U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

+ + + + +

BUSINESS MEETING

+ + + + +

FRIDAY, MARCH 16, 2018

+ + + + +

The Commission convened in Suite 1150 at 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest, Washington, D.C. at 9:00 a.m., Catherine Lhamon, Chair, presiding. PRESENT:

CATHERINE E. LHAMON, Chair

PATRICIA TIMMONS-GOODSON, Vice Chair

DEBO P. ADEGBILE, Commissioner

GAIL HERIOT, Commissioner

PETER N. KIRSANOW, Commissioner *

MICHAEL YAKI, Commissioner*

MAURO MORALES, Staff Director

* Present via telephone

STAFF PRESENT:

PAMELA DUNSTON, Chief, ASCD

KATHERINE CULLITON-GONZALES

LATRICE FOSHEE

ALFREDA GREENE

DAVID MUSSATT

LENORE OSTROWSKY

MICHELE RAMEY

SARALE SEWELL

BRIAN WALCH

MARIK XAVIER-BRIER

COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

SHERYL COZART

JASON LAGRIA

CARISSA MULDER

AMY ROYCE

RUKKU SINGLA

ALISON SOMIN

IRENA VIDULOVIC

CONTENTS

I.	APPROVAL OF AGENDA6
II.	BUSINESS MEETING Presentation from Maine Advisory Committee member Rachel Talbot Ross On the Committee's Advisory Memorandum on Racial Discriminations In Criminal Prosecution and Sentencing in Maine
	Presentation from Maryland Advisory Committee Chair Tom Mackall on the Committee's Advisory Memorandum On Fees and Fines and Bail Reform in Maryland8
	Presentation from Illinois Advisory Committee Chair Juan Carlos Linares On the Committee's Report Civil Rights and Voting in Illinois24
	Discussion and Vote on Timeline Discovery Plan and Outline for Commission Project, "In the Name of Hate: Examining the Federal Government's Role in Preventing Hate Crimes
	Discussion on The U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Highlights the Need for the Department of Justice to Reopen the Office for Access to Justice43
	Discussion on the Environmental Protection Agency and its Decision Regarding Uniontown, Alabama51
	Commemoration of Women's History Month Page Harrington, Historian and Preservationist
	Fatima Goss Graves, President and CEO, National Women's Law Center

CONTENTS

	Statement about the White House's Budget Request for Fiscal Year '19111
	Letter from Commission to Thomas Homan Regarding Immigration Enforcement Actions in Court Houses
	H. Management and Operations Staff Director's Report128
TTT.	ADJOURN MEETING

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	10:01 a.m.
3	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Good morning. This
4	meeting of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights comes
5	to order at 10:00 a.m. on March 16, 2018.
6	The meeting takes place at the
7	Commission's Headquarters located at 1331 Pennsylvania
8	Avenue, Northwest in Washington, D.C.
9	I'm Chair Catherine Lhamon. And,
10	Commissioners who are present at this meeting, in
11	addition to me, are the Vice Chair Patricia Timmons-
12	Goodson, Commissioner Heriot, Commissioner Adegbile.
13	On the phone, if you could confirm you're
14	on the line after I say your name, I believe we have
15	Commissioner Yaki.
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Hi.
17	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Thank you.
18	Commissioner Kirsanow?
19	(NO RESPONSE)
20	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Okay. We do have a
21	quorum present. Is the court reporter present?
22	COURT REPORTER: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Thank you.
24	Is the staff director present?
25	MR. MORALES: Yes.

1		I. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
2	СНА	IRMAN LHAMON: Thank you.
3	The	meeting now comes to order.
4	So,	a motion to approve the agenda for
5	this business m	eeting?
6	COM	MISSIONER ADEGBILE: So moved.
7	СНА	IRMAN LHAMON: Thank you.
8	Is	there a second?
9	VIC	E CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Second.
10	СНА	IRMAN LHAMON: Thank you.
11	And	, looking for amendments, I do have a
12	few to start us	off.
13	Fir	st, I'll move to amend Consideration
14	for a Statemen	t Related to the Fiscal Year 2019
15	Proposed Budget	
16	Are	there any other amendments?
17	COM	MISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Yaki
18	here.	
19	СНА	IRMAN LHAMON: Yes?
20	COM	MISSIONER YAKI: I have two amendments.
21	One is a pot	ential letter to regarding the
22	immigration enf	orcement actions around courthouses.
23	The	second is a statement regarding the
24	EPA decision on	Uniontown, Alabama.
25	СНА	IRMAN LHAMON: Okay, thank you.

1	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Madam Chair?
2	Vice Chair Timmons-Goodson.
3	I would move to amend it to include a
4	statement regarding the Commission highlighting the
5	need for the Department of Justice to reopen the
6	Office of Access to Justice.
7	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Thank you.
8	Are there any other amendments?
9	Okay, I second the motion to amend for the
10	amendments that I didn't offer. Is there a second for
11	mine?
12	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Vice Chair
13	Timmons-Goodson, I second.
14	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Thank you.
15	Let's vote to approve the agenda as
16	amended. All those in favor say aye.
17	(CHORUS OF AYES)
18	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Any opposed?
19	(NO RESPONSE)
20	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Any abstentions?
21	(NO RESPONSE)
22	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Okay, the motion passes
23	unanimously.
24	II. BUSINESS MEETING
25	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Okay, first, we will

1	hear some presentations from State Advisory Committee
2	members. Our first presentation will be from Rachel
3	Talbot Ross, a member of our Maine Advisory Committee
4	on the Committee's recently released Advisory
5	Memorandum on Racial Discrimination in Criminal
6	Prosecution and Sentencing in Maine.
7	Ms. Ross, you have the floor.
8	PRESENTATION FROM MAINE ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBER
9	RACHEL TALBOT ROSS ON THE COMMITTEE'S ADVISORY
10	MEMORANDUM ON RACIAL DISCRIMINATION IN CRIMINAL
11	PROSECUTION AND SENTENCING IN MAINE
12	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Ms. Ross, if you are
13	speaking, you're on mute.
14	(NO RESPONSE)
15	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: And, if you're not, I
16	think we'll move on, if Ms. Ross joins us, we can come
17	back to her.
18	We'll instead hear from our Maryland
19	Advisory Committee Chair, Tom Mackall, on the
20	Committee's recently released Advisory Memorandum or
21	Fees and Fines and Bail Reform in Maryland.
22	Chair Mackall?
23	PRESENTATION FROM MARYLAND ADVISORY COMMITTEE CHAIR,
24	TOM MACKALL, ON THE COMMITTEE'S ADVISORY MEMORANDUM
25	ON FEES AND FINES AND BAIL REFORM IN MARYLAND

1	MR. MACKALL: Hello? Can you hear me?
2	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: We can, thank you.
3	MR. MACKALL: Great.
4	Well, thank you, thank you for having me.
5	And, thank you all for the work that you do.
6	I am here today to talk about the Maryland
7	Advisory Committee's Advisory Memorandum specifically
8	addressing Maryland whether Maryland or its local
9	jurisdictions use court imposed financial penalties
10	and money bail in ways that may violate the
11	Constitutional rights of persons assessed or otherwise
12	interfere with the administration of justice.
13	Before I proceed, I would like to just
14	sort of give you before I proceed to address the
15	findings, I would just like very quickly to say that,
16	there were a couple of things that happened that
17	brought this to our attention.
18	The Dear Colleague letter that came from
19	the Department of Justice which is referenced in the
20	memorandum, the letter from the Maryland Attorney
21	General regarding money bail which is also referenced
22	in the memorandum and an earlier study by the Brennan
23	Center concerning the use of parole supervisory fees
24	in Maryland and its impact as a barrier for re-entry
25	to society for people subject to those fees.

1	This particular topic was championed by a
2	member of the Committee, Ms. Pamela Bennet. I would
3	like specifically to thank her for her leadership on
4	this effort.
5	And also, would like to particularly call
6	out and thank Barbara Delaviez for her support,
7	guidance and energy that helped the Committee get to
8	the point that it did.
9	I don't want to go through all the
10	findings because I believe that you all have seen
11	that. I would like to simply emphasize the following.
12	Where information does exist, that
13	information raises concerns about the racially and
14	economic disparate impact of Maryland's system of fees
15	and fines and money bail, and its overall impact on
16	the administration of justice.
17	And, when we had the public briefing, and
18	the testimony that we received support concerns, for
19	example, that were raised in the Brennan report
20	several years ago. That's just one example.
21	When we dug into this topic, however, I
22	think the primary concern that we encountered and
23	probably the basis for the most significant
24	recommendation going forward is that we do not have in
25	Maryland today any systematic or verifiable collection

1	of information pertaining to the impact of the system
2	of fines and fees and the money bail practices and the
3	extent to which they result in incarceration, let
4	alone the expense to which they may have a disparate
5	impact on certain groups.
6	There is no uniform reporting system.
7	There is no data regarding bail and pre-trial
8	detentions on the outcomes of trials. There is no
9	real data regarding bail bondsmen's uses of extra
10	judicial remedies for collecting bail.
11	And, there simply is no systematic,
12	verifiable information that is captured today in the
13	State of Maryland that allows us to assess the extent
14	to which these practices may result in incarceration
15	whether disparate or not.
16	That lack of information is was for us,
17	really a primary impediment to pursuing this project
18	any further at this time.
19	And, if there is a concrete recommendation
20	that comes out of this particular memorandum, it is
21	most importantly that Maryland should address and
22	begin to collect in a systematic and verifiable way,
23	what is the practice regarding fees and fines around
24	the State of Maryland?
25	And, what is the extent to which those

1	monetary penalties do result in incarceration?
2	And, to what extent does the money do
3	the money bail practices and pre-trial detention that
4	exists today, what extent do they have an impact or
5	judicial outcomes?
6	There has been some change with respect to
7	the Maryland laws regarding money bail where Judges
8	are expected to take into account the defendant's
9	ability to pay as they go forward.
10	And, perhaps, as that system is in place,
11	some information will come to light that may bear
12	reexamination of this. But, this lack of information,
13	we think, is a critical issue and needs to be
14	addressed.
15	And, if that information is collected at
16	some point and becomes available to the public, we
17	believe this would be a very fertile topic for the
18	Committee to take up yet again.
19	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Thanks very much, Chair
20	Mackall.
21	I want to open it up for questions from my
22	fellow Commissioners.
23	Madam Vice Chair?
24	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes, thank
25	you so york much for this momorandum. And I

1	understand very clearly that your effort to look into
2	this matter was impeded by the lack of information.
3	My inquiry is whether the fact that you
4	have put this out, is there any indication that you
5	have had a positive effect in that there is proposed
6	legislation?
7	Or, perhaps I should say, is there any
8	proposed legislation out there in any state or
9	condition that would take care of the concern that you
10	have?
11	MR. MACKALL: Thank you for the question.
12	The short answer is, not that I'm aware of
13	at this point. So, I cannot answer that definitively.
14	What I can say to you is that one of our
15	witnesses did say that he believed that the judiciary
16	could pull that information together and would pull
17	that information together if directed to do so.
18	But, what is clear is, even if they did
19	so, it would not be done in a verifiable and auditable
20	fashion. So, while I can't say that there's any
21	legislation out there at this point, certainly, that
22	would be an outcome that we would welcome following
23	from this project.
24	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Thank you.
25	CHAIR LHAMON: Chair Mackall, this is

1 Catherine Lhamon. I need to say to you as a fellow Marylander, thank you for your service and also for 2 3 taking up a topic that's obviously very important to 4 the state and to the country and also important to 5 this Commission as a topic that we issued a report on 6 last September. 7 I want to follow up on the Vice Chair's 8 question to you. I understand that you're not aware of pending progress now to address the data collection 9 10 issue that was such an impediment to your analysis, 11 and obviously, is an impediment for the state. 12 I wonder what steps the State Advisory 13 Committee itself is taking to try to see change in 14 this area? It's obviously an area that has been ripe 15 for change in the state, given the state's recent 16 progress during the pendency of your investigation. 17 So, I'm interested in the steps that you, 18 together as a Committee, plan to take or are taking 19 related to correcting this issue? 20 MR. MACKALL: We have not defined at this 21 point any specific steps. I think we were -- we do 22 have a meeting scheduled later in the month of April. 23 And, perhaps, that's a topic that we will address as 24 part of that meeting as to whether there may be any 25 appropriate or viable steps that the Committee would

1	take.
2	So, I will take your question actually as
3	a suggestion of a way that we might move forward a
4	little further on this topic.
5	CHAIR LHAMON: Terrific.
6	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: This is Vice
7	Chair Timmons-Goodson.
8	As another possible way that you could
9	proceed, given that you have identified that perhaps
10	the Judges have access to that information and while
11	it might not their collection of that information
12	might not meet, you know, statistical, you know, rules
13	and all of that, might that be a place that you could
14	begin and perhaps going to the judicial council, the
15	state's judicial council or something, asking them to
16	voluntarily take on such a responsibility.
17	Now, I can't I can almost promise you
18	that that will not be something that they'll
19	voluntarily take up, but it does get it continues
20	the discussion of the issue.
21	And, many of us are calling upon our
22	Judges to do more in this area. But, just a
23	suggestion.
24	MR. MACKALL: Yes, well, and thank you for
25	the suggestion. I will tell you that I will be very

1	frank with you that within the Committee, we had a
2	number of discussions of steps that would that we
3	could take next.
4	And, quite honestly, one challenge that we
5	have is how to most efficiently allocate our time for
6	what we believe would be the return on that time that
7	we invest.
8	I've just received two fine suggestions
9	from the Commission, and I appreciate it. And, these
10	will be topics that we will put into the discussion as
11	we go forward.
12	CHAIR LHAMON: Thanks very much.
13	Are there any other questions from the
14	Commission?
15	(NO RESPONSE)
16	CHAIR LHAMON: With that, Chair Mackall,
17	we really appreciate your presentation.
18	I want to note, I believe that
19	Commissioner Kirsanow has joined us. Could you
20	confirm, Commissioner Kirsanow that you're on the
21	phone?
22	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I am, thank you.
23	CHAIR LHAMON: Terrific, welcome.
24	And, I also understand that our Maine
25	Advisory Committee member. Rachel Talbot Ross has

1	called in. Ms. Ross, are you on the phone?
2	MS. ROSS: I am, thank you.
3	CHAIR LHAMON: Terrific, thank you.
4	We would welcome hearing your presentation
5	on your Advisory Memorandum on Racial Discrimination
6	and Criminal Prosecution Sentencing in Maine.
7	PRESENTATION FROM MAINE ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBER
8	RACHEL TALBOT ROSS ON THE COMMITTEE'S ADVISORY
9	MEMORANDUM ON RACIAL DISCRIMINATION IN CRIMINAL
10	PROSECUTION AND SENTENCING IN MAINE
11	MS. ROSS: Well, I want to start by
12	thanking you for this opportunity to present what we
13	believe was a very critical investigation that, while
14	we had the hearing back in 2014, what's really
15	important to understand is the work that's taken place
16	as a result of the investigation and with your
17	support.
18	We've been able to move forward some of
19	the recommendations. So, I want to first start by
20	saying thank you for this opportunity.
21	I also have to just mention briefly that
22	I, at the time that the investigation and our hearing
23	was held, I was the Chair of the State Advisory
24	Committee. I am no longer the Chair, but am now
25	sorving in the Maine state legislature and have been

1 able to help move forward some of the recommendations 2 from this group and serve on the Criminal Justice 3 Committee for the in the House House 4 Representatives. 5 So, I'm really fortunate to be able to 6 stay very close to the work and remain a member of the 7 State Advisory Committee. 8 We, just briefly, the impetus for this 9 work came from actually the local NAACP that had been 10 working in the state. We have three branches in the 11 state, one in the greater Bangor area, one in the 12 Portland area and then, we uniquely have a branch of 13 the NAACP in our maximum security prison which is the 14 Maine State Prison in Warren, Maine which has been in place for well over 20 years. 15 16 So, the impetus for this work came from 17 the NAACP. And, the NAACP, through the branch in the 18 prison, was working on correctional policy and reform 19 for years and started to see a theme coming out of 20 some of the work, which was, these stories about 21 convictions and what appeared to be disparate

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

sentencing where the only common denominators seemed

to be race, the race of the defendants which were all

men of color predominantly and then the race of the

jury which were all white.

