+ + + + +

MEETING

+ + + + +

Friday, July 10, 2009

+ + + + +

The Commission convened in Room 540 at 624 Ninth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., at 9:30 a.m., Gerald A. Reynolds, Chairman, presiding.

### PRESENT:

GERALD A. REYNOLDS, Chairman (via telephone)

ABIGAIL THERNSTROM, Vice Chairman

TODD F. GAZIANO, Commissioner

GAIL L. HERIOT, Commissioner

PETER N. KIRSANOW, Commissioner (by telephone)

ARLAN D. MELENDEZ, Commissioner (by telephone)

ASHLEY L. TAYLOR, JR., Commissioner

MICHAEL YAKI, Commissioner

MARTIN DANNENFELSER, Staff Director (by telephone)

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

### STAFF PRESENT:

DAVID BLACKWOOD, General Counsel

MARGARET BUTLER

DEMITRIA DEAS

PAMELA A. DUNSTON, Chief, ASCD

LATRICE FOSHEE

ROBERT LERNER, Asst. Deputy Staff Director

EMMA MONROIG, Solicitor

LENORE OSTROWSKY

EILEEN RUDERT

KIMBERLY TOLHURST

COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

ALEC DUELL

TIM FAY

DOMINIQUE LUDVIGSON (by telephone)

ALISON SCHMAUCH

RICHARD SCHMECHEL

KIMBERLY SCHULD

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Approval of Agenda
II.	State Advisory Committee Issues - Virginia SAC
III.	Approval of Minutes, June 12, 2009 Meeting
IV.	Program Planning
	(a) National Civil Rights Conference 68 (b) Draft Letter to Ohio Legislature
	re set-asides
	Authority
	(d) Update on Status of 2009 Statutory
	Report
٧.	Adjournment

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Formatted: Left: 144 pt, Right: 84.25 pt, Top: 18 pt, Bottom: 18 pt, Suppress Endnotes, Header distance from edge: 18 pt, Footer distance from edge: 18 pt, From text: 35.4 pt

(9:32 a.m.)

3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Let's get started with

the preliminaries.

And someone, please send them an E-mail letting them know that we've started.

Okay. The meeting will come to order.

This is a meeting of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. It is 9:30 Eastern Standard Time on July 10th, 2009. The meeting is being held at 624 Ninth Street, N.W., Room 540, in Washington, D.C.

The following Commissioners are participating by phone. That would be Commissioners Melendez, Kirsanow, and myself. Commissioners Taylor and Thernstrom are not present, but they are on the way, and the remaining Commissioners are participating there at Headquarters.

The first item on the agenda is the approval of the agenda.

#### I. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I move that we approve the agenda. Is there a second?}$ 

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER GAZIANO:} \quad \mbox{Second, but I have} \\ \mbox{two amendments to propose.}$ 

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, there are a

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	number of amendments. Commissioner Gaziano, Kirsanow,
2	and Yaki have amendments. Let's start with Todd.
3	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: My first amendment
4	is to advance consideration of the Virginia SAC
5	somewhere before Program Planning.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is that due to the
7	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Let me just put
8	mine, I suppose, together. Let's just combine them
9	unless someone wants to divide them. My other
10	suggestion is to delete a discussion or approval of
11	the briefing report on Title IX.
12	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Second?
14	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Second.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any discussion?
16	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes. Commissioner
17	Melendez.
18	Why are we changing this? I didn't
19	totally understand.
20	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: My reasoning,
21	anyway, is that sometimes we lose a quorum,
22	unfortunately, for rechartering the SACs, and given
23	that's an important statutory duty, I just want to
24	make sure that we take care of that, and there has
25	been some strange press interest in the Virginia SAC

1	in particular. So we should satisfy the public by
2	taking action.
3	On briefing report on Title IX, if the
4	majority of Commissioners are eager to take it up,
5	that's fine, but I believe with the press of other
6	business that at least I and maybe some others aren't
7	prepared to take it up today.
8	I have no reason to think that I have a
9	substantive objective to it one way or the other.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's take the
11	Virginia SAC issue first. Are there any more
12	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It's one motion,
13	Jerry.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry?
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It's all one motion
16	so that we can get through this more quickly.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Oh, okay. All those
18	in favor please say aye.
19	(Chorus of ayes.)
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any nays?
21	(No response.)
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions?
23	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I abstain.
24	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: One abstention,
25	Melendez.
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let the record
2	reflect that Commissioner Melendez abstains, and
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Yaki
4	abstains as well.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Thank you.
6	Commissioners Yaki and Melendez abstained,
7	and the remaining Commissioners, with the exception of
8	Vice Chair Thernstrom and Taylor, voted in favor of
9	the motion.
10	Okay. Commissioner Kirsanow, do you want
11	to talk about your proposed amendment to the agenda?
12	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes. I would
13	simply propose and I'm not partial as to where it's
14	placed, but I would suggest right after discussion of
15	the National Civil Rights Conference discussion of
16	a draft letter to the legislature in Ohio concerning
17	their directive related to set-asides.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Discussion?
19	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes, Commissioner
20	Melendez here.
21	Has anything been sent out to us
22	pertaining to that topic prior to this discussion?
23	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: This is the
24	Staff Director.
25	That letter was included in the materials
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1	that were sent out prior to the meeting, with the
2	expectation that it might be added to the agenda.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any other comments?
4	(No response.)
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All in favor,
6	please say aye.
7	(Chorus of ayes.)
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Objections?
9	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Commissioner
10	Melendez votes no. I just don't know enough about the
11	issue.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Understood.
13	Commissioner Yaki, how do you vote?
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I abstain.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. The motion
16	passes.
17	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. Chair, let the
18	record reflect that Commissioners Taylor and Vice
19	Chair Thernstrom have arrived and are in place.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Did they vote
21	on this matter?
22	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: No, because I
23	don't know what you're voting on.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Next up,
25	Commissioner Yaki.
	NEAL R. GROSS  COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

	9
1	COMMISSIONER YAKI: What?
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: You have an amendment
3	to the agenda?
4	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Oh, I thought we were
5	okay. Kirsanow and I vote.
6	Yeah, I just wanted to put in the agenda
7	discussion of temporary hiring authority.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All right. Second.
9	Discussion?
10	(No response.)
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All in favor,
12	please say aye.
13	(Chorus of ayes.)
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections?
15	(No response.)
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions?
17	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Where on the
18	agenda?
19	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yeah, where on the
20	agenda, Mr. Chairman?
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: After discussion of
22	Commissioner Kirsanow's letter, which will be after
23	the discussion of the national conference.
24	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Where does the SAC
25	go then?
	NEAL R. GROSS  COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
	1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE. N.W.

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Ī	10
1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry?
2	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Where is Virginia
3	SAC now?
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's up next.
5	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: So first.
6	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Mr. Chairman, is
7	it first?
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER YAKI: You snooze, you lose.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
11	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I was doing very
12	important business.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano,
14	would you like to lead the discussion regarding the
15	alleged leak?
16	II. STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ISSUES,
17	VIRGINIA SAC
18	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Oh, yeah, I can
19	explain that, but that could come before or after we
20	approve the slate.
21	I was contacted, as I understand our
22	Commission staff was, by the story that was published
23	by a Website that had the erroneous story on Hans von
24	Spakovsky last August, and it was seeking confirmation
25	that two on the slate for the Virginia SAC would be
	NEAL R. GROSS

voted on.

I confirmed that we were going to take up the Virginia SAC; that we do not release the name of the slate publicly because there are often changes that are made if we find out that someone is ineligible or withdrawn or to have an intellectually balanced slate. So I was not going to violate our rules by confirming that the two individuals were or were not on any proposed slate.

And then I tried to explain to the reporter, that I would be glad to discuss that and who recommended, that it was part of our public record who recommended different people to these SACs, that it was the Commissioners' responsibility to try to make recommendations to every single SAC, and that I certainly made recommendations to the state SAC that I live and that I used to serve on.

So it bothers me that someone on our payroll or fellow Commissioner thought it necessary -- by the way, the reporter only was aware of these two individuals that someone objected to, without disclosure of the rest of the SAC. It's a SAC that I served on until recently, and as we will see in a few minutes, the slate hasn't changed. It's a very balanced slate, and it includes a lot of people who

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

are being reappointed or whose nominations are being 1 2 reappointed. So I do wish we had some clarification of 3 whether Commissioners and staff should leak to the 4 5 press non-public information. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, Todd, I think 6 7 that officially everyone will agree that that should 8 not occur, but Washington being Washington, the 9 probability that we will show self-restraint, 10 discipline -- it's highly unlikely in my view, but your point is well taken. 11 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I have a point of 12 information. 13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. 14 15 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Chair? CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. Commissioner 16 Yaki. 17 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes, I just wanted to 18 ask the General Counsel what are the rules concerning 19 20 whether or not the names on the list are, for lack of a better word, under seal until they come up through 21 the Commission publicly before the vote. 22 MR. BLACKWOOD: This is David Blackwood 23 24 for the record. Certainly under seal is not the analogy I 25 **NEAL R. GROSS** 

1	would use. I was unaware that I would be asked this
2	particular question. So I haven't gone through the
3	rules, but I would say that I'm unaware of any
4	specific rule. It is simply non-public information
5	until it's revealed at the meeting. That's it.
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay. I don't
7	understand what you mean by "non-public information."
8	MR. BLACKWOOD: It's not released to the
9	public.
10	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Is it analogous to
11	a draft report
12	COMMISSIONER YAKI: It is is it I
13	haven't finished yet.
14	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: that
15	Commissioners are reviewing?
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I haven't finished
17	yet. Is it non-public information simply because we
18	have chosen not to make it public or is there some
19	rule that says it is not to be made available to the
20	public?
21	Commissioner Gaziano seems to imply that
22	there is some there is some malfeasance or law
23	breaking going on, and I would like to know whether or
24	not, in fact, there is any existing rule that says
25	that this particular type of information which we have

ĺ	14
1	chosen, and I didn't even know if we chose or
2	whatever, not to release this information or not, if
3	there is an actual prohibition against it within our
4	rules or under any federal statute that I am unaware
5	of.
6	MR. BLACKWOOD: Well, I would be glad to
7	research the issue. I would note, however, that these
8	do involve employment issues, which are generally
9	covered under our CFR as confidential issues. Until
10	the individuals, my impression would be, they actually
11	become employees, it would be
12	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Wait, wait.
13	MR. BLACKWOOD: Commissioner Yaki
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Are they employees?
15	Are they
16	MR. BLACKWOOD: They are special
17	government employees, SGEs.
18	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Even so, that
19	doesn't make sense to me. You know, there has to be
20	some way that the public would have input on who was
21	on the SAC and who isn't on the SAC, and if we can't
22	talk about it until they've been approved, that can't
23	be the right rule.
24	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: If people
25	themselves have been contacted, I mean, it isn't

really private information. They aren't told, "Don't 1 2 tell anybody." COMMISSIONER YAKI: Right. 3 I mean, I've never seen any prohibition in any forms that go out 4 5 that says, "By the way, do not tell the press. Don't tell your friends or anything like that." 6 7 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yeah. I mean, you 8 can't even tell your spouse, by the way. 9 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. Chairman, let 10 me just give my thoughts on it. I don't think that -there are two issues here. First of all, the fact 11 that someone who can reveal their own private salary 12 and employment history information doesn't mean that 13 we should be free to reveal such. 14 15 But I'm just talking about a workable practice. The practice -- and this would apply to our 16 17 There have been issues before about draft report. whether a draft report should be made public before we 18 all vote on it and it becomes final, and I think it 19 20 will be unfortunate, and it will interfere with our deliberative process if proposed slates are made 21 public for nitpicking before we have a chance to 22 provide our input to each other and to the staff when 23

The opportunity for us to influence a

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

there is a proposed slate.

24

25

proposed slate will be interfered with if we as a practice just allow those to be released.

But if that's the view of the majority of the Commissioners, I can live with it. It's one that I -- but certainly I understood that unless our non-public documents are clearly -- there's an exception made for them, that we should keep those matters that are not public not public until there's some sort of release or some sort of vote of the Commission.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano, you make a good point. It does have -- these premature disclosures have the potential to affect our deliberations, but that was the point of the disclosure. That was the purpose of the disclosure.

It's no mistake that the whole slate of names was not released to the reporter. There are two individuals. They have a public profile, and there are some individuals who don't particularly care to see them serve on the SAC. At least that's my interpretation of what's happened, and as I said before, I wish it weren't the case, but I suspect that even if we had a rule in place leaks would occur.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We've got to enforce our rules.

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman --1 2 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I would rather we allow this material to be released whenever and always 3 than that we ignore our rule. We've got to have some 4 5 rules. We've got to have some respect, and we've got to have some common courtesy among Commissioners, 6 7 special assistants and other employees about whether we're going to keep drafts confidential or not. 8 9 So if you don't care if this is -- if a 10 majority doesn't care. I understand, Mr. Chairman, you're agreeing with me that it may interfere with our 11 actually working out compromises. 12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's correct. 13 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: But if we believe 14 15 we can't enforce this rule, we ought to change this rule. We ought to at least make it clear that it's a 16 violation of the rule. 17 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: But we don't know 18 that it is yet. 19 20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Let's let the General Counsel review and our AIs and any other relative 21 rules and see where we stand in terms of whether 22 there's a prohibition in place right now. 2.3 24 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Well, again, 25 whether this is a rule, we ought to have -- we don't

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

necessarily need an AI to have an understanding amongst each other. So whether we need to create a formula, we ought to just discuss this.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Well, find out what the rule is first. Let's, you know, have the General Counsel do that. It is not clear at all to me that draft reports and SAC membership should be treated the same. You know, sometimes we may actually want public input on these things.

But at any rate, first up, let's have the General Counsel check into what the rule is, and we'll discuss it at the next meeting.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: This is Commissioner Yaki. I just want to state for the record that regardless of what the rule is or is not, even if there was a rule, I don't see how transparency in our nitpicking, quote fellow process is to my Commissioner. I don't see how public access and input is nitpicking, again to quote my fellow Commissioner.

Certainly on issues such as this that involve appointments to a state entity, that is a creature of the Commission. The fact that people may have some feelings about them and want to express them should not be done -- I just don't think that we should play hide the ball on things such as this.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

4 5

And, again, I actually agree with Commissioner Heriot that there's a distinction that can be made between -- to lump this into a draft report is, I think, not quite the same, although at some point I also do believe that our reports prior to being approved by the Commission should also be made public for people to comment on as well.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay. One final thought, Mr. Chairman, and I hope that -- you know, I might even be persuaded for a public release process, but I do find -- found it interesting that the reporter, while I was trying to get an answer as to whether this was public, I was told by staff, confirmed that it was not public information. So I didn't want to confirm it, but the reporter was trying desperately to get me or someone at the Commission to confirm it.

So the leaker believed it was wrong. The leaker, whoever it was, would not go on the record to -- I asked the reporter, by the way, who revealed this, and the reporter laughed and said, of course, that was confidential.

So there is a sense, whoever leaked this information understood that it was improperly being leaked or at least there was a serious issue as to

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

whether it was improperly leaked.

But if we want to take this up at another time, I might be persuaded at releasing the slate. I just wasn't going to release the names myself when staff indicates that it was non-public, and I still think there's a pretty good reason for that.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Let me say one last thing here or maybe, Commissioner Yaki, you have something to say. Well, let me say this one thing briefly.

The distinction that Commissioner Heriot made, however, is extreme -- however we resolve the SAC appointments matter, the distinction that Commissioner Heriot made between SAC slates and drafts of reports is extremely important. I mean, I may with any one subject want to take, let's say, you know, a part of it, a particular aspect of the data collection or the argument to somebody I trusted, you know, on an advisory basis and say, "Look. Does this make sense to you? Do these data look right to you?" et cetera.

I mean, we can't not use outside resources.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's very different from taking it to the press, which is what Todd is talking about.

