U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

+ + + + +

BUSINESS MEETING

+ + + + +

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 19, 2025

+ + + + +

The Commission convened at 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest, Suite 1150, Washington, D.C. 20425 at 10:00 a.m., Rochelle Garza, Chair, presiding.

PRESENT:

ROCHELLE GARZA, Chair
VICTORIA FRANCES NOURSE, Vice Chair
GLENN D. MAGPANTAY, Commissioner
MONDAIRE JONES, Commissioner
GAIL HERIOT, Commissioner
J. CHRISTIAN ADAMS, Commissioner
PETER N. KIRSANOW, Commissioner
STEPHEN GILCHRIST, Commissioner

MARIK XAVIER-BRIER, Acting Deputy Staff Director DAVID GANZ, General Counsel and Parliamentarian

1	STAFF PRESENT:
2	PAMELA DUNSTON JULIAN NELSON
3	ANTONIO FAUNTEROY DAVID BELL
4	MICHELE YORKMAN-RAMEY DAVID MUSSATT
5	TINALOUISE MARTIN
6	COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:
7	JOHN K. MASHBURN
8	CARISSA MULDER THOMAS SIMUEL
9	IRENA VIDULOVIC ALEXIS FRAGOSA
10	NATHALIE DEMIRDJIAN-RIVEST YVESNER ZAMAR
11	STEPHANIE WONG
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	AGENDA	
2	I. APPROVAL OF AGENDA	6
3	II. BUSINESS MEETING	
4	A. Presentation on the Statues of Latino Civil Rights	29
5 6	B. Discussion and Vote on State Advisory Committee Appointments	39
7	C. Staff Director's Report	49
8	III. ADJOURN MEETING	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(10:04 a.m.)
3	CHAIR GARZA: Good morning, everyone.
4	We're going to go ahead and get started. This
5	business meeting of the U.S. Commission on Civil
6	Rights comes to order at 10:05 a.m. Eastern Time
7	on Friday, September 19, 2025. The meeting is
8	taking place at the Commission's headquarters
9	located at 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest,
10	Washington, D.C.
11	I'm Chair of the Commission, Rochelle
12	Garza.
13	I'd like to start with a roll call. So
14	please confirm your presence when I say your name.
15	Vice Chair Nourse?
16	VICE CHAIR NOURSE: Present.
17	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Adams?
18	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Here.
19	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Gilchrist?
20	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: I'm here.
21	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Heriot?
22	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm here.
23	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Jones?
24	COMMISSIONER JONES: Here.
25	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Kirsanow?

1	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Here.
2	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Magpantay?
3	COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: I'm here.
4	CHAIR GARZA: It sounds like we're getting
5	some feedback, so after someone speaks, just turn
6	off the mic.
7	So, thank you all. Based on that, we have
8	a quorum of the Commissioners.
9	Is the court reporter present?
10	COURT REPORTER: Yes.
11	CHAIR GARZA: The court reporter is
12	present. Is the Acting Staff Director present?
13	MR. MARIK: Present.
14	CHAIR GARZA: Confirmation that he is
15	present.
16	Is the Parliamentarian present?
17	MR. GANZ: I'm present, yes.
18	CHAIR GARZA: The Parliamentarian is
19	present. So now we're going to proceed with today's
20	agenda as posted on the Federal Register.
21	I. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
22	CHAIR GARZA: Today, we shall consider the
23	following items: Presentation on the Status of
24	Latino Civil Rights, followed by a discussion and
25	vote on State Advisory Committee appointments, and

1	we will conclude with the Staff Director's Report.
2	Are there any motions to amend the current
3	agenda?
4	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Madam Chair.
5	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Adams?
6	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Thank you. I move
7	to amend the agenda to add the President's
8	designation of Carissa Mulder as Staff Director to
9	be taken up on the agenda.
10	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I second that
11	motion.
12	CHAIR GARZA: So there's a motion and a
13	second. Discussion?
14	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Madam Chair?
15	CHAIR GARZA: Go ahead.
16	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: On August 6th, we
17	received word that the President had nominated
18	Carissa Mulder to be the Staff Director. As
19	everybody knows, there has been a vacancy in this
20	position all year long, since the Inauguration.
21	On August 7th, Commissioner Kirsanow sent
22	this email designating his SA to be Staff Director
23	to the rest of the Commission. General Counsel
24	David Ganz responded that he received it. On August
25	7th, the President's nomination of Carissa Mulder

