U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

+ + + + +

MEETING

+ + + + +

OPEN SESSION + + + + +

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 20, 2009

+ + + + +

The Commission convened in Room 540 at 624 Ninth Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C. at 9:30 a.m., Gerald A. Reynolds, Chairman, presiding.

PRESENT:

GERALD A. REYNOLDS, Chairman

TODD F. GAZIANO, Commissioner

GAIL L. HERIOT, Commissioner

PETER N. KIRSANOW, Commissioner (via telephone)

ARLAN D. MELENDEZ, Commissioner (via telephone)

ASHLEY L. TAYLOR, JR., Commissioner

MICHAEL YAKI, Commissioner

MARTIN DANNENFELSER, Staff Director

STAFF PRESENT:

MAHA JWEIED

DAVID BLACKWOOD, General Counsel, OGC
TERESA BROOKS
CHRISTOPHER BYRNES, Attorney/Advisor to the OSD
DEMETRIA DEAS
PAMELA A. DUNSTON, Chief, ASCD
ROBERT LERNER, Asst. Staff Director, OCRE
TINALOUISE MARTIN, Director, OM
LENORE OSTROWSKY
KIMBERLY TOLHURST

COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

TIM FAY
DOMINIQUE LUDVIGSON
JOHN MARTIN
ALISON SCHMAUCH
KIMBERLY SCHULD

A G E N D A

APPROVAL OF AGENDA
PROGRAM PLANNING
MANAGEMENT & OPERATIONS
STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ISSUES 64
APPROVAL OF SEPTEMBER 24, OCTOBER 8, OCTOBER 15, AND OCTOBER 30 MEETING MINUTES
STAFF DIRECTOR'S REPORT
AD.TOURN 91

PROCEEDINGS

(9:40 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I guess we'll start off with -- it's an amended agenda. We'll have to vote on it, but if the vote -- if the amendment is passed, then we thought it would be easier to follow along with this amended agenda.

Pete will be joining us later by phone.

Is Commissioner Melendez participating by phone?

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes. Commissioner Melendez here.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Very good. Okay. And I understand that Vice Chair Thernstrom will not be participating. Is that so? Okay. We can get started.

Good morning. This is Chairman Reynolds. This meeting will come to order. It's a meeting of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. It is 9:37 Eastern Standard Time on November 20th. Commissioner Melendez is participating by telephone. Vice Chair Thernstrom is not present. Commissioner Kirsanow will be joining us later. The other Commissioners are present at 624 9th Street, N.W., Room 540, Washington, D.C.

Approval of Agenda

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The first item on the
2	agenda is the approval of the Agenda. Is there a
3	motion to approve the agenda?
4	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: So moved.
5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: The original agenda.
6	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Or do you just want
7	to move to substitute.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. I'll move to
9	substitute the agenda with the document that was
10	distributed earlier, the one entitled "Amended Meeting
11	Agenda."
12	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Point of information.
13	When is Comissioner Kirsanow joining us?
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: He said that he would
15	try to join us as soon as he could.
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, okay.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Do you have any
18	additional questions?
19	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Commissioner
20	Melendez. I don't have the amended agenda. I just
21	have the original, I believe.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Oh, I apologize. We
23	will if you have any questions as we go along, I
24	know its going to be a struggle to follow.

1	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I would prefer to keep
2	to the original agenda until Commissioner Kirsanow
3	joins.
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
5	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I second the
6	motion.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well questions.
8	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Can someone email
9	Commissioner Melendez the amended motion, I mean, the
10	amended agenda?
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Chair Reynolds?
12	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes. Commissioner
13	Melendez, someone is going to try to email you the
14	amended agenda.
15	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: That's fine.
16	(Off the record comments.)
17	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We could stay
18	available until Pete does join us.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That is true. Okay.
20	Commissioner Yaki, what's the rationale for wanting to
21	stick with the original agenda?
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: There are items on the
23	original agenda with which I have no problem being
24	present for, and I can't speak for Commissioner
25	Melendez, but I think we can go through the briefing

report, and the updates that are coming on. But to the extent that we are voting on motions, I prefer, with the exception of the MEPA Briefing Report, I prefer that we have Commissioner Kirsanow present for the purposes of ensuring a quorum for that vote.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We have a quorum.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, like I said, if we move this all around, and start putting some of these motions with which I have particular issues -my request is going to be, and I with, my point can't speak for Commission Melendez, but my intent is to ask if Commissioner Kirsanow is present. If he is not, I am going to invoke a quorum question before each of those items in which I may not be present for the vote. And I do not know if Commissioner Melendez, may or may not be, but if he is not, either, then we will not have quorum. So, I think for the purposes of ensuring that we move along in a timely manner, sticking with the original agenda is probably the most expedient way to conduct business at this time.

CHAIRMAN **REYNOLDS:** Okay. My recommendation is that we move forward with amended agenda. Is there a particular item on here, I it seems to me that the agenda has been mean, We're not talking about new items, so rearranged.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, but the priority
2	of the items, obviously means that votes on certain
3	things will come up at a time when we know
4	Commissioner Kirsanow will not be present. I would
5	like if you would like an amendment to the amended
6	agenda, I would like to postpone any vote on any
7	motion until Commissioner Kirsanow joins us.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So, you will vote if
9	Commissioner Kirsanow is present.
10	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: But you will not, if
12	he is absent.
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, I have no as I
14	have said before, it is not my intention, nor my
15	desire to provide the majority of the quorum that they
16	need in order to vote on things that I have vehement
17	objections to.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Unless Commissioner
19	Kirsanow is present, in which case -
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Unless you have a
21	quorum unless you have your five members in quorum
22	and present here, that is exactly correct.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Just to be
24	clear. My suggestion is that we move forward with the

vote on the amended agenda. I plan to vote for it,

and if Commission Yaki, and any other Commissioner like defeat would to the quorum, it's their prerogative. There is no new issue on the agenda. It's been rearranged. There is no argument that Commissioner Yaki has been presented with materials that he has not had an opportunity to review. all about battle tactics. So, any other comments?

COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'd like to say, this is not about battle tactics. This is about the fact that should you choose to move forward with your agenda, which I disagree with, I presume that you will have the foresight to ensure that the five members of your coalition are present in order to effectuate that vote.

meeting, you claimed that you have a right to defeat quorum. You cited Robert's Rules, that it's appropriate. And, at the time, you indicated that you had no concern. You felt that you were doing -- that defeating quorum was appropriate under Robert's Rules, and I assume that you still feel that way.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: What I assume is that if you wish to enact those parts of your agenda with which I vehemently disagree, you will have the foresight to gather the five votes that are necessary

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	in order to have quorum, to have that vote go forward,
2	at which point I will then participate. But I am not
3	going to, by my inaction or passivity, allow you to
4	push forward, especially at a time when it appears
5	that the despite many attempts at bipartisan
6	cooperation that have failed, at this point, I really
7	have no choice left but to say, if you wish to get
8	these things through, it is incumbent upon you, as the
9	Chair, to make sure that your five votes are here, and
10	present. And I will not be a party to contributing to
11	your ability to get these things done.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. We've had our
13	discussion. All in favor please say aye.
14	(Chorus of ayes.)
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Objections?
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I oppose.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The motion passes. At
18	this point, are you going to leave?
19	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Not yet.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
21	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Are we to approve
22	Minutes, or anything like that?
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: They are on the
24	agenda. It is Item 5 on the amended agenda.
25	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. So, let's see
how long the party lasts, how long it lasts. Okay.
So, under the amended agenda, the first item would be
the motion regarding the Special Assistant GS Level,
and update of the status of the Title IX Project, then
an update of the status of the 2010 Enforcement
Report. Those will be the first three items on the
agenda, in that order. All parts of each of those
will require us to go into closed session. I'd also
like to move consideration of the MEPA Report to
appear as the last item under Program Planning, after
our discussion of Vice Chair Thernstrom proposed
change to the Title IX Briefing Report currently
pending publication. Finally, I'd like to move that
the well, we've already done that.
COMMISSIONER YAKI: That's already in the
amended agenda. Why would you -
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: You're right. So, the
SAC Rechartering will appear as roman numeral III.
So, that is the order. Commissioner Melendez, if you
need me to go over that once again, just let me know.
COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Is there anything
deleted in the first agenda that's been taken off the
agenda.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No.

1 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay. Just 2 rearranging the order. basically, Is that, what 3 you're doing. 4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's correct. 5 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay. 6 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. The first three 7 items will require us to go into closed session 8 pursuant to Commission regulations implementing the 9 Sunshine The General Counsel Act. and Deputy 10 Parliamentarian please certify that the meeting can be 11 closed pursuant to Commission regulations. 12 COMMISSIONER YAKI: The first three items? 13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. COMMISSIONER YAKI: Why the --14 15 understand, perhaps, number one, but two and three? CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. There's -- what 16 17 is it, Exemption 9, there will be a discussion of the 18 issuance of subpoenas. Okay. 19 COMMISSIONER YAKI: That's not going to be 20 -and in terms - what do you mean by there's going to 21 be a discussion on the issuance of subpoenas? Are we 22 going to be talking about people who we are going to 23 be subpoenaing? What is exactly the -24 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That is a -- that is -25 - the conversation could take us there.

1 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, at that point, 2 Commissioner Melendez and I may take a brief holiday. 3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez, 4 does he speak for you? 5 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes. All right. 6 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. 7 Since the holiday has started, how brief will this 8 holiday be? We have to read the tea leaves, if he 9 takes his shades and his materials, that indicates 10 that it's going to be a long -11 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, the holiday is 12 regarding the first three motions, the first three 13 items in which you wish to go into closed session. 14 would suggest that when Commissioner Kirsanow joins, I 15 would be more than happy to join you in closed session 16 for those three items. But to the extent you want to 17 go into closed session right now, I am not going to 18 participate. 19 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this point, 20 let's see if we can raise Mr. Kirsanow. And, if not, 21 I think I've wasted a bit of time. 22 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Commissioner 23 Melendez, are you still on the line? 24 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes.

1 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Well, then we can 2 proceed. COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Well, if Mr. Yaki 3 is leaving, then I'll probably talk to him -- I'll 4 5 probably leave, too, and talk to him about the issues. 6 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki has 7 I believe that he promptly would like to returned. 8 communicate with you. 9 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Melendez, 10 I will now call you off line, so you can recuse 11 yourself from the conference right now. 12 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: All right. 13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: You need to take care 14 of that before you leave. 15 Okay. So, we will adjourn for as long as it takes, and we may adjourn for the day. 16 17 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No, I came all the 18 way from California, and we're going to hold this 19 We can possibly raise a quorum. meeting. 20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, we're going to 21 try to raise Pete from the dead. 22 (Whereupon, the proceedings went off the 23 record at 9:55:18 a.m., and went back on the record at 24 10:09:27 a.m.)

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. We are back in
2	session. We have a quorum. Commissioner Kirsanow has
3	joined us. Commissioners Melendez and Yaki, they have
4	rejoined us. At this point, I will turn it over to
5	the General Counsel. Could you please -
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: We haven't voted on
7	this yet.
8	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Oh, we
9	haven't voted?
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
11	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: We voted on
12	the amended agenda.
13	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: The question is, do
14	we have to vote to go into closed session.
15	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: What do we
16	need, him to explain?
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I just want you to
18	walk us through the rationale, and just affirm that we
19	are going into closed session for proper reasons.
20	MR. BLACKWOOD: Sure. For the three items
21	mentioned -
22	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Are you on the
23	record? Do you have a microphone?
24	MR. BLACKWOOD: This is David Blackwood.
25	For the three items mentioned, the justification for

1 closing the meeting is as follows. The motion 2 regarding Special Assistants is covered under Exemption Two and Six. 3 Exemption Two is when a 4 meeting relates to the internal personnel rules and 5 practices of the Commission, and Exemption Six, when a 6 meeting might involve disclosing information of a 7 that personal nature, where disclosure might 8 constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal 9 privacy. 10 With regard to items Two and -11 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I have a question on 12 that. 13 MR. BLACKWOOD: Sure. 14 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Yaki. 15 Why Item Six? I mean, I thought this was a generic 16 discussion of GS levels, rather than anything that 17 would mention any specific individual. BLACKWOOD: 18 understanding MR. Μy 19 otherwise. But, if it was simply а generic 20 discussion, no, the exemptions would not apply. 21 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, given that the 22 agenda was somewhat blank on the details of this, I 23 find it highly surprising that there would actually be 24 discussion of a single individual. My understanding

was that it was a generic discussion of this issue,

which, by itself, I'm not too sure why it would be in closed session, since anything affecting this has a direct and obvious impact on our budget. And why we'd retreat in a closed session on a general discussion of this, is, I think, quite puzzling. But now that I learned that actually be individuals there may involved, which I don't understand, because we didn't do this the last time we talked about these discussions with the Office of Personnel Management, object to going into closed session on that particular item.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I don't know if it will come up, but there might be a discussion on the relative job performance, or job qualifications of some of our special assistants, as compared to other employees who are at similar GS levels, so I think Exemption Twoalone justifies going into Executive Session, but Ι think it might implicate the justification of Number Six, well, if the as discussion goes there. But I certainly suggest that whatever management personnel, discuss the budget implications, could certainly stay in the Executive Session.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, this is Commissioner Yaki. Commissioner Gaziano's statement troubles me even more, because if we are now, possibly, delving into comparative discussions between our Special Assistants and other employees of Commission, that should have been part of the notice, as well. It has not been, and I will be moving to sever this particular item for a separate vote.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Well, let's get to the motion. And before doing that, let's allow the General Counsel to finish his comments.

Again, MR. BLACKWOOD: this is David As to the other two items relating to the Blackwood. status of the Title IX and 2010 Enforcement Report, there are items, frankly, I think that we can talk about outside Executive Session, but, as a matter of practice, as far as getting through this meeting, the idea was to let's talk about everything in Executive Session that we can. And then those items that we can talk openly, we can do after that. But for the purposes of those two meetings -- those two items, raise Exemption Nine and Exemption Ten relating to actually how we might operate, since subpoenas have been discussed with regard to both projects, and as with regard to the 2010 report, it's already been

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	authorized that that part of the meeting should be
2	closed. That does not mean every single item about
3	those two projects must occur in Executive Session,
4	but it makes sense to have them in Executive Session
5	all at one time.
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Question on that
7	particular issue, Mr. Chair. Does is a discussion
8	of whether we have actually issued any subpoenas
9	subject to the non-disclosure rules?
10	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I think that's one
11	thing we should discuss in Executive Session.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Right.
13	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: That's one of the
14	matters I wanted to raise in whether they should.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Mr. General Counsel,
16	are you finished?
17	MR. BLACKWOOD: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. If that's the
19	case, then I move that -
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Why would we discuss
21	that in secret? I don't understand.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry?
23	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I don't again, I
24	become more puzzled by Commissioner Gaziano's remarks,
25	because if there is a discussion about whether we

should or not, shouldn't that debate be held in the open, rather than in secret, when the -- and particularities, if they are non-disclosable, should be more proper a subject for Executive Session. the whole question of whether there should be non-disclosure, I think is disclosure or appropriate for the public to be part of rather than for us to debate outside the public eye. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: You may very well be I intend to rely on the General Counsel to right. make sure that we carve up these issues appropriately. And the argument that you just made, it resonates with But, in the final analysis, I will turn to the General Counsel, and ask whether a particular issue is in or out. COMMISSIONER YAKI: I move to sever the regarding the Special Assistants from other two items. It's a -- it doesn't require a second. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All in favor. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: All in favor of what? COMMISSIONER YAKI: The motion regarding the Special Assistants. He's moving to sever. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: What would that mean
2	in this context, if we sever these -
3	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Well, it doesn't
4	we can still vote on whether it's severable, even if
5	_
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, you can't. On a
7	vote, a motion to sever is non-debatable -
8	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Oh, I'll allow it
9	anyway. I'm not sure that's right, but I don't care.
10	COMMISSIONER YAKI: It is correct.
11	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Let's just vote as
12	_
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: It's the same if we
14	vote on the motion would you please stop
15	interrupting me. If you would just vote on the motion
16	to on the Special Assistant separately, that's all
17	I'm asking for. And then we vote on the other two
18	combined.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's fine.
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. The first two
22	issues the Title IX project and the update on the
23	enforcement report. I move that we go into closed
24	session to discuss these topics. Is there a second?
25	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second.

	21
1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We've had the
2	discussion. All in favor, please say aye.
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Roll call.
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow,
5	how do you vote?
6	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Abstain.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez,
8	how do you vote?
9	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Abstain, also.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Heriot.
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano.
13	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki.
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I abstain.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I vote in favor
18	of the motion. The motion passes.
19	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I move to go into
20	Special Session regarding -
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, wait.
22	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Special
23	Assistant GS Level, and to handle that first.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's up next. All
25	in favor, please say aye.

1	(Chorus of ayes.)
2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Roll call.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez.
4	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: What was the
5	motion, again?
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: To sever the
7	discussion of the Special Assistant.
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No, to go into
9	Special Session.
10	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, to go into Special
11	Session on Special Assistants.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Executive Session on
14	the Special Assistant.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's right.
16	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I would oppose.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow.
18	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The motion passes.
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Did you take my vote?
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: What's your vote?
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No.
23	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You didn't take my
24	vote either.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry.

1	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
3	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Commissioner
4	Gaziano votes yes.
5	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. Commissioner
6	Taylor.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Pete, I believe you
8	voted. Am I right?
9	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes. I was the
10	first one you asked.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All right.
12	There you have it. At this point, Mr. General
13	Counsel, who stays in, and who who can stay in, and
14	who leaves?
15	MR. BLACKWOOD: I would say the only
16	people that should stay are TinaLouise Martin,
17	obviously, the Commissioners, myself, the Staff
18	Director, and that's it.
19	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And that's for the
20	first item. Others may come in for the next two?
21	MR. BLACKWOOD: For the next items, they
22	will change the script about who can stay. But for
23	this particular item I'm sorry, and the

1 (Whereupon, the proceedings went off the 2 record to commence Closed Session, and went back on the record to resume Open Session at 11:53:14 a.m.) 3 4 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. Chair, would 5 you mind relating what the substance was with regard 6 to the first item on Special Assistant GS level? 7 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Since that 8 motion amended by Commissioner was Taylor, 9 Commissioner Taylor, would you mind summarizing? 10 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Sure. That we voted 11 to ask Ms. Martin to create a job description for a 12 Special Assistant that would rise to a GS-14, and to 13 take all appropriate action, including, if necessary, submitting that new job description to OPM. 14 15 also, to ask the Staff Director to conduct a similar 16 review, to the type of review that led to this 17 recommendation with respect to the career 18 positions, and to report back to the Commission on 19 both points. 20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Next up, we'll 21 have discussion of the Discovery Plan associated with 22 the New Black Panther Party case. Commissioner 23 Gaziano, you had some comments for us? COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes. I think the 24 25 Discovery Plan that was distributed is in good order.

I'd like to make two minor amendments to the project outline of those. And one is on page 2, where says, "The purpose of this study then is to", I would like to change that to, "The purpose of this study then may include to". And the reason why sometimes we may not -- we don't know at the outset the level of cooperation from the Department Justice, and certain witnesses. We don't know yet how easy it's going to be to get all of the information that we're hoping to do. And I don't want to -- we can always amend these plans late, but I'd like it to the outset. Sometimes we've be clear at disappointments later, when something someone hoped was mentioned in the Discovery Plan wasn't in the final report. This particular project is especially subject to uncertainty with regard, whether we're going to get everything we want.

5 The second change on page is the proposed time line. I would like to approve all of Discovery Plan documents subject to amendment of this proposed time limit. And the two things that I'd like to see adjusted for us to take back up after we all supply our input to the Staff Director and General Counsel, is the possibility we decide have, instead of one hearing, to two

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 or hearings are, we may not want to -- the original 3 Concept Paper called for us to do it in two hearings, 4 a second hearing in March, which isn't reflected in 5 the time line. 6 And, also, I'd like to move back, I think, 7 when the report may -- depending on that, when the 8 report may be given to Commissioners, when it's sent 9 out for Agency review. I think some of that at the 10 end could be compressed a little bit, and have 11 simultaneous agency review while we're reviewing the 12 subsequent draft. So, for those reasons, I'd like to 13 move to approve the Discovery Plan with those two --14 with that one modification, and subject to revision 15 to the time line. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is there a second? 16 17 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Second. 18 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this point, 19 I'd ask -- Commissioner Yaki? 20 COMMISSIONER YAKI: What were you going to 21 ask? 22 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I was going to ask the 23 General Counsel for his reaction. 24 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay. I'll wait until 25 after that.

hearings, and depending on when those -- that hearing,

MR. BLACKWOOD: I have no objection to any of those changes. I just wanted to make sure that we're not just adopting the Discovery Plan, but the project outline, and the hearing proposal, as well.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes. I just want to reiterate two points. One, I continue to be troubled by the fact that we are expending an inordinate amount of time and resources on a single shot investigation on a lightly used statute that, apparently, has no enforcement power, other than injunctive relief, when there are broader issues on voter intimidation that could be looked at by this Commission. I really -- I have said before, I'll say it again, I do not see how we can possibly extrapolate from this single isolated incident anything that has serious policy consequences for the enforcement of civil rights, especially, voter intimidation, whole. as a We are, essentially, spending, as I said, an amount of time and resources equal to being, essentially, our Special own Prosecutor, by choosing to redo, and reinvestigate something in which decisions are still under review by the Department of Justice, number one.

Number two, to the extent that this is going forward, because I cannot individually stop this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

from going forward, I remain troubled by the fact that this -- we are not specifically calling for a hearing in Philadelphia. It just seems to me that if the locus of witnesses' attention and activity, and possible voter intimidation occurred in a section of Philadelphia, that this Commission should, as a matter of fact, and I think in terms of precedent in the past, should go to that area, because we possibly believe that through self-selected researches on the internet, Lexis Nexis, what have you, and the volunteering of people to come and testify Washington, D.C., can possibly substitute for having a hearing in the environ of the area of Philadelphia in which the alleged voter intimidation occurred.

There are people in Philadelphia, such as the Registrar voter the of Voters, or Superintendent of Elections, or what have you, could be present at such a hearing. And, importantly, having an open public hearing has ability to raise attention level, and bring forth people who we do not know of at this time, who may have been witnesses, or participants, who can testify as to what exactly, in their mind, went on, and what its effect was on their ability to vote. And I would called for have having a section of just

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

testimony during a hearing in Philadelphia to ensure that such things would occur. So, the lack of any commitment to a hearing on this issue in Philadelphia troubles me, and for the two reasons I stated before, I will be voting against this.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All right. Commissioner Yaki, I won't provide a comprehensive response, but what happened in this case, and the decision that was made at the -- well, the various decisions that were made at the Department of Justice are troubling. I think that you changed the facts a bit, and you changed the race of the individuals. I think that we would all be in perfect agreement that something went horribly wrong at the Department of Justice. Ι think that it's important that $W \subset$ find investigate to out what the original recommendation was, that we determine what were the factors that led to a decision that ignored the apparently, it recommendation ignored the -recommendation of the career staff, and look at potential harm that this precedent could set future offenders.

I don't want anyone -- well, let me just state that we should have a single rule that should be applied to individuals across the board, and if this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

rule stays in place, I am uncomfortable with this rule. I think it is the wrong rule. I think that men standing outside with weapons in hand making threatening comments to individuals as they go to vote, I don't care what the race of the gentlemen wielding the billy clubs is. It's immaterial.

So, bottom line is, I think that this is an important issue. I think that we need to get a better understanding of what went on at DOJ. So, we, once again, disagree on the value of this investigation.

Mr. Chair, actually, I COMMISSIONER YAKI: don't think we do, because if you did, as you said, would reverse the race of the parties, you would have a situation that on numerous occasions, including instances where this Commission has written letters to Justice the Department of during the prior Administration about regarding voter intimidation, it not have been a baton, but it was certainly threatening letters. I remember elections where there were documented reports of people taking down license plate numbers of people who were of -- who they thought were of suspected Latino descent, and saying they were going to report them to the INS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I fully support the idea, I told you
before, of a broader investigation into why, and
whether or not the statute has or has not been
enforced, and why not? But, because we are not doing
that, because we are not broadening that issue,
because we are not looking at the statute as a whole,
and past practices of the Department of Justice where
these sorts of allegations have been made in the past,
and not been followed up on, that is why I disagree
with the content and nature of this particular
investigation, because we are only solely focusing on
one particular instance, however egregious it may or
may not have been. That is my objection. It is not
the objection to whether or not this is a type of
behavior that this Commission should be concerned
about. It should, but whether but to say that in
this particular instance we believe DOJ is wrong, and
wrong only here, when we can certainly have, as I
suggested in my alternate proposal, broaden the issue
to go into questions about why other instances have
been brought up by MALDEF, by other folks, were never
investigated, or fully prosecuted by DOJ in the past,
by administrations of either party, is, to me, a
salient distinguishing point about what we are doing
here.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I just want the record to reflect that the Discovery Plan that we're about to vote on does quite clearly call to put this particular litigation in context, and quite clearly calls for all of the information the Department has on every report and prior investigation, and that is exactly what we are voting on, and that's exactly what we're planning on doing.

And with regard to the relevance, though, of this particular litigation, I would call attention to the front page story in the "Washington Times" yesterday, where a Department official is quoted as saying, still maintaining that the facts and law did not support any further action in this case. So, it's not just that they seem to have made a mistake in judgment in most Americans' view about this case.

it To put in proper context, the Discovery Plan and our Concept Paper that we adopted show, it's their current position that that may be causing as much damage, if not more damage, for future enforcement than whatever specific actions they took in this case. So, I join Commissioner Yaki in look at all prior instances wanting to of voter

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

intimidation reported to the Department, and to look at as many of their prior investigations, as we can, so that we can judge whether the policy the Department is currently taking is a change of position. And that's the most significant thing I think this Commission is investigating.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Ι mean, Ι just fundamentally disagree with that characterization. think that the Discovery Plan pays lip service to the idea, but certainly the individuals and institutions who we're talking about for a hearing, I won't talk about the other subject, are certainly all limited to this particular circumstance. And, to me, to ratchet up this -- I think part of it misrepresents the fact. The fact is that the one individual who allegedly did carry the baton is the one against whom injunctive relief was accorded, and still stands, it stands in But that notwithstanding, the clear import of this hearing is to simply engage in a second-quessing of one particular decision, rather than delving deeper the overall issue of this statute, impact, and why it's only been enforced at a very low rate, given the reports that I have been privy to during my lifetime in public service. And it may be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

fine that we talk a little bit about this in the Discovery Plan, but, certainly, the way this report is written, the way that witnesses are being looked at does not reflect that. If indeed it did, Commissioner Gaziano, I would be somewhat less displeased with the direction that it is going, because I still believe that the intent of this is not -- the real intent is not how it is being proposed publicly.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: As the Concept Paper I drafted shows, I would join you in seeking expert witnesses at any hearing that involves DOJ on the discussion of their prior actions. I will join you, and I will urge other Commissioners to join us in seeking prior DOJ officials, expert witnesses, and others to place this in the proper context. But I hope we do get discovery from the Department of Justice, and they don't stonewall us, so that we will be able to see direct evidence of how they've handled those prior cases that you're interested in.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, Commissioner Gaziano, I will hold you to that, because if there are ex-officials of the Department of Justice who would be critical to exploring the lack of enforcement in prior years, I hope that you will be as zealous in looking at all the remedies available to the Commission for

	35
1	them, as you have been at the Department of Justice,
2	as it exists today.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That smells like
4	agreement -
5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes. Thanks for the
8	correction.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: It was getting close.
10	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Are we ready to
11	call the question?
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We're stumbling in the
13	right direction. Yes. Okay. Commissioner Heriot.
14	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano.
16	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Taylor.
18	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki.
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow.
22	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez.

1 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: One question. Did 2 you say you're going to have one hearing or two? I'm 3 not sure. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I don't believe that a 4 5 decision has been made on that point yet. 6 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay. Just for 7 the record, I'd like to just wrap it up in 8 hearing. I'd abstain on this. 9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. And I vote in 10 favor of the motion, so the motion passes. 11 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: May propose 12 another motion that I circulated via email? 13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I'm going to pass 14 15 out another copy of it. Commissioner Melendez, the 16 only change from the version that I circulated on the 17 phone and the version I'm passing out now is that Item 18 2 in the motion is now one sentence instead of two. 19 COMMISSIONER YAKI: What are we talking 20 about? 21 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: The second -- the 22 only change in the motion is that the entirety of 23 Point Two in the motion is that it does not go into as 24 much detail the role of attorney who's as an

deponent.

representing

а

25

Heriot

Commissioner

1 suggested that that was unnecessary, and I agree 2 was unnecessary. 3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. 4 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: So all of Part Two 5 says, "Witnesses subpoenaed to provide deposition 6 with testimony may appear an attorney of their 7 choosing, and may request short breaks to consult with 8 their attorney, as appropriate." Okay. 9 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: 10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. 11 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I move that this be 12 entered into the record, as if it were read. 13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second. 14 15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: 16 And that it be 17 approved. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki. 18 19 COMMISSIONER YAKI: One, I would like 20 clarification on the record as to what reasonable 21 notice to Commissioners would be in terms of their 22 ability to attend a deposition, number one. And, 23 number two, I want to know why there is a time limit 24 on Commissioner questions with regard to their ability 25 to ask questions of a deponent.

1	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: This was suggested
2	to me by the General Counsel, that we put in
3	something. It's just as far as the way I intend
4	it, is that there's no limit to the total amount.
5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Total time.
6	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: The total time.
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: That's only 10 minute
8	rounds each.
9	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: It's just to be -
LO	COMMISSIONER YAKI: It's to cycle through
L1	in 10 minutes, but you can recycle again, if you want
L2	to.
L3	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Correct. It's just
L4	so that -
L5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: With that
L 6	clarification, I understand. I still want
L7	clarification of what constitutes reasonable notice,
L 8	given that there are some Commissioners who live some
L9	distance away, and for whom flights during the holiday
20	season are going to be extremely difficult to obtain.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I believe that
22	the date selected is an imposition on all of the
23	Commissioners who intend to participate.
24	COMMISSIONER YAKI: It's a much greater
25	imposition for someone from the West Coast Mr Chair

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I agree. You and
2	Commissioner Heriot have to you have the greatest
3	distance -
4	COMMISSIONER YAKI: And Commissioner
5	Melendez.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's true, but you
7	two have the greatest distance to cover.
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Three. Commissioner
9	Melendez, as well.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I said you two
11	have the greatest distance cover. I think it stands
12	as an accurate statement.
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: And Commissioner
14	Melendez makes three.
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It's actually harder
16	to fly out of Reno, than it is to fly out of San Diego
17	or San Francisco.
18	COMMISSIONER YAKI: It's much harder to
19	fly out of Reno. You have to fly backwards to fly
20	forwards.
21	(Laughter.)
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All right. Thank you
23	for the clarification.
24	COMMISSIONER YAKI: That's how the
25	Commission tends to work right now, so -
ı	l .

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. With that clarification, I think that you raise a good point. Ι think that the dates selected were selected with the -- well, I believe, and the General Counsel will correct me if I get it wrong, that these dates were selected to increase the probability that he something to report at the December in-person meeting. in any event, it is an imposition for those Commissioners who would like to participate, but I think that weighing all of the cost and benefits, this may be the best of some bad choices. COMMISSIONER YAKT: Τf Commissioner Heriot, Melendez, and I choose to require a charter jet, would that be -CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, you can ask. COMMISSIONER YAKI: And it will be denied, don't worry about it. We're more fiscally responsible than that, anyway. But I would request that we attempt to give not just notice, but timely, soon as is humanly possible, notice about changes, modifications, what have you, because once -for us, on the West Coast, we set things in motion,

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I support Commissioner Yaki's request, and I ask all the Commissioners to try

it becomes a production in order to get from A to B.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	to be flexible. Sometimes we dig our heels in, in
2	terms of our schedule. Having said that, though, I
3	support Commissioner Yaki's comments 100 percent.
4	Okay. Where are we, folks?
5	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Call the question
6	of approving the motion.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Heriot.
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano.
10	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Taylor.
12	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Aye.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki.
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez.
16	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: No.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow.
18	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And I support the
20	motion. All right. Mr. Taylor, would you provide us
21	with an update on the National Conference.
22	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Oh, yes. As you all
23	may recall, we formed a Subcommittee for purposes of
24	providing the Chair with some input on his proposal in
25	terms of timing and substance of our National

Conference, and to ensure that we had the -- created an opportunity for participation from both sides of the aisle, in terms of the Subcommittee. I think it's fair to say that, at this point, it does not appear that the Subcommittee will produce any changes to the Chairman's initial recommendation, but having said that, I think the process of forming a Subcommittee was a good idea, and a worthwhile effort. But, substantively, I think we are right back to where we started, Mr. Chair, and that is your initial vision, and recommendation. So, with that, I would actually turn it back to you, unless Mr. Yaki, who as a member of the Subcommittee, has anything to add in terms of changes.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Yaki declined to the Subcommittee, in as you know, because Commissioner Thernstrom was not allowed participate, as well. Being the two dissenting Commissioners of different points of view, one Democrat, one Republican, we, nevertheless, felt that a more bipartisan spirit needed to be shown in the formation of the Subcommittee. That being not the case, and with other certain statements made -

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Could you elaborate on the lack of bipartisanship?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER YAKI: With other certain statements made by the Chair during the time, we - CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm not in a position to endorse what I thought was a faulty proposition going forward, so that's where we are. As you say, back to where we were, which is exactly where I thought we were going to be, given the way in which the Subcommittee's charter was ordered by the Chair.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Let me state for the record that by adding Commissioner Yaki to the Subcommittee, and given his demonstrated ability to impact the process, be quick on his feet, and articulate views of himself and others very clearly, I thought that adding him to the Subcommittee was a good idea, and I thought it allowed meaningful input on a bipartisan basis.

thank you very much for the compliments, Commissioner Taylor. They're always welcome, but I would say that the mere fact that the Subcommittee, which I chose not to participate in, met and decided not to make any changes, and did not then seek any other input, just reinforces my point, no offense to how you run your work. So, let's just move forward, and agree that

1 we're going to disagree on this until it happens, or 2 if it happens. 3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All right. 4 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Is there another 5 Subcommittee you want me to participate in? 6 (Laughter.) 7 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'd like to move to 8 amend the agenda. 9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. What do you 10 have in mind? 11 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I want to move up the 12 discussion on Item 4 to right now. 13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Is there a second? 14 15 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Second. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? Would you 16 17 care to -- I mean, is there reason? 18 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes. I think that 19 certainly this is something that has been discussed in 20 more than one meeting, to put it below the State 21 Advisory Committees is, I think, not -- I think any 22 more than we change the agenda around to move any of 23 the other items around, I think that this 24 discussion item that can be taken care of rather 25 quickly, and I'd just like to get it done.

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I think that 2 certain Commissioners -this item is there 3 important to certain Commissioners who may be tempted 4 to deny us a quorum, and by putting it at the end of 5 the agenda increases the probability that they will 6 stick around. 7 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, you know what, 8 Mr. Chair, that is an interesting reading of what it 9 is I'm trying to do. 10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, actually -11 COMMISSIONER YAKI: But the fact -12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: -- I wasn't referring 13 to you. COMMISSIONER YAKI: But the fact of the 14 15 matter is, is that -- of course you were. But the 16 fact of the matter is, is that -- and I take no 17 offense at that. Since something of such importance 18 to you was elevated to the first priority, I just 19 something that, is minuscule wanted to me, а 20 ministerial duty, could be taken up. But if you don't 21 want to, fine, let's just keep on rolling. 22 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. 23 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Excuse 24 This is Commissioner Melendez. I have another Chair.

commitment at 1:00 your time, so I only can be on for

1 another half hour, about 10 minutes to get to 2 other meeting, so I just wanted to let you know. I just have 3 has nothing to do with breaking quorum. 4 to leave in a half hour. 5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez, 6 is this an important issue to you, the motion to 7 permit the Commissioner's Special Assistant to join 8 Commissioners on the line for telephonic meetings? 9 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: It.'s my 10 position is, it's fine. If you want to know 11 position now, I don't have a -- when Richard was here, 12 I didn't have a problem with him being on the line. 13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. 14 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: For the reason 15 stated, I'd prefer to keep it in the agenda where it 16 Commissioners who at this very meeting walked out to deny a quorum -17 18 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All right. Let's --19 We're going to keep it where it is. okay. On 20 October -21 COMMISSIONER YAKI: You keep on talking, 22 1:00 is going to come and go, Commissioner Gaziano. 23 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We'll have Pete 24 still on the line.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Okay. Whoa, On October 12th, the Staff Director sent whoa, whoa. us a list of potential 2010 briefing topics ranked in accordance with the preferences of the Commissioners. On October 16^{th} , at the October 16th meeting approval of the topics for postponed the It was decided that project proposals would briefing. be prepared for the three topics that had greatest support based on the consensus that developed at the October 16th meeting. These topics were -- the first one is racially and religiously-based incidents at U.S. college and university campuses. The second. employment discrimination on the basis of age, in the context of the economic crisis. And the third, regulatory and other barriers to entrepreneurship that impede business startups.

I'll provide a brief synopsis. I'll provide a synopsis of each proposal, and ask the primary sponsor of each proposal to elaborate further. And then we will vote to select the Concept Paper that will become the basis for a briefing in FY 2010, which will be held in the February to March time frame.

The first topic, racially and religiously-based incidents on U.S. college and university campuses, this is for a briefing that would address

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 the issue of racially and religiously-based incidents 2 on U.S. college and university campuses. 3 attempt to determine how frequently such incidents 4 occur, and examine the policies that administrators 5 have put into place to respond to such incidents. 6 The Commission would subsequently make 7 recommendations aimed at reducing the frequency and 8 severity of such incidents, and to quide social 9 scientists, hoping to gather better data on this 10 The sponsor, who I believe is Commissioner topic. 11 Taylor. Is that right? 12 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Correct. 13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Would you care elaborate, or is that sufficient? 14 15 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I think that's sufficient for discussion. 16 17 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Do we want to 18 go through the other two first, or do we want to have 19 discussion on this particular proposal now? COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'd kind of like to 20 21 get them all out so we can discuss them. 22 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. The second 23 topic is a proposal for a briefing on employment discrimination on the basis of age in the context of 24

the economic crisis, which was an idea circulated by

Commissioner Melendez, originally, and supported by Commissioner Kirsanow. This briefing would examine what impact the economic crisis has had on workers covered by the Age Discrimination In Employment Act. As part of this project, the Commission will explore whether older workers are less commonly employed than earlier years, as compared with their younger counterparts, whether related complaints and lawsuits increased during the recent economic crisis, other factors underlying any such entries, and how the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, which enforces the ADEA, has addressed such concerns. briefing will analyze these questions in light recent Supreme Court decisions governing the ADEA.

Commissioner Kirsanow, would you care to elaborate on this topic, or is that description sufficient?

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: The description I think, substantially sufficient. I'd just like say that the Gross case appears to be important one, and it's one that Ι believe is currently subject to some deliberations in Congress to amend certain legislative provisions so that Gross would be effectively overturned. But I think that this is a topic that is timely, and it's one that the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 the last number of years. 3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. And the third 4 topic is for a briefing that would examine 5 regulatory, financial, contracting, and 6 other barriers that negatively impact smaller, less 7 experienced business enterprises, especially the 8 burden that excessive or unnecessary regulation places 9 on would-be entrepreneurs. The briefing will focus 10 particularly on barriers that are discriminatory, or 11 that have a disparate impact on startup minority 12 firms. 13 Vice Chair Thernstrom, this is her 14 She is not here to elaborate on it. Ιs 15 there anyone here who would like to comment on this 16 proposal? 17 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Well, I just want 18 to say that I -REYNOLDS: 19 CHAIRMAN In Vice Chair 20 Thernstrom's stead? 21 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes. I think all 22 three proposals are well done, and I hope that we can 23 advance, whichever ones we don't choose, that we can 24 keep on the top of the list. I certainly think that 25 this one is important and worthy, and I'm aware of

Commission really hasn't studied in great detail in

several state SACs who have taken up and studied this issue, and that that's one advantage to sort of drawing on their work, and figuring out what we can add at the Commission level.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All right. So, with the understanding that this vote does not mean that the proposals not selected are eliminated. Are we ready to vote?

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: This is Commissioner Melendez. Can somebody comment on the first one, because I know we did one similar on discrimination, and some of that. So, can somebody say a little bit about the first one?

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We're having trouble with that mic.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Commissioner Melendez, this is Commissioner Taylor. And the emphasis would be placed on really both racial and religious discrimination, and the targeting of certain groups on college campuses. We make reference to in the Concept Paper, the 2007 incident at the U.S. Coast Guard Academy, where some cadets reported finding nooses among their belongings, and the incident in the same year at the University of Maryland where a noose was found outside of an African American Cultural

Center. But we would also explore the incidents of reverse racism, as they may be reported.

One of the things we noted in the Concept Paper is that there appears to be a lot of information and purported data provided by interest groups, but little information from what I would describe objective sources, so that's one of the reasons that this Concept Paper was suggested. And it was also examine all suggested again to aspects of discrimination, and not pay short shrift to any of So, that is the thought.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes. I just wanted to say that I appreciate the work that Commissioner Taylor did on my original proposal, which now, apparently, is Commissioner Taylor's proposal. But -

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I co-opted ideas.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: As it works out, as with everything in this Commission lately, this has deviated substantially from where I wanted to go. The offhand remark about interest groups having less reliable data will remain, to me, salient, as we examine everyone who comes and testifies before us in the future, about all data that they present, because I find that to be highly objectionable and offensive

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

characterization of groups who come before us, whether they're on the left, right, center, whatever.

That being the case, because this has turned from what Ι thought would be sober examination of increases in hate speech, and race-type And, again, as this points out, maybe the idea speech codes, whatever, we can deal with that later, but just documenting what I believe is, without question, an increase in racism on college campuses, where people use the Martin Luther King, Jr. holiday to denigrate Blacks and African Americans in this country, where Latinos are mocked in various ways. wanted to -- I think part of our job is to bring forth and raise the level of consciousness of this country on these things, and now in the name of whatever it is, we're turning it into an issue of well, you know, kids will be kids, there are speech codes that don't work, whatever. I don't care. The fact is that what it that's creating this climate of intolerance? What is there that's provoking this rise in this type of activity, and this type of verbiage.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I have the answer.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: So, the fact is, is that as much as I had loved this project, as it goes

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 mind to support it going forward. 3 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Let me say that I 4 don't disagree with a lot of what Commissioner Yaki 5 has said. I would disagree as to how he characterized 6 my remarks about the interest groups on all sides of 7 these issues, since I tend to be skeptical when people present any information to me. I don't care where it 8 9 But I'm not opposed to, what I heard was comes from. 10 a narrowing of the focus of the briefing proposal. 11 doesn't do any violence to the concept, 12 whatsoever, so I took that as constructive criticism 13 in an effort to improve the product, and I think it 14 could do so. 15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this point, 16 what I'd like to do is just call out each topic, and 17 see which Commissioners support which topics, and then 18 we'll tally the votes. 19 First up, racially and religiously-based 20 incidents on college and university campuses. 21 supports -22 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: What do you mean 23 support? 24 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: That's the first 25 topic?

forward, I am less inclined, and have not made up my

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I'm -
2	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Who would regard
3	that as the first choice?
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. As I said, this
5	is not to eliminate the other two from future
6	consideration, but to pick one of the three for the,
7	what is it, February-March time frame.
8	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: You're taking a
9	sort of poll, and then we'll formulate a motion based
10	on that poll. Correct?
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, in a sense.
12	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Chair, we have
13	invested a considerable amount of time and energy in
14	all these three topics. I would be much more
15	comfortable with the whatever. Forget it. Just do
16	what you're going to do.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Racially and
18	religiously-based incidents on U.S. college and
19	university campuses. Who supports it as the first
20	topic to be considered?
21	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I vote for my
22	proposal.
23	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I vote for it, too.
24	I'm not sure. I mean, I don't have strong feelings on
25	this.

1 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I think I do, but 2 subject to persuasion. 3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I need you to sort of 4 like -5 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Chair, why don't 6 you simply ask of the three topics, is there a motion 7 to have one be the first briefing topic in February. 8 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I think the method 9 that the Chairman is using is much better to figure 10 out which one really does have the most support, and 11 then we'll have a motion, because otherwise it's just 12 a shot in the dark. 13 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, are we -- well, 14 the question is, are we allowed multiple votes then? 15 Like we all, like we like this one, and that one, and that one? 16 17 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No. 18 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We're just trying to 19 figure out people's first choice. 20 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, then my motion 21 would be simpler, which is just of the three, which 22 one would -23 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's 24 continue down the road that we were on, despite your 25 superior approach. Next up -

1 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Do we have 2 Commissioner Melendez' and your input? CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez. 3 4 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I'm voting for 5 I think that one of our directives is to look mine. 6 at race, color, religion, sex, age, and disability. 7 And I know there has been a lot of work on race, and 8 all those other things, but I think that we need to 9 look at age, at some point because the economy and the 10 impact of that, so I think it's something that we 11 could all support, try to find something that wasn't 12 real controversial for one thing, that bipartisan, we 13 could move forward with something that we're all 14 behind, so that's why I suggested it in the first 15 place, so I have to support it. 16 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki. 17 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I make a motion that 18 employment discrimination as the first we do the 19 topic. 20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Got you down -21 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We're taking our 22 poll. 23 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. You're down, I 24 have Yaki.

1 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Ι made motion. 2 Commissioner Melendez, do you second my motion to make employment discrimination the first topic? 3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow? 4 5 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes. 6 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: What's your favorite 7 topic? 8 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Well, geez, take a 9 I go with age discrimination. quess. 10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I will -- I 11 want to support Commissioner Yaki in his vote. 12 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I did, but I just 13 explain that I'm attracted, Commissioner 14 Melendez and others, to the age discrimination. 15 just didn't think it was quite fleshed out enough, to 16 me, and so if it comes up again, just studying, what 17 is it, BLM, I've got the initials wrong, data didn't 18 seem specific enough, to me. Commissioner Taylor's 19 little bit more definite, proposal seemed a 20 doable, but if there was a little bit more development 21 as to the methodology of the age discrimination, or if 22 there were studies that kind of nailed some of these 23 things down, then that might make it more appropriate

for -- to advance on our briefing schedule.

