INDEX VOLUME IV SPEAKER PAGE Ms. Yolanda Salter Mr. David Lawrence Boni, Sr. 587 & 754 Mr. Edward Morgan Mr. Paul Blubaum Mr. Everett Little Whiteman Mr. William Wilson Mr. Clarence Wesley Mr. Edmond Wesley Mr. Philip Shea Sqt. Frank Teachout Mr. Velazquez William Sneezy Mr. Harold L. Stevens Mr. Tibaldo Canez Mr. Dave Tierney Mr. Josiah Moore Ms. Margie Winters Mr. Philip Antone

1 November 21, 1975 2 8:00 a.m. 3 Tucson, Arizona PROCEEDINGS 6 (he following session was chaired by Ms. Catherine 7 Palmquis 8 9 TE CHAIR: Ladies and Gentlemen, this open meeting 10 of the Alzona Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission 11 on Civillights will please come to order. 12 In Catherine Palmquist, Vice Chairperson of the 13 advisory:ommittee. 14 Over members of the committe are, to my left, Ted 15 Williams Bill Levis, staff, Juana Lyon and Rita Madrid. 16 Over members of the commission, not present 17 currently Dr. Morrison Warren, the Chairman, Rudolph Gerber, 18 John Glas, Grace McCullah, State Senator Manuelito Pena, 19 Peterson ah, Peter McDonald, Maria Elba Molina, Diane 20 McCarthy nd Edward Guerrero. 21 Alo with us today are Dr. Shirley Hill Witt, Director, 22 Mountain tates Regional Office, Maria Pares, Bill Levis, 23 Esther Joson, and Phyllis Santangelo, also from the 24 Denver pfice. 25

1 2 3

This meeting is being held pursuant to rules applicable to state advisory committees and other requirements promulgated by the United States Commission on Civil Rights.

The Commission on Civil Rights is an independent, bipartisan agency of the United States Government established by Congress in 1957, authorized by the civil rights acts to investigate complaints alleging that citizens are being deprived of their right to vote because of their race, color, sex, religion or national origin.

Two, to collect and study information concerning legal developments which constitute a denial of equal protection of the laws under the Constitution.

Three, to appraise federal laws and policies with respect to denials of equal protection of the law.

Four, to serve as a national clearing house for civil rights information and five, to investigate allegations of voter fraud in elections.

The commission has established 51 state advisory committees like ours in Arizona, to advise the commission of relevant information concerning matters within the jurisdiction of the commission. And matters of mutual concern in the preparation of reports of the commission to the President and Congress.

The advisory committee may receive reports, suggestions and recommendations from individuals, public and private

6 8

10

12

13

11

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

organizations and public officials on matters pertinent to inquiries conducted by the state committees and attend, as observers, any open hearings or conferences which the commission may hold within the state.

This session today is an informal hearing, not an adversary proceeding or a court of law. Individuals have been invited to share with the committee information relating to the administration of justice

Each person who will participate has voluntarily agreed to meet with the committee. During the public meeting today, the advisory committee will explore the issues regarding the treatment American Indians receive during the administration of justice process in offreservation areas.

Since this is an open meeting, the press, radio and television stations, as well as individuals, are welcome. However, no witness shall be televised, filmed or photographed during the informal hearing nor shall testimony be recorded for broadcasting if a witness objects.

We are very concerned that we bring out all the information relating to the matters under inquiry, we are also concerned, however, that no individual be the victim of slander or libelous statements.

As a precaution against this happening, each person

making a statement here today or answering questions has been interviewed prior to this meeting. However, in the unlikely event that such a situation should develop, it will be necessary for me to call this to the attention of the person making the statement and request that he or she desist in such action.

If any persons in the audience today have statements or information they would like to share with the advisory committee, Maria Pares of the staff and other committee members will be available to meet with you individually.

Also, any persons wishing to submit documents into the record of this open meeting may do so within a 30-day period. Every effort has been made to invite persons who are knowledgeable about the problems and progress in this area. This meeting will provide the basis for a written report by the advisory committee containing findings and recommendations about the issues raised today.

As you see, we have a Court Reporter, who records the proceedings to assure that we receive accurately all statements made by panel participants and witnesses.

On behalf of the advisory committee, let me welcome all of you here today.

1 Now we'll go to our first panel, may we please have 2 Yolanda Salter, David Boni and Edward Morgan? 3 David Boni? 4 MR. MORGAN: I don't see David. I don't see Mr. 5 Boni. 6 0 (By Ms. Lyon) Ms. Salter, for the record, would you 7 please state your name, address and occupation? 8 9 10 MS. YOLANDA SALTER 11 12 (By Ms. Salter) Yolanda Salter, San Carlos, and I 13 am a field coordinator for the NAP, San Carlos, Arizona. 14 0. That's the Native American Program at San Carlos. 15 right? 16 A Yes. 17 Would you tell us just what happened this past year 18 when you were driving on the San Carlos Reservation between 19 yourself and four non-Indian men? 20 January 5, 1975, that evening went into Globe about, 21 around 5:30, and coming back from Globe we stopped at a 22 rest area where two men got off to go to the restroom, and 23 we stopped on the road on the way to San Carlos. 24 And we saw a car going across where we were parking, 25

they have a red car on the side. And they were checking or

3

5

7

8

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

maybe it's their car, I don't know. But we were sitting in the car at the time this car passed. And the boys in the back went to the bathroom and they did got back in again.

We didn't touch nothing, we were just out there,
but I didn't get off either, I was the driver to that pickup.
And then we went on our way. I saw those boys went the
opposite way and we went onto San Carlos.

And then on the way, I saw a car turn, I could see
the headlight flashing, real swiftly and they start following us. I could recognize them when they passed across
our path and they were four boys in this car. And then
we went on our way, and they start in a high speed following
us a little ways and then they got caught up with us and
then they start bumping our car.

First and then the second time and then the third time, we ran off the highway.

- May I ask you at this point, were you on the old road to San Carlos or on the new road?
 - A. Dirt road, it was the old road.
- Q On the old -- at that time you were on the reservation already?
 - A. Uh-huh.
 - Q Okay, please --
- A And we ran off the road and I was scared because they were a bunch of boys, you know, teenagers, I guess

they were, I don't know. But anyway, we went off the road and then I was sitting there, I was wondering what I can do to help myself or to defend myself against these boys.

So I was just sitting there. And then a White man, driver, a White boy, got off and I got -- I got the nerve to ask him why he did that, I just yell at him, what's this? And I -- I yell at him and then I kind of got scared myself, I was shook up by this thing. And then he said you took my battery. And you took some of my parts from the car. That red car over there. And I said I -- I didn't have anything to do with that car. I didn't even touch it. I didn't get near it.

And then I asked him, I told him to look in the back of my pickup, see if you can find anything that belongs to you. I was really yelling at him at the time.

And I saw another car coming from Globe and that was Tom Francis. I just ran in front of him, he almost ran over me because I couldn't -- I don't know what to do so I just ran in front of that car and he stopped and I asked for help.

And I told him that I'm going to put two boys in there to get to the jailhouse. So he helped, he took those boys and took it to San Carlos and we were stuck there.

Another lady was with me so there was four of us Indians there and four Whites. And I -- they tried to -- detach

3 4

8 9

the car, so they did, the bumper got caught, so they
pushed my car out and they pushed their car away and they
were parked on the side and he asked me what he's going
to do about that damage to his car and whose fault was it?

I didn't bump his car. And he start yelling at me, you better pay for it, he was saying, I said I can't because I -- I wasn't, you know, I wasn't even bumping him. So, well, let's go to the jailhouse and find out, I told him, see what -- what the decision will be there. Because I didn't want to do -- I didn't want to be with them over there because it was dark already, I was scared too.

So we went on ahead to get to the jailhouse.

- This was to the jailhouse at San Carlos?
- A. Yes, that was the closest one, I thought they could help me. So we went on, but they start chasing us again like in a high speed again, I don't know what to do, but I turned my car back to the -- there was a skill center there so I went back over there and tried to contact one of the highway patrolmen, he lives there, I know. Paul Nosey (Phonetic)?

So I stop at one of the trailer and they were still chasing us, those other boys, they were just chasing us and then I stop at once the trailer, and then I asked this White man if I could use his phone to call the policemen. He didn't say anything, and those boys were already behind

1 2 3

8 9

were talking together. So I just -- say they can't help me so I just ran off and then got in my car and then I went to the next trailer, there I found Paul, Paul Nosey. And I told Paul to call the policemen for me so they can come up and get me or try to get those boys.

So he called the policemen. And I sent those other two, too, I guess they reported that incident. Then -- Q. Did you wait there for the police?

A. Yes, I wait there until the policeman come to escort me home.

So they were half-way, Paul asked me to go half-way so we went half-way and the policeman stopped there and then we went on our way.

And that night I contact one of the investigators there. The reservation investigator, Mr. White -- Little Whiteman, I guess he's called, I contacted him and I told him what happened to me. He said he wouldn't -- he can't do nothing now, but tomorrow morning at 8:00 o'clock he said, when everybody leaves the area, I guess, I don't know, but I didn't think that was a fair thing to do to wait until 8:00 o'clock the next day. I wanted something done that night.

And then I just went home and then the next day I came back to the jailhouse to file a complaint against these

boys, but instead they told me to go to Gila County Attorney 2 or Sheriff's Office where I could file my complaints. 3 Who told you that, Mr. Little Whiteman? 4 Yes. He is the one that told me to go over there to the county attorney. 6 And what was the reason he gave for that? 7 Well, he said he have no jurisdiction over a White 8 person, you know, if he's on the reservation. So I -- I 9 didn't know what to do but go to Gila County. 10 I went to the sheriff's office first and then sheriff's 11 office talked to me and then he seems like he make a fun 12 out of what happened to me, you know, my life was in stake 13 here. But he just make fun of that. 14 Do you know who the person was that you talked --15 Mr. Smith, I know he's from San Carlos, he's 16 married to one of the San Carlos women there. 17 And he's a deputy sheriff? 18 No, I don't think he was a deputy, he was just --19 I don't know what he was, but anyway, I remember he's 20 a sheriff. 21 He works for the sheriff's department? 22 Yes, he used to be but I don't think he's there 23 anymore. And then I didn't like the way he -- his 24 attitude was towards San Carlos Apache, you know, or toward 25

the policeman, department, and they told me to go to see an

1 attorney up there, and then see what he can do about it, 2 and I went up there and met this old attorney. 3 Was this the county attorney? 4 What was his name, do you remember? 5 I don't remember his name but I know he was a Gila 6 County Attorney. And I talked to him and I asked him if 7 I could file a complaint against these boys, he said he 8 have no jurisdiction over them too, even there. What was the reason he gave? 10 Well, he said that the San Carlos Apache have the 11 jurisdiction over them, you know, White man was supposed 12 to be --13 On the reservation? 14 On the reservation. 15 I see. 16 It was supposed to be taken care of by the San Carlos 17 Apache he told me, and he called Mr. attorney, I guess he 18 was attorney Barry DeRose, to ask this question, and then 19 he told me to go back to the reservation and file the 20 complaints there. 21 This was after he spoke to Mr. DeRose? 22 Uh-huh. He told me to go back to the San Carlos 23 police and file the complaints there, so I couldn't do 24 nothing. That was as far as I went, so I didn't get any 25 service or any --

1 So, in other words, the San Carlos -- no, the 2 special officer for the BIA at San Carlos told you the 3 reason he couldn't do anything was because he had no 4 jurisdiction over a White man on the reservation, and the 5 Gila County Attorney told you the same thing, he said he 6 had no --7 A. Yes, they send me back. 8 -- he had no jurisdiction on the reservation. So what did you do then? 10 Well, I couldn't do anything because I have no 11 money to my name to hire an attorney like they do, and I 12 have nothing to my name so I just set on it now. But I 13 wish I could have it straight, you know, straightened out 14 between the White and the Apache on the reservation, who 15 has the --16 Jurisdiction? 17 Uh-huh. 18 Do you know what the status of your case is? Has 19 anyone looked into it at all or -20 A. No, nobody. 21 Or was that the end of it? 22 I don't think nobody tried to help me or tried to 23 contact me or question me about these certain things, 24 but just, it's dead right now. 25 I see. Do you know if the same sort of thing has

1 happened before or off the reservation, and how that might have been handled? 3 I heard about a lot of other, the same thing, you know, on the road, being chased and everything, but they 5 said they couldn't do nothing because they're scared of 6 the White people. They have more power you might say, more 7 authority over a lot of things like this. And I don't 8 believe, I don't believe that. I think both sides do have 9 power to, you know, overcome this, so I -- I heard a lot 10 of things like that and then they just let it go at that. 11 I guess their lack of money or lack of glories, you might 12 say. 13 Q If an Apache from San Carlos needs any legal assis-14 tance, is there anywhere that he can get it or she can 15 get it? 16 A No, the legal aids maybe, but I don't know how they 17 can help us. 18 I see. You've never tried to get help from them? 19 No, not --20 So, as far as your experience, your case, nothing 21 more was done about it? 22 A. Nothing. 23 Did you ever see those persons again? 24 Yes, four days later, I was just checking over there 25 to see if I could find them again and they were around yet.

Q Was this on the reservation?

A Yes, they were on the reservation. They weren't chased or anything, they were just robbing the reservation again. But I never reported to the policeman again what they were still doing on there.

O So you think that something needs to be done to straighten this kind of problem out, where a tribe would have jurisdiction on its own reservation over anyone that is on the reservation?

Do you think it would help to --

A. Yes, I think it would help if they passed some certain ordinance or any bylaws that goes on the reservation to help us out, or other, just to get the White man on this side and then let them have the jurisdiction or the San Carlos Apache.

MS. LYON: I have no further questions. Do any of the other committee members have questions?

- Q (By Mr. Levis) Ms. Salter, was the county attorney's name Edward Dawson, to the best of your knowledge?
- Attorney Dawson or I don't know who I talked to but he was there, he's an older man. But I was told that he was a middle-aged man but I never met that guy, Mr. Dawson or something. But I went up there and talked to this old man.
 - One final question. Did the bumping of your car

mioniarin

1 start on or off the reservation? A. On the reservation. 3 Nothing occurred until you were back on the reser-4 vation? 5 A. Yes. 6 But you were told by the police you had to file 7 with the county attorney off the reservation? 8 Yes. A. MR. LEVIS: I have no further questions. 10 But I was sent back to San Carlos to file the 11 complaints over there but nothing has been done about that. 12 MR. LEVIS: So it isn't clear as to whether you 13 should file your complaint on or off the reservation? 14 A Yes, but I was told to go back. 15 THE CHAIR: Are there any other further questions 16 of any of the committee? 17 Q (By Ms. Lyon) For the record, Mr. Boni, would you 18 please state your name, address and occupation? 19 20 21 MR. DAVID LAWRENCE BONI, SR. 22 23 (By Mr. Boni) My name is David Lawrence Boni, Sr., 24 my address is Box Number 646, San Carlos, Arizona. The zip 25 code, 85550. The date of birth is 12/30/43, the age is 31.

Height is five-nine. Apache, full blooded Indian.

4 Thank you.

I understand you have a gentleman with you whom you wish to speak for you, is that correct?

A No.

Oh, I see. I see. Would you describe for us what happened when you were arrested or what happened before you were arrested, what led to your arrest?

A Well, my job, my job was a game warden on the San Carlos Apache Reservation. And there was a violation going on on the reservation. It's the middle of the night, these pig hunters from California, they were shooting across the road and towards to the waterhole where there is lot of live-stock is, they shooting towards that way, so I stopped them. And they were drunk. They'd been drinking all night. They making noise, so I stopped them.

So they put up their rifle and later on, they start shooting again. So I went over there. I told them, now I was just going to give you a citation for what you been doing. So I told them I going to give you a citation for a misuse of a firearm. But this, these are non-Indian from California, they jump in their truck and they took off. They really speeding down the jeep trail.

And then -- and then later on, about an hour later,

I went down and I went down, and I met another camper there,

he's from California too. Well, he know me pretty good and I know him real well too. His name is Andy Anderson. He wave at me so I stop, I wave at him. I said, Andy, do you want something? That's all. He said how are you doing? I told him I'm fine, that's all. And it was about a 100 -- he was about 100 yards away from me and this is the guy accused me of assault with a dangerous weapon, he just making that story for me. Because they tried to push me off from the -- from the law enforcement, because they want to do something, they want to violate everything, you know, that's why they just push me off the -- that's what they were trying to do, they just trying to make a story for me, that's what they did.

So they signed -- they signed complaint against me through the federal court. They charged me with assault with a dangerous weapon. On this one here, they arrested me in Globe, Arizona, on December -- the thing, it happened -- it happened on February 17th, it happened on February 17, 1973. They reported at that time, and then they delayed it for December, until December 1st, and they issue a warrant and they pick me up on December 1st. They pick me up, there's a highway patrolman, they're the one picked me up on a street. I was -- I was driving on a street and they pulled me over. So I stop and I got out, and this highway patrol, highway patrol, he pulled -- he pulled a

handgun on me, he pointed at me and he told me to turn around facing your car and put your hands on the cab, so I did. And he searched me there. And then later on there's another — another two officers came, one of them is a highway patrol and the other one is city — city police captain. They come over, they told me you got — they told me you're wanted by FBI, I told them who's the FBI, so they said Wayne Mack (Phonetic). So they — so, and then we wait there for about 15 minutes and they make a call, and then later on they took me down to city — to city jail.

At city jail they don't want to -- they don't want to take me there, they don't want me to stay there so they don't know what they going to do with me so finally they took me to Gila County Jail, it was on Saturday afternoon.

This was the highway patrol that took you to these different jails?

A Yes. Highway patrol. So I was -- I was behind the bars for five days, that's about 120 hours without seeing my attorney, nothing. I was in there Saturday afternoon, all day, and Sunday, Monday, Tuesday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, half a day, and on Thursday afternoon the U.

S. Marshall, they brought me over in Tucson.

At any time during those days that you were in jail,

1	were you given the opportunity to make a telephone call?
2	A. No.
3	Q Did you ask to make
4	A I asked. I asked.
5	Q But you were refused?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q Was this in the which jail was this?
8	A In the Gila County Jail.
9	Q I see. Whom did you ask?
10	A The jailer or the deputy. I don't know what his
11	job was but I asked him, said could I make a phone call?
12	So finally, finally they brought me over here to Tucson.
13	Q When you were arrested, were you given a statement
14	of your rights?
15	A No, nothing.
16	Q Were you told what the reason was for your arrest?
17	A Well, after after they arrest me they told me,
18	you know, you got a felony warrant like that. The guy
19	I mean the highway patrol, the one he arrest me, he didn't
20	say nothing to me about my warrant. He just stopped me.
21	4 He didn't read you your rights either?
22	A Nothing. And then this other other patrolman
23	and city policeman, they come over, they're the ones that
24	tell me I got a warrant.
25	Q Were you carrying any firearms at the time you were
33303	

1 arrested? 2 No. 3 Go ahead, Mr. Boni. So they took me down to federal court here. For bond like that now and for arraignment. So I went to the 6 judge and -- they took me to the judge and my bond was 7 \$20,000.00, and that day, on Thursday, I didn't got out 8 because that bond, that bond was no good. They said 9 there's something wrong with the bond. So I wait. I wait 10 another night in the county -- in the county jail here, 11 I don't know what -- what county is this but I was in 12 county jail here for another night. 13 And then on Friday, I was released on bond, 20,000 14 bond, \$20,000.00 bond. 15 At what point, Mr. Boni, were you told what the 16 charges were against you? 17 Huh? 18 When did they tell you what the charges were against 0 you? 20 On Thursday. 21 a I see. 22 Thursday afternoon. 23 And then you were released on bond? Q 24 Yes, on bond. 25 I see. And what happened then? 0

1 And then -- well, they set a date, you know, they keep on cancelling it until -- until March, I think March 2 3 16th or March 17th, and then I had a trial there. I had a trial there, in that trial, in that hearing, while they use -- they call Geronimo's name in there, in the 6 court. For what reason? Well, I don't -- I don't really remember what is 8 9 for all about but they did, but they mentioned Geronimo's 10 name in there. I don't know what Geronimo be doing a long 11 time ago to White people. This is the thing, they brought 12 me out and then that's the way they found me guilty. 13 Who was this that mentioned Geronimo, do you know? 14 Was it the judge or the prosecutor or --15 The prosecuting attorney. 16 Did you have an attorney at that time? 17 Yes. 18 Did you employ this attorney yourself? Or was this 19 attorney assigned to you by the court? 20 No, this -- this attorney was assigned by the tribe. 21 I see. 22 So they brought that thing up, and so they found 23 me guilty and they told me to wait for my sentence until April 17th. So I was waiting, I thought I was going to 24

go back, but on April 16th I heard that my case been throw

25

1	out of court for it was unconstitutional.
2	And so you thought that the case was closed, right?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q And then what happened?
5	A And then they appeal it again.
6	Q Was this the persons that filed the charge against
7	you that appealed it?
8	A I think I don't really know. I don't really
9	know on that. So they they appeal it, I think today
10	I maybe it's still pending or
11	Q I see. And then were you, at any time, arrested
12	again on the same charge?
13	A Well, they just brought me back, they well, they
14	just wrote me a letter to come before the judge again,
15	you know.
16	Q I see.
17	A For for I think rehearing, something like that,
18	you know. So
19	Q So your case still is not closed at this time?
20	A I don't really know.
21	MR. MORGAN: No.
22	Q (By Ms. Lyon) I see.
23	What do you think, Mr. Boni, could be done to im-
24	prove this kind of thing, this kind of situation to keep
25	this kind of thing from happening to Indian people, do you

1 have any idea what or any recommendation of what should be done and by whom it should be done? 3 I think -- I think it's better for tribal authorities 4 should have their own -- their own rights to take care of 5 the non-Indian on the reservation. I think it's better 6 that way. 0 Right. Is there anything else that you would like to say, 9 Mr. Boni, or do you have a gentleman with you that wants 10 to make a statement? 11 Or I don't know the gentleman, did you -- wish to 12 testify, sir? 13 MR. MORGAN: Yes. 14 (By Ms. Lyon) Are there any other questions to 15 Mr. Boni? 16 (By Mr. Levis) I have three. 17 Mr. Boni, as game warden do you carry firearms? 18 Yes, I do. 19 And you talked about the trial in March, was that 20 1974 or 1975? 21 Seventy-four. 22 0 And then as far as the case being thrown out 23 initially, was that April, '74 or April, '75? 24 April, '74. 25 0 Seventy-four?

1 Yes, '74. April, April, '74. And at the present time the case is being appealed 3 by the U.S. Attorney's office, to the best of your knowledge? 4 Yes. And you said it was thrown out as being unconsti-6 tutional, on what grounds was it declared unconstitutional? To the best of your knowledge? Or if Mr. Morgan would 8 like to --9 On this one here, you know, you know, we're Indian. 10 If it's on the reservation, if a non-Indian, if it's a 11 non-Indian they give him a lesser punishment. Indian 12 get more punishment. 13 Such as --14 Huh? 15 Well, you talk about a lesser punishment. 16 See, see, a non-Indian, what they accuse me with, 17 assault with a dangerous weapon, you know, if a non-Indian 18 violate the same law, they can take him to the state or 19 to the county. Like that. And he get a lesser punishment 20 over there. 21 In state or county court? 22 Yes. 23 Than he would in federal court? If an Indian is 24 arrested on the reservation only the federal court has 25 jurisdiction?

Yes. Was that the reason it was thrown out as being un-3 constitutional? 4 Yes. 5 MR. LEVIS: I have no further questions of this 6 witness. 7 THE CHAIR: Are there any other questions of other 8 commissioners? (By Ms. Lyon) Mr. Morgan, for the record, would 10 you please state your name, address and occupation? 11 12 13 MR. EDWARD MORGAN 14 15 (By Mr. Morgan) Edward Morgan, 45 West Pennington, 16 Tucson, Arizona. Lawyer. 17 Would you describe for the committee the type of 18 justice system that you feel is necessary for American 19 Indians in the State of Arizona? 20 Well, I don't wish to be presumptuous. 21 Q Based on your experience? 22 Over the last 30 years of practice within the 23 State of Arizona, primarily in the southern part of the 24 state, the primary matter is the facility and adequacy of 25 obtaining legal representation for people from the Indian

Nations. The ability of people from the Indian Nations to get to lawyers and get lawyers available to them is just about, on an initial basis, just really doesn't exist.

Second, even when, by the process of law, a lawyer is appointed for them under a public defender system, that lawyer's experience and ability to communicate with the particular client and the client with that lawyer is inadequate, to say the least.

The circumstances that the lawyer must meet with the client in a good number of cases, the person from the Indian Nation is not bondable for a number of reasons, to the same degree that non-Indians would have available, to be free on bail, that means that the lawyer must meet with the client in a jail, where communication is extremely limited. The environment is hostile, the lawyer may or may not have an interpreter, where the client uses a limited English vocabulary to talk to a lawyer that has little or no experience with the Indian culture or Indian language or Indian view of the reality of what the Indian is involved in.

The fact that it's done under hostile conditions, that is within a jail framework, just about destroys the ability to really communicate with the client.

Assuming that the client is free on bail, a possibly

less threatening environment is used for the communication with the client, maybe the lawyer's office, but again, it may be the first time that the client has been in a lawyer's office. And it may be the first time that that client has been into a -- into that type of environment.

There, a very hurried and usually inadequate conference is held, usually with some persons in the family acting as, quote, an interpreter, but not a trained interpreter. So that my first observation is that by and large, people from the Indian Nations do not have ready access to legal services off the reservation.

Second, that when they do have access, it comes usually later in the criminal proceedings and doesn't, it's not initiated at the earlier time of arrest or immediately after arrest. Lawyers understand that the longer period of time between the point of arrest and the point that the lawyer gets on board, the more difficult it is to adequately represent the client.

So that in many instances, the lawyer only comes on board at some point down in the proceedings after there has been an indictment.

My point, and the one that I would like to suggest, would be a creation of -- it would be nice to have more lawyers, but because of the standard of living that lawyers in this society demand, there are, then, charges that are

involved, their fee structure, so that they can live on that standard of living which they believe that they have some sort of God-given right to live on, makes the financing of legal representation maybe prohibitive.