22

23

24

25

1	And so, it seeing and hearing the stories
2	of these disparate sentencing where race was the only
3	common denominator, it led to a series of meetings
4	with the judicial branch, the Department of
5	Corrections and law enforcement in which the ACLU and
6	the Maine Prisoner Advisory Commission joined us.
7	We held a series of meetings in order to
8	find out if we could do a pilot project that would
9	really help analyze what was going on with these
10	disparities.
11	The result of those meetings were that we
12	got connected to Cynthia Jones who was one of the
13	panel members that who gave us the background in the
14	national context of a professor from the Washington
15	College of Law.
16	We started meeting with her and really
17	zeroed in on trying to examine the prosecutorial
18	decision making.
19	And, knowing that nationally, this was the
20	place in which the system would show the greatest
21	disparity.
22	Those meetings actually kind of the
23	pilot project never went on. And so, the Maine State
24	Advisory Commission picked up that work and held these
25	hearings.

1	As you can see, we had a quite good
2	diverse group of folks who joined us. One thing to
3	note of particular importance is that this hearing
4	included both formerly incarcerated folks, but we had
5	the Board of a currently incarcerated person who
6	joined us for this hearing and truly made it one of
7	the most unique hearings that we've ever had, is to
8	hear from people who were most or most impacted by
9	the situation.
10	So, Brandon Brown, who's still serving
11	time in Maine State Prison, he was the president of
12	that branch and also Michael Parker, who was the
13	founding President of that branch. So, that made the
14	hearing quite unique.
15	I'll just jump to the actions
16	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Ms. Ross, the time for
17	the presentation is over, but I appreciate the
18	presentation.
19	And, I'm going to move us
20	MS. ROSS: Okay.
21	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: questions from my
22	fellow Commissioners on the Advisory Memorandum.
23	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: This is Vice
24	Chair Timmons-Goodson, again, we thank you for all of
25	your work. But, you indicated that, early on, that

1	you had been able to make some progress with regard to
2	the recommendations or on this issue.
3	I'd be interested in hearing more about
4	that.
5	MS. ROSS: Thank you for the question,
6	I'll make it try to make it brief.
7	Two really substantial pieces have come
8	forward as a result of this.
9	One is that we were able to close one of
10	our juvenile detention centers here in Maine and we're
11	on the verge of looking to close the last juvenile
12	detention center here in Maine, understanding that
13	these are not the places for our youth, particularly
14	with such disparities for youth of color.
15	The ACLU, members of the Maine SAC and
16	other community action groups have really come
17	together to address juvenile justice issues in the
18	state. So, we're looking to close the last remaining
19	juvenile detention center in the state.
20	The second thing that we've done is we are
21	trying to re-engage the judiciary, corrections and law
22	enforcement in order to get data, comprehensive data
23	so that we can hold briefings with legislators.
24	It looks like we are going to be able to
25	re-engage those folks.

1	The Supreme Court Justice for the has
2	indicated a willingness to try to launch that pilot
3	program, so we're really excited about that.
4	And, we have identified the Maine Muskie
5	School of Public Service will do the analysis on that
6	data.
7	The third piece is that we were able to
8	introduce two bills in this legislative session that
9	were written by currently incarcerated men to address
10	the issue of parole, not having parole in the State of
11	Maine.
12	We introduce a bill called Earned Time
13	which would, upon completion of a rehabilitation
14	program, it would give inmates additional time.
15	And, we also introduced a bill on
16	rehabilitation that would put in statute the pathway
17	to rehabilitation so that we could address
18	reintegration and re-entry.
19	So, we've introduced legislation. We
20	helped closed down a juvenile detention center and
21	we've re-engaged the judiciary, corrections and law
22	enforcement on data collection.
23	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Ms. Ross, the second to
25	the last bills that you mentioned that would address

1	reintegration and re-entry has particularly piqued my
2	interest because of pending investigation at the
3	Commission about the collateral consequences of
4	incarceration.
5	And, I would welcome information about the
6	status of that bill after this legislative session, if
7	you are able and willing to share with us.
8	MS. ROSS: Absolutely. I've got to say
9	that we're so proud, and I don't know where else this
10	has happened, but we're really, really proud that in
11	this legislative session, we were able to introduce a
12	total of four bills that were written by currently
13	incarcerated men at the Maine State Prison.
14	And, the rehabilitation one, it's based or
15	a proposal that was written by currently incarcerated
16	men that we translated into a bill. That bill is now
17	working its way through the Maine State legislature.
18	And, I'd be more than pleased to keep you
19	updated on the status of that.
20	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Thank you.
21	Any other questions from fellow
22	Commissioners?
23	(NO RESPONSE)
24	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Commissioners on the
25	phone?

1	(NO RESPONSE)
2	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Thanks so much, Ms.
3	Ross. We really appreciate your presentation and your
4	work on the Committee.
5	MS. ROSS: Thank you, I appreciate the
6	opportunity.
7	PRESENTATION FROM ILLINOIS ADVISORY COMMITTEE CHAIR,
8	JUAN CARLOS LINARES, ON THE COMMITTEE'S REPORT CIVIL
9	RIGHTS AND VOTING IN ILLINOIS
10	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: We'll now hear from our
11	Illinois Advisory Committee Chair, Juan Carlos Linares
12	on the Committee's report on civil rights and voting
13	in Illinois.
14	MR. LINARES: Good morning, can you hear
15	me?
16	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: We can, good morning.
17	MR. LINARES: Great, good morning. Thank
18	you so much for the opportunity. The Illinois
19	Advisory Committee also thanks you for this
20	opportunity to present.
21	And, special thanks also to David Mussatt
22	and Melissa Wojnaroski of our Chicago Office who were
23	instrumental in facilitating this work for us over the
24	past couple of years.
25	I'm going to summarize then the impetus of

I'm going to summarize then the impetus of

1	why we went ahead and worked on the issue of civil
2	rights as they pertain to voters in Illinois.
3	And then, I'll go over some of the
4	findings for some of the sections that we pulled for
5	the report and then some recommendations.
6	So, the impetus of our work with regards
7	to voting and civil rights really stemmed from the
8	2016 general election, the presidential election where
9	there was a lot of discussion, not just in the media,
10	but directly from the White House, really, about
11	discuss whether we go ahead and research if there was
12	voter fraud, voters who were non-citizens who were
13	going to booths and voting.
14	And, we really found this as a compelling
15	issue in Illinois.
16	So, to jump to one of the findings, we
17	first, if you have the report, there's a section on
18	voting rights in Illinois and we talked the specific
19	law as it pertains to the local jurisdictions in
20	Illinois.
21	And, just to jump to one of the findings
22	which addressed directly the impetus for our work,
23	from 2000 to 2014, there was found no fraud in voting
24	or voting from any illegal voting from non-citizens.
25	In fact, from some of the panelists, we

1 heard, there's a broad awareness of non-citizens who 2 are hoping to become citizens, that voting is a 3 deportable offense. So, we saw no fraud coming from 4 the panelists. 5 At this point, let me pause to say that, 6 given the partisan nature that voting rights and civil 7 rights can take, we were very intentional about 8 selecting a panel that would provide a balanced overview of these civil rights issues as they pertain 9 10 voting, and I'm confident in saying that 11 achieved that diversity and bipartisan nature of the 12 panelists that we had. 13 We heard from folks in nonprofit service 14 agencies, we heard from people in policy think tanks. 15 We heard from government officials and from folks who 16 are general residents and voters, including those who 17 were formerly incarcerated. 18 So, to jump to a few more sections, then, 19 looked at a few content specific issues with 20 regards to voting rights, one of which was voting 21 among jailed inmates or to the formerly access 22 incarcerated. 23 Now, this is specific -- this is -- was 24 very important in Illinois, in that 56 percent of the 25 incarcerated individuals in Illinois are African-

1	American, even though only 15 percent of the general
2	population is.
3	So, there is this potential just on the
4	face of it to look at issues of disparate impact.
5	But, what we found was very compelling.
6	Illinois is one of 14 states that allows
7	for voting automatically once the incarcerated have
8	come out of being incarcerated. So, that's 14 states
9	including the District of Columbia.
10	All that said, we heard testimony,
11	including from those who were formerly incarcerated
12	that mentioned that there is not a lot of awareness
13	among prison jail officials for those who are not
14	currently facing felony sentences, but those who are
15	merely jailed before their trials.
16	Those folks do have the right to vote, but
17	there's just not an awareness amongst jail officials,
18	whether or how to operate these voting rights.
19	I do want to say, since our panels
20	occurred, the Cook County Sheriff, and Cook County is
21	where Chicago sits, the Cook County Sheriff went ahead
22	and does a mass voting exercise for early voting
23	purposes with the jailed inmates for those who want to
24	participate.
25	There's also a lack of awareness with

There's also a lack of awareness with

1	regards to distributing absentee ballots in the jails
2	themselves.
3	One very compelling thing that we found
4	was with regards to what we call prison
5	gerrymandering.
6	So, in one specific instance, there's a
7	city called Pinckneyville in southern Illinois, where
8	in that jurisdiction, there are more people
9	incarcerated in that county than there are people
10	actually living in the county that are not
11	incarcerated.
12	So, the issue there is with regards to
13	representative power is that we are counting folks who
14	are prisoners as residents of that county even though
15	they are not allowed to vote because they're serving
16	felony sentences.
17	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Mr. Linares, can you
18	MR. LINARES: So, that was one very
19	compelling
20	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Mr. Linares, just to
21	I'm sorry for interrupting, but just to pause for a
22	moment.
23	For folks who are on the line, if you are
24	not speaking, could you mute your lines? We're
25	hearing a little bit of backfeed feedback.

1	Thank you.
2	Go ahead, Mr. Linares.
3	MR. LINARES: I appreciate that, I heard
4	that, too.
5	So, just to jump to a few more sections
6	then to summarize and wrap up, we also looked at
7	voting access for limited English proficient voters.
8	There specifically, there are some federal laws in
9	place including Sections 203 and 208 which you'll find
10	in the report.
11	The issues there are with regards to
12	Section 203 how we count individuals who need language
13	access on the ballots themselves. Specifically, in
14	the city of Chicago, which is one of those
15	jurisdictions which triggers the language access, we
16	have ballots in Spanish, in Chinese Mandarin and in
17	Hindi.
18	But, there are outlying counties where
19	that number trigger, either 10,000 individuals within
20	that jurisdiction or 5 percent of that jurisdiction
21	needing the language access, this will be an issue in
22	the next Census on how we count individuals.
23	And then, for Section 208, this gets into
24	the last section. So, it's a mixture of language
25	access issue where Section 208 mandates that folks

1 that need assistance at the ballots themselves, can 2 bring in someone to translate for them, someone who is 3 not either their employer or a union steward. 4 But, the issue there goes with election 5 Election judges are often not trained enough judges. 6 to know what the rules are with regards to either 7 bringing folks in to translate for them or a variety 8 of other issues. 9 This jumps to sections of the report on 10 for individuals with disabilities access or 11 homeless who don't always have that permanent address 12 but are doubled up and staying with someone else do 13 have that address and may have to have different forms 14 of identification, but the election judges aren't 15 always aware. 16 So, jumping to some of the recommendations 17 then that we found is, in order to ensure that the 18 civil rights of voters are being met, the training of 19 election judges is critical in facilitating the 20 process of voting itself. 21 There's also issues that we found from 22 some of our government officials that, when training 23 election judges, there's not always enough money to do 24 so and there's not always enough money to pay judges so that we're getting the highest quality of folks 25

1 that are willing to stay a 14-hour day to facilitate 2 the ballot. 3 So, jumping to in other recommendations 4 then, we did have a brief discussion on campaign 5 And, this is where I'll conclude with finance. 6 regards to the content piece, is we had some panel 7 testimony with regards to those that donate under the 8 Citizens United case where folks are -- candidates are 9 to receive amounts of money that 10 restricted under the Citizens United ruling. 11 So, but, what we found, particularly in 12 the Chicago mayoral election, that 94 percent of the 13 current mayor's funders were white individuals. 14 many of those male as well. And, many of those upper income. 15 16 So, what we're finding then is a potential 17 for policy to be drawn so that they're serving those 18 donors and not necessarily the general public. This 19 is something to be analyzed further, we think, under 20 the Citizens United case and the results therein. 21 So, to conclude then, again, we thank you 22 for the time. There are in Illinois a variety of 23 content issues related to civil rights with regards to 24 voting, particularly disparate impact amongst African-25 Americans and those who are incarcerated.

1	Also, access to the ballot with regards to
2	language, disability or housing status.
3	And, at the end of the day, campaign
4	finance being one of those that can perhaps see a
5	disparate impact on how policies are written.
6	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Mr. Linares, thank you
7	very much for your presentation and please thank your
8	fellow Committee members for the thorough and very
9	comprehensive report on voting in Illinois. I really
10	appreciate it.
11	I want to open the floor for conversation
12	from my fellow Commissioners.
13	Commissioner Adegbile?
14	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Yes, hello.
15	I was wondering if you could give us a
16	little bit more of a flavor of how the implementation
17	of Election Day registration has been going in
18	Illinois?
19	MR. LINARES: Thank you for asking that
20	question. So, what we found, when the panels were
21	being conducting was the issue of automatic voter
22	registration was currently in our legislature and it
23	had not been passed.
24	But, since we've had our panel testimony
25	and since we've had drafts of the report going back

1	and forth, the Illinois governor did sign automatic
2	voter registration into law on August 28, 2017.
3	And, what that says is, anytime you go to
4	renew your driver's license, you will be automatically
5	be registered to vote if you're also a citizen of the
6	United States.
7	We also have some law that says that
8	you're automatically registered, but if you're a small
9	county, you can opt out. So, this leads to maybe some
10	disparate impact with regards to the smaller counties
11	where their election commissioners can opt out of this
12	law.
13	Now, 20 of 120 counties guarantee this by
14	virtue of the size of their populations. But, we
15	heard testimony from folks that, in the southern part
16	of Illinois, it's not a guarantee to have that
17	automatic voter registration.
18	So, this could bring up some issues with
19	regards to the fairness of and impacts with regards to
20	who gets to automatically register on election day.
21	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: And, I take it
22	that exclusion has something to do with the
23	administrability of election day registration in
24	smaller counties?
25	MR. LINARES: Yes, that's what we found.

