, but for all individuals in the State of Montana.

I am a woman, but there is another hat that I wear that I would like to briefly mention to you; and it's rather ironic. As a member of a legislative committee, a select committee, which is unique in the history of Montana, I have been one of the committee members accused of discriminating against the media, since our rules of the committee did not permit the use of tape recorders and other electronic devices in covering the proceedings of that committee. So, I find it rather ironic that I stand before you and talking on this U.S. Civil Right Commission conference on the media.

I really won't say anything more than that, except I felt a need to get that out of my system. I hope that anything else I say to you will not look in your eyes as having a touch of hypocrisy to it. Certainly, it's not intended to do that.

What I would like to talk to you

୍ୱୀ 7

briefly about is what some might call an unattractive minority. I think as we all know a number of groups can be designated and delineated as minorities whether they be the aged, whether they be women, or whether they be blacks, Chicanos, American Indians, whatever.

-18

one of the groups that I am particularly interested in is the group called the developmentally disabled. I would like to talk briefly about the role of the media, the role of the media as I have seen it in the development of legislation that we have passed this year, and the role that I would hope they would continue to play in helping us to carry out the intent of some of that legislation.

As you probably well know, the state of our state institutions has been very much in the focus of the minds of Montanans. I believe this is good, and I believe that the media, both the press and the electronic media, has played an extremely important role in that process. It was the media, I believe, who sensitized Montanans to the conditions at our state institutions. It was the media, I believe, that played a great role in setting the stage in Montana for institutional reform; and it was the

media, I believe, who helped to create a milieu, an environment, for change in that regard.

The legislature, I think, has done a good job. I don't know if it would have done such a good job if the whole issue of institutional reform had not been such a pressing issue, and one of my points to you is that the media helped make it a pressing issue. The legislature has set the stage for programs for decentralization, or the deinstitutionalization is a better word, for increased funding, increased staff at state institutions.

But one of the most significant pieces of legislation I would like to share with you for a minute was Senate Bill 388, which is entitled An Act to Provide for the Identification, Habilitation, and Human Rights of the Developmentally Disabled. Essentially, it is a commitment act and an act delineating human and civil rights of those who are institutionalized.

To give you a sense of the legislation let me just read to you the opening paragraph: "This act is to secure for each person who may be developmentally disabled such treatment and habilitation as will be suited to the needs of the

5

person, and to assure that such treatment and habilitation are skillfully and humanely administered with full respect for the person's dignity and personal integrity."

__17

1. 24

The bill goes on to specify a number of human and civil rights guaranteed to individuals even though they may be institutionalized in our state institutions.

This, in my opinion, is a landmark piece of legislation. There is much to be done yet to implement it's full intent. One of the provisions of the act is that a person cannot be institutionalized if there are other alternatives, and here we are talking about community alternatives. We all know that the media plays a crucial part at what is called "image making." The state is embarking on aprogram of having residents or former residents of our state institutions return to their home communities; and as you and I know, there are stereotypes for this minority as there are stereotypes for most other minorities.

The contrast was brought home last night as I was watching TV and thinking about what I was going to say to you today. At one point in the television show one of the characters in

conversation with another character said, "What do you think I am, a mental deficient?" That I would say is a stereotype. A little bit later on a public service ad a gentleman was sitting in a chair in a home. He had been institutionalized; he was now living in a group home; and he said, in his last remarks, he said, "I have a job. I am a happy person." There is a vast difference between seeing someone as a mental deficient and someone as a happy person.

I would hope and I would ask that the media continue to help in educating and sensitizing the people of Montana to the image and role of this minority. I think the state has come a long way,

media continue to help in educating and sensitizing the people of Montana to the image and role of this minority. I think the state has come a long way, and I think you, the media, have played an important role. I would ask you to continue to do that, to help us educate the people of Montana as the developmentally disabled return from our state insitutions and become an integral part of our community.

With that, in legislative language, I will close; and I hope you will have a fruitful day. Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. PETERSON: And now, President

7.

Richard C. Bowers of the University of Montana.

President Bowers.

MR. BOWERS (Missoula, Montana): Chairperson;

Peterson, Representative Dussault, I am very happy
on behalf of the University to welcome all of you
to the campus and to this conference.

I think, Representative Dussalt, I should remark that I have been very happy for you to have brought this important group of people to this conference's attention. I think that this is a group that we do have very much of a need to start including in our thinking about minorities.

I think that perhaps two of the most important institutions in the country in which we can raise the consciousness of people to the problems attendant upon insuring the rights of minorities and women are, in fact, the educational institutions of the country and the media; so it is very, I think, proficuous that this conference is being held on a university campus.

We in higher education have been struggling with the problem of insuring that rights of minorities and women are protected for a number of years; and we know, I think, that passage of laws and developing guidelines although very important

∉

.

are just a start of implementing these kinds of things; because all of us have to change our thinking 2 on a day-by-day basis as we proceed in hirings, as 3 we talk about events that have occurred, and as we 4 do the daily tasks that we have to do. This is 5 where, I think, the implementation of the rights 6 legislation is going to have to come; and from 7 experience in this area, it's a long job; it's 8 an arduous job and one which we have to give 9 attention to on a minute-to-minute basis. 10 prejudiced in the way that we think, and we have , 11 to keep trying to overcome that continually. 12 13

We are happy to have this group here.

Certainly, the university thinks it's a most.

worthwhile kind of conference. We wish you success,

and if there is anything the university can do for

you while you are here, please call us.