Which I think is unfortunate. But I think is the case.

Dealing with that reality, that unfortunate reality that lawyers charge too much, by and large in this culture, the next best thing is to attempt to create paralegal workers, and it would be my recommendation that we undertake the training of Indian peoples in paralegal training, and that they be made available in every community. That we create a state system of making them available.

I would recommend a state WATS line that any Indian or any person with a concern for Indians, who is involved with the law enforcement problem, arrest or harassment, could phone 24 hours a day, seven days a week, on a state WATS line, who would then have a list of resources of the closest paralegal worker that was available to immediately plug the paralegal worker into the Indian and his family or her family as the case would be.

So that, one, the Indian would not feel isolated in a situation without friends and without the knowledge and skills and social techniques of getting aid, would have a person who would act as their advocate immediately,

so they don't feel so isolated, and so willing to acquiesce in the demands of the law enforcement people, to be able to act as both an intellectual translator in terms of language, but also a cultural translator of the value structure, because there's, in my experience, often, a very different view of reality and what is right and what is wrong and what the right thing for an Indian to do within their cultural concepts and what the ordinary White man's lawyer's training brings to the White man's idea of what's right and wrong to do in a given situation.

I think those paralegal people should be Indian,

I think they should be trained in those legal skills,

in resources, and I think that the system should be

available on a 24-hour a day, seven days a week, basis.

And of course, free to the persons.

In some respects, I would think that such services would be greeted with pleasure by some enlightened law enforcement people who find themselves in a situation of dealing with an Indian person who has been charged with some offense, and really don't have any tools of communication or any way to really relate or help the person that they're arresting, and I would think that with some enlightened law enforcement people, they would feel very, very happy to be able to call a central clearing agency and

get that type of help for the person that they may have taken into custody or feel that they have to take in custody.

Now, I don't want to be real rosy about that, but you know, one can't presume bad faith all the time, though history sometimes would lead us to feel that way and view reality that way. So that I think it would be useful, I think that a budget of somewhere about \$75,000.00 to \$100,000.00 a year could set up that service statewide.

Thank you, Mr. Morgan.

You mentioned that many times Indians are not bondable. Could you give us examples of some circumstances related to bonding?

A Well, bonding, you know, is a matter of -- of the feeling of the police, of the prosecutor's office and of the judge as to whether someone is going to be coming back and make themselves available for trial.

Ostensibly, that's supposed to be a -- a rather, oh, legal formula, by and large, it's more visceral than that. But it operates with all people that are -- with all the poor. Where do you live? Do you have a house? Do you have a steady job? All of the normal indicia that is used by courts to release people is reduced when it comes, in many instances, with Indian people, because of their poverty, may not have a steady job, may not have an immediate residence in the community, so that by reason of

their poverty, by reason of their inability to communicate and to sell themselves, you know, it's a lot of difference between if I'm pulled in in some small town in the State of Arizona on some criminal charge, you know, I have the security of being raised as a White man in this society, the security of an education, the selfesteem so that I can stand there and explain who I am and what my needs are and why I'll be back.

Take someone who comes in there without all of those goodies and that security alone, isolated, a limited knowledge of the proceedings, a limited ability to communicate, with possibly some very hostile people who really don't want to communicate, and then to convince the judge that the client should be released becomes a very formidable task.

The thing that's very difficult, I think, for the culturally elite people of this society to understand is how much self-possession we have, how much arrogance we have, in terms of being able to speak and say what we want. And how difficult it is for people who have been deprived of an equal share in this society, to be able to say the same things and ask for their own rights.

It is very similar, I think that within the White culture, it's normally more easily understood to try and get the White person to recollect their own feelings of

inadequacy when they were young, adolescents trying to talk to their parents or trying to talk to adults. The very intimidation, the very sense of inadequacy is operable, and that's why I believe that the paralegal person must get plugged in at the very earliest moment to give self-esteem, to give a sense of not being isolated, of having someone who is committed to being your friend, who you identify with, who you feel understands you.

At that level, a paralegal worker is necessary, immediately at arrest. Someone that you know that you can trust will get the information to your family that you are in jail, just even if the person wasn't trained as a lawyer at all, if you had a person who could be there to identify immediately, because I think the same problem happens in all the relationships of minority peoples in this culture, when they go to the doctor, when they go to the dentists office, when they go to a federal bureau, when they go for their driver's license, when they see the school administrator, that type of advocacy, personal advocacy is necessary.

When it comes over in the criminal justice system, it becomes a matter literally of life and death. And that's why it's necessary.

Q Thank you.

Mr. Morgan, from what you have told us, are we to

conclude that equal protection of the laws is not accorded Indian citizens in the State of Arizona?

A No, it's not accorded in terms of a delivery system. They can't -- even when it is made available, it's not usable. Because it is not designed to deal with the consumer.

in mind is the secure, middle class, White person. And so the product is designed for a particular customer. And is not designed for Indians or other minorities. And though the words of the law may be equal, the ability to use it is not equal. And there is no equality of justice unless there is equality of income to get lawyers, and because of the very poverty, there isn't an equal delivery system, even in externals, much less the ability to make use of the system once you have it.

That is, I don't think an Indian gets the same service out of a lawyer that White, middle class person would get out of the same lawyer. And when you think of that sort of deprivation, you know, it's just outrageous. Ability of lawyer to communicate with a client, the ability of a lawyer to not be hierarchical with a client, because part of the problem is also the tyranny of an Indian person or minority person to the dictates of his own lawyer.

8 9

The lawyer takes over, the lawyer determines what will be done, the lawyer tells the client what to do. And that's why the paralegal person has to stay all the way through the process, not just get him to a lawyer or a lawyer to him, but must stay with it to help the client fight his own lawyer in terms of what the client wants to do, not what the boss-lawyer wants to do.

Because a lot of injury is done to Indian clients because they are in a subservient role to their own lawyer. Oh, Mr. Lawyer, whatever you say, Mr. Lawyer, the lawyer says --

Q Thank you, Mr. Morgan.

As legal counsel for David Boni, do you have anything to add to his testimony?

A No. I'm not directly handling his case in my office, my associate, Paul Willis is, and I did not know that we were going to be discussing that case or else I would have had him here present or else I would have literally boned up on the matter.

I am not in detail familiar with the problem. It is now before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals on a motion for rehearing. The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals sustained Mr. Boni's previous counsel's position that there was a denial of equal application of the law in his case, and reversed the lower court. The government made a motion

man.

for rehearing predicated upon a United States Supreme Court ruling, and that motion for rehearing is -- has been tentatively granted, and as I understand it, we're in the process of fighting, trying to have them reverse their decision on the rehearing.

MS. LYON: Thank you. I have no further questions.

Q (By Mr. Guerrero) Just one question, Madame Chair-

Mr. Morgan, this paralegal concept you're more or less relating to us, is it in effect anywhere else in the country on reservations, that you know of?

A I'm not -- I'm not very knowledgeable of what goes on outside of Tucson or maybe Arizona. And all -- I've lived here all my life, practically, and I really don't know.

- Ω Do you know if it's in existence anywhere in this state or anywhere else?
 - A I don't know of it in this state.
- What type of training or how extensive of this training do you feel a person should have to more or less be qualified to be paralegal, in your mind, and in your concept, paralegal person?
- A Okay. I'm a very greedy person. Two drumsticks are better than one, three are eminently better, you know. I would like them trained, you know, just to the hilt. What

I would like. What do I think is a bare minimum? Okay.

I think that they would be trained in the simple legal procedures of arrest, bail, court procedures, so that they would know the various courts, have a fairly good idea of the jurisdiction of various courts, and the practical application, operations of those courts.

I think they would be given a training in a legal vocabulary and legal concepts, so that they would be able to translate both the concepts and the vocabulary. I think they would be trained in terms of resources within the particular community, all the social resources, not just the legal resources but social resources. Because part of the problem with the criminal defendant is that they have so many other problems that are going on at the same time, who's going to take care of family, how do they take care of their job, so that they would need some training in terms of the social service resources of a community.

I would think that with a -- with good motivation, and the normally bright people that are available, we could train women and men initially. I think in an intensive, six-weeks course, that we could obtain a sufficient original basis, and I predicate that on, because of my practical experience in draft counseling, where we trained -- my office and people associated with me trained something

like 500 or 600 draft counselors, and we found, you know, that the ordinary housekeeper, service station operator, with intensive care, could be trained in very complex legal and federal procedures concerning draft rights, etcetera, and I think if that were an initial intensive course for about six weeks, some on-the-job training, supervised by other people initially, and review courses about every six months to keep them abreast of it, I think we could do it.

MR. GUERRERO: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Guerrero, does that answer all your questions?

We want to move right along here, our time is getting short. Ted, did you have something else?

Q (By Mr. Williams) One little question. On the WATS line concept for legal aid, would you envision that concept if it was practical, a funding mechanism was developed to also address the problem of Mexican-Americans, even some of the other people that might be -- other majority who have problems with legal system?

A I think that all deprived people in the culture need the personal advocate, paralegal person concept.

- Q Do you have any ideas on funding for something like that?
 - A I'm nearly bankrupt so don't ever talk to me about

3 4

7 8

the vagaries of government and financing and funding and that sort of thing, I never had anything to do with it, I've been a lowly private enterprise lawyer, with never any funding, so I don't know anything about that.

No, but I think -- and by the way, that should be oriented for women and men. I don't think you send a man advocate to a woman Indian who has problems and I don't think you send a woman to the man. I think women, particularly, need that. Because there the double discrimination occurs. Not only are they a minority Indian, but they're also -- get all of the trouble of being a woman.

And I think it would be very special training for women advocacy, paralegal workers in that --

THE CHAIR: Are there any other questions of commission members?

Very good. Thank you very much.

We'll take a one-minute break.

(Short recess)

THE CHAIR: Mr. Morgan has addressed the committee to make a brief statement and then we will proceed with the next panel, so would the committee members and those who are involved in the next panel, William Smitherman and Edward Dawson, please make themselves readily available?

Mr. Morgan, would you like to make your statement?

A. (By Mr. Morgan) I would like to apologize to the persons from the Indian Nations that were here when I was making my remarks. Because it would seem as if I were implying that the creation of this system would be something that was done outside them and then given to them.

My view is that the program should be developed by the Indian Nations within their own needs, within their own constructs, and the way they feel it should be done. It shouldn't be done outside by either the State of Arizona or by the federal government, it should be developed by the Indian Nations themselves, within their needs and the way they feel it should be done.

Thank you very much.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Morgan.

Mr. Smitherman here? Mr. Smitherman and Edward Dawson?

MR. DAWSON: I'm Ed Dawson.

THE CHAIR: Would you like to be seated over here?

(By Mr. Levis) Mr. Dawson, for the record, would
you please state your name, address and occupation?

MR. EDWARD DAWSON

2

3

4

1

A (By Mr. Dawson) My name is Ed Dawson, I'm Gila County Attorney, my address is 215 South Fourth Street, Globe, Arizona.

6

Q Could you describe the role of your office in the case involving Yolanda Salter, please?

7 8

A No, I may not.

9

Q Could you explain why?

10

A I have no knowledge of the case whatsoever.

11

Okay.

ment Agency.

12

be present to testify before this commission, I wrote

14

and asked the details of what I may be asked so that I

15

could be prepared and I was -- received a letter in return

I received a letter a week ago asking that I might

16

stating that there was a case involving a Yolanda Salter.

17

I again wrote and called and asked for the details of the

18 19

case so that I could be prepared to testify intelligently

20

before this commission. I received a call from a Maria
Pares and she said, told me that this person would not

21

testify until just before I did. That it involves something

22

to do with a dispute of jurisdiction between the San

23

Carlos Tribal Reservation and the Gila County Law Enforce-

24

I looked in our files and we show no case file re-

garding the name Yolanda Salter. So if someone can give me the facts, perhaps I will -- if I handled the case personally in the office, I may know of it.

I saw the lady who was seated at this table prior to my testimony and she did not look familiar. I don't believe I've talked to that person before.

- Okay, thank you very much.
- But other than that, tell me what the problem is and I'll try to tell you what our policy would be.
- Q Okay, in a case similar to the one that you heard Ms. Salter describe --
- I didn't hear Ms. Salter describe the case, I wasn't present when she spoke to the commission at all.
- Let me briefly just review the general facts, then 0 you can give me an idea as to what your office can or can not do because I think that was the question of concern.

It's my understanding that while this incident happened on the reservation, that is Ms. Salter's car was bumped by a car containing three or four non-Indians, and she reported this incident to the BIA on the reservation, she was informed by the BIA that since, in a sense, defendants were non-Indian, that she should report it to the Gila County Attorney's Office.

And that when she reported it to your office, that

24

25

she was told since it happened on the reservation that you do not have jurisdiction. I just wonder if that's the policy of your office or what a person should do in such an incident?

A I'd be glad to tell you what our general office policies are, I won't be able to tell you in that specific case.

0 In a similar case?

A Why or why we could not or could take action. Our policy is that on crimes that happen within the limits of Gila County by non-Indians, whether they're on or off the reservation, that we do have jurisdiction, and we do systematically charge people that are not Indians with crimes that happened in Gila County but on the Indian Reservation.

We do this not daily because we don't have that many instances arise, but in every case that I know of where a crime by a non-Indian was committed on the reservation, we do file the charges in Gila County in the superior court, take them through the state court.

Q So, Ms. Salter, who is still here, should talk to you after your testimony concerning her individual case?

A I would say if -- I would -- tried to find out how long ago this happened, I was told it was quite some time ago, but no one either knew or could give me an idea of

when this happened.

3

the last, I think year and a half or so.

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's my understanding, I think it happened within

A I see that Layman Peace is going to make some comments at 1:15, he's the sheriff of Gila County, and I wish he were here now, because the problem, if there is one, that arises in cases like this, is getting the information we need to prosecute. Just as the case that happens off the reservation, when an individual, not a peace officer but an individual comes to our office directly and says, I want to prosecute a complaint, we usually assign it to an investigator to bring the facts before us, talk to all the witnesses.

Sometimes this is a problem when the crime occurred on the reservation. But again I have no knowledge of this case at all.

Okay, thank you.

Could you describe the types of cases that do involve American Indians that your office does handle? Would those only be off-reservation cases?

A Yes, yes, contrary to what Mr. Morgan testified to earlier, we really have a very small percentage of cases involving Indians in Gila County, although we have a large population of Indians within our boundaries.

The ordinary case would be a case that happens in,

usually in the City of Globe, by an Indian and constitutes a crime. The vast, vast majority of these would be bar fights or burglaries. I'd say that would comprise 90-some percent of the cases that come before me.

Now, I'm talking about felony cases and I don't handle the minor cases that go before the justice of the peace personally.

- Misdemeanor cases are handled by your assistant or --
- A Yes.
- Then there's also a city court in Globe which would be handled by the city attorney?
 - A Right.
- Q Do you happen to know what percentage of your felony cases do involve American Indians?
 - A I can only say it would be quite small.
- Ω Do you have any opinion as to what could be done to resolve some of the jurisdictional issues that have been discussed earlier today by Mr. Morgan and by others?
- A Again, I work in the law enforcement field, and for my small county, I would probably say that if there's any discrepancy in an Indian case as opposed to a non-Indian case, it would be to the Indian's advantage.

The Indian, of course, has the same rights to an attorney and even in misdemeanor cases, even in the -- there aren't many misdemeanor cases that I know of that

involve Indians, it mainly would be driving while intoxicated on their way to the reservation, but within the -outside the reservation, and -- but they are accorded
an attorney, it's the same attorney that's appointed to
represent people who can't afford an attorney who are
non-Indian.

This is the public defender you're talking about?

A This is the public defender's office. Who has a -quite an experience in these lines, and the representation
would be the same, the experience would be as much related
to the Indians as could be done in our county without a
specialist appointed just for Indians.

The attorneys that happen to have the public defender contract also happen to be the tribal counsel for the White River Apaches and have extensive experience with the Indian people.

Are they Anglo attorneys?

A Yes. And as are most of the attorneys that would represent them otherwise. But if there's any -- any discrepancy or discrimination on the part of Indian cases it would be that, by and large, they are treated much more lemient by the court system than would a non-Indian, and like I say, we have many -- burglary is a fairly serious charge, and this is the most serious charge, I would say, that we've ever had against an Indian, but since

1 I've been county attorney, no Indian person has gone to the state prison, no Indian person has received a severe 3 sentence, most of them are put on a very short probationary 4 term, and this is -- it starts with the inception from the police officer, the police officer who makes a complaint, usually takes into consideration the Indian and often asks for a lesser complaint than he might have in 8 a different situation. 9 A How long have you been county attorney in Gila 10 County? 11 A Since January 1, 1973. 12 Is there any liaison between your office and the 13 Indian community in Globe or around Globe on the reser-14 vations? Any formal --15 A person who plays the role of liaison? 16 0 Right. 17 No. 18 Is there an interpreter who's assigned to the Q 19 court or is that done on a case by case basis? 20 A. Just a case by case basis. 21 And that would be out of court funds, I take it? 22 Yes. 23 And the public defender's office is funded, I 24 would assume, on contract from the county? 25 That's exactly true.

1 Are most persons released on their own recognizance? 2 Most, if you're talking about the majority, yes. 3 Over 50% of all people charged with crimes are released either on their own recognizance or a minimal-type bond. Is there a distinction made between persons on or 6 off the reservation? 7 I don't believe so. I don't get actively involved 8 in the setting of bond, this is done before a case even gets to my office, but in my experience, most of the 10 Indians that do come before us on serious charges, which 11 as I've said are not too many, are usually released. I 12 don't know if they're on bond or on their own recognizance 13 because I just know that they're not in custody, they're 14 not, they don't have to be brought to the court by the 15 sheriff's office, so under what conditions they've been 16 released, I can't say. 17 Could we write you for some of that information? 18 Anything you'd like. 19 MR. LEVIS: Thank you. I have no further questions. 20 THE CHAIR: Are there any other questions of other 21 commissioners? 22 Yes, Mr. Gerber? 23 (By Mr. Gerber) Mr. Dawson, does it occasionally 24 happen that Indians who are publicly intoxicated are 25 charged with other offenses such as disturbing the peace?

A Not that particular offense. Many of the Indian people who are charged with more serious complaints are, or as investigation later determines, were intoxicated at the time of the offense. In other words, the burglaries I talk about and one of the reasons these are treated with very great deal of leniency by the court is that ordinarily it turns out that the Indian probably didn't have the criminal intent that someone else would have if he breaks through a window in a store and steals a small amount of items, and so intoxication does play a role in the more serious offenses. But I -- I don't know of a -- any trend, especially, and very few instances where an Indian person is charged with driving while intoxicated, disturbing the peace and other builtup charges.

Q So, your testimony, then, is that you would not actively prosecute an Indian for disturbing the peace when he was merely publicly drunk?

- A If that is the story, you're right.
- Q Yes, that's my hypothetical.

A I would not charge, just because he was drunk in public, a person with disorderly conduct unless there is some extenuating circumstances which made his conduct very abrasive, and I can't tell you a specific instance where that's happened.

As I understand your testimony, you don't really have

jurisdiction over offenses committed on the reservation, by Indians?

- A By Indians, no, sir.
- Q And so you would not get actively involved in any prosecutions stemming from crimes that occurred on the reservation?
- A I won't say I would not or could not, I have not.

 I have been given to understand that occasionally the

 U.S. Attorney's office will ask that the county attorney's

 office proceed on a case where there may be quasi juris
 diction or joint jurisdiction, that hasn't happened to me

 on any cases, we've had some where the question is closer

 or maybe the boundary line was very near and there's

 probably joint jurisdiction there.

But usually the U.S. Attorney's office does proceed in -- in federal court and I haven't personally been involved in a case where they've asked us to go ahead and prosecute in state court.

- A Have you ever been personally involved with a situation where an Indian would come to your office and complain about an offense committed against the Indian within your jurisdiction, that is off the reservation --
 - A Certainly.
- Q -- and -- how do you handle complaints like that traditionally?

As a general rule, and that's all we can talk about, 1 is we handle it just like any other complaint. There is -- there's no way I could give you statistics because we 3 don't note the complaining witness' race or origin or whatever. So -- but we've had many, many cases where 5 Indians have been the victims of crimes off the reservation 6 and I -- in my opinion, we handle them just as if there 7 were any other victim of a crime and proceed accordingly. You'd take a complaint filed by an Indian every bit as seriously as a complaint filed by a person of any other 10 race, then, is that --11 I would. Our office, I can say unquestionably, would. 12 Again, usually when a person, a private individual 13 appears at my office and wants a complaint, our first course 14 of action is ask the sheriff's office or the police depart-15 ment if it happened within a city, to conduct their inves-16 tigation. 17 And we, for anyone who appears at our office, the 18 lay person, and asks for a complaint, we like an investi-19 gation to interview all the witnesses and bring us the 20 whole story and we seldom issue a complaint based upon one 21 person's side of the story who comes into the office. 22 But this would not be any different with an Indian 23 person coming into the office or any other race. 24 MR. GERBER: Thank you, that's all I have.

25

THE CHAIR: Any other commission members have questions?

Ms. Lyon?

Q (By Ms. Lyon) Mr. Dawson, you mentioned that interpreters were provided at the expense of the court. What is the source on which you draw to find these interpreters?

A Since we haven't had a -- a trial with, say an Apache or an Indian person since I've been county attorney, I can't tell you who the individual would be. But we would contact the tribal council and ask for a person who is able to converse in both languages fluently to be suggested for the interpreter. We've -- we've started this process once or twice, but it's never ended in a trial, it's never actually been necessary to have the interpreter at court for the hearing.

Do you, personally, feel that a person who is bilingual, but just in the ordinary sense of the word, would
be qualified to translate the legal technical terms that
might occur?

A Well, like I say, I haven't had any -- we've had almost every other language interpreted in court, and sometimes our interpreters are not people who have been trained in some very intense program with that language.

I can recall a couple of instances where we had people where there's only a small percentage of people that speak

their language, and we -- we have operated with the best possible interpreter in those cases. Being somewhat familiar with Apache language and -- I don't know how some of the legal terms would be interpreted or translated into Apache, where it would be unquerstood. Like I say, it hasn't come up so I can't say it couldn't be done. We would need a person who is quite intelligent and quite conversant with both languages to amply explain to the Indian what was going on.

So, basically, then, you go on the assumption that an Apache defendant understands everything that is directed to him or everything that is said about his case? But you can't really be sure?

A I can't be sure of any defendant, if he fully understands. We have many, many bilingual defendants in our court systems, I don't -- I wouldn't give you a percentage of how many, and I would hope that their attorney would be more understanding of how much they were understanding than the prosecutor would be because I don't get to talk to the defendant at all under any circumstances unless he takes the witness stand.

Q I see. What resources would their attorney have to obtain the services of an interpreter?

A Again, the particular attorney who usually represents the Indian people at this time is very familiar with, not

only the San Carlos Reservation but also White River, and probably knows a lot more about that than I do. I -- there would be resources, as far as funds to pay an interpreter for interviews, office interviews, investigations, if witnesses had to be interviewed out on the reservation, the public defender's office has a budget for investigations interpreters, whatever they need, so the resources are there. But the individual that would be the recipient of those resources, I wouldn't know who they would select or how they would make that selection.

MS. LYON: I see, thank you.

THE CHAIR: Are there further questions from any of the commissioners?

Did Mr. Smitherman come in?

All right. There being no further questions, thank you, Mr. Dawson.

A If the commission wishes any statistics that our office can provide and we're quite a small county and our numbers aren't great, we couldn't give you any statistics on any particular crime or any treatment of any situation because whatever it is we probably only had four or five instances a year. But if you wish any type of statistics or investigation into our record on complaints, particular types of crimes or whatever, we'd be glad to make a search and furnish you what we have.

1 THE CHAIR: Fine, thank you. Is there a representative from the U.S. Attorney's 3 Office present? 4 (No response) 6 7 THE CHAIR: Before we proceed to the next panel, 8 we just have a couple of short announcements. 9 Dr. Rudy Gerber of the commission has joined us and 10 I also want to remind the audience of the open meeting 11 which will be held at -- which will begin hopefully at 12 2:15 this afternoon. 13 Anyone who wishes to testify at this time should 14 see Maria Pares and she just walked out of the room, she's 15 been sitting right in front here, and -- oh, she's standing 16 in the back, if you wish to testify during that open 17 session, please see her sometime this morning. Thank you. 18 May we have Everett Little Whiteman, William G. 19 Wilson and Sheriff Paul Blubaum? 20 Mr. Blubaum has asked to speak first. 21 (By Ms. Madrid) Would you state your name, address 22 and occupation, for the record, please, Mr. Blubaum? 23

24

25

MR. PAUL BLUBAUM

3

5

A (By Mr. Blubaum) My name is Paul Blubaum, I'm the Sheriff of Maricopa County and I reside in Phoenix at 717 West Dunlap.

6

Q Would you briefly describe the Arizona County
Attorney and Sheriffs Association?

7 8

the 14 sheriffs, it has been in existence for some 20 or

10

more years. It's kind of a loose-knit organization, if you will, that participate in the Arizona Association of

11

Counties as a sub-grouping of that association, and it

13

meets twice a year regularly, a summer meeting generally

14

in the northern part of the state, hosted by one of the

15

sheriffs and county attorneys, and a winter meeting in

16

the southern part of the state. There will be one here

17

in Tucson in the first week in December.

might affect our work.

18

19

And then we have such other special meetings as are called by the membership to discuss such things as

20

pending legislation or imminent problems that we see that

21

Q What issues does the association deal with and how?

2223

A Well, we deal with primarily -- I've been a member

24

25

main concerns have been with legislation. Various legislative

processes. And we've not really had much to consider or not went much afield from that one topic.

Q And what is the relationship of the association with the reservation officials? Or do you have any?

A Well, I don't think we have an official position as an organization. It varies from county to county. I can describe the relationship in Maricopa County that exists between the reservations and our department. And I think probably we have the most active relationship with the Indian communities of any agencies in the county. We, in addition to our -- well, first of all our regular officers that work adjacent to the reservations and might have some occasion to go on the reservation, and vice-versa, the tribal officers who might have occasion to work off of the reservation or come off the reservation, are cross-commissioned.

