TI

1 2 3 MONTANA, NORTH DAKOTA, AND SOUTH DAKOTA 4 REGIONAL STATE ADVISORY COMMITTÉE 5 6 7 U. S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS 8 OPEN MEETING ON INDIAN CIVIL RIGHTS ISSUES IN 9 MONTANA, NORTH DAKOTA, AND SOUTH DAKOTA 10 11 12 13 DATE: September 25, 1971 14 TIME: 9:00 A. M. 15 PLACE: Alex Johnson Hotel 523 Sixth Street 16 Rapid City, South Dakota 17 18 Reported by: Donald W. Boeding Pennington County Courthouse 19 Rapid City, South Dakota 20 21 22 23 24

25

1	****	
1	The second secon	
2	<u>INDEX</u>	
∗ .3	WITNESSES:	
4		Page
5	KATHRYN TURCOTTE	2
6	ELVINA ALBERTS	18
7	THOMAS SMITHSON	43
8	* ELIZABETH FAST HORSE	64
9	MARIE BEAR	72
10	JOHN DE SERSA	94
11	*	•
12		
13		_
14		
15		
16	20.55 5°54 > 4.7	
17		
18	grade grade	
19		
20	The state of the s	
21	~ * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
22	**** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	•
23		
24	St. St.	
25		

u, P

to the second

MR. DILLON: Could I have your attention. 1 2 I think we will call the meeting in session and, as you see, 3 today we have an Indian chairmanning the meeting, and I was about ready to call it discrimination. I know I have a lot of 4 5 brothers and sisters who would back me up, and I was going to diterally take over the chairman, and I didn't have to. б. At this time, I would like to call the 7 first witness. Kathryn Turcotte, would you take the witness 8 stand, please. 9 10. MR. SMITH; Please state your name and .11 address and occupation for the record. 12 My name is Kathryn KATHRYN TURCOTTE: -13 Turcotte. I live in Havre, Montana, 1314 Fourth Street. 14 I am the referral and follow through officer for our Community Action Program. 15 MR. ERICKSON: Are you here in that capacity today? 17 18 MRS. TURCOTTE: No, I am not. I am here J 📜 19 representing Montana United Indian Association, and I am the vice chairman of the Montana United Indian Association. _ · 21 MR. ERICKSON: Can you give the committee 22 a brief description of the association and what its aims are. 23 MRS. TURCOTTE: It's a newly formed 24 association. It is Indians from around the state, mostly 25 urban Indians, and we are the largest number of Indians in

1	,	the various areas.	
2	Ā	We formed because we know that there are a	
3		lot of Indian problems with the urban Indians, and we hope	
4		through our organization to collect material, and eventually	
5		help solve some of these problems.	
6	,	MR. ERICKSON: These problems are different	
7		or unique?	
8		MRS. TURCOTTE: Yes, because we are urban	
9		Indians. They are different than the reservation.	
10		MR. ERICKSON: What are some of those	
11		differences?	
12		MRS. TURCOTTE: For instance, we cannot	
13	-	get bureau services. There is a limited amount of public	
14-	health services that we can get. Our children all go to		
15		public schools where there is a lot of discrimination, housing	
16	in Havre and all the other urban areas about what has been		
17	,	testified to here before. In fact, I think in Montana it is	
18		even worse.	
19		MR. ERICKSON: The members of your	
20		committee come from what major cities in Montana?	
21		MRS. TURCOTTE: Great Falls, Billings,	
22	447	Helena, Havre, Missoula and Butte.	
23	2	MR. ERICKSON: Okay. Now, what have you	
24	4	for us today?	
25		MRS. TURCOTTE: First, I have material on	

Indian education submitted by Mr. Earl Barlow who is the 1 2 director of Indian education in our state office, who is also 3 the chairman of our Montana United Indian Association, and, actually, it is mostly tables of surveys made of Indians 4 within our public schools in most of the areas of Montana, 5 comparison surveys of Indian and non-Indian students, Indian 6 and non-Indian teachers, and I will leave all of this for you 7 people if you wish to have it. 8 9 I have material on discrimination in the 10 Billings area, housing. I got this from Sam Rideshorse who 11 is the chairman of the urban group there. 12 I also brought in a copy of an Even Chance 13 with underlined statements from some of our Montana areas.

I also brought in a copy of an Even Chance with underlined statements from some of our Montana areas about our Title 1 program, our Johnson-O'Malley's monies that are spent in public schools, and Sam brought in testimony and documentation about discrimination in the housing area in Billings, and I don't think it is much different anywhere in the state.

MR. ERICKSON: You yourself are from Havre, right?

MRS. TURCOTTE: Havre, yes.

MR. ERICKSON: Do you want to give us a little bit of an idea what the plight of the urban Indian is in Havre.

MRS, TURCOTTE: It is sad. Practically

24

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

every Indian family lives in an old shack, old run down apartment. This is the only thing that they can get, and they are paying — some of them pay as high as \$95.00 for these old, run down apartments. The plumbing is out of order, plaster falling from the ceilings, and I have been in practically — in fact, I have been in every Indian home in our area, and I have witnessed this first hand, and the landlord says — I have talked to several landlords, and they said, "There is no use fixing it up; we just rent to Indians."

Ì

Our Havre CAP serves about 55 -- 55 per cent of the people who are CAP served are Indian people. We employ about 10 Indian people out of about 70 people employed by CAP.

The philosophy of DEO is to develop people, to help people help themselves. The biggest part of the money spent in these CAP programs are lining the pockets of administrators. Very little goes to the poor people. There really is no development except within the Head Start Career Development Program; there is no development of Indian people or any minority people.

Aide. After I worked for about two years, I was given a new job title, which is the job title I have now. When white people was in our CAP program or are given a new job and new job titles, along with this new job title and new job goes a

3

5

4

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

do that?

15

16

17 18

A STATE OF THE STA

23

24

25

raise, but I stayed at the same salary. There is no development in the people. You are only given additional work, but not additional money.

MRS. SUMMERS: Just by way of demonstration, if an Indian made lots of money somehow, could he buy the best house in Havre in the best location?

MRS. TURCOTTE: No. definitely not.

MR. RUDDELL: Why not, Mrs. Turcotte?

MRS. TURCOTTE: White people won't say it, but they find ways of keeping the Indians from buying property in Havre, and they keep you from renting in the -- except in the shacky areas.

MR. RUDDELL: What methods do they use to

I have a young boy that MRS. TURCOTTE: just recently got married. In fact, he just got married last week, and he is attending Northern, and he's been staying at home, but since he got married, well, naturally, he's looking for a place for himself. Well, his wife called on the telephone, and they had several apartments there for rent, and she called, and the lady told her -- she said, "Surely, certainly, you can come and look." She said, "I'm quite sure we have one for rent." So they both Left and went immediately to look at this apartment. The lady just looked out the door at them, and my son is real Indian looking, and she just

1	slammed the door. She said, "It's taken," and she just got
2	through telling Patty over the phone that it was available.
3	MR. DILLON: May I ask a question, Mrs.
4	Turcotte. In other words, this is not it is an individual
5	- thing, but it is pretty dominant in Havre?
6	MRS. TURCOTTE: Yes. This has been
7	happening all the time when new people come into Havre, new
8	Indians come in to go to school. Not only Indians, but low
9	income white people; although, I can get apartments a lot of
10	times for white people where I can't for Indians.
11	MR. RUDDELL: How large is Havre?
12	MRS. TURCOTTE: About 12,000 people.
13	MR. ERICKSON: How many Indians are there
14	in Havre?
15	MRS. TURCOTTE: About 3,000.
16	MR. ERICKSON: About 25 per cent.
17	MRS. TURCOTTE: Yes.
18	MR. ERICKSON: Are the Indians represented
19	at all in city hall?
20	MRS. TURCOTTE: No, there are no Indians
21	on the city council. There are no Indians on the school board.
22	Indians do not participate in PTA. I, myself, wouldn't want
_23	to participate In PTA. I think it is an organization that
24	really isn't doing anything.
25	Burlington, the railroad company, there

are about three Indians employed on Burlington Northern. The city has one Indian person working for the city, and he works for the garbage department.

MR. ERICKSON: What do you think would happen if you took a problem pertaining to discrimination against Indians or something like that to city hall, to your mayor or to your city council, or has this been tried?

MRS. TURCOTTE: Yes, we have tried, and there, again, they say that Indians are troublesome and that they don't want to be any better.

MR. ERICKSON: But you haven't gotten any satisfaction on any of the problems you have taken to city hall?

MRS. TURCOTTE: No.

MR. SMITH: How are you treated by the local police department?

MRS. TURCOTTE: There, again, Indians are harrassed or picked up for drunk, a lot of times just for being out. You know, like a couple weeks ago, we had a couple Indian boys just coming into town. They were going to go to Northern, but they also knew a couple other boys there, and they were looking for the boys that they knew. They were not drunk. They were not bothering anybody. They were just merely driving down the streets. They had driven half the night, but they were picked up, and put in jail.

- 1	the state of the
,1	MR. ERICKSON: What were they charged with;
2	do you know?
ε 3	MRS. TURCOTTE: Drunk and disorderly, but
4	they weren't drunk.
5	MR. RUDDELL: Were they disorderly?
6	MRS. TURCOTTE: No. Disorderly
7	evidently they were in a residential area, and they would stop
8	the car, and since it was dark, they would go look at the
9	house number, but they weren't hurting anybody.
10	MR. DILLON: Do you have any legal aid
1,1	service that the Indian in Havre might have access to?
12	MRS. TURCOTTE: Yes. We have a legal
13	service attorney, but our legal service attorney there covers
14	such a large area he covers Liberty County plus the two
15	reservations. We set in between the two Indian reservations,
16	the Rocky Boy's Reservation and the Fort Belknap.
17	MR. ERICKSON: Is that the Montana Legal
18	Services Association?
19	MRS. TURCOTTE: Yes.
20	. MR. ERICKSON: That is an OEO funded group,
21	isn't it?
22	MRS. TURCOTIE: Yes.
23	MR, ERICKSON: What kind of cases do they
24	take?
25	MRS. TURCOTTE: I also serve on the legal

23

24

25

service local committee, and the biggest part of the cases they take are divorces. They have a policy that they cannot take felony cases or anything like this and, also, they have been given orders that they are not to handle juvenile cases any more since the court will appoint an attorney for juvenile cases.

MR. LAPOINTE: Mr. Chairman, Kathryn, I would like -- I don't understand why they can't handle criminal cases since the OEO attorneys in South Dakota in that service -- can you explain that?

MRS. TURCOTTE: Maybe Mr. Bennett can explain that. The Montana Legal Service has the idea, as I understand it, that they handle all the non-fee generating cases that were laying around that they wouldn't have time menough for the criminal cases. It is also true in Montana that long before the decisions of the Supreme Court of the United States requiring counsel at every stage of a criminal proceeding, the state of Montana has been assuring counsel of due criminal attendance for something like -- I think it was -___I am not on the board. I have never been anywhere near the board, but I think it was the idea of the board the defendant or people charged with crimes, we are talking about felony crimes, would be supplied in any event just as I guess they have decided as the juveniles, because they are juveniles, a defender is always available.

MR. SMITH: The OEO service program representing a criminal defendant is a national policy, and there is legislation which puts some commissioners on all OEO funds on the theory that the state has the responsibility to provide legal counsel to criminal offenses. Since that is unclear with regard to misdemeanor cases, some OEO programs represent misdemeanor cases and they will also take habeas corpus procedures, but I don't know any OEO funded program that as a common practice represents criminal cases.

MR. LAPOINTE: I would like to ask,
Kathryn, if she thinks that is unfair or should be changed?

MRS. TURCOTTE: I really think it is
unfair because a lot of times attorneys, especially in the
juvenile cases -- a lot of the times the attorneys they do
appoint don't do anything about the Indian people.

And child support cases, a lot of times a lot of men are taken to court, and they really can't afford to support another family. They don't have jobs, they don't have anything, the training that is set up for Indians in the WINN and every thing -- I know our WINN program trained home makers. Well, most every woman is a home maker, and I don't think women want to go out and scrub other people's walls and scrub floors, and they had waitress training. You don't have to go to school six weeks to learn how to -- or twelve weeks or any other time to learn how to wait on tables. My

sixteen-year-old daughter learned this without going to waitress training.

MR. RUDDELL: Have you been to many restaurants in South Dakota? The waitress service sometimes is highly undersirable.

MRS. TURCOTTE: Maybe so, but I don't think -- the WINN program was supposed to be training ADC mothers, mothers with children to support, and at that time, Montana restaurants were paying \$1.00 an hour, and I don't think any woman could support three or four kids on \$1.00 an hour, and you can't do it by being trained to scrub walls.

MR. DILLON: You are somewhat familiar with the Billings area, and I would like you to briefly go over some of the conditions in Billings such as health facilities, the education. Could you give us a brief rundown.

MRS. TURCOTTE: Just what Mr. Rideshorse says. On the board of directors, Sam is representing the Billings area, and he said that the Indians in that area cannot go to the hospital. They are constantly told to go to the reservation. They go back to the reservation, and they tell them, "You live in Billings; you are not on the reservation, so we can't help you." And this is true I think of practically all the areas in Montana because I know in Havre Indians try to get into the hospital. They have to have an okay from the public health service, and if they don't have

this okay from the public health service, they are billed themselves for it.

the Billings school system, for instance, as to how many minority teachers they have?

MRS. TURCOTTE: Earl Barlow, he is the director of Indian education. There are no minority teachers except on the reservation. Lodge Grass has two and Pryor has one.

MR. BENNETT: I would like to ask a question.

On the Indian reservations pretty much all around Montana, they are getting housing projects going some are going good and some are going bad, but they are going, and I think on almost every reservation in Montana, and I am from Montana, as you know, and I am really not familiar with the situation off the reservation.

Are off-reservation Indians, through the Montana United Indian Association or any other group, community action group, undertaking housing projects for Indians in the urban areas?