InThank you very much.

(Applause.)

MS. PETERSON: And this is Norma Jones, equal opportunity specialist with the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

the media: It's effects on minorities and women.

Norma.

25

24

17

18

19

20

21

22

23.

MS. JONES (Denver, Colorado): Thank you, Helen.

Madame Chairperson, members of the Montana Advisory Committee and guests: The theme of this conference is the media and its effects on minorities and women. While the television and newspaper industries affect minorities and women in many ways, we will focus today on two aspects: television and newspaper employment and images projected by the media.

The federal government, through the Federal Communications Commission and the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, recognizes the need for equal employment opportunities. In this regard, the FCC for the past four years has collected annual employment data from television stations in every state. On the national level, reports filed by over 600 commercial stations show that 12 per cent of the 41,000 full-time workers in 1974 were minority group members. In 1971, the first year of reporting, the proportion of minority employees was 8 per cent; in 1973, 11 per cent. Similarly, the proportion of women employed full time at commercial stations has increased slowly from 22 per cent in 1971 to 23 per cent in 1973 to 24

per cent in 1974. On the national scale, these statistics are encouraging. In addition, the FCC reports revealed that there were increases in the full-time employment of minority group members and women in the upper four job categories. Now, these categories are officials and managers, professionals, technicians and sales workers. In these upper four categories, minorities gained most jobs among professional and technicians while women achieved their greatest increases among officials and managers and professional jobs.

ġ.

taken place on a national level, but these positive changes are not taking place at all stations.

Among the 647 commercial television stations for which the Annual Employment Reports were compiled in 1974, 131 stations or 20 per cent reported no minority group members on their full-time staff; and six of these stations are located in Montana; and there are 11 commercial stations in Montana. The 1974 FCC review showed that 175 or 27 per cent had no minorities in the upper four job categories, and seven of these stations are in Montana.

The 1974 FCC report revealed that there were six stations with no women on their full-time

staff and 70 or 11 per cent with no women in the top four job categories. None of these is in Montana, Fifty-one stations or 8 per cent had no women and no minority group members in their top four job categories, and none of these is in Montana. A closer look, though, at the 11 commercial stations in Montana reveals that there are two women television news reporters in the state while there are 25 male news reporters. There are no women news anchorpersons in the state, but there are approximately ten male anchorpersons. There are two women talk show moderators compared with approximately seven male talk show moderators. There are no women vice-presidents or general managers of TV stations. There are Il male general managers. There are four women in official and manager positions but more than seven times that number of males in the same category.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

20

22

23

24

25

) - **21**.

In Montana, according to statistics from the Bureau of the Census and the U.S. Department of Labor, 37 per cent of all workers in the labor force are women. Yet, women hold proportionately fewer of the more prestigious professional and managerial positions. According to the 1970 Bureau of the Census figures, there are 694,400

people in Montana of which 37,250 or 5.4 per cent are minority. Native Americans make up the very a coverwhelming majority of these minority groups, 70 per cent, followed by Spanish surnamed 21 per cent, blacks 5 per cent and Asian-Americans 3 per cent.

1.2

At local television stations in the state, there are no minorities occupying on-camera positions. This includes news commentators, talk show and public affairs program moderators.

Statistics compiled in 1974 show that there are no minorities occupying decision-making positions in the official or manager positions at any of the TV stations in Montana. There also are no minority camera operators, technicians, directors, or Typroducers.

women hold such positions as copy reader, education reporter, health reporter, city-government reporter, and women's editor. There are no women in top management positions and no women publishers. The great majority of the clerical positions held at newspapers are occupied by women. On the five major dailies, according to our statistics, there are no minority publishers, editors, or news reporters. It appears that in Montana women and

minorities in the media are either underemployed or nonexistent. In short, it could go without saying -- but it won't here -- that minority women are even much less represented.

T

<u>.</u> -19

The second major focus of this conference is imagery. Imagery is an important concept because false and misleading imagery may mean the representation of minorities and women in derogatory terms, stereotypes, or misconceptions. But perhaps, more important, stereotyped notions concerning these two groups may well reinforce or even instill in the public mind false beliefs about the status of the groups.

have become powerful transmitters of cultural standards in myths, in values, in roles, and in images. Over 90 per cent of the American households have at least one television and more than 95 per cent of the American households receive a daily newspaper. In this regard, the shaping of images, the conveying of messages should have input from all peoples and not be confined to one group.

The question which arises after all the statistics and the numbers and the facts and the figures have been reviewed and looked at and recorded

and explained is how can Native Americans and other minority groups and women transmit messages and shape images about themselves unless they hold decision-making positions in the media?

This is what this conference on the media is all about. Today, this committee will hear panel discussions and workshop sessions which will cover the complex factors involved in the media and its effects on minorities and women.

Thank you.

. ~ (Applause.)

MS. PETERSON: We are now going to hear about the media and civil rights, and our speaker is Eileen Siedman, Deputy Director of the Mid-Atlantic Office of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

Ms. Siedman.

MS. SIEDMAN (Washington, D.C.): Good morning, and thank you for asking me here today.

I have a special interest in this conference because two years ago when I was first employed by the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, I was asked to design media studies for the Commission; and this conference is one of the outgrowths of that design. I sat here this morning

_ `

15:

listening to ideas that have been developed over a period of time in concert with state advisory committees, including Montanans, all over the country. I want you to know that this is the first of the state advisory committees that is holding a media event in an attempt to collect information about the media and civil rights. Pennsylvania will do it next, and I am very pleased that the Denver Regional Office and Montana State Advisory Committee are leading the way.