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We actually have to
2	vote on the agenda then. I mean, this
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's clean it
4	up.
5	I move that we approve the agenda, as
6	revised, and that the well, is there a second?
7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You don't need to do
8	that because there was an original motion to adopt the
9	agenda. We then amended the agenda. All we have to
10	do is vote on the agenda now.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All in favor,
12	please say aye.
13	(Chorus of ayes.)
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Objections?
15	(No response.)
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions?
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Me.
18	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I abstain because
19	I don't know what the agenda is now.
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Yaki
21	abstains.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
23	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Well, I think the
24	Chairman put the approval of the SAC and the
25	discussion before the minutes. We can do that, I take
	NEAL R. GROSS  COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

	23
1	it.
2	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay, okay.
3	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: So let's
4	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Point of information.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this point,
6	I'm just
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Point of information,
8	Mr. Chairman.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: just want to take
10	care of the Virginia SAC.
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Point of information,
12	Mr. Chair, on the agenda vote. I did not hear
13	Commissioner Melendez's vote being recorded
14	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I abstained.
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. The Virginia
17	Advisory Committee was last rechartered on June 11th,
18	2007. A package was sent to each Commissioner
19	recommending an advisory committee of 13 members.
20	Eight previous members are returning, and there are
21	five new appointees. Recommended Advisory Committee
22	members are selected in compliance with State Advisory
23	Committee membership selection guidelines specified in
24	AI 5-9, Section 7, following the lean sigma six
25	sigma process approved by the Commissioners in January

of 2008. 1 Commission staff reached out to the 2 Commissioners, current SAC members, 3 the entire 4 Virginia Congressional Delegation, Governor Kaine, all 5 Virginia state senators, various mayors, educational institutions, business and labor organizations, civil 6 7 rights organizations, media, and religious groups. I move that the Commission recharter the 8 Virginia State Advisory Committee. Under this motion, 9 10 the Commission appoints the following individuals to 11 that committee based on the recommendations of the Staff Director: 12 Linda Chavez 13 14 Angela Ciccolo 15 Edmund Cooke 16 Hal Due (phonetic) Louise Greevis (phonetic) 17 Alex Gulotta 18 19 Curt Levey Miriam Moore 20 21 Cameron Quinn Jeanene Sims 22 Ryung Suh -- I apologize for butchering 23 24 his name. Hans von Spakovsky. I apologize to Hans 25

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	also.
2	And also Lacy Ward.
3	Furthermore, the Commission appoints Linda
4	Chavez as Chair of the rechartered State Advisory
5	Committee. These members will serve as uncompensated
6	government employees.
7	Under this motion the Commission
8	authorizes the Staff Director to execute the
9	appropriate paper work for the appointment.
10	Is there a second?
11	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Question?
13	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And I may have
14	missed one name. Was Cameron Quinn included in that
15	list?
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay. Thank you.
18	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I have a question,
19	Mr. Chairman.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
21	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Were all of the
22	people, members who are being reappointed, where they
23	all contacted to make sure that they, indeed, want to
24	be reappointed?
25	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I think Peter
	NEAL R. GROSS  COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
	(202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

1	Peter are you on the line?
2	MR. MINARIK: Yes, I am. Peter Minarik.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Peter, did you hear
4	the question?
5	MR. MINARIK: Yes. They were all
6	contacted by the Eastern Regional Office.
7	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any other questions or
9	comments?
10	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes. My concern
11	on whether some people that wanted to be returned but
12	were denied.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Peter?
14	MR. MINARIK: This is Peter Minarik again.
15	The answer to that question is I was
16	informed by the Director of the Eastern Regional
17	Office that, indeed, one member did indicate the
18	desire to return. According to AI 5-9, Section 7,
19	submitted paper work was considered, and they thought
20	there was a superior candidate, and the candidate was
21	put forth.
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Who was that?
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I hear school
24	children.
25	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: We've got them
	NEAL R. GROSS
	COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
	1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

1	here, Mr. Chairman.
2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Who are the
3	individuals that Mr. Minarik just referenced?
4	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I think it was
5	one; is that correct?
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Oh, you say that there
7	was one?
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Peter?
9	MR. MINARIK: Peter Minarik.
10	Yes, one, only one submitted paperwork.
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: So I'm asking
12	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Who was that?
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: who was that person
14	and who was the person considered superior to that
15	person?
16	MR. MINARIK: I apologize. I'm in Kansas
17	City. I don't have the exact name in front of me.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, and also I don't
19	think it's appropriate to have a conversation about
20	which candidates were superior to others.
21	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, since that
22	judgment, Mr. Chair, was not made by us, I would like
23	to know.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, that's fine, but
25	again, I think that it's wholly inappropriate to say
	NEAL R. GROSS  COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

that Individual X was superior to Individual Y. 1 2 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yeah, but it has already been said, and it's supposed to be our 3 judgment. So we need to know. 4 5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I have no problem with anyone having the information, but we can do it off 6 7 line. VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, Commissioner 8 9 Heriot is perfectly right. Commissioner Heriot, I 10 don't think you were heard. Would you -- can you repeat it? 11 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I forget what I 12 13 said. VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: You said --14 15 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: This is supposed to be our judgment that's being made here, and so we need 16 to know this information. 17 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: If we can go into 18 executive session -- I made some inquiries, and I used 19 20 to serve on this subcommittee, but I don't think that it would necessarily be appropriate to -- I may or may 21 not be right. I had no other involvement until after 22 the slate was sent out to other commissioners other 23 24 than making my own recommendation. COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, before we do 25

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	anything, such as going into executive session, the
2	question is: does Mr. Minarik have the information
3	before him in order to do this?
4	If he does not because he's in Kansas
5	City, we need to know that.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Peter, can you answer
7	the question?
8	MR. MINARIK: Which question, Mr.
9	Chairman? Peter Minarik.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: They want to know the
11	names of the two individuals that we just discussed.
12	MR. MINARIK: I didn't hear about two
13	names. Peter Minarik again.
14	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: No, there are
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It's the one and one
16	who's superior to the one.
17	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: The rejected and
18	the substituted name. That's two names.
19	MR. MINARIK: Thank you.
20	I can get that information from the
21	Eastern Regional Office. It was one person I
22	understood that submitted a letter it's my
23	understanding, to Ms. Davis in the Eastern Regional
24	Office. I don't have that information out here in
25	Kansas City. I can

1	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Can you get on the
2	phone and get it right now and then we'll come back to
3	this later?
4	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I think the back of
5	our hearing room we have an employee who might be able
6	to speak to that, but I am a little concerned about
7	whether we should go into executive session given the
8	
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Let's push this to the
10	end of the agenda and move on.
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Well, if she's here,
12	let's not. Let's just do it right now.
13	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yeah. But I also
14	have a question.
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: This is the most
16	important thing on our agenda.
17	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Who is the
18	decision-maker here to substitute one name for the
19	other? Who's ultimately making this decision?
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: These decisions are
21	made by generally staff.
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: So was it made by
23	Peter or was it made by the Staff Director?
24	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Ultimately is
25	the Staff Director on the line today? I know he's
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Yes, I am.
2	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay. Ultimately I
3	have
4	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: I do have
5	the name of the person, but again, I don't believe it
6	would be appropriate in a public session to start
7	talking about an individual person
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Well, let's go into
9	executive session right now.
10	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: but I can
11	go into executive session.
12	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Do you have the
13	name of both?
14	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: It would be
15	it would be helpful probably to have the Eastern
16	Regional Director in that session if we do go into
17	executive session.
18	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I move that we go
19	into executive session now with -
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I have a threshold
21	question before we do that. Point of privilege. So
22	before we go into executive session, to reiterate
23	Commissioner Thernstrom's question, who made the
24	determination that one person should get in over the
25	other? Was it Peter or was it the Staff Director?
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	I think we can at least have that in
2	public before we go in
3	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: The Eastern
4	Regional Director made the decision made the
5	recommendation that the individual should not be
6	reappointed, and I accepted her recommendation.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Let's be clear, folks.
8	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: That's what I
9	thought.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: These types of
11	decisions are made all the time, and there is nothing
12	unusual or sinister about this.
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, but there's
14	nothing wrong with us finding out about it either.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We always have a
16	problems.
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I don't think there
18	was any imputation of anything sinister, Mr. Chair.
19	We just wanted to know.
20	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Well, I move, with
21	assistance of our able counsel here, to close a
22	portion of the meeting pursuant to regulations
23	implementing the Sunshine Act. I believe I'm seeking
24	the General Counsel and Solicitor to certify that we
25	can do so. I believe Exemption 6 applies because we

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Don't you make a 1 2 motion? COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I did. 3 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Personal privilege. 4 5 Don't we have to excuse -- don't we have to exempt certain individuals who normally would not be privy to 6 executive session? 7 MR. BLACKWOOD: This is David Blackwood. 8 9 Yes, we have to decide now who's going to 10 be in on the call. We also, because this is a telephonic conference, determine who's on the line, 11 and then we're going to have to decide after we've off 12 executive session how these people that have had to 13 get off get back on. 14 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Folks, this is not an 15 important issue. If we're going to do this, let's do 16 17 it at the end. It is disruptive. We have people on the line listening, and it will cause procedural 18 problems. 19 20 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Then how are we going to vote on the Virginia SAC? 21 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: We're going to 22 lose people by the end of the meeting. 23 I think we 24 need to do this now. This is -- this is an issue some 25 people care about.

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

	35
1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's obvious.
2	Okay. Let's vote. All in favor please
3	say aye.
4	(Chorus of ayes.)
5	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: What are we voting
6	on?
7	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I'd like to I'd
8	like the
9	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: To go into
10	executive session?
11	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yeah, but before we
12	do so can I just ask? I suppose I'd like the General
13	Counsel as well and the can you and, say, Ivy,
14	Peter and Marty, plus Commissioners. Does anyone have
15	so that we're clear on that vote?
16	MR. BLACKWOOD: That is fine. This is
17	David Blackwood, General Counsel. And the Solicitor
18	and I both agree it should be closed.
19	I have another issue, talking with Ms.
20	Dunston. We are really going to have a technological
21	problem about how to get people off. Is that correct?
22	And confirming who got off. Because we do we have
23	any records?
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: If we can't confirm
25	that if we can't confirm that this conversation is
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	limited to the appropriate people, we should not have
2	the conversation.
3	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, then we
4	should
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Well, who's on the
6	line that we wouldn't have anyway?
7	MR. MINARIK: Can we clear the line and
8	have the approved people call back in?
9	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: All of the telephone
10	line people are approved people, aren't they?
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, you would assume
12	so.
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We've got
14	Commissioners, Marty, Peter Minarik. Is there anybody
15	else on the line there?
16	DOMINIQUE LUDVIGSON: Can you hear me
17	Gail?
18	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I have a proposed
19	solution or a partial proposed solution. At most
20	there may be one or so person we can add to this
21	Virginia SAC. I think the slate is certainly a very
22	solid slate. How many is what is the total?
23	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Thirteen.
24	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Thirteen. What is
25	the maximum we can put on the SAC?
	NEAL R. GROSS
	COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
	(202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Nineteen. 1 2 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: All right. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We can potentially 3 add some. Can we vote on the -- I propose we vote on 4 5 the SAC, we get some input, and at a subsequent meeting if we think it's warranted, we can add one, 6 7 two, or three people to the SAC. VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: 8 Right. I was 9 going to -- this is Vice Chair Thernstrom. 10 going to raise the same point. If we had somebody apply to be on the SAC and we've got room on the SAC, 11 we didn't need to substitute. Just include that 12 13 person. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: That may be. There 14 15 are some -- as I understand, certainly staff on our behalf try to come up with a certain number of balance 16 17 of political party, of other intellectual factors, and so sometimes I think that they do have to make these 18 calls. I don't know what the factors went into these 19 20 calls. So I'm interested, too, but I think they do sometimes have to make that kind of a call. 21 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: All right, but 22 this is not exactly. These SACs are not -- you know, 23 24 we're lucky to have people wanting to serve on them. 25 COMMISSIONER YAKI: This is Commissioner

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

Yaki. I have a question for the Staff Director. 1 2 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Yes. COMMISSIONER YAKI: Would the addition of 3 this person who was -- now, was this person appointed 4 to the SAC two years ago who was not reappointed? 5 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Well, yeah, 6 he is an incumbent member. 7 8 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay. 9 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: But I'm not 10 sure if he was only on two years ago or if he had been on longer than that. I'd have to confirm that point 11 with the Regional Director. 12 COMMISSIONER YAKI: This person has been 13 on the SAC before. 14 15 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Let me just state 16 17 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm not yet finished yet, please. So my question is whether or not the 18 addition of this person, who was previously on the 19 20 SAC, would in your judgment throw off any of the lean six sigma criteria or AIs, whatever in the heck it is, 21 we have with regard to the membership of the Virginia 22 SAC. Because I would say that in the only way that I 23 24 would support this would be if we just simply allow this individual back on the Commission. 25

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

40 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Then why don't we just 1 2 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Well, this 3 is the Staff Director. 4 5 I believe that the SAC is very well balanced with these 13 members. 6 If we were to 7 consider this person who was not reappointed, then we would have to look at that person and consider how 8 9 that person affected the balance. There would always 10 be the possibility if there was a determination to put that person back on the SAC that we would then want to 11 add a second person in order to maintain the balance. 12 That's something that the Commission could consider. 13 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Why don't we just do 14 15 this in the interest of moving this thing along, rather than go into executive session and all of these 16 other rigmaroles? Let's just move ahead with this. 17 Let's have a report by the Staff Director at the next 18 meeting over this contretemps was had about who was 19 20 picked and who wasn't picked so that we at least understand how this was done. 21 Because I think I agree with Commissioner 22 Heriot. We're the ones who ultimately put our staff 23 24

on this. It would be nice to know how it came about internally in some ways because if someone comes and

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

25

1	complains to us one way or another and we are left
2	with the "I don't know; that's just the list that was
3	given to us," that's not really sufficient for us as
4	Commissioners to be able to explain to any member of
5	the public.
6	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I concur in that.
7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I would also say
8	that
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, folks. What are
10	we entertaining
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: that is
12	unacceptable to come in here and
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: at this point? Are
14	going to vote on the slate as currently configured?
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: We're going to vote on
16	it.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry?
18	COMMISSIONER YAKI: This is Commissioner
19	Yaki saying we're going to vote on it.
20	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I hope we can vote
21	on it, but I still
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, fine.
23	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: But I want I
24	would like the clarification as well.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Staff Director,
	NEAL R. GROSS
	COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
	1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com
	, , ,

1	please provide the requested information.
2	At this point let's vote. All in favor
3	please say aye.
4	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Of what?
5	(Chorus of ayes.)
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Of what?
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Objections?
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm sorry. I don't
9	know. What are we voting on? Point of information.
10	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: The approval
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The Virginia SAC.
12	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Because I was going to
13	you have not called for any discussion and say we
14	were discussion process rather than discussing
15	anything in particular.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry. Michael?
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: We didn't you did
18	not allow substantive discussion. We were only
19	discussing procedural matters up until this point.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, okay. Very
21	good. Let's continue the discussion.
22	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Can I say something?
23	I still think we should go into executive session and
24	deal with this. I think that we should if the idea
25	is that we can never really talk about these cases
	NEAL R. GROSS  COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  1222 PHODE ISLAND AVE. N.W.

