#### U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

+ + + + +

MEETING

+ + + + +

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 20, 2009

+ + + + +

The meeting convened in Room 540 at 624 Ninth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., at 9:30 a.m., Gerald A. Reynolds, Chairman, presiding.

#### PRESENT:

GERALD A. REYNOLDS, Chairman (via telephone)
ABIGAIL THERNSTROM, Vice Chairman (via telephone)
TODD F. GAZIANO, Commissioner
GAIL L. HERIOT, Commissioner
PETER N. KIRSANOW, Commissioner (via telephone)
ARLAN D. MELENDEZ, Commissioner (via telephone)
MICHAEL YAKI, Commissioner (via telephone)

MARTIN DANNENFELSER, Staff Director

#### **STAFF PRESENT:**

DAVID BLACKWOOD, General Counsel MARGARET BUTLER CHRISTOPHER BYRNES, Chief, Programs Coordination Unit DEBRA CARR, Associate Director IVY L. DAVIS DEMITRIA DEAS LATRICE FOSHEE MAHA JWEIED ROBERT LERNER, Assistant Staff Director SOCK-FOON MacDOUGALL TINALOUISE MARTIN, Director of Management EMMA MONROIG, Solicitor LENORE OSTROWSKY EILEEN RUDERT KIMBERLY TOLHURST AUDREY WRIGHT

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

MICHELLE YORKMAN

### COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

TIM FAY
DOMINIQUE LUDVIGSON
RICHARD SCHMELCHEL

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

3 TABLE OF CONTENTS PAGE I. Approval of Agenda 6 II. Approval of Minutes of December 12, 10 2008, and January 16, 2009 Meetings III. Announcements 12 IV. Staff Director's Report V. Management and Operations 14 VI. Program Planning 53 VII. State Advisory Committee Issues 62 VIII. Future Agenda Items

IX. Adjourn

# 4 1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S (9:42 a.m.) 2 3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Good morning. This is Chairman Reynolds. The meeting will come to order. 4 5 This is a meeting of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. 6 7 It is -- we are late. It is 9:42 a.m., 8 Eastern Standard Time on February 20, 2009. 9 The meeting is being held at 624 Ninth 10 Street, N.W., Room 540, Washington, D.C., though the 11 majority of Commissioners are participating by phone. 12 I will, once again, call each Commissioner 13 in order to determine if there is a quorum, just to make it official. 14 Vice Chair Thernstrom? 15 16 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'm present. 17 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano? 18 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Present. 19 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow? 20 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Here. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Heriot? 21 22 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Here. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez? 23 24 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Here.

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	5
1	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Virtually present.
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Taylor?
3	(No response.)
4	Okay. Is the Staff Director present?
5	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Present.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Can the Court Reporter
7	hear everyone?
8	(No response.)
9	Please have the Court Reporter confirm
10	that he or she can hear everyone?
11	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Can you hear
12	me now? Okay.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. If a
14	Commissioner is participating by phone and wants to
15	make a statement, please identify yourself first. And
16	whenever it is necessary to take a vote, I ask that
17	you provide your name and indicate how you vote.
18	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Reynolds,
19	this is Commissioner Yaki.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki, you
21	have a
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Point of order.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I just heard the first
25	Blackberry on the line, so I would ask that the people
	NEAL R. GROSS

	6
1	in the room make sure that their Blackberrys and cell
2	phones are away from their mics, because since the
3	majority of us are on the phone it will it will
4	impact us the most. Like there.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, folks. Let's
6	move our Blackberrys and Treos away from the phone.
7	Okay. Let's start with the two known
8	amendments to the agenda.
9	I. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The first is I move to
11	table the discussion of the findings of fact and
12	recommendations for the briefing report on the
13	Department of Justice's enforcement of voting rights
14	in the 2008 presidential election. Is there a second?
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
17	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I wish you had told
18	me that last night.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry?
20	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I wish you had told
21	me that last night.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I wasn't aware
23	that well, the rationale for this is that recently,
24	as in yesterday, I discovered that we may have the
25	changes to the document may not be in accordance with

	,
1	the understanding at the last meeting.
2	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That would be true.
3	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: That would be true,
4	but some of us were working
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes. We have been
6	working to try to make them better.
7	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: There is no cause
8	to postponing it. Let us just move on. We don't need
9	to have a big discussion of that.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry, Abby.
11	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'm sorry. This
12	is Commissioner this is Vice Chair Thernstrom.
13	There is no cause to moving on. Let's just agree
14	agree to the postponement and move on. We can have it
15	we don't need a big discussion.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All in favor?
17	Vice Chair Thernstrom, how do you vote?
18	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano?
20	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Pass.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry?
22	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Can I pass?
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So you that's the
24	equivalent of an abstention?
25	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: No. I want to see
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

	8
1	if I can vote oh, okay. I'll can you return to
2	me?
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, okay. I
4	understand now.
5	Commissioner Kirsanow?
6	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Heriot?
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez?
10	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
12	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Pass.
13	(Laughter.)
14	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: This isn't poker.
15	(Laughter.)
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Let's go back to
17	Commissioner Gaziano.
18	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. And, finally,
20	Commissioner Yaki?
21	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. The motion
23	passes.
24	The second amendment to the agenda is to
25	add the rechartering of the Mississippi SAC. Is there
	NEAL R. GROSS

	9
1	a second?
2	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow. Second.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
4	(No response.)
5	Vice Chair Thernstrom, how do you vote?
6	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano?
8	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow?
10	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Heriot?
12	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez?
14	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Abstain.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Abstain.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. And I vote in
18	the affirmative. The motion passes.
19	So I move to approve the agenda as
20	amended. Is there a second?
21	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second.
22	Thernstrom. Second.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Vice Chair Thernstrom,
24	how do you vote?
25	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes.
	NEAL R. GROSS  COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

	10
1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano?
2	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow?
4	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Heriot?
6	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez?
8	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Abstain.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
10	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Abstain.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. And I vote in
12	the affirmative. The motion passes.
13	Okay. Next up is the approval of the
14	minutes of the December 12, 2008, meeting.
15	II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF
16	DECEMBER 12, 2008, AND
17	JANUARY 16, 2009 MEETINGS
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is there a second?
19	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: second.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
21	(No response.)
22	Vice Chair Thernstrom, how do you vote?
23	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano?
25	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes.
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	11
1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow?
2	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Heriot?
4	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez?
6	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. And I also vote
10	for it, so the motion passes.
11	Next up, I move that we approve the
12	minutes from the January 16, 2009 meeting. Is there a
13	second?
14	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Thernstrom.
15	Second.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
17	(No response.)
18	Vice Chair Thernstrom, how do you vote?
19	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano?
21	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Abstain.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow?
23	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Heriot?
25	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez? 2 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes. 3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki? 4 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes. 5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And I vote in the affirmative. 6 7 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Actually, could I 8 just amend that? I don't -- let me vote no. I should 9 have raised some specific adjustments to it, but I 10 don't think that is necessary. I just don't agree 11 exactly how it was worded. 12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. So please make 13 sure that the record reflects that Commissioner 14 Gaziano voted no. 15 Okay. Next up, we have Announcements. III. ANNOUNCEMENTS 16 17 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: For the month 18 February, February is Black History Month, in which we 19 honor the accomplishments of black Americans from all 20 walks of life and recognize their extraordinary contributions to the nation's history. 21 These include 22 writers such as Dubois, Baldwin, and Ellison, to name 23 a few; musicians like Mahalia Jackson, Billie Holiday, 24 Duke Ellington, and Billy Strayhorn; and civil rights

leaders such as Ella Baker, Robert Moses, and Dr.