/11

Just to start off, the definition of civil rights for me means that no member of a group shall be deprived of his civil rights because he or she is a member of that group. That certainly would take in people who are physically or mentally handicapped. It includes minorities; it includes ethnics; it includes members of either sex; and I'd like that to be kept in mind, because we talk about the media and civil rights today. It also means that the media themselves not to be discriminated against because they are members of that group that we call media.

Some of the words that we use are the right not to be defamed, misrepresented, degraded, falsely presented; and this presents a lot of problems

because we are dealing with perceptions and beliefs of groups and members of groups.

17.

One of the major overall problems is that we all of us have a sense of what we accept as alleged inherent group characteristics: All Jews are a certain way, look a certain way, behave a certain way, think a certain way. Native American Indians are thus and so. Blacks, the same thing, women, men. I think if men would think about women's reaction to being called girls or having to be small and dainty in the context of all men having to be over six feet tall, playing football, they might better understand how women resent or are offended by being presented in a single dimension; and I think that's what we are talking about today.

The plurality of the society we live in, the fact that not only do we have many groups but that we have many dimensions within each group; and there are many dimensions to each of us as individuals; and one of the things I think we're asking for in the presentation of images and representation of us as individuals, as members of groups, is that we be displayed in all of our dimensions.

One of the dilemmas of media is: do you do it? One of the reasons the Civil Rights Commission didn't get into the problem of investigation of the media for many years was because it was difficult to get a handle on it. We're concerned about First Amendment Rights; we're concerned about problems of censorship. How do you not get into a case-by-case, group-by-group attempt to censor or try to tell the media what they should print and what they should present; and at the same time, protect the civil rights of persons who may, in fact; be defamed or degraded or misrepresented? I think that's what I would like to see come out of this conference today as well, some kind of discussion of that problem, an analysis of it, and a way to grab it.

about this for a long time feel that we have to look for patterns. We have to look for a broad patterns of presentation of a given station over a period of time, or a given community, or a state. The statistics we heard this morning from Norma I think were terribly important, but I would like to say that they are not even as good as she presented. Certainly, Montana is not very well off.

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

,10

13

15

1,8

19

20

21

22

23

But we seriously challenge the FCC's statistics themselves, because they are collected on forms which are irrelevant to the broadcasting media. Broadcasting media use an Equal Employment Opportunity Commission form which was never designed to be used by the broadcasting media or indeed any other single industry; and there is no way for a station manager, without a great deal of interpretation, to transfer the actual titles and roles and positions of the employees on that station's staff to that EEOC form and provide an accurate, correct portrayal of the positions and the roles that people play. There have been accusations not only that it is difficult to do even if you are well intentioned; but that in fact, in order to look good and not be investigated there has been a lot of hanky-panky on the part of many stations who call, for example, lower level clerical staff administrators and managers because it looks better in producing numbers of women and minorities. These are charges which are being investigated and which are being challenged, that is, the challenges are being made by members of groups to the FCC; and there are many court suits around the country on this.

1

2

3

5

б

7

8

10

12

13.

14

15

a 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I think another problem is asking: Do the mass media serve the interests of minorities, ethnics and women? And incidentally, I include ethnics, because we have in our mandate of the Civil Rights Commission the responsibility for seeing to it that civil rights are protected for persons who are members of groups based on race, religion, national origin, and sex. And I hope someday we will go even beyond that into age and some other categories.

~ 2

You see, the melting pot theory has been discredited; and it never was more than a theory anyway. What we are really talking about here is the fact that in a democracy we are all different and that the media has to learn that we are all different and that these differences are not bad, they are just differences, and we share them. So, I think what we are asking the media to do is to reflect us in this country as we really are, not as some myth says that we are, which is that we all talk alike, think alike, act alike, and behave alike.

I am not going to take any more of your time now except to indicate that there is a great deal of activity going on all around the country on

the part of many special interest groups, and they are achieving great success in challenging license and attempting to own stations, to look at newspapers and change the way in which we are all presented.

I would like to close with this note:
That the system is people; there is no mindless
system. The FCC is people, commissioners and
staff; just as the Civil Rights Commission is. We
are people sitting in this room; people make
decisions; people change those decisions; and I
think that working together with the media, we
can find a way to achieve civil rights.

Thank you for asking me to come.

(Applause.)

who can respond to that challenge about the FCC statistics. Mr. Lionel Monagas, who is from the office of the General Counsel of the Federal Communications Commission.

Mr. Monagas will stay at the speaker's podium to answer your questions after he finishes speaking.

Mr. Monagas.

MR. MONAGAS (Washington, D.C.): Thank you

5`

very much.

, **9**

1Ĭ

I have a couple speeches here, but they have all been blown already in terms of the statistics that I could talk about; but there is a further thing that we can go into as far as the statistics that have been alluded to by Ms. Siedman here. We wonder about the meaning behind those statistics, too; because of the inadequacy of the form. I agree with that 100 per cent; but I think what I had better do is to maybe elucidate a little bit on what the FCC is and my particular function in the FCC as it relates to what we are here to discuss today.

