U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

+ + + + +

COMMISSION MEETING

+ + + + +

FRIDAY, JULY 11, 2008

+ + + + +

The meeting convened in Room 540 at 624 Ninth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. at 9:30 a.m., Gerald A. Reynolds, Chairman, presiding.

PRESENT:

GERALD A. REYNOLDS, Chairman

ABIGAIL THERNSTROM, Vice Chairman

TODD F. GAZIANO, Commissioner

GAIL L. HERIOT, Commissioner

PETER N. KIRSANOW, Commissioner (via telephone)

ARLAN D. MELENDEZ, Commissioner

MICHAEL YAKI, Commissioner

MARTIN DANNENFELSER, Staff Director

STAFF PRESENT:

HYGIN U. AGUZIE

DAVID BLACKWOOD, General Counsel

CHRISTOPHER BYRNES, Chief, Programs Coordination Unit MARGARET BUTLER

DEBRA CARR, Associate Deputy Staff Director

CHRISTINA CATAPANAG (Intern)

DEMITRIA DEAS

PAMELA A. DUNSTON, Chief, Admin. Services and Clearinghouse Division

LATRICE FOSHEE

BRITNEY GALLOWAY, (Intern)

MICHAEL JOHNSON, (Intern)

MEGAN KEMP, (Intern)

MONICA KIBLER

ROBERT LERNER, Assistant Staff Director for OCRE

DORIS MANSFIELD, (Intern)

TINALOUISE MARTIN, Director of Management

EMMA MONROIG, Solicitor

LENORE OSTROWSKY

ANDREW SCHWARTZ (Intern)

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

STAFF PRESENT CONTINUED:

JAMAL SOLTOBAEVA, (Intern)
KIMBERLY TOLHURST
RASHAWNA WILLIAMS, (Intern)
AUDREY WRIGHT

COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

TIM FAY
DOMINIQUE LUDVIGSON
RICHARD SCHMECHEL
KIMBERLY SCHULD

NEAL R. GROSS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
I.	Approval of Agenda 5
II.	Approval of Minutes of June 6, 2008 Meeting
III.	Staff Director's Report
IV.	Motion for Executive Session
V.	Management and Operations:
	Status of FY 2008 Budget
VI.	Program Planning:
	2009 Program Planning/2010 Program Planning 66 Briefing Report on Racial Categorization in the Census
VII.	State Advisory Committee Issues:
	Arkansas SAC
XI.	Adjourn

NEAL R. GROSS

4 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (9:33 a.m.)The meeting will come 3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: to order. 4 5 This is a meeting of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights on July 11, 2008. 6 The meeting is 7 being held at 624 Ninth Street, N.W., Room 540, 8 Washington, D.C. 9 The Commissioners present are Vice Chair Thernstrom, Commissioners Yaki, Melendez, Heriot --10 COMMISSIONER YAKI: 11 Here oh, you 12 weren't calling roll. Sorry. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: 13 -- Gaziano, who are here physically, and Commissioner Kirsanow, who is 14 participating by phone. 15 16 Pete, are you there? 17 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Here. 18 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Hey, Pete. 19 Morning. 20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. 21 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Was he there? 22 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. Pete? 23 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.

NEAL R. GROSS

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Wake up, Pete.

up.

24

25

Wake

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. The first item
2	on the agenda is the approval of the agenda.
3	I. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I move that we approve
5	the agenda. Is there a second?
6	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Actually I have a
7	motion to amend that agenda.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. We have a
9	motion to approve the agenda I'm sorry to amend
LO	the agenda. I second it.
L1	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I need to say what
L2	the motion is first.
L3	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, Gerry.
L4	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You obviously want
L5	to get out of here really quickly.
L6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: This is Todd's doing.
L7	Okay.
L8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I move that we amend
L9	the agenda to include a discussion of the ABA
20	documents in the possession of the Department of
21	Education in connection with its pending renewal of
22	the ABA's accreditation authority.
23	I would like at the appropriate time, if
24	we amend the agenda, to make a motion that we would
25	have access to those documents. So I move to amend

1	the agenda to include an item I think as a substitute
2	or, rather, before Item 9, Future Agenda Items; that
3	we discuss those ABA documents with an eye towards a
4	letter to the Department of Education seeking access
5	to those documents.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Second?
7	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
9	(No response.)
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor please
11	say aye.
12	(Chorus of ayes.)
13	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Hold on a second.
14	I'm looking.
15	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I have another
16	item to add to the agenda. Would I do that after this
17	motion
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: or before?
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: After.
21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Did we have a chance
22	for discussion there?
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I thought you
24	wanted to discuss
25	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: No, no.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay. If nobody
2	wants to discuss it, I'm happy.
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I do.
4	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Right now we're
5	just discussing whether to add it to our agenda,
6	right?
7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, that's right.
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, that's what I
9	was going to address. What is your urgency of the
10	timing of putting it on the agenda at this time?
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Why are you looking at
12	me?
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Because you're the
14	Chair and you always look at the Chair.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: The rules of protocol
17	are that I look at the Chair, and then the Chair
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Now the Chair
19	is going to look at Gail.
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Exactly.
21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Because these
22	documents are there at the Department of Education and
23	I have time to look at them in the next month or so,
24	and so I would like to be able to do that sooner
25	rather than later.

1	The ultimate action that the Commission
2	might take would be to add a briefing report or
3	perhaps even a statutory report in the future, but I
4	think it's important to look at the documents now, and
5	since it's fairly noncontroversial. Looking at
6	documents is not a big deal.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any other discussion?
8	(No response.)
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor please
LO	say aye.
L1	(Chorus of ayes.)
L2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Those Commissioners
L3	who voted against the motion?
L4	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Abstain. I just
L5	don't know enough about it.
L6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
L7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: This is just to put
L8	it on the agenda.
L9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And, Michael, you're
20	voting?
21	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Voting no.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All right. So
23	let the record reflect that Commissioner Yaki votes
24	against the motion.
25	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Which way does Pete

1	vote?
2	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Could I?
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Oh, good, good.
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez
5	abstains. The remaining Commissioners vote in favor
6	of the motion. So it passes.
7	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Except for
8	Commissioner Taylor who is not present.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, yes.
10	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay.
11	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: That just
12	means it's on the agenda.
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay.
14	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: We will get to the
15	substance, I assume.
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Where was I?
18	COMMISSIONER YAKI: You were still on the
19	agenda.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
21	COMMISSIONER YAKI: And you were going to
22	recognize Commissioner Melendez.
23	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Commissioner
24	Melendez has a motion.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez,

1	would you like to make a motion?
2	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes. I have a
3	motion to add to the agenda an issue that we had
4	talked about before, reevaluating the agency AI on the
5	order of precedence now that we have a new Staff
6	Director and that discussion as to who is in charge
7	should the Staff Director not be available, and I
8	think that discussion needs to be decided or looked at
9	again, based on the fact that Debra Carr's position
10	wasn't even created at the time that last AI was
11	actually written.
12	So it has to do with who supervises whom
13	and who has authority to do so. So I think we need to
14	discuss that.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, and where on the
16	agenda would you like it to go?
17	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: It doesn't matter
18	where. Wherever you think it might fit as the Chair
19	would be fine.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. If that's the
21	case, then I'll just put it right after the discussion
22	on the ABA.
23	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: It's really
24	Management and Operations, isn't it, Gerry?
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: It is. Okay. Okay.

1	Any other motions to amend the agenda?
2	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Unless the Chair is
3	going to do so, I'd move to amend Section 6 of the
4	agenda to include a discussion of FY 2009 program
5	planning.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Is there a
7	second?
8	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
10	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: What do we do
11	with
12	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: You didn't vote on
13	the Melendez motion.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, okay. Let's
14 15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, okay. Let's slow down here. We have to vote on Commissioner
15	slow down here. We have to vote on Commissioner
15 16	slow down here. We have to vote on Commissioner Melendez's motion. All in favor of the motion please
15 16 17	slow down here. We have to vote on Commissioner Melendez's motion. All in favor of the motion please say aye.
15 16 17 18	slow down here. We have to vote on Commissioner Melendez's motion. All in favor of the motion please say aye. (Chorus of ayes.)
15 16 17 18	slow down here. We have to vote on Commissioner Melendez's motion. All in favor of the motion please say aye. (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any votes in the
15 16 17 18 19 20	slow down here. We have to vote on Commissioner Melendez's motion. All in favor of the motion please say aye. (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any votes in the negative?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	slow down here. We have to vote on Commissioner Melendez's motion. All in favor of the motion please say aye. (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any votes in the negative? (No response.)
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	slow down here. We have to vote on Commissioner Melendez's motion. All in favor of the motion please say aye. (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any votes in the negative? (No response.) CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	slow down here. We have to vote on Commissioner Melendez's motion. All in favor of the motion please say aye. (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any votes in the negative? (No response.) CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions? (No response.)

1	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I renew my motion
2	to add as the first item to VI Program Planning for FY
3	2009.
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And is there a second?
5	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
7	(No response.)
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor please
9	say aye.
10	(Chorus of ayes.)
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections?
12	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Nope.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions?
14	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: One.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Let the record reflect
16	that Commissioner Melendez abstained.
17	Pete, were you on this vote?
18	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Aye.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioner
20	Melendez abstained. The remaining Commissioners voted
21	in the affirmative. So the motion passes, and it will
22	be discussed as the first item under Program Planning.
23	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And the item that
24	Commissioner Melendez added, is that going to be under
25	Management and Operations or Other Business?

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Management and
2	Operations after the discussion of the 2009 budget
3	submission to Congress.
4	Okay. All right. Now it's time to vote
5	on the agenda as amended. Is there a second?
6	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
8	(No response.)
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor of voting
10	for the agenda as amended, please say aye.
11	(Chorus of ayes.)
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections?
13	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Abstain.
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Abstain.
15	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: My reason for
16	abstaining is that I think we're still not getting
17	some of the items out in a timely manner. We're
18	supposed to get them a week prior to being here at
19	this meeting, and I think things are still coming in
20	after the fact. They're not giving us enough time to
21	really look at those thoroughly. So that's the reason
22	for my abstaining on this.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
24	COMMISSIONER YAKI: And I abstain because
25	I didn't get my agenda materials.

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: At all?
2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, the hard binder
3	that was supposedly sent I never got.
4	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: This one?
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All right.
6	Commissioners Melendez and Yaki abstain. The
7	remaining Commissioners voted in favor of the agenda
8	as amended. The motion is approved.
9	Next up is the approval of the minutes of
10	the June 6, 2008 meeting.
11	II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF JUNE 6, 2006 MEETING
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is there a motion to
13	approve the minutes, please?
14	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: So moved.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Second?
16	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
18	(No response.)
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All in favor,
20	please say aye.
21	(Chorus of ayes.)
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections?
23	(No response.)
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions?
25	(No response.)
	NEAL D. CDOSS

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Pete, were you?
2	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I said aye.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Sorry. We have
4	difficulty hearing you.
5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Point of order.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Could someone please
8	get me an agenda?
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is there a spare book
10	floating around that Mr. Yaki can use?
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Are you missing
13	any other materials, Commissioner Yaki?
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Oh, I'm missing the
15	binder.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is there a spare
17	binder?
18	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Maybe yours, Mr.
19	Chairman, with all of your notes in it would be fine.
20	It will help me go along a lot faster.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Staff
22	Director's report.
23	III. STAFF DIRECTOR'S REPORT
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: At this point I turn
25	it over to the Staff Director.

STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I want to update the Commissioners on some developments since the last Commission meeting. On June 19th, the Senate Appropriations Committee adopted a committee report that included an 8.8 million FY 2009 budget for the Commission, and similarly the House Appropriations Committee adopted a 8.8 million FY 2009 budget for the agency on June 25th.

In the area of employment law, staff continue to monitor an EEO appeal and participated in a mediation regarding a separate EEO matter. Our Office of General Counsel also began responding to a claim filed against the Commission with the Merit Systems Protection Board.

GAO has not yet issued a ruling on a protest filed in response to the agency's award of a contract for a procurement specialist. We believe that ruling may come in August, and as a result of the appeal, no work has begun on the contract.

Regarding complaints processing, between May 19th and June 20th, the Office of Civil Rights Evaluation received and processed 266 complaints.

The Commissioners received two briefing reports for review since the last Commission meeting.

NEAL R. GROSS

There were briefing report on education effectiveness at historically black colleges and universities and the report on deliberate creation of racially identifiable school districts in Omaha, Nebraska, and the Commissioners were asked to submit comments on the HBCU report by July 18th.

The Commissioners also received the second draft of the FY 2008 statutory enforcement report enforcing prohibitions of religious discrimination in prisons and comments on a statutory report are also requested by July 18th.

The Commission's auditors, Walker & Company, began work on the FY 2008 annual financial audit following a kickoff meeting that was held in late June.

And regarding the regions, they are involved in planning SAC meetings in the month of July the Connecticut, District of Columbia, Kansas, North Carolina and Vermont State Advisory Committees are all planning to hold meetings.

And last month somebody may recall I introduced a number of interns who are helping the agency this summer. We've had a few more come on board, and I wanted to introduce them as well if they're in the audience, and I believe in most cases

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 they are. 2 interns working with Two are now Office of Civil Rights Evaluation, Christina Catapang, 3 4 junior at Georgetown University, and Jamal 5 Soltobaeva, a senior or American University. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Welcome aboard. 6

STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Welcome. Thank you.

And the regional offices are also benefitting from the help of interns this summer. The Eastern Regional Office welcomed Rashawna Williams, who is part of D.C. Mayor Fenty's Summer Youth Program. Rashawna will be entering the tenth grade at Caesar Chavez Public Charter School for Public Policy, and is she in the room?

(No response.)

STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Don't see her.

And the Southern Regional Office has four interns helping out this summer. They are Michael Johnson, a student at Morehouse College; Doris Mansfield, a student at Georgia State University; Britney Galloway, a student at Spellman College; and Megan Kemp, a student at Clark Atlanta University. And we're very pleased to have the interns on board

NEAL R. GROSS

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	and appreciate the valuable help they'll be providing
2	us this summer.
3	That completes my report.
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. The Staff
5	Director has requested that the next portion of the
6	meeting be closed pursuant to Commission regulations
7	implementing the Sunshine Act.
8	IV. MOTION FOR EXECUTIVE SESSION
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Will the Solicitor and
10	General Counsel please certify that the meeting can be
11	closed pursuant to the Commission regulations?
12	MR. BLACKWOOD: We certify.
13	MS. MONROIG: Certify.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I would like to
15	make
16	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I would ask the
17	question as to what the subject is that we're
18	discussing in closed session because we don't even
19	know that yet.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry. It's a
21	personnel matter.
22	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay. So we won't
23	know until we get into the closed session?
24	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: No, we can't know.
25	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: All right.

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I'd like to
2	move to close this meeting pursuant to Exemptions 2, 6
3	and 10 of the closed meeting procedures for Commission
4	meetings.
5	Furthermore, this motion will authorize
6	the Staff Director to place in a location accessible
7	to the public within one working day a public vote to
8	close the meeting reflecting an explanation of the
9	decision to close the meeting and a list of all
10	persons that attend the meeting.
11	Is there a second?
12	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
14	(No response.)
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor, please
16	say aye.
17	(Chorus of ayes.)
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections?
19	(No response.)
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions?
21	(No response.)
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The motion passes
23	unanimously.
24	At this point I would ask everyone with
25	the exception of the Commissioners and our lawyers to
	NEAL D. ODOGG

1	leave the room, and of course okay. Well, the vote
2	was unanimous. So Commissioners Heriot, Gaziano,
3	Reynolds, Thernstrom, Melendez, Yaki, and Kirsanow
4	voted in favor to close the meeting.
5	At this point I would ask all other
6	individuals with the exception
7	MR. BLACKWOOD: Kim Tolhurst also of the
8	OGC will stay.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry?
LO	MR. BLACKWOOD: Kim Tolhurst of OGC will
L1	also be
L2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And she's a lawyer?
L3	MR. BLACKWOOD: Yes.
L4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
L5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Point of order.
L6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
L7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Is there a reason why
L8	we need to do it now rather than at the end of the
L9	meeting, which is traditionally when closed sessions
20	are usually held?
21	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: We've got
22	everybody here now.
23	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, it's too much
24	work to
25	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: They may
	NT41 D 0000

1	disappear.
2	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We approved the
3	agenda. It's too late to shuffle it.
4	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, you can also
5	reopen the agenda to amendment.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I think that we can
7	have it now or we could have it at the end, and we
8	chose to do it at this point. Again, we have people
9	from time to time who leave early, and so since this
10	is a matter of some importance, the decision was made
11	to put it sooner rather than later.
12	I recognize that it's disruptive, and it
13	screws up the flow of the discussion, but I'd rather
14	do it now while we have everyone here.
15	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: There was
16	also concern expressed about whether there was any
17	potential budgetary impact for FY 2009 relating to
18	this matter, and I think that was the reason that it
19	was chosen here, but it's up to the Commissioners.
20	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, we already
21	voted on the agenda.
22	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We approved it.
23	Let's just not waste time.
24	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: He's asking
2 =	for regengideration

1	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: NO, I understand.
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Additional
3	comments or questions?
4	(No response.)
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, and I should
6	have also listed Staff Director Martin Dannenfelser,
7	the General Counsel, David Blackwood
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: How can you vote on an
9	agenda you don't have a copy of?
LO	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Attorney Advisor,
11	Kara Silverstein, along with the Solicitor, everyone
12	in our group will participate.
13	MR. BLACKWOOD: And Kim Tolhurst.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And Kim Tolhurst, will
15	participate in the closed session.
16	So at this point will everyone leave the
17	room and we'll reconvene at this session.
18	(Whereupon, from 9:50 a.m. to 10:23 a.m., the meeting
19	was recessed for an Executive session.)
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's go back
21	on the record.
22	Okay. Earlier this week the Staff
23	Director circulated a memorandum regarding the
24	Commission's status of funds for 2008. That memo
25	explained that as of May 31st of 2008 the Commission

has a projected surplus and outlined some ways that the Commission can help alleviate pressure on its '09 budget by paying for some '09 obligations with '08 funds to the extent allowed by federal procurement regulations.

V. MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS:

STATUS OF FY 2008 BUDGET

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: With that I now turn to the Staff Director to discuss this issue and respond to any questions.

STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman.

As noted in the memo that went out earlier in the week, the latest status of funds report from GSA indicated a year to date surplus of \$548,589 as of May 31st, 2008, and I delineated in this memo some explanation as to why that was the case. Most of it relates to personnel issues in terms of hires that were not made during the course of the year, late hires, gaps in hiring where the Staff Director had left. There was a four-month gap. There were some other explanations relating to lower lump sum payments and payment of unemployment benefits, lower spending on printing and reproduction, and so on.

And then I did explain that we are in the

NEAL R. GROSS

process of shifting some obligations, some funds that we feel like previously would be FY 2009 obligations for some new items, particularly Smart Cards, the new security ID for employees, and several other things, and we are able to move the cost or a substantial part of the cost for those Smart Cards into FY 2008 to take advantage of the fact that we do have this money now and then to hopefully alleviate some pressure on the 2009 budget.

But in spite of moving what we can right now, we've identified a little more that \$117,000 worth of expenditures that we can pay for in 2008 instead of 2009. We're still projecting almost \$260,000 of new obligations going into 2009, and we also have to account for the strong possibility that we'll be operating under a continuing resolution at least at the beginning of the fiscal year.

So that while we are budgeting for 8.8 million, we may be looking at 8,460,000 or some other number because Congress hasn't acted yet completely, just at the committee level in the House and Senate. So we can't assume necessarily that what's happened at the committee is necessarily going to happen on the floor of the House and Senate, and then there's the President potentially vetoing the bills that this

NEAL R. GROSS

funding is attached to, which are both over the
President's budget request. So there is strong reason
to believe that they might be vetoed.

So I just wanted to lay some of these
things out to give some explanation as to where we
are, but also what we have to look at in the future,
the immediate future of FY 2009.

So I'd be happy to answer any questions that Commissioners might have.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Questions?

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes. How much of a hand does the Commission have in the surplus, the 540,000 or 588,000? I know that in the last couple -- didn't we have a surplus last year also?

STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Yes, we did.

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: And what makes us think that this year we wouldn't have a surplus also? And if we have a track record of always having surpluses, wouldn't it tend to push us in a direction that it might be less risky to hire somebody like an HR Director or somebody that's a vital position in the especially based agency, on а track record of surpluses the last two years, and who knows? We probably could have one this year based on whatever. That whatever an HR Director or somebody like that

NEAL R. GROSS

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

would come on board for, we'd still have 300,000 or 400,000 if we would have done it two years ago to bring on an HR person. I brought that up a few years ago as for the need for that position.

And then the other thing is I know that we still haven't really addressed the regions. I know that in the western region, which are vital to at least some of us on the West Coast, you know, in the States of Hawaii and Alaska and California and Nevada; we still in that office have to be able to function in a manner that's really going to give some input, SAC selections and all of these different things to really help us.

And I think that, you know, it's not really happening, and the only way we can do that is to really get a qualified person or a regional director as we had before to really kind of take care of -- at least in the West. I'm not sure about the other regions. Since I come from the West, I'd like to see that addressed.

But it just seems like we need to try to get some. In this financial budget, I know that even in Congress, I think, on some of the committees, they noted that, you know, we should try to address the outreach and the use of the SACs and the use of the

NEAL R. GROSS

_	regional offices.
2	So this budget has to in some way tie in
3	to try to accomplish a small degree of that goal, I
4	would think. So that's just my comments on this
5	budget.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Well, on your
7	comments, do you expect a response?
8	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Well, yes. I'm
9	asking why can't we do that?
LO	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
L1	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: All right.
L2	Thank you, sir.
L3	Regarding the SACs, we are continuing to
L4	try to bring more of the SACs on line, and there has
L5	been progress in that area, and we will continue to
L6	strive to get up to full force with the SACs. Next
L7	year, based on the projections that we have from the
L8	regional offices, we're projecting between 60 and 70
L9	meetings on behalf of the SACs nationwide.
20	So, again, that is something that we want
21	to continue to improve on and help the SACs do more in
22	that area.
23	Regarding should we assume that because
24	we've had these surpluses for a few years now that we
>5	can have the assumption that we'll have them again

next year, our goal here has been to really scrutinize why that has happened and then to address some of that. There was probably some higher expectations in some areas in terms of the budget, and we've reduced those expectations both in the unemployment and lump sum payments. We've reduced that considerably. The printing, some of the travel, we've reduced the projections in those areas.

We do have in the budget a hire for a new employee in the Office of Civil Rights Evaluation. So our hope is that we could go forward and do that, but that, again, is based on an \$8.8 million budget, and we did have some savings during the course of the year. We switched to Westlaw, for instance. We saved \$50,000.

We are looking at some administrative savings that we might make. There could be retirements during the year that we don't know about that could, you know, have the effect of reducing the budget.

But the thing is looking at it now, we can't assume things that might happen. We have to go in based on, you know, what will happen unless something changes, and that if things change during the course of the year, we may be able to make some

NEAL R. GROSS

adjustments that perhaps we don't hire somebody at the beginning of the year. If Congress then goes beyond a continuing resolution and actually gives us an \$8.8 million budget, if during those intervening months we are able to identify some additional savings, then we may be in a position to hire in the early part of the calendar year of 2009 perhaps.

But right now it would seem prudent not to assume that savings are going to be there that we don't know will be there.

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay. The other question had to do with the finance because I know that at the time that we had a large amount of money back for the federal government, it started then that we really have to have somebody in charge of finance, a director that really could make sure that doesn't happen again, and I guess that would still fall in line with surpluses.

The real question is we don't know that we're going to have surpluses until the very end of the year, like now or close to September 30th, or are we saying that we should have a Finance Director or somebody that's an important position that tells us that we have billed -- over a period of time they could tell us at the quarter marks, first quarter,

NEAL R. GROSS

second quarter, and then the Commission would basically -- you tell us that we have 250,000 built up instead of 500,000 a month before the end of the fiscal year, and we could make some adjustments to hire or you could let us know with the help of a Finance Director. You're going to reallocate funds the approval of the Commission rather waiting until the very end and telling us we have 500,000 two years in a row.

That's my reason for asking about a Finance Director.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Let me jump in and provide a partial response. I mean, our spending is not levelized over the course of the year. It's a little lumpy, but I do agree that we do need to get better data. We need to get timely data, and it's not clear to me that the system that we currently have in place is a good tool for providing us with timely, accurate information.

I know in the past we have had several years where we have turned significant sums back to the Treasury. It's always painful, and in one case we had a Budget Director who was on board when that happened and, in fact, I believe of the three years that was the largest amount.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We just need to improve and refine the systems that we have in place. So at least personally I need a higher level of comfort in terms of the numbers that I'm looking at. I need to get a better sense of what the assumptions are.

Just to throw some of the Commissioners under the bus, including myself, for example, our numbers, not all of us, including myself, will submit time sheets in a timely fashion, and that distorts the numbers that we review, and if we have an item that we know that we're going to purchase at the end of the year and it's a significant sum of money, if you look in April -- there's a smile.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: I was knocking on this table when you said purchasing something that's a significant sum of money.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Ah, I see.

In any event, the bottom line is that I agree that the tools that we have in terms of monitoring our expenditures needs to be improved. I think that we need to also, just to check ourselves, look at what our budget is and what our expenditures are at the end of the year and to see what the variance is and to ask some questions.

For example, I saw some categories where

NEAL R. GROSS

there was a significant sum left at the end of the year, and did we change our mind or was the budget projection on the front end too high?

I think that we need to take a look at our process, and do we need to hire more people? Yes, but we need to make sure. We need to be comfortable that we have reoccurring funds to pay the salaries and benefits going forward. One time savings can't be used to hire someone because those savings, we can't depend on them.

STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Well, I mean, the one thing we are doing right now in terms of hiring, we can do temporary hiring where we have these surplus funds, but we're not obligating ourselves into the new fiscal year.

We did have in the budget a position for an other person in OCRE. We did have in the budget a position for a staff assistant in the Office of General Counsel. What we're doing now is getting temporary people, person in each of those one departments, to help them with their backlog, to help them be able to, you know, complete some of the work that hasn't been completed up till now.

So those were things that we had budgeted for, but we held off on making those hires, but what

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

we can do at least in the last few months of the year,
we can hire temporary people to help them take care of
those duties.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Vice Chair Thernstrom,
then Commissioner Yaki.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, I'm in total agreement with what both the Chair and Commissioner Yaki said, that we can't operate with kind of surprises late in the fiscal year. Well, look what we've got, you know, this money that we've got. We really need ongoing information here.

Now, two things. One, on the question of hiring temporary staff, I'm troubled by that only because we can't possibly get the same quality of person when we're just picking up some temporary people for really doing quite vital work. Am I wrong on that?

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I think it depends. Well, I don't think that we should hire temporary personnel if they're not going to add value, and so during the interview process if we conclude that these individuals don't have the skill set that we require or that skill set doesn't meet our minimum requirements, then we shouldn't make the hire.

VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Right, but I

NEAL R. GROSS

1	mean, the question is we need to fill out the OCRE
2	staff. I mean, all other things being equal, that
3	person should be of high quality. That is extremely
4	often very intellectually complicated, complex work
5	that needs a very skilled
6	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: In a case
7	like this, we're not necessarily getting another
8	social scientist. We're looking at trying to help
9	them with their writing and editing.
10	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay.
11	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: In the case
12	of the Office of General Counsel, the General Counsel
13	has said that the attorneys in that office are
14	spending a great deal of time doing administrative
15	work
16	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I see. Okay.
17	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: that if
18	they had some administrative support
19	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: That's helpful.
20	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: that
21	would free up those attorneys to spend more time doing
22	that. So that's part of our objective there.
23	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I see. Okay.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki.
25	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: No, no, no. Just

Τ	wait a minute. Last question.
2	I am very sympathetic to what
3	Commissioners Yaki and Melendez have repeatedly
4	expressed concern about, and that is the Western
5	Regional Director, and I just wonder, you know, what
6	our kind of priorities we discussed this problem a
7	number of times, and I think we do need to talk once
8	again about our priorities and the importance of them
9	in having
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, to respond to
11	that, how about, I mean, in terms of our needs here at
12	the agency, and that includes our regional office? I
13	think what we need to do is have a list in front of
14	us
15	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Exactly.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: and then we're
17	going to have to make some choices.
18	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Exactly. That's
19	what I was about to say. We need to make some
20	priorities.
21	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: What would be
22	I'm sorry. Out of turn.
23	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Thank you, Mr. Chair,
24	and thank you, Commissioner Gaziano.
25	With regard to the last issue brought up

and I know that this sounds like a broken record that I continue to play time and time again, but to this day I still do not understand the staffing decision made by the previous Staff Director, not Mr. Lerner, but Mr. Marcus, in having a Southern Regional Director and then tasking out someone from the Office of the Staff Director down there, Mr. Horne, as well. So we have two highly paid positions in the Southern Region, and it was done with no explanation.

I asked repeatedly to the Staff Director why this was being done, and he kept on telling me nothing, and you've got to look at that position and what is that person contributing and whether or not that's a position that should be moved to the Western Region, number, one.

That's a hard question that continues to be out there, and I just don't get any answer. I've never gotten an answer on it.

Number two, in terms of what we can do at the end, I'm speaking for myself, but others may speak as well. The Staff Director is doing a great job of starting to churn out the backlog of these reports. I am having an incredibly tough time trying to keep up with that.

And I could, and I know I could find

NEAL R. GROSS

someone because this is a good time of the year to find someone like that, a temporary special assistant just for the two or three-month period as we run these things through to help me go through that. I'm not talking about permanent. I'm just talking about someone who would agree that their term would expire September 30th, 2008, but who would nevertheless be able to be useful to me, possibly to others on the Commission, to help grapple with the fact that Omaha, Census, other things are all coming, piling in.

Some things we have deliberately kind of pushed back because we know the work load just from review is becoming a little bit too much. I mean, why haven't we looked at that? Because I certainly could do that, and it would be a temporary assignment with an express provision that expires at the end of the fiscal year with no quarantee of anything beyond that.

But because of the number of reports that we have coming out, it would be extremely helpful to me.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I guess the first thought that comes to mind is that would be a new animal, a temporary special assistant, and that's not suggesting whether it's doable or not, but that's the first thing that comes to mind.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	Have we looked at whether that's
2	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Well, I
3	guess the issue is
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: permissible?
5	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Right. That
6	if special assistants are Schedule Cs, is it possible
7	to hire a temporary Schedule C?
8	As to hiring a temporary person from an
9	employment agency, that's what we're doing in the case
10	of these other two in OCRE and OGC, but if we're
11	talking about a special assistant who's a Schedule
12	C
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: But the but
14	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: I'm not
15	sure is
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: but if that
17	Schedule C's budget authority expires at the end of
18	the year, isn't that the same thing?
19	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Wouldn't we be
20	vulnerable to a Jack Daly kind of claim? You know, he
21	was temporary. He was hired temporarily.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I don't think
23	that Mr. Daly has a strong claim. So
24	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: That's a matter for
25	the executive.

1	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Yes, We
2	don't want to go there.
3	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, he's tying
4	us up. That's all I know.
5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes, but this would be
6	tied to the actual budgeted position. In other words,
7	if the budget for that position expires, I don't think
8	there's a claim to be made that you have an
9	entitlement to that position beyond that period.
LO	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: I guess the
L1	question is is there a mechanism to do that.
L2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Right.
L3	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: An
L4	employment authority that would allow us to do that. I
L5	think in concept the idea sounds interesting, but it's
L6	a question of how do you make it happen.
L7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Suggestion. Two
L8	things. First, I believe that we'll need an August
L9	meeting, which is not scheduled, and
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Are you kidding me?
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: You don't like
22	spending time in Washington during August?
23	COMMISSIONER YAKI: There are things going
24	on in August for me that are happening.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Well, just to

1	let you know, there will be a motion to have an August
2	meeting.
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: If you hear a lot of
4	screaming and yelling in the background when I'm on
5	the phone, you'll know that I'm not having a good
6	time.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I understand. Glasses
8	clinking.
9	In any event, it seems to me in terms of
LO	compiling a list of priorities, we could also include
L1	well, we could do some research to see whether this
L2	is feasible and then have a discussion.
L3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Is the HR Director in
L4	the room? I mean, could she answer that?
L5	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: The Director
L6	of Management is in the room who oversees the HR.
L7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is Tina?
L8	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Tina, do you
L9	want to come to the table?
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Do we want to spring
21	it on you with no preparation?
22	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Wait. Why
23	don't you get a microphone and sit at the table there?
24	MS. MARTIN: Yes, there are really only
25	two temporary authorities for Schedule C. One would

1	be that 30-day appointment pending a critical need,
2	and the other is a 120-day appointment, but it still
3	has to go through OPM for approval. So you could set
4	it at 30 days with another 30 days, which is the Jack
5	Daly case, or you could do the 120 and get approval
6	from OPM.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. So it looks
8	like, based on what we've just heard, that it's
9	feasible. Why don't we throw that on the list of
10	items to discuss in August as we prioritize?
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: If we do it in August,
12	then all I have is at the most someone who can help me
13	for September. I was hoping
14	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You only get 30 days
15	anyway.
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: What?
17	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You'd only be able
18	to get 30 days.
19	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, I know, but if we
20	could make a decision now, I could have someone help
21	me in August and September, especially if we're having
22	an August meeting.
23	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Tina, could
24	you just clarify the point on the temporary
25	authorities? Did you say they have to go through OPM

1	also or just the 120-day?
2	MS. MARTIN: One hundred and twenty-day,
3	and that is pending like if you have a new agency head
4	and you have to determine if, Marty, you are a new
5	agency head, then you can get the 120-day appointment.
6	The 30-day, OPM wants you to come to them,
7	but they do give us the authority to do it, but they
8	do want you to let them know that you're using the 30-
9	day appointment.
10	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Are you
11	using the 30-day appointment with the premise that
12	this is somebody that you're going to be seeking on a
13	permanent basis?
14	MS. MARTIN: Right. Well, that, yes,
15	because the 30-day says pending permanent appointment.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. That's somewhat
17	problematic.
18	Let me wheels are turning. A scheme is
19	about to be articulated.
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I just
21	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Would you yield
22	while the wheels are turning?
23	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I will yield.
24	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I just wanted to
25	second appreciation so that when we do take up these
	1

1	priorities and at that point I hope we can be creative
2	about a number of the issues that other Commissioners
3	have raised, whether to consolidate regional offices
4	rather than just shifting them around
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Oh, you're talking
6	about a much larger conversation.
7	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I don't want to
8	have it now. I just want to suggest that we hopefully
9	can be creative. You know, if anyone else gets a
10	temporary special assistant, I'd like a temporary
11	special assistant.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I suspect I assume
13	so.
14	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And all I'm
15	suggesting, I also would like, I think, if money were
16	infinite, all eight of us would like our own special
17	assistants.
18	I'm not prepared right now to know the
19	relative importance of some of these other priorities.
20	So that's why I'd like to see what's you know, to
21	know what's coming, when this is coming so that we can
22	ask the right questions and hopefully come to the
23	right kind of priority and decisions.
24	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Will you yield back?
25	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Sure.

1	COMMISSIONER YAKI: To Ms. Martin, for the
2	30-day appointment that you're talking about, I was a
3	little unclear about the wording that you were using.
4	So if you could just help me out, if I just wanted to
5	make a 30-day appointment because I was going to try
6	this person out, this person may not work, this person
7	dah, dah, dah, at the end of that 30 days, if I did
8	not review it, that position is gone, correct? Right?
9	MS. MARTIN: Right. You serve at the
-0	pleasure of the appointing authority.
.1	COMMISSIONER YAKI: So my question is
.2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Who's the appointing
_3	authority?
L4	MS. MARTIN: The Commissioner.
_5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: The Commissioner. So
-6	the question is I don't see why I couldn't take
_7	advantage of that 30-day appointment now as a 30-day
-8	thing with the idea that it would not be renewed.
_9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Based on what was
20	said, I believe that, again, it's doable, but the idea
21	of having the August meeting was to set priorities,
22	and that would be on the list of everything else.
23	I understand your argument that you don't
24	want to wait until August because that eats up time,
25	but to do a one off and do it without comparing it to

1	other priorities is somewhat problematic.
2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Why?
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: They may have other
4	items that have
5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I mean, we can ask
6	what would be the budgetary impact of if I had one, if
7	Commission Gaziano had one anyone else who needed a
8	30-day temporary assignment.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: But the others on the
10	list, the item well, do we hire someone to man the
11	Western Office? Do we transfer what I was hoping
12	to do was
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: But that's a permanent
14	budgetary issue. That's not going to be someone we're
15	going to hire for 30 days.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, right.
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm talking about the
18	fact that we have right now an amount of money that
19	will be unspent between now and the end of the fiscal
20	year. For us to decide in August what it should be
21	spent on between now and September is really, you
22	know, closing the barn door.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I would be comfortable
24	making the concept, I'm comfortable with it, but I
25	need to increase my comfort level in terms of the

1 budget. So let me turn to the Staff Director. 2 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: If you don't mind, Chairman, and also if I could ask Tina for a 3 clarification that there has to be an intention under 4 5 the legal authority. MS. MARTIN: Well, there is a caveat there 6 7 that says you're waiting approval. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: There has to be an 8 9 intention to make this a permanent hire. So if our intent as a Commission in authorizing this is that 10 it's going to end, then the legal authority may not 11 12 I'm still unclear on that. COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, no, but I would 13 14 arque that that would mean that any time we hire someone, their position here is indefinite. 15 16 is that you hire some people, and this 17 probably turning into territory that we just want to 18 be careful how I state this. There are probably some 19 people who you hire that you decide don't quite fit that bill. 20 21 The budget position is for someone to be 22 permanent. 23

The person is not permanent, and I would arque that you can exercise at the end of that 30 days the decision not to pursue that person any further.

And I would also argue that, you know, if

NEAL R. GROSS

24

25

1	we need to take a notational vote or something really
2	quickly in the next week or so with a motion that
3	would state basically that you can do that providing
4	that you make it clear, blah, blah, blah, blah,
5	blah, because I will go on record as stating that I
6	have no no I have no intention. I will
7	affirmatively declare in the written offer that I give
8	to someone that this position will expire at the end
9	of blank days.
10	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: But that's the
11	problem. The legal authority would not allow that
12	kind of use of the 30-day appointment.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: What Commissioner Yaki
14	meant to say is provided there isn't sufficient money
15	in the budget.
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Exactly, right.
17	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Well, the
18	other thing, I think, is I don't believe an individual
19	Commissioner would have the authority to make a hire.
20	It would have to be made through the Commission. So
21	that would be something we have to
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, that's not what
23	the statute says. The statute says that each
24	Commissioner may hire his or her own special
25	assistant.

Τ	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: But, again,
2	we're talking about something outside. It sounds like
3	the discussion is going a little bit out
4	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Oh, that is not
5	David, that is absolutely not true.
6	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: partly
7	outside the special C.
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: You mean someone else
9	could hire my special assistant? That is garbage.
10	The statute says I'm entitled to a special assistant.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Take it easy. Take it
12	easy. Take it easy.
13	MR. BLACKWOOD: Commissioner Yaki, this is
14	David Blackwood.
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, when David just
16	shoots off like that, he's wrong.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, let's he may
18	be wrong, but let's see what he has to say.
19	MR. BLACKWOOD: I would only point out,
20	Commissioner, the statute specifically says the
21	Commission hires. Now, the way it operates for
22	special assistants is the Commission authorizes you
23	and delegates to you to go hire the special assistant
24	of your choice, but no Commissioner has an individual
25	right automatically to go hire somebody.

1	There is authorization in our
2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Actually that's not
3	what I maybe then I would
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: He's right.
5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, no, no. That's
6	actually not right because I remember the day I was
7	sworn in, the day I was sworn in in February something
8	or other of 2005, the first thing that I was told by
9	Ken Marcus was you have a right to hire a special
10	assistant.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, what Ken says
12	and what the statutes say to the extent that what
13	Ken's state to the extent that a statement deviates
14	from the statutory language
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: The Commission does
16	not hire a special assistant for me.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. This is
18	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I choose who that
19	person is.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: But, Michael, this is
21	a technical point
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: That person works for
23	me.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: that is not even
25	worth talking about because the bottom line is the way
	NEAL D. CDOSS

1	it works is I don't hire your special assistant.
2	That's the way it works. So the technicalities
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: That person would be
4	gone in 38 minutes.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That is not true.
6	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Thirty-eight?
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Vice Chair.
9	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I have a question
10	about what he's talking about.
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I would think
12	quicker than that.
13	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Excuse me.
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, no, I need some
15	interrogation rights.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Where is my
17	gavel?
18	Vice Chair Thernstrom.
19	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: A couple of
20	things. One, Commissioner Yaki, you keep reiterating
21	your belief that you have an entitlement to a special
22	assistant. That is not the way the statute reads, I
23	believe.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The Vice Chair is
25	right, but I really don't want to go down this road.

1	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: All right. Fine.
2	Okay.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Let's try to deal with
4	the issue that was on the table.
5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Should I put a report
6	right there from last year?
7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I've got one
8	clarification.
9	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Wait a minute.
10	I'm not finished. I'm going to move off that topic.
11	I promise you that's my last word on that.
12	I am with the Chair in not being
13	comfortable deciding priorities. We're talking about
14	other temporary hires as well. We need to decide what
15	our priorities are, and
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: There's a middle
17	ground though.
18	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay. That's
19	fine, but we are talking about quite a bit of money
20	here, and I would like to hear from the Staff Director
21	exactly, you know. If one or two temporary special
22	assistants are hired, are we talking about, you know,
23	10K, 12K, something like that in a 30-day period?
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I think it's
25	somewhat unfair to ask that question without any

1	preparation.
2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'd like to move
3	can I make a motion? I would like to make a motion
4	that by what day is today?
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: by Monday
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Monday?
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Wait for the whole
9	thing. Wait for the whole thing.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Don't jump the gun,
12	Mr. Chair.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I've been picking up
14	bad habits.
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: By Monday, by Monday
16	I know. I understand. It's very easy to want to
17	emulate me
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I didn't refer to you.
19	COMMISSIONER YAKI: On on and
20	flattering on Monday, I make a motion that on
21	Monday any Commissioner who currently does not have a
22	special assistant submit whether or not he or she is
23	interested in having a temporary special assistant for
24	the remainder of this fiscal year, number one.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We can do that now.

1	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm just giving people
2	time.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: To think about it?
4	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: We've all got the
5	same problem that you do.
6	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I've already
7	expressed my interest if the legal authority exists.
8	I still question the legal
9	COMMISSIONER YAKI: You haven't heard the
10	rest of my motion.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's listen to
12	the motion.
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: And then by Thursday
14	of next week, we receive a report and recommendation
15	on whether we can proceed or not and have that in the
16	form of a notational vote.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I would propose
18	that we have a teleconference so that there can be
19	discussion, and I also believe that this
20	teleconference, other items should be included so
21	that, again, priorities.
22	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: August meeting.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, no. I don't
24	think we Commissioner Yaki makes a very good point.
25	His time is chewed up if we wait. I propose that we

1	nave a teleconference between now
2	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: My question isn't
3	trivial.
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: between now and the
5	August meeting
6	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Can you get two 30-
7	day segments or can you only get one 30-day segment?
8	MS. MARTIN: It's 30 day with one 30-day
9	extension.
10	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: So you can extend
11	the 30 days for a second 30 days.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. So is that
13	acceptable that we select a date to discuss our
14	priorities which will include the hiring of temporary
15	special assistants some time between now and the next
16	scheduled meeting, which will be well, I'm sorry
17	the August meeting that we'll vote on.
18	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. Chairman, do
19	you propose to discuss the other priorities at that
20	time?
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, yes.
22	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay.
23	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, we need a
24	lot of information from the Staff Director before we -
25	-

1 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Mr. Chairman, I think the General Counsel has a question 2 about the proposal. 3 4 MS. MONROIG: If your proposal is to have 5 a telephonic meeting, you need to notify the Federal Register a certain number of days before. 6 7 COMMISSIONER YAKI: That's why I wanted a notational vote. 8 9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Is that --10 COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, I'm just saying the reason I want a notational vote just on this 11 12 specific issue and narrow it to this question because that can be -- I don't know. I would think 13 that if the report were to say this is how much money 14 15 we are projectioned to be remainder. This would be 16 the impact of having X number of special assistants on 17 a temporary basis as enunciated by -- as requested by Commissioners blankity-blank blankity-blank, and maybe 18 19 everyone votes no and we have a broader discussion, 20 but I just think if we wait for a telephonic meeting, 21 you and I, Mr. Chairman, know our success rate at scheduling telephone meetings. 22 23 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, we don't --COMMISSIONER YAKI: Which usually takes --24

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS:

25

I don't think we have

1	a problem scheduling them. I think that they don't go
2	well since we're not in the same room.
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: My experience has been
4	that usually even trying to schedule in, say, a one to
5	two-week period and then we have to do the <u>Federal</u>
6	Register notice; by that time we're well into August.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. How about this.
8	Let's off line have a discussion in terms of the
9	selection of a date to have this meeting. We would
10	have a conversation with Marty.
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: It's 15 days, right?
12	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Look. An early
13	August meeting
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Is it 15 days we have
15	to give
16	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: The <u>Federal</u>
17	Register notice? Seven?
18	MR. BLACKWOOD: Actually it's a total of
19	ten in practice because of the days that they require
20	to print out the notice. So it's ten days.
21	COMMISSIONER YAKI: They're saying that
22	the <u>Federal Register</u> practice is ten days.
23	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: You have to
24	send a notice and it takes a couple of days until it
25	gets printed

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: There's the date, the 2 11th. 3 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. Chairman, my 4 reason why I'd prefer discussion is that my opinion 5 may well turn on the legal authority. Again, the way 6 Commissioner Yaki describes it, when we're authorizing 7 individual Commissioners to hire temporary special assistants, we as the Commission have to have the 8 9 serious intent to keep them on. That seems to me that we would be making a commitment to find the funds in 10 11 FY 09 to keep them on. 12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We would take a look at the budget to see if it --13 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay. I just have 14 15 these legal questions I'd want answered at that point. 16 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Sure, sure. 17 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: In order to have a discussion about priorities, which it seems to me this 18 19 has got to be part of, don't we need more information from the Staff Director and don't we need to give the 20 21 Staff Director time to generate that information? **REYNOLDS:** of 22 CHAIRMAN Most the 23 information, the budget information, we have. matter of analyzing it as opposed to collecting the 24 25 data, but, yes, I agree, that the Staff Director will

1	have to do some work in terms of looking at the
2	numbers, making sure that and looking for reoccurring
3	streams of income.
4	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, but also,
5	what positions were in the agency we're talking about
6	here that really need to be filled if this place is
7	going to function properly
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, yes.
9	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: and so forth.
LO	I mean we are going to be very close to the
L1	possibility of an early August meeting as the clock
L2	runs out here in terms of, you know, getting a
L3	teleconference together, and I would like to have a
L4	vote on having an early August meeting instead.
L5	I mean, I just don't think we're going to
L6	lose much time by doing that, and I think it's a much
L7	more effective thing to do.
L8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I will be unable to
L9	attend in any way, shape or form
20	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: But you would be
21	able to
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: telephonically or
23	otherwise an early August meeting.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki, are
25	vou being stubborn?

1 COMMISSIONER YAKI: No. If you --2 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: You can tell me. COMMISSIONER YAKI: 3 The schedule, if you 4 were look at а schedule of another set of 5 activities I'm involved in, they all occur in the 6 first two weeks of August. 7 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. COMMISSIONER YAKI: I mean, 8 there are 9 things that have to be done, and it is --10 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: But you are free when to have some kind of participation? 11 12 COMMISSIONER YAKI: The week before another event occurs, which occurs the week of the 13 14 24th of August, but by that time, you know, in terms a meeting, that would be fine for a regular 15 16 meeting, but I will only do it telephonically. 17 tell you that because I'm going to be somewhere trying to rest up between the frenzy of the first two weeks, 18 19 whatever, but I don't want that to replace this other thing because otherwise I'm out of luck in terms of 20 21 trying to get some help for all of these reports that are just turning up. 22 23 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, we're all in that position in terms of help with reports. 24 25 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I propose --

1	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I would say not, with
2	all due respect.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I propose that
4	we take a break, a short break and continue the
5	discussion after we return.
6	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off the record
7	at 11:06 a.m. and went back on the record
8	at 11:38 a.m.)
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Back on the
10	record.
11	The plan is to have a teleconference where
12	we discuss the feasibility and desirability of hiring
13	temporary special assistants to help us out with a
14	backlog of briefing reports, and this is also
15	contingent upon a determination by the General Counsel
16	that this is legal either through the 30-day
17	appointment process or the 120-day process.
18	I'm going to put that in the form of a
19	motion. Is that
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Second.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion.
22	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Before I vote, can
23	I ask Commissioner Kirsanow whether you would be
24	available on said date to participate?
25	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I believe so.

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Thank you.
2	All in favor, please say aye.
3	(Chorus of ayes.)
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections?
5	(No response.)
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions?
7	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Can I ask for a
8	clarification on the motion?
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: So that I can
11	reverse?
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, if you must.
13	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: So this is on
14	temporary special appointments or, since we're going
15	to have to notice this in the <u>Register</u> ahead of time,
16	and if that fails other possible ways to spend this
17	money?
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, yes.
19	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I'm sorry.
21	Let's do it again. All in favor please say aye.
22	(Chorus of ayes.)
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections?
24	(No response.)
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions?
	NEAL D. CDOSS

NEAL R. GROSS

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The motion passes unanimously.

Okay. Somebody help me. Where are we?

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: In Management and
Operations, we still have to discuss the Staff
Director's succession problem and the budget.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So right now we're on the budget submission to Congress.

V. MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS:

FY 2009 BUDGET SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. In September of 2007, the Commission submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a Fiscal Year 2009 budget estimate for approximately \$11.7 million. Based on input from the office or from OMB, the Commission will be submitting, will submit an \$8.8 million budget request to Congress, which is in line with the President's request.

As the Staff Director noted in his report on June 19th, the Senate Appropriations Committee adopted a committee report that included and 8.8 FY 2009 budget for the Commission. Similarly, the House Appropriations Committee adopted an \$8.8 million 2009 budget for the agency on June 25th.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	The Commissioners are in receipt of the
2	2009 budget narrative and related attachments,
3	including the Commission's staffing plan and operating
4	plan, which were distributed in June. Each of these
5	documents will be submitted to Congress upon
6	Commission approval of the 8.8 '09 request.
7	I move that we approve this admission of
8	an \$8.8 million request for Fiscal Year 2009 to
9	Congress.
10	Is there a second?
11	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
13	(No response.)
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor, please
15	say aye.
16	(Chorus of ayes.)
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Objections?
18	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Abstain.
19	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Abstention.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Pete?
21	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's good enough.
23	Okay. Let the record reflect that
24	Commissioners Yaki and Melendez abstain. The
25	remaining Commissioners voted in favor of the motion.

The motion passes.

Next up, the issue that Commissioner Melendez put on the agenda.

V. MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS:

REEVALUATING AGENCY AI ON ORDER OF PRECEDENCE

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: The issue that I brought forward this morning was to reevaluate the agency's AI on the order of precedence now that we have a new Staff Director.

Again, for the record, I had presented a motion to reevaluate the agency's AI on the order of precedence now that we have a new Staff Director, and that issue had to deal with who's in charge should the Staff Director not be available, and I know that at the time I believe the Assistant Staff Director, we had that discussion, and I don't think we have an Assistant Staff Director at this time, and it has to do with the chain of command depending on whether or not they're SES position or whether or not they're GS positions, and I think you might be bound by that at this point.

So I'm not sure who would be in charge right now if you weren't available. So I'm not asking for an answer today. We could actually have you go back and look at the way it should be set in place as

NEAL R. GROSS

1	to what we have now, and if there's recommendations
2	that come out of the next meeting as to how you see
3	the chain of command and what would happen, that would
4	be fine also.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I propose that
6	we put this on the agenda for August so that we can
7	do
8	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Research on it.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
LO	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: And whatever you
L1	have to do. That's fine.
L2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Next up is a
L3	discussion of a 2009 statutory report. That's a
L4	report on the the focus will be the Home Sweet Home
L5	Program. Based on the concept paper, the focal point
L6	will be the Home Sweet Home Program.
L7	VI. PROGRAM PLANNING: 2009 PROGRAM PLANNING/
L8	2010 PROGRAM PLANNING
L9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And I would like to
20	open up a discussion on whether it should be expanded.
21	I'm going to move that we expand it so that it
22	includes the subprime issues that are being discussed,
23	in addition to allegations of redlining.
24	Is there a second?
J	

NEAL R. GROSS

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO:

25

Second.

1	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: But, Mr. Chairman,
2	do we need at some point you just referred to the
3	office meeting, but there has not yet been a motion to
4	have such a meeting. Are we going to?
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Oh, okay, okay. Let's
6	back up a little bit.
7	I move that we have a meeting in August
8	and that well, let's check our calendars to see
9	what's available.
10	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: As I understand it,
11	it's the week of
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The 22nd. Check your
13	calendars to see if you're available on the 22nd.
14	VICE CHAIRN THERNSTROM: I'm okay. Pete?
15	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Okay.
16	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Would an August
17	meeting on the 22nd of August?
18	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yep.
19	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Oh.
20	(Laughter.)
21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Not so great.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
23	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I know I told you
24	that I wanted to get out of a party that was on that
25	day.

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And I was helping.
2	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You were. You were.
3	Unfortunately, that means I have to commit Thursday,
4	too, to get there.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I'm find with
6	that.
7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: If it's the only
8	date, I can take the Red Eye.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. If that's the
10	case.
11	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I'm fine for the
12	22nd.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Is earlier in the week
15	better for you, Ms. Heriot?
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I don't know because
17	classes are going to start.
18	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: That was the week
19	you could do, Commissioner Yaki?
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I mean, I won't be
21	here in person.
22	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: But you can do it
23	by phone.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
25	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I love those 6:00 a.m.
	NFALR GROSS

1	Commission meetings.
2	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: We can make it a
3	little later for you.
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, folks. It looks
5	like the 22nd will work.
6	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Why don't we make
7	it ten o'clock for Commissioner Yaki?
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, because people
9	have got to get planes.
10	(Laughter.)
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Due to you.
12	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner
13	Thernstrom.
14	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: No, I just
15	complained about the early hour anyway.
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I wasn't complaining.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Oh, you mean ten
18	o'clock his time?
19	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Six o'clock his
20	time.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Oh, okay. All right,
22	folks. Do we want to select a time at this point?
23	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay with me.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'd say what, ten
25	o'clock?

	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: On, here's actually
2	the reason. I am evidently getting the treat of the
3	Red Eye, which means that I'm going to be getting in
4	and I need to at least take a shower at my mother's
5	house.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Ten o'clock, does that
7	work?
8	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I'd actually, now
9	that I think about it, I may have a problem. Can you
10	check your law school calendar to see if earlier in
11	the week do we have to do these on Friday?
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We don't have to, and
13	we have done them on other days in the past, but
14	infrequently.
15	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I may have
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: The infamous Strove
17	Tuesday in Mardi Gras, for example.
18	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I may be not
19	available that day.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
21	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Can you make
22	yourself available that day?
23	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I may be supposed
24	to be flying to Gail and Yaki's fair state.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. What about the

1	18th?
2	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I don't know. I
3	don't know my USD schedule. I can call.
4	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Can we just for now
5	maybe pause. Do it some time this week and she can
6	get her schedule and then we can move on.
7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Give me a second to
8	call and find out what date classes are.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's take a
10	short break. No one move except Gail.
11	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off the record
12	at 11:48 a.m. and went back on the record
13	at 11:52 a.m.)
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Pete, does the
15	18th work for you?
16	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: One moment.
17	Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. So going around
19	the room
20	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Wait a minute.
21	Was that a yes or a no?
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That was a yes.
25	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: How about Tuesday,

1	the 19th?
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: You're kidding me.
3	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: They can have a
4	weekend to fly out here.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Sunday.
6	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I know. It's
7	still a weekend.
8	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: The 19th?
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's look at
10	the 19th. Are you there?
11	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Pete, how about
12	the 19th?
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Does the 19th work for
14	you?
15	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It does, but sort of
17	the convenience is the other way around.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. We have a
19	battle of over convenience here.
20	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I can do both. I
21	can do both.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Would you like to be a
23	gentleman about it and defer to Gail?
24	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I can defer to Arlan
25	just as long as somebody remembers that I deferred to

1	him.
2	(Laughter.)
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. We'll make a
4	note of it. We're on for the 19th, folks.
5	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay, and, Mr.
6	Staff Director, there's no problem here at the
7	Commission having a meeting on a Tuesday?
8	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: There will
9	be some challenges, but we can work it out.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. We just started
11	a conversation on the 2009 statutory report.
12	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Can I speak to the
13	motion I seconded?
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, indeed.
15	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Trying to look
16	ahead toward that, the Home Sweet Home operation I
17	found in the Department of Justice's Website a press
18	release dated October 26th, 2007, in which the
19	department announces the results of that operation
20	that was begun in February 2006 so that without
21	confirming this, the press release indicates that
22	these are retrospect or it is announcing what the
23	results are of the completed program.
24	So I think that makes it less interesting.
25	I would rather instead of expand our topic to go

1	beyond that to actually switch to the more relevant
2	topics within fair housing, and the ones that you
3	mentioned, Mr. Chairman, seem more interesting to me.
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Any other
5	comments?
6	(No response.)
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All in favor,
8	please say aye.
9	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Thank you.
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: What exactly is the
12	motion?
13	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'm sorry. Is
14	there a motion on the floor?
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. I, before the
16	discussion about the calendars, I had moved that we
17	expand the 2009 statutory report. Todd seconded it.
18	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Without
19	specifying?
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: To look at
21	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: To other fair
22	housing topics. I don't know how then we're
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Subprime.
24	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I guess I need more
25	discussion then. It seems

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, that's why the
2	floor I mean, sure.
3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It seems to me that
4	we tend to make mistakes in having topics that are too
5	broad, and we end up with reports that are
6	unmanageable, and it seems to me that the old topic,
7	the Home Sweet Home Program, if it has been canceled,
8	maybe we should just switch to the topic that we're
9	expanding because these are really very different
10	things, the Home Sweet Home Program and the
11	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: That's what I had
12	meant to do, but we should probably amend the motion.
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, and the motion
14	as it is just expands. We should just switch.
15	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay. Move to
16	amend the motion so that we choose a different focus
17	within the fair housing topic, whatever the procedure
18	is to do so, and we try to come up with an exciting,
19	relevant, and manageable topic
20	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Very manageable.
21	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: within the fair
22	housing topic for our statutory report for FY 2009.
23	I don't know that that that's the end
24	of the motion.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Do we want to provide

1	a little bit more guidance that this would
2	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I was going to
3	discuss what some of my ideas are, but I don't want to
4	necessarily I don't necessarily think the motion
5	that we vote on needs to be definitive on what the
6	topic is.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. If that's the
8	case.
9	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes. How does it
10	mesh in? I know that it sounded to me like we were
11	still looking at what briefings and reports we were
12	going to be looking at for 2009 and if we were really
13	whether or not we were going to reopen that or
14	whether or not it's pretty well set, and doesn't that
15	discussion come into that or what are we saying here?
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Let's see. Let me
17	check my notes.
18	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I moved earlier to
19	amend the agenda to talk about 2009 program planning.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: It was my intention
22	at that time to talk about the briefing reports as
23	well.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's right. Here it
25	is.

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Do you want 1 to 2 defer to that time? CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, okay. You make 3 4 a good point. Do you want to --5 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Sure. It seems to 6 statutory report is a slightly different 7 priority, and you all -- to be quite honest, I'm not thrilled about the fair housing area as well, but if I 8 9 were the czar of the Commission, I might choose a different topic altogether, but the fair housing topic 10 is one the Commission selected, and I think there's 11 12 plenty of interesting topics within it. But do you want to open it up to --13 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Well, no. 14 15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: This is a good time. 16 This is a good time. Let's open it up. Let's take a 17 look at first what is on deck for the remainder of the year in terms of briefings. I believe that we have 18 19 one briefing that's already teed up, and the remainder of the year we have not selected specific topics. 20 21 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Mr. Chairman, isn't there a motion on the floor, however, that we 22 23 need to vote on? 24 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. 25 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I'd be willing to

1	defer to the proposal we had last time for the
2	briefing reports for 2010 and later was a proposal for
3	a process, and I wanted to propose today that we adopt
4	that process for 2009 and beyond, and that process is
5	that we don't choose briefing report topics that we
6	do choose briefing report topics a quarter ahead.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. How about this?
8	Let's clean this up.
9	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: The motion on the
10	table.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Let's vote on the
12	motion, and then the next issue is the issue over
13	process.
14	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I'm just trying to
15	explain to Commissioner
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm lost.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm trying to clean
18	this up a little bit.
19	Commissioner Yaki.
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, in reference to
21	Commissioner Gaziano's remark, is the motion on the
22	floor that we're ditching the 2009 report and opening
23	it up for discussion?
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No, and correct me if
25	I'm wrong.

1	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I thought it was.
2	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: My motion is that
3	we change the focus of the 2009 statutory report
4	within fair housing, and then we can discuss how to do
5	that.
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay. So he's
7	ditching it and we're going to figure out what it is
8	within that topic.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. What do you
10	believe is being ditched?
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: The whatever the topic
12	was and then Home Sweet Home within that.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The topic is fair
14	housing.
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: The topic
16	unfortunately was Home Sweet Home.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The topic, the overall
18	topic was fair housing. It's a Home Sweet Home focus.
19	That is being, to use your parlance, ditched.
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: In reference to
21	Commissioner Heriot's remark about it being too broad,
22	I mean
23	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: The broadness was
24	Gerry's motion was to take that narrow topic and open
25	it, and it just seems to me that we're putting two

1	different topics together.
2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, fair housing is
3	a rather broad area in which to try to
4	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: We're not going to
5	vote on a fair housing topic. We're going to vote on
6	
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: So in other words, the
8	essence of the description was
9	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: on applying
10	COMMISSIONER YAKI: We're ditching
11	we're ditching it, and we're going to create a new
12	one.
13	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: No. Modifying the
14	fair housing statement.
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It ditched us.
16	There is no such program anymore.
17	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes, my motion is
18	that we should commit ourselves to coming up with a
19	manageable, relevant
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Take your shoe and
21	pound it on the table.
22	(Laughter.)
23	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Excuse me for my
24	Italian mannerisms rather than my Soviet mannerisms.
25	to come up with a relevant, manageable,
	NEAL D. ODOGG

1	exciting topic that will excite us all and the staff
2	for 2009 statutory report.
3	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Exciting is too
4	much to ask.
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, I'm not easily
6	excited.
7	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Scratch the
8	exciting.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
10	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: But I think it's
11	important that we recognize that we're not ditching
12	Home Sweet Home. It ditched us.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Folks, unless you want
14	to have more discussion, I propose that we vote on
15	Todd's motion and then open the discussion up
16	regarding the process by which we select briefing
17	topics.
18	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Question. As far
19	as looking at topics that are within the broader
20	you know, talking about the subject, could we have
21	more time to determine what we would narrow it down
22	to?
23	I mean, if you ask me right now
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
25	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Do you know what I

1	mean?
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, we're not making
3	that decision right now. I know what I want to focus
4	on, this subprime issue and allegations of
5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: The first redline.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: That's fine.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: But we're not making a
9	determination at this meeting on the specific focus.
10	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay. That's
11	fine.
12	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Really? What are we
13	deciding then?
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That we're ditching
15	Home Sweet Home.
16	(Laughter.)
17	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Thank you, thank
18	you.
19	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And we're going to
20	come up with a new focus.
21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, but what's
22	(pause)
23	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I would not use
24	the word "ditching."
25	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It ditched us.

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm telling you I'm
2	picking up bad habits.
3	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Would it help if
4	you discussed your idea of how and when we would
5	refocus?
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I would hope
7	that at the August meeting that would be a sufficient
8	amount of
9	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: We bring up the
10	topics, and then we can decide.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: By the August meeting,
12	I hope that we will all have given it some thought and
13	arrive at
14	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Circulated some
15	ideas maybe amongst ourselves.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: arrive at the
17	meeting ready to discuss whatever focus you want to
18	emphasize. I put my two down as examples. That does
19	not mean that you folks don't have
20	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: The thing that I'm
21	adamant on is I don't want the potential for a report
22	that's on everything on fair housing.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. We're not going
24	there.
25	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: We're not going to

1	do that.
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And if we do, you can
3	object.
4	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'll die.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No, you won't.
6	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Is it all right if
7	we submit some of our ideas to the Staff Director
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, yes. Sure, of
9	course.
10	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: and General
11	Counsel and others for refinement and assistance
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: so that they can
14	then assist us in what are proposed? Okay.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Somebody help me.
16	Where are we? Did we vote?
17	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: No.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All in favor,
19	please say aye.
20	(Chorus of ayes.)
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Objections?
22	(No response.)
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Abstentions?
24	(No response.)
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The motion passes
	NEAL R. GROSS

1 unanimously. 2 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Mr. 3 Chairman, I would just like to suggest that we have 4 permission from the Commissioners to modify the 5 narrative in the FY 2009 budget just to reflect that "ditched" revision. 6 7 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: We did have 8 9 language in there. We had some footnotes saying that we might change the topic. So perhaps we will just 10 refine it a little bit to refer to it in a broader 11 12 fair housing sense as opposed to the focus on Home Sweet Home that's in the narrative. 13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. My notes, okay, 14 15 at this point, how about -- okay. 16 I move that we have a discussion regarding 17 the manner in which we select our briefing reports. Is there a second? 18 19 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion. 20 21 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: The motion was made 22 at the last meeting that we set to be more nimble and 23 use our resources more effectively, that we set our

briefing topics the quarter ahead, and I think that we

ought to adopt that policy for fiscal year 2009 and

24

beyond, and we can certainly consider for whatever
persuasiveness they provide us whether the topics that
have previously been approved should make it on any
particular report.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioner
Yaki.
COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'd like to amend that
to simply state that previously approved topics shall
be considered for inclusion in review and
determination of each of those topics.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Can you accept a
friendly amendment?
COMMISSIONER YAKI: I want to move it
stronger because some of these topics are important
and have been around for a while and need to be
studied just as much as whatever the flavor of the
month happens to be.
COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Explain to me how
that would work.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I believe what he's
saying is that each quarter, according to the proposed
plan, we would consider various topics. He's only
saying that the topics that are on deck be included
for consideration.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO:

25

Do they have to be

1	included forever more?
2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: That's my question.
4	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: They have to be
6	included forever more?
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: What does that mean?
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Do you mean 20 years
9	from now, that we never have this
10	COMMISSIONER YAKI: If 20 years from now
11	we are worried about whether or not
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki, you
13	can propose any topic that you like. That's the
14	bottom line.
15	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Why can't you just
16	propose those of the six each time you think or
17	whatever? You see, I'm confused as to what are on the
18	schedule and what's not. I've never gotten a straight
19	answer to that, but let's say you imagine there's a
20	certain number that are. So they're automatically
21	every single quarter put on that
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I don't know that I
23	imagined them. I think we've actually approved them
24	and they exist.
25	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Assuming there are

1	some that are so privileged that they automatically
2	will go on every single quarter from here to eternity
3	plus any others that we individually want to suggest?
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I don't believe
5	that that's Commissioner Yaki's position.
6	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I think it is.
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Actually Commissioner
8	Yaki's position is that these were items that previous
9	iterations of the Commission
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Does Commissioner Yaki
11	agree with me that it is within his rights under this
12	proposal to introduce any topic, any briefing topic
13	that he likes?
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: You believe that
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Yaki
17	believes that I can introduce any topic that I like.
18	However, Commissioner Yaki also believes that
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Hold it. What is the
	"no" attached to then?
20	
	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Because I believe it
21	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Because I believe it should be the policy of the Commission, having
21	
20 21 22 23	should be the policy of the Commission, having

_	and whether or not they should
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Isn't this formal
3	substance since any Commissioner could introduce any
4	briefing topic
5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Chairman, if you
6	were to ask me to pull out of my binder what we have
7	approved over the last two years that we have that
8	are in the queue every time, it would be difficult for
9	me to do so. We have this. It's part of the
10	information packets that we always have.
11	In other words, it is unrealistic, given
12	the fact I have no special assistant
13	(Laughter.)
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: to
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Just had to slide that
16	one in. Slide that one in.
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: for me to recall each and
18	every time we do this where they are, where I find
19	them, and how to put them in the mix.
20	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Could I speak to
21	this? It seems to me that under the Commission
22	discretion policy that any Commissioner's power would
23	be as broad as was necessary since to me if we get
24	into this it's unclear to me. We may have a
25	disagreement as to which ones are moot, which ones

1	are
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Are we talking about
3	'09? Is this discussion limited to the briefing
4	reports that have been selected for '09?
5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: If I recall, we have a
6	backlog of '08, '07 and
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, '08 is almost
8	over.
9	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, we have a
10	backlog that goes into '09, including the '09 list,
11	and there were some I mean, there are a fair
12	number. I would certainly say those that have been
13	previously approved should continue to appear on the
14	list for consideration in each
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Now, are you talking
16	about items that you have a personal interest in?
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No. I'm talking about
18	items that have been previously approved.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So you're defending
20	positions
21	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm defending the
22	position of prior Commission votes of things that were
23	worthy of studying and that we should at least review
24	them each time rather than have to try and figure out

where they were because they were the --

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sure that Richard
2	can figure this out. I'm sure that Richard has
3	figured this out.
4	COMMISSIONER YAKI: He's not my special
5	assistant.
6	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: The Staff Director
7	can possibly send you a list and you can agree or
8	disagree.
9	COMMISSIONER YAKI: If he can send that
10	list, he can include the list you know what? I
11	just don't understand, Commissioner Gaziano, what the
12	problem is with the fact that the Commission, this
13	Commission, by usually votes, outvoting me. So these
14	are mainly your topics
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And if we want to
16	ditch our own topic I'm not sure
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: You can ditch it.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: that you have a dog
19	in the fight.
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm just saying
21	that
22	(Laughter.)
23	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm just saying that
24	there
25	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I just think your

1	amendment is subject to more disagreement.
2	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It's also subject to
3	error. If we forget to do it one time, does that mean
4	that somehow we've tainted a selection that was made?
5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, I don't think so.
6	I think that's getting a little silly. I mean, you
7	know, I'm just saying that there are a lot of things
8	that we have considered, voted on, and approved, and
9	it would be nice to ensure that those topics that we
10	did are still eligible for consideration, and they
11	should not be
12	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: They are eligible.
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: and should not be
14	commented upon, also trying to go backwards in time to
15	try and find them when it's easily much more findable
16	by the fact that the staff has all of this in their
17	hands. That's all I'm saying.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I don't agree with
19	Commissioner Yaki. I am willing to vote with
20	Commissioner Yaki on this to move the damn to move
21	the conversation.
22	(Laughter.)
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So I don't know how
24	others feel about it. Commissioner Melendez?
25	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I remember that

the idea was to make sure that all of us had a specific topic so that nobody was left out, even on the minority here. If it were left by a vote, probably none of the topics that Michael and I have will come up for a vote, but the issue is to try to get everybody so that we were comfortable and in agreement with what topics should we have for the year.

Now, if we get to a point where all of a sudden somebody comes up with something else to replace something we already decided earlier, then somebody is going to get bumped, but we don't have the vote. So the problem is --

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, but we've been doing that at least sine I've been on the Commission. We have changed our mind. We have though, with respect to the old agreement that we had on having a carve-out, I don't believe that we have bumped any briefing topic that you folks had ownership of.

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: But I guess the other thing in scheduling, you know, we have a problem coming together so often. So you can do it two ways. You either set a schedule, just like we have now for 2008, community reinvestment, racial profiling, racial religious discrimination, racial corporate diversity,

NEAL R. GROSS

1	Title IX in college athletics, is cheerleading a
2	sport; now those are already set.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Please give that list
4	to Commissioner Yaki.
5	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: But the issue
6	would be now those are set.
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: If I had an assistant
8	to keep track of all this stuff.
9	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: The option to bump
10	any one of those would be all of us taking a vote to
11	replace one of those that we have already set. That's
12	one way we could do it.
13	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: You have the list
14	that Commissioner Yaki says doesn't that nobody
15	has. You have it right there.
16	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: But here's the
17	issue.
18	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Right there. I've
19	got it.
20	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: The issue is do we
21	come together to set that list with the idea that we
22	can actually change those along the line with three
23	months. Are you saying that we shouldn't even try to
24	set a list so that we end up with nothing really being
25	set three months prior. Do you know what I mean?

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The idea, as I understand it, is essentially we would select briefing topics on a quarterly basis. So we would just have a list of briefing reports that only go out one quarter and the remaining quarters in the year we would have nothing teed up.

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I'm a proponent of seeing the future, even though I know that circumstances will change that, the future if I can set for the year. I have an idea when these are going to come about and that we can change that if we desire to replace one with another at the consensus of everybody.

If we get to we don't have anything in this place three month prior, I have a problem with that because we, for one thing, never come together on conference calls or anything else. You know, we have a real problem coming together and everybody being able to have input.

So I'm just saying I prefer setting the list and then having the idea to interject something else with consensus.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, how do you feel about just compiling a list and from that list, with the understanding that we could add and subtract from

NEAL R. GROSS

1	it, from that list select topics for first quarter,
2	and then go back to that list and select for the
3	second quarter? That way
4	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Well, the issue
5	was it took us a while because we had to prioritize.
6	You know, at one point we wanted to put down your
7	three topics or five and then at some point all of us
8	did that, and then all of a sudden
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We would do that on a
LO	quarterly basis.
L1	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: You know, I mean,
L2	see how much more work that is. Quarters go by really
L3	quick, and it was hard enough doing at one time for
L4	the whole year, but having to do that every quarter,
L5	to try to figure out, do you know what I mean?
L6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No, but you can do it
L7	once. You can do it once and just identify the topics
L8	where you want them to fall, which quarter. I mean
L9	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I just am not sure
20	how it's going to work. I prefer to the old method,
21	which seemed good to me.
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: And just by way of
23	clarification
24	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I don't have a
25	problem with changing it if we all agree to doing

that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER YAKI: And of by clarification, Mr. Chair, the violin is shrinking aqain. Just because there is a list of topics does not mean that any of us has access to what descriptors of each of those topics were. If you recall, most of the topics all had a little paragraph underneath them. I have no access to where those would be, again, and that's why I'm saying --

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm not following you.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: The Vice Chair said it seemed to imply that I could drag up this list any time I wanted to. The problem is maybe I could drag up the list, but I would not have in sufficient detail the descriptors of the paragraphs that we have previously approved.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: But what about the compromise that I offered where we would compile a list that would include a description, and we would select items from that list for a particular quarter, with the understanding that we could add to that list and subtract from it.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: That was my proposal.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. We're in agreement.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I'm sorry. I
2	missed something. Before I want to privilege certain
3	ones, I want to know what ones are supposedly on, have
4	been approved or not, and I think that Michael's
5	proposal complicates things and leads to further
6	argument.
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Why would I want that?
8	(Laughter.)
9	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: That would be
10	shocking.
11	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I missed something.
12	What did I miss? Michael said
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Why would I want to
14	create further problems?
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Let the record
16	reflect that he laughed after he said that, too.
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: When I find
18	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I suggested that
19	that approach would create further problems.
20	(Pause in proceedings.)
21	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Do you think we
22	could spend this amount of time on everything that
23	comes up?
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
25	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: A question. We're

1	talking about putting this into place in 2009 because
2	we already have in September Gail's topic on minority
3	students and scientists. Then we also have November
4	2008 voting rights in U.S. territories, which is
5	already on the Website from my understanding. So it
6	sounds like we are already scheduled for 2008, and so
7	we're really looking at applying this to 2009.
8	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I could amend it
9	since we're sort of already set for the first quarter
10	of September that this apply to hearings after
11	September.
12	Is September oh, no, September is this
13	that's right. September is 2008. So it would
14	apply to any hearing October and beyond.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So do we have a
16	framework here where the actual selection would occur
17	on a quarterly basis? We will compile a list though
18	for four quarters, compile the list, have a
19	description of each topic, and then on a quarterly
20	basis vote, select items off the list on a quarterly
21	basis with the understanding
22	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Not necessarily
23	on
24	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Can we add? I
25	would hope we could add things quarterly.

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: with the
2	understanding that we can add and subtract from the
3	list.
4	COMMISSIONER YAKI: But does that include
5	the previously approved topics?
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Give me a year.
7	Are we talking about let's talk about '09.
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: We have previously
9	approved but not schedule topics for 2008, and we've
10	approved but not scheduled topics for 2009.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: If I understand Todd's
12	previous statement, this would apply to 2009.
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Why is he starting
14	October '08 then?
15	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Well, it's the
16	fiscal year, October 1.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So do we have the
18	makings of a plan here, folks?
19	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: But I'm saying
20	that since we already have November, voting rights in
21	U.S. territories right on the Website, we should keep
22	that in there and start
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is that for '08?
24	COMMISSIONRE YAKI: No, that's for '09.
25	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: October 1 is

1	actually November.
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Then it's '09.
3	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes. I'm just
4	saying we kind of committed to that one.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We don't commit to
6	I mean our commitments aren't that
7	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes, I know.
8	(Laughter.)
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: They don't run that
10	deep.
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Let's just close it up
12	because I'm going to vote no on this piece of crud
13	anyway.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Now, with respect
15	to
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Just take the vote.
17	We're going to vote no. Just take the vote.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Now, let's
19	articulate the motion. The motion is that we compile
20	a list for the year of briefing topics, but that we
21	vote on a quarterly basis to select items from that
22	list, on a quarterly basis, and that this would be
23	done with the understanding
24	COMMISSIONER YAKI: For which quarter?
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: For four quarters.

1	COMMISSIONER YAKI: You vote on a
2	quarterly basis for what?
3	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: For the next
4	COMMISSIONER YAKI: For the following
5	quarter.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Right.
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: So when are we going
8	to vote on the topics for first quarter '09?
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: It would have to be
10	soon.
11	(Laughter.)
12	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: August perhaps?
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Let's put it on the
14	August list.
15	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Put it on the
16	August agenda.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: August?
18	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
20	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Let's go.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Getting back
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I just wanted
23	clarification.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. That's fine.
25	Okay. So
	NEAL D. CDOSS

1	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And we can add and
2	subtract each quarter to that.
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm going to ask the
4	Staff Director to include in there the list of all
5	previously approved and unscheduled topics from 2008
6	and 2009.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I consider that a
8	friendly amendment. I hope that there is not
9	objection to it.
10	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: One question.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Do you object?
12	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: No, I just have
13	one question. As far as notifying the people that
14	appear before us on panels, is that an adequate time
15	to do that? I mean, let's say we have one six months
16	down the road and would you notify them today, giving
17	them time so that they should schedule to come here,
18	or is three months enough time for them to, you know,
19	come here? Was that helpful in the old way, but did
20	we ever notify them way ahead of time or
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I don't believe that
22	we we may have had a list that went out a year, but
23	I don't believe that
24	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: We notified people
25	that far in advance?

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No.
2	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay.
3	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Question. How
4	many briefings I mean, we settled on very few
5	briefing topics in the course of a whole year, and
6	we're making this into a big fuss about the list.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That is true.
8	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: That is true.
9	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Well, let's just
10	vote then.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's vote all
12	in favor. Please say aye.
13	(Chorus of ayes.)
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Pete, you still there?
15	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Aye.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Objections?
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Zip, no, no.
18	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: No.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Abstentions?
20	(No response.)
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Please look
22	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Mr.
23	Chairman, if I could again maybe adjust the narrative
24	again for the 2009 budget if necessary to reflect
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.

1	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: the
2	change in the
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. Okay. Please
4	let the record reflect that Commissioners Melendez and
5	Yaki voted against the motion. The remaining
6	Commissioners voted in favor. The motion carries.
7	Okay. I also move that we amend our AIs
8	to reflect the new process that we just voted on. Is
9	there a second?
10	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
12	(No response.)
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor, please
14	say aye.
15	(Chorus of ayes.)
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No.
17	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: No.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Abstentions?
19	(No response.)
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioners
21	Yaki and Melendez voted against. The remaining
22	Commissioners voted for, and the motion passes.
23	Next up, okay.
24	VI. PROGRAM PLANNING: BRIEFING REPORT ON RACIAL
25	CATEGORIZATION IN THE CENSUS
	1

106
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I move that in lieu of
the Census report findings and recommendations that
were circulated by the Staff Director with our meeting
materials last week the Commission instead consider
the revised findings and recommendations circulated to
Commissioners on July 8th. If this motion is adopted
pursuant to our practices, we will vote up or down on
each finding and recommendation. There will be a
discussion on each finding and recommendations, and
the Commissioners, of course, are free to amend,
delete, replace the revised findings and
recommendation.
Is there a second?
COMMISSIONER GAZAINO: Second.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion.

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes, I have a question. How much input have we received as far as from the Census Department with those committees that they have that look into each one of the different categories? For example, the Native Hawaiians have a committee that gives recommendations back to the census. The Hispanic people, I think they have one also.

So have we considered what they've said?

I mean, some of those could say, well, we wanted to

NEAL R. GROSS

1	keep it the same as last year. Are we saying that
2	we're just kind of disregarding what these minority
3	categories the people there are actually saying?
4	I'm not sure. We can give
5	recommendations, but I just want to make sure that we
6	are taking into account what some of these groups are
7	saying to us.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any discussion?
9	(No response.)
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I will march
11	down the findings.
12	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Can we vote on the
13	motion to substitute first?
14	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, we have to.
15	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I don't think so.
16	I think
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: There's a motion to
18	substitute these. The motion said then we will go down
19	one at a time.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And vote one at a
21	time.
22	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: So we have a motion
23	that we're going to be discussing these rather than
24	the other ones.
25	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: That's all. It's

1	a procedural motion.
2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I would be to differ.
3	I think if you are moving to substitute these
4	findings for other findings, even if you are going to
5	then subsequently discuss those findings, you are
6	impliedly violating our AI in which we have to go
7	through it one by one.
8	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: No, the motion
9	included that we would go through one by one.
LO	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I don't know. I think
L1	that's a technical issue. That's wrong. You have to
L2	substitute them one at a time.
L3	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: That's not what the
L4	motion said.
L5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I know that's not what
L6	the motion says. I think technically it's incorrect.
L7	I think there's a substantive component to it.
L8	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Call the question.
L9	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay. Let's vote.
20	Calling the question.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Hold on. Put your
22	rule books back in your pockets. Mr. Melendez.
23	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: We asked the
24	question whether or not the broader questions would
25	be after we start going through the recommendations on

1	the specific recommendation or should we ask those
2	before?
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I opened the
4	floor for discussion before marching through
5	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay. Well, I'm
6	still in that mode.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
8	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: The question I
9	asked was did our staff get any input from the Census
LO	Advisory Committees on race and ethnicity into this
L1	product that we're
L2	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Well, we had the
L3	Director and the former Director of the Census Bureau
L4	testify at the briefing that we held that informed
L5	this report, and then we also had a period after the
L6	briefing for people to submit information.
L7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: And have they gone
L8	over the substitute motion? Were they allowed to look
L9	at and comment on the substitute motion?
20	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Was who allowed to
21	comment?
22	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: That's not part of
23	our process.
24	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm just wondering.
25	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: No, I'm wondering

1	who you're asking was who allowed to look at the
2	substitute motion.
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Anyone in the Census
4	Bureau. They are allowed to look at our findings or
5	recommendations. Of course they are. So just
6	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Before we adopt
7	them?
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I was just
10	pointing out that as a practice, that's not what we
11	do. We don't circulate draft findings and
12	recommendations to agencies.
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay.
14	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Call the question.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Hold on.
16	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: The real question
17	is also do we actually need recommendations on this
18	issue? It seems to be a real issue that needs a lot
19	more expertise, comments. I know that even when
20	Commissioner Braceras was on board and we started to
21	discuss the Hispanic situation, she was adamant about
22	changing, you know, what was in the previous year.
23	So I know that it gets to be a real
24	contentious issue, and we don't even have a Hispanic
25	person on the Commission anymore as far as their

	liput, but i know at the time we had tarked about
2	that, she was real adamant on her position which may
3	not coincide with what we're recommending here. But
4	that's all I'm saying.
5	So I guess we can go through the points as
6	we get down into the specifics.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Well, we've had
8	input from the Census Bureau, and I suggest that we
9	march through the findings, and to the extent any
10	Commissioner feels strong enough to write something,
11	there's always dissenting and concurring opinions to
12	deal with perceived shortcomings in the document.
13	Okay. The first finding reads, "The
14	Census Bureau develops"
15	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We have to vote on
16	the motion.
17	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: We have to vote on
18	the motion.
19	COMMISSIONER YAKI: There's a call of the
20	question. I'd like it
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry. I'm sorry.
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: to be a roll call
23	on the call of the question.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry.
25	Commissioner Yaki, I am not an expert on the rules.

1	Please explain your request.
2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Call the question
3	requires two-thirds to close debate. I had some
4	questions, but apparently Commissioner Gaziano or
5	Commissioner Gaziano
6	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I remove my motion
7	to call the question.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. Let's put the
9	rule books back in your pocket, folks. It will just
10	tie us up and keep us here longer than we should be.
11	If you have a question, Commissioner Yaki, please ask
12	it.
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes. I want to know
14	what kind of legal review was done of the substitute
15	motion package by staff.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Legal of the
17	substituted findings and recommendations?
18	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes, by the staff.
19	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: What legal review
20	is normally done?
21	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I think he's
22	referring to the defame and degrade legal sufficiency,
23	affected agency and external reviews that are supposed
24	to be done.
25	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, no, that's for

	l the whore report. I'm just tarking about whether or
2	not these findings, given as extensive as they are
3	were reviewed for their legal integrity by the staff.
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Where is Mr.
5	Blackwood?
6	Well, first of all, Staff Director, is
7	that an appropriate is that something that we would
8	do under these circumstances, run it back through OGC?
9	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: I don't
10	believe it's normal when the Commissioners are
11	offering substitute motions at a meeting that they go
12	through legal review before the Commissioners offer
13	not necessarily as a matter of course.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow,
15	are you sneezing?
16	(Laughter.)
17	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No. Forget it.
18	(Laughter.)
19	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. Chairman, that
20	seems entirely right. We've made motions all the time
21	to substitute recommendations and findings, and we
22	don't halt them for subsequent legal review.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We are responding to
24	the question.
25	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Some of

1	these are very spontaneous motions that are offered in
2	the course of the meeting.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Mr. Blackwood.
4	MR. BLACKWOOD: This is Mr. Blackwood.
5	No, we don't conduct legal sufficiency review of the
6	findings and recommendations or any amendments
7	thereto.
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay. That's all I
9	wanted to know.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any other questions?
11	(No response.)
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All right. The first
13	finding: "The Census Bureau"
14	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We have to vote on
15	the motion.
16	(Laughter.)
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All in favor
18	say aye.
19	(Chorus of ayes.)
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No.
21	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Opposed.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry?
23	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: No.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Pete?
25	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Pete, it's just
	NEAL D. CDOSS

1	voting on calling the question.
2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, no.
3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It's voting on the
4	motion.
5	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Voting on
6	substituting these.
7	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Oh, substituting.
8	Okay.
9	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All right. Let the
11	record reflect that Commissioners Melendez and Yaki
12	voted against the motion. The remaining Commissioners
13	voted for it and the motion passes.
14	Next up is voting on Part A of the
15	document. I move that the Commission approve Part A,
16	the body of the document.
17	Is there a second?
18	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
20	(No response.)
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioner
22	Melendez?
23	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: No, I'm just going
24	forward. Did you call for the question?
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's vote.

1	All in favor please say aye.
2	(Chorus of ayes.)
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Objections?
4	(No response.)
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Abstentions?
6	(No response.)
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki,
8	what's your position?
9	COMMISSIONER YAKI: On all the findings?
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Part A.
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm abstaining.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioner
13	Kirsanow?
14	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I'm sorry. I had
15	to jump off for a moment. I missed the last
16	discussion.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. We're voting on
18	Part A, the body of the document. How do you vote?
19	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Give me one
20	second.
21	I'm going to have to jump off for a
22	second. I'm going to have to come back.
23	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: All right. So he
24	
25	COMMISSIONER YAKI: He's not voting on
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	this.
2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: He's absent.
3	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: He's not voting.
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
5	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Or maybe we can
6	hold it open in order to record his vote.
7	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: No, no. He's just
8	absent.
9	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay.
10	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Let's move on.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let the record
12	reflect that Commissioner Yaki voted against.
13	Commissioner
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I abstained.
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: He abstained.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Oh, I'm sorry. That
17	he abstained
17 18	he abstained COMMISSIONER YAKI: I will be voting
18	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I will be voting
18 19	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I will be voting against later.
18 19 20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I will be voting against later. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow
18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I will be voting against later. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow did not participate in this particular vote, and the
18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I will be voting against later. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow did not participate in this particular vote, and the remaining Commissioners voted in favor.

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Where am I? 2 Findings? The first finding reads as follows: 3 4 Census Bureau develops its questions on race, ethnicity and ancestry in compliance with Office of 5 6 Management and Budget standards for maintaining, 7 collecting, presenting federal data on race and ethnicity. Those standards provide a minimum standard 8 9 for maintaining, collecting, and presenting data for 10 all federal reporting purposes. Among other things, the standards provide the minimum categories for data 11 12 on race and ethnicity for federal statistics. Program administrative reporting and civil rights compliance 13 are defined as follows. American or Alaska Native, 14 15 Asian, black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, 16 Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander, and white." 17 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I think you misstated American Indian or Alaskan Native. the first one. 18 19 think you left out the word "Indian." 20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Thank you for 21 the correction. "Respondents shall be offered the option 22 of selecting one or more racial categories." 23 The next standard is whenever feasible a 24

two-question format for reporting race and ethnicity

	shall be used. When this is done, the question on
2	ethnicity should come first and must contain at a
3	minimum the following categories: Hispanic or Latino,
4	and not Hispanic or Latino. Under these circumstances
5	the race question should include at a minimum American
6	Indian or Alaskan Native, Asian, black or African
7	American, Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander
8	and white.
9	Number two, in the 2000
10	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We need to vote on
11	that one.
12	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We need to vote on
13	that one.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Oh, I'm sorry. Is
	there a second?
15	
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Second.
	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Second. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
16 17	
16 17 18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
16 17 18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? (No response.)
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? (No response.) CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor say aye.
16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? (No response.) CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor say aye. (Chorus of ayes.)
16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? (No response.) CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor say aye. (Chorus of ayes.) COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Aye.
116 117 118 119 120 221	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? (No response.) CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor say aye. (Chorus of ayes.) COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Aye. Mr. Chairman, I'm going to have to jump

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Thank you.
2	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Thanks.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Abstentions?
4	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Abstain.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Objections?
6	(No response.)
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let the record
8	reflect that I'm sorry. Commissioner Yaki?
9	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I abstain.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. We have two
11	abstentions with Commissioners Yaki and Melendez. The
12	remaining Commissioners, including Commissioner
13	Kirsanow, voted in favor. The motion carries.
14	Please note that Commissioner Kirsanow is
15	no longer on the line.
16	The second finding reads as follows: "In
16 17	The second finding reads as follows: "In the 2000 census the Commission bureau included the
17	the 2000 census the Commission bureau included the
17	the 2000 census the Commission bureau included the five categories mandated by OMB and, in addition,
17 18 19	the 2000 census the Commission bureau included the five categories mandated by OMB and, in addition, provided a check box for some other race. The some
17 18 19 20	the 2000 census the Commission bureau included the five categories mandated by OMB and, in addition, provided a check box for some other race. The some other race category has been controversial, and the
17 18 19 20 21	the 2000 census the Commission bureau included the five categories mandated by OMB and, in addition, provided a check box for some other race. The some other race category has been controversial, and the Census Bureau considered discontinuing its use in the
17 18 19 20 21 22	the 2000 census the Commission bureau included the five categories mandated by OMB and, in addition, provided a check box for some other race. The some other race category has been controversial, and the Census Bureau considered discontinuing its use in the 2010 census. The question on Hispanic origin was

1	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
3	(No response.)
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor please
5	say aye.
6	(Chorus of ayes.)
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Objections?
8	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Opposed.
9	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Abstain.
10	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Point of order. Is
11	it possible I think Pete has Pete, do you have a
12	copy oh, he's off. I'm sorry.
13	Since we all have a copy of this before
14	us, if I move that you don't need to read these
15	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: No.
16	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: and that we
17	consider them
18	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: They need to be
19	read into the record.
20	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: By motion could we
21	dispense with the requirement that we read them into
22	the record?
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I think that we would
24	have to have a vote.
25	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I understand, but
	1

1	if I made a motion and it received a majority, could
2	we?
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I don't see anything
4	in the reg.
5	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: In the past we
6	decided these have to be read into the record.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We have. We have that
8	rule.
9	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Can't you dispense
10	with any rule if it's not an AI?
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Are we taking a break?
12	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, we'll just be
13	right back.
14	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I move that the
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Do you want to stay
16	and vote on this?
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Make your
19	motion.
20	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Well, has anyone
21	noted the absence of a quorum? I don't think anyone
22	maybe they're coming back.
23	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, we don't
24	have a quorum at this minute though.
25	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Did they state on
I	

the record they're not coming back?
VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: No, but still
COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Then we don't know
they're not coming back.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Whoa, whoa.
VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: We can't take a
vote.
COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We may have some
people not voting.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Does Mr. Schmechel's
presence indicate they're returning?
(Laughter.)
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: He left his phone. I
think that's a strong indication.
COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes, we have people
going in and out, and if someone wants unless we
know they're not returning, then I think we can
proceed.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Mr. Blackwood, would
you care to
MR. BLACKWOOD: There is no quorum.
VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, there's no
quorum. We can't take a vote.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
MS. MONROIG: Now we have a quorum.

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Please make your
2	motion.
3	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I move that the
4	substitute recommendations and findings we've adopted
5	be put into the record in written form and that we
6	then vote of them as they appear before us one by one.
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Chair.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Does it relate to this
9	motion?
10	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I provide the
12	second.
13	Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'd like 30 seconds
15	to talk to you.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All right.
17	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off the record
18	at 12:42 p.m. and went back on the record
19	at 12:45 p.m.)
20	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Are we back on the
21	record?
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Where is the Vice
23	Chair? Oh, yes, we are.
24	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I withdraw my
25	motion that the reading be substituted and instead I

1	just ask unanimous consent that all the little sub Is
2	and 2s be put in their proper place so that the
3	official record reflects what's before us on paper,
4	but that we have
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So, for example, 1(a),
6	1(b).
7	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And the footnotes
8	showing the record excerpts and all of that.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. Any objection?
10	I second. Any objection to that?
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Do we have to vote
12	on that?
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, we'll see. Are
14	you comfortable with it?
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Go ahead.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I take that as a yes.
17	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Unanimous consent.
18	Unanimous consent.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All right.
20	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We voted on two.
21	We're on three; is that right?
22	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I don't think we
23	voted on two.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We did vote on two.
25	Yaki abstained. Melendez objected.

Oka	у.
-----	----

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER YAKI: On number two I want to change my vote to object.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Please let the record reflect that Commissioner's Yaki and Melendez objected to the motion. The remaining Commissioners voted for it. Once again, Commissioner Kirsanow is no longer participating.

"Finding number three for the 2010 census, the Census Bureau has developed questions on race and ethnicity which will be used in the 2008 dress rehearsal. The questions on race, ethnicity, ancestry from the 2008 American Community Survey which replaces the long form census questionnaire is also administered by the Census Bureau. Because a massive undertaking like the of census takes years it would probably not be feasible preparation, alter these questions at this point except extraordinary cause."

Is there a second?

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor please say aye.

1	(Chorus of ayes.)
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Objections?
3	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Abstain.
4	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Abstain.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. The two
6	abstentions are Commissioners Melendez and Yaki. The
7	remaining Commissioners voted in favor. The motion
8	carries.
9	Number four, the separate question four,
10	"Hispanic origin has been a part of the census since
11	1970 when it was added to the long form. In 1980 it
12	became a part of the short form as well."
13	Is there a second?
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Second.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
16	(No response.)
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor please
18	say aye.
19	(Chorus of ayes.)
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections?
21	
	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Abstain.
22	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Abstain. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioner
22	
	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioner

1	VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibits
2	discrimination on the basis of religion and
3	employment, neither the census nor the American
4	Community Survey collects data on religious
5	identification or practice under 13 USC Section
6	221(c). Even if the Census Bureau were to include
7	such questions, respondents could not be penalized for
8	failure to answer."
9	Is there a second?
10	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
12	(No response.)
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All okay. Does he
14	want to vote on this? Good enough for me. Thank you.
15	All in favor please say aye.
16	(Chorus of ayes.)
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Objections?
18	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Abstain.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. He abstains.
20	We have two abstentions, Commissioners Yaki and
21	Melendez. The remaining Commissioners vote in favor
22	of the motion. The motion carries.
23	The sixth and final finding, "some
24	Americans regard their race, ethnicity and ancestry to
25	be a private matter. Nevertheless, under current law

1	they are required to respond to a census questionnaire
2	and to do so truthfully."
3	Is there a second?
4	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
6	(No response.)
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor please
8	say aye.
9	(Chorus of ayes.)
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Objections?
11	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Abstain.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki.
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.
14	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: The vote on number
15	six.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Number six.
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Oh, no.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioner
19	Yaki
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm curious. Which
21	one? Wait a minute. Go.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki
23	voted against the motion. Commissioner Melendez
24	abstains. The remaining Commissioners voted in favor
25	of the motion. The motion carries.
	NEAL D. ODOGO

The

2	first recommendation reads, "Given the term race,
3	ethnicity, and ancestry have no fixed or agreed upon
4	meaning in the public mind, we recommend that the
5	Census Bureau avoid using them as separate terms in
6	the next census. For example, some people regard
7	Hispanic as a race; some do not. Some may refer to a
8	particular individual's Hispanic background as his
9	ethnicity, and others may reject the term on the
10	ground that it implies something untrue about the
11	individual's cultural traits as opposed to his
12	ancestor's cultural traits. Such person may prefer
13	the term ancestry. We urge that questions about race,
14	ethnicity, ancestry be phrased in such a way as to
15	include all three concepts, such as what is your race,
16	ethnicity or ancestry."
17	Is there a second?
18	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm going to give just
21	a brief comment based on items one through seven,
22	which may be supplemented further, but I have real
23	deep objections to these new recommendations on a
24	number of different levels.

Now we are up to the recommendations.

I think the first level is that whether we

like filling out the census or not, the fact of the matter is that it is an enterprise that is rigorously tested using focus groups, using a lot of advisory committees, using social scientists, using statisticians, and most importantly, and a lot of testing to determine accuracy in the census.

We are treading on ground that we are absolutely unqualified to do from the bare bones of a briefing that had as among its so-called experts Ward Connolly.

It just does not make any sense that we would, based on the record that was produced at that meeting, without having access to all of the reports, all of the study groups, all the focus groups, all the different testing scenarios that have gone on year after year after year in the Census; for us to substitute our judgment based upon our notions about what race, ethnicity or ancestry should be in terms of what the Census focus and purpose are, and that is to get an accurate count of people who live and reside in this country.

I would just strongly object to the idea that we can, based on this record, based on our own experience, be able to substitute our judgment for that of the Census Bureau's many, many, many testing

NEAL R. GROSS

procedures over the years, especially with regard to the issue of race.

I know that somewhere in the back of my mind I hear former Commissioner Braceras screaming at me to say that she would completely object to the moving of Hispanic into some other undefined, unqualified, and untested category, and as much as former Commissioner Braceras and I disagree about a lot of things, we did agree very carefully on this.

So I would just simply again state for the record I'm going to voting no on each and every one of these, and the principal purpose is that there is fundamentally no good science behind what we are doing today that has anything to do with what the purpose of the census is supposed to do.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Vice Chair.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, Commissioner Yaki, it seems to me that what you're basically saying is that because the Census Bureau has spoken after doing focus groups and et cetera, that we never should have held a briefing to begin with because the issues before the Census have been settled, and that furthermore, that the huge scholarly literature that does exist on the census, a literature in which the authors come to judgments of a variety of sorts that

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

133 are, you know -- that address exactly the issues these recommendations address, that that scholarly literature is also somehow illegitimate because if it disagrees with what the Census has done after all its work, then you know, kind of what right do these scholars have to speak up? I mean --COMMISSIONER YAKI: That's not what I'm saying at all, Commissioner Thernstrom.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: What I am saying is precisely the first point that you made in your remarks, and that is we could have had the opportunity to have that kind of a hearing, not a briefing, a hearing.

I mean, let me just put it as bluntly as I I thought that the testimony of Mr. Connolly was simply a waste of air because all he simply said was we're all one country and we ought to do away with the whole thing.

That has nothing whatsoever to do with the kind of hard research and conclusions that you're talking about. I agree that there can be a place and position for us to make statements about the What I am simply saying is that this document census.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

is not that place.

We did not have those kinds of scholars.

We did not have that kind of research available to us.

We had two or three people, one from the Census

Bureau, Ward Connolly, a couple other folks. We

didn't have access to that kind of literature.

I do not believe the census infallible. I was one of the folks, along with some people who are here in this room today, in the '80s who was arguing for how to more accurately measure the Asian American community, the Asian Pacific community and how many checkoffs needed to be there and what the format should be for that.

And in that process we worked with the Census Bureau to develop what we believed was a more accurate means of gauging the true diversity and numbers within the Asian Pacific community of each individual subgroup within there. I'm not saying they're perfect. What I am saying is that to boldly go where no study has gone before based on nothing in the record that would enable us to reach those conclusions other than whatever, I think is wrong.

There is a time and a place, and there is a way to do it. This is not the way to do it.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Unless there

1 needs to be further discussion, we're ready to vote. 2 All in favor please say aye. 3 (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez? 4 5 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: No. 6 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioners 7 and Melendez voted against the motion. remaining Commissioners voted in favor. The motion 8 9 passes. Number two, "The opportunity to select 10 multiple responses to race, ethnicity and ancestry 11 12 questions will tend to inflate the number of responses 13 in each category relative to what would have been if 14 respondents had been permitted to select only one. nevertheless believe that the opportunity for multiple 15 16 responses is necessary for an accurate portrait of our 17 nation's complex racial, ethnic and ancestral We, therefore, recommend that the Office of 18 heritage. 19 Management and Budget standards on this issue retained." 20 21 Is there a second? VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I second it. 22 23 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? 24 (No response.) 25 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor say aye.

1 (Chorus of ayes.) 2 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Objections? COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Opposed. 3 4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Why don't you just 5 tape --6 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: For the record you 7 should say he lifted up a piece of paper that says "no" written on it. 8 9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioners 10 Melendez and Yaki voted against the measure, against The remaining Commissioners voted for. 11 the motion. 12 The motion carries. Recommendation number three, "The current 13 14 separate question on Hispanic or Latino ethnicity of 15 the census and ACS no longer serves the purposes it 16 Moreover, it may cause harm by suggesting once did. 17 that the Hispanic/Latino ethnicity is somehow uniquely significant by distorting the number 18 and 19 respondents who report they are Hispanic or Latino relative to the number who would have responded that 20 21 way if Hispanic/Latino had simply been one among many 22 racial, ethnic, or ancestral choices before them. 23 1970 when respondents were required to choose only one box, some argue that Hispanics and Latinos could be of 24

and that a single question would force

race

25

In

1	Hispanics and Latinos to choose between their race and
2	their Hispanic or Latino ancestry or ethnicity. Since
3	respondents may now select as many respondents as they
4	wish, this is no longer a problem. We, therefore,
5	recommend that the Office of Management and budget
6	standards be amended to discontinue the two question
7	format, and that instead Hispanic/Latino be added to
8	the responses to the general question on race, and
9	that the question on race be renamed a question on
10	race, ethnicity or ancestry."
11	Is there a second?
12	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
14	(No response.)
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor say aye.
16	(Chorus of ayes.)
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Objections?
18	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Opposed.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioners Yaki and
20	Melendez oppose the measure, the motion. The
21	remaining Commissioners voting for it. The motion
22	passes.
23	We're up to recommendation number four.
24	"Although attempts have been made to abolish the
25	'other race category,' the 'other race' category

1	sometimes by those who have a stake in making one or
2	more of the specific racial categories seem larger
3	than they would otherwise be, we recommend that it be
4	retained by the Census Bureau as another race,
5	ethnicity or ancestry category, given that respondents
6	are currently required by law to answer all questions
7	accurately and to the best of their knowledge, and
8	that even without that law, most respondents strive
9	for accuracy. We believe that it would place an
10	unfair burden on them to require that they give an
11	answer they believe to be inaccurate."
12	Is there a second?
13	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
15	I would like to offer a friendly
16	amendment. Bear with me. What is basically a
17	parenthetical that begins "sometimes by those who have
18	a stake in making one or more of the specific racial
19	categories seem larger than they would otherwise be,"
20	I would ask that we just delete that language.
21	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: That's fine with
22	me.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any other comments?
24	(No response.)
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. The friendly

	amendment was accepted. Do we need to vote on this,
2	the deletion?
3	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Is there any
4	objection to your friendly amendment?
5	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. No, we don't
6	need to, a friendly amendment. We just need to simply
7	vote on it.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Do you object to it?
9	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm going to vote
10	against it, but I'll let you make it.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Opposed.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: You're opposed to the
14	friendly amendment?
15	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Opposed to the
16	whole thing.
17	(Laughter.)
18	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: That's different.
19	We're going to vote on the whole thing.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We're going to vote on
21	it.
22	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: This is just a
23	question of deleting that rather
24	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Fine.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, all right. Yes.

All in favor of the motion as amended, please say aye.

(Chorus of ayes.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All those --

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Oppose.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Thank you.

Commissioners Yaki and Melendez oppose the motion. The remaining Commissioners vote in the affirmative of the amended motion. The motion as amended passes.

We're up to number five. "The current questions on the census give respondents who identify themselves as American Indian or Alaska Native, Asian Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander or Hispanic or Latino the opportunity to specify any specific subgroup to which they belong. By denying this opportunity to those who identify themselves as black, African American, or negro or those who identify themselves white, be left with as some may impression that subgroups' ethnicities and ancestries within these categories are less important, worthy of attention or are unlikely to suffer from discrimination on account of national origin. are not impressions that the Census Bureau should wish to leave. We, therefore, recommend that the Census

1	Bureau give those who check the box with black,
2	African American, or negro or white analogous
3	opportunities to register a subgroup, ethnicity or
4	ancestry within those categories. Possible subgroups
5	for the former might include Ethiopian, Haitian,
6	Dominican, or other Caribbean possible subgroups for
7	the latter might include Irish, Swedish or Arab. All
8	groups should be given an open ended other subgroup
9	category in which respondents can write in their
10	subgroup ethnicity or ancestry."
11	Is there a second?
12	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion.
14	Commissioner Yaki.
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Just to supplement my
16	earlier remarks, if there is one recommendation that
17	exemplifies what I believe to be the tragedy of these
18	recommendations it's number five because I cannot
19	possibly see how this could be implemented in any
20	coherent, statistically accurate form, and it seems
21	its only purpose is to attempt to really destroy the
22	categorization by essentially parroting it by going in
23	this direction.
24	I think that we have a lot of important

information that these kinds of categories provide to

the federal government, not the least of which are programs that are based upon accurate counts within these communities for health care, for refuge aid, for many other forms of federal assistance, and this would just create, I think, utter chaos within these categories, and perhaps that is the intention. I don't know. I would assume good motives by the drafters, but I would simply say the actual result will be quite, I think, alarming.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Vice Chair Thernstrom.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay. As somebody who has worked with census data a lot, one of the in, let's writing frustration say, hiqh completion rates for various groups or unemployment rates or household wealth or you name it is that the categories are so crude so that you really do, it seems to me, or those of us who are scholars do really want to know whether the Ethopian, the Haitian, the Dominican, other caribbean data look different than those data that are associated with the descendants of American slaves.

And so what's being asked here is a refinement of the demographic picture in America that it seems to me can only enhance. That's very much in keeping with the purpose of the census and can only

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

enhance our knowledge.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I'm glad that Commissioner Yaki withdrew his suggestion that the most likely reason is to do mischief, and I just want to be clear that I think the recommendation should be adopted for the very reason that he thinks it's valuable in other categories, and that it would certainly improve the accuracy of medical research as well as the other topics that the Vice chairman mentioned.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: The point that I made holds, of course, with white subgroups as well. There are huge differences in social mobility in America between southern Europeans and northern Europeans. I mean, this is potentially invaluable information about the fabric of America over time, changing the fabric of America over time.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Additional questions, comments?

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: yes, the question I have is and I think one of the main issues here is the use of the census data itself. I mean, it's one thing to say that all we're doing is taking a snapshot of how many people there are in these different

1	categories, but the other question, I think the worry
2	is that by juggling the options for people checking
3	different boxes, does it have anything to do with
4	funding that people receive by agencies that use
5	census data to distribute funding and things like
6	that, which then you'll hear a real outcry from
7	Americans in any of these groups that for some reason
8	census data is used in that manner?
9	So I think the only thing, I don't think
10	that we you know, people put things in place, but I
11	don't think they adequately look at the impact to all
12	of those different questions like that, that it will
13	impact people out there. I don't know if that has
14	been thoroughly looked at or at least in this
15	discussion or in these recommendations.
16	Just for the record I just wanted to say
17	that.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All in favor,
19	please say aye.
20	(Chorus of ayes.)
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Objections?
22	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Opposed.
23	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Nope.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioners Yaki
25	and Melendez oppose the motion. The remaining

Commissioners vote in favor. The motion passes.

Recommendation six, "Because many people regard their race, ethnicity or ancestry as a private matter, we believe that those who do not wish to disclose such personal information to the Census Bureau should be treated with dignity and respect. Current law states that 'no person shall be compelled to disclose information relative to his religious beliefs or to membership, any religious body.' recommend that Congress amend Subsection 221(c) to add the same protection against compelled disclosure of information on race, ethnicity or ancestry. Currently the Census Bureau has opted not to ask a question on religion, but there is nothing in 13 USC Section 221(c) that prevents it from doing so, and under the amendment we recommend nothing would prevent it from asking a question on race, ethnicity or ancestry, providing that no penalty would attach to the failure to respond. No person should be penalized for acting his belief that race, religion, ethnicity and ancestry are private matters and should be of no consequence to the federal government."

Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Second.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Commissioner Yaki.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: One of the hallmarks of the census and why researchers often, as Commissioner Thernstrom well knows, have to wait quite a while to get certain kinds of census information is because it is regarded as extremely confidential, and there are a rigorous set of laws protecting the privacy of those who are answering it.

I believe that, again, what this does is simply undermine the accuracy of the count. It undermines federal programs designed to assist many individuals who would be identifying themselves in certain manners, and again, it doesn't seem to me to be based on any hard data or realization of what the census is used for and what its purpose is with regard to the information that it contains.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: There was certainly sufficient information filed in, for example, the census sampling case before the Supreme Court that a very high response rate can be statistically corrected for statisticians.

The main reason, I think to support this recommendation is stated on its face, but I do want to point out that it may actually improve the accuracy of

NEAL R. GROSS

the data that's collected. There is anecdotal evidence of people who are offended enough by the question that don't want to risk penalties to give a false answer if they are compelled to do so. disobedience, and under this, if this recommendation were adopted, I don't see a strong argument that there would be a statistically less reliable, but it might, in fact, be statistically more reliable because you've extremely high sample got an that you know is voluntarily given.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, this is actually one area that I actually know a little bit about. There have been a number of studies done by the Census Bureau looking at the question that Commissioner Gaziano says about the accuracy of the response and the willingness of people to self-identify on the form, and there have been studies done and conducted that show that your accuracy rate is infinitely higher through compulsory identification than not, and that the error rates are significantly different, again, it goes to why on some of these things it's a question of if it's not broke don't fix it.

But I realize that there are ideological reasons that some people have for pursuing the agenda,

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	but in terms of, again, the purpose of the census, the
2	use of the census, these recommendations do nothing
3	but undermine and work to the disadvantage of many
4	minority and ethnic communities in this country.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
6	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Just one final
7	point. I don't know whether other Commissioners share
8	my hope that the census would actually ask a religion
9	question, but again, with the understanding that it's
10	voluntary, and so I think it's sort of evident in this
11	recommendation that we in the Commission want to
12	improve the accuracy of the census, but also to
13	respect the dignity of those who don't want to respond
14	to such personal information.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All in favor
16	please say aye.
17	(Chorus of ayes.)
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Objections?
19	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Opposed.
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Nope.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioners Melendez
22	and Yaki voted against the motion. The remaining
23	Commissioners voted for the motion. The motion
24	passes.

The final recommendation, recommendation

seven, reads as follows: "Two cautions are necessary.

First, census data are not always the only source of reliable information. Census Bureau estimates of high school dropouts, for example, have been questioned by investigators using 'alternative data.'

"Second, there are common methodological errors in employing the census data, errors in which scientists are well social aware, but attorneys, judges, and journalists far less predictably so, and thus, we recommend caution in, for instance, drawing conclusions about voter discrimination, specifically the under representation of groups in elected office taking into account without the age structure, citizenship rates, educational and income levels of the ethnic or racial group in question. Likewise conclusions about employment discrimination often rest on causal assumptions that are in reality simply correlations reflecting a host of factors that make for group differences in the labor pool for particular occupations.

"Moreover, social scientists understand that comparing census data with other data sets that have employed different data collection methodologies is itself problematic. For example, a data set in which respondents are permitted to select only one

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	racial or ethnic group will not be easily comparable
2	to census or other data in which multiple responses
3	are explicitly permitted."
4	Is there a second?
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
7	(No response.)
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor please
9	say aye.
10	(Chorus of ayes.)
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Objections.
12	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Opposed.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm not going to hold
14	the sign. Commissioners Yaki and Melendez voted
15	against the motion. The remaining Commissioners voted
16	in favor. The motion carries.
17	Okay. Next up, the Omaha briefing.
18	VI. PROGRAM PLANNING: BRIEFING REPORT ON THE
19	DELIBERATE CREATION OF RACIALLY IDENTIFIABLE
20	SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN OMAHA, NEBRASKA
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. With respect to
22	the Omaha briefing, I'm going to make a motion that we
23	well, first, I move to vote on the Omaha briefing.
24	Is there a second?
25	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second.

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? I'm
2	sorry.
3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: A motion to address
4	something on the agenda?
5	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Not to vote on.
6	He made a motion to vote on the Omaha briefing, and
7	we're going to have a discussion.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Right.
9	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's what the
10	agenda already says.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Assuming it's a
12	redundancy
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay. Then I'm for
14	it.
15	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: The motion is to
16	discuss the Omaha report.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. The floor is
18	open.
19	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Since we have
21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I can discuss.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
23	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: This is the
24	appropriate time to talk about the substance of the
25	report?

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I was not a big far
3	of the report. I think that I wouldn't be willing to
4	sign onto most of the conclusions of the report, and I
5	think it might be better and I suspect that we're not
6	going to reach consensus in favor of that report; it
7	may be better if we were simply to acknowledge the
8	fact that this statute has been repealed and publish
9	the transcript of the briefing rather than the
10	proposed report.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Would you include
12	statements, the statements that were
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: As long as we're not
14	endorsing anything that anybody is saying. If they
15	have written statements, then I think it makes sense
16	to include those. That's what the witnesses wanted to
17	be remembered with, I suspect. It includes that and
18	the transcript.
19	We should draw no conclusions, certainly
20	not the conclusions that are in this report.
21	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Is that a motion?
22	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: If it's a motion,
23	I second it.

HERIOT:

Yes,

COMMISSIONER

motion.

24

25

that's

а

1	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I second it.
2	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Just a comment. I
3	support also not having any recommendations.
4	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, that's
5	included in that.
6	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: What about the
7	finding?
8	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: No.
9	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.
LO	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: They're gone.
L1	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Everything is gone.
L2	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Gone.
L3	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay. I don't
L4	have a problem with the findings. I don't know how
L5	everybody else feels.
L6	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Including the
L7	executive summary and the general under my motion
L8	what we would publish would be the witnesses'
L9	statements as they submitted them, the transcript of
20	the briefing, but with nothing form us suggesting that
21	we believe anything. These are the facts. This is
22	what happened.
23	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Is it worthy of
24	actually publishing in paper form?
25	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I think it should be

done electronically and not in paper form because there's no sense wasting money on paper.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, I would just like to point out the delicious irony here over the

like to point out the delicious irony here over the fact that I have said for years that what we should be doing with briefings is exactly what Commissioner Heriot is suggesting we do with Omaha, and that is to produce the documents, the statements, the

It's interesting that when there's an indication that the majority may not like the findings or conclusions of a report that actually a minority may like, they then decide, well, why don't we do it that way. Why don't we just strip it all out and just put it all up there for people to draw their own

I would just like to point that out as a very nice and delicious irony because that is exactly what I have been talking about for years. Because you do not have enough information in these briefings, in these records to do the kinds of findings and recommendations that you would choose to do.

The one time we did was because we were embarrassed by the Native Hawaiian report findings and

NEAL R. GROSS

transcripts.

conclusion.

recommendations. Actually we still did a recommendation on that because the majority wanted to, but even they couldn't stomach the findings which came out of some fifth grade notebook.

I mean, this to me is a situation where you had a legislature that had as its intent, intent, drawing school districts based on race for no purpose other than to draw it based on race. There were only, I'm sad to say, there were only three Commissioners at that hearing. That was Commissioner Taylor, Commissioner Melendez, and myself.

But I wanted to give credit to the Chair who with me wanted to do this hearing in the first place because of how outrageous the act was of the legislature of Nebraska in divvying up Omaha along these lines.

I've now gone beyond irony to just being appalled by the fact that when we have an opportunity, albeit many years later, you know, to make a statement about how we saw something that was happening that we believe was not right and that when we acted and we made a positive contribution to that dialogue, now we run away as fast as we can.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. First off, this

NEAL R. GROSS

is one of the few issues where I believe that we had a very strong consensus across the ideological spectrum. Now, you have in the past recommended that we issue no findings of fact and recommendation. There are times when we do, but I think as a general proposition we should issue findings and recommendations. There will be particular reports where there is a majority of Commissioners that believe that it's appropriate not to do so. This is one of those briefings. I don't believe that this decision is in any way an indication that a majority of Commissioners believe that issuing findings and recommendations is a bad

Commissioner Gaziano.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes, let the record if the actual record of the reflect event as in this report otherwise reflected orCommissioner Yaki I would says they are, improving the findings and recommendations and issuing them.

But my review of this report suggested that the record was not so clear, and I just don't have confidence based on this report to say that the legislature, for example, did intend to carve out racial districts. There seems to be some dispute

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

idea.

about that.

I have no confidence in this report that that is so. So I don't feel comfortable, but if that was the situation, I would certainly be with Commissioner Yaki in saying let's fix the reports.

But given that this legislation has been repealed, given that it's moot, given that the Commission may have had some positive influence in that, I think it's not worth the extra resources of the Commission to try to rewrite this report and sift through what is an historical muddle.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Heriot.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: One, maybe I'm just echoing what Commissioner Gaziano was saying here, that you know, first off, I just want to make sure that the record reflects that I do not agree with the characterization of what the Nebraska legislature did, Commissioner Yaki's characterization.

But, second, Commissioner Yaki is railing against majority vote. Yes, of course it's true that when you can get a majority of the Commission's members to decide to publish in truncated form, that's what should be done, and when only a couple of members of the Commission believe that, then they're outvoted, and that's just the way the world is.

NEAL R. GROSS

1 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Oh, yes, we'll 2 remember that. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Vice Chair Thernstrom. 3 4 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Look. It seems to 5 me, Commissioner Yaki, that this was a unique story, 6 this briefing. At the time, yes, I did not go. 7 boycotted it. I regarded the Commission running out there as ambulance chasing, reacting to a news story. 8 9 It was a one-day story. The legislative proposal couldn't, wouldn't survive for more than two seconds, 10 didn't survive, and you know, it was a non-issue 11 12 before we went out. It remained a non-issue. completely killed, and so there isn't 13 any other 14 briefing situation that's analogous. 15 And I stand -- it seems to me my original 16 decision that I'm not going to participate in this has been shown to be a good one over time. 17 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki. 18 19 COMMISSIONER YAKI: This is а report produced by this Commission, by this Commission's 20 21 staff with findings and recommendations. Aside from the fiasco with Hawaii, this Commission has approved 22 23 every single report over the vote -- over my vote,

usually with the vote of Commissioner Melendez when he

So there is a difference here, and that is

came on.

24

1 because of ideological reasons, we have decided to 2 reject the staff report of very safe findings and recommendations on what happened in Omaha. 3 4 And maybe you thought it was ambulance 5 I don't know, but you weren't there. I was 6 There was a packed room in there. 7 tremendous visibility --VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: 8 It was a non-9 issue. COMMISSIONER YAKI: 10 -- and interest in This was something that the Chair and I thought 11 this. 12 would be а good thing. The Commission voted unanimously, I believe, to have this kind of hearing. 13 It was in some respects to me one of the 14 15 better things that we have done in that it showed that 16 the United States Commission on Civil Rights still cared about civil rights. And I don't care what you 17 want to say, but when a legislature draws a district 18 19 very carefully based on racial lines, I don't care 20 what they say their intent is, the clear result is one 21 that is based on purely racial lines. I mean, the thing is, you know, do with it 22 whatever you want to do. I don't care. I think that 23 I wish this report had come out earlier to be more 24 25 relevant because I can tell you that we were getting

1	phone calls. I was getting phone calls from people in
2	the Nebraska legislature, from the press wanting to
3	know when our report was going to come out, how soon
4	it would come out because they thought of its
5	importance influencing the actual debates.
6	We missed an opportunity there. Is this
7	moot by now? Of course it is, but it doesn't detract
8	from what we did there, and what the Nebraska
9	legislature tried to do there and how we responded.
10	So let's just get on with it and white
11	wash the whole thing as the majority want to do.
12	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I want to say one
13	sentence.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Vice Chair Thernstrom.
15	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Just for the
16	record, I resent the characterization that for
17	ideological reasons I am opposed to the publication of
18	this report. That is not correct.
19	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I apologize.
20	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: One clarification
21	here, just a statement. The Nebraska legislature did
22	not, in fact, draw any lines here, and part of the
23	problem with the report is that it misunderstands the
24	amendment that was offered to that bill, and so just

let the record reflect that the legislature did no

1	such thing.
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All those in favor
3	please vote aye.
4	(Chorus of ayes.)
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Objections.
6	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: No.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Abstentions.
8	(No response.)
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioners
10	Yaki and Melendez voted against the motion. The
11	remaining Commissioners voted in favor of it.
12	Next up we have a vote on the State
13	Advisory Committees.
14	VII. STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ISSUES: ARKANSAS SAC
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I move that the
16	Commission re-charter the Arkansas State Advisory
17	Committee. Under this motion the Commission appoints
18	all of the individuals of the committee based on the
19	to that committee based on the recommendations of
20	the Staff Director, Robert Castrell.
21	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: We're about to
22	lose a quorum.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioner
24	Melendez, are you coming back?
25	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You might want to

1	try to raise Pete to get a quorum.
2	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: You're not going
3	to get a quorum anyway unless Melendez is coming back.
4	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Pete could come
5	back.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, yes, we could
7	call someone. I believe Melendez is coming back.
8	(Pause in proceedings.)
9	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: For brief moments,
10	five minutes at a time.
11	(Pause in proceedings.)
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Just checking,
13	Richard, to see if you're gathering your belongings.
14	MR. SCHMECHEL: I'm not entirely sure.
15	They're having conversation. It could be a while.
16	(Pause in proceedings.)
17	(Whereupon, at 1:35 p.m., the meeting in
18	the above-entitled matter was adjourned.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	