1	And so, some of the counties can't fully staff their
2	precincts or election jurisdiction with the numbers of
3	people to be able to administer this. The larger
4	counties more clearly can.
5	But, the one of the issues becomes the
6	funding of this mandate is if we if the state is
7	able to fund this, then there probably shouldn't be
8	this disparity.
9	But, these are all testimonies that we've
10	heard, the facts remain that 20 of 120 counties do
11	allow for Election Day registration and the rest can
12	opt out.
13	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Thank you.
14	Mr. Linares, this is Catherine Lhamon. I
15	found very compelling the window into the experiences
16	of voters and potential voters in your state that you
17	included in the report, including, for example, the
18	discussion about voter intimidation in Cook County
19	that you had included at page 20.
20	So, thank you very much for making visible
21	to folks who are outside Illinois experiences of
22	people in Illinois.
23	I also was struck in the report about one
24	of the issues that the report raises with respect to
25	voting rights of formerly incarcerated individuals,

1	that even though Illinois automatically restores the
2	voting rights, many individuals in this position are
3	not aware of the restoration, and that's an issue that
4	I heard about when I visited the Alabama State
5	Advisory Committee's briefing also on voting rights.
6	And, interested in whether your Committee
7	considered a recommendation on this issue and how the
8	current state of this information might be improved?
9	MR. LINARES: Yes, and thank you for your
10	question. And, actually both parts of your comments
11	are very much related into a culture of training, not
12	just election judges, but all policy officials into
13	the voting rights in Illinois as they stand.
14	So, as you mentioned, voter intimidation,
15	there was one scenario in Cicero, Illinois which is a
16	near west suburb of Chicago where police officers were
17	present at the polls and were demanding that voters
18	bring their permits, quote, unquote, permits which are
19	not really it's not a real thing, it's not
20	necessary to have a permit to vote.
21	So, that's one of the instances of voter
22	intimidation.
23	But, again, it goes towards teaching not
24	just the election judges as to what the rules are, but
25	police officers, all government personnel and anyone

1	related to the voting system outright.
2	We did hear from one individual who had
3	been incarcerated that he was told outright by the
4	local county jail official, that you cannot vote. And
5	so, and that was he thought not necessarily a
6	malicious statement so much as it was the jail the
7	officer just wasn't really informed as to what the
8	rules are and was just guessing and trying to keep
9	people quiet during that time period.
10	So, to your question, one of the
11	recommendations that we want to informally put forward
12	is the better training of both, not just the election
13	officials, but of all folks who work in government and
14	who work on policy.
15	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Thank you.
16	Commissioner Adegbile?
17	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Hi, I have one
18	more question with respect to the automatic voter
19	registration effort in Illinois.
20	Is it the case that that effort is focused
21	only on DMVs as opposed to other agencies?
22	MR. LINARES: So, thank you for your
23	question. To answer your question, to my knowledge,
24	it is focused on the DMVs at the current moment.
25	But. I am aware of discussions of either

1	broadening that effort or further clarifying that.
2	But, to the extent that we heard
3	testimony, it is currently, yes, focused on the DMV.
4	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Because I take it
5	one consideration there is whether that approach
6	itself may have some disparate impact to the extent
7	that there may be disparities in people who hold
8	driver's licenses. And so, that may be something
9	worth further inquiry.
10	MR. LINARES: And, thank you for your
11	comment, because one of the findings in the report is
12	that individuals who earn less than \$35,000.00 a year
13	which are more likely to be seniors, those with
14	disabilities or people of color are less likely to
15	have either a driver's license of a state issued ID.
16	So, thank you for your comment, because that is
17	reflected in the report as well.
18	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Any other questions from
20	Commissioners including Commissioners on the phone?
21	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Madam Chair,
22	Kirsanow here.
23	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Commissioner Kirsanow?
24	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you.
25	Thanks very much for your presentation, it

1	was very informative. You stated at the outset I
2	think I may have misheard or didn't hear the entire
3	presentation, but I think you said that you didn't
4	find any evidence of voter fraud in Illinois?
5	MR. LINARES: That is correct, that's per
6	the findings of our panel discussion, yes.
7	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: And, how did you
8	make that determination?
9	MR. LINARES: So, we had several
10	individuals, and we have the transcripts available,
11	too, I think publically available, so I can't quote
12	exactly who it was, but we had government officials
13	including those with Cook County Clerk's Office which
14	administers voting and those with the Chicago Board of
15	Elections.
16	And, I believe it was one of those
17	individuals that looked at the study on enforcement
18	and showed us that there was no finding of fraud in
19	Illinois from the years 2000 to 2014 or any evidence
20	of non-citizen voting during that time either.
21	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Oh, well, thank
22	you.
23	It may, in the future, if you look at this
24	again, be a good idea to look at some media reports
25	from CBS and Chicago Tribune that cite a number of

1	individuals who've been convicted of voter fraud
2	including Mahmoud Vakili, an Iranian citizen who was
3	convicted and sentenced to prison for voting while he
4	was not eligible to vote, Steveland Kidd who CBS says
5	was sentenced for voting fraudulently pursuant to
6	absentee ballot, Brian McDouglar three years in prison
7	for falsified absentee ballot, Audrey Cook, Augustus
8	Stacker, Pamela Boyd, Monica LaPlant.
9	Sometimes the media has information that
10	maybe Cook County doesn't.
11	MR. LINARES: And, I appreciate that
12	information and research. We will look into that.
13	And, again, we were relying on the government
14	officials that testified us. So, thank you for that
15	information.
16	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Any other questions?
18	(NO RESPONSE)
19	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: What that, Mr. Linares,
20	thank you very much for your presentation and thank
21	you to each of the State Advisory Committee members
22	who took the time to present to us and for the work
23	that you've taken for your State Advisory Committees.
24	It's always a pleasure for us to be able to hear from
25	you and, as is reflected in the reports from today,

1	it's a pleasure to see the wide variety of issues that
2	the State Advisory Committees are taking up.
3	Thanks very much.
4	MR. LINARES: Thank you very much, thank
5	you.
6	DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON TIMELINE, DISCOVERY PLAN AND
7	OUTLINE FOR COMMISSION PROJECT, "IN THE NAME OF
8	HATE: EXAMINING THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S ROLE IN
9	PREVENTING HATE CRIMES:
10	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: The next item on our
11	agenda is discussion and vote on the time line
12	discovery plan and outline for our upcoming project
13	for our May briefing titled, In the Name of Hate:
14	Examining the Federal Government's Role in Preventing
15	Hate Crimes."
16	Is there a motion so we can open the floor
17	for discussion?
18	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: I so move.
19	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Is there a second?
20	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Second.
21	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Terrific, we'll now have
22	a discussion on the motion.
23	I'll start by offering my thanks to our
24	staff for their research and hard work in putting
25	together the materials and working with all the

1	Commissioners and Commissioner Special Assistants to
2	get to these final documents.
3	Are there any other points for discussion
4	before we vote?
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Madam Chairman?
6	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Commissioner Heriot?
7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I know that both
8	Commissioner Kirsanow and I have made the point that
9	this is too ambitious, that we've never been able to
10	pull off quite so much work in one of our reports.
11	And, we have recommended that the part
12	that's addressed to the Department of Education, which
13	is not a crime oriented area at all, would be best
14	deleted.
15	No action seems to have been taken on
16	that, so I've assumed that the majority of the
17	Commission is of the other view.
18	But, I did want to point out that I
19	predict that this is not going to be something that
20	our staff can handle and that we would be much better
21	off if, at the very least, we cut back on the part
22	that is related to bias incidents rather than hate
23	crimes since that's going to open a whole new can of
24	worms with First Amendment issues, with all sorts of
25	stuff.

1	So, I would, again, strongly urge that we
2	get rid of that part.
3	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Thank you.
4	The materials reflect the proposal that we
5	voted on as a Commission that included the Department
6	of Education. But, I appreciate your sustained view
7	on the topic, too.
8	Any other discussion?
9	(NO RESPONSE)
10	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Okay. I'll call the
11	question, we can take a roll call vote.
12	Commissioner Adegbile, how do you vote?
13	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Aye.
14	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Commissioner Heriot?
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I vote no.
16	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Commissioner Kirsanow?
17	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
18	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Commissioner Yaki?
19	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Vice Chair Timmons-
21	Goodson?
22	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: And, I vote yes.
24	The motion passes, two Commissioners
25	opposed, no Commissioner abstained and all others were

1	in favor.
2	AMENDED BUSINESS ITEMS
3	DISCUSSION ON THE U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS
4	HIGHLIGHTS THE NEED FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO
5	REOPEN THE OFFICE FOR ACCESS TO JUSTICE
6	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: We'll now consider our
7	amended business items beginning with the statement on
8	the Department of Justice Access to Justice Office.
9	I'll turn it over to the Vice Chair to
10	read the statement and, as is our customer, I take it
11	you omit the footnotes as you read so that we can move
12	forward expeditiously.
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, please.
14	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: I will omit
15	the footnotes.
16	The statement is entitled, The U.S.
17	Commission on Civil Rights Highlights the Need for the
18	Department of Justice to Reopen the Office for Access
19	to Justice.
20	The U.S. Commission on Civil Rights calls
21	on Attorney General Jeff Sessions to ensure the
22	Department of Justice's Office for Access to Justice
23	is fully operational and able to perform its
24	functions.
25	The Office safeguards access to justice on

1	behalf of people who cannot afford lawyers so that the
2	justice system delivers outcomes that are fair and
3	accessible to all, regardless of income.
4	The Commission is concerned about reports
5	that the Attorney General has functionally closed the
6	office by reducing its staff and shifting its
7	resources elsewhere within the Department.
8	We call on Attorney General Sessions to
9	immediately reconstitute the office with dedicated
10	staff and to rescind any efforts otherwise.
11	Since its inception in 2010, the office
12	has worked within the Department across federal
13	agencies and with various stakeholders in an effort to
14	focus the country's attention on the right to counsel.
15	To that end, the office has engaged in
16	filing a series of statements of interest and amicus
17	briefs related to Access to Justice issues, launched
18	an interagency collaboration and served as the U.S.
19	government's central authority on access to justice.
20	The work of the office was also critical
21	in informing the Commission's findings and
22	recommendations following our investigation into
23	excessive fines and fees that target low income people
24	of color.
25	In the area of fines and fees, the office,

1 multiple times, convened policy makers, 2 prosecutors, defense attorneys and advocates 3 discuss how certain practices with respect to the 4 imposition and enforcement of fines and fees can result in unlawful and harmful conduct. 5 6 In addition, the office, along with the 7 Department's Civil Rights Division, issued 8 Colleague Letters to state administrators and chief 9 justices in each state to provide greater clarity to 10 state local courts regarding their and 11 obligations. The Commission majority recognized in our 12 13 report on fines and fees that these convenings and 14 letters influenced local and statewide quidance 15 reformed practice, increasing access to justice 16 consistent with constitutional commands. 17 The Commission is concerned that the work 18 of the office in convening stakeholders, issuing 19 guidance, litigating noncompliance and serving as a 20 central authority will end without dedicated staff. 21 Ceasing that work risks ending, in practical terms, 22 the mission of the Department of Justice itself for 23 many millions of low income Americans. 24 Access to justice is core to effective 25 democracy and also a core component Congress has

1	charged the Commission with safeguarding.
2	Thus, the Commission urges Attorney
3	General Sessions to immediately shift resources back
4	to the office and to rededicate the staff to the
5	important mission of access to justice.
6	Chair Catherine E. Lhamon stated, and I
7	quote, the Department of Justice disserves all
8	Americans by turning its back on access to justice for
9	those with the lowest income among us. We strongly
10	urge renewed immediate fidelity to the core justice
11	mission.
12	CHAIR LHAMON: Thank you, Madam Vice
13	Chair.
14	Is there a motion so we can open the floor
15	for discussion? I'll move.
16	Is there a second?
17	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Second.
18	CHAIR LHAMON: Thank you.
19	Is there any discussion on this statement?
20	Commissioner Heriot?
21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: This statement seems
22	to me to be premature. If we're actually interested
23	in whether the Department of Justice is, in fact,
24	doing what we what the statement seems to assume
25	that it's doing, the best way to do that is through a

letter, not by a press release of this sort.
And so, I would urge that this be recast
as a letter to the Department of Justice. If the
response that you get is not to your liking, you can
always do a press release.
But, it's a little hard to see this
document as sincere given that it's not being phrased
as a question. It may well be that the Attorney
General is shifting even more resources to this topic
just within the Civil Rights Division.
I note the Civil Rights Division is
getting a modest increase in its budget this year,
even though the tasks that are assigned to the Civil
Rights Division are less now than what they were a few
years ago because of the Shelby County decision by the
Supreme Court.
So, I would urge that this be done as a
letter that's a true inquiry and not a press release
that's designed to cause the reader to assume what
facts that aren't yet in evidence.
VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: I thank you
for that, Commissioner Heriot. And, I, too, gave that
some thought, but I'm of the opinion that time is of
the essence.

While we -- what we're hoping to do is to

25

1	have some effect on the decision itself and by
2	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: But, we don't know
3	what that decision was.
4	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: And, I'm
5	concerned that if we wait and it's done, then we've
6	lost whatever we could have done to have some say or
7	word out on the decision.
8	CHAIR LHAMON: My understanding, in
9	addition, is that we have engaged in substantial
10	efforts to find out the specific actions and that the
11	Department of Justice has not been forthcoming in its
12	words.
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: But, not a letter.
14	CHAIR LHAMON: And, it is my view that it
15	is important for the Commission to fulfill its role to
16	Congress, to the President, to the American people in
17	addressing core civil rights issues as they come up in
18	a timely manner, in a way to try to influence their
19	operation.
20	So, I support issuing the statement now.
21	Any further discussion?
22	(NO RESPONSE)
23	CHAIR LHAMON: Okay, I'll call the
24	question and take a roll call vote.
25	Commissioner Adegbile, how do you vote?

1	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Aye.
2	CHAIR LHAMON: Commissioner Heriot?
3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Of course I vote no.
4	CHAIR LHAMON: Commissioner Kirsanow?
5	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
6	CHAIR LHAMON: Commissioner Yaki?
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No.
8	CHAIR LHAMON: Vice Chair Timmons-Goodson?
9	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Of course I
10	vote yes.
11	CHAIR LHAMON: And I vote yes.
12	The motion fails because there were three
13	Commissioners opposed and three Commissioners in
14	favor.
15	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Okay, with it
16	having failed, might it be appropriate, Madam Chair,
17	that I then offer this in terms of it going out as a
18	letter under your signature inquiring as Commissioner
19	Heriot has sought? It failed.
20	CHAIR LHAMON: Yes, maybe we could
21	persuade Commissioner Heriot.
22	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm not used to
23	winning on this.
24	CHAIR LHAMON: You convinced Commissioner
25	Yaki to come along with you.