My work in the FCC is as the chief of the Industry Equal Employment Opportunity Unit in the office of the general counsel, a unit that was created about two years ago to study the Commission's policy and rules in the entire area of equal employment opportunity; and then to make recommendation to the Commission in regard to their policy and their rules and regulations for improvement We have a set of extensive materials that will be going to the Commission sometime this month when they get through running around with all the industry conventions.

Right now, we have just left Las Vegas with the National Broadcasters Association convention. The other people now are in New Orleans, going down to New Orleans for the National Cable TV Association convention next week. Then they will come back to Washington and get back to the business, and one of the key business items that they are going to have to deal with is our recommendations in the area of their policy and their rules and regulations in regard to equal employment opportunity. Let me go back a minute and then I'll come back to this point. Let me talk about the FCC/itself in terms of its structure. The Federal Communications Commission is a constitutionally and congressionally designed body that has been given the authority to promulgate policy and rules relating to the licensing of broadcasting entities, television industries, and common carriage to serve in the public interest. Part of that requirement is that the Commission about five years ago looked into its policies and its laws and decided that it had the authority to create rules that would effect equal employment opportunity within the industry in conjunction with the national

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

policy in that area, and not be in violation of any

constitutional law or duplicate the effort of public agenicies.

Î

the right to investigate a potential licensee or a current licensee to determine if that licensee was serving the public interest by having practices and policies of nondescrimination in existence and be held to compliance in those areas. The Commission has done that, has promulgated rules for all of the various entities that it licenses.

Your particular interest here I suspect is broadcasting and not common carriage, telephone companies and telegraph company satellites, et cetera. Perhaps you also have an interest in the cable industry as well, so I will address that issue, too.

Now, the Commission is composed of seven Commissioners nominated by the President of the United States and confirmed by the Senate. The only place that we as individuals can have any effect or influence in relationship to who is appointed a Commissioner is certainly trying to make our voice heard in the White House when it considers nominations for vacancies; and certainly, in the Senate when it holds hearings on confirmation of that individual. The Commission itself has no

role to play at all in the selection of one of the seven voting Commissioners. The rest of the staff is Civil Service staff.

Í

1.8

In terms of influencing Commission practices, Commission policy, individuals or the public or groups may deal directly with the Commission to express their viewpoint in regard to where they believe the Commission should be going in relationship to all kinds of areas, programming, equal employment opportunity, new frequencies, licensing procedures, and all of those kinds of things. So, you can deal directly with the Commission and not have to go through any other route.

In dealing with the Commission, you can deal with anyone in the Commission, including the Commissioners. It is an open door activity there within the Commission. What they do with it is something else; you can make your own judgments on that basis; but certainly, you have the right and the opportunity to make your views known to the Commission or to any of the staff members in regard to particular areas of concern.

In terms of activity where the public may join in as regards to the activities of a licensee, be it in programming or equal employment

opportunity, there are quite a few routes.

Number one, the Commission licenses in the public interest a broadcasting entity for a period of three years, that's constitutional. Near the end of that three-year period a current license holder is required to come back to the Commission and justify to the Commission that it has served in the public interest for the past three years, and put out a set of proposals in front of the Commission stating that it intends to do this for the next three-year period in the public interest as it applies for a renewal of its license so that the Commission can then make a determination as to whether the licensee has served in the public interest and will continue to serve in the public interest.

It is at this point, license renewal, where the public recently have come into the picture quite a bit in challenging the past practices of the licensee in regard to many areas, particularly in the area of discrimination in programming for minorities and discriminating in employment for minorities and women. There have been a few successful challenges in terms of the Commission's decision or court decision,

but perhaps not enough. But, the public at this point is entitled, has the right to enter and make its voice heard in regard to whether a license should be renewed or not. There is a formal procedure that is required called petition to deny, and this petition to deny has to be considered by the Federal Communications Commission as it looks over the renewal application of its licensee.

Now, that's not the only point in the three-year period in which the public is entitled to enter into the Commission's consideration of a licensee's activities, particularly as we get down to individual charges of discrimination or discriminatory practices. And in point, at any time such occurs or is felt to occur on the part of an individual, that individual has the right at that point in time to file such a charge of discrimination or discriminatory practices with the Federal Communications Commission, as well as filing with state or local human rights agencies and filing with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

Now, there is a slight difference in what occurs in regards to the Federal Communications Commission's overview of a complaint. The complaint

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is looked at from the point of view as to whether the licensee has violated the Commission's rules against discrimination; therefore, conceivably making that licensee unqualified to continue to be a It's a violation of the Commission's rules. licensee. What I m saying there is that your rights as an individual cannot be protected by the FCC in terms of any reinstatement, in terms of any back pay, or anything like that. That's why it's absolutely necessary if one has a complaint of discrimination that they file it with the state local human rights agency and the EEOC. Give us a crack at it so that we can look at it from the point of view whether that licensee is qualified to serve in the public interest by the virtue of having violated the Commission rule against discrimination.

Let me say at this point, talking about broadcasting licenses, in terms of cable operation, such a procedure does not exist at the moment; because the cable franchises or certificates of compliance that are issued by the Federal Communications Commission are not subject to this triangle of review, this three-year review; but all broadcast licenses are no matter whether they are commercial, noncommercial, AM-FM, TV. All of

them are subject to this three-year review, and not so in cable. The cable operation is first granted by the local municipal government.