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	13
1	Martin Luther King.
2	We also take special note for those black
3	Americans, past and present, who have served or
4	currently serve in public office, including our new
5	President, Barack Obama. In recognition of these
6	achievements, I hope that public officials, educators,
7	librarians, and people throughout the United States
8	will observe this month by highlighting and honoring
9	the contributions made by black Americans to our
10	nation.
11	Next up
12	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Chair
13	Reynolds, this is Commissioner Yaki.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Just Chair
16	Reynolds, I just wanted to say on the record that the
17	irony of celebrating Black History Month in lieu of
18	the at best insensitive, at worst potentially racist
19	cartoon in The New Yorker, is something that I just
20	wanted to register my personal objection to.
21	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: New Yorker? Which
22	cartoon? Are you talking about the one in The New
23	York Post?

COMMISSIONER YAKI: New York Post, that's

right.

24

	14
1	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Oh, okay.
2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: A cartoon regarding
3	the their so-called parody of the stimulus bill.
4	And I just wanted to state for the record my extreme
5	discomfort with that image.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
7	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: This is Thernstrom
8	here. Commissioner Yaki, I have not seen it, and I
9	would very much like it if you could forward it to me.
10	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I will.
11	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any other comments?
13	(No response.)
14	Okay. The next item is the submission of
15	the fiscal year 2010 request for congressional
16	appropriations.
17	V. MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: This request for
19	appropriations, which first must be cleared by OMB,
20	supports the Commission's baseline operations for
21	2010, the addition of critical staff, and a few
22	enhanced activities related to its five-year strategic
23	plan goals.
24	Their proposed request, which was
25	distributed to Commissioners earlier this week via
	NEAL R. GROSS

15 1 e-mail, is a \$600,000 increase over our 2009 request, and it is \$1 million more than our '09 appropriated level. At the request of various Commissioners, I approached the Staff Director and notified him of my intention to make a motion at this meeting to lift the

8 special assistants.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Because three special assistants were not contemplated in the budget distributed to Commissioners earlier this week, I asked the Staff Director to consider adjustments to the budget at various spending categories that would allow for such hiring, and I asked that he come prepared to discuss the adjustments today.

moratorium, so that we can hire three Commissioner

My desire is for an amended budget that will allow us to incrementally move toward a full complement of special assistants, but also allow for a number of other critical staff hires.

With that, I turn the discussion over to the Staff Director.

STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The cost, in terms of salaries and for benefits, for FY2010 three Commissioner's \$335,370. We discovered that the Assistants is

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

16 1 document that we based the rent projection on was the 2 wrong document, and came across the correct document 3 for what the actual rent is going to be in FY2010. And there is -- actually, the rent is going to be 4 5 \$150,404 less. So that was the starting point was that \$150,000. 6 7 We also removed the position -- funding 8 9 10

for the position of the Budget Assistant, reduced travel by \$25,000, postponed the public service announcement in the amount of \$35,000, reduced the secretarial support in the Southern Regional Office to about \$10,000, and reduced external accounting by about \$48,000.

have some optional services external accounting that we believe we can do without, particularly since we are anticipating in the budget the hiring of a Budget Chief. So we believe that the Budget Chief will be able to handle what we would have otherwise paid for through those optional services. And that -- those items added up to the \$335,370.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM:  ${\tt Mr.}$ Staff When is the Director, this is Vice Chair Thernstrom. budget submission due?

STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: The date to OMB was actually yesterday, but they did give us an

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

extension. They are going to allow us to submit it on Monday.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay. So that means we have got to have a vote today on this budget, is that correct?

STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. Chairman, this is Commissioner Gaziano. I think it is very helpful that the Staff Director has done this analysis on the assumption that we will vote for a \$9.4 million request. But could we begin with that perhaps, and just discuss whether that is really -- if there is a consensus that that is really the figure we should ask for? I wonder whether we should ask for a little bit more, or maybe a lot bit more. But I will defer to you, if you want to cover the discussion in another way.

just address that. This is the Staff Director. There was a -- what's called a passback from OMB. We did submit a budget request initially for about \$12.4 million, and on January 30th we got our passback from OMB, and we had a very short turnaround time -- I think about a week -- that we -- if we wanted to appeal that number -- in which to appeal it. And

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1 also, with the understanding that our budget 2 submission itself would be due on January 19th. 3 We decided that, given that this was an 4 increase, significant -- not nearly as much as we 5 would like to see, but a significant increase compared 6 to the amounts that we had seen before, and given what 7 we anticipated would be the budget situation, that it 8 would be very difficult to prevail with that type of 9 appeal, we decided to forego the appeal and to just 10 focus our attention on preparing the --COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Which --11 12 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: \$9.4 million budget. 13 14 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Which -- did you 15 circulate it to Commissioners and I just missed it? 16 About not appealing? STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: I consulted 17 18 with the Chairman about it. 19 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay. For the 20 record -- and if there is a majority of other 21 Commissioners who are satisfied with \$9.4 million, 22 that's fine. But for the record, as I maintained last 23 year, we are not required by statute -- and I am aware 24 of no regulation that binds Commissioners, or statute, 25 to accept this OMB passback.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

We are an independent agency, and I understand why certainly a number of Commissioners wanted to follow OMB's figures in the past. But I at least want it to be clear in the record that we are not required to do so. So regardless of the --whether we should have pursued an appeal before now or after, we are I certainly think certainly not bound by that \$9.4 million figure. We can, it seems to me, require OMB to pass on a higher amount to Congress.

STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Well, we did have a discussion about that point last year, and the General Counsel prepared a memo explaining the legal background and the practice of the Commission over the years with respect to that issue.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Let's review that, then, and maybe we could ask the General Counsel, what statute prohibits us? Is there any statute that prohibits us from exceeding the passback amount?

MR. BLACKWOOD: This is David Blackwood.

I did indeed write a memo last year. I didn't bring it with me. I didn't know that topic was coming up. There are restrictions on what can be done as the Commission collectively. I am going on my best judgment, and, yes, there is a specific statute relating to that.

1 The question that you raise, as an 2 independent agency, and, as you know, there is 3 question about to what degree -- well, I won't say to 4 what degree, but obviously we are an independent 5 agency, but under what status, we -- as a collective 6 body, what the agency can do in -- to oppose what OMB 7 is suggesting. I think I pointed out last year practice 8 9 has been that in -- the Commission, as a body, has 10 ignored what OMB has required. 11 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: That's what Τ 12 thought. 13 MR. BLACKWOOD: Now --14 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We have requested 15 higher amounts in the past. MR. BLACKWOOD: Yes, but that is practice. 16 17 The law, in my view, my recollection of what I said 18 last year, was that the OMB restrictions do cover the 19 agency as a collective whole. I also pointed out, 20 though, that Commissioners, as special government employees, as individuals, are fully able to lobby on 21 22 the Hill or suggest a higher amount. But as a collective body, I believe my 23 24 best recollection was -- and OMB's position is -- the 25 agency as a whole cannot challenge the passback.

