# Disclaimer for Rough Real-Time Transcripts

"Do not quote or rely on this uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at <a href="mailto:transcripts@usccr.gov">transcripts@usccr.gov</a>."

1

#### U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

+ + + + +

BUSINESS MEETING UNEDITED

+ + + + +

FRIDAY, AUGUST 19, 2016

+ + + + +

The Commission convened in Suite 1150 at 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest, Washington, D.C., at 10:00 a.m., Martin R. Castro, Chairman, presiding. PRESENT:

MARTIN R. CASTRO, Chairman

PATRICIA TIMMONS-GOODSON, Vice Chair\*

ROBERTA ACHTENBERG, Commissioner\*

GAIL HERIOT, Commissioner

PETER N. KIRSANOW, Commissioner\*

DAVID KLADNEY, Commissioner\*

KAREN NARASAKI, Commissioner

MAURO MORALES, Staff Director

MAUREEN RUDOLPH, General Counsel

\* Present via telephone

#### STAFF PRESENT:

LATRICE FOSHEE

PAMELA DUNSTON, Chief, ASCD

SEAN GOLIDAY

ALFREDA GREENE

JENNIFER HEPLER

LENORE OSTROWSKY

JUWANDA SMITH

BRIAN WALCH

MICHELLE YORKMAN

#### COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

SHERYL COZART

ALEC DUELL

JASON LAGRIA

CARRISA MULDER

ALISON SOMIN

KIMBERLY TOLHURST

### A G E N D A

APPROVAL OF AGENDA5
BUSINESS MEETING A. Program Planning Discussion and vote on Commission statement concerning recent settlement requiring Texas to issue birth certificates to U.S. citizen children of undocumented immigrants
Discussion and vote on Commission Press Release on USCCR Report on Peaceful Coexistence18
Discussion and vote on Commission Press Release on Selection of Women in Prison as topic for 2017 Statutory Enforcement report24
Discussion and vote on Commission statement concerning U.S. Supreme Court's decision in GG v. Gloucester County School Board
Discussion and vote on a letter the Department of Education and Department of Justice: Re: the Oklahoma State Advisory Committee's Report "Civil Rights and the School-to-Prison Pipeline in Oklahoma."
Discussion and vote on statement concerning the recent decision on the North Carolina voter ID law
Proposal by Press Secretary Brian Walch on Issuance of Multiple Press Releases63
B. State Advisory Committees (SACs) Presentation by North Carolina State Advisory Committee (SAC) Chair Matty Lazo-Chadderton on Civil Rights and Environmental Justice in North Carolina
State Advisory Committee Appointments

C. Management and Operations
Staff Director Report9
Discussion and vote on Commission 2017 Business Meeting Calendar9
Discussion on 2017 Commemoration of 60th Anniversary of USCCR10
Status of the Website Migration10
Vacancy Announcement Office of Civil Rights Civil Rights Analyst10
Commission Outreach Use of Social Media10
ADJOURN MEETING

## PROCEEDINGS

1	FROCEEDINGS
2	(10:00 a.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: It is currently 10:00
4	a.m. Eastern Time and I am calling this meeting of the
5	U.S. Commission on Civil Rights to order. It is August
6	19, 2016 and we are meeting here at the Commission's
7	Headquarters at 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite
8	1550 in Washington, D.C.
9	I'm Chairman Marty Castro. Commissioners
10	that are present with me here in the Headquarters are
11	Commissioners Narasaki and Heriot. Participating by
12	phone, currently are our Vice Chair, Commissioner
13	Timmons-Goodson and Commissioners Achtenberg and
14	Kladney. That gives us a quorum. We are expecting
15	Commissioner Kirsanow to join at some point and when he
16	does, he will let us know and we will indicate for the
17	record that he is on.
18	Is the court reporter present?
19	COURT REPORTER: I am.
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And is the Staff
21	Director present?
22	MR. MORALES: Present.
23	I. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, the meeting will
25	know come to order. The first item is the approval of

1	the agenda.
2	I move that we approve the agenda. Is
3	there a second?
4	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Are we going to
5	amend it?
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, we are going to
7	amend it, though.
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I second.
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. And then we have
10	some amendments. So, let me move to amend the agenda
11	to add a discussion and vote on the approval of a press
12	release on a recent ruling on a voting rights decision
13	and also to remove from consideration at this month's
14	meeting the D.C. and Ohio SAC packages, which were not
15	fully complete for this meeting. We would expect that
16	they will be available for us to vote on at the next
17	meeting. Is there a second to that?
18	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: This is the
19	Vice Chair. I will second.
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you, Madam Vice
21	Chair. Do you want to make an additional motion to
22	amend, Commissioner Narasaki?
23	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes, Mr. Chair,
24	I'd like to remove from consideration the two
25	statements, one on Dalip Singh Saund and the other on

1	the 100th Anniversary of the first female
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is there a second to that
3	amendment?
4	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Why are we doing
5	that?
6	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I'll second,
7	Kladney.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney
9	seconds it.
10	Commissioner Heriot, you had a question?
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's right. I
12	was curious why you don't want to do it.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I guess there was a
14	change of heart. These are for November. So, I think
15	maybe if we are going to do it, we might want to consider
16	doing it as a joint one of the issues that have come
17	up is that we have a lot of commemorative and press
18	release statements. So, we want to consolidate some of
19	these. And I will have Brian, our Press Secretary, talk
20	about that once we vote on these.
21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay, if we are
22	going to do it for November, I'm okay.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, I mean I don't know.
24	We are going to look at whether or not there is a way
25	to consolidate some of these because we are doing a lot

1	of commemoratives. And that way, there may be some
2	other things that are coming up, if we can do these as
3	a package.
4	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Because I have some
5	amendments to those. I think I can improve them.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, well that is
7	something that will allow us to speak about it and give
8	us a little more time. As I said, they don't come up
9	until November. So, we have a little bit of time on
10	those.
11	So, we had a second on that. So, I will now
12	move that we vote on the agenda, as amended.
13	All those in favor, say aye.
14	(Chorus of ayes.)
15	Any opposed?
16	Any abstentions?
17	II. BUSINESS MEETING
18	A. PROGRAM PLANNING
19	DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON COMMISSION STATEMENT CONCERNING
20	RECENT SETTLEMENT REQUIRING TEXAS TO ISSUE BIRTH
21	CERTIFICATES TO U.S. CITIZEN CHILDREN OF UNDOCUMENTED
22	IMMIGRANTS
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, so the first item
24	on our agenda then is a discussion and vote on the
25	Commission statement regarding a recent settlement in

the Texas birth certificate case. You all know that this is a case that we, as the Commission, have been following closely. We have engaged in it from our perspective in getting statements to Texas asking them to cease and desist the action that they were taking. We have also requested that the Justice Department look into this and become engaged.

As you all know, there was a lawsuit that was filed by a public interest civil rights groups in Texas which resulted in a settlement, which has brought implications.

So, you have all received a copy of the proposed statement and let me just read that into the record, especially for those who are participating on the phone. I would move that we adopt the following statement.

The United States Commission on Civil Rights welcomes recent settlement requiring Texas to issue birth certificates to U.S. citizen children of undocumented immigrants. The United States Commission on Civil Rights welcomes the recent settlement in the case against the Texas Department of Health Service Vital Statistics Unit involving Texas's denial of birth certificates to U.S. citizen children born in the United States to undocumented immigrant parents. This is an

1 issue that the Commission engaged when we learned of 2 Texas's conduct, which the majority of the Commission 3 believes violates the 14th Amendment to the U.S. 4 Constitution. Failure to have their birth 5 certificates denies U.S. citizens the documentation needed to prove their status and to access benefits to 6 7 which they and every other U.S. citizen are entitled. 8 On July 7, 2015, the Commission wrote to the 9 Interim Commissioner at the Texas Department of State 10 Health Services, Mr. Kurt Cole, demanding that Texas 11 cease desist its denial of birth certificates to U.S. 12 citizen children of undocumented parents. On the same 13 day, the Commission requested that the Attorney General 14 of the United States, Loretta Lynch, open a formal 15 investigation denial into Texas's of birth 16 certificates. 17 On March 21, 2016, the Commission followed 18 up with the Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights, 19 Vanita Gupta, and requested that the United States 20 Department of Justice review the civil lawsuit filed by 21 several Texas public interest groups and consider 22 intervening in the suit, given the important federal 23 issues at stake.

settlement under the terms of which it will begin

On Friday July 22, 2016, Texas agreed to a

24

issuing the previously denied birth certificates by accepting from undocumented parents additional forms of identification. These will include forms of identification it has accepted in the past, such as Mexican voter identification cards.

The Commission welcomes the news that Texas will end its practice of denying vital documents to U.S. citizens. These documents not merely prove U.S. citizenship, they enable parents to have their children enrolled in school and daycare, be immunized, and have access to healthcare.

Additionally, we commend the bravery of the undocumented parents who sought justice for their U.S. citizen children in the courts, even while knowing that doing so might put them at risk for deportation.

"The settlement of this case should send a clear message to all states that efforts to circumvent the 14th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution in order to target the children of immigrants for the denial of their rights of citizenship will not be allowed to stand. We thank the Texas public interest law firms for fighting this good fight and protecting the rights of these U.S. citizen children," stated Commission Chairman Martin R. Castro.

Do I have a second?

1 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Second. 2 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And those on the phone, 3 please mention your name when you indicate -- when you 4 make a statement. Who was that? 5 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Commissioner Achtenberg. 6 7 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you, Commissioner 8 Achtenberg. Any discussion? 9 Commissioner Heriot. 10 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, I am going to be 11 voting against this statement. I think it unfairly 12 characterizes what the State of Texas has been doing. 13 Texas, like every other state in the union does not 14 permit anybody to walk in off the street and say hey, 15 I want so-and-so's birth certificate. You have to have 16 a relationship to that person and you have to be able 17 to prove it. And this litigation has simply been about 18 what kinds of identification are sufficiently 19 trustworthy for the State of Texas to be willing to 20 release information like this, which of course, 21 very sensitive information, including 22 information on paternity, which is often something that 23 people don't really want anybody to be able to grab off 24 the street.

maybe Texas should have allowed

Now,

1	different identification. They have gone through this
2	now. They have changed their procedure somewhat. To
3	make this sound like the State of Texas was trying to
4	deny birth certificates in order to make life hard on
5	people born in the country to immigrant parents I think
6	is utter nonsense.
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, let me respond to
8	that, Commissioner. Certainly, you have your personal
9	view on it but it is not like other states were denying
10	these. It is Texas. And these other states are
11	accepting documents that Texas was refusing from the
12	same kinds of parents.
13	These are not people walking in off the
14	street willy-nilly, saying, hey, give me a birth
15	certificate.
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: How does Texas know
17	that?
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: These are the parents of
19	the children legally born in the United States.
20	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: They have to prove
21	they are the parents.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: May I finish,
23	Commissioner?
24	And Texas, unfortunately, is at the heart
25	of so many recent cases and efforts to undercut the

rights of voters, of immigrants, of people of color,
whether it is in the area of affirmative action, voting
rights, the issue of access to birth certificates. So,
it is certainly an issue of great concern because had
Texas been able to continue with this conduct, you can
rest assured that the 22 other states that joined Texas
in fighting the President's Executive Order on
Immigration, DACA and DAPA, would probably end up
denying these same birth certificates to these types of
children all over the country. And we want to make sure
that that does not happen not on our watch.
COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That is utter
nonsense.
nonsense.  Commissioner Narasaki.
Commissioner Narasaki.
Commissioner Narasaki.  COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Two things, Mr.
Commissioner Narasaki.  COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Two things, Mr.  Chair. First, I want to suggest we amend the draft
Commissioner Narasaki.  COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Two things, Mr.  Chair. First, I want to suggest we amend the draft statement. Vanita Gupta was not confirmed as Assistant
Commissioner Narasaki.  COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Two things, Mr.  Chair. First, I want to suggest we amend the draft statement. Vanita Gupta was not confirmed as Assistant Attorney General.
COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Two things, Mr.  Chair. First, I want to suggest we amend the draft statement. Vanita Gupta was not confirmed as Assistant Attorney General.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.
COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Two things, Mr.  Chair. First, I want to suggest we amend the draft statement. Vanita Gupta was not confirmed as Assistant Attorney General.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.  COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: So, her actual
COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Two things, Mr.  Chair. First, I want to suggest we amend the draft statement. Vanita Gupta was not confirmed as Assistant Attorney General.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.  COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: So, her actual title is Principle Deputy Assistant AG.
COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Two things, Mr.  Chair. First, I want to suggest we amend the draft statement. Vanita Gupta was not confirmed as Assistant Attorney General.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.  COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: So, her actual title is Principle Deputy Assistant AG.  And the second thing is, in response to the

Supreme Court law that states that children who are born in the U.S., whether they are born of undocumented parents or not are U.S. citizens. And that is what this case is about, is making sure that children who are born here are able to claim their full right of citizenship under the law. COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman?

Narasaki just said "it is well-known that."

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot.

Commissioner COMMISSIONER HERTOT:

We are the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights and we are not supposed to be acting on "it is well-known that" kinds of rumors. When then-Majority Leader Lyndon Baines Johnson was passing the statute that created our Commission, he said that he wanted our Commission to be able to establish facts; facts, not fancies. It is our job to actually state what we know, based on actual facts not on "it is well-known."

This is a case where you are accusing a state of doing something wrong, when in fact they have a duty to protect peoples' privacy. And they made a judgment that the particular ID that was commonly being used that is issued by the Mexican Consulate there in Texas was simply not reliable. They did not say that other methods of proving paternity or maternity were

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

unreliable. They were not keen on this method.
Now, maybe they have come up with something
that will work better for everybody in this settlement
but accusing them of being violators of the Constitution
is grossly unfair and fails to recognize the complexity
of the issues before us.
CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki.
COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I will just note,
Commissioner Heriot, that clearly the state must have
felt that there was enough reason, in terms of the
plaintiffs' case that they were persuaded to settle. I
don't think it is necessary to re-litigate the case.
This is a statement about commending the settlement and
noting the long-held position that the Commission has
been taking on this particular issue.
CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, any other
commissioners wish to respond before I call the question
for a vote?
Hearing none, then let me take a roll call
vote. Has Commissioner Kirsanow now joined?
COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes, I was in some
kind of holding pattern for the last ten minutes.
CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, well, we are glad
you are on. Thank you. How do you vote?

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
2	how do you vote?
3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,
5	how do you vote?
6	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
8	how do you vote?
9	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I abstain.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
11	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
12	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki?
14	(No audible response.)
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Vice Chair, how do
16	you vote?
17	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Abstain.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote yes. So, we
19	have two nos, one, two three, yeses, and two
20	abstentions. So, it passes.
21	Four yeses? One, two, three four yeses
22	No, wait. I have myself no, we have two
23	abstentions, Commissioner Kladney and the Vice Chair.
24	And Commissioner Yaki is not voting. He is not on.
25	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: So that is three.

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Three.
2	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Three yeses, two
3	nos.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Three yeses, two nos,
5	and two abstentions.
6	So seven commissioners participated.
7	Okay.
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: An abstention
9	counts towards the quorum but it doesn't count it
10	passes. Yes, abstentions count towards quorum. It
11	passes. Unhappily, it passes.
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Do you agree, Madam
13	General Counsel? Thank you.
14	So, the motion passes and we will issue that
15	and we will discuss a little later how we may want to
16	package some of the number of statements we have related
17	to various pieces of litigation.
18	DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON COMMISSION PRESS RELEASE ON USCCR
19	REPORT ON PEACEFUL COEXISTENCE
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Next, since we removed
21	the two statements related to the commemorations, I am
22	next going to move on to a statement related to the
23	Peaceful Coexistence Report that we have voted to issue.
24	We are going to have a statement that will
25	accompany the report. It has been circulated to

1 Hopefully, everyone has had a chance to look everyone. 2 at that. I don't know if there is any proposed changes 3 or questions folks have. 4 I will make a motion. Anybody want to 5 second it and we and discuss it? COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I'll second it, 6 7 Mr. Chair. 8 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any questions or 9 comments? 10 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman? 11 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, ma'am. 12 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: In the third 13 paragraph to this statement, it is a bit confusing here. 14 It says regarding the Religious Freedom Restoration 15 Act, RFRA, the Commission found that in Burwell vs. 16 Hobby Lobby Stores, Incorporated, the Supreme Court 17 affirmed the narrowness of the analytical framework 18 within which claims of governmental interference with 19 free exercise of religion must be construed under the 20 Act. 21 I would have to say I am not certain what 22 is meant by the concept of the narrowness of the 23 analytical framework but I think that is going to be 24 interpreted to mean that the decision itself was narrow 25 because RFRA, itself, is narrow and nothing could be

1	further from the truth. I can read you some of the
2	passages from the actual opinion.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Let me
4	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Justice Alito says
5	"Congress enacted RFRA in 1993 in order to provide very
6	broad protection for religious liberty." And then
7	later on, he again uses that word broad to apply to RFRA.
8	And in fact, Justice Ginsburg, in her dissent, begins
9	with the phrase "in a decision of startling breadth."
10	So, it is not quite clear how we have come
11	off using the word narrow to apply to Hobby Lobby. And
12	I would, therefore, suggest that we strike that
13	paragraph for accuracy.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Let me ask which
15	Commissioner drafted this initial draft and if they
16	might be able to respond.
17	Is that Commissioner Kladney or is this
18	from Commissioner Achtenberg? Does anyone no.
19	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: No, I was not
20	making that noise.
21	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman,
22	it is Commissioner Achtenberg.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: And this is a
25	direct reference to a commission finding. There is an

1	entire analysis that we undertook and that this
2	Commission adopted by a majority vote to which this
3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: But it is wrong. It
4	is wrong.
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: It is our finding.
6	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: In our with
7	regard to our finding, it is not wrong. It is accurate.
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: But if it was not a
9	finding, it is wrong.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
11	please let her finish.
12	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Well, that is
13	your opinion, Commissioner, which I respect.
14	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It is also Justice
15	Alito's opinion.
16	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: But we were
17	quoting the report findings, which was adopted by
18	majority vote.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioners, I know we
20	are all passionate about these issues. We come from it
21	from different perspectives but let's just kind of let
22	everyone kind of say their peace.
23	I know you asked a question as to why it was
24	like this. She, Commissioner Achtenberg is explaining
25	why. You may still disagree but we want to have an

1	ability to converse not over one another. Okay?
2	Commissioner Heriot.
3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Well, you know, it
4	is wrong. Justice Alito says that this is a broad
5	decision. Justice Ginsburg agrees with it. If you
6	don't want to withdraw it as a finding, at least don't
7	highlight in the press release.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any other comments from
9	any other commissioners?
10	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Well, I
11	respectfully disagree.
12	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I move to delete
13	that paragraph from the press release.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is there a second?
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Pete?
16	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Oh, to Gail's
17	motion?
18	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes, second.
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. So, I am going to
21	call a voice vote on that. Those in favor of the
22	deletion, say aye.
23	(Chorus of ayes.)
24	Those against, say no.
25	(Chorus of nos.)

1	Any abstentions?
2	In the opinion of the chair, the nos have
3	it and that amendment fails.
4	So, back to the original motion on the
5	statement as drafted. Any additional comments?
6	If not, I am going to call the question on
7	that.
8	Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?
9	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
11	how do you vote?
12	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,
14	how do you vote?
15	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
17	how do you vote?
18	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And let's see,
20	Commissioner Achtenberg, how do you vote?
21	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, are
23	you on?
24	(No audible response.)
25	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Vice Chair, how do

1	you vote?
2	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote yes. That's
4	one, two, three, four, five yeses and two nos. So, the
5	motion passes and the statement will be issued as
6	originally drafted.
7	DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON COMMISSION PRESS RELEASE ON
8	SELECTION OF WOMEN IN PRISON AS TOPIC FOR 2017 STATUTORY
9	ENFORCEMENT REPORT
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, next we move on to
11	a statement regarding the upcoming Statutory
12	Enforcement Report for 2017 that we approved at our
13	prior meeting on the issue of incarceration of women.
14	You all received a copy of that press statement and,
15	hopefully, you have had a chance to take a look at it.
16	Does anyone have any questions, while I
17	make a motion that we approve it? Is there a second?
18	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I will second, Mr.
19	Chair.
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, any discussion?
21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I guess I can make
22	this comment, Mr. Chairman.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, I'm listening.
24	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: There is a quote in
25	here from you that refers to higher rates of

incarceration for Hispanic women in comparison to white women and it says it should be cause for concern for all of us. I wanted to comment that the rate of incarceration for Hispanic women is only slightly elevated and that you can account for that mainly by the fact that the median age of Hispanic women in this country is 28 years old, whereas the median age for white women in this country is 44 years old. That actually is quite an enormous gap and it is accounted for mainly by the notion that it tends not to be elderly people who immigrant and a fairly significant number, percentage of Hispanic women in the country are either immigrants or the children of immigrants.

And so rather than being cause for concern,

I think it is actually kind of expected that you would

have a somewhat elevated rate there, simply based on

age. Most crimes are committed by young people.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Unfortunately, I don't agree with you. I think studies show that both for black and Hispanic women, the incarceration rates are high. And it is easy for us to sit here in these nice offices in Washington, D.C., and say that a statistically irrelevant number is due to age and say that that is not a concern when we are not, the individuals that are living in the communities that are

victimized by crime, that are victimized by police, use 1 2 of deadly force, that lack opportunities and are 3 segregated. 4 And, as we will see, and as we have seen from 5 Oklahoma our SAC Report last month School-to-Prison pipeline and 6 we see about the 7 inequalities in our schools in the hearings that we have had and we see how communities of color are targeted in 8 9 many instances, this is all part and parcel of the same 10 thing. 11 So, any instance where we see a deviation 12 from what would be expected to be the norm, and I 13 disagree with you that the norm is that just because they 14 are younger, more Hispanic women should be in prison, 15 I'm sorry, that is just not something that I believe in. 16 Hopefully that is something that the majority of this 17 Commission will agree with me upon. 18 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Well, in the 19 statement, it is actually your statement. 20 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I know. 21 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: So, if you want to 22 make it, that's just fine. 23 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And it is based on fact. 24 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: But, if it is your 25 opinion that these statistics don't matter --

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: No, I state they do
2	matter.
3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: and that somehow
4	everyone in prison is being abused, the point is most
5	people in prison have been convicted of a crime. In
6	fact, all of them have been.
7	It actually should interest you to see that
8	the elevation rates may not be due to different
9	behavior. It may just be different ages.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, I guess our study
11	will look into that, won't it?
12	Any other comments? Hearing none, then
13	let me take a vote on this by roll call.
14	Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?
15	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
17	how do you vote?
18	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
20	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
21	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
23	how do you vote?
24	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,

1	how do you vote?
2	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Vice Chair, how do
4	you vote?
5	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I vote yes.
7	Commissioner Yaki, are you on?
8	(No audible response.)
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, that is five yeses
10	and two nos. The motion passes. Thank you.
11	DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON COMMISSION STATEMENT CONCERNING
12	U.S. SUPREME COURT'S DECISION IN GG V. GLOUCESTER COUNTY
13	SCHOOL BOARD
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Next, you all should
15	have received a motion I mean, sorry, a proposed
16	statement on the issue of recent Supreme Court decision
17	related to the transgender bathroom issue, one that we
18	have been also very engaged with since it reared its ugly
19	head a few months ago. I think we were among one of the
20	first federal agencies to speak out against what was
21	happening in the states.
22	So, let me read this to you. I will make
23	a motion that we approve the following statement: The
24	U.S. Commission on Civil Rights expresses its strong
25	disappointment in the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in

GG v. Gloucester County School Board. The U.S. Commission on Civil Rights expresses its strong disappointment in the U.S. Supreme Court's recent decision to grant an emergency order blocking the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals order in GG v. Gloucester County School Board. The Fourth Circuit Order, if enforced, would allow transgender boy, Gavin Grimm, to use the boys' restroom in his Virginia school.

The Commission has been vocal in its support of transgender youth being allowed to use the restroom facilities in their schools based on their gender identities. We have also strongly supported the U.S. Department of Education's guidance to school districts nationwide on the issue of access to facilities by transgender students, which stands for the same proposition. We note the stay order is only temporary to allow the Virginia School Board to file an appeal before the Supreme Court when it returns from its recess.

Commission Chairman Martin R. Castro stated, "The rights of our transgender youth in schools throughout our nation have quickly become one of the defining civil rights issues of the day. The Commission will continue to be a strong voice to ensure that the rights of transgender youth are protected

1	vigorously by our federal government."
2	Do I have a second?
3	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Second.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: That was Commissioner
5	Achtenberg?
6	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any questions or
8	discussion?
9	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Chair.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kirsanow,
11	is that you?
12	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: It is, thank you,
13	Mr. Chair.
14	Regardless of where we stand individually
15	on the substance of this, I would just respectfully
16	suggest that the Commission be careful in weighing in
17	on matters where the subject of litigation is at a stage
18	of interim relief. We don't have a final adjudication
19	of this matter. This thing is something that is going
20	to percolate throughout the various circuits throughout
21	the country probably. There will probably be
22	considerable more litigation on the federal level.
23	There might be some state litigation. I'm not sure
24	exactly how that would begin but there might be. And
25	I think if we are going to be weighing in on a matter

1 that is the subject of litigation, it might behoove us 2 to wait to see what the conclusion is. 3 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you, 4 Commissioner, I appreciate your point. However, 5 historically, this Commission has often weighed in on matters that are in current litigation. 6 7 birth certificate case that we just talked about earlier 8 is one of those. And I like to think that hopefully our 9 continued shining the spotlight on that helped somewhat 10 in the resolution. 11 And I think if you look back on the history 12 of the Commission, you will find other instances where 13 we have spoken out under both conservative, as well as 14 progressive administrations on issues in litigation 15 because of the importance of the issue. 16 So, that is what I believe would be the case 17 Any additional comments? here. 18 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman? 19 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. 20 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman, I just 21 wanted to point out that the note here notes that this 22 is just a stay but I want to emphasize that. This is 23 really not a very significant event here. They have 24 simply stayed the mandate out of the Fourth Circuit, 25 pending a decision on whether or not to accept

certiorari.

And so, if you are going to issue a statement at this point, you are going to issue a statement if they decide to grant cert and then another one when they decide the case. I mean, why don't you wait until they decide whether or not to accept the case?

us to sit here again and say this is not legally significant, this is temporary. These are not just legal and constitutional issues, although they are that. These affect the lives of real people out in the community. And this young boy is, for the rest of the school year or as long as it takes to resolve this at the Supreme Court, not going to be able to use the facilities of his choice. And this affects other children in other states as well.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Nobody else gets to use the facilities of their choice.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: May I finish, please? Well, the whole issue here is whether this child and other children like him can use the bathroom of their gender identity. And young Gavin, in this case in Virginia, had been told by the Fourth Circuit that he could begin and other children like him could be begin to do this. And given this emergency temporary

1 restraining order, they cannot. 2 So to me, and I hope to this Commission, we 3 should look beyond merely the procedural and the legal 4 issues and realize that each of these decisions touch 5 the lives of our fellow Americans, in particular, in 6 this case, our children. So, to me, it is more than just 7 a temporary legal issue or temporary legal setback. 8 Anyone else? 9 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Mr. Chairman? 10 CASTRO: Commissioner CHAIRMAN Yes, 11 Kladney. 12 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I support this 13 statement. 14 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you. 15 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: And even though it 16 is an interim stay, pending certiorari, I think it is 17 important for the Commission to continue to be 18 consistent with its positions in these matters, whether 19 it be these transgender issues or some other issues. 20 I hope that we add a voice to this debate. 21 I think that the fact that we may express 22 disappointment does not mean we are criticizing the 23 judicial process, nor are we denigrating anything in the 24 We are just merely saying that we are process.

this particular action

disappointed with

25

in

1	litigation and expressing our feelings that way.
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you, Commissioner
3	Kladney.
4	Anybody else before I call the question for
5	a vote? Okay, let me take a roll call.
6	Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?
7	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
9	how do you vote?
10	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
12	how do you vote?
13	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
15	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
16	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, are
18	you on?
19	(No audible response.)
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Vice Chair, how do
21	you vote? Madam Vice Chair?
22	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: I'm sorry, I
23	was on mute. Abstain.
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote yes.
25	Commissioner Narasaki, how do you vote?

1	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I abstain.
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So, we have two
3	abstentions, two nos, and three yeses. So, that
4	passes. Correct? All right, thank you.
5	DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON A LETTER THE DEPARTMENT OF
6	EDUCATION AND DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE: RE: THE OKLAHOMA
7	STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE'S REPORT "CIVIL RIGHTS AND THE
8	SCHOOL-TO-PRISON PIPELINE IN OKLAHOMA."
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Next, we move on to a
10	discussion and vote on the letters that we are sending
11	in response to the request by the Oklahoma State
12	Advisory Committee on the School-to-Prison Pipeline.
13	There are two letters which, hopefully, you
14	all have had the chance to receive and review. And I
15	will make a motion that we approve these letters. Is
16	there a second for discussion purposes?
17	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I second, Mr.
18	Chair.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you, Commissioner
20	Narasaki.
21	Any discussion? Commissioner Heriot.
22	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I just want to say
23	that I can't support this letter. It is unusual for us
24	to send a letter conveying a State Advisory Committee's
25	Report and endorsing the recommendations, especially in

this case, where those recommendations run counter to the testimony that we took in the Commission's own briefing a few years back, when we had a briefing on school discipline.

And so I feel that the Oklahoma Advisory

Committee recommendations got it wrong and I intend to

send a letter to the Department of Education reiterating

what the Commission heard from witnesses back when we

did our own briefing on school discipline.

I know that Commissioner Kirsanow shares my view that we are very concerned about the Department of Education's initiative on school discipline and the pressure they are putting schools under to, essentially, take race into consideration in deciding whether students should be disciplined for misbehavior in class. I think that is not doing any student of any race any favor.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you,

Commissioner. I recall that briefing. It was the

first briefing I attended as a member of this

Commission. It is a briefing that had been approved by

the prior Commission, had witnesses chosen by the prior

Commission.

And as I prepared for that briefing, I recall that it made no reference whatsoever to several

studies that were done by our State Advisory Committees 1 in the Southeast that concluded that there indeed was 2 3 significant issue about African American children and 4 African American-Latino children being victims of 5 school discipline policies that disproportionately punish them compared to their white classmates. 6 7 think that much of that briefing failed to take into 8 account those perspectives. 9 And since then, a number of our other State 10 Advisory Committees across the country have done their 11 independent studies and each and every one of them has 12 concluded that there is, indeed, disparate disciplinary 13 policies that are affecting our public schools that 14 impact students of color, especially African American 15 students. 16 So, I think the overwhelming weight of 17 evidence that this Commission and its State Advisory 18 Committees have gathered over the years is indicative 19 of what Oklahoma has pointed out to us most recently. 20 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Chair? 21 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kirsanow. 22 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you, Mr. 23 Chair. 24 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: You're welcome. 25 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I will be joining Commissioner Heriot's letter, which I suspect is not going to be a surprise to anybody.

But I will also note that that previous briefing had adduced copious evidence with respect to the ramifications of lowering and I do mean lowering standards with respect to the issuance of discipline. And those ramifications are visited most harshly upon students of color.

The bottom line is, when you allow disruptive students to remain in class, the people who are going to be affected are the students that want to learn. And those students are likely going to be from the same racial or ethnic cohort as the disruptive students.

We have a disparate impact, possibly and I am not surprised by findings of disparate impact. You will never find any policy, test, standard, guideline that does not have a disparate impact. That doesn't necessarily mean that that is an unjustified standard policy, guideline, test, whatever it may be or that that disparate impact is somehow invidious.

The lessons of just the last few months with respect to the news reports coming out of places like Saint Paul, Minnesota, Minneapolis, and other school districts shows that when school disciplinary

1	guidelines are lowered, suspensions and other
2	disciplinary actions that are based on
3	racially-mandated outcomes, the folks that get hurt are
4	the minority students who try to learn and disruptive
5	behavior increases. It increases significantly.
6	So, I will be voting no on this and I will
7	be joining Commissioner Heriot's letter.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you, Commissioner
9	Kirsanow.
10	No one here is talking about lowering
11	disciplinary standards. What this is all about is
12	making sure that existing disciplinary standards are
13	enforced equally and fairly, regardless of the child's
14	ethnic or racial background.
15	Commissioner Heriot.
16	(Simultaneous speaking.)
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Excuse me, let
18	Commissioner Heriot go and then you can go, Commissioner
19	Kirsanow.
20	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: The Department of
21	Education has been urging these schools to lighten up
22	on discipline as their method of dealing with this
23	problem and it has created problems.
24	And the Department of Education is correct
25	that African American students are disciplined more

than often than white students are but what they usually don't mention, but which is also true, is that white students are disciplined more often than Asian American students are. But the reasons behind this are a lot more complex than simply gosh, it must be racism.

I do not believe that schools across the country are anti-white and pro-Asian. I think that white students are, in fact, misbehaving more often than Asian American students are misbehaving.

If you look at rates of out-of-wedlock births, it is not surprising that there would be differences with Asian American families most likely to have fathers in the household. It is not surprising that you would get less misbehavior, given that.

But to say that schools should lighten up, which is exactly what the Department of Education has been doing, you know when you have got children that are being reared in households where it is difficult to maintain discipline because there is only parent, I mean we all sympathize with one-parent households, whether it is a fatherless or a motherless household, it is a lot harder to rear a child when you have only got one adult at home. And teachers can pitch in and they can help teach children to lead structured disciplined lives, unless the Department of Education is telling

1 them that they shouldn't do it, which is what 2 happening now. 3 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kirsanow, 4 then Commissioner Narasaki. 5 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I would also like to speak. 6 7 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Who is that? Okay, so 8 Kirsanow, Narasaki, and Achtenberg. 9 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I would 10 second what Commissioner Heriot has said. I would also 11 note, as an anecdotal matter, I, from time to time, 12 almost every year, I go ahead and I teach in schools in 13 the City of Cleveland, intercity schools. And it is 14 very significant what transpires when standards are 15 lowered and who is harmed by that. This is not rocket 16 science and we have got a significant amount of evidence 17 now that has been adduced that when these standards are 18 lowered, and they are lowered in practical effect, the 19 disruptive students act out, it becomes not just a 20 hostile environment but a dangerous environment. 21 By the way, much of the discipline that is 22 imposed is being imposed by teachers or administrators 23 of the same race or ethnicity as the offending students. 24 And as Gail, Commissioner Heriot had indicated, one of

the reasons you have a disparate impact is because you

have disparate behavior patterns on parts of certain students, not all. But there is no doubt whatsoever that there is a higher rate of misbehavior among black students in intercity schools, none whatsoever. That is a fact. And when you have high rates of misbehavior, I would hope that you would have higher rates of disciplinary action to protect staff, students, and especially the students that wish to learn.

I feel sorry the students who go to school on a daily basis with the intent to learn and they sit there with students -- this is not your father's classroom anymore. These are students who are -- you talk about school-to-prison pipeline, there are students in these classes who are very, very disruptive and I put that very mildly. It is a dangerous environment, quite often.

For the life of me, because I am an old man, back when I was learning things were a little bit different, I couldn't even imagine sitting in some of these classes and trying to learn my ABCs, or trying to learn my geography, or trying to learn my geometry.

This is something that is significant but the point is that we have evidence as to the disruptive effects. We have our Commission Report on this and this runs contrary to the Commission Report.

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank goodness that it
2	is not. As a fellow old man joining you, I'm glad that
3	these are not our father's classrooms because, if they
4	were, folks like you and folks like me of our skin color
5	and our ethnic background would not be allowed to go to
6	some of these schools. That is why we had Brown vs.
7	Board of Ed and the Westminster case.
8	So, thank God
9	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No one prohibited
10	me from going to any school I wanted to go to.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki
12	and then Commissioner Achtenberg and then I am going to
13	call the question.
14	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Thank you, Mr.
15	Chair.
16	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I would ask to
17	speak, Mr. Chairman.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, then you will
19	speak after Commissioner Achtenberg and then I will call
20	the question.
21	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I'm a little
23	surprised by this discussion because when the State
24	Advisory Committee Chair presented the report, there
25	was no such discussion being raised by the commissioners

questioning their findings or challenging their findings in any way. And it is troubling to me that now we are having the discussion because we did, even at that time, note that we were going to follow through on one of their requests, which was to, in fact, send their findings to the Department of Education and the Department of Justice.

So, that is one thing is I think it would be more helpful to have these discussions when the SAC Chair is presenting, so that commissioners who challenge their findings have an opportunity to engage them. And it is only fair, I think, to the State Advisory Committee members that they get a chance to respond.

The second thing I want to note is that the Commission's report, I understand, was issued in 2011. And since then, there have also been, in fact very recently, a study on implicit bias in the case of schools, in the case of these kinds of situations. And also, I doubt that it will be interesting to find whether the case is in fact that it is the same race teacher disciplining the same race student, given the recent report that shows that in fact minority teachers have been very hard to recruit and retain. And in fact, there is a dearth of minority teachers at a time when,

in fact, it has been shown that having such teachers in the classroom help minority students.

And then the last thing I want to note is that one of the things I have found most interesting in the report of the State Advisory Committee is the response they got about the significant of kids with disabilities who end up in this track. And I think there has been a failure to pay sufficient attention to what kind of role that is playing in terms of these issues and it is going to be one of the suggestions I make for a briefing next year.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Achtenberg.

COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: I want to associate myself with the comments just made by Commissioner Narasaki with regards to students with disabilities. I also want to say that I believe that the Oklahoma SA amply supported their very muscular conclusions with factual predicate. And I think on that basis, I support the transmission of the SAC report as specified.

Let me also say that there are many approaches to school discipline that the Department of Education is promoting because they actually work and because they tend to represent much less harm to the

1 students who are in need of the discipline, as well as 2 the other students in the classroom. And I would point 3 that those disciplinary practices, including 4 restorative justice practices are having a very 5 salutary impact on this issue. And I want to commend the State Advisory Committee for taking note of that and 6 7 the Department of Education. 8 It has been said by other commissions 9 lowering the standards. Actually, that is not at all 10 what is going on here. It turns out that there are other 11 disciplinary practices that yield better outcomes. 12 And I am delighted to see those researches and I am 13 hoping that in future briefings we can examine some of 14 those things and promote them to the extent that they 15 have a more salutary impact on these practices. 16 would think So, Ι they should 17 transmitting this report and I am going to vote yes on 18 this statement. 19 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney 20 and then I am going to call the question. 21 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Thank you, 22 I would like to amplify on what Commissioner 23 Achtenberg has just stated regarding the application of 24 discipline.

I don't think that anybody is saying that

you should not discipline people who do wrong. I think it is the type of discipline and how you discipline. And I think my colleagues who have voiced objection to this should realize that that is exactly what has been going on when they relate to change in the country. That is, for instance, in many of our school districts, now there are policemen in schools. And instead of dealing with the student straight up through the Vice Principal's Office, at least, I don't Commissioner Kirsanow, he is not as old as I am, but we used to go to the Vice Principal's Office and the Vice Principal used to deal with discipline in varying and different ways.

Today, a lot of times, the students are arrested or taken to juvenile hall. I think that is probably appropriate for very violent types of situations but it is not appropriate for most. And I think there are other forms of discipline.

Not being a social scientist, I cannot comment on that. And I think that our Commission should look into it but the SAC did look into it.

And I find it interesting that also my colleagues who oppose this letter all feel that Oklahoma, who is closest to the situation in Oklahoma, is inaccurate in terms of the conclusions it came to.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	I think my colleagues who oppose this letter are talking
2	in some broad kinds of sense across the country and wish
3	to impose that view nationally.
4	I think that the Oklahoma SAC is closer to
5	the issue with local government and perhaps has a better
6	grip on what is going on.
7	I have also in the past opposed the
8	school-to-jail pipeline concept paper and perhaps we
9	should reconsider that at some later date.
10	However, I do support sending this letter,
11	as I do think that perhaps we should start sending our
12	SAC Reports to each and every department that they touch
13	in concern in the future. Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you, Commissioner
15	Kladney. I am now going to call the vote.
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I still have
17	something to say.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All right. Go ahead.
19	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: First of all, in
20	response to the notion that doubting that African
21	American teachers are harder on African American
22	students than other teachers, that is documented in the
23	empirical literature quite clearly.
24	On the point that gosh, why didn't we bring
25	this up earlier, the point there is that well, they were

presenting the report to us for the first time and there was never a statement that we were going to endorse their recommendations.

I think that Commissioner Kladney is right. We should routinely send SAC reports to the appropriate agency but it is quite another thing for the Commission to be endorsing the recommendations of one of the SAC reports.

As to Commissioner Kladney's point that somehow opposing this is attempting to impose a national viewpoint, that is just the opposite of my view. What is happening now is that the Department of Education is imposing their viewpoint on school districts around the country. No, our Oklahoma State Advisory Committee is not an expert on disciplinary matters but school systems contain lots of experts on disciplinary matters.

I'm a teacher but I teach law students and law students tend to be very well behaved. So, I don't hold myself out as an expert on how students should be disciplined. But I very much take the position that schools and not the Department of Education and inside the Beltway persons should be deciding how to take care of cases.

And for the Department of Education, under the guise of laws that forbid race discrimination, for

1	them to go in and start dictating disciplinary policies
2	to schools I think is extremely wrong-headed.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, I think we have
4	fully discussed this. So, I am going to call a vote now.
5	Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?
6	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
8	how do you vote?
9	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm predictable.
10	No.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,
12	how do you vote?
13	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
15	how do you vote?
16	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
18	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
19	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, are
21	you on?
22	(No audible response.)
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: No. Madam Vice Chair,
24	how do you vote?
25	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote yes.
2	It passes with one, two, three, four, five
3	yeses and two nos.
4	DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON STATEMENT CONCERNING THE RECENT
5	DECISION ON THE NORTH CAROLINA VOTER ID LAW
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The last statement that
7	we have before we move on to the rest of our agenda, is
8	a statement concern the recent decision on the North
9	Carolina Voter ID Law. You all should have received a
10	copy of that draft that was circulated a few days ago.
11	I, for purposes of discussion, will make a
12	motion that we approve. Is there a second?
13	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Second.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Was that the Vice
15	Chair?
16	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Roberta.
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Oh, I'm sorry,
18	Commissioner Achtenberg. Okay.
19	Any questions or comments? Commissioner
20	Heriot.
21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I object to the tone
22	of this statement as well.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Of course.
24	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It refers to all
25	voter ID laws, as I am quoting here, "thinly veiled

efforts to deny racial minorities access to the ballot box." That is a pretty strange thing to say --

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: It's pretty true.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: -- about legislation that is supported by a majority of Americans.

It is also true that voter fraud is real. Whether it is extensive, I'm not in a position to judge. But state legislatures, whether we are talking about a state like Rhode Island, where the strong support came from the Democratic Party, or we are talking states like Indiana, where very strong support come from the Republican Party, there has been a lot of support in state legislatures for these bills as a method of dealing with certain kinds of voter fraud.

I think, in particular, there is plenty of evidence that felons, who are not entitled to vote, sometimes mistakenly but sometimes knowing that they are doing something wrong, are voting anyway. When they do that, it is easy for someone to say well, I didn't realize, I didn't know, or even, gosh, it wasn't me who was voting. It is impossible to cut off all the possible arguments but when are you are talking about a felon voting and then finding out that felon has voted, one way to at least cut off part of the argument is to

say well, it must have been you who voted because the person had to show an ID and, therefore, that creates a very strong presumption that it is, indeed, the person who was registered who voted that vote.

Now, some of these voter ID laws, like the Indiana one, have been upheld. In North Dakota, one of the cases you are citing here, the judge deciding the case essentially said look, the State Attorney General didn't bother to defend with facts.

In other cases, some courts have found that the state legislatures have failed to provide enough safeguards to ensure that people who actually are entitled to vote are able to vote. That is fine. I'm not criticizing any of these decisions. What I am criticizing is the notion that we have characterized it in this document as a thinly disguised effort to deny racial minorities the vote. And I think that is an incorrect statement and needlessly causing people to believe that someone is out to get them in ways that it is simply not true.

I don't think it is the Commission's job to be creating that kind of distrust when it doesn't exist.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Our country's history, unfortunately, is replete with instances of majorities of state legislatures passing laws that have

historically violated our Constitution and personal and 1 2 individual rights, whether it is considering certain 3 people property, whether it is keeping certain people 4 from marrying the people they love, whether it is 5 keeping schools segregated. We have addressed those 6 issues and toppled those laws. And some of these, to 7 this day, continue to try to put up barriers and discriminate, whether it is some of the laws we saw 8 9 passed that we stood up to in states 10 anti-immigrant laws, and today these issues of 11 transgender and now, these voting rights blockades. 12 just because a state legislature 13 unanimously something, that does supports 14 it necessarily pass litmus test of being а 15 constitutional. 16 Commissioner Narasaki. 17 COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes, I just wanted 18 to note for the record that, unfortunately, because I 19 have vast expertise on this issue, it is killing me 20 because there are so many factual issues that I have with 21 what has been said so far but I have to recuse myself 22 from this discussion. 23 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any other 24 comments or questions before I call it for a vote?

COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chair?

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Achtenberg
2	and then Commissioner Kladney, and then Commissioner
3	Heriot.
4	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: I'd also like
5	to say something, Mr. Chair.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And then the Vice Chair.
7	Okay, so Achtenberg, Kladney, Heriot, and the Vice
8	Chair.
9	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
11	Achtenberg, go ahead.
12	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman I
13	just wanted to say that actually the record speaks for
14	itself, and I concur in the characterizations that are
15	represented in the statement and I believe them to be
16	accurate and consistent with the findings of the
17	Commission.
18	So, I wanted to make that statement.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you.
20	Commissioner Kladney.
21	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I didn't request to
22	speak.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Oh, I'm sorry, I thought
24	that was you. Was it Commissioner Kirsanow, then?
25	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: It was me, Mr.

1 Chair. 2 Okay, CHAIRMAN CASTRO: go ahead, 3 Commissioner Kirsanow. 4 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Very briefly. 5 First, the Commission did have hearings on voter fraud about 11 years ago, maybe it was 10 years ago, and there 6 7 was considerable evidence of voter fraud. Not only 8 that, the Congress has had hearings on these things, and 9 contrary to popular opinion, there is extensive voter 10 I happened to testify in one of those hearings 11 and I've got copious evidence of that, as do a number 12 of other statisticians. 13 Second, voter ID laws have been upheld 14 numerous times by federal courts. They are not simply 15 devices to somehow deprive individuals, on the basis of 16 race or ethnicity, of the right to vote. They are 17 legitimate methods of protecting the franchise for all. 18 And third, this is contrary to 19 Commission's own findings in previous voter ID hearings 20 that we've had. That's all I have to say. 21 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot, 22 then the Vice Chair. Then I am going to call it for a 23 question -- call the question for a vote. 24 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You ended your

statement, Mr. Chairman, with a statement I can agree

1 You said that just because a bill is unanimously with. 2 passed, that doesn't make it constitutional. And that, 3 of course, is quite correct. 4 But the problem here is that the statement 5 doesn't simply say that the statutes 6 unconstitutional; it says they're thinly veiled efforts 7 to deny racial minorities access to the ballot box. 8 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, that's why they 9 are unconstitutional. 10 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: And I would submit 11 that, particularly in the case where it's getting votes 12 from the Democratic Party, which tends to get more 13 minority votes than the Republican Party, it seems very 14 unlikely that the motivation in that situation is to 15 deny minorities the vote. 16 Now, courts aren't saying, in each of these 17 cases, that the legislation is a thinly veiled effort 18 to deny racial minorities access to the ballot box. 19 mean, there are 50 different states here; 50 different 20 stories, they have to be proven to the court in 50 21 different ways. 22 But, in general, what we have are courts 23 that are saying, look, it's a fundamental right to vote. 24 There are people who aren't able to comply with the

requirements of this statute and we believe that, under

the circumstances of the particular case, that the burden to those legitimate voters outweighs the benefits in whatever prevention of voter fraud there might be.

Note that Supreme Court Justices, like John Paul Stevens, who's from Chicago, like you, and knows a lot about Chicago history, have agreed: voter fraud is a problem. We want to devise statutes that will protect legitimate voters the best we can, while dealing with voter fraud the best we can.

A statute may be unconstitutional, or a court may be wrong about it being unconstitutional. I can't speak to each one of these statutes. I haven't seen them and I can't speak to the motivation of every single legislator.

But what I can say is when you've got a unanimous legislature, with legislators from both parties voting in favor of laws like this, it's a real stretch to think that somewhere in the minds of these legislators must be the desire to deny racial minorities the vote. I think it's disrespectful to state legislatures to put it that way.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you,

Commissioner. As a Democrat, I can say, unfortunately,

Democrats are not immune to bigotry and bias. So, the

1 fact that they voted for something doesn't necessarily 2 immunize it. 3 Madam Vice Chair, your comments. And then 4 I am going to call it for a question -- I'll call the 5 question for a vote. I'm sorry. Madam Vice Chair? Could you speak up, 6 7 Madam Vice Chair? We are having a hard time hearing 8 you clearly. 9 VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: 10 simply wanted to bring to the attention of the 11 Commission that I believe that the Governor of the State 12 of North Carolina has sought a stay with regard to this 13 decision. And so, if we are going to get it out, we are 14 going to want to move quickly. I don't believe the 15 Supreme Court has ruled on the stay, however. 16 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. 17 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Can someone repeat 18 what she said? 19 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yeah, it wasn't clear. 20 Go ahead, Commissioner Narasaki. 21 COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I think what she 22 was alluding to is that the State of North Carolina has 23 filed for a stay of the decision, pending an appeal to 24 the Supreme Court. So, she's just saying we need to get 25 statement out because it's a shifting

1	environment.
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yeah, that was actually
3	in the newspaper a couple of days ago.
4	Okay, I am going to call the question for
5	a vote.
6	Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?
7	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
9	how do you vote?
10	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I vote no.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,
12	how do you vote?
13	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I recuse myself.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, of course. Sorry.
15	Commissioner Kladney, how do you vote?
16	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I pass for a
17	moment.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, I'll come back to
19	you.
20	Commissioner Achtenberg, how do you vote?
21	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Vice Chair, how do
23	you vote?
24	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote yes.

1	Commissioner Kladney?
2	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So, the motion passes
4	with four yeses, two nos, and a recusal.
5	PROPOSAL BY PRESS SECRETARY BRIAN WALCH ON ISSUANCE OF
6	MULTIPLE PRESS RELEASES
7	At this point, I would actually like to
8	invite Brian Walch, our press person, to come up and
9	present a suggestion that he had about how we may want
10	to issue these press statements. Just given the number
11	of them, we obviously want to do this in a way that is
12	organized. So, Brian.
13	MR. WALCH: Sure. Thank you, Mr.
14	Chairman, Commissioners, Mr. Staff Director.
15	Basically, my proposal is in the sense of
16	streamlining, to a certain extent, only the press
17	releases. This has nothing to do with the statements
18	themselves in the sense of publicizing and releasing
19	them.
20	We had already discussed, or you have
21	already discussed, that the Peaceful Coexistence and
22	Women in Prisons report, those are both press releases
23	in and of themselves. So, the proposal is that,
24	obviously, those two go out standalone.
25	The other proposal would be that instead of

1	individual press releases for Texas Birth Certificates,
2	GG v. Gloucester School Board, and the statement
3	regarding striking down voter identification laws, that
4	because, in essence and this is my terminology
5	these are all on a legal track, so to speak, that we could
6	issue one press release indicating that all of those
7	three statements have been approved by the Commission.
8	So, that's the proposal that I have.
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And it would link to all
10	three statements?
11	MR. WALCH: Yes, it would.
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: What do folks think
13	about that? I know that there was some questions about
14	just the number of things that we are putting out.
15	Commissioner Heriot.
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I defer to the
17	judgment of someone who knows about these things.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any commissioners have
19	any thoughts, any objections to that?
20	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Kladney here. Is
21	what's being said that you combine the three together
22	with links to the full statements?
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Correct.
24	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Because I know that
25	in the past all we've ever done is issue through PRI,

I think the name of the outfit is, or NPR or something like that. Anyways, there was some outlet that we would give the release to and there was only so many words we were allowed to put in it.

Are you now going to expand the list of people and manner of delivery of these statements to individual news organizations and outlets? How exactly is the distribution going to take place, especially if you are only linking -- if, in fact, you are speaking about links in the one and only combined individual press release?

MR. WALCH: Yes, thank you, Commissioner, for the question.

In essence, we still will continue with the procedure of issuing press releases via PR Newswire. We are in discussions, early discussions about amplifying the reach, so to speak, of press releases.

In these cases, the statements themselves will be posted individually on the website. They will also be referenced in our Twitter feed as statements, and we will try to get those the most expansive coverage we can. But again, in this case, what is just a clarifying case, the individual statements will be released. They will be posted individually. This would, again, just be a press release which indicates

1 that these three statements were voted on, discussed, 2 and passed by majority vote today here at the Commission 3 by you all. 4 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: So, that would mean 5 that people would have to come to our website, is that right, if they have a further interest? 6 7 MR. WALCH: Generally speaking, we, by 8 tradition, have posted the statements, as you know, on 9 the website. We have run press releases on PR Newswire 10 to get the shorter, more targeted language out more 11 widely. And then anything that runs in PR Newswire 12 references the exact link to the statement on the 13 website. 14 As you know, that has, to a certain extent, 15 We can have, I think, additional been tradition. 16 discussions at a moment in time, if Commissioners desire 17 to decide how we might amplify that going down the road. 18 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So just SO we 19 understand, the PR Newswire will have the press release. 20 There will be an active link for each of the statements. 21 The person who's looking at that PR news website will 22 click the link and immediately they can see the 23 statement. 24 MR. WALCH: Absolutely. Within the 25 statement, there will be -- excuse me. Within the

1	single press release, there will be hyperlinks to each
2	of the three statements and that will be very easy to
3	navigate for anyone that looks at the press release.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki.
5	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I just want to
6	note, I think the context is helpful, which is using the
7	PR Newswire is very expensive and it's by the word. So,
8	the more that we can streamline the process, the better.
9	I do think that we, as Commissioners, can
10	work with the press office to also give them other places
11	where it could be emailed to make sure that, as
12	Commissioner Kladney says, there is maximum exposure.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Right.
14	Any other questions? If not, it sounds
15	like you have got our blessing. Thank you, Brian.
16	MR. WALCH: Thank you all.
17	B. STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEES (SACS)
18	PRESENTATION BY NORTH CAROLINA STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE
19	(SAC) CHAIR MATTY LAZO-CHADDERTON ON CIVIL RIGHTS AND
20	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE IN NORTH CAROLINA
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, so now we will move
22	on to Advisory Committee matters. First, I'd like to
23	let you all know we are going to hear from one of our
24	colleagues, a presentation from the Chairperson of our
25	North Carolina State Advisory Committee, Chair Matty

1	Lazo-Chadderton. She's going to speak to us about the
2	recent briefing on environmental justice in North
3	Carolina, where myself, Commissioner Narasaki, and our
4	Vice Chair attended.
5	And let me just say that the Chair of the
6	North Carolina State Advisory Committee did a masterful
7	job of bringing together very divergent points of view
8	that were presenting at that hearing and allowed
9	everyone to engage thoughtfully and respectfully in the
10	issue. And we were very pleased to see her leadership,
11	and that of the members of the Advisory Committee.
12	So, I'm very glad that she is here today to
13	talk to us about the work that they've done. I think
14	we've all seen the report as part of our effort to
15	finalize our environmental report for our statutory
16	enforcement report, but this will be a chance to dig a
17	little deeper on this report.
18	So, Madam Chair, are you on the line?
19	MS. LAZO-CHADDERTON: Yes, Mr. Chairman,
20	thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Great. Welcome.
22	Please proceed.
23	MS. LAZO-CHADDERTON: Should I begin?
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, go ahead.
25	MS. LAZO-CHADDERTON: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman, for your kind comments. We were so honored, on April 7th, having you, and Vice Chair Timmons-Goodson, and Commissioner Karen Narasaki coming in representation of total Commissioners to a small town like Walnut Cove. It was the highlight of the day because we didn't feel alone, and especially the residents.

And I would like to thank every one of the Commissioners today for allowing me to share with you about our study, and a little bit of the background and observations, important observations, because I have ten minutes, I believe. And then some recommendations, that is the most important.

So, on April 7th, 2016, the North Carolina Advisory Committee met, or convened, rather, a public meeting in the town of Walnut Cove. It is located in the Stokes County, North Carolina, along the border with Virginia.

And the Committee wanted to hear testimony regarding environmental justice issues in the state, especially issues related to coal ash disposal and its civil rights impact on communities based on race and color.

And really we heard about everyone: residents, local residents, nonprofit organizations,

the industry, Duke Energy, and the North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality. And we were very glad that this place was chosen, like any place in North Carolina. But this public meeting was connected with the coal ash, as I said.

And going a little bit back, on February 2nd, 2014, a metal pipe in the Duke Energy coal ash pond opened burst open and leaked an estimated 82,000 tons of coal ash and 27 million gallons of contaminated water into the Dan River in Eden, North Carolina. For a week, heavy metals, such as arsenic, selenium, bromine, mercury spilled into the river. According to the news, coal ash was found as far as 70 to 80 miles downstream, coating the bottom of the river.

And after the 2014 spill, Duke Energy pled guilty to nine charges of violation of the Clean Water Act and agreed to pay \$68 million in fines and they paid \$30 million of environmental projects and land conservation.

Additionally, Duke Energy entered into a \$3 million cleanup agreement with the EPA. However, some organizations are estimating that the total cleanup cost could be as much as \$300 million.

Overall, all invited parties -- we invited everyone. It was an open invitation. And we had

amazing speakers and panelists, including from Washington D.C., and from any rural and urban area in North Carolina. And whoever couldn't attend personally, they submitted written statements, offering their perspective on the civil rights concerns in question.

The only disappointment was that, in spite of several attempts, no representative from the EPA were present at the committee hearing.

So, what we find out, important findings were: the first one -- and I'm going to name them and then if you have more questions, Commissioners, feel free to ask, please.

And the following findings result directly from the testimony received, and it reflects the views of the entire seated panelists, were: industry-produced toxic waste and air pollutants are disproportionately concentrated in and around communities of color, particularly black, Latino, and American Indian.

The other observation was that the environmental protection laws that exist prohibits the contamination of water by improper disposal of toxic waste. Furthermore, there are provisions that protect the civil rights of communities from damage based on race, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status. However,

without proper enforcement by state and federal regulatory agencies, these laws failed the communities who depend on them.

The next observation from this town hall meeting was that there has been insufficient scientific research conducted on the health and environmental risks associated with coal ash. The first observation was that Mr. Tom Reeder, a representative of the NC DEQ, North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality, stated in his testimony that the NC DEQ and Governor McCrory are taking steps to redress, to set right, the case of coal ash pollution.

And then the final observation was Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, in addition to other regulations, assists to withdraw funding and enforce compliance with civil rights law.

Our recommendations were quite a few, and the number one was that the NC Department of Environmental Quality, EPA, and Duke Energy should look into long-term solutions to prevent coal ash leakage and contamination, such as conversion into cement and other waste disposal options which do not risk going into the water or the air.

And the second was that, again, that the North Carolina DEQ and EPA should take action that

1 prevents low-income communities and communities of 2 color from being affected by coal ash disposal. 3 Third, the EPA should conduct 4 investigation to see whether the State of North Carolina 5 is in compliance with all EPA regulations, including civil rights laws. 6 7 And the fourth, I have something more, if 8 you allow me, is that the EPA should ensure that the 9 programs it funds, like NC DEQ, be more considerate of 10 the high adverse human health and environmental affects 11 that their regulations on coal ash have on minority 12 populations. 13 The EPA should investigate the risk level 14 of residents living the closest to the coal ash pond and 15 potentially provide economic means for them to be 16 relocated. 17 The number six recommendation from the 18 North Carolina Advisory Committee is the EPA and Duke 19 Energy should investigate the safest way for excavate 20 coal ash. 21 Number seven, the affected community of 22 Walnut Creek should be annexed into the town of Walnut 23 Cove in order to have political representation. 24 Number eight, in addition to considering 25 environmental justice as related to coal ash disposal,

the Commission should consider other sources of land and water contamination, such as fracking, as well as air quality concerns, such as high exposure to diesel emissions and other sources of fine matter.

The Commission should consider the community effect of these contaminants on environmental justice communities and the extent to which a failure on the part of regulators to study such affect may result in inadequate environmental justice protection.

We have three more recommendations to you all commissioners. The NC Department of Health and Human Services should immediately conduct a total of health and environmental study through the University of North Carolina Medical School of the affected Walnut Cove area using guidance established by the Centers for Disease Control and the Council of the State and Territorial Epidemiologists. These results should be directly reported to the Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Speaker of the House, and North Carolina Senate President Pro Tempore.

The number ten recommendation is the State of North Carolina and the EPA should investigate ways to compensation community members for healthcare expenses and land evaluation that has resulted from coal ash contamination.

1	And the last one is the EPA should
2	investigate the lasting effects of coal ash disposal on
3	areas after the waste has been disposed of and
4	relocated.
5	Thank you so much for your patience.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you, Madam Chair.
7	Again, very excellent work done by you and your SAC.
8	You should know that we are including your report to us
9	as well as a similar report from our Illinois State
10	Advisory Committee as appendices to our environmental
11	justice report, which will be issued prior to the end
12	of this fiscal year and we also do incorporate some of
13	your hearing findings and recommendations into those
14	that we make overall.
15	So, the work that you have done will be
16	well-represented as part of the work we are doing here
17	at the national level.
18	MS. LAZO-CHADDERTON: Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: You're welcome.
20	With that, I am happy to open it up to any
21	questions from our commissioners. Commissioners?
22	Commissioner Narasaki.
23	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Mr. Chairman?
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Commissioner
25	Narasaki, then Commissioner Kladney.

1 COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I just wanted to 2 thank the chair for undertaking, and the committee for 3 undertaking this study. It was very helpful to hear 4 directly from community members, in addition to the 5 people who formerly testified, the commission did have 6 basically an open mike for people in the audience to be 7 able to participate. It was incredibly powerful to hear from the 8 9 people themselves about the impacts on their loved ones 10 and their way of life. So, really, thank you. 11 a very emotional hearing and difficult to run and you 12 did a magnificent job in running it. 13 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney. 14 MS. LAZO-CHADDERTON: Thank you, 15 Commissioner. 16 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I, too, would like 17 to thank the North Carolina Chair, as well as the rest 18 of the State Advisory Commission. 19 One question I did have is during your 20 briefing was it ever suggested that business entities 21 dealing with these types of substances be required to 22 place funds in trust, as they use them for purposes of 23 cleanup, medical expenses, and other expenses, 24 necessary and then, at the end, say when they were done

using them, they would either be expensed for those

1 purposes or reimbursed back to the company. Was that 2 idea ever put forth? 3 MS. LAZO-CHADDERTON: No, Commissioner, I 4 don't think so but that is an excellent, excellent idea. 5 And if you allow me in this very moment, I would like -- I am sorry taking a personal privilege to 6 7 thank you for the kudos. I feel very good and more 8 energized to keep working. As we say it takes the whole 9 village to do some work. In this case, we having the 10 staff only person, individual, who is an amazing, 11 amazing colleague and key player, and hard worker that 12 is our Executive Director for the Southern Regional and 13 that is Mr. Jeff Hinton and he is on the phone call. 14 thank you, thank you, Jeff for your outstanding work and 15 service. 16 I don't recall, Commissioner, being asked 17 or recommending that. That would be a great idea to 18 a great recommendation. That would help a lot. 19 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any other questions from 21 commissioners? 22 Hearing none, then I want to again thank 23 you, Madam Chair. If you want to stick around, we are 24 actually going to be voting on reappointing your State 25 Advisory Committee. Feel free to stay on for the rest

1	of the call. Otherwise, if you are going to be hanging
2	up, just again, convey our thanks to all the members of
3	the committee for their work and their continuing
4	service on behalf of the Commission and the people of
5	the United States. We appreciate it. Thank you.
6	MS. LAZO-CHADDERTON: Thank you, Mr.
7	Chairman.
8	STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS
9	NORTH CAROLINA
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, so now we move on.
11	As I indicated, we have a couple of SAC packages to
12	consider. The first is North Carolina.
13	So, let me now make a motion that the
14	Commission appoint the following individuals to the
15	North Carolina State Advisory Committee, based upon the
16	recommendation of our Staff Director: Carol Brook,
17	Heather Ford, Steven Greene, Kevin Hales, Jennifer Ho,
18	Dorrance Kennedy, Rick Martinez, Thealeeta Monet, David
19	Moore, Olga Donna Wright, Donna Oldham, Willie
20	Ratchford, Amalia Restucha-Klem, Jenna A. Robinson,
21	and Matty Lazo-Chadderton.
22	Pursuant to this motion, the Commission
23	appoints Matty Lazo-Chadderton Chair of the North
24	Carolina State Advisory Committee.

These members will serve as uncompensated

1 employees. Under this motion, government the Commission authorizes the Staff Director to execute the 2 3 appropriate paperwork for the appointments. 4 Do I have a second? 5 VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Mr. Chair, I 6 second. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The Vice Chair seconds. 7 8 Any discussion? If not -- yes, Commissioner Heriot. 9 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I plant to vote no on 10 this slate. I'm afraid that this particular SAC has 11 been handled in I think quite an extraordinary way. 12 As I understand it, two weeks ago, on a 13 Friday, Mr. Mussatt, the Regional Staff Director, 14 reached out to Commissioner Kirsanow's special 15 assistant and my special assistant to get help because 16 he felt that this North Carolina SAC was not balanced 17 sufficiently with regard to viewpoint diversity. So, 18 he called upon the two special assistants he thought 19 were most likely to be able to come up with proposals 20 for conservative members for the SAC. 21 That was at 10:40 on a Friday morning. 22 special assistant, Ms. Somin, responded very quickly 23 with some names by about 1:40 that afternoon. Ms. 24 Mulder, Commissioner Kirsanow's assistant, was working

on developing an additional list.