1	unless we go into executive session, then we should
2	always be prepared to go into executive session, and
3	the staff should understand that there's a good chance
4	that's going to happen.
5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, once we read the
6	names out, that's you know, closed, right?
7	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I am really in
8	agreement with Commissioner Heriot here. I want to go
9	into executive session. I don't want to vote on this
10	slate with limited information. You know, I would
11	prefer to postpone the whole item until the next
12	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I think we should go
13	into executive session now.
14	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: That's fine. I
15	agree. I'm support that.
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Point of information.
17	Now we go back to the problems enunciated by the
18	General Counsel regarding
19	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: There's nobody on
20	the phone who
21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: All we have to do is
22	to up into Marty's office and do a conference call
23	from there.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Folks, unless we are
25	sure that only the appropriate people would be on the
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	line, we should not move forward.
2	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Gail has
3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Gerry, Gerry, wait.
4	All we have to do is adjourn from this room, go up to
5	Marty's room, and we'll get you and Pete and get the
6	people that we need on the phone up there, and then we
7	come back.
8	MR. BLACKWOOD: There's also the matter of
9	the court reporter.
10	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: You have to
11	have the court reporter present even in executive
12	session.
13	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Is the conference
14	bridge operator on the line so that we can
15	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: The way to
16	do that is to clear the line, let everyone call back
17	in, and only accept the calling back in of people who
18	are approved to be on the line.
19	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: That's fine with
20	me. I think this should be cleared up. I think going
21	ahead with the vote on the SAC now is a mistake
22	without going into executive session.
23	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: And putting off the
24	SAC is also a mistake. So that gives us one choice.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Folks, I'm
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	trying to get a sense of where the consensus is, and
2	what limitations that we have in terms of the fact
3	that we may discuss sensitive information.
4	Is there a consensus that we should go
5	into executive session?
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No.
7	Commissioner Yaki has a question for the
8	General Counsel.
9	Once we read these names out in public, we
10	can talk about them in any way we want, correct?
11	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We can talk about
12	these names. There are certain Commissioners who want
13	to talk about other names, other than the ones that
14	have been read. We're still at that point, I think.
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: What other names,
16	other than the
17	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: The other the
18	other people who were not on
19	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: There are certain
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I thought we had a
21	consensus that we were going to ask for that to be
22	brought back to us
23	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.
24	COMMISSIONER YAKI: because there was
25	still room on the Commission to deal with this one way
	NEAL R. GROSS  COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

or another. 1 2 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No. VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I don't think that 3 makes -- I'm sorry. This is Vice Chair Thernstrom. 4 5 It doesn't make any sense. I want to look at the SAC as a package, you know, rather than having 6 7 names dribble back another month. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We've done that 8 with other SACs though, Abby, and we have room, as I 9 10 say, to try to maintain balance to have one, two or three more, and that seems to give us a fair amount of 11 flexibility on this one. 12 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Oh, one other point 13 that was raised, Mr. Chair. 14 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. 15 COMMISSIONER YAKI: In a previous E-mail 16 17 around my request for the discussion on temporary 18 hiring authority, it was hinted that that would be an item that might also be eligible for executive 19 session. Rather than go into this thing and do it 20 twice, which would be extremely disruptive, why 21 wouldn't we add that also to the closed session 22 agenda? 23 24 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: That's fine. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I think that 25

	47
1	both ideas are bad. We are wasting time. This is
2	disruptive. I mean, the exercise of discretion that
3	folks are concerned about today have existed since the
4	beginning of the Commission, and today this is the
5	first time at least to my knowledge that anyone has
6	these types of concerns about the minutia of how
7	people are selected.
8	I mean, no one is suggesting that anything
9	untoward has occurred.
10	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: That's correct.
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We don't know that,
12	Jerry.
13	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I support, though,
14	our being able to ask the decision makers. I think
15	that we need to be able to ask the decision makers,
16	but I don't
17	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We are the decision
18	makers.
19	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Exactly, but I
20	don't those who have proposed them to us.
21	COMMISSIONER YAKI: In the immortal words
22	of the bank president, we are the deciders.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Isn't this the type of
24	information that could be handled by sending an E-
25	mail2

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	is going to come up over and over again. You know,
2	this is a decision the Commission has to make, and
3	therefore, the Commission has to be prepared to go in
4	executive session on these matters on a routine basis.
5	We cannot have a rule that says that we never can go
6	into executive session for SACs. It has got to be
7	something that the staff is prepared to handle every
8	time.
9	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I agree, but
10	because the issue that relates to the executive
11	session is whether we should add a person, I don't
12	think we need to necessarily do it at this session.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, folks. Let's
14	we've entertained a number of proposals. One is that
15	we vote on the slate, and then we add names insuring
16	that there's balance by the next business meeting.
17	Well, I move that we adopt that approach. Is there a
18	second?
19	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor please
21	say aye.
22	(Chorus of ayes.)
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All objections.
24	(No response.)
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Abstentions.

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

	50
1	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I abstain.
2	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I abstain.
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I abstain.
4	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Melendez.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry.
6	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Melendez abstains.
7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Heriot abstains.
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yaki abstains.
9	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Thernstrom
10	abstains.
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: But it was an
12	unnecessary motion anyway.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, and
14	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You can just go
15	ahead and do what you're going to do.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Hold on.
17	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yeah, he was
18	seeking to know where his majority was.
19	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: We can just call the
20	question on the SAC.
21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yeah.
22	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay. After
23	discussion, now Commissioner Yaki may want to express
24	his thoughts on the slate that we're going to vote on.
25	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I have a question.
	NEAL R. GROSS  COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	Was there a majority?
2	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.
3	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: A majority of those
4	voting, yes.
5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Of those voting?
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: It's a tie.
7	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: A majority of those
8	voting.
9	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: No, it's not.
10	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: There were no no
11	votes. There were no no votes at all.
12	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Oh, then I'd move to
13	reconsider.
14	PARTICIPANT: You can't. You abstained.
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: That was an
16	abstention. It wasn't a no.
17	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: You can't vote to
18	reconsider when you abstain.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. So we have four
20	abstentions and four in favor. The motion passes.
21	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I don't think we
22	had four in favor even.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioner
24	Kirsanow, how did you vote?
25	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Aye.
	NEAL R. GROSS
	COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
	1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com
I	(202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Taylor.
2	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Aye.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano.
4	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Aye.
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You've got it. The
6	motion passed.
7	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: The motion passed.
8	Okay. Let's have discussion on the slate and let's
9	vote on it.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Discussion.
11	(No response.)
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Hearing none, all in
13	favor please say aye.
14	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Oh, no, wait.
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Yaki is
16	putting his microphone on.
17	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: There is
18	discussion.
19	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I have some serious
20	reservations about some of the individuals being
21	appointed to the Virginia SAC. I think it probably
22	goes without saying that well, first of all, in
23	order to protect all of us, why don't we first read
24	off the names so that then we know they are in the
25	public.
	NEAL R. GROSS

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We've done so. 1 2 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's been done. COMMISSIONER YAKI: Have we mentioned all 3 of the names? 4 5 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes. 6 7 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Gerry did it. COMMISSIONER 8 YAKI: Ι have serious 9 reservations about the appointments of Hans 10 Spakovsky and Cameron Quinn. First of all, apart from anything on their ideological viewpoints, which is 11 12 really in some ways immaterial but really not so, they 13 are in many ways the exact same person, given that their background in terms of -- is very similar. They 14 15 both served at the same position within the DOJ Civil Rights Division, and I think that there's a redundancy 16 17 between the two in terms of that kind of experience 18 when it comes to the kind of balance and differing strengths that one is supposed to bring to the 19 20 Commission. 21 But in particular, I've just got to tell you that I just have serious problems with Mr. von 22 Spakovsky being appointed to this. I have read his 2.3 24 writings. I believe that in my opinion -- and I am 25 certain there will be disagreement on this -- but I

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

1	just do not believe him to be someone qualified to be
2	on the Virginia SAC, who will work well with the other
3	members there, given the writings that he has done
4	recently that I've been privy to look at, and I will
5	be voting against his confirmation.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Two quick questions.
7	Mr. Staff Director, did you state earlier that overall
8	the proposed slate was balanced?
9	Marty, are you still on the line?
10	(No response.)
11	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: He's in executive
12	session.
13	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. Chair, may I
14	respond on the merit of the SAC?
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I have another
16	question, and actually the question is directed at
17	you, Commissioner Gaziano.
18	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Mr. Gaziano, overall,
20	do you share many of the first principles expressed by
21	Hans?
22	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes. He is a
23	colleague of mine at the Heritage Foundation, in fact,
24	and I am very pleased with his writing of late,
25	although I certainly don't agree with him on

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

everything.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I wanted to comment on the slate as a whole, too, since I did formerly serve on this SAC. I would say not only are each of the individuals that I know of -- I don't know some of the new folks, but I will also admit since it's a matter, I think, a matter of our public record, that I recommended Hans and Cameron Quinn for inclusion because I think they are exceedingly and obviously well fit to serve on the SAC.

But I also want to say I really enjoyed the strong and constructive liberal SAC members that I used to serve on who are being reappointed. I think that there are no wallflowers on this Virginia SAC slate that I am aware of. It may be because I know it I'm biased, and it is my home state, but there are and constructive liberals, some very strong libertarians, conservatives from a variety of racial, ethnic and religious backgrounds. It's a SAC slate I'm very proud to vote for.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki, you said something that suggested that Hans would not work well with the other Commissioners. Would you care to expand on that?

COMMISSIONER YAKI: No. I said what I

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	wanted to say.
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. I'm just trying
3	to get some additional information to weigh the
4	strength of your argument. If he can't conduct
5	himself in a civil manner, that's a consideration that
6	we should take into account.
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I did not necessarily
8	say he would conduct himself in an uncivil manner. I
9	simply said that the viewpoints that he advocates in
10	his latest writings are ones that I find to be
11	alienating and extreme in my point of view, and which
12	might not lend itself to a well functioning body, but
13	that is my opinion.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: You may be right since
15	a number of the Commissioners share his views, and
16	looking at how we get along, you may very well be
17	right.
18	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Just going by
19	experience, Mr. Chair.
20	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman. Mr.
21	Chairman, can I comment just for a second?
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Sure.
23	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Number one, if
24	you're concerned about
25	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: You have to
	NEAL R. GROSS  COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

identify yourself. 1 2 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm still Gail. 3 Number one, on Mr. van Spakovsky's temperament, I've always found him to be extremely 4 5 polite when speaking to people of differing views. Second, a lot of the criticism that Mr. 6 7 van Spakovsky has had over the years has been for the has taken on voting rights 8 stand he issues, particularly voter ID issues, and it's worth pointing 9 10 out that the Supreme Court has essentially agreed with Mr. van Spakovsky and not with those who have 11 criticized him. 12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So in other words, his 13 offensive views are the law of the land as defined by 14 15 the Supreme Court. 16 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's my 17 understanding. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And they have the 18 support of the great majority of the American people 19 20 for voter ID. 21 At this point rather than explain my 22 support for Hans, I submitted a statement for the 23 record. I believe it was in our September business 24 meeting. I think that stands to reflect why I think 25 his views are certainly very helpful and worthy.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

Thernstrom.

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

25

officially sanctioned part of the United States 1 2 Commission on Civil Rights. That is the basis of my objection. It is 3 a totality analysis. It is not simply because he's 4 5 like, over here, although some of his wording has been rather interesting of late, but that aside, because 6 all of our wording does go one way or the other, but 7 in the totality, in looking at his record at DOJ and 8 9 review his statements by people who dealt with him 10 other than myself, I have reservations. concerns about this individual being part of who we 11 are now, but that is me, and there is --12 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, it's also a 13 record that probably --14 15 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. Chair, I --VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: -- none of the 16 rest of us know, or at least I don't. 17 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. Chair, this is 18 Commissioner Gaziano. 19 Since I would have preferred Commissioner 20 Yaki to not -- I'm not casting aspersion on him -- to 21 just respect his general statement -- and I didn't 22 necessarily want to say more, except since he alluded 23 24 to some matters, I believe that any of the false 25 ethical charges that were raised against Hans have

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

	61
1	been completely cleared up and he has been exonerated,
2	and my statement of last September, I think, should
3	reflect that I think he has only served honorably and
4	served our country well.
5	I don't want to have to go into more
6	detail on that. I think it's fine that we just
7	registered our
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, I just want to
9	assure Commissioner Gaziano that I was not referring
10	to any ethical issues in terms of the criticism that
11	was raised by his performance at that agency. This
12	was substantive, done through the committee hearing
13	process, a public view with public statements made by
14	
15	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I understand, and
16	there were responses to those of the committee that I
17	think completely exonerated
18	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, then we
19	disagree.
20	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. van
21	Spakovsky's behavior.
22	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, I'm
23	going to vote for the slate for a number of reasons.
24	First of all, to your question, Marty did indicate we
25	were having a discussion about going into executive

\_

session, that he did view this to be a balanced slate.

So I wanted to bring that issue back up because it's important, and it's consistent with my review of the resumes. I don't know all of these individuals personally, but on paper it does appear to be a balanced slate, and that view is confirmed by Marty's statement this morning.

I don't know Hans personally. I've met him a couple of times, but while I know he is a controversial figure in some quarters, he has done nothing in my view to disqualify himself from an appointment of this nature, and he can make a meaningful contribution based on everything I have seen.

I do know Cameron Quinn personally. I have worked with her. She is a recognized expert in state election laws, and she has been recognized as an expert in cases on such issues, particularly as they pertain to protecting the rights of minorities and ensuring that a process is fair with respect to carrying out election.

So I can speak to her personally that she is someone who is respected in legal communities in Virginia, and I know she will make a meaningful contribution as well.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	But, again, I tend to be one who asks
2	whether someone has done something to disqualify
3	themselves. I don't think Hans has done that, and I
4	respect to the right of Commissioners, certainly, to
5	look at the totality of his record and disagree, and
6	that's why we all have a vote.
7	So with that I am done.
8	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Can we call the
9	question?
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, indeed.
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I move to
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All those in
13	favor please say aye.
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Wait just a second
15	move to sever. That's a privileged motion.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Sorry?
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Chairman,
18	Commissioner Yaki.
19	I move to sever Mr. van Spakovsky's name
20	for a separate vote.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is there a second?
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: It doesn't need a
23	second, Mr. Chair.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Discussion?
25	(No response.)
	NEAL R. GROSS  COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All in favor of
2	Commissioner Yaki's motion please say aye.
3	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: What is your motion
4	to sever, just Hans?
5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.
6	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: No.
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: And it's a privilege
8	motion. It's automatic on any main motion that if you
9	wish to sever one individual from a package under
10	Robert's Rules.
11	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I don't know that
12	that's right.
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: It is right.
14	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I don't know that
15	it's right, but let's just allow the separate vote. I
16	don't care.
17	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Well, on the
18	severing.
19	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Why don't you Google
20	that under Robert's Rules?
21	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Now, wait a
22	minute. Is it right or not?
23	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Google it.
24	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Let's not. Let's
25	not.
	NEAL R. GROSS
	COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE N W

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Give him the vote.
COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Let's not argue
about it.
COMMISSIONER YAKI: Just for the record,
this did happen in some of the early SAC votes in 2000
and whatever it was when
COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: My only question is
whether it's automatic or whether we vote, but let's
sever it.
COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay.
COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Let's give
Commissioner Yaki his separate vote.
COMMISSIONER HERIOT: To vote on whether
to sever or to
COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, now to vote on
COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Just let him.
COMMISSIONER YAKI: to vote on the
balance of appointments and then Mr. van Spakovsky.
COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I move that we vote
on the slate that Chairman read minus Mr. van
Spakovsky first.
COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'll second that.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, I mean, I
think it's important to vote for a whole slate, the
NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1	slate as a package, and
2	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: We will.
3	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay. I vote that
4	we
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You vote or you
6	move?
7	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I move that we vote
8	to approve Hans in the slate, but on that first.
9	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I will second
10	that. So we are voting on the entire package first.
11	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: To include Hans in
12	the slate that we will eventually vote on.
13	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay. I second
14	that.
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Let's vote.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor please
17	say aye.
18	(Chorus of ayes.)
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections?
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No.
21	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Opposed.
22	Melendez.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry. Who
24	objected?
25	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yaki and Melendez.
	NEAL R. GROSS
	COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
	(202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Melendez. I voted
no.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And Commissioner Yaki?
COMMISSIONER YAKI: I voted now.
VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Two nays.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yeah, the motion
passes.
Okay. So now we're going to have the
vote.
COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I now move to
approve the entire slate with Mr. van Spakovsky.
COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'd like to note for
the record that if we had done it my way, I would have
actually probably voted for the balance of the slate,
but now since he has been re-added back to the slate
I'll be voting no.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Time to vote.
Well, was there a second?
COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is there any need for
discussion?
Okay. All in favor, please say aye.
(Chorus of ayes.)
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections?
COMMISSIONER YAKI: No.
NEAL R. GROSS  COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

1	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: No. Melendez.
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. We have two
3	noes.
4	Okay. Very good.
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I abstained.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. So we have one
7	abstention, two noes. And the abstention is from
8	Commissioner Heriot.
9	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, and it's based
10	not on any view of the people who have been nominated
11	here, all of whom seem very good to me, but on the
12	basis of not having had the executive session to be
13	able to discuss how this was arrived at, and I will
14	abstain from any SAC where we don't have the
15	opportunity to discuss things.
16	Also I don't understand why we could have
17	a discussion about Hans van Spakovsky without having a
18	without an executive session, and you know, needed
19	an executive session for the others. I don't
20	understand that at all.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Next up is the
22	Civil Rights Conference.
23	IV. PROGRAM PLANNING
24	(a) NATIONAL CIVIL RIGHTS CONFERENCE
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Everyone has received
	NEAL R. GROSS
	COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
	1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

1	the draft proposal, which is titled "A New Era"
2	"Defining Civil Rights in the 21st Century."
3	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Mr. Chairman, have
4	we approved the minutes of June 12th yet?
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No, but that's fine.
6	We're going out of order.
7	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: No, I think that
8	that is the next item in order. This is a little
9	ridiculous.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, most of what has
11	transpired has been ridiculous. So just add this to
12	the list.
13	Okay. Everyone has received a draft of
14	the proposal titled "A New"
15	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Wait a minute.
16	When are we going to approve the minutes?
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, we are, but I'm
18	going to finish what I started here.
19	Okay. The proposal consists of the
20	following elements: a conference overview of the
21	conference's relationship to the Commission's
22	statutory mission and strategic plan; proposed subject
23	matter of the panels, as well as recommended panelists
24	and moderators; a discussion of two leading venues for
25	the conference; discussion of budget options; a
	NEAL P. GPOSS

proposed conference schedule; and suggested conference 1 2 attendees or invitees. The tentative date for the conference is 3 September 24th. The two possible venues that are 4 5 available on that date are the National Press Club and the National Transportation Safety Board's Conference 6 Center. 7 On pages 11, 12 and 13 of the proposal 8 9 you'll find a discussion of the advantages and 10 disadvantages of each venue. A larger number of speakers have been suggested for each panel than are 11 necessary, and we'll add additional names. I assume 12 13 that we'll add additional names once we open up the discussion. 14 15 At this point I open up the floor for discussion. 16 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: 17 Mr. Chairman, think this is going to be a great conference. I hope 18 we can pull it off on the schedule that you're 19 20 shooting for. 21 22 23 24

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Mr. Chairman, I would like some review on your part of how this document was arrived at, who was consulted. I mean, this is a -- obviously, this Commission is not a one person show, and to the best of my knowledge, at

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

25

71 least, I wasn't consulted at any point to the best of 1 2 my knowledge. This was not a collaborative effort, and 3 I'd like kind of a history and an explanation. 4 5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: An explanation of what? 6 7 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, I mean, normally in putting together a conference involving 8 9 the whole Commission and an important project like 10 this, the normal thing I would expect was from the very outset for this to be a collaborative process in 11 which there was a Commission-wide discussion on day 12 one and a continuing discussion, and instead this has 13 been developed behind closed doors and with a very 14 15 late look on the part of -- I mean that in itself troubles me -- but and a very late look on the part 16 of appointed Commissioners whose, you know, job it is 17 to work together on such projects -- a very late look 18 at what has been handed to us as the work of only the 19 20 Chairman and his assistant. And I would like some kind of discussion 21 of why this was done this way and what the history is 22 here. 23 24 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The history is that in

2007 there was a working group that was convened to

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

25

put together a conduct paper. Some preliminary work was done. I took at look at what was produced. It would not -- let's back up.