1 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I disagree with that. My recollection is different, that there was no 2 3 statute that prohibits us from asking for a higher 4 amount, and our practice certainly bears that out. 5 you remember what -- it is certainly not in our 6 organic statute. 7 That is correct. MR. BLACKWOOD: It is 8 not in our organic statute. If you want, I can go get 9 the memo and give you a copy of it. 10 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Well, I would 11 certainly appreciate that, because my recollection 12 certainly isn't detailed. But the --13 COMMISSIONER YAKI: This is Commissioner 14 Yaki, if I can just add on to what Commissioner 15 Gaziano is saying. I am still -- I am still unclear 16 as to what you mean by the Commission as a body cannot 17 ask for more. If there is no statutory prohibition 18 against that, but merely practice, or an OMB "naughty 19 naughty letter" from us, I don't understand why we 20 would be constrained from saying -- adding an extra 21 half mill into the budget that we submit to Congress. Well, 22 MR. BLACKWOOD: 23 Commissioner, is that there is statute. 24 Unfortunately, I am going on my recollection.

be glad to go get the memo and report on it, if you

1 give me about two or three minutes. But there is an 2 explicit statute, is my recollection, that 3 prohibit federal agencies from challenging OMB. COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'd like to see the 4 5 memo. I'll get it again. 6 MR. BLACKWOOD: 7 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, thank you. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this point, 8 9 do we need an additional -- well, at this point I 10 would like to move to lift the moratorium on special 11 allow the hiring of assistant hiring, to three 12 additional special assistants, with the understanding 13 that if Commissioner Kirsanow wishes to hire a special assistant during 2010 that the Staff Director shall 14 15 identify offsets in the budget that would allow an additional hire. 16 17 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Well, why is the 18 motion, then, to lift the moratorium as to three? 19 isn't that to lift the moratorium? 20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Because we have 21 identified offsets for three, not four. Also, 22 Commissioner Kirsanow has indicated that he is not going to hire a special assistant, in which case the 23 24 -- my reasoning is that it -- well, I don't see the 25 reason to create a budget line item for an item that we are not going to make use of.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Well, there is the fact that I am not willing to vote, as I told you, for three. I think that sets a very bad precedent. I am very much in favor of lifting the moratorium as to everyone. And if Commissioner Kirsanow isn't interested in hiring a special assistant at this point, that is fine. But I don't want anything to stand in his way from hiring that special assistant, if he should decide to.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: This is Kirsanow.

Commissioner Heriot, I appreciate that. I think this is really a -- really not a difference in kind, but matter of degree. I think that Commissioner -- Chair Reynolds makes a very good point that it probably doesn't serve us well to have a line item in there that probably isn't going to be exercised.

By lifting this moratorium as to three, with the understanding that it would be revisited, we are simply -- it is almost virtually the same as lifting the moratorium in its entirety. And I think it just simply saves us the monies that would be allocated in this particular year for another director or another special assistant.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: This is Commissioner

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1 Yaki. Commissioner Kirsanow is saying that if we lift 2 it for all, they will have to do the budget set-asides 3 for those positions regardless. Is that what you are 4 saying, Commissioner Kirsanow? And that is what we don't want to have to do? 5 6 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: At this particular 7 time. Well, again, I am 8 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: 9 not willing to vote for a motion that does not lift it 10 as to everyone. But I would be willing to vote for 11 one that lifts it as to everyone, but that stipulates 12 that Commissioner Kirsanow is not going to hire until later date in the budget year, so that it 13 some 14 wouldn't be necessary to adjust the budget for that. 15 But I don't want to walk away from here and think that from now on we could have seven such 16 17 slots only, which I think is -- you know, sets a very, 18 very dangerous precedent. COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: 19 Kirsanow here. 20 Mr. Staff Director, if we were to lift the moratorium as to all, with the understanding among ourselves, 21 22 whether it is tacit or explicit, that I am not going 23 to be hiring anybody, are we compelled to list that as 24 a line item in the budget? 25 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: No, I -- my

reading of it would be that the moratorium would just lift any blocking situation, but we always -- there is many times, in Congress and certainly with the Commission, where we have the authority to fill certain positions or to spend certain money.

But we make the judgment that we don't have the appropriations at the current time, or we have certain priorities at the current time, so we don't fill all of those authorized positions. We have many authorized positions now that are not filled, because we just don't have the appropriations or we have made priority decisions about what to fill.

So I don't think that the -- lifting the moratorium completely would certainly not obligate us to fund all of the positions at this point in time. That would be a judgment for the Commission to make as to whether or not it wanted to fund one, two, three, or four additional positions.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: This is Commissioner -- Vice Chair Thernstrom. I don't really understand this discussion, I'm sorry. We at the moment have a -- don't have a full complement of potential assistants. To lift it for three rather than four doesn't really change the fact that it continues the status quo, except we have increased the

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1 number of Commissioners. I don't see the grand principle here of, well, we can't -- it has to be four 2 3 or it's zero. I mean, we have been living with --4 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Right now we have a 5 situation where in theory we are sharing, everyone 6 gets .5. 7 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: No, I'm sorry. Commissioner Melendez and Commissioner Yaki are not 8 9 sharing. Commissioner Yaki does not have a special 10 assistant, period. And I just -- you know, I mean, 11 going the way the chair suggested continues, it seems 12 to me, the current situation, although it -- although 13 very nicely adding a few more Commissioners. I just 14 don't see the grand principle. 15 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: It seems like we 16 are --17 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: This is Chairman 18 I agree with you, Vice Chair Thernstrom. 19 believe that there is a principle involved, but I 20 don't give it as high a value as Commissioner Heriot. But at the end of the day, under my proposal the only 21 22 Commissioner who would be affected in a practical way would be Commissioner Kirsanow, and that is why I am 23 willing to defer to Commissioner Kirsanow on this, 24 25 because he is the one who would have the burden. No

1 other Commissioner, in a practical sense, would 2 shoulder a burden until this plan, except Commissioner 3 Kirsanow, so --4 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Except if 5 Commissioner Kirsanow gets hit by a truck tomorrow and 6 replaced by а different Commissioner, 7 Commissioner would not have made that agreement. 8 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: That's pretty 9 morbid. 10 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I hope you don't get 11 hit by a truck, Pete. Look both ways before you cross 12 the street for me. 13 COMMISSIONER YAKI: And we certainly hope 14 you don't fly to Buffalo. 15 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: The principle -this is Commissioner Gaziano. 16 The principle 17 important to me, too, but it sounds like we are very 18 close, so just modifying this to satisfy all of us. 19 So why don't we -- the motion reflect that we lift the 20 moratorium as to all Commissioners, who want to hire a special assistant, and then with regard to the budget 21 22 accept Commissioner Kirsanow's position that he is -- doesn't have any plans to hire 23 24 a separate special assistant in the next fiscal year, 25 so we don't need to make that a budget item. But

should he choose to do so --

I think that makes good sense. I don't see this as a matter of giant principle, simply a matter of accounting as far as I am concerned. And having been assured by the Staff Director that we have got this latitude, that we don't have to necessarily put that in, because we have authorized all four, then I am perfectly satisfied.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Yaki here. My only question for Commissioner Kirsanow is that, depending on what may or may not happen with our budget, maybe we should call this our stimulus budget, if -- this is also for the chair -- if the language were to say that we lifted the moratorium on hiring special assistants, semi-colon, however, that for the remainder of FY09, and into '10, funding shall be available only for three additional. And if in the future a fourth special assistant is required by Commissioner Kirsanow, that budgetary authority -- budgetary authority be sought that, I mean, I would be -- I think that is kind of the middle ground.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: This is Vice Chair Thernstrom. Well, that does not cover the contingency of Commissioner Kirsanow being knocked off by one of

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	his enemies, so
2	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I've got many.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, Pete is a sweet,
4	dear man, and he doesn't have any enemies. But, Pete,
5	as I said earlier, I defer to you. Whether it is what
6	Commissioner Gaziano put on the table or Commissioner
7	Yaki, we are dancing on the head of a pin.
8	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes. I think that
9	there is shades of difference there. The idea is we
10	are lifting the moratorium. I am simply not going to
11	exercise my prerogative to fill that position at this
12	particular time, so let's just go forward and do it.
13	Let's lift the moratorium.
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay. Then, why don't
15	we just this is Commissioner Yaki. Why don't we
16	just say this, "We are lifting the moratorium;
17	however, the Director is instructed not to budget for
18	a fourth special assistant until such time as directed
19	by Peter Kirsanow or his replacement."
20	(Laughter.)
21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That works I think.
22	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: That works.
23	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That works. You
24	know, as long as Pete's right to hire is not
25	contingent on something that he doesn't control.