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. And my favorite
2	topic is the one that was recommended by Vice Chair
3	Thernstrom. However, I'm going to support
4	Commissioner Melendez' motion, only because he's a
5	gentleman, and he has caused me the least amount of
6	grief when I look at all of you.
7	(Laughter.)
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: There you have it.
9	That's the one that has garnered the most votes, so do
10	we need to have an official vote on this?
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No.
12	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry.
14	Commissioner Yaki said in response to my question?
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I said no.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. That's all
17	right.
18	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Well, we do
19	have to approve the Concept Paper, I believe.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: You're confusing me.
21	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: We have to
22	approve the Concept Paper, I think, so -
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioners
24	Melendez, Yaki, Kirsanow, and Reynolds voted in favor

1 of the employment discrimination on the basis of age, 2 in the context of an economic crisis proposal. 3 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Can I change my 4 vote with the understanding that it will be narrowed? 5 I want to be in the majority. 6 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Then I'll switch my 7 vote back. 8 (Laughter.) 9 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Because I'll be damned 10 if I'm not -11 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Let's just leave it 12 like it is, folks. Let's just leave it like it is. 13 Okay. 14 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Ιn the spirit 15 bipartisanship, go ahead, Commissioner Gaziano. Come 16 over to our side. 17 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I want the record 18 to reflect I'm happy with the choice of the majority, 19 Just that I think that if we could refine it a 20 little bit, it'll be an even more whiz bang briefing 21 than it otherwise will be. 22 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Well, have we 23 adopted -- we haven't adopted the Concept Paper. 24 COMMISSIONER YAKI: We just did.

1	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No, we just voted on
2	which was our favorite.
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: That's, essentially,
4	the same thing.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, folks. All
6	right. I move that -
7	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: They're
8	saying we should have a formal vote over here.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. The lawyers
10	have intervened once again. Okay. I move to adopt as
11	the first topic to be explored, the employment
12	discrimination on the basis of age in the context of
13	the economic crisis. Is there a second?
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: And to approve its
15	accompanying Concept Paper.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. Is there a
17	second?
18	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? Okay. Al
20	in favor please say aye.
21	(Chorus of ayes.)
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Pete?
23	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez.
25	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes.

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Unanimous vote. Will 2 reporters in the audience please take this down. Ιt 3 doesn't happen too often. 4 (Laughter.) 5 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Don't break out the 6 champagne yet, Mr. Chair. 7 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: You're about to change 8 your mind. Okay. Vice Chair Thernstrom has 9 recommended a change to recommendation number three in 10 the Title IX Briefing Report approved by Commissioners on September 11th, 2009. Commissioner Thernstrom is 11 12 not here to describe her amendment. She moves to --13 well, I'll make the motion on her behalf. I move to 14 eliminate the following language from Recommendation 15 Three of the Title IX Briefing Report, and the 16 following language is, "Accordingly, the model survey 17 method should be tailored to represent the interest of 18 both sexes." Is there a second? 19 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second. 20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Thank you. 21 Discussion? Yes? 22 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm voting against it, 23 because all the research that I have found, probably 24 from interest groups that have credibility no

according to Commissioner Taylor, show that the model

1	survey is not appropriate in any instance, anyway. As
2	I voted against the report, I will vote against -
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, this -
4	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Discussion.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: It's about the model
7	survey language, and the model survey -
8	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Striking it.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All right. Any
10	further discussion? Okay. Commissioner Heriot, how
11	do you vote?
12	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano.
14	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Taylor.
16	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Aye.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez.
18	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: No, I'm not sure.
19	No.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. And just to be
21	official, Commissioner Yaki?
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, since I'm
23	agnostic about anything that deals with a section
24	report that I don't support, anyway, I'm going to
25	abstain.
	NEAL B. CDCCC

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I vote to
2	support it. Commissioner Kirsanow.
3	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes. And I will
4	be departing from the conference effective right now.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. So, with that
6	information, I do believe it's time to turn to
7	Commissioner Yaki.
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: How so?
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: How do the numbers
10	stack up, folks?
11	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We've got six.
12	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Melendez
13	_
14	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I have to leave in
15	10 minutes.
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Another 10 minutes.
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Melendez,
18	who has to leave at 1, or may, for his convenience,
19	need to leave earlier, so the question is,
20	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Do we want to go
21	through this MEPA report?
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: The question is, can
23	we move to the motion regarding Commissioners' Special
24	Assistants, or shall we let Commissioner Melendez get

1 to his meeting, because the MEPA Briefing Report is 2 going to take more than 10 minutes of our time. 3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That is true. That is 4 true. 5 COMMISSIONER YAKI: So, the question is, 6 what else do you wish to accomplish on this agenda 7 before we lose quorum? 8 State Advisory Committee Issues 9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. How about this 10 as a compromise. Let's blow through the SACs. 11 have two SACs. Let's get through them, and deal with 12 Is that acceptable to the Special Assistants issue. 13 you? COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes. 14 15 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes, we voted. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We voted. 16 17 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Let's do it. 18 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Great State of 19 Iowa. Okay. Bear with me. Ι move that 20 Commission recharter the Iowa State Advisory Committee 21 under this motion. The Commission appoints 22 following individuals to that committee, based on the 23 recommendation of the Staff Director, George Neumann, 24 Nancy Boettger, Joe Coulter, Francesca Galarrage,

Kathleen Law, Sheryl Leonard, Isaiah McGee, Beverly

1 Kopper, Cynthia Miller, Leonard Sandler, Michael 2 Donald Racheter. I've mangled someone's Sandler, Pursuant Commission 3 name. to this motion, the 4 appoints George Neumann as Chair of the rechartered 5 Iowa State Advisory Committee. These members will 6 serve as uncompensated government employees. Under 7 the Commission authorizes this motion, the Staff 8 Director to execute the appropriate paperwork. Is 9 there a second? 10 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? 11 All in 12 favor, please say aye. (Chorus of yes.) 13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections? 14 15 COMMISSIONER YAKI: No. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez, 16 how do you vote? 17 18 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Abstain. 19 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow. 20 Oh, I'm sorry, he dropped off. The motion passes. I 21 also, just to be clear, voted in favor of the motion. 22 I move that the Commission recharter the 23 Massachusetts State Advisory Committee. Under this Commission appoints 24 motion, the the following 25 individuals committee to that based on the

recommendations of the Staff Director, David Harris,
Giovanni Alvarez, Negroti Robert, Ben Ezra, Karen
Blum, Gregory Coat, Martha Davis, Christopher Edwards,
Charles Glenn, Ronald Marlowe, Eva Malona, Alison
Finley, Elbert Roberston, David Schaeffer, Shivon
Sweeney, Greer Swisten, and Quoc Tran, and Angelia
Wackhere. Pursuant to this motion, the Commission
appoints David Harris as the Chair of the rechartered
Massachusetts State Advisory Committee. These
commissions will serve as uncompensated government
employees. Under the motion, the Commission
authorizes the Staff Director to execute the
appropriate paperwork. Is there a second?
COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? All in
favor, please say aye.
COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Aye.
COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Abstain.
COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Abstain.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. This is going
to be complicated.
COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No, I should state
for the record that concerns have been raised to me
that the roster is not politically balanced. I don't

know whether that's true or not personally, because I

haven't had the opportunity to review the list, so that's why I'm abstaining.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez.

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And I vote in favor of the motion, also. \\$

Next up is Commissioner Yaki's motions to allow Commission Special Assistants to call in on the same line as Commissioners during Commission meetings, thereby enabling the Special Assistants to respond to questions from Commissioners, if so requested. Commissioner Yaki, would you like to speak to your motion?:

Sums it up. The fact is, is that there's a strange dichotomy in that if you have a Special Assistant in the room at the time, there is the ability to ask a question of them to find some research, or provide some data on some point that you had, rather than trying to deal with the fact that delay with either a Blackberry or a phone, it's not meant to have the Special Assistant sit there and interrupt our work.

1 But mainly there to be a resource to us, should we 2 require it, and it's as simple as that. 3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Would you entertain a 4 friendly motion, basically, that prerogative would be 5 extended to Commissioners who are on the line? 6 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Versus? 7 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioners who are 8 not. 9 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Why? 10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well -11 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Why would you not be 12 able to -- I mean -- let me just give a stark example 13 If this is meant to simply intimidate me of this. leaving a conference because of the fact that 14 15 you have not secured five people for a vote on a 16 particular item, you may do so, and -17 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I do not believe that 18 we are capable of intimidating you. 19 COMMISSIONER YAKI: But the fact of the matter is, is that as what happened in the last time 20 21 that this happened, there occurred discussions on the 22 line that, nevertheless, remain -- are allowed for the 23 purposes of the Sunshine Act, so long as no action was taken. 24 That being the case, that discussion is going

on, and for whatever reason, if a Commissioner has to

leave for some family emergency, or what have you, the fact is that having a Special Assistant who can listen in on the conversations is equitable, because indeed, the objection is someone who is on the line may not be on the line, that rule somehow does not seem to extend if they happen to be in the same room here during a call that is being made. And that anomaly would just impel someone who knew they had to leave early, or knew that there was something going on, to send a Special Assistant to this office to sit in and listen to it. So, rather than deal with that, let's just face the reality of our Special Assistants should be allowed to listen in during all parts of the available for conference, and be questioning research on particular topics, as they go forward.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Just as they are now. leave the room, you do not ask my Special to leave the Ιf Commissioner Assistant room. Thernstrom is not here, we do not ask Mr. Fay to leave Why should we do telephone the room. SO on а conference?

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The reason is that certain Commissioners, mentioning no names to protect the guilty, have systematically attempted to deny

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

quorum to the Commission. And it seems to me that the motion that's being entertained right now would assist those unnamed Commissioners in gauging when they should come back on the line, to keep them abreast of what's going on.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Reynolds,

I think you're overstating things a bit, because
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki,

just allow me to finish.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Under different circumstances, I would have no problem with this. But with the games that we're playing, I'm not willing to support this.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: And I think. Mr. Chair, that you show your unwillingness to recognize the reality of the situation, which is that in that simple instance, I want to ensure that my Special Assistant is here the for in room every telephone conference meeting that you've scheduled, and, in which case, we -- which he has every right to do, and I won't instruct him to do so, that comes at considerably more expense to the Commission than if he were simply to participate on the Commissioner phone And, therefore, all you've done is really just

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

simply increase cost to this Commission, rather than
recognize the reality of the situation, which is
Commissioner Thernstrom is not here, and we are not
asking Mr. Fay to leave. If Commissioner Heriot is
not here, she's on the phone, we would not ask her
person to leave the room here.
COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Maybe we should.
COMMISSIONER YAKI: I mean, if you want to
apply a rule that if a Commissioner once a
Commissioner leaves a teleconference, their Special
Assistant, who may be in this room, or another room,
has to then depart -
COMMISSIONER HERIOT: These are open
meetings.
COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes, that's you can
do so, but I think that you're being petty and
ridiculous, and -
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Petty? Petty?
COMMISSIONER YAKI: I think you are being
petty and ridiculous.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Petty?
COMMISSIONER YAKI: Petty and ridiculous.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Geez.
COMMISSIONER YAKI: Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. When you attempt to deny us a quorum, I guess that is just grand strategy, and it's not being petty.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: If you wish for me to acknowledge the fact that your responsibility as a Chair to get the votes that you need to secure a majority vote on what you want, and my role in the minority is to do what I can to advance the causes that I represent, and the causes that I believe in, and if those causes are adversely effected by your ability to get majority votes, then what I do in within pursuance of that the law. and within parliamentary procedure, if describe you that petty, well, then let me just refer you to examples throughout, including what's going on right now with some parties deciding, regardless of what the issue is, they're going to vote lockstep with zero defectors on certain types of legislation in order to force the other party to deal with it. So, we can deal with this all you want. If you want to call it petty, then call it petty. To me, this is my role here -

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: You're well within your rights to do this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 COMMISSIONER YAKI: And you are well 2 within your right to get your five votes to get things done, and I can do nothing to prevent that. 3 4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I concede that 5 you are well within your rights to do this. I do not 6 believe that you have violated, implicated any rule. 7 But, in any event -8 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Mr. 9 Chairman, it was just pointed out to me that 10 motion that the Vice Chair proposed on the Title IX 11 Report had a second part to it, and there's a request 12 that we could add that second part to the motion that 13 And what that second part would be, was adopted. 14 would be that a copy of the model survey be included 15 in the appendix of the final report on Title IX. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: 16 I will second that 17 motion. 18 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: thought Ι we 19 already approved the whole motion. 20 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Well, 21 read the motion as one sentence, previously, about 22 deleting the one sentence. 23 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Can we take a five 24 minute break?

1	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Can we vote on the
2	Thernstrom thing, first?
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. This may
4	benefit you -
5	(Whereupon, the proceedings went off the
6	record at 12:58:06 p.m., and went back on the record
7	at 12:59:43 p.m.)
8	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I think we Ms.
9	Heriot and I want to speak.
LO	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Let's finish this.
L1	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay.
L2	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm speaking on what
L3	issue now?
L 4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki's
L5	proposal.
L 6	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay. I guess my
L7	view is that under normal circumstances, I would think
L 8	of this as perfectly appropriate. But we do have a
L 9	problem with some members purposely defeating quorums
20	on a routine basis. In truth, I might not object to
21	that, if it were reserved only for really, really,
22	really important issues, but that's not the case.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Right.
24	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: What happens here is
25	that it's routine. And, therefore, I'm not willing to

do something that will facilitate that. I think that the analogy to the live meetings is inappropriate. These meetings are open to the public. Of course, they're open to the Special Assistants. What we're talking about here, we're not talking about allowing the Special Assistants to be on the call. We're not allowing them to be on the inner call. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes, let me just I think that Commissioner Yaki may have jump ahead. made a point, and I don't want him to encourage his Special Assistant to expend a lot of money to be here just to take advantage of some rule. I suggest that we -- actually, if we have an inner Commissioner call, that we do not have anyone other than Commissioners and Special Assistants who are on board, so we make sure that the phone setup is such, so that Special Assistants who are here, whose Commissioners are not on the call, cannot be in on the inner call. And then we make it even Steven, whether you're -COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, I've got no problem with that. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: -- participating. I would support this motion if -CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is that a friendly

amendment?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Commissioner
2	Yaki would that's my suggestion, if this motion
3	fails. But I would support this motion if
4	Commissioner Yaki were either to have accepted your
5	friendly amendment, or to give us word that he would
6	not routinely try to defeat quorum on routine matters.
7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Let the reflect
8	Commissioner Yaki is laughing.
9	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Please don't
10	interrupt.
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Heriot is
12	a great tattletale, isn't she?
13	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Please don't
14	interrupt.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Hold it. I
16	have my rubber mallet. Watch it.
17	(Laughter.)
18	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: It has been thrown
19	around these meetings that defeating quorum is within
20	rights. Whether it is or not, I think it is improper.
21	I think it is improper when someone, the tax payers
22	have paid to fly Commissioners here, for them to
23	engage in that routine tactic, and I don't want to
24	encourage it. But if the motion were amended to

accept the Chairman's amendment, I would be happy with

it. Otherwise, I think that the inner call should not include Commissioner Assistants who are -- whose Commissioners are not on the call, whether they be in person, or they be on the phone.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, I just find it humorous that the previous speaker chooses to characterize what I do as a routine. is Ιt not routine, at all. The fact of the matter is, is that now the majority chooses to order its agenda in a way that it seeks to be most advantageous for the most controversial portions of the agenda to be done in a way because they fear exactly what they claim that I'm going to do, which is to deal with some of these issues by, essentially, creating the situation where the Commission cannot deal with it.

The idea that this is routine is If you were to look at any instance in laughable. which quorum was lacking, you would see there would be some issue that certainly, may be -- perhaps, may be routine to you, may not be routine to me, or to the people and the causes that I seek to represent on this So, you may call it routine. daresay I would have a very big quarrel with that, as would be the people who I care about, and who

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

believe are not being represented well at the United
States Commission on Civil Rights. And I would say,
further, that to attempt to extract from me some kind
of promise of going along with the program in exchange
for something as really routine, and in some ways
trivial, as just allowing Special Assistants to be
part of the proceeding, as they would be at any public
meeting. And let us not forget, it's interesting the
characterization that Commissioner Heriot made between
this meeting and a teleconference, because the very
reason why I vehemently oppose all these
teleconferences is because they do not have the same
character as a public meeting of the Commission. The
public cannot so readily, and so easily attend and see
what is going on, versus just simply calling in.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki, you
make your -
COMMISSIONER YAKI: So, we can -
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: views perfectly
clear.
COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Reynolds
_
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The value of
teleconferences and I just want to stick with -

1 COMMISSIONER YAKI: But the whole point of 2 this is teleconferences, because the idea that somehow 3 they're -4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: It's not. 5 COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, the idea that 6 there is a distinction between a conference of the 7 Commission via telephone, and a conference of Commission in person, where -8 9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Next? 10 COMMISSIONER YAKI: -unless you're 11 saying we're going to adopt a rule that when Mr. 12 Taylor, who is not here, or may get off the phone, Kim 13 has to jump out of the room, or Vice Chair Thernstrom 14 is not here, he has to jump put of the room. 15 you apply that to all meetings, then this is simply 16 just silly, and it's cutting off your nose to spite 17 your face. 18 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I would hope -19 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Let's just proceed 20 with the vote. 21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I would hope -22 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I mean, it is clear 23 that whatever I'm doing is beginning to chafe you, and

that pleases me to no end.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I'm happy to make you happy.

(Laughter.)

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I would hope that understands that the respective parties, major parties will someday be in the minority. would hope that people would understand that being in the minority has consequences. But, at the same time, opportunity to represent everyone has an positions, to argue their positions passionately, and to understand that this Democratic process, you don't win all the time. And in the not too distant future, the Republicans will be in the minority, and I would hope that we would make forceful arguments, that we would allow votes to take place, and that we would not routinely deny quorum because it looks like we were going to lose.

I don't think that it is a healthy way to do business. It doesn't do anything in terms of trying to improve our relationships. It's just -- I think that there's a better way of doing business.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Reynolds,

I agree with you. I absolutely agree, 100 percent.

And if you notice -

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	COMMISSIONER YAKI: during the first
2	three and a half years -
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki -
4	COMMISSIONER YAKI: of my being on this
5	Commission, this was not an issue.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki -
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Was it? Let me ask
8	you that.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: But -
10	COMMISSIONER YAKI: On the record, for the
11	first three and a half -
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: What we've been doing
13	a good job of, is allowing the Commissioner who is
14	speaking to finish.
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm sorry.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We've been doing a
17	great job.
18	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I apologize for that.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I understand if there
20	is an issue that is a core principle. I understand if
21	it's an issue that you just can't bring yourself to
22	participate in the conversation. I get it. But
23	oftentimes, we're not talking about that type of
24	situation.

1 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Give me an example, 2 Mr. Chair, please. Please tell me a point on the 3 agenda where -4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Fine. We did 5 not add a single new item to the agenda. 6 so everything that rearranged, was going be 7 discussed, that we had planned to discuss, it was on 8 the agenda. 9 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Did you discuss it? 10 Was it discussed? 11 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Hear me out. You 12 threatened -- well, you didn't threaten, you denied us 13 a quorum because you didn't like the way the agenda was organized. 14 15 COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, I didn't like -CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I consider that -16 17 COMMISSIONER YAKI: That's erroneous. 18 CHAIRMAN **REYNOLDS:** Okay. Maybe Ι 19 misunderstood what happened. Okay. 20 COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, I -- I mean, the 21 fact is, is that there were items on that agenda, with 22 which I vehemently disagree, which I believe are being 23 -- are a misuse and abuse of the Commission process. 24 That is my feeling. But let me just say this in 25

reference to a previous remark you made.

For three and а half years this on Commission, I acted with -- in a very bipartisan way with Commissioner Braceras. Think about it. about the committees I served on with Commissioner Braceras, Commissioner Taylor in the past, Commissioner Redenbaugh, others. That -- if there has been a change, Mr. Chair, it is up to you and try and ascertain, which you have yet to do, why that is the And the fact of the matter is, is that if and when this Commission changes in terms of composition, it is my fervent hope that whatever job the new Chair does or does not do, will be done in a way so as to prevent the kind of tactics that I have been forced to engage in, because of the way that the Commission now operates.

If you were to seek out and call me up, which you have not done in a long time, to discuss this in some detail -- in fact, the last time that we did talk was about precisely some of these issues, which then never manifested themselves. We could -this might be different. But that is not the case. Everyone adapt has to to new and changed circumstances. I am doing that, you are doing that, and it is -- we have a difference of opinion as to whether or not what I choose to do -- and let me tell

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

you this, I am not the one who is not here that causes a quorum problem. Let's put that out there right now.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No, you were the one -COMMISSIONER YAKI: But Ι am not the person who is absent that causes the quorum problem. If you have quorum, I am here. If you have the ability to get your five folks together, I am here, and will participate, as I have done today. But it is not my responsibility, as I said to you before, for each and every Commissioner's desire to show up, not show up, to participate, or not participate. Mv clear choice, because, as you know, I enjoy doing this, is to participate. The only reason I do not, is things because of how have been going Commission lately.

We can discuss that offline, if you wish, but, certainly, do not think for a moment that I do this in any way, cavalier, petty, or casual fashion. It is quite the contrary, Mr. Chair. I will always be here when there is a quorum. I have one of the best attendance records of Commissioners here, and this is a Commission that I passionately believe in. And the causes that I believe I'm here to represent, I passionately believe in, as well. So, that being the case, here we are.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. We do agree 2 that we have a difference of opinion. In my view, it 3 is improper to flex your muscle, and deny us a quorum 4 unless it's an important issue. 5 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, we agree 6 We define important differently, I suppose. 7 We do. 8 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I think we've lost 9 quorum recently on SAC recharterings. We've lost 10 quorum on -11 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. We have one 12 item left to vote on, I believe. Could someone remind 13 -- oh, Special Assistants. That's what I'd like to 14 do. Okay. 15 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Can I just let the 16 record reflect what the vote is on. It's not that 17 Special Assistants can come and go, whether it's 18 teleconference or not teleconference, live or on the 19 phone, when we are actually meeting in a quorum. The 20 only issue we're voting on is during times when the 21 Commissioners are in sub-conference, telephone а 22 conference waiting for an official meeting to begin, 23 who shall be on the line. 24 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Point of parliamentary

privilege to the General Counsel. Let me bring up the

situation that happened at the last conference. Αt the last conference, quorum was lost; nevertheless, discussion continued on the Title IX report. instance, should discussion have ceased? Because a discussion did continue, and there was interchange with Mr. Lerner about aspects of the report, and the discovery process What therein. then is the it characterization of that meeting? Is conference", which everyone should be kicked out? it just Commissioners casually chatting? That has no bearing, because that's significantly different than the way it was carried out at the last conference, as I have been reported by those who were there.

MR. BLACKWOOD: Again, this is David Blackwood. They are discussions. There's nothing that prohibits such discussions. No binding decisions can come out of any discussions that are not held when a quorum exists.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: So, in that case, the

I guess I am at a loss to understand the

distinction, because if, for example, we're in a

hearing, and we have been at hearings where there have

been two Commissioners. I think that when we did

Nebraska, there were only three. It was Commissioner

Melendez, myself, and Commissioner Taylor. It was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

clear at that point we lacked quorum to make a decision; nevertheless, we still continued on, and it was a public meeting.

MR. BLACKWOOD: Correct.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: So, how do we distinguish between that and what you're talking about, if they are, indeed, having conversations with with potential witnesses, staff, or or outside consultants, that other Commissioners are not privy How do we make that distinction?

MR. BLACKWOOD: Number one, the hearing that you all -- that was held in Nebraska, and I was there, as well, was collecting information. No motion was being voted on. You don't need a quorum to do it. It had been previously authorized by the Commission, so, therefore, it could occur.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay.

MR. BLACKWOOD: In fact, no Commissioners could have been there, and still it could have occurred. Not much would have happened. That said, all right. If the quorum ends at a meeting like this, and Commissioners want to talk among themselves, so be it. What they could have also done is said let's go offline, stayed right here and just chatted among themselves, and no one would have thought about it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

What they can't do is direct, or pass a motion, 2 anything like that. So, whether the discussion is 3 still online, of it was a telephone meeting and they 4 continued to talk among each other, because it was 5 simply easier to talk on an existing open line, so be 6 They simply can't order directives. That's the 7 only difference. 8 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Still it's rather 9 But hey, whatever you want to do, confusing. 10 Chair, I'm -- I simply was trying to make things more 11 efficient and fair. If you choose not to do that, 12 that's fine with me. Let's just get it over with, and 13 get out of here. 14 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: 15 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: What are we voting 16 on, again? 17 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki's 18 motion. 19 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Do we need to vote 20 on it? 21 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I want to vote on it. 22 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: He didn't withdraw it. 23 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm not 24 withdraw.

1	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Describe the motion
2	again. Is it only limited to telephone meetings when
3	we are when a quorum is not even relevant, because
4	we're in a Subcommittee context, so no decisions are
5	being made. The public, unlike a live meeting, can't
6	hear, because that portion of the mic hasn't been
7	turned on. The question is whether Special Assistants
8	can participate in that discussion, which is not
9	relevant to whether or not we have a quorum.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: When their principal
11	is not on the line, or present.
12	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Correct.
13	MR. BLACKWOOD: I believe there's one
14	other scenario, which is if you went into Executive
15	Session, and say all right, in this particular
16	instance, Commissioner Thernstrom is not on, would Mr.
17	Fay be allowed to listen in on an Executive Session,
18	that all the other Special Assistants were able to
19	listen to. Because, as I understand it, there are two
20	it's a bifurcated telephone system.
21	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: That's right.
22	MR. BLACKWOOD: So, would Mr. Fay be able
23	to listen to that?
24	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: The question is

would we bring them onto the Commissioner lines, which

1 are always live, versus keeping them on the public 2 side. 3 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We're unlikely to 4 go into Executive Session on the telephone. 5 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Is that the issue? 6 MR. BLACKWOOD: It is a possibility. 7 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We can leave that 8 issue open for -9 COMMISSIONER YAKI: We have done Executive 10 Session on the telephone, and given what we approve today, the likelihood of it possibly coming up again 11 12 is very high, I would suppose. If the General Counsel 13 needed to talk to the Commission regarding, especially 14 Item One when we went into Executive Session, it does 15 raise a very important issue. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I would vote at 16 17 that time to allow Special Assistants to listen in, 18 whether their Commissioner, if we were in Executive 19 but regular proceeding, for all Session, 20 Assistants to listen in on regardless, so that would 21 be my position when that issue comes up. 22 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So, that means we 23 need to amend the motion. Right? 24 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No, we could do that 25 later.

1	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Have fun.
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Are we ready to vote?
3	All in favor please say aye.
4	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
	_
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Abstentions.
6	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Taylor.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Objections?
8	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: No.
9	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No. Although, I
10	would be happy to reconsider my vote -
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Three nos.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: if Commissioner
13	Yaki were to commit to stop his routine walking out of
14	meetings.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's adjourn
16	before there is a response. The rubber mallet is
17	coming down.
18	(Laughter.)
19	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'll get my response
20	in at the next meeting.
21	(Whereupon, the proceedings went off the
22	record at 1:19:23 p.m.)
23	