		λ,	
	GIVIT DIGUES COMMISSION	r r	
1	CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION	, y T	
2	March 15, 1974	* *	
3	Volume IV	₩ ₹ <b>^</b> k	
4		INDEX	ş
5	SPEAKER		PAGE
6	Mr. Sam Akins	, 4	~ ≠ 631 & 728
7	Mr. James Miller		633
8	Mr. Ralph Miranda		634 & 807
9	Mr. Neil Kette		651
10	Rev. John Voth		659
11	Father Frances Murphy		662
12	Mr. Mike Hogan		674
13	Mr. Marvin Walden		690
14	Mr. Fred Delvecchio		706
15	Mr. Bruce Rinaldi		739
16	Mr. Cleon Duke		747
17	Mr. B.J. Harris		776
18	Mr. Herbert Padilla		795
19	Mr. Joe Martinez	æ	798
20	Mr. Ray Chatman	1 "	802
21	Mr. John Wright		817
22	Mr. Richard D. Trump		829
23	Mr. Duane Vild		8.31
24	Mr. Billy Burkhardt		869
25	Mr. Raymond Reese		873 7
			,

1       SPEAKER       PAGE         2       Mr. Paris Carriger       879         3       Mr. Jeff Martin       888         4       Mr. Gilbert Dockery       893         5       Ms. Sharon Derringer       899         6       Ms. Paula Davidson       902         7       Ms. Eva Zarate       904         8       Ms. Paulette Tosatto       905         9       Mr. John J. Moran       907         10       Mr. Harold J. Cardwell       914         11       Mr. Hal Delhaye       921         12       Mr. Flint Anderson       922         13       Mr. W. Edward Morgan       928         14       15         16       17         18	
3       Mr. Jeff Martin       888         4       Mr. Gilbert Dockery       893         5       Ms. Sharon Derringer       899         6       Ms. Paula Davidson       902         7       Ms. Eva Zarate       904         8       Ms. Paulette Tosatto       905         9       Mr. John J. Moran       907         10       Mr. Harold J. Cardwell       914         11       Mr. Hal Delhaye       921         12       Mr. Flint Anderson       922         13       Mr. W. Edward Morgan       928         14       15         16       17	,
3       Mr. Jeff Martin       888         4       Mr. Gilbert Dockery       893         5       Ms. Sharon Derringer       899         6       Ms. Paula Davidson       902         7       Ms. Eva Zarate       904         8       Ms. Paulette Tosatto       905         9       Mr. John J. Moran       907         10       Mr. Harold J. Cardwell       914         11       Mr. Hal Delhaye       921         12       Mr. Flint Anderson       922         13       Mr. W. Edward Morgan       928         14       15         16       17	
4       Mr. Gilbert Dockery       893         5       Ms. Sharon Derringer       899         6       Ms. Paula Davidson       902         7       Ms. Eva Zarate       904         8       Ms. Paulette Tosatto       905         9       Mr. John J. Moran       907         10       Mr. Harold J. Cardwell       914         11       Mr. Hal Delhaye       921         12       Mr. Flint Anderson       922         13       Mr. W. Edward Morgan       928         14       15         16       17	
Ms. Paula Davidson 902  Ms. Eva Zarate 904  Ms. Paulette Tosatto 905  Mr. John J. Moran 907  Mr. Harold J. Cardwell 914  Mr. Hal Delhaye 921  Mr. Flint Anderson 922  Mr. W. Edward Morgan 928  Mr. W. Edward Morgan 928	
7       Ms. Eva Zarate       904         8       Ms. Paulette Tosatto       905         9       Mr. John J. Moran       907         10       Mr. Harold J. Cardwell       914         11       Mr. Hal Delhaye       921         12       Mr. Flint Anderson       922         13       Mr. W. Edward Morgan       928         14       15         16       17	
8       Ms. Paulette Tosatto       905         9       Mr. John J. Moran       907         10       Mr. Harold J. Cardwell       914         11       Mr. Hal Delhaye       921         12       Mr. Flint Anderson       922         13       Mr. W. Edward Morgan       928         14       15         16       17	
9 Mr. John J. Moran 907 10 Mr. Harold J. Cardwell 914 11 Mr. Hal Delhaye 921 12 Mr. Flint Anderson 922 13 Mr. W. Edward Morgan 928 14 15 16	
10 Mr. Harold J. Cardwell 914 11 Mr. Hal Delhaye 921 12 Mr. Flint Anderson 922 13 Mr. W. Edward Morgan 928 14 15 16 17	
11 Mr. Hal Delhaye 921 12 Mr. Flint Anderson 922 13 Mr. W. Edward Morgan 928 14 15 16 17	
12 Mr. Flint Anderson 922 13 Mr. W. Edward Morgan 928 14 15 16 17	
13 Mr. W. Edward Morgan 928  14  15  16  17	
14   15   16   17	
15 16 17	
16 17	
17	
19	
20	
21   22	
23	
23   24	
25 . *	

## 1 LATE SESSION 2 3 THE CHAIRPERSON: The Commission will again come to 4 order, please, if we could have Mr. Akins Mr. Miller and 5 Mr. Miranda, please? 6 Gentlemen, thank you very much. 7 We have Miller, Akins and Miranda here. 8 That was a rather long 20 minutes, I'm sure. 9 Would each of you state your name, occupation and 10 address, for the record, please? 11 MR. MILLER: James Miller, carpenter, Arizona State 12 Prison. 13 MŔ. AKINS: Sam Akins, yard worker, Arizona State 14 Prison. 15 Chico Miranda, photographer, Arizona "MR. MIRANDA: 16 State Prison. 17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. 18 Mr. Levis? 19 (By Mr. Levis.) Mr. Akins, is there an 20 council at Arizona State Prison? 21 22 23 24 25 A. (By Mr. Akins.) No, there isn't.

, '	i A	
1	Q Has there ever been an inmate council?	ì
2	A. Not in the last 12 years, there hasn't.	g.
3	Q Have the inmates ever attempted to create one?	(
4	A. Yes, they have.	
5	Q. And what was the result of that and when was that?	
6	A. I believe the last attempt was 1970 or 71 and the	
7	administration at that time promised that it would be	
8	looked into and recommendations would be made and we'd be	
9	allowed to have one.	
10	Q. Under the present administration, have the inmates	
11	attempted to create such a council?	
12	A. Not to my knowledge.	
13	Q. Do you have any knowledge as to why that has been?	
14	A. No, I really don't.	
15	Q. Are there any outside groups at Arizona State Prison	
16	such as Seventh Step and religious organizations?	
17	A. Yes, yes, there are.	•
18	Q. What type of organizations?	
19	A. We have the Seven Steps, and we have a Catholic	
20	Religion and the Protestant Religion and I think they have	
21	a rabbi.come down periodically. And they have a Seventh	
22	Day Adventist or something like that. That's the extent of	
23	it, I know of.	
24	Q. Thank you. Ar. Milar.	
25	Mra Mikkerragretthéresany chaplinssat thetArizonam ar	

1	State:Prison or any religious facilities?
2	
3	
4	JAMES MILLER
5	
$\mid \epsilon$	A. (By Mr. Miller.) Yes, there is.
7	Q. What kind of chaplains are there?
8	A. They have a Protestant, a Catholic and a rabbi, I
g	believe, comes down occasionally.
10	Q. Is it difficult for minority religions to get a
11	chaplin or rabbi down for services, if inmates so request?
12	A. I'm not familiar with the procedure they go through
13	to get a rabbi down there or anything, but I know it has been
14	hard to see him.
15	Q Has it been difficult for you, personally, or
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. What do you do, you have to make a request of the
18	warden or what is the procedure?
19	A. To my knowledge, they don't have any set procedure,
20	just you don't know about it.
2	Q Do they have a rabbi down for any services at all?
.22	A. Occasionally, yes.
23	Q. On any set schedule?
24	A. NO.
25	Q. And I take it the Protestant and the Catholic Chaplain
1	

	s of the second
1	take care of those services, the other services?
2	A. Pardon me?
3	Q. Take care of the regular Protestant and Catholic
4	services?
5	A. Yes, they do.
6	Q Are there any other religious organizations?
7	A. I think the Seventh Day Adventists come down.
8	Q. Are there any provisions for handling religious
9	dietary laws on special holidays?
10	A. No, there is not.
11	Q. Mr. Miranda, we heard some testimony from Mr. Baeza
12	concerning Macho and I just wondered if you could explain
13	this organization?
14	
15	_
16	CHI <b>Ç</b> O MIRANDA
17	
18	A. (By Mr. Miranda.) In what respect?
19	Q As I understand it you are in the Macho organization?
20	A. Yes, sir.
21	Q. And Macho is a selfhelp group?
22	A. Yes, it is.
23	Q. And it's composed of Chicano inmates?
24	A Yes, it is.
25	Q And has a staff sponsor?

A. Yes, we have three staff sponsors.

Q Do you have to have a sponsor to organize such a group?

A. Yes, you definitely do.

.24

And what does Macho do and how often does Macho meet at the state prison?

A. Macho meets once a week at the state prison as a whole, the general population in the group, and what they do is literally they just take advantage of anything, any opportunities, programs, dedication or anything that the institution has to offer.

They work along the guidelines in respect of the people, the supervisors of education, vocational training and so forth, to more or less take the Chicano workers off the yards, off the gang and so forth, put them in schools, put them in vocational training and just outright take advantage of anything the institution has to offer and we kind of interpret, run interference of whatever, for the guys that have trouble communicating or just really don't want to communicate sometimes.

Q Now, it's my understanding that Macho is translating the disciplinary rules into Spanish, is that correct?

A. Yes, both disciplinary and the mail procedures.

Q. Are being --

A. We're doing both, we're translating them into Spanish

for the guys that have difficulty, well, that can't speak 1 English, we are writing --2 How many inmates are there who can't speak English 3 or read or write? 4 Well, numbers, I'll take a guess, there's about 350 5 Chicanos in the institution and I would venture to say 6 there's about 75 that don't speak, English, read or write. 7 And how many members are there in Macho? 8 Macho we have about 180 members. 9 Is there any restriction on the size of the member-10 ship or the size of the meeting? 11 Yes, there is. A. 12 On which? 13 There's a restriction on the size of the membership 14 which is eight, but in our instance they haven't enforced 15 it in any way because we haven't caused any problems, we 16 haven't been a problem or anything like that, so they let 17 those attend that do come that are on our list, which is 18 about 120 average every week, 130 ... 19 You have 120 at your meetings? 20 We would have more but they started a school 21 22 class and about 30 of our membership that we carry go to 23 school on that day and that's a class where they teach

Are there any religious services in Spanish or any

24

25

Q.

English to nonspeaking --

23° 

other programs for inmates who don't speak English?

A There hadn't been, but there was one instituted about two months ago or so and it lasted about two or three weeks, which was a Spanish mass for all the Chicanos that wanted to attend mass and it was completely done in Spanish and it was assisted by the actual members of the people that went, the congregation themselves but it was discontinued a couple of weeks ago and the reasons haven been set forth by anybody, the administration or Father Murphy, the Catholic Chaplain.

Q. As I understand it, Father Murphy will be testifying.
You said that Macho works with the educational
department, in what way do you work with the educational
department?

A In finding out actual foremats as to what to do, what test to take, what is available and so forth, so that we can in turn relay it to all the Chicano population. And therefore create some kind of channel or program or whatever you want to call it, just to let them know what they have to do and then, sometimes, we do it for them, we actually enroll them and so forth and do the necessary paper work and so forth, in that sense we work with them.

- Q Are you president of Macho now?
- A. Yes, I am.
- 0 Mr. Akins, Mr. Simons talked about Seventh Step, he

	•
1	indicated that you're on the inmate council of Seventh
<b>2</b> ,	Step, is that correct?
3	A. (By Mr. Akins.) That's right.
4	Q And what do the inmates do in Seventh Step, is it
5	a weekly session as he indicated, a selfhelp-type session?
6	A. Yes, it is. We try to, we have a thing we call I
7	keep trying to say moderate, but anyway, we call the inmate
8	up that's going home, that's coming up toward his release
9	date and we interview him and ask him questions and try to
10	get him to face the reality of what he's going out to
11	face once he's released. So we try to help him in that
12	way and we try to help him as far as getting a job or place
. 13	to say once they are released and counseling once they
14	are on the outside.
15	Q So, it's an encounter-type group to prepare the in-
16	mate for the free world?
17	A. Yes.
18	DR. GLASS: Madame Chairman, I'd like to ask Mr.
19	Akins a question.
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.
21	Q (By Dr. Glass.) You were involuntarily transferred
22	out of the prison, I understand, some time back?
23	A. That's correct.
24	Q. And how did you manage to get back here again?
25	A. Well, some of my friends and my family, I think, were
	STORE TO THE PROPERTY OF THE P

consistently asking the new director to give us another chance to bring us back out and since he didn't know us, the guys that were transferred, we were transferred before he came in, and I think he decided to just call us back out and give us a chance.

Q How have you found it, how'd they seem to treat you when you returned here, have you noticed any —

- A. Well, I've noticed several major changes and several progressive changes.
- Q Could you elaborate on just a few of these, just condense it as quick as you can?
- A Well, one, major complaints have been in the past on segregated living conditions as far as the cells are concerned, that's been erradicated. One of the things was certain jobs had been denied Blacks and that no longer exists, to my knowledge, and just things like that, changes that Blacks usually would be interested in seeing come about and these things have come about, some of them.
- Q I'd like to ask all three of you the same guestion, then I'll conclude my questioning here.
- What are your real hangups in the prison, what do you think needs to be done in the prison to help make things better?

I know there's a lot of things that you probably could talk about, but briefly try to summarize what you think

the problems are in the prison at the moment?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Miller, why don't we start with you?

A. (By Mr. Miller.) Well, I think that, I believe that more money should be appropriated to get a higher caliber of guards, you know, we do get shook down a lot, you know, unnecessarily. And I can't say for everybody, but most of us do. I've seen a lot of good changes and the security has come up.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Akins?

A. (By Mr. Akins.) You want to know the things, the inmates, the things that would improve the prison? I think the recreation department should be improved. Like in Kentucky, I had the privilege of enjoying a gymnasium and field house, and that takes care of giving an inmate something to do on a rainy day or the day the weather's too hot, you can participate in sports activities, I think that would be a great improvement.

And I think that if we had any Blacks as far as in authoritative positions, decision making capacities inside the prison, like on the disciplinary committees and council or something along that line, that it would be a big asset to the Blacks that they could relate to this.

Q (By DranGlass). I didn't quite understand what you said.

 A. In the history of the institution since I've been there, for 12 years, there's never been any Black in any decision making capacity. As far as captain, lieutenant, anything above a sergeant and if this was erradicated, then I think that would be a major improvement.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Miranda?

A (By Mr. Miranda.) I would have to stick with facilities for groups, organizations and so forth, for the actual inmate, like you mentioned an advisory council, a meeting place for various groups to go to conduct their work, conduct their interviews and so forth and overall, just some type of building, facilities or offices, so forth, so these groups could handle a lot of the work that really is part of the department of correction's work, as far as securing jobs for inmates, finding place of residence, helping with family problems, financial assistance, contacts with schools and so forth.

And at this time there is no place for any organization, one group or anybody can meet to discuss things like this or plan.

They've one meeting place and that's where you go to have your general meeting and that's the only time you see everybody else during the week. If they were to have more facilities, typewriters, mimeograph machines and so forth and communications with the outside world, I think that would

1 make a big difference as far as the inmates are concerned, 2 all the way around. 3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. 4 Are there any other questions of the inmates? 5 Mr. Guerrero? 6 (By Rep. Guerrero.) One question. 7 I might direct this to Mr. Miranda. 8 Mr. Miranda, have you ever had to or wanted to go 9 through the chow line for seconds? 10 (By Mr. Miranda.) Very rarely. 11 I mean have you ever gone through, you know, for 12 seconds? 13 Yes, I would say I have, yes. 14 Have you had any problem getting seconds? 15 Well, yes, I should have said that first you're not 16 supposed to, no. Yes, I've had problems, but -- well, I 17 haven't had any personally, because I've never been caught 18 let's put it that way, but you're not supposed to do it. 19 And I would imagine yes, there's quite a few other people 20 that would like to get seconds or more of whatever a certain 21 menu is being served or whatever. 22 Q. Mr. Miranda, what do you have to do to get seconds? 23. I guess spend a couple of days in the hole, I don't A. 24 know. Because I don't think, that there's any provisions for 25 getting seconds at all.

	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
1	Q. Not to your knowledge anyway, right?
2	A. Not to my knowledge, no.
3	Q. Mr. Akins, have you ever, maybe come in a little
4	tired or hungry, you know, and wanted seconds in the chow
5	line?
6	A. (By Mr. Akins.) Yes, I've wanted seconds.
7	Q. Have you ever had seconds?
8	A. No. No, they don't serve seconds.
9	Q Well, is it possible to get seconds if you want,
10	what do you have to do to get seconds?
11	A Well, you will have to jeopordize yourself or someone
12	else from the kitchen by asking them to steal something
13	and pay them to steal it for you and both of you will be
14	subject to disciplinary action.
15	Q Mr. Miller, have you ever had occasion to want
16	seconds in the chow line?
17	A. (By Mr. Miller.) Yes, I have.
18	Q. Haseitha been refused to you?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q Under what circumstances? Why are they refused?
21	Can you get it if you go outside the building and
22	come around the front door again?
23	A Yes, you can go out and go around, but there's a
24	risk you got to take getting caught.
25	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Guerrero, do you have any other
i	

questions?

C. REP. GUERREROSEs Yes. 2000.

We had prior testimony, Madame Chairman, to my recollection, that all a person had to do was just go through the line, go right back out and get right back in line.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Akins?

A, (By Mr. Akins.) No, that's not true, that's absolutely not true, I've been there 12 years and they don't do it like that.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Moran; did you wish to make a statement?

MR. MORAN: Just quickly, we have to control the main portion of the meal whether it's meat or whatever it is, and again for clarification, initially, through the line, within reason, can't you take anything you want, as much potatoes or bread or whatever they got?

A. Right, maybe I should have clarified that, all the items aren't rationed, just certain items are rationed, dessert and possibly the meat, but with the vegetables or whatever fruit might be on the line, you can get as much as you want.

THE CHAIRPERSON: You take as much as you want?

- A. That will eliminate part of the desire for seconds.
- Q (By Rep. Guerrero.) One further question, I might

direct this to any of the three.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Have you known of any cases where they ve maybe thrown away original portions in the garbage there, hadn't been used, instead of -- I mean to your knowledge, I'll cut the question off there.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Any of the three of you would like to respond to that?

- A. (By Mr. Akins.) That's done consistently. THE CHAIRPERSON: Pardon, sir?
- A. I'd say that's done consistently, I work on the garbage --
  - (By Rep. Guerrero.) Have you seen this done?
- I've seen this done consistently, but let me say this, that was in the past some time ago and I don't know with the new food service director, for sure, that's happened now, but just, I imagine it does.
  - 0. Have any other two inmates seen this done personally?
  - (By Mr. Miller.) No. A.
- (By Mr. Miranda.) All I've seen is that it's been reused, you know, I mean it hasn't been thrown away, it has been, well, for instance the main dish, whatever it was on this certain day, and the next day you'll find it in the soup or something like that, really, you know, it's stuff that they can reuse without hurting, and they use it.
  - 0. I have one more question.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Guerrero, I would like to say that my family generally eats leftovers themselves.

REP. GUERRERO: I've no objection to leftovers, what I was trying to bring out, Madame Chairman, is the fact that we have conflicting testimony here as to what action in the opinion of the inmates --

MR. LEVIS: Point of order.

As I understand the testimony, there is conflictionly in whether the inmates can go outside and get seconds.

As far as Mr. Akins seeing material thrown in the garbage, I think he indicated that was previously, prior togal year ago, and the new administration.

REP. GUERRERO: Well, Madame Chairman, I meant since the new administration has been there, have you had any problem getting seconds, since the new administration took effect?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Guerrero, they have already answered that and said yes, they do.

REP. GUERRERO: I don't know if it was prior, just a minute, I think the counsel here mentioned that this was two years ago.

THE CHAIRPERSON: No, he mentioned that the throwing away of the food in the garbage was in the prior administration, Mr. Akins pointed that out when he was talking about throwing away food.

I think we have two things in question here, one can inmates go back for seconds if they want to, and if so, how. And secondly, is food that could be given to them as seconds instead being thrown in the garbage. Mr. Akins has told us here this afternoon that, you know, in prior administrations when he was working on the garbage detail, the food that could have been served as seconds were thrown away, if I'm correct, is that correct, Mr. Akins?

A. (By Mr. Akins.) Yes.

THE CHAIRPERSON: They are saying, however, all of them, that all three of them are saying, the general policy is they don't go back for seconds unless they jeopordize themselves.

REP. GUERRERO: Just a minute, I wanted to ask that question again, I'll rephrase the question.

- Q (By Rep. Guerrero.) Mr. Akins, did you say a while ago -- would you restate, or who was it, I think it was Miller, that there's a danger that if you should go outside the building, come back in, you aren't supposed to get back in line?
  - A (By Mr. Miller.) Yes, sir, there is.
- Q For any type of seconds, whether it's not the main ration?
  - A. Right. For any reason.
  - Q Does any of the other two disagree with that being

1 the practice? Under the present administration? 2 No disagreement, then, they all concur. 3 Thank you. I have one other question. 5 Mr. Akins, what's your duration been here in the 6 institution, here in --7 TBy Mr. Akinst A Twelve years and one month today. A. 8 Twelve years and one month? Yes. sir. A. 10 Mr. Akins, they used to have a Black heritage group 11 that used to meet here, you had officers and people come 12 in and speak with the group, what happened to that group? 13 The group dissolved while I was away in Kentucky A. 14 and I haven't been able to get all the information on why 15 it was dissolved, but from what I can hear since I've 16 been back, you haven't talked with any administration per-17 sonnel about it, but from the inmates that they're having 18 a lot of trouble getting it organized again due to the 19 administrative assistance. 20 Q. Mr. Akins, to your knowledge, about what point in 21 time was this group dissolved? 22 A. Like I say, I'm not sure, but I believe it was 23 around last June. 24 Under the present administration? Q. 25 I'm not really sure when the present administration A.

1 came in. 2 Around June. 3 I would say it was around the same time. 4 One further question of Mr. Miranda. 5 Mr. Miranda, what happened to the organization 6 they had in the institution called MUC, ...... Mexican Unity 7 Council? 8 (By Mr. Miranda.) It was revamped, let's say, it 9 was -- not meeting with the then-administration's policies 10 for a Mexican organization policy and we reorganized. 11 Was it by suggestion of administration? 12 At that time it was done by suggestion of adminis-13 tration if we wanted to continue the Chicano movement in 14 the prison. 15 Was any reason given to you? 16 Yes, in the sense at that time it was given to us, 17 it was because there was, oh, let me put it this way, they 18 didn't want to allow any political groups, any radical 19 groups or so forth to be enjoined together in the institution. 20 They didn't want to recognize them. 21 Mr. Miranda, are the same persons affiliated with Q. 22 Macho now that were in the MUC organization? 23 A. Do you mean inside or outside? 24 Inside? Q. 25 A. Inside it's the same membership, yes.

1	Q. Under Macho?
2	A. Yes, it is, with a different executive outside.
3	Q. Then it was just a change in the title only?
4	A. Well, title and concepts and goals, because we Went
5	from a political radical group in a sense, what they labeled
6	it, we went into an educational-vocational-rehabiliation
7	group.
8	REP. GUERRERO: Thank you.
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: Do you have any other questions,
10	Mr. Guerrero?
11	REP. GUERRERO: That's all.
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: Are there any other questions of
13	the panel of these three gentlemen?
14	If not, we'd like to thank you for coming this
15	afternoon, and giving us this testimony.
16	Thank you.
17	The Chair would like to now call Mr. Neil Kette,
18	keverend donn vodn and rather frances murphy.
19	Gentlemen, while we're waiting for the signs, I
20	would ask that you each give your name, occupation and
, 21	address, preaser
22	MR. KETTE: Nell Kette, Deputy, Superintendent,
23	Programs, Florence, Arizona.
24	REV. VOTH: Chaptain John Voth, Protestant Chaptain,
25	and I live in Mesa.

1 FATHER MURPHY: Father Murphy, Catholic Chaplain. 2 I live in Florence. 3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Gentlemen. 4 Mr. Williams, did you have some questions for these 5 gentlemen? 6 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. 7 (By Mr. Williams.) Mr. Kette, are you responsible Q. 8 for the overall supervision of mail and visiting facility? 9 10 11 NEIL KETTE 12 13 (By Mr. Kette.) That's right, yes. 14 Let me state for the record, while he finds the 15 place here, I've been told that all of the inmates who were 16 to appear here have been taken back to the institution and 17 been fed a full meal. 18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Were they given seconds, Mr. Kette? 19 No seconds, no seconds. 20 DR. GLASS: Madame Chairman, I would like to ask Mr. 21 Kettera question. 22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Dr. Glass. Go ahead. 23. 0. (By Dr. Glass.) Mr. Kette, how much time do you 24 spend, since you're in charge of all these programs, how much 25 time do you spend attending the programs to evaluate programs

1 in the prison? 2 Very little, Dr. Glass. My immediate assistant, Mr. 3 Jim McLaughlin, is technically in charge of coordinating the 4 programs from the administrative point of view. 5 DR. GLASS: Very well. 6 I have no further questions, Madame Chairman. 7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Dr. Glass. 8 Mr. Williams? 9 (By Mr. Williams.) Mr. Kette, is there an inmate Q. 10 council at the prison? 11 No, sir, there is not. 12 How are grievances handled by the prisoners? 13 method do they express their grievances? 14 Well, if you're talking in terms of club or a 15 committee problems, these are handled by the inmate club 16 sponsors. We do have counselors in the -- working in the 17 cell blocks in the IER section and in the OT section, who 18 respond to requests for help from the inmates. 19 How does the administration communicate with the 20 prison body? 21 A. Communication is a problem, about the only way that 22 we can very effectively and consistently do it is through 23 the inmate newspaper. 24 How often are inmate news published right now? 0. 25 Hopefully it comes out every two weeks, but there are A,

occasionally problems with the printing and two and a half weeks, sometimes.

We do have bulletin board posted at all strategic areas in the institution and anything that we want to get across immediately is put out in that fashion. When we have some particular item such as the new mail regulations or the disciplinary procedures, the inmates were personally handed copies of those items.

- Q What do you think the attitude of the immates would be to establishing a council, inmate council?
- A. I imagine the general attitude of the inmates would be favorable.
- Q We've had the inmates discuss the outside groups that come in, do you want to briefly outline the groups and your feeling of how they interact with the inmates?
- A Well, first of all, let me state I don't think that all or any of these outside groups have all of the answers to problems in corrections. Our philosophy is, however, that we want to encourage inmates to get involved in programs, with the hope that at least if they're in a program to merely impress the parole board that at least something will rub off, so we are operating on that philosophy.

We want inmates in programs on a voluntary basis, we don't want to recruit them into programs. We've had no particular problems, I'm not quite sure how I can really

.14

directly answer your question. I see no problems in the interaction that's going on now, if that's what you mean.

Q We were also told that there's no, at this point,
Black heritage group or Black group mainly because they
lack a sponsor. Do you see the need for such an organization to work with the certain minorities in the prison facility?

A. Yes, I do, the Black immates make up a significant portion of our inmate population, there is a need for a group. All of the organizations that were in effect at the time of the deaths of the two officers at the prison were disbanded, along about September of this past year.

Mr. McLaughlin met with representatives of the groups that had been organized previously, he told them to get their stuff together, put it down on paper, present it to the administration, what they want to do, their goals, purposes and this type of thing.

And the Seventh Step and the Machos jumped on the forbandwagon, they got their stuff together, and they were organized and have been operating for several months quite effectively.

The Black organization was quite slow in putting their material together, they did present us some materials about two weeks ago, we returned it to them, we asked them to clean it up and to send it back for approval.

1 Now, the Black organization clearly doesn't have a Q. 2 sponsor, if they get the material together, is there any-3 thing the prison administration can do to influence or 4 to try and recruit someone who could act as a sponsor for 5 the group? 6 We have tried to get sponsors who are interested in 7 becoming involved in the programs. Therefore we prefer that 8 the inmate group themselves try to select an officer who 9 is compatible with what they want to do. 10 In this particular case, I assume that the inmates 11 will want a Black officer to be their sponsor. 12 MR. WILLIAMS: That's all the questions I have of 13 Mr. Kette. 14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Guerrero, do you have some 15 questions? 16 REP. GUERRERO: Just to Mr. Kette. 17 (By Rep. Guerrero.) Mr. Kette, could you give us 18 a brief summary of your background in corrections? 19 I started at the Ohio Penitentiary in Columbus, Ohio, 20 as a social worker in 1960. In 1965, I became supervisor of 21 the department of social work at the Ohio Penitentiary, and 22 in January, 1968, I became deputy warden in charge of 23 treatment at the Ohio Penitentiary, and I've been in the 24 Arizona penal system since this past August.

25

You resigned a position at Ohio Penitentiary in order

1 to come out here? 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 I guess Mr. Moran invited you to be on the staff? 4. You are Assistant Warden, aren't you? 5 Yes, sir. 6 He invited you to participate with him here in 7 administration as assistant warden? 8 Through Mr. Cardwell, I worked with Mr. Cardwell in A. the Ohio Penitentiary. 10 I'm sorry, Mr. Cardwell invited you? Q. 11 A. Yes. 12 And Mr. Cardwell resigned over there to come over 13 here? 14 A. Right. 15 REP. GUERRERO: Thank you. 16 THE CHAIRPERSON: Are there any other questions of 17 the gentlemen? 18 May I make one more comment? 19 I got on Yale Simons -- Yale pointed out that there's 20 no Narc-anon program at the Arizona State Prison, I pointed 21 out to him that this Sunday we are having a conference of the 22 Narc-anon group at the Arizona State Prison with approximately 23 80 outside guests. 24 MR. SIMONS: I said I apologize. 25 I wanted to make it for the record, A. (By Mr. Kette.)

Yale.

Also let me point out too, I want to get on Yale again, he said there was no AA program at the present time, we had the problem of the AA group getting their thinking together too, and their former sponsor has finally come out of the woodwork and presented himself to us and there have been two organization meetings within the past several weeks at the institution. And I assume very shortly we will have an active AA Chapter again at the prison.

That's all.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Reverend Voth, what type of religious services --

A (By Mr. Kette.) Oh, pardon me, pardon me, may I inject one more organization that wasn't submitted initially to us. We also now have a Jay-Cee program in our OT area, we've justcrecently gottenithis underway, and I want to make sure to give those folks some credit too.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr. Kette.

REP. GUERRERO: One more question.

- Q (By Rep. Guerrero.) Mr. Kette, where you were before, in Ohio, is it Ohio State Penitentiary?
  - A. Ohio Penitentiary.
- Q Did they have similar groups within the institution as they have here?
- A We had, our Spanish-speaking population was quite small,

it wasn't near the size of Macho, but we did have a Spanish group, we did not have Seventh Step, we had a program that was similar to Narc-anon, we had three units of the Great Books Club, we had Alcholics Anonymous, we had five different music organizations, we had an art club, we had an outside group known as CONCEBNARIA. Which was made up of members of the community, coming in on a weekly basis.

With our honor men, we had a stamp club that had some nationwide recognition, we had Spanish mass, we had several group therapy programs.

DR. GLASS: Madame Chairman, I'd like to ask one more.

REP. GUERRERO: One more question and I'll be through.

- Q (By Rep. Guerrero.) Did you have any Italian groups or Polish groups or German groups, heritage groups, anything like that?
  - A. No, no.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Dr. Glass?

- Q (By Dr. Glass.) Who sets the regulations governing how many outside people can come into the prison? Who makes this decision, you or Warden Cardwell?
- A. Well, the warden, of course, makes the final decision on those programs, I think the number ten was arbitrarily set and we've expanded that to 15.
  - Q It's been expanded to 15?

A. Yes, that is for the general monthly or weekly meetings or whatever.

We have, of course, exceptions to those regulations when we have banquets or something of that type.

- Very well, but it has been increased?
- Yes, sir.

DR. GLASS: Thank you, that's all I have.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Reverend Voth, what type of religious services are held in the institution?

10

1.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

20

22

21

23 24

25

19

## REVEREND JOHN VOTH

A. (By Rev. Voth.) I'll speak for my particular responsibility and along that line I'd like to say this, that with the new institution of the new -- with the new leadership, other people are expected to carry out their responsibilities and are held responsible for it, as a result, the supervision is in that respect, rather than closely watching.

My work, for instance, Mr. Kette is always aware of what's going on, he wants reports, he likes to hear verbal reports, of what's going on and the other side of it is that the leadership is always walking the yard.

One of the traditions is that the warden comes in,

 usually around 4:00 o'clock, and sort of moseys around to see how the shiftiis going.

As a result, the inmates have many opportunitites to communicate with the administration.

Now, in that same way, my responsibilities, then, are to conduct the noneCatholic religious programs and worship services. I'm responsible for making sure that there is opportunity for Protestant worship on the men's side as well as the women's side. I'm responsible to conduct those services. The sacraments are provided nand to thereservices also coordinated. The dewish sacrament from a redul, to the least pewish services from a rabbi that comes down for the holidays on a part time basis, comes down and I coordinate that. We have Morman services twice a month, on Saturdays. And the men come in to conduct those services.

And also educational religious instruction during that two-hour period.

THE CHAIRPERSON: What chaplains are paid for their services by the institution?

A. Two. Protestant and Catholic, Father Murphy and myself.

And I think there's also some, and then there is some
pay provided to help the Jewish Chaplain to come down.

THE CHAIRPERSON: How are religious dietary laws handled by the institution today?

A About the same way I suspect as medical dietary rules

are kept, if an inmate were to request this, it would be worked out through the chaplain, myself, so that a man could honor those.

Now, Father can probably speak in terms of Catholic dietary problems.

THE CHAIRPERSON: How are various religious holidays handled by the prison?

A. Well, Neil said, "Chaplain, do something special for Easter", and okay, so what we're doing is we're having our regular standard services on Sunday morning, but we are planning a special service, bringing in outside choral groups, dramatic drama groups to have a very special service on Easter Sunday evening.

Holidays, oh yes, masses are said, if there's a -I have Christmas Eve. Services, this is -- it depends upon
my desire to be responsible and see what I want to initiate
and then working it through the system.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much.

Is attendance at religious services required or are there restrictions on who may attend your religious services?

A Voluntary, completely voluntary, no one is coerced into attending a religious service.

For matters of security, we try to have the men as a general rule, attend the service to which they sign themselves to when they first come into the institution and give

a designation.

So, Protestants go to Protestant Services, Catholics go to Catholic Services, but if a Jewish person comes to me and says he would like to attend Protestant Services, after determining his sinceretdesire to do this and that he's not trying to play some game, like perhaps pass a little dope or something, during worship or play some other kind of game we're suspicious of. If I feel he's sincere a memo's puttupuand that manucantattend.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Can anlinmate refuse to see a religious leader?

A. Refuse to see a religious leader? Certainly, all he has to do is stay away.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Do you and Father Murphy visit inmates in lockup?

A Yes, yes. I try to visit the lockup at least once a week, passing out a variety of religious materials, try to become aware of what the religious needs are, if they're Buddhist, I've ordered Buddhist materials, if they happen to be some other sect group, I provide them with that material. Try to also help them in the correspondence at this particular point with the religious leaders. If there's a big enough need so that a group of men were to come requesting a special service, I'm sure that I would have to take this to Mr. Kette and it would be seriously considered and probably

would be allowed to happen.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

In addition to your religious services, what other duties do you have as chaplain?

A. One of the prime responsibilities is counseling, and education, I have conducted group bible studies, I've conducted special drug groups that were men who had a religious orientation and who wanted to work through their problems with a pastor, rather than with a counselor, and conducted those kinds of things.

We also have a Christian Men's Fellowship which meets on Thursday night, which is part of the club program, we have about 80 men in attendance there, on the average. This is led by the inmates, they invite outside ministers and guests to come in to share in the program. They conduct it, they lead it. I function only as an advisor.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

Father Murphy, as Catholic Chaplain, do you hold Sunday Catholic Services at the prison?