Meanwhile, the requirement of that local municipal government for a cable operation within that community. The cable applicant then has to come to the Federal Communications Commission for a certificate of compliance indicating that he has complied with all of the local rules and requirements for franchising activity and in meeting technical standards as well.

certificate of compliance, they usually grant it for a fairly long period of time in concurrence with the local franchise tenure of 15 years, something like that. There is no triangle review where you could get to the cable system that is discriminating in programming or employment, but there is a method of a petition for relief within the Cable Television Bureau where discrimination that has been alleged can be adjudicated under this petition for relief on the part of an individual or a group representing that individual.

Now, in terms of the statistics that

were spoken about a little earlier, one of the things that my office will be recommending to the Commission once we get certain recommendations out of the way first, is the complete revision of that horrible reporting form 395, which gives us nothing but gross information in terms of numbers. We have a great deal of difficulty interpreting, and it certainly is of very little value to anyone else who wants to use those statistics to determine accuracy in employment and job responsibility and levels of employment and meanings of job titles and job descriptions. That's one of the things that will take place, and we will make a recommendation based upon a lot of information submitted to us by various organizations and individuals who have concern about how the form should be designed.

1

2

5

6

, 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The initial package that we are presenting to the Commission this month will deal with a whole new set of guidelines that we are recommending that the Commission adopt for a licensee to follow to assure that he has affirmatively acted, that he demonstrates that he is doing affirmative action. One of the weaknesses right now, I think, in the rules is that there is no demonstration of affirmative action on the part of a licensee, no

3

1

5

10

Ĩŀ

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

³ ~ 13

requirement to demonstrate, to show, to prove. He just may make a statement that he has an affirmative action plan. That is quite sufficient for the Commission at the moment.

Now, I could go on probably to quite a few other things that I don't want to take your time with here, not your time. I hope we get into a lot of questioning this afternoon and in all kinds of areas as programming affects images. must say to you that the Commission is in no way capable of dictating programming or programming It is just unlawful for the Commission to do so under the First Amendment of the It cannot say that you must do Constitution. this programming; you must do that programming; and you must have that format; and it must be on at this time; but there are other ways to address that as the Commission has done recently in a couple things like family viewing, children's television changes. There are accusations going around that the Commission is now getting too much into programming determination, but that remains I'm sure the court will make some to be seen. decision on that at some point in the future.

25

Let's get to questions you might have

at this point in time, and let me turn this back over to the Chairperson.

Thank you for your attention.

(Applause.)

MS. PETERSON: Thank you, Mr. Monagas.

Do any members of the Montana Advisory

Committee have any questions?

=4

۱8:

20.

(No response.)

MS. PETERSON: I believe I would like to ask you one.

How many times have licenses been denied for reasons connected with discrimination?

MR. MONAGAS: Did you all hear the question:

How many times has the Commission denied licenses for reasons of discrimination?

There have been two very major cases of license denial. Well, one case, one major case. The first case was adjudicated in the courts. The Commission first reviewed the license after petitions to deny had been entered charging discrimination in programming and employment, and the Commission ruled that such had not taken place. The petitioner then took it to court, and the court overturned the Commission's ruling. That was in Jackson, Mississippi, the WLBT case back in 1969,

2 3

9.

I believe. The station was found, of course, to have discriminated against the black population in Jackson, Mississippi, both in terms of programming and employment opportunity.

The Commission on its own action recently denied the license application for the Alabama Educational Television Commission on charges of discriminating in programming, denial of programming to the black community in the State of Alabama, and denial of equal employment opportunity to blacks and other minority groups in the State of Alabama.

The Commission's record certainly is not good in the area of having denied licenses in relationship to charges of discrimination.

That is not to say on a large part that all those charges of discrimination were valid. Some type of test will be on the Commission in its ruling.

(Audience participant raised his hand.)

MS. PETERSON: Would you please come up to the microphone.

MR. MURDOCK (Duluth, Minnesota): My name is Ray Murdock from Duluth, Minnesota.

How does a person -- or rather, since broadcast licenses are for the public benefit, the

public good, issued supposedly for that reason,
why are there not public hearings held whenever a
license is to be renewed? For instance, the
Federal Power Commission holds hearings on licenses,
and why do not local stations, and why does not the
major networks hold hearings so that people can
express their opinions? The burden of proof always
seems to be on the public to have to come forward.

MR. MONAGAS: I think I can respond to your question. One point I'm not clear on in your question; why doesn't the Federal Communications Commission not have hearings when there is a renewal process as well as the licensee?

MR. MURDOCK: Why does not the licensee get a hearing?

MR. MONAGAS: All right. Broadcast licensees, particularly the commercial broadcast licensees are required to have what is known as a process of ascertainment of community needs.

Just six months prior to the filing of their application for renewal, at that point in time, the licensee is required to go into the community that he serves and make a determination as to what the total makeup, demographics, of that community, and make sure that he interviews a cross section of

the community leaders within that community as well as a representative sampling of the public within that community to determine what are the public needs, the public interests.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Additionally, after that he is to make record of this and provide this record to the Commission along with what he proposes to do in programming, some programming in relationship to the needs that he has determined, the needs to be addressed. He is required to file this material publicly with the Federal Communications Commission and put it in his public file available at the station. He draws up his license renewal package, submitting what he is going to do for the next period, and makes that public. This entire application is put into his public file. In addition, he is required to make announcements on the air during all periods of the day so that he covers all possible listening audiences, that he has filed an application for renewal and that the application for renewal is available at the station to be reviewed by the public and that if there are any questions about that application, that the public has a right to appeal to the Commission any failure that they find within that application.