1	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Democracy in
2	action.
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Hang on, hang on. I'm
4	sorry, I'm sorry, I'm going to move to change my vote
5	because I totally was not paying attention to what was
6	going on.
7	CHAIR LHAMON: Were you, perhaps, confused
8	Commissioner Yaki, and you intended to vote yes?
9	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I was actually looking
10	at a statement by Commissioner Kirsanow on another
11	issues and I thought, no. So, I apologize.
12	Could I I'd like to change my vote to a
13	yes.
14	CHAIR LHAMON: Okay.
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I have no objection
16	to that.
17	CHAIR LHAMON: Thank you.
18	But, we all appreciate your levity, so,
19	thank you for that today as well.
20	The motion therefore passes, two
21	Commissioners opposed, none abstained, all others were
22	in favor. So, thank you.
23	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Thanks for that
24	experiment in democracy.
25	(LAUGHTER)

1	DISCUSSION ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
2	AND ITS DECISION REGARDING UNIONTOWN, ALABAMA
3	CHAIR LHAMON: And, let's see how do with
4	our next statement. Let's now consider the statement
5	about the Environment Protection Agency and its
6	decision regarding Uniontown, Alabama.
7	I'll turn it over to Commissioner Yaki to
8	read the statement, please.
9	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I hope I won't vote no
10	on my own thing.
11	(LAUGHTER)
12	COMMISSIONER YAKI: You know, so,
13	Commissioners, as you know, this is an issue that this
14	Commission actually, unfortunately, perhaps foresaw in
15	its report last year, this is regarding the dismissal
16	of the civil rights complaint made by the residents of
17	Uniontown, Alabama from the coal ash deposits and this
18	is what it states.
19	U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Statement
20	regarding EPA decision on Uniontown, Alabama.
21	As an Agency that conducted its own fact
22	finding on environmental justice enforcement from the
23	Environmental Protection Agency, we express our deep
24	concern over the EPA's recent decision to dismiss two
25	Uniontown, Alabama civil rights investigations without

violation findings.
Sadly, these dismissals continue the EPA
disturbing and longstanding track record which this
Commission documented of not making a formal finding
of discrimination or denying or withdrawing financial
assistance from a recipient for civil rights
violations.
After our investigation, which included
meeting with and hearing from the affected residents
of the Uniontown community, the Commission found that
the EPA decision to allow the movement and storage of
coal ash in Uniontown did not fully consider the civil
rights impacts.
We also found that storage of coal ash in
Uniontown has adversely impacted the surrounding
community.
These latest decisions by the EPA
perpetuate the environmental injustice the Uniontown
community must endure.
Environmental justice is a critical civil
right that helps address the negative health outcomes
in communities of color and low income communities who
are disproportionately affected by environmental
pollution.

We will continue to monitor the EPA's

25

1	enforcement of federal civil rights statutes and find
2	this is yet another distressing step in the wrong
3	direction for the Agency.
4	The Commission's 2016 Statutory
5	Enforcement Report, Environmental Justice, Toxic
6	Materials, Poor Economies and the Impact on the
7	Environment of Low Income Minority Communities
8	examined the EPA's work under Title 6 of the Civil
9	Rights Act of 1964 and Executive Order 12898 with a
10	focus on the civil rights implications of the
11	placement of coal ash disposal facilities near
12	communities of color and low income communities
13	exactly like and I just editorialized that exactly
14	like Uniontown, Alabama.
15	In addition to the briefings held by the
16	Commission in Washington, D.C., two additional public
17	two additional meetings on the topics were
18	coordinated that year by the Commissions North
19	Carolina and Illinois State Advisory Committees.
20	Period.
21	End of statement.
22	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Thank you.
23	And, whereas, we typically don't read the
24	footnotes, there is only one in this one and it notes
25	that both Commissioner Adegbile and I did not

1	participate in this investigation because it occurred
2	prior to our appointment.
3	Thank you for reading it, Commissioner
4	Yaki.
5	We can now discuss the statement. Is
6	there a motion so we can open the floor for
7	discussion?
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I so move.
9	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Thank you.
10	Is there a second?
11	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Vice Chair
12	Timmons-Goodson, I second.
13	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Thank you.
14	Any discussion on this statement?
15	Commissioner Heriot?
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yeah, I was a little
17	surprised by this statement. This is the case, I know
18	some of you were not on the Commission at the time,
19	this is the case where we had planned an investigation
20	to go down to Uniontown, Alabama, but it was abruptly
21	cancelled over my objections.
22	This is one of those cases where at least
23	one member of the Commission tried to have the General
24	Counsel's Office state that it would an ethical
25	violation for me to go down to Uniontown.

1	In the end, I was the only Commissioner to
2	go to Uniontown. It was very clear that some of what
3	we were told during the briefing here in Washington,
4	D.C. was incorrect.
5	Frankly, I thought that report was one of
6	the Commission's greater embarrassments.
7	And so, I am very surprised given our
8	level of expertise in this area, which is essentially
9	nil, that we would be questioning the EPA's findings.
10	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Any others?
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Chair?
12	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Commissioner Yaki?
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: We had two briefings
14	on this in Washington, D.C. North Carolina also held
15	issues on this.
16	Again, this is a pattern and practice by
17	the EPA Office of Civil Rights through many
18	administrations. This is not aimed at any single
19	administration, this is something that we have found
20	to be a distressing pattern, ongoing.
21	And, this confirms actually our findings
22	going forward. And, I will say that one of the great
23	things that came out, side benefits of our hearing on
24	voting rights in North Carolina is that we actually
25	met with some of the folks from Alabama who drove up

1	to talk about what an impact our hearing has had
2	positively for residents in the community.
3	And, I think that this is this
4	statement is amply warranted.
5	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Any further discussion?
6	(NO RESPONSE)
7	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Okay, I'll call the
8	question and take a roll call vote.
9	Commissioner Adegbile, how do you vote?
10	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Aye.
11	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Commissioner Heriot?
12	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.
13	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Commissioner Kirsanow?
14	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
15	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Commissioner Yaki?
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Vice Chair Timmons-
18	Goodson?
19	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
20	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: And, I vote yes.
21	The motion passes, two Commissioners
22	opposed, no Commissioner abstained, all others were in
23	favor.
24	COMMEMORATION OF WOMEN'S HISTORY MONTH PAGE
25	HARRINGTON, HISTORIAN AND PRESERVATIONIST

1	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: I see that we are just
2	at 11:00 a.m. and we have our two scheduled speakers
3	here with us, so I'm going to table the discussion for
4	the rest of the business meeting to follow the
5	presentation from our two speakers and invite them to
6	come to their places at the podium and we can begin
7	our historical presentation.
8	Then, after that concludes, we'll return
9	to the remainder of our business meeting.
10	So, as our two speakers are joining us, we
11	are planning now to commemorate Women's History Month
12	and I will say that the long march toward full
13	equality for women in this country continues and
14	follows the vision, leadership and every day acts of
15	courage that are well worth our remarking and
16	celebrating.
17	In keeping with our bipartisan tradition
18	at the Commission, I also note that the women's
19	equality effort has long been bipartisan and
20	championed by strong leaders across the political
21	aisle.
22	Whereas, feminism is often associated with
23	the Democratic Party, I offer a few very recent
24	examples of Republican leadership for women's rights.
25	It was Republican President Nixon's

1	Presidential Task Force on Women's Rights and
2	Responsibilities that recommended adding sex
3	discrimination to this Commission's jurisdiction,
4	which President Nixon supported in 1972.
5	Former First Lady Betty Ford lobbied
6	extensively for women's rights and specifically for
7	the Equal Rights Amendment which has yet to be
8	ratified and was named Time Magazine's Woman of the
9	Year in 1975 for her efforts toward women's full
10	equality.
11	As a child of that era and having grown up
12	with ERA Now pins and stickers displayed at my
13	mother's office and in our home, I especially
14	appreciate her leadership.
15	And, more recently, Carly Fiorina
16	proclaimed the Republican Party as the party of
17	women's suffrage during her recent presidential
18	campaign in 2016.
19	I look forward to hearing more about the
20	rich and varied history of this civil rights movement
21	from our speakers today. And, I appreciate the
22	persistence of those who paved the way before me,
23	creating a space for freedoms I and my daughters now
24	enjoy.
25	Our first speaker, Page Harrington is a

1	consulting public historian and preservationist
2	specializing in early 20th Century women's history.
3	As President of Page Harrington and
4	Company, LLC, she advises museums on creative and
5	practical strategies to integrate women's history into
6	existing museum interpretation exhibits and programs.
7	Harrington's forthcoming book titled,
8	Interpreting Suffrage, Women's Equality and Racism at
9	Museums and Historic Sites, will be released in spring
10	of 2019. I look forward to reading it.
11	Harrington is the former Executive
12	Director of the National Woman's Party at the Belmont-
13	Paul Women's Equality National Monument, the founding
14	co-chair of the Women's History Affinity Group for the
15	American Association of State and Local History and
16	serves on the Women's Vote Centennial Initiative.
17	She also advised the U.S. Congressional
18	Commission on their exploratory study for an American
19	Museum of Women's History as part of their Scholar
20	Committee.
21	Harrington holds two Master's degrees from
22	the University of San Diego, one in public history and
23	historic preservation and the second in nonprofit
24	management and leadership.
25	Our second speaker, Fatima Goss Graves, is

1 the President and CEO of the National Women's Law 2 Center. Ms. Goss Graves has served in numerous 3 4 roles at the National Women's Law Center for more than 5 a decade and has a distinguished track record working across a broad set of issues central to women's lives 6 7 including income security, health and reproductive 8 rights, education access and workplace justice. 9 Ms. Goss Graves currently oversees the 10 Center's administration of the Times Up Legal Defense 11 Initiative which connects those who experience sexual 12 misconduct including assault, harassment, abuse and 13 related retaliation in the workplace or in trying to 14 advance their careers with legal and public relations 15 assistance. 16 Before becoming CEO and President, she 17 served as the Center's Senior Vice President for 18 Program where she led the organization's broad program 19 agenda. 20 Prior to that, as the Center's Vice 21 President for Education and Employment. She led the 22 Center's Anti-discrimination Initiatives including 23 work to promote equal pay and address harassment and 24 violence at work and in school with a particular focus on outcomes for women and girls of color. 25

1	As I can attest, as having been on the
2	receiving end of her impressive advocacy, she is
3	widely recognized for her effectiveness in the complex
4	public policy arena at both the state and federal
5	levels, regularly testifies before Congress and
6	federal agencies and is a frequent speaker at
7	conferences and other public education forums.
8	Ms. Goss Graves appears often in print and
9	on air as a legal expert on issues core to women's
10	lives including in the New York Times, Wall Street
11	Journal, Washington Post, Associated Press, Chicago
12	Tribune, LA Times, San Francisco Chronicle, CNN,
13	MSNBC, PBS and NPR.
14	Ms. Harrington, we'll hear from you first.
15	PAGE HARRINGTON, HISTORIAN AND PRESERVATIONIST
16	MS. HARRINGTON: Good morning,
17	Commissioners and Chair Lhamon. I'm delighted to be
18	here today and speak to you a little bit in honor of
19	Women's History Month.
20	I have prepared remarks and then, what I'm
21	hoping for is some questions and maybe a little bit of
22	a dialogue going back and forth I think would be
23	fantastic.
24	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Terrific.
25	MS. HARRINGTON: The women's suffrage

1	movement officially began with the Seneca Falls
2	convention in 1848 and continued through the
3	ratification of the 19th Amendment in August of 1920
4	which ultimately granted 26 million the right to vote.
5	Early icons such as Elizabeth Cady
6	Stanton, Lucretia Mott, Sojourner Truth, Susan B.
7	Anthony and Mary Church Terrell knew that women could
8	not better their own lives and the lives of their
9	children and their communities without enfranchisement
10	and equal rights under the law.
11	The history of the National Woman's Party
12	begins with Alice Paul and Lucy Burns who,
13	coincidentally, met in England while they were in jail
14	picketing with the Pankhurst family.
15	They were not encumbered by husbands or
16	children, so they could travel to England and work on
17	this important work.
18	They were both white, educated, middle-
19	class women and they had the financial means and time
20	to take on the cause.
21	The Pankhursts used militant tactics like
22	heckling politicians, smashing windows, setting fires
23	in public trash cans, all to raise public awareness of
24	their suffrage demands.
25	When Paul, Burns and the others returned

1	to the United States in 1910, they were determined to
2	bring these militant activities back and use them
3	here.
4	Alice and Lucy and many others began by
5	planning the March 3rd, 1913 parade. The parade was
6	meant to disrupt the arrival of the newly elected
7	President Wilson on the eve of his inauguration.
8	Instead, they hoped that the public would
9	watch more than 5,000 women march from the U.S.
10	Capitol down Pennsylvania Avenue to the White House.
11	This type of spectacle was largely unheard of in 1913
12	and the public reacted very badly.
13	The women were violently attacked as they
14	marched. The police stood by and simply watched the
15	riots unfold. Over 100 marchers were hospitalized and
16	ultimately, the Fort Myer Calvary had to be called in
17	to disburse the crowd.
18	By 1916, women had the vote in only 12
19	states. And, Alice Paul and Lucy Burns officially
20	founded the National Woman's Party.
21	Through a sustained campaign of mass
22	propaganda and nonviolent action, the National Woman's
23	Party campaigned for a federal suffrage amendment.
24	The organization brilliantly used
25	cartoons, posters, pamphlets and picket banners in

1	order to educate the public, influence politicians and
2	fight back against the long established hostility
3	toward the suffrage campaign.
4	The NWP very aggressively lobbied members
5	of Congress. Now, they were not the first to do this.
6	However, the strategic way in which they tracked
7	their subjects made them very unique and many
8	organizations later adopted their strategies.
9	The press often referred to their deadly
10	political index which, in essence, was really just a
11	collection of index cards in which the NWP kept
12	meticulous notes on every member of Congress.
13	But, their research was meticulous. They
14	knew their hobbies, education, religious and family
15	economic background, where they stood on suffrage and
16	also other issues.
17	The NWP members who conducted the
18	interviews left detailed notes that speak to the
19	thoughts of Congress at the time. I have two
20	examples.
21	He was so violently opposed as to even be
22	hostile in his attitude. He opposes the amendment on
23	the grounds of states' rights but also on the
24	principle of the everlasting inferiority of women.
25	And second, a smart aleck of the worst

1	kind. My interview was a complete waste of time and a
2	sore trial on my patience.
3	Beginning in 1917, the NWP began picketing
4	the White House. For over two years, NWP members
5	coordinated an ongoing demonstration in front of the
6	White House gates.
7	Thousands of women known as the Silent
8	Sentinels came from across the country and took turns
9	picketing and engaging in civil disobedience.
10	They burned Wilson's speeches and silently
11	held large banners for the president and everyone else
12	to see with slogans like, how long must women wait for
13	liberty? And, Mr. President, what will you do for
14	women's suffrage?
15	These banners and the pickets were
16	aggressive, direct and rhetorically brilliant, with
17	slogans meant to inspire and engage.
18	We take it as commonplace today for
19	protesters to be outside of the White House. But,
20	until the NWP's picketing, this had actually never
21	been done before.
22	And so, as you can imagine, eager crowds
23	would gather daily to read the new banners and to
24	watch the spectacles unfold.
25	When the United States entered World War I

When the United States entered World War I

1	in 1917, public sentiment changed. Across the
2	country, thousands of women turned their attention to
3	the war effort and many expected the suffragists to do
4	so.
5	But, the NWP felt otherwise. During the
6	Civil War, suffragists had put their cause on hold and
7	many felt that it had held them back for decades.
8	The banners they carried to the picket
9	line became more inflammatory, basically labeling
10	President Wilson a hypocrite for fighting a war of
11	democracy abroad while not protecting women at home.
12	One very powerful banner slogan was, Mr.
13	President, how long must women be denied a voice in
14	government which is conscripting their sons?
15	They even called him Kaiser Wilson, which
16	is, you can imagine, did not go over well and actually
17	resulted in attacks on the suffragists.
18	The police, again, made no effort to stop
19	the attacks or to apprehend the attackers. At the
20	request of the Administration, the police began to
21	arrest the suffragists.
22	These were well known social advocates and
23	prominent society women. In some cases, middle-aged
24	or older women who were arrested on charges of
25	obstructing traffic.