## FATHER FRANCES MURPHY

A (By Father Murphy.) Yes, every Sunday.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Is attendance at your services

1 quired? A. No. 3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Are there any restrictions on who 4 may attend Catholic Services? No, everybody's invited. 6 THE CHAIRPERSON: How are religious dietary laws ( 7 handled in the Catholic Religion? 8 Catholics eat everything. A. DR. GLASS: Each time they go through the line? 10 THE CHAIRPERSON: I was specifically thinking . 11 about things like any fish during lent and -12 Oh, no, the bishop has excused anybody in an insti-13 tution follows the laws of the institution? 14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Of the institution? 15 A. Yes. 16 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Father. 17 In addition to Sunday Services what are your other 18 duties as chaplain? 19 Well, it would be about the same as any -- his, going 20 around, counseling, holding classes, hearing confessions, 21 and of course, about, I'd say 80% of your work in a prison 22 is social work, people are looking more for things outside 23 of religion than they are for religion. 24 I think religion in these men's lives is at bottom 25 of the totem pole, even before they came in. And if you talk

to these men who have been in other prisons and they will tell you about all the activities they went through in the other prisons, whether they were Catholic or Protestant, then they went out, they're back in another prison and these religious exercises in prison didn't seem to do them any good, and when they got out they didn't go back to church, you see?

In other words, when a man gets, commits a crime and gets into prison, he thinks by going to church that he's changing himself. But he's not changing himself unless he changes from within and it's hard for us to judge that.

THE CHAIRPERSON: You and Reverend Voth share the same responsibilities at the women's prison as you do at the men's?

A. Yes.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Are there any additional responsibilities that you have found at the women's prison in addition to the one at the men's prison?

- A. No, except that 544women cam give you more trouble than 1,700 men.
  - DR. GLASS: I'd like to just ask one question l
- DR. GLASS: I'd like to just ask one question here, if I may, of you two gentlemen.
- Q (By Dr. Glass.) It wasn't very many years ago when a Catholic -- Father Murphy and Reverend Voth, I don't know

1 how long you've been associated with the prison, but it was 2 almost impossible for a minister to enter that prison and 3 communicate with the prisoners. 4 I'm wondering if you -- I know you don't have the 5 hassle you used to have, but do you have much trouble with 6 the administration in getting access to contact, direct 7 contact with these men? 8 (By Father Murphy.) In regard to ministers? A. 9 No. I mean in regard to having the privilege of Q. 10 reaching the men, that's what I mean, it wasn't very many 11 years ago that this was almost an impossibility around this 12 prison. 13 A. For the priest and the minister in the institution? 14 Right. a 15 Oh, I don't believe that, because I was here in 1958 16 to '63, the old administration, and they had ample oppor-17 tunity if they wanted to to see a minister or a priest, I do 18 don't buy that at all. 19 0. Is that right? 20 I sure do. A. 21 Well, I must have heard a false story about that. Q. 22 بر ميار بر ميار Well, you heard it --A. 23 Q. I heard it quite a bit. 24 If you heard it in a prison you heard some con stories. A.

I didn't hear it in a prison I heard one minister

25

Q.

1· 

 they had, he wound up on Van Buren at the mental institution.

A. That was a Catholic Priest but he didn't wind up from that, he wound up from another cause which I don't want to make public.

DR. GLASS: Very well.

Madame Chairman, I have nothing more.

I think Reverend Voth wanted also to reply to that.

A. (By Reve Voth.) I'd like to reply to that in this respect, Mr. Cardwell and Mr. Kette and others, in fact, would get on my tail and would tromp on it mighty hard if I was not penetrating the security of the deadlock and I'm talking about even the men that go into the, behind the steel door, if I did not try in some way or another to make enough contact with that man so that he knows that he has the right to visit with me and to talk with me in privacy, and in confidence, knowing that I have no right to carry whatever he tells me back to them.

They have gotten on my tail to find out what I'm doing and made me write reports and they have also spent a hard time worrying about when we had CB3 as a complete lockup section. It's changed now, They spent a lot of time trying to figure out ways to provide those men who could not attend services to provide them with religious services and then they changed the policy and so now the need is not that way.

Thank you, Reverend Voth and Father DR. GLASS: 1 2 Murphy. That's all. 3 Mr. Williams, you had some ques-THE CHAIRPERSON: 4 tions? 5 MR. WILLIAMS? Yes. 6 (By Mr. Williams.) Reverend Voth and Father Murphy, 7 I believe earlier we heard that there were some Spanish 8 masses offered to the Spanish speaking inmates, is that 9 true? 10 (By Father Murphy.) Yes, there were on Saturday 11 evening. 12 Okay, also I think we heard that those were dis-13 14 continued. Do you want to give us the reason for that? Well, we'd have to preface that by what's going on A. 15 in the free world today. 16 We understand, you probably read it, that the Catholic 17 attendance at mass has gone down from 73% to 54%. So we 18 19 held these Spanish masses and they were intended solely for the Mexican Nationals who can not speak any word of 20 English whatsoever. 21 They are taking English courses at the prison that is 22 true, but as of now they do not know enough English to 23 attend the English mass. 24 So, we started out having the Spanish mass. 25 But it

wound up that the only ones who were those who were coming on Sunday morning, and they spent all evening talking down at the back of the church so that mass meant nothing to them.

And then, when I took the roster from the guard and found out that few or none of the Spanish, real Spanish speaking people were not going to mass, I simply cut it out because these same ones that were going Saturday night were going Sunday morning.

And knowing the ration outside had dropped from 73% to 44% for one mass, I can't see inmates going to two masses. I couldn't buy it. So I dropped it. And if there is a need for a Spanish mass, they will get it, but I'm not going to have a meeting down at the back while I'm trying to say mass up at the front.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Father Murphy.

A (By Rev. Voth.) Lest somebody miss this, all Machos or Chicanos are not Catholic, and we do provide them with Spanish speaking bibles and Spanish religious literature and we also find ways and means oftentime through someone who can speak the language when we find some of the men who can not read but who would like very much to have some religious instruction, some of the men themselves are counseled to help the non-reading persons in the cells to communicate to them.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. 2 Are there any other questions of these three gentlemen? 3 MS. McCULLAH: Yes, Madame Chairman. 4 This question would be directed to Mr. Kette. 5 (By Ms. McCullah.) If there is a request from the 6 group of men who are non-Christians, in other words, and who 7 practice the acceptable Christian norms, such as Protestantism 8 and Catholicism, such as the Jewish faith and some of the 9 Native American religions, with their consideration, 10 would their request be considered very seriously? 11 (By Mr. Kette.) Yes, absolutely. 12 Have you had such requests? 13 No. Ma'am, not to my knowledge. I personally haven't 14 had any requests from any non-Christian groups to come in. 15 The only other religious group that I have had any contact 16 with has been the rabbi. We were in the process of in-17 vestigating how we might be able to finance more regular 18 trips by the rabbi to the institution and we found out that 19 there just didn't seem to be the kind of money that he 20 needed to support a regular Jewish program. 21 Q. My question was, if a prisoner requested his own 22 religious faith to come in, even if there's one, would that 23 be allowed? 24 We would have to investigate it quite honestly. 25 A. (By Rev. Voth.) Do you mean in the sense that say

1 the religious representative of that person, someone from the outside would be able to meet with that person? 2 Upon the request of the prisoner, yes. 3 4 If that's been worked out that has been done. 5 one thing, is I have been permitted to bring other ministers 6 in and if a man had a particular request like that, I'm 7 sure that we could work out some kind of a way that either 8 a visiting relationship or an instructional relationship 9 could be worked out. 10 I'm particularly interested in the Native American 11 prison population. Since there is no universal Indian religion, I'm wondering whether there has been any request 12 13 from the Native American group inmates for someone to come 14 in that will generally give them some religious instructions? 15 A. (By Mr. Kette.) I've never been approached. 16 MS. McCULLAH: Thank you. 17 A. (By Rev. Voth.) Remember there are 17 Indians in the 18 place. 19 3 MS: McCULLAHilar ) That's not the point, I'm just 20 asking if one of them requested a medicine man or somebody, 21 you know, of his religious, you know, a religious leader 22 from his tribe, would that request be honored, that's all 23 I'm asking? 24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Muskrat, I think you had a 25

question?

1 MR. MUSKRAT: Yes, I haven't heard an answer to Ms. 2 McCullah's last question and I would like to ask the same 3 question again, of Mr. Kette? Would that --4 (By Mr. Kette.) Could you repeat the question? 5 (By Mr. Muskrat.) If a Native American prisoner re-6 quested a religious leader, would that -- to meet with him 7 in the prison, would that request be granted? And that can 8 be a yes or a no. 9 A. Oh, sure. 10 It would be granted? 11 Why certainly. 12 MR. MUSKRAT: That's all I wanted to know. 13 Okay, that's the same answer I gave earlier. A. 14 MR. MUSKRAT: I think earlier you said you'd have 15 to consider it. Or you'd investigate it, is that what he 16 said? 17 A. Okay. 18 MR. MUSKRAT: And if that was going to be your 19 answer I want to know what standard you'd use --20 A. I misinterpreted the earlier question. 21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, Mr. Moran, you --22 MR. MORAN: Yes, I mentioned yesterday I think it 23 was that we had had preliminary discussions yesterday with 24 a Mr. Yellow Bank, who contacted my office completely un-25 related to these hearings, it's a coincidence, we had one

12.

preliminary meeting, he was here earlier, I don't know if he's here now.

Well, this gentleman was with him, he can tell you exactly where we stand on the thing. I don't even know the gentleman's name, I'm sorry.

But we're looking into it, but it's clear we can not have 36-hour sessions, it's clear that we can not serve Peyote, is it, in the prison, Peyote, but with those two eliminations we're pursuing it.

And I'm to meet with the medicine man soon.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. McCullah, do you have any other questions?

MS. McCULLAH: No.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Are there any further questions of these three gentlemen?

If not, we'd like to thank you all for being here today.

The Chair would now like to call inmates Mike Hogan, Sam Akins, Marvin Walden and Fred Delvecchio.

Gentlemen, if we could have you start with Mr.

Delvecchio -- before we start, no photographs or tape recordings will be made of any of you until we have your permission or unless we don't have any objection, we have had
a request from a newspaper reporter to take your pictures,
is there an objection from any of you?

1	MR. HOGAN: No.
2	MR. AKINS: No.
3	MR. WALDEN: No.
4	MR. DELVECCHIO: No.
. 5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.
6,	If now we can start with Mr. Delvecchio and give
7	your name, your occupation and your address, please?
8	MR. DELVECCHIO: Freddy Delvecchio, Freddy Delvecchio,
9	inmate at the Arizona State Prison.
10	MR. WALDEN: Marvin Walden, inmate, Arizona State
11	Prison.
,12	MR. AKINS: Sam Akins, I work on the yard work detail
13	at Arizona State Prison.
14	MR. HOGAN: I'm Mike Hogan, I'm an inmate, Arizona
15	State Prison.
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Levis?
17	Q (By Mr. Levis.) Mr. Hogan, as you know, in August
<sub>,</sub> 18	and October of '73, Federal Judge William Copple approved
19	some new inmate rules, regulations and discipline programs
20	for the Arizona State Prison.
21	I just wondered if you received a copy of those rules?
22	
23	MIKE HOGAN
24	
25	A. (By Mr. Hogan.) Yes, I did.

1		
	1	Q When did you receive a copy of those rules?
	2	A. I received a copy from Lewis and Roca, the law
	. 3	firm that handled the case immediately after the decision
	4	was handed down, prior to that I received the interim order
	5	that Judge Copple entered, that was about a year ago.
	6	Q Do you remember when the inmates received the new
	7	inmate manual that was admitted into the record yesterday?
	8	A. I believe it was in November.
	9	Q And do you know when that went into effect, the
-	10-	new procedures?
	11	A. The same time, I believe, November.
	12	Q I understand that you're considered a jailhouse
	13	lawyer, I just wondered if you'd give us your academic and
	14	legal training?
	15	A. I have a Bachelor of Arts Degree from Boston Uni-
	16	versity, I have an LLB through correspondence, Blackstone
	17	School of Law.
	18	Q And have you been asked by immates to represent
	19	them in disciplinary procedures or have you participated
	20	yourself in disciplinary procedures under the new rules?
	21	A. No, I have not. I've been asked but I don't feel
	22	that the hearings are conducted fairly, I don't think
,	23	they're I think it's kind of paternalistic-type of thing
	24	so I don't represent them, no.
	25	Q Mr. Hogan, is this based on your own observations of
	1	1

the hearings or is it based on just what other inmates tell you?

- A. Well, would you like me to get into my own, my own experience with the boards or --
- Q Yes, as long as, again I just must explain that for our procedures, if you give any testimony which might defame or degrade any individuals we'll have to ask you to stop and if you feel it's substantial and the Chair so recognizes, we will have you in a closed session.
  - A. All right. All right.
- Q Let me just point out, for your information, and the rest of the panel, that we're concerned generally with the operation of disciplinary procedures under the new rules, and how they work and how they're supposed to work and if you have any personal knowledge that they aren't working correctly, we'd like to know that, but again we're concerned, I think, with your own personal knowledge and the general background of the rules.
- A. All right. I can answer that question without saying anything that would hurt anybody personally.

First of all, I have had, through personal experience, contact with the committees.

- Q. You mean the disciplinary committee?
- A. No, not particularly the disciplinary committee, and this is what I have to explain.

A number of instances inmates who are suspected of dealing or suspected of revolutionary activity, but they don't have any proof, they will not bring them before the disciplinary committee.

Q. Who is they?

A. The administration.

Instead, they will bring them before the classification committee or the reclassification committee.

- Q Before we get any further, why don't we just explain briefly, for the Committee, your understanding of the disciplinary procedures when a person is supposed to go before the disciplinary board?
- A. A person, he's supposed to go before the disciplinary board when he's charged with violation that will result in a loss of his two for one time and other purposes.
- As I understand it, a denial of two for one time or loss of two for one time? The difference I'd like to get out is whether the disciplinary board puts a person, let's say isolation, and for that period the person is. denied two for one time or whether the person goes before the reclassification committee and is reclassified to a position where he is no longer able to earn two for one time, is there a distinction in your mind?
- A. There's a distinction in the law, and consequently there's one in my mind. But if I could be responsive to

your last question, the question was is Copple being implemented as it should be, is the decision that Judge Copple rendered being implemented properly or is it being circumvented and my position is it's being circumvented, and I can document that.

I have spent time in the adjustment center during the period that the interim Copple decision was in effect, I spent almost seven months in the adjustment center and never appeared before the disciplinary committee, I appeared instead before a reclassification committee.

- Q Now, it's my understanding under the new Copple orders, the new rules, that this went into effect in November.
  - A. Right.
- Q So you're talking about time that you spent, under the old rules or the interim rules?
- A. The interim rules, correct. And the interim rules mandated essentially the same procedure that the final order mandated.
- Q. Since the new rules have been implemented, have you experienced any loss of two for one time or spent time which you feel circumvented the Copple decision?
- A. I was in the adjustment center when the final Copple order was entered.
  - Q For the information of the Committee, could you explain

what the adjustment center is?

A. The adjustment center is a maximum security section located in Cell Block Number 3, within the Arizona State Prison. The inmates, there confined, for what the administration euphemistically calls care and treatment. Care and treatment consists of being locked in your cell 24 hours a day, seven days a week.

Isolated from the rest of the prison population.

- Oncerned with whether the rules are possibly being circumvented but it's your contention that persons are being written up for rule violation and then not being sent to the disciplinary committee or that they do not write up a person for rule violation but instead a person is sent, instead, to the reclassification committee?
  - A. Right.
- Q. For the purpose of being sent to the adjustment center?
  - A. Right.

For example, if they do, if they do find that someone has contraband in his possession, they will write him up for possession of contraband and take him before the committee.

- Q Which committee?
  - A Disciplinary committee, but if rumor has it that an

individual is dealing in contraband or if rumor has it or they believe on information they receive from informers that someone is dealing in contraband but they can't prove it, they will lock him up in the adjustment center, for an indeterminate period of time, I might add.

- Q It's my understanding that under the Copple decision, which again we're talking about the new inmate manual that a person can be written up, it can be dismissed informally by the warden or someone who reports to the warden, or a person can go before the disciplinary committee, the disciplinary committee can find a person innocent or guilty, no matter if they find him innocent or guilty, they can recommend that that person be sent to the reclassification committee, is that correct?
  - A That's correct.
- Q And then the reclassification committee can send the person, you're saying, to the adjustment center for care and treatment?
- A. That's correct.
- Q And it's your contention that you feel this circumvents the spirit of the Copple decision?
- A. What you say is essentially correct and I agree with your conclusion, but in addition to that there are incidents where people are not brought before the discipline committee, they are simply locked up 30 days or 60 days after

they're locked up they may or may not an appearance before the reclassification committee or they may get a slip like this if I may, 28059, which is my number from the reclassification committee.

"Please be advised that this memorandum is the written committee decision. After review of your record, you will be classified to CB3, maximum security, no two for one, for purposes of care and treatment. The reason for this decision is, unfavorable institutional adjustment and unfavorable attitude."

- Q. Now, it's my understanding that --
- A. Which is not a rule violation or, you know, certainly not specifics.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, Mr. Hogan, what's the date on that?

A. Date is 8/13/73.

MR. LEVIS: You're saying that's when the interim rules were in effect?

- A. Date is 8/13/73.
- Q (By Mr. Levis.) It's my understanding the new rules went in effect in November that you can be sent to the reclassification committee and then you can be sent to care and treatment for, in a sense, 30-day intervals where your position is supposed to be reviewed, is that not correct?
  - A. That's correct.

- And being reclassified by the reclassification
   committee could result in the loss of two for one from
   that time on because of the reclassification?
  - A Loss of the opportunity to earn two for one, right.
- And you're saying again this, the question that I have, that the Copple decision in front of me, and it's my understanding that the disciplinary committee in section 2, states that the committee, the disciplinary committee will appear where a prisoner faces the following sanctions or penalties, denial of two for one time credits under the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes 31-252.
  - A. Right.
- Q And you're saying there's no distinction between denial of two for one and loss of two for one because they're both prospective?
  - A Right.
- Q Even though the Copple rules do provide for the disciplinary committee, no matter if they find a person innocent or guilty, to be recommended to go before the reclassification committee which can reclassify you to position again where you will lose two for one?
- A Well, the problem of reclassifying someone to a position where he can lose two for one, is essentially a disciplinary thing, you don't sentence a man to another 30 days on the prison sentence or another six months on his prison

3.

5

sentence or a number of years on his prison sentence, unless you're trying to punish him, you're not giving him care and treatment.

Q Is it not also true that the law states that, and again this I think is what the law states that only persons in trust, in jobs of trust get two for one?

A. No, the law has since been modified, Horn versus Rogers, I don't know the citation off-hand, Horn versus Rogers, Watson Versus Industrial Commission, Whit versus State of Arizona, there's a number of cases that have since modified that to the statute that you're referring to, everyone who is engaged in any job, anyone who is in the state hospital, or in a hospital for treatment, if he's a state prisoner is entitled to statutory deducts from his sentence and in Arizona when a judge sentences you to a term of commitment in a state prison he takes into consideration the fact that it's almost a general rule that every inmate does get these deductions, so as a consequence —

Q This is based on your experience?

A. This is based on my experience, as a consequence, a judge who is inclined to give you a five-year sentence, knowing that you automatically get two for one credits, gives you a ten-year sentence or if he was inclined to give you a 15-year sentence, will give you a 30 years sentence.

Q. It's my concern, under the rules, and I think you

ţ. A

worked this concern, that under the new Copple decision 1 that a person can be possibly sent to reclassification 2 without first going to the disciplinary committee, have 3 you ever observed this happen? 4 A. Yes. 5 Under the new rules? 6 Under the interim rules, I can't say under the new 7 rules from my personal experience, no. 8 Now, did the, to the best of your knowledge, did the 0. 9 interim rules have the same provision for the disciplinary 10 committee having the power to deny two for one, referring 11 you to the reclassification committee? 12 Matter of fact, the interim rules are almost 13 identical except for the provision of the amount of time 14 you would spend in disciplinary isolation. 15 0. As I understand it, under the new rules, it's 15 16 days for, up to 15 days for one offense and up to 30 days 17 for multiple offenses? 18 Right, and so what they do, in many cases, is make 19 one offense into two offenses so they can give you the en-20 hanced punishment. 21 You're saying that it's your opinion that inmates 22 are charged with two charges for what you could consider 23 one offense? 24

A.

25

Right, Sam will testify to that, to his own experience,

he's got disciplinary court that indicates that.

Could I add one thing before you get to your next question, that comes to mind at this time?

Lest anybody think that this is my own personal opinion, or I have any animosity to anyone, I would like to submit, Mr. Muskrat, is that his name, said we could bring papers and submit documents. I have a document here from John P. Frank of the law firm, Lewis and Roca, and it's a motion to Judge Copple's court and I'll just quote briefly.

"The undersigned counsel respectfully removes the motion previously made orally and not much discussed for replacement of counsel. To have the duty of enforcing the order of this court in the matter of Yapnik (Phonetic) and Taylor --"

Q Yapnik and Taylor is the case that resulted in the new rules, is that correct?

## A Right.

9.

"The impression is in fact going all over the United States that the order of this court is simply a mockery, it is evaded and not complied with. And while we take no personal position as to the rightness; or wrongness of this assertion, we do demonstrate its existence by the attached letters, Exhibit A, B and C. "and for the letters are also as a serior of the serior of the serior of the letters."

And I would like to submit this evidence?

Q When was this filed?

J 13.

1 It was filed the 22nd day of August, so I must be A. 2 mistaken as to the date the final order went into effect, 3 it was probably a couple months earlier than I originally 4 said. 5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Hogan, that will be marked and 6 made a part of the permanent record. 7 (By Rep. Guerrero.) I have one question. Q. 8 Mr. Hogan, did you state earlier that you were cited 9 for an infraction and you were referred to the, what do 10 you call it, the adjustment center? 11 That's correct. 12 I was not cited for an infraction, however. 13 Well, what was the reason for you being referred to 14 the adjustment center? 15 Ă. The reason, as I quoted from this and this is another 16 exhibit, the reason for this decision is your unfavorable 17 institutional adjustment and your unfavorable attitude, 18 this is signed by Captain R. Sorn (Phonetic.) 19 You spent, did I understand you correctly to state 20 that you spent seven months there? 21 A. I was locked up on May 24th and I was released sometime 22 in November. 23 Now, did they ever find you guilty of any infraction Q. 24 of the rules or whatever you were cited for? 25 I was initially locked up for investigation of A.

1 criminal offense, subsequently the criminal offense was 2 resolved and I was taken before the classification committee. 3 When I went before the classification committee, I 4 gave them the information regarding the criminal offense 5 and what the disposition had been, and they referred me 6 back to the adjustment center for an additional 30-day 7 period because of what they considered my plans. 8 Q. What constitutes unfavorable attitude, in your 9 opinion? 10 Well, I think this is a subjective thing, I didn't 11 objectively do anything that I thought was -- merited that 12 particular designation. 13 Could I see that? 0. 14 Sure. 15 REP. GUERRERO: That concludes my remarks at this 16 point. 17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr. Guerrero. 18 Mr. Levis? 19 (By Mr. Levis.) Mr. Hogan, we've been talking about 0. 20 good time and two for one time and I also understand there's 21 something called copper time, I wonder if you could define 22 those terms for the Committee? 23 Both copper time and two for one time are generally 24 known as good time. One is ARS31#251, the other is ARS31-252. 25 Copper time is a good behavior time that is deducted from your

1 maximum sentence, two years from the first year --2 Two months? Q, 3 Excuse me, two months for the first year, two months 4 for the second year, four months, five months and so on. 5 Two for one time is time that is given for work, 6 generally speaking, and you get one day for each day at 7 Or each day of good behavior, if you're not able work. 8 to work. Q. Now, it's my understanding that all inmates who are 10 working get two for one time and you said inmates in 11 the psychiatric ward get two for one time? 12 Inmates at the state hospital get two for one Right. 13 time, inmates unable to work get two for one time, Horn 14 versus Rogers, Watson versus the Industrial Commission, 15 both said two for one time was a matter of statutory right 16 as the supreme court interpreted the statute at that 17 particular time. 18 Subsequently, Copple's decision has said that denial 19 of the right to earn two for one time can only come through 20 an action of the disciplinary committee. 21 That's what we were talking about before Q. 22 Ă. Right. 23 Again we get into the semantics between denial and 24 loss and we will have other witnesses who will discuss that. 25 The question I have, then, is that besides disciplinary

1 procedures, are there any other inmates who do not get 2 two for one time, do inmates in protective lockup for their 3 own protection, lose two for one time when they request it? It's been my experience that they do lose two for 5 one time, that if they subsequently come out on the yard 6 and express the desire to go back into population the 7 two for one time is backdated in most circumstances. 8 Under the Copple decision, it's my understanding that Q. 9 the inmate who sefound guilty can receive from a verbal 10 reprimand to up to 15 days for one offense and 30 days for 11 multiple offenses, is that correct? 12 Yes. 13 And once in isolation an inmate can have showers 14 three times a week and takes his exercise for an hour 15 three times a week, except in extreme circumstances, is 16 that 'correct? 17 That's the way the order reads, correct. 18 What are the circumstances when an inmate does not 19 get exercise three times a week, or showers three times a 20 week? 21 A. Circumstances, ilsuppose would be the excuse that 22 would be offered, would be that they were understaffed on 23 that particular evening. 24 As I recall, the rules says that if the --25 Let me add this, I should say this, that most line

A.

officers act in good faith to comply with the Copple decision, but there is a definite lack of good faith in the way it's implemented, as far as the classification committee is concerned.

- Because you feel that it's being circumvented?
- A. Correct.
- Q Mr. Walden, could you tell us about what section of the Arizona State Prison you are assigned to? I understand you're in lockup?

## MARVIN WALDEN

A (By Mr. Walden.) I was in lockup for just under 13 months, I entered the general population the 25th of January.

- Now, are you in protective lockup at your request
   or lockup for some other reason?
- A. I was on lockup at my own request. Not for protective reasons.
- So, you did not get two for one time during that
   period?
- A. I got two for one time until the 10th of July, when my two for one time was taken away, I was taken before the reclassification committee and was told that my two for one would be taken, that I would be reclassified within 30 days.

و ا د ارد

16.

The next time I went before the reclassification committee was on the 12th of December, and rather than a 30-day interval, they were talking in terms of 60 days, 90 days interval, and then I was called out for reclassification on the 11th of January, at which time I agreed to entergeneral population.

I subsequently entered general population on the 25th of January, received all my back two for one time that had been taken.

- Q You've received all your back two for one time?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Now, could you describe the difference between lockup and isolation? For the Committee?
- A. Well, prior to the disturbance last June, there was more than one type of lockup at the prison.
- Q. Could we go into the period after the disturbance? Concentrating on the new administration?
  - A. All right.

After the disturbance and until the end of October, all lockup was the same, inmates locked up for -- awaiting disciplinary court, inmates locked for administrative segregation or for administrative convenience, were placed in the same vicinity and close proximity to inmates that were locked up on their own request, inmates locked up on protective custody & a. on protective dustal.

The difference between that and being locked up in isolation is the isolation is the hole.

- Q Now, as I understand it, in lockup it's one man to a cell, is that correct?
  - A It was not until in January of this year.
- Q. What kind of rights does a man have in lockup, does he have the right to go out and exercise every day or shower every day or what?
- A According to Copple's order, the man has the right to three meals a day, has a right to three showers a week, minimum, and the right to exercise three times a week for a period of one hour each time, outside of the cell.

This is on paper and it was not implemented any of the time that I was on lockup, between June and January.

- Now, it's my understanding at times, that the three showers a week and exercise three times a week, does not have to be followed when, I think the decision talks about when the administration feels that they're threats to the security, or words such as that, is that correct?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. And those were the reasons why you did not get showers three times a week or exercise three times a week, to the best of your knowledge? Is that the reason they gave you, I mean? Excuse me.
  - A No reason was given.

Q To the best of your knowledge.

- A. To anyone, for them giving a reason.
- Q. Were you denied showers or you just weren't given them three times a week?
- A. I, personally, was just not given showers three times a week, I do know of people who were denied showers at times when other inmates were showering around them, and next to me, this type of situation. Also, the same pertains to exercise.
- Q. What kind of law library and library is available for inmates in lockup?
- A. There was an attempt to implement a procedure whereby an inmate on lockup could receive a book from the regular library by submitting a list including the book's title and author. This was done away with because of probably inconvenience, to the best of my knowledge, has der

As far as law books go, there is a law library socalled, that was located in Cell Block 3, and consisted only
of the volumes of the Arizona Revised Statutes and if an
inmate had need of a court ruling or any law volume or
material other than the Arizona Revised Statutes, it had
to be brought by the counselor of the building at the time,
and at no time did I receive any of the material, nor do I
know of any other inmate that received any of the material,
including the Arizona Revised Statutes.

1 Even if you requested the material? Q. 2 Even if requested, the only other way we can get any 3 court rulings and materials in was to have it sent in by 🔝 4 private attorneys. 5 Did the inmates in Cell Block 3, which at that time 6 was lockup, they have access to attorneys or jailhouse 7 lawyers? 8 No, sir. A. 9 Is this different from the general population? 10 In the general population it was somewhat easier to 11 get to a jailhouse lawyer because of the more freedom of 12 movement an inmate has. Inmates on lockup are locked up, 13 and they do not have freedom of movement to get to a jail-14 house lawyer, all jailhouse lawyers, to my knowledge, were 15 removed from CB3 a memo was issued from the warden --16 I think I've a copy of that, dated October 24th? 17 Yes, sir, which did forbid inmate assistance in the 18 preparation or filing or documentation of any type of legal 19 material between inmates on lockup and CB3. 20 Now, as I understand this memo, which we should have Q. 21 admitted into the record, Madame Chairman. 22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, we'll have that numbered and 23 entered. 24 (By Mr. Levis.) Okay, states inmates from Cell 0. 25 Block 3, as I understand this memorwas from the Superintendent

 Harold Cardwell, dated October 24th, to the inmate population, inmates from Cell Block 3 desiring legal assistance for disciplinary court, may ask for an inmate from the general population to assist him.

Are you saying the general population are those inmates outside of CB3?

- A. Yes.
- Q Now, how would an inmate in lockup in CB3, at that time, contact an inmate in the general population?
- A. I came through that situation personally. And named an inmate in general population to represent me before an action before the disciplinary committee. His name was given, he was not permitted to see me, when he came back to Cell Block 3 to see me, was not permitted to see me, I was subsequently, after some belligerence on my part, permitted to see this inmate for a period not exceeding ten minutes.

Prior to going to trial before the disciplinary committee, and this was the sum total of our conference on the violation.

Q Now, it's my understanding from this memo, also, that if you had any other questions regarding legal assistance, you're supposed to contact the post-conviction clinic at the University of Arizona or ask for assistance from the federal court, is that correct?

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

23

24

25

22

A. That is correct.

Could I make some comment on that?

Q We will have Mr. Rinaldi from the post-conviction clinic to testify, but if you'd like to make a short comment, that's fine.

A All right.

Paragraph 1 on that refers to assistance from the post-conviction legal assistance clinic, and I have corresponded with Mr. Rinaldi, director of the clinic prior to and after the issuance date of the memo, I've possessed several pieces of written correspondence which have been issued to your office in Denver, by certified mail, wherein Mr. Rinaldi states that it had been in the past and remains at this present time, the policy of the clinic not to assist or advise inmates at the Arizona State Prison in matters pertaining to an appeal of any kind and it has been and remains to be the policy of the clinic at this time not to assist or advise inmates at the Arizona State Prison in matters of legal action where prison administrative staff members are involved or are respondents in an action, except in cases where the clinic or its effectiveness is directly involved.

It's been further stated by Mr. Rinaldi that it has been in the past and remains his policy at this present time not to assist any inmate represented by an attorney prior to

the public defender in any action pending in any court.

21. 7

Going on to the part of the memo handed down from Harold Cardwell pertaining to assistance from the federal court, it's well-known that the clerk of federal court is able to assist inmates only in the matter of putting the document in a proper form and in the filing procedures, not in the research, not in the compiling of the complaint.

Q Mr. Walden and Mr. Hogan, what do you think should be done to improve the procedures both for legal services and to insure that the disciplinary procedures is as fair as possible?

I think, Mr. Hogan, you mentioned to me in our interview the possibility of an independent ombudsman. I wonder if you'd go into that?

- A. (By Mr. Hogan.) Yes, I did, I wonder if I could say one thing as to the status of the law in Arizona?
  - Q Make it very short.

A. I understand that someone from the attorney general's office testified yesterday, I'd just like to quote from ARS 13-1653, a sentence of imprisonment in the state prison for any term less than life suspends the civil rights of the person so sentenced and forfeits all public offices and private trusts, authorities and power during such imprisonment.

A person sentenced to imprisonment in the State Prison

of Arizona for life is thereafter deemed civilly dead.

And I have here a document where the attorney general very lucidly and forcibly argued that this statute should not be repealed and that it was not unconstitutional and that it did not deprive inmates of equal protection of the law or access to the courts.

And I think the people should understand that this is the status of the law in Arizona. That you can not file an action to clear title on your property, you can not file an action to divorce your wife, you can not file an action for trespass if someone happens to be on your property out in the street, you can not protect your wife or your family through the Arizona Courts.

The only access that we have to the courts as far as civil actions are concerned, are civil rights complaints filed in the U.S. Federal District Court.

- Q You're talking of reporting through USC1983, in most cases?
  - A. Correct, correct.
  - Q. Now, again getting into the ombudsman?
- A I did think that was a good idea, number one, because it's difficult for inmates, even under ideal conditions, to have access to an individual, call him a jailhouse lawyer, whatever you want to call him. Any individual capable of giving counseling, it is difficult even under ideal conditions

for inmates to have access to people who are knowledgeable in these areas, number one. And number two, it's difficult to finance and maintain an adequate law library. It's difficult to keep a law library current, it's difficult to allow inmates acces to it.

Q You explained the law library at the institution as the general law library?

A. We have a law library at the Arizona State Institution, it's relatively new, it's been established since Mr. Moran took office, it contains the corpus juris, it contains Udall's evidence, it contains all the Arizona Statutes, contains the supreme court reports and the Arizona reports with the exception of volume 190 and the exception off Arizona Appeals reports 19, which are the '73 cases.

It's a fairly adequate library if it were kept current, it's not kept current because you don't have the recent reports, you don't have the weekly gazette, you don't have the criminal law reports, and you don't know what cases have been decided over the past year.

Well, the attorney general on the other hand, who is arguing vehemently against you, has all these cases at his disposal, so you -- but I thought an ombudsman would be a good idea, number one, because he could screen the frivolous cases, there are a number of them, if you tell someone that his claim is frivolous, he, you know, he says, well, who are

you? You know, you're an inmate just like me.

And he will go ahead and try to hack through a civil rights claim himself. And the court is just deluged with frivolous complaints, I'm aware of this.

The few that are good, that do have merit, I try to direct them if I can, to law firms that might want to handle them, but law firms like Lewis and Roca, they spent almost two years adjudicating this Yapnik-Taylor decision, I don't know how much it cost them, but I know Sally Neely, John Frank, these people were involved in it and it probably cost the law firm, \$30,000.00, \$40,000.00, I don't know how much, but I imagine it was somewhere in that area. And there's no compensation for attorneys in these areas.

- Q Who do you think should appoint this ombudsman, should it be the federal court?
- 17 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

.9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16.

18

21

22

23

24

- Q. You think like Judge Copple or Judge Muecke, or --
- 19 A. Right.
- 20 Q -- be in charge of doing it?
  - A And I think what it would accomplish, number one, it would do away with probably 98% of the complaints. An ombudsman, if there was merit to the complaints, this man lost his TV in a shakedown or this man had some property destroyed, the ombudsman could go to Mr. Moran and say, Mr.

8 9

Moran, these are the circumstances, this is how it occurred, I've investigated, I know the claim had merit. I think
Mr. Moran approached that way would probably grant it.

It would eliminate the lengthy and costly adjudication of these matters in the courts.

Thank you.

Mr. Walden, I wonder if you go the same, I also understand there is an appeals officer appointed by the department of corrections to take up the appeals of disciplinary committee and I wondered what your feelings were on that position and whether you feel as Mr. Hogan does, that maybe there should be an ombudsman, either at that level or higher level or even at the lower level?

A. (By Mr. Walden.) Well, I've been asked by inmates to represent them before the disciplinary court. For the same reasons as Mr. Hogan gave. There is an appeal system set up as you mentioned to the disciplinary court, however it's been my experience that appeals are not often granted and when they are granted, the guilt is again placed with a stronger sentence unless the maximum sentence had already been imposed at that time.

I think that the next step after an appeal should be possibly a further appeal along with a certificate of probable cause directly into the courts. I think that possibly Mr. Hogan has had experience also, the use of admin-

1 istrative convenience in taking an inmate to lockup, 2 should have an appeal officer provided by somebody and that 3 a more definite reason and date for lockup should be 4 stated and that possibly another step above the appeal 5 officer at this time, could be into the court. 6 You mean into the federal court? 7 A. Yes. 8 It's also my understanding that the Yapnik-Taylor 9 case was dismissed, based on the settlement with therrules, 10 is that correct? 11 (By Mr. Hogan.) It was based on stipulation, both 12 sides stipulate --13 So the case is not open at this time? 14 À. No. 15 I was subsequently added as a party plaintiff and I 16 instructed Lewis and Roca we didn't intend to appeal, that 17 I thought the best course was for him to try to find a way 18 to see that it was implemented as it was. 19 So, if you have a complaint under the new rules, 20 you'll have to file another action in court? 21 As it is now, right. A. 22 Q. (By Mr. Zah.) Mr. Hogan, Mr. Walden, I was wondering, 23 you alluded to the question of, let's say having the 24 attorney general's office or someone on the other side, 25 you know, having access to all the books or the new supplement,

that much.

9:

.10

Have you ever requested, you know, perhaps to get some of these new cases or to get just as much information as, you know, say the other party would and be denied?

or the new cases as opposed to you not having, you know,

A. I didn't, personally, but the clerk at the law library asked the deputy attorney general on several occasions to look into this matter, I know that it was looked into.

And I know that law books were ordered and that subsequently we got law books, but not volume 19 of the Arizona Appeals Reports and not volume 109 of the Arizona Supreme Court Reports, and these were the 1973 cases that the attorney general would consistently cite because this is a state where they go by the Arizona court precedents.

- Q. But you don't have that in your law library here?
- A. No.
- Q Mr. Walden, have you ever requested such a thing and been denied?
- A. (By Mr. Walden.) I have requested to have some of the items supplied to me or to the prison library from the office of the attorney general, and have a written reply from him stating that it's not his obligation to supply the law library at the prison, and this written information has been supplied to the Commission, sent-last-December by certified mail.

 Alsost pointed out in a case decision in a federal court in California, where the court held at that time, that if a prison law library did not have sufficient quantitites of legal materials it was the duty of the prison administration to run down the materials and provide them.

In addition to all of this, the problems involved with the officers handling lockup, they're not trained in locating court ruling or records or decisions, consequently, if you give them court ruling and its location, and a law volume or any legal material, they're lost, they don't know what to do or who to go to fo find it, and when the person gets lost or confused, they just don't try.

- Q. I guess the reason why I was saying that is that the day I was visiting the facilities, you know, I went to the library and I looked at the law library, and it was made very clear to me that all the inmates had to do was request, you know, certain books and they were ordered and within certain length of time, you know, that they would be there for your use, that was the only reason why I, you know, asked.
- A. I've a list of dates of denials and the officers giving the denials.
- Q The other question that I wanted to ask, was, Mr. Hogan, you were talking about, you know, a legal advocate or ombudsman. You said that he could screen many of these

cases, 98% of these cases that he would screen, whether the case really has merits or whathaveyou.

Were you talking in terms of disciplinary proceedings within the facility or --

A. (By Mr. Hogan.) No, not primarily, I was talking in terms of things, for example, an inmate's cell is shaken down and a TV is knocked off the shelf or a fan is broken or his personal papers are seized and disappear somewhere along the lines, or he's -- well, he's exposed to brutality or he feels he's exposed to some brutality. These type of things could be settled at an administrative level if there were an individual who was more or less an intermediary.

Do you know what I mean? Instead of taking them to federal court, instead of having them adjudicated, which is an expensive and lengthy process and ultimately no one's satisfied by the outcome.

- Q Do you know of an organization called Arizona Citizens' Committee on Prison?
  - A. No, I'm not familiar with them.
  - Q Do you, Mr. Walden?
  - A. (By Mr. Walden.) No.
- Q. Well, yesterday, Mr. Anderson, I guess who is the head of that organization, testified that they are working on a panel of attorneys from the various law firms in the

· 14

State of Arizona where some of the lawyers will be donating or has made a commitment to donate their time to work, let's say on cases, civil rights cases within the prison system.

And I understand that they now have something like, you know, 30 lawyers lined up, and I was just wondering if that organization has been organized for a year or six months or even two months, how come you don't know about it, because you're saying now that you need people or organizations like that to get the basic information that you might need to pursue some of these cases.

A (By Mr. Hogan.) That's right, and John P. Frank and Sally Neely of Lewis and Roca could have used a little help in handling some of the matters, I don't know where they were then. I've never heard of the organization and I've never heard of this thing that you're describing.

It's a good idea, and I'm all for it, but the news has not leaked down to Florence yet.

Q (By Mr. Levis.) Mr. Delvecchio, we understand that you've been on lockup status, is that correct?

## FRED DELVECCHIO

A. (By Mr. Delvecchio.) Yes.

1 How long have you been on lockup status? 0. 2 Close to two years. 3 And would you tell us why you were placed on lockup 4 status? 5 Mr. Delvecchio, would you speak THE CHAIRPERSON: 6 into the microphone, please, so the Court Reporter can 7 hear what's being said? 8 I imagine that the administration has various reasons 9 in my file why I've been placed in segregation, subjected 10 to various forms of isolation, but I believe the main 11 reason I've been locked up is because I've been unwilling 12 to accept a lot of the conditions that exist in the state 13 prison. Now, did you take the classification test when you 14 were first incarcerated in the state prison? 15 16 A. Was 'I taken for --17 No, did you take -- I understand that when you enter 18 the state prison they give you some tests. You know, the 19 diagnostic center. And did you take those tests when you 20 were admitted into the state prison? 21 No, I didn't. A. 22 Why didn't you take those tests? 23 Well, at the time I didn't feel that it was necessary 24 and I didn't take these tests, and because I didn't take

these tests, IQ was arbitratily placed on my record as below

25

1 par and this has stopped me from being able to enter any 2 vocational schools or training. 3 Have you entered into any educational training at all 4 while you've been on lockup? 5 The night college class. 6 For what? Q. 7 Sociology and English. A. 8 Q. You're taking those now? 9 No, not at present. A. 10 Excuse me? 11 A. Not at present, no. 12 You did take these at one time? 13 A. Well, I wasn't on the yard long enough to continue 14 with them, but I have continued my studies. 15 Q. What do you do when you're in lockup, do you spend 16 all day in the cell, or what happens? 17 Well, you're kept in your cell 24 hours a day, it 18 depends on the, if tyou have a TV or radio, you can -- you 19 don't do nothing. 20 Have you received two for one time during lockup? 21 Á. No. 22 Do you receive any kind of care or treatment while 23 you're in bckup? 24 Well, this is the -- this is the farce, this ridicu-25 lous title of care and treatment, that they like to emphasize

1 on it, there is no care and treatment whatsoever in segre-2 gation, isolation, any kind of administrative lockup that 3 they have, there is no care and treatment. 4 You mean you spend all day in your cell? 5 That's right. 6 And do you ever go before the reclassification 7 committee? 8 Well, this seven months that I just finished in A. 9 segregation, 90 days in isolation, I have went to the 10 classification committee two times, when I first entered 11 and this last time when I was released, four days ago. And 12 I haven't seen the committee but two times in those five 13 months -- seven months. 14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Are you back in the main yard now, 15 Mr. Delvecchio? 16 Yes, I am. A. 17 (By Mr. Levis.) You're not in lockup right now? 18 A. No. 19 You're not? 20 No, I'm not. I was released Monday. 21 Excuse me? 22 I was released Monday. A. 23 Now, in the last eight months, how much time --24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Levis, excuse me, Mr. Moran, 25 did you wish to make a comment?

1 MR. MORAN: Yes, could I just ask one thing? 2 I believe Mr. Delvecchio had been released from 3 lockup a few months ago and had been assigned to a job and 4 So that it really isn't accurate to say that he 5 only was released four days ago, he had had another oppor-6 tunity earlier that I would like him to tell about. 7 MR. LEVIS: Mr. Moran, that was my next question. 8 A. (By Mr. Delvecchio.) I was locked up October the 9 15th of last year, and I've just got out Monday. I've been 10 in the yard since then. 11 (By Mr. Levis.) Now, as I understand it, you 12 worked in the laundry last summer time? 13 A. Yes. 14 For how long were you in the general population at 15 that time? 16 Since May the 5th I have -- since May the 5th of 17 1972 I've been in general population approximately five 18 months. 19 Q. And have you worked during that five-month period 20 that you were in the general population? 21 I was assigned to the yard detail, no two for one. Ã. 22 Q. Excuse me? 23 I was assigned to the yard detail, no two for one. 24 Q. What was the reason for the no two for one? 25 A. It wasn't explained to me.

 Q. This was before the Copple decision was rendered, is that correct?

- A. This was before the Copple decision.
- Q. Based on your experience, and again without getting any specific names or any specific incidents, what is the relationship between staff and inmates?
  - A. The relationship?
  - Q. Right, I mean --
- A. Well, it depends, there is -- there's a hostile, a hostile attitude on both sides. Now, if you're the type of inmate that are willing to prostrate yourself, subject yourself, accept a paternalistic condescension with your keeper, then you are classified as a good boy or model prisoner and you can have your beautiful relationship.
- Q But if you feel that you don't do this, you can not have a good relationship, is this correct?
- A Well, this is because the problem that exists is there is no real! understanding or communication, and this is because the program that the officers go through before entering into the main yard, and this program that they're subjected to during their training doesn't allow them really to become communicative with the inmate, they entered the institution with a -- let's see, they enter -- it's really hard to explain, but the relationship is because of lack of communication on both sides, I believe, be-

cause there's no understanding individually, and because the guards are not taught or trained or whatever, to understand the individual and his background or culture back— ground. There can never be any relationship, positive relationship.

Q. So you're saying that the guards are suspicious of the inmates and the inmates are suspicious of the guards for the same reason?

A. Well, they're trained to say we'll treat the inmate like a man, like a human being, he's not down here to be punished, but at the same time they tell him keep your eyes open, there's stabs in your back, they're always doing something, that's just like teaching a child to hate you and when he comes to a dog, try to make him love you, that's my understanding.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Were there any other questions of Mr. Delvechio?

Q. (By Mr. Zah.) Yes, I've got questions.

Mr. Delvecchio, could you relate to the Committee about when you first came in or after you came to the institution, about you know; your haircut situation, that I understand you had some problem with?

A Yes. As an Indian, a minority in the institution, we are very unique people, we're not recognized as a minority in the institution. We're not even recognized as

3 4

16.

a race, really.

Now, I've tried to follow my heritage, my culture, to identify with my culture. And I was suppressed, forcibly, for I was punished for trying to grow Indian braids. And I don't believe that this is just.

The suppression of individuality is one of the main problems with the institution, you reacategorized and herded in as the whole and you're not recognized as individuals in the institution. You're recognized as a convict, or whatever stereotype evolved around this:

Now, when I entered into the institution, I was 18 years old, I was kept inside the main walls so whatever, people see in me today, it has been placed there out of the necessity of survival.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr., Delvecchio, you were saying that you entered the institution at 18 and that was when they made you cut your hair?

- A. We'll, this incident happened a couple months back.

  THE CHAIRPERSON: The haircutting incident?
- A. The haircut.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Was just a couple of months ago?

A. Yes, I was taken to the disciplinary court and I was given isolation time at that time, I asked if this would be a repetitious writeup since I was already on lockup status. I wasn't receiving two for one, my visits had been

stopped and I was being punished all the way around.

So, I asked if this was going to be a repetitious writeup because I had refused to cut my hair and I was trying to reason and explain that, you know, I'm identifying with my culture as an Indian.

And I was placed in isolation. And I was told before
I was placed there that they had methods of cutting my hair
and I said I'm fully aware of this.

A week or so later I was confronted by a number of officers, at this time I was forcibly — I was forcibly made to receive a haircut, which I resisted, and upon my resistance I was cuffed, thrown to the ground, and there were a couple of officers there, Officer Padilla stopped me from getting hurt, because at the time I was thrown to the ground, a couple of officers commenced to billyclub me and they were stopped by Officer O'Neill and Officer — both Officer Granios:(Phonetic) and Padilla, but if they wouldn't have been there, I believe I would have been seriously hurt.

At this time, I was -- my haircut was a Mohawk, I was told if I want to relate as an Indian, well, they'll give me an Indian haircut.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr. Delvecchio.

Mr. Zah, did you have any additional questions?

MR. ZAH: Well, I, you know, I think what I wanted him to explain also was that, you know, a Mohawk haircut,

20) 

2,4

that was really forced onto you, could you describe just how that haircut looked like and what Mohawk haircut is?

- A. It was shaved on both sides with a strip of hair coming down the center.
- .Q (By Mr. Zah.) That was not by your choice and you got handcuffed and you were -- they forced you to do this?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Do you know the specific date when this happened?
- A. Yes, I have it. I have the writeup right here. I was consequently wrote up on the incident of resisting the haircut.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Delvecchio, while you're looking that up, could I ask Mr. Walden or Mr. Hogan, you do have copies of all of the writeups that you get and all of the papers that are filed and things like that that you can keep in your own possession?

A. (By Mr. Hogan.) I used to keep very complete records, but the new regulations, they allow you only two boxes of letters and legal materials in your cell, and I found it wasn't possible for me to keep all my records and at the same time keep current with Arizona appeals cases and Arizona Supreme Court cases, so I --

THE CHAIRPERSON: But you do have copies of all of these?

A I have separate records, yes.

A.

.24

first -- January the 7th, 1974, and reporting officer was Captain D. Hernon, the official in charge of the crew.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. McCullah, did you have a question?

(By Mr. Delvecchio.) The date is on the 7th, the

Q (By Ms. McCullah.) Yes, Madame Chairman.

To the same inmate witness. Do you feel that because you have a Native American heritage and culture that at times your value system and your perception of the world may be in conflict with the generally accepted normal behavior of the Anglo Saxon life?

- A. Most definitely so.
- Q Have you ever requested any sort of Native American activities at the prison?
- A. We have, at present it's not active, but we have had a Native American brotherhood club, but the many attempts that we have made to progress has been defranchised by the Anglo sponsor that is more or less forced upon the group. And it's very hard to be being an Indian, you understand how the problem between the Indians to agree on certain things but at present, yes, we have a club, I think in the future we're going to attempt to relate more to our people on the outside to help us.
- Q Do you know of a man by the name of Albert French?

  Have you had any communications with him?

A Yes, I have. Albert is doing a fine job, as he can do under the circumstances, I believe that he -- if he had a little more area to work within and didn't have the stringent measures that are placed upon him to work under, he would be able to help us more.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, Mr. Delvecchio, can you establish who Mr. French is and what he does?

- A. Mr. French is the Indian coordinator, the BIA sponsor of the American Brotherhood, the outside sponsor.
- Q. (By Ms. McCullah.) You feel that he has had some restrictions placed upon him in his activities or his communication and contact with the Indian inmates?
- A. Well, the restriction is in a sense the administrative restriction is what all groups have, but it's hard to define the restrictions.

Like there are a lot of things that you know could be done, that would be beneficial to the group, to the Indian inmates at the prison, if there were certain measures that we didn't have to go through that prevented us from accomplishing our objectives.

Q. One further question, Madame Chairman.

Do you feel that because you are a Native American and have a different perception and also a different value system as opposed to the Anglo Saxon world, do you feel that there is -- there might be a subtle discrimination

against the Native American immates because you are dif-

A. Well, discrimination will — we're so few that I don't believe the discrimination is against the whole, not speaking of me, personally, but as a whole, I don't believe discrimination is really purposely; it's just that we are not recognized, and you know, like if something happens or they name the Chicano group, Blacks, and the Whites, they never mention the Indian group, we're just thrown in.

So, discrimination in the sense that you're putting it, I would say no.

Q I don't think I was talking in those terms of discrimination, I think I was talking in terms of, because you do not necessarily behave, you know, in the sense that a White man would accept your behavior as normal to their standards, whether or not there may not be some sort of subtle prejudice because you are different.

And I'm not talking about denials of any sort, but just a recognition that they want you to accept their normal terms of behavior and standards rather than the way you see yourself as an individual?

- A. Well, I think this applies to all the races in the institution. We, as a whole, not just the Indians, we are all forced to accept the proprieties of the institution.
  - Q. Do you have a religious faith? Do you have a re-

1	ligious faith?
2,	A Yes, I do.
3	Q. Is it a Native American faith?
4	A. Yes, it is:
5	Q. Have you ever requested any sort of medicine man or
٠6	Buddhist leader come in?
7	A. Well, they yes, I did, and they said that my way
8	of life is now a prison way of life and my culture is, this
9	was related to me by a Major Jimenez, my culture is now
10	institutional cultures.
11	Q. They did deny your request of having your religious
12	leader come in to see you?
13	A. Yes.
14	MS. McCULLAH: Thank you, Madame Chairman.
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: Are there any other questions of
16	the panelists?
17	Mr. Williams?
18	MR. WILLIAMS: Just one.
19	Q (By Mr. Williams.) Mr. Delvecchio, the request that
20	you made, who did you request to for the religious services?
21	A. This was to a Major Jimenez and this was all in-
22	volved over my hair.
23	Q. Did you persist any further? Did you go to anybody
24	else to request these services?
25	A. Well, I went as far as I think I was capable of going

1 because the major -- well, yes, I went as far as I could 2 go. 3 Do you know whether any other Native Americans have 4 made the same requests? 5 I can't say for sure, but I believe they have. 6 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. 7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Moran, you had asstatement? 8 MR. MORAN: Just a point of clarification. 9 Was this a clear request or was this merely a con-10 versation about the possibility of this? Was this a 11 clear threat or a clear, straightforward, outright, you 12 wanted to see your medicine man or were you just talking 13 with the guys? 14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Moran, we will be glad to ask 15 that question, however, I think you can understand that we 16. can't allow you to cross examine all of them as these 17 things come up. I think that you have a very valid point 18 here, however. 19 MR. MORAN: Yes, the conclusion was made for the 20 record, that a request was denied. 21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Right, and we will ask Mr. --22 MR. MORAN: And I want to clearly establish that 23 in fact a clearcut request was made, was this orally, was it 24 in writing or was it just in conversation or --25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Do you want to ask that question?

t t i

1 REP. GUERRERO: Yes. 2 (By Rep. Guerrero.) Mr. Delvecchio, did you submit Q. 3 this request as a request for religious services of your 4 own choosing or your tribe or --5 Yes, I did, it was an, it was an oral request. 6 Was it an oral request? 7 Yes, it was an oral request. 8 - Did you make a similar request to Mr. Kette? 9 I believe this request for an Indian counselor was A. 10 made to Mr. Kette and at that time Warden Gomes and a 11 couple other individuals, and this is the only request that 12 I've made to Mr. Kette, that we have an Indian counselor. 13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Delvecchio, then, excuse me, 14 when you made that request, you stated Warden Gomes, this 15 was in the administration prior to the one we have today, 16 now? 17 A. Yes. 18 (By Rep. Guerrero.) What date did you make that Q. 19 request? 20 It was in June of '73. A. 21 June of 1973. Q. 22 Madame Chairman, this administration has been in 23 effect prior to that date, is that correct? 24 THE CHAIRPERSON: No, sir, not Warden Cardwell. 25 Ms. McCullah?

1 MS. McCULLAH: One more question. 2 (By Ms. McCullah.) Did you feel religiously, that О. 3 your Phairses was a part of your faith, your Native American faith? 5 Ά. Yes, I did. 6 Did you make that known at the time they were forcing 7 you to cut it? 8 A. Repeatedly. 9 Thank you. 10 I'd also like to state that this order was, as I 11 say, I received instructions from Major Jimenez, who had 12 received orders from the warden, that inmate Delvecchio 13 was to get a prison, regulation haircut. 14 Now, my hair was cut in this manner, because of my 15 resistance, I was pulled out and it was cut more or less to 16 let the other inmates on the run know that this could happen. 17 After my hair was cut, they done went through all the 18 cell block of CB3 and whether the inmate needed a haircut 19 or not they gave it to him, this was to justify, I guess, 20 their action. 21 But I would also like to make, for the record, the 22 teargasing, Ma'am, that I've received in my cell, and I 23 just returned from the county hospital in Phoenix, Monday. 24 I had an eye doctor in the county hospital verify that 25 my eye has been damaged, I have no vision in it, clear vision,

and I'd like to put this on the record, that I was shot repeatedly in my cell, on June 27th, by Officer Ramez, upon a --

MR. DUKE: I'd like to object to this.

MR. LEVIS: Mr. Delvecchio, again that happened in the previous administration.

MR. DELHAYE: This is where it's all one-sided.

MR. LEVIS: Also for the record, I'd like to, I'd just like to note for the record that Mr. Harris, who has his hand up, will be heard from in the staff panel and we would appreciate it if it was done at that time.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. McCullah wishes to make one statement and Mr. Duke, then, just a moment please.

MS. McCULLAH: Madame Chairman, I'm not really trying to make anybody look bad, but I'm trying to establish that there are factual differences amongst people. I'm not trying to make remarks directed towards the administration, I'm trying to help them realize that there are definite differences and these are in reality, fact, to these people, that's all.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Duke?

MR. DUKE: We would enter a formal objection based upon the direction in which some of the testimony was going under. I'll find it here in a minute, 702.11, and request that we do have a special executive session, if Mr.

1 Delvecchio is going to testify on peculiar medical condition 2 and name people. 3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Let me consult with Mr. Levis, 4 will you please, Mr. Duke? 5 Mr. Delvecchio, if we could clarify one point, please, 6 the business about the teargasing, can you give us just the 7 date? The year? And the date? 8 The date was June the 27th of 1973. A. 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, then, this --10 And the 28th and the 29th. A. 11 THE CHAIRPERSON: -- this happened, then, in the 12 prior administration? 13 A. Yes. 14 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right, for purposes of this 15 hearing, it has been agreed that we would listen to things 16 that are relevant and are happening now within the Arizona 17 State Prison and for our purpose and for your frame of 18 reference, that's since the administration at the prison 19 took over. 20 Well, excuse me. Well, I brought this into the 21 record because this is a violation of my civil rights and 22 my human rights. 23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Delvecchio, we've already 24 established that it was in a prior administration. 25 If I may, excuse me, I would like to speak a little

A.

7 8

bit about the detrimental aspects of solitary or confinement.

THE CHAIRPERSON: The only thing that -- it's probably a very legitimate discourse that you want to give and I know that we've covered a lot of it in our questioning. At this point, however, we are approximately three hours behind our schedule, we've been here since 8:00 o'clock this morning.

MR. DELHAYE: Madame Chairman, I'd like to make an objection.

REP. GUERRERO: Point of order.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Guerrero, first of all we'll take your point of order.

REP. GUERRERO: Madame Chairman, at the outset, there were some ground rules laid, I believe, for the conduction of these hearings, to take testimony. And the ground rules agreed upon were that we will take testimony from the witnesses, and that we would make an effort not to commence recognizing people in the audience because we could drag these things out indefinitely. And I would like to go back to the ground rules with which we started.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I agree, Mr. Guerrero, that's why we have already informed Mr. Harris that he will have his chance to reply, he's next on the calendar.

Mr. Moran will have a chance at rebuttal later this evening, if it's the wish of the Commission members that we

hear Mr. Delvecchio at this point, I'd be more than happy to defer to the Commission members.

MS. McCULLAH: Madame Chairman, since we've been having interruptions and have responses from the floor for two days, I don't know why one more response from the floor isn't in order. If it's short.

MR. LEVIS: Again I would just like to point out that the ground rules, as Mr. Guerrero pointed them out, and Mr. Moran and other persons who have raised their hand do have an opportunity if they will not be called as witnesses to present any evidence that they have in written document to members of our staff and I feel that those are the ground rules that we set and that's the way committee open meetings take place and I feel if we deviate from that in any way in the future, this open meeting will become later than it even is now, which is three hours behind schedule.

And I think that it would be best to go on to the questions at hand.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Levis, I'll defer to you.

MR. DELHAYE: Mr. Levis may clarify something here.

MR. LEVIS: Mr. Delhaye --

MR. DELHAYE: The statutes state that the attorney can only respond from when you're going into executive session and I'd like to have that clarified before, you know, we go any further, because he's going to be jumping up all

night stifling good information that's coming in and, you know, I'd like to see some things, you know, normalized, isn't that supposed to be the third party is what I'm supposed to say.

REP. GUERRERO: We can proced and question, it's up to the Committee.

MR. LEVIS: I think we just stated that policy again. And I think the important thing right now is that we have made a determination to go on with the hearing and it's the determination of the Committee, with counsel, that we will go on with the testimony and I feel that if there are any more, Mr. Duke has an objection he can submit that in writing for the department of corrections.

REP. GUERRERO: The time period for submitting these, what was it, 30 days?

MR. LEVIS: Yes, and if there are any objections to that, I feel that it can be done during that time.

Q (By Mr. Levis.) Mr. Akins, it's my understanding that you spent ten months at a prison in Kentucky and I think you briefly testified to that.

I wonder if you could compare the differences in the disciplinary procedures in Kentucky to those in Arizona, based on your experience?

## SAM AKINS

A (By Mr. Akins.) In the Kentucky, regardless of the offense or the number of offenses you commit or are accused of committing, you could only receive eight days in isolation in the hole.

And here, in this prison, you can, I guess legally receive as many as 30 days, and I'd like to emphasize that before the Copple decision, a man could only get 15 days with a maximum sentence but now you can get 15 days for each sentence and it's almost impossible to get a writeup without violating more than one rule.

Q For clarification, it's up to a maximum of 30 days, is it not?

A I think it's 30 days and then they have to bring you out for 24 hours or something like that and at the same time, since you asked me on that, since I've done days in isolation in Kentucky and here, and I think I spoke to you about this, earlier, in the, 15 days in isolation is too many days. Isolation is a cell with a solid door, no windows, a room that's dark most of the time, you don't have any literature, the only thing you can take in is toothpaste and boothbrush and maybe a towel, something like that, but you don't have any literature or any things to

entertain yourself or to dim the boredom that's there,

and it's a real pure form of punishment and me, I would prefer a beating to being in isolation for 15 days, when you're going to get 30 days, even more than 30 days, then it's just all out of proportion.

Q Thank you, Mr. Akins.

How do inmates and officers address each other, is it by number, by sir, or what is it?

- A. It's by number, you're supposed to say, you're supposed to beypolite to the officer and so forth, they call you by number unless they know your name.
- Q Generally, what were the major differences between Kentucky and Arizona State Prisons?
- A. Well, in Kentucky State Prison, the inmates didn't have to wear numbers, they didn't have to wear V's in their heels, they had things like gym and fieldhouse, they had swimming pool and an entire different recreation facility.

They had things to occupy your mind when you're not at work and it's a lack of these things in Arizona.

Like they have baseball and boxing and different times, they don't have anything along the line of a day house or TV:
room or anything like that that they got to go to on a rainy day, the elements won't allow him out in the open air.
So, consequently, if you're not working on that day off, it's a holiday or weekend, you just lay in your cell all day.

Q Was that a maximum security institution in Kentucky?

A. Yes, it was.

4.

MR. LEVIS: I have no further questions of Mr. Akins.

THE CHAIRPERSON: The Chair would like to take note
that obviously Kentucky has a far more generous legislature
than they do in Arizona.

Mr. Hogan, did you want to make a statement?

A. (By Mr. Hogan.) I've a point to make on that,

Madame Chairman, regarding our legislature.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Have at it.

A They just voted an emergency appropriation to the Arizona State Prison and the emergency appropriation went to security lighting in CB2, Cit went to teargas guns or mace guns, it went to a very expensive walky-talky outfit which is maintained at full volume throughout the institution, 24 hours a day, it went to concertina wire around the guard towers, and it went to things like that.

I'm living in a cell block that was built in 1930 that hasn't been painted since 1930. A -- the roof is so falling apart the birds nest in it and when the lights go on at 5:00 o'clock in the morning they think the sun has come up and they start chirping.

And the money the legislature has voted is not going to inmate services, it's going to more security, tighter security, turning the Arizona State Prison into a fortress and the excess is going into upgrading senior officers' jobs.

3

4 5

6 7

9

8

10 11

12

14

13

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

In other words, captain become majors, majors become assistant wardens or associate wardens and the Arizona State Prison staff right now is so top heavy that it's absurd, they have more majors and captains and assistant wardens, damned near, than they have guards.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr. Hogan, are there any other questions that any of the panel or the Committee members have of the panel?

If not, we'd like to thank all four of you for being here this afternoon and giving us this testimony.

(By Mr. Walden.) Madame Chairman, I'd like to make just a small statement in closing, it's my opinion, and I believe it's the opinion of Mr. Delvecchio and also Mr. Hogan, that we were advised when we were invited to come and testify that the purpose for the hearings would be to investigate and gather evidence of specific violations of civil rights. And of federal court rulings of this prison, prison administration. I, for one, do wish to protest these hearings that they did not go into that.

- (By Mr. Delvecchio.) A. Second that.
- (By Mr. Walden.) We came prepared for that.
- (By Mr. Hogan.) Second that.

I've documented a number of decisions of some, specifically the New Times newspaper, from Tempe, has been kept from coming in the institution, in violation of the Hook

decision.

20.

THE CHAIRPERSON: We've covered that, Mr. Hogan.

A. Now, also, Madame Chairman, you did say that we would be able to offer suggestions for improvement in these areas, and we do have a couple of suggestions, mine are very brief.

THE CHAIRPERSON: All right, Mr. Moran, we have asked various panelists today as we've gone along, to offer suggestions, we'd be more than happy, I believe Mr. Akins has already come up with several suggestions in a prior appearance here, we'd be more than happy to listen to your suggestions, Mr. Hogan.

A. First of all, we mentioned earlier the federal ombudsman to oversee state institutions and to summarily grant or deny relief or to arbitrate, IT would suggest that is a good idea.

I also suggest that no federal funds be granted to build maximum security fortress-like prisons in the future orbto underwrite such programs.

I also believe that there should be federal training standards established for correctional officers. I believe that the dead man's statute such as ARS13-1653 that deny inmates access to the court, should be abolished. And I believe that Cleon Duke has since left the room in protest, should join us in a cooperative effort to get such statutes

 off the books.

(Applause.)

THE CHAIRPERSON: The Chair will call for order, please, I don't think we need any applause from the audience.

A. I believe that the federal court decisions that we have gotten so far, the Hook decision and the Yapnik decision, which incidentally were done after very lengthy and arduous litigation and work on the part of experienced counsel, not jailhouse lawyers like myself, although I'd like to take credit for it, and I observed in the Phoenix Republic that the present administration did in fact take credit for these improvements, and these improvements were not initiated by the administration they were mandated by federal court.

I believe that they should be implemented, that the relief granted by the federal court should be checked on to see if it's being implemented by federal agents.

They did this in the south, with various civil rights decisions. I believe they can do it here, they can send a man down and have him sit in on disciplinary proceedings, have him sit in on an occasional reclassification committee meeting. I believe there should be a national compact to which all states should be signers, stating once

and for all what the purpose of corrections in the United States is.

And if the people in the United States agree it is to be punishment, then let's come right out and say it's punishment, not say it's care and treatment or rehabilitation or any of this other euphemistic hogwash, if it's punishment. Let's say it's punishment. And let's set up standards for humane punishment. But if it's going to be rehabilitation, if it's going to be care and treatment, then, damn it, let's have that.

A final thing that there is some statement as to what was the relationship between guards and inmates. I would say this, that where a guard knows an inmate, and I've been there for six years, I know a lot of guards, the relationship is pretty good. If they know you're Mike and you're Joe and you're Sam and you know them or they, it's pretty good, but when guards come in with little or no training, when they're assigned to a maximum security cell block that has 340 numbers, they react with fear, they react — they're herding a bunch of animals and that's the only way they can react because they don't know whether Sam is a killer who's going to stick a knife in his back or whether Sam is just a more or less innocuous forger, who's just trying to do his time.

I think that this is a suggestion not regarding civil

Ļ

rights, but something that the department of corrections could implement.

Trained guards, give them training in counsel, give them training in these areas as well as riot control and how to use a billyclub, and have one officer assigned to 30, 40 men, like a platoon, have this officer be responsible for those men and those men be responsible for that officer and I think you'd have a good working relationship.

There are guards there that can get along with convicts, there are convicts that can get along with guards, but as long as a guard comes into a cell block with 340 or 400 numbers, he's going to react to them, impersonally, and they're going to react to him as a tormentor.

Those are the only suggestions I have.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Hogan, we thank you for your suggestions.

It has been brought to my attention that, and I think that we perhaps made it clear at the beginning of, before your testimonies here today, that any information that you might give us that would tend to defame or degrade any officer or the administration we can not allow to be heard in open hearing. It would have to be a behind closed doors, in closed session.

If any of you or if all of you have any actual facts that you can bring before this Committee that deals with the

 present administration of the Arizona State Prison, a subcommittee of this group will be glad to meet with you in closed session.

However, I would warn you that we need specific instances which pertain to you, which you know of, that have happened since the administration --

A. (By Mr. Walden.) Madame Chairman, I have approximately 34 pages, typewritten, single-spaced, of specific instances dealing with me, personally, which I would request be heard by the Committee in closed session, and also I'd like to invite the public to the civil rights hearing before Judge Muecke, case number 73-441, or 411, rather, when it comes to trial and I would hope that that would be open to the public so that they can see the violations of our, in specific instances, of our civil rights, and of federal court rulings in Arizona and elsewhere.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Walden, we will ask that several members of this Commission do meet with you in executive session on those specific instances.

Mr. Guerrero?

REP. GUERRERO: Madame Chairman, I was just going to request for information from counsel, if these, the witnesses had any testimony prepared and in the form of adocuments or any documentation prepared, if it would be permissive to accept it at this time for review? Is that within the --

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Guerrero, evidently Mr. Walden does have some written testimony that he would like to go into executive session with members of this Committee on.

REP. GUERRERO: This is why I wanted an opinion from legal counsel, to see if we could accept it for review and go over it and then, at a future date, if we feel it has merit, then come back.

MR. LEVIS: We could do that, but again we would have to go into closed session on a later date.

REP. GUERRERO: Due to the lateness of the hour.

MR. MUSKRAT: We can do that, Rep. Guerrero, we can go into closed session with these gentlemen and see what they we got and if what they have is not defamatory, then that can be made a part of the record at this time.

If they find something, if we find something that's going to take additional investigation or something like that, then we can conduct that additional investigation.

If we find something that's going to take an additional investigation and another hearing, a short hearing perhaps, if that's possible, then perhaps you know, we can go into that, but we really can't say until we find out what they've got. So, I would therefore suggest that if we can have some volunteers from the Committee to form themselves into a subcommittee to hear what these gentlemen have, we'll go into an executive session and see what they've got.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Williams, Mr. Zah and Dr. Glass.

Mr. Delvecchio, Mr. Walden, Mr. Akins, and Mr. Hogan, we'd like to thank you all for being here this afternoon.

The Chair would now call Mr. Cleon Duke and Mr. Bruce Rinaldi.

For the benefit of the people who are left in the room, the Chair would like to advise what we hope the procedure will be for the next couple of hours.

At this point we're now about three hours and ten minutes behind schedule. So we would like to hear the testimony of Mr. Duke and Mr. Rinaldi, then the staff testimony of Major Martinez, Mr. Harris and Sergeant Padilla.

At that point we would break for dinner for one hour.

After the dinner break, we will come back and have the two
panels on education, which will deal both with academic
and vocational and those panels will include inmates Ray
Chatman and Chito Miranda and staff members John Wright,

James McLaughlin, Duane Vild and we have a gentleman here
from the department of econimic security, I believe.

The second panel that we will hear after dinner, will be the recreation, commissary and library, with inmates Billy Burkhardt, Waymond Small, Ray Reese and Paris Carriger. And the staff will be Gilbert Dockery, B.J. Harris, Jeff Martin and Robert Au.

After that we will have closing statements by Warden

Cardwell and Mr. John Moran. We will have the model baseline criticism, and we have set aside time to hear from the inmates at the women's prison at that point.

Mr. Rinaldi, would you state your name, address and occupation for the members?

## BRUCE RINALDI

A. (By:Mr. Rinaldi.) My name is Bruce Rinaldi, I'm an attorney, I work for the University of Arizona School of Law, and I run or direct what is known as the University of Arizona Post-Conviction Legal Assistance Clinic.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Rinaldi, could you explain the post-conviction assistance clinic?

A. Okay.

What you basically have to understand about the legal system, and what apparently Mr. Walden failed to grasp when I wrote him the letter, is that any person that's standing trial or appealing his case has the right to an attorney and that's mandated by supreme court decisions.

However, once a person has appealed his case and lost, he does not have the right to an attorney. Our clinic is set up to fill the void so that the person that goes to trial, loses, goes before the supreme court on appeal and

loses his appeal, may then have some, what is known as post-conviction remedy available to him.

In most instances, the post-conviction remedy will take the form of a writ of habeus corpus either to the federal -- well, to the federal court or a local remedy which is the equivalent of a writ of, petition for writ of habeus corpus.

THE CHAIRPERSON: How does the program work, Mr. Rinaldi?

A. Well, basically the program as it was initially instituted in 1969, I believe, by one of the professors at the law school who was interested in corrections and criminal law. What we do is we send out, not advertisements but we place posters in prisons around the state, and in this state there are three correctional institution, one at Safford which is a federal prison or a federal correctional institution, another one at Safford which is state, and another one at Florence, which is state. These have been placed in the posters have been placed in the prisons indicating that if an individual has a legal problem relating to his conviction to contact the clinic.

When he writes us a letter we'll send back a questionnaire.

The purpose of the questionnaire is to weed out the cases that we can't help on, for example, if a person was -- is charged with a violation while he's in the prison and is being

prosecuted for it and has a trial attorney as in the case of a recent prosecution, I can't help the individual, he already has an attorney, it would be useless to provide a second attorney.

If, on the other hand, he's been convicted and is still appealing the conviction, I, likewise, can not help him. It's only when he's lost his appeal that our clinic will step in or try to intercede and seek some sort of post-conviction relief. Either in the federal courts, the state courts of Arizona.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Rinaldi, do you have a copy of that questionnaire with you?

A. Unfortunately, I don't.

19-

THE CHAIRPERSON: I believe we do have a copy here somewhere, and as soon as it can be located, I will enter it.

MR. LEVIS: Madame Chairman, Mr. Duke is back, I'd like to call him as a witness at this time.

I will locate the document that you requested.

A Basically, the purpose of the questionnaire is to have the inmate describe what his problem is, and also to indicate to us what materials he has available from his conviction, that is whether he has any transcripts, memorandum that were filed by his attorney, any kinds of pleadings that were filed by the attorney, which may assist us in re-

3<sup>-</sup>

viewing his case.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Who does the work, the legal work for the post-conviction clinic?

A. Well, I am the only attorney staffed on the post-conviction legal assistance clinic and the clinic essentially has a two-part role, we're there obviously to assist prisoners but since we're funded by the University of Arizona, it's also designed to assist students in learning how to work with prisoners and how to handle prisoners' problems.

So, the clinic itself is staffed by myself, and actually run by anywhere from ten to 15 students. The students take the program as a clinical seminar.

THE CHAIRPERSON: These are law students?

A. Yes, law students.

The law students take the program for two hours, actually four hours a week of seminar and then the rest of the time they receive -- when the questionnaires come back a case file is open, yes. That's one --

THE CHAIRPERSON: We'll enter it into the record.

A. A case file is opened for the immate and then a student will write the immate and discuss with him his particular problem, in many instances, was I've indicated, we can't help them and we simply have to indicate that that's the case. In other instances, though, there may be

6.

help available there's a substantial risk involved in going forward with it, for instance, if you vacate a guilty plea, the person may be reindicted for several offenses.

By virtue of the fact that he entered into a plea bargain and many times an inmate simply doesn't want to take the chance of getting convicted of perhaps several crimes where he had managed to plead out to only one, so once we've established that the inmate wants to go through and take the chance, the risks involved, then we will try to do something.

I might add that in most cases, while the claims may have some foundation, oftentimes the problem is that the inmate has simply failed to understand what occurred, and I think that perhaps the legal profession in that regard has failed their client, because they simply have not taken the time to explain to the inmate what happened at his trial and in many instances a three or four-page memorandum and a visit by the student practitioner can explain to him what happened in court and why what was done was proper. So --

THE CHAIRPERSON: After you and the student determine that you will take one of these cases, do you then visit the prisoner and explain to him exactly what his remedies are and --

A. Yes, we do.

Lately I ve instituted the policy of simply going

up with a car every week or two and taking wichever students wish to visit inmates, so in more recently we've been visiting inmates more. Previously we left it up to the students and with the energy crisis it simply became impossible for many students to get up there, so I changed the foremat around to provide the service for them.

THE CHAIRPERSON: How many inmakes are represented at this time?

A. That's really kind of hard to say because, well, some of them are open and some of them are in a position where while we're working on the case there's probably little we can do, but I think at this time there's something, somewhere in the vicinity of 120 open cases.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Can you get into fringe areas, such as inadequate health care and things of that nature?

A All right, let me explain.

When the prison project was begun back in 1968, the case of Johnson versus Avery had not come down from the United States Supreme Court. The Johnson versus Avery simply implies or states that an inmate has a right to legal services.

In Johnson's case, he had a right to a jailhouse lawyer. My feeling is that with the advent of that opinion, we are no longer in the prison owing to the good graces of the warden, but prior to Johnson versus Avery, we

1.1

.17 

were there because the warden allowed us to be there and as part of the agreement we said if we are allowed to do post-conviction work we will not enter into any kinds of civil rights complaints; that is suits directed against the prison administration based upon prison conditions.

That was the original agreement. Since then we've stuck to that agreement.

I might add it's not because I would like to stick to that agreement or not because I am not aware of the, well, the violations of civil rights that have been brought to my attention that have occurred at the prison, but simply because as one attorney and working with a limited number of students, I simply do not have the time to do anything than what I'm doing right now.

And you know, I wish --

THE CHAIRPERSON: Those are strictly post-conviction?

That's correct.

THE CHAIRPERSON: You deal directly with the original conviction?

A. That's correct. And on only two, in only two instances have we done anything else than that and those two instances were specifically approved by a judge and by the dean and they don't even relate to the prison, they're an unrelated matter, but they are civil rights complaints.

THE CHAIRPERSON: How closely do you work with the attorney general's office on the work that you're doing?

A. Well, actually we have very little to do with the attorney general's office, if we file something in court, depending on which court we file in, the attorney general may or may not answer it. If it's a local rule 32 petition which is a habeus corpus petition in the State of Arizona, we would file it in superior court, in which case the county attorney would answer.

If we file a habeus corpus petition before the Supreme Court of the State of Arizona, which would be almost never, under the new rules, then the county attorney would answer, I mean the State's attorney would answer, and if we file it in the United States District Court, likewise would answer. But apart from opposing us as adversaries, I have very Little contact.

Now, I did get into contact with Mr. Duke subsequent to the confiscation which occurred at the prison on the 21st, I believe, of June, in 1973.

My problem there was that, as I said, I don't get involved in prison administration problems, but there were
legal documents which I had been informed by inmates had
been confiscated and my feeling was that if you took away
the legal documents you took away the right to representation
and that, to me, was not a prison administration problem
but was rather a problem of one's right to counsel and went
to the very question of whether I could represent these people.

With that in mind, I brought the problem to the

attention of the Supreme Court of the State of Arizona, 1 and in a letter addressed to me by Justice Lockwood, she 2 indicated that if in fact, I believed that there had been 3 confiscations of legal documents, I should, by all means, 4 pursue whatever remedies were available to me. 5 I then contacted Mr. Duke and Mr. Duke was helpful in 6 locating, I believe one or two sets of documents. 7 Since then, the number of complaints of missing 8 documents has diminished considerably, and many of them, I 9 suppose, have been returned or people have simply come to 10 the conclusion that they're not going to return and no 11 longer write to me about them. 12 But that was my, almost my sole exposure to Mr. 13 Duke and anything dealing with prison administration. 14 15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr. Rinaldi. Mr. Duke, would you state, for the record, your name, 16 occupation and address, "please?" 17 18 19 CLEON DUKE 20 21 22 Cleon Duke, Assistant Attorney General, 159 State Capitol Building, Phoenix, Arizona. 23 24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Levis? 25 (By Mr. Levis.) Mr. Duke, we've heard some testimony Q.

concerning the new disciplinary rules that were, as I understand it, agreed to by stipulation by both sides in Judge William Copple's Court, and I just wondered if you could go through the procedure which resulted in the rules just briefly?

A. Yes, I can.

I had a couple of other items to respond to which I can do now or later, at your convenience, if I may.

- Q Why don't we first go into this?
- 10 Å. Okay.

Well, maybe it will be backtracking, but that's okay.

Where would you like to start? It's a --

- Q. It's my understanding, first of all, that Copple was appointed to represent two immates, Taylor and Yapnik and it turned into a class action regarding loss of two for one and disciplinary procedures, is that not correct?
- A. It was initially filed as an inmate propper complaint in the spring of 1972. As you're probably aware, when you're speaking in terms of a class action, class would not be adequately represented by nonattorneys in court cases to that effect and the federal court accepted it as a class action rather than as a bunch of diverse suits by different people and therefore appointed counsel to represent the attorneys, that counsel was John P. Frank.
  - Q And it's my understanding, after hearing a deposition

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

25

23 24 of Mr. James G. Bennett, who was former director of the U. S. Bureau of Prisons, that the two sides involved in the casesset out to revise the disciplinary procedures, is that not correct?

It's correct as far as time, yes. It was shortly after hearing that that a stipulation was reached and which an interim order had been presented to the court. the reasons for that were not exclusively or at least as far as the defendants' part was concerned, particularly related to that testimony.

Now, it's my understanding that the interim rules were entered into and then there were some objections concerning the final rules that were adopted by, approved by Judge Copple in October of 1973.

You have supplied us with a memorandum from the plaintiffs' counsel outlining their objections to the rules. Memorandum from you outlining the defendants' position as to why the rules should be adopted, and then a decision by, it's a memorandum and order by Judge Copple on August 27, 1973, which substantially upheld your position, is that not correct?

- That's correct. A.
- I think it's the three documents I have here and I think just to save time concerning the objections of the attorneys for the plaintiffs and the objections of you for

the defendants, I'd just like you to identify these and then we'll have them entered into the record.

These are correct --

A Yes, those are the documents.

MR. LEVIS: I'd like to enter these three into the record, then as the next three exhibits.

Testimony was elicited from inmates who are just on concerning loss of two for one time or denial of two for one time, and the problem of having, which I think Mr. Frank talks about in your memorandum which you respond to and I just wondered if you could briefly describe the state's position concerning, first, the difference between denial of two for one time and the loss of two for one time, as I understand the reclassification committee can do through reclassification, and the tacking provision, which I understand allows an inmate to be cited for multiple offenses which could result in isolation up to 30 days for two or more offenses and 15 days for one offense?

First two for one time?

- A. What would you like to hear first?
- Q Two for one time, right.
  - A. Okay.

A difference between denial and loss of two for one time. I'll assume what you're talking about is with regard to bss in the future and loss in the past. By statute, the

only body empowered to take away two for one time, or statutory good or copper time, either one, is the board of pardons and paroles.

- Q I don't think I was talking about that, what I was talking about would be prospectively the disciplinary committee under the new rules, as I understand it, is the committee that shall have the power to deny two for one time.
- A. That was the testimony that was given earlier by Mr. Hogan. That is incorrect.
- Q. Well, let me read from the order of Judge Copple and Exhibit Number 1, which was attached to that order, or I should say stipulation, which would be correct, stipulation entered into by parties for the plaintiff and defendant on October 19, 1973.

And in that, the same section I read previously, I think it's section 3C2, states that the committee which refers to the disciplinary committee, shall hear and dispose of violations or other matters where a prisoner faces the following sanctions or penalties and B is denial of two for one time credits under the provisions of ARS31-252.

Now, as I understand it, this is denial in the future, is that not correct?

A. Yes, I think you're giving it a rather extracted reading, there was never any intent, Mr. Hogan, I believe

this is what we're getting at. Perhaps if you'll just let me ramble on for a minute, I can bring the point to a head.

O. Sure.

A. Nobody, Judge Copple, John Frank, I hesitate to speak for John Frank because no one could adequately do that, but John Frank or myself never contemplated the disciplinary rules as having any effect, whatsoever, on the reclassification committee. And their function and their powers. This was not a contemplation of the order.

The reclassification committee, by case law in Arizona, rather than by definitive interpretation, you can draw from statute, is the agency within the administration of the prison which may place someone on two for one status.

- Q. My concern is, I think, Mr. Hogan advised that concern, is that the reclassification committee can be used in lieu of the disciplinary procedures.
- A. Judge Copple commented on that in his original order, and I again I'd like to correct that. In the stipulated order, Judge Copple has taken the rap for this for quite a while.
  - Q I realize it's a stipulated.
- A. These were stipulated orders under his signature and as a result they became the Copple order when in fact they were the stipulated order and so it's sort of an unfair

position that he has been put in.

He is by no means guilty, I don't believe anybody else. At any rate, in that December 22nd, order, there was one statement in there which I believe has misled many inmates and perhaps many people since that time, in which it is stated there that by no method or means shall the reclassification committee be used as a surreptitious way around discipliner However, the discipline rules were drafted and loss of two for one is a penalty, specifically for the disciplinary committee.

That was one of the sanctions they could have. It did not mean that they were the only ones that could have that sanction, that was not contemplated and never intended. The only intent that was entered by agreement, was that where a disciplinary violation had occurred, and for whatever reason, the institution decided not to use the disciplinary proceedings as a means for enforcing the law of the institution, it could not secondhandedly use the reclassification committee to enforce that same regulation.

However, it never made any comment on the administrative function of classifying inmates.

Q. My question gets to that first sentence again in that section it says the committee shall hear, shall hear and dispose of violations or other matters and it's the "or other matters" that bothers me, because you're not talking

about rule violations, other matters could be anything. I think it's easy for both inmates and for counsel to interpret that to mean any matter where there is denial of two for one time prospectively.

A. Bill, the best way I could answer that, and it would take us considerable time, is to dig out the record of -record and read it to you. I, perhaps the best thing to do
is to submit it to you with the many other things we will in
the next 30 days, however this was clearly never the intent,
I'm sure that Judge Copple could gladly sign an affidavit to
that effect. This just was not the purpose, we were dealing
solely and purely with discipline. Disciplinary violations
and due process for prosecution of those violations.

Never contemplated any other function of the prison, specifically excluded it, the only consideration to any other function of the prison was that the other functions would not be surreptitiously plugged in where discipline had failed.

- Q. Again, that's my point, ir. don't i.
  Mr. Rinaldi?
- A. (By Mr. Rinaldi.) Yes, I might address myself to this.

  Frankly, I, for a while, participated in disciplinary
  hearings until I was forbidden to by the warden. So, since
  then I have not participated in them. However, during that
  time, I --

THE CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, Mr. Rinaldi, this was Warden Cardwell that you're referring to at this time?

A. Yes, Superintendent Cardwell, yes.

During that time, it was my experience that if an inmate was -- had several rule violations, that as the rule stated, you'd get 15 and on a multiple charge offense, could get up to 30 days in isolation.

Some individuals, however, were really recidivists and as soon as they'd get out they'd get another rule violation and go before another disciplinary hearing.

These people that they tapped as recidivists then were certified or reclassified by the classification committee for, now these aren't the only persons that were reclassified and placed in administrative lockup or at least in care and treatment, but some of these people kept on getting disciplinary violations, were then classified as need of treatment. And as a result, were placed on permanent lockup. Under the guise of receiving care and treatment.

On one instance, I queried several of the hearing officers and asked them, well, now wait a second, this guy's finished with his disciplinary hearing, where does he go now? I mean doesn't he get out of lockup because he's served his 30 days, and the answer was no, he'll go back to the classification committee and it's likely he'll be certified for care and treatment and then they laughed and

I queried again, why had they laughed and I said, don't they receive any treatment?

And the indication was none to speak of. Apparently there are psychiatrists, two, I believe, at the prison, but I don't believe that comes anywhere near supplying the need for them. There are counselors as well, but in many cases, individuals placed in lockup don't receive that counseling.

It's always been my feeling that if you place a person in care and treatment for treatment, if you don't give him treatment, you have to let him go.

Now, certainly, if you were in the area of mental hospitals and you were placed in a mental hospital for care and treatment and were not given the treatment, you could get a writ of habeus corpus and be released from the hospital and that's the direction the law is going now.

Enfertunately, in prison, when you're placed in care and treatment, if you're not given treatment, it's very difficult to obtain release, so I think that probably, and again there are probably a good number of people that receive very legitimate care and treatment, but I think there's also some individuals that are simply placed in care and treatment as a means of keeping them out of the yard, keeping them out of the administration's hair.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Rinaldi, do you know of any

specific inmates that this has been done to?

A. Yes, I think you heard from Fred Delvecchio for one, and I've also received letters from other inmates with the same complaints.

I did not bring those letters, I was not requested to bring those letters nor was I told to even enumerate on this particular point, but there have been numerous letters, I shouldn't say numerous, perhaps five or six saying, look, I'm not getting any treatment.

I suppose the other thing that bothers me, too, is this whole thing about two for one. I have made an agreement with the warden, not to become involved in prison administration matters. Frankly, my feeling is that though two for one is a discretionary award given to an individual by the administration, as Mr. Hogan pointed out, most judges take that into consideration in sentencing, and it can substantially increase the length of time that an individual is incarcerated.

Furthermore, it goes to the issue of plain sentencing and I believe that I should not be prohibited from moving in that area, at least questioning two for one. I have made an attempt to at least talk to the warden about that on one occasion I wrote him a very lengthy letter inquiring about his policy for the purposes of explaining to inmates why or why not or what the reason is that they are not receiving

two for one.

His reply came in a one or two-paragraph reply, simply saying, my policy is as stated in ARS31-252. I think it's evident from what you've heard today that ARS31-252 is a very general statute and that there are a great deal of discretionary orders or at least discretionary interpretations that are made by the administration which they seem to refuse to discuss with me.

Now, my feeling, as I said, is it goes to the question of sentencing and that is the legitimate scope of my work. Frankly, as I've indicated, only myself as the only attorney, I've since given up on that subject, it's just not worth fighting, I have other things to do.

MR. LEVIS: Mr. Rinaldi, I have two points here.

THE CHAIRPERSON: First of all, if the two for one does go with sentencing, which from testimony I have heard not only the last two days but from testimony that I've heard as a member of the legislature, then it would not go to the administration of the prison, I mean it wouldn't be to the administration of the prison that you would go for a clarification but it would rather seem to me you would go to the trial judges who are making these sentences.

A. No, no, the statute simply says that you're entitled to two for one time if you occupy a position of trust.

When the trial judge sends you to Florence he gives you

an indeterminate sentence, a minimum and a maximum, let's say five to ten years, but once you get up there, unless you occupy a position of trust, you are not entitled to two for one time. So, if you are classified in a position where you do not occupy a position of trust, you will not receive two for one time.

I might add, I can not speak authoritatively on the subject, because I've simply been denied any access to any information on the subject.

in two days of testimony from both inmates as well as staff, that there are approximately, better than 90% of the prisoners in the correctional facilities and I'm including the state prison as well as all of the others who are on two for one time. There's only a very serious infraction of the rules that your two for one time is taken away.

A. Yes, that's correct, most people do receive two for one time, but what I'm concerned about isethe fact that it can be taken away at the discretion of the prison authorities, it's not something that is given to them as a matter of right by the sentencing judge, it can be taken away as a matter of discretion.

THE CHAIRPERSON: As a matter of fact, the right of the sentencing judge we have heard from numerous people as I say, who feel the judges sentence twice....as much because

Ž

the statute that calls for two for one time --

A Absolutely.

THE CHAIRPERSON: "hithat is not the administration of the prison's job to look into that matter, it's the sentencing judge.

A. Once an individual is sentenced, the question of whether he gets two for one time is out of the hands of the judge, it then comes up to the question of whether the prison wishes to give that time or not and whether they give it to an individual or not is based upon whether they come within the statute and that is occupy a position of trust.

But what I'm suggesting to you, if a person is denied two for one time wrongly, you must go into court under a special action and challenge the prison's action for wrongfully exercising their discretion, has nothing to do with the sentencing judge.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I think the matter at hand is definitely two-fold, and not something that we can, I know it's not something we can hash out here, tonight.

A. Well, I might just add that Warden Cardwell, or Superintendent Cardwell, has even indicated to me that he thinks two for one is an abomination and that he wishes there was some other sentencing procedure, because you're never certain why the judge gives a person a certain period 1 of time.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Right, I think you do know all the criminal laws and criminal rules and subsequent sentencing and everything else is being considered now under the criminal code revision. And I think you will see within a year's time, a tremendous change within that area.

A. Well, one can only hope that we will see change.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I can almost guarantee we will see a change, Mr. Rinaldi.

A. There's a question which direction the change will go.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Levis?

Q (By Mr. Levis.) Mr. Duke, the other area of concern is the --

A. (By Mr. Duke.) Can I ask a question, Mr. Rinaldi got an opportunity to say what bothers him, can I get an opportunity to say what bothers me? I guess the --

Q The other area was the area of tacking or multiple offenses which can increase a person's maximum isolation time 15 days and 30 days and again, just relating back to the testimony by James, I think he indicated that the maximum time that he felt was proper was 15 days. And I just wondered if you would discuss this and then also what is being done to monitor the new disciplinary rules and make sure they're followed?

A. Comment on Mr. Bennett's opinion, that's exactly the way we've treated it and that's the way it was taken as expert opinion. At the same time, when we, and I'm speaking as a lawyer now, not in terms of anything else, but in terms of representing our position, we submitted numerous cases to the court which stated mostly circuit and district opinion on the federal level, that a sentence of 30 days was not in terms of what we were talking about cruel and unusual punishment per se.

All the courts have been much more concerned with the type of treatment someone receives while in an isolation situation, moreso than about the length.

Again, I don't think that Mr. Bennett's testimony was what provided the guideline for the interim rules, however it was not binding upon us for the final rules.

On the position of tacking, and I'll -- I can speak to that in a general way and speak to a number of other things I'm certain that you're going to raise because there are, out of our three or four differences that the plaintiff and defendant had in settling this case, they all revolved around one thing, tacking falling in there, and that was whether or not or how much discretion the administration could be given to operate the system.

Mr. Frank argued for the plaintiffs that this discretionary right to impose 30 days for multiple offenses,

 was discretion that the administration couldn't handle.

And this was the same argument that came up on a number of other areas, the whole thing boiled down to a determination by Judge Copple as to whether or not the administration could be afforded discretion in the three or four areas that were up for grabs including the tacking question, and could handle it.

Our argument was they can't operate the system without it. Their argument was that's not so, and therefore they really don't need it.

I would let Judge Copple's final decision speak for itself. The order of August 27th is the one order in the entire proceedings that was not entered by stipulation, that was entered by Judge Copple and I think it fairly well covers the area.

He dealt with the problems, responded to them specifically, it's a part of your record and we agreed with the judge, even in the one area where he disagreed with us, but we were obliged to advocate which we did.

Q. The next question I had, then, is, is there any procedure to insure that the new rules are adhered to?

What I mean by that is, is there any procedure for appeal either to Judge Copple or is there an ombudsman?

I realize that there is an appeals officer within the department of corrections who will testify, but I just

 wondered if there's any other procedure or if you feel such a procedure is a good idea?

A. No, there isn't, that question was presented to Judge Copple, and it was presented in the form of a submission made earlier by Mr. Hogan, a motion by John Frank, in which he, in his eloquent way, made his point, we submitted a response to that and Judge Copple ruled that there would not be any continuing counsel, he did not feel on the basis of the fact that over a period of ten or 11 months we had been in constant contact and monitoring the system that was in the process which was the basis, the foundation for what we developed.

That there was any need to continue appointment of counsel to monitor the system, so there's no legal requirement for that.

And it wasn't because it was an oversight, it was an question presented to the judge and he did not feel it was necessary for him to continue appointment on behalf of the inmates.

- Q. And that's the
- A. That's the legal position.

In administratively, the department of corrections has taken several steps, not what — and what you're talking about particularly to monitor, we don't have people that go down and sit in periodically to see if it's running properly,

not by assignment. But there have been a number of things done to hopefully make the system work, and in the area of education on all levels that I think will help the system work.

You have to realize the Copple order, whatever you want to call it, the Taylor and Yapnik ruling, the new rules are very complex and they're obliged to be carried out by laymen. You don't plug a system in like that overnight and have it work properly.

Judge Copple understood this John Franks understood this and that's why we spent so much time spelling out everything so that the people that were obliged to plug it in would know what plugs they were working with.

Q Now, it appears to me that Mr. Frank and others don't feel that everything was spelled out but the question that I have is, is there any procedure, I think the answer is yes, to educate staff at the Arizona State Prison as to the Copple or Yapnik or Taylor order?

A. Specifically in the department of corrections, yes.

Part of the new training program which has been initiated involves training specifically on the Copple ruling. That's, and I would have to defer to the training officer and it was when I was working in the program, one half-day's 's training on that, a four-hour session, specifically rule by rule as to what was contained in there. That's followed up by

months, up until just recently in which we talk about staff's rights, vis a vis inmates' rights, we talk about civil rights, we talk about just what we've been talking about for two days with the new staff members.

So that they may have some appreciation and put the

a session which I've been doing for about six or seven

So that they may have some appreciation and put the new rules in perspective and see where they came from. It's perhaps minimum compared to what you might think would be proper education, but I don't know of any place else that's doing anything like that and believe me, we looked for that when we were drafting the rules.

- Q. I got one other question.
- A. I have one other thing to make and I almost passed over that.

Last semester and hopefully again next year, through Central Arizona College, a course was offered entitled the legal system within the correctional institution. Geared specifically for correctional officers and correctional personnel. And the impetus? as it were, for that course, was the Copple decision, the new rules, and about 15 individuals took that.

- Q. Were they all staff members?
- A. They were all staff members, except for three who -12 from ASP, three from the federal detention center.
  - Q Do you know what the institution's paid, or the indi-

1 vidual's paid?

.5

A. I think it's paid through an LEAA grant to allow them to further their education or some grant of that nature.

But at the time we had the entire disciplinary committee sitting, taking the course. You know, they made it, people have made an effort to educate themselves in this field.

- Q I have one final question and that's in regard to library, I understand that you have been working either with Judge Copple or on your own to do something about the library and I just wondered if you'd go into that?
- A. A prefatory comment: When we compare the attorney general's library to the Gilmore versus Lynch decision out of California we ain't up to snuff either, we're not even close.
  - A. (By Mr. Rinaldi.) You ought to see my library.
- A. (By Mr. Duke.) But the fact is yes, we are. There's a lot of interest in that and I'm not going to comment on it specifically because we have somebody will be testifying in a few minutes that can.
  - Q You mean Mr. Harris?
  - A. Mr. Harris.

Jùdge Copple has taken an interest in this because he gets a lot of business from that place. That's the way

it goes. And we have to.

And I would like to point out, as unbiasedly as I can, that the department of corrections is taking an interest in these and it will be there.

Q (By Mr. Levis.) I have one question of Mr. Rinaldi and I think it relates to the discussion we had concerning clearing house for civil rights complaints that you mentioned to me I just wondered if anything has happened to that where inmates could file civil rights complaints, in, a central office where they would be referred to an attorney?

A. (By Mrz.Rihaldi.) To my knowledge, nothing has happened, the original proposition, I believe, was formulated between Judge Copple and he and some other individuals suggesting that perhaps through our organization we could screen civil rights complaints and weed out the good ones, like, much as Mr. Hogan suggested an ombudsman would do.

Unfortunately, that hasn't come to pass. I don't know why I say unfortunately, it turns out that my funding runs out in another three months and there will no longer be a clinic to assist inmates.

In essence, inmates will simply be cut loose to ford for their own. I feel somewhat bad about the whole thing, but I guess in this day and age people simply don't want to appropriate funds for those kinds of things.

We've tried to get funding but unfortunately it's not

forthcoming.

Ŧ

If anybody here knows of any, I'd be more than happy to accept your suggestion.

I think perhaps I might be able to comment on the disciplinary rules too, since I've appeared probably in more disciplinary hearings than any other attorney in Arizona.

I say that, assuming that no other attorneys would take the time to go to Florence any number of times to represent these people.

made a very genuine effort to try and carry out the spirit of the rules, unfortunately, when you use a correctional officer to, in a disciplinary hearing I've, wou know, I've seen the correctional officers take judicial notice of the fact, and for example, a sex or a, you know, an alleged violation relating to homosexual activity we'll say, well, we all know he's queer. What I'm suggesting is that oftentimes these people know everybody in the prison, and by virtue of their prior conception of the individuals, it's often difficult for them to be unbiased.

But I think they try very hard and I've always found them to be very fair.

What I do find is a problem is that I get the feeling that the administration would rather that I not be there.

that I -- rather that I not participate in disciplinary hearings, and you know, I think that there has been a purposeful attempt to simply try to get me to stop going to them, and in fact, it's been successful, because I don't go anymore.

But I don't know that the administration is all that keen on implementing it, though I must say the correctional officers try very -- give it a great deal of effort to try and implement this.

A. (By Mr. Duke.) Can I respond to that?

I would have to disagree with Mr. Rinaldi, on the last point, that the administration is not so keen on putting this thing in. The administration put a heck of a lot of time into its development, and we're dealing with administrative proceedings, we're not dealing with a court.

I don't know too many administrative proceedings that go on without some preknowledge of what's going on. If you go to the corporation commission they investigate things for three months before they ever hear it, they know what's happening. These people have some prior knowledge but by the same token, they have expertise that independent people from the outside would not have.

I don't think that if it was a suggestion that because they're so close to the situation they're not the best judges, I don't think that that's true at all. I think that in fact,

\*7 

that enhances their quality as judges, as long as they're not the same person that witnessed the incident and is making the charge.

You have some closeness but you also have some expertise and some knowledge in the system that you're operating in, that I think is valuable input into an administrative proceedings and that's what these are, this isn't court, this is administration.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Duke, you mentioned a little earlier that you wanted an opportunity to tell us the things that were bothering you and I think this is the time?

A. Fine, I'll just bring up two things because we're getting late and I'm getting hungry.

With Mr. -- one comment with what Mr. Rinaldi said and I speak to this very generally because I haven't dealt with him on the subject, however, I have dealt with the other side on the subject, of Mr. Rinaldi's participation in disciplinary court.

There was a contractual agreement made several years ago with the post-conviction legal clinic that they would deal exclusively in the area of appeal work, criminal appeal work and tangential areas.

I've been informed that the administration has never been approached to change that portion of the contract.

Admittedly, they're not going to readily do it perhaps they

may want to negotiate it, but they haven't been approached yet.

I just offer that for what it's worth, that is their position on the statement, particularly with regard to his not attending as an attorney at a discipline court, that's something that they, by agreement, supposedly won't do.

Warden Cardwell also informed me that if Bruce wishes to attend as a private attorney, attorney of record for that person, that's a different situation, but when he attends in his capacity which is the way the warden has interpreted it to now, as head of the post-conviction legal clinic, it just doesn't comply with the contract.

Maybe that's a technical point and one that could be taken away, but somebody should approach him and give him an opportunity to change it and work it out.

The other point I'd like to respond to and I'll do it by example, because for one thing I'm not prepared to respond to all of the things that came up while the four inmates were here, there were a lot of allegations, lot of things came up. Perhaps by giving you this one which we had our file and could comment to, it may give you an example of some of the others which we intend by the way, we intend to submit responses to as much of this stuff as we can remember, particularly with Mr. Hogan.

He was the first one and we were taking the best notes

and had the most time and not picking on him.

Mr. Hogan alleged that he was in lockup from May through November of 1973. We checked the record on Mr. Hogan, and I will offer this. The record reflects that on June 14, 1973, he was confined pending investigation for an action that was taken outside of the institution, pursuant to the disciplinary rules. Pursuant to the present disciplinary rules, pursuant to the interim procedures, December 22 order of Judge Copple.

Since it's public record, the reason he was confined was for investigation of an offense which he eventually pled guilty to and was convicted of, forgery of some court documents, people from Arizona may have some memory of the case.

There was considerable investigation at that time, the provision for lockup in this situation was for exactly this situation. They needed time to investigate, it was turned over to the county attorney.

On September 13, 1973, Mr. Hogan was reviewed by the reclassification committee, for general population release with two for one time. This would have been release to either CB2 or CB4, whichever opening came first, wherever there was cell room to move into.

Mr. Hogan, at that time, declined release. Unless it was specifically for release to CB4. Which is the newer of

the two and the one that has openings less frequently because of popularity. Mr. Hogan stayed where he was by choice, he didn't reenter the population so the time frames here which again we will submit in the form of summary, comply exactly with the rules that we have. We had an investigation, a criminal investigation of some substance, considerable substance and considerable importance to the institution and to the state as a whole. He was locked up, the rules were followed, the time periods were followed and we will submit information on the other ones in that frame of mind.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Are there any other questions of Mr. Duke?

A. (By Mr. Rinaldi.) I would just like to address myself to the one thing he said and then we can all go.

There's never been any question in the mind of Warden Cardwell and I've made it absolutely clear that I represent inmates through the clinic in my professional capacity as an attorney, working for the University of Arizona.

On some occasions when I feel the situation warrants it, I, as a private practitioner of the State Bar of Arizona, have undertaken to represent in the disciplinary hearings. The reason I do this, there was in fact at one point, a request for volunteer attorneys to do these kinds of things, and my colleague and I put in our names as individuals who would undertake that on a voluntary basis and I've made this

.4 

 very clear to the warden that I represent them as a private attorney and not as the director of a clinic.

I might further add that when I went to the prison several weeks ago, he told me he did not care if I represented them as a private attorney or mot, he felt that there was a conflict of interest, he never explained to me what he felt the conflict was, but he said I could do one or the other, I could either direct the clinic or I could represent them in disciplinary hearings as a private attorney and it was very clear at that time, that he knew that I was doing the two services in separate capacities.

At any rate, I simply have interpreted that and I believe that it's simply a purposeful attempt on his part to exclude me from those proceedings.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Rinaldi, the Chair will take notice of the remarks you're making and we'll ask Warden Cardwell to comment on that later this evening.

If there are no other questions, thank you, Gentlemen, both.

The Chair would now call Major Martinez, Mr. B.J. Harris and Sergeant Herbert Padilla.

Gentlemen, would you state your name, your occupation and your address, for the record, please?

MR. HARRIS: B.J. Harris, department of corrections, inmate appeals officer and the address for the department of

corrections is 1601 West Jefferson Street.

MR. MARTINEZ: Major Martinez, I work in prison, I live at the state prison.

MR. PADILLA: I'm Sergeant Padilla, Arizona State Prison, special duty assignment to training department.

My address is 1703 East I Street here in Florence.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

Mr. Harris, before we get into the questioning that we have prepared, I would like to ask you to respond to the question that you had raised your hand to prior to this?

## B.J. HARRIS

A. (By Mr. Harris.) Okay.

¥.

Just for purposes of the record and for possibly some clarification in so far as the particular hearing is concerned, I think that we should note in so far as classification is concerned, as it relates to disciplinary proceedings, this is a proper type of recommendation that could possibly be made by the disciplinary committee.

The disciplinary committee can in fact recommend that the reclassification committee, as promulgated by the Copple order, that the inmate be removed from a position of trust and confidence, this is a recommendation that they can

make, however, it's discretionary with the reclassification committee as to whether or not they're going to accept the recommendation of the disciplinary committee. So, in sum and substance, the recommendation itself comes as a result of a finding of guilty or in some instances, not guilty, but primarily on the basis of an individual's behavior, perhaps the frequency or the number of convictions he has had, to the reclassification committee to take administrative action as a result of perhaps some rule infraction.

The other clarification-I'd like to make is in regard to the situation concerning segregation.

I have in front of me the Copple order, and I believe there was some disparity or confusion in so far as what isolation and adjustment center was all about. And based upon the Copple order and for purposes of the record, I think the definition is, apparently condoned by Judge Copple in his order, states that the definition of segregation is defined as isolation in adjustment center or administrative confinement and the application of these particular rules. That is the Copple order, the application of these rules except as otherwise noted, apply only to the first two categories of segregation, and it goes on, then, to point out that special care is to be assured that a new inmate in segregation should not become disciplinary segregation.

Q. (By Mr. Levis.) Mr. Harris, is that from the final

1 order in October that you're reading from? 2 This is the order of December the 22nd. 3 Of what year? 4 Of 1972 and also -- yes. 5 Well, it's my understanding that the final stipu-6 lation was October 19, 1973. The one thing I'd like to do 7 is with your permission, I requested from the department of 8 corrections definitions of the various terms administrative 9 confinement, administrative segregation, administrative 10 defention, protective lockup, disciplinary isolation and 11 deadlock and that has been submitted to us and I think, 12 for clarification, should be entered into an exhibit at 13 this time, because I think it does answer the questions by 14 the department. 15 Yes, that's clarification of the terms that seems to 16 be of some confusion at this point. 17 THE CHAIRPERSON: We will enter it into the record 18 as an exhibit. 19 Mr. Levis, did you have additional questions? 20 Wait just a second, Madame Chairman. A. 21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Did you have another point? 22 Yes. A. 23 There was so much covered by the four inmates that 24 we had up here that I couldn't possibly respond due to the 25 hour and I felt that there was quite a bit of confusion.

The care and custody treatment, for instance, was couched in some form to be considered by this Committee as a disciplinary proceeding. I'd like to clarify that and state that we acknowledge the fact that we do have 24, 25, perhaps more people in care and custody. Out of the 1,400 inmates. We recognize that's a fact of life. However, on the other hand, this is not a matter that we handle in disciplinary proceedings, we're not concerned with care and custody, that's strictly administrative and perhaps Mr. Moran at some future time or Mr. Schuster, can address themselves to the care and custody question.

The class action question is not a class action as such, what we have been doing in the department of corrections has been to work along with the federal courts in an attempt to resolve the situation that resulted from last year's unrest.

As a result, we've come up with an informal manner in which we might, we are attempting to resolve the property issue, what has happened is that the federal courts and I believe it's Judge Craig, have entered into the picture and suggested that we handle this particular matter informally and he has appointed a lawyer, and as a result, the lawyer is gathering up the cases that are similar in nature so that we may handle this case. This particular matter at a later date.

Another thing came out about the jurisdiction of the federal court in so far as disciplinary proceedings are concerned. Here I'd like to point out again that the federal court, if I might use the expression, has jurisdiction over disciplinary matters primarily because of the possibility of a civil rights issue involving the sentence that one receives in disciplinary hearings and also for purposes of, as we all know, protecting one's liberties and precluding cruel and unusual punishment.

So, inmates are constantly providing the federal courts as we use the common expression through kites or petitions or various other means of getting attention.

The law library, I believe, was also mentioned.

Q (By Mr. Levis.) You can talk about that now, we can dispense with those questions if you want to get into that issue right now.

A. Right, the law library was also mentioned, by the four inmates, and I'd like to bring it to the Committee's attention that we have been working diligently along with the federal courts, recognizing that our law library was in the past somewhat inadequate. And as a result of our informal work with the courts, we have been able to come up with various sums of moneys and we have purchased various textbooks for the law library, in fact, as of January 25, 1974, this year, we purchased some \$1,600.00 in legal material,

,3 

12<sup>2</sup>

recognizing all along that this would not, by any means, be very significant form, but at least recognizing that it would be better than nothing, we went ahead and purchased some very meaningful and needed materials.

In addition to that we have an LEAA grant for some \$25,000.00, earmarked for, specifically for a library, and we will probably get, if not this year, early next year, so we're doing the best we can.

And just as soon as they can act upon our proposal, then you might say we will be in good stead.

I admit that the particular grant that we're talking about is \$25,000.00, and the reason I mention that is because we asked the West Publishing Company, who I'm sure you know is one of the leading publishers in so far as legal materials are concerned, to come up with a study of what they would consider and recognizing the fact further, that they are in the business of making money and selling a lot of textbooks, a study of what they would consider a good start, in so far as the minimum and maximum types of materials that you would have in a law library.

I might add at this point that I have the study here and we're willing to go along and we will be going along just as soon as we get those moneys and purchase these books for all three of our adult institutions, that will be Safford, naturally, Fort Grant and ASP. So, I have here the

study conducted by West and their recommendations which we will follow so long as they are reasonable and we believe that they are reasonable.

- Q Can we have a copy of that for the record?
- A. Yes.

In addition to -- well, while were at it, while we're talking about the law library, I might add that in so far as the hours are concerned, and the availability and the use of the privilege of doing legal research by the inmates at Arizona State Prison, we, as I have already submitted fully adhere to the Gilmore V Tynch decision and also the Johnson V Avery decision, we don't quabble with it. What we try to do is work according to the mandate set forth in those particular decisions so that we won't be caught short.

One other point and then we'll get to whatever you want to get to, Madame Chairman.

The other point deals with the sentencing or the possibility or the maximum sentence you might call it, that one might receive as a result of arrule infraction.

Judge Copple, in his wisdom, set forth, the 15-day limitation. And this does not necessarily mean that one's going to receive 15 days for a particular rule violation, what it does say, in effect, is that he can receive up to 15 days, and naturally, receiving up to 15 days per violation

will depend upon the nature, the severity, the frequency and other considerations by the committee.

In getting the full 15 days. And in no event will the individual receive beyond 30 days for multiple violations which means that if he committed four or five offenses like in the past some inmates have, he could receive no more than 30 days in isolation. So, in that regard, we might say that comparatively speaking we are in a little bit better shape than the State of Kentucky.

Naturally, we abide by the Hook decision, which is our mail regulation set forth by Judge Muecke, and at this point I won't go into some of the reports that I've read. I think they're confidential.

- Q Mr. Harris, we've heard about your disciplinary procedures and I think one thing that hasn't been explained is the due process provisions in there, where an inmate who appears before the committee and I just wondered if you could comment on those procedures?
- A. Okay.

- Q What I'm concerned about is what rights does an inmate have?
- A. Basically, I think what Judge Copple was talking about as set forth in the order, was whether or not an individual should have an opportunity, first of all, to be put on notice when he allegedly has committed some sort of an

offense, notice, as you know, is very important in the free world type operations. We're talking about whether or not he has an opportunity to cross examine witnesses or to have witnesses in his own behalf. Whether or not he has a right to counsel or counsel substitute. Or a right to enter a plea and fully understands that particular plea.

He has a right to the findings by the committee in so far as the disciplinary proceedings are concerned.

We're talking about something similar to, in nature, what we have in the free world, modified and limited only by the isolated population and naturally the people, the committee kind of thinks, you know, on the outside you have courts and they adhere strictly to the rules of evidence. On the inside we're talking about lay people attempting to administer justice as they see fit under the Copple order. And due process naturally goes on and on.

Q At this point, maybe we should get into what your job is as an appeals officer and I wonder if you could just summarize that, and also we requested from the department of corrections which they have provided us, the decisions of the disciplinary committee since October 19, 1973, and also your appeals decisions and instead of going into particulars, I just would like to submit this to the record.

It's based on submission by the department of correc-

tions. I wonder if you could, then, just describe what your job is, what your duties are and what your powers are?

A. Okay, let me begin at the highest and say that in so far as the disciplinary matters are concerned, excluding, naturally, the federal courts, specifically Judge Copple, I am the supreme court in this particular type of proceeding.

Q. Mr. Justice -- Mr. Chief Justice, I should say --

A. I think the rules set forth specifically what the duties I have in so far as attempting to serve or serve as an appeals officer.

Primarily, my function is to review the record, the entire record, as you probably well-know, we have not only tape recording but also certain procedural steps that must be followed in order to render a particular proceedings as being one that accomplishes our task of administering due process.

I'm, in other words, to make sure that this procedural step, the procedural steps that have been followed from one to 20 or whatever they might be.

While at the same time, I am to look and determine whether or not the committee that did administer what you might call due process, whether or not the individual had a fair hearing, you know.

In other words, the feelings that the inmate is to

receive and to assure that he receives and as you probably know by now, in so far as the Copple decision is concerned, the inmate, and don't tell the inmates this, can appeal on the basis of -- of a denial of due process, in fact, a blanket denial of due process can be the basis of their appeal.

At that time, I'm obligated as would be the supreme court, to look into the matter. So, I look into it to determine whether or not the decision is in accord with the mandate set out in the Copple decision.

- Q Just for the record, you are an attorney, is that not correct?
- A. Yes, not in the State of Arizona, however, and unfortunately, and all those other mighty fine things.
  - Q But you are licensed in at least one other state?
  - A. Yes, the State of Texas.
- Q. Could you just describe, then, what your position is within either the department of corrections or the Arizona State Prison, if anybody supervises your work as such? Because if you're the supreme authority, what do they supervise, who do you work for and --
- A. Well, I wasn't elected, I wasn't appointed, I was selected. My immediate supervisor, naturally being the structure of the department of corrections, would be Jack Schuster. And also John Moran. I answer to them primarily,

8

10

from the standpoint of being a fescient (Phonetic) person, if that's a proper expression, they don't influence my decisions at all, in fact, if anyone influences my decision, it could be perhaps Joe Duke and that is when I go over to attempt to resolve some quasi-legal-quasi-hearing type of situation, oftentimes I consult Joe Duke. But in so far as supervising is concerned, you might say Mr. Moran and Mr. Schuster.

Q But if your decision is not reviewed by them, is it?

A. I beg your pardon?

Q. Are your decisions reviewed by them?

A. Yes, it is, it's reviewed from, it's reviewed by everyone from the inmate on up to the warden to Mr. Moran.

Q I mean, but you are the supreme court, they don't get to overrule it?

A. Oh, no, if you are talking about judicial review or something of that nature, no. Review can be confusing.

They look at my work presumably to make sure that I'm doing my work. And my work is to address myself to the appeals that I receive, and I don't believe that I could: operate if I had to go beyond the Copple decision to seek some sort of an opinion other than naturally talking with my colleagues. Cleon Duke.

Q. How long have you been in this very secure position?

A Approximately four months and some days, I don't

know what, October the 25th or whatever it was, but it's right around that time.

- Q. In other words, if an inmate was to appeal your decision he would have to go into federal court, under 1983, probably, or some other section?
- A. According to the Copple order that would be the only way that he would have redress in so far as my decision is concerned.

MR. LEVIS: I've no other questions of this witness.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Does anybody else on the Committee have a question of Mr. Harris?

Q (By Rep. Guerrero.) I just had one question, Madame

You mentioned that on January 25th there'd been \$1,600.00 expended for legal material?

A. Yes, but mind you, Representative Guerra - I'm not good with rolling my R's, Guerrero, that's true, \$1,600.00 was spent.

I could have spent it back in '73 when I was first hired on but at that time I had to naturally, do a good job to see what was needed in so far as legal materials and how best to spend \$1,600.00, bearing in mind that I was promised some \$25,000.00, which I apparently will be getting to spend for legal materials.

Q But you did expend \$1,600.00 this year, January 25th,

1 for legal material? 2 Yes. Α. 3 Have you received that material, now, at this point? 4 Yes, unfortunately we didn't receive two volumes, 5 as I recall, volume 9 of Arizona AppealsmoreArizona Ana 6 Reports, I'm not sure which one it was, but I did go by 7 and check with the people at ASP in the law library and 8 also with the business section and I found that we were 9 missing two volumes from the \$1,600.00 purchase order. 10 Well, I understood, Mr. Harris, that there was a Q. 11 deficiency in the library of volume 9 and volume 109, 12 were these purchased? 13 Volume 9? 14 Nine. 15 And volume --16 I believe there was a mention of 109 and volume 9? 17 Yes, that would probably be -- well, I don't know 18 which, I know it has something to do with Arizona Reports or 19 Arizona Appeal Reports, it's either volume 9 and 109 or 20 volume 9 and 109 or something else, I don't know, but I 21 think it's just a couple volumes. 22 Did you state, Mr. Harris, that these were purchased? Q. 23 Yes, they were purchased. 24 And there's still a deficiency in the library of 25 these two volumes?

They're -- the two volumes are missing from the 1 A. 2 \$1,600.00 purchase. 3 Well, they didn't arrive with the consignment? 4 I beg your pardon? 5 Oh, he did not arrive with the consignment or he 6 didn't arrive with the full purchase as we ordered it. 7 Q. Has he been notified that these volumes were already 8 paid for and didn't arrive with the shipment? 9 Yes, we have gone on record, that is the librarian, A. 10 Mr. Au, notified Mr. Gomes that these materials were short 11 in so far as this shipment is concerned, and naturally, 12 you know, in the --13 Mr. Harris, could you please state to me who these 14 volumes were purchased from or this legal material was 15 purchased from and their address? 16 A. These materials were purchased from the West 17 Publishing Company, apparently Western representative, Mr. 18 Art Grucky, that's G-r-u-c-k-y, at -- he lives in Tempe, 19 Arizona. 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Guerrero, the Chair will note 21 that this is probably a matter that should be taken up 22 between Mr. Harris and the publishing company and I doubt 23 that it's one that we should have to involves ourselves in. 24 The Chair would also note to members of the Committee,

that if they wish to ask more than one question, I wish they

25

would please so note when they begin questioning.

REP. GUERRERO: Madame Chairman, I think we're discussing the library and the effort to update the library and if these volumes were ordered and in fact are not here I just wondered what happened to them. If they were, if they arrived with the consignment that's all I was stating on the subject.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I think Mr. Harris has replied that they did not arrive and they don't know whether they arrived or not.

A. But we'll be on West's back because we gave them such a large purchase order they promised to get them down here, so if they're going to do business with us they've got to do it better than this.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr. Harris.

Are there any other questions of Mr. Harris?

- Q (By Ms. Palmquist.) Mr. Harris, was there an appeals officer prior to your coming or is this a recent innovation of the new administration?
- A. Not as a result of the administration, but as a result of the Copple order. The Copple decision.

I believe that when it became final in the order itself, in fact, I know it was stipulated, and as a result rather than Mr. Moran being in contempt of court he had to, and probably wanted to comply with the order, he hired B.J.

Harris, I guess.

Q. Was there no appeals officer prior to this time?

A. I don't know, I wasn't that concerned with the State of Arizona and their appeals thing, as I understand it there was some sort of a, I guess you might say a post-conviction remedy and it was probably with the warden, this is just speculation and not saying with Warden Cardwell, but Warden Eyman, perhaps, or somebody, I don't know, but to my knowledge that's basically what happened.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. McCullah, you had a question?

Q (By Ms. McCullah.) Mr. Harris, in ordering the

legal material, do you give some recognition to the requests from the inmates if they want some specific sort of,

you know, copies of books or any kind of subscription in

the legal -- that may help them in their work?

A. Well, let me answer that question by saying this:
Gilmore V Lynch and Johnson V Avery and a couple other
cases were companion cases or they came about about the
same time. Some oddball reason Arizona wants to stay abreast
with California and the other states and oftentimes our laws
are adopted or our decisions are coming from California.

We are constantly looking at California and other great states like the State of Texas, to see what the law should be.

Gilmore V Lynch came down, we felt that maybe we should

1 be attempting to do something about our law library, in fact, 2 long before the word came down that maybe the courts might 3 be interested in entertaining a suit we were talking about 4 and I quess that was about five months ago, maybe before, 5 getting an adequate law library, something that we recognize 6 that we needed at that point in time. 7 And let me just say this much, I'm a lover of the 8 law and if they put me on that particular project, that's one 9 that I'll work with because there's nothing to me like a 10 beautiful law library or something of that nature. 11 fascinating. 12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. McCullah, do you have any other 13 questions? 14 MS. McCULLAH: No. 15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Are there any other questions of 16 Mr. Chief Justice Harris? 17 REP. GUERRERO: Well, I don't know if I want to ask 18 any more. I might be called to order. 19 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. 20 The Chair would like to take note at this time and 21 make the latest announcement. 22 Major Martinez and Sergeant Padilla, I hope you 23

don't take what I'm going to say next personally, because

24

25

I know the work that you! to doing, it's very important.

However, I think, it!s rather important also, that we

BOULEY. SCHLESINGER, PROFITT AND DICURTI
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS

recess at 8:00 o'clock this evening so we're going to make the questioning of both of you very short.

We will recess for one hour, when we come back we will take the academic and vocational education panel for approximately 40 minutes. Since Mr. Harris has already testified to the library, we will not take that portion on the second panel this evening, we will deal just in recreation and commissary for approximately 30 minutes.

At which time we will then call the women from the women's prison in for approximately 10 minutes. We will then have closing statements by both Warden Cardwell and Mr. Moran.

We would ask that, if possible, you limit your remarks to ten minutes each -- five minutes? Fine.

After that we will have the model baseline criticism for ten minutes.

Now, we have asked the gentlemen who have volunteered to participate in the model baseline criticism if they would limit themselves to ten minutes and submit anything in writing that they would wish to the Commission.

With those ground rules, ground rules at least number 6, I will turn it over to Mr. Levis.

MR. MUSKRAT: Madame Chairman, may I add one thing, if you gentlemen feel that there's some information that you want the Committee to have that you don't have time to say,

submit it to us in writing within 30 days and it will be included.

Q (By Mr. Levis.) Sergeant Padilla, I understand you're training officer and I just wondered if you could briefly tell us what the training standards are at the Arizona State Prison and if it contains any provisions for training on the disciplinary procedures?

HERBERT PADILLA

A. (By Mr. Padilla.) Well, I didn't bring a schedule of the training.

Q I just wondered if you could outline it briefly?

A. Okay.

structions for the new employees, correctional officers, we have various different people from different departments

 within the department of corrections come in and give lectures

Very briefly, we have three weeks of classroom in-

on different subjects, I'll just name a few for examples.

We have Mr. B.J. Harris come in and explain the Copple

Prison, and how to enforce them. We also have another

decisions and rules, we have Major Martinez who'll come up

and explain the rules and regulations of the Arizona State

lieutenant from the prison that will come up and assist him on

this. And we have psychologists, if I may name him, Mr. Bill Rhode, that comes up and gives a lecture and all of these are not limited to just one hour, some classes are like, for instance, Mr. Rhode's class is as much as four or five hours long.

Other classes are two hours, three hours, some are onehour classes, such as mail room procedures and inmate clothing and supplies.

We have the department of public safety come out and instruct them on other portions of the training class. It totals out to about three weeks.

- Q I understand this training program began September, is that correct? This in-depth training program?
  - A. Right around September.
- Q. And before that was it a cursory training program, or what was it?
  - A. Pardon?
- Q Before September, what kind of training program was there or was there a training program?
- A. There was a training program, it wasn't as extensive as it is now and hopefully to be more extensive later.
- Q. Now, I also understand that you have trained and correct me if I'm wrong, I'll just list a few in firearms, batons, chemical agents, restraint, inmates and human relations, is that correct?

1	A. Yes, that's correct.
2.	Q. Do you have any training on minority groups, minority
3	group cultures?
4	A. What do you mean by minority group cultures?
-5	Q. We've had testimony today and yesterday about the
6	differences in ethnic cultures and I just wondered if the
7	staff is trained in relating to different ethnic groups,
8	specific training in that?
9	A. Not any specific training in different ethnic groups
10	other than, you know, teaching the new officers to treat
11	everybody fairly, not pick on any one particular ethnic
12	group or anything like that.
13	Q. But I mean do you have any lectures by members of
14	different ethnic groups as far as human relation training?
15	A. Well, the classes that we have on basic human re-
16	lations kind of envelops, all the ethnic groups.
17	MR. LEVIS: I have no further questions of this
18	witness.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: Does anyone have any questions of
20	Sergeant Padilla?
21	If not, Mr. Levis?
22	Q .(By Mr. Levis.) Major Martinez, I wondered if you
23	could just give us an idea of what your job assignment is
24	at the Arizona State Prison?
25	

1 JOE MARTINEZ 2 3 (By Mr. Martinez.) I'm in charge of security. 4 Do the correctional service officers report to you 5 or --6 Through the lieutenants and captains, yes. A. 7 Are you, as the major, as I understand, is one of the Q. 8 top correctional service officers? 9 Yes, sir. A. 10 What are there, two majors? 11 There are. 12 And they supervise all the officers through the 13 lieutenants, you said? 14 And just for a point of information, are there any 15 rules or regulations prohibiting corporal punishment or 16 is that covered by the disciplinary procedures that have 17 been testified to? 18 Well, it's in our training, we don't, we don't use it. 19 Q. You don't use corporal punishment? 20 No. 21 Q. Do you use any force at all in restraining inmates 22 at any time? 23 If we have to, if it's necessary, we use the force 24 that's required to put a man in a cell or if something's 25 wrong we have to do it, we do what's necessary.

1	Q. Do you only use the force necessary, then?
2	A. Right.
3	Q. This is the only force that you are allowed to use?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Based on your experience, what is the condition of
6	inmate-staff relationships, is it one of fear, tension or
7.	mutual respect?
8	A. I don't I don't find fear in there, it's
9	Q. Is there any problem with immates who do not speak
10	English in relating to correctional officers?
11	A. Not with me, I speak both.
12	Q. How many officers are there?
13	A. We have roughly 270 right now.
14	Q. 'How many officers are bilingual?
15	A. I don't know.
16	Q. Is it five, ten, 15?
17	A. No, more likely a 100, probably.
18	Q. Approximately 100 bilingual?
19	A. I would estimate that.
20	Q You're talking Spanish-English, is that correct?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. So, inmates who do speak Spanish are able to converse
23	with other inmates in Spanish and with the officers, even
24	if they do speak English?
25	A. Right.
1	•

.	
1	Q Based on your experience, are inmates in isolation
2	visited by doctors on a regular basis or by CMA's?
. 3	A. They're visited by CMA's and if they want to refer
4	them to a doctor, they take them to the hospital.
5	Q. How often are they visited?
6	A. Every day.
7	Q. And how often do inmates in isolation receive visiting
8	exercise and showers?
9	A. Visiting they don't we don't hold them from visits.
10	Q. Excuse me?
11	A. We don't hold them from visits, they can go out when
12	somebody comes up.
13	Showers and exercise, three times a week.
14	Q. Except when the security of the institution is
15	threatened, is that the exception?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Now, we heard testimony previously that inmates are
18	not provided with showers and/or exercise three times a
19	week, is this an occurrence that happens frequently or only
20	once in a while?
21	A. No, there's records kept and we always have good
22	weather, there's always somebody out exercising.
23	Q There are records kept when there are not
24	A. If, for some reason, they don't go out because it's
25	raining or something, we'll either exercise them inside and

1	make a record of it.
2	Q. So these records are on file with the state prison?
3	A. Right.
4	Q. So we could get a copy of these?
5	A. Right. They're kept on the cell blocks.
6	MR. LEVIS: I have no further questions of this
7	witness.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Does anyone on the Committee have
9	any further questions of Major Martinez?
10	If not, we'll recess until 9:00 o'clock.
11	
12	(Recessed from 8:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m.)
13 14	
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: We will reconvene the Commission
16	on Civil Rights hearing.
17	The Chair would call Mr. RaymonddChatman and Mr.
18	Ralph Miranda to the stand, please?
19	Mr. Chatman, and Mr. Miranda, would you state your
20	names, address and occupation for the record?
21	MR. CHATMAN: My name is Ray Chatman, my address is
22	Post Office Box 30,357 Arizona State Prison, Florence,
23	Arizona.
24	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.
25	Mr. Miranda?
	MR. MIRANDA: My name is Chico Miranda, I'm photographe

1 at the Arizona State Prison. 2 Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: 3 Mr. Williams? 4 MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chatman, can you tell us how long 5 you've been at the Arizona Prison? 6 7 8 RAY CHATMAN 9 10 A. (By Mr. Chatman.) I've been here three years, about 11 a month, 15 days. 12 (By Mr. Williams.) Can you tell me roughly the vo-13 cational training opportunities that are available at the 14 prison? 15 Madame Chairman, I'm going to have REP. GUERRERO: 16 to leave the room. 17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Please continue, Mr. Chatman. 18 A. Would you repeat the question? 19 (By Mr. Williams.) Yes, I want to outline the vo-Q. 20 cational rehabilitation programs available at the prison? 21 Well, they have a welding, upholstery, body and A. 22 fender auto shop in the OT area. 23 Can anybody participate in these vocational programs? Q. 24 Well, I think, I think that it regulates the way you 25 have to have a year or so to do before release, so -- that's

23.24.

how it goes, I think you have to have a year before you're released from prison, you know, in order to get into these classes.

Do you know of any of the inmates of the prison
 who meet the qualifications that have not been able to get
 into the programs?

A. Well, there have been -- I've talked to some that have been -- that have put in, applied for enrollment in these particular trades, but were not accepted, they didn't state the reason why they wasn't accepted. I don't think that they were told.

Q. Do you think, being a minority in the prison has any effect on getting into the vocational programs?

A. Well, I couldn't really say, there's not many Blacks in these vocational trades unless they observe your welding, which is one of the trades that?most Blacks are interested in, let's see, in '72 there were two, let's see -- no, there were -- they had a -- they had evening classes and a -- and morning session.

I think there were two, there were two in the morning session and two in the evening session. This year I've only seen two.

Q You mentioned Black, how about the Mexican American or the American, Native American?

A. Well, I didn't, I didn't really pay attention to other

specific ethnic groups. 1 2 To your knowledge, do you need a GED to get into the vocational training programs? 3 No, I don't think you do. 4 A. How important do you feel that testing is that's 5 done prior to going into these programs, do you think the 6 7 testing is really relevant to get into the programs? 8 Would you repeat that again? A. 9 The testing that's required to get some of the vo-10 cational programs, is this testing really relevant? 11 it really make any difference as to the person's quali-12 fications to get into the program? 13 Well, I don't know anything about any testing to enroll, 14 to be enrolled in the class, only that, like I say you have 15 to be, you need to be a year before won're being released or even, you know, going up to parole. 16 17 a Have you applied to any of these programs? 18 A. No, not any -- not in the vocational. I've taken 19 the test to, in IBM. 20 What kind of IBM program is available? Q. 21 I think it's computer programming, data processing. 22 Is that taught by the inmates here? Q. 23 Would you repeat that again? \* \* . 24 Q. Is that program taught by the inmates at the prison 25 or by the outside?

£ 1 I don't know who do the teaching, I think some of A. 2 the inmates do and I think they have a representative from 3 the outside, also. 4 MR. WILLIAMS: That's all the questions I have for 5 Mr. Chatman. 6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Guerrero, do you have some 7 questions for Mr. Chatman? 8 REP. GUERRERO: Yes, I think, Madame Chairman, that 9 the question was asked of Mr. Chatman that if he met the 10 qualifications of participating in these programs and he 11 stated that yes, or -- did he state yes? 12 Did you state that you did? 13 What I'm trying to get at, what are the qualifications 14 that you need to participate in these vocational training 15 programs? 16 I don't know as -- know any of the qualifications, . 17 only thing that I've ever read in the newspaper that they 18 have inside the walls there is that you have to have a year 19 before going home or you are attending, going to a parole 20 meeting every six months. 21 (By Rep. Guerrero.) Mr. Chatman, how long have you 22 been in this institution? 23 A. Three years, one month, 15 days. 24 Q. How much more time do you have to do?

I was sentenced to a 40 to life.

25

A.

Four years? 1 Q. No, I said 40 to life, so'I wouldn't know ! Forty to life. 3 Q. You've been here three years, have you ever seen 4 5 more than -- how many persons on each vocational training class, about 12? 6 7 I would say about anywhere from 18 to 20. Eighteen to 20 in each class? 8 Yes. 9 And you have two classes, one in the morning and one 10 in the evening? 11 I think in welding now they only have from, in the 12 13 morning to -- and it turns out around about 3:00, 3:30. 14 Q. Two classes? 15 No, one. One class? 16 Yes. 17 18 And how many did you say was in the class, 18? Q. 19 Anywhere from 18 to 20. 20 And you saw only two Blacks in this class? 21 A. Yes. 22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, Mr. Chatman, are you 23 referring specifically to the welding class when you're 24 speaking of this one class?

25

A.

Yes, to the welding.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: How many other classes did you 2 say are offered at the prison? 3 There's the computer program, data processing, 4 upholstery, auto-mechanics and body and fender. 5 THE CHAIRPERSON: LaDo you know the racial distribution 6 inany of those classes? 7 A. No. 8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Guerrero, do you have other 9 questions? 10 Q. (By Rep. Guerrero.) 11 Is that all the vocational education classes you 12 have, are those six? Do you have drafting? 13 Yes, sir, and drafting. A. 14 That's six, right? 15 A. Right. 16 (By Mr. Williams.) Mr. Miranda, do you know of any Q. 17 qualifications or special qualifications needed to get into 18 vocational training aside from the length of stay in the 19 institution? 20 21 22 RALPH MIRANDA J 1 & 23 24 Yes, there's various qualifica-(By Mr. Miranda.) 25 tions you have to meet to get into the trades. You have to

pass certain aptitude tests, certain wording tests, pathology tests, the regular California achievement test, you have to test out a certain grade level and then, from there, you have to have interviews and so forth with certain personnel, you have to go through certain screening committees, you have to go right on down the line before you actually get your foot inside the vocational trade.

Q. Is there any provision made for inmates who don't speak English or read English, to take these tests?

A. In previous administration or at other times there wasn't, as of late there has been, in this instance, the test was made and simple words was made simple, and it wasn't — to put it plainer, the test that they had was standard Anglo test that Chicano or any other ethnic group had to test out at their level or else they couldn't qualify for the vocational training.

And it wasn't that the actual test was hard, it was that they were given the same amount of time to read a certain page as an Anglo was given, and they were having trouble finishing in the same time, although they changed the test, so forth.

And now they've hade a lot of the ethnic groups retested and they've been able to get back into vocational trades because they've tested out higher than they originally did.

 Q Do you have any idea of the racial breakdown of the vocational programs offered?

A. Yes, I do. There is five or six vocational trades being offered, I don't consider IBM or data processing one of them because you have to be excellent as far as I'm concerned, as far as education-wise, all the way.

Very few out of a 100 qualify for something like that. So, I don't even consider that a trade, really.

The other trades, like body and fender and auto mechanics, even if you pass the test, even if you qualify, your custody has to be it because it's outside the walls, so those don't really qualify for most of the population. inside the prison.

The only ones that really qualify are drafting, welding and upholstery, and of those three, again you have problems with Chicano in drafting, because all the texts are Anglicized and so forth again. The only really ones that you get into are upholstery and welding and as of late they're eliminating upholstery so that only leaves a welder.

The racial breakdown about a year ago was, I think, enrollment was about 130, 140, and of that there was about, I'd say ten Mexicans or Chicanos, Blacks I'd say about 25 and the rest were Anglo.

Q Is there any effort made in the facility to apply the

5 4

training that's obtained in the programs on a practical basis?

- A. I'm not sure I understood that.
- Q In other words, if you take a welding shop, are you allowed to do any welding of the vehicles or any particular maintenance function that you've learned as a way of life, or as a job, in the prison facility?
- A. Let me put it this way, up till now, no. Usually you can go take a trade, it can be welding it can be upholstery, and upon its completion you might wind up working in a kitchen or on a gang or whatever, they usually don't follow up unless, like Chatman was saying, that one of the qualifications is that upon termination of the trade that you go into, you have to be at least six months short to appear before the parole board or be considered for parole.

So, in a sense, if you are paroled, there, the vocational ETS administrator and so forth, sometimes assist you in getting employment outside or financing, or whatever.

- Q For the inmates who would qualify for the time, in other words, they're coming out within a year, do the counselors provide any aid to the immates, as far as vocational or academic education?
- A. Yes, the counselors that are affiliated with vocational rehab. do, not the counselors of the regular institution, no.

1	Q. Is there any remedial work, in English or reading,	
2	available in the institution, available to the inmates?	
3	A. You mean in regular education courses?	
4	Q Yes. To teach people who can't read to read and	
5	this kind of thing?	
6	A. Yes, there is, but it's mostly handled through their	
7	own, what I mean is convict aides and teachers and so forth.	
9	They do have some assistance from teachers and so forth,	
10	but it's, I think it's one or two teachers and the rest	
11	are all convict assistants or inmate assistants, whatever	
12	you want to call them.	
13	Q. Do you know whether any of the trades or programs	
14	are being expanded to handle more of the inmates?	
15	A. If they could be, or if they are?	
16	0 Are they being presently expanded?	
17	A. They're presently being expanded but at another area	
18	like at Fort Grant or something else.	
19	At the institution, no, they're not, and the facility	
20	they have now don't accomodate the people that they have in	
21	them now.	
22	Q I'd like to address this to both of you, do you feel	
that more of these programs would be beneficial to the		
24	inmates?	
25	A. (By Mr. Chatman.) Are you speaking of a specific type	
	of program or just additional subjects?	

More, additional, being able to get into these
programs, whether or not being able to get into these programs would be of benefit to you in the prison facility
and also when you get out?

A. Would you repeat that again?

Q If there was access to the vocational programs, if they were available to a larger number of inmates, would this be beneficial to the prisoners?

A I think, I think it would, if also there were, you know — there are not really any — the vocational trades are not really incentive to prisoners here because like I say, upon completion of the trade it's not, you're not definite, you know, you're not sure if you're going to be released or not. There's no criteria for a person to be released, I mean if he completes a trade, there's no guarantee that he would be released, you know.

But there are a number of people who speak of applying for these trades, I don't know if they ever apply, but like I say, it here can accomodate, can't accomodate the number of people who apply for these trades, but I think it should be more, you know.

A (By Mr. Miranda.) I'd like to add a little bit too, in answer to your question, yes, I think the institution would benefit by expanding them or having more trades, but at the same time, I don't think that the inmates would benefit from

it because nobody, in my personal opinion, nobody recognizes this, the degree that you get, the GED degree that you get in reference to being paroled. I don't think the parole board places a value, the interest or concern on any of these things.

They use it as a, in my opinion, they use the vocational trades as a means of calling you for denying you,
you come up there with a trade and they laugh at it because
they say, so what. And you come up there without a trade
and they deny you for not having it, so really, the inmate
isn't benefiting from the trade itself, until he actually
gets outside.

So, he could take four or five trades while he's in and really not benefit from it because he's not allowed to practice it because the parole board doesn't parole him after completion.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Guerrero, do you have additional questions?

Q (By Rep. Guerrero.) Yes.

g

Mr. Miranda, do you know how many persons attend each one of these different trades or vocational, or trades, get away from the trade, the vocational education classes?

A. Per exact number I'm not sure, but it is in the vicinity of what Chatmantsays sait simithin 18 and 20. I've

seen it up to 25 and 30, sometimes.

Q. Do you notice a disparity or maybe a difference, maybe some classes will have maybe two Blacks, one Chicano, maybe another class will have maybe three Blacks and four Chicanos? Is this pretty well, is it established, I mean the racial, you know, what I mean, the numbers of the ethnic minorities in each class or are they pretty much the same, to your knowledge?

A. No, they're not. And it's not anyone's fault except, the people that enroll them, because as I said, as a rule, in my opinion, again, Mexicans have a habit of being good with their hands so they get into something like body and fender, welding or auto mechanics, where the Anglo or Black have a good patience and so forth, so they get into drafting or something of that sense, that requires reading and book-type learning.

- Q That's your opinion, right?
- A. That's my opinion, yes.
- Q. Do you know if there's been maybe people of ethnic minority who have applied maybe for drafting, for welding and have been refused or turned down?
- A. Yes, I definitely do, they have been refused and turned down.
- Q. That have met the requirements or qualifications?
- A. Yes, they have. I'm referring to now, before this

semester. I haven't kept up on this semester's statistics or anything like that, but before this semester, I would venture to say everything, they have been qualified and so forth and they have been turned down.

- Q. How long have you been here in the institution?
- A. Approximately two years.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Do you have any other questions, Mr. Guerrero?

Q. Yes.

Mr. Miranda, or -- have you, Mr. Chatman, have either of you applied for, and qualified, I mean have you met the requirements for the qualifications for any one of these and been refused?

A. (By Mr. Chatman.) Well, I -- I've spoken with my counselor about enrolling in drafting, you know, which I was told that I didn't meet the requirements. I had, you know, that is that I have an excessive amount of time, but then I've also seen people, you know, who also had an excessive amount of time who are enrolled, presently enrolled in drafting, you know, so --

- Q Did you ask him about that?
- A. No, I didn't pursue that, you know, I didn't pursue the issue because I was -- I think that he would have told me, well, he don't know anything about that, you know.

REP. GUERRERO: I have some questions I'd like to

1 direct later on. 2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. 3 Mr. Williams, do you have additional questions? 4 MR. WILLIAMS: No, that's all. 5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Do any of the other Committee 6 members have questions of either Mr. Chatman or Mr. Miranda? 7 If not, we'd like to thank you very much for your 8 testimony here this evening. 9 Thank you for appearing. 10 The Chair would now call Mr. John Wright, Mr. Duane 11 Vilduand Mr. Richard Di Trump. 12 Gentlemen, if you would kindly state your name, 13 address and occupation for the record, please? 14 MR. WRIGHT: My name is John Wright, and I'm the 15 Administrator of the Office of Employment, Education and 16 Staff Development for the Arizona Department of Corrections, 17 at 1601 West Jefferson. 18 My name's Duane Vild, I'm the Director of MR. VILD: 19 Education, Arizona State Prison, for Central Arizona College, 20 located between Coolidge and Casa Grande, one of 14 community 21 colleges in Arizona. 22 MR. TRUMP: My name is Richard Trump, I'm with the 23 Rehabilitation Services Bureau, Department of Economic 24 Security. 25 I'd like to apologize for Mr. Cummer (Phonetic) not

1 being here but he was in an unfortunate motorcycle accident 2 this morning and is currently hospitalized. 3 The address, 1535 West Jefferson, Phoenix. 4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. 5 Mr. Williams. 6 (By Mr. Williams.) Mr. McLaughlin --0. 7 THE CHAIRPERSON: He's not here. 8 Mr. Wright, would you outline Mr. McLaughlin's over-O. 9 all responsibility, I'm thinking more of the academic re-10 sponsibilities at the prison? 11 12 13 JOHN WRIGHT 14 15 (By Mr. Wright.) Mr. Williams, do you want me to A. 16 outline my responsibilities or Mr. McLaughlin's? 17 Yours, and then what academic programs are offered 18 by the prison? 19 All right. 20 My responsibility is out of the central office as a, 21 I guess it could best be characterized as a coordinator of 22 educational programs for the department, which would in-23 clude both juvenile and adult. 24 And Mr. McLaughlin's responsibilities are those re-25 lated exclusively to the Arizona State Prison, and his title is Correctional 'Programs Educational Administrator.

In that capacity, he serves as a coordinator of all the educational programs, both academic and vocational, and it is -- it determines the chain of command, Mr. Vild reports through him to the administration of the Arizona Department of Corrections.

- Q Could you -- do you want to outline the academic programs that are available, are you knowledgeable on that?
- A. Yes, I'm knowledgeable, what I'd like to do is kind of outline the entire program, and I would ask you to refer to page 100 in your materials that were provided by the Arizona Department of Corrections, the combination of materials.

There are three basic programs offered by the Arizona Department of Corrections at Florence, chief among them would have to be considered the vocational program, and I'll allow Mr. Vild to address himself to that because he's the most familiar with that.

That's supported by a four-party agreement involving the department of economic security, the department of education, the Arizona Department of Corrections and Central Arizona College. It is coordinated under contract with Central Arizona College.

In addition to that there is an adult education program, basic education program for those people that test out

below the sixth grade level in their California treatment tests when they're in the diagnostic and classification center.

In addition to that, there is a GED preparation class, and finally, there is a college program available to those people that are available at night for classes.

Now, what I'd like to do before moving too far down the line, is relate to you, as it's related in the materials that are written responses to your inquiries, is that the Arizona Department of Corrections at this time, has a bill in the Arizona Legislature which is already past the senate on a 29 to 1 vote, so we feel like we have a very good chance, setting a school district —

## 0. Is that Senate Bill 1033?

A. It was Senate Bill 1033, it's Senate Bill 1039 now, which was in the -- in the special session of the legislature and was not dealt with there. And now it's in the regular session of the legislature and it's been approved for the senate, so that it's in the house at this point.

And what I'd hasten to point out is that, and I'm going to take a little time, if you'll allow me, but I think it's important.

Over several years, the department of corrections has developed a number of educational programs. Within the department last year there was a million, four hundred

thirty-nine thousand dollars spent on education. And those dollars came from eight sources of funds, including the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, vocational rehabilitation, vocational education, the department of corrections own budget, from the library extension services and from the two community colleges that are involved with the Arizona Department of Corrections, that's Eastern Arizona and Central Arizona.

In addition to that, we spent some law enforcement assistance education fund in the department.

Now, the concept that we're working on is the development of a system of education. To date, the educational programs within the department have been largely fragmented and represented very little in the way of planning in that they had grown up out of an availability basis and they have grown up, as the inmates appropriately described, under certain eligibility requirements.

The education bill that has passed the senate, would relieve us of that kind of concern. And would open up the possibility of both academic and vocational education to any inmate who is interested in it.

We're constrained by federal bureaucrats who have determined that an inmate within 18 months, order not enter an education or vocational program until he's within 18 months and as a consequence, is a potential taxpayer.

So that early on, the department of corrections realized that we need to get diversified and more comprehensive vocational programs and we're dealing with that particular problem through the educational bill.

Our basic concept is the development of at least three proficiencies within the educational district. We're hoping, and this doesn't refer to every inmate within the population because as you've seen in your hearings, there are a number of people who have already achieved considerable academic development, in addition to that they have considerable verbal fluency.

So that we are aiming at the widest possible base within the client population. We want to achieve a functional literacy for every inmate who's capable of it in both reading and math. We're aiming at the development of social living courses, those that would fall into the category of life skills, to provide something that would hopefully aid some inmates in the interactional processes.

And finally, and what may be the most important, is a broad vocational program that will allow an inmate or a client, upon release, to engage in the pursuit of his own livelihood.

Now, the facts are that the inmates in the Arizona

Department of Corrections enjoy TO levels comparable to that of the public, so that we're not dealing with a client

12<sup>-</sup> 

 population that is not prepared for education, but in addition to that, the facts are that only 30% of those people that are in this client population have either a high school diploma or a GED. So that while they re prepared to perform academically, they haven't had the opportunity or they haven't taken the opportunity. And this is the design of the educational district. There are a lot of inmates who, to date, I think have not had the opportunity to be informed adequately or properly about the available education programs and Mr. Vild, and the person who co-ordinates the academic program at the Arizona State Prison, are developing an educational orientation program that will alert them to the availability of all the educational programs within the department of corrections.

That would run all the way from the Arizona State

Prison and Safford and Fort Grant Conservation Center.

And our basic concept behind the educational program real lates not only to the person while he's in confinement, but in addition, would prepare him if he has not completed his education or has not completed either his academic or vocational training to continue that training upon release from the institution.

So that our concept is a continuity of programs so that an individual who might be currently engaged in vocational training at the institution, will not have to remain

within the institution in order to get that vocational training but he would have an open-ended, an open exit program.

Hopefully, the parole board would recognize this, if he's gone four months in program within the department of corrections and the parole board otherwise feels that he's prepared for the free world, then he would be able to undertake a vocational program that would be a continuity of the program that he's involved in within the institution.

And we have undertaken, innerthe course of things, to develop with the parole board, on a preliminary basis, their recognition of these, not only the educational needs but the availability of educational programs and we want to convince them of the integrity and the worth of the educational programs.

It was of concern to them that the people who were entering the educational programs were entering it as an effort to impress the parole board, and inmy way of looking at it, as I said to the parole board, that's adequate as far as I'm concerned.

Because if, in the course of an attempt to impress the parole board, they get into an educational program, they're obviously going to benefit by it, so that's the direction which we're moving.

I feel obliged to give you some statistics about the

programs. And I don't want to contradict, but I'm going to have to contradict the previous clinets' perception of what the training programs are.

There are only 74 educational or vocational training slots within the Arizona State Prison. In addition to that there are another 12 at the women's division.

Excuse me, in each one of these slots, these 74 slots, they're divided into a total of eight programs, but there can only be 12 allotted to each one of these slots.

Now, we've gone as high as 14 in drafting, but the generally speaking there are only 12 within each one of the these programs. And they're accurate in their estimate that you do have to qualify by having a minimum release date.

That's an imposition of the federal government on the programs. Statistically, I'm going to say that at the Arizona State Prison, there are 366 men involved in educational programs, either the vocational education program, the college academic program, the GED or the adult basic education program, which constitutes 27% of the overall client population.

At Fort Grant, with the exception of 20 people, the entire population is involved in full time educational pursuits. And at Safford, 70 of the men, or roughly 40% are involved in educational pursuits, beyond their working day, so that for an overall population we're roughly in the

vicinity of 35% for the entire inmate population.

Now, what the education bill promises to do is at least offer the opportunity to a minimum of 60 to 65% of the overall inmate population. The legislation that will be passed will not accommodate anyone that has already achieved a high school diploma or a GED, in order for us to qualify for the funds that will result from that, a person has to have an educational level below that of high school.

That's briefly, what we're trying to do in education.

I'd be happy to deal with the area of training after you've dealt with education.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Are there any questions of Mr. Wright?

Mr. Guerrero?

- Q (By Rep. Guerrero.) I'm kind of confused here,
  Madame Chairman, because he stated that he was -- that
  there was not any program or slots, didn't have any more
  than 12 students in it?
  - A. That's correct, sir.
- Q. Well, we had, I think you're referring to previous testimony that --
- A. Yes, sir. Someone said that there were as high as 25 or 30 in some of the vocational classes.
  - Q. I think they said 18 to 20.

THE CHAIRPERSON: At one point it was mentioned 25 to

1 30, also, Mr. Guerrero. 2 I mean the last two we had here, I wrote down what 3 he said, 18 to 20 in one class. 4 Mr. Chatman said 18 to 20, Mr. Miranda said he saw 5 between 25 and 30. 6 THE CHAIRPERSON: I thought he said 18 to 20, that's 7 what I have here and you said there's none that have 18 to 203 8 No, there are no programs, it's under a contract A. 9 that the minimum of 12 persons would be in the program at 10 one time. Excuse me, a maximum or minimum? 11 MR. TRUMP: (1) It would be a miximum of 12. 12 (By Mr. Wright.) A maximum of 12 would, be involved 13 in the vocational. 14 (By Rep. Guerrero.) I thought you meant each dif-15 ferent type of program? 16 A. No, no, sir. 17 Because I notice here where there's 24 in one? 18 Those were two classes in welding. 19 Two classes? Q. 20 And one of those classes has been dropped. 21 only one welding class. 22 Does anybody else here have any statistics other 0. 23 than what you have as far as the breakdown on these classes? 24 I think Mr. Vild has some. 25 MR. TRUMP: I think we both have some.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Did you want some specific break-2 downs, Mr. Guerrero? 3 REP. GUERRERO: Yes, but I had another question. 4 (By Rep. Guerrero.) I was wondering, Mr. Wright, 5 what is -- you're the head of the office of client educa-6 tion, what is client education? 7 Well, client education is the educational endeavor A. 8 of the entire department of corrections, that would in-9 clude all of the educational programs that I've described 10 here, and that are listed on the materials that are pro-11 vided you. 12 In addition to that client education is the -- are 13 the three juvenile institutions that we have, these are 14 devoted to education and in addition to that we're developing 15 a corrections day school within the community. 16 Other things related to this are educational pro-17 grams that might be developed for clients who have left 18 the institution, these all are coordinated through our 19 offices. 20 Could I ask, can you give us the background on 21 your experience with corrections, how long have you been 22 with the department of corrections? 23 I've been on the payroll of the department of correc-24 tions since October 15th. 25 ·Q. What year?

1 Of 1973. A.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I have been working --

Five months?

-- I have been working for the Arizona Department of Corrections since last May. In --

THE CHAIRPERSON: You might explain that, Mr. Wright.

A. All right.

I have recently come out of a two-year fellowship wherein I was an associate in a center for the teaching professions at Northwestern University, and during that program I was funded by the federal government to do anything I wanted in education for two years. And I chose last May to come to the Arizona Department of Corrections because I saw some exciting things that were going to happen here.

Prior to that, for the years since 1960, when I engaged in my first remunerative endeavors, I've been in the field of corrections, first as a probation officer, Cook County in Chicago, subsequent to that with the New York City Youth Board as a street worker. Finally as an assistant director as a -- of a Puerto Rican settlement house and then back to Chicago for four years as a coordinator of a juvenile delinquency program.

And then with the Chicago, Cook County Committee on Criminal Justice, planning their programs for the city and County of Cook.

0. In other words, you have just, your background mainly 1 is in education? 2 My background is mainly in corrections, and criminal 3 A. justice, however, I hold a teaching certificate in the 4 **`**5 State of Illinois and the State if Iowa and I have a superintendent's endorsement as a school superintendent 6 7 from the State of Illinois. Have you worked at other correctional institutions? 8 9 I have never worked inside a correctional institution. I have spent all my time in community or field services, 10 but with probationists and parolees. 11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Williams, do you have additional 12 13 questions? 14 MR. WILLIAMS: For Mr. Trump. 15 (By Mr. Williams.) Can you give me an idea of your 0. 16 responsibilities at the Arizona State Prison? 17 18 19 RICHARD D. TRUMP 20 21 (By Mr. Trump.) My responsibilities are basically 22 statewide, I am entitled, program, Project Specialist, 23 function is to coordinate the correctional program statewide, 24 adult and juvenile in which vocational rehabilitation, is

involved both in the institution and in society.

25

5

18° 

Q. Can you give me an idea what that entails, do you actually take people who have been through the vocational rehabilitation programs and work with them?

A. I don't deal directly with the client, I'm on an administrative level, working with the program development, new programs, on-going programs, contractual arrangements, four-party agreements and the development of programs . to work with the disabled public offender in the institutions and on the streets.

We work with individuals that will be state or federal inmates once they reach the streets, we also work with juveniles.

- Q. Do you have any idea of how successful the vocational programs have been in the prison as far as the inmates who reach the --- people who reach the streets after they've been through the programs?
- A At Arizona State Prison, based on the rate of recidivism of the individuals having gone through vocational training programs as compared to those who did not, the rate of recidivism as of September, 1973, is 14% and I believe that's compared to approximately 45%, approximately, for the general population, am I correct?
- Q. Mr. Vild, do you work directly with the vocational programs in the prison?

DUANE VILD

A. (By Mr. Vild.) Yes, I do.

Q. Can you outline for me the ways an inmate would get into a vocational program?

A. Since the new administration, right?

Q. Yes.

A. He would make an application, request for an application or an application, and that would go to the inmate personnel officer, and from there the inmate personnel officer would screen it as to security, time, detainer, that type of an item, and from there it would then be sent over to the department of economic security, voc. rehab. counselor and then from that person, who would determine eligibility as far as DES, department of economic security eligibility, looking at GAFI (Phonetic) scores, MMPT, Revised Beta scores and then a personal interview if he believes it's necessary.

And then, from that point, then it would be sent back to classification if it's approved, or if it's disapproved, it would be sent back with notification that he did not meet the eligibility requirements in either one of those two steps.

And then the classification board, reclassification board, I should say, instead of classification, would make a

1 determination whether to classify that person, whether it 2 be a she or a he, to the vocational program. And in those 3 cases that's kind of a rubber stamping type of item, they're 4 just putting together all the last minute items, to make 5 sure nobody overlooked a detainer or some type of a sen-6 tence or something of that nature. 7 And then, from that period, then the person would be 8 put on what we call a turnout list or a callout list and 9 then he or she would report to the vocational program. 10 Can you give me a racial breakdown of the people 11 in the program? 12 I'll give you auracial breakdown of the people in 13 the evening program, and Mr. Trump will give you a racial 14 breakdown of people in the vocational program. 15 (By Mr. Trump.) Do you want vocational programs A. 16 first? 17 Q, Yes. 18 All right, these figures are as of January, 1974. 19 At Arizona State Prison, do you want percentage or 20 numbers? 21 . Q. Percentage. 22 A. Percentage, Anglo, 61%; Black, 13%; Mexican American, 23 21%; Indian, 4%; Oriental or other, 1%. 24 (By Rep. Guerrero.) Is this a breakdown of the per-0. 25

sons participating in the vocational program or of the

		,		
1	population?			
2	A. Oh, that was of the persons participating in the			
3	vocational programs. I have that of the general population			
4	as of that date, also, if you'd like to compare it with			
5	that. Which I think is relative.			
6	Q. (By Mr. Williams.) Would you please			
7	Q. (By Rep. Guerrero.) As soon as he gets through,	-		
8	I'll pursue it.			
9	A. Again, on the same order, Anglo, 52%; Black, 20%;			
10	Mexican American, 24%; Indian, 3.5%; other, .5%.			
11	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Guerrero, do you have additional			
12	questions?			
13	Q (By Rep. Guerrero.) Yes, I'd like the numbers myself,			
14	of, say how many of each participated in body fender, auto			
15	mechanics?			
16	A. I don't have that breakdown, Representative Guerrero,			
17	I'm sorry, I wasn't prepared for that, I've the total break-			
18	down in numbers, I don't have it broken down into each class.			
19	Q Would you give it to me again, then?			
20	A. Certainly. The numbers at Arizona State Prison,			
21	Anglo, 48; Black, 9.			
22	Q What percentage?			
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: These are the numbers, Mr. Guerrero?			
24	Q. (By Rep. Guerrero.) Numbers of the persons partici-			
25	pating in the programs? Well, I want to go back to the 60%			
1	· · · · · ·	1		

1 figure you gave me to begin with. 2 Sixty-one percent, I was referring to the Anglos 3 currently enrolled in the vocational training programs. 4 Can you read those again? 5 A. Okay, Anglo, 61%; Black, 13%; Mexican American, 21%; 6 Indian, 4%; Oriental or other, 1%. 7 And now give me the other figures you had, on the 0, 8 48% figure? Can you tell me what that relates to? 9 A. Those were hard numbers. 10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Trump, I believe you also had 11 figures, percentages, however that reflected the total 12 inmate population. 13 Yes, is that what you wish? 14 (By Rep. Guerrero.) 0. Yes. 15 Okay, those percentages were, Anglo, 52%; Black, 20%; 16 Mexican American, 24%; Indian, 3.5%; and Other, would be 17 .5%. 18 Mr. Trump, can you explain to me the disparity in 19 the percentages of the prison population compared to ethnic 20 breakdown as to why the percentages are this, why the 21 disparity is there? 22 And I asked this question last night, I'm sure Mr. 23 Moran might have told you I might ask you this? 24 A. No, but I think I can give an answer, I hope it will 25 be adequate.

1 These figures represent the current classes, we have 2 had vocational training programs down here since 1968, 3 and over a five-year period, the ethnic population very 4 closely approximates the actual ethnic population of the 5 prison, except in one area. 6 Which area? 7 That's the Anglo. Usually, the Anglo population 8 generally runs, and I'm subject to correction on there, 9 usually runs about 56%, I think over a five-year period. 10 Now, that's something, I think it's pretty close. 11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Guerrero, did you have additional 12 questions? .13 (By Rep. Guerrero.) Yes, I do. 14 The figures I have here before me, Arizona State 15 Prison, White, 50.2%. We're speaking about men in these 16 vocational education programs, right? 17 A. No, I was including the women, too. 18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Guerrero, do you have a date on 19 those figures that you are reciting? 20 Yes, I do. REP. GUERRERO: 21 THE CHAIRPERSON: When is it? 22 REP. GUERRERO: July 1, 1973. 23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Trump, you were using June, 1973 24 figures, weren't you? 25 Yes, and it does fluctuate.

```
1
            (By Rep. Guerrero.) What was the total population
      Q.
2
    of the institution when you took those figures?
3
            I would have to guess, I'd say the total population
      A.
4
    was about 1,400.
5
           Around 1,400?
6
           About that.
7
      Q.
           In January?
8
                 Now, that's a guess on my part, I don't have
9
    that figure.
10
       Q.
            If you don't have any accurate figures, I don't want
11
    to quess, because I had information that it was closer to
12
    13-something here.
13
            Well, I don't have the accurate figures of the popu-
14
     lation of the prison, I have accurate figures as to the
15
    vocation students.
16
            How do you base your percentage, what do you base
17
    your percentages on, if you don't have accurate figures on,
18
    in the total population?
19
            When it was computed, they did have the figure, I
20
    don't have the figure with me, sir.
            (By Mr. Wright.) Madame Chairman, I think that we
21
       A.
22
     could safely say that the inmate population would run between
23
     1,350 and 1,400, around January 1st. So that it wouldn't
24
     radically differe from the figure that you have.
25
       Q.
            Very well, if you just give me a horseback guess to
```

Ç.

1	how many White, who's more familiar, I guess you are in		
2	the center, aren't you?		
3	A. (By Mr. Vild.) Yes, I'll try to answer your question.		
4	Q. How many Blacks, how many Mexican Americans, how		
- 5	many Whites?		
6	A. You want to start by program I'll tell you exactly.		
7	Q. In the body fender?		
8	A. If you want body and fender, at the moment we have		
9	ll people in body and fender, of the 11 people in body and		
10	fender, there is one Black and I'm only using Spanish		
11	surname, I do not know if they're Mexican American, Chicano		
12	or any of that thing, I'll use Spanish surname, there's		
13	four people in there with Spanish surname, No, "others",		
14	or Oriental and the rest being Caucasian, Whites.		
15	Q. That would be six.		
16	How about auto mechanics?		
17	A. Auto mechanics presently there are seven in there		
18	in the program, there is three Mexican Americans or Spanish		
19	surname, and four Caucasian, White.		
20	Q How about Blacks?		
21	A. None.		
22	Q. How about drafting?		
23	A. In drafting at the moment there is 12 students, one		
24	two Blacks.		
25	Q Two Blacks?		

1	A. Yes, two Blacks, I was counting, and one Jewish		
2	person but I can't put him in anyplace, let's see.		
3	Q. You have to put him White, don't you?		
4	A. Put him White.		
5	Q. You do everywhere else.		
6	A. I think I did, I didn't ask anybody else there.		
7	There is three Spanish surname in there, and the rest		
8	being White, Caucasian.		
9	Q And you have a total of 12, you have two Blacks,		
10	three Spanish surname and seven Anglo, right?		
11	A. Right.		
12	That program is normally more Anglo than the other		
13	programs. If I can just interrupt you for a moment, it's		
14	that you 11 usually find your auto body program being		
15	very strongly Spanish surnamed, Chicano, Mexican, and		
16	you'll find very balanced program, I think, in all the		
17	others.		
18	Q. How about welding, can you give me another guess on		
19	welding?		
20	A. Yes.		
21	Welding there's I think three Blacks, one Indian,		
22	Native American, and there is three Chicanos, two Chicanos,		
23	I'm sorry, and the rest Caucasian.		
24	Q. That would be seven Caucasians?		
25	A. That's right.		

1			
1	Q.	How about upholstery?	
2	Ä.	Upholstery, one Black, one that I'm not sure of as	
3	being 1	maybe a Native American Indian, if he's not Native	
. 4	America	an Indian he's Spanish surname. Five Spanish sur-	
5	names,	and the rest being Caucasian, White.	
6	Q.	That would be how many Caucasian, White?	
7	A.	I don't know.	
8	Q.	Three, four? How many is in the class, total,	
9	there,	in upholstery?	
10	A.	There's 12 presently in there.	
11	Ω.	One Black, five Spanish surname?	
12	A.	Right, and one Indian.	
13	Q.	One Indian?	
14	A.	One Native American.	
15	Q.	That would leave	
16	A.	That will leave five Caucasians.	
17		Five Caucasian.	
18	Q.	How about auto body?	
19	À.	<u>-</u>	
20	<u> </u>	We just, we went through that.	
21	Q.	That was body, fender, wasn't it?	
22	T.I	Oh, wait a minute. Wait a minute, that's right,	
23	I'm so		
24	A.	You want auto mechanics.	
25		I think we need to point out the fact that we have	
	forgotten one entire vocational program, plus we are dis-		

3.

.6

criminating against the women.

We have a program called graphic communications which is on the inside wall, it has 12 students in it. I take that back, we have 11 students in it and we have another program for the women, called clerk-typist, sometimes referred to as business, which has 13 students enrolled in it presently. All women. If you want an ethnic breakdown of the graphic communications we'll give you that.

- Q (By Mr. Williams.) Mr. Vild, could you tell me whether or not there are any certificates granted on completion of these educational programs?
  - A. I didn't hear you.

A. To differentiate between two different types of certificate, the junior college gives one type of certificate for all vocational programs, and then the professional certificates such as welding students would receive the AWS welding certificates, and they are categorized by numbers, and that refers to specific positions in welding rods and things of that nature, and we receive or we award certificates for the automotive students and that's an IGO certificate, Independent Garage Owners Organization.

Q Do all colleges offer credit courses, courses for credit?

- A Do all colleges?
- - A. We refer to it as Central Arizona College,
  - Q All college courses are offered, are they all for credit?
    - A. Yes, they're all for the vocational program?
  - 0. Yes.

A. You have to distinguish between different educational programs. To keep you straight, it's that we have vocational programs during the daytime and it would be easier to refer to those as daytime programs.

And then there's evening time programs, and those incorporate liberal arts, remedial-type education, and also, then, there's leisure-type education courses in that and also, additionally, there's vocational classes in those evening programs and then there's a third educational program, which Mr. Wright referred to as the adult basic education GED program.

In the evening program, for example, there is a program which could be referred to as remedial, depending on your position, and that is ESL, English as a second language, and that's held on Monday and Friday nights. Dismissed two hours ago.

And that is composed entirely of Spanish surnamed people. I can give you figures if you're willing to wait here

just a second, of how many are enrolled each night. The Monday and Friday night, some of them being Mexican Nationals, some of them being American citizens, all of them having difficulty with the English language and the purpose being that they're to learn how to speak the English language.

Q I was also thinking of courses that lead to an associated arts degree?

A Right. In the evening program the primary emphasis, the number one objective, as far as I'm concerned, which is just my own opinion, other people have different opinions, the primary purpose of the evening program is to award associate of arts or associate of science degree. The translational program, the primary purpose -- which is the daytime program -- is to prepare the person for a job entry -- job entry skill or job entry position.

Q Do you have many people actually get associate of arts degree?

A. We have had four people in the past get associate of arts degrees, one gentlemen has left, in 1972, went to the University of Hawaii and has just recently received his bachelor's degree and is now working on his master's degree in social work and social welfare.

I'm not sure the other gentleman graduated with him at the same time, is Billy McKinley, and he is still in the prison, but Billy McKinley has a life sentence, and is

23<sup>°</sup> 

still continuing taking classes.

Two other people last year, and I referred to 1973, June of '73, graduated with normal exercises in the IER cafeteria, Robert Green being one and F believe, I'm not positive of this, I could be corrected, is, I think he is released from prison, and another person by the name of Kermit Burton, who is in the meantime been paroled and is residing in Tucson.

And those four people have earned associate of arts degrees, and I believe, if my figures are correct and if the people do apply for their associate of arts degree graduation this June or May, there will be 12 more people ready to graduate, become associate of arts degree recipients.

And the reason is that they, the period of time the evening time program has been going since 1970, where the academic, the vocational program has been on-going since 1968.

And with the evening program, it takes a little bit more time, and since it's only been going about four years it's now to where we're coming with our first graduates. I should say our first bulk of number of graduates.

- Q. Are any of the men paid to go to the vocational school or academic schools?
- A. No, nobody is paid a salary or a wage. In 1969 -in 1969 or '70 for a period of two years, I'm looking at Mr.

Trump for clarification on this, there were two vocational programs conducted at Arizona State Prison, a meat cutting program and a cooks and bakers and they were funded through MDTA and they were a two-year program and they were no longer, done away with, and I refer to those because they did receive a salary in that.

But none of the on-going programs receive a salary but they are given what's referred to as a kickout amount of money when they do leave the institution by department of economic security and for the last five years it's been \$200.00 and because of budgetary cuts, I believe it's now \$100.00.

- Q. Mr. Chatman mentioned a data processing school that's not detailed here, would you explain that?
- A Yes, data processing is under the department of corrections, industries division, and is not considered a vocational, four-party agreement, when you usually refer to people, group of vocational programs and they're usually referring to what should be accurately described as the four-party vocational programs.

The misnomer is that in the evening time we have programs such as accounting and blueprint reading and those, those are also considered vocational by educators, myself being one, and so it's incorrect to say that vocational programs are only during the daytime and vocational programs

are only such that you learn to be an auto mechanic or body and fender. Learning to be a lawyer or doctor is also vocational, but to get back to your question on ADAP, which is referred to as the computer programming, and that is what you learn to be, is computer programmer.

And that is conducted by the department of corrections, industries division, and formerly it has been trained by inmates and is now in the last, guess on my part, five, six, months it now has a free world supervisor who is doing the training. And they bring in people from Honeywell, General Electric and other reputable companies to do studies, work shops and things of that nature, for the people in it.

Many of the people in it have long sentences, life sentences, and things of that nature, it is a vocational program. They do receive very adequate training, as a matter of fact, not only adequate, but excellent.

- Q. How many people are in this particular program?
- A. In the IBM, referred to as IBM or ADAP, in ADAP now there's the -- there's 12 men, there are 12 men in training at this moment. They started about a month ago, a guess on my part.

There are 17 people that are presently computer programmers, experienced programmers, they do receive money, salaries, ranging 12 cents, 13 cents, something like that, that's a guess on my part. Up to about, I would guess 28 cents

an hour, and they do work to receive this money.

Q. Do they program for the state?

A. They program for the department of corrections, industries division contracts with the state, so in essence, yes, they program.

There used to be key punching part of that, but no longer they do that.

The women now, which I think everybody's neglecting the women, the women have taken over the responsibility of key punching for the department of corrections, industries division, and they now have two women who are doing the key punching. They've gone through and received training through our vocational program on the women's side at one time or another, and are now doing the key punching at about 10,000 to 12,000 strokes an hour, and are receiving a salary for this, again under the department of corrections, division of industries.

Q. Could you detail for us the programs that are available in the women's facility, so we won't ignore the women?

A. Okay.

Before I forget it, all programs such as the ADAP) programs, do receive college credit. For example, if an inmate was to come into the ADAP section now, which there are, you know, certain number of people in there, they would receive four classes of approximately 17 hours of

 college credit for that training which lasts six months, 740, 750 hours, clock hours.

But to get back to your question, on the women's side, on the women's side the prison population, I refer to as the number of 50, 51, 52, we have 12 students supposedly in clerk-typists, business program, which is the only vocational program there, but a more accurate figures is usually 13 or 14 because it can adequately hold 13 or 14, even though the contract calls for 12. And then with this new addition there is two people in there that are working for the division of industries, key punching. The women are in a year-long program and they have the ability to be clerk-typists, secretaries, medical transcribers, they learn stenography, shorthand, key punch, filing, business math, business accounting, and things of that nature.

I'd like to say that we have a very good placement rate in there and I didn't give you an ethnic breakdown in there. I can tell you that there is one Black, one Native American, and I'm not sure on the Spanish surname, it would either be three or four with the remaining part being Anglo or White.

We do have plans for the women, because of the necessity for training them. You take the small population, it's a very difficult problem and providing vocational training or any type of educational training, we have evening programs

over there, also, and I don't mean to slight any woman in here, but women are different than men, at least in my opinion from an educator's viewpoint they require a different type of program or a different approach, maybe not different training. Sorry about that, girls.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But in this case, one solution to the problem for vocational training for the women would be not to bring another vocational program into Arizona State Prison, Women's Division, but instead to take those inmates that. need requirements, whether it be security, interest, ability or whatever it may be, and transport those individuals to one of our other campuses. We have a campus at Sacaton, Arizona, which is on the Gila River Indian Community and where we have a career center and we would like to take the women from the women's division and which this has all been, I think tentatively worked out, I think it needs formal agreement by all parties concerned, and that would be taking anywheres from two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight women during the daytime, if we can get all parties to agree to it and the legalities worked out, and take them over there for different types of training.

The women are complaining that the only available vocation for them is business clerk, secretarial-type program and many of them don't want to be secretaries because that's kind of a, you know, a what am I trying to say, a

stigma, that all women should be housewives, nurses and secretaries.

But, and I don't agree with that. But anyway, at Sacaton Career Center there are programs for nursing where they could receive an LPN or RN program, they can do child care, they can do culinary art, such as cooking, baking, they could conceivably, if they want to, there would be nobody holding them back except their own conscience, there would be welding, automotive body fender, some type of decorating.

Q (By Dr. Glass.) I'd like to ask Mr. Vild a couple questions.

What percent of success do you have in these, both academic and vocational programs here at the prison?

How many dropouts do you have, in other words, how many of them quit the classes, how many of them don't certify?

A. Not having those figures with me, if I can give you what I believe to be my honest opinion and not giving you a true fact at this moment, is that we have an extremely low dropout rate. We have, I should say maybe 2%0003%112000 dropout at the most.

Then with maybe another 2% or 3% of students that we would drop from the program for different reasons and maybe another 5% on top of that percent of people that have

2 .

1 been, for institutional reasons, withdrawn from the program, 2 locked up for considerable time, or, you know, I'm just not 3. -- that's not a good, accurate, of the reason for insti-4 tutional withdrawal. 5 Why do you take them out of the program? 6 reason, I'd just like to hear them, I'm curious to know. 7 Well, one could be ability, two would be desire, A.C 8 three would be interest; the normal reason for withdrawing 9 any type of student from a vocational program, and we do it 10 with conferring with the student. 11 Is there much demand for the mechanics program and 12 the body shop program? 13 For the auto mechanics and the body and fender, yes, 14 there is an extremely high demand and there is an extremely 15 high placement for the auto body program. 16 I would say that our placement has probably been 17 anywheres from the field of 80% to 90% without having the 18 figures with me. 19 In the auto mechanics there's also a high demand for 20 it, also. 21 Is there much of a security problem over there? 22 What are the requirements to get into this? 23 A. Well, first of all, to --24 Body program, and --25 And the automotive program, automotive mechanics program, it's on the outside of the walls and it used to be, before the administration came that's presently there now, it used to be that those people lived in the OT area, the outside trustee area, and now those students are now what we refer to as gate trustees, so they have to be able to at least meet the minimum that the administration puts on gate trustees and that's one of the reasons that we do not have the full 12 students in the automotive program. We only have seven because of the difficulty of meeting the requirements for gate trustee.

- Q. In your opinion, can that area be secured well enough to put other men and fill other needs in the prison to --
- A. I don't understand your question.

Q Well, the security seems to be one of the problems over there. I'm just wondering if that area can be secured enough, this seems to be the big problem over there.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, Dr. Glass, may I interrupt just a minute?

When you speak of over there and that area, are you referring to IER section?

- DR. GLASS: innoing in the auto body shop and mechanics shop.
- A. I can't give you an honest answer to that, I'd have to defer that to somebody from security, Mr. Kette or Warden Cardwell.

Q (By Dr. Glass.) Do you think that program should be continued at the prison?

- A The automotive and body and fender program?
- O. Yes.

1.7

- A. That's a complicated question, and it's complex --
- Q I knew it was a little rough when I threw it at you.
- A. You're asking --
- Q. You said there's a big demand --

A There's addemand for it and if I can give you a qualified answer, yes, I do believe both of them should continue, but there are maybe programs that are better and maybe because of economics, maybe those programs should not be continued.

But without having any budgetary problems and economic problems and having ideal situations, including institutional ideal situations and all other situations down the road, yes, they should be continued.

But as in any complex question, when all parties sit.

down and all parties have their problems and in this case,

we're sitting down with four different governmental agencies

and two of those governmental agencies would like to stand

back and let the department of corrections begin their

role in education, and so with this move, we are going through

a feeling stage now of trying to determine what programs are

the most relevant for the inmates.

23.

Even though we're governmental agencies and we sit down with moneys on our mind, I think it's always foremost in all of our minds that the inmate is first and we, I think it's uppermost in our mind that the inmate's training, I should say, is thought of to be relevant. There is no sense making an inmate a cook or baker because there is not maybe a high demand for those, not being true for baking there is a high demand for it, and that is the reason that we're thinking about programs such as refrigeration that is one of the programs that's under consideration.

Dental lab technician, for the males, that's why we're considering transporting the women to the career center, such trades as building or such vocational programs such as building maintenance, building trades. TV repair and things of that nature.

Many programs have been discussed and I could give you an example of one and that was called office machine repair where you could bring typewriters in and things of that nature and do it, for the institution that would be a good idea, for the junior college that would be a good idea, for the other governmental agencies it would be a good idea, money-wise, because it would be cheap to get into. You can crowd a lot of students in it but for the inmate, it would be bad because, one, you couldn't get a job and there's no reason us being in business if we're not going to

realize our objective.

Q. One more question.

Why is it the lifers, how I've heard this coming down here for some time, to the prison, that the lifer can't get in anything, practically, at this prison, I've heard this so much I get tired of hearing it. Why can't they be trained or used as tutors or put to some use or their time made available for some program.

Can you answer that?

- A. (By Mr. Wright.) Could I address myself to that for just a moment, Doctor?
  - Q You surely can.
- A. You heard testimony this morning by a lifer to the effect that there are no programs for lifers. And then, subsequent to that, you heard him state that he got his GED. And subsequent to that he engaged in the college program.
  - Q. That's right.
- A. Through which he could get an AA degree, and if he cared to, through extension, he could get a BA degree and I suspect he could go on and get an MA and a PhD, if he wanted to. So that I'm perhaps paranoid about the accusation that there are not programs for lifers or for long term.

The statement that there are not programs for lifers in the vocational area is totally accurate and we are dealing

ر نے اور

10<sup>-</sup>

with that through the school bill.

Perhaps Mr. Trump, who's in the wocational rehabilitation that puts that constraint to us, would like to deal with it.

A. (By Mr. Trump.) I'd be happy to.

Mr. Wright referred to the federal bureaucracy some time back; I represent that bureaucracy.

The law under which we operate is Vocational Rehabilitation Act, the latest one, 1973. In that act, we are required to work only with disabled public offenders. These public offenders must have either a physical or mental or emotional disability.

Secondary to that, that particular disability, whatever it may be, must be a handicap to him or he can not get a job or hold onto a job because of the disability factor.

And the third criteria, this is what I'm addressing myself to, is that there must be a reasonable expectation of gainful employment.

Now, those are the federal laws under which we are governed and these are the regulatory constraints which we have imposed on the department of corrections and we make it difficult for them to be freewheeling and I'll have to say that the philosophy under the new administration, the department of corrections and the rehabilitation are absolutely

parallel at this time and down the road things are looking really fine.

The reason why we don't have any lifers, well, I can't say we've never had lifers, there are qualifications of everything, the reason we do not take lifers as a rule is there's no reasonable expectation of gainful employment.

In other words, their release is not imminent.

A couple of other restraints that we impose upon the institution is that they should have, for the same reason, a release date or a potential release date no more than six months after completion of vocational training. I think the reason is obvious, that they sit around they lose their skills.

Another reason would be if they had a detainer on them, if they were going to be shipped to another prison they would not get a chance to do them. These all eliminate for our persons, individuals from the school.

We did have, and might as well bring up now or somebody else will, we did have one lifer in a upholstery class and the reason for that was the parole board approached us feeling rather high on this man, but felt he had no vocational skills, they said if he qualifies for your program and you put him in vocational training, we will recommend a commutation to the governor.

We put him in vocational training, he completed,

G

a commutation was recommended and for a while it was denied.

I understand now that he has applied several times since
then and the governor has signed a commutation and I believe
he's out. He's out on the streets now.

Does that answer your question?

DR. GLASS: Thank you, Gentlemen, I think that's all I have.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Trump, I would like to make one thing clear for the record, when we're speaking of lifers not getting training, I think that we should clarify that we are speaking in the area of vocational training only.

A. Please do.

THE CHAIRPERSON: And the 74 slots that are available under the four-party agreements, right. For x, x 12

And Mr. Vild, would you also reply to the academic training that's available and the ADAP program which I believe is also available as far as the lifers are concerned?

A. (By Mr. Vild.) Yes, I'd like to show the evening program. I have statistics on the evening program, for last semester, this is per semester. Fall semester, '73-'74, the evening college liberal arts programs at Arizona State Prison, main yard, IER-OT, this is male only, does not reflect women's division there, is 371 inmates submitted applications, 366 were accepted, 311 of those inmates enrolled for

classes. Out of those 311 people, 170 completed. Of those 170, 42 took four classes, 18 took three classes, 134 took two classes and 117 took one class. With a breakdown of how many completed of those taking four classes, completed four, three, two and one, which I won't bother you with. 607 iclassroom positions were enrolled for.

The evening students mean IQuis 102.38, and the evening students mean age is 43 people are 18 to 21, 94 people are in the 22 to 25 years of age, 115, which is the majority, in the 26 to 35 years of age, 47 of them in the 36 to 45 years of age, and 12 of them in 46 years to 70 years of age, and above.

The racial grouping for the individual students was, there was 55 Blacks last semester in the evening program, 53 Chicano, Spanish surname, seven Indian, Native American, 196 Caucasian and no "others".

The Indian student mean sentence age is 18 to 31 years sentence at Arizona State Prison and I'd also like to, my opinion is that the ADAP program, I don't have statistics on that, is extremely highly represented by lifers.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr. Vild.

Are there any other questions of these three gentlemen?
Mr. Guerrero?

Q (By Rep. Guerrero.) Mr. Trump, of those who complete the vocational education programs here in the institu-

<u>ling. .. W. . C. of these it. . Lettu is the spin film</u>

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS

tion, how many of these are placed in the same type of work once they leave the institution? Do you have a breakdown on that?

- A. (By Mr. Trump.) Yes, I do, the percentage that are placed in the areas for which they were specifically trained or related areas, would be 67%.
- Q. I'm sure you follow these up, don't you, and see how they remain with it?
  - A. Yes, we do.

Q. Of this 67%, how many, after a year's period or two, are still doing the same type work?

A. We usually, in our followup, very seldom go beyond six months on the followup. We have not had the statistical retrieval system in order to accomplish it. This is being developed now and is going into effect, but it would be close to 50% stay on the same job. Same related job. Excuse me, they change jobs often, a man will take a job as a spot welder at approximately \$1.80 an hour upon release, if that's the best he can do, but he'll keep trying, keep changing jobs until he gets up to jobs paying with the same skills, around \$5.00 an hour, which happens often, sometimes it will take three to six months.

Also, depending on the time of year that he is released, the classes released in December have a very tough time getting jobs until the end of January or the middle of

BOULEY, SCHLESINGER, PROFITT AND DICURTI

1 February, there seems to be a layoff in that field at that 2 time, but then, after that, they stay. 3 This is a sore spot with me, I wonder, Mr. Vild, 4 do you select the applicants for these vocational education 5 programs? 6 (ByoMr. Vild.) Do I? Pardon? 7 Do you selecta the applicants? 8 No, I don't select the applicants, you go back to the A. 9 application procedure again it's that an individual, an 10 inmate would first learn about vocational programs --11 Who does the actual selecting of who's going to be 12 accepted into the program? Who does that? 13 A. I'll go through that again. 14 That's done by different departments and different 15 committees. The number one procedure is it's done by an 16 inmate personnel, he's the first person to review it, then 17 the second one is the person that's referred to as a voc. 18 rehab., that's Mr. Trump's department, a voc. rehab. 19 counselor. 20 Then it will go back to the prison where the final 21 determination will be made as far as who goes into the pro-22 gram and then, if the program is full they'll be put on an 23 alternate list and then their name will be taken from that. 24 Who in the prison does this go to? 25 Who in -- what? A.

1 You say it comes right back to the prison? 0. 2 It's run through what's called an inmate personnel 3 officer, who is working in the main yard office. 4 Who is he? Q. 5 Up till about three weeks ago it was Captain Goldsmith 6 and it is now Lieutenant Sorren, and for practical purposes 7 it would probably be an officer by the name of Art Martinez. 8 Mr. Vild, you mentioned the MDTA program, back in 1968, Q. 9 which related to meat cutters and bakers, is that correct? 10 A. I think it was more accurately, '71 or Yes, sir. 11 '70. 12 Q. Were there any recommendations that came along with 13 this MDTA money as to how maybe persons should be placed in 14 the program? Did they have any language in there as to 15 ethnic breakdown of participants? 16 A. No, I don't recall, because I've only been employed 17 two years in this position and just as I was being employed 18 that program was being dissolved. 19 So I can't answer you whonestly. But from my opinion, 20 there was no constraints put upon the applicants, the prison 21 was the agency that would determine who should be put into 22 the meat cutting programs and the culinary programs. 23 with the agencies we have now, the agencies, they're federal 24 agencies such as the department of economic security,

constraints come from their federal moneys that they receive.

25

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Vild and Mr. Guerrero, the Chair would remind you that we are speaking of the time since this administration took over, rather than previous administrations.

REP. GUERRERO: I was just wondering, Madame Chairman, if there were any recommendations made because I do know that prior to this administration, the present administration, that the ethnic breakdown was more comparable to the breakdown, percentagewise, of the prison population, than it is now. I see now that there is a disparity under the present administration, as far as participants in the program is concerned.

I notice just using his figures, that out of a population that he just quoted to me, 52% are White, yet they've 61% of the slots. 20% are Black, they've 13% of the slots. 24% are Mexican American, they've 21% of the slots.

I have no quarrel with the 3.5% of Native Americans, because they got 4% of the slots. This is the only thing that I'm wondering about, the disparity where there wasn't a disparity before.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Wright, did you care to reply to that?

A. (By Mr. Wright.) I just wanted to address myself to one feature of the program and that is that when we get the school district, we will be paid according to the number

of people that are enrolled in the school, the school district will be supported on a DM basis, as a consequence, there will be an opportunity to develop as many slots as possible and it will not be restricted in any way with respect to the number of slots or having to do with the selection criteria based on minimum release date.

- 1

It's very possible that within those statistics you might be dealing with minimum release dates, which generate those kinds of statistics. I can't say that that's the only feature, but that's the likelihood.

When we're dealing with the school district, without the federal constraints, and if you, these are moneys that are provided by the federal government that provide the kinds of constraints with respect to minimum release dates. But when we are not dealing with those, we will not be that kind or that constrained.

Q (By Rep. Guerrero.) I don't see any constraint at this time, as far as that goes.

That was my questioning.

A. (By Mr. Trump.) Madame Chairman, may I make one comment to Representative Guerrero on the same subject?

The figures that you were referring to before, were gathered over a prolonged period of time. In other words, I was looking at, when you referred to the past administration, those figures were for approximately four

years that you have, that was a composite of the four years, the figures that I gave you are since the new administration, since July, of 1973, therefore it is quite possible that again over the projected period of time the balance would come back.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, sir.

Are there any other questions of these gentlemen?

REP. GUERRERO: Well, no, just I just wanted to

add that prior to the time periods just expressed, it was
in effect because I kept checking up on this thing for two,
three years and it was fairly well established right on
down the line.

Now I see a disparity, that's what's been kind of bothering me.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Are there any other questions for these gentlemen?

If not, we thank you for appearing.

A. (By Mr. Wright.) I would like to address myself to something, Madame Chairman, if possible.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I would remind that we are now one half hour behind schedule on our new time schedule.

A. I feel as though it's important, and that is that you heard testimony this afternoon to the effect that the officers at the Arizona State Prison were not well-trained.

And I dare to say that while we're not totally pleased with

the training program as it exists today, I would point out that prior to this administration, there were two weeks of training, one of which was on the job training, and that when this administration came in, it undertook to establish a five-week training program, the five-week training program was designed to begin in August of 1973, however, with the tragedy of the two guards' deaths, it was necessary to undertake the training program earlier to provide more people to the yard so that the training program was cut back to an original three weeks during which time they would get a basic orientation to the procedures and the program at the Arizona State Prison, with a minor introduction to social interaction with the client population.

However, and it's perhaps serendipitous in that as a consequence of our having to cut back the program at that time, the people who were enrolled in the program at that time have now been out on the yard for some three months and as a consequence now will be coming back for an additional two weeks of training. Wherein the heavy emphasis will be on social and behavioral science with considerable amount of the time devoted to communications skills.

In addition to that there will be major orientations to the cultural backgrounds of all the inmates at the Arizona State Prison and I would refer you to I think about page 3 of the material compiled and distributed to you by the

department of corrections which indicate the basic syllabus from which this two-week additional training will be pro-

I want to indicate that in addition to that, the department is promoting an educational program outside of the department in terms of working with community colleges in the development of an AA degree in corrections. This isn't fullblown at this point, but that's the direction which we're moving.

Thank you, Madame Chairman.

- Q. (By Rep. Guerrero.) Mr. Wright, this was made possible before the present administration by statute, as far as the training of the buards.
- 14 A. I'm sorry, what do you mean, what was made available
  15 by statute?
  - Q Well, you've heard that during this administration the guards now are taking training, this was done by statute during the past session, the last legislature.
  - A. What was made available?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

24

25

- 20 Q Making this training available to these guards.
- 21 A. Did they appropriate funds to it?
- 22 Q I don't have the statute before me, it's been a year 23 and a half ago.
  - A Well, it doesn't do any good to legislate something without providing the funds, we'd be happy to be able to

1 provide this training through a Law Enforcement Assistance 2 grant from the federal government. 3 That paid it partially. 0. 4 That's the luastest bulk of the money. 5 The state matches that in a percentage level. 6 Yes, the state matches it in kind with roughly 10%. 7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Guerrero, did you have additional 8 questions? 9 Are there any additional questions of the panel? 10 If not, we thank you, Gentlemen, for being patient and 11 waiting until this hour tonight to speak to us. 12 The Chair would now like to call inmates Billy 13 Burkhardt, Ray Reese and Paris Carriger. 14 We'll begin with these three gentlemen, starting with. 15 Mr. Carriger, would you kindly state your name, address and 16 occupation, please? 17 MR. CARRIGER: Paris Carriger, Florence Penitentiary. 18 MR. REESE: Raymond Reese, I'm the librarian at the 19 penitentiary. 20 MR. BURKHARDT: Billy Burkhardt. I'm in the CB4 21 in the penitentiary. 22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. 23 Mr. Levis? 24 (By Mr. Levis.) Mr. Burkhardt, could you give a brief 25 description of the recreational activities available to jail

1 inmates at the Arizona State Prison? 2 3 4 BILLY BURKHARDT 5 6 (By Mr. Burkhardt.) Yes, sir. 7 As far as the recreation is concerned, we get what 8 they call IER yard, four, five times a week. There's weight 9 lifting equipment out there, baseballs, bats, anything 10 that you might want to participate in on the IER yard. 11 Now, in addition to the IER yard, is there a 12 general recreation field? 13. Yes, sir, that's what I called the IER yard. 14 And you say that contains baseball field --15 Yes, sir, I think there's about three diamonds out A. 16 there, three baseball diamonds. 17 Q. When are these facilities open to the inmates? 18 Well, in good weather, four, five times a week. 19 Are they open every day to the best of your knowledge? 20 Yes, sir. A. 21 As I understand, they're open in the morning for two Q. 22 and a half hours and the afternoon for two and a half hours? 23 A. Yes, sir, that's correct. 24 Q. Do you work? 25 No, sir, I have worked, but at the present time I'm

1 not working. 2 When you work is there any time during the week that Q. 3 inmates can go out to the recreation yard? 4 Yes, sir, if they want to go out there they can go 5 out there. 6 Do they work during the day? 7 Yes, sir. 8 And the recreation yard's open during the day? 9 Well, it all depends on where you work at, you know, Α. 10 some jobs that you work there inside the walls, the officers 11 are pretty considerate in giving you the evening off in 12 order to go out there and participate in some kind of 13 sports activity. 14 Are there any indoor facilities for recreation? 15 No, sir. A. 16 What happens when it rains or it's very cold, there's 17 no area to go for recreation? 18 No, sir. A. 19 Are there any arts and crafts, drama or music pro-20. vided for inmates? 21 No, sir. A. 22 Are there movies? 23 Yes, sir, I think there are now, they opened a new 24 building up, I believe about a month ago, at that time I was 25 placed in, I've been in, you know, confinement, for about

1 five or six weeks now. 2 Do inmates in confinement get exercise? 3 Yes, sir, you get about an hour every day, I believe. 4 Could you give us a brief description of the commissary 5 and how often inmates can go there? 6 We draw up to \$20.00 every two weeks, on a certain 7 day of the week each building or each side of the building 8 is permitted to go to the commissary, you can go up there 9 and at one time you could buy quite an assortment of goodies, 10 but now it's cut down to cigarettes and candy, soda water, 11 you know, cold things, but they're not cold, they're hot. 12 How often can you go, once a week? 13 Yes, sir, once a week. 14 Q. And you can spend \$20.00 every week or every two 15 weeks? 16 No, sir, every two weeks. A. 17 If you've got \$20.00 at the store, you can spend \$5.00 18 or \$10.00 one week and go back the next week and spend the 19 rest of it, but you have got to keep your store book clear 20 until you can draw another \$20.00 on it. 21 In other words, you can't keep \$3.00 or \$4.00 on your 22 book and then put another \$20.00 on. 23 Q. You have to draw your \$20.00 first? 24 À. Yes, sir. 25 I have no further questions of this witness. MR. LEVIS:

THE CHAIRPERSON: Does any member of the Committee have any questions of Mr. Burkhardt?

- Q (By Mr. Williams.) Just one.
  - How much longer are you going to be in lockup?
- A. Well, under this new administration I don't have no, you know, any idea. Right now I'm in 'isolation doing 15 days, I've been in isolation nine, I've been locked up five or six weeks.
- 0. Will you tell me the difference between lockup and isolation?
- A. Well, you're placed in lockup like I was, I was considered a threat to the population when I got my writeup so I was placed in confinement until I went to the disciplinary board.

At that time, I got a two weeks put off until my attorney could represent me. When my attorney come to represent me, well, the officer that made the report, I believe, had been on vacation or something, no, he was on suspension, so they had to wait two more weeks until they could bring the officer back and clarify whatever my report was and then I wind up with 15 days anyhow.

- Well, is lockup and solitary, are you in the same
   cell, the same --
- A. No, sir. Lockup you've got your radio and television and you get your meals, so on and so forth. And isolation,

1 you've got your three meals a day without your dessert, 2 you've got a mattress and pillow and a toilet and sink. 3 Toothpaste and soap and towel. And that's just about it. 4 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. 5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Are there any other questions of 6 Mr. Burkhardt? 7 Mr. Levis? 8 (By Mr. Levis.) Mr. Reese, you said you worked in 9 the library? 10 11 12 RAYMOND REESE 13 14 (By Mr. Reese.) Yes, I'm the head clerk. 15 Could you give us an overview of the library, what 16 kind of books it has and actually how inmates request books 17 and how many inmates are allowed to go to the library at 18 one time? 19 I would say it was a medium-type of library, moderate. 20 Visitiation to that unit is limited. 21 Is it so many persons per cell block? 22 Well, the building itself is limited on floor space 23 and shelf space. 24 0 How big is the building? 25 Well, I've never measured it, I don't really know.

1 It's fairly good-size. It's, I would roughly guess that it 2 has about 200 square feet of floor space. 3 And what kind of books are in the library? 4 Well, just about anything other than books on 5 Communism, there seems to be a paranoia out there for Commu-6 nism. 7 We're restricted on those. Even ordering from the 8 library extension service in Phoenix, we're restricted on it. 9 Q. Now, can an inmate request any other book through you, 10 through the library extension service? 11 They can request it, but that doesn't necessarily 12 mean that they're going to get it. 13 Does it have to be approved by the staff librarian or 14 Well, no, I sent the request right out, but the 15 library extension service has been ordered not to send 16 certain books that deal with certain areas, for instance 17 the Communists, and Judo and gun making, powder manufacturing, 18 things like that. 19 In the other areas, how long does it take to get a 20 book from the library extension service? 21 A. Well, it's fairly speedy right now. We have a two-22 stage order system where I order the book from LES, and 23 they locate the book, which might be in a, say a Yuma : 24 library, and I would have to reorder that same book from the

25

Yuma library.

1 Now, I understand that inmates need a pass to go to 0. 2 the library, is that correct? 3 Well, they need a two-stage pass. 4 First to go to the guardhouse and then to go to the 5 library? 6 A. That's the system that they use now. 7 And you said only a certain number of inmates can go Q. 8 at one time? 9 Well, when we first set up the participation program, A. 10 Mr. McLaughlin and my boss, Robert Au, we determined that 11 there could be 25 people in the library, plus eight in the 12 library at one, any given time. 13 And the cell house officers sort of split that up 14 amongst theirselves and determined that only six from each 15 cell block could participate regardless of whether they 16 wanted to work on legal work or read or write or whatever. 17 Now, for inmates in lockup, how do they get books 18 from the library? 19 Very seldom do they get to even request books. 20 The problem is not giving them books, it's delivering 21 them to the individuals, because of the splitup of the 22 Some are in lockup in IER, some in cell block 3 and system. 23 some in the basement. And there is a few on deadlock. 24 In the various cell bocks. 25 So, it's more difficult to get a book if you're in 0.

lockup status?

Unless

A. Unless -- I can't personally run around the yard and deliver the books that's ordered, all I can do is ask my boss to do that. And when I ask him to do that, then naturally he has to lock up the library to do that because he has no assistant.

And for instance, the library has been closed today because he's been down here testifying, I suppose, and somebody neglected to get him a replacement so nobody worked on their law or read any books or anything.

- Q What are the hours of the library and how often is it open?
  - A. On the average, it averages out five hours a day.
  - Q Seven days a week or five days a week?
  - A. Five days a week, sometimes.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Are there additional questions of Mr. Reese?

Mr. Williams?

- Q (By Mr. Williams.) Yes, are there any books that are related, like Black heritage books for minorities or books for the Mexican American?
- A Yes, there's Edridge Cleaver (Phonetic) books, there's Bobby Seal (Phonetic) books, there's a book that Dick Gregory put out, there's many Black culture paperbacks, but I doubt if there's too many hardbacks. But they can be

1 ordered from LES, as long as they don't deal with the 2 overflow -- the overthrow of the local government. 3 0. Florence government? 4 How do books, aside from the extension service, can 5 books be donated to the library? 6 Well, lot of the inmates' wives have brought books 7 up and they're obsolete and old, but they are picked up by 8 the officer after they've been determined that they're safe. 9 So there is a screening process for books to be ad-0. 10 mitted into the library? 11 Oh, absolutely. 12 (By Mr. Zah.) I've got one question. Q. 13 What would you estimate roughly, you were saying 14 that you know when they order books you know, that doesn't 15 necessarily mean that they'll get it. In terms of percentage, 16 how many would get it? 17 Oh, just about anybody could order a book and get it. A. 18 if that book was available at the time. 19 My question is if 100 inmates all ordered books, of 20 their choice --21 I would say about 60 of them would receive it. 22 Sixty of them would? Q. 23 A related question what Mr. Williams was saying, in 24 terms of books, let's say on Blacks, what about so far as 25 Native American books, Indian books?

1 Well, we don't have no AIM books or anything like that, A. 2 or BIA, or whatever it is, that -- Wounded Knee individuals 3 is what I'm referring to. There are Indian books on Hopi 4 culture and Apache culture and even Casa Grande culture, as 5 far as any Indian books are concerned. 6 Dealing with their silversmith type of craft, To Bury 7 My Heart at Wounded Knee is one particular book that I 8 remember. 9 And we have been instructed to order from some of the 10 Indian libraries. Not being able to pronounce their names, 11 I wasn't going to be able to do that here today. 12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Are there any other questions of 13 Mr. Reese? 14 Mr. Guerrero? 15 (Rep. Guerrero.) Are there books that, like that 16 relate to the American Revolution, civil war, stuff like 17 that, can you read those kinds of books? 18 American heritage books deal with the civil war, the 19 fight for independence, probably a resume on George Washington 20 or anybody else. 21 But as far as individual books that would advocate 22 Black power or Indian power or just plain anybody's power, 23 you can get. 24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Are there any other questions of 25

Mr. Reese?

1 Mr. Levis? 2 (By Mr. Levis.) Mr. Carriger, you were a library 3 clerk at one time? 4 5 6 PARIS CARRIGER 7 8 (By Mr. Carriger.) Yes, I was. 9 You're not a library clerk at this time? 10 No, sir. 11 Do you know how many books an inmate can take out 12 from the library at one time? 13 A. Two. 14 Ŏ. Are these hardbound books or softbound books or just 15 any book? 16 Both. A. 17 Q. Now, if you go to school, are you limited to two 18 books at one time? 19 I'm notesure, I haven't been allowed to go to 20 school here. 21 You stated you're allowed two books at one time, is Q. 22 that for a two-week period or one-week period or what? 23 I believe it's two weeks, but I'm not sure. I've 24 been locked up long enough that I haven't had any library 25 privileges in some time.

1 You're in lockup, you say? Q. 2 I'm on the so-called psychiatric run. 3 Do you have commissary privileges? 0. 4 Yes. 5 Is it every two weeks as Mr. Burkhardt said, or --6 You can order, you order every two weeks, but as A. 7 long as you've got money already over there, you can order 8 whatever you want, every week, so long as that money lasts. 9 Now, do you order this through the guard on the run? 10 Yes, they pass around a slip, have you write out what 11 it is you specifically want, a guard comes by later and 12 collects it, sends it over to be billed. Then brings the 13 things over and has you check it out, you sign for it. 14 And it is cleared in this manner. 15 MR. LEVIS: Thank you, I've no further questions of 16 Mr. Carriger. 17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Does anyone else have questions? 18 (By Mr. Zah.) Yes, I have one other question. 19 I'd like to ask you the same question, my first 20 question related to if 100 inmates ordered a book, how many, 21 in your estimate, or during the time that you were library 22 clerk, what would your estimate be, would you agree with 23 Mr. Reese? 24 At the time that I worked in the library, I had a 25 minimum that allowed me to go into any of the segregation

n 🕏

sections, anyplace where the man couldn't get out, figure out what kind of book he wanted, check it out for him and take it to him, this is priofice to the new administration.

I have several other specific remarks and statements that involve specific names, etcetera, facts of information that I was curious, how do you go about putting it in?

MR. LEVIS: Mr. Carriger, for your information, specific facts that relate to specific incidents that relate to specific names, would have to be either heard in closed session or admitted into the record for observation by the -- written into the record and we observe the documents that you submitted and based on that, if we felt there was anything to go further, we would contact you and the department of corrections.

A. I am not sure, should I explain what specific areas they fall in or what?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, if you were going to refer to specific individuals at this time, Mr Carriger, we would have to ask you to do it in closed session with members of this Committee.

If you wanted to refer to very general items, in a very general nature without specifying names, dates or places, we could allow you to continue on insa very general sense.

MR. LEVIS: Or you could submit your evidence to us and we could then get back to you after the Committee has

5.

a chance to study the evidence.

A. In the most general terms, they deal with items disappearing because of lack of receipts after shakedowns, they deal with so-called brutality, name-calling, improper handling of specific situations, the particular area that I live on now is somewhat delicate in the fact that it deals mostly with people who are emotionally imbalanced.

And in many cases incapable of controlling themselves, and where this type of abuse is common, it creates a hard-ship on specific individuals. And in several cases has resulted in criminals and such being put into the record, disciplinary reports, one type or another.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Carriger, would it be possible for you to leave with us the examples that you have so we can look at it and contact you?

A. I only brought the one that I had written proof of, and one that I had seen personally, and didn't include me, other than the fact that I was standing there watching it.

And the particular proof was merely an institutional record that was given the individual inmate about what was done, and it differs considerably with what I saw, and the, you know, racial discrimination, etcetera, that went with it wasn't even mentioned, and it says here that --

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Carriger, we can't allow you to read that because it does refer to a specific time and place

\*

1 and person, what I would like to know is can you leave that 2 material here with us? 3 Yes, Ma'am. 4 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right, thank you very much. 5 Are there any questions of Mr. --6 (By Mr. Zah.) I have one. Q. 7 Mr. Carriger, in your talk you know, you mentioned 8 psychiatric run --9 Yes, sir. A. 10 -- could you describe the conditions? .q 11 Well, recreation on psychiatric run consists of being 12 turned out three times a week for one hour at a time, into 13 an enclosure roughly 45 feet by maybe 20, cement slab, 14 it's got a basketball net in it, no basket ball. Sometimes 15 there is a volleyball in there but not often. 16 There is no other form of recreation for the psychia-17 tric runs, with the exception of what you can personally 18 afford, if you have money enough for a TV, you can watch TV 19 but there are no movies furnished. Most of them because of 20 the fact that being unstable and sometimes rather destructive 21 they don't get library books. 22 We have little or no ability to carry any other 23 recreational form, and none at state expense. 24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Are there any other questions of

25

Mr. Carriger?

Q. (By Dr. Glass.) I'd like to ask, Madame Chairman, one quick, real quick question.

How do the commissary prices in the commissary compare with the outside prices on things, if you've been out recently?

A. Well, I haven't been out recently, but I used to order for my father from Associated Grocers and I've noticed that some prices, this was before they were chocked up, in standing in the line and watching and listening to what went on, I have seen some items change in price from inmate to inmate, but the one and only example that comes to mind immediately was a bar of soap. I saw it change three times in price, you know, just a few cents here or there, but I have in the past made complaints of short change, etcetera, and my lawyer explained it would be much too hard for me to try to prove so he dropped it.

This was over a year ago.

DR. GLASS: Thank you, Mr. Carriger.

Madame Chairman, that's all.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

Mr. Reese, I did have one question.

The women's prison has its own library? Or do you know this?

A. (By Mr. Reese.) Yes, they have their own library, but they still order library extension books through me.

THE CHAIRPERSON: That was going to be my question, can they also avail themselves of the services of your library, if you have books?

A. I wish they could, but unfortunately they can't.

I'm all for it, personally. But Mr. Moran back here is against it so he packs more power than I do.

THE CHAIRPERSON: You do order books for them, though, through the library extension service?

A Yes.

THE CHAIRPERSON to If they have a book they want; they can order it through you?

A. Yes.

I would like, if it would be possible, to clear up a question of Mr. Williams, and Mr. Zah, dealing with Black books, Black culture books and Indian culture books.

I think that there's a lot to be desired in that, in that there are many more than we should have and could have if we were just appropriated some sort of funds for it.

We've hashed it over and over many times, I'm sort of outspoken on that, as far as people reading, I think that every human being should have an education that, the type that he would like, and most Indians like Indian education and most Blacks like a Black education.

In dealing with the mail that we receive from the different publishers, there's many Black and Indian books

that could be had which we don't have. 1 2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Reese. Are there any other questions of these three gentlemen? 3 If not, we'd like to thank you very much for coming 4 5 this evening. (By Mr. Carriger.) I have a question before you 6 7 close it, part of this would have to be explained. are pictures that I couldn't get back into the prison, do 8 I leave them here or what? 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Carriger, I believe we can make 10 one of the staff members available to you to talk to 11 12 you right before you leave. Yes, Ma'am. 13 14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. 15 0. (By Rep.: Guerrero.) TMr.:Carriger, isothis documentation you have in your possession of occurrences that have 16 17 occurred during this administration? Some of it, some of it is. Most of the documentation 18 19 in as far as it goes is merely the specific dates on which 20 I made complaints to Mr. Moran, Mr. Duke, etcetera, to that office. Yes. And the specific dates, the witnesses 21 22 involved and the fact that I have been unable to take them 23 before any power beside the prison quard, which stand there 24 and looks at me like, you know, I'm a little bit of an 25 idiot. And it gets sloughed off, nothing is done about it.

1 And it's my belief that this particular type of con-2 dition ought to change. The pictures that --3 THE CHAIRPERSON: We're not going to get into that 4 at this point, we will make a staff member available to 5 you, Mr. Carriger, to talk about the pictures. 6 Ms. McCullah, did you have one question. 7 (By Ms. McCullah.) Mr. Carriger, you mentioned 8 psychiatric run, this afternoon we heard specifically about 9 the treatment of, you know, emotionally disturbed inmates. 10 Do you receive any sort of medication in relation to --11 I am on medication, I don't know what it is, the 12 doctor explained to me that it was very mild, whatever it is, 13 and I have not been told for what condition I'm being 14 treated, but whatever it is, it doesn't involve hallucina-15 tions or anything of this type. 16 Is it a depressant, a tranquilizer, do you --Q. 17 I have no idea. 18 I mean do you have any reaction? 19 Whatever the medication is I can't tell that it does 20 a thing to me. 21 MS. McCULLAH: Thank you. 22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, again, Gentlemen. 23 We would like to thank you very much for appearing 24 here this evening before us. 25 The Chair would like to now call Mr. Gilbert Dockery,

1 Mr. Jeff Martin and Mr. Robert Au. 2 Mr. Dockery, if you would start, please, and give us 3 your occupation and your address, for the record, please? 4 MR. DOCKERY: My name is Gilbert Dockery, store manager, 5 prison. 6 MR. MARTIN: Jeff Martin, athletic relations director, 7 state prison. 8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Do any of the Committee members have 9 questions of these two gentlemen? 10 Q. (By Mr. Williams.) Mr. Martin, what type of work 11 facilities are available for the male and female inmates? 12 13 14 JEFF MARTIN 15 16 (By Mr. Martin.) What type? There is -- you want Ä. 17 me to go down the line and tell you what's available to the 18 inmates? 19 0. Yes. 20 There's basketball, football, softball, weight lifting, 21 croquet, volleyball, shuffleboard, boxing, and different 22 types of card games and recreation, dominoes and chess and 23 this sort of thing. 24 Are most of these for the male inmates or are the 25 same basic things available to female inmates? 🗼 🧸

·

A. Basically, I believe I used to have some good women's division, not anymore.

- Q. What kind of hours are these activities available to the inmates?
- A. They're available two and a half, approximately two and a half hours in the morning and two and a half hours in the afternoon. Seven days a week.

And then, in the summertime, daylight hours permitting, we have evening recreation too.

- Q. What kind of activities are available for inclement weather?
- A. None.

- Q. Are any recreational activities available for the men that are in lockup?
- A. There's a limited, there's a limited area that they have to have their recreation in.
  - Q You mean within the cell block itself or --
  - A. Well, within the area behind the cell block.
- Q. Is this the area that was referred to earlier with the basketball court?
  - A Right, sir. For

For the record, I might add the gentlemen you had here a while ago testifying, I don't think either one of them has been on the athletic field over three or four times that I've been there.

1	Q Do you also have movies?			
2	A. Yes, sir. We have two a week. And plus holidays,			
3	3 I should add.			
4	Q. Can anybody go to the movies or how do they get			
5	passes or how			
6	A. No, sir, they're by cell blocks.			
. 7	Q. They're by cell blocks?			
8	A. Yes, sir, and by sections, you know, IER goes			
9	separate and the OT goes separate.			
10	Q. How are the movies and the recreational materials			
11	obtained, how are they paid for?			
12	A. It's comes from money from the canteen, inmate			
13	store and the Gutter Lab program.			
14	Q. What percentage of money from the Cutter Lab and			
15	from the canteen is used for this purpose?			
16	A All the proceeds, is any A and R. Amusement and			
17	recreation fund is what Amand R stand for.			
18	Q. Is there any accounting of the money to the inmates			
19	for the uses that you've just detailed?			
20	A. Not that I know of.			
21	Q Is there any music or drama or crafts, any hobby			
22	shops available to the inmates?			
23	A. Music probably would come closest to anything that			
24	you mentioned.			
25	Q. There is music, what kind of music?			
1				

	1	A. Oh, I don't know exactly, that's not one of my		
	2	prime responsibilities.		
,	3	Q. (By Mr. Levis.) I just have two questions of Mr.		
	4	Martin.		
	. 5	I don't know if these have been asked, so pardon my		
	6	questions. Are there any indoor facilities for athletics?		
	7	7 I think this was brought up today?		
Ì	8	A. No, sir, there is not.		
	9	Q. So, what happens to the inmates in inclement weather?		
	10	A. They stay in their cells.		
	11	Q. Have there been any proposals for indoor facilities,		
	12	have you reqested this or		
	13	A. Well, I've brought it to the attention of the old		
	14	administration and the new administration, yes, they're		
	15	aware of a need for this at the present time. But there is		
	16	other priorities.		
	17	Q. Do you think it would be a good idea?		
	18	A. For indoor facilities?		
	19	Q. Right.		
	20	A. Absolutely, sir.		
	21	Q What kind of facilities are we talking about?		
	22	A. Well, probably a gym or a fieldhouse what you might		
	23	call, where you have a variety of activities you can have.		
	24	Mainly I think, I might add, it wouldn't be for in-		
	25	clement weather, because in Arizona, in our county, there's		

,					
1	very few days we have inclement weather, which in my idea,				
2	strict	Ly my opinion, is for the evening to where the men who			
3	works a	all day they don't have a chance to participate in			
4	the recreation they could have a chance to participate in				
5	the evenings.				
6	Q.	Those inmates who work only participate on the week-			
7	ends?				
8	A.	Yes, sir, and holidays, then you're competing with			
9	your mo	ovie and your visits.			
10	Q.	Did Mr. Williams ask you about the movies or			
11	A.	He just touched on about the movies, yes, sir.			
12	Q.	The fact there are two a week and			
13	A.	Yes, sir.			
14	Q.	when were these resumed? I know they were stopped			
15	when the old facility was torn down.				
16	A.	Right, until we got our new facility and projectors			
17	and we	all got all new movie equipment and it's been a month			
18	or so,	or two.			
19	Q.	And the same movies are shown at the women's			
20	facili	ty?			
21	A.	Yes, sir, identical.			
22		MR. LEVIS: I've no further questions of this witness.			
23		Are there any other questions of Mr. Martin?			
24		Mr. Williams, did you have some questions of Mr.			
25	Dockery?				
	Ì				

1 0. (By Mr. Williams.) Yes, I do. 2 Could you give me an idea of what kind of articles. 3 are available at the commissary store? 4 ( ) E. 30 5 6 GILBERT DOCKERY 7 8 (By Mr. Dockery.) Well, I have a list here of what A. 9 we have available now. We have all the -- for the women's 10 division we're quite limited on women's division. 11 For them we just have bobby pins, Noxzema, Ponds Cold 12 Cream. 13 Madame Chairman, I don't mean to inter-MR. LEVIS: 14 rupt but for the purpose of time, I think we have that 15 list. 16 Yes, we did send you a list. 17 THE CHAIREPRSON: We'll just go ahead and enter it 18 into a record, if that's all right with you, Mr. Dockery. 19 (By Mr. Williams.) Could you tell me just out of my 20 curiosity, talking to some of the inmates, how the price 21 structure for the articles sold is arrived at, do you sell 22 them for the wholesale price or do you mark them up? 23 We purchase them wholesale or direct from the factory, 24 it's 10%, all we're allowed to make on any item is 10%. 25 So, every item would be marked up exactly 10%? Q.

1 Ten percent. 2 And there are no special items that would be marked 3 up more? 4 Ā. No. 5 If you'll notice, you'll see our monthly profit and 6 loss reports, we have it in front of you. We will average 7 between 8% and 11% for the last year and a half. 8 to the fluctuation of prices for the -- that are purchased, 9 now everything's going up. 10 What types of articles are available in the inmate 11 store are forbidden in the main yard? 12 Main yard we've forbidden anything with glass con-13 tainers, cans, and shave, this Rapid Shave or the present 14 Rise lather. 15 What hours is the store open? 16 Eight to 11:00 and from 1:00 to 4:00. 17 Is there five or seven days a week? 18 That's five days a week. 19 How do you handle the orders from the female inmates? 20 They are -- they have store slips passed among them, 21 they fill them out, we send for them and they have store 22 bags that we fill. And they receive it and we fill their 23 store bags, check twice by an inmate and finally by myself, 24 all the corrections noted on their store slip, all prices

noted on the store slip, and their account credit/card,

1 this card here, is sent back with the bag which they sign 2 to verify that they did receive what they ordered. 3 How are the profits from the store used? 4 How is it used? A and R fund. 5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Would you explain A and R fund? 6 Athletic and recreation. 7 (By Mr. Williams.) What kind of procedure is followed 0. 8 for the inmates in their lockup status to have access to 9 the store? 10 That's, it's just about the same as the women's 11 division, same slip system, this is picked up by an officer, 12 inspected by an officer and signed by an officer. 13 You mean the items that were received are signed by Q. 14 the officer, does the inmate also sign what he receives? 15 A. Correct. 16 Are there any other questions of THE CHAIRPERSON: 17 -1 -Mr. Dockery? 18 MR. LEVIS: Madame Chairman, I don't have any ques-19 tions but I think we should admit Mr. Dockery's written 20 responses to the questions that I asked him in the letter 21 into the record. 22 A. I said I think in its entirely --23 MR. LEVIS: Right, and I think it explains much of 24 what he said today, plus it expands on that. 25 (By Mr. Williams.) This is the procedures for inmates Q.

ß

1 who desire to purchase items from the inmate store, Arizona 2 State Prison. 3 THE CHAIRPERSON: We will enter that as an exhibit 4 as part of the permanent record. 5 Do any of the other panel members have any other 6 questions? 7 If not, we'd like to thank you both for waiting so 8 long and for testifying here tonight. Mr. Geisenhoff, if we could have the ladies from 10 the Arizona State Prison, Women's Division come forward, 11 please? 12 Ladies, as you know, we have now come to the formal 13 end of the questioning we have scheduled for today. 14 panel has been here since 8:00 o'clock this morning, which 15 now makes it 15 hours and 20 minutes, as have guite a few 16 of the people participating. 17 We would like to limit your remarks to ten minutes, 18 if we could, and we'd be glad to have any one or all of you 19 do the speaking. 20 Madame Chairman, I have a question as to MR. LEVIS: 21 why Mr. Duke is present, if the women inmates are making 22

a presentation, I see no reason why Mr. Duke should be

Is that an objection?

I -- it is an objection?

23

24

25

present.

MR. DUKE:

MR. LEVIS:

I think I'm

addressing it to the Chair.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Duke, you may please respond if you would like.

MR. DUKE: I really don't know how to respond to it, this is the first time I've been on this end of an objection. In the last two days.

THE CHAIRPERSON: The record will please note Mr.

Duke has generally made the objections rather than receive them.

MR. DUKE: I don't see any particular ethical conferentation or --

MR. LEVIS: Again, it's the inmates who have requested to testify.

MR. DUKE: -- or administrative confrontation with the department of corrections or the women themselves, I don't plan to testify for them, I was just going to make a brief introductory statement, they will comment for themselves.

REP. GUERRERO: Is this usual, Madame Chairman? If witnesses have requested to come before the Committee, I don't think anybody has to speak for them, they should be able to speak for themselves.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Guerrero, they will be able to speak for themselves, Mr. Duke has asked to make a short introductory statement, please proceed.

REP. GUERRERO: Is he representing those persons?

MS. DERRINGER: We have asked him to make that

statement before we begin.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

Mr. Duke?

MR. DUKE: Briefly and for the record, and in compliance with the discussion with Mr. Muskrat earlier, we'd like to enter an objection of my own, if I could, please, and that's to the ten-minute limitation that's been placed on us. I realize that this is a discretionary act allowing these women to appear, however, certain circumstances have arisen, you should have been informed of some of them, that we think if the Committee is not obliged we'd be very interested in hearing their testimony. And on behalf of them, it is their opinion that ten minutes is not sufficient.

We would just formally like to enter, that on the record, they do not feel they can do it in ten minutes.

However, they will make the best effort to comply with that.

In fact, we don't think we'll even take ten minutes.

We would appreciate any questions and you can take
as long as you'd like.

On behalf of the women they've two general reasons for being here, with regard to the sessions yesterday involving the women's division.

1 The first general problem which they have dis-2 covered is with regard to the proceedings, and the record 3 that was made on their institution. They feel is a re-4 flection upon their community as inmates, a reflection which 5 they don't particularly want to have published to their 6 families. 7 Secondly, they feel that the record made yesterday 8 did not adequately represent the situation in the women's 9 division with respect to --10 MR. MUSKRAT: Mr. Duke. Mr. Duke, may I interrupt you. 11 please. 12 Mr. Duke, we've been here 15 hours and now 23 minutes, 13 could we please hear from the women inmates, we will note 14 your objection, it is on the record. 15 MR. DUKE: Mr. Muskrat, I am finished and believe me, 16 I am finished. 17 I will take advantage of the 30-day period to enter 18 any further objections. 19 MR. MUSKRAT: Thank you. 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: As you ladies testify, would you 21 please state your name for the record? 22 23 SHARON DERRINGER 24 25 (By Ms. Derringer,) Okay. Sharon Derringer, A.

20. 

a statement in general, that I'd just like to read into the record. It will make it breifer for what we have to say it, it reflects all of our feelings in general.

The facilities and the programs and educational outlets that are available to each and every immate are available regardless of race or creed. If you wish to speak in terms of minority groupings or racial prejudices there are no restrictions in any of the outlets mentioned that are placed upon participation by the administration. Limitations placed on any programs, educational or recreational, are placed there only by the inmates themselves.

When you have an inmate fighting against her own improvement strictly for the sake of fighting the establishment, it makes no difference how much is made available such an inmate will not cooperate or participate, and will do all in her power to destroy any program that could prove accomplishment by the current administration.

Unfortunately, the Committee such as the Civil Rights hear a biased side to the issues they raise and a few personality classes are played up and made an example for all.

We, the majority of the inmates, Black, White, Indian, Chicano, every race and creed do notewantathetjackets placed on us by one who is obviously using the Civil Rights Committee

as a platform for airing a personal grievance contrary to truth.

Mr. Geisenhoff and Ms. Ward, have never shown bias or prejudice to any inmate, we all know the rules and penalties for infractions of the rules.

The main point not mentioned before is the fact that isolation time is rarely given to anyone, and 90% of the writeups given for infractions of the rules are dismissed prior to disciplinary action. Anyone receiving isolation time has been repeatedly warned, received prior writeups nine times out of ten, and is still flaunting authority.

We are all aware that continual breaking of rules will result in isolation, and therefore anyone who has received isolation time has done so because it was their choice, not Mr. Geisenhoff's and not because of any prejudice on anyone's part.

And that's basically our feeling.

DR. GLASS: Madame Chairman. I'm wondering if you would like to enter this as a matter of record with our group here?

A. Yes, we said we would prepare this for entering into the record.

THE CHAIRPERSON: We'll also enter the written statement as an exhibit, if you would like.

A. That's fine.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Are there any questions of the 2 ladies? 3 (By Mr. Levis.) I just have one question. First I wish the other women could identify them-5 selves for the record? Starting with Ms. Richardson? 6 MS. RICHARDSON: Armentha Richardson. 7 MS. ZARATE: My name is Eva Zarate. 8 MS. DAVIDSON: Paula Davidson. 9 MS. TOSATTO: Paulette Tosatto. 10 You are all inmates at the women's division? 11 MS. ZARATE: Yes, we are. 12 And Ms. Derringer, I think you said you represent 13 the majority of inmates, how many inmates signed that state-14 ment you read? 15 No one has signed it, but I think that Ms Davidson 16 has something to say with regard to what the proceedings 17 the last few days and the results of this hearing have 18 brought down on our community. 19 20 21 PAUL DAVIDSON 22 23 (By Ms. Davidson.) I'd just like to say that as a 24 result of what took place in Phoenix yesterday, it's a very, 25 very small minority that are bringing up all these problems

in the prison, today was close to riot condition because of what was said yesterday, because one individual stood up for what was right, told the truth, other individuals got up and put their personal grievances on the table instead of telling what was the truth.

And there have been personal threats made to people that they knew we were going to come and testify here and I, for one, have been put into lockup because I was being released tomorrow, and this is for my own protection.

And it only is a few immates in the women's division that are all crying prejudice and it is only the minority group that is crying prejudice, there is no one in there that is prejudiced as far as I'am concerned, everyone is created equal and the only people that have ever said anything to me that has ever been prejudiced has come from the minority group, it has never come from anyone, anyone else in the prison.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Levis, do you have any other questions?

I think Representative Guerrero had a question, I'll defer to him.

REP. GUERRERO: No, I was just wondering the same thing that you did, that, you know, if they were speaking for the entire population; the majority or if they had some more signatures on that?

1 A. (By Ms. Derringer.) I'll be glad to type this and 2 submit it with signatures. 3 4 5 EVA ZARATE 6 7 A. (By Ms. Zarate.) There's many people who would like 8 to testify but they are really afraid, because threats 9 are made that if any of us come up here to stand up for 10 administration, why, we have to stand the consequences and 11 many people just don't have the nerve. 12 (By Mr. Levis.) Excuse me, you're Ms. Davidson, 13 is that correct? 14 A. (By Ms. Davidson.) Yes. 15 You mentioned that a minority, some minority inmates, 0. 16 now do you mean by minority you mean minority in terms of 17 number or minority in terms of any specific race, just 18 clarify that? 19 Minority in terms of the Black inmates at the prison. 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Are there any other questions of 21 any of the ladies here? 22 Ms. Derringer, I think you did state that you would 23 be glad to type that statement and have it signed, there is 24 a period of 30 days within which any; documents can be

submitted to the Committee for inclusion into the statement,

5

6 7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

21

20

22 23 24

25

so you're more than welcome to have that statement --

(By Ms. Derringer.) We do have additional comments Α. to make that we will make in writing.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Fine, thank you.

Are there any additional comments?

 $\alpha$ (By Rep. Guerrero.) Is it only the Black minority who is complaining or which, how much minorities do you have there anyway?

## PAULETTE TOSATTO

(By Ms. Tosatto.) I find that it is not only the A. Black minority, I live in a dorm where we have Blacks and my very good friend is Black, we've never been prejudiced against. I feel as if it is the minority of them, and not a race of people.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

Are there any other questions?

If not, Ladies, we thank you very much for coming tonight and making the statement to us.

(By Ms. Derringer.) May I make one additional comment A. before we go? That's the fact that the minority group we spoke of when we said they were complaining and raising cane, is not going by race or anything else, you have a small group

of inmates that have been there for a longer period of time, they still go by the old school of thought that anything the administration backs they do not want to back. They will cut off their own nose to spite their face, regardless it has nothing to do with Blacks or otherwise, you have a new type of convict coming in who wants to rehabilitate herself or whatever, but going by the women's division, they're going by the rules, they're getting involved.

The ones who are objecting to us going for the administration are the old school of convicts who believe in fighting the administration.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

A. (By Ms. Tosatto.) I would like to say one other thing.

I was in Arizona State Women's Prison when this new staff came in and I have seen nothing but good happen since, believe me, I can't believe it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Again, thank you very much, Ladies, for coming before us tonight to testify.

Gentlemen, we have now almost completed five days worth of work in two.

What we would ask of both of you to make a very brief statement, I'm sure that you probably want a breifer statement than even we do at this point.

MR. MORÁN: Will be.

you might have.

JOHN J. MORAN:

THE CHAIRPERSON: As closing, remarks that either of

A. (By Mr. Moran.) Madame Chairman, I guess we have two members, three members of the Committee left.

THE CHAIRPERSON: We have five.

A. I didn't know all this time you were a member of the Commission.

But seriously, I don't intend to say very much. I think an awful lot has occurred here the past two days, I think probably the women that preceded me could have summed up where we think we are better than I could, I don't intend to get into any kind of a detailed rebuttal of anything that was said.

At the same time, I recognize that this is the last opportunity that I have to do this. We will continue to respond in writing, we do have a problem in that regard, however, I think it's significant to mention in that there are legal restrictions and it's difficult for us to respond specifically with official records and so on, since they are in fact restricted to law enforcement and criminal justice agencies. So, we'll have to respond, I'm sorry to say,

1

3 4

5 6

7

8 9

10 11

13

14

12

15

16 17

18 19

21 22

23

24

25

20

in a general way, but we will, and in that connection I would just ask you how we might obtain copies of the major written affidavits that were presented, particularly by inmates here today, so that we may properly respond?

I hesitate to throw out any more statistics, but I'd be the first to admit that I've learned quite a bit here the last two days. And I hope everyone has.

I'm proud of what our staff has done, that would account for whatever degree of defensiveness I might have displayed, because they've worked very hard, very long, and they've done much.

But one thing I did learn and I'll just say it very quickly, these are rough figures representative, my little mathamatics, based on what people were saying today, we have 34.4% of our adult felon inmates in minimum costudy programs.) We have 11.3% in medium custody programs. And we have 45.2% in maximum custody programs. I don't believe there's another jurisdiction in the country that can relate to those figures.

This, for the most part, has been done in one year. Just to hit some of the highlights, we're not at all satisfied with our psychiatric care at the prison, we recognize its deficiencies, we would never appear before this group or any other group, and say that one psychiatrist and one psychologist can meet the needs of 1,400 people.

We're working very diligently through out budget process to get the necessary gear in that area. We recognize also that there is also concern about inmate accounts, inmate recreation funds, and this is always a source of great concern to me. And when an overall audit of the department of corrections was conducted in recent months, they were specifically asked to look extremely carefully at all inmates accounts and also with respect to the management and operation of the recreation funds and we have a specific letter which says that that thing is a 100% A, okay, that's something that I'm always concerned about.

Just quickly, I would like to respectfully offer this. We heard some inmate testimony today, those situations are not at all simple, they're very complex, they represent very complex personalities, all kinds of situations. Many of them repetitive and out of the 1,400 whatever we got in the prison right today, we have 24 inmates in the so-called care and custody category, care and treatment category. I make no apologies for that, it's within the purview and legalities of the Copple order, we have 24 men in that category that we feel need to be in that category to maintain the basic human and civil rights of the other 1,360, whatever they are.

We think it's legal, it's in their best interest so we

make no apologies for that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

g

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would just like to conclude by saying that I have found out a lot the last two days, overall, I think it's been a positive event, I would just conclude by saying that you ought not to forget what I thought were very wise words on the part of our female inmates, namely the kinds of difficulties that these things can create among inmate populations if they're not handled in the highest professional ways and with the greatest care.

I want to thank the total Commission and the staff for their courtesies, and would like to conclude and I . . mean this sincerely, you know who we are, but we really don't know who you are. You have our pedigree, now I would like to ask the members of the Commission who they are, for the record.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I think that's probably a very fair and legitimate request, Mr. Moran, I would start with Mr. Williams.

MR. WILLIAMS: My name is Ted Williams, I'm employed by the IBM Corporation and I live in Phoenix, Arizona.

REP. GUERRERO: How much does he want to know about? MR. MORAN: Well, as much as you want to know about me.

REP. GUERRERO: Well, I never have, to this point, to ask you, Mr. Moran, to ask you about any of your experiences or your background. But I'll go ahead and tell you what my background is if that's what he wants.

I'm in my fourth year in the state legislature. I was born in Globe, Arizona, I'm a Gila County native of the state, I was educated in the State of Arizona. I'm a veteran, I'm the president of the largest single local union in the State of Arizona. We've a membership of 10,000 members.

I was on the city council with the City of Globe three terms, is that sufficient? I can keep going on and on some more.

MR. MORAN: Does your union employ excons?

REP. GUERRERO: Mr. Moran, I made a remark yesterday that our local union has an award hanging on the wall that was given to our business manager, Bill Salturo, by the exoffender program for participation in placing exoffenders and the recidivism rate of exoffenders we've taken into our organization is very, very minimal. In fact, they make our best members, and they are our best workers.

MR. MORAN: 1: Thank you very much.

But I'd like to advise you about the trade advisory council.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr. Moran.

Ms. Palmquist?

MS. PALMQUIST: For the record, it's Mrs. Palmquist,

1 not Ms.

I'm on the editorial staff of the Yuma Daily Sun, which is the only daily newspaper in Yuma, Arizona.

I'm fairly new to Arizona and been here only three and a half years and getting my feet wet in the very southern end of the state. I'm also a housewife, mother of two little children, vice president of the League of Women Voters, on a school board tax relief commission, just to hit the top highlights.

MR. LEVIS: I think Mr. Moran knows who I am, Bill Levis, Regional Attorney for the Mountain States Regional Office of the Commission.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm Diane McCarthy, Member of the 31st Session of the Arizona State Legislature from Glendale, Arizona. Married, I make my living when I'm not in the legislature as an educational consultant in the field of vocational education.

MR. MUSKRAT: I'm Joe Muskrat, Regional Director of the Mountain States Region for the United States Commission on Civil Rights.

MR. ZAH: My name is Pete Zah, I am a resident of Arizona, contrary to some other beliefs, citizen of the United States, a member of Navajo Nation. I guess I am a concerned person in the State of Arizona because with my tribe, the legal services program which I work for and the

tribal offender program we do get lot of these exoffenders going back to the reservation and trying to put them out on a job and I know many of them want to come down and visit some of the inmates here who are relatives, and so that I have those concerns, that's one of the reasons for my being here.

DR. GLASS: I'm Dr. John Glass, at the present time
I'm President of the Arizona Correctional Association,
President of the Maricopa Mental Health Association,
practice dentistry just as a hobby.

REP. GUERRERO: Madame Chairman, I'd like to say something if I could.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Is it pertinent to what's --

THE CHAIRPERSON: All right, Mr. Guerrero.

REP. GUERRERO: I'd like to at this point, make this known of the administration, if my line of questions has been severe, in your opinion, it's only due to the fact that whenever there's inmates, I always put myself in their shoes because I always feel that there, but for the grace of God, go I.

Whenever I'm in the legislature trying to push for legislation for personnel for your department I do the same thing, so I hope you'll understand that, Mr. Moran, and the administration.

MR. MORAN: Yes, I do.

In that connection, in our budget proposal for next year for the prison, we've asked for 24 professional staff positions, two special academic teachers, two special education teachers, an arts and crafts head, four counselors, high quality counselors, two psychologists, a psychiatric social worker, and a mental health technician, a lab technician, a medical records clerk and the necessary supportive clerical services.

We would appreciate your help in that regard, very much.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr. Moran.

Mr. Cardwell?

## HAROLD J. CARDWELL

A. (By Mr. Cardwell.) Madame Chairman, my voice hasn't improved, and I won't apologize for it again, I would like to apologize for the fact that I didn't shave before appearing at this time. I haven't had time.

T've listened very intently today, I agree with what my boss says here, it has been a learning process for me.

Some of the testimony that was given by my staff might have seemed controversial, maybe it's because we haven't been in

business long enough, for example, whether you can go through the chow line twice.

The visiting rules we have rewritten them, but we can't put them into effect until we have the proper facilities.

I'm very proud of the staff that we've developed at this point and I think their testimony here today showed their sincerity.

I would only close by saying that we're not exactly proud of everything that Arizona Prison, at Arizona Prison, but we're not ashamedoofcanything.

Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr. Cardwell.

Mr. Levis?

Q (By Mr. Levis.) Mr. Moran, a few things came up that maybe you could answer now or can respond to in writing, one issue was the fact that Mr. Kidwell stated he had to pay for his own wheelchair and I think Mr. Muskrat said at that time --

A. (By Mr. Moran.) I knew that would come up tonight and I tried to get the answers and the whole situation is so confused I really couldn't get straight answers to my satisfaction, I don't know what the story is.

As I understand it he has, I saw him with a sales slip or whatever, we have a sales slip. So, it's very confused, and one story I got was that yes, he did pay in part for a

1 wheelchair when he left an outside hospital. To come into 2 the institution. I just don't know the details, I'm not 3 really able to give you a straight answer now, I'll look into it and try to straighten it out. 5 0. Okay. 6 The other question I think that Ms. McCullah raised 7 was the use of Reyote at --8 A. Yes, we would not permit that, that's a straightfor 9 ward answer as inappropriate as it may appear. 10 We would not permit that in the institution. 11 Even if it were permitted by law to the general 12 public or even it it is? 13 Well, there are lots of things permitted by law to A. 14 the general public such as Budweiser, that we don't permit 15 into the prison. 16 I think the question regards religious practices. 17 We're not at all wanting to interfere with legitimate 18 religious practices but I think common sense at least 19 tells me that that's in the drug category and we could not 20 permit it in the institution. 21 I have no more questions of Mr. Moran. MR. LEVIS: 22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Do any other Committee members 23 have questions of Mr. Moran? 24 Mr. Guerrero? 25 Q. (By Rep. Guerrero.) Yes. Mr. Moran, I came across a

disparity, do you feel this is disparity when you have the percentages of these persons participating in these vocational programs, is there any thought in your mind to maybe try to make it more equitable to all the ethnics?

A. I would not at all get into any kind of a quota system which would require us on a detailed percentage basis to place individual human beings in this program or not to place them in it. I think that honest individual decisions without regard to race or anything else in that area are made.

And if the figures vary, I'm sure they do vary, but I think when you shake it all down you won't see the large, a large inconsistency as you might if you take one day, so we would not at all get into any court of quota, systems

- Q. Mr. Moran, maybe you're aware of the fact that the State of Arizona is presently trying to bring more ethnic minorities into the personnel for the state as far as employees go?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. And this is a way of reaching certain, the same setup.
- A. Well, we actively pursue minority applicants, yes. For positions in the department.
- Q But you wouldn't consider breaking this down a little bit more, percentagewise, as to your population and your vocational education programs?

A. No, sir, I would not.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Moran, excuse me just a moment, we do have all of those figures, sir, they have been introduced and they are figures as of January, 1974, on all the racial breakdowns, not only of the inmates, the IER area, the OT area, all the vocational programs, all of the academic programs.

- Q (By Rep. Guerrero.) Yes, but seeing as he's the department director, I thought maybe he may have some influence or give his opinion as to whether he feels this is adequate or not.
- A. I think a fair honest job of selection and placement is being done without regard to race or ethnic group.

On a given day it may be out of balance, but if you shake it all down over a period of time, I don't think that will be the case at all.

We're dealing with individual people in terms of their own abilities, their own interests, their own degree of motivation and so on, irrespective of race, and that's the basis for our judgment.

There are a 100 things that go into a decision, that's probably the only one that doesn't.

Q I won't debate the point.

DR. GLASS: Madame Chairman, I would like to make one remark, this is the last one I'm going to make.

Is that a promise, Dr. Glass? 1 THE CHAIRPERSON: (By Dr. Glass.) Directed at Warden Cardwell. 0. We've heard a lot about progressive changes in the 3 4 prison and we know that many things have happened. 5 your opinion, two questions, one, do you -- are you inclined to want to go along with these programs? I'd like to hear 6 your expression of feeling about this. 7 ς; (By Mr: Cardwell.) What programs, Doctor? 8 9 Well, I mean the planned programs for the future, 0. 10 progressive programs in education, vocational and all this? 11 I consider myself a liberal conservative. 12 Yes, I'm for programs, but I think they have to be 13 thought out, started with the right amount of planning, and 14 with the right amount of thought that we get the right 15 people in them, and what the consequences are. I think too many times in corrections that people 16 17 tend to jump into things before really thinking them out 18 and as a result they fail. But I consider myself pro-19 gressive and I certainly go along with Mr. Moran's program 20 or I wouldn't be here. 21 0. Good. 22 The second question -- it's getting too damned late, 23 I can't remember it. That's all. 24 A. Could I add something quickly? 25 You got to judge each institution separately in terms

of where it is right now. What it's recent history is.
We're at a certain stage of development now that began on
June 23, 1973.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Muskrat, I believe had a question.

Q (By Mr. Muskrat.) Mr. Moran, if you'll recall at yesterday's session we were discussing the disparity between the ethnic breakdowns in the prison and the people recommended to go to the Halfway House and the people that finally wound up there.

With your permission, Madame Chairman, we would like to write Mr. Moran and we'll look at the information that we have, any information that we do not have we will write him and ask him if he would furnish to us together with a written statement as -- as to the cause of the disparity.

In addition, we'll get together with Representative Guerrero and again review the record and if there's any information that we need to supplement the record, we will ask Mr. Moran for that as well as his explanations.

And finally, may I state on behalf of myself and staff and the State Advisory Committee our appreciation for the cooperation that we have received from Mr. Moran, Mr. Cardwell, and their staff.

Thank you very much, Gentlemen, you were very fine to us and very cooperative and we appreciate it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much.

As the Committee knows, we have asked four gentlemen to come tonight, now four hours after they were scheduled to appear. And give us a criticism.

They are not all present tonight, two have stated they will reply to us in writing, three. Of the three gentlemen who are here, Mr. Hal Delhaye, Mr. Flint Anderson and Mr. W. Edward Morgan, I would ask that they come for ward, please.

These gentlemen have graciously consented to limiting their remarks to ten minutes between the three of us.

Not only are these gentlemen going to give us their comments this evening, verbally, but they also will be submitting other critiques in writing. I understand.

Mr. Delhaye, we'll be glad to start with you.

Again, state your name for the record? ?

MR. DELHAYE: Hal Delhaye.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Go ahead and give your statement.

HAL DELHAYE

A (By 'Mr. Delhaye.) The group kind of decided that we were going to do it in a certain kind of order, since we were limited in time, so Flint Anderson will be first.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Fine, Flint, state your name, for the record, please.

FLINT ANDERSÔN

A. (By Mr. Anderson.) Flint Anderson.

For the sake of expediency, we decided we would compare notes and make sure we didn't duplicate each other.

I will submit in writing the substance of my comments on the baseline. And of my copious notes on the last two days, the ones that I can still see, I will -- would like to refer to several areas that using the basline as a guide, areas that are still of a concern to me in terms of where this inquiry is at this point and hopefully where it will still go and before I do that, clarify one point, I'm not sure Mr. Zah understood a comment that I made yesterday and I think it still stands in the record, at least I've stated it as I thought I did.

We do not in fact have 30 lawyers in the hand, I wish to hell we did. We tried to create a panel of lawyers who would be responsive to the specific and unique problems of the incarcerated post-conviction remedies. Found out that through geographical problems, etcetera, that it's virtually impossible, monetary problems etcetera. One of the great

13-

deficiencies and geography plays a big role in that in Arizona, is that it is impossible, virtually impossible on any regular basis to guarantee that every incarcerated individual has equal access to post-conviction remedies.

And I think the law profession is to be taken to task on this, perhaps there are remedies that the state could provide, but I want to point to the remaining, that we are far from providing the kinds of services that I wish I could say we were in a position to provide.

We're still working on it.

To select only a couple of areas that have been mentioned in testimony that I quite frankly feel were not followed up enough, in being able to provide the baseline with substance around the issues that have been raised.

Part of the problem is dealing with a mixed media we have public hearings, but much can still be submitted in writing, and this may be the reason for some of the gaps that are left which I don't think should be left.

I think it's unfortunate that they're left in a public forum context.

One of those areas that has been dealt, with a little bit more today is the whole problem of alleged or actual racial ethnic discrimination. I wish more time could have been given to discussing staff training in more detail.

Staff recruitment, ethnic recruitment, I wish in the context

of the public forum we could have discussed more thoroughly the kinds of problems involved with placement, related to ethnic, the problem of placement in general.

Recognizing that ethnic radial discrimination tends to be a subtle phenomenon. And I don't think is easily attached by focusing on some of the issues that we have excluded the discussion to in these hearings.

I'm concerned and would hope that the Civil Rights Commission will at least follow up on the whole area of contract employment of inmates. I would be particularly concerned, for instance, about legal questions involved with -- one more minute -- Graham County, what's involved, what are the contractual issues involved with inmate labor, municipal government, state governments, federal funds, involved, beyond the question at issue of what kind of payment to inmate populations can in fact be called rehabilitative.

Idea, equal payment, inmates paying rent. Psychiatric care has been mentioned by Mr. Moran, and others, I would hope that the Civil Rights Commission would expand its inquiry to the maximum security ward of the state hospital.

It should be a part of this inquiry. And there are some deficiencies that the state hospital must respond to and has responsibilities for.

The final issue in broad terms, a huge problem in any

3.

total confined single section institution, is homosexuality. It has not been discussed directly, overtly, I think a number of issues that have been referred to, it's been skirted around, it's a profound problem from a security point of view, but there are also human problems involved, a kind of sexual isolation, unisex society. What happens to the quality of family life, etcetera.

Specific criticisms that I have, or comments on the details of the guideline or the baseline I will provide in writing.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Anderson.
Mr. Delhaye?

Again, state your name, please?

A. (By Mr. Delhaye.) My name is Hal Delhaye, Pima College Exoffender program, Tucson, Arizona.

I guess first I'd like to give some recognition to Mr. Goff for a beautiful document that gave us an excellent springboard for lots of ideas, especially concerning today's covering more than I feel than yesterday's.

I guess I'd like to cover some of the things that
I feel that wasn't really said, I'm not going to get into
it, I'm just going to mention in a kind of a headline kind
of a situation and that's, I'll name them.

Like youth offenders, I think they need to start looking at the age of inmates going to the maximum security

prison with hardcore inmates.

I'd like to hear us talk more about a YC, which is the Arizona Youth Center, I'd like to see some attention focused in that direction. We completely avoided and I know it's not within the purview of this Committee, but we completely avoided, and the reason I state it is because it's very important in the rehabilitative process and that's the parole board.

I'm not sure what the role of this Committee is with parole boards, but there are some problems in this area.

One thing that we -- one word I didn't hear, I don't think, throughout the hearings of yesterday and today, and correct me if I'm wrong, are -- I wasn't tuning in, but I think probably the primary thing I want to see happen out of these hearings is somehow or another, through the spirit of it all, that the end result is the inmate coming up with some dignity, and I think we've avoided that, and there's a lot of ways that that can come about.

You talk about the way a guard talks to an inmate, you can talk about the way a food tray is served, all, you know, strip searches, the hassles a person has to go through to get a writ of habeus corpus out without mail, all these little small inconsequential, to some people, things, which add up to what I think makes a degrading experience for inmates.

5

.21 

 Another area that we haven't talked about and that was something that was in Mr. Moran's big blue book on the department of corrections, and were the new prisons, anticipated in Phoenix and in Tucson. I think that's an excellent opportunity for some moneys being poured into community and I'd certainly like to see some more kinds of things made public as to what you anticipate those institutions to be. What role they will have with the community and especially the educational community, academic and that kind of thing.

The use of those resources. I'd like to get off into a very short, another area that I've got some concerns about, being an excon., my heart really reached out for the guys that were trying to testify today.

And I was involved in an investigation with the state prisons in Louisiana, and I'm very aware of the kinds of intimidations, the kinds of both from the staff, and the guard and from the inmates themselves, because of the diverse, you know, political thinking, the diverse philosophical thought and I'd certainly like to see a clear message from this Committee to the department of corrections. To the public and to anyone else concerned, that no retributions or no intimidation for their having come forth is in the making.

I'm not implying anything, I just, it's something I'm really concerned about.

4 5

I guess let me go ahead and circle this around and end it up in saying that I feel that the hearings spun off into a better, more positive frame, and my one concern is how do we implement all the things we've talked about?

How do we, you know, get this to happen? Within a reasonable length of time? And I guess I'm looking at a couple suggestions I'd like to have entered into the record so far as how that can happen.

One of them being public education, you know, where the public will demand, which will be supported by Mr.

Moran's proposals. I think the spirit of the department of corrections has made a 100% about face, and I think that needs to continue and I'd like to see it continue all the way from the top echelon all the way to the line officer and I think there's another tool that we can look at and that's some federal moneys coming in here that will give incentive for these kinds of things to happen.

Thank you for inviting me over to these hearings.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr. Delhaye?

Mr. Morgan?

## W. EDWARD MORGAN

A. (By Mr. Morgan.) Edward Morgan, Tucson, Arizona, I'm

not prepared to give a critique of the hearings because that that was our function.

I'll file a written statement within 30 days.

I would pass just one general comment on the baselines, what I found throughout, was that there was a
failure to institutionalize and set up a program of immate
input into the decisions that affect both the inmate as an
individual and as his corporate or their corporate relationship to the institutions.

I find none in here other than possibly an advisory council which is pretty loose.

Second thing is that there's been no attempt to set some guidelines or definitional statements of clear, you constantly get the same word, clear danger or something of that order, which is used for the guidelines for limitation on activity by the administration.

Clear and present danger was an old legal concept and it isn't very good, and I think work has to be done to make up a definition with at least some elements that could be understood.

I did find in the hearings that I heard tonight, I think, a continuing downgrading of women in a way which was a bad, bad scene to me. And just as someone sitting in the audience, listening to it, I wish to advise my complaint.

I think they were put down in so many different ways, lawyers trying to speak more adequately for women than the women were able to speak for themselves, people talking about women and limitations on occupational possibilities, I was very much surprised that the women on the panel didn't take a more affirmative response to what I considered very large display by nearly all the witnesses and all the relationships to very overt male chauvinism.

I will file a statement with you within 30 days, and thank you for the opportunity to be here.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr. Morgan.

Are there any closing statements that any of the Committee members would like to make at this time?

If not --

DR. GLASS: Madame Chairman, I'd like to say, reiterate what Joe Muskrat said about the cooperation, I've had really wonderful cooperation from the department, and what visits I've made to different institutions and I, too, would like to acknowledge my appreciation to Mr. Moran and his staff for permitting me to enter these institutions as a dentist and look into these matters.

Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

Ladies and Gentlemen, I think that we have just about concluded these two days of public hearings on the State

1 Adult Correctional System in Arizona. 2 I think I would be very remiss if I didn't say that" 3 to me as a member of the Committee and sitting as Chairman 4 today, that in my estimation this is just the beginning. 5 We have now heard the testimony of both inmates and 6 staff, and various groups connected with the penal system 7 in this state, and in my mind there are probably more 8 questions now than I had when I walked in the room yes-9 terday morning. 10 I think that most of the Committee members feel the 11 same way. 12 We will now proceed to write a report based on all 13 of the material that we have before us, the material that 14 is yet to come, and I would imagine that that will be the 15 hardest part. 16 I would again reiterate that anyone in the room who 17 wishes to have any more testimony put into the record, they 18 can have this in writing submitted within 30 days. 19 Again I would like to thank all of those who par-20 ticipated. 21 The meeting is adjourned. 22 23 (Hearings adjourned at 12:20 a.m.) 24

25

STATE OF ARIZONA SS COUNTY OF PIMA I, JAMES E. BOULEY, do hereby certify that I am an Official Shorthand Reporter; that I was present at the hearing of the foregoing matter; that I took down in short-hand all proceedings had and testimony adduced at said hearing; that the same was thereafter transcribed under my supervision, and the foregoing 931 pages represent a complete and accurate transcription of my shorthand notes so taken. WITNESS my hand this 2nd day of April, 1974. 

į