1	to serve as Staff Director was sent by email to
2	Acting Staff Director and General Counsel David Ganz
3	and all Commissioners by Ronald Kirk at the White
4	House. He is a Special Assistant to the President
5	for Presidential Personnel.
6	I first requested us to change the agenda
7	to include this vote on August 26th. Madam Chair,
8	your response was that absent any formal designation
9	letters from the White House requesting a
10	concurrence, it apparently wasn't going to be put
11	on the agenda. The White House strongly disagreed
12	with that position and continues to do so. And we
13	have had a problem on this Commission, both with
14	budgetary issues, running into the red, nearly
15	having to lay employees off. There's been staffing
16	issues and this vacancy is not healthy for the work
17	of the Commission.
18	Everybody here knows Carissa. Everybody
19	knows she is fair. She is hardworking. She is
20	dedicated. She will make an excellent Staff
21	Director and President Trump wants this voted on.
22	It's his designee. There's a vacancy. It's time
23	to vote and Carissa has my complete support because

she will make a terrific Staff Director, which we

badly need. Thank you.

24

Т	CHAIR GARZA: Okay. We thank you for
2	that. Is there any other discussion? I have a few
3	comments and I'll take the Chair's privilege of
4	explaining.
5	Commissioner Adams, we have communicated
6	with the White House and asked for official
7	designation letters and we have not received those
8	letters. As I stated to you in the communications
9	and to all Commissioners regarding this issue, the
10	statute requires that the President request a
11	concurrence from the Commissioners. And our
12	policies, our procedures, the statute, requires a
13	formal communication that indicates that. We have
14	not received that letter. That request has been
15	conveyed to the Commission. Typically, it's
16	conveyed to the Commission in the form of a formal
17	letter from the White House Presidential Personnel
18	Office and until such a letter is received, the
19	Commission has no valid request before it and
20	therefore, there's no basis to consider a vote on
21	these designations. So I'm going to rule motion
22	out of order.
23	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Madam Chair, may I?
24	First of all, that's not true, what you just said.
25	That's not true, because the General Counsel

1	CHAIR GARZA: Would you like to request
2	
3	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: If I might, please?
4	CHAIR GARZA: I just ruled your motion out
5	of order, Commissioner Adams. If you would like
6	
7	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Then I'll challenge
8	a decision of the Chair.
9	CHAIR GARZA: That's fine.
10	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: And then it will go
11	to a vote of the committee.
12	CHAIR GARZA: We can go ahead and do that.
13	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Okay, but I won't do
14	that until you actually give me a chance to speak
15	on this.
16	You are never going to get that letter you
17	want. It's not coming. David Ganz wrote a letter
18	to you on February 19th saying that which the White
19	House did is adequate. It's out of my hands. I
20	want to put in the record. The General Counsel
21	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Adams, I have
22	already ruled your request out of order.
23	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: And I challenge the
24	decision of the Chair.
25	CHAIR GARZA: You can go ahead and do that.

1	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Then I challenge the
2	decision of the Chair.
3	CHAIR GARZA: Okay.
4	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Turn the gavel over
5	and we'll have a vote, whether we have any discussion
6	on this.
7	CHAIR GARZA: We can go ahead and take a
8	vote.
9	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: But if there's a
10	challenge to the decision of the Chair, as a
11	parliamentary measure, you lose the chairmanship
12	until this entire Commission votes.
13	CHAIR GARZA: We're done discussing.
14	I've already ruled the motion out of order.
15	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: And I challenge the
16	decision of the Chair on the ruling out of order.
17	CHAIR GARZA: Let's take a roll call vote.
18	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: And the question is
19	whether the decision the discussion is over.
20	That is the challenge of the decision of the Chair
21	and whether or not we have more discussion on
22	amending the agenda to include
23	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Adams, I have
24	already made my ruling.
25	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: I know and I

1 challenged it. 2 CHAIR GARZA: And your motion is out of 3 order. 4 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: I challenged it. 5 CHAIR GARZA: Okay, then we go ahead and 6 vote to see if you all can overrule me. 7 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Okay. 8 CHAIR GARZA: So we're going to do a roll call vote. 9 10 Vice Chair Nourse? 11 I'm sorry, the question is whether -- the 12 question is whether or not the Chair's ruling 13 stands. Does the Chair's ruling stand? COMMISSIONER ADAMS: That I'm out of order 14 15 for asking for more discussion. 16 CHAIR GARZA: You're not asking for more 17 discussion. 18 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yes, I absolutely 19 Yes, I am. am. 2.0 CHAIR GARZA: I'm saying your motion is 21 out of order. 2.2 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Good. And I 23 challenge that decision of the Chair, so that's what 24 we're voting on. 25 CHAIR GARZA: Okay. Well, is there any

1	other discussion?
2	COMMISSIONER JONES: Yes, Madam Chair.
3	I appreciate the opportunity to extend this
4	discussion. Because my colleague said we're never
5	going to get a formal designation of Carissa as the
6	Staff Director from the White House, and in addition
7	to that being, I think, a fairly dispositive
8	indication that we will never have a legal
9	designation requiring a concurrence of this
10	Commission of such a designation, I'm wondering why
11	is the White House unwilling, if it really wants
12	to designate Carissa as the Staff Director? Why
13	won't the White House just send a formal designation
14	letter under our statute?
15	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Madam Chair?
16	CHAIR GARZA: Go ahead, Commissioner
17	Gilchrist.
18	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: I would like
19	is the General Counsel here? I would like to ask
20	the General Counsel a question, if I may.
21	MR. GANZ: Sure.
22	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Okay. Is it
23	illegal for this Commission to take a vote based
24	on the nominations that have already been made by
25	the President of the United States?

1	VICE CHAIR NOURSE: I have an intervention
2	here. The President has not made a nomination.
3	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Let me correct
4	that, then. The President has identified those
5	that he would like to serve as Chair, Vice Chair,
6	and Staff Director.
7	(Simultaneous speaking.)
8	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Mr you're
9	welcome to contest it. I'm directing my question
LO	to the General Counsel. Is it illegal for this
L1	Commission to take a vote on those persons that
L2	have been designated?
L3	MR. GANZ: As I stated this is David.
L4	As I stated previously in February, 42 U.S.C. 1975d
L5	is silent as to the specific method that a
L6	designation is made by the President and
L7	communicated to USCCR.
L8	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Thank you, sir.
L9	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: That's in an email
20	VICE CHAIR NOURSE: Madam Chair, I would
21	like to read the statute into the record.
22	CHAIR GARZA: Okay, Vice Chair Nourse, go
23	ahead.
24	VICE CHAIR NOURSE: 1975d is entitled
25	"Chairperson." It's 42 U.S.C. Section 1975. On

Section 2, the President may, with the concurrence
of a majority of the Commission's members, designate
a Chairperson or Vice Chairperson, as the case may
be, from among the Commission's members.

3. The President shall, with the concurrence of a majority of the Commission's members, fill a vacancy by designating a Chairperson or Vice Chairperson as the case may be. The Vice Chairperson may act in the place of the Chairperson.

My point to those of you, unlike me, who don't read statutes like common folk -- I mean, I read them because I teach about them. It requires a concurrence and that the President must seek the concurrence of the majority. And we don't even actually have an official notice. I have not received one as the Vice Chair.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Vice Chair, does that reach a Staff Director, that statute?

VICE CHAIR NOURSE: There is a special -I believe that there is a specific reference to the
Staff Director, and we will take a look at the Staff
Director, but I wanted to make it clear that, at
least with respect to these designations that have
been referred to, that there has to be concurrence.

Now when you look at the --

2.0

2.2

1	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Right, but my motion
2	on related to the Staff Director vacancy.
3	VICE CHAIR NOURSE: Well, I will look for
4	that part of the statute and I'll read that into
5	the record as well, so that we're all on the same
6	page.
7	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Madam Chair?
8	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Adams.
9	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Since we're reading
10	things into the record, the email from the General
11	Counsel on February 19th says the statute is silent
12	as to a specific method that the designation is made
13	by the President and communicated. So if we want
14	to pretend that we didn't hear about it, let's just
15	keep pretending and we'll let consequences fall
16	where they might. Call the question.
17	CHAIR GARZA: You know, Commissioner
18	Adams, I hesitated in wanting to acknowledge this,
19	but that sounds very threatening.
20	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: No, it's
21	CHAIR GARZA: I'd like to acknowledge it
22	on the record that that is a very threatening comment
23	coming from you.
24	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: It wasn't a threat.
25	It's reality. The President has designated a

1	Staff Director. You don't even want to allow a
2	vote.
3	CHAIR GARZA: I have communicated that I
4	am happy for us to vote on this as long as we follow
5	the practice and the law. There is a
6	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: The General Counsel
7	says it's silent
8	CHAIR GARZA: Excuse me, I am speaking.
9	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: All right.
LO	CHAIR GARZA: There is a statute. I
L1	believe in the rule of law.
L2	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: The statute is
L3	silent, according to the General Counsel.
L4	CHAIR GARZA: I believe in the rule of law.
L5	And I think that everyone in this government should
L6	believe in the rule of law and we should follow the
L7	rule of law. We should follow our statute. We
L8	should follow our practices and we should not
L9	deviate. It is incumbent on us to protect civil
20	rights and it is incumbent on us to continue
21	following the law.
22	The statute and the practice is to continue
23	receiving a formal designation letter from the
24	President. And we will vote once we receive a
25	formal designation letter. I have asked numerous

1	times of your caucus when you will receive it. We
2	have not heard from you.
3	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: You did today.
4	You're never going to get it.
5	CHAIR GARZA: The staff has reached out
6	to the White House and requested them and they have
7	not received them. They are aware of what they look
8	like. They are aware of what is needed and we have
9	not received them. When we receive them, they will
10	go on the record.
11	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Call the question.
12	VICE CHAIR NOURSE: I would like to read
13	in the Staff Director language from the statute.
14	which any lawyer in the White House or at OPM can
15	read. It says Staff, one, Director. There shall
16	be a full-time Staff Director for the Commission
17	who shall (a) serve as the administrative head of
18	the Commission and (b) be appointed by the President
19	with the concurrence of a majority of the
20	Commission.
21	Like the Chair, I stand ready to vote when
22	I receive formal notice. Everybody who litigates
23	on this Commission, not all of us are lawyers, but
24	everybody knows who is on this Commission knows in
25	a first-vear class that requires actual official

1	notice, not just hearing through the grapevine.
2	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Whoa, whoa, whoa.
3	That isn't what happened. Come on. There wasn't
4	a written notice.
5	CHAIR GARZA: We're going to have to call
6	the question.
7	Okay, Commissioner Jones.
8	COMMISSIONER JONES: And I realize that
9	now there's an impulse to just vote on this, but
10	we cannot authenticate a document that someone else
11	received, allegedly, and then circulated to the rest
12	of us. Notice, formal notice, requires that we all
13	receive this document, which has been the practice,
14	certainly the Acting Staff Director at a minimum
15	should also be receiving this document, but that
16	is not what happened. And it's such a simplistic
17	thing for this White House to do, and the fact that
18	we've not yet received that I think speaks volumes
19	about the actual intent, or lack thereof, of the
20	President.
21	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Commissioner Jones
22	
23	CHAIR GARZA: We're going to lay this to
24	rest because we have some other items to get to.
25	So we're going to call the question on your