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, it would be --2 at least the potential would be there, because we 3 would still have to look at the budget. I mean, we 4 can't spend what we don't have. 5 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Mr. Chairman, I 6 really would like to go back to your original motion. 7 It was perfectly good. 8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well --9 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: No. 10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, let's --11 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: And it was clear. 12 This thing is now a mess. 13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, Commissioner 14 Yaki, please repeat -- and, in fact, make a motion. 15 Whatever you just said, please say it again, but make it a motion. 16 17 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, it depends -- it 18 depends, Commissioner -- Chair Reynolds, if you want 19 me to add your last modification, because it would --20 the motion, with your modification, would read that, "The moratorium for special assistants is now lifted; 21 22 however, that funding -- that funding and authority for the fourth special assistant that would be within 23 24 the purview of the position occupied by Commissioner

Kirsanow would not be funded or allocated until

1	directed by Commissioner Kirsanow and/or his
2	replacement, and pending a budgetary adjustment that
3	would have that would be agreed upon by the
4	Commission."
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: See, that I won't go
6	for. I liked Yaki's motion before.
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I just added the
8	Reynolds
9	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, I know.
10	COMMISSIONER YAKI: clause.
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I know. I liked it
12	the first time.
13	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And I might even be
14	able to go with "and pending a budget adjustment," but
15	not requiring yes, the Staff Director probably
16	would need to adjust the budget, and we are only
17	talking about the hypothetical that Commissioner
18	Kirsanow changes his mind, which is unlikely. That it
19	require a subsequent vote of the Commission to give
20	Commissioner Kirsanow his wish is the problem.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Well, we can
22	lump that piece off.
23	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay. Good.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: But the trigger rests
25	on whether we find sufficient offsets.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Well, we can't have that just for that position. You know, we have to

commit now to find those offsets, whatever they are.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: The Staff Director would find those offsets. It might take -- I don't know what it would take. And it is very hypothetical, since --

COMMISSIONER YAKI: This is Commissioner Yaki. The whole reason why I stuck myself in the position of having no special assistants for as long as we have is because at the time that we enacted this -- enacted this the suggested offsets were untenable and unacceptable to the -- actually, to a unanimous commission.

This was when we were in a deep budgetary hole, and we made a conscious decision to do this, and it was something that I suggested, along with the chair, in order to accommodate that. I -- you know, hopefully we will not have to revisit those bad times, but, as I understand it, the chair has worked very diligently with the Staff Director to find non-objectionable offsets, which I still want to hear about, for the three positions that we are hiring now, but that the fourth could be a -- could be -- and I can't speak for the chair -- could be a tipping point

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	in terms of in terms of offset that some members of
2	the Commission would not want to would not want to
3	sacrifice.
4	So I believe that, you know, either we go
5	back to the original motion of the chair or we go to
6	the Yaki/Reynolds motion, which would at least give
7	the purview to the Staff Director, provided
8	provided he or she finds the requisite offsets. It
9	may not require Board approval, but certainly if the
10	Director is charged with finding finding offsets,
11	then the Board will have some oversight on that
12	particular matter.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Pete, as far as I am
14	concerned, it is your call.
15	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: You know, I am
16	perfectly satisfied with the Yaki/Reynolds
17	formulation, or the Yaki formation with some mention
18	of the above.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No, no, just pick on.
20	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I'll go with the
21	Yaki formulation.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioner
23	Yaki, please make a motion, just the Yaki motion sans
24	the Reynolds addition.
25	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay. I move that the
	WEAL D. GDGGG

1	moratorium on special assistants be lifted; however,
2	that funding shall only be made available for three
3	special assistants, and that funding for the fourth
4	special assistant (assigned who would be assigned
5	to Commissioner Kirsanow) shall not be funding
6	funded or budget allocated until such time as
7	Commissioner Kirsanow (and/or his or her
8	replacement)
9	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: His.
10	(Laughter.)
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It is not a his or
12	her.
13	(Laughter.)
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: And his replacement
15	well, you know, Peter, we really haven't you know,
16	never mind. So with that modification, seek such a
17	special assistant through request to the by request
18	to the Staff Director. Is that it?
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I second.
20	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Well, I need to hear
21	it read back again. Can the Court Reporter read back?
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Can the Court Reporter
23	read it back? I am
24	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I don't know that
25	the Court Reporter has a microphone.

1	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay. Motion that the
2	moratorium on special assistants be lifted; however,
3	that funding shall only be allocated for three special
4	assistants, and that funding for a fourth special
5	assistant (to be assigned to Kirsanow) shall not be
6	funded or budgetary amounts allocated for that
7	position until such time as Commissioner Kirsanow (or
8	his replacement) shall request that of the Staff
9	Director.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Gail, are you
11	comfortable with the language?
12	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm comfortable.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I second the motion.
14	Do we need additional discussion?
15	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Call the question.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Vice Chair Thernstrom,
17	how do you vote?
18	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I vote yes.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano?
20	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow?
22	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Heriot?
24	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez?
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

	36
1	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Pass. No, I vote yes.
4	(Laughter.)
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Motion passes
6	unanimously. Okay.
7	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. Chairman, we
8	have in the hearing room been handed David Blackwood's
9	memo of last year, and a separate memorandum to Ken
10	Marcus, I assume some time before that. Have you all
11	received those via e-mail?
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No.
13	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: No.
14	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Oh, okay. Well, I
15	made I am not sure that may be helpful. I don't
16	I don't read it quite the same way the General
17	Counsel does. I see there is a statute cited that the
18	President "shall prepare budgets of the United States
19	Government." And, of course, that includes the
20	legislative budget or could include the legislative
21	budget. But, clearly, Congress doesn't have to follow
22	the President's recommendation on its own budget. I
23	don't see that statute as directly bearing on the
24	question.
25	I do see a lot of OMB Circulars cited and

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

interpretations based on OMB Circulars. And I don't 1 find the OMB Circulars compelling authority for the 2 3 practice of the Commission asking more than OMB has. By the way, I find it a very good practice 4 5 to get OMB's advice on these things. I think it is a 6 sound practice that we may go through the OMB process. 7 But I do not find any of that convincing as to our 8 statutory authority to request the amount that 9 request from Congress, especially since half of us 10 were appointed from Congress. 11 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano, is that a separate issue? Are you dissatisfied with 12 13 the budget that we have on the table? COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: 14 Ι am somewhat 15 dissatisfied. We did ask for 12.4. By the way, I don't remember that we were -- in the future, I think 16 17 we should be asked -- and maybe I missed it, so I 18 apologize if I did -- what our request is, but the 19 12.4 figure -- if you don't mind, I would like the 20 Staff Director to elaborate why -- what the basis of I can guess that that would fill a lot of 21 12.4 is. 22 our vacant positions. Why did we take the position 23 that 12.4 was the appropriate amount? 24 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Well, as you 25 said, it would fill many positions that have been