Now, that is current. In addition to what is current, there is a policy that the Commission is trying get across to the public, particularly, that the public should maintain constant dialogue with the licensee during his whole period of license and not wait for the licensee to come around at that ascertainment period. There is no hearing process that takes place at the local level, the station level; but the key, I think, in terms of hearing is your utilization of the public file in the station that has all of the licensee's records in regards to this application for renewal, exactly what he plans to It has to be a duplicate of what he has sent to us as well as his ascertainment profile. That record is available to the public at anytime during normal working hours, 9:00 to 5:00, without previous request, without an appointment, and is not to be denied the public by the licensee for any excuse at all. That, again, is a violation of the rules. The file must be made available; the

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

file must be complete; and there is also in the television station, particularly, within the television station the licensee can permit you to duplicate portions of the file at a minimal

duplication cost within the station. He is allowed to charge you for that at a minimal figure, but the entire public record is to be made available to you when you come into the station and ask for it at anytime during a normal working day, during the normal working hours; and no excuse is allowed for the file not being made available.

MR. MURDOCK: What about the major networks, though, ABC or the three commercial --

MR. MONAGAS: Networks are not licensed by the FCC. The FCC has no authority over networks. The individual stations owned by the networks go through the procedure that I have just elucidated on, but the network, the group as a whole, cannot be touched by the FCC, only their individual stations.

MS. PETERSON: I believe Mr. Board has a question.

MR. BOARD (Great Falls, Montana): Mr. Monagas, did I understand you to say that the licensee is the one who conducts the survey of the community?

MR. MONAGAS: That is correct.

MR. BOARD: My question then is: Is there any monitoring by the FCC of the licensee in his -- what I'm trying to say is I think any of us who

are given an opportunity of creating a committee to determine what we want, we can create such a committee.

្វ្ទ្ 10

Now, what monitoring is there to test whether this is an accurate --

MR. MONAGAS: Well, all the materials submitted by a licensee in regards to his ascertainment, all of his demographics, all of his designation of community leaders, people that he interviews, his random sampling of the community, is all subject to monitoring by the Commission. There is monitoring and review of that material done within the Commission in Washington to determine if our census tracks that kind of information that we have on hand, but that does not preclude the Commission deciding that it personally wants to go into an area and check the demographics and other information.

MR. BOARD: Does the Commission ever do this?

MR. MONAGAS: Yes, oh yes. There have been many instances where petitions to deny have been entered finding that the whole ascertainment process was fraudulent on the part of the licensee, and the Commission has had to investigate on the basis of that petition. But it has the right itself on

the basis of its own beliefs, its own, perhaps, suspicions as well as any kind of informal letters, even unsigned letters that state that there is something wrong with the application. The Commission is required to review that whole process.

MS. PETERSON: Mrs. Travis?

MRS. TRAVIS(Great Falls, Montana): I would just like to make a statement to Mr. Monagas concerning the remarks you made about obtaining information, data, from the TV station. When we were gathering information for this conference, I went along with another person to the local Helena TV station at 10:00 in the morning and asked for the information, data; and I was told to come back at 4:00 and they would have it prepared for us.

Now, I realize that this information was supposed to be made available to us at the time we requested it. How do you handle a situation like that, because I was not satisfied with the answer that the station manager gave us about having it ready for us at a later time.

MR. MONAGAS: There are quite a few ways to handle that. I would say to you that being as intelligent as you are, quite a few of you out here,

I would suggest that you say to the licensee,

"I would like to see a copy of your rules outlining
what you are required to do in terms of your
public file." In a sense, give him an indication
that you know what you are doing and what you are
about and that he has a rule that he must follow.

That happens to be 1.526, in case any of you want
to put that down. FCC Regulation 1.526.

Elucidate on what is the licensee's requirement
in regards to the public file, that would be one
of the processes.

±3.3

ب_.8ءَ

<u>چ</u>۔ د

Ì

You could also say to him, "I don't think that it's necessary for me to come back. I think this file should be made available." If you want to be, you know, a little antagonistic about it, let him know that you have the right to notify the Federal Communications Commission that that file was not made available to you, that he did ask you to come back and look at it at 4:00, without giving you a valid reason. If he had said that another organization is looking at the file right now, that could be considered some kind of excuse. But pursue the point with him in terms of letting him know that you know he has a responsibility to meet your demands and that your

recourse is to notify the Federal Communications
Commission that he has failed to do this.

ୃ 9

MRS. TRAVIS: Well, I did this. I told him that I would be in the Legislature at 1:30, the session starting at 1:30; and he said, "Well, can you come back at 4:00. I'll have the information ready for you about 4:00."

MR. MONAGAS: Well, you know, there is a little leeway there possibly with the 1:30 legislative meeting and 4:30, that's possible.

MRS. TRAVIS: Well, this was 10:00 in the morning.

MR. MONAGAS: That's a possibility there, but he should not deny it to you at 10:00 without at least saying, "Another group is looking at it. I can't make it available, but as soon as they are finished, I will." That, perhaps, is wrong on his part.

MS. PETERSON: I believe Mr. Murdock has another question.

MR. MURDOCK: Do I have to come back down there?

MS. PETERSON: I think we can hear you.

MR. MURDOCK: What I would like to ask
Mr. Monagas is, I guess, two questions. Maybe the

lady there might be able to tell us; I would be interested to know what are the call letters of the station that you were visiting so that everybody here could know.

