Disclaimer for Rough Real-Time Transcripts

"Do not quote or rely on this uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@usccr.gov."

+ + + + +

MEETING

+ + + + +

FRIDAY, MARCH 9, 2012

+ + + + +

The Commission convened in Room 540 at 624 Ninth Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C. at 9:34 a.m., Martin R. Castro, Chairman, presiding.

PRESENT:

MARTIN R. CASTRO, Chairman

ABIGAIL THERNSTROM, Vice Chairman

(via telephone)

ROBERTA ACHTENBERG, Commissioner

(via telephone)

TODD F. GAZIANO, Commissioner

GAIL L. HERIOT, Commissioner

PETER N. KIRSANOW, Commissioner

(via telephone)

DAVID KLADNEY, Commissioner (via telephone)

MICHAEL YAKI, Commissioner (via telephone)

KIMBERLY TOLHURST, Delegated the Authority

of the Staff Director + Acting General

Counsel

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 STAFF PRESENT:

MARGARET BUTLER, Acting Chief, OCRE

IVY L. DAVIS, Director, ERO

BARBARA DE LA VIEZ

PAMELA DUNSTON, Chief, ASCD

LATRICE FOSHEE

ALFREDA GREENE

TINALOUISE MARTIN, Director, OM

PETER MINARIK, Director, RPCU (via telephone)

TORRANCE MONTGOMERY

LENORE OSTROWSKY, Acting Chief, PAU

JOHN RATCLIFFE, Chief, Budget and Finance

EILEEN RUDERT

DAVID SNYDER

VANESSA WILLIAMSON

COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

NICHOLAS COLTON

ALEC DEULL

TIM FAY

DOMINIQUE LUDVIGSON

JOHN MARTIN

RICHARD SCHAMEL

ALISON SOMIN

MARLENE SALLO

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

I. Approval of Agenda5
<pre>II. Approval of the Feb. 3, 2012 Meeting Minutes9</pre>
<pre>III. Management and Operations \$ Staff Director's report19</pre>
IV. Chair addresses passing of Harry McPherson and Captain Patricia Gromley
V. State Advisory Committee Issues: \$ Review of two Hawaii SAC applicants
<pre>III. Management and Operations (Cont'd) \$ Chief of Regional Programs' report89 \$ Discussion on Agency Staffing</pre>
VI. Program Planning Update and discussion of
projects: \$ VRA Statutory Enforcement Report Update144 \$ Human Trafficking Briefing Update148 \$ Immigration Briefing Update. Discussion of
potential field briefing150
\$ Discussion on 2013 Statutory Report Selection Process
<pre>V. State Advisory Committee Issues:</pre>
VI. Adjourn Meeting

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(9:33 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The meeting is being called to order. It is now 9:34 a.m. This is a meeting of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. Today is March 9th, 2012. This meeting is taking place at the Commission's headquarters, located at 624 Ninth Street N.W., in Washington D.C.

am Marty Castro, Chairman of Commissioners Commission. who are present this meeting myself, Commissioner physically at Heriot and Commissioner Gaziano. Vice Chair Thernstrom, Commissioner Kladney, Commissioner Yaki and Commissioner Kirsanow are joining us by phone, Commissioner Achtenberg will also be joining us by phone shortly at approximately 9:50.

So it sounds like we have a case of red and blue flu today but we do, nonetheless, still have a quorum in the room. So what I would like you to do, particularly those commissioners that are on the phone, would you please identify yourself by name, when you are speaking so that the court reporter knows who it is that's talking.

A quorum of the commissioners is present. Is the person delegated the authority of

NEAL R. GROSS

(No response)

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any discussion?

24

Do not quote or rely on this	uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@usecr.gov
1	move on that motion to amend. All those in favor
2	signify by saying aye.
3	(Chorus of ayes)
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any opposed?
5	(No response)
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any abstentions?
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I abstain.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Commissioner
9	Yaki abstains. And I voted aye, so that's all but
10	one. Okay, so the motion passes. Next item then,
11	so is the approval of the minutes of the February
12	20 th , I'm sorry, the February 3 rd meeting.
13	II. APPROVAL OF THE FEBRUARY 3, 2012
14	MEETING MINUTES
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is there a second?
16	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I second.
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any questions,
18	comments, changes? Discussion?
19	(No response)
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, all those in
21	favor of approving the minutes, say aye.
22	(Chorus of ayes)
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any opposed?
24	(No response)
25	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any abstentions?
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

about printing in a more limited way, and doing a lot more posting on the web. I would note that when the chairman and I and his assistant went to the Hill, we bought hard copies and most of them didn't even want them and asked us can you just email me a

So I think there's a movement towards just doing it electronically. So at the present there was not a plan to print school discipline or any future briefing reports, but I or the future staff director obviously will print in whatever way you all vote to print. So --

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: As opposed to the staffers on the Hill, I assumed hard copies sometimes to be valuable, obviously, the PDF versions on those too. But I've always found the hard copies to be valuable.

I get questions from people from time to time asking if they can get hard copies of certain of our reports.

I think that it makes sense for us to --

NEAL R. GROSS

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PDF of this document.

I think just for example the school discipline report, I think is one that is an important one and it could be useful to have that printed. In fact I'd make such a motion, to print the school discipline report and, I think, any other report that you have printed right now, I want to see printed.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I would second that.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is there a second?

Okay.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I also have a comment when you are ready.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, well let's have some discussion on that. I do have one question about the motion to Commissioner Kirsanow. Is there some quantity? I mean I don't know what the usual quantity is historically and whether or not you are proposing that we do this as we have done historically, or some lesser amount.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: What I'd like to hear -- thanks Mr. Chairman -- I'd like to hear from the staff director as to what -- in order to at least come close to the mandate of reducing costs by 20 percent or whatever the mandate is, how many

For example on the STEM report, I sent out I believe more than 1,000 and this is one that I would also like to do that same thing for. I had actually made a request that we print up more than the usual amount of this one.

NEAL R. GROSS

23

24

1 I don't know how many that we usually --2 that we have, for the STEM report for example that 3 are just sitting around, but I suspect it's not very 4 large because I must have sent out an awful lot of 5 those. 6 while when they There was а were 7 basically taking up a large part of one of my colleague's office. Fortunately he was away for 8 that period and so --9 ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: And it 10 11 wouldn't work for you to email the report to people? COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I have found that 12 13 when you email a report, the response rate, even 14 though it should be very easy to write back and say 15 on email, thanks for that, it was a wonderful report, I get many more responses when I sent out 16 17 hard copy. 18 When people see a pretty picture, they 19 open it up, you know, some of those, I'm sure, never get read, but I think a higher percentage of them 20 21 do. There's also the fact that on this particular 22 report my special assistant emailed me a copy of the 23 report and the USD email just snatched it and put it

It sent back a little email to her saying we don't

It's too big, it won't go through.

in the trash.

24

that in response to questions, one of our special

understand, acting staff directory,

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2,500 for various reports.

That, I think Congress expects us to use that tiny fraction of our budget on the four to six reports a year that we get out, and my final thought is, you know, this is going to be the case for a lot of reports that other Commissioners, I -- you know, Mr. Chairman, you may want printed reports of your -- the immigration investigation and not the -- my own institute, they had a small limit on the number of, whatever megabytes, that it could receive, and this -- a PDF of any, any report would be too big.

And I would also just be discouraged to print it up. I think there are a lot of people who wouldn't want to do so.

It's also the case, and this is my final question, don't repository libraries, haven't they sought some of our reports? Have we sent them to --

ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: but for example, the Thurgood Marshall have Library, which retains all of our reports, does so exclusively electronically. So it's a mixed bag.

I mean I think as -- it's a younger time and I think everyone younger than me only wants electronic means reports bу because they are

Do not quote or rely on this	uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@usecr.gov
1	searchable, they are, you know, they're so user
2	friendly.
3	I see your point. I would suggest that
4	what I do, and you know, we had talked about this,
5	we do need to cut costs. Why don't I, between now
6	and the next meeting, get you sort of a per print
7	number cost and you all can say we want this amount.
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Can we decide on
9	this one now? Because I really would like those
10	reports, you know, as soon as possible.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Does anyone, any
12	commissioner on the phone have a comment, since I
13	can't see a hand?
14	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: I can
15	just tell you that Lenore had calculated for this
16	particular report that to print if you wanted to
17	print, for example she said 1,400 copies would be
18	\$4,000.
19	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: This is Dave,
20	Commissioner Kladney.
21	I think
22	UNIDENTIFIED PARTICIPANT: We can't
23	understand you.
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
25	could you pull your phone back a little bit so we
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	something that would be a little more palatable and
2	we are still being able to address the concerns and
3	needs that you have and yet not say that, you know,
4	the next time this issue comes up, because we did it
5	this time we should do it that time, although you
6	know, it may be a good use of these additional
7	dollars.
8	Commissioner Heriot, then Commissioner
9	Gaziano.
10	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I just wanted to
11	say that I am perfectly happy with treating this as
12	a special number. This happens to be one of only
13	two or three reports that I am very interested in
14	sending a lot of copies out on.
15	As I said, I think I've sent out about a
16	thousand of these kind of reports and I would
17	anticipate a similar, maybe a little bit less on
18	this one.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And we may have some
20	more requests when it comes to the trafficking and
21	the immigration reports that are coming up, but I
22	would say we would treat those, depending on what
23	our dollars look like. Commissioner Gaziano?
24	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I was going to
25	say I thought that's entirely reasonable, especially

have extra money doesn't absolve us of presidential mandates to reduce printing costs.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I understand that. But you know, we can also manage that on other ends

NEAL R. GROSS

22

23

24

Achtenberg is on the line, could we proceed to the SAC issues?

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, if we could, just one thing I neglected to do at the beginning. just wanted to acknowledge a couple of folks and with the readjustment of the agenda, I let it slip.

NEAL R. GROSS

21

22

23

24

y On an	and the other transcript without obtaining written permission from the o.o. commission on own regins at transcripts
1	But before I move on to the next item I
2	want to just take a moment to reflect on the passing
3	of two very important contributors to civil rights
4	issues and have passed away in the last 30 days.
5	IV. CHAIR ADDRESSES PASSING OF HARRY McPHERSON
6	AND CAPTAIN PATRICIA GROMLEY
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The first is an
8	individual by the name of Mr. Harry McPherson, who
9	passed away on February 16 th . While working in the
10	Senate, Mr. McPherson helped to write legislation
11	that became the Civil Rights Act of 1957, which
12	among other things, created our Commission.
13	He was a former senior lawyer with DLA
14	Piper and adviser to President Lyndon Johnson, and
15	he came here with 1956 with the Senator. He started
16	working as an assistant general counsel for the
17	Senate, and ultimately ascended to general counsel
18	of the democratic policy committee.
19	We would not actually be here today if
20	it were not for the contribution of persons such as
21	Mr. McPherson, and we want to acknowledge the
22	service he has given to the people of the United
23	States and his passing is regretted.
24	The second individual is Captain
25	Patricia Gromley. She was a three-term member of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 passed away on February 21st.

> Ms. Gromley served the U.S. Navy as a member of the judge advocate general corps for 24 years and following her retirement from the military, continued to serve her country in various capacities within the federal government, including the work she did with our SAC.

> So we wanted to acknowledge her service to our country and to our Commission and we also mourn her passing. So we will now move on to a discussion of -- we moved up the -- Commissioner Achtenberg the discussion of the Hawaii, Indiana and Utah SACs so that we can have your participation in those.

V. STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ISSUES:

REVIEW OF TWO HAWAII SAC APPLICANTS

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So the first item is the Hawaii SAC. Commissioner Gaziano.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Two months ago, you may remember, when two of the Republican SAC members were severed from the package and postponed, the Commission's understanding asked regardless of what happened within that, that the Commission would consider two Republican other

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One of them has sent in an -- one of the two that was severed, that was in the previous SAC, sent in an explanation but withdrew his nomination. A Mr. Burgess is the person I'd like to move today, who seeks reappointment, and he has -- the acting

staff director has circulated his statement.

I'd like to speak to that, but I do -right before that, I'll just explain my disappointment that one of my other -- neither -none of my recommendations were contacted, as you may remember, in the discussion that came up two months ago.

Mr. Peter Minarik promised me both on the record and after the meeting that he would Apparently an email may have been contact them. sent. There was no followup.