1	vacant. And if we had a perfect world, we would want
2	to fill those positions. And so that is what we
3	requested, but now we have to live within the amount
4	that we, you know, have got received through the
5	passback.
6	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I disagree with
7	that last point. But did you make an assessment as to
8	which positions we could fill and what activities we
9	could undertake with \$12.4 million?
10	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Yes, we did.
11	At the time we prepared that budget and presented it,
12	we did make that kind of assessment.
13	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And
14	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: We checked
15	with all of the directors of the different offices,
16	with the people in the regions, and then made our own
17	assessment, and then consulted with the Commissioners
18	as we put that forward for the Commission vote
19	previously.
20	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And just to clarify
21	for me, because
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: That included the
23	civil rights cruise, right?
24	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: That was not
25	in there.

ĺ	39
1	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Because maybe I
2	forgot, did we vote on this 12.4 figure?
3	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay. I must have
5	when was that? Was that last September?
6	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: September it
7	was, yes. Yes, it was signed I believe September 5th
8	or 6th.
9	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay. And just
10	based on your review, would that have filled would
11	that be sufficient to fill all our vacancies or most
12	of our vacancies?
13	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: It would
14	have filled most of our vacancies. I don't believe we
15	for instance, we had we have well, not all of
16	the authorized vacancies, but about I think it was
17	about 16 positions. We have, for instance, a Public
18	Affairs person and a Congressional Affairs person
19	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes.
20	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER:
21	authorized within the Office of the Staff Director.
22	We were proposing to fill that with one person. The
23	one person would handle both duties. So but we did
24	not seek to fill every position.
25	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes. A lot of this
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

is coming back to me now, and those seem like critical positions to me. I just couldn't remember whether it was all of the vacancies or just the critical vacancies. I tend to think, Mr. Chairman, that 9.4 is better than 8.4 or 8.8, but not quite what we should really be asking Congress. And I would just like to hear other people's opinion on that.

Well, I don't think CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: that there is -- that you will find any disagreement that -- that we would rather have our ask as opposed to the passback number. But looking at the amount of time that we have left, and also looking at the fact decision to deviate from that the OMB's recommendation, I think that that requires a separate conversation. I don't think anyone came to this meeting prepared to have that conversation.

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: This is Commissioner Melendez. I have a question. If we submitted a higher number to Congress, and spending doesn't occur until October of this year, do we have time to readjust the budget at that point before October 1st?

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I believe the answer is no. But, Marty, David, anyone, correct me if I am wrong. I believe that, assuming we hand the budget in

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

on Monday, then essentially it is out of our hands.

we hand in is our -- what we are saying -- this is our intention of how we expect to spend this money. There may be changes in terms of needs that occur, and there may be more money that gets freed up. There could be attrition that would occur among the staff. There could be other types of changes that could occur, and that would then free up money to be spent in other areas that are not now contemplated.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I thought that Commissioner Melendez was asking whether we would have another opportunity to increase our ask.

STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Oh, I'm sorry.

asking was let's say we sent in a higher figure, 12 million or whatever it is, have we tried that? You know, something different than just going back to the passback every time? And what would be the consequences of doing that? Would they tell us at some point before October 1st of this year, would start spending for 2010, that we could readjust and go back to where we are now as far as this budget that you would present today?

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

1 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Well, if we 2 had appealed, and the appeal had not been determined 3 before we had to submit the budget, I -- I assume that 4 if we were to win our appeal then perhaps they would 5 give us authority to increase. But --COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: 6 By the way, 7 had been asked whether we should appeal, I am not sure 8 I would have agreed we should appeal to OMB. 9 have said let's just skip that, and let's just vote 10 the amount that we want OMB to send to Congress. 11 I don't think we should be bound by an 12 Maybe it would be fine to go through it, if 13 time permitted. Maybe some people would think that 14 it's a wise practice. But I'm not sure that the 15 appeal is a good thing anyway. It suggests that we are bound. 16 17 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Well, let --18 the General Counsel pointed out a passage in his 19 May 28, 2008, memo in which it says that, "To ensure 20 consistency within the government, OMB is required to 21 'establish government-wide financial management 22 policies for executive agencies' in any 23 31 U.S. Code, Section 503. 24 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We are not an

executive agency.

1 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Are we an executive 2 agency? 3 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We are not an 4 executive agency. 5 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Then, he 6 point out that the Commission 7 recognized this power by adopting ΑI 1-1,8 Section 1.02. So we would conceivably need to amend 9 our AI to change that policy. 10 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: The AI that he 11 cites is somewhat vague to me. It may be inconsistent 12 with my understanding, but I am not even sure. The AI 13 is -- the Commission is governed by -- in its 14 administrative functions by specific legislation. 15 Again, I don't think this is specific --CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, Todd, I mean, 16 17 again, we were not prepared to have this conversation. 18 You have the memo in front of you. The rest of us 19 don't. We have a deadline in front of us. Yes, it 20 would be better if we had more money, but it seems to 21 me that the issue that you have put on the table is --22 I don't think that we can get our arms around that 23 particular issue during this meeting. 24 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Well, I regret that 25 -- I assumed we were prepared or we should have been better prepared for it, since we had this discussion last April and last May. I am just trying to raise these principles, if -- if -- and I will -- you know, I will perhaps offer a motion that we increase the amount.

And if it -- after raising this issue, if the majority of Commissioners, for whatever reason, legally or otherwise, don't want to vote for that higher amount, that's fine. But I at least wanted to state for the record that we have that authority, and do appreciate hearing from some other Commissioners that they seem to agree.

Commissioner Yaki, do you agree with that statutory interpretation I am offering?

COMMISSIONER YAKI: This is Commissioner Yaki. I agree with the interpretation by Commissioner Gaziano. I think that we have perhaps a more salutory environment in which to push it than we did before. But I also understand from the chair that we have an obligation or a deadline to get this thing out the door, regardless.

As much as I have been the one screaming about the fact that -- over the years that we could ask for more money, and have lost every time, I think -- I always try to raise it early enough in the

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

process, so we can identify what that money would go for. So I am a little hesitant, you know, in terms of supporting a motion now by Commissioner Gaziano. But certainly in principle I support what he is saying.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay. That -- and maybe it will -- maybe we should reserve this for a fuller discussion at a later time if -- I won't offer such a motion if it -- if it appears that a majority of the Commission, for whatever reason, isn't willing to ask for a higher amount. But certainly this was the appropriate time to raise it, since I thought we had raised it before.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I guess I have just one question here. I mean, in principle, I think that Todd is probably right that, you know, we are not bound by OMB. My question -- and this is for anybody who has any insight on this -- is if we were to ask for more, is that likely to be, you know, received in Congress in some positive way? Or is it just going to be viewed as not playing -- not playing the game properly and perhaps even working to our detriment?

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Looking at the reaction to past decisions to ignore the OMB number, I think that it would be viewed as -- well, I don't think it would be viewed favorably by OMB or some

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

folks from Congress.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: During that history where we were asking for more than OMB had authorized, did we ever get more than OMB had authorized?