MRS. TURCOTTE: Great Falls has we undertaken some self help housing, and I think last year they completed four houses for Indians. Great Falls has the largest number of Indians in that area of any urban area,

<u>,</u>	and four houses really isn't much for the number of Indians
2	they have. This year they have undertaken to build 10 houses,
3.	but I really don't know much about their housing program. I
4	don't know how they manage to do this.
5	MR., BENNETT:, Do you happen to know
6	whether it is a housing authority, a FHA housing authority?
7.	MRS. TURCOTTE: I think it is under HUD.
. 8	MR. BENNETT: Is there anything like that
9	going on in Havre?
10	MRS. TURCOTTE: No. Great Falls is the
11	only urban area within the state that has worked on any
12	housing for Indians.
13	MR. BENNETT: They just burned down
14	Hill 57?
15.	MRS. TURCOTTE: Yes. Most of the homes
16	up there were burned out. There are a couple living I
17	don't think any investigations is being made as to how the
18	nomes up there got burned. True, they were shacks, but it
19	"was all that those people had to live in.
20	MR. BENNETT: I wonder has the
2 1	, community action company in Havre or Great Falls and Billings
22	ever thought of the possibility of setting housing authority
23	under HUD specifically for Indian housing?
24	MRS. TURCOTTE: I know Hayre hasn't, and
25	I don't think Billings has either.

, . T.

MR. BENNETT: I was wondering if that wouldn't be feasible, if it wouldn't work?

authority whatsoever. There is a housing authority which does not cover the city, but they are not doing anything at this time. I think I was the first Indian to be appointed to any board in Havre, and I was appointed to the housing — the Hill County housing authority, and we just really have a token seat on the board. I think they only appointed me to keep from appointing somebody else, and I understood they were doing a survey on housing, and I didn't know anything about it, so really I am on that housing authority just to keep somebody else from being on there is all. I don't know why they appointed me because I certainly don't know anything about housing programs or anything about housing.

MR. DILLON: Are there any more questions?

MR. LILLEHAUGEN: I am sure they appointed you when they learned to know you because you seem to know something.

MRS. TURCOTTE: Not about housing.

MR. LILLEHAUGEN: We have heard so many things that are wrong, and we believe them. Now, if you had your way, how would you change these things? What should be done which is realistic and idealistic? What could be done? If you were to visit the President, what would you do?

I mean what do you think could be done to improve these things?

MRS. TURCOTTE: Well, I think in our public schools, there should be in the Indian areas -- there could be an orientation to teach what the Indian people are. Also, to employ more Indians within the school systems I think would remedy some of the things, some of our new Indians that really have an Indian feeling; not the old bureau Indian.

MR. DILLON: Just a minute. We are running about 15 minutes behind, and like yesterday I made the statement that we would like to give you as much time as possible, but we have to keep it pretty close to schedule, and if you will --

MR. LILLEHAUGEN: Mr. Chairman, could we take a few minutes. I think she has something to say which is concrete. Could we have a few more minutes?

MR. DILLON: Yes, and you could appropriate this in your closing statement.

MRS. TURCOTTE: Montana has a start on working on some of the Indian problems. I think organization of Indian people is one of the things that is going to help us

MR. LILLEHAUGEN: You said education. We hear so many things, but it is all very vague. What kind of education? What should we teach in our schools to help these conditions? This is what I am concerned with. Teach them culture, yes, but sometimes teaching culture may alienate us

	40 Mg. 7. 1
1	more.
`2	MRS. TURCOTTE: Did I say culture?
3	MR, LILLEHAUGEN: No, you didn't, but we
4.	have heard it quite frequently.
5	MR. LAYMON: I think she said teaching
6	what is an Indian.
7	MR. LILLEHAUGEN: Yes. Okay.
8	But is that all?
9	MRS. TURCOTTE: No, it isn't all.
10	MR. LILLEHAUGEN: Obviously. What else,
11	then?
12	MRS. TURCOTTE: What do you mean?
13	MR. LILLEHAUGEN: I mean what should we
14	teach in the schools to improve?
15	MRS. TURCOTTE: I am not an educator.
16	MR. DILLON: I would like to clarify a
17	point here.
18	I think what Kathryn was referring to is
19	the fact that the white people who are going to be oriented
20	the white teacher should have a program where they understand
21	more clearly.
22	MR. LILLEHAUGEN: "Yes, but is that enough?
23	MRS. TURCOTTE: I think this is true of
24	our public health service. We get young doctors that don't
25	know anything about Indians, and other things about the public

1	health service is that we get doctors that stay maybe a year,
2	and then we have to again adjust to new personnel in the public
3	health facilities.
4	MR. LILLEHAUGEN: But is that enough?
, 5	MRS. TURCOTTE: No, it isn't enough.
6	MR. LILLEHAUGEN: What else? I am not
7	trying to put you on the spot, but I am concerned so that we
8	can have some definite recommendations here besides general
g	things.
10	MRŠ. TURCOTTE: I don't think any of us
11	know really what can really be done because there is so much
12	wrong within our police department, everything, and it isn't
13.	only urban areas within the reservation.
14	MR. DILLON: Kathryn, at bhis time, I
15	would like to thank you for your testimony, and when we get
16	back to Montana, we will certainly give this a whirl, and I
17	would like to call the next witness.
18	Elvina Alberts.
19	Mrs. Alberts, state your name and
.20	occupation
21	MRS. ALBERTS: My name is Elvina Alberts.
22	I presently am working as a field representative for the
23	Career Opportunities Program. I am representing the school
24	at Fort Totten, North Dakota.
25	MR. SMITH: How long have you lived at

1 Devils Lake? 2 MRS. ALBERTS: Practically all my life. MR. SMITH: How would you evaluate the 3 treatment the Indians get both on the reservation -- with 4 regard to reservation law and order and also with regard to 5 towns like Devils Lake? 6 MRS. ALBERTS: It used to be a pretty bad 7 * 8 situation for Indian people. I think that we got to the point 9 where we just couldn't take any more. We were just down to 10 . the bottom. We didn't have any place else to go but up, so -11 a lot of people got in this business of fighting for their 12 rights, so as a consequence, you can walk down in Devils Lake 13 now -- you can go into cafes and bars and you won't get 14 arrested. 15 MR. SMITH: Do you think you are as equal 16 as the whites in all regards? MRS. ALBERTS: I would say so. ∌ 17 Every day I would pick up the paper, and 18 there's at least one Indian picked up, and some days it would 19 20 be eight or ten. MRS. SUMMERS: Have you ever been to the ੍ਹ 21 22 Ranch for dinner? 23 MRS. ALBERTS: No, I haven't. I wouldn't 24 care to. It's expensive for one thing, but they do employ a .25 lot of Indians.

	*
1	MRS. SUMMERS: At the Ranch?
2	MRS. ALBERTS: Yes.
3	MRS. SUMMERS: Do you know if anybody can
4	go in there and get a meal?
5	MRS. ALBERTS: I know the Indian people
6	have gone there and gotten a meal, but like I say, it is too
7	expensive for any of us.
8	MR. SMITH: What facilities are there on
9	the reservation for juveniles?
10	MRS. ALBERTS: On the reservation?
11	MR. SMITH: Yes.
12	MRS. ALBERTS: On the reservation, we have
13	one juvenile detention center for boys, and one juvenile
14	detention center for girls.
15	MR. SMITH: Is the juvenile offense a
16	problem on the reservation?
17	MRS. ALBERTS: Very much so.
18	MR. SMITH: Does the reservation receive
19	any cooperation from the State correctional facilities or
20	from the State Juvenile Commissioner?
2 1	MRS. ALBERTS: No. Several times Î
22	also serve on the tribal welfare board, and because of
23	juveniles getting into situations where they were apprehended
24	by the law several times in a row because their home situation
2 5	wasn't very good or, you know, it was a place where they

1	shouldn't be, sometimes they didn't even have a home, we have
2	asked that these boys and girls be sent to Mandan Training
3	School. So far none of them did. One got killed in the
4	process of waiting.
5	MR. SMITH: Because the State would not
6	admit them?
7	MRS. ALBERTS: No.
8	MR. SMITH: What excuse did it use?
9	MRS. ALBERTS: They said there was a long
10	waiting list.
11	MR. SMITH: Did they use the excuse there
12	was no jurisdictión over Indian juveniles?
13	MRS. ALBERTS: They brought up something,
14	but it wasn't too big a issue.
15	MR. SMITH: Are many of these Indians put
16	in foster homes?
17	MRS. ALBERTS: They have been. The first
18	ones are coming back, and they are the juvenile problems.
19	MR. SMITH: Are they usually placed in
20	Indian homes or white homes?
21	MRS. ALBERTS: I would say half and half,
22	but generally off the reservation.
23	MR. SMITH: When they are placed in Indian
24	foster homes, do the Indian foster parents receive the same
25	amount of state aid that the white parents do?

MRS. ALBERTS: No. They might today because we did bring this up several times. I think maybe now they would probably receive about the same.

Just the other day, we went to court with a young girl whose family is a hard core family in situations like this, where they had their children taken away, where they were accused of causing the death of one, and this girl, after spending one year in college, came to work for what we call the Family Development Center, and she had to sign a complaint on her folks -- they had been out on a drunk for two weeks, and she asked that the family be placed at the Family Development Center, that she keep the three oldest ones, and the bus went by her place, and she could put the kids on the bus to go to school, and she'd go on to work, so we went in with her, and checked with the bureau of social worker just how much money would she be getting, and he said, "Yes," but first, "he said, "Come into me, and tell me how much you are making, then we will add up the expenses of all four of you, we will deduct your salary, and what's left, we can help you out." That's when I blew my top. I said, "If these children are being placed in a white home, you would give each one of them \$1,25.00 a month," and he said, "No, we can't because she's a relative." and I said, "That's the ones that you should help out." Not to be taking the children out of the home and put them in a total stranger's home and give

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

that money to them.

MR. SMITH: In the cases that you know about, where Indian children have been taken away from their parents, what kind of procedures -- how does it happen? In other words, does the welfare worker recommend it, and is there a court proceeding, is there a hearing of some sort?

MRS, ALBERTS: Yes.

MR. SMITH: Do the parents have an opportunity to be heard and appear at the hearing?

MRS. ALBERTS: Sometimes they are at the hearing. Sometimes they are in jail, or they have taken off and can't be found. If the children are abandoned, the children are not in court — if the case is taken into court by the social worker, then the Court decides where they should go. Usually they have already made an investigation to put them in some home.

Now, the Tribal Welfare Board is always there when this happens. The Tribal Welfare Board recommends where they should go. This is why we have the Family Development Center.

Let me explain. It is a center that we started ourselves. We went to New York in 1968, and met with the Overseas Club, and we took three of the mothers along that had to go through something like this. They should have had assent to get their children back, and that is a very long

2

-3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 ***

16 - 17

18

. 19

The state of the s

20.

--21

22

23 24

25

slow process to get your children back once you have lost them! So from there we went to Washington, D. C., and with the help of the Indian Affairs, we presented a proposal, and a year later, we were asked to come back. We had made a proposal -we had a book about that thick -- we called it the Sears Roebuck -- anyway, in that was -- what do you call this -this projected ideas for the home to go on for millions of years, I suppose, and it looked to me like -- we are not a very big reservation, and it looked to me like where all the families would be in there, and we wanted to phase it out, and it was 10 years or so, and maybe we would phase it out by then. Well, we took it down to Washington; the lady in charge, she said, "I'll put this aside, and give you until 9:30 tomorrow morning to come here with a proposal of your own," which we did. Well, we got a grant of \$77,000 that year. We remodeled that old school house. That big thick proposal said we were to have big buildings, you know, complex, but anyway we got the home. Now, right now, we have two families, big families there, and we have two -- we call them little families because the father is not involved, but the women are there with their children. One woman said, "Could I please come in; I have been drunk since my husband and I separated, and I don't want to be that way. I want to keep my kids; if I go there, at least I will have some help," so we agreed, and she's doing very fine.

'25

Just the other day, the people from . Washington were there, and they were surprised at the progress we had made in the year and a half.

Now, you could say, "All right, what white is involved there"? There was nobody. It's the Indians themselves that did it. We had a very able director. I am sorry we are losing him, but he is still going to work for the tribe, but we had to do something. We couldn't sit back and see those kids being taken away. Here's the mother crying, and have them hanging on to their children while the sheriff is taking them one way. We just couldn't do it.

MR. SMITH: I imagine the children from your area attend both the public schools and boarding schools?

MRS. ALBERTS: Yes.

MR. SMITH: Would you describe the conditions of the boarding school.

MRS. ALBERTS: To me -- one of the things that this white said -- he's a big operator; he came from Manhattan.

Anyway, when he came out there, he said we couldn't have any home here; it's so bleak and so dreary. I said, "We are all used to this; we'll make this; we can plant some trees. I see where you guys went out on the prairies and made homesteads; we can do it."

This Flandreau, it struck me like that.

It's a brand new building. 1 I went to school there for seven 2 It was home for me in those days, but now, when I 3 went in there, I couldn't even eat. I kept getting a lump in 4 my throat. As I looked -- it was modern, but it was cement 5 walls whereas when we went to school it was wood. To me wood 6 I don't know. I just didn't like it, and I felt 7. sorry for these kids there. I felt the same way about At one time if Wahpeton was allowed to -- I know 8 Wahpeton. any number of children who finished the 8th grade there, and 9 10 rather than go to another boarding school, they just hid, 11 joined the army or anything, but they said, "I wish I could 12 have stayed at Wahpeton and gone to school in town." Now, 13 why couldn't they have done that. The city of Wahpeton has 14 taken it on itself to be good to these children, and they really 15 are good to the kids. The school is theirs. I mean they have adopted the whole school. Well; in a situation -- this is 16 Why don't they look our for them. 17 what we are all crying for. 18 We are hearing, here's a city-that wants to. 19

One thing is housing, you know. If the government paid their tuition just like if they were back on the reservation -- most of these kids went bad. That's what the pity of it is.

MR. LILLEHAUGEN: Did you say back or bad?
MRS. ALBERTS: Bad..

MR. LILLEHAUGEN: Why?

25

24

20

21

22

. 21

to do?

I feel when this school gave the initiative, they could think ahead, and this is what they wanted. The government is always telling us, "You want, we'll do it for you," but never have.

What they are saying, "I want to stay in Wahpeton, go to high school, but there's no way to do it," so, then, these kids don't go to school. If they go to Flandréau, they run away and come home. They drop out because they are not doing what they really want to do.