The -- the professor at the University of Hawaii that I spoke about said she never received it. This communication was confirmed a few days ago. So Ι would ask that consideration of her the SAC be postponed to a later appointment to meeting now that she finally has the application, if the application is suitable.

But because, two months ago, I told the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

25 But I, on Bill Burgess, I don't need --

1	experience, I think, with the Hawaii SAC of anyone
2	on the Commission, fortunately or unfortunately, I
3	think that the rule 11 sanction is simply a good
4	indicator that, that Mr. Burgess is a single issue-
5	focused individual who does not, I think, bring very
6	much to the table other than his own other than
7	his particular viewpoint on a very particular issue
8	in which it is simply to advance that particular
9	political agenda, I don't think he works well with
10	other members of the community and I think that he
11	uses it as a platform for this particular viewpoint
12	and I am I think that the rule 11 sanction made
13	him adequately demonstrate the fact that it's all
14	that he cares about and I will be voting to not
15	confirm him.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any other
17	commissioners have comments on the motion to approve
18	Mr. Burgess?
19	(No response)
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Hearing none, I'm
21	going to call the question. Those in favor of the
22	motion to appoint Mr. Burgess to the Hawaii SAC, I'm
23	going to go by roll call.
24	Madam Vice Chair, are you in favor of

the appointment?

VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM'S SPECIAL ASSISTANT: Correct.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Just let the record reflect that Vice Chair Thernstrom's special assistant informed me that she is no longer on the phone or participating on the meeting.

So we have one, two, three yeses, three nos and an abstention. So the motion fails. I will renew the motion at a later meeting when we have eight commissioners and hopefully when we have the nomination package for Professor Zhou.

NEAL R. GROSS

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Do not quote or rely on th	3.5 is uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@uscr.gov
1	The thank you. The next item on the
2	agenda, then is the Indiana Sac.
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm sorry, what did
4	Commissioner Gaziano just say?
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Would you repeat what
6	you just said commissioner?
7	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I will renew the
8	motion when we have eight commissioners. We amended
9	the agenda so that we wouldn't
10	COMMISSIONER YAKI: His nomination is up
11	and it's over. The matter is closed.
12	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: You're wrong.
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, you can make a
14	motion of reconsideration and that is not in order.
15	His name has been up, it is down. I don't want to
16	see his name up here again.
17	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: There was no
18	prevailing party. There was no prevailing side in
19	this motion for one and number two, we amended the
20	agenda until all so that all eight commissioners
21	could participate.
22	We waited while Vice Chair Thernstrom
23	was on, until Commissioner Achtenberg came on. So,
24	pursuant to the previous motion
25	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Gentlemen let me

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in	ope:	n s	session	if	λo	u n	might	change	your	vote	to	a
no	so	we	don't	ha	ve	to	go	through	this	rigm	aro.	le
aga	ain?											

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I'm going to ask if you'll vote for yes for an honorable man.

COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I have problems with this nomination, only in the sense -- the rule 11 motion didn't -- doesn't bother me that much. looked into it very closely, and it was either dropped the second suit as a result of a mistake, or he wasn't very circumspect about it.

My concern that I had raised with some people is about his, you know, he has this, I guess 501(c)(3) that, for our purposes, is a petition on these two issues that we have already had hearings on.

And my concern is as well, is that we have other members of other SACs that have issues and actually work for organizations that push, it might not be the same issue, but the same types of issue.

And Ι'm, Ι just have another conflict in deciding on these types of things, and maybe I'm too new at this, is how one evaluates a member of the SAC if we are allowing people with

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

other politically-oriented work or whatever interest groups, like 501(c)(3)s, how do we evaluate So I have an issue and I'm abstaining still.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. All right well let's move on to the Indiana SAC.

V. STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ISSUES:

RE-CHARTERING THE INDIANA SAC

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I move that the Commission re-charter the Indiana State Advisory Committee. Under this motion, the Commission appoints the following individuals to that committee based upon the recommendations of staff our director:

Gerard Bradley, Amos Brown III, Teri Cardwell, Elizabeth Cierzniak, Diane Clements, Stephanie DeKemper, Morella Dominguez, Christopher Douglas, Robert Enlow, Susan Fuldauer, James Haigh, Robert Heidt, Leslie Hiner, Jeffrey Kimmell, Tony Kirkland, Patti O'Callaghan, Carlton M. Waterhouse, Ellen Wu, Catherine Zuckert.

Pursuant to this motion the Commission Diane Clements as Chair of this appoints chartered Indiana State Advisory Committee. members will serve as uncompensated government employees.

And the reason I actually prefer the latter is that I am -- for at least one of the candidates, I am uncomfortable having to in open session deal with issues that, that I think shouldn't -- on a threshold qualification issue

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

21

22

23

24

are

about

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm talking about, I'm talking about the ideological balance with -well the ideological balance after an appropriate screening has been put so that certain on individuals who are on there don't come up to us for our having a past particular judgement on them.

1 I don't think it's our role; Ι am 2 uncomfortable with us having to see that in public session when I do not believe their names should 3 4 ever have come up to us in the first place. 5 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. Chair? CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The chair recognizes 6 7 Commissioner Gaziano. Do you have a question? COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: 8 Yes, I'm confused about a few things. First of all, I'm confused that 9 Commission Yaki agrees that this is such a left-10 11 leaning slate, because it obviously is, ignoring the 12 Republican conservative. So if his intent is different than that 13 14 though, he somehow concludes it needs to be even 15 more left-leaning, I don't -- I think that's an improper kind of instruction. 16 17 But more to the point, I understand there's issue with one of the nominees 18 an 19 mentioned who is a new appoint -- oh no, I'm sorry, 20 both of them -- okay, with one of them that's a 21 reappoint, I would like to hear what his concern is 22 with Gerard Bradley, who is a respected reappoint and -- since he mentioned the name. 23 24 I'm not sure by the way one way or the 25 other whether DeKemper should or should not.

Do not quote or rely on this und	corrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@usecr.gov
1	a question about Ms. DeKemper, but what's the issue
2	with Mr. Bradley?
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, perhaps we
4	should have an appropriate motion on the table then.
5	These people have not yet been severed so I want to
6	make sure that if in fact ew are going to be talking
7	about them, that they have the opportunity to follow
8	our protocol, to present their side of the case, if
9	in fact there's a motion to sever them.
10	But right now we have we don't have a
11	
12	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well I move to send
13	them back to staff.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Is there a
15	second on that motion? And then we can have a
16	discussion. Is there a second?
17	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman,
18	I second that, but I do want to have a discussion
19	about both members that I'd like to see severed, but
20	I mean I don't want to do that in any way that's
21	improper or unfair to those people.
22	So I'm unclear as to whether or not that
23	discussion needs to be had in open session or we
24	need to retire to closed session in order to have

that discussion.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let me clarify my motion for Commissioner Gaziano because he implies some penchant method to my madness. The fact is I want Indiana sent back for the purpose of putting a screw on that for people whose fitness should not be -- when that comes back up to us, one of those names may or may not be back on the list which can then be discussed further. But I think, as a list in its entirety and it's one that I want to give in to in open session simply because I think that we should have a -- we should be able to vote on the committee where, if we have ideological differences, we can talk about it in public, but not one where we have to talk about the fitness of the candidate, and if Commissioner Gaziano confused me, my motion of sever with a motion of witness, I apologize. That is not my intent at all.

It is simply to put on the table that if we are going to go through with the vote, I would be willing to sever that I would rather not go for a vote, send it back and have it come back up with a slightly different configuration, perhaps person being substituted in or out, but I would rather have a clean slate to talk about than one where we have to get into a question of basic

It's not clear to COMMISSIONER HERIOT: me how this motion takes off the table what's already on the table. And that is that you know, Professor Bradley is a superstar and the notion that we cannot, you know, that he's not qualified to be on the SAC, seems very odd.

additional discussion? Commissioner Heriot?

We are talking about a professor of law from Notre Dame University. We are talking a bout a person who graduated from Cornell Law School summa cum laude.

We are talking about someone who is in the editorial board of the Catholic Social Science on the editorial board of the National Review, Catholic Bioethics Quarterly.

We are talking about a real star and the notion that one can make you know, innuendo during an open meeting about his fitness, and then just sort of leave it at that, that's really quite outrageous.

Wе SO lucky that someone like Professor Bradley is willing to serve on the Indiana

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SAC. The notion that we can in an open meeting here suggest that there's something wrong with this man, is just outrageous.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, obviously Commissioner Heriot didn't hear a word of what I had said. So let's move on.

COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Let me say, the innuendo would be outstanding. My point was if someone's qualifications are -- need to be debated, and no prerogative to seek some constructive debate on the qualifications of a proposed member of a SAC, it's my understanding that I am entitled to have such a discussion with all of and in an you, abundance of a desire not to impugn anyone's integrity and to follow the rules, at whatever point legitimate for us it's to have discussion whether it's in open session or in closed session, so that no one will be harmed.

I want to undertake that discussion and vote on my position. But I don't -- I'm not intending to impugn anyone's integrity or anything like that. But we do need to have that discussion at the appropriate time --

Mr. Chair. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. Chair.

this slate back with that hanging out there.

And I would, I'm eager to hear your concerns, Commissioner Achtenberg.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any additional If not, I am prepared to call the comments? question.

COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Can Commissioner Yaki whether you see it similarly, or do you think, Commissioner Yaki, feel willing to comment or do you see this as the -- is it

NEAL R. GROSS

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sending it back will really accomplish for the slate

2 what needs to be accomplished in your view?

> This is more of a COMMISSIONER YAKI: procedural issue than a position. And the procedure is one where we adopt the procedures bolstered by staff members that dealt with questions of ethics and suitability and fitness, which are quite different than issues of ideology, political and partisan beliefs

> I believe that staff should be sending us facts where (telephonic interference) about why we think someone should or should not serve on the SAC.

> do not think that staff should be sending stuff for discussion where we have to sever one member, one member of the committee basically because a person should never have made it through the screening process in the first place, and this is going to be repeated by the way, on the Utah SAC as well.

> There is a member there who really should not have made it through the screening process. This is not an issue of ideology. This is not an issue of whether Commissioner Gaziano agrees with his or her point of view or I agree with his or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

her point of view. It's whether that person, of point of view, should regardless be representative of the State Advisory Commission to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

And I think that sending it back and asking staff to do that, and they give us back. I want to know if, in doing that, whether they will have to find a replacement.

You have to deal with a clear issue of what I think is obviously this body would agree that that person should never even have been submitted to I would rather not have to have the liability us. of having to call that question out. It should be one where the staff makes a decision based on the criteria that we have adopted, and they send us facts that we can discuss, that does have balance issues that we can discuss what have you, but where it is about ideology, what have you.

I don't think anybody's questioning the credentials of as Commissioner Gaziano was referring That's not who I am referring to. I do realize to. that depending on the outcome of a fitness screening that we get back and we run a check with different continuation and we can vote on that and do it piecemeal.

יוי נוי	iis uncorrected transcript without obtaining written perm
1	Perhaps it
2	ability to debate and to
3	that we would like to g
4	time. It's never goin
5	going to be people who

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

less an issue of o send a message to our staff et this thing right the first g to be perfect. There are o I may disagree with. But there are still going to be people who we will share no disagreement that because of X, Y and Z, they should not be fit to serve on that particular SAC, regardless of political or ideological beliefs.

That's my concern. That's why I wanted it sent back. And if I wasn't clear enough for Commissioner Gaziano, I don't know how much clearer I can make it.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Let me just say before we proceed with the discussion, those commissioners participating by phone, please identify yourself before you speak for the court reporter, although he may already get a feel for who your voices are, I want to make sure the record is accurate.

Secondly, I heard a beep. Did someone join the call?

(No response)

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Our commissioner is Commissioner Gaziano Commissioner Kirsanow on the phone?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Bradley, that should have been raised to the staff 2 director's attention, as I and my staff have done repeatedly, as we did when we saw an issue with 3 4 regard to DeKemper. 5 think it would be -- so I'm just 6 curious how this proposed procedure would -- so your 7 secret concerns would be secretly conveyed to staff, without the knowledge of other commissioners, 8 that you could deep six this, this professor and 9 never even have to -- I move either two things Mr. 10 11 Chairman. I move that we go into executive session 12 to these commissioners can say what their issue is with the so-called screening process, or we caucus, 13 14 we recess to caucus, whichever other commissioners 15 would prefer. 16 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Dave Kladney 17 I'd like to know how many motions are pending right now. 18 19 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, have we 20 initial motion approve and then to we have 21 Commissioner Yaki's motion to send it back, and also 22 Commissioner Kirsanow had indicated a desire 23 speak two speakers ago, so I -- do you want to say

NEAL R. GROSS

something right now Commissioner Kirsanow?