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I can't say for certain. I believe the answer is no. Our budget has essentially been flat for about a decade.

STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: This is the Staff Director. The Director of the Office of Management has indicated that the answer to that question is no, that whenever there was a request for more funds it was not granted, and she has some institutional memory in that area.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: That may be.

Again, I don't think I am inclined to make a motion.

It may have actually helped us -- the Commission receive the amount that was requested and the amount requested not be cut.

So it is -- it is an interesting question, and I am glad that Commissioner Heriot raised it, because, quite frankly, I had that same thought in my own mind. But if there isn't a majority interested in asking for other reason, I will suggest we end the discussion and vote on the amount that the rest of the Commission seems willing to ask for.

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. On that note, I guess the first motion I would like to make on this 2 3 topic, I move to amend the budget and the accompanying documentation to reflect the changes recommended by 4 5 the Staff Director. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow. 6 Second. 7 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Seconded. Vice 8 Chair Thernstrom. 9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Discussion? 10 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: This is Commission 11 Melendez. I still, you know, have some concerns. 12 Although I support the special assistants to all of 13 the Commissioners, you know, the issue on -- there is a lot of issues that I still have. I know that I had 14 15 prioritized the Human Resource Director, and I know that we are, you know, still with that one. 16 17 It ties into the capital plan I think for 18 the overall Commission. And I don't know -- I know 19 that one of our mandates is to do a certain amount of 20 Sounds like we're kind of public announcements. 21 cutting that down. I am not sure whether -- and that 22 is -- I think that is a mandate we are supposed to do. 23 Ιt also you know, the indepth 24 discussion, you know, on this total budget I don't

think has been adequate. The issue on the conference

we are supposed to have -- and this is pertaining to the past budget of -- you know, the current budget as to the questions I had asked the Staff Director about where do we stand with current budget, you know, hasn't been totally responded -- didn't get a lot of response back on many of my questions.

And so I have a real concern, because I know that we have this conference coming up. I have no information on whether or not that is still feasible to even do it, based on the current budget. So I just -- you know, the whole budget process as far as kind of monitoring that as we go along doesn't -- so I am not satisfied with it, and --

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez, I share your concerns. And, in fact, I have -- I will make -- I will commit to you that those questions that have been pending for several months, that you will get a response one way or the other before the next meeting.

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay. And then, just -- you know, I know that we have -- you know, within what we are submitting to Congress, you know, it says that we still have only chartered half of the SACs. And, as you know, I have been always a supporter of trying to get more funding to go to the

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	SACs. We still don't have a Western Region Director
2	out west, and we still
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Mr. Melendez?
4	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: are proposing
5	to hire assistants in some of the other regions. So I
6	am not totally as far as the priorities, I am not
7	really totally satisfied with this budget. So I am
8	just letting you know that.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Thank you. Any
10	other comments?
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I guess I would have
12	to add I am not entirely satisfied with the budget
13	either.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Vice Chair
15	Thernstrom, how do you vote?
16	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I vote yes. I'm
17	sorry. I had it had the phone a little ways away
18	from me. I vote yes.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano?
20	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: No.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow?
22	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Heriot?
24	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez?
	NEAL R. GROSS

	50
1	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: No.
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
3	Commissioner Yaki?
4	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Oh, oops, sorry. I
5	had the mute button on. Pass.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I vote in
7	favor. Commissioner Yaki?
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Point of question for
9	the Parliamentarian. How many votes does this need to
10	pass?
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Majority.
12	MS. MONROIG: And there are seven
13	Commissioners.
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: But the majority of
15	those voting? Does that include abstentions?
16	MS. MONROIG: So four votes, and there are
17	already four votes in favor.
18	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay. Then, abstain.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. So the motion
20	passes.
21	Next item. Okay. The next item is the
22	and we were just voting to amend the paperwork to
23	reflect the changes that Marty discussed earlier.
24	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Oh, okay. Maybe I
25	misunderstood the vote, then. I'm sorry. What I
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

	51
1	apologize, and I might ask to amend my which
2	what was the last vote on? I'm sorry.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Well, let me
4	repeat the motion.
5	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I move to amend the
7	budget and the accompanying documentation to reflect
8	the changes recommended by the Staff Director.
9	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Oh, yes. I vote
10	yes on that. It's the amount that I may vote no on.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez,
12	would you like to change your vote? Commissioner
13	Melendez? Commissioner Yaki?
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Are we changing our
15	vote?
16	(Laughter.)
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, just to ensure
18	that you did not well, do you want to change your
19	vote, in light of the fact that we are not voting on
20	the budget right now?
21	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All right.
23	Now, I move that the Commission approve the submission
24	of a request for \$9.4 million in appropriations for
25	fiscal year 2010 to Congress as previously amended.
	NEAL R. GROSS

	52
1	Is there a second?
2	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second. Vice
3	Chair Thernstrom seconds it.
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I assume that
5	we have already discussed this issue. So I will ask
6	Vice Chair Thernstrom, how does she vote?
7	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano?
9	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Pass.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow?
11	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Heriot?
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry?
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez?
17	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Pardon? Could you
18	repeat?
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The motion reads
20	well, I move that the Commission submit approve
21	submission of a request for \$9.4 million in
22	appropriations for fiscal year 2010 to Congress as
23	previously amended.
24	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I oppose. No.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioner
	NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	Yaki?
---	-------

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Abstain.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And I vote in the affirmative. Commissioner Gaziano?

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: No. But let the record reflect I only object to the amount. I would ask for a higher amount.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The motion passes.

#### VI. PROGRAM PLANNING

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Next up we have SAC rechartering. Bear with me. Oh, no, actually there is a letter dated February 5th that was received by the Staff Director from officials at English First ProEnglish. They are requesting that Commission reopen for 90 days the comment period on the briefing on specifying English as the common language of the workplace, every employer's right or violation of federal law.

The briefing was held on December 12, 2008, and pursuant to Commission policy had a comment period of 30 days. Thus, the term to comment expired on January 11, 2009. Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I second.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: There hasn't been

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Ī	54
1	a motion, has there, Mr. Chairman, that
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I think he is
4	moving.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
6	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: You said there had
7	been a request. Nobody from whatever they are called
8	the English First, or whatever they are called, you
9	did not make a motion that I heard.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Well, I move
11	that we extend the period for 30 days, the comment
12	period.
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: 30 days or 90 days?
14	I thought they asked for 90.
15	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: But the
16	Chairman is proposing 30.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. They did.
18	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I mean, is there any
19	point to extending it for 30 days if they need 90 to
20	do what they want to do?
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Oh, okay. I move that
22	we extend it for 90 days. Is there a second?
23	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Second.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Discussion.
25	Here are my views. I think 90 days is too long. I
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	also think that opening the comment period, because we
2	received a letter from an organization, is a precedent
3	that I don't particularly like. However, we also
4	received a request from Capitol Hill, from a
5	Congressman, to extend the comment period. On that
6	basis
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Which member?
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I believe it was Peter
9	King.
10	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Oh.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: On that basis, I would
12	support the motion. But for the requests from Capitol
13	Hill, I would not under these circumstances.
14	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. Chairman, if it
15	is all right, the letter from the member of Congress,
16	was it so that the member of Congress could submit
17	something, or was it so that we could receive comments
18	from other organizations as well? Do you remember, or
19	do you have that letter handy?
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: It wasn't a letter.
21	It was a phone call.
22	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And the idea was to
24	essentially provide the time for the organizations
25	that I mentioned.