In other words, the tribal government or council have commissioned some of my regular deputies, and I have commissioned officers as deputy sheriffs. So that they don't have problems with the line, so to speak, so that they can work in or on or off of the reservation. We have associated with our department approximately 3,000 volunteers, many of whom are field people, trained in special services in the field. One of our most active groups is a four-wheel drive volunteer organization. They and other of our volunteer

8 9

organizations are likewise made available to the Indian communities, and actively go onto the reservation and patrol when requested with the Indian officers, so that there is in the vehicle, we usually are using our vehicle, which helps them because they don't have enough vehicles.

So we usually have a non-Indian representing my office and an Indian representing the tribal community working together. And most cases are handled or cited into before the tribal judge. And so we do provide that service.

We've offered additional services in communications, we provide portable radio equipment when requested, when there's going to be a special function. Let's say on the reservation and there is a need for extra crowd control or communications between our departments, why, we do furnish or loan equipment so that we can communicate back and forth.

So I think that generally describes our relationship with the Indian communities in Maricopa County.

Now, I've made this, our relationship, our activities

I've made them -- the other sheriffs in the state aware,

and -- to a lesser degree I think in some counties, some

sheriffs are following suit. But there's not a uniformity

of approach yet with regard to jurisdiction and with re
gard to close working relationships between the non-Indian

community and the Indian community.

Q (By Ms. Lyon) Mr. Blubaum, you were present, I believe, when we heard testimony this morning from Ms. Salter. Could you tell us, in a hypothetical case, if the same were to occur in your jurisdiction, say in relation to the Salt River Reservation, how your department would have handled this case?

A Well, matters occurring on the reservation in our county, it's our opinion, and we operate under the assumption that the tribal community, tribal court has jurisdiction, and we would have urged the person to contact the tribal police and we would have assisted by making that contact ourselves and referring them.

One thing I neglected to mention, that is that we have brought from the reservations the various tribal officers into our training academy and have trained them so that they are qualified as state peace officers, they have the 280-hour required training, and so we do have a knowledge of their operation and a sort of a first name basis with them, and we would call them and tell them about the situation and then refer the person to them and I would think that they then would be handled by the tribal officer who would take the report and the persons would be cited before the tribal court.

We would help them, assist them in bringing those

1 persons to the tribal community if they were not Indians. 2 Are we to interpret your statement, then, as meaning 3 that all the tribes in Maricopa County have assumed 4 jurisdiction over non-Indians on the reservation? 5 This is my understanding. 6 And that would be the Salt River, Fort McDowell --Gila. Q -- Gila Bend and the northern part of the Gila 8 9 River Reservation? 10 Yes, Ma'am. 11 Now, in the case of the Gila Bend Rservation, would 12 the tribal court not be located in Sells? 13 A That's perhaps so. I'm not real familiar. That's 14 a very small reservation and we have very little, we've 15 had almost no difficulty or -- you know, problem in that 16 area, to my knowledge. 17 Maybe we can discuss that with Mr. Wilson later, thank you. 18 19 On the subject of training, you mentioned that you 20 brought some of the tribal officers in to share your training. Conversely, do any of your non-Indian staff receive 21 22 any training in sensitivity to Indian characteristics and 23 tribal structure and so on? 24 A. Not formal training. It's just that they're very 25 willing and very anxious to be of help and service and get

1 this kind of information on a one-to-one basis when they 2 are working on the reservation a conjunction with the 3 tribal police. 4 I see. What about the volunteer organizations that 5 you mentioned, which relate to your department, do they 6 have any Indian members? 7 I doubt it. There are very few Indians, although we have a few on the department, paid members of the depart-9 ment, and Judge Rhodes was formerly a member of our depart-10 ment, the Gila River Community Judge was formerly a member 11 of our department, so -- but in the volunteer groups that 12 work with them, I don't think there are any Indian members. 13 MS. LYON: Thank you, I have no further questions. 14 (By Mr. Levis) I have four questions. 15 Sheriff Blubaum, is there a national county attorneys 16 and sheriffs association that you're affiliated with? 17 There's a National Sheriffs Association, and I 18 think there is a National Association of County Attorneys. 19 I'm not involved in that. I am active in the National 20 Sheriffs Association. 21 Are reservation police, officials and reservation 22 prosecutors, members of the state county attorneys and 23 sheriffs association? 24 No. But they are eligible for membership in the, 25 in Arizona, for instance, in the Arizona Police Chiefs

Association. In other words, there is an association of local police officials. But in our association we have and deal with just the elected officials of the 14 different counties. The two associations, unfortunately, do not work together, I'm sorry to say.

- Q Does your association or the Pima County Sheriff's Department have liaison with Indian centers within the county? And within the state?
- A. It's not a formal liaison. We do it through our, in our organization through our bureau of volunteer services, and the man who heads that has members of that group who are in contact almost daily with the communities.
- Q Do you have a human relations officer or office in your sheriff's department?
- A Not by that particular title. If you're referring to the recently-developed police community relations—
 type program, I'm of the opinion, as I believe many police officials are, that that's a rather superficial program, and not really the best way to relate to the community.

 And so we don't have such a vehicle, per se. We do it through the broader spectrum of our, you know, our community involvement program and our volunteer services bureau.
 - Q And what is that?

A So I have several people working with the various

1 communities.

- Q Is it like a speakers bureau and --
- A. We have that, yes.
- Q How many officers --
- A It's much broader than that, but that, we do have that service as one -- one of the functions of that bureau.
- Q Could you briefly describe what the bureau does and also how many Indian officers, men and women you have out of your total number of officers?
- A Well, the bureau that deals with the community had -works with -- works in the schools, works with the various
 service club organizations to present programs, we have a
 film library, we have access to film that is available at
 the state level and national level, we present, when
 people show an interest in a particular subject matter.

We give talks on particular subjects in the schools and before various groups. We do not treat the Indian community separately, and we only go in on request. And then in those cases, use people in our organization who have already established a rapport with the community.

Again, I can think of one man by name, Glen Lily, who's a member of our paramedics or head of our paramedic group, has, I think, a good relationship with the Gila River community, and we -- in other words, he does the

initial contacting, he brings to me the requests or the 1 problems or identifies for me the needs of the community, and then I provide the resources to him and the community. 3 And how many officers do you have and how many, to 4 the best of your knowledge, are Indian men and women? The department is about 570 total personnel, sworn 6 personnel, around 350. The Indian membership is probably 7 one percent. Very small. You probably have four or five. 8 Do you have an active recruiting effort to increase this number? 10 A Not specifically directed at the Indian community. 11 However, we have no -- and we -- there's no rejection or 12 discrimination as far as I know, we're happy to -- wherever 13 we can, have representation from minority community. 14 Do you know how many women officers you have? 15 About seven at the moment that are full time, sworn 16 deputies. 17 Are any of them women -- Indian? Excuse me. 18 No, there are no Indians. 19 MR. LEVIS: I have no further questions. 20 (By Ms. Madrid) Mr. Whiteman, would you please 21 state your name, address and occupation, for the record? 22 23 24 25

MR. EVERETT LITTLE WHITEMAN

- A (By Mr. Whiteman) My name is Everett Little Whiteman and I'm the agency special officer for the Bureau of Indian Affairs on the San Carlos Reservation, Arizona.
- Q Could you tell us what type of jurisdictional problems the BIA has encountered with regard to law enforcement and administration of justice for American Indians on and off the reservation?
- At the present time the San Carlos Tribal Court does not have jurisdiction over non-Indians for any offenses occurring on the reservation in any -- any offenses that is brought to our attention we refer to the, either Gila County or Graham County. And any -- any instances off the reservation that come to our attention, we refer to the proper authorities also.
- And who makes the determination whether you have jurisdiction or not?
- A Well, myself for one and I'm in constant contact with the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the United States Attorney's Office.
- Q Under what conditions may an American Indian be extradited from the reservation?
- A Presently, none. We -- on the present tribal law and order code which we operate on, we do not have extra-

dition processes.

Q (By Ms. Lyon) Mr. Little Whiteman, perhaps we need to be more specific on that question of extradition. Could you describe what takes place in the event of a federal offense?

A On federal offenses, the -- of course the federal government does have jurisdiction over felonies or over crimes against federal regulations and the United States Attorney's Office does have jurisdiction and if they feel a complaint is justified, they do file a complaint and a warrant is issued and the individual is arrested and taken before the magistrate, whether it be in Globe or Tucson.

I believe you're familiar with the case on which
Ms. Yolanda Salter testified today. In your opinion, how
could Ms. Salter have received assistance and from what
authority?

A I learned of the incident by Ms. Salter, she came to my house and reported the incident to me. I got in contact with my officer that was on duty and I instructed him to do a preliminary investigation to find out the facts.

And when he reported back to me of the facts, I referred it to the Gila County Sheriff's Office because I felt that they had jurisdiction in the matter.

Q But you left it to Ms. Salter to obtain that

1 assistance, you are not ordinarily involved in such 2 cases by actively assisting the person to make contact? 3 In other words, you would not make contact yourself 4 with the Gila County Sheriff's Department? A Not usually, because the -- the sheriff's office 6 or the county attorney's office will have to get the 7 information from the complaining party. 8 Were you aware that Ms. Salter was unable to obtain 9 assistance from the sheriff's department? 10 Yes, Ma'am. 11 And in such cases you are unable to take any fur-12 ther steps to obtain assistance for an Indian person? 13 Well, in this particular case we did open a federal 14 case for assault and we referred it to the FBI and the 15 United States Attorney's Office and we had an ultimate 16 declination, then, when that happens, I can not do any-17 thing else because the prosecutor themselves are declining 18 on the case, and there's nothing else I can do. 19 Could you tell us on what basis the case was de-20 clined? 21 By whom? 22 By the federal court? 23 They felt that it was a minor -- minor case and not 24 a felony, and the local authorities should handle the case, meaning the Gila County Sheriff's Office or County Attorney's 25

1 office. Did the federal court then refer the case back to 3 the county attorney's office? 4 Yes, Ma'am. 5 And was there any further action taken by the county 6 attorney's office? 7 I don't believe so. 8 MS. LYON: Thank you, I have no further questions. 9 THE CHAIR: Dr. Gerbar? 10 (By Dr. Gerber) Yes, just a few questions here on 11 this jurisdiction thing. 12 Are you of the opinion, Mr. Little Whiteman, that 13 there is a jurisdictional mess dealing with the treatment 14 of Indians on the reservation and off the reservation? 15 I don't know what you mean when you say mess, but 16 I do believe there is a problem. 17 How would you define a problem, where does it -- what 18 does it consist of? 19 Well, it's usually lack of understanding or communi-20 cations on one or both parties involved. 21 Do you think that this problem works to the benefit 22 or the detriment of the Indian people? 23 Well, I don't know of that many cases that are in-24 volving jurisdictional conflicts, but in Ms. Salter's 25 case I believe there -- it was detrimental.

Do you have any ideas of your own as to how to remedy 1 2 the jurisdictional problem? Yes. I made a suggestion at one time that the 3 police academy, that was initiated at Globe for an area law 4 enforcement officers on the reservation and the towns 5 surrounding the reservation, that jurisdiction questions 6 7 be part of the curriculum. I don't know what they'll do 8 with that. Q Part of the curriculum in the sense that it be 9 taught in various law enforcement academies? 10 11 Right, so that all law enforcement agencies surrounding the reservation will have an idea what they can 12 13 and can not do. DR. GERBER: Thanks, I have nothing further. 14 (By Mr. Williams) Mr. Whiteman, am I right in 15 assuming that you said that the jurisdiction, you did not 16 have jurisdiction over non-Indians on the reservation? 17 18 A. Right. 19 Q Okay. Sheriff Blubaum, earlier when you stated, didn't 20 you indicate that you felt that the reservations did have 21 jurisdiction over non-Indians? 22 23 A. (By Mr. Blubaum) Yes. We have a bit of a problem. 24 (By Mr. Little Whiteman) Well, we're talking about 25

different reservations.

Q I understand that, but I think the sheriff was talking about all the reservations in Maricopa County, do you have any knowledge of any other reservations or is that a general feeling among the sheriffs' organization that they take this particular point of view, that the jurisdiction lies with the reservations in the state?

A (By Mr. Blubaum) Well, apparently there are some

-- some fine legal distinctions or differences between the
status of various reservations and they're not all the
same, I think we have to defer to the federal attorney with
regard to who has jurisdiction and in our county, it's -this is the way it's being handled.

Q Is this addressed at all in your organization, the sheriffs and county attorney's organization? Is there any kind of standardization or procedures?

A We have discussed it at times, the same thing that the investigator here is referring to, because I've heard this comment made by the other sheriffs that they do have some problems. One of the problems is the delineation or — in boundary lines, for instance, in some areas they're not all that clearly marked or established for the law enforcement authorities or for the non-Indian community. They really don't always know when they are on or off of the community property. So — so that that seems to be

1 actually another problem we haven't addressed here, that 2 needs some consideration. 3 0. Mr. Whiteman, we're aware that some of the depart-4 ment of public safety officers are cross deputized, also 5 some of Sheriff Blubaum's officers are cross deputized to try and alleviate this jurisdictional problem. Is that 6 7 true on this reservation that there's cross deputization 8 of the Indian tribal officers and the sheriff's officers 9 and, what is it, Gila County or --10 (By Mr. Little Whiteman) San Carlos Reservation is 11 on two counties, Gila and Graham County. We do have -- we 12 do cross deputize our officers with the Gila County 13 Sheriff's Department and vice-versa. Not Graham County. 14 Well, if you have cross deputization, I'm still at 15 a loss of why the jurisdictional problem exists to the 16 point that it has in Ms. Salter's case? 17 Well, it's -- the tribal court, it's an instance 18 that took place on the reservation but the tribal court 19 at present does not have jurisdiction over non-Indians. 20 Even though your tribal officers have jurisdiction? 21 Right. We can arrest non-Indians for violations 22 on the reservation and refer them to the county attorney's 23 office. 24 MR. WILLIAMS: That's all. 25 (By Mr. Levis) I just have one question.

indicated that an American Indian is on the reservation he 1 can't be extradited to the county? Well, in San Carlos it's true because we do not 3 have that in our tribal code. Q So there is no way for the county to extradite an 5 American Indian who commits a crime in the county but off 6 the reservation unless that person just happens to be 7 off the reservation? 8 A Right. 9 Q Is there any discussion to change the tribal code 10 concerning this? 11 Yes, the tribal council is in the process of re-12 vising their tribal code and it will include extradition, 13 among other things. 14 Q Will it include jurisdiction over non-Indians who 15 commit crimes on the reservation? 16 The wording will be changed to read any person. A. 17 Were you here when Mr. Dawson testified? 18 The latter part. 19 It's my understanding from his testimony that he 20 said that the county attorney has assumed jurisdiction 21 over non-Indians who commit offenses on the reservation, 22 is that correct? 23 A. Say that again? 24 That the county attorney, the county sheriff have 25

1 assumed jurisdiction over non-Indians who commit crimes 2 on the reservation within their county? 3 Right. Is this also true with Graham County? Right. 6 To the best of your knowledge? Yet the BIA presently 7 does not cross deputize the Graham County? 8 No. 9 And the Graham Police are not cross deputized in 10 that county? 11 No. 12 MR. LEVIS: I have no further questions. 13 THE CHAIR: I've nothing further. 14 (By Ms. Madrid) Mr. Wilson, would you state your 15 name, address and occupation for the record? 16 17 18 MR. WILLIAM WILSON 19 20 (By Mr. Wilson) My name is William Wilson, I am a 21 supervisory law enforcement officer to the Phoenix area 22 for the Bureau of Indian Affairs, located at 124 West Camas 23 Street, Phoenix. 24 Could you please tell us what are some of the major 25 concerns involving American Indians and the administration

of justice?

A Well, if I can address myself first to law enforcement in the Indian country, if you were to ask me to identify the reservation law and order program problems and the problems affected by Indians relying on those programs for services, I would say in general that bureau and tribal law enforcement programs are understaffed, underfunded, underequipped and undertrained.

And when that happens, when those conditions prevail, services are not as they should be and problems resulting, inadequate supervision in jails, these kinds of problems arise, and consequently, people suffer from a lack of effective and efficient services.

- One concern you mentioned in a previous interview was the inadequate jail facilities. Could you describe the physical condition of some of the jails with which you are acquainted?
- A Are you talking now about on-reservation or offreservation?
 - a off.

A Off. Well, I -- with the sheriff here let me say that historically sheriff offices have been underfunded and understaffed and some of the county jails are rather antiquated, and I'm aware that you're going to have Sheriff Peace here from Globe and there is a jail facility that's

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS

very sad and one that has been recognized by the sheriff.

Indians, of course, find themselves in jail, in Globe,
as other people do, and anybody put in that facility, you
know, it represents some real tragic problems.

However, the county now is building a new facility.
You can say the same thing about Holbrook. Their jail
was in a deplorable condition. They're now building a
new facility.

And I don't mean to sound critical of the counties,
I recall for some seven or eight years the San Carlos
Tribe has made its demands to get the bureau to build a
new jail at -- on that reservation. Money was not forthcoming.

Several years ago, San Carlos Tribe invited me to San Carlos for the purpose of a celebration. And I asked what the celebration was for and they said our jail is 100 years old, we're going to burn the damned thing down.

Well, as a result of that action and the action of the tribal council, this next year a new jail will be built at San Carlos, it costs about a million, six hundred thousand dollars. So the conditions of jails are not only in off-reservation border communities but pretty well statewide on-reservation, off-reservation.

So, in your opinion, what could be done to correct the conditions of the jail you have mentioned if they don't

7 8

have the funds to build a new one?

A That's a tough question. Seems to me that, you know, the cost of building a facility today is almost prohibitive. When you think in terms of some of the smaller communities, operating antiquated facilities, it's not only the cost of the facility, if you have suitable facilities, the operation of a jail is extremely expensive. You become involved in measures of health and feeding and sanitation and security and segregated facilities for men and women, and then you face the question of 24-hour supervision, seven days a week. And that will involve at least five specific people employed just for that purpose.

If you operate a jail, without adequate supervision, where you lock them up at night and you come back the next morning, you're going to find, eventually, that people get assaulted in jail by other prisoners, things happen in there, people get injured, people attempt suicide, in some cases, you'll have fires and you'll have death. And I don't really have an answer on how you can help a community that doesn't have the money but has an outdated and understaffed jail.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Williams?

Q (By Mr. Williams) Mr. Wilson, would you advocate county jail structure being taken over by the department of corrections from a state point of view?

 A (By Mr. Wilson) Well, I work for the federal government and I'm not sure here, but I would think if — what the Indian tribes done, if you look back a few years and what the Bureau of Indian Affairs did, we had, eventually, to close some of our facilities and transport people some miles away to suitable facilities and pay three, \$5.00 a day, rather than to continue to put people into a facility which resulted in mistreatment.

I think we're coming eventually to this point of regional facilities.

A Sheriff Blubaum, what's your feeling about regional facility run by the department of corrections through the various counties?

A (By Mr. Blubaum) We were mandated by the electorate in Maricopa County to develop a countywide corrections system and some 14 million dollars was approved for the construction of facilities. We're just getting ready to open one which is already generated considerable press coverage, because it's supposedly a little too plush, but actually the way our state is populated, with the two major urban areas and the two class A counties, I think probably our best approach to the problem would be to develop countywide correctional systems in Maricopa and Pima County, and then have the state assume the operational problems for the balance of the counties. Because of their

lack of tax base and inability to provide the services, the same level of services in the outlying counties.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Are there any other questions of com-

Q (By Ms. Lyon) Mr. Wilson, I believe we need to go a little deeper into the problems with jurisdiction because there appears to be no uniformity throughout the State of Arizona in terms of, number one, cross deputization or cross commissioning, and number two, the assumption by Indian tribes of jurisdiction over non-Indians on the reservation.

Could you tell us roughly how many tribes at this point in Arizona do exercise jurisdiction over non-Indians?

A. (By Mr. Wilson) I think the first tribe that moved to extend its laws to non-Indians on the reservation was the Gila River Tribe, several years ago. The Salt River Tribe followed shortly thereafter, Colorado River Tribes at Parker, Arizona, have extended their jurisdiction, the Fort Apache Tribe at White River have extended their jurisdiction, there is a question on the extension of the Hopi jurisdiction, other tribes are talking about it.

Now, this question of tribal jurisdiction over non-Indians is not a well-settled issue. And some tribal governments are watching it closely, it's being challenged

in the courts now, and they're waiting for those court decisions before they make a decision. There's all kinds of legal ramifications here. When a tribe moves to extend its jurisdiction, many times there is a challenge, a number of challenges from the non-Indians who may live and work on that reservation, from the sheriffs' offices or the deputies themselves, from the county attornies, from business places that may be located on that reservation. The Ute Tribe, in Utah, just recently extended its juris-diction about July 1st, and immediately, a furor arose be-tween the businessmen in town who were fearful that the tribes were going to tax them once they had the juris-diction.

Other questions arose. The governor of the state interceded. The Ute Tribe is now suing the governor of the State of Utah in the federal court, trying to prohibit his interference in what the tribe feels is an exercise of its sovereign rights, and so it's one thing to say, but it's got a lot of problems with it.

I look for more tribes to extend their laws, to get at the kind of problem that the woman from San Carlos was talking about this morning. You know, when you talk about jurisdiction our rule of thumb is that the federal government has a very limited criminal jurisdiction and that jurisdiction is only over certain major crimes, the

murder, the manslaughter, the rape, the robbery, that kind of thing. The laws of the State of Arizona, the criminal laws of the State of Arizona, have no application whatsoever to an Indian on an Indian reservation. So, consequently, the bulk of responsibility for law enforcement services rests with the tribal government, and the

tribal court.

And where that tribal court does not have jurisdiction over a non-Indian, and the county refuses to act,
you have a vacuum and then emotions and feelings come into
play.

Q Could you tell us, Mr. Wilson, through what process those tribes that have assumed jurisdiction, did so? Did they publicly announce that they would henceforth exercise jurisdiction or what was the procedure?

A Tribal governments, of course, are governed by tribal constitutions and charters. The tribal government is empowered to do certain things. Now, with regard to, if I can generalize here, a tribe will have in its constitution, it will grant authority to the tribal government to promulgate laws, set up an Indian court, operate a jail and a police department and that type of thing. So, under that section of promulgating laws, they have extended their jurisdiction.

Now, these actions, in their constitution it will

probably say subject to the approval of the secretary of the interior. A tribe will enact that ordinance, it will go to the superintendent who, in all probability, will approve it.

There is 90-day period thereafter whereby the secretary of the interior will either favorably review it or disapprove it and these actions have had favorable review.

Q In other words, each case where a tribe took this action, the secretary approved it?

A Well, that authority has been delegated down to the commissioner and now it's down to the area director, so it's us writing the letters of approval. We stumbled with this, you know, what is going to be our position, are we going to disapprove it or approve it and we're aware that there are two cases in Seattle federal district court where the question came before the court, that district court held that the tribe does have the right to enforce its laws on its lands on all people. We had a solicitor's opinion from the department of the interior, and I'm guessing at the date, but I think it was 1970 or maybe '72, that says Indian tribes do not have the authority to extend their laws to non-Indians, they are without authority to impose criminal sanctions against non-Indians.

A year or so ago, over a year ago, that opinion was

withdrawn. And the solicitor's office of the department of the interior was involved in the Seattle cases that I'm talking about, in support of the tribe, and so, consequently, we have nothing to replace it, we're aware of those court decisions, we're aware of the solicitor's position, even though it's not in writing and we have approved these enactments.

Q To your knowledge, then, there are currently no challenges in court to tribal assumption of jurisdiction in Arizona?

A. In Arizona, there are none that I know of.

MS. LYON: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Dr. Gerber?

Q (By Dr. Gerber) Yes, I think I have just one.

Prescinding for a moment from the complex legal
issues involved, if this were to take place, Mr. Wilson,
in your opinion would there be an advantage for Indians if
the counties could assume concurrent jurisdiction over
Indian reservations?

A You know, I'm guessing here now, but in my discussions with tribal officials, I would think that they would not at all support this. There are not many sheriffs like Paul Blubaum. All you have to do is go back in Maricopa County three short years and there was kind of a steel curtain around the reservation.

Today, at Gila River, they -- they have a hunting season, lots of doves, this type of thing, literally hundreds of non-Indian hunters come onto that reservation and the sheriff's got his staff out there, there's helicopters there, there's a reserve there, there's cross commissions, Indian officers are in the academy. But if we had -- if he leaves and we got somebody that wants to go back to the steel curtain concept, you know, then the tribes are hurting again.

I think tribes are beginning to bring to themselves and exercise the powers they feel they have, and I'm not sure they'd buy your suggestion.

- Q That is they would not be in favor of concurrent county jurisdiction to enforce county -- or statewide criminal laws over the reservations?
 - A I'm guessing, but that would be my answer, yes.

 DR. GERBER: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Any other commissioners have questions.

Q (By Mr. Levis) I have a question.

Mr. Wilson, do you have any formal or informal liaison with different county sheriffs or county attorneys?

A From time to time, I -- I'm charged with the responsibility of maintaining a liaison with a number of different agencies, and certainly one of those is the sheriff's office. It's one that I have not done well, I

suspect that with regard to the sheriffs and myself, we get busy doing our own thing, and we never quite get to-3 gether often enough. So you have no individual who's assigned to such a task? No, no, no. We have several hundred people working in our program but they're scattered throughout Utah, Nevada and Arizona, my office represents two people. THE CHAIR: Are there any other -- commissioners 10 have further questions of the panel? 11 Mr. Whiteman, before you leave, would you please 12 approach the desk? The rest of you may leave, thank you. 13 We'll take a five-minute recess. 14 15 (Short recess) 16 17 THE CHAIR: We will continue with our hearing. 18 Before we go on to our next panel, may I ask if 19 there is a representative of the U.S. Attorney's Office 20 in the audience? 21 22 (No response) 23 24 THE CHAIR: All right, thank you. 25 We will go on to the next panel now.

number

We have Edmund Wesley, Clarence Wesley and Phil Shea, please come over to our --

(By Ms. Lyon) Mr. Clarence Wesley, for the record, would you please state your name, address and occupation?