COMMISSIONER

KIRSANOW:

24

25

just

Ι

TÎ.	
_	uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@usecr.gov
1	perplexed. I don't know whether even if we were to
2	accept Commissioner Yaki's point, whether what
3	evidence we have that this particular SAC was not
4	adequately screened?
5	In addition to that, I'd like to know if
6	that in fact has not been done, what evidence do we
7	have that it hasn't been. Second is just a point,
8	and that is that at this particular point, whether
9	it was intentional or not, I think we have left in
10	the air and on the record in open meeting, untenably
11	in limbo that needs to be addressed right now,
12	because right now we have besmirched at least one
13	individual, potentially everybody on this particular
14	SAC, and we need to address this right now before it
15	goes any further. We have done these individuals an
16	injustice
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So Commissioner
18	Gaziano had made a motion to go into executive
19	session. Are you seconding that Commissioner
20	Kirsanow?
21	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Did I hear
23	Commissioner Achtenberg say something?
24	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: I merely
25	wanted to say that I have no I am not sure the
	NEAL R. GROSS

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

confusion here in my own view, I have an ethical question about one member of the Indian SAC, but it is not the member that was just identified by -- I have no ethical question about Professor Bradley and to the extent that anyone left that impression, at least, if they think that I may have left that impression, that is mistaken. I have no ethical

question about that person. 8

> CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Prior to the motion to proceeding on go into session, I want to ask Ms. Tolhurst if she can give us her opinion in terms of the rules for this, as to whether this is something we can go into executive session for, under the Sunshine Act.

> ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Yes, obviously I am not sure what concerns will be raised, but generally, I do not think this needs to go into executive session. These are going to be public serving officials. We do have an exception for disclosing information that would clearly invade personal privacy, but if are talking about we someone's public qualifications and job, that could be readily discussed in open session and I wouldn't be comfortable closing it.

> > Okay. Well in that CHAIRMAN CASTRO:

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

on what the commissioner's point is. I've heard all sorts of innuendo about why they are unfit. Commissioner Yaki mentions their ethics. Maybe we can caucus --

Gaziano. This is Commissioner Yaki. Commissioner Gaziano is just simply choosing to conflate these issues and the rhetoric to try and, to try and say that I am casting aspersions upon things that the committee cares about which I am trying not to do, and as I said 55 times, if he ever bothered to listen, that I'm not.

So let's just forget this. I have made my statement on why I think staff is not serving us well on this particular issue. I withdraw my motion. Let's just move to sever these two individuals and discuss them on the merits and move forward.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, so Commissioner
Yaki withdraws his motion to send it back. He has
made a motion to sever and I presume that's Mr.
Bradley and Ms. DeKemper? Is that correct?

NEAL R. GROSS

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I don't agree the there's a right to sever in this situation.

things are packaged deals.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, we did that in CHAIRMAN CASTRO: the last meeting and I understand --

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, it was wrong then, and it's wrong now.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: But you know, should probably figure out the appropriate process at some point, because I know in the past, there have been processes used that have been a matter of right as well as a matter of right as well as a matter of votes.

ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Why don't you see if there's a second for the motion to sever and you can just --

П	
Do not quote or rely on this	uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@usecr.gov by role call.
2	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I would like to
3	speak on the motion.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.
5	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I think it's
6	improper to sever someone without some commissioner
7	telling us why we should sever, and if they don't
8	tell us why we should sever Mr. Bradley, then
9	commissioners are, I think, ought to be respectful
10	of other commissioners and the staff who put them on
11	the slate to vote against severing. So
12	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Absolutely we
13	should have a discussion on whether or not we should
14	adopt the motion to sever on this person. No
15	question about it. I agree with you.
16	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Why do you want
17	to sever? Why do you want to sever Gerard Bradley?
18	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Do you want me
19	to I am happy to speak.
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Please do Commissioner
21	Achtenberg.
22	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: I'm happy to.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And remember to
24	identify yourself.
25	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: There's no
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

credentials of this candidate. But my concern is slightly different, in that Mr. Bradley's multiple, sustained arguments against marriage equality for same sex couples, while cast in terms of his view of natural law, in my view, cross the line from an ideological point of view, they move from ideology to status-based animus towards gay and people in my view, and I don't believe that someone who has evinced such views, has attested to the unfitness of gay and lesbian people the to be of children and other such lines of parents argument, is someone I intend to grace my vote with to place on a state advisory committee as long as I have the power of a United States Commissioner on So that's my view and I intend to Civil Rights. express it and exercise my prerogative with regard to candidates who evince such views.

The Chair recognizes CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Gaziano.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Now that thank you Commissioner Achtenberg, and I certainly respect your judgment and you know, that's a -- that interpretation may be а reasonable of your

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I, you know, for the record, and I normally would not say so, but in this instance, I will, from what I know of Professor Bradley's writings in this area, and I don't know them very well, I would disagree with them.

But I think that he conducts them in a respectful and scholarly way and I think there's no evidence, unless you have any other evidence, that he isn't respectful in his dealings with people who disagree on him on this issue.

There are people who made statements on both sides of the marriage debate that threatening, that are ugly, who I could not vote the for, and so I am glad to at least hear I think it is a matter that we should explanation. have discussed in public, but I think that Professor Bradley is an example of someone whose views we may not like or -- we may or may not like -- I won't say that for others -- but who is a person we want on the SAC, someone who can deal well with other people and respectfully on issues that we disagree on.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any other --

COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Might I?

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Go ahead Commissioner

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: I would only say, Commissioner Gaziano, that Mr. Bradley has aligned himself with, through various amicus briefs, supplemented by legally legitimate instruments, but with organizations that I consider to be hate groups and I am not the only one.

The -- so I consider his views are beyond the pail, at least beyond the place which I am meaning to go, and I am imagining and hoping at least, that there are others on our Commission who are willing to align themselves with my view about who, representing what kinds of views, should be involved in the important civil liberties debate that takes place in an advisory committee.

I don't think this person, by virtue of their extreme views, is in a position to make a constructive contribution and that is what I think. think the person should be severed consideration. That's my view.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The chair recognizes Commissioner Heriot.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: My understanding of Professor Bradley's views is that they are simply restating basic Catholic doctrine.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that is really engaged in debates over whether or not civil rights should be attached to people or not.

My concern about Professor Bradley as you know, distinguished and scholarly as he is, is that that distinguished scholarship is based upon what I believe is a presupposition that the idea of recognizing gays and lesbians as being able to merge, to join, to be recognized, and opposing that option and proposing -- and siding with those who demonize and marginalize members of the gay and lesbian community, I think that is -- is a belie of any stigmas that I believe can and should apply in this case, which is why I will be supporting the motion to sever.

CASTRO: Commissioner CHAIRMAN Yes, Kirsanow.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you. Ι Commissioner respect what Achtenberg Commissioner Yaki have to say about this although I vehemently disagree with their reasoning and argument.

Ι don't anything that that see Commissioner -- I'm sorry -- that Professor Bradley has written, I can't say that anything that is of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think Commissioner Heriot said he's a superstar and that's an understatement. blessed to have somebody of this caliber considering to be a member of one of our SACs.

rare to have someone of this caliber, with all due respect to the marvelous individuals in our SACs, of this particular caliber.

What I have read, again not everything, but what I have read of his writings suggests the highest level of intellectual discourse devoid of any animus, of any animus.

They principled, well-reasoned are well-accepted arguments consistent with constitutional jurisprudence, not extreme views. And I am not aware, and I'd like to be enlightened, maybe he is affiliated with certain hate groups, but am not -- I have never known him it to be affiliated with any hate groups, unless the Catholic Church is a hate group, unless Notre Dame is a hate I know that he belongs to the Federalist Society, possibly that's considered a hate group. I know that he has been involved with the Society for Catholic Scholars and the Cardinal Newman Society

I'd

and the Ramsey Colloquium, but I don't know that anybody has identified those as a hate group. like to see involved parties define precisely who it is that is a hate group with whom he is affiliated. But Ι think that if are going establishing this kind of a test, when we have individuals who have reasoned arguments with which we may disagree, as a test for disqualification, then we have a lot of individuals that we need to

revisit on our various SACs.

COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman.

Commissioner CHAIRMAN CASTRO:

Achtenberg.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Let me just say that you know, Professor Bradley's co-authorship of a book entitled Same Sex Attractions: A Parents Guide, which essentially categorically disqualifies gay and lesbian people from healthy parenting, you can -- you can say all you want about the weight of the scholarship, but that quite frankly, the weight of the scholarship is not Professor Bradley's flavor, far from it, and this is not, this is not a diatribe designed to impugn anyone's integrity, to disqualify Catholics from serving on our Commission, or anything like it.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 This man's writings, to me, evince an

animus toward gay and lesbian people and gay and

3 lesbian parents that I believe is unwarranted and

4 that the science does not support and as a result it

5 suggests to me that he holds views that I do not

6 want to see dignified by an appointment to a State

7 Advisory Committee on the United States Commission

on Civil Rights. End of story, as far as I'm

concerned. 9

> This, you know, many Catholics do not hold a similar view, and this is not an indictment of Notre Dame or Catholics in general or anything It is a view that I hold with regard to like that. the views held by this particular professor as evinced by his writings.

> CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I know that we have talked about this a lot and I think it's pretty clear where folks' position is on this. To the extent that there's more substantive discussion, that would be more appropriately held when Mr., or Professor Bradley responds. So at this point I'm going to call the question on the motion to sever Professor Bradley.

> > Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote? COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

do you vote on the motion to sever?

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

a motion to sever Ms. DeKemper. Any discussion on

,	
Do not quote or rely on th 1	is uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@usccr.gov
	that?
2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Can we quickly skip
3	the motion to sever and just simply go through the
4	ups and downs of Ms. DeKemper?
5	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: No, I would like
6	I think as a reappoint he has she, I should
7	say she has a should be afforded to address,
8	and I'm not sure which way I'd vote. I know, I know
9	there is an issue with regard to her and I'm not
10	sure whether it's disqualifying or not until I learn
11	more.
12	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: For the record I
13	don't know about this, so
14	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: I'm going to
15	have to step off the phone after this Mr. Chairman.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Understood. Thank you
17	Commissioner Achtenberg. So we have a motion to
18	sever Ms. DeKemper. Any discussion?
19	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot.
21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Could somebody
22	please inform me of what the reason for this is?
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki?
24	
	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Do you want to speak

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Is there something beyond the notion that she was involved with a firm that went bankrupt that is driving this? I assume we have lots of SAC members who have had financial difficulties.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Chairman, could you repeat what Commissioner Heriot just said?

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: She wants to know if there was more to it than just a bankruptcy.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: That's why -- this is the question we have and that's why I wanted to send it back to staff is that for us to get into it because there have -- there have been sporadic lessons stated in something that we picked up regarding state and federal investigations that I don't know if those are true or not and I don't want it to be the one that you have to limit yourself now in order to get the information.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Quite frankly, we've got our hands full with this, regardless of whether there's any -- whether there's any other activity going on, rising from -- we should focus on the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights supervisory commission in

NEAL R. GROSS

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

there's a motion on the floor to move forward with

the SAC --

24

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

William H. Coleman, Virginius "Jinks" Dabney, Marco H. Diaz, Jr., Michael W. Homer, Robyn Ronnow Kaelin, Edward L. Lewis, Jr., Rosa Martinez, Joanne Milner, Anthony A. Peacock, Betty Sawyer, Scott Trujillo, Filia (Phil) H. Uipi and Lynn Wardle.

Pursuant to this motion, the Commission

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

21

22

23

24

Do not quote or rely on this und	77 corrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@usccr.gov
1	appoints Joanne R. Milner as chair of this re-
2	chartered Utah State Advisory Committee. These
3	members will serve as uncompensated government
4	employees.
5	Under this motion, the Commission
6	authorizes the staff director to execute the
7	appropriate paperwork for the appointment. Is there
8	a second? For purposes of discussion, do we have a
9	second?
10	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I second.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Do we have any
12	motions?
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Yaki.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki,
15	please proceed.
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: So as not to get
17	into the limbo of the first one, I'm going to send
18	Utah back to staff for another look back for the
19	purposes of ensuring that all candidates eliminated
20	pass an ethical and fitness review before it comes
21	back to us again.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is there a second on
23	that motion?
24	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I second.
25	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay since it's been
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes?