56 1 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Let me ask you this. Was the bill -- was the phone call from his staff or 2 3 from the Congressman? 4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Staff. 5 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I would just note that 6 I have -- I know Congressman King and his legislative agenda. I would say that we have had requests in the 7 8 past from members of the Judiciary Committee on items 9 not related to the opening of a record. You know, as

10 much as -- as much as I agree with the chair's

11 reservations about this, and I certainly think that 90

12 days is extraordinarily long for an organization that

13 probably has testimony pretty much on print, on their

print button, and I strongly object to the 90 days. 14

> COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Chair, I would suggest that maybe we shorten it to at least 60 days, at most 60 days. I think that is more than sufficient time, provided that we communicate that very quickly to those interested parties who have expressed an interest in submitting something. And I believe it was Representative Steven King, not Peter King.

> > CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Thank you.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Mr. Chairman, this is Vice Chair Thernstrom. Why do they need the extra I mean, we have had this record open. I think time?

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	57
1	it does set a terrible precedent. We have got a
2	backlog of overdue late reports, and now we are just
3	slowing the process of the Commission up once again.
4	You know, why couldn't they get whatever they have to
5	say to us in a timely fashion.
6	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. Staff Director?
7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Same reason we can't
8	do anything in a timely fashion.
9	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. Staff Director,
10	let me go back to your comment on where is this
11	this report isn't ready to be sent to us anyway, is
12	it?
13	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: No, it's
14	not.
15	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay. At what
16	stage do you remember at what stage this report is?
17	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: This is one
18	where the staff has gone back to the panelists to ask
19	them to review the transcripts, and they are pulling
20	information together. It has not been written. The
21	people involved in this have been pretty involved with
22	moving the statutory report forward, so it is
23	something that they would begin to work on in a couple
24	of months.
25	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay. Good, good.

Well, that affects my thought. I share some of the concerns about how long we keep it open, but keeping it open for some short length of time, however we define that, but will not keep it from -- the staff from doing most of its work or keep it from being sent to us.

STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: No, I don't believe so.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: By the way, on the notion of they just need to push a button, evidently it says in the letter here, "Cancer struck swiftly for an important leader for English language issues -- Jim Boulet of English First, who entered the hospital and died during the period." English First is a very tiny organization, and evidently one of their leaders died during the period directly after our briefing. And that is what has slowed them down. These things do happen.

It seems to me that it is extraordinary for us to open our record for 90 days on behalf of an organization that was aware of the briefing. But when a member of Congress requests us to do so, I think we should simply do it. We have been much later on things than 90 days.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Hello, folks. I'm

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	sorry, I was disconnected. I'm just rejoining you.
2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I have a point of
3	information. Are we going to notify any group that
4	has previously testified that or submitted
5	testimony that the period if this were to prevail,
6	that the period has been extended, and that they have
7	an opportunity to supplement or replace their
8	testimony as a result?
9	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I've got no problem
10	with that. And we could put a notice in the Federal
11	Register as well.
12	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Sure. I think that
13	is a good idea if we are going to extend the period at
14	all.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, folks. I had
16	been disconnected.
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, we've known that
18	for a long time.
19	(Laughter.)
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Have we had
21	discussion around the length of time, in terms of the
22	postponement?
23	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Gerry, I don't know
24	if you were there when I I read from the letter
25	here. The reason they want an extension is that one
	NEAL R. GROSS

	60
1	of their leaders got cancer and died during the
2	period. And, of course, English First is a tiny
3	organization, and if one of their leaders has has
4	entered the hospital and died during the period, you
5	know, you can see why this would throw them off their
6	game.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: But I'm not sure that
8	justifies 90 days.
9	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I think
10	Commissioner Kirsanow suggested 60 is
11	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes. I would move
12	that we open up the record to accommodate further
13	submissions for a period of 60 days from today's date.
14	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And I don't know if
15	you were on the call, Mr. Chairman, but the Staff
16	Director indicated that it is due to a lot of other
17	work. The staff is still in the sort of early phases,
18	so that that wouldn't significantly while the
19	record is being held open, wouldn't significantly
20	delay the report getting to us, because a lot of other
21	work still needs to be done on it.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Well, then, I
23	second Peter's motion. Do we want to vote at this
24	point?

COMMISSIONER HERIOT:

25

We probably

Yes.

	61
1	should have done that as a motion to substitute, but
2	let's just do it.
3	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Just one point of
4	clarification. The request from the Congressional
5	Office, was it for any specific period of time? Or
6	that we just generally consider a sufficient time? Do
7	you remember?
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No, I don't.
9	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Gerry, for the
10	record, could you withdraw your first motion, so that
11	we are least doing something generally in order here?
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Motion withdrawn.
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Now we can vote on
14	Pete's motion.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Vice Chair Thernstrom?
16	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano?
18	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow?
20	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Heriot?
22	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry?
24	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez?
	NEAL R. GROSS  COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

	62
1	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: No.
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No. And let the
4	record reflect I wanted a much shorter period of time.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I vote for it,
6	with the with reservations.
7	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, if it's
8	going to pass, I'm going to change my note to no as
9	well, because I really don't agree with the 60 days.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Did you all hear me,
12	that this leader had cancer and died?
13	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Look, 60 days I
14	appreciate that, and now I understand the legitimacy
15	of the request. But 60 days shouldn't be necessary.
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I wish good health
17	to all of us.
18	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Pardon me?
19	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I wish good health
20	to all of us.
21	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Look, I mean
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We voted. You folks
23	can discuss that offline if you wish.
24	VII. STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ISSUES
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Next item up is a
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

State Advisory Committee. Under this motion, the
Commission appoints the following individuals to that
Committee based on the recommendations of the Staff
Director. Andrew Lester, Currie Ballard, Michael
Barlow, James Bryant, Cara Watts, Anthony Douglas,
Brandon Dutcher, Hannibal Johnson, Karen Langdon,
David Lee, Mark Liotta, Kay Martin, and Michael
Scaperlanda.
VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Mr. Chairman,
before we do that, I want to make sure that the Court
Reporter has it accurate that I have changed the last
vote in opposition to the length of the time.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Please have the Court
Reporter confirm that he has made the that he has
made the change, or that he has
STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: He has
STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: He has indicated that he did make the change.
STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: He has indicated that he did make the change.  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay.  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Very good.
STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: He has indicated that he did make the change.  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay.  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Very good.
STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: He has indicated that he did make the change.  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay.  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Very good.  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Thank you very
STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: He has indicated that he did make the change.  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay.  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Very good.  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Thank you very much. We can go ahead.

members will serve as uncompensated government employees. Under this motion, the Commission authorizes the Staff Director to execute appropriate paperwork for the appointment. Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow. Second.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?

Yaki. I just want to raise the point and the objection that we -- as much lip service as was paid to the need for "balance" from previous acts, that in this particular SAC we have three members who are part of the same think-tank. Two of them are part of the same non-profits. There is substantial overlap amongst many of them. It hardly seems like there was any balance put on this fact, and I will be voting against it.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Mr. Staff Director, two questions. First, please confirm that Mr. Yaki's -- Commissioner Yaki's statements are -- let me know if they are accurate. But, more importantly, let me know if you believe that overall we have a balanced SAC that reflects a diversity of viewpoints.