1	Ultimately, over 2,000 women picketed the
2	White House, 500 were arrested and 168 were imprisoned
3	either at Occoquan Workhouse in Lorton, Virginia or in
4	the District of Columbia Jail.
5	They demanded to be treated as political
6	prisoners. Instead, they were met with brutality.
7	They were fed meals that consisted of sour bread, half
8	cooked vegetables and rancid soup with worms in it.
9	When the women went on hunger strikes,
10	they were strapped down and forcibly fed. The oldest,
11	Mary Nolan, was 73 when she was arrested and jailed.
12	The public and press reacted with outrage
13	and sympathy toward the women.
14	By 1918, the NWP's tactics, the backlash
15	from their imprisonment and the persistent lobbying
16	eventually forced President Wilson to endorse the 19th
17	Amendment as a war measure.
18	In 1919, both the House and the Senate
19	passed the Susan B. Anthony Amendment and on August
20	26th, 1920, the 19th Amendment was signed into law,
21	granting 26 million women the right to vote, and it
22	only took 72 years.
23	Under Alice's leadership, the NWP then
24	turned their attention back to Congress. They worked
25	on hundreds of pieces of legislation that secured

1	marriage rights, the right to have custody of their
2	own children in case of divorce, the right to
3	education, the right to own property, the right to
4	keep their own wages and over 100 more.
5	Most notably, in 1923, the NWP produced a
6	new piece of legislation, the Equal Rights Amendment.
7	Equality of rights under the law shall not be denied
8	or abridged by the United States or by any state on
9	account of sex.
10	The NWP continued to work for more than 50
11	years to end the legal, social and economic
12	discrimination against women both here in the United
13	States and abroad.
14	From 1929, when the NWP moved into the
15	Sewall-Belmont House, it became the principle center
16	for women's rights activism through the '30s, '40s,
17	'50s both nationally and internationally.
18	The NWP was, for many years, the only
19	national organization committed to raising the legal
20	status of women through the Equal Rights Amendment and
21	other pieces of legislation.
22	Some examples include the 1922 Cable Act
23	which impacted women who lost their citizenship
24	because they married foreigners or resided abroad.
25	The repeal of Section 213 of the National

1	Recovery Act of 1932 which eliminated the barring of
2	federal employees working for the government if their
3	spouses were also so employed.
4	1963 Equal Pay Act made it illegal to pay
5	women lower rates for the same jobs strictly on the
6	basis of sex.
7	Title VII of the Civil Rights Act and
8	Title IX Education Amendments prohibiting
9	discrimination against girls in federally funded
10	athletic programs.
11	By the 1930s, the National Woman's Party
12	was operating the house as a public museum and sharing
13	the history of suffrage. In order to protect the
14	house and continue sharing that history, the National
15	Woman's Party began yet another campaign, this time to
16	secure recognition for the house as a national
17	monument.
18	So, 39 years after Alice Paul passed away,
19	the house became the first national monument named for
20	two women, NWP benefactor, Alva Belmont and Alice Paul
21	and the first named for women's equality.
22	On Equal Pay Day of 2016, President Barak
23	Obama declared the Sewall-Belmont House was now the
24	Belmont-Paul Women's Equality National Monument so
25	that generations of girls and boys would hear the

1	story and be inspired.
2	In partnership with the National Park
3	Service, the NWP remains in the house and is the
4	principle steward of the archival collection of over
5	30,000 artifacts including many of the original
6	banners that I discussed.
7	The NWP also remains a public convener
8	hosting programs and discussions that focus on women's
9	equality.
10	Within the first year under National Park
11	Service, visitation was up 75 percent and the house
12	has already undergone assessment for upcoming
13	preservation projects.
14	However, there is still much work to do
15	before we note the centennial of the 19th Amendment in
16	2020.
17	In this very limited summary of the NWP's
18	campaign for suffrage, you'll notice that there were
19	very few references to the many women of color who
20	also worked for suffrage.
21	And, until now, I haven't even mentioned
22	the vast racial and economic discrimination of the
23	movement.
24	For example, the African-American women
25	who marched in the 1913 suffrage parade including Ida

1	Wells-Barnett and many women from Howard University
2	had to march at the back of the procession.
3	Wells, of course, did not agree and
4	marched instead with the Illinois delegation, but the
5	vast majority of women did.
6	In the early years after the Seneca Falls
7	convention, the American Woman Suffrage Association
8	worked toward universal suffrage.
9	However, as the decades passed, the larger
10	suffrage associations, including the NWP, bowed to
11	pressure from their members in the south who were
12	interested only in the voting rights of white women.
13	Because the women of color were excluded
14	from the larger organizations, they're also largely
15	left out of the interpretation at historic sites
16	today. And, many of their documents and artifacts are
17	not included in archives and repositories which makes
18	their stories incredibly hard to find.
19	There is, however, great work being done.
20	National Park Service and NWP are jointly working on
21	research that will yield materials and information and
22	allow a more accurate interpretation of suffrage that
23	can then be shared with the visiting public.
24	Scholars and historians including myself
25	continue to research and publish on this subject.

1	And, grassroots organizations like Chick
2	History in Tennessee and the National Civil Rights
3	Museum at the Lorraine Motel are actively working to
4	digitize family records of African-American women
5	during the suffrage campaign and make them available
6	for research.
7	The goal being, that when the exhibits and
8	programs and celebrations focusing on the centennial
9	of the 19th Amendment in 2020 will finally share the
10	accurate and honest story of the suffrage campaign,
11	one that reflects all communities and is inclusive of
12	all voices.
13	Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Thanks very much, Ms.
15	Harrington.
16	Ms. Goss Graves?
17	FATIMA GOSS GRACES, PRESIDENT AND CEO, NATIONAL
18	WOMEN'S LAW CENTER
19	MS. GOSS GRAVES: Thank you so much for
20	having me at the Commission. And, it's really an
21	exciting time, I believe, in the women's movement and
22	the movement for gender justice broadly for a few
23	reasons that I will promise I will get to the history
24	part, but I want to just sort of set the table about
25	where we are now.

1	And, part of the reason that it is
2	exciting is because we, I think, are growing to have a
3	different understanding about the real importance of
4	organizing and doing work at the intersections of
5	gender discrimination but the way it intersects with
6	many other forms of oppression.
7	And, there are also new leaders in this
8	work whose long-time advocacy to improve the lives of
9	women and girls is coming to the surface and their
10	names are getting known and will hopefully be
11	documented in our history.
12	And, there is a different level of energy,
13	the sort of energy that led to the greatest mass
14	mobilization that we've seen in our nation's history
15	with a women's march that centered the experiences of
16	women of color.
17	And, women, themselves, are engaged as
18	activists in new ways. They are leading both in
19	making calls to Congress, they are leading in who
20	shows up at town halls, women lawyers which we're
21	really excited about are leading in their
22	volunteerism.
23	And, women in both parties are running for
24	office in record numbers.
25	And so, for the work we do at the National

1 Women's Law Center, which was founded 45 years ago to be doing this work in the middle of such a vibrant and 2 3 energetic understanding and such very 4 attention to women and girls' lives is really exciting 5 for all of us. 6 And, one of the things that's happening 7 right now is women are leading conversations and 8 really standing in solidarity and trying to break the 9 silence around harassment and violence and the ways in 10 which it impacts their lives. 11 And, that has come to prominence through 12 the framework of MeToo. And, most of you probably 13 hear -- have heard about MeToo, but I'll just say a 14 couple of things about it. 15 It was the long-time rallying cry that was 16 developed first by Tarana Burke in her 17 organizing to let survivors of harassment and violence 18 know that they were not alone and that there was 19 collective -- that there could be healing and joy in 20 coming together as a collective and understanding that 21 you are not alone and, yes, me too. 22 It was amplified in October and millions 23 became to share their experiences in digital spaces 24 and then in real life and now, it's showing up at 25 institutions.

1	But, it also has been the space where many
2	first learned about harassment and violence in deep
3	ways and the ways in which it touched so many
4	institutions, the ways in which workers facing working
5	in the lowest paid jobs in restaurants and hotels and
6	on farms actually had a lot in common with those who
7	were in the upper paid jobs and even those who were
8	working in Hollywood.
9	And, that common understanding is what
10	galvanized a coalition of initially 300, but far more
11	now, entertainment influencers and attorneys to create
12	an initiative that's designed to help low wage workers
13	connect with attorneys who can represent them in
14	harassment and related retaliation cases.
15	And, that is what became what is now the
16	Times Up Legal Defense Fund.
17	I will just tell you a couple things about
18	the Times Up Legal Defense Fund. It, in two months,
19	has raised over \$21 million to support these types of
20	cases.
21	But, what I have been truly inspired by is
22	the way people have showed up to support. We now have
23	almost 700 attorneys how have joined with us to say
24	that they will be willing to take on these cases.
25	We've had over 20,000 people contribute to

1 the fund in increments as small as \$5.00 which is 2 really their way of saying I want to support this 3 effort. 4 And, we have had over 2,000 people contact 5 us since January 1st seeking our assistance, really 6 bravely, many people unfortunately are calling us too 7 late because they have been reliving experiences that 8 were long ago. 9 And so, some people are calling us to just 10 tell their story so that someone knows. They now know 11 that something that happened five years ago, there's 12 not a lot of protection for you under our federal 13 laws, but they wanted to still name their experiences. 14 So, for us, it's really just extraordinary 15 to be living in such a vibrant period and doing this 16 work where, in a time where we really feel like it's a 17 moment that stands to push institutions to no longer 18 engage in the historic shaming and blaming and 19 silencing survivors that has occurred historically. 20 And, as exciting as I find this movement 21 and this moment, I have been thinking a lot about the 22 many people over time who laid the groundwork for 23 where we are today. 24 And, in many ways, their stories and the 25 stories of the leaders, in particular, have been

1 really critical points in time and have not really 2 gotten the prominence. 3 So, I'll start, you know, thanks to Oprah, 4 we now know the name of Recy Taylor. And, Recy Taylor 5 was a black woman who was kidnaped and gang-raped by 6 six white men while leaving church in 1944. 7 And, took, at the time, the very unusual step of trying to seek justice through the criminal 8 9 justice system. 10 And, although two grand juries failed to 11 indict, the outrage about this unaddressed violence 12 sparked critical mobilizing around the country. 13 mobilizing seeded the groundwork for the that 14 Montgomery Bus Boycott built on decades later. So, when we think about Recy Taylor's 15 16 story, many of us didn't know it in modern times, but 17 those of us who were steeped in this work really 18 believe we are building on the power of sharing those 19 sorts of stories and experiences to mobilize people 20 into action really broadly. 21 I also recently have been reminded of 22 Carmita Wood. And Carmita was a black woman who was, 23 at the time, refused unemployment insurance on the 24 grounds that the decision to leave her job at Cornell 25 Lab after years of sexual harassment was a personal

2 qualify they said for unemployment insurance. 3 But, that did not stop her. She worked 4 with advocates and lawyers to form what was then 5 called Working Women United. And, it was one of the 6 first organizations to really lay the foundation and 7 language for sexual harassment and framed the issue of 8 sexual harassment as not a personal issue for people 9 to deal with, but as a matter of discrimination. 10 That's critical for the moment that we're 11 Part of what we're dealing with now is a cultural 12 shift where people are thinking about harassment and 13 violence as not just personal issues for people to 14 contend with by themselves, but structural issues 15 including as ones that are covered by 16 discrimination laws that are going to require also 17 structural solutions. 18 It's also important to remind us of 19 Michelle Vincent. Michelle Vincent was also a black 20 woman who was working as a bank teller who stood up to 21 her employer and brought the case that established in 22 the Supreme Court that harassment was protected under 23 Title VII. When she brought that case, her bank's 24 25 defense was essentially that she was either lying or

decision, it was personal reasons so she did not

1

1 promiscuous and dressed really provocatively or all of 2 those things. 3 The Court rejected those arguments and, 4 you know, you think back to that period of time that, 5 you know, Michelle Vincent carried the weight of not 6 only working there for so many years and enduring this 7 sort of abuse, but also the abuse of standing up to 8 her employer and hearing reflected back to you that 9 all of these things that are so steeped in race and 10 sex stereotypes were cause for you not to have a 11 claim. 12 It also reminds us of Paulette Barnes who 13 worked at the EPA and also stood up to her boss. 14 case led to the Federal Court of Appeals finding that 15 sexual harassment constituted sex discrimination under 16 Title VII before the Vincent case. 17 And, this case really led the first legal 18 groundwork and framework for so many cases to come for 19 us to understand how harassment really is a form of 20 discrimination covered by our civil rights laws. 21 And, it is all of their bravery that paved 22 the way for Anita Hill to testify in 1991 and for now 23 the millions who are saying, me too. 24 I also wanted to let you know about 25 someone who's very near and dear to the National

1	Women's Law Center, and that is LaShonda Davis.
2	LaShonda Davis was our client and she
3	stood up to her school in the Title IX case that
4	established that schools have an obligation to address
5	harassment.
6	I'll tell you a little bit about her. She
7	was in 5th grade and she reported harassment, an
8	unwanted touching, repeatedly to her teachers and to
9	the school. Her parents reported repeatedly.
10	And, the school's response was
11	effectively, kids will be kids, boys will be boys.
12	Her parents complained for months and,
13	after months, the only resolution was to move LaShonda
14	to the back of the classroom.
15	Finally, her parents found a suicide note.
16	There was no investigation into the claims. And, in
17	that case, the Supreme Court held that schools, yes,
18	did have an obligation to address sexual harassment
19	and set forth the standard for doing so.
20	But, that case was important for so many
21	other reasons. It was really the national rejection
22	of the idea that, you know, the concept of kids will
23	be kids or boys will be boys is a thing that should
24	happen and unchallenged.
25	It was also, it rejected the idea that was

It was also, it rejected the idea that was

1 pervasive at the time that there was nothing that 2 schools could do in response. 3 And, it also is a good reminder of the 4 powers of students and parents and all of their 5 supporters to be able to speak up and challenge 6 practices that they see as unfair and that leaves 7 students feeling unsafe. 8 And, LaShonda's bravery and her family's 9 bravery really led the groundwork for an exciting and 10 vibrant student led movement 20 years later. 11 when those students took their schools to task and 12 took the government to task for failing to put the 13 sort of systems in place for preventing and address 14 sexual violence, they were building on the work of 15 LaShonda Davis and her family. 16 So, it's worth pointing out one other 17 group of women whose stories don't get told very often 18 and that was a group of iron workers who joined 19 together to challenge sexual harassment in their 20 workplace. 21 And, they became, together, the first 22 certified class to pursue a class action sexual 23 harassment claim against their employer. 24 And, again, understanding that harassment 25 just a personal private problem that is

1	sometimes can be a systemic problem that affects many
2	different employees together.
3	These and so many other leaders really
4	showed their ability to change the way we think about
5	issues that undermine women's lives. And, they led
6	the fight for law and policy change largely quietly
7	with few people knowing their names.
8	Today's leaders, I believe, are also
9	following in their footsteps and helping us to rise
10	and frame how we should be experiencing this current
11	moment we are in.
12	And, I'm going to just name their names so
13	that we begin to have more of a record and memory of
14	who they are and the work that they are importantly
15	doing.
16	So, whether that is Tarana Burke, who
17	organized for so long under the MeToo framework and
18	has really emerged as a national north star reminding
19	us about the healing journey for survivors and that
20	that is as important as any other conversation.
21	She also reminds us to organize with joy,
22	which is an important thing for our movement.
23	Or, Monica Ramirez who really graciously
24	steered hundreds of thousands of farm workers to
25	support and align with Hollywood. She really reminds

1 us of the power of women working on farms when she 2 sent a letter to Hollywood starting Dear Sisters. 3 That letter, basically, said we know your 4 experiences because we have shared those experiences, 5 And, rather than saying and our experiences are 6 worse because we're doing it in conditions that you 7 can't imagine, she said, we've been organizing for 8 decades. We're here to fight with you for your fight. And, we're here for this shared fight. 9 10 That is really -- that collective approach 11 in so many ways has -- is what inspired Times Up to 12 not just be about the entertainment industry but to be 13 about all sectors. 14 Or Saru Jayaraman who long ago helped us 15 all to make the connection between harassment and 16 tipped wages that restaurant workers are subject to. 17 She constantly reminds us any 18 solution to harassment at work must also address the 19 underlying conditions that mean that harassment is 20 prevalent. 21 So, for the work we do, I don't want to 22 suggest that these are easy times, but as the work 23 continues to push our culture, our laws and our 24 policies through, I think a lot about who our modern 25 day Recy's and Michelle's and Paulette's are.