CHAIRMAN CASTRO:

respond to Commissioner Gaziano's question?

23

24

25

like

Would you

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Not really. I think

said before, there are issues Ι ideology and there are issues of ethical fitness, and I think it is wrong to omit, which may disturb the balance of the Commission, which is why I wanted to send it back to staff. To me, if you send it back to staff, rather than putting it out here, because it looks as though it just doesn't matter.

But we have an individual who I will not issues involving restraining name who has some allegations of stalking etcetera, that I think are very troubling and I think that that needs to be considered at the staff level to determine whether that person should be submitted to us, which allows for it to be submitted to staff.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any other discussion? Commissioner Heriot?

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Ι think this notion of making, you know, sending things back to the staff for staff to figure out, again, we are the Commission, we are the ones that are supposed to be doing this, and the notion that we just send it back and like, it comes back with us not knowing what happened or why the reasons for changes have been made, I am against that.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If there is a problem with somebody on

the list here, you know, we need to state it on the

3 record.

> COMMISSIONER YAKI: This is Commissioner I -- the fact is, is that there are a lot of Yaki. people who apply for SAC appointments who we never hear of and we never learn anything about, and this is one person who I believe probably we would never have known anything about and should never have come to us in the first place.

> So to say that we are setting to adopt this, this argument that oh, it must be open in public, and blah blah blah blah, is missing the whole point of the vast, 99 percent of the staff selection process, where commissioners are not at the, are not at the stage of shadowing staff and vetting it every single step of the way, and here there was a mistake, we needed to go look back and then we will get a fact that made us focus on real issues involved in SAC composition and not based on qualifications, and you know we would never see, have never been involved in, or very rarely if ever been involved in.

> > CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any other discussion? (No response)

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

COMMISSIONER YAKI: I would say that for many of the same reasons that I raised with regard to Professor Bradley, I also raise with regard to Mr. Wardle.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Professor Wardle.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any other discussion? Commissioner Heriot?

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Again, it seems to be open season on law professors today. Professor Wardle is a distinguished law professor and you know, as far as I know -- I've not read -- I don't think I've read anything by Professor Wardle. if it's for the same reason that Professor Bradley being attacked, you know, this very mainstream within Catholicism. I don't know that Professor Wardle is a Catholic. It may be very mainstream within the religion that he is a member But it seems very odd to attack these two of. academics on that ground.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any other comments or

NEAL R. GROSS

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: This is Commissioner
3	Yaki. I would like to submit out of deference to
4	Commissioner Achtenberg I would like to hold
5	rather than looking at what will probably happen,
6	we'll have a 3-3 result, and then we'll then proceed
7	to question Utah with Professor Wardle which I will
8	vote no on, rather than having a situation where we
9	have got a 3-3 vote on Utah and figure out a
10	solution actively so we have a discussion on this
11	in your presence or we simply hold the entire Utah
12	approval process until we have Commissioner
13	Achtenberg back, as a courtesy to her.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Gaziano?
15	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I don't think
16	that was your position on Mr. Burgess in Hawaii when
17	we had a 3-3 tie so I would move that we vote on the
18	pending motion, or I would urge that we vote on the
19	pending motion.
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Let's I'm going to
21	call the question on the motion to sever Professor -
22	
23	COMMISSIONER YAKI: If it's 3-3, it was
24	3 to 1, Commissioner Gaziano if you will recall.
25	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So let's shall we

NEAL R. GROSS

vote.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

III. MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS

CHIEF OF REGIONAL PROGRAMS' REPORT

MINARIK: Good morning commissioners, With respect to what State Advisory Committee has chartered right now, and one of the nice things about the current chartering process is that I wanted to draw your attention to the fact that the D.C. and Nevada SACs are on the charger agenda today, and that's pretty much the way it's really supposed to work, when they are operating smoothly, because these committees' charters expire in about one week, and if the commissioners were to reappoint these two SACs today, by the time we had the chartering papers filed and signed by the staff director, the charters would have continued without lapse.

So a little improvement in the process. The other thing that I would like to mention, draw is attention to a second time, strategic plan of the commissioners do call for some collaboration with the State Advisory Committees, at least on an every other year basis.

And nothing happened in program year 2011, and to date I am not aware of happening at the Commission level in cooperation in

And that would be my report, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you Mr. Minarik, and I'll point out, I believe for our April briefing on human trafficking, we will be having the Texas SAC chair, Lisa -- a member of the panel. addition, the June immigration briefing, which we'll discuss later, contemplates having representatives of various of our state SACs that have effective legislation involved, so we definitely do have that on the agenda for a at least a couple of our upcoming briefings.

I do have some -- I do have a a couple of questions. And one actually relates to your question about Maine. It was actually going to be my question to you. I don't know why we have -- it seems to me that there was a mass resignation in Maine. Do we know what happened there? I'm sorry, do you know?

ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: people resigned from the Maine SAC that it went down below 11 to I believe 6 members, and so the regional

NEAL R. GROSS

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Do not quote or rely on this	9.4 uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@usccr.gov
1	director for the ERO asked me if they could do an
2	interim appointment to get it back above 11. And I
3	thought that was reasonable. You don't want want
4	SACs operating under
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Right. My question
6	was really, is do we know what caused so many people
7	to resign at once? Was it at once? No?
8	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: It was,
9	it was close together and in dissatisfaction
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: With?
11	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I understood
12	there were various there were some
13	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: There
14	were a number of
15	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: But it was
16	various reasons.
17	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.
19	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Yes.
20	We knew there were some personality issues. I think
21	people had some problems with the chair and
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Didn't two people
23	move?
24	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Some,
25	yes, at least one person moved.
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

NEAL R. GROSS

quite frankly, without double checking and without

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

getting back with the chair, records desk, made that

mistake, talked to a couple of the members and by

the chair and I asked to remove the report. 3

> But first, Mr. Grady had a secretary on a program basis. Similar things happened with the Commission report about four or five years ago, there was a school district that was identified in a It's never been under a court Commission report. order but in effect they were and that caused a lot of problems.

> That's what I did. He chastised me, and directed me out of the seat and I'm coordinating with the chair and reaching out to the Wake County I had to School District. I did what I had to do. get dropped at the civil rights, where there is an interagency review where that's still in the report. That's the numbers and we've got plans to hold a meeting in April, and I apologize to commissioners, I apologize to the staff director. I wish I had not made a mistake, didn't make the mistake, and I'm now going it. apologies to correct Му the commissioners and to the staff director and to the agency.

> CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I want to correct I said it was an email from the SAC chair myself.

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	of	North	Carolina.	It	was	actually	a	SAC	member,

have a similar problem on some of the other school

and I did ask Mr. Minarik to confirm that we didn't

4 discipline reports from his region and I've heard

5 back from the chair of the Kentucky and Florida SACs

6 indicating that all appropriate steps were taken on

those votes so there's no concerns there.

Commissioner Gaziano?

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I hope other commissioners speak on this as well. I don't know that a confirmation from the Kentucky chair would necessarily satisfy me, because the chair of North satisfied Carolina seemed to be that their violation, flagrant violation of the AIs, satisfactory.

The chairman of the North Carolina SAC is positioned indefensible. Our regional staff's position on violating the AIs is indefensible. think that the letter and the emails to me not only raise a number of problems beyond a simple mistake. There are certain statements that were admitted which are, are, are -- have always worried me, and so the admitted facts are quite problematic.

But I do not think it is the job of our staff strong-arm staff members for unanimous to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

investigation of the management of the SACs and the SAC reports and who writes them and who drafts them and who strong-arms, because I've gotten a number of reports from SAC members in various states that similar conduct has occurred.

And if our own staff can't conduct -- I think, by the way, our own staff ought to. raised some of these concerns with the acting staff director and I haven't gotten satisfactory answers.

I understand she's terribly busy doing multiple jobs, but it's a failure of the agency, and if we can't perform a proper investigation, I think Congress has seen to appropriate money to GAO, and you know, I think GAO could do a service both to Congress, the President and to commissioners, to investigate some of the reports I've gotten of votes that were seemingly held open.

I don't, again, I don't know the truth of this, but the matters that were raised in the North Carolina SAC are symptomatic of some things

_	Tive been hearing for a fong time.
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any other questions or
3	comments for Mr. Minarik? Sorry, Commissioner
4	Heriot?
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Commissioner
6	Gaziano said that he hoped other people would speak
7	to this, so I think I should say something. I don't
8	have anything to say about the particular North
9	Carolina incident, you know, I'm, you know, I don't
LO	know a lot about it, but I accept the notion that
L1	this was a mistake.
L2	I do however think that it would be
L3	worthwhile for the Commission to be a bit more
L4	systematic about the SACs here. We have a lot of
L5	issues that have come up over the last couple of
L6	years.
L7	I think the basic problem is that when
L8	Congress passed the statute back in the early '80s,
L9	we were a much larger agency than we are today. We
20	had many more staff members and undertaking to
21	charter 51 SACs and to properly supervise those SACs
22	was much more doable than it is today.
23	You know, I get emails from various SAC
24	members. One of the issues that I've received
25	emails on recently is in some ways kind of the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do not quote or rely on this uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@uscr.gov 1

opposite of this problem that we're having in North Carolina, and that was the California SAC was very concerned about how slowly their SAC report being put up, as opposed to, in the North Carolina case, believing that it was premature.

And you know, I sympathize with the members of the staff that are having to deal with, you know, far too much work here, just a few people chartering 51 SACs and then getting those together, conducting briefings, writing reports.

But I think part of the problem is that we really shouldn't be writing reports for the SACs. When I was chair of the California SAC, much to my surprise, after the briefing was held, I was told by the member of the staff that that was it for the SAC< that he was going to write the report and that that was not something that we had to worry our little heads about, which struck me as very odd.

We go out and we try to find qualified people and then it ends up being the staff that writes the reports. A few months ago, as you'll recall, the Commission voted not to allow members to sit on SACs because this was thought conflict of interest.

I think that you know, insofar as that's

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Yaki.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: I just -- I can't attest to the truth or veracity of any of the statements that my fellow commissioners have been saying. I would just note that as one of the longer, longest-serving members on the Commission, I haven't received any of those complaints.

But hearing what the other commissioners

But hearing what the other commissioners have had to say, I just wanted to put on the record that I have not received any complaints among the members of State Advisory Committees.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Gaziano.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Let me clarify. With regard to North Carolina, so I'm not -- I am willing to accept that at least some of the matters that brought the issue to a boil there were simple mistakes. I always assume simple mistakes.

But then some of the other -- so I don't want to, to necessarily say, you know, whether there was a vote or not vote, those sort of mistakes can - whether there's a failure of memory.

But the seeming -- there's a variety of other things I've mentioned that came out that seem to be in violation of our published, written procedures, and there seem to be people who are

1 check and we have Mr. Minarik on the phone and we 2 have Ms. Davis from ERO in the audience. What I'm told is that SAC members, with 3 some exceptions, there are some exceptional SACs, 4 5 but I understand many of them will not write reports and don't have that capacity and that that is why 6 7 staff is doing it. 8 Maybe Ivy or Peter could comment 9 that. 10 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Could you come to the 11 microphone Ms. Davis please? There's one right on 12 the edge of the table there. For the record that's 13 Ivy Davis, regional director of the eastern regional 14 office. 15 MS. DAVIS: This one? CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Either one. 16 17 MS. DAVIS: It varies, and for those SACs that we have members that are willing to, often it's 18 19 done on a subcommittee, I mean I'm listening to this 20 description and it's certainly not how things 21 operate in my region. The SACs are very much 22 involved in the process of determining who's going 23 to make presentations. That's not done -- that's

NEAL R. GROSS

is who you get and that's it, okay?

not done by the staff and says these are the -- this

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, there are some SAC members that are good writers, some that are not good writers, all Sometimes we give them particularly things do, like the summaries of the witnesses' statements and we may do a good portion of the report.

They certainly are involved in reviewing. We have had committees that do the actual original draft. They review reports the commissioners review reports, and the commissioners, as far as I think I understand, don't draft the Commission reports. It's done by staff and you react to it and you make improvements to it and perfect it. That's the process that we go through as well.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Gaziano.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I don't think that staff should be writing reports. If the, if the particular SAC members don't want to, that's a sad state of affairs. But I don't think staff should.

let me ask you another question. month after the Commission encouraged The and reaffirmed commissioners' rights to attend SAC meetings, and I called in to participate in the

Maryland SAC that you were organizing, you stopped the meeting, you called up the operator -- at least this is what the operator told me -- and you instructed the operator to remove me from the speaker line, so that I could just listen as a member of the -- as any other public. Why did you do that?

MS. DAVIS: Well, I don't know the particulars of that.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: You don't remember doing that?

MS. DAVIS: I don't know the particulars of that, and I can certainly go back and review it and report back to you, but I do know that there have been instances where you have attended committee meetings, and identified yourself as an ex officio member of a federal advisory committee. And I don't agree that you are a federal -- you are an ex officio member.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I was a member of the Virginia SAC the one time, but --

MS. DAVIS: I understand that, but you were not at the time that the Virginia committee was meeting.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: No, that's wrong.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

NEAL R. GROSS

convened, and told them that this was my preference,

that they try to find consensus.

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do not quote or rely on this uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@uscr.gov I don't ever think I acted in a way to try to bully them that way. It's just that I really would find over the course of years that there's so much more in common among people who really believe in civil rights than they disagree. But it should be a conversation towards

that end. That's -- that is my take on it.

I don't conduct the votes of the chair, in this meeting, chose from you, And that determines if the meetings in the SACs. the who up, in my place, one sets subcommittees to determine the agendas of meetings or at the hearings and we could try to follow the report design that the subcommittee puts in place.

I would be delighted. We have been trying to get State Advisory Committee members to write these reports since the early 1990s. They have other professional obligations. difficult -- it's very difficult for them just to give up the few hours of time just to get there.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Thank you Mr. Commissioner Heriot, then if no other Minarik. questions, we'll move on to the next item on the agenda.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2 to point out that I was present at a California SAC 3 meeting, I was no longer chair at this California 4 SAC meeting, but I was a member of the SAC, and Mr. Minarik was there, and gave, you know, the initial 5 6 presentation.

Minarik did And Ι thought Mr. fabulously. He was very professional, very charming, and I much admired his leadership there. The only, the only thing that I would want to mention is that I really don't think that we should be asking SACs to be unanimous, you know, that was Mr. Minarik's judgement call, and my view is that he should not ask for that, but he was not being a bully in any way.

I believe our SACs ought to feel free to take on controversial issues when they want to, and that's going to mean there's a dissent, and the dissents I think are valuable too.

So I just wanted to say that I thought Mr. Minarik did a good job, a very good job, in dealing with the California SAC, and was, was, was, you know, they loved him, and he was, he was very charming. But I would think that we really shouldn't ask for, for unanimous reports, that

it's better to let them just choose based on what they want to do, and if there's a dissent, fine, there's a dissent.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Just for the record, I did try to report, or I did ultimately reach the acting staff director on the instance when Ms. Davis instructed the operator to take me off the speaker line, and that's one of the instances where I was dissatisfied that apparent further action wasn't, wasn't taken to resolve it at the same time, which allows Ms. Davis to claim that she doesn't remember doing so.

This is an instance of -- and there were discussions at that particular SAC that I continued to listen into, that I thought were improper. This is, this is just one of the examples that I am mentioning, where, when the Commission takes a vote that commissioners can participate in meetings, and then our staff does everything in their power to wrongfully prevent us from participating, and then engages in the type of influence that I think is questionable, that needs to be investigated.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I think Ms. Davis is approaching. Is it a question also of the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

interpretation of our ruling is untenable,

25

that

Do not quote or rely on this	s uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@usecr.gov
1	commissioners can participate no more than any other
2	member of the public. It's untenable. And for you
3	not to have called me
4	MS. DAVIS: I was in the throes, I think
5	again, of conducting the meeting, and that's all I
6	have to say.
7	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: You stopped it
8	for 15 minutes until you got your way.
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, you know, at
10	this point, I think everyone has made their
11	positions clear. Commissioner Gaziano, you have
12	expressed your concern. Ms. Davis has expressed her
13	explanation for how it was handled. I think the
14	matter is clear on the record and we have other
15	items on the agenda that I, that I would like to
16	move on to. So, thank you.
17	The next item, as you know, is a
18	discussion of our agency staffing situation.
19	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: MANAGEMENT AND
20	OPERATIONS DISCUSSION ON AGENCY STAFFING
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: As all commissioners
22	are aware, Ms. Tolhurst is going to be stepping down
23	from her position as acting staff director on April
24	13 th , at the end of the April board meeting

Commission meeting.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

At the present, the White House, as you all know, has not sent us a candidate for approval for the staff director position. I have been in very frequent communication with the White House over the last year and even more so within the last couple of weeks. Even yesterday morning I had a further conversation with the White House, and when I say

that, I mean the Office of Presidential Personnel. They have indicated to me that there may be a couple of candidates that they are, that they are going to approach if they would potential to see be candidates.

They are looking for someone, as would expect, with management and civil rights experience, but as we sit here today, I don't foresee that we are going to have someone in the next 30 days. I'm hoping we do.

but for the purposes discussion, I think we need to assume that while it's always an option that the White House may send us someone, that that is probably not the option that we are going to sit here and wait for.

So what I'd like to do today is have a thorough thoughtful discussion with and

2

commissioners about the various options that we have

should the White House not send us someone by April

3

13th.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I want this to be a forward-looking conversation, but I don't think that the plan today is to end up with a conclusion that we will vote on. I would imagine that we can discuss the various

they think the direction or directions we might want to explore further are, with the view that at the April meeting, we will then hopefully have a resolution that we can vote on.

options, get input from commissioners as to what

I know our special assistants have met with senior staff, with Ms. Tolhurst, and Ms. Martin asked a number of questions about various and permutations of what might be done.

My understanding is that there are a few options that are on the table. I'll just sort of summarize those based on my understanding and then we can have a discussion.

One is to possibly amend the AI in terms of order of precedence to add one or more career staffers that could either hold the role of acting staff director, and/or acting staff director and acting deputy staff director for management

signed, that just have to be done by somebody with the title of staff director or acting staff director or person delegated the responsibilities of staff director?

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Sure. Sure. And to that point, I have asked both Ms. Tolhurst and Ms. Martin to be prepared to answer our questions today, so I will defer to one or both of them to answer that question from you.

ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: In terms of -- in the absence of a staff director, and I made sure of this before I decided to step down because I didn't want to leave the agency in the lurch, the rent can still be paid, check -- people will still receive their salaries, we'll still function. We can still post our Sunshine Act notices.

So, those basic things will happen. What won't happen is, you know, the SAC packages will stop, many of the organizational things that all of you call me for, you know, sort of assigning -- who is going to assign the briefings, who is going to make sure all of our deadlines are being met on reports and that kind of thing, who is going to assign staff and rate staff and that type of

Do not auote or rely on this	s uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@us¢cr.gov
1	thing becomes more problematic.
2	But the agency won't collapse. We can
3	prepare bills and prepare staff and have meetings.
4	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: What about
5	preparing for the move? That's a job in itself.
6	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: That is
7	a job in itself and it's a job largely being done by
8	Pamela, who will continue to do that job. It would
9	be then without a check of a staff director.
10	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay. Can I
11	comment a little bit more?
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes please go ahead.
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You know, I
14	among the alternatives that you named, I don't
15	really have much of an opinion on how to do that. I
16	tend to approach these things more from a functional
17	standpoint of you know, what jobs are going to need
18	to be done in the medium term, because I suspect
19	that's what matters.
20	The White House is going to come up with
21	somebody, probably not sooner but
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Eventually yes.
23	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: they'll come up
24	with somebody. Somebody is going to want that job.
25	I hope they are going to want that job before the
	NEVI D CDOS

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

you want to keep on your table then?

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Is that something

24

25

1	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Well
2	it wouldn't be on my plate once I step down, but
3	it's already started, it's already been assigned,
4	and any kind of well, any hearing would go
5	through the General Counsel's office, so those can
6	still happen.
7	For briefings, all current briefings
8	have been assigned, so it would be a matter of
9	newly-approved
10	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You know, we may
11	not have anything, you know, in the immediate run on
12	that. And I would suggest that you know, the
13	commissioners take, you know, more of a role in
14	making suggestions to Lenore than they might in
15	other kinds of cases.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Absolutely, sure.
17	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: And I mean, on
18	this list here, report deadlines, I mean the one
19	that matters the most is the enforcement report, and
20	that's what we want to make sure, absolutely
21	positively, you know, goes off on time.
22	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: I will
23	still be responsible.
24	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: And if that's, if
25	you are still in charge of that, then that's good.

1 item?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST:

Well, we need to COMMISSIONER HERIOT: come up with some way to keep SAC packages going.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Commissioner Gaziano.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I'm anxious hear from other commissioners and open to a variety of short, medium and the long term solution of course is the President nominates someone that is acceptable to a majority of us and I think we all want strong leadership in the Commission.

I think Commissioner Heriot's questions are helpful to me, but as the previous couple of discussions, the SAC votes we had and the other discussion, I think it is important to have someone who is responsible, who we can talk to, who supervising the re-chartering and various other -the management of the SACs and the reports.

I think we've -- you know, I regret that of other business prevented me press raising some of my concerns earlier, and I apologize to everyone within earshot that some of my word choices probably weren't the most of prudent.

But I think that's one area of concern.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I do think there -- in the very short term, there

2 are two of our, our, our current employees who I

3 think could, could do a good job and we would all

4 have some confidence in to do a fair and -- but I

5 think, you know, given each of those persons'

they also in 6 existing jobs, may be the

situation as the acting staff director, who I also

want to commend. 8

> So one solution is that commissioners, with our special assistants, take on some of the matters that we normally delegate to the staff in some way that, that -- for example, the witnesses may be set for the immigration hearing; I don't know that that will be completed by the time the staff hearing, and for future director -for that hearings, you know, maybe the commissioners can take more of a responsibility somehow like we are doing with the Lincoln Cottage proposal, to suggest, maybe each of us suggests witnesses so that that produces a balance, maybe that we could come up with some system where those from one party suggest or help -are there ways in which -- I'm throwing this out to all the commissioners -- are there ways in which an end to the currently still-acting staff director, are there some things that take we can

responsibility for ourselves in the short term?

2

ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Well,

3

briefings in terms of the and selecting

4

participants, commissioners, not uniformly, but many

5

commissioners have been pretty active in suggesting

6

people and they can certainly continue to do that.

7

I think for the trafficking briefing,

8

that happened quite a bit. We have got many people

9

coming that are, were commissioner recommendations.

10

And I think individual staff, you know, even if

11

there's not a staff director, certainly Lenore is

12

capable of doing research and picking people, just

13

Margaret was capable of doing research and

14

picking people.

15

So we certainly have staff that can do

that, whether there's a staff director or not.

16

17 think, I think you need to select an acting staff

18

director. I think some things feel inappropriate

19

for commissioners to take on, and some things, you

20

know, we have regulations and we have REIs that say

21

the staff director does X, Y or Z.

22

amended, but as they sit today, the staff director

That's not to say those couldn't be

23

must put a SAC slate forward to you all and a staff

24 25

director must make hires and do these types of

Do not quote or rely on this	uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@us α cr.gov
1	things.
2	So in the absence of a change to our
3	regulations or REIs, you need to select an acting
4	staff director.
5	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Dave Kladney,
6	Commissioner Kladney.
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney
8	then Commissioner Heriot, who has raised her hand.
9	Co ahead Commissioner Kladney.
10	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Commissioner Yaki
11	and I sent a bunch of questions. I absolutely do
12	not know what the authorities are regarding the
13	situation, regarding the power of appointment,
14	regarding actually any approach we can make, and to
15	do so under the rules and regulations, and I was
16	wondering whether we have got a reply to those
17	questions.
18	I would also like to thank the acting
19	staff director for all the work in the last year. I
20	think it's been exemplary.
21	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Thank
22	you.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot.
24	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I wanted to back
25	up a little bit about, you know, what's going to
	NEAL R. GROSS COLIRT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

happen if this ends up being a, you know, a sixmonth period that we're waiting and that's, you know, that's -- we don't want to hold off holding briefing that long a period. COMMISSIONER YAKI: This is Commissioner

Yaki --

(Simultaneous speaking)

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Can you not hear You know, if we don't get a staff director in me? six months, you know, that's a very long time and I don't think that we should be holding off on holding briefings during that period.

One suggestion I might have about how we can do it with a smaller staff, some briefings really don't need a report from the Commission You can simply take the transcript of the briefing and the commissioners can try to come up with findings and recommendations, and maybe we'll come up with them, and even if we don't, we can still do commissioner statements, and I think have a useful report. Ιt doesn't very have to be summarized by a staff member.

And if it's not summarized by a staff member, that means that staff member is freed up to do some of the other work that they might -- might

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

need to do.

I mean I think we have had several, several briefing reports where what happens is, you know, staff member spends а lot of time summarizing what was said, but it really was already said by the witnesses. It's just a summary.

And that's a massive undertaking summarize one of those things and it has to through several approvals and we really could produce reports much more quickly without such a summary, instead just publishing the transcript of the meeting publishing findings and and recommendations -- an executive summary I think would still be necessary, but not the sort of medium-length summary, the 80, 90 100 page summary that we do today.

I have never quite understood why we put so much effort into that and I think it would make a lot of sense to do some briefing reports that don't have them, to free up the staff a little bit.

The two issues that the acting staff director just mentioned that I think are, are issues really the Commission can't participate, that there's really nothing we can do here, and so we really need someone -- hires. I don't want to touch

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

federal hiring with a 10-foot pole. I don't want to get involved in it because you know, there' complex law behind that, and I figure whatever I do is, you know, either I will, you know, accidentally violate some rule I don't know about, or if I don't, I'll be accused of it even if I didn't do it. So I don't want to touch it.

And I don't want to touch balancing the slates for SACs, because that has to be done by one individual hand, and either voted up or down. mean people can have input, but in the end, you know, somebody has got to come up with what they consider to be the balanced slate and I think it makes sense to have a staff director that does that and then refers it to the Commission to vote it up or down.

So you know, I'm happy with the notion that we are probably not going to be in a position to do that. That said, the SACs are important, because it's, it's -- not because I think they are important in themselves, it's because the statute requires us to do it, so we have to do it, you know, we don't have any choice. Those things have to be done.

We can't say gosh, in our discretion, we

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

decided that that was not a priority. Congress has

said it's a priority so it's a priority.

very worried about that. 3

> But I think a lot of the other stuff, with added input from the commissioners, we can get through this and we can get this done, and we have to remember always, that all the power that Commission has was delegated by Congress to Commission, which is defined as the eight members. It is not the staff. The staff only has the power that was delegated to them, and anything that is delegated to the staff can be undelegated and it can be undelegated just for a particular occasion.

> So if we, on any occasion, decide to do the Commission, we have anything as implicitly undelegated that particular aspect of the power. The AIs govern the staff. They don't govern the Commission, and I don't think we should be violating the AIs routinely, but when we are in a position where in order to get the work done, we have to take on a somewhat more, more, more hands-on approach, then that's what we have to do.

> CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. I'll just say I don't agree on the not summarizing the briefing I think it's important. But that doesn't reports.

	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: MS. TOTHUISC:
2	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: So,
3	there were a long list of questions that we
4	received, and I might have to ask Tina to come
5	forward and help with some of those. But we are in
6	a really unique situation. It's not like I can go
7	on Westlaw and find what to do in the essence of a
8	staff director or general counsel or anything like
9	that.
10	Tina had gone to OPM. We got pretty
11	little assistance. I am able to answer some of
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Could you speak up
13	just a little bit Ms. Tolhurst?
14	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Sure.
15	I'm able to answer some of these questions. I don't
16	know if Commissioner Kladney wanted to go through
17	them one by one, or what he was wanting.
18	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Well, I just want
19	to know if we got answers, if we are going to be
20	provided those answers, with the authorities and
21	issue as to asking what we can do and what we can't
22	do.
23	MS. MARTIN: Commissioner Kladney I was
24	saying that I could email you the answers to the
25	questions. I do have answers to your questions.

2 very much.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And also, I should have said earlier that when I spoke with the White House Office of Presidential Personnel, at least as it relates to the question of a detail, they said they were going to seek some guidance from the White House Counsel's office on that and get that guidance back to us so I'm sure that would be helpful.

When I receive that, should I receive it. I'll share it. Commissioner Gaziano Commissioner Heriot.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Thank you. going to say please, please do share it, and, and Commissioner Kladney and Yaki, if you think it would be helpful to us to share your questions, please, If you think some of them are ones you please do. don't want to share with us, that's fine. I have asked you know, some of the same questions I think the chairman did about operation of a detail.

I think a detail would be acceptable to most of us and we ought to -- we need to, at the receiving agency, needs to ratify it in some way, and even if we don't need to, we ought to.

It would be difficult but at least we

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ought investigate. Αt least ought to to we investigate that possibility.

But I wanted to also just comment on a couple of other ways, again, that we can deal with the short term. As I understand it, we are going to have to designate someone at all times so that will be done.

think But Ι that that we designate will be in a similar position to current acting staff director and reports that have to be summarized also have to be edited by the acting staff director, and they have to -- the review is more complicated than just a transcript.

But I do want to go back to the sort of witness situation. We can certainly try to make more recommendations for witnesses for briefings as we have done, but if the staff still has ultimate responsibility, should one witness not be available and the other, it's hard to contact the commissioners and know whether they are acting appropriately, and it doesn't really save a lot of time and responsibility, it seems to me, for us to just give more suggestions, more names.

If we did something that's analogous for one briefing or another, maybe we could try it as an

I mean it may not work for every briefing. But that would really relieve at least the staff's you know, back and forth with witnesses, trying to make sure it's a balance, and I don't know the best way to do that.

But I think there are some creative ways that we can take on some of the tasks that the staff director's office is handling in the short term.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Let me just say, I'm hopeful that today's conversation will at least flag as many issues and hopefully maybe answer some if possible, and to that point, unless there's some reason not to do this, I'd love to hear the questions and the answers that were received to the questions that were submitted by Commissioners Yaki and Kladney, if we have those answers.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Me too. I assume you are going to want to send them on out to everybody.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I'd love to have it done here, yes. Well if there's answers now, maybe

NEAL R. GROSS

1 MS. MARTIN: Director of management --2 Director COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: of 3 management, yes. 4 MS. MARTIN: is my official title. 5 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay, I yes. hats because you 6 you have several 7 assumed, like our acting staff director general counsel, you have assumed various acting --8 9 there matters, I suppose it's a different question, that the commissioners -- are there some questions 10 11 that you have asked that you could at least share 12 the questions to us? Are there matters that have been raised 13 14 that either of these two officials can share with us 15 should that they think be relevant to our consideration? 16 17 I mean I could tell you what little I know about the detail situation and the questions I 18 19 posed on the detail situation, but I think I have already shared that with two or three other -- well, 20 21 I've shared that with about four, five other 22 commissioners, but I could mention those now. 23 Are there other matters that would be 24 helpful for us to know right now because by next 25 month, it may be -- we may need the next month to

ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Well, let me say that you may also have seven additional days because payroll has asked me to stay on until April $20^{\rm th}$. So --

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Payroll as an identity, an inanimate entity, asked you to --

ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: So if you like then you can have that. No, I think, Tina might be able to describe generally, I mean, there are obviously several distinct options you have that are legal and doable, and I don't know if you have questions about options that she might say HR prohibits you from doing in terms of Schedule Cs or — and I'm not sure what the questions might be, but maybe Tina, you want to describe generally what you see as the main permissible options here?

MS. MARTIN: Well, basically the options that the chair put out are all viable options. I just want to note that I did talk with OPM and OPM came back to the agency and said that they are not going to tell us how to operate internally, that it's up to the Commission to decide how we will continue to operate as long as it's within the law and the regulations.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

give you, I'll give you one example that I know has been pending at least. This is one of the questions I asked -- I'll tell the staff director one of the questions, in her general counsel capacity I asked her, and as I understand it, unless she has an answer, she hasn't received it.

If we were considering a detail, I know the law off the shelf says the loaning agency has to agree at an appropriate level, the receiving agency has to agree. There's maybe an internal issue of whether that can be just at the staff level, if it's going to be someone who might assume the acting or whether it should be ratified by the Commission. think as a matter of policy it should be ratified by the commissioners.

other question is, is the enough? For someone who would then assume the acting director position, because essentially, would have that person possibly detailed in somewhere in the line of succession.

And then that person -- does that need OPM sign off? Well it seems to me OPM should tell us whether that needs OPM sign off, and that seems to be not one of those obvious answers and did you

ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: No, and I — I mean, these are unique questions, and I am very frustrated with OPM because although, sure, we have some internal management, there are as you say regulations, and none of us here are experts at them, and we do need this guidance.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Just as an idea on getting a detail, maybe this has already been explored, but it seemed to me that we might be able to attract somebody here if they actually are already familiar with us.

I was thinking of Maha, whose last name I cannot pronounce, is it possible she might be interested in coming here for three months?

ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: No.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: You know, one of the questions the White House had, the presidential asked for I think the counsel's offices, given the uniqueness of us being -- this position being a presidential appointment, you know, how would a detail work or not in that situation too. So maybe when we get an answer --

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 Could you let -- for the record --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: it's an attorney job, attorney adviser. I changed the job description a little from what Chris did, based on our needs. We had also lost our solicitor, so I required that this attorney also be able to do EEO work and FOIA and that type of thing as well as civil rights, so it's sort of a very broad attorney position, a high-level attorney position that I hope to hire in the next -- ASAP. I'll be doing interviews as soon as they can come in.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Yaki.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, proceed Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: You know, the reason that Commissioner Kladney and I wrote the questions the way we did is -- and I'm sure Commissioner Gaziano will appreciate this -- being lawyers, we want to understand not just the answers, but the rationale behind the answer, and that includes appropriate cites whatever applicable to seemingly applicable regulations, whether AIs, whether federal personnel, whether -- whatever it is, sort of reversed a little bit on that.

I thought I heard Commissioner Heriot

NEAL R. GROSS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

she doesn't want to start hiring with a 10-foot Neither would I and neither did I want to run afoul of some action that is not totally grounded in the law.

And in questions and answers, which they will be really happy to share, with our colleagues, it's more than just some answer to questions brought today. Because I want to see for myself of the actual underlying statutes or authorities so that we are all 100 percent fully confident about what it is we are doing going forward, because I am very, very leery about some of the materials that have been put I'm not that crazy about commissioners dedicating themselves to tasks of having to put not what a detailee could or could not do.

You know, the responsibility about what the staff director position really is, and I'm just -- overall I think that at the agency we continue to have issues regarding morale, and I just think that we should be -- I'd like to know what it is -- what the lay of the land is so we know how to proceed forward in the best interests of the agency and that's why I am very interested in getting in writing, with appropriate citations. Otherwise I'm just -- I'm not as confident going

ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: I have a suggestion.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Ms. Tolhurst.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

24

	148 is uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@usecr.gov
1	if in the process, after, after you and our director
2	of management have given them whatever you think is
3	reasonable and possible, whether that might spark
4	your imagination as to some things that the rest of
5	us might want to know and you could formulate a memo
6	without of course indicating whatsoever whether
7	those issues were prompted by Commissioner Yaki or
8	Kladney, and opine on a few issues that you think
9	may be relevant to us.
10	Is it possible that that way, we can get
11	at least some of the answers that you the benefit
12	of your research, the benefit of your time, on those
13	issues.
14	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: I think
15	so. It's mainly going to be Tina's research, it's
16	an area far beyond my ken, but
17	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Do you think you
18	can, you can without identifying what it may
19	have been my question, it may have been yours, it
20	may have been out of the ether, okay.
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: It may have been from
22	this meeting here, right?
23	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay. It may
24	have been from this meeting here.
25	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any other

Do not quote or rely on thi	149 s uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@us ϕ cr.gov
1	comments, questions, suggestions on this topic?
2	(No response)
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, if not, I thank
4	all the commissioners, and again, I join my other
5	colleagues in thanking Ms. Tolhurst for the
6	extraordinary service that she has given us these
7	last, what, 13 months, and for the next even extra
8	seven days we thank you for that too. So
9	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: The
10	last seven, this was going to
11	CCC the last seven will get you, get you
12	your retirement benefits. That'll pay. So the nest
13	item, let me just make sure, since I've moved this
14	around a little bit, now we are going to program
15	planning update and discussion of projects.
16	VI. PROGRAM PLANNING UPDATE AND
17	DISCUSSION OF PROJECTS
18	VRA STATUTORY ENFORCEMENT REPORT UPDATE
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So the first item up
20	is the VRA statutory enforcement report update. Ms.
21	Tolhurst?
22	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Okay.
23	So let's see. We have received much of the document
24	
25	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner, Mr.
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

We -- I did have a talk with DOJ on the $6^{\rm th}$ where I asked them some followup questions, where I felt that their interrogatory responses had not given me what I needed to write the report.