₹ **3**

,7n

<u>8</u>

Secondly, Mr. Monagas, is that what does the FCC do to investigate licensees when they make their application; because when a group or — it's like any agency investigating itself. If you were to come to me and say fill out an application and ask the community leaders, because I know I filled out those community leader reports before, and the television and radio stations go to the people that they know that they are going to get some positive answers from. They are not going to go to people that they are going to get a bad image from, and you know that; so what then does the FCC do to insure that they are in compliance, other than read the application and say, "Here is another great station"?

MR. MONAGAS: The Commission will review all of the data submitted ingregard to the ascertainment.

MR. MURDOCK: How do you verify it?

MR. MONAGAS: Well, we verify it =- one of the ways we verify it is by our own statistics in

terms of the demographic breakdown, pick up a telephone and call a civil rights agency out in the community, call some of the people who have been shown as people who have been interviewed, try to make our own determination on the demographic breakdown of the area to make sure that all of the community leaders conceivable have been interviewed and not some eliminated because they are not the nice kind of people to give the kind of answers the licensee wants.

} 3

4.

. :

MR. MURDOCK: Is this done every time?

MR. MONAGAS: No, no. How could you do it
for over 8,000 applicants? No.

MR. MURDOCK: Why don't you?

MR. MONAGAS: Or 3,200 reviews a year.

Well, No. 1, the federal treasury
won't fund us with that kind of -- 3,200 on-site
interviews a year, you know, in order to go through
all of that; but we do have investigators within
the complaints and compliance division of the
Broadcasting Bureau; and given a complaint, it's
conceivable that the teams would go out to
investigate on site.

MR. MURDOCK: But you see, again, it puts the burden of proof on the public that they have

to --

ູ 8

on the licensee. The licensee is the one then questioned about his demographics, but that can be raised by a complaint from the public.

MR. MURDOCK: But the public must first complain.

MR. MONAGAS: That is correct.

MR. MURDOCK: How do we insure that we don't have to continue to complain time and time again?

The FCC is supposed to protect the public airway; isn't that true?

MR. MONAGAS: Oh, sure that's true; but you also require money and staff to do that, too, considering over 8,000 licenses with almost 9,000 licensees in the country, with roughly 3,200 of them being reviewed every year for license renewal. I mean, just look at the logistics and the money and staff required for that.

MR. MURDOCK: How do we get more money?

MR. MONAGAS: Congress, you know, going to

Congress, and Congress would increase the allocation

of moneys to the Federal Communications Commission

for specific kinds of efforts. Maybe public effort

at Congressional level.

MR. MURDOCK: Thank you.

÷ 2

5.

MS. PETERSON: Mr. Bighorn has a question.

MR. BIGHORN (Miles City, Montana): I would like to know if in Billings or in Helena we have difficulty in terms of not being able to get the plans and that sort of thing and there is no involvement, and we contact this Commission here and sometimes we can't do that much, you know, and the human rights things they can't do very much; so maybe we need to know of someone to call or call someone in Washington, D.C. on the FCC, then if we have to, who is that person and, you know, the name and an address, you know?

MR. MONAGAS: Well, there are quite a few
I could give you, but me, No. 1. Lionel Monagas,
FCC, 1919 M Street (M as in Mary) Northwest,
Washington, D.C. Area code 202 254-6530.

The Complaints and Compliance Division, Broadcast Bureau, FCC, chief is William Ray.

The chief of the Renewal and Transfer

Branch, Broadcast Bureau, FCC, Washington, D.C., his

name is Richard Shiben. That's S-h-i-b-e-n.

These would be key people to call in regards to the whole licensing process, or call any of the Commissioners if you so desire,

chairman on down.

7:

MR. BIGHORN: Yes, I was just looking for someone we can contact that will do something about it, because we run into situation on this Commission here that, you know, we really can't do that much; and so we would like to know we can refer a problem to someone who will do something about something. That's the thing.

MR. MONAGAS: As a Commission member, I think you would have to step back into your role of individual citizen within your community, and take off your hat as a Commissioner or member of the committee, rather, and come back as an individual member of that community.

MS. PETERSON: Would you repeat that address.

MRS. TRAVIS: Could you give me the phone number.

MR. MONAGAS: Phone number?

MRS. TRAVIS: Yes.

MR. MONAGAS: My phone number is area code 202 254-6530.

MS. PETERSON: And the address was

1919 --

MR. MONAGAS: 1919 M Street Northwest, and the zip code for Washington is 20554; but there was another question there.

MS. REICHERT (Great Falls, Montana): I have a question, Helen.

Mr. Monagas, I have a two-part question.

Number one, of the seven-member FCC

Commission are there any women commissioners?

MR. MONAGAS: One part of your question,

yes, there is a woman commissioner,
Charlotte Reid, that's R-e-i-d, who has been on
the Commission about four years now.

MS. REICHERT: The second part of my question relates to former chairman, I believe, who was very vocal. Mr. Minow, I believe, referred to television as a vast wasteland. The other Commissioners since then, unless I just haven't been reading about them, are rather quiet. Is that a criteria for appointment as a Commissioner?

MR. MONAGAS: "Rather quiet" that's interesting that you say that in terms of Nick Johnson, who has been on the Commission, you know, for sometime, just recently finished a term on the Commission. Certainly, he -- virtually, he

was chairman of the Commission. I think he was heard throughout the land. He certainly was heard by the industry, the broadcasting and cable industries.