1	And, I have no doubt that so many other
2	new voices will rise in this time and working to try
3	to secure a world where women can work where they
4	want, attend schools where they want with equity, with
5	dignity and real safety and lead the lives that they
6	want without these sort of conditions that diminish us
7	all.
8	So, thank you so much for having me and I
9	look forward to any conversation and questions.
10	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Thanks very much to both
11	of you. I really appreciate both of your
12	presentations.
13	And, I'll open for questions and comments
14	from my fellow Commissioners.
15	Commissioner Heriot?
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I would like to say
17	a word on behalf of the great State of New Jersey.
18	You mentioned Seneca Falls which, of
19	course, is where we date the beginning of the women's
20	movement.
21	But, in 1776, the New Jersey Constitution
22	actually was rather ambiguous about whether women
23	could vote, it used the word inhabitants. There were
24	other requirements as well.
25	But, in 1790, New Jersey had a statute

1	that very clearly allowed women to vote, it used he or
2	she. It's kind of a mixed story on New Jersey, but
3	it's a fairly interesting one.
4	But, women were voting in New Jersey in
5	very, you know, in the last 18th and early 19th
6	Century.
7	I think in about 1707, there was an
8	election where there accusations of fraud and there
9	were some accusations that men were voting twice, once
10	dressed as men and then once dressed as women. I, of
11	course, cannot comment on the truth of that. New
12	Jersey ended up repealing that law.
13	But, nevertheless, there was a period
14	where New Jersey was leading in this area.
15	I also should say a word on behalf of
16	Wyoming and that is the first state to enter the Union
17	with women voting.
18	Congress originally balked at the notion
19	of admitting Wyoming because they thought this would
20	be a bad example across the country to have a state
21	where women were voting.
22	And so, they said, hey guys, you know, if
23	you like get rid of this women suffrage thing, then
24	you're going to grease the wheels a lot more quickly.
25	And, the Wyoming legislature, to its

1	everlasting credit, cabled back to congressional
2	leaders, and I've written it down here so I'll get the
3	words right, we will remain out of the Union 100 years
4	rather then come in without the women.
5	And so, eventually, Congress relented and
6	by the end of the century, there were four states that
7	allowed women to vote, all mountain states. So, go
8	mountain women.
9	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Madam Vice Chair?
10	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes, Ms.
11	Harrington, I listened to you talk about all of the
12	efforts that were put forward that eventually led
13	President Wilson to support the 19th Amendment.
14	And, I found myself thinking back to the
15	ERA and all of the efforts made during my lifetime to
16	get that amendment passed.
17	And, I was wondering whether you had any
18	thoughts on why we've been unsuccessful in passing the
19	ERA Amendment?
20	MS. HARRINGTON: So, it is there are
21	definite parallels, I think, between the suffrage
22	campaigns and certainly the campaign for equal rights.
23	It's astonishing to most people, and I'll
24	say, I was the Executive Director of the National
25	Woman's Party for about nine years, and in all of the

1	tours and all of the hundreds of times that I've led
2	people through that house, they say two things to me
3	that just never fails to shock me.
4	The first is, why didn't I learn this in
5	school? To that, I can't I know of a lot of
6	reasons you didn't learn it in school, I didn't learn
7	it in school. I didn't learn it until graduate
8	school.
9	And, the second is, they almost always
10	assume that the Equal Rights Amendment was something
11	that was put forth and passed and is part of the
12	Constitution since the 1970s.
13	So, the vastness of these campaigns and
14	how long it actually takes to get this change to
15	happen is really shocking for a lot of people,
16	especially younger people because they're thinking, my
17	goodness, 1923? The '70s sounds like ancient times,
18	right, to most kids that are in high school today or
19	college.
20	(LAUGHTER)
21	MS. HARRINGTON: It is. So, it's very
22	fascinating.
23	But, you know, I think that, for one
24	thing, it's complicated. And, two, just like the
25	suffrage campaign, there were very many factions

1	working on different things. And, there was not a lot
2	of unity to really push it forward.
3	The Equal Rights Amendment has had a lot
4	of there's been a lot of resurgence. There's been
5	a lot of talk about it, certainly in the last few
6	years.
7	Nevada just passed the Equal Rights
8	Amendment, I think it was in 2016 or early 2017, which
9	leaves two states, if they were to ratify, then and
10	the time limit was eliminated, then we would have an
11	Equal Rights Amendment.
12	But, a lot of people still don't agree
13	with it because they believe that a lot of the blanket
14	protection has already been done in other pieces of
15	legislation and policies. And, I know that Ms. Goss
16	Graves can certainly speak to that much better than I
17	can.
18	But, I do know that there are still
19	organizations that are working on it and do believe
20	that, again, we're not equal under the law, not yet.
21	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Madam Chair,
22	may I ask one more question?
23	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Sure.
24	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: This one is
25	for Ms. Goss Graves.

1	Thank you, thank you, thank you for the
2	names that you've shared with us and the tremendous
3	work that they did and the debt that we owe all of
4	them.
5	But, as I listened to you talk about Recy
6	Taylor and Carmita Woods and Michelle Vincent and, you
7	know, all the others, I found myself wondering what is
8	it that these ladies had in common that gave them the
9	courage and whatever else to go forward and to stand
10	up and to complain?
11	And then, selfishly, I asked how can I get
12	a hold of some of that?
13	(LAUGHTER)
14	MS. GOSS GRAVES: Well, I also want that,
15	too.
16	(LAUGHTER)
17	MS. GOSS GRAVES: But, I will maybe answer
18	your question a little bit differently because,
19	sometimes when people are coming forward and we've
20	been finding this in the many intakes we have gotten,
21	when they take those first steps, I don't know that
22	people always know the range of things they're about
23	to confront. Right?
24	They're seeking fairness and many times,
25	people sort of think, it should be fair, so I should

1	just be able to do this thing.
2	And, so, the fact that they were also not
3	just able to initiate but also endure through many,
4	many hurdles, not just legal hurdles, but also the
5	sort of shaming that many of them dealt with.
6	And, to the extent that there was public
7	reaction and, you know, it was sometimes negative
8	public reaction.
9	And, recently, the Washington Post talked
10	a little bit about what it was like for Michelle
11	Vincent when she brought her case at the time. And,
12	there was, you know, coverage about what it is she
13	wore to the Supreme Court argument and coverage about
14	and debate about, you know, is it really illegal to
15	not want to sleep with your boss?
16	You know, they, at the time when people
17	were trying to establish these principles firmly in
18	the law and there was a lot of cultural unsettlement
19	and not legal clarity, you know, so I'm not really
20	answering your question, I'm just saying, in some
21	ways, it was even harder than you can imagine because
22	there wasn't the certainty.
23	And, when I think about the people who are
24	coming forward today where there is more legal
25	certainty on a number of fronts and have long been the

1	case for decades, yet they have these experiences that
2	don't get addressed by their employers.
3	So, one of the questions that we are
4	asking ourselves now really deeply is, where that
5	disconnect is? Why is it that so that when there
6	is survey data as high as 1 in 3 and in some sectors,
7	even higher, people say, yes, I've experienced
8	harassment, and actually, no, I probably wouldn't
9	report it. Right.
10	So, why there is this disconnect, this
11	despite our current legal framework?
12	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: I think your
13	response has helped me define more clearly the
14	question that I'm trying to ask and that is, what is
15	it that these ladies have in common that, in fact,
16	allowed them to endure what they were later subjected
17	to?
18	MS. GOSS GRAVE: Yes, you know, one thing
19	that is the case for several of them when I've read
20	about their back stories that they all had really
21	strong family support as they were coming forward.
22	And so, even though you have, in some
23	cases, a public rejection, there was family support.
24	And, that was true and Anita Hill has even talked
25	about that, that deep family support that she had

1	during her testimony.
2	So, that might be one factor.
3	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: I'm really struck
5	listening to both of you about the efforts that you
6	both have made and that the organizations you work in
7	have both made to give voice to, give visibility to
8	the wide variety of people who have populated this
9	effort and this struggle.
10	And, I appreciate, and Ms. Harrington, in
11	your historical perspective, the conscious effort to
12	explain that this is not only a white women's
13	movement, that this is a movement that all women have
14	participated in. And, that that movement has included
15	much attention about that topic as well.
16	And, Ms. Goss Graves has, in your
17	discussion now, about making sure that today, this is
18	a movement that reflects all of who women are and is
19	inclusive in the civil rights effort.
20	I think that resonates for me and also I
21	so appreciate Ms. Harrington, your effort to ensure
22	that, at the 100th anniversary, we will be able to see
23	and hear and have context for all of whom all of
24	whose shoulders we stand on now and what brought us
25	here.

1	I also was struck in your last answer, Ms.
2	Goss Graves, with the notion of the strength of a
3	family support in contrast, Ms. Harrington, to what
4	you said about two women who were unencumbered by
5	spouses and children in their ability to move forward.
6	And, I think that makes me think that we
7	think of families in broad and narrow terms, right?
8	MS. GOSS GRAVES: Right.
9	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: And, the ways that I
10	imagine they had support that led them able to move
11	forward, but at a time when spousal or children
12	support would have been an albatross and that would
13	have been an impediment and I hope maybe you could
14	speak more to that.
15	MS. HARRINGTON: Right, definitely.
16	So, it was. When we think about family,
17	we think about our biological family or the families
18	that raised us and that we lived in.
19	And, a lot of the suffragists did have
20	support of husbands and they did have children at
21	home. But, the support in their own relationships
22	allowed them to do this work.
23	But, I think with Alice and Lucy, in
24	particular, they had a singular focus to making sure
25	that this was going to happen. And, in order to do

1	that, they had to make that their main focus.
2	And so, they lived in there were five
3	different headquarters, the one 144 Constitution which
4	is now Belmont-Paul was the final headquarters. And,
5	they had five headquarters where they all lived, so
6	they had dormitories.
7	Women would come from across the country
8	to learn how to lobby and how to picket. And, of
9	course, remember, in the 1930s or the 19-teens, there
10	wasn't an American Express card and you couldn't just
11	pick up, you know, your Southwest Rewards card and
12	head over to Washington, D.C.
13	And, a woman, especially alone, certainly
14	couldn't do that.
15	So, for her to have a community, a family
16	that was built into the National Woman's Party was a
17	big part of that. And, the other organizations were
18	very similar.
19	And, we see this in all types of
20	organizations certainly.
21	But, I think for them to move it forward
22	in that short amount of time after having peaks and
23	valleys and certainly languishing for 60-some odd
24	years, I think that it took that type of attitude to
25	push it forward no matter what to ensure that it was

2	Now, interestingly, Lucy Burns left the
3	movement after the 19th Amendment was ratified and
4	went back to her family. And, Alice Paul did not.
5	She continued to stay. She lived in the house at 144
6	Constitution until probably 1974 and then she passed
7	away in 1977.
8	So, still, today, we have women that would
9	come in and say, when I was a 19-year-old co-ed and I
10	was here in the '70s, I walked up those steps and
11	Alice Paul answered the door. That's phenomenal that
12	that was her only that was her the only thing in
13	her life that she wanted to do was work toward
14	equality for women.
15	Now, she wasn't she did not look at it
16	in an intersectional way that we, of course, would
17	look at it today. And so, there are issues that are a
18	little bit harder to resolve, but you do have to say,
19	the circumstances in her life gave her the ability to
20	stand there and do that work with many others.
21	But, to do that work, really, until she
22	passed away.
23	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: I wonder if the two of
24	you could reflect also on, in particular, Ms.
25	Harrington, you described about the violent opposition

1

done.

1	to some of the equality efforts.
2	And, they seem with the benefit of
3	hindsight, like pretty core equality components, you
4	know, that right vote or the right to be recognized as
5	a full person.
6	When you included the quote about the
7	everlasting inferiority of women, obviously, that does
8	not strike a chord for me.
9	MS. HARRINGTON: Right, no.
10	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: But, the notion of both
11	physically violent reaction as well as psychically
12	violent reaction to the efforts that these women led
13	and persisted through, I think is also a theme that
14	rides through what you've described in the case
15	discussions with Goss Graves of the specific people
16	that you identified.
17	And, the pre-litigation discussion that
18	begins with Recy Taylor and that's a history that's
19	hard to hear, and also hard to reflect on in this
20	moment, especially when we don't have an Equal Rights
21	Amendment still, and some of the core tenants that
22	garnered that violent opposition are tenants that we
23	have not yet achieved.
24	MS. GOSS GRAVES: And, it's interesting,
25	and I'm just sort of thinking about this right now,

1	you know, one of the reasons we may not know their
2	names is because, for some them, they sort or
3	retreated a bit after their experience.
4	And, they did expend they did
5	experience very serious threats against themselves,
6	against their broader families for coming forward and
7	bringing these cases, for naming experiences of
8	harassment and violence and inequality that that came
9	at personal risk. But, that also came at family, and
10	in some cases, community risk.
11	MS. HARRINGTON: It is amazing to think
12	that something as simple as voting today or the
13	ability to vote today was so controversial for so many
14	years.
15	I appreciate Commissioner Heriot, the
16	remarks that you made about even prior to 1848,
17	because there were states and there were territories
18	that did allow women to vote.
19	It seems like sometimes equality ebbs and
20	flows. Sometimes we make progress and then, again, it
21	kind of swings back a little bit.
22	As far as the violence was concerned,
23	particularly in during World War I. So, the women
24	were very much expected to give up that campaign and
25	to retreat and to go back and work for the war effort.