MR. LILLEHAUGEN: What do they really want

MRS. ALBERTS: To go to school right in Wahpeton at the high schools there, but there are no living facilities for them at the Indian schools. The superintendent said he wished there was some way to keep the kids there.

They could make good if they were doing what they wanted, but right there they cut off the initiative. Just like the Indians, they said, "You don't live in the Black Hills; go to Pine Ridge" or something. This is the same thing that happened to mr. LILLEHAUGEN: You are employed at the university part of the time?

MRS. ALBERTS: Yes.

MR. LILLEHAUGEN: What are you teaching them, or what is being taught there? We are talking about education. What are you doing at the university?

1	MRS. ALBERTS: Last year I taught the
2	Sioux language, a little bit of culture, and some history and
3	a lot of personal feeling,
4	MR. LILLEHAUGEN: Who takes the classes?
5	MRS. ALBERTS: The teacher aides.
. 6	MR. LILLEHAUGEN: Do any nón-Indians take
7	it?
° 8	MRS. ALBERTS: Yes, there was from the
9	university itself, but their program and plan was such that
10	they couldn't be there every time.
11	MR. LILLEHAUGEN: How many Indians, about,
12	came to study on your future?
13	MRS. ALBERTS: I taught 11 well,
14	altogether 62.
15	MR. LILLEHAUGEN: How long do they study
16	. it, about?
17	MRS. ALBERTS: Three weeks at a time, and
18	one day a week at home, but the three weeks are spent at the
19	university, and one week at home. On Fridays I would go into
20	the school where they were, and we had a room where I taught
2 1	them.
22	MR. LILLEHAUGEN: Do you think that is one
23	of the answers?
24	MRS. ALBERTS: I think so. Just like I
25.	was telling Mr. Milligan he said there was no teachers.

1	•]
2	:	
3		
4	, ,	
5		
6	,	(
7		
8	,	
9.		
10		
11	ľ	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18]
19		
20		1
21	,	
22		,
23]
24	lł	

25

I said, "Wait, we have got some teachers, they'll be going into senior year next year, and they'll have their degree, Indians. It's not their nature to be all set up --- they are at home. They are working, also, taking care of their families, and still going to college, and they'll get their degrees in four years."

MR. LILLEHAUGEN: When they go back to work in their home community, do you think they'll do a better job?

MRS. ALBERTS: Yes, very much.

MR. LILLEHAUGEN: Are many Indians

interested in this?

MRS. ALBERTS: There are quite a few now.

MR. LILLEHAUGEN: Are you getting

information out to them?

MRS. ALBERTS: Yes. When they graduate from high school, they come in there -- see, the first ones we had to take were people who had missed out somewhere. You know, they finished high school, and got married, and there was nothing for them, no jobs or anything, and they have always wanted to go to college, but they couldn't because of the money, so this has come about through the program that I hope eveybody in North Dakota will support.

MR. LILLEHAUGEN: Do you think that is the

big answer?

24

25

MRS. ALBERTS: I think so. the -- they are there every day as teacher's aides. They are helping the teacher. The teacher is helping them. They are getting experience day to day with these children, but the thing they needed most was to let this child know that you are I am an Indian. Well, you know, I worked as a an Indian. counselor's aide for some years. This is how I related to them. I spoke Indian with them, told them stories in Indian, and sometimes if they were retractable, you couldn't do anything with them, then I talked to them as an Indian. appealed to them in Indian, and they began to feel that they are worth something, I think so, I think I see it in some of the kids.

MR. MILLIGAN: Let's go back to Wahpeton again. What she is trying to bring out -- Wahpeton, you have an unusual situation, a very healthy situation in the community, and if those Indians could stay there and go to high school -- then if some of them wanted to go to trade school, Wahpeton is one of the schools, if you look back over the past, they were always trying to close it. It was only a few years ago when we had that fight to get that new dormitory. Most people in North Dakota do not realize you have a school there, a healthy community for these children from broken homes. This is one of the schools that should get more preference, and I think you people should know that.

MR. RUDDELL: I wonder between the two of you could you sort of give us your opinion of why you think Wahpeton is different from these other towns? All we have heard about is why everybody is against the Indian. What has made them different?

MRS. ALBERTS: I think that the superintendent that has been there for many years -- I think he probably was the man who got in the chamber of commerce, got those people interested, and from there -- when it is a good feeling, you can't help but to invite somebody else in with it so that the people in the community, even the little towns around there, invite these kids there. Somebody come up with the idea, let's invite the kids, two, three children to our home, and churchs got ahold of it, and this is how it spreads.

MR. MILLIGAN: The same thing with the Boy Scouts troops. They have these camperees. The outlying Boy Scout groups would invite one of the Indian schools to come out and have a camperee with them.

MR. RUDDELL: It is a mingling of contact. with each other.

MRS. ALBERTS: And I think it got started with that superintendent. He was going to retire. I don't know if he has, but now I wanted to get back to something that is very, very hard for me to take, and that is this

3

bootlegging business.

of North Dakota, no places are open on Sunday, and I believe people in the past have made a striving trade in bootlegging on the reservation right within the housing area. We have a circle of new homes that are pretty close together, and I know at one time the Indian police went in there and made an arrest, and walked in behind these people and found cases of wine and beer sitting on the floor. They couldn't confiscate those. I don't know what law is against it.

I'll tell you another incident that goes directly against that. The other evening some people were driving home from Devils Lake. They had to take a roundabout route because they had planned to go to Cheyenne, and they picked up this man who said, "You give me a ride to Cheyenne, and I'll fill your tank." Here comes a police car, stopped them, searched them, there was beer in there, and then he said, "Report over to the police station." This man didn't go, but the other two people went, so they called me at 3:00 o'clock in the morning, so I went with them, and he gave each of them a summons to appear in court on Monday, so I went over home, and got the code out and read it, and if any of you are interested, the Sioux code states in there unlawful seizure; your person, your home may not be searched without a warrant. A automobile may be searched if he has reason to believe

something is wrong.

ago.

Those people didn't know which way to plead. One of them said he owned the car, so they said it was true they was in the car, but they plead not guilty. They went to the higher court on Thursday, but that judge dismissed the case, but I said to myself, why could this police do that, and this other guy walked in the home and that stuff was laying there, and he couldn't pick it up. He did arrest them, but he couldn't charge them.

Now, this happened a little over a year

The bad thing about the bootlegging that gets me -- about a year and a half ago, I went into Devils Lake. My grandson was in jail, so I went to get him. They said he is charged; he'll have to appear before the commissioner.

Oh. I told my grandson -- I said, "I'm all for you all the way, but I want you to do one thing."

I said, "It's going to be hard to do." I said, "Tell me who bought you the liquor," and he wouldn't at first. It took all the way driving into town, and we sat in the juvenile commissioner's office, and he finally spoke up and said who it was. There was another boy with him, and he said his name.

Then I said, "Are you going to do anything about this person that bought the liquor"? He said, "Well, it's pretty hard

What do you say we let it go this time and see if there T 2 is another time." 3 There was another time within three months, and we went back up there, and I asked him again, "It's the 4 5 same person; what do you say now"? Oh, he said, "We can't do anything." He said, "In other words, either you or I have to be there and see the transaction. Well, who the heck is 7 -8 going to give my grandson any liquor while I am standing there 9 or while the commissioner is in view somewhere. Well, that 10 grandson of mine got hold of liquor several times after that. 11 In one of his passed out times, he got killed. The two boys 12 that killed him were 15 and 16 years old. They also got their 13 liquor somewhere. Now, this is the thing that I don't like. 14 MR. BENNETT: Tell me who the juvenile 15 commissioner is. 16 Varries-in Devils Lake. MRS. ALBERTS: 17 MRS. SUMMERS. In North Dakota, the 18 juvenile commissioner or judge - the code reads that he must 19 be an upright and honorable man, and that is all. 20 MR. BENNETT: But he isn't connected with 21 the reservation or tribal government or anything? 22 MRS. ALBERTS: I don't know, but he has 23 to take these boys off the reservation when they are juveniles 24 They have to go before them.

34

He has, I think, five

MR. MILLIGAN:

counties because I know he also has Rolette County.

2

3

4

5

.6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

₹ 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

ALBERTS: I know he doesn't serve just the Devils Lake area.

MR. MILLIGAN: I was going to say, the boy that was killed -- I have heard about this jail --did they lock them all in jail, and they did not search --

MRS. ALBERTS: I understand they did search, and nothing was found. At least, that swhat Mr. Price, the officer, told me, and I went there -- I had been away. I was down at Bismarck, and he wasn't in my custody at the time, either, because he was sort of hard to handle. the boy waiting to go to the Mandan Training School. That was the recommendation of the welfare board because --

MR. MILLITGAN: They were fighting before they were put in the cell?

MRS. ALBERTS: No. As Mr. Price told me, my grandson was passed out on the road, Highway 57. They picked him up and put him in the police car. They were on their way, and they got another call and said come and pick up this other guy, he was fighting his grandmother, so they picked him up. They were together. My grandson was passed out. They took them into town and put them in jail together. About 40 minutes later; they had got a call that this other boy had a knife and was threatening his sister, and they picked him up, and about 30 minutes later when they went back

the square?

to the cell, they found him laying there, and the damage had been done.

MR. LILLEHAUGEN: How did he die?

MRS. ALBERTS: He was beaten to death.

The undertaker said he was going to do the best to fix him up. He couldn't even be viewed in the casket. He was all cut up, and there was no weapon any where on those two boys, nor any where in the cell until about a week later when they opened the drain, they found two razor blades, and this one boy had been known to put razor blades between his fingers and attack people and he had had a history of viciousness.

MRS. ALBERTS: Fort Totten.

MR. SMITH: Have there been any other deaths in the jail?

MRS. ALBERTS: No, not in the jail.

In fact -- I don't like to say this, because to many it is kind of a desperate situation about liquor. There have been six people that died. Five of those were atributed directly to alcoholism. Young people. I am not talking about old people. One was an elderly person. The other five were young.

MRS. SUMMERS: Fort Totten is right off

MRS. ALBERTS: Yes, right off the square.

1 MRS. SUMMERS: That is part of the tribal 2 government, the police? 3 MRS. ALBERTS: Yes. 4 What I didn't understand -- they have 5 inter-com there. They can listen to any part of the jail. 6 It comes right on the inter-com all the time, and if they want 7 to, they can talk back to the prisoners there, too. Well, 8 I said, "How come you didn't hear anything?" The dispatcher 9 said they didn't hear a thing. The people in the jail cells 10 said they heard things. They told me that the tribal police 11 two of them had walked in there, and one of the other 12 prisoners said, "You should go check back there; there's 13 something going on. "He said, "Oh, they're always fighting 14 when they are drunk." I believe if he had walked around the 15 corner, I think they could have prevented a murder. 16 MRS. SUMMERS: But the juvenile 17 commissioner is in Devils Lake? 18 MRS. ALBERTS: Yes. 19 MR. LAYMON: How old were these boys? 20 MRS. ALBERTS: 15 and 16. 21 MR. RUDDELL: Did they have any motive, 22 any reason -- I mean had they been fighting before? 23 MRS. ALBERTS: They were fighting 24 somebody else before. My grandson at one time stated the one 25 that was put in last was his best friend.

This is what he

said at one time.

1

2

3

4.

.5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14,

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RUDDELL: I mean there wash't a hatred between them beforehand?

MRS. ALBERTS: No. Well, I'll tell you, I had this boy, the one that was put in the jail last, when I was counselor aide. There was days he would miss school. He had been in Wahpeton. He wanted to go back, but he put in his application in too late. The school was too full, so he couldn't go back, so then when I went after him one day -he had been absent two, three days in a row, and I finally went to get him. The first time he told me he was sick. second time I found him walking on the highway, so I put him in the car, and I said, "Do you want to go to Wahpeton that badly," and he said, "I surely would like to go." I said, "You know you are really hurting your mother." He said, "My mother, I'd like to kill her." I think the answer is right there.

MR. LILLEHAUGEN: What do you mean by that

"right there."?

MRS. ALBERTS: The parental attitude towards the children. They have no -- we try to counsel the parents but because they are educated, too, and they are smart, they drink a lot and leave families days at a time, nights, bring different men to their homes -- what could a kid think.

38

- 1	
1	MR. LILLEHAUGEN: Is there something wrong
2	with our education?
3	MRS. ALBERTS: Back aways probably.
4	MR. LILLEHAUGEN: How?
5	MRS. ALBERTS: Like I said, these people
6	feel frustrated. This mother had a good education. She
7	could have got a job and could have worked, but, no, the
8	minute she went to work, she got herself in trouble. There
9	should have been a counselor there or her boss should have
10.	counseled her, but the bosses are way up there, and the worker
11	is down there. They don't ever tell their employees what not
12	to do or what to do until they do something wrong, and then
13	they are fired.
14	MR. LILLEHAUGEN: You talk about the kids
15	that go bad. Do most of the kids want to do better or is it
16	that they don't care?
17	MRS. ALBERTS: When they come back from.
18	Wahpeton, they are so clean and so polite to people you just
19	can't help but to love the kids. It takes them two weeks,
20	and they are right back to where they were before they went
21	to Wahpeton.
22	MR LILLEHAUGEN: Why is that? Why do
23	they go back if they don't want to be that way?
24	MRS. ALBERTS: A lot of these kids, they
25	are just 15, 16 years old. They go in there, and they want a

1 · 2 · 3 ·

4

5

6

7

8

10· 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

_21

_22

23

24

25

job, and every day it's filled, but the programs are always held back for some reason and another. We should see that that program starts in March so that maybe the minute the kids step out of school they go to work.

Another thing about NYC, it helps them to give them jobs, but there is really no direction there. We see things to be done, and the common people say to the higher ups, to the directors, "Look, why can't they do this?" Why, policy says they can't.

There is a place at home where everybody takes them when they are in trouble, and this is where lot of things go wrong, this shelter belt, the kids can hide in there forever, so we say, "Why don't you go in there and clean that up?" No axes, no hoes, nothing to work with. Why put up a program when you don't have anything to work with. The first year this come, my husband loaned all the tools to work with and lost them.

MRS. SUMMERS: Are there any families at Fort Totten whose children come home from Wahpeton and go on to high school somewhere?