MR. CLARENCE WESLEY

- A. (By Mr. C. Wesley) My name is Clarence Wesley. I reside in Bylas, Arizona, on the San Carlos Apache Reservation. And I'm a bureaucrat.
- Q I believe prior to your present occupation you served as chairman of the San Carlos Apache Tribe. Could you tell us how many years you served in that capacity?
- A All together, I've put in 20 years as a member of the tribal council, ten years of it as chairman of the tribal council.
- Q Thank you. Mr. Wesley, would you describe for the committee the incident regarding your arrival at the Roadside Bar after the shooting of your grandson?
- A I have prepared a written statement and if you don't mind, I'd like to read it because I have a language barrier as you know.
 - Q Go ahead, please.
 - A On the evening of March 16, 1974, I was told that

my grandson was shot at the Roadside Bar, operated by Gene and Tom Mayfield. It must have been around 9:00 o'clock. I was already in bed and when I got the news.

MS. MADRID: Mr. Wesley, would you want to just submit your statement into the record without reading it?

A. No, I'll go ahead and read it.

I immediately got dressed and drove hurriedly to the Roadside, where I found my grandson lying in a -- in a pool of blood. There were also Apache men all over the place, busting bottles inside the bar and many more Indian outside. I was told that an ambulance was called and was on the way, but after being there for quite a while I became impatient and started for home to get some blankets so I could take him to the hospital myself.

When I got back to the bar, the ambulance had arrived, my grandson was loaded in the ambulance and taken to the hospital where he died that night.

After the ambulance was gone, I started for home.

I turned to look back to see if the highway was clear before

I got on the highway. I stopped in my tracks because there
were about 20 or more cars coming right after another from
the east, in the direction of Safford, Arizona.

At the Roadside Bar there were some Indians' own cars and pickups in front of the bar but were across the highway. And there was some Indian men and women sitting

in their vehicle and some were standing around. Most of these came on the scene when they heard of the shooting. The lawmen got out of their cars with rifles in their hand and start ordering all those standing around to get inside the bar.

One of the Apache men from across the street said something and one of the lawmen said, you shut up or I'll shoot.

When I heard this, I couldn't help but make a sharp reply. I said go ahead and shoot, one Indian is shot already and you might as well shoot me too, since my grandson is already shot, and may be dying.

Then three lawmen came after me and ordered me to get inside. I said nothing doing and they pushed me around. I resisted and finally they threw me on the ground and handcuffed me and put me into the rear seat of one of the police cars.

About a half an hour later some of the police started for Geronimo, which is further down the road about half a mile. Where they surrounded the place but no one was inside.

My wife arrived at the Roadside -- at Geronimo and blasted everyone around, especially the lawmen and they let me out when I -- and then we went home after being locked in the police car for about two hours.

For many years there has been anti feeling against Apache Indians up the Gila Valley and up to this time, an Apache has no chance in so far as justice is concerned. Even the media makes it appear like it really was the Apache who started the whole thing. The radio and the press call it a riot all the way. It was far from riot.

A similar episode erupted about six months prior to this incident. At that time this same man, Gene Mayfield, kick an Indian between the legs and was knocked unconscious for two weeks. Gene Mayfield never came to justice and this incident never came to the media and a short time he resumed his operation.

We learned later he was operating this bar illegally, using the license which was never transferred legally and he was still operating without proper liquor license up to the time he killed my grandson.

This kind of activity still goes on right now in Geromino, we are trying to stop it but it is a long, drawn out affair. I realize the fact that courts and laws decide the fate of law breakers. Only when they are convicted after a fair trial. But I do not believe it is fair justice when attorneys get together and settle between themselves on what you call plea bargaining, specially when there is a death involved.

And this is what was done to us. To me it is racist

all the way. I feel the State of Arizona has to change some of its methods in giving a fair deal to its Indian citizen.

Sometime prior to this event, which took place at the Roadside Bar, I went to see Mr. Layman Hollywood, who was the justice of the peace in our area, to see if we could do some way declare Roadside Bar a public nuisance and have it closed down. I definitely told him that someday, somebody is going to get killed there. I never know it was going to be my grandson.

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Commission, it is apparent that all these talks I'm making, all the hearings that we are having today, your decision one way or the other will never bring my grandson back to me, but I hope and pray that through this commission and all its hearings we will find solutions to improve the overall justice of the country. And that no Indian or Indian family will go through all the sadness, all the maneuvering that was done by the funeral home, the department of public safety, people, courts, state attorneys and other deals that set Gene Mayfield, the murderer, free.

Q (By Ms. Lyon) Thank you, Mr. Wesley. The committee expresses its sincere regret to you and Mr. Edmund Wesley that we have to put you through the grief of having again to tell what occurred, but as you said, we hope that by

recording your statements, we can draw attention to some of the areas of relations between the Indian people and the non-Indian community which require a great deal of improvement.

With regard to the method in which the death was investigated, would you care to add your feelings on the way this was done?

A It was started by the Gila County authorities first, but believing that we would never get a fair deal from this source, we went and got the state to investigate thoroughly. And this way we thought we would get a better -- a better deal. And that -- and that was done.

The thing the -- at the start, a hearing, investigation that was being held, we were -- we were really pleased that something was going to come out of this, out of this deal. But at the final hand, state attorney general, fellow named Crimson, I believe it was, came on the scene and he got talked out of this little country attorney, a fellow named Mortenson in Safford, to a plea bargaining. But we thought Mr. Schaefer, the regional attorney that started handling the case, was going to go all the way and get this superior race man, Gene Mayfield, who was guarded all over, everywhere he go, by public safety people, we thought he was going to -- we thought we were going to have justice done.

But at the final end of the conclusion, everybody watched me, everybody watched everybody around to see if we had some weapon around. But at the final conclusion, we were all disgusted and sick with what happened. Even the psychiatrist -- psychiatry testing he went through at the state hospital in Florence was -- everything was in his favor. And I don't know, I don't know, I just don't know why we didn't get the fair justice we thought we were entitled to.

Q Mr. Wesley, what do you think needs to be done to prevent this type of incident from happening in the future?

A I think it's a -- I think that sometime I feel that we ought to get even, you know. I think when a guy kills a person we ought to go back and kill him too. But -- but we're breaking the law all the way around when we do that. But I really don't know what will bring, in so far as justice is concerned, between people in Graham County who are anti-Apaches, you know, especially the -- where I live.

I went through this, I think lot of times myself, and I know what I'm talking about. But in order to improve the situation, there has to be, I think there has — they have to create a better feeling, a better attitudes to the Indian people, Apache people that is, in Graham County.

MS. MADRID: Madame Chairman, at this point may I

request that the documentation made available to the com-1 2 mission by Mr. Philip Shea, attorney for the Wesley family, 3 be placed in the record of this hearing? THE CHAIR: Yes. MS. LYON: 5 Thank you. MR. LEVIS: If we could, Madame Chairperson, could 6 we mark that as Exhibit 15 of the hearing? 7 MS. LYON: Thank you. 8 9 (By Ms. Lyon) Mr. Edmond Wesley, for the record, would you please state your name, address and occupation? 10 11 12 13 MR. EDMOND WESLEY 14 15 (By Mr. E. Wesley) My name is Edmond Wesley, I A. 16 reside in Bylas, Arizona, San Carlos Reservation, Box Number 3, and I'm the education coordinator, I'm on the --17 18 presently on the tribal council. Mr. Wesley, would you give the committee your opinion 19 concerning the investigation into the death of your son? 20 I don't know what I have to add to what my dad have A. 21 already stated. But I want to go back, even prior to the 22 incident. Because there have been incidents that occurred 23 where Indian have been put in the hospital because of 24

trouble at the Roadside Bar and at Geronimo.

25

fronted Mr. Harold Stevens at Fort Thomas one time because there were two cousins of mine that were involved in a serious beating. Matter of fact, one of them have — one of them have landed in the hospital at Phoenix Indian Hospital and I told Mr. Harold Stevens at Fort Thomas, that says what is the sheriff's department doing to protect the Indians? Dad says — and I told him that and he said, you going to wait until somebody get killed and then you going to come down.

And, you know, that -- this have been known, you know, I have contacted him before about some of the serious troubles that we have because I was the vice chairman during -- during that time. And I believe the investigation or either what -- or either the way things turned out, I just don't -- don't -- I just totally disagree with the whole thing. Because there was no justice done.

Matter of fact, today that you see an Indian in that Graham County, they pick him up for drunkenness and put him in the can and throw the book at him.

But what about -- but what about Gene Mayfield that have killed my son, never spent a night in jail. They never set the bond, he was released on his own recognizance. And yet today, that they pick up an Indian and I bet you he will spend the full time in jail.

Then, one of the things that -- one of the things

that happened was that the mortician, either intentionally or accidentally, the evidence was destroyed. And knowing that mortician do go through training and they are subject to certain laws and they know certain laws that pertain to some tragedies like that, and yet, without either the sheriffs wasn't consulted or the sheriff was consulted, but anyway, yoù know, the evidence was destroyed that could have been — the factor in what did really happen.

- 0 Then it's your opinion, according to what you just told us, that the procedures used by the mortician were not appropriate?
 - A. No, it wasn't appropriate.
 - Q. (By Ms. Madrid) Thank you, Mr. Wesley.

I would now like to ask Mr. Shea, as attorney for the Wesley family, to state your legal opinion regarding the investigation of the death of Vernon Wesley?

MR. PHILIP SHEA

A. (By Mr. Shea) Yes, for the record, my name is Philip Shea, from Phoenix, Arizona, and I'm a lawyer and I represent the Wesley family.

Really, my view of the -- of the investigation is not a legal view, there is nothing legal or technical or there's

3

5

7

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

25

24

no legal experience or technical knowledge required to evaluate what went on here.

The victim, Vernon Wesley, was sent to -- now the defense that Gene Mayfield asserted was that -- was that Vernon Wesley, when he was being told to get out of the car at rifle point, struggled for the rifle and the rifle went off. The evidence, the -- the story as told by Mayfield, was to me incredible in light of the pathological report.

a Would you tell us what that was?

Well, I don't want to go into detail, but anyway, it showed that the -- the bullet entered through the right arm and Mayfield's story was that Vernon grabbed the rifle and pushed it over to this way. Making, as I said, the story incredible. The Indians who were at the bar said that May field came into the back door and standing behind the bar, shot at Vernon was he was leaving in the area of the front door, which would make it the difference between some kind of involuntary homicide and first degree murder.

The proof of what, the way it really occurred, could have been obtained by examination of the body of the Namely through powder burns on the arms and on the shirt. The body was taken to the mortuary and I was told by William Schaefer, who is the head of the prosecution originally in the attorney general's office, that the

mortician was told, either by DPS or sheriff's officers, on two, if not three, occasions, not to alter the body or the clothes in any way because they constituted evidence.

The powder burns were washed off the arm completely. When the sheriffs went there the next day or the DPS officers, they found the clothes in an incinerator. They had been incinerated.

Now, in light of the fact that they were warned clearly not to change the evidence, and in view of the fact that they changed the evidence, they washed the body and incinerated the clothes, it would seem to me that that was a pretty clear case of obstruction of justice, there was a pretty clear case of obstruction that was not brought. And I don't think that takes a legal conclusion, you see, it's just too obvious.

Then, of course, there was the plea bargaining, and I think there was something else beside the plea bargain. I can't say really. Judge Lines is the judge in Graham County who would normally, he's the only judge in Graham County and since the crime took place there he would normally hear the case. There were probably good reasons why he shouldn't because of his religious persuasion, because of the strong local feelings, but Judge Lines told me that Erv Mortenson, who was Mayfield's lawyer, went to him, Judge Lines, and said will you give Mayfield probation if he pleads

or gets convicted? Judge Lines told me that he wouldn't make such a deal, so Erv Mortenson then went and shopped around for another judge.

Now, the judge that ended up with it was Judge Fernandez of Greenlee County, whom I happen to know and think very, very highly of. In other words, it went to Judge Fernandez and he gave him probation. And it's just a — it was one of the most outrageous things I've ever seen in my life.

Now, the reason that Judge Fernandez gave him probation, Judge Fernandez said was that he agreed that he would send Mayfield down to this -- this treatment center at the state prison and have him evaluated there by sociologists or whatever kind of people there evaluate persons charged with -- convicted of crime. And he'd go by their report.

Well, I have here a letter, I called Judge Fernandez at the time that Mayfield was down there being evaluated and I said I want to make sure that you know everything about this man because he's a terribly violent man.

So he says, well, don't send it, give the information to me, give it to the people down, that are doing the evaluation. So I sent the letter on December 19, 1974, to the Arizona State Prison, Diagnostic Center, which I gave them the facts which were on record about Mayfield always carried

a gum, about incidents where he has shot people and he put pistols at people's necks to get them to buckle in, how he beat up severely, a guy who won \$50.00 from him shooting dice, and the man was afraid to fight back because Mayfield had a gun. And Mayfield says, after he beat him up, get out before I kill you.

Reported to them the incident where he shot,
Mayfield shot Irving Bush with birdshot at the Geronimo
Bar. I quoted briefly from DPS Officer Mitchell's report,
departmental report, dated November 18, 1973, which concludes with this observation: Mr. Mayfield, though outwardly pleasant appears to be a violent individual.

This officer is aware of the fact that at times a bar owner in a remote area such as the location of the Geronimo Bar, needs to resort to physical action to eject unruly customers but Mr. Mayfield has sent at least four persons to the hospital in the past four months. This seems a bit unnecessary.

This, of course, was before the shooting of Vernon Wesley. So, you know, if an Indian did to a non-Indian what Gene Mayfield did to Vernon Wesley, he would be labeled a savage and would be dealt with accordingly.

But solely because of the fact that the races were turned around, Mayfield as Edmond pointed out, got not a single day. He walked off scot free. And is given the pro-

tection of public -- of public officials. And so there is something terribly racist about what happened here, and if you ask, and I suppose that's usually the concluding question, what can be done about it, I haven't got the slightest idea.

Q Thank you, Mr. Shea.

Dr. Gerber, I believe you had a question?

Q. (By Dr. Gerber) Yes.

Mr. Shea, I wanted to ask you from your own investigation in the case, whether you think the destruction of evidence was due solely to the actions of the mortician involved, or whether law enforcement agencies had an active role in the destruction of the evidence?

A My understanding is that the department of public service, which — because of the very political intense nature of this thing in this little rural community, came into this thing, quite quickly handled it in a very professional way, and as I said, I was told by William Schaefer of the attorney general's office, head of the criminal prosecution department there, and former chief prosecutor, as I understand it, in Tucson, that the mortician was told two, if not three times, carefully, deliberately warned about preserving the evidence. Under these circumstances, I can see nothing but — I can see no deviation from professional efficiency on the part of the investigating police.

O So is it a fair statement, then, that the problem here is not so much one of, at this level, the destruction of evidence, is not really so much the fault of the law enforcement agencies as the fault of a private individual, namely the mortician who took it upon himself to destroy the evidence?

A. No. It's the failure to prosecute the mortician.

The mortician was never charged with a crime. A complaint could have been - - could have been filed against him and at least have a hearing in the manner of a preliminary hearing to see if he should be bound and held for trial.

- Q Was a complaint requested against the mortician?
- A. I have no idea.
- Do you know if there was any effort by the county attorney or the county sheriff there, to avoid the prosecution, an explicit effort to avoid the prosecution of the mortician?
 - A. I have no idea.
- O Do you have any indication that police authorities are deliberately ignoring Mr. Mayfield and other persons like him who seemingly persecute the Indian people?
- A I think that the evidence here, it's in the facts.

 Yes, I -- I think that this is not an isolated case. I

 think to put it very bluntly, I think that this is an

 example of the racist application of criminal prosecution.

And sentencing. 1

- Q This incident occurred last year. The statute of limitations would still be open for a prosecution of the mortician. Wouldn't that be correct?
 - A As far as I know.
- Q Have you advised your clients or anyone else that they might consider taking this before the county attorney and asking for a complaint?
- A No, I remember talking about it with William Schaefer, the matter is the fact is well known. I'm sure the county attorney there knows about it, certainly the attorney general's office. They're very familiar with it. The police know about it.
- Q Do you know from your own investigation of the case if there was any collusion on the part of the bartender and the mortician?
- A. No, and I didn't investigate the case. This is not a result of an investigation of the case, it was because I did attend the preliminary hearing and I did discuss the matter with Mr. Schaefer.
- Q But I take it we're making a kind of hypothesis here, that the mortician was acting in some sort of collusion with the bartender to supress the evidence?
- A. No, I can't charge that. I'll charge solely, what I'm saying simply is that I was told by Mr. Schaefer that

1 the mortician was told two, if not three, times, deliberately 2 and carefully, not to destroy any evidence, told exactly 3 what to do and what not to do. And that the mortician 4 immediately violated that and incinerated the clothes. 5 DR. GERBER: I have no further questions at this 6 point. 7 (By Ms. Lyon) Mr. Shea, to your knowledge, based Q. on your past experience, is it customary for a suspect, 9 suspected of the same offense as Mr. Mayfield, to be re-10 leased on his own recognizance? 11 A. No, it's not customary. 12 Then you feel that in this case, an exception was Q. 13 made? 14 I can't say an exception, I said it's not customary. A. 15 The matter was not treated in a customary fashion. 16 Q. What would be the customary fashion? 17 Well, I think that on such a serious matter, bond 18 should be set upon a hearing. And that the hearing should 19. consider the violent propensities of the man, and should 20 properly have been set at a very high level. 21 Mr. Shea, do you have any other statements or obser-Q. 22 vations you would like to make before the committee? 23 A. No, I have nothing else. 24 (By Mr. Guerrero) One question. 25 Mr. Shea, who would be charged, in your opinion, with

2

the responsibility of filing a formal complaint against that mortician for the destruction of that evidence?

A. In the first instance it's with the county attorney, the County Attorney of Graham County.

There's a little problem there. As -- as I -- it's a Mormon community, a very tight Mormon community, as you know, and I believe all the -- all the people that we're dealing with are Mormons. And that's just part of the reason why it might be appropriate for the county attorney to ask the attorney general's office to step in and perform the prosecutorial function. And I think that if the county attorney in Safford thinks that there's some question about it at all and he's not going to do it, maybe he ought to call the attorney general's office in on the matter.

MR. GUERRERO: We have a person here from the attorney general's office, don't we? I wonder if I can ask him a question, he should be out in the audience there, shouldn't he?

Mr. Silver? Could you give us any indication as to why maybe the attorney general's office couldn't pursue that matter on the destruction of evidence?

A. (By Mr. Silver) Rep. Guerrero, let me again remind you I'm not tied in with the criminal division at all, I'm solely out of the civil rights division. The little that I

do know about our criminal jurisdiction after the legislature passed the grand jury bill, added to the duties that
we have in the criminal field, are two-fold, one is to
serve as the appellate lawyer for the state and for the
counties when the criminal cases go to appeal, and the
other is to accept prosecution or engage in prosecutions
on behalf of the county where there is a conflict of
interest.

Now, whether or not the statute authorizing the attorney general to engage in criminal prosecutions is broader than a conflict of interest-type statute, I am not sure. However, you can be, if you have any further interest, I would suggest that you address the question to Mr. Sophie or the Attorney General, Mr. Babbitt, as to whether or not the attorney general's office can proceed.

I do not know that the -- I'm not sure that the mere fact that there is the type of potential prejudicial problems that Mr. Shea mentioned, the fact that it is a tight-knit Mormon community, would be a sufficient basis upon which to bring the attorney general's office in. I'm not sure whether that's statutorily correct.

A. (By Mr, Shea) I recall something now since Mr. Silver started talking, that happened, that was a recent case in Phoenix, where the attorney general brought a, or attempted to bring a prosecution against somebody that he

thought that the Maricopa County Attorney wasn't properly prosecuting, and that was taken, I -- either the court of appeals or I think the supreme court and the attorney general was knocked down on it, holding that the -- court holding that the statutes provide that the attorney general may come into a county to prosecute only upon the invitation of the county attorney.

Q (By Mr. Guerrero) Well then, Madame Chairman, Mr. Shea, then, it would seem to me it would become within the parameters of the department of public safety to have lodged or registered a complaint, being the investigating unit, is that correct?

A (By Mr. Shea) Yes. Well, I think that — I think that — I haven't seen the report, now these are full departmental reports prepared in connection with a criminal prosecution which I was not privileged to see. But I am told that the reports contain the information which I have stated. About the destruction of evidence by a person who was duly warned not to destroy it.

- Q Warned by the DPS?
- A Either the DPS or the sheriffs, I don't know which.
- Q (By Ms. Lyon) Mr. Shea, one more question. Is it correct that part of the sentence of Eugene Mayfield imposed the condition that he not leave the jurisdiction?

 And is it also correct that that condition has since been

1 removed? 2 It's a condition that he not leave the jurisdiction 3 without permission of the judge. 4 Q. Yes. 5 And I got a copy of a letter from Judge Lines here, Α. 6 did you get it? What was it saying that there was a request 7 for permission to go to Africa was denied? 8 A. (By Mr. C. Wesley) Denied, yes. 9 Thank you, I have no further questions. MS. LYON: 10 THE CHAIR: Do any other committee members have 11 questions? 12 MS. LYON: I want to again thank Mr. Clarence 13 Wesley and Mr. Edmond Wesley for coming before our com-14 mittee, I know it was difficult for them to do so and we 15 appreciate your cooperation. Thank you very much. 16 THE CHAIR: I'd like to call the next panel, Frank 17 Teachout, Velazquez Sneezy and Harold Stevens, please? 18 Please come to the microphones on my left? 19 (By Dr. Gerber) Mr. Teachout, for the sake of the Q. 20 record, would you state your full name, your addresss 21 and your occupation, please? 22 23 24 25

SGT. FRANK TEACHOUT

.2

A. (By Sgt. Teachout) Sgt. Frank Teachout, presently employed with the department of public safety, 2010 West -- correct, 2010 West Ajo Road, Tucson Arizona.

Q. And were you employed with the department of public safety at the time of the Wesley incident that was just described here?

A. Yes, sir, I was.

Q Could you describe for us your participation in that incident?

A I was one of the supervisors in charge to conduct the homicide investigation. I conducted the investigation under the direct leadership of Capt. James La Ponsie, who I might say would have been present today but he's suffering a heart condition and I was asked to appear in his behalf.

Q And in that capacity what sort of investigation

did you do?

A.

to be more specific, on March the 19th, the department of public safety had received a request from the Graham County Sheriff's Office and the Graham County Attorney's office to make an investigation in regards to a death of

Vernon Wesley, suffering from a gunshot wound as a result

Approximately two days after the shooting incident,

of Mr. Gene Mayfield.

Ż

The incident happened at approximately 11:30 Saturday, March the 16th, 1974. The victim, Vernon Wesley, described as an Indian male, 18 years of age, a resident of Bylas, Arizona, had sustained a gunshot wound to the upper right shoulder from a 308 rifle which was fired from the hands of Mr. Eugene Mayfield while at the Roadside Bar. I think the address is Bylas, Arizona, just west of Safford.

The victim, Mr. Wesley, was transported to the San Carlos Hospital and he was pronounced dead on arrival at 12:15 a.m., approximately 45 minutes after the incident, Sunday morning, March the 17th, 1974. He was pronounced dead by Dr. David Smith of the San Carlos Hospital.

The body was subsequently removed to the Safford Mortuary, and an autopsy had been performed by Dr. Edward Curtis -- correction -- yes, Dr. Edward Curtis had performed the autopsy. I understand Dr. Curtis has a medical practice in the City of Safford, Arizona.

This all took place on Sunday, March the 17th, shortly after midnight.

Wednesday, March the 19th, is when the department of public safety received an official request, I understand it was channeled from Graham County to the governor's office and turned back to the director of department of

public safety, requesting to make the investigation of the homicide.

- Q Do you have any first-hand knowledge of what the autopsy showed?
- A. The autopsy showed that the victim, Wesley, died as a result of a gunshot wound, I'm trying to recall, there was an entrance wound on the upper right-hand shoulder. I don't believe there was an exit wound, fragments of the bullet, I have the autopsy report here, but viewing the deceased I don't recall seeing any exit wound, but it was determined by Dr. Curtis, the Wesley boy died as a result of a gunshot wound.

This was later confirmed by a pathologist here in Tucson, acting upon my request via Capt. La Ponsie that the Wesley boy be removed to Tucson for additional pathologist's examination.

Dr. Louis Hirsch, from Tucson, is the one that conducted the final autopsy.

- Q Was the second autopsy and pathological report required because of some suspicion that the original autopsy was not performed according to usual standards?
- A. I felt that in my own mind, previous investigations of this nature, that additional, additional examination should be performed. One being the reason, the entrance wound, at the time I first saw the victim, was an open,

1

3

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

22

.21

23 24

25

gaping wound, it was quite evident that this wound indicated an entrance wound.

What I'm getting at, Sergeant, is, was there any 0. incompetence on the part of Dr. Curtis at the time he did his original autopsy?

I don't believe -- no, I wouldn't say competence on the part of Dr. Curtis, from all his report that was submitted would have been consistent with a normal autopsy. However, what I was going to do, and I was referring to my notes, the open wound, first time I saw it was open and later on in the afternoon, the wound was sutured and closed. I felt that further examination, whether Dr. Curtis possibly he was not capable or did not have the expertise to explore the wound, I felt that Dr. Hirsch, who is known throughout the state for his competence in this field of pathology, would be able to help us determine powder burns, the size of the wound and further tests which we had anticipated to be conducted by the department of public safety, may possibly have indicated, we may have been able to determine the distance that the gun was fired.

This was the primary reason that a request by Dr. Hirsch was made. The request was followed up, even though after the open wound had been sutured closed, I discussed it with Dr. Hirsch by phone, and realizing that it would possibly, almost be virtually impossible to determine these

things that we were looking for, Dr. Hirsch had consented to examine the body. He being an expert in this field, I thought possibly he may be able to, even though the wound had been closed he might be able to help us out in determining some unknown factors.