1	And, many, many of them did.
2	But, again, the leaders of the NWP thought
3	that that would just set them back even further.
4	So, from the public's perspective, you
5	have Washington, D.C. outside of the White House. You
6	have sailors and soldiers that are returning from or
7	on their way overseas to fight in World War I. And,
8	they're seeing these women stand there and picket with
9	banners that say things like Kaiser Wilson.
10	Now, the Kaiser Wilson banner did not
11	survive, shockingly. There are supposed to be a
12	couple of small fragments of it at the Smithsonian,
13	but it's not we're not sure.
14	But, a lot of the other ones did which
15	always amazes me that we have this collection of
16	almost a 1,000 textiles that survived because the
17	women were beaten, horribly beaten. And, that is not
18	that's while they were on the street, that's not
19	even what happened when they were jailed.
20	So, it really is astonishing. There are
21	women that talk in their firsthand accounts about
22	being forcibly fed. Their teeth were knocked out,
23	right? They're strapped down, they have these big
24	tubes shoved down their throat, irritating everything.
25	And then, the vomiting that just took place.

1	So, just the small snippets of what I've
2	shared with you are absolutely horrific. It is not
3	unlike plenty of other people in many different
4	circumstances when they're fighting for rights that
5	these same types of things have happened.
6	So, it is a little bit shocking to think
7	about it that something as simple as being able to
8	vote.
9	I always try to make sure that I share
10	with people, this is a right that we have and it is
11	also a responsibility. So, to vote, to be civic
12	minded, to be civically engaged, to make sure that
13	you're educating yourself and voting, no matter what
14	you vote for, just make sure that you do engage and
15	you do vote.
16	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Thank you.
17	Commissioner Adegbile?
18	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Yes, both of your
19	presentations were so full and rich of layers of
20	history that are important.
21	And so, I'm trying to synthesize some
22	lessons from the history that can help us think about
23	how we go forward.
24	And, I have two questions in mind. The
25	first is, that obviously laws and enforcement and

1	education and practices all come together in a range
2	of civil rights contexts to protect equality.
3	And, I'm wondering if you have views, from
4	the history, about how the relative contribution of
5	these pieces have advanced or stood as impediments to
6	women's equality.
7	Why don't I pause with that one, because
8	there's a lot in there and then I'll come back with
9	the next one.
10	MS. GOSS GRAVES: Well, and, you know, one
11	thing that I think about is, I fully agree with you
12	that it's usually a mix of law and policy and culture
13	change.
14	And, that sometimes you have one out there
15	leading far ahead of the other and it takes a while
16	for the other to catch up.
17	And, I think we have seen that for sure in
18	the area around harassment and violence in particular
19	where there were some legal shifts, but the cultural
20	shift hadn't yet fully happened.
21	So, the Vincent case where the Supreme
22	Court said, yes, Title VII covers sexual harassment
23	was 1986. But the EEOC didn't actually start to
24	receive significant levels of harassment charges until
25	after the national conversation following Anita Hill's

1	testimony in 1991.
2	So, you know, that period of time, it was
3	sort of on the books but not actually made very
4	meaningful.
5	And, the converse is sometimes true as
6	well where you have a really rich cultural
7	conversation but not yet the legal framework or
8	administrative framework or even the institutional
9	changes that would make these cultural conversations
10	real and lasting.
11	And, the moment we are in right now is
12	extraordinarily cultural, right? There are
13	conversations happening at every level. And, there
14	are some policy changes that are happening.
15	You know, you had just last month, the
16	House, in a bipartisan way, finally fixed its sort of
17	extraordinary system that it had had in place for 20
18	years to deal with discrimination complaints against
19	the House of Representatives.
20	And, you know, there were all sorts of
21	barriers that were built in. Like, there was, you
22	know, a waiting period before you actually filed a
23	formal complaint or you had to get counseling and be
24	really, really sure you wanted to do it and mandatory
25	arbitration.

1	You know, all sorts of things that don't
2	happen in the private sector or you wouldn't say, yes,
3	you need to really think hard about filing that
4	complaint. You need a cooling off period. No one
5	would build that in today in the private sector.
6	So, they have made changes in a bipartisan
7	way in the House to address that. That would not have
8	happened but for MeToo and there had been bills to fix
9	that for decades, so it wasn't as if there wasn't an
10	idea to fix it.
11	But, there is a lot of work to do to catch
12	up with the cultural period we're in.
13	MS. HARRINGTON: Absolutely. And, I will
14	add that, to loop back to when we were talking about
15	the Equal Rights Amendment, in the 1970s when there
16	was a lot of opposition for that, and ultimately, the
17	it was not ratified.
18	A couple of the main issues that women did
19	not support it, would there be no maternity leave?
20	Would girls have to register for the draft the same as
21	boys when they turned 18? Would we have unisex
22	bathrooms? Would women serve in the military? Would
23	they serve in forward zones?
24	Well, a lot of those things, if you just
25	think about, just those few things that I've just

1 named, within the last 15 to 20 years, a lot of those 2 things have already sort of been culturally decided. We've talked through them, communities 3 4 wrangled with them, we make progress. And now, those 5 things aren't nearly as upsetting as they would have 6 been in the '70s and especially in the early '80s as 7 well. 8 So, you're right, sometimes there's the 9 framework and then sometimes there's 10 practical side. What does culture want? What does 11 the culture want? What can the community -- what type 12 of change can the community withstand and still 13 emerge? 14 So, a lot of things just have to work 15 themselves out. And, perhaps, that is maybe a silver 16 lining to why things take so long, because some of 17 those other questions just sort of naturally get taken 18 care of. We still have plenty of things to work out 19 as far as that is concerned, but some of the bigger 20 ones were -- are not necessarily such a big deal 21 today. 22 COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Great, thank you. 23 And, my last question is, I have two 24 teenaged daughters and I'm thinking about pieces of 25 this wonderful presentation that I can bring back to

1 them and, indeed, that we can be sharing more broadly 2 with people across the country. 3 And so, I would ask if both of you have a 4 couple of core lessons from the history of the 5 struggle for women's equality that we should think 6 about as we take this fight forward? 7 Are there any distillations of the history 8 that are important to think about as we push forward 9 to get to the next place in terms of women's equality 10 in the country? 11 And, hard question, but I'm open to any 12 thoughts you may have. 13 MS. HARRINGTON: Well, I would 14 certainly for teenaged boys and girls, it's important for them to understand that history did not just 15 16 unfold out of the pages of the textbook. These were 17 actually real people that were grappling with real 18 different and real difficult situations. 19 And, each person who played a role in that 20 had a choice to make of what side of history they were 21 And, ultimately, it comes down to knowing on. 22 yourself, knowing what you find valuable and then 23 making sure that you're educated about that and moving 24 forward to make sure that those rights or those -- or 25 the inequality is worked forward so that you're not

1	leaving others behind.
2	But, I think, to let them know that it's
3	real people just like them who are making these
4	decisions is very important.
5	And then, second, I would say is to get
6	engaged. And, you know, I'm terrible with names and
7	I'm terrible with dates, so I became a historian.
8	(LAUGHTER)
9	MS. HARRINGTON: But, it's not all about
10	dates and names, it really is about what those stories
11	make you feel, how you make that connection.
12	So, historic sites are absolutely amazing
13	as are museums. And, I would say, you know, just
14	taking the time to go explore those resources that you
15	have in your own community, that also helps broaden
16	your thoughts and will lead you to being more true to
17	yourself and more true to what you actually believe in
18	and want to work for.
19	MS. GOSS GRAVES: That's a very good
20	lesson.
21	And, I will echo one thing that you said,
22	it's that individuals really matter and I think about,
23	in the moment we're in, how powerful it has been for
24	each silent breaker to tell their story and realize,
25	all of a sudden, you're not an individual, you're in a

1	full community.
2	And then, the second thing is a lesson
3	that we are still learning in the women's movement,
4	and that is but our true equality really requires
5	it to be for all women.
6	And, that, you know, there has been
7	beautiful fits and starts over that real question over
8	time. Do you take an inch if that means cording off,
9	you know, one population or another?
10	And, I think that the lesson around caring
11	and pushing for full equality for all women is one
12	that we should be, not just remembering, but
13	implementing going forward.
14	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Thank you both.
15	I want to make sure that our Commissioners
16	on the phone have a chance to ask questions if they
17	have any.
18	Commissioner Heriot, you have
19	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Do we have time for
20	another one from me?
21	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: We do, but it sounded
22	like there was on the phone, too.
23	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes, hi. I want to
24	thank the speakers very much for their presentation
25	today.

1	I really don't have any questions other
2	than to just note that everything that happens is
3	related to everything else.
4	And, the courage and the deadlock upon
5	which we stand today is, in part, because of the work
6	of these early pioneers.
7	But, I just wanted to note that one of the
8	pioneers in the '80s and '90s and up until today,
9	Representative Louise Slaughter just passed away. She
10	was the author of the Violence Against Women Act which
11	had dramatic impact on raising the issue and reducing
12	domestic violence in our country.
13	And, she was a friend of mine and a true
14	champion and someone who proudly stood in the shoes of
15	those who came before her.
16	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: And, she was until
17	today, the longest serving member of Congress.
18	Commissioner Heriot?
19	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I wanted to go back
20	to what Ms. Harrington was saying about the Seneca
21	Falls conference.
22	And, you know, you're right, this is we
23	trace the history of women's movement back to that
24	point because it was a single event.
25	But, there were also things that were

1	going on just before that and that included the seneca
2	Falls conference. And, it connects up to our
3	Commission.
4	We are the Commission on Civil Rights.
5	But, civil rights meant something different in those
6	days. They had a definition that basically was, civil
7	rights on the one hand and political rights on the
8	other.
9	And so, when Elizabeth Cady Stanton was
10	talking about, yes, we should, you know, argue for
11	voting rights.
12	At first, Lucretia Mott said, I think I'm
13	quoting here, you know, oh, Lizzy, you know, you'll
14	make us look foolish.
15	But, they were more focused on civil
16	rights. And, to them, that meant the right to own
17	property and the right to be sued and they were
18	focused not on all women, but on married women
19	because, under common law at the time, single women
20	and widows already had those rights, but married women
21	did not.
22	And, in particular, the right to be sued
23	doesn't sound like a great idea, it sounds like, you
24	know, oh, who wants that? But, when you think about
25	it, no one will lend you money if you cannot be sued

1	to get that money back.
2	So, that meant that married women couldn't
3	operate farms, they couldn't operate their own
4	businesses. And, we were living in a frontier society
5	where, you know, we think of divorce as all too commor
6	today, it's sad. But, desertion was a big problem
7	then.
8	You know, men would just pick up and move
9	west and their wives would be left back in the east
10	with no means of support unless they could borrow
11	money. And so, that hugely important.
12	The New York Married Women's Act was in
13	1848, I believe, you're nodding yes, so it sounds like
14	I have you're right.
15	And so, that was a huge movement and one
16	that doesn't get enough attention today.
17	MS. HARRINGTON: Right, it is important to
18	remember how different the world was at that time.
19	And, it's just like the vote, it seems very simple, at
20	that time, if a person died, if a man died and he left
21	his estate to he couldn't leave his estate to his
22	daughter, she could not inherit, there was no land
23	ownership.
24	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Oh no, she could,
25	she could, she could, she could.

1	MS. HARRINGTON: But, if she was married -
2	_
3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: If she's married,
4	then it's her husband's.
5	MS. HARRINGTON: It's her husband's,
6	exactly. And, if he deserts her and takes everything,
7	that's okay because it was his, not hers, when it was
8	really hers.
9	So, those types of very simple connections
10	with family and what was legal is incredibly different
11	to think about today.
12	And, I think maybe that is part of what we
13	all need to think about, which is, any time multiple
14	decades goes by and you're working for progress,
15	you're impacted by the newness of each of the new
16	decades.
17	And, what we should be doing is thinking,
18	we are much more wise today. I am much more wise
19	today than I was 10 years ago, than I was 20 years
20	ago, et cetera.
21	I should be using that viewpoint then to
22	view the world in a more complete way, most
23	definitely. And, part of it is just to say, history
24	is still we are still thinking differently and
25	learning things about history, but even so, these are

1	similar issues that we have today.
2	And, everyone should think about it moving
3	forward as well. So, not just what it was, what we
4	didn't have, but what we are today and what we still
5	need to get. I think it's that longer time frame or
6	view that I think is incredibly important.
7	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Lovely.
8	With that, I will thank both of you for
9	taking your time and sharing your expertise with us
10	today.
11	We are we do have some more items to
12	complete for our business today, but let's take a five
13	minute break so we can take a moment to have a
14	photograph with our panelists if they will before they
15	leave us.
16	And then, we'll come back in five minutes
17	to complete our business meeting.
18	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went
19	off the record at 12:05 p.m.)
20	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Okay, I'm going to
21	return us to our record. We will next return to our
22	agenda items. And next in it is to consider a
23	statement about the White House's budget request for
24	Fiscal Year '19.

C. STATEMENT ABOUT THE WHITE HOUSE'S BUDGET

25

1	REQUEST FOR FISCAL YEAR '19
2	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: I'm going to start us,
3	even though Commissioner Adegbile hasn't yet returned.
4	He is recused from this statement.
5	I'll first read the statement that we will
6	consider, and that is the Members of the U.S.
7	Commission on Civil Rights are dismayed that the
8	administrations proposed budget for Fiscal Year 2019
9	again drastically cuts civil rights enforcement across
10	key federal agencies.
11	The Commission urges congress instead to
12	prioritize federal civil rights enforcement resources.
13	Available data reflects growing need for federal
14	enforcement of civil rights.
15	Yet the White House not only fails to seek
16	increases in funding for its Agency civil rights
17	enforcement work, it instead proposes dramatic cuts in
18	funding and personnel and to reduce the federal role,
19	even in serving as the critical backstop against harm
20	to vulnerable Americans.
21	For example, the Department of Education
22	touts limiting the federal role in education as a
23	highlight of its budget proposal.
24	Despite reports of an increase of racial
25	harassment and hate crimes, the administration

1 proposes to eliminate 27 additional positions in the Department of Justice's civil rights division, 2 23 3 investigative positions in the Department of 4 Education's Office for Civil Rights and 75 positions 5 in the Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs 6 in the Department of Labor. 7 The Administration's budget request 8 proposes to slash the budget of the Office for Civil 9 Rights at the Department of Health and Human Services 10 by 20 percent. \$8 million below its budget for the 11 last two years. 12 None of the White House's proposed budget 13 increases is directed at increasing civil rights 14 enforcement. Even where Congress rejected the 15 Administrations proposed cuts to civil rights 16 enforcement last year and provided for an increase in 17 such funding, the White House now seeks \$1.1 million 18 less funding, even than Congress appropriated 19 specifically for that purpose in the current fiscal 20 year. 21 Likewise, the Department of Health and 22 Human Services projects an increase in civil rights 23 complaint receipts in the coming year. 24 But the Administration proposes not only 25 significantly decreasing its budget, but

1 compounding its workload through creation of its new Conscious and Religious Freedom Division, within out 2 3 addressing how this divisions budget and staffing will 4 impact the Office for Civil Rights ability to carry 5 out the other critical portions of its mandate. 6 The White House budget also proposes to 7 zero out the budget of the Department of Justice's 8 community relations service and transfer its functions 9 to the civil rights division. That transfer would 10 further reduce the functional budget of the civil 11 rights division. 12 Which then Administration already proposes 13 to cut by 27 people, by adding to its workload without 14 funding that work. 15 A further concern, CRS provides critical 16 services as the budget, its request, 17 acknowledges, to assist communities with preventing 18 resolving tensions and violence related to 19 discrimination. Including violent hate 20 committed "on the basis of actual or perceived race, 21 color, national origin, gender, gender identity, 22 sexual orientation, religion or disability." 23 An essential function of CRS is to act as 24 a mediating presence in communities experiencing 25 conflict, combining its functions with the civil

1 rights divisions which investigates and prosecutes 2 offenders of civil rights laws, could harm the 3 effective function of CRS. 4 In addition, the Administration's budget 5 request proposes to cut nearly \$3 million from the 6 Department of Housing and Urban Developments fair 7 housing initiatives, programs education and outreach 8 initiative. 9 This program is part of HUDs efforts to 10 provide fair housing enforcement and education across 11 the country, funds critical efforts to inform the 12 public on the rights, responsibilities, remedies and 13 resources available under the Fair Housing Act. 14 dollars lost in this program, nearly a third of what 15 allocated the last two years, could have a 16 devastating impact. 17 Recent news reports that the Department is 18 considering removing the language in its mission 19 statement that HUD is "to build inclusive 20 sustainable communities free from discrimination" 21 compound the Commission's concern regarding reducing 22 funding specific to fair housing. 23 Secretary Carson promises that HUD will 24 continue its legal and rightful role in protecting 25 Americans from housing discrimination whether or not

1 mission statement includes that commitment. 2 However, removing the formal commitment 3 nondiscrimination, while also proposing to reduce 4 funds, signals a retreat from the equity mission of 5 the agency harming communities around the country, who 6 depend on HUD, to ensure they are not subject to 7 discriminatory and unequal access to housing. 8 proposed cuts failure These and 9 prioritize civil rights enforcement reflect 10 departure from the federal role dangerous in 11 protecting core rights to which this nation has 12 committed and re-committed itself over the past 60 13 years. 14 Last June, the Commission unanimously approved a comprehensive two year assessment 15 16 federal rights enforcement, which will conclude in 17 Fiscal Year 2019. 18 The ongoing review examines the degree to 19 which current budgets and staffing levels allow civil 20 rights offices to perform their statutory 21 regulatory functions, the management practices 22 place in the offices and whether these practices are 23 sufficient to meet the volume of civil rights issues 24 within the office's jurisdiction, and the efficacy of 25 recent civil rights enforcement efforts from the

1	offices that our assessment is ongoing and our final
2	report will not issue until the close of Fiscal year
3	2019.
4	The Administrations second budget proposal
5	is, as its first one was, deeply alarming. Chair
6	Catherine E. Lhamon states the Commission urges
7	Congress to reject this Administration's retreat on
8	civil rights and instead to appropriate funds at the
9	level necessary to ensure effective enforcement of
10	federal civil rights so that we might all live the
11	promise of the justice and equality for all.
12	Congress, and this Administration, if it
13	were appropriately focused on fair representation of
14	all Americans, could balance all American interests to
15	ensure equity and fairness through the budget. We can
16	now discuss the statement.
17	To open the floor for discussion, I move
18	that we approve the statement. Is there a second?
19	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: I'll second.
20	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Thank you. Any
21	discussion on this statement?
22	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes, Madam
23	Chair, I would wonder, I mean, I wonder if you would
24	consider, in the title, I would propose that the U.S.
25	Commission on civil rights urges Congress to

1	prioritize civil rights in the Fiscal Year 2019
2	budget. As opposed to what's currently written.
3	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: I accept that proposal.
4	Thank you. I think it's an improvement over what I
5	had.
6	Any other discussion on this statement?
7	Okay. Oh, Commissioner Heriot.
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Thank you. I just
9	want to say that I intend to vote no on this motion.
10	For example, the Department of Education, it states
11	that the Department of Education touts limiting the
12	federal role on education as a highlight of its budget
13	proposal.
14	I support that and I think that a lot of
15	Americans do. I note that these civil rights
16	divisions budget has in fact been modestly, the
17	proposal is to modestly increase it, although it does
18	bring in issues that weren't previously before the
19	civil rights division.
20	And so I could go on here but I have a
21	feeling I'm going to lose this vote too so why don't
22	we just get on with it.
23	(Laughter)
24	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Okay. Thank you. Any

other discussion?

25

1	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow.
2	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Commissioner Kirsanow.
3	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thanks very much.
4	Just would note that expenditures of funds don't
5	necessarily equate to efficacy.
6	The amount of funds apparently expended on
7	"civil rights enforcement under the Obama
8	Administration went up significantly, admittedly."
9	But there are a number of studies, including by
10	Indiana University of Law School that showed that
11	despite that fact, the number of enforcement actions
12	taken by the Obama Justice Department, in areas such
13	as education, housing and employment, were down. And
14	in some cases, significantly down, from a similar
15	period under the Bush Administration.
16	So I think money is nice but money isn't
17	the be all and end all. And I would also note my
18	perplex, being perplexed that only 75 positions are
19	being eliminated from the Office of Federal Contract
20	Compliance Programs.
21	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Meaning you would have
22	expected more to be eliminated? Commissioner
23	Kirsanow, did we lose you?
24	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I'm sorry, I
25	didn't hear that?

1	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: So I was asking, did you
2	expect that there would be more eliminated or I didn't
3	understand what you were perplexed about I guess.
4	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: I see. Thank you. I
6	will say I share your view, that the budgets far from
7	tell, the whole story, and that it's important to
8	examine how the budget, how the dollars are used and
9	how effectively the dollars are used.
10	And that there are good questions to be
11	asked about whether more dollars are spent wisely and
12	whether less dollars can be spent efficiently. So I
13	wholeheartedly share that view and think that is worth
14	examining, and I look forward to our ongoing
15	examination of that, over the next year.
16	And I think that it is hard to imagine
17	doing civil rights work effectively, in these
18	agencies, with the cuts that are being proposed. And
19	so I think it's also important to say the concern
20	about budgets that are more sufficient than this
21	proposal allows.
22	If there is no further discussion I'll
23	call a roll call vote. My understanding, Commissioner
24	Adegbile, is that you are recused, is that correct?
25	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Correct.

1	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Okay. Commissioner
2	Heriot, how do you vote?
3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I vote no.
4	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Commissioner Kirsanow?
5	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
6	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Commissioner Yaki?
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: See, this is a
8	problem. You have two no's right in front of me so of
9	course that confuses me. Yes.
10	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: As contrarian as you, I
11	think it would give you clear direction, Commissioner
12	Yaki.
13	(Laughter)
14	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Thank you. Vice Chair
15	Timmons-Goodson?
16	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: And I'll vote yes. The
18	motion passes. Two Commissioners voted no, one
19	Commissioner is recused and all others were in favor.
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: And I think
21	Commissioner Kirsanow was using a mind meld on me on
22	the other vote.
23	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Definitely. I'm certain
24	that's what it was. Commissioner Yaki, I think we
25	have

1	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: a statement from you
3	to look at next.
4	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes. Actually, I'd
5	say letter, that should build upon the statement that
6	we issued earlier.
7	This is regarding immigration enforcement
8	actions in court houses. It's a letter from the
9	Commission to Thomas Homan, the deputy director and
10	senior official performing the duties for the director
11	of ICE.
12	D. LETTER FROM COMMISSION TO THOMAS HOMAN
13	REGARDING IMMIGRATION ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS
14	IN COURT HOUSES
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Dear Deputy Director
16	Homan, the undersigned numbers of the United States
17	Commission on Civil Rights, writes to express our
18	continuing concern with U.S. Immigration and Customs
19	Enforcement policy allowing immigration enforcement
20	actions inside court houses and its dangerous
21	consequences that undermine our judicial system.
22	The Commission previously issued a
23	majority approved statement raising concern that
24	conducting immigration enforcement actions inside
25	courthouses instill needless additional fear of

1 anxiety within immigrant communities, discourages 2 interacting with the judicial system and endangers the 3 safety of entire communities. We've attached our 4 statement for your consideration. 5 ICE's recent guidance on when and how it 6 will conduct civil immigration enforcement actions in 7 courthouses, is a step in the right direction but falls short of ensuring the fair administration of 8 9 justice and the safety of communities. 10 Specifically, ICE's policy does not 11 consider courthouses sensitive locations, such as it 12 has for schools, hospital or places of worship where 13 ICE will conduct immigration enforcement actions in 14 limited circumstances, such as exigent circumstances, 15 but will generally be avoided. 16 The failure to contract courthouses in 17 sensitive places is perplexing, but ICEs sensitive 18 location policy is meant to enhance the public 19 understanding the trust and to ensure that the people 20 seeking to participate in activities or to utilize 21 services provided at any sensitive location are free 22 to do so, without free or hesitation. 23 The failure to exclude courthouses from 24 ICE's enforcement action achieves exactly the opposite 25 effect and prevents victims of violent crime, domestic

1 abuse and work exploitation from seeking justice. 2 policy unnecessarily increases the disruptive presence 3 ICE agents in courthouses, instilling fear of 4 mistrust of our injustice system among immigrant 5 invulnerable communities when such enforcement actions 6 could be conducted elsewhere with less harmful impact. 7 Moreover, immigrants such as family 8 members and friends accompanying the targeted 9 immigrant community may still be arrested on a 10 case-by-case basis. Finally, the policy does not 11 apply only to criminal immigration enforcement actions 12 and does not further distinguish which immigrants will 13 be targeted for criminal enforcement actions. 14 independent bipartisan federal As 15 charged with advising the President and 16 Congress civil rights matters and the on 17 administration of justice, the Commission strongly 18 urges ICE to reconsider its guidance on courthouse 19 arrest and classify courthouses as sensitive 20 locations. Thank you for your consideration, we look 21 forward to your responses, hugs and kisses, the 22 Commission. 23 (Laughter) 24 The hugs and kisses were CHAIRMAN LHAMON: 25 of course hyperbole but --

1	(Laughter)
2	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: So do we have a motion
3	so we can open the floor for discussion?
4	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: So moved.
5	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: And do we have a second?
6	I'll second it. Do we have any discussion on this
7	letter? Hearing none
8	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow here.
9	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Oh, Commissioner
10	Kirsanow.
11	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes. Boy, I'm
12	almost inclined to sign on just based on the fact I'd
13	like to sign on to something that ends with hugs and
14	kisses.
15	(Laughter)
16	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: But, aside from
17	that, just three observations. First, I just note
18	that absent from the letters, any evidence of any
19	action taken by ICE that falls within our
20	jurisdiction.
21	There is no evidence, at least deduced in
22	this letter, or shown to have been deduced in this
23	letter by the Commission that the ICE's courthouse
24	enforcement efforts discriminate or deny equal
25	protection on the basis of race, color, sex or any

1	other protected class.
2	And also, as we do with other letters,
3	several of us have made the point that it might be
4	advisable to defer to the expertise of the relevant
5	agency. In this case, the experience and expertise of
6	ICE and what constitutes a "sensitive location for
7	purposes of effective immigration enforcement."
8	And also, courthouses are not considered
9	sensitive locations insulating American citizens or
10	lawful residents from arrest if they're otherwise in
11	violation of the law when they entered a courthouse.
12	So we're treating, here in this letter, illegal
13	immigrants in a more elevated or protective status
14	then that enjoyed by American citizens when it comes
15	to insulation from courthouse arrest.
16	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Thank you, Commissioner
17	Kirsanow. We do of course have jurisdiction over
18	administration of justice and the access to
19	courthouses is core within our jurisdiction. I don't
20	know if others have other questions about the
21	responses. Okay.
22	I'll call the question, take a roll call
23	vote. Commissioner Adegbile, how do you vote?
24	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Aye.
25	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Commissioner Heriot?

1	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I vote no.
2	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Commissioner Kirsanow?
3	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
4	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Commissioner Yaki?
5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye, with hugs.
6	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Vice Chair
7	Timmons-Goodson?
8	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: And I vote yes with hugs
10	as well.
11	(Laughter)
12	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: The vote, the motion
13	passes. Two Commissioners voted no, no Commissioner
14	abstained and all those were in favor.
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: But there is not a
16	majority in favor of hugs which I'm very disheartened
17	to see.
18	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I bet you could get
19	the majority on hugs and kisses, it's the letter
20	that's the problem.
21	(Laughter)
22	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: We'll have to add that
23	to our next agenda, since that's not an agenda item.
24	But I'm sure we would be unanimously in favor of
25	expressing love.

1	Next, we're turning to management and
2	operations.
3	E. MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS
4	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: We'll hear from the
5	Staff Director Mauro Morales, for our monthly staff
6	director's report.
7	MR. MORALES: Thank you, Madam Chair. In
8	the interest of time I thank you, and of course in the
9	interest of time I have nothing further to add beyond
10	what is already contained in the report.
11	I'm always available to discuss any matter
12	with a Commissioner and if they see anything in the
13	report they would like to get more information on.
14	However, I would like to take a moment to
15	recognize our, the service of our spring interns. Two
16	of which are still seated here in our audience. One
17	of them just stepped out.
18	Shimeng Zhang, she is a law clerk to the
19	special assistants and currently supervised by
20	Commissioner Kladney, we should have additional
21	interns coming in over the summer.
22	But we also have Krista Painter. Please
23	stand up, shake to say hello and waive. She's at the
24	University of Texas and she's currently with OCRE.
25	And we also have Elizabeth Boyle. She's

1	an undergrad at the University of Norte Dame. Please
2	stand up.
3	Thank you, ladies, so much for coming and
4	for helping in providing the research that you've
5	done. It's extraordinarily important to what we do
6	here and we thank you.
7	And we hope you're learning and have, when
8	you go back to your institutions you'll have some
9	additional knowledge that will help you in your
10	career, so thank you very much.
11	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Thank you.
12	MR. MORALES: We also have two that are
13	not here. A Laura Gevarter, she's with OCRE. And we
14	have, I know I'm going to mispronounce this name, but
15	we have Qiuxu Li. She's with the Office of General
16	Council.
17	And Ms. Zhang had just joined us. Just
18	waive at the Commissioners. Again, thank you. We
19	expect to have an additional number of interns this
20	summer and we'll recognize them as they come in.
21	But all of you, thank you so much. And
22	the fact that you're all women during women's history
23	month is amazing, so thank you for coming and
24	participating and learning about civil rights and
25	being, hopefully someday, practice wherever your

1	careers take you and you'll always recognize the
2	importance of civil rights. So thank you very much.
3	And with that, Madam Chair, I have nothing
4	further.
5	CHAIRMAN LHAMON: Thank you, Mr. Staff
6	Director. I also do want to add my thanks to our
7	staff for their assistance in setting up today's
8	presentations and making this meeting, as all
9	meetings, run as smoothly as possible. Very, very
10	grateful for it, so thank you.
11	And with that, I adjourn our meeting at
12	12:29 Eastern Time. Thank you all.
13	III. ADJOURN MEETING
14	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went
15	off the record at 12:29 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1

2

3