Ś

Commissioner Hooks, Benjamin Hooks, the black Commissioner on the Commission, or the Commissioner who is black, I should say, you know, he's been heard throughout the land. I am rather surprised you haven't heard from the voting members of the Commission.

MS. PETERSON: We have two questions. Please come up and give your name.

MS. BROWN (Bozeman, Montana): My name is Alanna Brown from M.S.U.

I really have two questions. One is when you talk about the public and especially when you talk about the two cases in Alabama and Mississippi, it made me think that it had to be groups with the kind of funding and backing of NAACP or something else that really carried the cases. In other words, I'm curious about how large the public or what kind of backbone the public protest must have to carry weight. That's really my first question, and asking that, I have forgotten my second. Maybe it will come to me.

MR. MONAGAS: Okay. When I say "public," this means an individual, one person, within that community who is a resident of that community where the broadcasting operation is. individual as the individual can receive support from various kinds of organizations that will help him out in the legal issue that the individual wants to raise. The ACLAU, the NAACP, Citizens Communication Center, a legal adversary firm in Washington, D.C., other kinds of organizations like that. The United Church of Christ office of communications has lent all kinds of assistance to an individual or group of individuals who lived in the community where a broadcast license is that they wish to have that license examined or challenged. That help is available.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PETERSON: We have time, I think, for about three more questions and then a short recess before our panel. Will you please keep this brief.

MS. BROWN: Yes. I'm sorry, I want to follow up with a second question.

When that license is denied, what does that mean, exactly? That they just have a certain

amount of time to comply with specific things you put out, or are there some kind of financial charges against the company? I'm confused as to what you mean by "denial."

MR. MONAGAS: Yes. The denial of license renewal means that the organization or individual holding that license is found to be unqualified to continue to be a licensee of that particular frequency. The Commission may also impose that due to that disqualification, that organization or that individual cannot apply for the license; and therefore, the frequency is up for grabs for any other organization or group that wants to come in and apply.

In the case Alabama Educational Broadcasting, the petitioners themselves recommended to the Commission that the Commission not disqualify the Alabama Commission that was holding the license from being an applicant in the future for a continuation, a brand new applicant for the license. Now, the Commission can do anything that will totally disqualify you and take the license away, disqualify you, take the license away, and disallow you the opportunity to apply again.

MS. PETERSON: The two more questions will

be from Ms. Jones and from this gentleman over here, if you want to go first.

MR. SCHWENNESEN (Missoula, Montana):
Don Schwennesen from the Missoulian.

.5

I guess I'm curious over this incident over in Helena. Now, will you consider this a violation of your rules or a potential violation, or is that the kind of rule that is for practical purposes unenforceable by your agency?

MR. MONAGAS: It is not an unenforceable rule by the agency. I don't know the specifics.

I would really have to give it due process in terms of the specifics to be able to make a judgment as to whether it's a violation or not. It sounds like a potential violation.

MS. JONES (Denver, Colorado): Mr. Monagas,
I was wondering before you left us if you could
touch briefly on the idea and concept of public
interest programs; and that concerns some wording
on public affairs programming. I see that as a
handle for minorities and women to get into
positions.

MR. MONAGAS: Yes, there is a kind of a Commission requirement that a licensee do public affairs, public service programming; but again, it

as to what the public service, public affairs programming will be. Some of it must be based upon his ascertainment of community needs and the programming that he indicated in his application that he would do to address some of those needs. Some of the remainder of the time that he allocates to public affairs, public service can be of any content, format, and what have you.

In his frequent meetings, constant dialogue with the public, conceivably some program ideas could come out of the public, that he could address them as public affairs and public service programs; but the licensee makes the determination as to the amount of time in his whole broadcast period that he's going to devote to news, public affairs, and public service after approaching the minimum amount of time.

point in time, say I live in Montana and I go to

a station and I say that I feel that you have not

entered any type of programming, public interest

or otherwise, that has been of interest to me

as a member of the public, is that a ground for

license challenge?

MS. REUSS: I'm Patricia Reuss, and I'm from Helena.

MS. PETERSON: Okay, fine.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MONAGAS: What did you mean? Minimum service?

MS. REUSS: Yes, how often.

MR. MONAGAS: Oh, no, it's usually a percentage. The Commission looks at a 3 per cent base as a minimum. Now, a licensee may make 20 per cent of his broadcast time would be devoted to public service. His problem, the problem he has with that is — and I might as well point this out if there are some licensees in here. If he indicates that he is going to do a certain amount of time, 20 per cent we'll say is public affairs

and other; and over his license period he finds
that he is not doing that amount of time in his
broadcast activity, he had better amend his
application to show either the reduced or increased
amount; because if he doesn't amend his application
at that point, when he comes up for license
renewal, it's going to show that he promised.
20 per cent news and public affairs, but over that
period of time he only did 17 per cent or 12 per cent
news and public affairs, then he is going to be in
a bind to the Commission on this question of
promise versus performance.

.9

These are little things you look for in a license application when you look at it in the offices there. You look at what he has promised in terms of his public affairs activities and find out from his log or monitor the station, watch it and make notes and see whether he is coming anywhere near that time.

MS. PETERSON: Now, we are going to take a break of no more than five minutes, partly for the benefit of the committee and staff; and meanwhile, will the panel members please come up on the platform.

(Recess taken.)