- Q Let me ask you, was the bullet ever found?
- A. There were fragments of the bullet found by Dr. Curtis, further exploration by Dr. Hirsch revealed, oh, very minute additional fragments from the shell casing, it was a copper-jacketed bullet, the bullet had literally had just exploded, it went into a thousand different pieces.

We did recover, were successful in recovering minute portions.

- Q , Now, in your dealings with the mortician involved do you recall if any instructions were given to the mortician as to how the evidence should be handled?
- A. In reviewing DPS report, Agent Hamilton was assigned to, in fact he did on the very first day of DPS's participation, on February (sic) 19th, he went to the Safford Mortuary and contacted the owner, Mr. Roger David.

Agent Hamilton viewed the body, took some photographs, I think it was at this time several bullet fragments were handed to him that had been previously retrieved by Dr. Curtis. Mr. David was told to retain all clothing

that belonged to the Wesley boy, and anything that might indicate any evidentiary matter.

0 Who told him that?

A. Agent Hamilton. Now, this was sometime on March the 19th of 1974. Mr. Roger, David Roger was instructed that these things would be picked up on the following date, there was this, I'm sure a specific reason why we waited till the next day. I think you have to appreciate the magnitude of this thing and investigators had to get a feel for the entire situation.

They felt comfortable and this is not an unusual practice in investigation of this type to have the mortician or some responsible person keep evidentiary matter until the following day or several hours later, whatever the case might be.

- Q So the following day did officers from the department of public safety come back to get the evidence?
- A Yes, sir, on in fact, I was present on this particular morning. I had not viewed the deceased up until this time. DPS arrived on the scene on Wednesday, I also arrived on Wednesday, but the entire involvement, I did not get the opportunity to view the body until Thursday. And that was Thursday morning, March the 20th. I accompanied Ellis Hamilton and Bud Farnsworth, who is a resident agent at Safford, to the Safford Mortuary to

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS.

4.

view the body.

- Q Well, did you find the evidence that you had asked to be saved for you, did you find it there?
- A. The only items that we were able to retrieve was a pair of pants belonging to Vernon Wesley, a pair of boots, a pair of socks, and Mr. Wesley's undershorts. I inquired with Mr. David Roger about the victim's T-shirt, his undershirt and his outer shirt, I don't recall whether it was long or short-sleeved, however I was aware that he had an undershirt and an outer shirt. Roger David had -- said that --
 - Q Excuse me, is his name Roger David or David Roger?
- A. I've probably been calling him David Roger. I have it as Roger David and I understand he's the owner and mortician of the Safford Mortuary. Roger David, I stand corrected.
- Q Let me ask you this question, did you inquire as to where the T-shirt was and the other shirt and the upper torso of the body and did he give you any explanation as to where it was and what he had done with it?
- A. He said that he had -- first of all we'd made an inquiry with Mrs. David, Mrs. Roger David, Mr. David was not present and she said that Mr. David was gone, the only clothing that she was aware of was the boots and the pants and socks and undershorts. I think we waited for his return,

1 for Mr. David's return, inquired the whereabouts of the two 2 shirts that we were vitally interested in. He said that 3 they had been placed in a plastic bag the day before, which 4 was the day of the first encounter with Mr. David, and the 5 clothing had been since removed to the city dump. 6 Q. And this is Mr. David himself who told you this? 7 A. Yes, sir. 8 Did he give you -- did he tell you this was their 0. 9 standard practice? 10 No, I don't believe we went into any discussion as A. 11 far as to standard policy. 12 Well, did he give any explanation as to why he had 13 clearly contradicted your orders to save all the clothing? 14 A. He maintained that it was an accidental oversight 15 on his part. 16 Q. He took responsibility for it himself? 17 I stressed some concern, a lot of con-Yes, sir. 18 cern at this point, I felt these items of clothing were 19 vitally interested in a fair and impartial investigation, 20 which DPS was assigned to do. I stressed to him that my 21 concern that I was very upset with him, and he apologized. 22. Well, do you have any indication that his action Q. 23 was an oversight or whether it was deliberate? Or do you 24 have, perhaps, no opinion at all on that?

25

Really, I've pondered this for a long time and I

can't really -- it could be 50-50, it could be very possible that it was an accidental oversight on his part. I don't know. Why the pants, the boots, the socks and the undershirt were retained and not the two pieces of clothing that we were vitally interested in, why they were not kept with the total items, I don't know. I don't know what his packaging procedure is or anything like that, whether it was intentional.

- Q I think Rep. Guerrero has something to ask you.

 THE CHAIR: Before we -- let's go ahead and finish.

 -- it has to be brief.
- Q (By Mr. Guerrero) Madame Chairman, Sergeant, what do you usually do when a person destroys evidence? That's an offense, isn't it?
- A. If -- I would have to say from what I'm familiar with criminal law if it's knowingly and an intentional destruction of property, there is statute provisions for obstructing justice.
- Q Would it seem to you, sir, that once having been warned not to destroy that evidence and he did destroy it, that, sir, it might come within your jurisdiction to sign a complaint against that party for destroying that evidence? Why doesn't your office do that, is what I want to know, why didn't you sign a complaint for destruction of evidence?
 - A. First, for me to arbitrarily sign a complaint, this

matter was discussed with Mr. Greenhalgh, Graham County
County Attorney. He was aware of what had taken place. I
was in no position to demand a complaint, the destruction
of the property, whether it was intentional or accidental,
I felt, as a police officer, that I would relate the facts
and the circumstances as they were, as were documented,
if he wanted to entertain a complaint, he is the chief
legal adviser in Graham County for ciminal matters, then
I felt that it should be him, for him to make a decision.

- Q And the county attorney refused to prepare a complaint?
- A. Well, I wouldn't say that he refused, as of this date no complaint has been filed. I was not present when you might say an ultimate decision, what are we going to do with this matter concerning Roger David, are we going to issue a complaint or aren't we, I was not present if any discussions had been entertained or had transpired. I discussed my findings with Capt. La Ponsie, whom I was directly responsible to, I was present in Mr. Greenhalgh's office when Capt. La Ponsie and I had discussed the matter, we had advised of what had transpired.

Any decision as to why a complaint would not be entertained, for what reason, I don't have any idea at that particular point because my responsibility was to supervise the entire investigation, gather evidence, retain

it, do whatever -- the things that I felt necessary to do a fair and impartial investigation. The legal aspect of it was, I say, not for me to say, I demand a complaint, that was not my position in the investigation.

- Q Is your office prepared to try to pursue that matter further, as long as it's still within limitations of your office to do that? Has the county attorney's office been approached in this regard of pursuing that complaint?
- A I couldn't tell you that, sir. I don't have any update, whether any additional discussion has been made in that direction or not. I dare suspect from what I know about it that the possibility, it has not been pursued, but as far as you'd ask me, is the department prepared to pursue it, I think this will have to be taken up with my superiors in conjunction with the Graham County Attorney.
 - Q Who is your superior in that district?
- A. Here in Tucson locally?

- Q No, in your jurisdiction there in Bylas? In that district, is that district 4 or 7?
- A. Well, sir, I'm stationed here in Tucson, I was called into this investigation which is out of my district.

 I was acting under the directions of Chief Carl Needham to Capt. La Ponsie who at that time was my immediate supervisor.

Q. (By Dr. Gerber) Sergeant, is Mr. Greenhalgh still the county attorney over there?

- A To the best of my knowledge.
- Q And he's in Safford?
- A. Yes, sir.

Q One final question, Sergeant. Do you have any suggestions on what could be done in the future to prevent the destruction of evidence in a case such as this?

For example, what I'm getting at is would it be perhaps better procedure by a police department in a situation like this to take the evidence the first time you encounter it instead of letting it remain with the mortician a day or so?

A That, I'm sure, would be — be advantageous, it certainly would eliminate a situation of this in the future. It's hard to say what — I'm not aware of the policies and procedures laid out by the city police or the sheriff's office in regard to this. This was an unusual set of circumstances, and the reason I'm saying this, my being representative of DPS, the fact that it occurred on one date and approximately two days later DPS was called, this does not happen all the time.

I think under stress conditions in the community at that particular time, the total involvement, surely Sheriff Stevens here did not have the manpower to conduct an inves-

tigation DPS was at the liberty to, at one time or another, DPS had a total of 29 agents on the scene at one time or another. Of various expertise. I don't know the staff of Sheriff Stevens' complement in Graham County, but I don't believe he has 29 people that he could have pulled the plug on and provided this sort of investigation.

It was unusual, it was obvious that they needed help in this particular area, this was the request by DPS.

I would have to say that in my experience in the past, that this is not a standard procedure to have a major crime occur and then have an agency be called in two or three days later, that has not been my experience.

I think it was just a set of unusual circumstances.

- One final question from me and that is, to your knowledge, has Mr. David ever done a similar thing to any other evidence that had been turned over to him? Just to your knowledge, yes or no?
- A. Mr. David did make -- we inquired about blood samples for blood alcohol, Mr. David did make the comment to me that, he gave an example of a fatality, primarily Indian, that he was on contract for the San Carlos Reservation, and that he did not retain blood samples for blood alcohol content, which is -- struck me very unusual because my experience in 18 years in law enforcement, these samples are retained. These are evidentiary matters.

1	Q Did you just state that he was under contract to
2	the San Carlos Indian Reservation?
3	A. That was my understanding, that
.4	Q And he was given some blood alcohol samples, I
5	suppose by the tribe? To be saved?
6	A. No, when he retained possession of a deceased person,
7	for whatever reason, he did not retain any sample blood
8	for further analysis, and in a major crime of this magni-
9	tude, a murder,
10	Q The custom would be to keep some blood alcohol
11	samples?
12	A. Would be in my experience, to retain these samples.
13	However, in this particular case as in the past with
14	traffic fatalities, no blood samples were retained. And
15	I thought this to be an unusual procedure.
. 16	MR. GUERRERO: Madame Chairman, I have something
17	I would
18	THE CHAIR: Mr. Guerrero, may we continue with
19	MR. GUERRERO: Just a minute, I was reviewing some
20	à
21	I do I did find that the pathologist or that was
22	called in from Tucson did take blood samples and he did
23	find no alcohol in the blood?
24	A. Yes, sir.
25	DR. GERBER: I have no further questions, if anyone e

1se--

Q (By Ms. Tyon) I have just one question.

₹.

Sgt. Teachout, we heard earlier testimony that the clothing was located in an incinerator. Is that in conflict with your findings?

A In viewing the report, the only notation I had was that it was — had been burned. Now, whether the ashes had been subsequently found, I'm — I really don't know, this would be new information to me. Agents, after discovering that they were informed by Mr. David that the items had been removed to the city dump, several agents from our department went to the dump, and attempted — attempting to locate this bag. They had talked to somebody from the city sanitation department and he advised them that this particular hopper or truckload or whatever, this bag was in, had been burned.

Now, whether it was taken to an incinerator or what, I don't know. At any rate, it was not made available.

MS. MADRID: Okay.

DR. GERBER: Okay, Mr. Sneezy, would you state your full name, please, for the record? And your occupation?

MR. VELAZQUEZ WILLIAM SNEEZY

A. (By Mr. Sneezy) I'm with the Arizona Highway Patrol,

Department of Public Safety. Velazquez William Sneezy. 1 And were you working for them last year at the time Q. 2 3 the Wesley incident occurred? A. Yes, sir. And what was your role in that incident? 5 I was assigned to that investigation for, to assist 6 the agents in locating the people and also as an intelli-7 gence. 8 Q. You say you were assigned there to assist in locating 9 the people? 10 A. The witnesses that was involved with the Yes. 11 incident. 12 Did you have anything to do with the matter of the 13 evidence that was turned over to the mortician? 14 It was this one day when I was with the agents at 15 the mortuary when we went over to view it, that I heard 16 them tell the mortician that they wanted the clothing. 17 Q. You heard who tell the mortician? 18 I can't recall which officer, which agent it was that 19 made the statement, but --20 0. But it was a fellow officer in the department of 21 public safety? 22 A. Yes, sir. 23 And do you recall exactly, as precisely as you can, 24 what his instructions were to the mortician? 25

F 1					
1	A. I I can't recall the exact wording on it.				
2	Q But are you sure that there were instructions given				
3	to the mortician that the clothes of the victim should be				
4	retained as possible evidence?				
5	A. Yes, sir.				
6	Q And you were there at the time this was said?				
7	A. Yes, sir.				
8	Q Did Mr. David, the mortician, make any indication				
9	that he was not going to comply with that order?				
10	A. I don't remember.				
11	Q He didn't flatly refuse to comply?				
12	A. No.				
13	Q Were you there at the time you and the other				
14	officers returned to get the evidence?				
15	A. Well, I I wasn't there at the time when the				
16	officers went back to get the evidence. I was assigned to				
17	other details.				
18	Q. : Have you heard				
19	A. The following day.				
20	Q Have you heard the account, either today or through				
21	your own departmental investigation as to what happened to				
22	the clothing of the victim?				
23	A. Well, just from hearing the agents talk there at				
24	the motels, I heard them say that the mortician had destroyed				
25	the clothing. And I remember the day when they they sent				

1 two agents out to the dump to look for the shirt. 2 Q. Was the shirt found at the dump? 3 No. 4 Did you, yourself, personally follow up the inves-5 tigation by going to the county attorney or any other law 6 enforcement agency and suggesting that perhaps obstruction of justice had occurred? 7 8 A. No, sir. 9 Was that your responsibility to do that? Q. 10 No, sir. A. 11 Did you ever, yourself, personally, confront Mr. 12 David and ask him why he had destroyed the clothing? 13 A. Never have. No. 14 Were there any other indications of destruction of 15 evidence in the Wesley case, that you're aware of? A. Well, from -- not -- not the evidence I'd say, but 16 17 at the place where the shooting took place, different 18 things was moved around. When - it might have happened 19 during the -- the riot, but that's not for me to say, you 20 know, that the --21 By different things, what do you mean by different 22 things? Well, like for instance the casing was found in the 23 24 back room, the shell casing was found in the back room, and -25 Q. Was it ever dusted for fingerprints?

1 A. I really don't know. I -- I just saw that this one 2 agent pick it up with his pencil. 3 Any other things that had been moved around in the Q. 4 bar? 5 Ă. Well, the tables, the chairs. 6 Q. Are there any other matters that you would like to 7 share with us concerning the investigation of the Wesley 8 case? 9 Well, the only time, the only thing that I thought 10 was wrong was when they had this old man on the inquest 11 jury, who didn't even understand English or what the --12 the attorneys were talking about. This is the one thing 13 that I know of, you know, which was done by the judge, I 14 guess, which picked the jury. 15 And what judge is that? 0. 16 A. Holyoke. 17 It was not Judge Lines? 0. 18 No, it was Holyoke at the time. A. 19 Do you know the name of that old man who didn't 20 understand English? 21 A. No. 22 Q. Was he a Mexican-American or an Indian or --23 No, he's -- he's a member of the Bylas Indians, Bylas 24 -- well, he's a resident of Bylas, you know, he's the --25 well, I'll say -- a San Carlos Apache. But I remember at

the time when they asked him what these people were talking about, and he didn't -- he said no, you know, because that's the time when he said it in Apache, and I was also asked by, I think it was Schaefer, to act as an interpreter, you know, for the people out in the audience. And so, later, I was asked to pick the jury. Which I did, you know, I picked the people that, well, not really picked them but I just pointed out that these people understood -- well, they were well educated, let's put it that way.

DR. GERBER: Thank you, I have nothing other with this witness.

If there's no further questions ---

Q (By Ms. Lyon) I have one question of Mr. Sneezy.

You were talking about the jury at the inquest where this old man was on the jury and who did not understand English? Is it not correct that when Judge Holyoke stepped down and another JP was brought in, that there was a change in the jury?

A. Yes.

MS. LYON: Thank you.

DR. GERBER: If there's no further questions, maybe we can proceed with Sheriff Stevens, would you state your full name, please, for the record, and your occupation?

- 1				
1		MR. HAROLD L. STEVENS		
2		en e		
3	A.	(By Mr. Stevens) Harold L. Stevens, Graham County		
4	Sheriff.			
5	Q.	And Mr. Stevens, or Sheriff Stevens, were you the		
6	sheriff of Graham County at the time of the Wesley incident:			
7	A.	I was.		
8	Ô.	And did you play a role in that investigation?		
9	A.	Well, not too much. I don't know whether it was		
10	fortun	ately or unfortunately, but I was out of town the		
11	night	that it happened.		
12	Q.	Did you when did you come back in town?		
13	A.	The next day.		
14	Q.	And then did you play any role at all in the case?		
15	A.	We started an investigation and then called for the		
16	depart	ment of public safety to come in and help us.		
17	Q.	How much of an investigation did you, yourself,		
18	conduc	t, you and your department?		
19	Ä.	Oh, my department talked to several people, but we		
20	điđn't	really do too much of the investigation, we thought		
21	we bet	ter have the state help us.		
22	Q.	Well, could you describe in more depth the extent		
23	of you	r own investigation, do you remember who you talked		
24	to and	1		
25	A.	Not at this time.		
1	Į̃.			

			٠. *
	1	Ø.	But you did conduct several interviews with people?
	2	Ą.	Yes, I think the ones we did, the statements we did
	3	get we	turned them over to the department.
	4	Q.	Was it your office that responded to the call at
	5	the sc	ene of the shooting?
	6	A.	Yes.
	7	, Q.'	And did some of your officers interview some of the
	8	people	at the time the shooting occurred?
	9.	A.	Yes , ,
	10	Q.	And you turned those reports over to the department
1	11	of pub	lic safety?
	12	A.	Yes.
	13	Q.	Was it you, yourself, who initiated the invitation
	14	to the	department of public safety to enter the case?
	15	A.	It was either me or the county attorney or we did
	16	it jo	intly, but we did.
	17	Q.,	What is the name of the county attorney?
	18	A.	Ron Greenhalgh.
	19	Q	And is he still the county attorney over there?
	20	A.	He is.
	21	Q.	Did you play any part in the sequestering of the
	22	eviden	ce in the case?
	23	A.	No.
	24	, Q.	None whatsoever?
i	25	A.	No.
	1	1	

- Q. Did you have any conversations with Mr. David, the mortician?
- A. No. On this particular incident, no, I -- if I remember that Capt. La Ponsie and the county attorney talking something about whether a complaint should be signed or not, Roger David, he does do all the Indian mortuary work, he has for several years and he still does have the contract.
 - Q. Mr. David himself is not an Indian, though, is he?
 - A. No. no.
- Q. But he has been under contract with the Indian Reservation to do
- A. I guess, he handles all the Indian deaths. He's very well liked among the Indian people and, you know, he has had the contract for several years and he still does have it.
 - Q. How long has he been in the mortuary business?
- A. I really couldn't say. He's been there probably ten or 12 years. I don't know where he came from.
- Q Were you, by any chance, present at the time he was given instructions regarding the saving of the evidence?
- A. I -- I can remember them talking and he said the clothes are there and they said well, we'll pick them up in the morning and then we went back to get them and they

		ų	
1	weren't there.		
2	Q.	Do you know if he himself had taken them out to the	
3	city dump? -		
4	A.	No, I know he didn't.	
5	Q.	How do you know he didn't?	
6	A.	Well, it seems to me that he said that his helper	
. 7	had moved them out there and the city had picked them		
8	up and then they went immediately to the dump but we		
9	never did find anything.		
10	Q.	Do you remember the name of his helper?	
11	Α.	I think it was Joe Figaroa.	
12	Q.	Is he still working for him?	
13	Ä,	No, I don't believe so. I think he helps him out.	
14	Q.	Does Mr. Figaroa live there in Safford?	
15	A.	Yes.	
16	Q	He's still there now?	
17	A.	I believe so.	
18	Q.	Did you conduct any followup investigation regarding	
19	the destruction of evidence, discuss the case with Mr.		
20	David or Mr. Figaroa?		
21	A.	No.	
22	Q.	Did you ever discuss it with the county attorney to	
23	inquire	as to the propriety of a complaint for the de-	
24	struction of evidence?		
25	A.	I didn't, no.	
1			

- Q But you are aware that a discussion was had regarding that?
 - A Yes.
- Q Have you received a great number of complaints dealing with disturbances at the Roadside Bar?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Over the last several years?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q What's the nature of these complaints you've heard?
- A. Well, it's -- it's a bar just about -- the Geronimo

 Bar is about a half a mile from the line and the Roadside

 Bar is another half a mile down the road. And I even

 talked to Mr. Mayfield about, he was having dancers and

 I tried to get him to close up early, we had other proprietors

 down there that, you know, would close up when dark came

 and he indicated that that's when he made all his money.

And so he was going to stay open and there was a state law he could stay open until 1:00 o'clock so there was nothing I could do about it.

I did have conversation with the Indian people about putting a bar on the reservation so the people wouldn't all congregate down there, I have a small department, ten men all together, it takes four to run the -- do the dispatching so I have six outside men. And as Edmond said previously, about four months later we had an altercation

down there and I almost got a couple men hurt, and no one wanted to go down there, you know, just two men, which I have on duty at one time, so on this particular night, by the time they got some help from the DPS and the city police and there was lots of people down, down at the bar, and they were, I guess it was a riot, from what I understand.

- Q. What is the -- is there ever any racial pattern to the riots that occur at these bars? Is it, for example, usually the Whites against the Indians or vice-versa or is there no predominant racial mixture in the fights?
- A Not that I know of. I -- when I was elected sheriff I hired and Indian boy, Early Kitchy (Phonetic) and, he worked until he quit and then after he quit I hired another Indian, Dewey Wesley, and he worked until he quit.
 - Q No, I mean the fights down at these bars?
- A Well, I -- no, however, there is, I guess you know, tension in Graham County due to the fact that you all signed the mineral strip over, and then I really don't know too much about it, but San Carlos Lake, the people, some of them are mad because they have to pay a dollar and a half to go down there and fish. And we have our problems. But as far as, you know, the Whites against the Indians, specially down there, the Whites -- well, they don't congregate there like the Indians, and one case that Edmond

was talking about on the fight, they -- we did have Mr. Mayfield in court and he got in a fight over the dice game, it was with a White man and I think he was fined on that, and on the other instances the Indians refused to sign a complaint against him and we couldn't do anything about it.

- Q Do you have the authority to close down his bar?
- A. I sure don't.
- Q That has to come from the state department of liquor licenses?
- A I imagine, I -- I have no authority to close it, it's a state license that he's operating under and the Roadside Bar was tried to be reopened by another fellow there in Safford and the board of supervisors denied the transfer of the liquor license and at the present time it is still closed. But the Geronimo Bar is open again.
- Q (By Ms. Lyon) Mr. Stevens, you mentioned that there was tension in the community since the mineral strip land was given back to the San Carlos Apache Tribe. Are we to interpret your statement to the effect that prior to that happening, there was no tension whatsoever between the Apache people and the non-Indian people?
- A Well, prior to that, I -- I couldn't say, I don't think there was. I couldn't say prior. I mean I -- I have no definite knowledge of anybody, you know, that wants

to go down there and start a riot or anything, in fact, 1 2 I've got some relations on the reservation, the Stevenses, 3 and like I said, I hired a couple of Indians and they got along good with my deputies and -- but I don't know, 5 several months ago a rancher called me and said that, come out here, they're draining my stock tank and I really 6 don't know anything about the mineral strip, but this guy 7 showed me a contract from the BIA that he'd been hired to 8 ģ drill a hole in the stock tank and it almost caused another -- I don't know what you'd call it, but we got some help 10 out of Washington and the Bureau of Indian Affairs and 11 they stopped the drilling and things are quieting down 12 again. 13 14

MS. TYON: Thank you.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I mean, you just don't go out and, you know, A. drill a hole through a quy's stock tank and drain his water, specially in Arizona, because it's scarce.

(By Ms. Lyon) Thank you, Mr. Stevens. I have one question for Sgt. Teachout, which is not related to the Wesley case.

But since he is a representative of DPS, perhaps he could clarify a point for me.

We heard earlier testimony that a tribal game warden was arrested in Globe by a member of the highway patrol, which I assume is the same thing as the department

of public safety. With a drawn sidearm. And I wanted to inquire whether that was standard procedure when a suspect is being arrested.

- A. (By Sgt. Teachout) You mean whether it's standard procedure for the officer to draw his weapon?
 - Q. Yes.

A Lid have to have some more facts in regard to the particular incident. I can only tell you my experience concerning or policy concerning drawing firearms. I'm presently working narcotics, have been the last five or six years, and when serving a felony search warrant or arresting a suspected felon, it's a common practice for agents of my department to draw their weapon.

We have had approximately three or four, maybe five agents shot in the line of narcotics investigation, and this is a common practice, so relating to your incident, the narcotic investigations are no way related to what, you know, you're trying to say. I'd have to have more facts to substantiate, you know, the policy.

I can only relate procedures that I would be involved in or investigations that I would be involved in where it is a common practice on a felony arrest.

MS. LYON: I see, thank you. That's all I have.

Q. (By Mr. Guerrero) I have a few questions. I had one for Sheriff -- for the sheriff.

To your knowledge, Sheriff, can a -- a person going to the justice of the peace for a complaint, say for assault, say a person is assaulted and goes to the justice of the peace, can he sign a complaint there in that court for assault?

- A. (By Mr. Stevens) Yes, he can. If the judge will accept his complaint.
- Q Can you tell me why, Sheriff, that Judge Holyoke in the justice of the peace there in that Bylas area, why he kept sending persons from Bylas all the way to Safford to sign a complaint, he wouldn't accept complaints?
- A. Possibly -- are you talking about a misdemeanor or a felony?
 - Q Simple assault. Simple assault, I guess, would be a
- A. The county attorney wants all felonies originated in his office.
 - Q. He wants all complaints originated --
- A. No, all felony complaints. Ones that he's going to have to be involved in trying.
 - Q. A simple assault isn't a felony, though, is it?
 - A. No.
- Q. If a person wants to sign a complaint for simple assault, why would the justice of the peace, who has jurisdiction and a complaint of that nature, refer that person all the way to Safford to sign a complaint? Why

wouldn't he accept a complaint in his court, do you have any idea?

- A. No, I don't. You'd have to ask him or -- do you have any specific one in mind or -- that you know about or --
- Q Yes, there's documentation, there's been several instances where persons from Bylas had gone to his court to get complaints signed or consideration of a complaint, and he just would refer them on to the county attorney's office in Safford. How far is Safford from Bylas? Or from the justice court?
- A Oh, approximately 30 miles. However, Judge Holyoke is no longer the judge now, they did have four JP's and now they just have two. One in Pima and one in Safford.
- Q There is no longer, then, a justice court there where Judge Holyoke presided?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q I had another question.

I was reviewing, Sheriff, the documentation your office had in reference to complaints received from the Geronimo Bar and the Roadside Bar. And also complaints received by your office from those American Indian people there that reside on that reservation, when they are off the reservation there, those bars, and I was wondering why, Sheriff, that, if it was brought to the attention of your office, that one of those bars in one particular instance

1 was open after 1:00, o'clock, practically 2:00 o'clock in 2 the morning, that your office didn't take any action? 3 fi'm speaking of an incident that a call to your 4 office in October, I believe it was the ninth, 1972, you 5 were sheriff at that time, weren't you? 6 I was, but I can't remember, you know, any specific 7 night, and you know, what time they called or -- or what 8 the --9 Q. Yes, your office has it logged as 0199, so I 10 imagine it was 0159. 11 A. And you say we didn't respond or what? 12 0. Yes, sir. 13 I couldn't -- I'd have to go back and check and Α. 14 see who was on duty and find out why? 15 Q. Sheriff, do you review --16 If it was 0159, and it was still open, though, and 17 we got down there 30 miles, it would be closed and whoever 18 reported it could surely sign a complaint against them, I 19 mean that's their prerogative. That's one thing that lot 20 of people don't seem to understand, they want the sheriff 21 to do everything and when they really got more rights 22 than the sheriff. 23 0. Well, you know, as strange as it is, it was Mr. 24 Wesley, Clarence Wesley who called your office in 1972.

Well, I'm not --

3

4 5

7

8

6

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

Q. About that place being open.

A. I'm not doubting that it was open, but I mean were they disbursing drinks or --

Q. Yes, they were drinking, that was brought to the attention of your office.

Q. Another thing I wanted to ask, sir, seeing that all of these, does it seem strange to you? It does seem strange to me that upon receipt of all these complaints, from the Roadside Bar and also from the Geronimo Bar, of all the assaults and knifings and cuttings and a guy getting shot in the head down there, and -- it would seem to me that you, as an enforcement agency and an elected official of the entire county, would have seemed to take or would have taken some appropriate action to try to see if that -- those two facilities down there, which were not in fact according to the law reporting those incidents to the department of liquor board, they were not doing this. It would have seemed to me that your office might have considered or done everything in its power to have those violations brought to the attention either of the department of public safety or you, I understand in fact, have that power to do something about it in the form of complaining or signing a complaint against those owner-operators.

I was wondering how come, has your office ever had it

considered doing anything like that or why hadn't it?

- A Well, the way I look at it, the license and the bars are the state's job, and the state did come in there and get a record of all of our complaints, and the bar's still open.
- Well, isn't it also the jurisdiction of your office
 in law enforcement to enforce state law?
 - A. Yes.

- Q Well, I understood yesterday, when Mr. Bean was here, that he, as an ex-county attorney, did tell us that you are within your jurisdiction if you do go ahead and bring action against an owner-operator on your own volition.

 Upon -- after an offense is committed?
- A Well, like I said, we did prosecute him on the -when you're talking about Mayfield probably -- on the
 one offense, but the other we couldn't get any complaint
 signed, and when we drove up, well, things get a little
 bit different. And we have to sign complaints on our
 knowledge.
- Q. Sheriff, what are your usual procedures, or the procedures of your office, if you should see an American Indian maybe has had a little bit too much to drink and if he's on the side of the road, what does your office do with these persons?
 - A Well, I guess you know the legislature passed a

1 law that it's not against the law to get drunk anymore, 2 and we don't have any LARC facility in Graham County, we 3 do have a counseling service at the present time, but 4 there's nothing we can do. 5 What do -- does your office, and what do the -- what 6 about the offices in -- or the enforcement offices in your 7 sub-offices and the rest, what if they should see a person 8 maybe has had a little bit too much to drink and I know 9 there's been calls to your office on occasion, somebody's 10 laying down on the side of the road or alongside of one 11 of these establishments, what was your policy towards these 12 persons who'd had a little bit too much to drink? 13 We used to arrest them for being drunk but since, 14 like I said, since they passed the law, we don't do any-15 thing except maybe we, you know, if they are on the highway 16 we either call the patrol and if they can't go we go to 17 try to get them off the road. 18 What do you do with them after you get them off the 19 road? 20 A. Take them home or get somebody else to take them 21 home. Our jail population since the drunk law went out is 22 practically nil. 23 0. There is no LARC facilities in Graham County? 24 A. Counseling service. 25

(By Mr. Levis) Madame Chairperson, I have three

Q.

3 4

questions, if I could, from the sheriff.

We heard testimony earlier from Mr. Shea, concerning the religious affiliation of county officials and he indicated that is one reason why he felt that the complaint against the mortician was not followed up and I just wondered if you had any comment on that?

A. No comment. I guess the county attorney's a Mormon and so is the mortician, but I'm not, so -- I'd have no comment.

Q Other two points were, Mr. Wesley, that is Clarence Wesley, talked about the treatment that he received after he went back to the bar after his grandson was shot. And again, do you have any comment concerning that incident and the investigation as to what happened as a result of that?

A I talked to one of the deputies involved and that he'd put him in the car and when he got down there he didn't recognize him or know him and like he said, he -- you know, he was excited, his grandson was -- had been wounded and he did lock him in the car. And when they found out who he was, they released him.

Q Is it standard procedure to lock someone in the car who's excited after such an incident?

A Well, they said -- have you ever been involved in one of these?

1 I was just asking you in your --Q. 2 That's --A. 3 -- in your experience. 4 That's the worst -- the worst thing we have ever had happen and we haven't got any procedure for it. 6 was just trying to get everybody quieted down. Mr. Wesley 7 did lose a gun that he had a permit to carry on the 8 reservation. And he was off the reservation with the 9 And the officer said he didn't know who he was 10 and he did make, I believe, several arrests that night but 11 did release him as soon as they found out who he was. 12 \mathbf{O} I think we heard testimony earlier from another 13 panel that your officers are not cross deputized with 14 the San Carlos Apache Reservation? 15 We have no jurisdiction of a -- on the reservation Λ. 16 of an Indian. 17 Q. Or a non-Indian? 18 Of a non-Indian we do. À. 19 0. You do. 20 They call us if they have any problem with a non-A. 21 Indian. 22 Q. If I could ask Sgt. Teachout one question, we heard 23 testimony yesterday from the Pima County Sheriff's Office, 24 I think it was Sgt. Taylor, who indicated that you had 25 been involved in teaching courses in Indian relations at

their academy and I wondered if you could just expand on that? He mentioned you by name. Minority relations?

MS. LYON: Sensitivity type of training.

A (By Sgt. Teachout) I have in the past, I have not here recently, last several years. When I first came to Tucson in 1966 with the department of liquor licenses and control, I did give class instructions at the Tucson Police Academy and also the Pima County Sheriff's Office, but the scope of these instructions are, or lectures, were primarily surrounded with the enforcement of state liquor laws, Title IV.

I did not, as a matter of part of the instruction, there was a little repertoir in there concerning sensitive I don't know what Sgt. Taylor, I might -- my instructions were strictly to earmark and geared around liquor enforcement.

We probably didomaybe talk in general about relations with minorities and things of this nature, but it was not part of the curriculum. So I don't want to disagree with Sgt. Taylor, but I don't recall specifically giving a prepared instruction on minorities or any of this nature.

- Q How many years ago was that?
- A. From 1966 to, I became a member of DPS in '69, oh, probably on and off from '66 through, almost up to '70,

periodically, I'd given several classes at the academy, half a dozen.

MR. LEVIS: Thank you.

Q (By Ms. Lyon) Sheriff Stevens, as part of your response to a question from Representative Guerrero, you said, and I quote, when we drive up things get a little bit different. Could you explain what you mean by that remark?

A (By Mr. Stevens) Well, it's like you going down the road when you see a patrolman you automatically lift your foot off of the gas a little or -- I mean he could be doing something wrong on the inside, could be shooting dice, but if they see us drive up they aren't going to be shooting dice when we get there.

Q I see what you mean, thank you.

At this point I would like to ask Mr. Everett
Little Whiteman if you have anything to add to the testimony
we have heard on the investigation into the Wesley case?
And if so, if you would please come forward?

DR. GERBER: On behalf of the commission I'd like to thank you, Officer Teachout, Sgt. Sneezy and Sheriff Stevens for coming here to be with us today?

A. (By Mr. Stevens) Think you can get some problems solved?

DR. GERBER: I think so.

A. I talked to Everett Little Whiteman, you know, about a bar on the reservation, I don't know what is the problem with getting a bar on the reservation.

DR. GERBER: Maybe he can say something to that right now.

- Q (By Ms. Lyon) Mr. Little Whiteman, I understand that you were part of an investigating team investigating the conditions surrounding the killing of Vernon Wesley, is that correct?
- A. (By Mr. Little Whiteman) No. I was not a member of the investigating team, I was the investigator in San Carlos and we received probably some of the initial reports surrounding the shooting. And requests from the Wesley family.
- Q Do you have anything to add that would help the committee to form a clear picture of the incidents connected with the killing of Vernon Wesley?
- A Well, the initial report that I received was approximately 11:30 on March 16, 1974, in reference to a shooting and a rioting as they referred to it, in Bylas, or in -- at the bar, and we requested -- we were requested for assistance over there to help, and I called up my partner who was in San Carlos at the time, Ralph Senson, who's an investigator with the Phoenix area office, we took several of our officers to the bar and assisted there.

And the part that we played was we instructed our officers to talk to the Indian people and call them down and have them return to the reservation.

- Q. Did you have any involvement with the incident involving the destruction of evidence?
- A No, Ma'am, let me go back some more and try to reiterate what our position was, concerning the investigation.

This was an instance off the reservation in which we had no jurisdictional control over. And the part that we played, we attended the preliminary inquest, the justice of the peace at the time, Holyoke, held and from there, they were going to have an additional hearing scheduled for the following Friday. I believe this was on a Sunday. We went back and we went to Bylas and we talked to Edmond Wesley and the family there, and to find out if anybody from the sheriff's office or from the county attorney's office had been there to inquire as to witnesses or facts surrounding the incident.

Again on Monday, we talked to the Wesley family and we were informed at the time that no one, none of the investigators had been in touch with them or inquired about witnesses. So Mr. Senson and I drove into Safford and talked to the county attorney and the county sheriff's office and offered our assistance. And at the time we handed

1 over a rifle that was used in the incident there. 2 Q. What is the distance in miles from the bar where 3 the incident occurred, to Safford on the one side and 4 San Carlos on the other? I'm not sure, but I believe the sheriff said 30 6 miles, approximately. 7 Q " To Safford and how many miles is it to San Carlos? 8 A. It will be about, roughly 30 miles from San Carlos --9 Q So it would be about the same distance? 10 Right. 11 Is there any explanation why it took so long to get Q. 12 an ambulance to take the injured person to the hospital? 13 I couldn't offer any explanation, I don't know. A. 14 Would an injured Indian person, even if the incident Q. 15 happens off the reservation, routinely be taken to the 16 Indian Health Service rather than the nearest available 17 hospital? 18 That's correct, Ma'am, the ambulance service at 19 the time was from San Carlos. There was no ambulance 20 service in Bylas, there is now. But at the time there wasn't. 21 And the call was apparently received at the public health 22 service hospital, and the ambulance drivers were not on 23 duty 24 hours a day and every time they get an ambulance 24 call they had to go get them. 25 Q. And there's no such service available from Safford

for emergency ambulance service?

- A. I am sure there is a -- some available, but in cases involving Indians there the call is usually made to San Carlos.
- Q Is that a matter of policy or just a matter of custom?
- A. I think it's probably just custom, it's the way they've been doing it. In some cases our police officers from Bylas do transport the emergency cases.
- Q Do you have anything else you would want to add fro the record?

If not, I have no further questions.

A. I have nothing.

MS. LYON: Thank you very much.

THE CHAIR: Any of the other commissioners have any?
Thank you for staying.

We will adjourn now and reconvene promptly at 1:15.

Also, I might mention there, we have received notice from three persons that they wish to testify at the end of the meeting. They'll be allowed approximately five minutes to present their point, and they're reminded to keep their statements to generalities because some of the statements they make are about persons who have not been notified that they are going to be involved. Thank you.

1	AFTERNOON SESSION
2	November 21, 1975
3	
4	THE CHAIR: I'm going to call the hearing back to
5	order, please.
6	May we have Tibaldo Canez, Dave Tierney and Layman
7	Peace or his representative please step over to the
8	microphones on my left.
9	Q (By Mr. Williams) Mr. Canez, for the record, will
10	you please state your name, address and occupation?
11	A.
12	,
13	MR. TIBALDO CANEZ
14	*
15	A. (By Mr. Canez) Yes, my name is Tibaldo Canez, I
16	live at 609 East Oxford Drive and I'm a public health
.17	sanitarian with the Arizona Department of Public Health
18	Services.
19	Q Is it true that you visited many jailes around
20	Arizona?
21	A. Right. The last part of October.
22	Q Was that specifically for the department of health
23	service?
24	A. It was at the request of the U.S. Commission on
25	Civil Rights.
i	ļ.

- Q. Okay. Could you give us a summary of your report on the health conditions in the jails that you visited?
 - A Are you just interested in southern jails or --
- Q Yes, I think we already had a summary from the northern jails from you.
 - A. You want them specific or just general terms?
- We can read, we can have your specific records entered as exhibits, can't we? Just give us in general terms the conditions that you found.
- A Okay. Well, I visited three jails, the Pima County Jail, the Safford -- I mean the Graham County Jail and the Globe City Jail.

The Pima County Jail was very overcrowded, there was lot of cleanability problems, specially the plumbing fixtures, and the ventilation was poor. That was because of the overcrowded conditions. That's the main problems.

At the Globe City Jail, they also had overcrowded conditions, had ventilation, poor ventilation, the -- they had, their bedding was -- they had problems with bedding, they never furnished any clean blankets or anything like this. There was a lot of plumbing repairs that needed to be done and there was cleanability was lacking.

In the Graham County Jail, there was ventilation, it was overcrowded, the bedding wasn't adequate, and the plumbing fixtures needed repair or were not operating.

5 .

They had inadequate garbage disposal and they had several floors, toilets, wash basins, showers needed cleaning.

The lighting's very poor.

That's basically what my findings were on the jails.

Q Okay. For the record, we have copies of your report, we'd like them admitted as evidence, the report on the Globe City Jail will be Exhibit Number 16, the report on the Graham County Jail will be number 17, and the inspection and the report on Pima County Jail will be number 18.

Were you also able to observe any food handling or preparation in the jails that you've just-talked about?

A Right, the inspections included the kitchen facilities. The ones for Pima County Jail and the Graham County Jail were adequate but the one for Globe City Jail was inadequate. They had a contract with the Globe Cafe for catering the food, and the establishment was — did not meet grade A specifications so I recommended that the contract be terminated and some other establishment ment be found to provide the food services.

Q We're aware that the Commission on Civil Rights initiated or requested you to make this inspection, but now that you've made the inspection, will the department of health service take any action as a result of your findings?

A Well, we'll try to get voluntary compliance. The Pima County Jail should not have too many problems complying because they do have new construction going on and it should alleviate their ventilation and population problems.

We will reinspect the Globe and Graham County
Jails, the actual responsibility and jurisdiction belongs
to the county health department so we'll have to work
with them to try to get voluntary compliance. There is —
we can go through state rules and regulations to eventually
get a cease and desist order from the attorney general's
office, but it's a very complicated matter and seldom
used.

I haven't' -- I have not known of any case where it's been used.

- Q Aside from the cease and desist order, is there any formal working relationship between the state health department and the various county health departments?
- A It varies from county to county, some counties we have very good cooperation and some others we don't, it all depends what the political structure is.
 - Q So it's just an informal relationship then?
 - A. Right.
- Q Do you know that -- have your findings been made available to the various county health departments?

	*
. 1	A. In some counties it has been.
2	r Q. I mean if these particular findings, have they been
3	made available to the county health departments?
4	A Yes, these three reports were submitted to the
5	county health departments.
6	Q Do you have any feel for what action the counties
7	will take as a result of your investigation?
8	A. I am sure that the Pima County Health Department
9	will take action. And the Graham County Health Department
10	should cooperate with us, but I extremely doubt whether
11	we will have any cooperation with Gila County.
12	Q Am I right in assuming that the state health de-
13	partment actually does have minimum standards, health
14	standards for these institutions?
15	A We don't have specific standards, we have specific
16	standards for food service and things like cleanability
17	and the proper operation of plumbing fixtures, we have no
18	standards as far as ventilation.
19	We'll, you know, we don't have specific standards
20	as far as ventilation.
21	Q. Okay.
22	A. Space requirements or lighting.
23	Q So you tell us that they're overcrowded, they're
24	poorly ventilated, you're using not a standard for jails
25	or institutions but just what you would consider general

Actually, what we're using there is the recommenda-2 tion for the U.S. Bureau of Prisons, the one in their insti-3 tutional sanitation books. Within the jurisdiction that the state health department does have the cleanability, the food service, will the health department go back in on these particular items and reinspect to make sure that the problem has been rectified? 9 As I said before, probably we'll go to the Globe 10 City Jail and the Graham County Jail. The reason I --11 we'll probably not come to the Pima County Jail is because 12 we have delegated all our authority to the county health 13 department in Pima County and it's, you know, it's their 14 responsibility. 15 So if you delegated it, then there is some kind of 16 formal relationship between the state health department 17 and the county, Pima County Health Department? 18 Just with Pima and the other county is Coconino. 19 don't have any delegated -- I don't think the programs have 20 been delegated to the other counties as yet. 21 Did you find that most of the jails that you visited 22 -- is your report also made available to the sheriffs and 23 the local jurisdictions that would have control over, 24 direct control of these jails? 25

standards for good health then?

1

A The inspection report is sent to the jails, I mean the original copy is sent to the sheriff or the chief of police.

MR. WILLIAMS: Anybody have any more questions of Mr. Canez?

Bil1?

Q (By Ms. Lyon) Mr. Canez, during your inspections did you observe any unequal treatment of inmates in the jail facilities, either on the basis of sex or on the basis of race, such as assignment of facilities or any equipment?

A The only place I could comment on would be the Globe City Jail. It was overcrowded like I said, they had three Native Americans in one cell and it was the worst cell, you know, in the jail. I don't know whether they got it — got that cell because they were the last ones in or not, I really can't say.

The rest of the cells were occupied by trustees or -- so the people weren't there, really. Those were -- that was the only cell that was actually being used that people were locked up in. That was closed.

- Q I recall that in the northern portion of the state you did observe some other unequal treatment and this was not the case in the southern area?
 - A Well, except for like I say, that case where they

had the worst cell, they had some plumbing problems, sewage was leaking on the floor and they had one inmate sleeping on a mattress on the floor, which is not approved.

Q I see.

A That's the only case in the southern part that I could observe.

MS. LYON: I see, thank you.

- Q (By Mr. Levis) Mr. Canez, you indicated, I think in the northern half of the state that there was some distinction as to size of the cells. Housing men and women. And I think your report indicates that also in the southern half of the state, I think you made a recommendation specifically concerning the Graham County facility, is that correct?
 - A Well, you mean as far as overcrowdingness?
 - A Right. The cell housing women.
- A Right. Well, in Graham County, it was not overcrowded at the time of inspection, but the number of beds there were in the individual cells were way past the -- what we would call an adequate level.

In the women's section, I don't think they had any shower facilities. And the wash basin in the women's area was not working, it was either plugged up or not draining properly. And there was, I think there was -- oh, they had five beds in the women's section and they only

1 should have only about three at a maximum because of the square footage that we recommend. 3 I think your report also indicates that in Globe 4 that there is only one cell which serves as both the 5 women's or juvenile unit? 6 A Right. 7 And then I think with Pima County, you indicated 8 that cell A of the women's section was crowded in addition 9 to cells D, E and F. How many cells did the women have 10 at Pima County? 11 A Well, there was the -- the maximum security cell, 12 which has six individual cells. There was a dorm and 13 that's the one I was indicating was overcrowded. And 14 then they had a trustee section which had four beds. 15 dorm was -- look at my raw notes and I could tell you how 16 many inmates there were in there. 17 Okay, there were 24 beds and 20 inmates in A cell 18 of the women's section and it was designed for 16. The 19 -- I got the number 16 from their floor plan, their 20 original floor plan for the jail. 21 Is this the only dormitory in Pima County for women? 22 Yes. 23 And then there are individual cells in addition? Q 24 Right, that's for the maximum security.

You also stated, I think earlier, that you expect

25

a

cooperation from both Pima and Graham County, but not from Gila County. I wonder if you'd explain what you meant by that?

A Well, I've been working with the different county health departments for three years now, and after a while you know which people will give you cooperation, and just talking to the sanitarian from Gila County, I -- he gave me indications that nothing would probably be done because of the political structure of that county.

As a matter of fact, he probably said that even the restaurant would not be, you know, they would not do anything about the restaurant and that restaurant was completely, I mean -- it's hard for me to explain to you, I've never been to a restaurant as bad as that one.

But he said that they couldn't close it because -- because of the political structure involved.

- Q Is there anything that your office can do in such a case or does a formal complaint have to be filed in superior court?
- A We can not do anything against the restaurant because it's up to the county health departments. Well, I take it back, we could do it, but it -- first we have to go through the county health department, they have the primary responsibility. And it's only at their request that we probably would try to close it down or something.

Q So, what alternative do persons have if the restaurant is not closed down or the jail conditions do not improve in the county?

A Well, as I indicate in my report, we recommend that the contract be terminated immediately, and other suitable facilities be found. I will do a reinspection, and if the restaurant and jail facilities are in -- in the same state, we will probably try to get something done through the -- first working with the county health department and then maybe through the attorney general's office.

MR. WILLIAMS: Any further questions from Mr. Canez?
Mr. Tierney --

MR. TIERNEY: Is a participant permitted to ask?

I have three questions. First, is there any reason why
the reports on the health conditions that you've mentioned
can't be given to the presiding judges in the jurisdiction
in which those locals are located?

A I don't know of any reason.

MR. TIERNEY: If that's the case, if it's not a problem, slander or something like that, if your department would permit the presiding judges are the people that might control whether or not inmates are placed in those institutions so perhaps it would be advisable to do that.

My second question is whether you visited Maricopa
County to check for bedding on the floor?

A No, I just checked the jails that were requested to be checked.

MR. TIERNEY: My third question, or I guess it's a remark to Mr. Levis, I would think that that citizen of Globe, especially a citizen who had a relative or friend or loved one in the jail, could bring a mandamus action concerning the county health department up there and could make sure that the restaurant in question were visited by that department and handled by them.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Tierney.

Any further questions of Mr. Canez?

Okay, Mr. Tierney, would you please state your name and address and occupation for the record?

MR. DAVE TIERNEY

A (By Mr. Tierney) My name is Dave Tierney, I live at 5334 North Third Avenue, in Phoenix, Arizona. My occupation, I am a lawyer, and by avocation I am the chairman of the state bar committee on corrections and rehabilitation.

- Q Could you give us a brief idea of your responsibilities as chairman of the state bar special committee on corrections and rehabilitiation?
 - Responsibilities are what the committee makes of

those responsibilities and at present they are as follows:
We are working on a study which we were performing last
summer, as I've explained to some of you in the hall outside, we do not have the figures yet from that study, but
it's in progress.

The study has to do with recidivism, length of stay in jails, and use of bail versus OR, that's own recognizance release and those three subject matters are being gone into by us under a grant from the ABA basics in Washington. The reason for our going into those three subjects is that we discovered that those subjects needed some factual investigation during the time that we were performing an earlier study, the earlier study was released on May 2, 1975, by the bar association.

And it concerned the living conditions and facilities at Arizona's local jails. It's a 50-page volume that I've supplied to the commission.

In addition to the contact with the local jails in the two studies I just mentioned, our group is looking into the status of parole and probation revocation hearings and we are bugging the attorney general to see if he can take care of what we see as a problem and that is that we have received numerous complaints that parolees, for example, are not given the required counsel when they go in for a parole revocation hearing.

And we have a member of the committee who is making life difficult for the attorney general about that subject.

In addition we have one other project that we did about a year and a half ago, which we are attempting to follow up on and that relates to the restrictions upon the employment of ex-offenders in Arizona and specifically restrictions that are imposed on ex-offenders by state institutions, that is to say agencies such as the mortician's board or the grave diggers' board or the cosmotologists or finger wavers or chauffeurs' boards, all of these places have restrictions on the ease with which an ex-con can hope to get a job or be a grave digger or a finger waver or a cosmotologist and that sort of thing.

I think that exhausts what my responsibilies are at this time.

A Could you give me a brief summary, the initial report you mentioned that was filed in '75, the results of that study on jail conditions in Arizona?

Let me tell you something about how we came to get into this. Back in 1974, during the year, members of the bar, myself in particular, received complaints from citizens concerning the status of local jails. And in September of 1974, we heard that there was going to be some money available to bar associations to do investigation-type work and then eventually studies, reports.

We looked into a couple of different subjects, but kept coming back to the local jails. We kept choosing the local jails because we felt that there were plenty of people who were involved in looking into the state department of corrections, but that the local jails were the orphan child of the corrections system and that nobody really wanted to tamper with them or look into them, so we eventually decided we would go ahead and work on the local jails.

We obtained a lady to run the project out of Arizona State University Law School, we obtained 16 interviewers from the law school and trained them, we created an interview guide or questionnaire of some 40 pages, and we sent out our interviewers to 31 jails out of the 47 jails in Arizona.

The specific thing that we were looking for was facts relating to the living conditions within the jails. We were not attempting to create material from which we could argue for certain programs or certain approaches to rehabilitation we simply wanted to know whether the complaints we had been receiving were true or not.

To give you an example, of a complaint, sometime during 1974, the father of a young man who was in Flagstaff called me, I say Flagstaff, I mean he was in the jail up in Flagstaff, and said that his boy was being required to

dress meat in the kitchen there, and that the way the meat for the institution was being obtained, at least some of the meat was that carcasses hit by automobiles on the road were being brought into the kitchen. I was never able to run that one down, but that's the kind of thing that made the members of our committee get very interested in the local jails.

Eventually, we did the study. During the months of December, 1974, through April of 1975. The results go in a question such as, how are the budgets made up for local jails? Who is employed by the local jails and how are they trained if at all? What medical care is available or needed? What do the facilities look like? Plumbing that Mr. Canez mentioned, for example, the electric lights that were said to be exposed, wiring for which was said to be exposed, for example in Globe, Layman Peace, the other member of our panel that should be here today.

The food, where is it served and how is it served,
the arrival procedures, whether they're uniform or not,
the visitation arrangements both physical facilities and
the rules and the manner in which those rules are administered, correspondence restrictions, the facilities for
religious expression or services, the recreational or
exercise facilities, there are none. The rehabilitation and

counseling procedures, there are essentially none, and lastly, discipline rules.

- Mr. Tierney, can you give me an idea how these problems that you've related specifically relate to the Indian problem that we are discussing here today?
- A It's pretty difficult for me to do, to explain exactly how these relate to ethnicity. The figures that we're getting from our work during the summer will give us some real meaningful information along those lines.

What I did was ask the two people who worked on the study this summer to go through what records we have from the computer runs so far. And there's really only one thing that I can draw out of the figures, that they gave to me over the phone in the last couple of days.

The one thing that I can say about the Indians or the Native Americans that we've been able to trace throughout the system, is that the records of those people are almost undecipherable and are statistically more lost than any other group which we looked at.

Let me explain what I mean by that. During the summer, one of the things that we did was to have the two people who were employed under the grant full time, go around to certain jails, pull the records on the people in the jail as of a certain day, track those records back into the system to see how these people were treated on bail,

for example. To see how long they were confined in jail.

In every case we would find that when you tracked back

some of those people, the records would be hopelessly

muddled and simply you just couldn't find out what hap
pened to these people, how long they had been held in

jail, whether they got bail or an OR release, you couldn't

tell what had happened in their situation.

In every jurisdiction, and statewide, the Native

American has by far the highest percentage of undecipherable

records. I don't know what that means, but I'll pass it

on to you as something that might be looked at in the

future.

I would make a guess at what it means, and that is that many of these people are being handled in a very informal fashion, that is to say in the JP's office, in a casual fashion and not treated to a full scale hearing, and that this is the reason that the records are so messed up.

Can I tell you that for a certainty? I can not.

We do not have a way of ascertaining that as we look back into the records.

I'd like to comment a little further concerning the food and so forth, although I really can't answer your question as to how it relates to the Native Americans who are passing through our jail systems.

Q Okay. The food problem in the whole jail structure, the local jail structure? Without regard to any ethnicity?

A I think that some of the subjects that I touched on, you know, in listing what our study covered, it's worthwhile just taking a moment to learn what the study says in a nutshell.

Let me say that the -- the singlemost important finding that we made about jail budgets was that almost no jail has a budget such that you can tell what the costs are for incarcerating a single person or a group of prisoners. There is no way that you can do cost accounting under the present structure, there are no uniform budgets, you can not tell if Globe is spending more per prisoner than Pima County is spending, you can not see if a certain county is spending an amount which is ridiculously below some kind of a standard there's simply no way of telling.

Secondly, on the people who are employed in these jails, we found that many of the jails are left unsupervised for a significant part of the day and this means that there is a risk of prisoners against prisoner violence or the inmates being hurt in a fire, flood or explosion or something like that.

On the medical care we found that there's only one institution in Arizona that has any serious procedure for checking up on people as they are admitted into the

1 2 3

jail asking them whether they are diabetic, on insulin, whether they need certain kinds of medicine, whether they have asthma, whether they have allergies, whether they need treatment for VD, these things simply are not done in our Arizona local jails.

Concerning the physical facilities, much as what Mr. Canez told you, we found that plumbing and electrical wiring are very seriously deficient in many places. I can not speak about it with the authority that Mr. Canez can speak about it, first because we weren't comparing the plumbing and wiring to any particular set of standards, and secondly, because the people that we sent out weren't proficient in those fields as Mr. Canez would be.

Concerning the food, we found that 16 out of the 31 jails that we visited served only two meals a day.

Now, in the jail that I visited, one of the small jails that I visited, that two meals a day consisted of a hamburger in the morning, dry, on a bun and a hamburger in the evening, dry, on a bun, from the local, low bidding cafe. Quite a number of the jails that we visited, especially the ones that had two meals a day, had that system because they used what they call the low bidding cafe in the jurisdiction. They have somebody who runs a little joint there in the town and he gets so many thousand dollars a year to feed whatever prisoners come

down the pike in that local jail.

Concerning the arrival procedures, it was inconsistent from place to place but very frequently whether Native American, Black, Chicano or Anglo, you simply don't find out about your rights. There is no uniform procedure for advising you of your rights. There's quite a confusion among the jailers as to whether the officers in the field are required to inform prisoners of their rights or whether the jailer in the jail is required, and of course everybody lets the ball drop to somebody else's hands. And we feel that quite frequently that is — their advice as to rights is not given to them.

Concerning visitation, we found that in all cases
the visitation facilities are way overcrowded, and so
noisy that it discourages visitation, and people complained
of that very frequently.

absence of rules and just kind of a, out of the back pocket, what will we do today-type of approach to the situation, but some of the larger jails we found have a very definite plan and program whereby they read all of the incoming and all of the outgoing nail. Now, I don't mean that they censor it, they very rarely cut anything out or black it out in the letter, occasionally they turn a letter back and

say we don't like these kinds of comments made in our jail.

But instead, they read that mail in order to, as one jailer said to me, face to face, he said, I like to know what my boys are thinking. And therefore they read the mail very carefully.

Concerning religion, there simply are no provisions made for practice of religion in the local jail system.

Concerning recreational and exercise facilities, we found two jails that made some attempt to give their prisoners both recreation and exercise, and both those jails, the jailers said that they felt that it had a significant effect on morale and the ability of people to get along with each other in the jail. The likelihood of no friction in the jail.

Most of the jails in Arizona, with the exception of those two, simply have no provision for giving guys sunlight or a walk around the yard. You either jog in place in your cell or you're out of luck for exercise.

Of course, that isn't too bad when you're only getting two meals a day, you don't feel like doing too much anyway.

Concerning rehabilitation and counsel, we went into this as regards drug programs, as regards alcoholism programs, as regards work furlough-types of programs, and in a nutshell, I can tell you there is practically nothing

1 2 3

going on in Arizona. We did find one jail in the northern part of the state in which the chief of police who was responsible for the jail had made a real serious effort on work programs and was having a great deal of success.

That brings to mind one other thing I should say, it goes along with budgets, one of the problems and perhaps the major problem in Arizona's jails, is that it's extremely rare that you find an employee of the system who is responsible for that jail. Instead, what you find is kind of a floating responsibility, it's the man on watch who is supposed to keep his eye on the prisoners and he's gone after eight hours and somebody else takes over.

But there is no one from day to day who is responsible for making the jail work. As a consequence,
the budgetary matters, the problems of cleaning up the
broken pipes or the leaking pipes, tend to get handed
around from fellow to fellow and never taken care of.

One of the things we could do to improve the system,

I think is to require that every city, municipality, every
county assign some one person and make him responsible.

Were that to happen, there would be somebody against whom
mandamus actions could be brought, for example.

Let me sort of stop talking, I guess, to the end of my line here, there's not much to say about discipline

7 8

but you rarely find any written rules, I only know of two jails that have written rules for discipline the rest of them rely upon a word of mouth-type of situation and they let the guards enforce them as they feel fit and one thing that the prisoners constantly complained about was that contrary to the statutes in Arizona, the jailers or the sheriffs tend to treat the two for one time as their personal prerogative. They administer it and hold it out and take it back as kind of a privilege when in reality, if I remember correctly, the statutes require that two for one time can only be taken away by a vote of the board of supervisors, and we made some mention of that at the end of our study.

DUNNAL

It would be a very clumsy thing to try and follow the law but the system as it is right now is illegal, number one, and number two, it's being administered so arbitrarily that it creates a great deal of friction in the jails.

That's relevant as to trustees, trustees are very special people in our local jails, they are able to get two for one time and they also usually get three meals a day, which is very important to prisoners, and the trustees will do almost anything, they'll jump through hoops for the jailer in order not to lose that status. In order not to lose those three meals a day, in order not to lose that

1 two for one time. Let me stop running on and go back to you. 3 Q The two for one time statutes you mentioned, isn't it also true in that particular statute that one of the requirements is the person has to be a person of trust? 5 6 Yes. So that could be a discretionary thing on the part 8 of a jailer? A. No question, but if I remember correctly, the --9 withdrawal, or the taking away of two for one time or the 10 11 terminating of the status of trustee can not be done 12 without a vote of the supervisors, a very silly system, 13 but that's the law. But it's possible for two for one time without 14 15 being a trustee, isn't it? 16 I believe not, Ted, I believe once you get on the 17 status of two for one, in order to get it taken away, 18 we'll have to go into the law which is cited in this 19 study. 20 Would you advocate as a solution for some of the problems raised some kind of state legislation to set 21 minimum standards for these institutions? 22 A I was hoping you'd ask me something like that. 23 a matter of fact, the bar in this study, which was approved 24 by the board of governors, has been released as the bar's 25

white paper, the bar is in favor of establishing some state legislation much like the one that you find in Arkansas. Arkansas, much like us, had a pretty bad situation several years ago, and it was so bad that it led to some reform in Arkansas, which in itself is remarkable.

But at any rate, Arkansas adopted a law in 1973 and the law simply says that there shall be a board called in their case, the board of corrections in Arkansas, and the board shall adopt standards for the living conditions and other conditions in the local jails. The board is required to be composed of — they call them a circuit judge, it would be our superior court judge, a justice of the peace, a sheriff, and a few other people, one of their county coroners and so on.

The board adopts standards after a year of study.

Those standards become effective after a year. Once they are effective there is a procedure provided in that Arkansas law whereby an institution may be closed down. Required to conform to the standards or closed down.

We have a law something like that, we put together and which the bar is now considering and as of the end of the month of November, I expect the board of governors will approve the lobbying effort on behalf of the bar.

The law calls for a commission on corrections. We've arranged with some legislators to get that bill prefiled and

we intend to push it this year in the legislature.

If you were to ask me what I thought or our chances of getting it passed were this year, I realize the State of Arizona is in a very tough financial stiuation and unless we can find some money to fund that kind of standard creation, I do not believe that we'll get the bill passed this year, so we're looking like crazy to find a source of funding.

- The study that's on-going now, that the bar association's doing, will that give you any better handle on the ethnic breakdown as far as how the people in the jails are treated, how different ethnic groups are treated?
- A Yes, it definitely will. The study that we've been doing during the summer and which we should have had the figures on right now, attempted to find out by ethnic class or group, how people were being sentenced and treated.

 I don't mean treated in terms of living conditions, I mean treated in terms of number of days spent in jail. So yes, we will definitely have some ethnic-based figures.
 - Q Do you have any feel as to when they'll be available?
 - A I feel in the next month or month and a half.
- Q Can you forward that to the commission for their records?
- A I will do so. I'd like to say one other brief thing about the reason we've bothered to do the study we've

been doing during the summer. We felt when we finished this volume on living conditions, that a lot of people in the legislature and elsewhere would simply say, big deal. Those peo ple are only in the can for a couple of days anyway. So let them eat two meals a day and let's let it go at that. What we've tried to do during the summer is determine just how long and how many times in a row people are spending time in our local jails.

It's our belief that although the average stay in jail is only approximately five days, that there's a tremendous recidivism rate and as a result we have the same people coming back again through that revolving door, over and over and over again. So that the people are in fact spending a considerable amount of time at our local jails. Which would, of course, make it reasonable, economically and otherwise, to try to rehabilitate these people at the local level.

What you're alluding to, though, is a problem with the criminal justice system as a whole, moreso than just the jail structure that they wind up at?

A Yes, it's a problem of the system as a whole and we got another glimmer of how basic the problem is this summer when we tried to track people from one county to another, for example. And the record system, for example, set up so chaotically that it's extremely difficult for a

jailer in Globe who's got a fellow who's come into his institution to know whether that guy's been in five times in Greenlee County, five times in Graham County and 15 times in Maricopa County. It's sort of like the situation with the children of migrant workers some years ago, where the federal government realized that the record keeping was so bad, and that the children were being deprived of so much education as they moved from place to place by a loss of records relating to how well they were doing and what they had studied that they enacted a law which straightened out the record keeping and required the records to be kept in a fashion they could be transferred easily from locale to locale and I really thing something like that is going to have to happen someday in Arizona in order for us to get a handle on who is passing through our system and how much time they're spending here and there.

1

2

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Would you advocate the state board of, department of corrections running regional facilities for the counties as an alternative to the counties running their own facilities?

A Speaking in a vacuum and from my own personal opinion only, I'd say that would be a wonderful thing.

Speaking practically, politically I think it would be disaster in Arizona. We found quite a bit of rejection

of the department of corrections in the outlying counties, they simply do not want to be under the thumb of another statewide organization operating out of Phoenix at a great deal remote distance from them. Therefore, I wouldn't think that would be a good idea in the near future. It's something that Arkansas realized they were pushing themselves toward when they enacted a law relating to jail standards.

And I think if we enact a law relating to jail standards, we will be moving slightly in the direction of regionalizing the corrections institutions, and ultimately slightly in the direction of having the department take over, but I sure wouldn't come out and shout about that in the near future.

MR. WILLIAMS: Anybody have any questions of Mr. Tierney?

Dr. Gerber?

Q (By Dr. Gerber) Yes.

Dave, to return to the problem of Native Americans, did you encounter any instances of police brutality or jailer brutality against Native American inmates of jails anywhere?

A We did not, but I do not want to say that that
means that there aren't any. It was simply that the way we
went about doing our study it was not likely that we would

turn up those kinds of pieces of information.

Another question. Did you find out anything on the extent to which, if any, Indians are denied bail and release from jail on bond?

A I wish I had the figures that we will eventually have from the study that we did this summer. The rough look we've been able to take at those figures indicates that especially in the northern part of the state, Indians get bail considerably less than other people, get bailed out considerably less than other people, but even more important, they get OR releases significantly smaller amounts than do the Anglos, the Chicanos or the Blacks.

In Flagstaff in particular, I remember that the figures show there was a very distinct difference between the groups as far as your ability to get an OR release. In fact, before we started today I was showing one of the staff a letter which we picked up during our travels this summer and I'm told that you already have it in the record, but it indicates that in Flagstaff there was a policy that because of a failure, I'm not sure which tribe it is, to enforce warrants, the local courts up there do not choose to give OR releases on the belief they will not then be able to get back in their clutches the people who skip and go back to the reservation.

I'd like to say something about that because I've

been thinking about it for some time.

It seems to me that there are two things to be done, as relates to the Native American passing through the system, who is finding it difficult to get an OR release. The easiest thing to do is to strike out at the system and bring a lawsuit or something like it and perhaps the second easiest thing to do is to go to whomever it is on the reservation that is refusing to cooperate, find out their reasons, and if their reasons aren't good, then to change their attitude towards the service of the warrants and I think that would clear up some part of the problem. I sure would like to know what the reasons are for the refusal to enforce the warrants on the reservation.

- One final question, Dave. Did your study or any of your studies encompass the conditions of Indian jails on reservations?
- A No, we did not.
 - Didn't get into that at all?
 - A The reason was that we are going to go to the Arizona State Legislature and ask them to enact a law which would apply to jails in their jurisdiction and we felt it would be fruitless to spend part of our very precious time in going on the reservation.

DR. GERBER: Fine, thanks, that's all I have.

MR. WILLIAMS: Any other commission member have any

questions of Mr. Tierney or Mr. Canez?

A Mr. Chairman, can I offer this copy for your record? Or do you have it already?

MR. LEVIS: We'll just put that in as Exhibit 19.
That's the report of the State Bar of Arizona on living conditions and facilities at Arizona's local jails.

MR. WILLIAMS: No further questions, thank you very much, Gentlemen.

THE CHAIR: Before we conclude this panel, is Layman Peace or his representative here?

(No response)

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Gentlemen, for your time and cooperation.

THE CHAIR: We will now go on -- we will now go on with our open session as we announced before, and we do have three persons who have asked to speak, again I'd like to remind them that they will have approximately five minutes to present their points, and they're reminded to keep their statements very general, not to deal in personalities, as they will be talking about some instances in which individuals have not been notified of this testimony.

First one I'd like to call on is David Boni. Would you like to step to the microphone?

Did you have a statement you wished to make?

MR. BONI: Oh, yes, yes. Well --

DR. GERBER: Excuse me, could we get his full name first and the spelling of his name?

THE CHAIR: If you want to give us your name, and address?

MR. DAVID LAWRENCE BONI, SR.

A (By Mr. Boni) Yes, my name is David Lawrence Boni, Senior, from San Carlos, Arizona. My job was a game warden but not now. Now I'm a -- I don't work now, you know, so they laid me off, so -- well, I just want to say this, you know on the reservation, on the reservation, you know, if a non-Indian go on a reservation, he can go on his own. Well, this, earlier this morning I heard that they said for a federal warrant or felony like that, that captain officer said that he could use his weapon. How about the game warden? I think game warden got a right to use his weapon too. If it's on a reservation.

See, it's going to be way out in the mountain, the game warden take care of the people, then the people they got

their arms already, I mean firearms are in their hand already. That's kind of people we take care of on the reservation.

Sometimes they drunk, they don't know what they doing and they have a rifle loaded already in their arm.

And I was -- they give me authority from the tribal council to carry a firearm on a reservation. I was on the line, on duty as a game warden while this thing happened.

Q (By Dr. Gerber) Excuse me, Mr. Boni, what thing are you referring to?

A. Well, I testified this morning, you know. You know this captain this morning, sergeant, he said well they did point, they point -- they point a handgum on me when they arrest me for a felony warrant in Globe, Arizona, you know, by the highway patrolman?

He point it at me so you know, I think -- I think that's an assault too, you know. I think that's assault with a dangerous weapon too.

See, in my case I didn't did nothing like that but they accuse me and they took me to federal court. So the federal c- so the federal officer they said they couldn't deal with the non-Indian on the reservation and how could they help a non-Indian to sign complaint against the Indian?

MS. LYON: I think Madame Chairman, that Mr. Boni is extending his remarks which he made this morning in order

to present further observations for the record, so he's just continuing, basically, the testimony that he presented this morning, and I think, at the moment, if I understand Mr. Boni correctly, he's making a point of unequal treatment or unequal protection of the law.

THE CHAIR: Well, when he asked to speak again he mentioned that he had some additional testimony concerning the grand jury. Is that -- are you going to be including that?

A. Well, that's when -- this is another one, this is going to be in a court too, in United States District Court. If they going to try Indian in there, they should have an Indian grand jury. At least they should have some Indian.

Q (By Ms. Lyon) May I ask, Mr. Boni, has your attorney made that point?

A Well, my attorney, he turned me down, I told him
I'm going to waive the jury, but he said no, so he refuse
me so they had a non-Indian -- I mean non-Indian jury.

MR. LEVIS: Madame Chairperson, I think for the record, right now the case is before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals on the jurisdictional issue so that there would be no jury before a court of appeals, but if there is a new trial, you have a concern that Indians be included on that jury panel, is that correct?

1 Yes, I mean when they try me, you know, in a dis-2 trict court. 3 (By Mr. Levis) But you have a different set of 4 attorneys now than you had when your original trial was 6 Yes. 7 And at the original trial you waived trial by jury? 8 A. Yes, I was going to, you know, but -- but then my lawyer was turn me down, you know. So you are indicating now if you have to have a new 10 11 trial that you would like a trial by jury and that the 12 jury should include American Indians? 13 A. Yes. From the reservation. 14 (By Ms. Lyon) Mr. Boni, I believe you indicated to 15 me during the recess that you observed some mistreatment of Indian prisoners in the Gila County Jail or was this 16 17 the city jail? 18 Both, both jails. 19 Could you give us more information on that subject? 20 Well, in city jail, they done it to my young brother. 21 22 0 Could you describe what was done and by whom? 23 Well, they -- they beat him up. Who was that? 24 0 25 This boy, this my boy, this my brother's name is

1 Kenneth Boni. 2 And who beat him? 3 City, city policemen. 4 I see. 5 Besides they charged him with resist like that, you 6 know, if the Indian lane, if they pass out outside the bar like that they kick them in their back too, you know, they 8 kick them around too before they pick them up. Do you know of any Indian person that has ever 10 filed a complaint about that kind of treatment? 11 If they going to -- if they gonna do that, 12 you know, the next time, they gonna do it worse to them, 13 you know, that's why they kind of afraid and they afraid 14 to say something about it too. That's why they just let 15 it go. 16 I see. 17 And in the county jail when I -- when the patrolman 18 arrest me, when I was in there, I see one happen in front 19 of me when I was behind the bar, they beat up one Indian 20 boy there in front of me. 21 And this was police officers or deputies? a 22 No, this was deputies. 23 0. I see. They beat an Indian prisoner? 24 A. Yes. 25 How many deputies were beating one Indian prisoner?

1 Three. A. 2 (By Dr. Gerber) Do you know why they were beating 0 3 him? Well, well, he just -- you know how it is, you know, 5 when you're drunk, you got a big mouth that, you know, 6 only for the talk, that's why they beat him up, you know --Is the same true of your young brother, Ken? Well, they did the same thing to him in a city jail too. 10 He was drunk and mouthing off --11 Yes. 12 Q -- to a police officer? 13 Yes, they knocked a tooth out and this reservation 14 policeman, they didn't did nothing about it, he filed a 15 complaint there, you know, but they just forget about it 16 and he turned it over to the FBI, but I don't know what 17 happened. It was long, long time. 18 (By Ms. Lyon) Do you recall the date when this 19 occurred? 20 No, I don't. 21 Was it last year or how long ago, roughly? a 22 Ã. About a year and a half ago. 23 I see. What about the beating of the Indian 24 prisoner by the three deputies, how long ago did that 25 happen?

1 It was in December 19th of '73. I don't really remember, I think it was Thursday night or Wednesday night 2 3 when I was -- when I was in jail there. You know, if they going to arrest the Indian they don't give them their rights, they just throw them in the police car, you know. 6 They just push them in. If you say something, or if you going to ask them some questions, they beat you up right there too. Or they can give you a fist and knock your 8 teeth out and that's how they treat you, you know. I know it too, that's how I been treated, you know, long 10 11 enough. So --12 (By Dr. Gerber) Mr. Boni, have you, yourself, ever 13 been beaten by a police officer? 14 Yes. 15 And when did that occur? 0 16 About -- about seven years ago. A. 17 What -- I'm not asking for --18 This is a tribal, tribal policeman, you know, on the reservation. He was a government, government employee. 19 20 But he was an Indian police officer? Yes, Indian. 21 That's happened to you just the one time, is that 22 23 correct? Yes, one time. 24 Yes, he broke -- he broke my ankle, you know, that's 25

1 why I still walk crippled like that, you know. 2 Q If I understood your testimony correctly, you said 3 that as a general rule police officers do not give the rights to Indians at the time they're arrested? 5 Yes. 6 What did you mean by the rights, the so-called 7 Miranda rights? 8 Yes, I mean their rights like that, you know. You know, for the -- for remain silent like that, you know, 10 they don't give you nothing like that, even on the reser-11 vation they're the same way too. 12 Has that been true in your own case that when you 13 were arrested --14 A. Yes. 15 -- you were not given your rights? 16 Yes. See, I was arrested by highway patrol, they 17 didn't give me nothing, he just started pushing me around, 18 he point a gun at me and told me to turn around, you know. 19 I got a badge on my heart but still he keep pushing me 20 around. 21 THE CHAIR: Did you have any further questions? 22 Any of the commission? Did you have any other statements 23 you'd like to make? 24 Well, about job, too, you know, you know for this 25 case here, I lose my job, too, you know, besides this.

For that -- for that case, you know, that's why I lose my job too, and it's -- it don't look good for me too, you know, to get another job somewhere, you know, they stand in front of me where I go, you know, trying to get a good job, but they always offer me a \$200.00, \$250.00 a month job, you know, and I got six kids. So I couldn't -- that wouldn't do me any good, you know.

See, I've been suffering for about over three years now. This case been -- it's been open for about over three years now.

- Q This was a tribal job you had?
- A. Yes.
 - Q And you were dismissed by the tribe?

A No. Well, the tribal council, they read a statement, they told me to -- when they throw out the case from district court, you know, they told me to go back, get on the job again, you know, but they told me to start it at May 1, 1974, they told me to start on May 1st. I thought I was going to start it but they got another financial committees there on the reservation, you know, they call the seven -- seven man committee, they are the one, they are the one they turned me down, you know.

- Q (By Ms. Lyon) But still the final decision would be up to the tribal council, right?
 - A. Yes.

THE CHAIR: I'm sure this is of great concern to you, but I'm not sure this falls directly in the realm of what we're discussing today. Did you have anything else other than what -- directly pertinent to this or does that conclude your remarks?

A. That's all.

THE CHAIR: Fine, thank you very much.

Next we'd like to hear from Josiah Moore and Margie Winters? Josiah?

Would you identify yourself and give your address for the record and you have listed an incident here that you -- and would you keep your comments directly to that?

MR. JOSIAH MOORE

A. (By Mr. Moore) My name is Josiah Moore and a counselor at Mesa Community College and I also teach freshman English at Arizona State University. And I'm grateful for your allowing me at this time because we had made, we had submitted our names earlier when we became aware of the hearings and didn't get any word, so we came on down anyway, thanks to the assistance of the Phoenix Indian Coalition.

And other than that, I guess we would really like to

point out that in the area of higher education I guess students come off the reservations, come into urban communities or metropolitan communities, the treatment by the local police is rather strange and of course this is my third year at the college and I was aware of this with Black students and Chicano students, not so much with Indian students until it occurred to one of the candidates for our school.

And the incident involved not only her but myself.

And so we're here to mention that.

Another student who was unable to come had also been harassed by another city's police department, and I guess my concern is that we wanted to put on record these experiences, because I think they're, maybe they're not new and maybe they ought to be made aware of.

The other student was not able to come with us for fear that his would not be helpful to him and this was true also of Black students and Chicano students when they're harassed by police departments.

The situation we'd like to relate to you occurred in the Town of Tempe. Margie came from the State of South Dakota and wanted to enter the College of Nursing at Mesa Community College. She called up my office and we made arrangements for her to come down and go through a tour and visit with the college of nursing. Margie's mother is

also a student at Arizona State University and was doing student teaching and they had one car, which meant that Margie didn't have any transportation and didn't really know her way around the community and also we don't have bus service out there. So I offered to pick her up on my way to the college and as I picked her up at her, at their apartment or actually they were living in a mobile home, a police car followed us and Marge was busy explaining to me because this was the first time I'd seen her, explaining to me her plans and showing me some of the letters that she had had from the Bureau of Indian Affairs, who were going to provide the scholarship, and support for her college classes.

And I still watched the policeman following me and I felt that maybe he was going to check us out. I guess we must have went about a mile and a half when he stopped me, as he stopped me there were three police cars, you know, immediately up to us. And I was asked to get out of the car and the comments were stand over here. And so I got there, and one of them went up to -- to the door, to the front where Margie was sitting as a passenger and I hadn't really gotten to know her that well, I asked what was going on. They said this is a routine check.

I said, routine check with three police cars? And they said, well, there are only two, sir, one had, was

4 5

just moving away. Later they came, one of the officers that had gone to Margie was questioning her and I was not permitted to go up there, to find out what was happening. I still thought that it was strange that three police cars were there and that I was being asked to stay at one end.

I asked the officer again, you know, what's -what's going on and he wanted to see my driver's license,
I showed him my driver's license, he asked if I lived at
that address. I had just bought a home that summer and had
moved about two weeks ago, or two weeks previous I hadn't
changed my address. I explained that, and he held onto that.
The other officer came back and asked me if I knew the
passenger and I said yes, I know her. This is the first
time I've seen her, I've talked to her by telephone. He
asked me the name and I said, you know, I'm not even sure
that's the name.

He -- the name he strung on me was something,

Springer. And he said don't you know your passenger? I

said I don't know her, she's going to be a student, I

thought it might help if I explained to them that I was
a college counselor and that she was a candidate for our

school. It didn't seem to help. They went back and kept

radioing and after giving her a hard time and frightening
her, and I was helpless to help her or to clarify to them

1 2 3

that we were, I thought I was a professional person and that we were doing our business.

I'll stop here and then Marge can explain to you some of the questioning she went through.

We've never really discussed that, but I thought that was her business and her own affairs, but I'd like you to hear Margie's statement and I have some more comments or I can respond to any questions you may have.

THE CHAIR: Would you identify yourself, please?

MS. MARGIE WINTERS

A (By Ms. Winters) My name is Margie Winters, I live at I'll 2 1/2 East Bendix, Tempe.

And the officer just came up to me and took my ID, and then he -- and then he turned around and wanted to know my height and everything that was on that ID. And then he asked me who Marsha Springer was and I guess that's the name Joe gave him, and I don't know, I didn't know what to do. You know, but all the questions and -- I knew Joe was back there but he couldn't, you know, I didn't know what to say because I didn't know who was in trouble or anything, it was -- I don't know, it was kind of confusing and that's how they left it. And to this day, you know.

3

4 5

6

9

8

11

12

10

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q (By Dr. Gerber) There was no arrest made of either of you?

A No.

(By Mr. Moore) No, what I did was I followed up by, because I had seen the way Margie was questioned and I could see the, you know, she was frightened, as a counselor I'm very concerned in the attitude and the atmosphere that we treat our students, particularly if they come from out of state or from off the reservation, my concern was, as they were finished they, the officer gave me a little blue card and said this, when you sign this blue card, it states that on this time, on this day and this time I stopped you, and explained, states the reason that I stopped you. The reason that he gave me was that at some time a person had received a citation and was a passenger in that car, was not the owner or the driver of the car but a passenger in that car, and that because she failed to show up on the citation there was a warrant out for her arrest.

The car belonged to my brother, so I asked about how long ago did this happen because, you know, I wondered whether my brother hadn't had a passenger that had a warrant, and they said they didn't remember.

And so, but he said that's the reason we stopped you, we just wanted to check out your passenger.

I had had the car for, had borrowed the car and using it for two years, my brother had had the car at least three years prior to that time and so my concern was maybe I had a hot car. Then I asked who the officer, you know, if there was some other way that he could have found it, you know, got that information, and he said, well, that if I didn't like the way we were being treated, I could complain down at city hall and I said that I would. But I said before I complain to city hall, I'd like you to know, you know, that — and then using Edward Morgan's words this morning about being White and being educated, I think as Indian I'm educated and that I think I can speak for myself and so I said that I didn't appreciate being stopped on the main thoroughfare where some of the students from my college might be going by.

As a matter of fact, some did go by, they did want to help and they thought that, because maybe one of the things that is quite prevalent among college students are pot, busts and so they thought that with three police cars around that that might have been the case.

They offered to drive my car home. But the strange thingis that when I did call the chief of police in Tempe, he had been given the report, and the car that was listed was a Buick and my car is a, or my brother's car is a Plymouth. So that had the wrong information on that.

He explained the purpose for the three cars, he felt that, or explained that the three cars, one was probably the supervisor, the reason for at least two cars was that there were three of us in the car. Myself, Margie and my daughter, my daughter's 19 years old, was 18 years old at that time.

I explained that I recognized a fourth car that came up and I assumed that he was the supervisor so he said, well, he asked if the car was unmarked, I said yes it was, so he said that was the supervisor, so there were really four police cars involved.

He promised to check into that and because he thought it was unusual that there were that many police cars with three of us.

- Q Mr. Moore, did you state earlier that this is a somewhat frequent occurrence in Tempe, that the police harass Native Americans on a somewhat regular basis?
- A Well, beginning, as I recognize it I think they're beginning to do this also to Native American students.

 They've been doing it to Black students and Chicano students.
- And this is the Tempe City Police as opposed to the campus police?
- A Well, we don't have a campus police, per se, we have some security, which only takes care of the parking lots and the facilities. Mesa Police is also doing the same and

the other person that was the student that is not here had been harassed by the Mesa Police Department.

- Q (By Ms. Lyon) What time of day was this?
- A. This was about 9:30 in the morning.

- And since the description of the car that the police was looking for did not tally with the description of the car you were driving, is it your conclusion that you were stopped because you were Indians?
- A. Well, actually, they explained to us they were not after the car they were after a passenger that had been riding in the car, and my conclusion and as I spoke to other people, their conclusion was that, number one, we were Indian and so they were going to check what we we were minority, in our case Native American, also because I have long hair.
- I see. Did you then pursue the -- you did pursue this matter and you were given the information that this was not the car that they had originally listed in their files?
- A. It was definite it was not the car and that the person was a rider in the car, and as it turned out that the person when they got their information on the radio broadcast, the person they were looking for was an Anglo with red hair and it didn't fit the description of Margie.

1 Was any apology extended to you? 2 No apologies because I guess I upset the officer 3 when I -- when I acted like an educated person and com-4 plained and he said, you know, if you don't like it, you 5 know, complain to city hall, that I did. 6 MS. LYON: Thank you. 7 (By Mr. Williams) Mr. Moore, Arizona State Uni-0 8 versity does have a police force and have you had any 9 problem or know of any problem with their treatment of 10 minorities? 11 A. I am not that familiar. I am not full time at 12 Arizona State University, but -- so I'm not that familiar 13 with their treatment of Native American students. 14 (By Mr. Levis) Mr. Moore, on what date did this 15 occur? 16 The date was last spring, in May, I'm not sure that 17 I have the date down but --18 Did you file an official complaint with the police 19 department or only talk to the police chief orally? 20 I talked to him by telephone. 21 Did you check with your brother concerning the 22 passenger that was supposedly in his car? 23 A Yes, I did, but there was no date given and he had 24 owned the car, well, he'd owned the car five years and he 25 used it three years and I used it the last two.

1 So he was not familiar with any such person? 2 No, no. In fact, he lives on the reservation and A. he doesn't usually give rides to Anglo women with red hair. 3 MR. LEVIS: I have no further questions. 5 THE CHAIR: Do any commissioners have questions? 6 Do either of you have any other concluding state-7 ments? 8 A. No, I think that basically we want this to appear on record and because I think there are going to be an in-9 creased number of college students, Native American college 10 students coming to campuses, with the experience that we've 11 had with Black students as a counselor we schedule an 12 orientation for new students, usually inviting a Black 13 14 lawyer to explain the rights of students and how much treatment or what kinds of treatment to expect and their 15 legal rights so that they don't go flying off half cocked 16 17 and get into more trouble. 18 (By Ms. Lyon) Has any attempt been made to estab-19 lish cooperative relations with the police force, say between the college or yourself as a counselor, have you 20 made any contacts perhaps with their human relations officer, 21 22 if they have one? A One, my understanding is that colleges do this. 23 have very good relationships with the police administrators. 24 My understanding is that particularly in this town of Mesa, 25

which is primarily a Mormom community, that Black students, for instance, are not the most welcome people and the harassment comes and maybe this is the attitude about Indians.

- Q Well, I was thinking in terms of yourself as an Indian person who is a counselor. Whether you thought it would be accomplishing anything to try to establish some cooperative relations but perhaps you've already answered that question.
- A Well, okay, I think that we would probably do this, maybe this would be the next step, the precaution that we were taking was giving, you know, having an attorney, an ethnic minority attorney come in and give orientation to students, we were sort of getting them ready.
 - Q Prepared for the worst?
- A Preparing them but we don't have a mechanism to prepare them, saying hey, look, you're going to have to accept us because we're in education too, and we're citizens.

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.

A Yes, there's one other student that is with me that has a -- do you have him, Phil Antone?

THE CHAIR: Yes.

A. Okay.

THE CHAIR: You're Philip Antone?

MR. ANTONE: Yes, that's my name.

Q (By the Chair) Would you like to identify yourself for the record?

MR. PHILIP ANTONE

A. (By Mr. Antone) Yes, my name's Philip Antone, I'm a Papago from the Papago Reservation. And I'm a college student -- I'm a student at Mesa Community College and my present address is 25 North Brooks, Mesa, Arizona.

And my testimony is going to be based on the legal assistance, you know, to Indians off the reservations and this I'm going to testify on this took place now February of this year.

I was arrested and when I went for that -- for my arraignment I requested a public defender and I was granted one. But I never saw that public defender until five minutes before my preliminary hearing. And I guess that public defender had already talked with the judge and when he came in, it was about five minutes before, you know, my time was -- time was set for my public, you know, preliminary hearing.

He told me that he already talked to the judge for a bargain, that if I was to stay out of town, stay on the

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS

4 5

reservation for six months, that the judge was to give me, you know, turn me loose and give me a suspended sentence so I told him that it was no go because I need to go to town, you know, to get my personal items and my groceries and things like that.

So I told him I wasn't going to go for that. And then he was trying to persuade me and he was telling me, you know, that why don't you go for it, you know, what have you got to lose? Just you get out, you know, instead of spending all this time in jail, he was trying to encourage me to cop out to that charge which I feel that I'm, you know, I wasn't guilty of.

And so I told him I wasn't going to accept it, I told him that okay, if you don't want to help me out okay, man, it's all right with me, I can always go to the judge again and request for another public defender and you can do whatever you want to.

So he said he was going to go talk to the judge again, so he left. Then it took about 30 or 35 minutes later that was way past when I was supposed to have my pre-liminary hearing, about 35 or 45 minutes later, came back, then he told me that the judge had made another bargain that I was supposed to -- that I was supposed to cop out to that charge and the judge was supposed to like give me some time, but at an additional commitment to where I'm supposed

to go to the Halfway House in Sells. So I was thinking about it so I told him what are the conditions of the 3 commitment, you know, conditional commitment so he told me that within that date from the day I was to be sentenced 5 to the day, you know, when whatever time he gives me I 6 was supposed to stay in there, but if any time between that time there is an opening at the Halfway House, 8 that he would, you know, release me to the Halfway House. And then he told me that he already contacted the Halfway 10 House and they knew about it and all they -- they, the 11 Halfway House, was full so they had to wait for, you know, 12 for an opening. 13

So I told -- so I told him I guess that it was all right, you know, that was a bargain, you know. So besides I want to go to the Halfway House anyway, you know, so I said all right. I'll accept that one.

So I copped out and he gave me three months, so I stayed in there and I waited for about three weeks and nothing happened, you know, nothing came of it, so I wrote a letter to the alcoholism program in Sells and I told them and then this one counselor came over and then I told him that, you know, what the situation was and then he told me that he never heard nothing about it. That he was just, that their understanding was that I'm supposed to stay there three months and after that it was the court

minno

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

order for me to go to the Halfway House.

Then I told him, you know, the way I was told, I guess he went and talked to the judge and the next day they turned me loose. And that was it. You know, but you know what I was getting at is the public defender, it wasn't only me, it was other minorities that were treated that way at that time when I was in there waiting. Like I thought, you know, when you go to jail that you're — that if you requested a lawyer, a public defender, you're supposed to see that person, at least, you know, you know a reasonable time before your preliminary hearing.

But I didn't see that person until five minutes before my preliminary hearing.

And another thing is prior to this incident that

I'm talking about, there was this one time in December in

'74 when I was arrested, and I don't know what I was

arrested for, but I was -- you know, I didn't know what I

was, I was drunk and I didn't know what I was doing and

I was taken into a hospital, and the next day I woke up

and I had a hangover and I was kind of shook up, you know,

and jailer came, took me out, took me to the CID office

and they were throwing a bunch of questions at me, cussing

me out and words that you wouldn't want to hear me now and

they were calling me dumb, ignorant Indian, you know, they

were trying to break me down to where I was supposed to

1 admit that I did what they were trying to charge me for 2 but I knew I didn't do it so I just hang onto myself. 3 And they were, you know, threatening me like they 4 were going to throw the book at me, they were going to do 5 this and do that, you know, they were going to get me for 6 worse, you know, worse charge, they were going to watch 7 my every move. You know, that was some of the incidents, 8 you know, that I experienced off the reservation in the police department. 10 a (By Dr. Gerber) What police department is this? 11 Ajo. 12 Ajo? 13 Pima County. 14 The city police department? 15 No, this is county. 16 This is Pima County? 17 Pima County. 18 The sheriff's department then? 19 Sheriff's department. 20 (By the Chair) Now, that's who originally detained 0 21 you for that three-week period? 22 Pardon me? 23 0 Was that also the Ajo --24 No, that -- yes, that was also at Ajo, yes. 25 Q And that was in -- the first -- the first incident

1 that you spoke about, when you were detained --2 No, both of these incidents took place in Ajo. 3 (By Mr. Levis) But you were in the Pima County 4 Jail or the Ajo City Jail? Ajo City Jail or county substation. Ajo substation. 6 And why were you arrested for the first incident? 7 Why were you arrested? Why was I arrested? Did they give you a reason? 10 Well, they told me it was -- well, when they first 11 brought me in they told me it was possession of stolen 12 property but later on when they took me to my arraignment 13 they didn't mention that, they didn't mention nothing 14 about, you know, that possession of stolen property, that 15 they were questioning me on the first place, and they 16 just charged me, charged me for, you know, disturbing the 17 peace. 18 You got three months for disturbing the peace? 0 19 No, this was a different incident. The one, the 20 incident I'm talking about, you know, that I'm discussing 21 now, is one that took place in December. The one you're 22 asking me is the one that's in February. 23 The one in February, which is the incident you 24 talked about first, what were the charges that --25 Disturbing the peace.

1 You got three months --2 Three months. 3 -- for disturbing the peace? 4 Disturbing the peace. 5 With the provision that during the three months 6 they would send you to the Sells Halfway House once 7 there was an opening? Yes. And after three weeks you found out they were 10 going to send you after the three-month period? 11 No, not really. Well, that's what, you know, this 12 other guy told me, the guy, you know, the way he under-13 stood, the guy from the, the counselor from the alcoholic 14 program in Sells told me that, they, you know, they didn't 15 know anything about it, that, and here the judge told me 16 when he sentenced me and the public defender told me when 17 he told me to go for that bargain was that I'm supposed to 18 be released within maybe one week that there was going 19 to be an opening in one week, two weeks. 20 Then you would have to go to the Halfway House for 21 the remainder of the three months? 22 No, not really. It all depends when I get there, 23 once they turn me over to the Halfway House it's out of their 24 hands they can't do nothing. 25 But what did happen is after you complained after the

1 three weeks you were released and you did not have to go 2 to the Halfway House? 3 Well, I did go on my own. And was this your first or second offense when you were sentenced for three months? 6 No, this my first offense for three months, the 7 -- well, the first one, the one in December I was sentenced 8 for 40, something like 40 days, then this one, second 9 offense I was sentenced three months. 10 a And the first offense was what, that you were sen-11 tenced 40 days? 12 Disturbing the peace. Disturbing the peace and 13 petty theft, I think it was. 14 Is there a LARC center in Ajo? 15 No. Not that I know of. 16 (By Ms. Lyon) Mr. Antone, did I hear you say that 17 when you wrote to the alcoholism Halfway House at Sells, 18 you found out that they had never been contacted about 19 your case? 20 Well, they have been contacted but in a different 21 They were told that, you know, that I was -- that 22 there was a court order for me to go to the Halfway House, 23 that was all they know. That if I wasn't to go there 24 these guys were supposed to tell the judge and there was

going to be another warrant out for my arrest.

25

1	Q (By Mr. Levis) Mr. Antone, what is the CID office
2	that you referred to?
3	A Criminal investigation detectives, I think that's
4	what it stands for.
5	Q Were the charges specific as far as disturbing
6	the peace is concerned, or was it an alternative to public
7	drunkenness which is no longer an offense in Arizona?
8	A That's about what it amounts to, that's what it
9	seems like to me.
10	Q In both cases?
11	A In both cases.
12	Q Did they indicate whose peace you were supposedly
13	disturbing?
14	A No. See, there was no there was no civilian
15	person that signed the complaint, it was the officer that
16	picked me up that signed the complaint.
17	And your last name is spelled A-n-t-o-n-e?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q And the first event was December of '74, second
20	one was February, '75?
21	A. Yes.
22	And it was the City of Ajo?
23	A. Yes.
24	THE CHAIR: Any commissioners have questions?
25	Do you have any further statement or comment to add,
633	

Mr. Antone?

1

2

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Well, yes, I'd like to say one more thing, you know. Well, one time -- well, during that time when I was serving that 40 days, I was in this cell, and they brought in an Indian guy and you know, they were -- there was about eight of those sheriffs deputies on him and they were hitting him, you know, throwing him, you know, spraying Mace in his face and you know, kicking him, pulling his hair, and after they locked him up in the cell, you know, they got one of them, them, what, plastic types they use now where you cut it with the pliers? They got him in the back and they tied him up real tight to where his hands turned blue, you know, and they tied it right around his legs too, you know, and the guy was laying there and he was trying to ask, you know, to take it off, and they walked off and they left him lay there for a couple of hours and I went to sleep and I don't know when they came back and took them off.

Finally took them off, and this incident I just witnessed in there, you know, but it didn't happen to me.

- A It was during the first time that you were in the jail?
 - A No, this was -- this was in April, '74.
 - Q April, 1974?
- 25 A Yes.

1 Okay, then we're going to write to the Ajo Police 2 Department and I want to get the dates correct. 3 (By Dr. Gerber) You don't know the name of the 4 Indian who was being mistreated? 5 Yes, I know his name but I don't want to state it. 6 Was he fighting the officers back? 7 Well, -- well, the way, you know, everybody's 8 hands were going at each other. See, their hands were going at him and their hands were going at him, he was 10 swinging his hands and they were swinging their hands, 11 so I wouldn't really say who was fighting who. 12 Could you tell if he was drunk or not? 13 Yes, he'd been drinking. 14 But he was Maced in the face as well as being hit? 15 Yes. 16 Was he hit with the closed fists of the officers or was it like a slap in the face? 17 18 I think it was on the face, it was a slap. 19 on the -- on the body, I think it was closed fist. 20 Like this? 21 Yes. 22 Do you have any rough idea of how many blows were 23 struck? 24 No, I wasn't counting blows. 25 I mean it would be, say five, ten, way more than ten?

A Well, I can't really say.

3 4

Q (By Ms. Lyon) Mr. Antone, do you think this person would be willing to file a complaint if you were ever to speak to him about it?

I can't really say.

I have one question for Mr. Moore.

Mr. Moore, you've been around quite a while in a number of different reservations and different occupations, and I would say you have a very good experience of overview of what happens when Indian people get off the reservation, would you have any recommendations to the committee as to specific programs or projects that that should be instituted to help Indian people who need help under these conditions?

A (By Mr. Moore) I'm sure that the two that I'm boing to mention have probably been mentioned before.

Edward Morgan mentioned the one about the paraprofessional people being available to assist these people in the cities. And I suppose that the students that I come in contact with, and I guess the purpose that we give the orientation, using attorneys, is in the form of orientation, again college students or people coming off the reservation can not afford an attorney, the Black attorneys maybe just put the fear of God in students, say they become very high, you know, they cost like \$40.00 an hour see, and

college students say well, maybe I better behave myself or whatever. But still, you know, this doesn't stop the treatment.

I suppose the second recommendation I guess that
I have is, and there was some reference made to it in the
form of a question, of the orientation to the police
departments, my understanding is that the larger municipal
communities have well-trained police departments, maybe
not so well trained in the area of human relations and
the understanding of ethnic minorities. The information
I have is that in the smaller communities, the police
departments are not as well trained and there is no, no
orientation at all for the police departments on how to
deal with Native American people.

And of course, I suppose there are indications made that they hire Indian people, supposedly to function in that area, but I -- because I see Indian policemen, I think they follow orders and follow instructions and probably become very good policemen, in carrying out the regulations and the rules, and without really understanding of how to deal with their own brothers.

- Q Do you think this is one area where Indian organizations should play a stronger role, perhaps?
- A Well, I think that the police -- okay, as far as getting the awareness probably the stronger it has to almost

sponsibility of carrying out this function. I think that would be the recommendation that they ought to include some courses, I was kind of hoping when I was listening to testimony this morning that the man who admit that he was teaching some courses and that it was failing, but he said I didn't teach any course on Native American or the treatment of Native Americans. I think that's probably what is needed.

MS. LYON: Thank you. That's all the questions I have.

THE CHAIR: That concludes your statement?

A Yes, Philip made one comment and I'd like to add to that, during his second stay, you know, he said that within three weeks he wrote to the Halfway House, there was still some time that he spent there after the letter that he wrote. And at one point he was interested in becoming a trustee, and raised that question, you know, if you want to make that comment, you know, Philip?

A (By Mr. Antone) This was just, you know, I asked the jailer, you know, if I could be made a trustee, and he told me that the only way I could be made a trustee is to cut my hair so I cut my hair and I asked him if I was going to become a trustee and he said no, no way you can become a trustee. So that's the only --

Q (By Ms. Lyon) Did you ask him why? You already knew why, but did you ask him?

A Yes, I asked him why, you know, and he gave me -he told me that, you know, that I was there too many
times, but I don't know if that was the real reason or
not, but if I was there too many times I should be a good
trustee.

A (By Mr. Moore) My only reason for thinking that was important is that other students have had, you know, either were asked to cut their hair or they just cut them while they were there and I thought that was no longer permissible because of rights, individual rights.

Q Do you know of any students who ever protested this happening to them?

A Yes. And I think that they were told that if, you know, that they would get worse if they — it was better — of course they'll go ahead and cut their hair anyway but my concern again maybe again as a counselor, is the pride, the damage to that individual and I guess the first testimony this afternoon was that when you're in jail, you play the game with the jailer. It's easier for you to play his role.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

On behalf of the Arizona Advisory Committee, thank
you for attending and contributing to this meeting in Tucson.

We've heard testimony from groups and individuals concerning the administration of justice as it affects
American Indians in off-reservation areas, we've heard that approximately 90% of American Indians arrests are for alcohol-related offenses; that approximately two-thirds percent of those arrests are for misdemeanor; that American Indians rarely exercise their legal right to plead not guilty.

Tribal officials as well as city and county officials have explained problems American Indians have with regard to jurisdiction. American Indians are often victims of a double system of justice which subjects them to prosecution by federal, tribal and local city and county officials for the same offense.

American Indians are less represented on juries in Arizona and as a result, they rarely are judged by a jury of their peers. There are few rehabilitative facilities available for American Indians who have an alcohol problem and are arrested for an alcohol-related offense.

In fact, we've heard testimony that has shown that local alcoholic reception centers, LARC's, in Arizona, have closed recently due in part to the lack of public and governmental interest.

Solutions to the problems mentioned in the past few days are not simple but we heard testimony that some

tribal, city, state and county law enforcement officials are willing to initiate new attempts.

If anyone in the audience is concerned about the testimony of the past days and wants to correct or add to it, he or she may call the Mountain States Regional Office of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, at area code 303, 837, 2211, or contact Dr. Morrison Warren, Chairperson of the Arizona Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, at 965-6529, or write to the commission care of 1726 Champus Street, Denver, Colorado, 80202, or Dr. Warren at 1061 East Magdalena Drive, Tempe.

(Hearing adjourned at 3:10 p.m.)

1	STATE OF ARIZONA)
2	COUNTY OF PIMA)
3	
4	
5	
6	I, JAMES E. BOULEY, do hereby certify that I am
7	an Official Shorthand Reporter; that I was present at the
8	hearing of the foregoing matter; that I took down in short-
9	hand all proceedings had and testimony adduced at said
10	hearing; that the same was thereafter transcribed under my
11	supervision, and the foregoing 791 pages represent a
12	complete and accurate transcription of my shorthand notes
13	so taken.
14	WITNESS my hand this 10th day of December, 1975.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	OFFICIAL SHORTHAND REPORTER
22	
23	
24	
25	