Mr. Mussatt began reaching out to the people that Ms. Somin had proposed. But at 3:00, just an hour and 20 minutes later, we got an email that there was a final slate and it didn't include any of the names that Ms. Somin or Ms. Mulder had come up with.

Ms. Somin emailed to find out if there had been some sort of a mistake and was told no, that there was not a mistake, that this was correct, that we could add names at the last minute. And I understand that two recommendations that were made have, indeed gone on.

But there was a very good candidate that Ms. Mulder came up with who, inexplicably has been left off of this. As I read it now, we have ten left-leaning members of this proposal with only five, what I would call leaning to the right in the group.

We recently passed an administrative instruction that featured the notion that these SACs need to be ideologically balanced and that my understanding is that if one person, one special assistant believes that they are not balanced, or one commissioner, that there should be a meeting of all special assistants to deal with the problem. That meeting hasn't happened here. Instead, we are just pushing through this SAC.

Oddly enough, it is not one of the SACs that

1	has really been out of commission for very long. This
2	one is being jumped ahead of some that have not been
3	completed for a longer period of time. I don't quite
4	see why we need to rush with this one. I don't see why
5	the person that was proposed by Ms. Mulder has
6	disappeared from view here. And I really don't think
7	this SAC is ideologically balanced.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So, two of the three that
9	you recommended didn't make it. Is that what you are
10	saying?
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: But right now we
12	have twice as many people who are left-leaning than
13	right-leaning and our administrative instructions are
14	that they are to be balanced. This is very far from
15	balanced.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Balance is not
17	one-to-one. But having said that
18	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: How come the
19	conservatives always lose on this? Like every time,
20	even in white conservative states.
21	Name me a SAC where the conservatives in the
22	majority. Always it is gosh, there are only twice as
23	many liberals as conservatives; why is that bothering
24	you, Commissioner Heriot? Well, it does bother me.

Name one -- one -- one State Advisory

1	Committee where the majority is conservative. Over,
2	and over, and over, and over again, I am asked to say
3	hey, well you know, we couldn't come up with them, even
4	though we have proposals. We have a system where there
5	is supposed to be a meeting to deal with this and it
6	instead, it is being pushed through.
7	I think it is inappropriate and I object.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner, I suspect
9	maybe some of this is because we have cameras here but
10	have are not putting D.C. and
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Have I not objected
12	in the past?
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We have not put D.C. and
14	Ohio up because you all raised a concern about balance.
15	We are working on those.
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Ohio is not
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Had you raised North
18	Carolina before this
19	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Ohio, they want to
20	knock the conservatives off.
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I have no doubt that
22	we would be pulling that off right now and looking for
23	balance.
24	We were following and you could have easily
25	raised these issues before the meeting today and we

would gladly have pulled this aside --1 2 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I did. 3 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: -- to find -- well, it 4 was not brought to the attention of the chairman. 5 you want say something know to Commissioner Narasaki and then I will ask the Staff 6 Director to speak. Commissioner Narasaki. 7 8 COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I just want to 9 note that the commissioners are well aware of which 10 Advisory Committees are State open and need 11 recruitment. And my staff and I have gone out of our 12 way to help as much as possible the staff identify 13 people. And I have to say that not every commissioner 14 is doing that. 15 So, one of the problems we have, 16 Commissioner Heriot well knows, is we have a large 17 number of State Advisory Committee who are open and not 18 filled and we need to get them done. Because, as we just 19 heard from the report today, they play a very important 20 role. 21 So, staff is doing the best they can to try 22 to get these slates up and running. And I would be happy to entertain a waiver of rules to go back and add some 23 24 people, if there are some qualified people to some of

these slates but we need to start getting some of these

slates done.

D.C. because of your concerns, even though D.C. is hardly a majority Republican place and it has been hard and the reason is not through any malice or ill will or political gamesmanship. It is just sometimes, in a place like D.C. for example, difficult to find people from the Republican Party in a state that like votes 90 percent Democrat.

So, I understand your frustration but I would suggest the way to deal with this in the long-term, not this case, necessarily, but in the long-term is for the commissioners to get more active in really trying to help identify potential members for the staff.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Staff Director and then Commissioner Heriot.

MR. MORALES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want to be able to say that you know I have worked with every commissioner's special assistants and, in particular in this case, I have worked with both Commissioner Heriot's and Commissioner Kirsanow's special assistants when they brought attention of their concerns about the balance. You know I worked with them. I asked them for their assistance.

I believe on a couple of their

recommendations, they didn't submit applications. 1 2 wanted to prepare and give commissioners enough time to 3 review the slates so that any objections to 4 individual, specific individual could be resolved, if 5 that were the case. There were none. I agree, we need to do a better job in 6 7 working with balance. You know they can't be perfect. As the chair said, it can't be a one-to-one balance but 8 9 we do the best job we can with the applications of the 10 nominees. 11 Sometimes get nominees from we 12 commissioners and they don't, for whatever reason, 13 submit an application. We go back to them. We ask them 14 are you interested, will you submit an application and 15 they don't submit it in time for the deadline. 16 So, we had that situation with North 17 We have had that situation with Kansas. Carolina. 18 Several of them were able to submit applications in 19 time. 20 Objections were made in regards to the 21 District of Columbia so we are working with the special 22 assistants of Commissioners Heriot and Kirsanow to try 23 to find a way to resolve those issues and get these SACs 24 going.

If we keep having to object because there

is three more of one persuasion and two more of another, we will never get these done.

And quite frankly, in the case of Kansas, there are five from one ideological persuasion and four from the other; five being conservative and four being more of the progressive bend; and two complete Independents. So, we are not here trying to state that they always have to be one way or the other. We are trying to find the balance as best we can. It is a complicated job. We depend on a variety of people. I mean you are trying to get 15 people to submit applications and a package in a short period of time.

I will recommend this and I have talked to the special assistants about their frustrations and my frustrations and the frustrations of the State Advisory Committee in trying to pull all these together. One of the proposals is that we will do more of a -- David Mussatt, who is the regional coordinator for the SACs, is going to reach out. And we have had preliminary discussions about this of when the SACs come up, as you see on the appointment pages, 120 days before the expiration, what we are thinking of doing, and we haven't thought it through completely, is that we would put forward a notice to all commissioners that this particular SAC, let's New York for just argument's sake,

it is going to expire in 120 days. Please provide us with your nominees, if you have any. There is a certain number that are seeking to be reappointed but we would like to reach out to you and provide us with a list.

So then, 30 days after that, then say these are the nominees we received from you and these are the ones that have responded to us. Do you have any additional? We still have 90 days before we need to put the SAC together. And so that when we get closer to 60 days' out, we have a more robust and clear alignment of So that by the time that we get, maybe if there the SAC. is another 30 days we need to get down and we are able to get a more balanced SAC or there are some further objections, we have time to work on that so that by the time I give it to you, per the AI, which is two weeks before the vote, before the consideration, if there is an objection, we can pull it down, per the AI and work our way through that. That is the idea.

And so we are doing the best we can. I sense your frustration and Commissioner Heriot and all the commissioners, I am more than willing to work with you. I know that David is more than willing to work with all of you in trying to find a way to get these SACs completed so that we can, hopefully, reach the mandate and the request of Congress to have as many SACs as we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 can fulfilled before the end of the year. 2 Thank you. 3 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot, then Commissioner Narasaki. 4 5 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay, a couple of points here I think need to be made. First of all, you 6 7 know on the notion of whether or not Commissioner 8 Kirsanow and I should have proposed more persons, well, 9 we are getting, as Staff Director has been told, 10 regional staff members telling us not to propose so many 11 In fact, we were told that we should only people. 12 propose people that we personally know, which is, again, 13 just nonsense and not advice that I am planning to take. 14 My special assistant informed the Staff 15 Director that is the advice that we were getting and that 16 we object to it and she got no response on that. 17 With regard to the notion that we don't 18 always get applications, that is true but you have 19 applications from the person proposed by Ms. Mulder and 20 yet, somehow, he didn't make it onto the list, despite 21 the fact that this particular SAC leans heavily to the 22 left and needed more conservatives. 23 And on the notion that maybe we should have 24 procedures, we just worked for months to create a 25 procedure that would eliminate the possibility of having to deal with this in a meeting. We are supposed to have a meeting of special assistants when a particular SAC is thought to be unbalanced by the special assistants looking at it. That didn't happen in this case.

You know we really did work very hard on those procedures, tried to come up with something that was workable and yet, we are only a few weeks after having passed those procedures and we are already violating them. I would have more sympathy for the notion of now and then there is going to be a SAC where the liberals outnumber the conservatives by a lot if it weren't for the fact that it is always that way. Always, always.

As to Kansas, the notion that this is balanced because the number of Republicans and Democrats is similar, the problem is our Independents tend to be -- they are not Democrats because they are much further to the left than that. In fact, so far to the left, they are in danger of falling over sideways. So, no, it is not a question of how many Republicans and how many Democrats you have. You have got to look at the actual political ideology. We are trying to get ideological diversity so that people who have differing perspectives can come together and talk about these

1 issues. 2 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki, 3 then I am going to call this for a vote. 4 COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I just wanted to 5 note a couple of things. It is my understanding is that in fact the issue of North Carolina and Kansas was raised 6 7 at a meeting with the SAs, that that actually did happen. The second thing is around the issue of 8 9 personal recommendations. I think what staff 10 dealing with is some commissioners would put forward the 11 name of someone that they didn't know and so they hadn't 12 actually tried to recruit them to apply and then the 13 staff have to spend a lot of time chasing them. 14 And then the other issue is, upon closer 15 examination, when you vet some of these people, it is 16 not about sort of partisans leanings, it is about 17 backgrounds that are problematic, having committed 18 crimes or something like that, for example. 19 And in the case of Ohio, the objection there 20 is not about political leaning, it is about having 21 violated the Commission's rules. 22 So, I, personally, and I kind of take 23 affront at this notion that somehow we are all out to 24 get the conservatives because I, personally, have

recommended and gotten placed several Republicans on

1	these State Advisory Committees. In fact, I spend a lot
2	of time telling people, look, we need to find more
3	Republicans for these State Advisory Committees.
4	There is not some kind of conspiracy and I
5	think it is important to make it clear that that does
6	not exist.
7	So, finally, I want to note that it is not
8	the case, in fact, that all the Independents on the State
9	Advisory Committees are independent because they are so
10	leaning left that they are falling off the earth. That
11	is not the case. Many of them are actually truly
12	independent, truly don't have a party and that should
13	be considered in the overall package.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All right, I am going to
15	call the question.
16	Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?
17	Commissioner Kirsanow, are you still there?
18	(No audible response.)
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: He had to get off?
20	Okay.
21	Commissioner Heriot, how do you vote?
22	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I vote no.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,
24	how do you vote?
25	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I vote yes.

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
2	how do you vote?
3	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I would abstain.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
5	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
6	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I
7	should have spoken up. I am going to vote no. I feel
8	like, given the assertion that it is ideologically so
9	out of balance. I mean I can't tell whether what
10	happened, as characterized by Commissioner Heriot, is
11	what actually happened or didn't happen, but as a
12	commissioner, I want to defer to Commissioner Heriot's
13	explanation. And whatever happened, if it is indeed
14	the case that it is ideologically so out of balance, then
15	as a matter of comity, we typically reconsider those
16	things.
17	I do want to associate myself, however,
18	with Commissioner Narasaki comments related to the
19	extent to which most of us try to lean over backwards
20	to assure some kind of, if not absolute parity,
21	compliance with the spirit.
22	So, I am going to vote no because if it is
23	indeed the case that this SAC is so unbalanced, then it
24	probably needs to go back for reconsideration.
25	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Let me just say,

1	Commissioner Achtenberg, and I am going to ask her to
2	speak to this, I actually asked the Vice Chair if she
3	thought this was balanced and my recollection is she
4	indicated that it was. But if anybody should know
5	whether this SAC is reflective of North Carolina, it
6	should be our North Carolina representative.
7	Madam Vice Chair, could you speak to this
8	and tell us how you vote?
9	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You are
10	interrupting the vote now?
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: You always make
12	statements when you vote.
13	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Thank you
14	very much, Mr. Chair. As a matter of fact
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Not during the vote.
16	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON:
17	connection with this, I was surprised in terms of
18	registration, political registration that it was not
19	balanced. And I asked. And any statements
20	regarding this. And I was surprised to hear that. No
21	I have not heard anything from commissioners about any
22	problems with this. And so I thought, well, if they are
23	okay with it, I'm okay with it. But frankly, I was
24	surprised the number of so few Republicans registered.
25	And I understand Commissioner Heriot's

1	point that it is not about political registration. It
2	is more about ideological thinking in balance.
3	And so her comments give me pause. I did
4	not realize that there was any problem or concern about
5	this or I would have brought it up myself.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, I think that was a
7	concern many of us did not have an awareness.
8	Maybe we should pull this back and revisit
9	it in September.
10	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Well,
11	frankly, I would ask that we do that.
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So, then I will
13	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Mr. Chairman?
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Commissioner
15	Kladney?
16	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I would support
17	that. I abstain because I have not had my full-time
18	representative of the commission for a while. I did not
19	know anything that was going on and I abstain because
20	I have no knowledge. But I would join Commissioner
21	Achtenberg and the Vice Chair.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, so I will table my
23	motion and then by agreement and consensus, we will put
24	this over until September. And in the interim, run it
25	through the appropriate AI process to ensure that

1	everyone feels comfortable that there is balance.
2	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Thank you, Mr.
3	Chairman.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: You're welcome.
5	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Thank you, Mr.
6	Chairman.
7	STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS
8	KANSAS
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: You're welcome.
10	Now, on to Kansas. Let me make a motion
11	that the Commission appoint the following individuals
12	to the Kansas State Advisory Committee, based upon the
13	recommendation of our Staff Director: Mildred
14	Edwards, Russ Brien, Mark Dodd, Steven Gieber, Martha
15	Hodgesmith, Ron Holt, Kristy Lambert, Jennifer Ng,
16	Phyllis Nolan, Kirk Perucca, Ewa Unoke, and Gabriela
17	Vega.
18	Pursuant to this motion, the Commission
19	appoints Mildred Edwards Chair of the Kansas State
20	Advisory Committee.
21	These members will serve as uncompensated
22	government employees.
23	Under this motion, the Commission
24	authorizes the Staff Director to execute the
25	appropriate paperwork for the appointments. Do I have

1	a second?
2	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: I'll second.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot.
4	Who was that?
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: The Vice Chair.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The Vice Chair seconded.
7	Any questions, comments, discussion on
8	this one, other than what was already said?
9	All right, we will call the question for a
10	vote, then.
11	Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?
12	(No audible response.)
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Oh, I'm sorry, he's
14	gone.
15	Commissioner Heriot, how do you vote?
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I am going to vote
17	yes on this one.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,
19	how do you vote?
20	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes.
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
22	how do you vote?
23	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
25	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
	NEAL D. ODOGG

1	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
3	Timmons-Goodson, how do you vote?
4	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote yes. It is
6	unanimous. So, this SAC package passes. Thank you.
7	C. MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS
8	STAFF DIRECTOR REPORT
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Next, we move on to
10	Management and Operations. I am going to turn it over
11	to our Staff Director for the discussion of a few items.
12	Staff Director Morales.
13	MR. MORALES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
14	wanted to just go over a couple of things real quickly
15	here. It is almost noon. I know folks have got planes
16	to catch.
17	One, the Director's Report, you have been
18	provided with that. So, if you have any questions,
19	please. I won't go over it.
20	DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON COMMISSION 2017 BUSINESS MEETING
21	CALENDAR
22	MR. MORALES: But the one thing I do want
23	to talk about is the 2017 Business Meeting Calendar, I
24	provided a draft of that to all of you and we have worked
25	with staff to look through to make sure there were no

conflicts with any religious holidays or federal holidays. And so this is the draft that we have come up with. I would like your opinion of it or your discussion about it. And then we can vote on that, if that is amenable so that we can start planning for next year.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, I had sent an email around to all commissioners. Again, my term expires December 5th of this year. I don't know whether that will be that but since this calendar is before me, I looked at my calendar and had a couple of proposed changes.

I had suggested that the Friday, January 27th meeting be moved to January 13th and that the Friday, September 15th meeting be moved to another Friday in September, either the 8th, 22nd, or 29th.

I was informed by one of the special assistants that the latter two dates might have a conflict with a religious holiday. But it turns out in discussions that we are going to have in a minute about our 60th Anniversary, which is September 9th, that maybe if we were to hold the meeting on September 8th, there might be a way to marry our meeting and any kind of commemoration so that it also is done in a timely fashion but also in a way that saves on resources in bringing

1	us together.
2	So, I would ask that we consider changing
3	the proposed January and September dates.
4	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: For the 8th of
5	September, Mr. Chairman? Is that what you are
6	proposing?
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I think that would work.
8	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes, that is
9	fine with me. I'm just trying to clarify.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, okay, yes to
11	September 8th. And then the January to January 13th.
12	Obviously, the 20th is going to be an
13	inaugural so we are not going to want to be competing
14	with that for hotel space, et cetera. So, I just
15	thought the 13th might works as a result.
16	Are folks okay with that?
17	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Is the September 9th
18	date the day that this was signed into law by the
19	President?
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. And there is no
21	magic to January 13, other than it is before the
22	inauguration.
23	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It's Friday the
24	13th.
25	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Oh, yes, that is a good

1	one. We have done meetings on Friday the 13th and they
2	always turn out well.
3	No objections to that? So, then what I
4	would do is I would make a motion that we accept the
5	proposed agenda of meeting dates with the change from
6	January 27th to the 13th of January and from September
7	15th to the 8th.
8	Is there a second?
9	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Second.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And was that the Vice
11	Chair?
12	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Roberta.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
14	Achtenberg?
15	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Roberta.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
17	Achtenberg, okay.
1.0	Any additional discussion? If not, I am
18	Any additional discussion: If not, I am
19	going to do this by voice vote.
19	going to do this by voice vote.
19 20	going to do this by voice vote.  All those in favor say aye.
19 20 21	going to do this by voice vote.  All those in favor say aye.  (Chorus of ayes.)
19 20 21 22	going to do this by voice vote.  All those in favor say aye.  (Chorus of ayes.)  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any opposed?

## DISCUSSION ON 2017 COMMEMORATION OF 60TH ANNIVERSARY OF

USCCR

MR. MORALES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As you have mentioned, we are aware that the 60th Anniversary of the Commission will occur next year. We wanted to begin the planning process or consideration of what would be appropriate to commemorate that date. And I am having Brian Walch on our staff to kind of lead the effort, since he has an expertise in public media and public outreach.

And so I wanted to just throw that out there for a discussion real briefly. I am looking -- I am thinking about putting together a working group or a planning group of whichever special assistants and/or commissioners that may be interested. Everybody is really busy in the next few weeks and months here but if we can get something going maybe in early September, it is a year out but if there is some specific things people are interested in, I think we did a very good job working together for the commemoration of the 13th Amendment when we did it at the Lincoln Cottage.

So, we are thinking about now is the time to start to put our thinking caps on and working together. So, I am going to have Brian reach out to special assistants at some point next week and see what

1 their interest level is and maybe kind of put together 2 kind of an outline of preliminary planning. 3 But if anybody has any high-level ideas and 4 they want to express them now or when we get to the 5 planning stage, that would be great. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I just want to add that 6 7 not only with the 13th Amendment but with the 8 Emancipation Proclamation, we have worked really well 9 in a bipartisan fashion, Commissioner Heriot and her 10 staff, Commissioner Achtenberg and her staff, and us in 11 putting those together and I would imagine a very 12 committee of if similar sort structure 13 commissioners are interested and others, so that we can 14 begin to give some real serious thought to this. 15 I think it would be very appropriate for us 16 to acknowledge this important anniversary. 17 have any thoughts? 18 If not, then let me suggest if you or your 19 special assistants are interested in participating in 20 this working group, please let the staff director know 21 sooner, rather than later, so that we could then begin 22 to start mapping out some ideas that maybe could be 23 Commission for discussion the and brought to 24 consideration, as we get closer to that date.

Thank you very much.

1 MR. MORALES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We 2 will outreach to the special assistants on this. 3 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, great. 4 STATUS OF THE WEBSITE MIGRATION 5 I had a couple of other MR. MORALES: things I wanted to update you on. I sent an email out 6 7 earlier this week regarding the website, status of the 8 website migration. So, I just wanted to reiterate that 9 the staff is working to make that transition and 10 migration as smooth and seamless as possible. So far, 11 it is going well but there always could be little 12 glitches. So, just bear with us and we will let you know 13 when and if that occurs. VACANCY ANNOUNCEMENT FOR THE OFFICE OF CIVIL RIGHTS 14 15 EVALUATION FOR THE CIVIL RIGHTS ANALYST 16 MR. MORALES: I wanted to also call 17 attention we made the vacancy announcement for the 18 Office of Civil Rights evaluation for the Civil Rights 19 It was announced, I believe yesterday by Analyst. 20 Maureen Rudolph. And what we really would like from all 21 of you is if you can send it out to your networks so we 22 get a really good pool of applicants and we get a good 23 selection of somebody to come in here. 24 COMMISSION OUTREACH USE OF SOCIAL MEDIA 25 MR. MORALES: And lastly, Mr. Chairman, I

just wanted to let you know that I am working with Brian to enhance the use of social media to amplify the Commission's messaging to a wider audience.

As you are well aware, social media is not the only vehicle to do this but it should be a part of the overall Commission outreach to press and to stakeholders. Our existing Twitter page has 371 followers but we believe we can have a good potential to increase that base exponentially over the next three to six months.

And we also want to inform the commissioners that we are going to be setting up the Commission Facebook page for the first time. We are working with the Office of General Counsel and, of course, reviewing U.S. Government social use directives from the White House and OPM. And so we may also be having to update the AI on public affairs and public communications.

So, I just wanted to let -- and we will provide you with more information when we actually get to the point where we kick it off. But we really think this is a tool that the Commission should utilize and it was a commitment that I made to all of the commissioners about really raising the visibility of the work the Commission does here.

So, with that, I thank you, sir and I wish
everybody safe travels.
CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you. Are there
any questions for the staff director before I adjourn?
Hearing none, it is now 12:04 Eastern Time
and this meeting is hereby adjourned. Thank you,
everybody.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went
off the record at 12:04 p.m.)
1