MRS. ALBERTS: Yes.

MRS. SUMMERS: Where do they go?

MRS. ALBERTS: They go to éither Oberon

or Maddock, and then there is Devils Lake Central.

MRS. SUMMERS: If they go to Devils Lake

1	High School, do they like it?
2	MRS. ALBERTS: I had three of my
3	grandchildren there. They liked it.
4	MR. DILLON: Do you have any closing
5	statement?
6	MR. LILLEHAUGEN: Have you ever talked to
7	Governor Guy about this?
8	MRS. ALBERTS: Not personally, no.
9	MR. LILLEHAUGEN: I think you should.
10	I know him, and sometime when I see him I am going to mention .
11	you to him.
12	At the university do you get to talk to
13	other teachers, go to classes, or are you working around in
14	a little circle by yourself?
15.	MRS. ALBERTS: No, I get around.
16	MR. LTLLEHAUGEN: Do people listen to you?
17	MRS. ALBERTS: I don't know.
18	MR. LILLEHAUGEN: Do the professors listen
19	to you?
20	MRS. ALBERTS: Yes, I think they do.
21	MR. MILLIGAN: Mrs. Alberts, at Fort Totten,
22	like so many other reservations on these fringe problems, are
23	there sometimes involved quite prominent white people?
24	MRS. ALBERTS: I have got one here where
25	a young boy is in jail no, he's out, I think, on parole or

25

something, but he's accused of stabbing a white man, very vicious cut on his face here. Now, the story we got was that they were good enough to give him a ride, good enough to give him a drink, and then they stopped for some reason, and he jumped out, and drew a knife, and cut this man who was just home from Vietnam. That was the story we got, but as it went deeper, we found out -- this boy told me -- he's just a youngster -- he said, "I was walking on the highway, and these two men told me, "We'll get you some liquor if you'll tell us where we can get a couple Indian women." He said, "I didn't make any attempt to get anybody. I told him, 'There's a place over here. " He said they said, "If you don't get us those women, we are going to kill you." He got out of the car and ran in the trailer house. The one man from Vietnam pulled a knife and stabbed him. This was the story behind it, but this goes on quite a bit, too. I don't like to say it because I don't know myself, but I just hear that this man, was given liquor to procure the woman. I don't know how the case is going to come out. I'd like to see. If a woman has been left at home sitting with the children day after day. and because she is sick of it, and someone comes along and. says, "There's a guy over here that wants to take you out to get you something to eat and take you to a show," she's going to go.

MR. DILLON: Thank you.

1	I would like to call the next witness.
2	Larry Juelfs. Is Larry Juelfs in the room?
3	(No response.)
4	MR. SMITH: Have you seen him here at all?
5	(Member from the audience) No, I haven't
6	seen him.
7	MR. DILLON: We will go to the next witness.
8	Isaac Dog Eagle.
9	MR. RUDDELL: He isn't here. I know him.
10	MR. DILLON: Is Marie Bear in the room?
11	MRS. BEAR: I have another person with me.
12	MR. DILLON: You are waiting for another
13	person?
14	MRS. BEAR: Yes.
15	MR. DILLON: We will take a short break.
16	(Recess taken.)
17	MR. DILLON: I would like to call the
18	session back into order
19	I would like to call witness Thomas
20	Smithson to the witness stand, please.
21	Mr. Smithson, could you state your name
22	and who you represent.
23	MR. SMITHSON: Yes. My name is Tom
24	Smithson. I am an attorney admitted to practice in the state
25	of South Dakota, and I am a practicing lawyer in Pine Ridge,

·4·

. 16

····17

. .18

<u>.</u>

South Dakota. I represent no one, really, except my own views, my own observations. I am not authorized to speak for the tribe or for any Indian people in particular.

The program of which I am a part is sort of a gerry-built legal services program. When the legal services program was originally conceived in various parts of the country in '64, '65, the Oglala Tribe never completed the application process. Whether that is attributable to the tribe attorneys, to the state bar of South Dakota or to whom, I am not just certain at this point. The result was that no legal services program was founded and, until about October of 1969, with the exception of summer students, legal services to approximately 10,000 Oglala Sioux people were provided by Dennis Hill, a Rapid City lawyer, who is traveling to Pine Ridge every Saturday or every other Saturday.

In October of 1969, I was able to return to the reservation. I had been there one summer under the sponsorship of an Indian association out of New York, which was an OEO funded program, paid for my salary. As of July 1st, 1970, OEO has picked up the office expenses and the secretary's expense as well. There are no immediate prospects of any enlargement of that office in spite of the need in Pine Ridge.

MR. SMITH: What is your opinion of the responsibility of the legal services program on the

reservation particularly with regard to what approach do you take when you are presented with a legal problem that involves an Indian against an Indian or individual Indian against the tribe?

MR. SMITHSON: Well, my position is one, that is based partly on the belief that as a non-Indian I have no right to interfere in certain tribal matters and partly on economic measures as far as time is concerned, and my position is roughly this.

In Pine Ridge, there is an active tribal bar association, lay attorneys. All of them to my knowledge untrained, and there is also a tribal court with untrained judges,

MR. LAYMON: How many judges do you have?

MR. SMITHSON: Hobart Keith, and two other

judges, Delora and Peter Two Bull is an associate judge.

There is also an appellate court which at this time functions

but little. It is on the books, and they very rarely are in

order, very rarely any appeals. I felt, as the only lawyer

in Pine Ridge, and having to pay my own mileage out of my own

pocket, so I don't have enough money to run the office, I

could not afford what Mr. Janklow can afford at Rosebud. There

is a program there in which he has a client function -- if I

should take a case in a tribal court, an Indian against and

Indian, what that amounts to is representing one side leaving

the other side without representation, in effect, trying the tribal court in the long run. That may not or may be a good thing, but I think that is a decision the Indians have to make themselves. I have just felt that I couldn't interfere.

MR. SMITH: In the kind of cases that you take, that your program has handled, what types of problems are reflected regarding, you know, broad areas -- in other words, problems with regard to, you know, inadequate services, you know, from state institutions and state service problems with regard to voting problems -- I understand, for instance, that there was a gerrymandering situation existed that you have knowledge of.

MR. SMITHSON: Let me describe that first if I can. I will go back to the larger question. In 1964, in the general election, an all Indian school board was elected for Shannon County. I assume this was partly as a result of the Johnson landslide. In a session of the legislature of South Dakota in March of 1965, or thereabouts, a bill was passed which in conjunction with some statute that you have to read in terms of highway commissioners and highway districts, the election in my judgment was stolen from the people.

What happened was that the South Dakota legislature has an emergency bill enacted, a law, which said essentially that in counties, unorganized counties which have

ġ

24

25

1

2

populations in excess of 2500 in which there is a school district -- then in those counties the members of the county school board, county board of education will be elected from the highway commissioner's district. This means in essence that the candidate has to live in the district from which he runs, although, all the people in the entire county are entitled to vote. There was no strict one-man-vote, and there is a supreme court authority to that effect. This case is a very difficult kind of case to handle, and this occurred in No lawyer to my knowledge ever advised the tribe or the Indian people what could be done in an effort to combat the circumstances that have arisen. In my judgment, absent to any federal constitutional claim, that legislation was invalid under the South Dakota Constitutional legislation without any adequate basis. Just to elaborate on that briefly, there are three unorganized counties in South Dakota. County has an incorporated municipality mission, which on the condition, I neglected to mention, omitted the application of that law from that county.

Washabaugh County is sparsely populated, has a population of less that 1700 people or 1750, and the legislation accordingly did not apply to the county, and that leaves Shannon County, and looking at it with four, five years hindsight, it appears to me the South Dakota legislature adopted a piece of legislation which would permit them to

25

take that election back from the Indian people. hard evidence with respect to the motivation of the legislature, but to my mind, one can infer racialism. fact, some of the members of the board of education were elected, and as I recall Hobart Keith was one and Johnson Holy Rock was another one -- actually received the certificate They never actually took office. Then when the of election. districts were drawn, the school board, which was elected was three Indians and two non-Indians, so at least one of those persons has two certificates of election for the same period Since that time, the state legislature has said of time. that in counties, as I recall, where school boards are elected at large, they will now be elected from the county commissioner's district. I think it is too late to do anything about it, but let me offer one observation if the Indians hypothetically at that time were interested in the electoral process, and had any faith in the state government, not there a bit to participate, when a legitimate election is taken from them -- it is logical to assume that there is some apathy that builds up, some unwillingness to vote and so on that has ramifications for the validity of selecting jurors to vote --

With respect to the broader problem of state services, Indian jurisdiction in South Dakota is a very complicated matter. It is fascinating in particular to a

5

lawyer, and not very satisfactory to many Indian people,

I think. The current posture of the Indian jurisdiction in

South Dakota in my view is roughly this.

The state asserts the power to tax and asserts the right to deny many services. In spite of Pourier against the board of county commissioners which decided the personal county tax on the reservations, and that I can elaborate on if you like. In spite of that case, the state government, local units of government continued to tax personal property, which was a narrow subject to that decision. They also continue to tax other personal property of Indians on reservations.

With respect to services, I have only one or two examples that occur to me.

I have a case presently pending before Judge Parker. It doesn't involve the taking of any testimony. It is simply a legal issue.

I have an Indian girl on the reservation who is severely retarded and wishes to be admitted to the Refield State School. The sub-commission on mental retardation in Fall River County declined to admit her on the basis that there was no jurisdiction of her in view of her Indian status. As a consequence, we filed a mandamus action against that board, against the board of charities and corrections, against the Redfield State Hospital and School and against some other

has been hanging fire for five to ten years. There is a 1942 attorney's general opinion in South Dakota which suggests there isn't no jurisdiction to commit a reservation Indian, and I agree if that is an involuntary commitment. Here, we proceeded through the tribal court. The tribal court agreed that the child has to be committed. Nevertheless, while they have a certain right to tax certain property, with the mother petitioning the county sub-commission, they have declined to admit her. We are not asking for any preferences or special treatment. We realize there is a long waiting list, and we want to be --

This case

sundry defendants trying to settle this question.

MR. LAYMON: The tribal court does give divorces there, don't they?

MR. SMITHSON: Yes.

which are related in sort of an ancillary fashion. I have seen a full credit problem in divorces. The state maintains that the department of vital statistics has no jurisdiction to birth certificates, to revise the birth certificate to show adoptions. It seems to me if they are going to register an Indian birth and count that person for needs they get, they ought to make all the other changes that are required by the fact that that child is registered in South Dakota.

MR. LAYMON: I can give you a for instance

Three, five years ago, some body wrote me from the on this. Attorney General's office, and they asked whether or not the state had to recognize an adoption which took place at Pine Ridge, and I dug around, and I found a case from New Mexico or some place that a divorcee in tribal court was entitled to full recognition, so I wrote back and told them about this, and I saw the people out in the Attorney General's office subsequent to this, and I said, "What did you ever do about ġ that," and he said, "We cannot recognize those things for

welfare purposes."

MR. SMITHSON: The case perhaps he is referring to is white against the federal court in Colorado in which a man proceeded to get a divorce in court, and the case was dismissed. The reason was that if a valid divorce was granted it is valid everywhere.

Let me give you another example of related things in the social rehabilitation service. HEW says for the purpose of payment of ASFC, foster care, the state department of welfare is required to recognize termination of parental rights by a tribal court. This is somewhat analogous as well. There are a few other holdings, that the tribal court is absolutely sovereign within the scope of the jurisdiction that Congress permits it to exercise, and it ought to be afforded full credit although the tribe is not a state in terms of the constitution.

.8

.

.

of law on automobiles down at Pine Ridge? I cannot imagine some of the automobiles I have seen --

MR. SMITHSON: My understanding of the application of state motor vehicle laws on the reservation is that they don't apply. In other words, the state inspections do not apply.

As a practical matter, many Indian people that I know license their cars, pay the taxes on them, have them inspected and so on. After all, they do drive off the reservation.

Let me just go a bit more broadly into the jurisdiction problem, because I think it suggests if everyone involved would sit back and view it from a standpoint of good will, there is a good deal of progress that could be made in the relationship between Indian tribes and state.

For my money, as a white man, the Indian people ought for themselves decide when and how much state jurisdiction they will consent to or whether they will ever consent. It seems to me people of good will can hardly fail to recognize that Indians are going to be subjected to all kinds of disadvantages if they are forced to compete in the business level exactly like a non-Indian. I think Congress recognized that requiring that the consent of the -- the United States Supreme Court hinted that it might be possible

1

3

5 6

8

9

*7

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

for the state to assume jurisdiction and for the tribe to relinquish or grant some jurisdiction in areas where there was mutually a desire for a different kind of administration.

In Pine Ridge, for example, there has been an agreement between state revenue department and the tribe with respect to collection of sales tax. The state recognizes it has no jurisdiction to tax sales by Indians to anyone, and by non-Indians to anyone, and as a result, there has been an agreement to apportioning revenue, and the tribe could conceivably have a good deal of revenue. That could be expanded in many other areas such as motor vehicle personal property taxes or license fees and so on, and the tribe could eventually be in position where it would simply develop within itself on boundaries dealing with the state as a coordinate kind of sovereign in which one party deals with the other fairly and very openly, and we decide how to assist the jurisdiction without wishes for general wishes of the Indian people.

MR. SMITH: Let me ask you a related question regarding criminal rather than civil jurisdiction...

I assume that the Pine Ridge tribe does not have criminal jurisdiction over non-Indians.

MR. SMITHSON: With respect to criminal jurisdiction, I would say that may be true. There is a beginning on the part of the tribe over non-Indian people

24

25

who have contacts with the reservation that they really ought to submit to the jurisdiction. For example, grazing permits. By and large, these amount to civil actions.

MR. SMITH: Are you aware of any provision that prevents the tribe from asserting criminal jurisdiction over non-Indians?

MR. SMITHSON: 25 per cent of these don't get into tribal court.

MR. LAYMON: Let me say that we drew up a model code at the university, and it has been adopted at Turtle Mountain, and part of it elsewhere, and they have asked for copies at Rosebud, and I think maybe you asked for a copy, and various other places requested it, but when we were in the process of drawing that up, I used to go to Washington at least once a month to discuss these provisions with people at BIA, because I thought we are never going to get anything adopted unless it all conflicts with their approach, but at any rate, this question that you just raised was brought up, and that was our thought, that by gosh the tribals should have jurisdiction over a white man that comes in on a violation of their law, so we said, "Why don't we just write it in," and BIA said, "No; you can't." I said, "Why not?" So they sent a guy down to the archives, and the most he could come up with was a sentence in Cohen's Handbook without any authorization, no substantial -- just says that the tribal authority doesn't

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

7,8

19.

20

21.

22

23

24

25

have it. There is no law. They could find no law but, nevertheless, there is reluctance on the Indian's part to take this.

MR. SMITHSON: I would frankly for my part, and my personal opinion is that I would like to see the extension of the same jurisdiction that the state exercises over its citizens exercised over the people within the boundaries of the Indian reservation. From a non-Indian standpoint, I am afraid the tribal court is not currently equipped to handle that kind of jurisdiction without getting the tribe into an endless civil rights litigation. Frankly. I have been urging the law and order committee of the tribe to hire a professional attorney to be a judge, like down in Rosebud, an Indian, if you can find an Indian lawyer who will, you know, move to the reservation to be a judge, an older attorney if you can, but a professional lawyer or training for the judge seat, and this has happened before, but it hasn't produced any.

Now, let me point out that I think we have a difference between civil and criminal, as to what standards they are to adhere to.

In the criminal jurisdiction, I have talked to Mr. One Feather, and he feels there is no question but what every right on an exactly analogous basis ought to be afforded Indian defendants in tribal criminal proceedings.

In civil proceedings, however, there is a reluctance to follow the same kind of adversary materialistic kind of procedure that we use in non-Indian courts, and if the Commission wishes to look further into this, I would command to your attention Harvard law review notes on the constitution of Indians' rights and what it means, and I suggest that the Indian tradition and the Indian custom ought to be paid a great deal of attention in developing conditions as applied to Indian tribes.

MR. SMITH: You are assuming that the difference through tribal culture and tribal customary procedure greater effort should be paid to the civil areas as opposed to the criminal?

MR. SMITHSON: I am repeating by and large the conclusions Mr. One Feather expressed to me. There are some Indian people who resent the passage of the Indian bill of rights at all, but I think -- I think the criminal standards, or many of them, are going to be precisely what they would be on the outside society. A South Dakota Supreme Court decision just came down, and it appears the Indian bill of rights has placed an awfully unfair burden on tribal courts

provision, if the act was enforced thoroughly at Pine Ridge, what other kind of authorization would have to take place?

MR. SMITHSON: I don't practice in the

criminal process at Pine Ridge, but there are several procedures that would be called into questioning, I think.

Their statute of limitations of criminal prosecution would have to be looked into and enforced, the tendency to keep old when it is convenient for whatever purpose --

There is a fee required to rescind a complaint or withdraw a complaint, eight dollars -- maybe that sounds too permissible but it certainly seems rational to me to prevent the court from intruding all kinds of simple little disputes. At the same time there is a burden on indigent people who simply can't handle that kind of money and have a good basis.

MR. SMITH: You say there is a lay council system at Pine Ridge?

MR. SMITHSON: There is a lay council system there. There are Indian people who are required under the tribal code to have a knowledge of the tribal code basically, and they practice in the tribal court. It's not a system in the sense it has been funded or trained or developed adequately so that the tribes continue to rely on those lay council, and expect that they would stand up to any kind of professional --

MR. SMITH: Do most of the defendants going in before a criminal matter have lay council or is that the exception?

^ **3**

-5

..6

.9

MR. SMITHSON: My guess would be exception because they want cash on the barrel head. \$5.00, \$10.00 is simply too much to pay for a misdemeanor case. There is also another practice, and this is the bonding practice. I would suspect 9 out 10 people on the reservation live there, have jobs there, have families there, have land there, have so many times on the reservation, they simply aren't going to leave, and yet the bonds are cash bonds; the required security bonds are greater than the federal district court charges or assesses in a felony case.

MR. LAPOINTE: I'd just like to make a comment, even though we did; or have attorneys who it it this way.

In Rosebud, they started out with the tribal court, the judge was the prosecutor, the defender, the whole works. Then when legal aid came in for the defense, well, then the balance of justice swung the other way towards the defendant, so then they hired a temporary prosecutor, but still it didn't work, so what has happened is now in tribal court they have returned to a lay council or type of system because they found when you get professional attorneys for the defendant, naturally the prosecution is going to suffer because the tribes don't have that much money for a full time.

MR. SMITH: You are talking about Rosebud.

MR. LAPOINTE: Yes.

MR. SMITH: Recently, I think Cheyenne River, one of the districts, made a resolution to the tribal council that professional attorneys will be withdrawn from tribal court until such time the tribe could afford a prosecutor because they felt the tribe was losing all sorts of income by so many acquittals.

MR. LAYMON: Could I ask why did the prosecutor system not work down at Rosebud?

MR. LAPOINTE: They hired a professional attorney who would work for one day a week for \$20.00, and you can't do too much when you got the Oglala attorneys every day of the week working 12 hours a day.

The other thing I'd like to comment on is the bonding practice. I don't want the Commissioners to get the idea that all the tribal courts are the same because like the Rosebud, we do have this personal recognizance bond system. We do have the withdrawal complaint system. There is a big fight whether or not it is right. Law and order, so many taking the stand kind of if you don't have this, every little thing would come up. Now, there is one other type of thing that we have been trying, and that is sort of return — it's not the adverse theory type of system, even in a criminal case, if somebody gets in a fight with somebody else, they try to treat these people something like a judge, take them

5

1.

8,

in before the judge, and let both sides talk it out, and afterwards if they find they cannot agree, then they will go to court, but they find if they can make up, there's no sense to go into court.

MR. SMITHSON: I think that is one of the big problems, you are building up people against one another. It just carries on. You don't have no other way out. not a criminal matter so much. From what Mr. One Feather told me that kind of adversary is a habit that eventually will be engrained like the land habit has been engrained -- could have a very adverse impact on whatever remains of the desires among the Indian people to the fact of harmonious settlement of problems. Mr. One Feather hopes to fund positions that are sort of like lay -- I get the impression there is a concept which I can't simply put into words about a third person, a mediator who is to become between contending parties. Very often I suspect it would be some older person or respected person in the community, and that in this traditional way, very many disputes were settled and perhaps this is what some tribal leaders are driving towards in proving the lay advocate system and in suggesting plans where there should be a level of adjudication below the formal tribal courts which, after all, have been imposed upon the Indian people.

MR. DILLON: Are there any more questions

at this time?

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11.

12

13

14

15

16

.17

18

19 20.

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LILLEHAUGEN: In brief, what would be your recommendation?

MR. SMITHSON: One thing I think emergency employment legislation is pending now which absolutely has to be passed, has to be funded on a level where we can make the government an employer of last resort in the Indian country at a very minimum. This has been a proposal the tribal attorneys have made for years. This would have the effect, in addition to some of the spiritual things that I am aware of that some Indian people are pursuing, of reinforcing the nuclear family, giving the individual male -- even if it's government WPA work, which as I have heard, was very highly respected on the Indian reservations, this would enable the family structure to be strenghtened where many of the problems would not be recurring in another generation or so. think it will be an easy problem.

With respect to law and order, the problems of law and order among tribal courts, I think the BIA has to stop saying, here, we'll contract with you to operate the police force, and give them inadequate money, training and supervision. The result is the Indian people are -- there is sort of a rude tribal control over the police force but in fact the special officer himself may control the task force which is doing some of this. I think the tribal courts have to be operated -- they have to be funded at an

adequate level so they become courts of record. The Indian people have to make their own decision as to what way they want to proceed. I have seen, you know, tens and scores of people come into my office over two years who are simply not happy with the way the tribal court operates in Pine Ridge. I think Bill Janklow has discussed this on occasion. Perhaps the legal service lawyers have been barking up the wrong tree. It doesn't make any sense to handle these things on a bandaid basis with limited resources. What we need is economic development if the people are to regain control of their land, to run their cattle rather than someone else accepting payment. Or perhaps location of outside industries, if the Indian people should choose that that is something acceptable to them.

If I may, unless there are other questions.

I would like to refer to one or two other things that occur,
to me.

I'd encourage taking a look at the tribal work experience program to the extent that the Indian tribe uses this — the people are happy to have any job to be given them, and BIA should create civil service positions for these people. I think it amounts to slave labor. It's something like the work incentive program. The BIA has been reluctant to conduct prior hearings in welfare matters. Every time a hearing case comes up, the BIA scurries around attempting to

dissuade the people from having a hearing.

BIA should enforce rules with regard to licenses, Indian transfers on the reservation. The BIA has the power to control prices, prevent pawning of personal property. The BIA refuses to regulate Indian traders. Even in South Dakota, to get to outside stores, the Indian traders are futile bearers as far as I can see.

If I may, I have one more thing I'd like to mention.

I have been trying to represent an individual man who wants a civil service status with the branch of social services in Pine Ridge. I have been negotiating for about a year on this. Our theory is that the BIA signed a new carrier contract, which it committed itself to give them jobs, and the BIA personnel was attempting to welch on that position, but an attorney with whom I work in Rapid City was called by the former employee in Pine Ridge and told that if I didn't back off the representation of that individual, in trying to seek his employment, the welfare legal service program might be in jeopardy on the reservation if there was a change in administration, and maybe the attorney contract with the tribe would be in jeopardy.

A long ways has to be covered before we get anything like the self determination that has been promised and denied.

promised

1	MR. DILLON: Thank you, Mr. Smithson, for
2,	your statements.
3	Is Marie Bear here?
4	(No response.)
ີ 5	MR; DILLON: Is Elizabeth Fast Horse here?
6	MRS. FAST HORSE: Yes.
7	MR. DILLON: Mrs. Fast Horse, would you
8	state your name for the record.
9	MRS. FAST HORSE: Elizabeth Fast Horse.
10	MR. DILLON: Who do you represent?
11	MRS. FAST HORSE: Well, I represent many
12	things here. I want this is I want to talk about police
13	brutality and some alcoholism and housing, but I am old, and
14	I don't have the education like most of you have. I only
15	graduated from the 8th grade on the reservation when they had
16	no high schools, no nothing, and I couldn't go on. I wish I
17	could, but I couldn't, but I did write my statement here, and
18	T will read it to you.
19	MR, DILLON: Could you summarize the
20	statement, summarize what you have before you leave.
21	MRS. FAST HORSE: Yes.
22	MR. SMITH: Will you tell us where you
23	are from.
24	MRS. FAST HORSE: Rapid City.
25	Here is about the police brutality.

them got arrested by the police -- that was the first brutality 2 I had in the family -- and they took him to the police station. 3 4 When they picked him up, I was there. There was no blood on his shirt and nothing, and these two brothers was picked up 5 with him, and they went to the police station, and after they 6 7 got him in there -- my two boys later on got out on bond, and 8 they wouldn't let my son that they beat up out on bond. 9 beat him up down there with night sticks, and he was bleeding 10 so bad, and one of my other sons was already in the police 11 station, so the police made him hurry up and wipe up the 12 blood laying all over. He was about half dead. So when the 13 two boys got out, they came back about 5:00 o'clock in the 14 morning and said, "Mom, you had better get up there; they 15 half killed my brother. You should go down there and do 16 something about it." What can I do? Nothing I can do but go 17 down there and have it out with them is all I can think about. 18 So the next day, I went up there, and they said they didn't 19 go to court on account of his beating up so bad, so I went 20 then and told the chief of police -- I said, "Who will I tell ² 21 this trouble to so they can solve this problem of beating the 22 Indian boys." I have heard about too many of them before, so 23 I said that, and he said, "Well, go to your counsel, go to 24 your Indian counsel. What reservation do you come out of?" 25

First of all, one of my sons -- I have five sons, and one of

What can they solve? Nobody can solve

65

1

our problems here, and this thing of beating up Indians here in Rapid City -- I know their names, and I know who they are, too, so they wanted me to go to my counsel or either my reservation and tell my troubles. Well, this is out of the jurisdiction. He can't come here and try to do something, so they went on, and we had investigators come from Pierre, and I even had pictures taken of his head. He was injured with a night stick. And one of the investigators said, "This is all we need." He says, "This is enough." Well, it went on and went on, and he never did have anything done about it because of some -- I suppose you all know what goes on here. And then that went on. Never had nothing to do with it. I mean they didn't do nothing about it.

And then it went on again. I was down town -- I generally sit down town because I don't like what the police do to the Indian boys, and another night I was sitting in a cafe -- I was drinking coffee, and my son was sitting in the car, and an Indian boy went over there and struck him in the face, so he got out to chase this Indian boy -- well, this Indian boy ran right straight for the police and the police run and grabbed my son by the collar and banged his face on the hood of the car, and I just saw it, so I came out of the cafe, and I went over, and I said, "Why are you banging his face on the car"? They wouldn't answer.

Well, I put a hand in it, and then they sent for five squad

cars after me and him. Why don't just two of them handle us. They made my face so bad, blood -- they put me on resisting Because I couldn't see what way I was going. Why? My face was dripping with stuff, and then they put me on resisting arrest, and the same with my son, and disorderly conduct -- yes, it was disorderly conduct. And then I had to pay \$50.00 fine. I still wish I could get my \$25.00 back because I wasn't resisting arrest at all, and that is the thing they put on every boy that they catch ahold down town when they arrest them. When they arrest them, they put resisting arrest. This boy hasn't the funds to pay the \$25.00, but I managed to get my \$25.00 to pay for it, so when they arrest an Indian, or any other person -- I don't care who he is --I should that they -- you are under arrest, and then the person would know, but they run in the back of them and grab them in the back and twist their arms and bang their face against the walls and everything. I have seen it myself. They can't deny it, and I don't lie, and a while back -- well, a while back, there was a boy got it in the jail here in Rapid City, and some people say he was beat up by the police. Of course, the jail boys inside the jail, I suppose they were warned not to testify, so they had one that was in the same cell with him when all this happened. After the boy died in jail, they sent this boy to Yankton for alcoholism. what it is; to keep it quiet so nobody else will hear about it

23

24

25

They had a trial afterwards, and everything went to trial, and the coroner said he died of natural cause or something, so that died off right there, and then they had a grand jury trial over this boy, and after they had closed door hearing and went into this, they pronounced us Indians all liars about what we are saying about the brutality of the police.

Could you people solve this in some way, that we are not all liars, and what we say, we want to tell the truth. That is the reason why we say those things and tell what we see.

And here is my other problem. Christians against our Indians. If only they have christian in them, I don't think it will be so bad. Even little children know how to be repentive towards the Indians because I had that myself from little children. They call me dirty Indian and every other thing. Naturally they heard it from their Maybe at meal time they talk about it. Employment and alcoholism -- boys and men go to the employment office and sit all day, and perhaps get a few hours job that cannot pay for their living expenses, so they go out and start drinking to forget about jobs. We know it won't solve the problems, but at least it keeps their minds off for a while. Not future. But there is still a big future for us Indians if the people over us would change their selfish minds and be justice to us. I suggest that we lift

I am speaking for.

some of our sad mornings will turn into happiness. We still sit in hope for what we have been hoping for our children's children. The Indians have bright ideas for future, but we look around and no hope. I have lots of plans for my family, but I have no one to talk to or phone to use. To keep ourselves alive, let us have the idea of our own and be treated equal with the non-Indians so we won't be degraded everywhere we go. This means every Indian and the Sioux Indian

ourselves out of the dump we are in with the help of the

God fearing people, and there won't be so much dispute in this

country. We want full rights from the federal government so

Another thing we want -- we went up to the North Junior High one time for my grandson's dispute up there with some other children; or what went on, so we went up there, and my grandson had to talk with a counselor and to the principal, and we got -- we went on talking and the principal said in the end -- he said we are prejudiced, and the same as Mr. Coates up there in North Junior High -- he's our principal up there.

Training programs, and waitress and cleaning ladies, they have that job here -- the CAP job here for appropriating money for certain people, but it doesn't concern all the Indians. We all learn how to work ourselves. I worked in this kitchen here seven years, and I learn

everything all by myself. I didn't have to have a seven, eight, nine, ten dollar an hour person to teach me. I learned them all by myself, and I worked here.

And there is another thing. We need a legal aid lawyer urgently. We have one here that gave a talk the other day, but what he talked about -- I think for the best interest of the Indians, we should table this and investigation be made because Indians to get jobs have to be full blood.

There is one request one girl gave to me to read here.

"Mrs. Leroy White's statement will not reflect the general credit standing of a private person who will not ask for a big bond loan. That should be tabled and further investigation should be made, and her husband is a white man. We want full blooded Indians in there so they can be hired, they can hire Indians to work and not have a white man in there to subcontract."

MR. DILLON: Does the panel have questions? We have time for a few questions. She covered it quite thoroughly.

MR. LILLEHAUGEN: What did you mean by the last three, four sentences of what you read, where you were talking about the attorney a few days ago?

MRS. FAST HORSE: That was Tom De Grazia.

He brought this up, but I belong to the same urban council, but I didn't go to their meetings because it seemed to me likebeing me so stupid, I shouldn't be in such a place where all educated people think they are better than I am.

MR. LILLEHAUGEN: I think you have done very well.

MRS. FAST HORSE: I thank you, too.

MR. LILLEHAUGEN: But will you explain a little more what you meant. You said something about full blood.

MRS. FAST HORSE: This contract was -money appropriated for Indian to get this construction job,
and it come out of this service council, I think, and Mr.

De Grazia talked about it in his statement, and that is
supposed to be full blood Indian to contract and hire Indians,
and from what I hear, her husband is a white man,
sub-contracting from this Indian girl. She's not full blood
either. That's one reason why it should be tabled at It should
be investigated and done right away, and the Indians will be
satisfied then.

MR. LILLEHAUGEN: You said one thing -- how long has it been since your son was beaten up? How long ago was that?

MRS. FAST HORSE: It was about 8 years ago, the first beating, and the second beating was about in

1958, and I was included. A lot of those Indian boys, they stood around and said, "ILook at that police beating up on a 65 year old woman," so then they didn't stop to listen to nobody. They just went on. So when they threw me in jail, they put me — they said the charges, and he's the very same man that beat up on one of my sons up in Sloux Addition. They took him out there and beat him up and broke three ribs, and they denied it up and down because they do those things where nobody sees them. If they were brave enough, why don't they do it right here like they did with me, and my son — and somebody can witness what they have done with the Indians. But you know the crooks, they never work in the public; they work out in the dark.

MR. DILLON: If there are no more questions at this time, I would like to thank you for your fine delivery.

MRS. FAST HORSE: Thank you.

MB:-DILLON: Marie Bear.

Mrs. Bear, would you state your name and who you represent for the record.

MRS. BEAR: I am Marie Bear, and I am from the Fort Belknap Reservation. I am a cook for the emergency food system. That is a program put on by the CAP.

MR. DILLON: Do you have a statement or a

documentation?

MRS. BEAR: I am here for the law and order off and on the reservation. We have a big problem when our Indians -- we don't have a jail on the reservation. They have to take our people into Harlem, and there's nothing that -- well, what I am trying to say it is just the Indian that is hauled into this Harlem jail.

MR. CHAPPELLE: That is off the

reservation?

MRS. BEAR: Yes.

You seldom see a white man in there, and this was in June that my attention was really called to the whole thing.

My daughter was brought in the jail for -- MR. CHAPPELLE: How old is your daughter?

MRS. BEAR: She's 17, and she was hauled

in there for being drunk. She said she wasn't, and for loitering, and so they -- nobody notified me. And about, oh, this was about II:00 o'clock, two of the police -- one of our chief tribal officers and the city police, they come pounding on the door, so I went to the door. They asked for my son, Elwood -- he's 18 -- and I wasn't told. I went out and said, "What did he do," and he said, "Resisting arrest," and I said, "Well, he isn't here," so I asked them again -- I said, "Can't you tell me what he did," and they both got in the car and left, but they didn't tell me that my daughter

25

was in there, so the next -- one -- I don't know how -- this was on a Friday night, and this was -- I was so mad, and I said to her in jail -- I said, "Well, I don't know what to do."

I don't drink myself. I have always been against it, but I -- when I went and found out -- I went to the jail, and they had my daughter locked up in the cell. There was no bathroom facility. They didn't have a blanket, and she had red eyes like she had cried all night, so I said, "What happened." She said, "This cop pulled me around by my hair," she said, so I said, "They wouldn't do that to a white girl and get away with it," and so this chief of police of Harlem, a white man, I asked him -- he said, "Well, not" -he said, "not until she broke a broom over his head," and when I told my daughter, she said, "Mama, I didn't." And I said, "Well, show me that broom." I haven't seen that broom to this day, but that's what this chief of police in Harlem said to me. So I followed him around and tried to ask more. He just ignored me like I was -- "oh, you dumb Indian," something like that. So I went and talked to my daughter. They wouldn't let her out of jail. She had to stay there the 26th, 27th, 28th, 29th, and the first of the month, a 3 juvenile officer come from Havre, so I called legal service -he was new then -- he hadn't gotten his feet yet on the floor. He was just new then. So I told him about my daughter's situation, and he said, "Well, you take in a coat, blanket."

24

25

I work in Hays. That's 35 miles from where I live, the quickest I could get there, I came back after L fixedsupper for my family -- well, when I really got free it was about 8:00, so I went to town, took some blankets. another daughter with me. So we started to the police department. We waited and waited, and there was no police around town, so she said, "Well, Mother, it's 11:30, we had just as well go home now." So we looked around town, and they said this police officer is with the tribal police, they left town, so I went home then, and we lived at the edge -- it's a new housing area there, and they were parked -- I don't know who they were watching, but they were parked there. I didn't get to take the blanket into my daughter then, and the coat. Well, this was on a Tuesday, so she stayed in there -- the first was on a Thursday. I got off work as soon as I could because he was going to be there at 9:00, but he wasn't, so I waited until 1:00, and about 1:30 he came, this juvenile officer from Havre, so he asked to talk to me, so he asked me about all my children, how many I had, and how many was I have 9 in all. So when they found my going to school. daughter and she said, "I didn't break no broom over his head, he said, "Well, let's not talk about it. You just go home. with your mother," he said.

And I had a son, also, that's been from the time -- oh, he's 18 now. He was suspended from high

1	school as a freshman.
2	MR. BENNETT: Mr. Chairman, may I inquire?
3	On your daughter, she went home then?
4	MRS. BEAR: Yes.
5	MR. BENNETT: She had been in jail how
6	many days?
7	MRS. BEAR: 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, and then
8	the 1st, they let her out, and she was in this cell she
9	was locked in there, and she had to stay in there for 8, or
10	whenever they came around the next day. There's never a
11	policeman around that jail, and she had to hold herself from
12	going to the bathroom, so when she got out of there, she was
13	kind she was kind of sick, so I had to take her to the
14	hospital. She has this infected bladder from holding herself
15	too long.
16	MR. BENNETT: Was she fined?
17	MRS. BEAR: No. They just let her go.
18	MR. BENNETT: Was there ever a sentencing?
19	MRS. BEAR: No. They said they would
20	sentence her later.
21	MR. BENNETT: No sentencing?
22	MRS. BEAR. No.
23	MRS. SUMMERS: What was she charged with?
24	MRS. BEAR: Helping her brother escape,
25	loitering and drunk.

MR. BENNETT: Helping her brother escape 1 2 from where? 3 MRS. BEAR: From the police. MR. CHAPPELLE: Maybe you should explain 4 the situation here that led up to her arrest when your son 5 6 was being arrested by the police. 7 MRS. BEAR: Yes. She said -- well, I didn't see it myself. I hate to make the statement, but she 8 9 said that they had this gun in his back, and this other girl -- I don't know where she came from -- she said, but 10 11 when they saw this, this other girl hit the cop's arm, and 12 the gun went up in the air, and it flew to the ground, and 13 she kicked it under -- I don't know -- they said that she had 14 helped him escape, anyway. That was all. 15 MR. BENNETT: Did she ever have a hearing 16 before a J. P. or anything like that? 17 MRS. BEAR: No. 18 MR. BENNETT: You think she got out 19 because the legal aid attorney came from Havre; is that right? **20**. MRS. BEAR: I don't know. He never came. 21 He just told me to take the bedding. He never came. It was 22 this juvenile officer that came, and she told him, "I didn't . 23 break the broom over his head," and he said, "Well, we won't 24 talk about that," he said, "You just go home with your mother. 25 MR. BENNETT: The juvenile officer?

MRS. BEAR: Yes.

MR. BENNETT: Is the juvenile officer a legal aid person?

MRS. BEAR: No. He takes Havre and Harlem, Malta. He takes a wide area.

MR. BENNETT: He is a probation officer?

MRS. BEAR: I think that s what it is.

MR. BENNETT: Does he work out of the

Havre court?

MRS. BEAR: Yes.

MR. BENNETT: As far as you know she was never taken before a magistrate or --

MRS. BEAR: No, not in this case, but the same girl was picked again by our tribal police, and I don't know -- when they are onto one person, you go in and start paying fines -- it seems like they are just after you continuously. One boy -- the boy that was suspended; they are always after him. Not only him but -- they say they have a problem of drinking, but there's also whites. Like I say, there is never a white in that jail. It's always the Indians, and they don't inform the parents. They don't come and tell us. That's been going on for as long as I can remember. It's always the Indians that always pay heavy fines, and there's a lot of people that have come to me, and I say, "Give me a letter on this." They are afraid to. They are afraid.

So what really called my attention to this Harlem city jail, there was a lady — I don't know how old she is, but she's a diabetic, and there was one — there was another lady, but this first lady I mentioned, she also had two daughters in there with her. One was doing 30 days. She was 18, and they were all laying on the floor, and this one lady that was the diabetic — it's what you clean your feet on.

MR. BENNETT: A mat?

MRS. BEAR: She was all curled up like a ball there, and she's a diabetic. It don't take much for a diabetic to go into pneumonia, and while I was talking to my daughter there, she asked for her medicine, and they ignored her. He looked, and walked away, and these other women — one was on a cot, and there was another girl, and I said, "What are you in here for"? Well, she said, "They got me for drunk and disorderly and resisting arrest, but I have been paying my fines but," she said, "this time I'm not going to pay my fine; I'm going to sit here for 20 days," so to me we are just — seems like just always picked on, the Indians, because they pay their fines.

And this -- oh, this was in January. Our tribal police knocked at the door, but I was busy in the back at the time, and one of my girls said, "Come in." If I had known it was him, I wouldn't have let him in. And my boy was sleeping in the basement, so I said, "Go get brother."

"I got to take you and book you for drunken driving," and he said -- he was with his first cousin. I guess they were -- I didn't see it myself. Nobody saw it. The cops didn't see him, but they said they were pushing -- they were stuck in the snow bank, and they were pushing, and my son had hollered out, "Get out of the way," and he slipped and fell, and the pickup shot back. Well, he said, "Where is Rusty," and he said, "He's in the hospital. His mother signed a complaint." That was my sister-in-law, so I asked her, "Did you sign?"

"No, I did not." She said, "I didn't sign a complaint," and so I had gone to somebody he said he knew, and I said, 'Did they have a warrant?" He said, "No. He just come in and said, 'I got to take you.'

Naturally my son pleaded innocent, so here about three weeks ago, he tried to get in the army. He said, "I'm always in jail." He said, "I drink, but I'm always spotted outside. My partners, " and so on -- this was -- he was sent to Deer Lodge the 18th for two years term for parole violation.

MR. CHAPPELLE: Mrs. Bear, you stated about persons being arrested on the reservation. Are there detention facilities on the reservation?

MRS. BEAR: No.

MR. CHAPPELLE: What happens to persons

1	arrested on the reservation?
2	MRS. BEAR: They are hauled into Harlem.
3	MR. CHAPPELIE: You mentioned you visited
4.	the jail at Harlem. Could you describe the conditions of the
5	jail there at Harlem.
6	MRS. BEAR: Terrible.
7	MR. CHAPPELLE: Your girl was a juvenile
8	when she was arrested?
9`	MRS. BEAR: Yes.
10	MR. CHAPPELLE: Was she detained with
11	adult prisoners?
12	MRS. BEAR: They have no juvenile section.
13	They had her locked off in this one cell by herself.
14	MR, CHAPPELLE: I think you mentioned
15 ′	the jail was locked up at night?
16	MRS. BEAR: Yes, it's locked up at night,
17	and there is I think there is nobody there from 9:00 o'clock
18	until 9:00.
19	MR. CHAPPELLE: There was no toilet
.20	facilities there?
21	MRS. BEAR: No. But myself I never was in
22	jail, and I just can't
23	MR. CHAPPELLE: You did go in the jail?
24	MRS. BEAR: I just stood out and looked
25	in, and when they opened the door, I just looked there's

25

1

2

a concrete floor. See, it's women, some of them doing 30 days. It's terrible. Like this one lady -- they had fed them meat loaf, and they all got sick from it. My son was in there. That's the time -- no, my daughter got out, but this 18-year old boy, he was in there, and I said, "I am not going to pay I said, "You are going to sit here." I said, this fine." "If you can't keep out of mischief, you are going to sit here," so he sat there 20 days. He was on this NYC; and they were going to try to fix it up so that he could get out and work and pay this fine and I said, "No, he could sit there." I could -- this has been going on so long, but don't know. when I seen these women -- they are my people. They should Not treated as animals or always hauled in there. be helped. There is no police woman. There should be. That's one thing I found out later.

MR. CHAPPELLE: They are all men?
MRS. BEAR: Yes.

MRS. SUMMERS: Do they let them out of the cell once a day to go to the bathroom?

MRS. BEAR: Well, there is -- I haven't been back in there, but they said there is a toilet facility, but this was out, and this cell is over here. This cell here, and then back in here must be -- but they say it's too dark back there. They only stay in there. So they all sit in here

MR. CHAPPELLE: Your daughter couldn't

1 get back there? She was locked in a cell? 2 MRS. BEAR: Yes. I had asked her, "Do 3 they let you out; how often?" 4 Well, "Just a little while, until I got 5 to the bathroom." And then we asked for toilet paper; they 6 didn't hear us, and we asked for towels; they didn't hear us. 7 I don't know. 8 MR. DILLON: Mrs. Bear, excuse me for 9 interrupting at this point, but let me ask you are you aware 10 that we do have the Crow Indian who is on the Montana State 11 Prison Board? 12 MRS. BEAR: No. 13 MR. DILLON: To handle a situation like 14 you stated, and I would suggest that you contact him. He is 15 in Billings. 16 MRS. BEAR: Well, somebody told me that --17 "Your son was falsely arrested; you should appeal the case." 18 Well, I think we are beat down. We can't do anything. 19 MR. DILLON: I will help you when we get 20 baćk. 21 MR. LILLEHAUGEN: Mr. Chairman, may I ask 22 the judge a question. **23** Why couldn't you call this to the 24 attention of the proper authorities. I know we have no 25 official capacity. Nobody listens.

1	Couldn't that be done?
2	MR. BENNETT: I want to know about your
3	daughter. Your daughter, then, went to the hospital?
4	MRS. BEAR: She went to the hospital.
5	The doctor that gave medication told her, "You have a bladder
6	infection." He said, "This is a high potent pill." He said,
7	"It will make" he said, "I don't think you drink." She
8	said, "No." He said, "Don't drink when you take this pill,"
9	so she took her medication.
10	MRS. SUMMERS: Did she stay in the
11	hospital?
.12	' MRS. BEAR: No, she didn't stay in the
13	hospital. I took her home.
14	MRS. SUMMERS: Did the doctor tell you
15 -	how dangerous this was for a woman of child bearing age to
16	have a bladder infection?
17	MRS. BEAR: No.
18	MR. BENNETT: Did she get over the bladder
19	infection?
20	MRS. BEAR: In time.
21	MR. BENNETT: Has she been to the doctor,
22	the doctor who give her the pill?
23	MRS. BEAR: No, she hasn't.
24	MR. CHAPPELIE: I wanted to ask you about -
25	you say you have 9 children, right?

1	MRS. BEAR: Yes.
2	MR. CHAPPELLE: Do you have children in
3	schools either at Fort Belknap or
4	MRS. BEAR: Yes, I have three in the lower
5	grades and then I have two in high school.
6	MR. CHAPPELLE: How far is the school on
7	the reservation? I mean what is the highest grade level?
8	MRS. BEAR: Well, it goes to the 12th
9	grade, and there's a public school up to the 8th grade.
10	That's why our children have to be ~- well, I have a girl
11	that she's in the first year in college now, but she
12	refused to go to school when she was a freshman.
13	MR. CHAPPELLE: You mean a freshman in
14	high school?
15	MRS. BEAR: Yes, in Harlem.
16	MR. CHAPPELLE: She had to leave the
17	reservation and go into Harlem to go to high school, right?
18	MRS. BEAR: Yes. So we fixed it to go to
19	Flandreau, and she graduated from Flandreau this spring, but
20	she said, "I can't stand"
21	MR. CHAPPELLE: You have had children in
22	the high school in Harlem?
23	MRS. BEAR: Yes.
24	MR. CHAPPELLE: Could you describe the
25	educational facilities for Indians on the reservation.

Indian money that they get for the Indian. 2. 3 funds? 4 5 6 7 8 **,** 9 10 11 12 13 now we have to buy meal tickets. . 14 MR. CHAPPELLE: 15 16 17 MR. CHAPPELLE: 18 19 students? 20 MRS. BEAR: 21 22 23 24 25 home from school -- I don't know. This girl did something,

1

MR. CHAPPELLE: They got Johnson-O'Malley MRS. BEAR: Yes. But since my husband and I work, they told us we weren't eligible. We were both making too much money, and when you are trying to put two girls through college, I don't see why they say too much money, and we have to pay \$24.00 a month for five hot meal tickets, and myself and my husband both have land on the reservation, and I was understood that you are eligible for free meals if you live on the reservation and that -- but the past two years For lunch? MRS. BEAR: For one hot lunch. For five. I have two in high school and three in elementary. Is there a high dropout rate for Indian students in Harlem, schools for Indian Yes. Just here recently there was one Indian girl -- I don't know what happened, but she was kicked out of school so she had to go to the Busby Boarding School. She's been there about two weeks now. one of my older girls, she was telling me one day -- she came

MRS. BEAR: Well, I think myself it is just

,	he regult the minel hit
1	another white girl did, but he wasn't the principal, but
2	he was one of the teachers, and he told her he said
3	he got after her, and he said, "And don't give me that Indian
4	look." He said, "I know that look when you Indians think
5	there's somebody down on you," so that's
6	MR. CHAPPELLE: Things are pretty bad in
7	Harlem for you?
8	MRS. BEAR: Yes. Well, back they have
9	a new principal there, and the white girls wear their dress up
10	here, and my girl the principal told her, "You shouldn't
11	wear a dress like that. He said, "You are too big; you don't
12	look good." She said, "There's white girls dressed like me,
, 13	too," but they come back on us.
14	MR. CHAPPELLE: Is there an advisory
15	council committee in Harlêm?
16	MRS. BEAR: I don't think so.
17	MR. CHAPPELLE: Are the Indians active
18	in the PTA in Harlem or on the reservation?
19	MRS. BEAR: Not much.
20	MR. LILLEHAUGEN: Do your belong?
21	MRS. BEAR: No.
22	MR. LILLEHAUGEN: Perhaps you should.
23	Have you ever thought about going? Maybe you would be good.
24	MRS. BEAR: Yeah, I have thought about it.
25	MR. CHAPPELLE: Do you have notice of

1	these meetings?
2	MRS. BEAR: No.
3	MR. BENNETT: I want to ask one more
4	question. Your daughter, the one that went to jail, had she
5	ever been in jail or trouble before?
6	MRS. BEAR: No. After that she's been
7	picked up, and this past this January, the chief of police,
8	he's the one that was hired and fired. Well, he's I
9	don't know what you call him.
10	MR. CHAPPELLE: You mean in Harlem?
11	MRS. BEAR: On the reservation. I always
12	thought if you have tribal policemen, shouldn't they be
13	working on the reservation?
14	MR. CHAPPELLE: Would you explain that.
15	MRS. BEAR: When your tribal policemen
16	are hired, tribal policemen, shouldn't they just work for
17	them on the reservation?
18	MR CHAPPELLE: Where has he been working?
19	MRS BEAR: Well, they are working in
20	Harlem. *
21	MR. CHAPPELLE: Off the reservation?
22	MRS. BEAR: They are off the reservation.
23	MR. BENNETT: They work with the city
24	police, don't they?
25	" MRS. BEAR: Yes. Our city police are

always on the reservation.

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

,16

17

18

୍ 19 "

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CHAPPELLE: Are they deputized?

We went to our tribal council

MRS. BEAR: I don't know that.

MR. BENNETT: Yes.

MRS. BEAR:

five times. We started in July on problems like this, but they passed the buck, and now they put -- they were afraid or something, but they heard the tribal deal, offer to our superintendent now, and things seem to have gotten worse. They foul people, like this once -- my daughter and I were watching -- this was a Saturday night, and this boy -- they don't have a policeman in Hays. They don't have one in Deer Lodge -- and he's just a young guy, and he was at the police station, and he -- I don't know who he was following. He came back -- the bars are all like together. He came driving down looking around, he went back to the police station and parked there, and he walked in there, and he was talking, and he got back in his car, and he drove up by the well, this boy should have been in Hays; not in Harlem. seems to me our tribal police are in Harlem.

MR. BENNETT: What is your husband's name?

MRS. BEAR: Elwood.

MR: BENNETT: What is your daughter's

name?

MRS. BEAR: Mamee Jean.

	्र ^क भ दे:
Ĭ	MR. BENNETT: 'Is she at home now?
2	MRS. BEAR: Yes. She goes to school.
3	MR. BENNETT: She goes to which school?
4	MRS. BEAR: Harlem High.
5	MR. BENNETT: She was arrested I think it
6	was in Harlem and off the reservation?
7.	MRS. BEAR: Yes.
8	MR. BENNETT: There was a tribal
9	policeman there at the time?
10	MRS. BEAR: Just the city police.
. 11	MR. BENNETT: Thank you.
12	MR. LILLEHAUGEN: May I ask you a
. 13	question.
14	Do you feel that you have no place to
15	- turn? Is that the way you people feel?
< -16-	MRS. BEAR: Yes.
±17.	MR. EILLEHAUGEN: Don't you have a good
18	minister or good priest? Do you ever go to them? I know you
19	are laughing, but that is what they are there for. Don't you
20	* have a priest or minister? What do they do up there? Don't
21	you have a good priest there?
22	MRS. BEAR: You have to go to Hays, the
, 23	mission.
^ 24	MR. LILLEHAUGEN: Have you ever gone to
25	the mission and told them?

MRS. BEAR: No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

MR, LILLEHAUGEN: Why don't you try them. Maybe they would help you. Maybe. You never know.

MR. MILLIGAN: I'd like to interject. It's a well documented case, the Dave White case where this Sisseton boy, 17 years old, hung himself in jail after forty one and a half days without a hearing, and I think if any of the Commissioners have not read this, they should, and then you will understand.

MR. LILLEHAUGEN: We all know these things. It seems to me also we should perhaps give some sort of amateur advice as to where to go.

I mean there are people of good will. All of us here are concerned. I don't know half of these things. I think you should go to your priest and try. Why don't you go to the mayor; he seems like a good man. If these things come up, I think you should call those of us who are on the Civil Rights Commission. You have a judge in Montana. What better man can you have. I am sure all of us would at least try, but I can say in my case we don't get these things. Nobody tells us. You know what I mean, because these things are sad, and it is our job to try to help you. It seems to me that people are coming in that obviously are in difficulty, as this lady is. Maybe we should tell them a few things, down to earth things to do, and one thing is to go to your

21

22°

23

24

25

clergymen. That is what they are for, and if they don't help you, you have tried, you know.

MRS. BEAR: We started with our tribal police. We vote them in there. We don't get no results.

Instead -- well, our tribal policeman, he was on two of the reservations. I heard he was fired. Well, he was in Harlem.

MR. CHAPPELLE: You mean the one right now on the reservation?

MRS. BEAR: The tribal police chief right He's from Harlem, but who hires him, we don't know, but we went to him. There's just one member on our council. What can we do? This has been going on so long. judge -- I said, "I'm getting sick and tired of this." I said, "If I am not here, I am over to Harlem." I said, "On account of my kids." I said, "I don't tell them to drink." I said, "I don't do it myself but why should I come" --I said, "I have been honest; I have been fair with my husband. I have never " -- this is what I shouldn't say, but I was mad. I said, "I never go around having sneaky love affairs." That's what our chief of police now that we have -- I think that is why he was fired from Harlem, but I don't know who hires him, so when I had gone and I talked to him, I said -this is the case with my son, before he was sent to Deer Lodge -- "I am still waiting for -- you could at least have the courtesy to come and notify me saying that your son is

in Harlem jail, he's been there" -- I was so mad I didn't go this last time -- so they sent him to Chinook, and he was in there 22 days, and they haven't notified me, so when I told the chief of police, he said, "Well, he's 18," and I said, "I went to somebody that knows something; I don't know too much about law," I said, but they said he was falsely arrested. I know when you came in there, you said" -- well, naturally, he's going to plead guilty -- I said, "You didn't see him driving that pickup or with the key in the ignition, you didn't " -- and I said, "The other boy's mother didn't sign no complaint," and he said, "Well, there was kids around there as witnesses."

Well, at the time, there was just -there was an old couple we live by, and our house and a
trailer house. They didn't see it. There was nobody in this
new housing area yet. This was just this past January, so
they said, "Well, there was other kids that saw it."

MR. BENNETT: Could you give me the date do you have the date well in mind when Mamee Jean was put in the Harlem jail?

MRS. BEAR: June 26th, Friday evening.

MR. BENNETT: June of this year?

MRS. BEAR: Of this year. She was in there until the Thursday on the first when the juvenile officer comes down once a month, and it isn't only her, but there's

1	been other juveniles that they have picked up. Some little
2	boys up from this housing. I told the mother, "Would you give
3	me the letter." She won't. Instead of taking this little
4	boy to the mother and telling her or even telling her that
5	they were taking him in, they just let him off at the house.
6	They had kept him overnight. That's how they do it
7	MR. DILLON: Do you have any further
8	statements at this time, Mrs. Bear?
9	· MRS, BEAR: I have got this letter I'd
10	like to read here.
11	(Reading of a letter.)
12 '	MR. DILLON: Mrs. Bear, we thank you for
13	your information.
14	MRS. BEAR: I didn't mean to get
15	emotional, but
16	MR. DILLON: Is Mr. DeSersa in the room?
17	MR. DESERSA: Yes.
18	MR. DILLON: Would you take the witness
19	stand, please.
20	Would you state your name and who you
21	represent for the record, please.
22	MR. DESERSA: Mr. Chairman and members of
23	the Commission, I thank you for giving me this opportunity.
24	My name is John DeSersa, I am publisher of the Shannon News
25	on the Pine Ridge Indian Reservation, and I am director for

the food program there, and a member of the district school board. Presently I am here with my wife. She is secretary of the tribe. She was supposed to be here, but she told me to speak on her behalf, since I am familiar with the portion of the tribal government and things that I am going to speak on here.

1

2

3

4

5

-6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

First of all, I know that this Commission-I don't know just how much they can do in order to solve the problems on the reservation that need solving. I imagine some of the reports will go up and some will be neglected as before. I had the opportunity to appear before another commission by our Commissioner of Indian Affairs, and being secretary of the school board, the school board passed a bunch of recommendations to this commission that was held in Pine Ridge, and they appointed me to present them, so I went there, and the commission chairman assured me that everything was confidential until the report got back to the commission and until he released it. Meanwhile one of the tribal members was appointed to the commission, and in our report, they made a mistake of saying that he received a political payoff in protecting the former superintendent there, and I was arrested for it, being secretary of the school board, and I was arrested one day -- they sent me a notice of a civil action in the mail, and I didn't pick up my mail, so I got a warrant arresting me for not picking up my mail, and the second day

20

21

22

23

24

25

they had the hearing I plead not guilty, and the third day they tried me. When they were getting ready to try me, tribal council was in session, so I went before them to ask them to intervene, and they said, "We don't interfere with the court," so I paid \$450.00, and I plead they had no jurisdiction on me because I was not a tribal member on the reservation. I asked for a decision from the Attorney General, and they denied me that, but what I am going to get down to is one of the cases — this is what the people on the reservation are faced with, and what I wanted to ask the Commission when and how can this Commission act to bring the civil rights laws down within the reservation to make it applicable.

We have no civil rights laws on the reservation. We have no way of appeal whatsoever. at the mercy of the judges there and the tribal council. have no way to appeal on the outside. We go to - I have this one case on appeal now in Rapid-City by my attorney, and by the time he gets into federal court, two, three years, usually it's dismissed or throwed out. Every member of the Oglala Sioux Tribe and Rosebud Tribe are being denied their rights in court of appeal. There is no such thing. When you talk of civil rights, you are talking about civil rights on the outside; not on the reservation. We have nobody there to Just like the gentleman stated, go to a Catholic help us. This is absolutely out. They couldn't help you if priest.

- 11	l i	
1	they wanted to. First of all, the court would tell them to	
2	keep their nose out of their business.	
3	MR. SMITH: What you are saying is that	
4	the Indian bill of rights is so ineffective they do nothing?	
5	MR. DESERSA: They don't fit nothing.	
6	MR. LILLEHAUGEN: What I meant is that	
7	sometimes when you give people that ought to at least command	
8	respect in the community if they can call attention to	
9	these things is what I meant. I know they can't do anything	
10	officially.	1
11	MR. DESERSA: Well, this is true, but even	
12	if they call attention to it, then they are in jeopardy, too.	
13	MR. LILLEHAUGEN: Yes, but if they are	
14	people of considerable	
15	MR. DESERSA: Well, you don't find many	
16	of these on the reservation. When you do get courage, you	
17	are put in jail.	
18	MR. LILLEHAUGEN: Not in the white man	
19	MR. DESERSA: Either that or he is	
20	threatened to be kicked off the reservation.	
21	MR. LILLEHAUGEN: I am talking about the	
22	clergyman or the priest.	
23	MR. DESERSA: Our Oglala lawyers, just	
24	like Tom Smithson, and I respect him I don't know what his	
- 25	purpose is. He gives advice when needed, but the people at	

Pine Ridge are totally lacking any kind of defense. I was an attorney there until I got into it with the judge, and when my term expired, the judge would not sign another permit to continue because of political differences and legal differences. Our attorneys there -- I have been a part of it. I have been in court. In other words, most of them haven't even got a high school education let alone a college education, and they go in, and if the judge likes the attorney, you can get him to throw it out, but if you are political, then you get the maximum in most cases.

MR. DILLON: Mr. DeSersa, at this point, you know, the 1968 Indian Civil Rights Act, it seems that it is pretty broad because it even deals with such things as dehumanization, like making fun of the way a person talks if Design Nakai. The speaks Indian, like the case of Dodd versus Nackey, in which case I think they threw out because they couldn't substantiate a few things such as he didn't have a witness. Like with the Crow Tribe, we had a case where the secretary brought an injunction under civil rights into the federal district court in Billings, and the only reason that it was thrown out is the fact that he did not protest in council, so I think that you will find that if people were aware of just how much teeth it actually has they could invoke this, but it has to be done federally.

MR. DESERSA: This is true. It has to be

done federally but -- of course, you know the law and order system on the reservation is a little bit different. All the ones I am familiar with has generally the same problems. Pine Ridge, I find -- well, I find that the civil rights laws don't apply there either to the tribal council or to the court itself in any phase. I mean even their laws violate the federal laws. If they don't want something, they will pass a law, and it states in there they can't pass a law unless it coincides with the federal law, but still they do it for their own political purposes, and this denies the rights of the I have tried several ways to get an appeal. they had an appeal at court. I went to that appeal. were three judges. Well, after it was over, two judges They said the chief judge wrote out their opinion, resigned. and he agreed with himself on my sentence, and there was no other appeal, and I couldn't take it to federal court. come up here. I paid the attorney money, and he is still on it, and he says, "Wait, we'll try to get it in," but how is he going to get in federal court. I think what we need is a federal appeal court to handle a certain amount of reservations where they can appeal direct to them and not have all this waiting time and all this stoppage, because most of the Indian people haven't got the money to come outside to appeal, so then they are at the mercy of a dictatorship within the peservation, and each year half of the people

25

suffer. One political group gets in and then the other half suffer, and it just goes back and forth like this. There is no justice whatsoever. Each political group runs their own court system the way they want to run it. They sentence who they want to sentence. They turn out who they want to. This is why I say the civil rights law is ineffective on the reservation.

MR. DILLON: In effect what you are saying is that even your tribal government itself is actually violating the civil rights of the people.

MR. DESERSA: Yes. The Pine Ridge Reservation and Rosebud Reservation has denied people their rights right through their own laws. Just like the other day they passed -- they had a council meeting and -- I don't know if most of you are familiar with this, but I'll just bring it up as an example. It says the tribal government body has a right to appoint tribal employees by two thirds majority, and that was Section 22 of the code, and Section 23 says the executive board has authority to employ temporary. the case of Hobart Keith, the judge there, he went before the council -- they have failed to get two thirds majority. term expired, and they brought him up to election, and they failed to get two thirds, so the council said in the resolution ordinance they passed we failed to get two thirds majority, and we cannot get it, so we will call a

Reith back in there temporary, which they do not have the authority. The executive committee already appointed one. So now he is sitting in there trying people without authority, without taking the oath as a judge, and all these people are being denied their rights. He's sitting there illegally as a judge right now in accordance with the tribe's only law, but you can't appeal to no civil rights. There is no way to appeal. If you get in front of him, even though he has not taken an oath or nothing, he can sentence you.

MR. BENNETT: I think under the civil rights act you might very well be able to get your legal aid attorney to take this to federal court.

MR. DESERSA: What legal aid?

MR. BENNETT: Don't you have a legal aid

attorney?

MR. DESERSA: Mr. Smithson, but he don't take individual cases. He's more or less I believe as an advisor to the tribe, legal portion, but they don't have to take it.

MR. SMITH: The Indian Bill of Rights has within it the habeas corpus provision, and it is not provided that you have an attorney in order to apply for a writ of habeas corpus. I would think that even a lay council maybe with just the advice from Mr. Smithson, you know, like

5

yourself could fill out an application for a writ of habeas corpus in a situation like that.

MR. BENNETT: And further I think you should know that at least one United States district judge doesn't think the 1968 civil rights act is limited to habeas corpus.

MR. DESERSA: Well, we had one occasion -the school board had one occasion to go up and ask -- I don't
know what grounds he turned it down on, but we asked him to
draw us up a resolution to present to the tribal council
there, and he didn't want to get involved because of political
reasons, and he didn't draw it up for us. I mean this is -we have no -- unless we go on the outside and get an attorney
from the outside, then when an attorney comes in there, if
the judge wants him to sit in, he can, and if he don't want to,
he says, "You are not licensed to practice here."

MR. BENNETT: I am pretty sure you can get into the United States District Court in South Dakota simply by -- like, you could draw a petition and ask the removal of that judge under the 1968 Civil Rights Act.

MR. DESERSA: In time, yes. By this time they will have a referendum vote and it will be settled.

What I say is we need a court of appeal that we can go a lot faster than what the federal courts are doing because by the time we get there, it is old stuff, and

24

25

they throw it out, but meantime during this period of time all those people are under his mercy and are suffering from it.

MR. DILLON: You seem to have that on the reservation or close to the reservation that the attorneys that are available -- are you referring that they have a conflict of interest so you can't get the representation?

This is it -- I mean he

MR. DESERSA:

talked about the attorneys. I practice. I am not an attorney. All they do is pay \$5.00 into the court, and they are licensed under the court to practice. Regardless -- there is no legal qualifications, no testing whatsoever. If you want to be an attorney, you just go pay \$5.00, and they give it to Now, this is not -- in fact, some of the people come up before the judge for trial no more than their attorney, but if you go down and sit in one of these courts, you would understand. If you get up and start quoting the law, the judge will say, "Shut up and sit down, and you shut up and sit down or he'll find you in contempt. During my trial, my attorney up here wrote me out a line of defense. I had my papers before me. I was quoting federal laws to the so-called judge down there, and he said, "What Fou got in that paper?"

I said, "This is my defense."

"Let me see them."

I said, "No, they are mine, "

And he ordered the clerk to come and take

1 them, and I wouldn't do it, so he fined me \$15.00 contempt of 2 Now, this is the type of court we are faced with. court. 3 " MR. LILLEHAUGEN: Did he take the papers? 4 MR. DESERSA: Yes, he took them. I give 5 them to him. 6 MR. LILLEHAUGEN: Always make two copies 7 of everything. 8: MR. DESERSA: Well, I got the copies back. 9 My attorney got them, but he took them, and made a copy, and brought them back, but he fined me \$15.00 for not giving up 10 11 my defense papers. In the meantime he sat up there and read 12 them, what I was going to present in my defense. 13 prosecuting attorney never said one word. 14 prosecuted me. This is what the reservations are faced with. 15 I mean this is a laugh, but this is just the seriousness. 16 These people come up and tell about justice and infraction of 17 law, but there is no court on the reservation. It ain't even 18 a good kangaroo court 19 LAYMON: In spite of everything, you say, though, nevertheless, the Indians by and large want to 20 retain their séparate jurisdiction. This is a kangaroo type 21 22 of justice. How do you account for that? 23 MR. DESERSA: I will tell you there's a 24 lot of talk on whether they want to retain or not. 25 as far as retaining it into the old category or into the new.

14

15 16

17

18 19

20°

21,

22

23

24

25

A lot of them say that a legal judge cannot operate there, and it's been tried once, and they said it failed to a certain extent. I am aware of Rosebud. I felt the judge down there and the attorneys were so wrapped up in any tribal policy that they could not run the court right to begin with because every movement had to coincide with the people in power there, because if it didn't, then their program or their job would be in jeopardy, so how can you run — how can you go ahead and make decisions against the people in power, the legal government. Pine Ridge is the same way. There is no attorney that can come in there and take up for people because the first thing they would be in jeopardy with the tribal council. They'd ask for their removal.

MR. SMITH: After the passage of the '68 act there was a program to train tribal judges. Do you know if the trial court judge at Pine Ridge has taken part in this training?

MR. DESERSA: Not to my knowledge. Anyway, he don't show any sign.

MR. LAYMON: Also as a part of the act they were supposed to draw up a model code, so what someone did was --

MR. SMITH: The code is now being

redrawn.

4

MR. DESERSA: I think the only question

is for a person in order to retain his rights to any tribal government is to have a special federal court system that just pertains to the Indians on certain reservations. Say we have one board in South Dakota where all the cases can be appealed out of tribal court.

MR. SMITH: So you think there should be an over-all Indian appeal court?

MR. DESERSA: Yes. This is the only way within the next 10 years we are going to get justice on the reservation.

MR. BENNETT: How are you getting along with the United States Commissioner down in Rosebud?

MR. DESERSA: It's true, but the work load that he has got and the time he sits in there, a lot of things that are important to the Indian people is not really important to him.

MR. BENNETT: You don't want to go to the United States District Court?

MR. DESERSA: I'd rather have a special court set up for the reservation only where we can appeal and be heard within three weeks or something along that nature.

Our civil suits and our cases are a mockery of justice.

MR. DILLON: We are running overtime here, Mr. DeSersa. You are giving us a pretty vital coverage of the situation, and I think it is pretty dominant everywhere

else.

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

ģ

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If there are no questions at this time, do you have a few closing comments you want to make?

MR. DESERSA: I wanted to wait until after dinner because I could testify better on a full stomach, and I figured everybody would listen better. I did have a lot more to testify regarding the Shannon County schools, and some of the other rights that were denied, but I am glad that you took the time to listen, and I hope that this Commission can do something to alleviate the people on the reservation, to help them get justice under the civil rights law and to move this dictatorial system that we have even through our tribal government, tribal court because this is why you get so many complaints because you hear the people but you don't go to the root of it.

> MR. DILLON: Thank you.

At this time we will adjourn for

until 1:30.

(Noon recess taken.)