The sponsor of this idea, it belongs, I supposed, to both Commissioner Kirsanow and me. I took a look at the initial document. It was not capturing the idea that I had when I had made this recommendation along with Pete.

I with the able assistance of -- undertook the task of creating a concept paper. This shouldn't be new to anyone since this has been discussed on the record in the past. This is not unprecedented. It was done as recently as the recent health care briefing. That conference was based on a concept paper that was prepared by a Commissioner.

There's no prohibition against it, and I guess I'm curious as to how you were or anyone else was disadvantaged by this approach. In all instances Commissioners receive a concept paper. Ordinarily it's done by the staff, but there are times when it is not. In each case Commissioners have an opportunity to debate, to revise, to make suggestions, and I don't see how that process has been altered, and I don't see -- and I would like to hear how you were disadvantaged.

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

going to be going down the pathway of a national

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

conference proposal, it would probably be wise to ensure that the minority was included in those initial discussions simply because this is -- and I think it is borne out by the scope that you have put together here in terms of insuring that this was an effort of the Commission as a whole rather than, as Commissioner Thernstrom has pointed out, an effort by you and perhaps - Commissioner Kirsanow, this is not to, this is not to --

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Whether the document reflects the views of a single Commissioner, and that would be me, or whether it reflects the overall sense of the Commission, that is yet to be determined. If we can have a discussion of the document, we can determine whether the document that I put together reflect the expectation of the Commissioners as a whole.

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Mr. Chairman.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: With all due respect, Mr. Chairman, the fact that you have already picked some dates in September, this being July, we're having one meeting in August, does not really lend itself to the kind of knife sharpening that needs to be done in terms --

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki,

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

everything in the document is up for debate, including the date.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes

issue, I would like to speak to the, I think, strength and merits of having the conference as the document is outlined a little later, but I'm not sure I understand some of the procedural arguments. As I understood, the Commission was committed to this national conference before I was a member a year and a half ago. Commissioners have been aware that you were going to propose a concept paper, and I thank you and any staff that helped you with it.

I've helped put on a lot of conferences. This is an ambitious one, but it's an important one, but 12 weeks or whatever, 11 weeks, however many, is not too fast, but if we need updates along the way, I was going to propose maybe we get updates, you know, at different stages every few weeks, but I certainly don't see why there's any problem with proposing it at this time for September 24th, nor, I think, you should be -- you and your staff should be thanked for checking out proposed dates, coming out with concrete proposals of venues.

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

I support the National Press Club venue 1 2 for a variety of reasons that I'll discuss in a few minutes, but I really am at a loss for --3 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Chairman. 4 5 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER YAKI: -- for how this could 6 7 have been done differently other than we can always do things a little bit differently. 8 9 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, can I 10 ask a couple of questions? This is Commissioner Taylor. 11 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I believe Commissioner 12 Kirsanow was ahead of you. 13 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you, Mr. 14 15 Chairman. I would adopt the statements made by 16 17 Commissioner Gaziano also. This was something that we've been talking about for more than two years now. 18 It was part of strategic planning. We've had several 19 20 votes on the concept of this, the fact that the Chairman and whatever it would take to draft the 21 concept paper, I think, is something that should be 22 commended. It's one of the better concept papers I've 23 24 seen on a very ambitious topic, admittedly ambitious 25 and aggressive in terms of its time frame.

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(202) 234-4433

But, frankly, the Commission regularly receives information, documents, reports, proposals, concept papers, in a time frame that is aggressive or somewhat ambitious or some would say late, and we nonetheless can go forward. Everyone to my knowledge was aware that we were doing this. Everyone to my knowledge was aware that, unfortunately for his sake, the Chairman decided to undertake drafting the concept paper, and it's not unusual for a Commissioner to draft a concept paper.

I think Commissioner Taylor drafted the concept paper on health care disparities, if I'm not mistaken, and I can't recall a time -- and maybe I'm remiss for having done so -- I can't recall a time in which I've collaborated with other commissioners in fashioning a concept paper. So that's not unusual.

I think the only thing that separates this from other concept papers is, number one, the scale of the project, admittedly large, and how good the concept paper is.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, a single question all to you: is everything in this concept paper from your perspective open for debate and discussing -- timing, panelists, issues,

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

cetera? Is it all up for discussion? 1 2 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Correct. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I mean, and with all 3 due respect, you know, you are a member of the 4 5 Commission who has put a concept paper on the table, and frankly, if folks want to pick it apart, let's 6 7 pick it apart. If folks don't think we can do it in this time frame, I mean, let's consult the staff and 8 9 ask. 10 But I don't think we should spend a lot of time, candidly, discussing how we got here and who 11 shot John. Let's deal with it as it presents itself. 12 My second proposal, frankly, would be 13 that, Mr. Chair, that as we discuss this, my sense 14 15 that when the subcommittee was created a couple of years ago, it included Commissioner Yaki, that he be 16 formally assigned, if he would agree to do so, to work 17 with you on this going forward. 18 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: At this point everyone 19 20 is. VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, 21 but, Commissioner, Mr. Chairman, I just don't understand 22 why Commissioner Yaki wasn't working with you from the 23 24 beginning on this, I mean, so that every Commissioner

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

had ownership of this enormous project.

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

25

Į.	
1	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We have now.
2	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's what we're
3	here for. We're now supposed to get ownership. We're
4	all working on this now.
5	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: It's very, very
6	late.
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No.
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It's being done
9	exactly the way it should be done.
LO	COMMISSIONER YAKI: This is this is
L1	this is Commissioner Yaki.
L2	Let's think about this in its real
L3	context. This is something that and I'm going to
L4	be the first to say this because I remember saying
L5	this in one of my first days, meetings on this
L6	Commission, which was the idea of having a conference
L7	to redefine the issues of civil rights for the 21st
L8	Century. I think I said that three years ago, four
L9	years ago. How long have I been on this thing?
20	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: We've all been
21	here too long.
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: We've all been here
23	too long.
24	So in some ways I take ownership of this
25	idea. The problem, though, that I see is that this is
	NEAL R. GROSS

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

something that is of a magnitude different than a briefing. It is a quantum magnitude difference, of a quantum magnitude difference than a briefing. in some ways equal to, if not superior to, the process that we would need to go through for a national report, which, as we all know, has been a many multi-month process just to figure out what the stated goals and objectives were going to be to get that done.

We received this a week ago. It is July. This proposal is done for September. We have one meeting in August to deal with this. Quite frankly, between last Thursday or whatever it was when I received this binder and now, I went through this, but obviously there has been a lot of work done by Commissioner -- by the Chair, and to your credit, Mr. Chair, it reflects a large amount of work and time and effort, including I know that your special assistant was very active in that.

Now, you know, I'll say this once, which is one of the reasons why I have been agitating for a special assistant for such a long time, was to be able to essentially compete on an even playing field in resources to deal with situations such as this. If I had been able, and even without one, if I had been

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

	81
1	able to, and it's suggested again, I suggested this
2	last year to the Staff Director that given the
3	nature and scope of this meeting, given the fact that
4	there would be a desire to make this as inclusive as
5	possible and to ensure, more importantly, the
6	attendance of those who have in the past years or so
7	lost faith in the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, it
8	would probably be a strategically smart and
9	politically wise move to ensure that this move forward
10	in lock-step as much as possible in a bipartisar
11	manner.
12	That has not happened, and it has not
13	happened. It's late in the day. You're asking us now
14	to take this entire thing and, okay, yes, sure, it's
15	on the table. Rewrite the whole thing, whatever it is
16	that you want us to do, but it is a little late in the
17	day, given the fact that it is, as I said, July. This
18	is sketched for August. We only have one meeting
19	between and
20	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We have two.
21	COMMISSIONER YAKI: then

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We have a meeting scheduled for September.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Gaziano, would you please not interrupt me?

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

22

23

24

25

The point of this and the importance of what I am saying is that as of right now, at this point in time, I cannot support this. I will not support this. I had asked to be part of this. I had begged to be part of this process. This had been on the agenda twice before and been removed at the request of the Chair because it was not ready, what have you.

During those times I reiterate again to the Staff Directors and others a serious concern about how this was going forward in a non-bipartisan manner. So you know, you're asking me to close the barn door after all the animals have left, and I just don't know if I'm willing to do it at this point, Mr. Chair, with all due respect to the work that you did and the effort that you and Dominique put into this. The fact that it did not go forward in the bipartisan manner that I thought we were going to gives me grave concerns, and at this point in time, I'm not prepared to support this, much less start taking ownership of it and making changes to it.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Very good. Any comments on substantive manners?

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW:} \mbox{ The only comment I}$  have, Mr. Chair -- this is Kirsanow -- is the timing

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

of it. I understand that we're going to try to get this done within this fiscal year. If we can do it, I'm all in favor of it, but it is something that is considerably ambitious from a timing standpoint, and I'd like to hear if the Staff Director is still on and anyone else, whether or not this is feasible within the time frame that we're looking at.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: And this is Vice Chair Thernstrom.

I have not had a chance to go through the substance of this in the way that I need to do, and so I can't comment on that, but I'm sure I'll have lots of comments on it. In terms of the dates that are floating around, I mean, there has been a rumor mill in this building. The dates that are floating around, I believe they conflict with a conference on Lincoln that I'm out of town. I would have to check. I thought that the date that you were talking about was the 26th. You now said the 24th. I would need to doubt check on the Lincoln conference.

But in any case, I'm not prepared today to have a line by line, substantive discussion of the document that has come to us so very late.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to address the timing issue in response

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2 3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

(202) 234-4433

particularly to Commissioner Kirsanow. I certainly think that 10 or 11 weeks or however many it is is ambitious, but certainly doable, and again, I thank the Chair for checking on available dates in this fiscal year, since that's what our Commission plan required us to do.

If we don't set an ambitious date, and we just kick the can down the road, we'll have this same issue over and over again, but there's a way, I think, to deal with that. I think that if a majority of the Commission supports the idea and wants to try to meet our goals, which ought to be important to all of us, our strategic plan ought to be, then I think we need to put the effort that's necessary to try to make it happen in the next few weeks. There's a lot of stuff we can do off the line as far as polishing the suggestions, the concept paper the Chairman has made, and we can get to those as well.

But as far as -- I'd like the date to be September 24th. That's my preference. I don't see why if the Commission and its staff can't make that date.

If we later find out that we, you know, need to move that by a month or so, we ought to then pick another date certain, but we don't need to make a

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

final decision on that, except to move forward.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioner Gaziano and Kirsanow, the date was selected because that's the date that those two venues were available. So we didn't just pull the date out of a hat.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Of course.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Also, I think that Commissioner Kirsanow raises -- well, first, yes, this is an ambitious undertaking, and whether this is feasible, I believe that it is, but right now we have to continue digging into this, provided that there's a consensus that this is something that we should do, especially in light of the commitment we made in the strategic plan.

But I propose that we also have every two weeks or so an update, just providing information to Commissioners that would essentially provide progress reports on how we're doing, and if at some point in time we conclude that it's not feasible within the time frame, then we would have to revisit the issue of a date.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Chair, I think that's a good idea. My only concern with respect to the ambitious nature of this -- I'm all in favor of doing ambitious things frankly, and I think this is an

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

important matter. Commissioner Yaki is right. I remember specifically having a conversation with Commissioner Yaki prior to the beginning of the Commission meeting. This was about three years ago, about the merits of doing precisely this type of conference. I think it's well overdue.

I simply suggest that in my mind the major concern is nailing down the participants as quickly as possible.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Right.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I think with more than two months' notice that's doable. I would suggest that we begin making phone calls now. Even if the slate of speakers is changed or amended by recommendation of other Commissioners, I think we nonetheless should begin with the current slate now, and then if we amend it, we amend it, but I think that's the most important thing in my mind in order to make this thing go.

Otherwise, I think I agree with Commissioner Gaziano. This is doable.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I agree with Commissioner Kirsanow. This is Heriot here. The main thing here is getting these speakers nailed down, and if it's not going to work, we'll figure that out

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	really rapidly because we'll get a lot of people that
2	can't do it. But if we start inviting people now, we
3	can do this. You know, we've got a good chance.
4	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I was going to
5	suggest that we invite the Attorney General to give
6	him a prominent place since he has said that America
7	is shy about talking about race, that we ask him to
8	expand upon his remarks, and that then some of our
9	panels can comment on his remarks.
10	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Two things. One,
11	I don't understand what happens in this kind of rushed
12	process with all the other work that is on the plate
13	of the staff here at the Commission. We've got
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Vice Chair Thernstrom,
15	if you'd like, I'll take care of it.
16	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: What do you mean
17	you'll take care of it? You're going to get the
18	briefing reports out the door? Are you going to get
19	the statutory report up and running?
20	I mean there is a great deal of other
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Vice Chair Thernstrom,
22	I'm talking about the work involved in pulling this
23	together, the conference.
24	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, look.
25	Again, I don't think this should have been a one
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	person show from the beginning, and to have it
2	continue to be so doesn't seem to me a very good idea.
3	I also
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I can lick stamps just
5	as well as anyone else.
6	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Chairman, I'll
7	volunteer to assist also so that it's not just a one
8	person show. I'm sure others would assist also.
9	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: yeah, I'd be happy
10	to help.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: There you go.
12	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Ditto.
13	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: All right.
14	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yeah, we can do
15	this. Just, you know, elbow grease.
16	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, the other
17	thing is, you're going to invite people when the
18	Commission as a whole has not agreed on the slate of
19	people to be invited?
20	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I have a question.
21	Commissioner Melendez.
22	Is this a one-day conference? I think if
23	it is, it's going to be real difficult. I've been on
24	some panels where it was an hour long and, you know,
25	half the people didn't even get a chance to even speak
	NEAL R. GROSS

or they were very limited, and it didn't really 1 2 accomplish anything. So I don't know how we're going to try to 3 do this in one day is one of my concerns. 4 5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Is that a suggestion that this be a two-day event? 6 7 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I would think it 8 -- I don't think you can do it in one day is my 9 opinion, based on some of my involvement in being part 10 of panels and where we had an hour for certain topics. And the other issue is I think we're 11 supposed to be trying to get information of where 12 13 people think that civil rights should be going, basically trying to put them in a certain topic where 14 these people that are invited to the panel kind of 15 speak on certain boxed-in areas, where they should be 16 17 free to speak a little more openly on where they think civil rights should be going. 18 And then the other thing, it seems like 19 20 we're kind of narrow on just talking about race and ethnicity when we should be talking about maybe gender 21 and religion and age, disability, national origin, 22 some of the other mandates that we have. So does this 23 24

conference seem to kind of leave those parts out?

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Each of the panels --

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

25

well, first of all, thank you for the suggestion, and if you have recommendations on individuals and particular topics that you would like to see addressed, please phone me or send your comments by E-mail.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Maybe to make the work a little bit more manageable, could I suggest that we try to discuss first which Commissioners might have a primary responsibility for which panels, and then they will focus perhaps on that panel's speakers, circulating it to other Commissioners, of course, but that might be a way of dividing up the work to make sure that we move expeditiously.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I have to repeat,
Mr. Chairman. I don't think -- but I am not positive
since I was misinformed as to the date. I believe
that I am out of town on a two-day Lincoln conference,
but that may be incorrect because I had thought that
the recommended date was the 26th, but I don't have my
calendar with me.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let us know once you confirm.

Commissioner Gaziano, I think that's an excellent idea. I will volunteer to handle Panel 1.

Are there any other volunteers?

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We would possibly hand

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

25

money back to the Treasury.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Or hire special assistants is my -- but I think we can do that, too.

I know Commissioner Yaki is going to support me in that.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Mr. Chair, I just looked at the calendar here. I don't have my person calendar with me, but I just looked at the calendar and saw the 24th was the Thursday. I believe that is the date I cannot make. I can't make the 24th or 25th, I believe.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, again, will you confirm and let's revisit this conversation after you confirm that that is, indeed, true?

 $\label{eq:VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I will. I have to $$\gcd to my calendar.$ 

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And one of the things I would suggest is if we're signing or we're nearing a contract with, let's say, the National Press Club, which I -- let me explain why I support that. They can serve lunch during the conference, whether it's going to be one day or two day. It's a high profile, all of the other reasons that you identified in your concept paper, but if we're negotiating with them, why don't we negotiate a sort of tentative date,

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	whatever it is, the 23rd, 24th, and with an option
2	with certain notice to bump it by a month or two?
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, we have placed a
4	hold on the date. So if the 24th works, we've already
5	reserved the space there.
6	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I'm just saying if
7	we
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I haven't discussed
9	with Dominique whether we reserved an option to select
10	other dates.
11	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Sure. Well, all I
12	meant is, if at some point they want a deposit, and
13	they may want a deposit, we ought to be
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: They did not require a
15	deposit.
16	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay. Very good.
17	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You had mentioned a
18	minute ago, Mr. Chairman, that you were willing to be
19	the first mover on Panel 1. I just wanted to say I'd
20	be happy to be active especially on Panel 4.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
22	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: In many ways as I
25	look through this concept paper someone made this
	NEAL R. GROSS  COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

many of them. That's all.

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: But there's a few
2	of them. So if Shelby can't
3	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: You've got to call
4	him.
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yeah, but that's
6	key, getting the right people here.
7	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: That's all. To me
8	that's what all of this is about.
9	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: True, but it's also
10	helpful, in my somewhat more frequent than I want
11	experience planning a conference, that having a date
12	focuses the mind and
13	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I don't disagree.
14	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: you sometimes
15	get them to move their things and you can because
16	just asking people when they're available and then
17	trying to merge them is
18	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I don't disagree.
19	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: an ongoing venue
20	it's very difficult.
21	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I don't disagree at
22	all.
23	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: It's very
24	difficult. I don't want to take someone else's pride
25	and joy. There's several panels that I'm interested
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
	1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

1	in. The nonprofits in Panel 3, I suppose I have some
2	knowledge of, but I would be glad to take another
3	panel.
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Todd, why don't we
5	work on Panel 3 together. We could split the work.
6	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And it says I'm to
7	work with Commissioner Yaki. I'm happy to do so.
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Yaki is
9	not participating.
10	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: What do other
11	Commissioners think about inviting the Attorney
12	General?
13	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, wait a
14	minute.
15	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow here. I
16	think that's a good idea.
17	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Let us stop.
18	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I don't know if he
19	will attend, but I think he should be extended an
20	invitation since it was the focus of his first big
21	speech as Attorney General, and this is, you know, the
22	discussion on civil rights, as Commissioner Yaki had
23	indicated years ago that we need to have.
24	My quick math says we have 76 days in
25	which to get this done. That's about 11 weeks. Those
	NEAL R. GROSS

of us who are in law firms know that we can put on panels maybe not quite as ambitious as this, but almost, with people from diverse areas of the country with very, very full agendas and get it done, and we've been able to do it here, and I know that others have been able to do it. It's just a function of committing to do it right now.

A number of us, by the way, I think should, in fact, get involved in this as we have volunteered because I would get the suggested panelists here, and a number of them are people that I know. And putting aside whether or not they've got conflicts, if they don't have conflicts, I would use my good offices to try to convince them that this is something that really needs their A game.

So I would suggest others who have got other friends on this list do the same.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Mr. Chairman, I have a question here. We have just heard again from Commissioner Yaki that he is not participating. Is it not a little sobering to you the idea that we may be going forward with a conference when it's not a bipartisan conference?

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any Commissioner is free to participate or not. The conference --

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

whether a broad spectrum of views are represented will 1 2 be determined by the panelists that we ultimately select. If a particular individual chooses not to 3 participate, I respect that individual's choice. 4 5 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, it's not simply a question of effect. It's a question of any 6 7 kind of legitimacy and importance of this conference if it's not a bipartisan one when this is a 8 9 bipartisan Commission. 10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The Commission is not -- the question about the Commission is: did we put 11 together a panel that reflects a single point of view. 12 13 I haven't heard anyone make that accusation yet, but there's still time. Again, if someone wants to, 14 15 again, absent themselves from participation, you know, it's unfortunate, but it's not my fault. 16 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow here. 17 I'm looking at --18 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Chairman, this is 19 20 Commissioner Yaki. COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: -- the calendar, 21 and I don't --22 COMMISSIONER YAKI: It is most certainly 23 24 your fault that I was not consulted during this entire 25 proceeding.

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Could we have one
2	Commissioner talk at a time.
3	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: We have to have
4	one Commissioner talk at a time.
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: And in a civil
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: This is a civil tone.
7	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: You have to choose
8	between who can talk, Mr. Chairman
9	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: It most certainly
10	isn't.
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: It certainly was.
12	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: but we had two
13	Commissioners talking at the same time, and I could
14	not follow it.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I hear some family
16	background noise.
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I think Commissioner
18	Kirsanow was speaking first.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioner
20	Kirsanow, were you finished making your comments?
21	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yeah, I'm simply
22	saying that it appears to be in terms of, if you're
23	going to assign, you know, viewpoints to people and
24	where they stand, many of these people's views are
25	fairly well known, and from that perspective, I would
	NEAL R. GROSS  COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

think that at least numerically it seems to be 1 2 balanced, and I'm not saying this is necessarily the final list. 3 You know, I hope that if these invitations 4 5 are extended to these individuals, that we participation from many, if not all, of them, and I do 6 7 strongly believe that many people, if not most of 8 them, will participate because this is the opportunity 9 to have the discussion that the Attorney General had 10 been talking about. If we're going to have a discussion about 11 race, if we are not cowards in formulation of the 12 13 Attorney General, then people should participate. they're not going to participate, then, you know, I 14 15 have -- that's their determination, as you said, Mr. Commissioners. If these panelists don't want to show 16 17 up, then they're going to have to consult with the Attorney General as 18 to what the appropriate appellation will be. 19 20 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Chair, this is Commissioner Yaki. 21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. 22 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Could you read back 23 24 the last statement that the Chair said? 25 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry. I didn't

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	hear you.
2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm asking that the
3	court reporter, prior to Commissioner Kirsanow and I
4	talking over each other
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki,
6	please speak into the mic.
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I am speaking into the
8	mic.
9	(Whereupon, the record was read.)
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki, I'm
11	having difficulty understanding it.
12	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, that's not
13	unusual given the circumstances here today.
14	Can you hear me now, Mr. Chair?
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, yes.
16	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: It was the
17	statement to which you were beginning to object from
18	the Chair that you had been involved from the outset
19	in planning this, something like that.
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, no, no, no. I
21	think it was about choosing, not I mean, the fact
22	is that I was not involved in this, and, I'll state
23	again for the record, despite repeated attempts to
24	ensure that this went forward in a bipartisan manner,
25	the reason, and I think that we can posture all we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

want, but we can already see from statements by another Commissioner that now this has become a dare game, as in, well, if you decide not to talk to us about this, it's obvious that you're a coward.

That's clearly not what we're talking about. We're talking about whether or not this Commission in a bipartisan manner moves forward and hosts a national conference on these important issues in a way that wholly and fully addresses them, and, I might add, what bothers me probably the most -- well, no, there are many things that bother me about not being included in any of the planning, thought or otherwise behind this -- is that left vague and out there is what becomes of this. What is it that this conference is designed to achieve in terms of a written document by the Commission?

Because I can assure you that the fact that my participation was not solicited or included in this planning, given -- and this is no secret -- given my dissatisfaction with how briefings have been held and reported on, much less national reports and such -- that a topic of this magnitude and this importance, which has within it a throw-away line that the Commission will be producing findings and recommendations -quite frankly, absent my

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

and

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

participation

in this planning development understanding what all that means sends chills down my spine in terms of exactly what will come of this.

So I don't think it needs to be said, but I will say it anyway: that with regard to the civil rights community that I work with, there's great skepticism. There is great -- there's a jaundiced eye toward anything that we do or produce.

I have said this before in private meetings off line. I have said it in public. no bones about it. Now we are asking many of these people from these same groups to come forward to a conference, the outcome of which they are not aware of, nor of which I have been a part of, and trust that this outcome will be fair.

I'm not saying that it won't be, certainly the fact that there's a distinct lack of bipartisan nature to the development of this makes that a difficult question to answer. So when a Commissioner says, well, people choose not - it and use the word "cowards" or whatever other words that he was using, that's not the point.

The point is one of whether or not there was confidence that this Commission will take this information and treat it in a manner that, quite

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

4 5

frankly, many folks in the civil rights community that I work with have not seen for the past few years. That was the whole point of why I wanted to be involved early, because perhaps we could overcome that skepticism. Perhaps we could overcome those issues involving the perceptions that are out there regarding this Commission.

That is why I was so concerned as this process moved forward, Mr. Chair, about what was going on, and instead I did not even know that this was at this kind of stage. I assumed at some point when we had it on the agenda for discussion, it would be for discussion about a broader participation and working amongst Commissioners to make this happen. I did not expect to receive a week ago a full-blown baked pie of which I had no participation in whatsoever.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki, I guess we'll need to consult the transcripts because the fact that I was drafting it and drafting it alone, I think that that was perfectly clear from the statements. I apologize for failing to get it done sooner on the record, during at least one meeting. I don't think anyone was entertaining the notion that staff was putting together the document or that we were going to use some other procedure.

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

21

22

23

24

25

On that particular point, you know, I guess I'm somewhat surprised that when this issue was discussed, and that issue being the fact that I was putting the document together, that no one raised their hand when this issue was discussed at previous Commission meetings.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Chair, this is Kirsanow.

that putting knew you were this and again, I'll have to check transcripts, but I presume everybody knew you were putting it together. I didn't, frankly, volunteer to assist you because I had other things to do. suppose others could have had input had they chosen to do so because it seems to me everyone was on notice that you were doing this.

It's not as if you were excluding people. It was not as if you were saying to everyone, "I'm the only one who's going to do this. No input required or no input wanted." I'm, frankly, bewildered.

Second point, with respect to Commissioner Yaki's statement about cowards, I didn't call anyone a coward. I'm not suggesting anyone is a coward. I do note, though, that statement was used by somebody. This is an opportunity for us to show that we are not

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

cowards when it comes to discussions of race.

I firmly reject the suggestion by the Attorney General that we are a nation of cowards when it comes to race. It seems to me we've been trying to talk about race. We talk about race all the time, but every time we want to have a principal discussion of it, some of us get shouted down.

In addition, in the past we have had panels, when I was not a member of the majority, where on occasion those who were conservative or perceived to be conservative would come into what they perceived to be a hostile environment. They nonetheless came. I can't recall a single person who at that point had, as Commissioner Yaki indicates, some concerns about that particular iteration or management of the Commission, not showing up because of that fact. They all thought that the subject matter upon which they were going to testify was important enough that, however they were treated or they thought they were going to be treated, merited, nonetheless, their testimony.

In this particular case, I suspect that there are those who in good faith, in the traditional civil rights community, who have concerns about the management of this Commission. So be it. I'm not

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

4 5

going to argue with them about that. But if this issue is important enough to them that they've devoted their lives to it, the mere fact that Jerry Reynolds is Chair or the bald guy with the moustache happens to be on the Commission, too, is going to deter them -- I can't believe that's going to happen. I do not accept that at all.

I say we go forward with this. I think your plan to make this kind of a work in progress to check on the progress of this on a bi-weekly or even a weekly basis to see whether it can get done is a smart thing. It could be that logistically we can't do it in 76 days. For the life of me, I can't understand why. Here in the private sector we do this repeatedly and do it well, and I am certain with the caliber of individuals we've got at the Commission, with the caliber of the Commissioners we have, with the importance of this particular subject, we will get it done if we have the desire to do so.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. Chair, I want to associate myself with the remarks of Commissioner Kirsanow as far as its doability. I probably have put on, organized more conferences than most of the rest of my colleagues in the past 10 years, and I'll be glad to lend my support in various ways.

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3 24

25

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: But they're --

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: -- and others, and others, but what I'd like to hear now is -- and we can work through those, I think -- Commissioner Yaki said that, you know, he wished he were involved earlier. I'm sure that's often the case, but I'd like to know now what he thinks about this concept paper isn't a good idea or how it can be improved.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Gaziano, this has been a product of several months of work. I've had less than a week to look at it. There are any number of different issues that I wish to go into. I think Commissioner Melendez spoke about some of them, but the fact of the matter is that it is about process as much as it is about substance. simply the end justifies the means.

This is a question of whether or not going forward in this development in an iterative process that I wish that -- and you know, whatever you want to say about whether you reported that you were working on this or not, the fact is that what exactly you were working on with regard to the conference was never really said with great specificity. And I will repeat: I made it very clear from day one that I thought it would be important for me to be involved.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

process.

continue knocking on a door that doesn't get opened, and you know, you can argue that all you want, Mr. Chair, and point to whoever, point in a transcript that you may have said, "Well, I'm doing it," blah, blah, blah, blah, blah. The fact of the matter is that there's a signal difference between, I'm working on this too, -- oh, by the way, I'm actually pulling together what the nature of each panel is going to be,

et cetera, et cetera, et cetera, and not going into

what I had hoped would be a much more bipartisan

That is not my job at that point to

Because certainly you more than anyone else and certainly I would hope the members of the Commission would understand the skepticism that is out there. And yes, you know, Commissioner Kirsanow can say, "Well, it's so important. How can they not participate?"

Well, I can tell you for a fact, and I think it is no secret, that there have been some briefings in the past before this Commission where it was difficult to find certain witnesses to appear who one would think would likely be wanting to appear before us to testify because they were not confident in the outcome.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	I remember specifically on more than one,
2	more than two, more than three multiple occasions
3	where the decline ratio from groups based here in
4	Washington, D.C. was exceedingly high. That did not
5	simply come because they had a conflict. I can tell
6	you that. I can tell you that for a fact.
7	So I think the substance is I think the
8	procedure is part and parcel
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Hold on. Someone turn
10	their phone off.
11	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'm going to
12	answer it, not turn it off. I will go out of the
13	room.
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: So I am just saying,
15	once again, the procedure
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
17	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I appreciate
18	could I just follow up a little?
19	I appreciate Commissioner Melendez's
20	suggestions. I would encourage any Commissioner who
21	has similar concerns and is willing to send an E-mail
22	to all of us I intend to do so send an E-mail
23	with how this can be polished, if that's your view,
24	improved. I'm not sure that I quite understand why
25	your disappointment over the process means that you

won't tell us at all how you think it should be 1 2 improved, but I --COMMISSIONER YAKI: I 3 just got the document last week, Commissioner. It's a little 4 5 difficult -- it's a very dense document. It has a number of different people on it with regard to a 6 7 different -- with a point of view of what the panels 8 are going to be. 9 Rearranging an entire panel topic is not 10 something that I'm just prepared to say willy-nilly, "Oh, I really think it should be this or that." 11 But I will say this. There is a distinct 12 13 omission. When I talked about a national civil rights conference, I talked about it globally. I talked 14 15 about the issues. I talked about not just the issues of race. I talk about it in issues of gender, sexual 16 17 orientation, disability. That's what I was talking 18 about. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And I think there's 19 20 room within this proposal to include those, and I thank you and Commissioner Melendez for raising those 21 thoughts. 22 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, I'm very glad 23 24 that you thank me, but the fact is that this is 25 something that from the very beginning to me was

1	supposed to be what this was all about. It somehow
2	got routed a different way, and that's what I mean by
3	not being involved in this process to begin with
4	because now if you were to include those, if you do
5	those, how you would do it, how you would stage it
6	I remember even talking about this with Commissioner
7	Taylor at a meeting, about the fact that we may not
8	know, and some of these groups may not know or be
9	representatives of everything that we may want to
10	discuss and bring up in terms of how these issues play
11	out.
12	I mean, part of the - everyone, good or
13	bad, left or right, conservative or liberal, comes at
14	it from some point and from a certain perspective, and
15	whether they would like to see
16	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: What in the heck is
17	going on?
18	THE REPORTER: We are losing the record.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Excuse me. Marty, is
20	that background noise coming from your end?
21	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: It is. Yes,
22	I've been trying to cover up, move around to get away
23	from it.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Do you have a mute

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

25

button on your phones?

is

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Unfortunately the palm of my hand is my mute button, unfortunately.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: This

STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: No, I don't.

Commissioner Melendez.

I have one more comment. You know, I have to agree with what Commissioner Yaki is saying about if we could have done it over. You know, we have to tie this to the strategic plan in some way because I know that the strategic plan says that we're supposed to convene the conference, but attract 100 civil rights practitioners, expert, and it says we're supposed to issue a report based on the findings of the conference entitled "Civil Rights Priorities for the 21st Century" and then further enforce -- see our strategic plan identify six civil rights issues and research topics appropriate for incorporation into the Commission's programmatic planning cycles for 2010 through 2012, and identify the civil rights issues and research topics that could lay the foundation for updating the Commission's strategic plan in 2011, and also, (e) identify where the Commission's powers and mission need to be expanded to respond to emergency challenge and publish these by 2011.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

And I think what Commissioner Yaki is saying is, as we started out in the very beginning, you really have to tie, you know, the different panels or the discussion topics to what we have said here. Right now, we're kind of like -- I'm not sure if it's tied to that, you know, and then it's almost as if we're just trying to rearrange panels and speakers, and so I can see how we're really in disarray in how we are proceeding.

If it were up to me, I would postpone this until 2010 if possible, the next year, and do it right. So just for the record, I just think that I'm not really supportive in the manner. I just don't think we're going to be able to do an adequate job.

Because then also, if we were to contact speakers like today and tell them in the spring of next year, "Mark this on your calendar," that we want you to be there -- when we contact people with a month in advance or even two months, you know, many times they grab other commitments and they can't make it. If it were me, I would have said, let them know in the spring that we need you here. Mark it on your calendar now. Then you were guaranteed to get all of the different participants, but I just think even that small aspect of getting all of these speakers is a

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

challenge.

So my position would be to put this off if possible until next year and do it right.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: The -- the --

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Hold it.

Commissioner Melendez, you said something that gives me the impression that you believe that all of the individuals, all of the recommended individuals, will participate. I don't know if that's your assumption, but the proposal states that the panels will be made up of four to five people. It's not going to be all of the individuals that we have listed in the proposal.

Suggests that the participants will submit research papers possibly after. You know, Commissioner Heriot can speak to this because there's a lot of different ways academic conferences are organized, but sometimes there's a sort of working speech that's given or working paper that's presented, and then a polished paper is delivered, and that the proceedings of the conference would be potentially made available.

So it does seem like the framework that the Chairman has outlined can include many of the points that you read from our strategic plan.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Have we already
2	contacted any of these people or no?
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I've had conversations
4	with some of the individuals.
5	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Could you tell us
6	who?
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Ted Shaw, Deborah
8	Dickerson, Bill hold on Bill Stephany, and I'm
9	sure that there's a few more of the folks here that I
10	have discussed the at least at a high level I've
11	had discussions with those individuals and maybe one
12	or two more.
13	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I think a conference
16	of this nature is something we should do. The value
17	for me, however, is a little bit different than what
18	we have outlined in our strategic plan finding the
19	recommendations, contingents, for me personally do not
20	hold a lot of value, and I do think it will provide
21	certain people with an explanation as to why they will
22	not participate if we, as the conservative members of
23	the Commission, quote, control the pen.
24	And so I would be inclined in terms of
ا م	torsing to improve this product. I would be inclined to

trying to improve this product, I would be inclined to

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

J

(202) 234-4433

not go in that direction at all with respect to findings and recommendations. To me that's not the value of this type of national discussion. The discussion in and of itself is the value, and getting the discussion out in the public domain.

I do want a Mary Frances Berry. I want to give her a podium. I want to give her an opportunity to say her piece, and I don't want her to be fearful that it will be summarized, mischaracterized in conclusions and findings. And then I want somebody to give a competing view on the same stage. To me that is the value, and so I would want us to give some thought to doing something like this without tying it to a final work product that would include us, again, controlling the pen in terms of drawing conclusions.

But I want to give the people in the traditional civil rights community a platform to air their views and have a competing point of view without anyone fearing that their views would be mischaracterized, summarized inaccurately. I think that's the value of this type of conference.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Without us coming to findings and conclusions, would you oppose letting scholarly speakers like Shelby Steele and others submit papers for publication?

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I have no objection
2	to people submitting papers, but, again, the value of
3	something like a discussion I won't use the term
4	"debate" because I think it will be a discussion
5	between Steele and Gates is in and of itself valuable.
6	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Sure. And we
7	should videotape this. C-SPAN hopefully would cover
8	some or all of it, and we would put it on YouTube
9	whether we
10	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: To me that
11	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: covers it or
12	not.
13	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: And I don't think we
14	need my view is Mr. Chairman, I don't know if it
15	may and if so I apologize. I hope it doesn't deviate
16	too much from what you have in mind, but the value in
17	what you proposed is to have a public discussion about
18	these important issues. Again, that's my view. It's
19	not so much, frankly, a working document that very few
20	people read. It's the fact that we provide a platform
21	to have these issues aired nationally, and that's what
22	I hope we can do, and I want people to come.
23	Frankly, the best substantive suggestion
24	I've heard would be to invite the Attorney General.
25	I'd like Mr. Chairman, you would obviously begin

the program, but I would like him to be the first speaker for purposes of simply setting the stage, for him to expand upon his comments, and I want him to come into an environment that's not a debate about his comments. I'd just like him to set the stage, and then he can leave or stay, but feel comfortable that it's not an ambush. It's not a trap, but it is a way to get the discussion started, and no one is going to mischaracterize anyone's remarks.

So, again, Mr. Chair, it may be a different direction than you had in mind, but that's a value I see in a conference of this nature, not our written work product afterwards.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: And, Mr. Chair, this is Commissioner Yaki. That's exactly the discussion that I had before we got interrupted by the background noise. I was about to say that that was exactly the discussion that I had with Commissioner Taylor about three years ago. I remember sitting down and saying: it's a place for people to come and talk and not to draw conclusions, but to lay out the issues, lay out the challenges from different perspectives on the issue of what are civil rights for the 21st century.

And which is why, you know, the omission of the whole spectrum is one that I find troubling.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

	121
1	Could that be fixed? Yes, but I think that
2	Commissioner Taylor hit it right on the head, and I
3	had said that earlier, that there is deep suspicion
4	about what would be done with the results of this
5	conference and if, indeed, there is to be no
6	result of this conference other than the conference
7	itself, a dialogue itself being an opportunity for
8	people to come forward and use a national forum and
9	use the Commission for what it was and always has been
10	intended to be.
11	I have much more comfort in that, but that
12	was what I would have talked to you about if we had
13	been invited into the party a little bit earlier, so
14	that we could work through these types of issues and,
15	you know much, much credit to Commissioner Taylor
16	for bringing this up because it is
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well,
18	COMMISSIONER YAKI: very important.
19	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, let me
20	ask you a question before you go on because it's
21	and let's do something which I guess a governmental
22	body should never do. Let's have an open and frank
23	discussion on the record.
24	Is the issue of findings and
25	recommendations from your perspective critical? I

mean, is that --

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I was about to respond to your recommendation. I don't have a problem with the approach that you just outlined. So I don't know if you, you know, require more discussion on the point, but if there is a consensus -- well, first of all, I will support your recommendation, and I would hope that we can reach a consensus on this issue.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow here.

I read the proposal, and what Commissioner Taylor proposed, which I concur with, is I think what the concept paper, in fact, even states, that panels will submit research papers, will publish those. We're going to be discharging our clearing house function. There's the possibility of findings and recommendations, but you know, we don't have to do them.

I think I agree with -- I mean, I know that I concur with Commissioner Taylor that this is an opportunity to have a discussion. I concur. I want to give Mary Frances Berry a platform. She's had it for a long time, but I want to see what she has had to say more recently. Lani Guinier, Gwen Lowery, Harvey

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

Mansfield, Stephan Thernstrom -- let's get some of the best minds and the most diverse thinkers and start the discussion that the Attorney General says we should be having.

And, frankly, this doesn't require, in my estimation, having gone through the concept paper a couple of times now, which is only seven pages long, frankly, this is not -- I think you did a very good job here, but it's not a magnum opus in terms of trying to digest this and come up with suggestions as to how to improve it or change it.

In my estimation, based on the current concept, this is something that really requires a good ability to dial the phone and not much else -- not much else -- making logistical arrangements in terms of flight arrangements, but if you get in touch with these people now or anyone else that any other Commissioners want to recommend, then this thing can go forward.

And as Commissioner Gaziano said, they can present something before the hearing if they wish to do so, but a more polished product afterwards.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yeah, I would recommend giving them quite some time afterwards to get quite a polished product.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

4 5

I also agree with Commissioner Taylor that, you know, I'm perfectly happy to not have findings and recommendations here because I think we're talking about a subject that is sufficiently broad, and we're talking about it in a level of abstraction such that I'm not certain that findings and recommendations would have been all that useful anyway.

I would like individual Commissioners to have the ability to put statements in whatever written product is published. You know, maybe they would be writing articles of their own or maybe commenting on the article, but I think that's something that would be useful. But then each of us could do that in our individual capacity as Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yeah, and if possible -- and this may not be possible -- but I have a slight preference that when you're moderating a panel, that's not necessarily the appropriate point to make -- time to make point -- but that the Commissioners other than the Chairman also might have an opportunity to provide some statements on one or more of these panels or separately, like members of Congress do as far as opening statements.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We're also dealing

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

any

We might not publish something that's slanderous, but we wouldn't exercise --

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Right.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

24

25

1	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: a substantive,
2	heavy, substantive editorial control. We might give
3	them some space limits or something.
4	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Right. Space
5	limits, but nothing where we change the content of
6	what they submit.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's correct.
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You're comfortable
9	with the notion of there being Commissioner
10	statements?
11	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Oh, I think we have
12	an inherent right to do that at all times, but I think
13	it's more important for purposes, again, of, you know
14	if I were the Attorney General receiving an
15	invitation like we're going to extend to him, that
16	would be important to me, and it would be important to
17	me to know that I'm not in a debate in that context.
18	I'm there to give an opening statement and give you my
19	thoughts and wish the group the best as they proceed
20	with the discussion for the rest of the day. That
21	would be important.
22	And I think that's the way we can have a
23	credible opportunity of getting him to come.
24	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Mr. Chairman, I
25	have a question. Commissioner Melendez suggested that

he would be much more comfortable with a longer planning time and having a conference in some time in 2010. If, in fact, it doesn't look as if this is going to come together with the quality that you hoped for for September -- I still regard that as ridiculously soon -- would you be open to Commissioner Melendez's suggestion that we have this conference, but not as early as we expected?

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow here.

Having said that, Mr. Chairman, I will say that I agree with Commissioner Gaziano that we should really move toward doing it in September. The longer -- and I concur also with Commissioner Melendez. I understand that, you know, we want to put together a quality project. My concern is that it's human nature and happened in my realm that when you put something off, you start to lose traction.

I really do think this is imminently doable, provided that we get on the stick right now. It's a matter of making phone calls and getting people here.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, Pete, I agree with everything you just said, and I stated earlier that we are going to work towards the date. At any

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
LO	
L1	
L2	
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	

23

24

25

point in time that we feel that we cannot put on a quality conference, then we would have to revisit the date.

## COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Right.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Now, after having said that though, I am fairly confident that this is achievable, especially with the number of Commissioners that have volunteered to do the heavy lifting.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow here. I would suggest we may want to, maybe off line by E-mail or maybe in a separate conference call, assign or pick priorities in terms of Commissioners who can get in touch with these people or how we're going to assign those kinds of functions. Maybe staff will take a certain number of them. Those of us with relationships with others can do so.

I think given that this is an ongoing project or a work in process, maybe the other Commissioners can suggest other names or suggest that some of the names that are on here should be deleted or there should be substitutions, what have you.

But I think, at least at first blush, this is an impressive group of names. Whether we get them all or just a few of them is, you know, -- we'll see

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	what happens with that, but again, the urgency of this
2	is starting to make the phone calls as quickly as
3	possible.
4	We can start to flesh this out fairly
5	rapidly if we begin doing so at the beginning of next
6	week.
7	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Some instruction, I
8	think, does need to come from Marty, Gerry, with input
9	from Dominique, who should be on maternity leave
10	taking care of her baby, but I think is listening in.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: She is.
12	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: On just a few of
13	the logistic things.
14	I move that we concentrate on the National
15	Press Club. Is there any objection?
16	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, I object
17	because I don't think I can make the date. That's the
18	
19	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Well, the National
20	Press Club is the venue, and that we then confirm the
21	date.
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: We already have a
23	date.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And also, Todd, let me
25	
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We might shift it.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: -- revisit a comment that I made earlier about the deposit. It turns out that I was wrong. We will be required to make a deposit. Now, we have a hold on it, but within the next week or so we will have to make a decision to whether we are going to use the National Press Club, and if so we'll have to make a deposit.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I suspect so, but that's why I mentioned that they asked for flexibility up front as far as when you could release it and carry forward the money.

And by the way, without doing it completely above board, it might even be required that we expend the money this fiscal year for a conference that isn't going to take place until next fiscal year. So there's a lot of interesting issues like that probably that need to be worked through.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Before we start ordering placemats, can I ask a question? This is Commissioner Yaki.

There are two different themes running through -- well, I see two different themes running through this discussion. One is Commissioner Kirsanow's issue about confronting the question of

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

(202) 234-4433

race. The other is the title of this document, which is a little broader than that, which is defining civil rights in the 21st Century.

Again, I raise the point raised by Commissioner Melendez and myself, which is that where this tends to be a little light is in the issues of gender, sexual orientation and disability. Even within that, within sub-groups -- for example, within as a sub-issue within the Latino community there could be the issue of citizenship and immigration. There could be within this -- I'm sorry. There are people on the phone right now.

So my question is where are we. I'm still not clear where we are going with this, and they are two totally different. If you are truly talking about redefining civil rights in the 21st Century, we have to address the issues of gender, disability and sexual orientation.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow here.

I agree with Commissioner Yaki. Our charge is to address matters related to discrimination on the basis of race, sex, age, color, national origin, so on and so forth. I am hopeful that we will have a panel, and I would look to everybody here, including Commissioner Yaki, to recommend names that

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	Commission should be.
2	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Sure. That's fine.
3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We can talk about,
4	you know all of these things, even things that are not
5	exactly
6	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Well, we have the
7	prohibition from even thinking about a certain topic
8	that I probably shouldn't mention because I would
9	violate the law.
10	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Then we won't think
11	about it, but I don't think that's the one that
12	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We have the
13	prohibition if I say the word.
14	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, that
15	Commissioner Yaki is talking about.
16	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay.
17	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Well, you know,
18	Kirsanow here.
L9	That one prohibition deals with abortion -
20	- is a statutory prohibition. And if we're going to
21	be talking about what the new Civil Rights Commission
22	should be, should there be that prohibition? I think
23	that's something worthy of discussion.
24	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: You're dancing on a
25	dangerous line there, but
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Just like all the
2	other things that are worthy of discussion.
3	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Maybe we can get a
4	statutory exemption about it.
5	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Right. I'm not
6	holding my breath.
7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: At any rate, you
8	know, we can accommodate the need to talk to things
9	other than race here, I think, pretty easily. That's
10	not going to be a problem.
11	Mr. Chairman.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Do you need a
14	motion? Are we good just to have this conversation?
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry. Are you
16	referring to a discussion of abortion?
17	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Do you need a motion
18	at this point, you know, to carry on? I'd be happy to
19	move, you know, that
20	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: That we proceed
21	according to all of the discussion that's preceded.
22	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yeah, that you be
23	authorized to act.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's do it.
25	Commissioner Heriot, may I please have a motion?
	NEAL R. GROSS  COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	issues that were brought up by myself and Commissioner
2	Melendez regarding the
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: It would be taken into
4	consideration.
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You know, making
6	sure that those comments
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: What does "taken into
8	consideration" mean, Mr. Chair?
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That means that, as I
10	understand the motion, that I would be charged with
11	taking into account all of the issues that have been
12	discussed during this meeting, including the concerns
13	which I share, expressed by Commissioner Melendez and
14	some of yours, as well as the other Commissioners.
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: With all due respect,
16	Mr. Chair, that's a little fuzzy.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
18	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I mean, you know
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm not in a position
20	to tell you what the final product is going to look
21	like.
22	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's always true
23	of our briefings, too. There's nothing remarkable
24	about this. We never have them set in stone.
25	COMMISSIONER YAKI: But don't get me
	NEAL R. GROSS
	COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
	1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

1	started about the magical process that results in
2	briefings, but at least are we does this motion
3	include the confirmation that there will be no
4	findings and recommendations to this conference?
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I think there's a
6	consensus on that.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I don't think that
8	it's needed, but if that would provide you a
9	sufficient comfort to participate in the process, I
10	can get behind that.
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, what would provide
12	me sufficient comfort to participate in the process is
13	to be participating in the process to begin with, but
14	I'm wanting to know because this will be important in
15	terms of what people ask me afterwards with regard to
16	whether or not there is a definitive statement about
17	whether there will be findings and recommendations or
18	not.
19	I think it should be part of the motion.
20	I think it is in your interest to have that as part of
21	the motion.
22	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm happy to put it
23	in.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I don't have any
25	concerns about putting in the motion, but,
J	

Commissioner Yaki, do want to point out that you are 1 2 participating in this process. COMMISSIONER YAKI: I am participating in 3 this process now, Mr. Chair, simply because I'm 4 5 dissatisfied and deeply disturbed by how this has been So what -- if raising objections is 6 going on. 7 participating in the process, then so be it, but I still reserve -- have serious reservations about what 8 9 you mean by taking into consideration issues of 10 gender, disability and sexual orientation in the planning of this conference unless you plan to change 11 the title. 12 13 You know, that's apparently your discretion, the ball that you've been running with. I 14 15 don't know, frankly, and part of me doesn't care because, again, despite my entreaties, I was not 16 invited to the table. 17 But I need to know, and I think it's going 18 to be very important for you to put on the record as a 19 20 vote that there's going to be no report issued that will contain findings, recommendations or conclusions 21 of the Commission. 22 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: If that's important to 23 24 you, I can support it.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: So can I.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

25

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	is played, Commissioner Heriot. You know that's not
2	how the game
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The way the game has
4	been played
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Wait a minute.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: is the Commission
7	is
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It may come as a
9	shock to Commissioner Yaki, but we all got
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: that you have been
11	allowed to have the conversations that you're having
12	now under previous configurations of the Commission.
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, let's not go
14	back in ancient history, Mr. Chair, but
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I would like to
16	go back to ancient history.
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: you know from the
18	very beginning, Mr. Chairman
19	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: This is Kirsanow.
20	One of the people I want on one of these
21	panels is going to be the Chairperson of the previous
22	Commission, and we'll see precisely what she thinks in
23	terms of how the Commission should move forward.
24	There are members of this Commission who clearly
25	remember how business was conducted. I am here to
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	tell you and you can go through the transcripts
2	from 2001 to the present to see how Commission
3	business was conducted. It is a bright line
4	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, I'm sorry
5	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: in favor of the
6	minority these days as opposed to what it was in the
7	past.
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, I'm sorry that
9	you feel like an abused child in the situation.
10	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: It's a perfect
11	no, but you know what? That's Washington. We are a
12	majority.
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: But the fact of the
14	matter is
15	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I have absolutely
16	no problem including any panelists, and I would urge
17	Commissioner Yaki and Commissioner Melendez to
18	recommend panelists, to recommend substitutions, to
19	recommend deletions, to recommend every panel. I have
20	heard nothing from any other Commissioners thus far
21	disagreeing with any of that.
22	It is impossible sometimes to agree with
23	you, Commissioner Yaki.
24	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Kirsanow,
25	perhaps we should revisit history. When I first came
	NEAL D. CDCCC

(202) 234-4433

on the Commission, one of the first things I did was got appointed to the subcommittee that worked on revising the rules and AIs to make this place work better than what you referred to as "the other days." I worked on that in a bipartisan manner with Commissioner Braceras, amongst others. Through the first year and a half, we tended to work along those ways. We formed subcommittees. We did this together. We participated.

That has changed. I don't know why it has changed, but it has changed, and I was a perfectly willing participant in the beginning to work in a bipartisan manner, to understanding the concerns that you raised and other people had raised during that time period. So don't start preaching to me about that kind of behavior, Commissioner Kirsanow, because I was on the -- people who worked in this Commission to try and change the rules and make it fairer and make it more bipartisan. You supported it as well, and I worked with you, in fact, on one of the subcommittees involving some of the finance issues.

I was hoping that would be the same kind of process for something this important and this significant for the Commission. That did not happen. That was my surprise. That was my disappointment.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

	H
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

23

24

25

That was, in fact, my anger, that, despite asking for that early on, talking about this, in fact, bringing this initially up with Commissioner Taylor three years ago, that it never happened.

So part --

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Commissioner Yaki, again, I'm perplexed. If this is the process you wanted to have, there's nothing at least to my knowledge that prohibited you or anyone else from suggesting that we have a subcommittee, just like the Finance Subcommittee, Strategic Planning Subcommittee, the AI Subcommittee, all the other subcommittees, to draft this concept paper or to work along with this.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: With all due respect

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I had an opportunity to work with Commissioner Reynolds had I chosen to do so. I chose not to do so. I am absolutely certain that if you, Commissioner Heriot or anyone else had gone to Commissioner Reynolds and said, "I know you're working on this concept paper. I know it's heavy lifting. Let me assist you," -- I'm bewildered as to precisely what it is you were excluded from doing.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Kirsanow,

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	then you
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Folks.
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: were not privy to
4	the conversations that I had.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We had this debate
6	earlier. We're just going over old ground. At this
7	point I think that we have a game plan. I believe
8	that there's a motion pending. I believe that
9	Commissioner Yaki asked whether the motion contained
10	the understanding that there would be no findings of
11	fact and recommendations.
12	I believe that there is agreement that the
13	motion does contain that understanding. So at this
14	point, folks, let's vote. All in favor say aye.
15	(Chorus of ayes.)
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Objections.
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I object.
18	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'm sorry. My
19	burglar alarm went off in my house, and therefore I
20	haven't been part. I don't even know what we're
21	voting on at this point. I'm sorry for having to
22	leave the room.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. So I'll treat
24	that as an abstention. Commissioner Melendez?
25	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Abstain, too. I'm
	NEAL R. GROSS

	145
1	not real convinced on this.
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I understand. Okay.
3	The motion passes.
4	The next item on the agenda is a
5	discussion of a letter that was drafted by
6	Commissioner Kirsanow.
7	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Should we go back
8	to approve the minutes or not?
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
10	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Should we is what
11	I'm asking. It doesn't matter. I don't care. Just
12	pointing out we haven't been there yet.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
14	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Let's go to the
15	letter.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I move that we
17	approve the June 12th, 2009 minutes.
18	II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF
19	JUNE 12, 2009 MEETING
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is there a second?
21	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
23	(No response.)
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor, please
25	say aye.
	NEAL R. GROSS  COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE. N.W.

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	(Chorus of ayes.)
2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Discussion. The vote
3	recorded on the letter does not gibe with the letter
4	that was sent to the Washington Times, if you read it
5	very carefully.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki,
7	which document are you referring to when you said have
8	you read it very carefully?
9	COMMISSIONER YAKI: You're talking about
10	the minutes, right? The very last issue in the
11	minutes had to do with the number five Justice
12	Department, had a vote of five to one.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I show four-zero.
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I do not believe it
15	was five to one. That's why I wanted to correct that.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I was under the
17	impression that it was four to zero with Commissioner
18	Yaki being the vote, and we had, I believe, one
19	abstention.
20	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: That's correct.
21	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay. Let's
22	correct then.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Well, Mr. Staff
24	Director, please revisit that factual issue. I agree
25	with Commissioner Yaki. I believe that that tally is

	147
1	incorrect.
2	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: The vote was
3	four in favor and one abstention.
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I move to have the
6	minutes reflect that correction.
7	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I second it. All in
9	favor please say aye.
10	(Chorus of ayes.)
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Now, as
12	modified, let's vote on the minutes from June 12th.
13	All in favor please say aye.
14	(Chorus of ayes.)
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objection?
16	(No response.)
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Abstentions?
18	(No response.)
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The motion passes.
20	Next up is a discussion of a letter
21	drafted by Commissioner Kirsanow.
22	IV. PROGRAM PLANNING
23	(b) DRAFT LETTER TO OHIO LEGISLATURE
24	RE SET-ASIDES
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Pete, are you ready to
	NEAL R. GROSS
	COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
	1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

discuss your letter?

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I am. It's very brief. This is a letter that will go to the Ohio State Legislature in response to a proposed bill that would require minority set-asides for colleges and universities. The bill is, frankly, perplexing because the Ohio Supreme Court, Federal District Court have all already ruled that these kinds of programs are unconstitutional, and we're simply advising them of that fact.

There's the Drabik case, there's the Buddie case, and in fact, in the Buddie case these individuals who would engage in such set-asides at the community college level, the college level, whatever you have, would be subject to personal liability. In other words, their sovereign immunity would be forfeited as a result. That should be their attention, but apparently the state legislature forgot about that.

So it's simply a letter that advises them of the fact that there is significant problems related to this proposed bill.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Response?

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: A very good letter, but could we leave the final language open for a day

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	just for some very minor wordsmithing, or would that
2	create a problem?
3	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I have no problem
4	with that, Commissioner.
5	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I'd like to vote on
6	the basic substance of it. I think it's a very good
7	letter.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Additional comments,
9	questions?
10	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Commissioner
11	Melendez.
12	I'd like to as the General Counsel whether
13	or not any letters like this need quality guidelines
14	review.
15	MR. BLACKWOOD: This is David Blackwood.
16	And the answer is no.
17	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay. So we're
18	saying that, what, that statements to public officials
19	that cite specific legal cases and draw legal
20	conclusions about the meaning and applicability of
21	those cases don't require that?
22	MR. BLACKWOOD: In this format of a letter
23	by the Commission, that is correct.
24	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay, all right.
25	Just for the record.
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

	150
1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Additional questions,
2	comments?
3	(No response.)
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Hearing none, all in
5	favor please say aye.
6	(Chorus of ayes.)
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections?
8	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Opposed.
9	Melendez.
10	COMMISSIONER YAKI: In the absence of the
11	facts, I'm going to vote no.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Abstentions?
13	(No response.)
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let the record
15	reflect that Commissioners Yaki and Melendez voted
16	against the motion. The remaining Commissioners voted
17	in favor. The motion passes.
18	Next up is discussion of the use of a
19	temporary hiring authority.
20	IV. PROGRAM PLANNING
21	(c) DISCUSSION OF TEMPORARY HIRING AUTHORITY
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki,
23	this is your issue. Would like to frame the issue?
24	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Just a question to the
25	Staff Director. When I
	NEAL R. GROSS
	COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
	(202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

	151
1	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Is he still one?
2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Is he still on?
3	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Yeah, I'm on
4	here. Hopefully my signal will hold.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And also, if we get
6	into sensitive territory, we'll have to go into
7	executive session.
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: It was just a question
9	about well, actually the General Counsel will
10	actually be a part of it when I had initially put
11	in for my special assistant, Alec, I understood that
12	there might be some wait and review time and had asked
13	if we could use temporary hiring authority to get him
14	started right away so that I could utilize his skills
15	on some dissents that were coming up. I made this
16	request in writing and verbally to the Staff Director.
17	The response I got back was that they had
18	gone to the appropriate people and had been shot down.
19	I subsequently learned that someone had
20	been hired using temporary hiring authority, another
21	person whose name had been in submission for a special
22	assistant position, and had just wondered why my case
23	seemed to be different than that of the other person.
24	And that's basically it.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Who would like

to field this question? It seems to me --1 2 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Is the Staff Director not on? 3 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: No, I'm on 4 5 the line. I wasn't sure that Commissioner Yaki posed the question to me or to the General Counsel. 6 7 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, it's to either. Your initial reply to me stated that it was on the 8 9 advice of the General Counsel, which I don't -- well, 10 I mean --STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Let me try 11 to explain it. There are two temporary hiring 12 authorities, one of which we did approach the White 13 House about, and they advised us not to use that 14 15 temporary hiring authority. The other hiring authority, I checked with 16 the General Counsel and the Director of Management 17 about, and they both advised against using it because 18 of the experience that we have had in the past with an 19 20 individual who had been put forward for a special assistant position but then was turned down by the 21 White House. 22 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: In addition to that, 23 24 that individual at the time had a pending piece of litigation. Is that right? 25

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

there are - - it wasn't that that authority did not 1 2 exist legally. The question was prudentially whether it should be exercised. COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yeah, I know, but if the person still has a case and that case was in place at this time and that time, why was this time, my 6 7 time, not hired and the other one was? That's all I'm 8 asking. 9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: David, was that the 10 same piece of litigation pending? What does this -the case that you just referred to, what did that file 11 -- after the decision was made, there was a subsequent 12 appeal that was -- wasn't there an appeal that would extinguish? 14 15 BLACKWOOD: No, here's what the We knew that a decision had been 16 sequence was. 17 rendered by the MSPB, and we were waiting for the 18 appeal time. There are two appeals. Normally you would 19 20 21 22 23

We did not get any notice that the gentleman had pursued this alternative. So there was a time when we thought the time period -- in fact, I think I sent a memo around or an E-mail to everyone -the appeal time has expired.

What we subsequently learned is, without

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

3

4

5

13

24

25

1	to hear what because I heard it the same way as
2	Commissioner Yaki did.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano,
4	proceed.
5	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I just want to
6	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, you won't
7	let David Blackwood clarify this?
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano,
9	proceed.
10	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: He can. I just
11	want to say how this decision has adversely affected
12	me, too. I was disappointed to hear that Commissioner
13	that the decision was made not to extend the
14	temporary hiring authority to Commissioner Yaki
15	especially without at least pinging other
16	Commissioners on this. It affects us all.
17	I have had a special assistant pending now
18	for about 33 days. I don't want anyone to think I'm
19	hiding behind the log. When I was TinaLouise
20	Martin is out this week, so I couldn't verify the
21	exact number of days.
22	I when I asked for the temporary, I was
23	now told there may have been some change. I'm not
24	sure I entirely agree with it, or I don't entirely
25	agree with the decision that was made, but I did not

	157
1	press my case for a temporary until the period of time
2	has expired, similar to what other Commissioners have
3	had to wait for their special assistant, but I will at
4	this point show my interest in that I will be asking
5	the Staff Director on Monday, which will be about 35
6	days, as best I can tell, to execute the temporary
7	hiring authority for my special assistant to bring my
8	special assistant on the payroll pending I also
9	have some concerns that with regard to me, PPO review
10	may not be entirely appropriate except perhaps to
11	check a criminal background check, if that's what's
12	going on, but I don't think it's appropriate to have
13	to wait any longer for an assistant.
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: But now my question
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Vice Chair Thernstrom.
16	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I just didn't
17	understand as Commissioner Yaki didn't. I didn't
18	understand the General Counsel's response. I just
19	wanted clarification of it.
20	MR. BLACKWOOD: Well, number one, that in
21	my mind had nothing to do with why there is a
22	difference in treatment between your special assistant
23	and others. I continue to have I am the wrong

one, that in there is a cial assistant am the wrong person to ask.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: But there is now a

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

24

25

1	case in the District Court, regardless of whether it
2	may be stale or not. The fact is that this kind of
3	action could be seen I'm just understanding it
4	would be seen as my doing this would have been
5	prejudicial to the appeal case. To a new case it's
6	not seen as prejudicial?
7	MR. BLACKWOOD: Well, you're assuming that
8	my advice was that there should be a difference in
9	treatment.
10	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Oh, in that case, I
11	guess I have to kick this upstairs then because what
12	I'm hearing is that the General Counsel asked for the
13	same treatment and a discretionary decision was made.
14	Was that made by you, Mr. Staff Director,
15	to override the General Counsel's recommendation?
16	MR. BLACKWOOD: That's not the way to
17	phrase it.
18	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Well, I
19	don't know that I would characterize it as overriding
20	his recommendation.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, there was a
22	sensitivity around some pending litigation, and I
23	believe that the initial decision, the decision
24	well, the response that you received, Commissioner
25	Yaki, was grounded in this sensitivity around pending

	159
1	litigation. Once I got involved in this issue, I did
2	not share their view. There is nothing in the
3	statutes or our rules that would prevent the use of
4	this authority. I don't believe that the case has
5	much merit, and I had a different assessment as to
6	what the risk was in terms of using this authority.
7	So my strong recommendation was that the
8	subsequent case be treated differently.
9	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay. So I just
10	wanted to confirm that I was, indeed, treated
11	differently. That's all I wanted to hear.
12	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And it is possible,
13	Commissioner Yaki, that some of us on the Commission
14	as Commissioners are being treated differently by the
15	White House, which may or may not be true, but
16	regardless of that, I hope fellow Commissioners will
17	agree that there is no reason that the Staff Director
18	ought not to hire my special assistant on a temporary
19	basis while we wait further final approvals.
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I have no objection to
21	that, as you would know.
22	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Thank you.
23	COMMISSIONER YAKI: That satisfies my
24	inquiry.
25	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: And just to put my

1	two cents in here, Mr. Chairman, this is obviously
2	Vice Chair Thernstrom. I don't understand, and this
3	is related to something that Commissioner Gaziano said
4	before I don't understand when we're trying to
5	switch one special assistant from one Commissioner to
6	another, which is what has previously happened here,
7	why this required White House approval. I mean, we've
8	already done this with a previous special assistant.
9	It wasn't quite the same facts, but
10	almost. I mean, there's something pardon me?
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I think it was
12	pretty much the same facts. (Speaking from an unmiced
13	location.)
14	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Exactly.
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I would just like to
16	point out that Ken Marcus, the previous Staff
17	Director, requested such a transfer. He thought that
18	was something that
19	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I mean, I still
20	want and I still think it makes sense, for Tim Fay to
21	be transferred to me, and I don't know why that can't
22	be an internal process.
23	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Well, this
24	is the Staff Director. The Schedule C appointments
25	any actions relating to the Schedule C appointees

1	requires White House approval
2	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Again, there
3	whatever. We'll continue. It's water under the
4	bridge, I guess.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's go.
6	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Melendez here.
7	I have a question.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I'm not sure what
10	you're talking about. Are you saying there's a new
11	person on board right now that is already hired?
12	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: There's a
13	temporary hire with the Commission's temporary hiring
14	authority. The person is still pending as
15	presidential personnel for the more permanent
16	appointment as a special assistant.
17	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Oh, so do you know
18	who that is? Have we been introduced
19	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: to the person
21	or what?
22	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: I know there
23	were several E-mails during the past week that
24	mentioned the person's name.
25	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: It's kind of top
	NEAL R. GROSS  COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com
ı	11 (252) 25 1 1.50 WWW.fiedilgiOss.com

1	secret.
2	(Laughter.)
3	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: I noted you
4	were copied on several of those E-mails
5	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: If you were here
6	you could
7	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER:
8	originated with your special assistant, I believe.
9	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: He's sitting behind
10	Gail and I.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Has the new hire been
12	introduced?
13	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Well, it's a
14	temporary hire. So I don't know that we've made any
15	introduction at this point. That's a judgment call on
16	your part, Mr. Chairman.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All right. Judging
18	from the tone of your voice, I'm sure that you
19	disapprove, but what the hell? You've disagreed with
20	me before, and you'll disagree with me in the past.
21	Will someone please introduce our
22	temporary hire?
23	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I have my new
24	special assistant on a temporary basis here. I think
25	she's actually been introduced to everybody in the
	NEAL R. GROSS

	163
1	room here, almost everybody, but it's Alison Schmauch,
2	and here she is.
3	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: May I correct you?
4	I mean well, okay. Never mind.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, Alison, welcome
6	aboard. I hope you have thick skin.
7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yeah. Alison will
8	be working for both Abby and for me, as Tim works for
9	both Abby and for me, but Tim does most of the work
10	for Abby, and Alison does most of her work for me
11	right now. That could change, but that's the way it
12	is right now.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All right. Next up is
14	the status of the 2009 statutory report.
15	IV. PROGRAM PLANNING
16	(d) UPDATE ON STATUS OF 2009 STATUTORY REPORT
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: On June 2nd, the Staff
18	Director sent the Commissioners a memorandum prepared
19	by the General Counsel concerning changes made to the
20	draft statutory report for 2009. I'd just like to say
21	that I'd like to commend the Office of the General
22	Counsel and the other staff folks and special
23	assistants, the Commissioners who have contributed to
24	the document. I think that it is looking mighty fine.
25	At this point will the General Counsel

provide	an	update

2.3

MR. BLACKWOOD: Thank you.

This is David Blackwood.

I appreciate the comments we have already received. I would remind everyone that comments from the Commissioners are due this Sunday, not close of business, but by midnight. I would appreciate any comments sooner rather than later, and some have already actually submitted comments.

Affected agency review is also coming in at the same time. We have received several statements. In fact, last night we got feedback from the Department of Justice. By Monday we're supposed to get affected agency review also from some of the larger entities that we have dealt with, including the Fed. and HUD.

So far of the three agencies that we have gotten kick-back from, there have only been small modifications, terms of art, things like that. We have not had any major changes.

That said, we are waiting for those reports. I made the decision, and I in retrospect should have put it in writing, that until we got the affected agency review it did not make sense to send out findings and recs. I hope to do that by next

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

week, but with the comments that we're going to get from you all and with the various governmental agencies, I thought it made sense to make sure that we had a more final product and know what it said, including possibly getting different figures for this year, which could affect the report, especially with regard to, say, foreclosures because, as we all know, the foreclosure trends are telling, but we have not received those for the latest year.

Most importantly, too, is GAO, we were informed, is issuing a similar report by the middle of next week, on the 15th. The report is not the same as ours, but it does have overlap. Some of the agencies reported to us that they were having difficulty completing their affected agency review for us because they were also doing it for GAO at the same time.

I have met or talked with GAO, and the report is similar in that it looks at various enforcement issues, but it is not as broad as ours, nor does it directly address the mortgage crisis.

That said, GAO and I agreed that we would swap our reports as soon as they are issued. So I hope to have their report by Wednesday and hopefully can incorporate that to the degree that we are able to by the next draft that goes out, which should be the

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

final draft.

discuss the sufficient t

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that is where things stand.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: David, would you discuss the probability of completing your work in sufficient time for us to vote on it within the fiscal year?

MR. BLACKWOOD: Yes.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Are we on track basically?

MR. BLACKWOOD: We are on track. It's going to be tight, but it's always tight. The vote is scheduled for August 7th, or basically an editorial session as well. So you will have up until that time and at that date to change or suggest modifications or moving text or whatever.

If I can get out the findings and recs. by next week, that will allow you all three weeks to look at our findings and recs. You are certainly -- at any time, I would suggest, if you want to submit draft findings and recs., we will either include those directly or show those as alternatives, but I want to allow as much time as possible for you to see our draft of the findings and recs. because that is always usually the most or the matter of largest interest.

We are on schedule to complete it and have

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

you at least three weeks of time to review it before 1 2 the vote. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Additional questions? 3 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Commissioner 4 5 Melendez here. I still have a concern that we still 6 7 haven't collected enough information on the efforts of 8 the federal enforcement agencies to stop 9 discriminatory mortgage lending practices. Do we have 10 enough information from the Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division, and I think one of 11 the interrogatories that we're waiting for? 12 13 MR. BLACKWOOD: In fact, I got them last night. 14 15 Let's be clear. DOJ and other entities provided a great deal of information before they 16 17 completed their interrogatories. The only process left with DOJ was, will you formally agree that what 18 you submitted was all the information we had. 19 20 I received that information last night. Frankly, I did not have a chance to go through it. 21 There was some additional information, but like I 22 said, I haven't reviewed it. I'd say at this stage we 23 24 are not likely to be in a position, other than the GAO 25 report, to include additional information from any

federal agencies. 1 2 If I thought some additional information is critical to the report though, I would allow it or 3 I would inform you that additional time might be 4 5 necessary if that information wasn't included in the report. 6 7 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay. My concern is whether or not, you know, the federal enforcement 8 9 efforts are effective or not. So I think that's a big 10 part of what this report should say. So I'll just leave it at that. 11 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. Chair. 12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. 13 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Gaziano for the 14 15 record. I predicted at the last meeting that my 16 extended family vacation might prevent me to read it 17 before this meeting, and I was correct in that, but I 18 did skim it, and I just want to raise one matter, and 19 20 I spoke to the General Counsel just for a few minutes before our meeting began to get clarification from 21 other Commissioners. 22 I do see that the appendix on the Boston 23 24 Fed. has been -- study has been rewritten, and I will

read it with some more care in the next few days. But

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

25

that in the time that was allowed before it was shared with, again, you all. I didn't get it until a few days ago when I returned, and I would ask that that still be done. I intend to try to get my comments in on Sunday, but I also appreciate the General Counsel observation that, although we should meet these deadlines to help them work as efficiently as they can, that I'm glad that he and others are open to our further comment until we have a final draft to review.

I think there was general agreement. moved other parts of the appendix into the text, and that seems to have been done. I think there was agreement at the last meeting that there would be a discussion of both the Boston Fed. study, the Boston Fed.'s lending standards into the text, and whether that, in fact, may have been an influence in the HUD's lending standards.

So unless there's any objection, I'd like to see that done in the next edition.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Mr. Blackwood, is your

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

21

22

23

24

25

Commissioners on June 29th with the following statutory reports topic for consideration. The first thing, federal and state enforcement of hate crimes laws; race neutral alternative to achieving diversity, and higher education; federal monitoring discrimination against Native Americans in border towns; enforcement of Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act with respect to Native Americans; implementation of Individuals with Disabilities and Education Act; effectiveness of state civil rights employment discrimination on the basis of age and in the context of the economic crisis; and finally, minority youth and juvenile justice systems.

The Commissioners were asked to send additional suggestions by July 2nd, and the Staff Director sent these additional suggestions to Commissioners on the same date.

Vice Chair Thernstrom suggested a report that would examine the importance of Title VII and police, fire, and municipal employment following the Supreme Court's decision in the Ricci v. DeStefano case.

Commissioner Melendez has suggested a report on funding, staffing, and work load levels of federal agencies' civil rights enforcement in 2010.

I move that we discuss these topics. Is there a

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: It is already on

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It's already on the genda.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I don't think we need to vote, but could I be recognized, Mr. Chairman, to discuss?

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Of course.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I think that obviously this discussion may be helpful just as a procedural matter, that we could possibly narrow down a few and then Commissioners, special assistants and staff can work on one, two, three or whatever competing concept papers for our August meeting.

One of the ones that I want to research further is a variation on Vice Chair Thernstrom's topic. I have already spoken to her a little bit. So she can correct me if I'm wrong, but the friendly amendment part to her recommendation is that our statutory report needs to examine a federal enforcement, and that the federal enforcement hook to the topic she suggested would be EEOC, and in particular, I'm interested in researching for the

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

enforcement,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

raise, and I'd like other Commissioner input on just to develop as an option, is whether we should also look at the extent to which EEOC guidelines on disparate impact and their other enforcement affects private employers as well as public employers, and I don't have a strong feeling on what my thought is on that, but I'd propose to research that, and offer some suggestions, but I'd appreciate some feedback one way or the other if Commissioners have a feeling on that.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Vice Chair Thernstrom.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yeah, I just want to say that Commissioner Gaziano and I have already discussed this, and I do regard it as a friendly amendment, and I accept it as such.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And I think that it's an excellent topic, the original and both -- and also

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

If we're going to

the proposal as amended. 1 2 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: focus on that, if that's one of the ones we want to 3 consider, I'll commit to circulating that as much 4 5 before the next meeting as I can, one week at least, but do Commissioners have a thought right now, a 6 7 reaction whether we should look at EEOC's enforcement or the effect of its guidelines on just public 8 9 employers like police and fire, or should we examine 10 the effect on private employers who might want to have employment testing? 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think this topic, by the way, is going to get a lot of attention next week at the Sotomayor hearings, and that's not in itself a reason to study this topic, but I think the opinion of the Supreme Court left a lot of questions unanswered where we can provide a lot of help.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I agree on that. A lot of questions unanswered left by Justice Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I just wanted to add, first of all, if we were to do such a topic, I very much believe we need to have a very narrow aspect of this, and we have to have in our proposal exactly

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	what we're going to study and not simply, you know, a
2	broad look at this topic.
3	I'd also like to add to the list here the
4	topic that I think I mentioned last year about sex
5	discrimination and higher education, and the impact
6	that Title IX has had there, and I would like to do a
7	write-up of that as a possible topic for a statutory
8	report.
9	I'd also like to do a write-up of the hate
10	crimes proposal as, again, a possible one. Now, I
11	could also have those ready in August, and you know,
12	if they don't get picked, maybe they can put into a
13	briefing.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: It sounds good.
15	Other comments?
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Chair,
16 17	
	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Chair,
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Yaki.
17 18 19	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Chair,  Commissioner Yaki.  I have actually two topics in mind, but
17 18	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Chair,  Commissioner Yaki.  I have actually two topics in mind, but  I'll have them ready for the August meeting as well.
17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Chair,  Commissioner Yaki.  I have actually two topics in mind, but  I'll have them ready for the August meeting as well.  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Chair,  Commissioner Yaki.  I have actually two topics in mind, but  I'll have them ready for the August meeting as well.  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Mr. Chairman, this
17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Chair,  Commissioner Yaki.  I have actually two topics in mind, but  I'll have them ready for the August meeting as well.  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Mr. Chairman, this is Vice Chair Thernstrom again.

176 from me, we'll shape something manageable. 1 2 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We will try. might convince myself it's not, but I want to try to 3 see if --4 5 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, let's try 6 anyway. I agree with that. 7 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: The metaphor I like 8 is the cookbook. You know, we want a recipe. We want 9 it step by step. Here's what we're going to do. 10 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, but you know, that is difficult to do until the point at which 11 we know what kind of information is going to be 12 13 available. For instance, if we were to try to get into the question of the private sector, you know, 14 15 we'd have to spend some time finding --COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yeah, but that's why 16 17 I'm not in favor of doing anything that requires that we spend, you know, many weeks of time trying to 18 figure out what's out there and then finding out that 19 20 the data out there isn't what we expect it to be. You know, I think that's been a problem in the past. 21 think it's a problem with the current statutory 22 report, and that's why I think it's important to do it 23 24 as a cookbook.

> VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, let us

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

25

proceed. Commissioner Gaziano will proceed, and we'll see what we come up with.

HAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Agreed.

Commissioners, in the past we have made selections where at the end of the day there has been -- when the issue is finally presented, there seems to have been an evaporation of support, and I think that that was due in part to the failure of most of it to give a long, hard look at the write-ups of the various recommendations.

I would urge all of us to look at this hard and to, you know, just give some thought to the various recommendations so that we don't find ourselves in a position, you know, at the end of the year, expressing regrets at the topic that was selected.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to ask Commissioner Yaki, if he doesn't mind -- if he's not prepared at this point to mention the topics to us, I understand. That's fine. But if it is possible, Commissioner Yaki, to let us know beforehand -- the reason I mentioned my interest is to get your input and to get everyone's input on, right now, your initial reactions.

If you don't have them today, by the way,

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	send me an E-mail tonight, tomorrow, next week, two
2	weeks, but if you could share with us some of your
3	ideas we might be able to help you modify a topic that
4	we can all agree on.
5	Thanks.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Next up is the
7	briefing report on Title IX. I have had
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We struck that.
9	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We struck that from
10	the agenda.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Well, then,
12	folks, we are one other matter. I don't know if
13	you have been following this in the press, but there
14	was a situation in Philadelphia where some minority
15	kids were disinvited from using a pool. The
16	organization is called Creative Steps Day Camp, and
17	this issue has been discussed in the newspapers, and I
18	would like to have the Office of the Staff Director
19	monitor that situation and to report back to us on
20	what the facts are and how this issue is developing.
21	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: That reminds me.
22	Mr. Staff Director, are you on the line?
23	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: I am, yes.
24	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Is the Washington
25	Times correct that the DOJ has you can update us

as of today are you aware that the Department of Justice has answered our letter giving us answers to our questions on the dismissal of the new Black Panther lawsuit?

STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: I have not seen a response to that letter yet.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I would ask that you make serious efforts to get them to respond and to report back to us in two weeks, if there is a response or if there is not a response, because then I would propose at that two-week interval that we then be able to consider what we want to propose at our next August meeting to pursue the matter on our own.

I mean, it's really their job, of course, to engage in these law enforcement activities and to explain to the public what they've done, if there's these obvious serious questions of race. But if they won't help us, I still think that we need to pursue the matter and perhaps call witnesses and consider what our next step is to fulfill our duty and our obligation.

So can you --

STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Okay. Well, we'll look out for any response, and if we don't get one soon, we will follow up with them.

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay, and you'll
2	let us know in two weeks' time?
3	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, folks. That's it.
6	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Motion to adjourn.
7	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Pete, this
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Question?
9	Commissioner Melendez?
10	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes, one thing.
11	Next meeting in August? I wasn't sure.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: August 7th, I believe.
13	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: August 7th, yes.
14	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Pete?
15	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Mr.
16	Chairman, I know we have had some discussion about the
17	possibility of having an interim meeting before August
18	7th to possibly consider some State Advisory Committee
19	packages. Is that something
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: yes. How many
21	packages will be completed over the next, say, two to
22	three weeks?
23	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: It looks
24	like it could be as many as five that will be ready,
25	that could be ready within two weeks.
	NEAL R. GROSS

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	you very much.
2	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: But I will
3	give you an update beyond that.
4	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Are we going to get
5	that update at the next meeting or sooner than that?
6	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: We should be
7	able to get something before that. Certainly if we
8	have a meeting in the next two weeks or so I should be
9	able to have an update by then.
10	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yeah, I'd certainly
11	like to get it as soon as possible.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any other business?
13	(No response.)
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All right. Thank you
15	folks.
16	(Whereupon, at 12:59 p.m., the Board
17	meeting was concluded.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

# **NEAL R. GROSS**