They are collecting additional information in response to that meeting. So partly why, in addition to not knowing anything about it, but partly why I haven't been able to answer all these pressing personnel questions is that Dave and I have had a -- we have a deadline of today to get the first draft of the report out for legal sufficiency review and editorial review.

So today the report will be going out

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I understand.

I'm just saying that that wouldn't be the definitive word anyway given that they reversed, in January,

20.

ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Fair

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

21

22

23

24

Do not quote or rely on thi	153 s uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@us α cr.gov
1	enough.
2	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Whatever they
3	come up with is may not be the final word.
4	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Fair
5	enough. I'm just alerting you that we have holes
6	right now because we are not comfortable until we
7	have that comes out.
8	So, the next this will go out as I
9	say today, for those reviews. I don't know I am
10	able to answer any questions you have, but that's I
11	think the extent of my update.
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any questions,
13	additional questions?
14	(No response)
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All right. Now human
16	trafficking briefing update.
17	VI. PROGRAM PLANNING UPDATE AND DISCUSSION
18	OF PROJECTS HUMAN TRAFFICKING
19	BRIEFING UPDATE
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: That would be who
21	would give us that? Margaret?
22	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: I can,
23	I can just tell you that.
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.
25	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: So,
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Margaret Butler has been working on that and she has assembled a very, very good briefing. It's a three panel. That's going to be on April 13th. And if you have questions of her, she's here.

also, we are receiving, you'll recall that project involved interrogatories, so we, Lenore has -- after Chris left, Chris was on charge So Lenore has been working on, working of that. with the agencies to get the interrogatories, which are just now starting to come in. But if you have questions about the briefing, Margaret can answer them.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And let me just say, I want to thank Margaret Butler for her overwhelming work on this. It's going to be a tremendous briefing. So thank you. Thanks, Lenore, stepping in in Chris's shoes.

Commissioner Heriot?

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I just wanted to say how much I think it's a good idea for our briefings to routinely include interrogatories. think we uncover useful information there.

And back on the voting rights one, you know, again, very useful and there was a time a few years ago, when we had some reports where we put in

П	1 E E
Do not quote or rely on thi	s uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@usecr.gov
1	our report, we asked the Department of Justice X
2	I think this was the religious liberties report
3	and they didn't respond or they told us that this
4	was something that they didn't have to respond to,
5	and that was a very embarrassing thing to have in a
6	report.
7	We should also pursue and get the
8	information that we need for our reports, you know.
9	Sometimes it requires you know, working extra hard,
10	but you know, this is valuable information and we
11	should never have a report that says gosh, we asked
12	this question, but they told us they didn't have to
13	answer.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any other
15	questions on human trafficking?
16	(No response)
17	VI. PROGRAM PLANNING UPDATE AND
18	DISCUSSION OF PROJECTS
19	IMMIGRATION BRIEFING UPDATE
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Lenore, did you want
21	to say something or would you like I'm sorry.
22	You need a mic. Sorry about that.
23	MS. OSTROWSKY: This is Lenore
24	Ostrowsky. I have talked to a great number of
25	people who are potential speakers and would very
	NEAL R. GROSS

they have.

1

much appreciate if the commissioners and special

assistants would forward to me any suggestions that

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I can actually make a master list if you like, and send it around to show you who I have spoken to. Many of them have indicated an interest

But I think that you should see the universe of people who are involved in this area.

in being speakers. Some of them have not.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Well I know the chairman wants to propose the field hearing. Do you think -- I think it may be relevant to you trying to secure witnesses if you tell them whether it's going to be here in D.C. or in Alabama.

With the exception of MS. OSTROWSKY: individuals who have been affected, and these are people who most likely would not be documented, would be undocumented, I think that would make little difference.

But it would make a huge difference as to people who have been directly affected, and I think certainly with small business owners who don't have the opportunity, or the -- they don't really have the capacity to take time away from their businesses and certainly for individuals who have

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

said, I think it would facilitate us hearing from

the affected parties who would find it

3 difficult to come here.

> COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Where in Alabama are we thinking of?

> CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, I was thinking Birmingham, given its historic role in the civil rights movement, and as I think I mentioned, though it might have been to Commissioner Gaziano and to other commissioners in the past, in November I went on my own, at my own expense, to a briefing that was done by members of Congress in Birmingham on this issue, and I saw their ability to really have some of the local, both elected officials as well as affected parties there, and Birmingham's historic role in the civil rights movement, to me would seem to be an appropriate venue for us to hold this.

> I would think that we could very likely find, I would hope, a federal facility or some other government facility that would be large enough to accommodate, so that we wouldn't have to go to the expense of renting a facility, because as you know, here we were talking about possibly doing it at the FTC, due to our move, so I think we would still be able to find a cost savings in that regard.

I also believe that, given that Alabama

2 seems to be the focal point now of these various

3 state laws, it would be really significant for us to

4 go there, especially given our historic past, in the

5 early days of the Commission especially, going down

the south on the issues, voting rights and 6 to

7 discrimination, it has, I think, a symbolic value as

well. 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And since we do have some additional should utilize for resources that we mission purposes, I think this would be something. cost of it, it's hard to estimate, but I had my special assistant look back to some past briefings. I think there was one in 1997 that cost about Last year when I was kicking some ideas \$30,000. around I asked Ms. Tolhurst what a briefing might cost in the field and she estimated around 50.

I don't know the exact number. A lot of it will depend on how, you know, the site we find, firstly. But what I was thinking is that, you know, if we could agree that no more than \$65,000, it'll probably be less, but just to have some idea of the number that's out there.

But I think significantly it would also put our Commission back out into the field, into the

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

director.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

		And	I'm	concerned	abou	t t	hat.	That
iggue	has	never	heen	addressed	to	the	Commi	ggion

I'd like to ask the acting staff director what the staffing requirements are, how much money it would run us the rest of the year, or something like that and whether that's valuable.

I think that our staffing problems have been discussed absolutely, totally today, over our have three-hour meeting so far, and we problems and I think we should look at them before I can make up my mind on anything else.

ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: If the question is whether the general counsel's office needs another attorney, the answer is yes, yes, yes, we could use three more attorneys, particularly if, as Commissioner Heriot was saying, people want to continue the trend of using interrogatories, certainly need another attorney.

At the same time, this is sort of a onetime savings, so it -- we'll be looking at using the funds for maybe a year-long contract hire, because presumably we will have a staff director at some pont, and so we won't have those savings anymore with which to hire additional lawyers.

So yes, I would like to use the leftover

NEAL R. GROSS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

funds to hire someone to help here, but it wouldn't be a permanent hire. I would note in terms of discussion, when we are estimating the budget, we need to, if we have a field hearing, it would unfortunately be at the exact moment when the staff is in the middle of the move, so people like Pam, who would normally go to Alabama and set it up, would be unavailable.

So we would need to budget in some kind of hire of someone in Alabama who could help us with the physical setup.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Let me just say, you know, my estimate of 275, even if we were to say it's 65, that still leaves 210 on the table, plus my understanding of it is every month that goes by that we don't hire a staff director in OCRE is another \$50,000 that's there. So you could hire a couple of contract lawyers temporarily with those dollars.

So, and I would be, and I had this conversation briefly with Commissioner Gaziano for different reasons, I would be amenable to, if the Commission would be as well, to move the briefing later so that it would not conflict with staff's responsibilities for moves, whether it means moving it off to July, possibly, so that we wouldn't have

1

2

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO:

Actually, that

4

answers one of the questions I was going to ask

5

I want to agree with both Commissioner

6

Kladney and our chairman. I think the funding

7

issues, we ought to take seriously, and I also agree

8

that staffing is more important, proper staffing.

9

But I don't see why we can't get the proper staffing

And what I want to explore is how we can

10

and do a field hearing.

11

12

13 every legitimate concern. You know, I'd be willing

14

to vote to make, you know, a conditional move

make sure that we keep the costs low so that we meet

15

forward on the field hearing, you know. I see no

16

reason why we can't get a free facility, some

17

government somewhere --

18

19

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I agree.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: ought to be able

to, whether it's a federal facility or a county 20

21

22 23

that we not make the perfect be the enemy of the

facility or a city facility. I think that we ought

to, although our staff is stretched then, insist

24

good, and you know, maybe if it would get more of a

25

consensus, we could agree to go forward contingent

ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: I mean, if you want all of your assistants to go, we are paying for eight people, airfare, hotel, etcetera.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We may, you know I'm up for -- they may not want to go. They may beg us to not make them. Otherwise, you know, I'd like to see if we could accommodate them.

But you know we should, we should consider not only -- by the way the other reason I'd like to support this, is because I think the

NEAL R. GROSS

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be different.

chairman made a good argument on the merits, that

(202) 234-4433

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

I think there is a good argument on the merits for it. But I also want to defer to him on this one issue as well, and his judgement on it.

But we ought to take into account not only you know,

this particular hearing may -- the tenor of it may

not going to get some here, that's a non-monetary

the cost of transferring witnesses, but if we are

cost that's somewhat high. 10

> As for the month, let me get one thing -- I unfortunately misspoke about my availability on I'd like to participate. If it's moved to July 6th, which is a regular scheduled one, I may not be able to participate. My other argument besides moving it from June, was it might be better for the Supreme Court to have spoken on a related state issue, and they are not going to do that until the end of June. But if that argument is applicable, then early July probably isn't a lot better for the witnesses. There's hardly time for them -that know, their incorporate you testimony would be due at the same time if it were July.

> > So I'm willing to go forward in June.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this in July and August, the hotels have got to be

really cheap because nobody in their right mind

wants to be in Alabama. 3

> CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Bring your linen suits and straw hats. So just in terms of planning this, because we are, you know, we are going to want to make sure we start contacting witnesses etcetera, might I then -- might I suggest that, I mean, July -June still becomes a problem given that Tolhurst indicated that staff will be focused on the I'd like to be able to see if we could move. resolve this today so that we can go and start locking witnesses in and things of that nature.

> Would it be amenable to folks to say that we would move it to -- instead of 60,000, we'd say 50, that's the estimate you gave us, with the view that we'd try to come in less than that. then I'd be willing to move it to August because I'd love to have Commissioner Gaziano's participation and I think keeping it in June would increase the cost, and doing that would give us the time to be able to move forward.

> COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Another day in July would be fine with me, but that may be harder.

> > CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Right, and since

NEAL R. GROSS

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	funds,	we	might	as	well	get	them	out	now.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Do we have an estimate on the cost of a contract -- well let's deal with them separately, but I'm not against that. I think we need to discuss that. But I appreciate that thought. Commissioner Kirsanow, do you have anything to add?

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I concur with Commissioner Kladney's statement. I am concerned I would like to defer to the about the cost. chairman in terms of his prerogatives in having the field hearing, but it is a significant concern with respect to the cost and the staffing that we have.

We are really operating on fumes. know that Ms. Tolhurst has been working like crazy, and others within the Commission, to just try to keep us afloat, so I would really want to make sure that, in terms of husbanding resources, that we absolutely make a clear commitment to getting a contract lawyer or somebody in the GC's office.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Absolutely. So what I'll do then is I'll call the question on the motion to move the immigration hearing to a field briefing in Birmingham, Alabama, and that it be in August.

> COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: August 17 is the

NEAL R. GROSS

Do not quote or rely on this	uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@usccr.gov
1	is testifying here with my experience as an
2	individual, as opposed to something else.
3	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Why don we just
4	keep it open? We have had hearings by the way where
5	commissioners lead the questions and
6	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, we're not
7	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Under
8	our regulations, the general counsel leads hearings.
9	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Well, you may be
10	in charge, but we have remembered some where
11	commissioners led anyway. Maybe not. Maybe I'm
12	wrong.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And if it turns out to
14	revisit it, we'll have time between now and August
15	to convert it to a hearing.
16	So it's the vote is on a briefing in
17	Birmingham or another Alabama city, and a budget no
18	more than \$50,000, and so I'll start the voting
19	again now that we are clear on the motion.
20	Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?
21	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I'll pass for
22	now.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Commissioner
24	Heriot, how do you vote?
25	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Given that I think
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST:

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

25

Senior

ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST:

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

25

The new

Do not quote or rely on thi	177 s uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@us ϕ cr.gov
1	acting staff director would have the authority to
2	detail anyone as they saw fit, so
3	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Oh, detail
4	someone from the from so this contract
5	attorney, too?
6	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Sure.
7	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Contracting
8	attorney could be maybe that answers my question.
9	I just wanted to raise it with you and the other
10	commissioners, if they want to if they had a
11	thought on it.
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. All right. So
13	we 'll move on to the next item. It's discussion of
14	the 2013 statutory report selection process.
15	VI. PROGRAM PLANNING UPDATE AND
16	DISCUSSION OF PROJECTS
17	DISCUSSION ON 2013 STATUTORY
18	REPORT SELECTION PROCESS
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Ms. Tolhurst had
20	indicated to me that we should vote on the statutory
21	report topic during the April business meeting. As
22	a result, if we did that, we'd need to submit
23	concept papers to her by 6 p.m. on Friday, March
24	23 rd . She would then disseminate concept papers by
25	Monday, March 26 th , and we would vote on them at our
	NEAL R. GROSS

Do not quote or rely on thi	179 s uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@uscr.gov
1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Can't vote on it.
2	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: No, we can't
3	vote.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I know we can't vote
5	on it, yes. Can someone try and find out what
6	happened to Commissioner Kirsanow?
7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Do we need to vote
8	on anything?
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We still have Maine,
10	Nevada and D.C. SACs to vote on. We don't need to -
11	- and we're going to vote on this, I would imagine,
12	as well. So we can discuss this
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Vote on whether to
14	vote?
15	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Vote on whether
16	we are going to be voting on the 2013 enforcement
17	report next month. Given all else that we are we
18	are going to be in further among other things we
19	are going to be in further discussions about how to
20	staff the agency, acting and we have got a number
21	of other things. When is the report the current
22	enforcement report draft going to be sent to
23	commissioners?
24	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: To
25	commissioners? May, early May.

1	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I have also
2	thought it odd that agency if I could make a
3	motion now, which I can't, since we might not have
4	five commissioners, that we get it at the same time
5	affected agency gets it, but that aside, I think the
6	last time we did this, of course, I think it
7	benefits from a couple of in-person discussions.
8	We are going to be living with this
9	topic, as everyone knows, for, you know, 15 to 18
10	months, and it would be nice if we could pick the
11	right topic, refine it, reach close to consensus if
12	not consensus, and I don't think we are going to be
13	ready to do that in April.
14	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'd be shocked if
15	we were ready to do that in April. I think we want
16	to bring this topic up a few times before we commit
17	to what we are going to be studying.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Ms. Tolhurst, what's
19	the latest we could do this in terms of the
20	timeline, if you work backwards?
21	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Well
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I know this would be
23	earlier than I think we did it this year, but that
24	doesn't mean it's ideal. But what's, you know,

what's our -- what are our options?

it by May?

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: The final selection?

ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Yes, I mean, this past year you did it July.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

21

22

23

24

already between the briefing and trying to decide

how to handle the SAC --

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

something. I think you've got to rank the concept paper, so we need to come up with a date by which we would submit concept papers.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: But we don't want to do concept papers for every possible proposal. We want to have blurbs first, because it's a lot of work to come up with, you know, a dozen concept papers, and there may not be any interest in some of them.

Well, I don't know CHAIRMAN CASTRO: that -- at least as long as I've been here, it's only been a year, we haven't done it that way. We've been through two statutory report processes, selection processes, and we've never started with a blurb. We have actually done concept papers.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We've done blurbs in the past haven't we?

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We've done blurbs at some point, but I'm just, just maybe asking you to think about whether that wouldn't be helpful, to have blurbs. We see where people are and then you know, if there's an interest in six concept papers being written, they could be written before the May meeting maybe, and we could discuss them at both the May and the June meeting.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: That sounds 2 workable. 3 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So we'd need to set 4 some specific dates in advance. Shall we do that 5 now or shall we just say this is the structure and 6 then have our office of the staff director send us 7 the dates by which we need to submit these advance of the two meetings, to give --8 9 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Chairman. Commissioner 10 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, 11 Yaki. 12 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I am not entirely comfortable with the idea that if 13 we vote 14 executive summaries to pare it down to concept 15 papers. I think that a lot of these things tend to

be an organic process and sometimes a topic in a concept -- in an executive summary but maybe not the direction, and sometimes there's a very organic process that goes on to get you from A to B, you know, and I'm a little worried if you are shortcircuiting that process by going from A to B and then Q to B, we're doing ourselves a disservice in terms of what could be out there.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, I'm going to ask

25

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER YAKI: And that's my 2 concern. CHAIRMAN Okay, Commissioner 3 CASTRO: 4 Heriot has got her hand up and then I'm going to ask 5 you to come back and give me a suggestion then. 6 Commissioner Heriot? 7 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I think that we would want a process that wouldn't prohibit somebody 8 from going to the trouble of doing a four or five 9 page concept paper if they wanted to, even if nobody 10 11 expressed interest in it. 12 You wouldn't have to, to only do those topics that seem to be getting a lot of support. 13 14 It's just that I don't want to go to the trouble of 15 writing up a longer document if there's no interest in the topics that I propose. So I am just looking 16 17 to go from blurb to concept paper, you know, in a way that I think would fit into what Commissioner 18 19 Yaki is calling an organic process. Anybody could 20 submit a longer proposal. It's just it will be good 21 to have some sense --22 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So you're saying that 23 even if your summary didn't get support, you could 24 still submit a concept paper in June --.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes. Right.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

te or rely on this	uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts 190
1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: for consideration.
2	Commissioner Gaziano?
3	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I called it blurb
4	originally, but in deference to Commissioner Yaki,
5	I'm trying to find common ground on one issue today.
6	I entirely agree with Commissioner Heriot's idea on
7	that, that you could write a concept paper initially
8	instead of an executive summary, if you want to get
9	you could write a concept paper on something that
10	gets no votes but your own.
11	But that it would be helpful to me to
12	know whether there was support for certain executive
13	summaries that I might propose, so again, and I
14	this is a helpful understanding for the motion that
15	you have made.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, did
17	you have something else you wanted to add? I'm
18	sorry.
19	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No. As long as we
20	reserve the right to disregard the fact that the
21	academy didn't vote for our proposal and submit it
22	to the membership, other than that I'm fine.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, I think we're
24	clear on that then. So all in favor of that,

actually, I probably need to do individual votes,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes, I don't know if CHAIRMAN CASTRO: that -- how that would affect the people who already agreed, the speakers.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: With that speakers, it may be possible to pick the ones that can start at 8:30.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, so why don't we Why don't we say that we'd like to have do this? the business meeting after the briefing. We'll investigate, we'll have staff, acting staff director with Ms. Butler, how we might be able to adjust the start time of the briefing. If not, then we'll get solid feel for the schedule of what these witnesses, the numbers of the witnesses are and when we'll get this done, and if we have to come back we'll let folks know if there's going to be a problem with that.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And consider the two versus the three a little bit more. usually of the view that witnesses always have to have their own panel, but at least the, I suppose concept in some cases is it's their work that's going to be investigated. don't think there's the same level of adversity, or

11	
Do not quote or rely on this un	195 incorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@usecr.gov
1	potential adversity. That's not the right word, but
2	we are not really going to be overseeing their
3	effectiveness in the same way as I think we are in
4	other situations.
5	So if it's possible to merge the panels
6	
7	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: I think
8	the way that they are now it would roughly last four
9	and a half hours. So
10	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Four and a half
11	hours is
12	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: 9:30.
13	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: long. If there's
14	a way you can make it into
15	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: It's
16	really not long. I don't think it's long.
17	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Two panels. If -
18	_
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: If we would be done by
20	1:30, that would still give us
21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Then we have to
22	eat something. Then we'd have to herd the cats back
23	in the room. I think we're a lot better off if we
24	can start an hour earlier.
25	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Let's see what we can
	NEAL R. GROSS

Columbia State Advisory Committee.

motion Under this the Commission following appoints the individuals to that committee, based upon the recommendations of our staff director: Sonia Gutierrez, Clyde J. Chester W. Hartman, Vernon D. Ingram II, Daniel P. Lips, Charles R. Lowery, Alan K. Palmer, Laurence D. Pearl, Edwin G. Peterman, James J. Sandman, Gregory

NEAL R. GROSS

19

20

21

22

23

24

Do not quote or rely on this u	ncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@usecr.gov
1	D. Squires, Winona M. Lake Scott, J. Steven Wagner.
2	Pursuant to this motion, the Commission
3	appoints Mr. Laurence D. Pearl as chair of the re-
4	chartered District of Columbia State Advisory
5	Committee.
6	These members will serve as
7	uncompensated government employees. Under this
8	motion the Commission authorizes the staff director
9	to execute the appropriate paperwork for the
10	appointment.
11	Is there a second?
12	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is there any
14	discussion?
15	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: On to the
16	question.
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Question is called.
18	Commissioner Heriot, how do you vote?
19	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I pass.
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Pass. Commissioner
21	Gaziano how do you vote?
22	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kirsanow,
24	how do you vote? Commissioner Kirsanow are you
25	there?
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

Do not quote or rely on this	199 uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@usecr.gov
1	motion the Commission authorizes the staff director
2	to execute the appropriate paperwork for the
3	appointment.
4	Is there a second?
5	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any discussion?
7	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: On to the
8	question.
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Gaziano
10	is about to say something.
11	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I'm going to
12	oppose this SAC as one of the most ideologically
13	imbalanced that we have received in my tenure. They
14	were somehow able to keep or not keep two
15	conservatives on the SAC. I know there were some
16	other issues, but the SAC is far from what the
17	balance of the AI calls for.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any other questions or
19	comments? I'm sorry, Commissioner Heriot?
20	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm still
21	concerned about why we are in this position in the
22	first place, you know, what's happened here that we
23	have as many resignations as we have had, you know,
24	what exactly is going on?
25	And you know, my understanding is that
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	the replacements are much further ideologically to
2	the left than the people that they replaced. So
3	what has happened here that has made this necessary?
4	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Barbara
5	might be able to, or Ivy, but I understand there
6	were just several dissatisfied people. There were
7	people that moved. And we ended up going down to
8	six, and I would note that the SAC as it sits with
9	the new members would be six Republicans, six
10	Democrats and three Independents, which doesn't
11	strike me as ideologically radical.
12	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: People's party
13	affiliations are not always indicative of
14	ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST:
15	Sometimes they are.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Ms. Davis did you have
17	something you wanted to add?
18	MS. DAVIS: Well, as you all discussed
19	earlier, there were various reasons why members left
20	five left the committee. Two of them had
21	commitment issues. One a baby, the other had an ill
22	mother. Two other committee members left because
23	they weren't really happy with the leadership of the
24	chair and it came to a head when he sent an email

which he, I think, in his view, thought was a way of

1 rallying the troops to go onward and work, but those 2 particular individuals took issue with it and decided to resign. 3 And then one committee member 4 moved away, was no longer residing in the state. 5 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any other questions? Commissioner Gaziano. 6 7 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: You know, Ι wouldn't raise it if we can defeat this SAC but I 8 have concern about the qualifications of one of the 9 SAC members, one of the new SAC members as well. 10 11 But again, I have discussed with staff, yes, party affiliation is relevant. 12 It's one of the things. 13 But it's not something that our AIs say overrides 14 ideological balance. 15 I have been very somewhat amused at the liberal Republicans that have been on these slates, 16 17 in sometimes very conservative states. I'm not going to try to characterize Maine. 18 19 But in Maine, we have two -- I think there are -- as far as I can tell, two individuals 20 21 on this slate with conservative views. There are 10 22 with decidedly liberal views. There are some others 23 that I can't tell one way or the other. There are

NEAL R. GROSS

Independents and some Independents, like me,

really independent and some Independents are not.

24

Pursuant to this motion, the Commission appoints Michael D. Pennington as chair of the re-

These members will serve as uncompensated government employees. Under this motion the Commission authorizes the staff director to execute the appropriate paperwork for the appointment.

Is there a second?

chartered Nevada State Advisory Committee.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I second.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. We have got a

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701