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Commissioner

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Melendez here. I want the balance between gender,
2	women, could you comment as to how that is balanced
3	here? And also, I know that we have enough lawyers on
4	the SAC.
5	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Okay. I
6	believe there is overall balance. The main objective
7	of the balance is to under our administrative
8	instruction is to ensure full and vigorous debate. We
9	do not have quotas based on gender, race, and other
10	categories of that nature, so we just do look for a
11	balanced group of people who can provide vigorous
12	debate on the issues that the Advisory Committee would
13	address.
14	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: A question. I
15	thought we were considering trying to get more women.
16	And there is only three on this, my understanding,
17	out of 13, is that right?
18	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: That's
19	correct.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Mr. Staff Director,
21	please describe the outreach that took place that
22	resulted in these recommendations.
23	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, before he
24	addresses that, I mean, whatever the outreach was, why
25	is it that we have three people who all belong to the

1 same think-tank on the SAC? Lester Dutcher and 2 Scaperlanda all belong to the Oklahoma Council of 3 Public Affairs. 4 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And why is that 5 necessarily a bad thing. 6 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, when you are 7 talking about -- when you are talking about three out 8 of 13, that hardly seems it would be -- hardly seems 9 it would be any sort of representative balance on 10 anything. I am not talking about party affiliations. 11 I am not talking about the social clubs. I am 12 talking about their professional capacities 13 specific -- one specific organization. So, yes, I 14 would have a problem with that --15 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Are you saying their views on --16 17 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner 18 I would have a problem with the fact that 19 two of them also happen to be members of the Oklahoma 20 Branch of the National Association of Scholars. 21 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: But are you 22 suggesting that --23 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Certainly, we can do 24 than picking three people from the same better 25 organization. And I would say that whether it was the

	67
1	NAACP, MALDEF, or The Federalist Society.
2	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I am just asking
3	you whether you assume that their views on civil
4	rights issues are all going to be identical, because
5	they have the same one of the same affiliations.
6	Is that your view?
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I am not going to
8	answer the question whether I I don't know who each
9	of
10	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I think that is a
11	ridiculous
12	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I do know, however,
13	that if we are picking three people from the same
14	organization out of 13, we can certainly do a better
15	job than that.
16	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: So if three of them
17	happen to be
18	COMMISSIONER YAKI: That is my point,
19	Commissioner Gaziano.
20	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: If three of them
21	happen to be
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Then, you know, it is
23	not worth talking about it.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki,
25	overall, it appears we have a diversity of viewpoints.
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1	If our outreach was if it found
2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: What is the definition
3	of "diversity of viewpoints"?
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: then I am I am
5	satisfied that we have a good we have a good list
6	here.
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, of course you
8	are satisfied. The diversity is all from your side of
9	your side. So fine. I just told you, I just
10	wanted to raise the point, and that I am going to be
11	voting against it.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Understood.
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Move on.
14	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Well, for the
15	record, I certainly think that one of the members of
16	the one of the affiliations of three of the members
17	is not does not represent their views and their
18	lifetime knowledge of the area, and that that
19	assumption certainly doesn't isn't very persuasive
20	to me.
21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Remember that the
22	Oklahoma Association of Scholars is these people
23	don't work for that organization. They just happen to
24	be members. You know, we have two people who are
25	members of the Roman Catholic Church as well. You

1 know, it is one of many, many, many groups that they 2 probably belong to. 3 You know, I could see being more concerned about there being several people, you know, from --4 5 who all work for the same employer, but that's not the case here. It's not even close to the case. 6 My quess 7 is that if we were to examine these people more 8 closely, you will find indeed that, you know, three of 9 them are members of lots of different organizations. 10 There are probably three Presbyterians in 11 somewhere. 12 COMMISSIONER YAKI: What is the Oklahoma 13 Council of Public Affairs? I know what it is. Would 14 you like to tell me what you think it is? 15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, what is the 16 point? 17 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Then, don't give me 18 this diversity boohoohoo. This is not diversity. 19 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well --20 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Three out of 13 21 belonging to the same thing, five of the people all 22 overlap with one another in various settings. Ι 23 didn't know Oklahoma was that small a state, but I 24 quess it is. 25 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Folks, this is **NEAL R. GROSS** 

	70
1	a debate that has been ongoing for quite some time. I
2	am sure we will
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Let's just vote.
4	Let's move on.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Very good. Vice Chair
6	Thernstrom, how do you vote?
7	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Abstaining on it.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano?
9	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow?
11	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Heriot?
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez?
15	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Abstain.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: N-O.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And I vote in the
19	affirmative. Okay. The motion passes.
20	Next up, I move that the Commission
21	recharter the Mississippi State Advisory Committee.
22	Under this motion, the Commission appoints the
23	following individuals to that Committee based on the
24	Staff Director's recommendation. Bradley Clanton,
25	Victoria Cintra, John Czarnetsky, Goyo de la Cruz,
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	Susan Glisson, Elizabeth Powers, Ronald Rychlak,
2	Walter Scott, Forest Thigpen, Leroy Walker, William
3	and William Woods.
4	The Commission also reappoints Mr. Clanton
5	as Chair of the rechartered Mississippi State Advisory
6	Committee. These members serve as uncompensated
7	government employees. Under this motion, the
8	Commission authorizes the Staff Director to execute
9	the appropriate paperwork for the appointment. Is
10	there a second?
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: This is Commissioner
14	Yaki. I just wanted to note for the record that of
15	the two SACs that we are appointing today only five
16	out of 24 are women. And I will just note that
17	without drawing any inferences on diversity or
18	outreach. And let's vote.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Vice Chair Thernstrom,
20	how do you vote?
21	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I vote yes on
22	this.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano?
24	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow?
	NEAL R. GROSS

	72
1	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Abstain. Only
2	because I didn't have an opportunity to go through
3	each of the biographies.
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Heriot?
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez?
7	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: No.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
9	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Ditto.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I vote in the
11	affirmative. The motion carries.
12	I move to adjourn. Is there a second?
13	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Could I Mr.
14	Chairman, I did I don't know if we inadvertently
15	skipped the
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I second. Call the
17	question.
18	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second.
19	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: the Staff
20	Director's Report. I he may have had some items,
21	and I just wanted to to actually commend him for
22	something in the report. Did we skip that item in the
23	agenda?
24	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: We did.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry. Please
	NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	73
1	repeat
2	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I think we skipped
3	the Staff Director's Report in the agenda, and I
4	wanted to hear his report and actually commend him.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
6	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'd like to call
7	the question and adjourn.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All in favor,
9	please say aye.
10	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No. We skipped an
11	item on the agenda.
12	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
13	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Aye.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's vote to
15	table discussion of the Staff Director's Report. All
16	in favor, please say is there a second?
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Second.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor, please
19	say aye.
20	(Chorus of ayes.)
21	Objections?
22	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.
23	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: No.
24	IX. ADJOURN
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I move to
	NEAL R. GROSS  COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  WASHINGTON, D.C., 20005, 3701

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	74
1	adjourn. Is there a second?
2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Vice Chair Thernstrom,
4	how do you vote?
5	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano?
7	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: If I've lost the
8	motion to have the Staff Director, I'll vote yes, with
9	the understanding that I would have liked to have
10	heard it.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow?
12	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Given Commissioner
13	Gaziano's statement, I vote yes also.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Heriot?
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'll vote no on the
16	grounds that it is absolutely ridiculous that we skip
17	the Staff Director's Report.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez?
19	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Abstain.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
21	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry?
23	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And I vote in the
25	affirmative. The motion carries. Folks, have a good
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS