306 V.2

NEVADA STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

to the

UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Open Meeting

on the

NEVADA STATE PAROLE SYSTEM

VOLUME II

July 19, 1974

AFTERNOON SESSION

BOULEY, SCHLESINGER, PROFITT and DICURTI

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS

187 North Church Avenue

Tucson, Arizona

CCR 3 Meet. 306 v.2

	NEVADA STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE	
	to the	
	UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS	
	INDEX	
VOLU	ME I	
WITN	ESS	PAGE
Mr.	Harry Lipparelli	7
Mr.	Michael Ishikawa	_ 14
Mr.	William Lattin	19
Mr.	Howard Pyle	46
Mr.	Joe Whitaker	92
Mr.	Alden Kelley	94
Mr.	Mike Clark	100
	ME II	
	Mary Grant	156
	Melody Meoli	161
	Clayton Phillips	188
	Dennis Wright	188
	Carl Hocker	189
Mr.	Edwin T. Pogue	259
V OT I	IME: TIT	
	ClydetIbsen	282

VOLUME III (Cont.) WITNESS Ms. Annette Duframe Mr. Robert DeClaybrook Mr. A.A. Campos Mr. Earl Des Armier Ms. Karren L. Smith 403	
WITNESS WITNESS Ms. Annette Duframe Mr. Robert DeClaybrook Mr. A.A. Campos Mr. Earl Des Armier Ms. Karren L. Smith 403	
MS. Annette Duframe Mr. Robert DeClaybrook Mr. A.A. Campos Mr. Earl Des Armier Ms. Karren L. Smith 403	
Ms. Annette Duframe Mr. Robert DeClaybrook Mr. A.A. Campos Mr. Earl Des Armier Ms. Karren L. Smith 403	
6 Mr. A.A. Campos 347 7 Mr. Earl Des Armier 382 8 Ms. Karren L. Smith 403	
7 Mr. Earl Des Armier 382 8 Ms. Karren L. Smith 403	
8 Ms. Karren L. Smith 403	
MS. Karren L. Smith	
9	
1 1	
10	1
11	1
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
	3 .
24	
25	

1	VOLUME II
2	July 19, 1974
3	AFTERNOON SESSION
4	
5	THE CHAIRMAN: Ladies and Gentlemen, will you please
6	be seated?
7	We are going to call the hearing back into session.
8	At this time we are asking Ms. Melody Meoli and Mary
9	Grant to come to our witness seats, please?
10	Thank you.
11	Before we go into questioning witnesses, we want to
12	introduce a couple of the members that have arrived since
13	the session opened. To my left, another member that has
14	joined us of the Committee, is Mr. Eddie Scott from Reno,
15	and Mr., to my left, Mr. Steve Walther, and I believe that
16	that's all the new members that have joined us since we
17	opened this morning.
18	We are going to start with the panel. Pat, will you
19	start the questioning, please?
20	Do you have any written statements at this time,
21	either one of you?
22	MS. GRANT: I believe we both do, sir.
23	THE CHAIRMAN: You do have written statements.
24	Okay, will you proceed at the questions? Or of your
25	statements.

MS. MARY GRANT

A. (By Ms. Grant) My name is Mary Grant, Mr. Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen.

It is a privilege to be asked to testify before this official board. I feel what I have to say will be of benefit to prisoners both still in prison and on parole.

I would like to tell you about some deep concerns I have about the parole system in Nevada.

Having been granted parole on March 19, 1974, after serving two years 11 months and five days behind bars.

I was not released from prison until June 28, 1974, three months, nine days after my parole. These last three months were the very hardest that I served during the entire time that I was in the prison.

When an inmate goes before the board and is released, each moment thereafter is spent in anxiety. You are both happy, frightened and yes, even a little sad.

The happiness is caused by the realization that this prison life will be behind you and you will once again be free to join the human race.

The fright is caused by the unknown. The unknown that lies beyond the walls and always presents fear you might break one of the rules that would cause you to return.

The saddness is the serving and the severing of the

friendships that you have made during your stay in prison.

No matter how good this friendship is, no matter how long,
these friendships must be then severed.

I'm not here today to tell you about my personal problems with the parole personnel but to point out to this official board some of the concerns that many inmates have about parole processes.

My concerns are as follows: One, it is very difficult for an inmate to obtain a job commitment and to find
housing while still in prison. Put yourself in the position
of an inmate, if, right this moment, these doors were
locked and no one was allowed to leave before you could
obtain a job, a definite place to live, and you were not
given the opportunity or the privilege of contacting any
friend or anybody that you knew except to write one letter.

Of course, that letter is not to go to anyone that you know. How many of you could obtain a job in, let's say Reno? How long would it take you?

This is what many of us face. Some of us have never lived in the area and know no one or any place of business to ask for assistance. We have no money, many times, except what is given to us by the prison. This is the amount of \$50.00 at this time.

Mr. Whitaker, who testified before us, mentioned this also. That we feel that some of the staff should be allowed

5

to go to -- with each prisoner to the board. Especially the lieutenant or the person that is in charge of the wing or the place where we live. Your boss that you work with every day, or someone who knows you almost every day of your stay in prison.

We believe that the counselors, the ministers, the psychiatrists, the people that are qualified to go with us to the board, we believe these people should be able to go and to help us to make the board understand us.

Three. We believe the parole board should call you back in after you have been in and after they have made their deliberation. And let them tell us of their findings. I have been imprisoned in both California and in Utah before coming to prison in Nevada. And the beforementioned states practice this method with great success.

If an inmate has questions about the outcome of the decision, he could then ask and be given an answer of why he was either denied or approved for parole.

My first appearance before the board, I was denied for one year. I was not told why, or what I could do to help myself be ready for parole after the year was up. When I was paroled, I was not told why. I did not know what the difference was or what I had done different to make them grant me my parole at this time.

It has been general knowledge at the prison by the

inmates that anyone appearing before the board the first time will be denied. If a prisoner was only given a fouryear sentence for a violent crime, they are almost always required to finish their sentence.

I wish that the Nevada board, parole board system, would at this time consider these points. They are very often discussed by the inmates.

Now I'd like to talk to you about parole. I have been on parole for less than one month and during this time I have been faced with several problems that I consider quite major. First of all, my one real concern is my family.

My mother and father, my grandparents and my children. I have been away from home for much too long and during the last years of my sentence, my mother and grandmother has become quite ill.

Knowing this, and the problems that I would be -that would be encountered upon my release to obtain permission to visit my family in Florida, my counselor, the
staff, the parole board, Carson City Parole Department, the
matrons and inmates were all told of my desires to go home
for one month to visit my family.

Everyone told me there would be no problem. All I had to do was to tell my parole officer when I wanted to go.

I did. A group of parole people decided that I was not, at this time, ready to make a trip. I was told to get settled

in the house where I would be living, where I was given temporary quarters by a 78-year old lady. I was also told to get settled in school and enrolled. All of this, which I figured was defeating my whole purpose. Because once enrolled in school, I did not want to pull out, take a leave of absence to go home.

The next day I registered in beauty college, since going through tears and a major upset about not being allowed to go. I was told that my kit, uniforms and a \$64.00 acr week for living expenses was arranged several months before my parole. This was arranged through Manpower.

The day. I registered, the manager of the school, who had been with the gentleman from Manpower, called to tell him that I was now enrolled in school that day. And needed my kit and uniforms and so forth.

She was told that Manpower program had been dissolved only weeks after they were out at the prison. This left me with no income, no uniforms, no kit, all of which was mandatory for my survival. And to be able to attend school where I would be going.

As yet, I have no assistance for living expenses, and don't know where to turn for it. Vocational rehabilitation got my kit for me and also my uniforms. But no assistance for food, housing or no other necessary essentials has been made at this time.

Another major problem faced by all parolees is to
be able to contact a parole officer on week ends, holidays
and after 5:00 p.m. on the afternoon. A parolee is under
24-hour a day, seven days a week surveillance. Emergencies
happen sometimes after 5:00 p.m., sometimes on week ends
and holidays, that require assistance from a parolee -for a parolee from her parole officer. Where can we turn?

Especially if they have an unlisted phone number.

I thank this Committee for listening to me and

I'll be glad to answer any questions that will be put to me.

THE CHAIRMAN: Can we go to Ms. Meoli?

Who can we go to? How can we contact these people?

MS. MELODY MEOLI

A. (By Ms. Meoli) Yes, my name is Melody Meoli and I'm presently serving a four-year sentence for probation violation along with a 20-year suspended sentence and five years probation, running concurrently, with my sentence.

I come before you today to testify to facts, feelings and our future. I am not here today to present my personal grievances as I do not have anything personal against any one member of a select group.

My testimony today will deal with the general feelings

.3

of the women inmate and myself, the facts will be selfevident in my testimony.

The feelings are an very important factor in the success of dealing with the future of each inmate and the future is what we are trying to attain. A revamping of the parole board procedures is needed because of the evergrowing prison population. Many parole board applicants question what is expected of them in relation to prison programs and behavior. Up until a few months ago, applicants weren't given any reason for their denials of parole, although they presented the board members a full resume of their job intentions, place of residence and have shown considerable progress during their incarceration.

Many were denied not once but sometimes two or three times. A denial by the parole board, in effect, is a statement that satisfactory rehabilitation has not occurred. What the board members fail to define is if we are given denials on a disapproved program, or inadequate education, what, then, can we pursue in order to earn our parole?

I have witnessed many women who have had no disciplinary writeups, have maintained a satisfactory work
record, accomplished many credits in the area of education
and have done the required length of time and still receive
a denial.

I've also witnessed a woman who was denied to expiration

on her second appearance, on a three-year sentence, resulting from her disapproved work program.

What we are advocating is some form of feedback from the members of the parole board advising what we might do differently upon a denial of release. Then, if an applicant is denied on a disapproved program, possibly we could be rescheduled for the next board so we might be able to present them with a program according to the members' requirements.

In the California penal institutions, the parole board members have each applicant wait outside after the initial interview. They are then called back in and given their results by the board members themselves. This offers the opportunity to discuss reasons for denials of parole and what is expected of them on each.

In Nevada, though, at the women's prison, the results are handed to our officer in charge at the end of the day, and he relays them to us. By these means he can only speculate the reasons for our denial.

Then, at the most, three days later, we are advised at our postclassification hearings, the reasons stated for denial with no mention of how to prepare ourselves for our next appearance.

We feel it is only humane to discuss these denials with the people who handed down our decision. Otherwise, this

leaves the inmate with a feeling of hopelessness which defeats the purpose of incarceration.

I, myself, found it very disheartening not to be able to discuss my own denial face-to-face with the people who have my future in their hands. It is understood by each felon that they have lost their rights once they are convicted, but what we don't understand is where, exactly, our civil rights stand.

By this I mean equal representation at our parole hearings by a staff member who knows us in a living, working situation. As of now, the only representation we have is in the form of our preparole presentation. The inmates need representation by someone who relates to us on a daily basis. It would seem beneficial to review files and written reports and in addition, the board would be able to have the inmate representative answer any questions they might have about the inmate.

I would like to summarize by saying that we all are here for a common goal and that is to do what's best for both parties.

Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, you may proceed to question the witnesses.

Q (By Ms. Lynch) Yes, I would like to start with Ms. Grant.

10[.]

You said that you were -- you went before the parole board in March and you were not released until June. What was the reason for this delay?

A Well, I had a hold, parole hold from the State of California. At the time that I went before the board, my counselor, several months prior to that, had told me that the California hold had been dropped. Three weeks after my board appearance, we found that there had been a mistake in the paper work at the maximum security prison, that in essence, my parole had been dropped in, I believe it was 1971, and re-updated in 1972. Which, at both times, I was still in the prison here in Nevada.

We know no reason why it was taken off and then put back on. But the paper work was fouled up, and it was just a -- one of them common mistakes that's made due to the paper work system, and I went to my -- my papers had to go back before the board here in Nevada, which took a month, then they had to go to California to go before the board there, which took another month, then they had to go back and had to go back before the board here, which took another month.

So all of it could have been taken care of with two or three phone calls, you know, which I'm not saying the parole board didn't try because Mr. Hocker's office and Mr. Campos and all the -- all the people at the prison really went

- all out, but it's just, it's just the red tape that you have to go through.

 Q What is the usual amount of time between the gran
 - Q What is the usual amount of time between the granting of parole and the release of an inmate?
 - A. It can go anywhere from a week to 60 days.
- 6 Q. So your case was unusual?
 - A. Yes. It is. Nevertheless, it didn't mean that I didn't go through quite a change during that three months' time.
 - Q The delay had nothing to do with finding housing or anything like this, this was just --
- 12 A. No, that had been arranged through my church group.
- 13 0 I see.

5

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

- Do you know the reason you were granted parole?
- 15 A. No, I do not.
- 16 Q How were you given the news that you were granted parole?
 - A. Lieutenant Fletcher called me in to his office,
 he looked at me and handed me a yellow piece of paper and
 he says, well, they've granted you parole, and I don't know
 why, and kind of laughed about it.
- 22 Q You have no idea why, then, you can't think of any?
- A. No, I do not. I do not know why I was denied the
 first time and why I was given parole the second time. I
 was not told either time.

1 Was there any substantial change in what you were Q. 2 doing in the prison or anything, between the first hearing 3 and the second hearing?_ 4 A. Not in my living conditions, no. There was a job 5 change, and other than that, none. 6 You said that one of the problems was that it was 7 difficult to get a job and get housing. Do you get any 8 kind of help from the prison staff or the department of 9 parole and probation in this area? 10 The parole staff is not allowed to give you any A. 11 assistance in this. In fact, they're constantly told not 12 to get involved. 13 Not to get involved? 14 Why these people are told not to get involved with 15 you when they live with you every day, is beyond me. 16 -- you know, they see us every day, they're with us every 17 day, of course they go home at 5:00 o'clock or 3:00, whatever 18 time they happen to get off, but nevertheless they are 19 involved in our lives, they hear our complaints, they hear 20 our sorrows, they hear our family problems. And yet, when 21 it comes down to time to get out, we're told that they 22 can't get involved. 23 Q. How were you able to get a job, was this through your

24

25

church group?

Yes, it was.

A.

 Q I see, so it was an independent agency that you had contact with?

A. I was also throwing a little credit where it's very definitely due, to both Reba and to Mr. Scott and to Mr. Cooper, which is not here today. They offered me assistance.

But since it had all been arranged through Manpower, I let them know that I did not need any because Manpower helped me. I don't need a great deal of money to live on, and the \$64.00 a week would have been sufficient to take care of rent and this kind of thing. But whenever I came out, Manpower had dissolved, now I don't know who to go to or where.

Q The first time you went before the board, did you have any kind of job opportunity available to you?

A. Yes, I did. At that time my parole program was changed completely, I know that you've asked several of the gentlemen that was before us, my program changed completely, all the way through.

My first parole plan was to go back to Florida, and my father and mother own a business, grocery store and gas station, in Florida. My brother's a policeman. My family, back as many generations as I know of, which date back to the 1600's, I'm the only black sheep in the bunch, the only one that's ever been in trouble. So there would have been no problems there.

My father wanted to turn the business over to me, at that time his health was very bad. I had a house trailer to live in, which is still there. And the parole board said no. And things changed in my life, which changed my opinion and I wanted to go to school here and live in Nevada. But I still wanted to make my trip back to Florida, you know, for just a visit.

Q. You said that you have gone before the Utah and California parole boards and in those states, the parole board informs you of a decision and you're able to have some sort of communication with them.

Would you go a little bit more in depth into that, and say if you see any advantages or disadvantages?

A. Yes, I will.

When I went to -- I have to back up a little bit just prior to that.

I was living in Utah, and at that time I was, which
I still am, the mother of six children. And was married for
-- well, that time about 19 years. My husband was transferred, his job from there to California, but I had written
some checks to pay for living expenses like groceries,
lunch money for the kids, this sort of thing. I did not
have enough money in the bank to cover it. I was taken to
court not wanting to let my husband know, that I was in
problems or having problems. I got permission from the courts

to transfer to California.

Once we were in California, things in our marriage had begin to go wrong prior to our going, and I wrote checks in California to cover the checks in Utah. So, consequently, I got myself in hot water in both places.

So, I went to court in California and was sent there directly to the California Institution for Women.

Now, when I went before the board there, you're eligible to carry your minister or counselors, members of the family, anybody that has sufficient grounds to assist you in any way, to the board with you. It's this way in Utah also.

You go before the board, they question you, other people speak at that time. If they desire. You leave the room, the other people in there are then questioned also. They call you back in, they tell you of their findings. They ask you if you have any questions concerning this, whether they deny you or approve you.

And at that time you can tell them why you were either denied or approved. It's done the same way in Utah.

- Q. What kind of reasons are given in those hearings, in California or Utah?
 - A. I don't understand your question.
- Q Did -- were you denied your -- in your parole hearing in California?

A. I was denied my first time in California, I went to my first board, which I had the grand jury sitting in, and it really made it nice, but I went from the hole. I got into a little hassle and --

- Q. And you were denied --
- A. I was denied for two weeks.
- Q. And did they give you reasons?
- A. They told me why I was denied, they certainly did, and they said after I got out of the hole in their next appearance, I was to come back before them, which I did. And this time they said, I believe it was the six months that they gave me, and that I was released.
- Q Do you feel that them telling you the reason why you were denied helped you to prepare for the next parole hearing?
- A. Most definitely. Any person that goes before a parole board, first thing is you're scared to death because these people hold your entire life in their hands.

You don't know what answer to give them because the answer that you give them you want to satisfy them and you've done the best you can. They either know whether you are ready to go or whether you are not because of the way you've lived. If you've tried to help yourself, if you've tried to meet the rules and regulations of the prison, then you certainly should be considered for parole.

1 If you violated every rule in the book, then you're 2 going to when you leave. So, I believe it is a very, 3 very definite need for every parolee to be told why and to 4 be able to discuss it. 5 MS. LYNCH: I don't have any further questions. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Any other members of the Committee 7 have any questions? 8 MS. GODOY: I just have one guestion. 9 (By Ms. Godoy) This is directed to both of you. 10 The first time that you went up for parole, do you 11 know whether or not the prison staff had recommended that 12 you be paroled? 13 No, Endonit. 14 (By Ms. Meoli) No. 15 MS. GODOY: Thánk you. 16 (By Mr. Scott) I'd like to know a little bit about 17 the medical situation and I could ask this of both of you. 18 What kind of medical care, medical availability or 19 how, if you need medical care, how do you go about getting 20 medical care, or would you explain the medical situation? 21 THE CHAIRMAN: That question -22 That's a question you don't want MR. SCOTT: 23 THE CHAIRMAN: No, it isn't in the line. 24 That's okay, we would like to answer it, (By Ms. Grant) 25 if the Committee doesn't mind. It does with both psychiatric '

and your physical health.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.

A. I saw my parole -- I mean my psychiatrist or a psychiatrist, I believe it was about the first four months I was out there. And it was Dr. Lippo (Phonetic), I believe he's a psychologist.

During my conversation with him, I felt that he was very unconcerned, he showed no sign of even listening, much less caring. When I left the room, he gave me absolutely no, no idea if I'd ever see him again or what I could do to help myself or anything.

And then, several months before I left, I believe it was maybe February, January, somewhere right in there, I saw the psychiatrist that we have out there, out -- that they've got out there now. He told me to go see the medical doctor and have him to put me on hormones, and I did. And as far as the medical part, this is where it comes in.

I went and saw the doctor and told him what the psychiatrist had suggested, he put me on hormones, which all the ladies know that is something all of us old women need, and then they took me off of them.

And about two weeks before I was to leave the prison I went back and asked the doctor if he thought that I should be put back on them or what I was to tell the other doctor when I left the prison. He gave me a very definite

yes, that he thought I should be put back on hormones and he didn't want me to get pregnant once I left the prison.

MS. GODOY: I really don't -- thank you very much, but we're not really into that line of questioning.

Thank you.

Q. (By Mr. Scott) One on parole.

After week ends and evening. You said you can't contact, you don't know how to contact your parole officer after 5:00 o'clock and on week ends?

A. No. There was a situation that arose that I wanted to contact here and had no idea, and we called, oh, several places, even at the police station and finally got assistance through the Reno Police Department, and a lady that was on the switchboard called Mrs. Smith, she had an unlisted number. And he called her or the lady called her and then Mrs. Smith called me back. And it was just — it took about two hours to try to find her.

If it had been a real emergency, in other words, if I'd have got a telegram that my mother or somebody had died or my kids had been in an accident or something, I feel definitely sure that I would have gone to them, rather than spending all the hours that I did trying to find her and that would have been a strict violation of my parole.

Q In making up your parole program, is there available to you in the prison job announcements and things like that,

1	where you might be able to seek out some help in order to				
2	help set you on work on your own parole program?				
3	A. Not that I know of.				
4	A. (By Ms. Meoli) No.				
5	Q. No job announcements available for circulation?				
6	A Not that I've ever seen.				
7	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other?				
8	MS. LYNCH: I have one more.				
9	Q (By Ms. Lynch) Do you fully understand all of the				
10	infractions that could lead to a violation of parole?				
11	A. (By, Ms. (Grant) Yes, I do				
12	Q. Your parole officer went over with you all the				
13	various situations?				
14	A. Yes, this is gone over by the representative, it's				
15	either Mr. Glick or Mr. Peterson, I believe, before we				
16	leave the prison and a copy of these rules and regulations				
17	are given to us and we sign them at that time.				
18	If there's any question after that, you can ask your				
19	parole officer when you go directly from the prison to the				
20	parole officer and she goes through that with you, too.				
21	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions?				
22	MR. ROMERO: Mr. Chairman, yes.				
23	Q. (By Mr. Romero) Ms. Grant, have you been in communi-				
24	cation with the parole board since you have been on parole?				
25	A. No, I have not.				

1 Have they been in communication with you? Q. 2 No, they have not. Are you talking about the board Α. 3 or the parole --0. The board. 5 No. 6 Does your parole officer, to the best of your know-7 ledge, have any communication with the parole board? 8 A. I have no idea. 9 Does she have records -- obviously she keeps records 10 on you as to your progress? 11 I'm sure that she would, yes. 12 What does she do with these records, do you know? 13 No, I don't. I would have no idea. 14 Did you ever sign any statements or --15 Once a month you're -- before the 5th of the month, 16 you're required to turn in a form that's a standard form 17 that has your name and address and job and this kind of 18 things on it, and then you sign it and turn it in. 19 Earlier, one of the prison officials stated that 20 some of the things that they did for the men were to assist 21 them in filling out job applications. Do you get the same 22 type of service in the women's part? 23 A. It's really funny, the women, if you've noticed in 24 your conversation with the -- all the people this morning, 25 very seldom does the women's prison even get mentioned, much

1 less given any attention. 2 We're kind of forgotten out there, we're so few in 3 number till we don't carry any weight. 4 You know, our -- even in small things as, like 5. choosing a movie, the -- we carry no weight at all, and --6 like I said with the exception of Rebarand Mr. Scott's 7 office, that's about it. 8 Thank you. MR. ROMERO: 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions? 10 Do you have any questions of Ms. Meoli? 11 MS. LYNCH: Yes, I do. 12 Q. (By Ms. Lynch) Now, you were denied parole, is that 13 correct? 14 (By Ms. Meoli) Pardon me? 15 You were denied your parole? 16 Yes, that's right. 17 And were you given any reasons for your denial? Q. 18 A. Yes. The reasons were read to me two days later 19 at my postclassification hearing, there was three of them, 20 past criminal involvement, nature of the crime and failure 21 to complete previous probation. 22 Q. Do you feel those reasons are helpful to you in any 23 In helping to prepare for your next parole hearing? 24 No, because I felt I had accomplished a lot while 25 I was in, while I'm in prison, and I feel that they gave me,

```
1
     they gave me a six-month denial and I thought the denial
2
    was to make sure that my attitude is right, that I'm really
3
     ready to leave the penitentiary. But what I could do to
4
    help my next appearance, it isn't understood.
5
       0.
            T see.
6
            So you feel it was more they didn't like your attitude
7
     than actually the reasons that they gave you?
8
            No, I feel they didn't like my crime.
9
            They didn't like your crime.
10
            Okay.
11
            Does your correctional counselor help you at all in
12
    preparing for the parole board hearing?
13
            Our correctional officer?
14
      Q.
            Yes.
15
           No, Ma'am.
16
            No help at all?
17
      A.
            No.
18
            Do you feel that the women inmates are given the
19
    same kind of help that the male inmates are given?
20
            Oh, no.
21
           Not at all?
22
           Not at all.
      A.
23
           Would you like to elaborate on that?
24
            Well, as the other inmates stated, and the officials
25
    stated before, you know, they're given job applications
```

and they have a lot of people, you know, that see them and they have more people pulling for them than we do for us. And it's very frustrating trying to write out all these letters to people, you know, trying to get your job together, and as far as their activities, you know, the activities that they can participate in, you know, to help themselves during their incarceration, there are drug groups and all that, the Jay-Cees and activities like this, you know, they have a lot more activities.

Which would, you know, the board would probably appreciate. But the women don't have these things. We don't have that many activities. We don't have any drug group.

- Q And your correctional officer does not help you at all prepare for the --
- A. No, our counselor writes up our presentence reports and she tries to write them to the best of her ability --
- Q But does she sit down with you, say for an hour before the hearing and talk to you about what is going to happen?
- · A. Yes.

- Q. She does do that?
- A. She'll sit -- when she takes our presentence report during the month that we're supposed to appear, she spends, you know, quite a bit of time with us. But she is the only

1 officer or any staff that puts that much output to us. 2 0. What recommendations would you make to improve 3 the parole board process? A. Well, I would like to see, number one, a pro-5 fessional parole board, I think they would be able to 6 spend much more time with each individual that appears 7 before them. It gives them much more time.

I would like them -- I would like to see them give us our answers if we're denied a parole, I'd like to see them discuss it with us. I'd like to see them tell us what can we do to improve this?

I would like a representative to go in with us. Sometime -- well, when I appeared, there was questions that might have been, you know, asked of me and when I'd answer them I'd get the feeling they'd think I was lying. And so maybe to collaborate: (sic) me, a representative, they could talk to my representative.

I feel we need equal representation.

- Q Do you have a feeling that there might be things perhaps in your writeup, your presentence report, that --
- A Yes. I feel there might be things in my file, my C file, not the presentence report, but my C file, yes.
- Q. That are incorrect and you have no chance to contradict these?
 - A. No. I don't even know what's in them.

25

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. LYNCH: I have no further questions.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any further questions?

Q (By Ms. DeLuca) Ms. Grant, I'd like to ask you, is your parole officer a male or female?

A. (By Ms. Grant) Female.

Q. I just wanted to explore, but I don't know how to ask exactly. In the way parole regulations are applied, do you see any treatment of women as differently as opposed to the way they treat men?

A. Well, no, I really can't say that. I don't know anything about how they treat the men parolees. I've had no contact with such.

My biggest concern is being able to contact the parole officer if the need arises, because I, for one, know that I can not do any more prison time. I've been locked up almost continuously since 1967, and that's a long time.

When I was extradited from California to Utah, and then I got out of prison in Utah, I had a magnificent parole officer, I could not have asked for a better officer nor a better friend. And I feel that Mrs. Smith will be the same way, but should the emergency arise, that I needed her, or any parolee, any -- as many people as we have on parole, certainly somebody's going to have problems after 5:00, on week ends or holidays.

You, yourself, if you got word that your mother was

critically ill or any problem like this, your first concern is going to be to do the best thing that you can for them.

Well, we're no different, just because we've been in prison and on parole doesn't make us any less a person or any less human about it. It doesn't make our desires and our -- in fact, our family bonds are probably some stronger because we -- we know the importance of family.

We know the importance of love because we've had to put it to testagand consequently we feel that this should be brought up.

- Q You're not allowed to associate with any other parolee, is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q Do you feel that this would be of benefit to you to be able to talk about your concerns and worries with other parolees?
- A Most definitely. This is one thing, you can't understand a parolee's problems, the situation that he's lived under and been put through, because you've never been there. You know, it's like being a mother. If you've never been a mother, you can imagine the feeling but yet unless you've been through it you actually do not know.

And it's the same thing about parole. A lot of times, well, the AA program says, if you feel like you're going to take a drink, call me, because they've been through it, they

know what it is. It's the same thing with us. If another parolee says, hey, I'm -- even if they threaten you violently, of spanking you or something, you know, it's -- you can get it out and say, well, hey, this is bothering me, and you relate, you've got a kind of a family bond that you can relate to another inmate, where -- where you can't work, you know, relate to somebody that doesn't work with these people.

MS. DELUCA: Thank you very much.

Q (By Dr. Katz) To follow up on that in California they have this little buddy system, friends that you do make sometimes and this can be of help to you on the outside, for instance, you do have some ties there, some concerns there, some mutual problems and right now that would be a violation of your parole?

A. Yes, it would. We've heard about Seventh-Step, is this -- we've heard about it and we think it's a great thing, well, I, for one, tried to write to -- I found a newspaper that was, Outlaw? I think it was Outlaw, and it's written by prisoners, and at that time I was very concerned, you know, because it was before time for my parole, and I was really concerned about anything that I could do to help Mary Grant to get out of prison, anything that I could do to help me to stay out. I was told that this newspaper or any newspaper like this was not -- not

approved for prisoners to have.

Along those lines, too, it's my understanding that a lot of individuals return back to prison not because they create another crime, as much as violate their parole, are there any other rulings or regulations relating to your parole that you might feel are unnecessary or would get you into further difficulty or you say bring about this tremendous fear that you must have, which was of violating the rules and regulations?

A. Right off-hand that's -- that is kind of a touchy question because you never know how far your parole officer, whether she's going to feel good today and this will be all right, or whether she's not going to feel good tomorrow and that won't be all right.

But usually the rules stand pretty pat, they stand pretty definite.

Q To be more specific, I understand one of the rules you have to get permission from your parole officer regarding change of jobs, you got to -- moving from one place to another, regarding debts, things of that nature --

A. I don't believe the permission -- as far as this goes -- when you have to call her and ask permission to move, I believe that this should be the person's prerogative.

If they're not comfortable in the home where they are, that as long as they stay in the general area that they

should be allowed to move.

The same thing with the job. If you're offered a better job tomorrow and get higher pay, you can't say, hey, fellow, wait till next week and let me, I got to talk to somebody else.

This is another thing. And as far as the debt, if I wanted to buy a car, for example, I have to go and obtain permission to buy that car. I understand the reasons behind this because of the money situation. There's many inmates that has been on parole that has never had money problems. I don't have any rent and I never have to have because I use the other fellow's.

You know, if he's got good credit, I'm fine. But now I want to establish a gentle, rather general, everyday existence, I want to be accepted as what I am now, and what I was before I become a criminal at the age of 33 years old.

DR. KATZ: Thank you.

Q. (By Ms. Lynch) You said in California you were able to have witnesses with you at your parole board. Did this prove to be cumbersome or time consuming? How long was your hearing?

A. I think I was in the -- before the committee, I'd say ten minutes. And it wasn't more than about ten or 15 minutes the whole time that I was in, out, my family was talked to, or friends at that time, I didn't have any family

in this area, but it was very quick. Almost as fast as it is here.

MS. LYNCH: Thank you.

Q (By Ms. Johnson) Did you feel, Mary, that having witnesses brought to the board in any way confused the board or made it confusing for them?

A No, I think it made them understand me as a person better. I don't believe many people know me much better than my children do. If my children are able to be questioned at that time, I believe that they, you know, are friends, know me better than people that just look at my papers and say, you know, real quick. You can't -- you can't look at what's on paper and know what's inside of a person because it -- your papers don't read like that.

Q. Were the witnesses well accepted by the board?

A Yes, they were. They were made to feel very welcome and they were -- I think everybody was more at ease, both the inmate and the board and the people that were in there. I think everybody was better satisfied.

MS. JOHNSON: Thank you, Mary.

THE CHAIRMAN: I want to thank you again, Ladies, for appearing before the Committee. And we certainly want to express our appreciation for your contribution, your testimony, and we are very grateful that you were able to come.

MS. MEOLI: Thank you for asking us.

1 THE CHAIRMAN: We will now ask Parole Board Chairman, 2 Mr. Clayton Phillips if he will come, Mr. Dennis Wright, 3 Vice Chairman of the Nevada Parole Board? And Mr. Carl 4 Hocker, Executive Secretary of the Parole Board and Pardons 5 Board. Gentlemen, do either of you have written statements 6 7 that you would like to give? 8 MR. WRIGHT: No statement. 9 MR. PHILLIPS: No statements. 10 MR. HOCKER: No. 11 THE CHAIRMAN: If not, we will start the -- with the 12 statement, do you have a statement, oral statement that 13 you would like to make? Either of you? 14 MR. WRIGHT: Do either of you gentlemen? 15 MR. HOCKER: No. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, we'll start questioning of Mr. 17 Phillips, the Chairman of the Nevada Parole Board, at this 18 time. 19 I quess that's me. MR. WALTHER: 20 (By Mr. Walther) I think because your positions are 21 similar, or the questions I directed to you would be similar 22 to the questions I would direct to you, I'd like to have 23 either one of you answer that would like to. If I ask one 24 question and you would like to supplement it or vice-versa, 25 please let's do it that way.

Nevada, I have been with First National Bank of Nevada for the last 32 years. I've been a vice president of the bank for about the last five or six years. And I'm president -- vice president and credit officer for southern Nevada, and I was appointed to the board approximately five years ago by Governor Texall (Phonetic) and reappointed by Governor O'Callaghan.

Q. I'm going to run through basically, just for information purposes, of the record the -- okay, Mr. Hocker, I guess we'll go through you also.

Could you please give us your background, please, and your -- up to your present position?

MR. CARL HOCKER

A: (By Mr. Hocker) I've been in the field of corrections for 36-plus years, this position was created by the legislature during the last session, and I was appointed July 1, 1973.

- Q What are your present duties at the present time?
- A. I'm the executive secretary to both the board of parole commissioners and the board of pardon commissioners.
- Q. And as executive secretary to the board of parole commissioners, what are your duties in that regard?

 A Well, I have a -- I have something here that is reduced to writing, if I may quote from it. Not necessarily in this order of importance. I have to assure that all inmates to appear before the board of parole commissioners as soon as they are legally eligible.

This position requires understanding of Nevada

Statutes an ability to compile and figure statutory time
and extra credits. Must assure inmates' rights are not
violated in other matters, such as hearing for loss of
statutory time.

This requires the general working knowledge of the Nevada Statutes and case law and attorney general's opinion, Civil Rights Act of 1964 and subsequent case law, knowledge in this area should at least be sufficient to recognize potential problems in order to seek attorney general's opinions.

I evaluate the merit of and approve or deny parole advancement applications. This requires skill in interviewing and evaluating human behavior and progress in the institutional setting, etcetera.

Requires a basic understanding of prison procedures, problems and in the inmates' subculture.

I maintain an office somewhere between the prison and the department of parole and probation and maintain liaison with those two departments.

I have to be able to interview inmates both in the general population and in the isolation and maximum security section of the prison.

It is part of my duties to evaluate the merit of and deny or approve pardons board applications. I am required to maintain knowledge of Nevada and national statistics pertaining to parole risk factors, awareness of current recommendations of professional associations, such as paroling authorities, etcetera, I must have knowledge pertaining to the legal aspects of the Interstate Compact Act.

I suggest changes in parole and pardon board policy and update the manuals in each area. I answer all correspondence from inmates, their families, attorneys and other interested parties regarding inmates and their problems as related to parole and pardon board functions.

I meet with all of the above when personal interviews are requested. I am responsible for all parole and pardons board agendas and schedules.

I assure that necessary items are placed on business meeting agendas both for policy review and for recommendations for specific modification of parole agreements, recommendations tions for early discharge from parole, etcetera.

And as I have said before, I act as a primary liaison between the parole board, parole department and the Nevada State Prison. I act as a primary liaison on behalf of the

governor and other pardons board members on all matters 1 pertaining to applications for that board. 2 Thank you. 3 Q. What are you reading from? 4 I beg your pardon? 5 What is that you're reading from, is that a memorandum 6 prepared by the attorney general's office or something 7 like that? 8 No. This is a personal memorandum that I prepared. A. 9 That you have prepared yourself? 10 In conjunction with other people. 11 Could you submit a copy of that to our 12 Reporter, for the record, rather than just to make it a 13 14 part of the record? No. 15 You can't? Well, I guess the Court Reporter is just 16 going to have to go with what he said. 17 Is there anybody who delineates the nature and extent 18 of your duties other than the ones that you promulgated 19 20 for yourself there? I'm sorry, I wasn't attentive. 21 22 Is there anyone who designates the the delineates you the nature and extent of your duties other than the 23 ones that you have promulgated there for yourself? 24 25 A. Well, primarily it is the board of parole commissioners

and the board of pardons commissioners.

- Q So this was prepared in conjunction with them?
- A. According to their instructions, yes.
- Q. I see.

Mr. Phillips, I'm going to run through some of the statutory provisions with regard to the parole board for the record, and I'd like to ask some questions of any of you, but if there's someone else who can better answer them, we'll do it that way.

I've provided for your ease, Chapter 213, of the Nevada Revised Statutes, if you want to refer to them.

First of all, do you know if there's been any policy in the past with regard to appointments of the people on the board? Has it been regional or have they been generally members of the same party of the governor at that time, or -- I'm just trying to get a little bit at the history of the makeup of the board.

A. (By Mr. Phillips) All right.

I've been on this board about ten years, I've served under three governors and at no time has there ever been a pattern as you suggest. I didn't think.

- Q I'm not suggesting that's the case, I'm just asking you from your experience.
- A. At no time do I think politics has ever entered the picture because I happen to be a Republican and I've served,

.

I'm serving under a Democratic governor. And I served
under two Republican governors. I don't think I saw them
during the time I was on, more than two or three times
a year.

O Were you first appointed by a Republican governor?
A. Yes, I was on the first board that was ever established in this state, under Governor Russell.

O Is there any money provided by the state or anybody

- Q Is there any money provided by the state or anybody for any educational or courses for the members of the pardons board now, and current -- or the parole board, on the current theories or anything along that line?
- A. In other words, you're talking about in-service training, I assume?
- 14 Q. Yes, I am.

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 15 A. There are no funds that I know of for that.
 - A. (By Mr. Hocker) Excuse me, I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman, there are funds in the current budget for training for the parole board. No definite plans have been formulated at this point in time but there does exist money for that purpose.
 - Q. There exists it now, you say --
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. -- or it's going to be submitted to the next legis-24 lature?
 - A. No, it exists now, it's in the current budget.

1	Q. What kind of money are you talking about?
2	A. I'm not able to tell you in dollars and cents, I
3	would have to consult the fiscal officer to tell you with
4	any degree of accuracy.
5	When you talk to Mr. Campos you might address that
6	question to him, it's possible that he can tell you, off the
7	top of his head.
8	Q. Do you know if this happens to be federal or state
9	money?
10	A. State.
11	Q. Can you tell me a little bit how often the board
12	meets?
13	A. (By Mr. Phillips) We meet biannually bimonthly,
14	I should say.
15	Q. Once every two months?
16	A. Right.
17	Q. I see.
18	And how often are those meetings, or how long are
19	those meetings?
20	A. Two days with an executive session the evening
21	before the first day of the meeting. Or hearing, I should
22	say.
23	Q. Who decides how often you meet?
24	Who decides how often you meet?
25	A. The board itself.

And you've set that up as meeting six times a year? Q. 1 Originally it was for two meetings per year and as 2 the case load increased we finally arrived at the point 3 where we're meeting six times a year. 4 When did you start that schedule? 5 I don't know, I was off the board during the --6 wait a minute, during the administration of Governor 7 (Phonetic), I think they got up to four meetings and I 8 would say about six years ago we went to six meetings, 9 10 that's just a guess. And have the meetings always been two or two-and-a-11 half-day meetings? 12 They -- the first meetings I ever attended 13 were one day and two of those a year. 14 Where do you generally meet? 15 Where do we generally meet? 16 Right. Q. 17 The executive session is held at the Reno office in 18 Reno, on a Monday night, we spend one day at maximum and one 19 day at minimum. 20 And that's where your hearings are held? 21 A. Yes. 22 How do you get paid for this, or are you compensated 23 in any way? 24

Would you repeat that?

25

A.

. 1 Are you compensated in any way? O. 2 Your question was how are we compensated or what are 3 we compensated for? 4 The compensation is \$25.00 per day. And per diem for 5 those who have to travel down south or anywhere else out 6 in the state. 7 How much time does the board have when they're 8 going to consider an application for parole prior to the 9 actual hearing, to examine the individual members' file 10 and become familiar with the inmate? 11 We receive the parole referral reports about two A. 12 weeks in advance of the hearing. 13 Each member --14 Each member does, correct. 15 Do you go over these in executive session? Q. 16 If there's something especially of interest to all 17 members we do. If they're routine matters we don't. 18 routine cases we don't discuss them until the day of the 19 hearing. 20 Do you -- is it theresponsibility of the members, then, 21 to have read these and be prepared before the hearing takes 22 place? 23 It is his responsibility, correct. 24 Who prepares the actual information each member 25 receives?

The institution, and of course, they're sent out to A. 1 us by our own executive secretary. 2 What are, generally, what is contained in these --3 In the referral reports? 4 Yes, in the referral reports? 5 First of all, a synopsis of the events, then various 6 segments that refer to the case itself. And the various 7 categories, criminal record, you name it. 8 Does the recommen -- is a recommendation included? 9 A recommendation is always included, correct. 10 Is the applicant for parole ever given a copy of 11 this parole report? 12 No. 13 Is there a reason why? 14 The reason is that they are confidential. 15 Is there a policy reason why the board wants to make 16 them confidential? 17 Not necessarily, no. 18 In other words, if a particular applicant wished to 19 see it, would it be made available to him? 20 Well, it's very simple, you wouldn't want to let the 21 applicant read the report that he is insane or judged 22 insane or psychologically incompetent, that's one of the 23

reasons.

Why do you think that?

24

1 Why do --A. 2 Yes, in other words, why, in your opinion, would it 3 be wrong for a pending applicant for parole not to know the 4 contents of the report indicated that he had a mental 5 imbalance or some substantial reason why --6 I would worry about the adverse effect on the man 7 himself. 8 (By Mr. Hocker) Allow me to interject a comment, 9 please. 10 They're not entitled to those things by statute. 11 Anyone who is not involved with the institution or the parole 12 board may not have possession of those things without an 13 order issued by the court. That's been firmly established. 14 Q. Is there any statute that requires that parole 15 reports be confidential? 16 Off the top of my head, I can't tell you. 17 tell you, however, that there is an attorney general's 18 opinion to the effect that they are not entitled to those 19 things. 20 Well, then, in other words, the -- there's no 21 statute which says they're entitled to them, is that as 22 correct? 23 I can't answer that. 24 And so you -- the reason why these referral reports 25 have been not made available to the applicant is because

of the reliance of the board on the attorney general's report?

- A. Well, the primary reason is to preserve the confidentiality of this document.
- Q Is there a reason, in other words, I personally would feel that it would be perhaps helpful, although it may hurt the person's feelings, the applicant or something like that. But it seems it seems for me it would be near impossible for an applicant to parole to contend with the charge that he was insane or had mental imbalance without knowing that the charge is being made.
- A. Well, he's not charged with being -- with being insane --
- Q Well, I was referring to the example that Mr. Phillips had in mind, I'm not saying that they contain these charges. I'm using the instance in which such a charge is made.

How can an applicant for parole ever deal with a problem like that unless he knows the problem has been brought to the attention of the parole board through its parole report?

A. Well, he's aware of any mental condition, so-tospeak, if he's neurotic or has a high violence potential,
he is invariably on some form of medication in order to
stabilize his condition. He knows full well what his condition

1 is when he gets up tight, to use that phraseology, and gets 2 all upset, he heads for the doctor and asks for some 3 medication in order to stabilize his upset condition. Or to relieve it. 5 If that is the case, that the applicant for parole 6 is aware that he has a mental problem, what policy reason 7 would the parole board have to deny him the contents of the 8 record which contains that information? 9 . Well, that would, in general terms, be the recom-10 mendation of the -- of the psychiatrist who tells the insti-11 tution staff that he does not want this psychiatric report 12 made available to the institution, because of the -- of 13 the excellent probability that it would further and further 14 increase this man's anxiety. It would militate against 15 anything that the psychiatrist was attempting to do, and 16 if the psychiatrist says, don't do something like that, 17 the board or anybody else has no alternative except to 18 obey him. 19 Do you mean that the contents of the referral record Q. 20 include the provision that the psychiatrist recommended 21 that the portion of the report relating to his mental con-22 dition not be disclosed to him? 23 Well, we're referring to a specific instance and not 24

I understand that.

25

Q.

A. Yes.

Q So in that particular instance, the psychiatrist, the referral report includes the provision that the psychiatrist does not want this information disclosed?

A. Yes, if he wants to tell him something about his condition, he wants to do it in his own terms and his own fashion.

Q Well, there's a lot of things that we'd like to get to, but one thing I think, as long as we're sort of on the right of disclosure and the rights of the applicant at the hearing, or prior to the hearing, is the applicant given the opportunity to have the advice of counsel during the hearing on the parole application? Is he given the right to have the presence of counsel --

A. (By Mr. Phillips) You're talking about a parole hearing now --

O. Yes, I am.

No, he does not have the right to counsel.

Q What policy reason does the board have or what reason do they have for not giving that right at the present time?

A At one time we did allow representation at the hearings, the pastor or an attorney or some good friend or some such thing, but we found and learned later that it was more or less discriminatory against those who couldn't afford an attorney, who couldn't scrape up somebody to repre-

sent themselves or represent them, and also we found that those being represented by attorneys especially were taking maybe three or four times the amount of time, therefore depriving others of that same time that they were actually entitled to.

So it came to the point of where we just had to discontinue allowing counsel representation at the parole hearings.

- Q When did that decision take place?
- A. I would guess, do you remember?
 - A. (By Mr. Wright) I'd say about four years ago.
 - A. (By Mr. Phillips) About four years ago.
- 13 | Ω About 1970?
- A. When things started to grow and pile up as they are now.
 - Q. Do you personally feel that it's advantageous to an applicant for parole to have counsel present at the parole hearing?
 - A. I don't think it does any good.

I'm interested in what that man has to say and what he's like, what his plans are, and I don't like to listen to somebody that's telling me what that man thinks when I'm not sure that the man who tells me that actually knows.

Q. In other words, you feel in your own mind that the applicant perhaps has a better chance if he appears by himself

rather than with counsel? 1 I would certainly say so based on my experience. 2 Do you agree with that? (By Mr. Wright) Yes, I do. Mr. Hocker, would you tend to vote the same way? 5 (By Mr. Hocker) I beg your pardon? 6 Would you tend to feel the same way on that? 7 Q. Yes, I do. 8 In what ways did you feel it was detrimental to have 9 Q. counsel at least present? 10 (By Mr. Phillips) Detrimental? 11 Yes, is there any reason --12 If counsel is at least present, I can't see where 13 14 there's anything detrimental about it with the exception that we have a policy that we don't allow anybody at the 15 hearings, no observers, except paid personnel of the insti-16 tution or of the parole department. 17 But in our trying to decide, you know, make decisions 18 as to whether it's a good policy or a bad policy, we'd be 19 interested in your comments as to why you feel or the 20 board feels it's important to exclude people from atileast 21 being present at the hearing, even if they didn't actually , 22 participate in the hearing itself --23 24 I think, if I might break in here, that too many

people in the room discourage an individual appearing for

parole. I've seen the effect on them when we used to allow all sorts of observers and representation and whatnot. I think fewer people in the room, the better the chance of getting at the man himself is.

In other words, you know what I mean when I say getting at him, we want to get into his thinking. He's not worried about four or five other people listening to him, he's worried about us.

- Q But what if the request is made by the applicant himself to have, say his parents present, if he's a young boy or his wife present if he's married or his counsel if his counsel just wants to be there to know what's going on?
- A. I'd say if we were forced to do it we could get along with it, we could live with it.
- Q. In other words, is it possible now for a parole applicant to have the presence of a close member of the family or counsel?
- A. Is it possible now?

- Q At his request, yes.
- A. No, no, it's absolutely against our policy.
- Q Do you foresee any change of that policy at the present time?
- A. No, because I think we've had better results, I have seen both methods, and we're having better results now. We're covering more ground.

Q. In what way are the results better?

A. We get a chance to talk to the man and delve into his character, his thinking, but when you sit and listen to an attorney for half an hour, believe me, you know how attorneys can talk.

- Q. You're listening to one right now.
- A. That's one of those things.
- Q. No, I'm talking not even so much the situation where counsel is actually articulated in the case in behalf of the applicant, but the situation where a person wants to have members of the family or even his legal counsel present, even though not participating. Is there any reason why the board would not want to permit that to take place?
- A. We would -- it's contrary to our policy as -- I'll repeat, if we had to live with it we could, and I can't see where it would harm very much, either.

In some cases, yes, in some cases, no.

Q. I don't want to be -- to mean to be argumentative, if I ask you these questions, but when you have a hearing, for example, generally counsel is permitted to advocate on behalf of the client or ask questions or make sure that certain testimony and facts are elicited and the fellow may not be inclined to recommend because he's concerned or inexperienced or that type of thing. And of course, counsel

are present at trials and everything else.

Do you feel that the parole board hearing is sucficiently different to justify the denying of the presence of counsel for the participation as opposed to trial or other kinds of hearings where counsel are allowed to participate?

- A. I'd say you got ahead of me there at one point, but I would say that if it were up to me I would certainly deny from now on out, counsel being present at the parole hearing.
- Q. Do you think the parole applicant should be entitled to examine the file which is in the possession of the parole board, with regard to information bearing upon his right for his rehabilitativeness or that kind of thing?
- A. Well, I don't think he should have access to those files because there sometimes is derogatory information contained therein, and if nothing else, why disillusion the poor man? Why not give him a little hope of some kind.
- Q. In other words, it would be your feeling that the derogatory information in the file should not be made known to the applicant?
- A. No. Some of it's very personal, of course. And

 I don't believe in bringing that out and embarrassing the
 man or anything else. I sometimes think that has no place
 in the hearing itself or the judgment of the hearing.

that his has occurred, is that what you're referring to?

Q. Well, I'm referring to the situation that -- that may be an example I don't know, but that Mr. Phillips was referring to in which the file will contain derogatory information which he didn't want to disillusion the applicant by having him know it was in the file.

And perhaps that is a situation or maybe other kinds of information, too.

- A. (By Mr. Phillips) Correct.
- Q (By Dr. Katz) Well, to be more specific, the inmates indicated sometimes the FBI report will indicate arrests but they haven't gotten a chance to explain that these arrests, let's say were not at all appropriate to their particular background and this derogatory information may be present in the files but there's no chance for them to react to it or disprove it or put it in the right context.
 - A. (By Mr. Hocker) Well, let me react on that, please.

You're referring to the rap sheet where it lists an arrest, which has been made a matter of record by the Federal Bureau of Investigation. Then the other column doesn't list any disposition. So if any mention is made of that in the referral report, if it is mentioned and stated that he was arrested for thus and so, but no, there's no disposition listed.

In that case it is a policy of the institution and

certainly that of the parole board to disregard that particular thing. If a disposition is listed, yes. That is, that is also mentioned in the referral report.

Sometimes an arrest that lists no disposition carries a lot of import with it, for example an arrest for sex offense, a rape or child molest or something of that sort, there are many instances it's quite common where the victim declines to prosecute because of the embarrassment or the trauma involved in the prosecution. In the case of child molest and that sort of thing, it is generally agreed in many instances by both the public defender and the attorney for the defense and the district attorney, that it would be tragic and very traumatic to the child to put it through a trial in court.

So that's one instance where an arrest is important. That sort of thing is checked out to find out what the disposition is and why there was no prosecution initiated.

If I make myself clear.

Q. Is the parole applicant made -- informed that all of this information with regard to this claimed sex offense against a minor is in his report? Is that information made available to him?

A. Well, he knows that he was arrested, they don't have to -- nobody has to tell him that.

What is important, however, is that the board is not

going to hand down any judgment in this case based on that particular thing, they know it's there, but that's not part of their deliberations really.

Q Well, it seems to me, though, that if it isn't part of their deliberations it shouldn't even be in the referral report because certainly there must be a reason why somebody put it in there.

A. (By Mr. Wright) I think you're getting away from the purpose and how these reports are prepared for the board.

They're prepared by the prison counselor who deals with this man almost daily. The prison counselor and the institutions try to understand this man as to which is the best way for him to go.

Now, in evaluating this man there's many things we said derogatory. Well, a counselor sees him one way, sees a danger for him if he goes this way, does something else. Well, if these reports are made available to the inmate, and the judgment of the counselor he should do this or do that or he's done or done that, he's making this kind of progress or lack of progress, whatever the counselor and the inmate may have going for themselves to try to help an inmate, you're going to destroy it.

I just can't see it.

Q. I'm going to run through a couple of things, if I may.

THE CHAIRMAN: Changing the train of questioning, I

have heard through the statements of all of you with regard to the board policies, setting policies and by the board from time to time.

Are these policies considered based on statutory requirements or it's just the opinion of the members of the board that these policies should be instituted to improve the conduct of the board from time to time?

- A. (By Mr. Phillips) These policies are made within the framework of the statutes, that's all I can tell you.

 The board has very broad powers.
 - Q. (By the Chairman) I understand.
 - A. And sometimes maybe too broad, I don't know.
- 13 Q. I understand.

But primarily many of them are not based on the statutes, for instance, let's deal specifically --

- A. I'm just a little puzzled as to where you're going.
- Q Let's be a little specific with one that was mentioned a few moments ago and that's the one that says that you have established that no inmate can have at a hearing, an attorney --
 - A. That's right.
 - 0 Or a minister or friend?
 - A. Right.
- Q Now, is there any place in the statute that would deny that?

- Will deny the board that power? Not that we know of. 1 No, that would deny that individual having this Q. 2 type of --3 A. No, the policies we make are cleared through the 4 attorney general's office and as far as the technical end 5 of it is concerned, I can't answer that. 6 The technical end? 7 I can't answer that. 8 Could we request of the board to have that checked 9 out and information given back to us, that this item in 10 particular is covered by statute? 11 A. Yes. 12 Since the attorney general gave you an opinion. 13 Could we have this opinion? 14 (By Mr. Hocker) Let me respond like this: 15 turn the question around as it were, I can't tell you 16 whether or not the statutes specifically say that the 17 applicant may or may not have counsel or friend present, 18 19 but I can say that, based on an attorney general's opinion, 20 the policy of the board is completely proper according to 21 the statutes. Mr. Hocker, that's the policy of the board, I'm 22 Q.
- talking about this specific item.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Did you have an opinion of the attorney general on

. **I**

1 this particular, I notice I have here the information where 2 this decision was made? 3 A. Yes. 4 All right. 5 Now, could we have, could you get us information 6 where the attorney general gave you an opinion on this 7 particular item? 8 I'll attempt to do that. 9 We'd appreciate that very much, if we could get 10 that. 11 (By Mr. Walther) Is it your understanding that the Q. 12 attorney general has advised the board that it would not 13 be legal to have counsel present or have people present --14 No. 15 -- or just that it is not illegal to deny them? 16 That's better. 17 (By Ms. DeLuca) I'd like to ask Mr. Phillips, do 18 you read each and every referral report thoroughly that 19 comes to you? 20 (By Mr. Phillips) I read it through and through 21 several times. See, we have two weeks to work on these 22 things prior to the hearings themselves. 23 Q. Mr. Wright, do you read each one?

(By Mr. Wright) I read them, I used to go through

them at night at the house and make notations and so forth,

24

5

and by the time I got up there to hear that man, you get a completely different feeling for him, you're either more for him or -- you just change your mind.

Now, to answer your question, I read them briefly, but I wait until I get to see the guy and then he makes a difference.

- Q. So, do you feel a certain amount of information that comes before is irrelevant or --
 - A. No, they're good reports.

Now, everybody says you talk about negative information in there. It tells you in that report the nature of the crime, what happened, briefly, not the details, then about the man's family, his prior convictions, his prison counselor's opinion of the man, which way he should go or how he's progressing. There's a lot of information in there, that thing may be on one case, there may be five or six pages of information.

So, there's all sorts of information in there and like I said before, it wouldn't be good for the guy to read it in 99% of the cases.

Q (By Dr. Katz) I can't understand that, the psychologists with quite a bit of training and background and did lot of psychological evaluations for the courts and I have a great deal of difficulty, I think I'm fairly skilled, in making a decision about a person within ten or even 15

minutes or even an hour of interviewing him, I don't

quite understand how in, say ten or 15 minutes a single

person, you can make a decision without going thoroughly

into the person's background and the history, something

about where he's going to, something about his family

background, something about the employment situation, make

a crucial decision regarding parole and have that much

minute interview with a person? _

A (By Mr. Wright) Doctor, I've been a banker all my life, I made a lot of decisions a lot quicker than that.

faith in your judgment within, let's say what, ten, 15-

- Q (By Ms. DeLuca) I would like to ask of Mr. Wright, you mentioned you feel that many, I think not all men, it would push them kind of backwards to have them read their own report?
 - A. That's right.
- Q. And I'd like -- I mean do you have any training in counseling or this area which would lead you to believe this kind of thing? Or is it just your lay experience?
 - A It's just my lay experience.
- My experience with it, because I'm a social worker and I deal with people who have many, many problems also, that if the report is responsibly, well written up the majority of people are certainly not harmed by reading them and maybe helped, maybe helped if it's used right.

I won't say everyone, but -- I'd just like to put that in, you know.

- Q. (By Dr. Katz) Yes, I might answer in terms of the ethics profession, now we're beginning to realize the rights of individuals whom we make evaluations of and we may, as Mr. Hocker indicated, put things in terms of our own language we think the individuals could understand, but generally, we do feel responsibility and I'm talking I think now for psychologists and psychiatrists to make known to the individual at some level at least, the kind of evaluation that we do make of that particular person, to the extent to which he can understand and to the extent to which he's able to use the information constructively.
- Q. (By Ms. DeLuca) Mr. Phillips, can I ask you, on the average, about how long would you spend with each potential parollee? Questioning him?
- A. (By Mr. Phillips) That's hard to determine. I can tell you this, some come in and don't take any time, they have nothing to say, just want to appear before the board.

Others will come in and they're very oratorically inclined so-to-speak, and they may speak for 20 minutes and take the other man's time who didn't use that particular period of time.

It's just hard to say. We don't limit them to 15 minutes, if the man wants to talk 20 minutes that's fine,

or if he takes a half an hour, that's fine.

Because the thing, we use that 15 minute schedule more or less just to see where we are going and when we can expect to be finished with the hearings, that's all we do.

- Q. (By Mr. Walther) Basically, spaced 15 minutes apart?
- A. It's set up on 15-minute schedule periods, and as I say, some don't take three minutes.
- Q (By Ms. DeLuca) I'm curious as to on this time factor you said when attorneys were allowed in the room and so forth, that they seemed to be taking up a lot of time of the other people who weren't, didn't have attorneys with them.

And so then that, you made the policy that no attorneys were allowed.

I'm curious as to why the time wasn't expanded for everyone so that everyone would have less time?

- A. (By Mr. Phillips) It seems to work out very well the way we're doing it now.
 - Q I find that rather interesting.
 - A. So we know we're doing something right.
- Q I just find it interesting that it would go backwards in a sense, to deny everyone that amount of time
 rather than allowing everyone the amount. In terms of
 questions, if you ask the inmates questions, can you tell us

what kinds of questions you might ask them?

A. We ask him what his future plan might be if he were put out on the street, say tomorrow, if he plans any plans, that tells you something, if he doesn't have any plans, that tells you something again.

Ask him about his family, they're interested in knowing what kind of a background he would be going into, family ties are usually, if he has good ties, why we know there's something there to help him, probably moreso than anything else.

That type of question. What he's fitted to do, what his scholastic background is, 101 things.

- Q. Are you interested in these kinds of things, for example, like the amount of selfconfidence he might have in himself and that type of thing?
- A. We don't ask that type of question. I won't say we do normally. We leave that to the psychiatrist, psychologist, whatever the case might be.
- Q Is that something you would, in your mind, look for when you look at an individual?
- 21 A. Yes, very definitely.
 - Q How do you determine that, just from your own personal standard, when you view a person, how are you able to tell whether they, you know, have that confidence, can you kind of, you know, other than their record in terms of

1 their work --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

A. Well, their very deportment in their seat before you tells you something.

- Q. The way they set, things like that?
- A. That's right, you can always watch this too, and tell.
- Q. Yes, I think we all do.

I'm curious, because this thing about cultural awareness, are you aware that different cultural groups have certain different ways of showing their selfconfidence or lack of selfconfidence, in the way they behave?

- 12 A. Right.
- 13 Q. Do you feel your sensitive to that?
- 14 A. To a degree, yes, to a degree.
 - Q. For example, if a man or woman who came in and wasn't able to speak up very much because they're just not a very verbal person or kind of slouched and so forth, because maybe just not be part of his cultural background to be very assertive and aggressive.

20 Are you sensitive to those kinds of things?

- 21 A. Fairly so, yes, sir.
 - Q. (By Dr. Katz) One more, and that is relative to the, 38% or so or whatever percentage, who do not make it in terms of those that you grant parole, do you get any information that may aid you in terms of future decisions,

as to what happened, what went wrong or in those instances where you made, let's say a successful decision relative to, that they may be able to make it in society, do you know which ones do make it and on what basis, so that in terms of future decisions you have that kind of feedback, let's say, to account as some kind of directive or measure in which to make future decisions?

- A. That's a hard one to answer. I don't believe in percentages, I don't think that the percentage has anything to do with parole. I don't think you should allow the percentages to sway your decisions one way or the other.
- Q I'm not talking about percentage, I'm talking about those numbers, in other words, there are some individuals who you grant parole who get into further difficulty, who have to be returned back to the institution --
 - A. Right.
- Q There are those who do not, who continue on and become responsible, constructive citizens.

Whatever that percentage is. Do you get feedback as to how these individuals make it or don't make it, so that when you have future decisions to make you have some additional criteria, some knowledge, let's say, of how successful or unsuccessful your decisions were?

A. No, we don't have the feedback.

1 (By Ms. DeLuca) What percentage of the time would 2 you say you concur with the recommendation of the parolee's 3 counselor in relation to whether to parole or not, do you 4 have any statistics on that? 5 A. We don't, that I know of. The recommendation of the counselor, if that's what you mean, doesn't sway the 6 board either way, I would say. 7 8 Can you just in your mind recall approximately 9 how many times you've -- you were in agreement, though? -I would say that we agree with them more times than 10 A. we don't agree with them, yes, maybe two to three, three 11 12 to two. 13 Q. (By Mr. Walther) Does the parole board ever disagree 14 are they ever not unanimous? Are they ever not unanimous? 15 (By Mr. Wright) Do we ever disagree. And how. (By Mr. Phillips) Very definitely. Violently, 16 17 sometimes. 18 Has the parole board ever established policies or 0. 19 any considerations which the parole applicant can cling to 20 in knowing what is important to the parole board? 21 solely rehabilitation, does deterrence ever play a factor, 22 for example? 23 I'm thinking of a situation where, you know the 24 fellow would probably never commit the crime again and

probably would walk out on the streets and never do a thing

wrong again, but on the other hand, it might look bad be-1 cause of all, he only served just a short period of time. 2 Is that ever brought up in discussions, the reasons for 3 deterrence or making him pay his debt to society, even 4 though he'll never commit a crime again? 5 (By Mr. Wright) You can't ignore the fact that time is to be served sometime, and dependent upon the type of 7 crime and so forth, we think about it, it isn't a big 8 discussion item. 9 But you tell me, commissioners, what is -- what's 10 a man's life worth? How many years should he serve for 11 killing somebody? How many years should he serve for having 12 six or seven past felonies? 13 Tell me when he's going to be rehabilitated and 14 saved and everything else? We don't know. Nobody knows 15 and you tell me, I don't know. 16 17

Yes, I do consider time, but it isn't -- you consider it in the back of your mind but it isn't the factor in voting whether he goes or doesn't.

Q. I didn't hear the last part, I'm sorry.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A You consider it but it isn't a factor in when I vote yes or no whether he goes on parole or not.
- Q In other words, your sole consideration is whether or not he would ever commit a crime again, or if he's sufficient to be safely out on the streets?

A. That's right, and I -- you know we're sitting here discussing our boys over here and girls, I wish that about half of this panel that you're on, whatnot, would be made up of the average John Doe Citizen that's been the victim of some of our people over here, that -- then we'd really get down to some nitty-gritty answers. I --

- Q I'm curious to know why you think, honestly, that that would make a difference in the questions we ask, because it seems to me the questions shouldn't necessarily relate to, you know, the offense, in other words, we're just talking about here, when a person comes before your board, what rights does he have, what considerations do you give in granting or denying, we're not trying to get advocate, one way or another --
 - A Well, that's just my personal opinion, Steve.
- Do you consider, for example, when you -- you decide
 against parole that maybe he's safe to be out on the
 streets again, but he should pay a debt to society for the
 viciousness of the crime?

In other words, there probably are instances where crimes are committed that would never be committed again.

A. Yes, I consider it.

Q. Is there ever any guidelines given to somebody when parole is denied, so that he has some reason or some way to work toward proving a situation in your eyes, so that the

next time he comes before you he'll have a chance?

In other words, we've had people all day long here, telling us they've never been given the reasons why they were denied, they're just denied. And so therefore, they have no reason to -- or no way to know how to improve themselves. Or no way to argue that the decision by the board was arbitrary because the decision, the reasons given were not in fact based upon the facts.

Does the board have a policy along that line?

- A. (By Mr. Phillips) We have been sending word back as to why they were denied, since the first of the year, since January.
- 13 Q. How do you do that? How do you send this word back?
- 14 A. It's sent back to their counselor.
- 15 Q Do you all agree on reasons, for example, number one -
- 16 A. Yes. In the presence of the counselor so that he can take the word back.
- 18 Q I see, he gets it down, one, two, three, these are the reasons?
- 20 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

23

24

25

- 21 Q And they're made a part of the record?
- 22 A. Yes.
 - Q. Because the reason it seems important to me is not only so he'll have something to work for, is so next time he comes before the board it may not have the same members

....

and it's quite nunfair, it seems to me, to work toward one thing and then come before a new group of people and find out that --

- A. He usually gets the message from the length of period of the denials is related to him also.
- I have before me a form which is filled out by the institution representative who is present in the board room when the hearing is being held, a copy of which I furnished the Commission staff.

(By Mr. Hocker) May I respond to that, please?

The institution representative, as the proceedings develop, makes notes of what the board is saying to the man and after he has departed, what they're saying about him, and at that time, the reasons for the denial are delineated and recorded.

And it's passed on this that the individual is told the reason for his -- for his denial.

Have I made myself clear?

- Q Secretary Hocker, when was this policy established?
- A. Well, these scratch sheets have always been in existence, the practice of giving applicants specific reasons for their denial began in January of this year.
- MS. STANOVIK: I have a couple of other types of questions.
 - Q. (By Ms. Stanovik) Do either of you two know if there

```
1
    are any minimum qualifications that have to be met before
2
    somebody is appointed by the governor as a --
3
            (By Mr. Phillips). There are no minimum qualifications.
      A.
4
            No minimum qualifications.
5
            Do you have any idea what he uses as a basis to de-
6
     cide who is --
7
            I have no idea, do you --
8
            (By Mr. Wright)
                              No.
9
       Q.
            Nothing.
10
            (By Mr. Phillips) We're both Republicans.
11
       Q.
            And my other question was, along the lines of
12
    training, have you, as board members, received any type of
13
    training at all in the lines of corrections, dealing with
14
     inmates, those kinds of things?
15
            We've had no formal training, but I understand our
16
    results are just about as good as the professionals' are.
17
       Q.
            What about training in working with people from
18
     different ethnic backgrounds, that kind of training?
19
      A.
            No training.
20
            None at all?
21
            But there's a --
       A.
22
       Q.
            Is there any kind of training that you've received
23
    as parole commissioners?
24
            None at all.
       A.
25
       0.
            None at all.
```

A. Just the older ones taking care of the younger ones, that's about it.

A. (By Mr. Hocker) I'd like to respond to that.

- Q. (By Mr. Walther) Before we get off on that, this would be a good time to mention, do you think it would be beneficial to invest the funds and have a full time parole board composed of people who would maybe have an educational background in corrections or something along that line, who could devote full time to corrections.
- A. (By Mr. Phillips) Right, Nevada is unique, as you know, and I think eventually you're going to have that, the way this caseload is increasing, you're going to have to come up with a full time parole board, because you can't expect busy citizenry, busy in their own occupations, to come over here much, put in much more time than we're putting now. I can tell you that from experience, and I think you will come to a full time parole board. I don't think the taxpayers will be happy, but nevertheless, I think it's a must, eventually.
- Q You think it's -- the State of Nevada is ready now for a full time parole board?
- A. I don't think it's quite necessary, I think it could be solved probably by increasing the size of the board, have monthly meetings, one panel meet one month

and another the next month, that might be the next move.

I don't think it's something that a full time board situation is something we should jump into overnight, it will take a lot of thinking, better make sure you keep them busy.

- Q What if the time for parole hearings was expanded to 30 minutes an applicant, at that point do you think it would be time for a full time board?
- A. It wouldn't. You could give an applicant 30 minutes but he might only take three again, that's what I'm trying to get at.

There aren't many that would take 30 minutes, I can tell you that. Even though you tell them they can have all day, if they want it, they still want to have a look at you and then on out. Some of them.

A. (By Mr. Hocker) Mr. Walther, I would like to respond to part of that. Plans are being formulated and are going to be implemented in the very near future, at least we expect to present this plan and when I say we, Mr. Campos and myself, to the board, increasing the number of hearing representatives from the number that we are now, using.

This falls within the statutes, it works well, and will have the effect of -- the dual effect of reducing the work load imposed on the present board, and giving them the opportunity to devote more time to their clientele, that's

a firm commitment.

Q How often, how many percent of applications, are they heard before the lafullage board, can you give me any facts along that line?

A. Well, when I speak of the hearing representative I speak of splitting the board of parole commissioners up into segments and assigning X number of hearing rep. to each segment, that it's been split into, there's certain types of cases that the hearing representatives can not participate in, full board must handle that.

But this is a matter of -- that we're going to solve in our planning and in the formulation of this plan.

I would like, also, to ask to respond to Ms. Stanovik, you asked a question a moment ago and I welforgotten the context of it, but I did want to respond to it.

MS. STANOVIK: About training, probably.

A. Oh, yes.

Well, you're talking about the qualifications of parole board members. So I would respond to that with a two-fold question, one, what is a professional board?

And two, what are the qualifications of our jurists? They have no training other than their profession as attorney to pass judgment on another human being.

So I see a parallel here. It's all a matter of good judgment.

Q (By Mr. Romero) In a court of law, though, a jury normally has from one day to two years to listen to one case.

A. Right.

- Q. And the person does have the rights of representation by an attorney, so I don't think you can really draw a parallel there. Mr. --
- A. But the judge hands down the sentence. The jury finds him guilty or not guilty.

THE CHAIRMAN: Gentlemen --

Q (By Mr. Walther) Mr. Hocker, I would like to ask you one question along that line.

In the sentence and hearing, isn't it true -- it's true and I agree with that, because I was going to bring that up, for example, what a parole board was composed of judges, because judges, after all, do mete out sentences at sentencing hearings, and are also the ones responsible for granting parole or probation. But in that instance, where you have a situation where a judge is making that determination, and you also have a lawyer who's an advocate for him, they also have the power to subpoena witnesses and also have the power to take the stand themselves and cross examine the person who provides the presentence report, put him on the stand.

Don't you think those safeguards would be well

served if they, the parole applicant, had the same rights at a parole hearing?

Because, after all, that's what the hearing is
about, whether or not a person is incarcerated or goes free,
consideration to the same.

- A. Well, this is something of my own making that I stepped into and I'm not going to get into and about a debate.
- Q. (By Dr. Katz) I've some questions along those lines, I want to paraphrase by saying the two of you have a tremendous responsibility considering you're volunteering you're time and energies.
 - A. It's an awesome one, I assure you.
- Q I'm concerned, though, about some discrepancies in terms of what you've indicated and what I heard earlier from Mr. Lattin and Mr. Pyle, and that is according to what you indicated they felt that perhaps 90% of the recommendations that they made were coincidental or similar to yours, but apparently it's considerably less than that, from what you've indicated.
- A. (By Mr. Phillips) Yes.

Q Secondly, it was their feeling that the reports that they prepared were highly considered in terms of the final decision, what I hear from you is that they may or may not be, since they are not considered that highly, that perhaps your interview with the individual during that time is --

1 has much more weight? 2 A. Correct. 3 In reference to their report and I just wanted to 4 check that out with you. 5 (By Ms. DeLuca) Does Mr. Hocker make recommendations 6 to your board regarding the --7 Oh, does Mr. Hocker make --8 Yes, do you receive recommendations at any time from Q. 9 him, regarding what to --10 No, and we wouldn't pay any attention to him if he A. 11 did. 12 That's what I wanted to know. Q. 13 (By Mr. Walther) I have some questions about parole 14 revocation, very quickly. 15 A. Yes. 16 Are there rules and regulations covering parole 17 revocation proceedings? 18 A. What are they? 19 Are there any? Q. 20 I don't know what you mean by rules, but parole 21 revocation entitles a man to counsel, he can face adverse 22 witnesses against him, he can bring in his own witnesses 23 if he so desires. He's entitled to something in writing 24 as to the actual proceedings and the results, that's the 25 only one of the four classifications that's like a trial,

1 so-to-speak. And thus it should be because this is his 2 first trial on a parole violation. 3 When a person is arrested for a parole violation, 4 is he arrested based upon what we'd call probable cause, 5 which is the initial amount of evidence needed to arrest 6 somebody when he's, you know, committing his first crime, 7 for example? 8 A. He's arrested on probable cause and within 15 days 9 a probable cause hearing should be held and shall be held. 10 Q. And who passes on the existence of possible cause, 11 if his arrest is issued for a parole violation there --12 A. The hearing panel itself. 13 Is it based upon what we'd call competent evidence 14 in the sense that it isn't based on hearsay? I heard X is 15 now doing marijuana, or --16 A. It's based on airtight evidence, I'd say. 17 I'm sorry? Q. 18 A. Airtight evidence. 19 Q. Airtight? 20 Airtight. It means there's no way out. A. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: I would like to make a statement at 22 this time. 23 For the benefit of the Committee, all questions cer-24

tainly must be channeled through the Chairman, and then we

can proceed orderly with the continuation of the sessions.

25

Now, go ahead, Steve. 1 (By Mr. Walther) What is the burden of proof which 2 exists in a parole revocation hearing? 3 For example, when you go to convict somebody for a 4 criminal offense, the state has to prove all of the 5 elements of the offense and the jury has to be satisfied 6 beyond a shadow of a doubt. 7 Now, in normal civil case, it's by the preponderance 8 of the evidence, so the burden in the criminal case is the 9 heavier burden, beyond a shadow of a doubt. Is a burden 10 of proof established in your parole hearings, does it have 11 to be beyond a shadow of a doubt? 12 (By Mr. Wright) Yes. Yes. Like Mr. Phillips said 13 before, the inmate, this fellow on parole, he has the turn 14 to air if he wishes, if he gets by the probable cause hearing 15 and is released, then forget it. But if he gets back up 16 to the prison, then he's entitled to all these things. 17 Does he have subpoena power? Q. 18 I'm not an attorney, Steve, so I couldn't tell you. 19 I just wondered. 20 That's a technical --21 (By Mr. Phillips) No. 22 (By Mr. Hocker) No. A. 23 (By Mr. Walther) Mr. Hocker, is that correct, he's 24 not? 25

Mr. Phillips adequately described what he's entitled to, and it doesn't seem to me that subpoena power is necessary, for example, if he wants to confront the parole agent or whoever, that can be arranged.

If it's a police officer, this is a matter between the chief of the department to communicate with the officer's superior who will thereupon order him to appear.

There doesn't appear to me to be any problem here and there never has been in a hearing.

- Q But in the event the person did want to compel somebody to be present, to testify in his behalf, he would be unable to do so?
 - A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.
- Q What -- who has the burden of proof in a parole violation hearing?

In other words, who comes forward first with the proof, for example, in a normal trial the state has to prosecute and prove the case, the defense then has the right to disprove the case type, with various types of evidence.

A. The chief of the department of parole and probation presents the initial evidence, and then it evolves into the hearing situation, so I would say that it would be the chief.

1	Q. Does he take the stand under oath?		
2	A. No, no oaths are given in these hearings.		
3	Q. No oaths, none of the testimony is given under oath?		
4	A. No. It can be, customarily it has not been found to		
5	be necessary.		
6	A. (By Mr. Phillips) We do have the power to		
7	administer an oath, however.		
8	Q. All right.		
9	Is a transcript taken of these proceedings? Is a		
10	transcript taken of these proceedings like a court reporter?		
11	A. (By Mr. Hocker) Yes.		
12	A. (By Mr. Phillips) Yes, we have our clerk there.		
13	Q. It's a clerk that takes the minutes down or is there		
14	a court reporter which takes every question and answer?		
15	A. You might say minutes. We don't have a court reporter		
16	style anyway.		
17	Q. Is there the ability on the part of the parole		
18	revocation defendant to have a court reporter present and		
19	have testimony taken under oath, if he makes that request?		
20	A. We've never had a request of that nature, but if it		
21	were so, I would grant it, yes.		
22	Q One other question I have would be regarding		
23	restoration of citizenship. What is the policy of the board,		
24	generally, with regard to this? They've served their period		
25	of parole, do they automatically have restoration of		

·香坪斯·桑纳

citizenship or are they denied it?

A. That is one of the points, automatically it's supposed to be restored, citizenship is supposed to be restored. If we don't, the man goes, where is it, ten years with nothing greater than a traffic violation, then he has the right to apply, if we still don't consider his application, he can go to the court direct with his application for restoration of civil rights.

A. (By Mr. Hocker) I would like to respond to that,
Mr. Chairman.

There are three types of discharge, which are given at the time his parole expires. One is the honorable discharge, that's issued by the chief, and it restores citizenship. There's a general discharge, this is again given by the chief and it involves an instance; where the present whereabouts of the man is unknown, but no known violation of the law has occurred.

Then there's a dishonorable discharge which involves someone who has encountered more difficulty.

Now, in the case of the general discharge, after a period of time in general terms equal to that that he served in the institution, he can petition the pardons board for his restoration of citizenship.

Is that responsive?

Q Thanks, yes, pretty much, except that you've the right

1 to restore citizenship as a parole board, right? 2 (By Mr. Phillips) We do as of -- with an honorable Α. 3 discharge. 4 An honorable discharged and when that honorable 5 discharge is given, restoration of all rights of citizenship 6 is given at the same time? 7 Yes. 8 Q. (By Ms. Johnson) Mr. Phillips, at the time of 9 denial of any prisoner, does the board itself, make recom-10 mendations as to what that prisoner might do to gain parole 11 at the next board? 12 (By Mr. Hocker) I would like to respond to that, 13 would you address that question to me, please? 14 Yes, does Mr. Phillips or Mr. Wright, Mr. Hocker, 15 or Castleberry (Phonetic), any members of the board, make 16 recommendations as to what the person might do to gain 17 parole at the next board? 18 Well, let me reiterate what I said earlier about the 19 institution representative who is sitting there, recording 20 the proceedings and who hears the board specify the 21 reasons for denial, right? Okay. 22 Let me give you an example. He might have been 23 denied for -- because the evaluation of his progress was not 24 satisfactory.

25

He has made no efforts at all in the area of self-help,

he has rejected all efforts of the institution staff to motivate this person to improve his academic standing, his vocation skills, and so on. He has been assigned on a short term basis, to X number of programs, all of which he has rejected, all he wants to do is go play basketball.

And it's clearly indicated that in this individual case, he needs his GED or his high school diploma, he has no saleable skills, other than being a pool hustler, for example, some of the implied recommendation, at least, is, get busy and satisfy your personal needs, obtain a saleable — saleable skill and so on. Might have to do with his prison behavior and attitude.

Here's an individual who's an extreme management problem during the preceding year, so it is suggested to him that he correct his conduct and come back to the board with an acceptable record in that area.

Q But do the board members themselves at the time of the denial, then -- I assume that they use this form to check off one of those reasons for denial, or whatever.

Do they write, do they make any recommendations themselves as to what -- what that prisoner should do, what would satisfy them at the next, say they've given him a year dump or two year-dump, or whatever, at the end of that time, what would be their criteria for parole at the end of that?

	l l
1	A. Well, I tried to respond to that by stating that
2	after the man has left the room, the board discusses the
3	case and makes a, reaches a decision as to whether to
4	parole the individual or not, and if not, they specify the
5	reasons why they are denying him.
6	Q. How is that done, is that written down by the board
7	members on a
8	A. No, it is written down by the institution represen-
9	tative, as I explained to you.
10	Q. What then happens, Mr. Pyle told us this morning
11	that sometimes he's not able to sit in on hearings, what
12	happens when the institutional representative is not
13	A. (By Mr. Phillips) Is not able to what?
14	Q Sit in on all of the hearings.
15	A. (By Mr. Hocker) I don't think you understand that
16	A. (By Mr. Phillips) I don't recall anything like that
17	A. (By Mr. Hocker) I heard Mr. Pyle's testimony and I
18	knew at the time that it was confusing to this Commission.
19	He said that he sat in on half of the cases, and saw
20	the persons in whose hearing he had participated. But
21	when the board is split into panels there is another
22	institution employee recording with the other panel, so that
23	person interprets what he has written and Mr. Pyle inter-
24	prets what he has written to the client, is that
25	Q. So that board members then would say, if I were a

board member and you were the institutional representative,

I would then say, Mr. Pyle, we are going to deny Steve

Walther for a year because of protection of society, we
recommend that he bring the board back, in two years, a

GED, a vocational program, no --

A. (By Mr. Phillips) We don't do that because in a way you're promising something that you might not be able to fulfill.

Q (By Ms. Johnson) Well, then, how does a prisoner know --

A. We simply state the board would like to see more of this, the board would like to see more of that. It's left up, pretty much up to the man himself if he wants to perform.

Q But if he does all these things, if he goes through all these programs, if he goes through the educational programs, if he goes through psychological testing, no writeups, he's still not guaranteed a parole, either next year or --

A. He isn't guaranteed, but he'd probably get it, I'll say that, too.

Q Although we've seen, we've had testimony this to morning from prisoners who have been there many, many years, who have received institutional recommendations, who have been through all the vocational programs, so I would

then assume that the reasons that that prisoner was being incarcerated would be protection of society, would you say, or what -- what would the reasons be for someone being there ten, 16, 20 years? You know? How do you -- he'd complied with all programs issued and all psychiatric things and he'd --

- A. Two things, nature of the crime and protection of society.
- Q In case of the nature of the crime, how does the board, say this year you see him and it's nature of the crime, so you decide not to parole him.

But when he comes before the board next year, you still decide not to -- how do you decide when to parole that kind of a case?

A. The only way you can do that is by a vote by five people, and if he makes it he makes it and if he doesn't, he doesn't. It's pretty hard to say how five people think.

See, if he!s lucky enough to come through with a favorable vote, he's out.

- Q But you say it takes a quorum, is it a quorum, a majority of the parole board?
- A. The type of crime you're referring to, I'd say is usually held before a full board, five people, five members.
 - Q. And so three --
 - A. Three members are a quorum, all right, but a full board

```
hears the serious offenses.
1
            (By Mr. Walther) Is there ever a situation where
2
     the board feels as a matter of policy, it will not grant
3
     an applicant for parole in the first instance, suppose,
4
     for example, a fellow asks for parole after he's committed
5
     first degree murder, the first possible time it's possible
6
     to apply?
7
            Ten years.
8
            Does the board feel that -- seven, I believe, maybe
9
     it's
10
            Ten.
11
            Does the board feel that as a matter of policy for
12
    a certain period of time parole should be denied?
13
            As a matter of policy, no.
14
            As a matter of practice, is that the case?
15
            It's a very difficult thing, I'll tell you, and
16
     there's no -- I don't know what the answer is to that.
17
    There is no policy, however.
18
      Q.
            I have a couple of questions with regard to stat.
19
20
    time.
            The hearings take place before you with regard to
    those?
21
      A.
            Stat. time?
22
      Q.
            Yes.
23
24
      A.
            Yes.
            How many hearings do you think you have a year on that?
25
      Q.
```

1	A. How many hearings?			
2	Q. How many hearings a year on the loss of stat. time?			
3	A. We hear maybe what, four or five?			
4	A. (By Mr. Wright) Four or five.			
5	A. (By Mr. Phillips) Four or five each session.			
6	Q Each session?			
7	A. Yes.			
8	Q. Do the normal guarantees, or for example, I'm			
9	talking about do the normal trial rights such as right to			
10	counsel, right to cross examination, right to testimony			
11	under oath, right to a transcript, do any of those rights			
12	exist?			
13	A. No.			
14	Q In a hearing such as that?			
15	A. Because he comes in with a prior criminal conviction.			
16	He's already been convicted of a violation by the insti-			
17	tution hearing staff.			
18	Q. So it's just an automatic thing as far as you're			
19	concerned?			
20	A. It's not a case of how much time do we take or do			
21	we agree with the recommendation of the institution or what			
22	do we do, it's something that sometimes I wonder if it			
23	belongs within the scope of parole boards' duties.			
24	Q. So there's no actual fact-finding hearing on your			
25	part, is that correct?			
1				

1 A. That's correct.

Q. Fact-finding hearing has already taken place and you just look at the results and make a decison on how much?

- A. We make a decision, sometimes we go along with the recommendations, again, sometimes we don't, sometimes we go part-way, there's no set policy.
 - Q. (By Ms. DeLuca) I have a question.

Mr. Phillips, do you feel that if the board were able to delineate the criteria they used to deny the parole much more specifically, and to really give suggestions, to an inmate, as to what he might do to achieve parole, do you feel that this would help the parolee plan his program or help him with the motivation or something in a measurable way, do you think this might be more important if it could be done?

A. I think if it could be done, you hit the nail on the head. I don't know whether it could be done or not.

Many times you don't like to guarantee somebody something, you like to give them an incentive to do something on his own.

And then you backfire, he knows very well if he does a good job or not, he gets the message and he'll come out of it all right. And he usually does on his own and it makes him feel better.

Q. So, are you implying you don't think it could be done

1 to delineate the criteria more clearly? 2 It could be done, yes, but I don't think it's desirable. 3 And why? Q. 4 We'd like to leave that up to the man himself to dis-5 play his feelings toward. 6 (By Mr. Walther) But isn't he required to guess what 7 your reasons are for denying the parole? 8 Isn't he what? 9 Q. Isn't he required to guess what your reasons are at 10 that point? 11 A. To guess what our reasons are --12 Well, in a sense, because otherwise how is he going Q. 13 to know what your considerations are? 14 Well, for one thing, if we deny him for six months 15 he knows that he has a pretty good chance of getting out 16 the next time. That's common knowledge. 17 But he doesn't know what he has to do in the six-Q. 18 month period to get out --19 What's that? A. 20 He doesn't know what he has to do in the six-month 21 period to get out. 22 He knows he's done all right to that point, he A. 23 better hold the line for six more months, isn't it? 24 Q. (By Ms. Johnson) What about in the case of a year or 25 two-year denial, say --

A. We don't give very many two-year denials, we could give three, as far as that's concerned, but by far and large, a one-year denial is what we give. And it should tell somebody something, and yet it doesn't -- it doesn't tell him exactly what we're thinking.

And in fact, it gives him some hope, a one-year denial is much better than two, so-to-speak. Has a good effect on him.

- Q (By Ms. DeLuca) If you denied someone for six months, but in your mind the way you're talking they had a real good chance of getting it the next time around if they just held the line, things would be all right, why would you deny them for just six months, is it just something --
- A. We just want to see if the results he is displaying at us will hold, if it's the real thing. See, if he can take a short denial, see how he might react to it.
- Q. (By Ms. Johnson) What if in that period of time,
 Mr. Phillips, this six months period of time --
 - A. What?

Q In that six months period of time, okay? Say the prisoner had been doing very, very well and just gave, him a six months dump and someone, something happened, there are things that happen in prison, say that you have a job somebody wants and they may plant a knife in your locker or

something. Then put in a file that the officer finds. Then you go to a hearing. It's sometimes very difficult hearing in that they don't have the right to confront witnesses and that sort of thing. And sometimes taken for granted that that offense happened.

Sometimes it might, you might not be able to prove that you in fact did not put the knife there. But perhaps another inmate put it there. I want -- Carl, I think, let him respond?

- A. (By Mr. Höcker) Well, that's a mythical situation.
- Q But see, they're all --

- A. Wait a minute now, I'm answering your question.

 That's a mythical situation, a mythical situation.
- Q But there are many like it, there, say was a fight on the yard, say it was just, you know, there are so many things, say someone got a letter from his wife and she was leaving him or getting married to somebody else, or doing something, and he just blew it, you know, so that at the end of the six months time, when he came back to you, you know, he would have a disciplinary but it would be a slip rather than a serious infraction.

How would you -- what would you do at that point?

A It's hard to say, but there's always another six-month denial can be --

MR. ROMERO: Mr. Chairman, may I ask a question, please?

1	Q.	(By Mr. Romero) Mr. Phillips, you said that you
2	read a	and reread the cases.
3	A.	(By Mr. Phillips) Parole reports?
4	Q.	Yes.
5		How much time do you normally take with each report?
6	A.	You mean reading it?
7	Q.	Right.
8	A.	All reports aren't the same length.
9	Q.	Approximately, sir?
10	A.	It's hard to say.
11	Q.	About.
12	A.	It might take five minutes for one where you don't
13	have t	too much thinking to do and you might spend ten minutes
14	thinki	ng about it. It's hard to say in that sense.
15	Q.	About how many reports do you get?
16	A.	Oh, I guess we've, all the way from 50 to, say 100
17	on an	average.
18	Q.	Fifty to how much, sir?
19	A.	A hundred.
20	Q.	A hundred?
21	A.	Yes.
22		Somewhere in there. But it's hard to say how much
23	time w	e spend reading a referral report, because again they
24	aren't	all the same length.
25	Q.	(By the Chairman) You say that normally you don't

1 make the decision until you see or hear the man? 2 Correct. 3 And then you say that at the same time you said that 4 you did not like or feel that an attorney should be 5 representing the inmate because you wanted to hear the in-6 mate do his own talking? 7 I, myself, do, the rest of the board might not. 8 What if that inmate has trouble communicating? 9 I can usually get down to his level without any 10 trouble. 11 Get down to his level you say? 12 Yes, if that's the problem. 13 So do you get down to the level of a person 14 who does not speak English? 15 That's a hard one to answer, you get into the back-A. 16 ground. 17 Do you have something to say? 18 (By Mr. Hocker) Yes. 19 (By Mr. Romero) I'm asking, would you mind finishing? 20 Well, he asked me to respond because of my knowledge 21 of the institution, Mr. Romero. 22 MR. PHILLIPS: Right. 23 A. (By Mr. Hocker) In the last seven and a half years 24 I've not known anybody in the Nevada State Prison who could 25 not converse in the English language.

1 Good to hear. Q. 2 (By Mr. Phillips) Well, I don't recall having 3 ever talked to anybody who couldn't understand English, to 4 tell you the truth, again. If that were the case, we'd 5 get an interpreter, I'm sure. 6 Right. 7 Earlier, Mr. Ishikawa stated that combined, there 8 were 26 Mexican-American inmates in the Nevada State Prison, 9 is that correct, Mr. --10 (By Mr. Hocker) Yes. 11 How do you define, Mr. Hocker, a Mexican-American? 12 I would define this man as a -- whose race, whose 13 blood race is -- is Mexican, but he is an American-born 14 Mexican. 15 Q. So if a man from Mexico comes over, then you don't 16 include him in that figure? 17 To the best of my knowledge, there is no one in that A. 18 category. 19 Let me correct myself, I believe that I know of two 20 who were born in the old country but who have resided in 21 the United States for the greater portion of their adult 22 life. 23 And they speak English? 24 Yes, sir. A.

25

Q.

Thank you, sir.

A. I have a Mexican-American in my office who's been with me for 14 years and I know I could get his help if I needed it.

Q My mother's a Mexican-American.

THE CHAIRMAN: Gentlemen --

MR. SCOTT: Mr. Chairman, I'm sorry, I'll make mine very brief. I know it's prolonging it but I'd like to ask you, and maybe you've covered this already --

THE CHAIRMAN: Please, not make it repetitious.

MR. SCOTT: That's why I say if it's already been covered, let's just pass it over.

Q. (By Mr. Scott) What is it that you would like to see, as board members, to change the parole system in which you work now, something that you can think of you feel would be very valuable to change the process?

A. (By Mr. Phillips) I can tell you this, I think the possibility of lightening the load, as I say, more members on the board and maybe monthly hearings and cutting down the work load. I think that we could do, to start with, start there and do more for the parole system that we have than any other one thing.

MS. GODOY: I just have a couple of questions.

Q. (By Ms. Godoy) First of all, Mr. Phillips, as to the prison staff which is allowed to sit in on the parole board hearing, does the counselor, the correctional counselor,

1 make any recommendations to the board as to a particular 2 inmate? 3 A. No. 4 Does the executive secretary make recommendations 5 to the board? 6 A. No. 7 There are no comments made by either of those two 8 people at the parole board hearing? 9 That's the last thing we'd want to hear, is They're not in there for that 10 comments by those people. 11 purpose. 12 What about the warden? What about (By Mr. Scott) 13 the warden, does the warden make recommendations? 14 For a person to be released on --15 On the referral reports, sometimes, yes. 16 not sitting there addressing the board, saying, let's 17 dump this man or let's let him go or let's do this or 18 that. 19 The board, you'll find, is pretty independent on 20 situations of that kind. 21 (By Ms. Godoy) Now I'd like to direct a question 22 to Mr. Hocker, if I could. 23 Mr. Hocker, you read, earlier, a memo delineating 24 your responsibilities as executive secretary. I would like 25 to know when you prepared that memorandum?

1	A (By Mr. Hocker) Well, that was prepared sometime		
2	prior to the activation of this position.		
3	Q. I see.		
4	A. It was a job description, so-to-speak.		
5	Q. A job description.		
6	A. Yes.		
7	Q And that was created or that was compiled by you		
8	and		
9	A. Mr. Campos.		
10	Q Okay··.		
11	Are the inmates given a copy of that memorandum to		
12	see what your responsibilities are and how they might		
13	affect them?		
14	A. No, the description of the position is contained in		
15	the statutes and which state in broad terms what the		
16	responsibilities of the position are, the various functions		
17	are made known to the institution by various means, memo-		
18	randums, for example.		
19	Q But the specific responsibilities such as those that		
20	you mentioned earlier, are those particular specific		
21	responsibilities given in written form to the inmates?		
22	A. No.		
23.	Q. Is there any reason why those are not made available		
24	to the inmates nor to the State Advisory Committee?		
25	A. Well, as I stated just a moment ago, the inmates		

mates at the maximum and medium security prisons are about

equal, how do you explain that?

24

25

```
1
            (By Mr. Phillips)
                               The what?
2
            The statistics for release?
3
            Well, all I can tell you is that we call them as
4
    we see them, that's the only thing I can tell you.
5
      Q.
            And who requires exfelons to register with law
6
    enforcement, do you know?
7
            Who does?
8
            Who requires exfelons to register?
9
            It's by statute, as far, you mean that provision or
10
    requirement?
                    Yes.
11
                         Thank you.
            MS. DELUCA:
12
            MR. ROMERO:
                         I just have one short question.
13
            (By Mr. Romero) If a man and a woman commit the
14
    same crime, do you view them any differently or do you
15
    consider the crime the same?
16
            Do we view them any differently?
       A.
17
            Yes, say a man --
18
       Α.
            No.
19
            -- commits first degree murder and a woman commits
20
     first degree murder?
21
            No, absolutely not. If they're strong enough to
22
     commit the crime then they ought to be strong enough to bear
23
     the load, whether it's a male or female. It's still a
24
     problem.
25
            THE CHAIRMAN:
                           Gentlemen, I have just one last
```

question for your group.

I have, from the interviews, noticed that we seem to leave free flow of information uptto the -- from the inmate up to the board, I would like to know, from either of you or all of you, do you have any suggestions which, that you could have a better flow of communication of information back to the inmate involved, do any of you have any suggestions?

A. (By Mr. Phillips) Well, I have an answer to that,
I'm making my first meeting with the prison Jay-Cees tonight
and I don't know of any time in the past where that's
ever been done by any parole board member.

That's all I can tell you. I'm due out at the meeting at 6:30.

- Q. (By the Chairman) Do either of you have any --
- A. (By Mr. Wright) No.

17 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.

Well, we certainly want to thank you for your participation, your consideration to come before the Committee, and I'm sure that out of this, that we have accomplished something.

A. (By Mr. Phillips) We would like to thank you, too, we hope we've been able to help and we hope they've put out the word that our parole board stacks up with the best of them. Thank you.

1 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 2 We will have a five-minute break. 3 4 (Short recess) 5 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Jim, Ladies and Gentlemen, we'll call 7 the Committe back in session, please? 8 Could we have at this time, Edwin T. Pogue, Warden, 9 Nevada State Prison. 10 Mr. Poque? 11 12 13 MR. EDWIN T. POGUE 14 15 (By Ms. Johnson) Would you please tell us your back-Q. 16 ground in corrections, Mr. Pogue? 17 A. (By Mr. Pogue) All right, Donny. 18 Yes, I have a bachelor's degree in corrections 19 administration from the University of Arizona, worked as a 20 youth counselor while I was going to school, worked as a deputy probation officer in Vallejo, California, adult and 21 22 juvenile, went to graduate school for a year, went to work 23 at San Quentin as a correctional counselor, went to special 24 treatment unit called the ICE Project, with shorter prison 25 terms coupled with intensive supervision, to see how that

would turn out.

Went to California Medical Facility as a classification and parole representative, accepted a promotion up to the Nevada State Prison as associate warden in charge of classification and treatment. And then became deputy warden in charge of the custody division.

Went to Clerkery (Phonetic) Youth Center for a year as administrator and programmer and came back to the prison as deputy warden in April of '73, was promoted to warden of the Nevada State Prisons --

- Q Would you please put him down as overqualified?

 Warden, do you make recommendations for granting or denying parole?
 - A. Staff under my supervision do, occasionally I do.
 - Q Occasionally?
- A. Yes. Usually when one of them are on vacation or something else, some other work load, something else happens, then I make personal recommendations, but otherwise it's the staff, either the associate warden or the deputy warden.
- Q. The Committee has heard about referral reports prepared by your staff for the parole board. That they are sometimes read to the prisoner, but the prisoner is never allowed to see them.

What is your feeling?

I think that generally speaking, the people that 1 2 the report is written about, do not know the complete 3 information that is contained in the -- that is a setup, 4 a general trend, not only in corrections but in other 5 areas. I think that you know, I see an increased use of 6 7 this, as a tool both in the changing of behavior and also in the sort of the honesty relationship between people. 8 9 Q. Is there a policy that, a prison policy that keeps 10 the prisoner from having access to this referral report? Yes, that's correct, there is. 11 A. 12 It's a prison policy ratified by the board of 13 prison commissioners? 14 Yes, that's correct, there is. 15 When was that done? Q. Shortly after the last election, '71, 1971. 16 A. For what reason? 17 0. 18 Well, they ratified all the rules of the institution A. 19 and that was one of them. 20 What, all the rules of the institution that are 21 policies concerning inmate behavior have also been ratified? 22 Yes. 23 And are written policies now? Q. 24 I'm sorry --A.

They're all written and they're all

25

Q.

ratified at this

1 point?

A. Yes.

Q. What's your feeling, personally, about inmates not having access to the referral report? Do you think that necessarily --

A. It's been a rather traditional way of dealing with reports and with so-called sensitive material.

I, again I see a change not only in the Nevada

State Prison, you know we are telling them generally speaking, we are trying to develop procedures and programs so that it will be consistent and there will be a method of giving everyone the information, rather than just a few or rather than, you know, just saying the people that ask or anything else.

- Q. When that's accomplished, will that be inclusive of the arrest history and all of that kind of thing?
- A Well, that's, of course, another area which is becoming more and more prevalent, and it certainly should include that since that is a part of the consideration and a part of the parole board's information, so therefore, any information should be, then, discussed or whatever, with, very similar to the presentence investigation reports.
- Q Is it a requirement that the correctional counselor put in the arrest history in the referral report?
 - A Yes. That's a part of the foremat which is adopted

by the parole board.

The -- there is a skeleton outline and this is included as that, as a part of that skeleton outline.

- Q. Is it a requirement that the statement or opinion from the DA's office be given verbatim to the parole board?
- A. That is a part of the approved foremat in existence at this time, yes, that's correct.
- 0. Verbatim?
- 9 A. Yes.
 - Q Do you feel that such an opinion from the DA's office, say many years ago, is still a relevant factor?
 - A. It would -- you know, sometimes it might not be relevant to the consideration that they are giving, whether or not the man is now ready for release, and I think that the -- that the import of the district attorney's statement is on other things, that is the nature of the offense or other factors which are included in, which is required, I think, by statute.
 - Q And is there a requirement for a judge's statement also?
 - A. I don't know that.
 - Q Could you explain to the Commission about the taking away of statutory time and for what reason that can be done and what effect it has on the person, on the term which he will serve?

A. Statutory time is, in effect, a reward for good behavior. It is statutorily provided that those people who -- whose behavior is good may earn certain degrees of time reduced from their sentence.

The taking of statutory time is therefore, then, for a violation of institutional rules for felonies or other offenses.

The disciplinary committee makes a recommendation that the statutory time be taken or not as the case may be, it's reviewed through the institutional procedure, either the associate warden or the deputy warden reviews and denies or approves.

If I approve that is a matter of referral to the parole board, a report is prepared and the recommendation as to how much statutory time is -- should be removed is then presented to the parole board.

The parole board reviews it and then makes a decision based upon that report and an interview with the person.

- Q Who makes that determination?
- A I make that recommendation specifically.
- Q Well, fortwhat offenses can statutory be taken away?
- A. Asil remember the Nevada Revised Statutes indicates serious offense. And so that is extremely broad. That is sort of consistent with our disciplinary procedures whereby serious offenses are referred to the disciplinary committee

1 and therefore the process can be initiated through them. 2 There's one line in that statute saying that, 3 violence or intent of violence must be found, can you 4 respond to that, and how that is arrived at? 5 No, I can't. I don't remember that as being a 6 part of or an all-inclusive function. An omnibus function. 7 It's 202, 209.290, a forfeiture, however, shall be 8 made only by the state board of parole commissioners after 9 due proof of the offense and notice to the offender and 10 no forfeiture shall be imposed when a convict has violated 11 a rule without violence or intent of which the state 12 board of parole commissioners shall be the sole judges, 13 and what I was wondering is how -- how intent to violence 14 is established? 15 No, I have no idea on that. 16 MS. JOHNSON: That's all the questions I have. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Do we have any questions from the 18 other Committee members? 19 MS. STANOVIK: I have a question. 20 (By Ms. Stanovik) What is the total number of 21 correctional counselors at the prison? 22 About five full time people and then we have a 23 academic instructor at the women's prison who devotes 24 about one-fourth time to academic instruction and about 25 three-fourths time to counseling.

1 We have, usually, a couple of interns or trainees 2 from the university. 3 Is this instructor the only counselor available at the women's section or is there -- is there a female 4 correctional counselor? 5 She is a female correctional counselor. 6 7 So she's -- so it's five, and three-fourths, sort of? 8 Yes. 9 Are you talking about a population of close to 900 10 inmates, is that about right? Yes. 11 A. 12 Do -- are these correctional counselors able to 13 spend much time counseling? 14 No. 15 What do they do? Certainly the parole board reports take up a major 16 17 portion of their time and responsibilities. It's, you 18 know, we're -- because of the population increase we're 19 actually losing ground. 20 We're getting more people per counselor now than we've ever had. And so we're further behind now than we 21 22 were four, five years ago. 23 Q, Can you explain to me the difference between a 24 correctional counselor and a correctional officer?

A correctional officer was formerly termed a guard,

and a --1 0. Okay. 2 Are any of these five and three-fourths correctional 3 counselors members of a minority group? 4 5 A. No. No? 6 Okay, that's all, thank you. 7 (By Ms. DeLuca) Mr. Poque, what is your opinion of 8 the creation of a full time professional parole board? 9 10 A. I think it's needed. I can see a lot of advantages and I think that we've reached the point in the state 11 where we need that as a -- as a function of the parole 12 department. 13 Also, how about, how do you feel about the creation 14 of the state department of corrections, the whole umbrella 15 agency to cover all corrections in the state? 16 I have somewhat mixed feelings on that. Whether or 17 not it's called a department of corrections, I think that 18 we are functioning as though we were a department right now, 19 20 so I'm not sure that perhaps another layer: would necessarily 21 be more productive. I think that, you know, we've divided the institu-22 23 tions, we've -- to have associate wardens in charge of 24 both max. and medium, we intend to ask the legislature, oh,

sort of a supervising counselor-type to be in charge of the

women's prison and we're making some more programmatic changes so we are in fact doing what a department of corrections would do.

We think at a less expensive level, because we're not paying people as much as they probably deserve.

- Q What kind of changes, if any, would you like to see in the parole process or the whole parole situation?
- A. You probably get tired of hearing it because I think everybody that you've asked that are going to say the same thing. That is that they need more time, they need more information, certainly, I feel that more coordination between them and the inmates is vital and necessary.

I think that, you know, I think there are a lot of innovative projects that we can get into which don't cost any money and which we could do contractual arrangements, agreements whereby, you know, people would know specifically what they are to do and how much time they are to do.

All kinds of things could be done if we had full time warden and people who could devote their entire time to this area.

- Q Do you feel specific reasons for denial of parole should be given to each inmate as an objective?
 - A. Yes.

You know, I certainly do. But I think that there is some misunderstanding, I think that, you know, I also really

question whether or not the people don't really know, you know, what the time or the other elements which are involved, but certainly, I think it would help to -- and maybe conversely if -- even if you say, you know the offense was so bad that you have to do more time, I still think that people who are so personally involved, that is the guy who has to do another year, would accept this as a full legitimate reason.

I think that this is again as a direction, I think that we're telling people more about what's expected of them and certainly hope we are, and I think the parole board also needs to do that.

MS. DELUCA: Thank you.

- Q (By the Chairman) Mr. Poque --
- 15 A. Yes, sir.

- Q -- if you had adequate funding, how many correctional counselors would you need at this time, with the population of the prison what it is today?
- A. Boy, I can think of uses for so many that I don't know, what, 40, 50? 200? You know, I was over in the Netherlands not long ago and they have a staff ratio of one to one, that is one staff for every inmate. And they are claiming that they're overworked and need more people to do this.

They also have one of the best prison systems in

the world. So, you know, where do you arrive at a good -- at a good figure, at a good ratio?

The American Correctional Association recommends approximately one to every 100. It depends on what they do and how they do it and again, when I was in the Increased Correctional Effectiveness program, there were three people assigned full time to 60 inmates, we had a success ratio of somewhere around 87, 90%, and you know, perhaps it was due to us, perhaps it was due to the people we selected for the program, we were never really able to research it.

But certainly, we need more people and the more people you do, the better job that the parole board, the better, the more knowledge they're going to have about the people and the more effect that we're going to have on them.

I think that we could certainly decrease the staff some by the use of volunteers, I think it's important that people from the outside come into the institutions and affect a normalcy on the environment in the institution and on parole. I think volunteers in parole is an effective program, also.

Q What about psychologists, how many do you feel that is necessary at this time? I mean that you could possibly do with? I know that you have two or three now. I understand

from the information that we've gathered here today.

A. I'm not too real hot on psychologosts or psychiatrists, particularly in prisons because I feel that basically what we're dealing with are character disorders in an institution, that is the sociopath, psychopath, whatever name you want to call it. And these are basically the people that the traditional therapist can not reach.

And therefore, I think that we have to use a psychologist who is innovative and who would help in program design or other things, and I don't see that traditional therapy as a real important part of an institutional change behavior. So, therefore, I think that you have to have enough psychologists to adequately treat people, enough psychiatrists to insure that evaluations and diagnoses are correct, but I don't see them necessarily providing an intensive therapy as being a critical factor in institutions.

You know, the national average is, I think somewhere, one psychiatrist to every 2,000 people. You know,
we have one psychiatrist to the 900 people that we have.
But, you know, if you ask me, you know, if that were
enough, I'd say, you know, we could make more and more
productive use of the psychiatrist that we have and we
could also use more people in many areas.

Now, again, if you ask me, you know, is it an effective

program, are we really getting the information across, I've probably got to say no, that we, you know, we're not really developing that specific area or a lot of areas that we need to develop in the institution.

.3

Q (By the Chairman) Mr. Pogue, at this time, do you have any suggested legislation that would affect the parole processes in the state, suggestions that might improve or have you any legislation or -- that you're going to propose or --

A. We're in the formative stages now, but we have a lot of ideas, it depends on how well they're received by the legislature.

Certainly one of the things that we'd like to see is coupled with a youthful offenders institutions, is also a reduction in the amount of time that they would have to spend before they're eligible for parole. You know, everybody is required to serve at least one year now in the institution and I'd like to see that reduced to, I don't know, six months, or whatever, for the youthful offender so that we can attempt to program them on an accelerated basis in the institution and return them to the community at a sooner time.

That's one example. Certainly the -- I don't have, you know, real direct responsibility to or from the parole board, so I would hesitate to introduce too many legislation,

with no effect, anyway.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Does the parole board usually approve suggested legislation prior to the request of introduction by the legislature?

Certainly not the ones that I introduce, that goes through the prison board, the governor, the attorney general, the secretary of state. Those that Mr. Campos or Mr. Hocker would introduce does go through the parole board.

They go through the parole board? Q.

Right, and again, quite frankly, Bud Campos and Carl Hocker and I attempt to coordinate our legislation so we don't wind up necessarily, you know, recommending two different or conflicting pieces of legislation.

> THE CHAIRMAN: Any other member of the --MR. SCOTT: Yes.

- (By Mr. Scott) Does each parolee, person going Q. before the board, usually a letter of recommendation from the prison or from your office or someone, going with, in his package to the board, some form of recommendation?
- Each report ends with a recommendation from the institution, yes.
- And would you say that your reports are often pretty well received? Otherwise, according to, or sometimes you have to raise your eyebrows?

Okay, I'll change that.

- A I haven't kept any statistical records on that.
- Q Also, a person having been, although we're getting into the parole board kind of thing here, because a person who is being dumped for a year or two years or for a period of time, would you feel like that some consideration should be given more for a reconsideration, that person go back to the board in a shorter period of time, if that person is ready to go back to the board?

Or what happens, does the prison make any contact with the board saying this person proved satisfactory or whatever reason they dumped this person for, if that had been proved to a point that the prison feel like this person's ready, within the two years time, say a year from now this person's ready, is there any action a prison can take, any letters to the board making this recommendation?

A Not really, the criteria now is substantial change since he was last seen by the parole board and that does not usually indicate things like completion of college courses or completion of high school courses or completion of vocational training, it means some more significant change and therefore, usually the board is — the person is not advanced, except when the substantial changes do occur.

Now, we are attempting to use the work furlough bill

as a process of -- of allowing people to appear before the parole board before their regular scheduled time so that:

they can participate in the work furlough program.

Q What do you do to help people with language barriers who have gone before the board, or otherwise? What does the prison do to help people who have language barriers?

Is there any assistance of offer of assistance to these persons?

A. Very little.

We do have the female instructor at the women's prison is trained and has done a good job -- is trained and, oh, what's it called English for non-English-speaking people, I think, and has done a good job with some people at the women's prison.

There have been people who do not speak English, quite frankly, I -- we don't have the capabilities of assisting them to any large degree. They're so few in number that I don't know specifically what we have done with them. I don't even know the one guy that I'm thinking of, I don't know if he's even gone to the board yet, I don't think so, I think he's on a murder conviction, so I don't think he can appear yet.

0. That's --

A. To further amplify, I don't know of any case where the language has been a problem at the parole board hearing. Q. Then what about communications on the grounds, then, with officers and persons like in charge, where there's a language barrier plays, involved?

A. Again, in the case of the one guy, herdeveloped a close friendship with another Spanish-speaking person and was able to sort of act as his interpreter in those kinds of situations.

We did have, you know, members of my staff, upon occasion, have read the rules to Spanish-speaking inmates.

On other occasions, this person has acted as an interpreter for him with the staff on a 24-hour basis, so-to-speak.

MR. SCOTT: Thank you.

Q (By Mr. Romero) Mr. Pogue, Mr. Hocker made it sound basically like the Nevada State Prison is totally English-speaking. That 100%. Can that be assumed correct? That there are no -- in fact, you kind of said it yourself there are absolutely no, other than one, that one case, absolutely no problems in language communications, either with the Black dialect or English as a second language or lack of it, perhaps some of the Native Americans not speaking English, you're saying that there's not, absolutely no problem such as that?

A. Oh, I didn't say that. I'm sorry, I hope I didn't say that, because I think communications in its broadest sense is probably one of the great problems in institutions

generally and with people particularly. I think. 1 But yes, I hope I didn't imply that there was no 2 problem with that. 3 In 1972, I read a report that stated that the 4 Mexican-American population within the state prison was 5 Yet this morning, some figures were read that make the Mexican-American figure as three -- anywhere from three 7 to three and a half percent, is that correct? 8 It -- I've been there six and a half years and it has 9 never been anywhere near 12%. 10 Q. I see. 11 The Chicano population is extremely small in this 12 state and I think it's up to the 26 that I heard of this 13 morning, it's probably the largest that I've known it to 14 be because I haven't known it to be anywhere near that. 15 How do you determine who is a Mexican-American, is 16 this by name or self-identification, just how do you do it? 17 There is an entry card made up when a person comes A. 18 into the institution, I'm not sure where Mike Ishikawa got 19 the figures from, if it's from there, then I think it's from 20 a self-referral, it could certainly be by the surname, 21 it could be from asking the person, I don't know, quite 22 frankly.

So that the system, then, is not geared to gather,

then, actual documentation as to anybody or at least the

23

24

1 Mexican-Americans, the ethnic breakdown, background? 2 What we've -- quite frankly, the -- oftentimes the 3 Chicano or the Mexican-American are included in the so-4 called White population, and therefore we, you know, we 5 don't distinguish. So, that figure of 26 people could be wrong, it 6 7 could be much greater than that? 8 I don't know how Mike arrived at it so I don't know. 9 It would surprise me if it were any more. 10 Q. And you said that as far as the parole board, they 11 have never had any problem with anybody's dialect or any-12 body that may not know the English language as far as you 13 know? 14 I have not heard of this as being a problem. A. Right. 15 I didn't say they had not had a problem. 16 (By Mr. Scott) May I ask, what is -- I was going 0. 17 to ask this to the board members, what is the age level 18 of the board members? Some kind of way you can describe 19 it? 20 Are they here? 21 I don't know if there are any members here or not? 22 I was intending to ask that question. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: I think you're a little late. 24 Mr. Phillips and Mr. Wright are fairly representative 25 of the board.

3 ever make recommendations to the parole board on policy? 4 A. Certainly infrequently, but yes, I have. 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, Mr. Pogue, we certainly want 6 to thank you, myself and the Committee, and the regional 7 office for your participation today. 8 We feel that your contribution has been very 9 meaningful to the Committee, and that we feel that out of 10 this hearing, something meaningful can come from it. 11 I wonder if I could say one thing, Mr. Chairman? 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 13 I also appreciate the manner in which the staff 14 persons, particularly Mike Ishikawa, has worked with the 15 institution, and the smoothness in which the information 16 was gathered from our standpoint. He's an excellent 17 representative of the staff and I think that has done a 18 good job for you. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 20 Well, Ladies and Gentlemen, this will conclude our 21 session today, the Committee will reconvene tomorrow morning 22 at 9:00 a.m. 23 I hope that the Committee, and those of you that are 24 participating and any guests that might care to come, will 25 be here at that time. Thank you.

(Hearing adjourned at 5:40 p.m.)

MS. STANOVIK:

I have one more.

(By Ms. Stanovik) Do you ever make, you personally,

1