1	contention that I am incorrect in ruling your motion
2	out of order.
3	So the question is, is the chair's ruling
4	standing?
5	Vice Chair Nourse?
6	VICE CHAIR NOURSE: Yes, the rule stands.
7	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Gilchrist?
8	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: No.
9	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Heriot?
10	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I have no idea what
11	to do.
12	CHAIR GARZA: Are you abstaining?
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I guess so.
14	CHAIR GARZA: Okay, Commissioner Heriot
15	abstains.
16	Commissioner Jones?
17	COMMISSIONER JONES: Yes, to the chair's
18	ruling standing.
19	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Kirsanow?
20	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I tend to agree
21	with Commissioner Heriot. I have no idea what we're
22	voting on. Can somebody restate the proposition
23	so we know precisely what we're voting on?
24	CHAIR GARZA: The Commissioner is ruling
25	that this motion is out of order, whether or not

the Chair's ruling stands.
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Parliamentary
inquiry. Does that mean that we're not going to
vote on the motion to amend?
CHAIR GARZA: Yes.
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Wow.
COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: What is the
question?
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: It seems to be that
we're not going to even vote on my motion to amend
the agenda because it's out of order.
CHAIR GARZA: The question is whether or
not my ruling stands as to his motion being out of
order.
COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Magpantay?
CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Magpantay? COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: Yes.
COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: Yes.
COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: Yes. CHAIR GARZA: And the chair votes yes.
COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: Yes. CHAIR GARZA: And the chair votes yes. COMMISSIONER ADAMS: I didn't get to vote.
COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: Yes. CHAIR GARZA: And the chair votes yes. COMMISSIONER ADAMS: I didn't get to vote. CHAIR GARZA: Oh
COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: Yes. CHAIR GARZA: And the chair votes yes. COMMISSIONER ADAMS: I didn't get to vote. CHAIR GARZA: Oh COMMISSIONER ADAMS: That's okay.
COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: Yes. CHAIR GARZA: And the chair votes yes. COMMISSIONER ADAMS: I didn't get to vote. CHAIR GARZA: Oh COMMISSIONER ADAMS: That's okay. CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Adams, I

1	CHAIR GARZA: I apologize. It was an
2	oversight on my part. So the motion fails and we
3	have other items to get to.
4	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Move to amend.
5	CHAIR GARZA: State your motion.
6	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Move to amend the
7	agenda to include a vote on the Chair and the Vice
8	Chair, the designation of the President, of Pete
9	Kirsanow as Chair and Stephen Gilchrist as Vice
10	Chair.
11	CHAIR GARZA: Is there a second?
12	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Seconded.
13	CHAIR GARZA: Discussion?
14	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: No discussion.
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I've got a
16	discussion.
17	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Commissioner
18	Heriot, you're recognized.
19	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes. I voted yes
20	to this motion to amend and I believe that to do
21	so I want to avoid misunderstandings, so I would
22	like to state why. I would love to be able to move
23	full speed ahead on all of this and vote in favor
24	of the President's designation of Pete Kirsanow and
25	Stephen Kilchrist, for that matter of Carissa and

1	the Staff Director. They've gotten that and I'm
2	hoping that very, very soon, maybe October, I'm
3	going to be doing exactly that, but I can't do it
4	yet. For me, this motion is premature.
5	Let me add that I've been working with Pete
6	Kirsanow for nearly 18 years and I think the world
7	of him. He will make a great Chairman, maybe the
8	best that ever was. Let me add Carissa in here as
9	long as I'm here. Carissa has been Pete's Special
10	Assistant for the last 13 years, I think, and no
11	one knows the inside of this Commission better than
12	she does. She will be a fantastic Staff Director.
13	In fact, it was my idea. For a long time, I've
14	been saying that we should recommend Carissa as
15	Staff Director, and now things have happened.
16	Stephen is a real gentleman. He will make

Stephen is a real gentleman. He will make a great Vice Chairman, but there are problems here.

We already have a Chair and Vice Chair, both Democrats, and their terms are not over. I don't know of any previous case in which the Chair and Vice Chair have been ousted this way, so I'm not certain the statute allows for it. But that's not the reason that I'm opposing this. I would be happy to vote for the President's designation, if that were the only problem. If the motion passed,

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

we could let this get to the courts. The courts could decide on the proper interpretation of the statute.

In fact, just this past Monday, a friend of mine who is very expert in administrative law suggested to me an interpretation of the statute that would be favorable to the administration's position. I like his interpretation, but there is a much deeper problem that applies really to all three cases, and that is that threats have been made to get the four Democratic Commissioners to vote yes. I cannot be a party to that. I have to work to maintain the Commission's independence, and without that independence, I don't see any real reason to have a Civil Rights Commission. So I intend to vote no until such time as I get assurances from the Administration that it will not be retaliating in the ways that have been threatened.

I don't think there's a need to go through the threats in detail right now. Suffice it to say that there's just been a lot of loose talk about a plan, and I'm quoting here, to halt the pay of senior assistants of the Democrats on the Commission and to terminate other of their allies if they stand in the way of the nomination.

2.2

I have no reason to believe that these
threats are coming from President Trump himself or
from his top advisors. I doubt very much that they
spend their time strategizing about this small
commission. But it does seem to be coming from
somewhere in the Administration, so I need clear
assurance that either that I've been misinformed
and there has never been such a plan or, if there
ever was, cooler heads have prevailed.

Note that I am a great believer in the President's power to control actual executive officers, including the power to cut off their pay and fire their senior assistants. I was taught constitutional law and administrative law by no less a law professor than Antonin Scalia 45 years ago on this point, so I've been waiting to see the Humphrey's Executor decision get buried for a long time, long before it became a hot topic, at least among lawyers.

So I'm delighted that the President is asserting greater control over the Executive Branch. But the Commission is not an executive agency. We're not executive officers.

Two things are important here. Number one is that we have no executive power. We just prepare

2.0

2.2

and issue reports. Second, we couldn't be an
executive agency if we wanted to be. We would be
unconstitutional, because the Constitution
prohibits Congress from appointing executive
officers, and half our members are appointed by
Congress.
The proper way to construe the Commission
is, in my opinion, as a congressional agency like
the GAO. That means all the things that Congress

put into our statute to ensure independence are good law, very much including the part about the

Commission having to concur in the President's leadership choices.

I think most thoughtful people would agree that it would be inappropriate for the President to tell United States Senators that he'll order the Treasury Secretary to stop payment on their staffs' salary if they don't vote in favor of his judicial or other nominees. I believe, by the same token, it would be inappropriate here.

Note that this is not like the Smithsonian case that lawyers are talking about these days for reasons I would like to elaborate on. Suffice it to say here that I've consulted with conservative experts, former members of the Office for Legal

1	Counsel, on this and they agree that the Commission
2	cannot be an executive agency, but that the
3	Smithsonian is, and therefore the rules are
4	different.
5	Is it possible that I am wrong in my
6	analysis? Yes, it is possible, but nobody who
7	claims to think so has been willing to engage with
8	me on this topic. Instead, I've been told that if
9	you know what's good for you, you will go along.
10	There have also been suggestions that
11	Chair Rochelle Garza has engaged in wrongdoing for
12	failure to put Pete's and Stephen's designations
13	up for a prompt vote. I guess I don't see that.
14	I've not spoken to her on this, but I strongly
15	suspect that one reason she hasn't scheduled it
16	quickly is that she knew it wouldn't pass anyway.
17	We have four progressive Commissioners appointed
18	by Democrats and four conservative Commissioners
19	appointed by Republicans. In the absence of the
20	threats that I've outlined, I don't see how the Chair

votes, not without some thoughtful dealmaking. And
I hope some thoughtful dealmaking can occur. But
in the absence of that, what's the point in
embarrassing the designees and the Administration

and Vice Chair designations were going to get five

by voting it down?

I support the Administration on many issues: school discipline, disparate impact, minority-serving institutions. In fact, I think my work has had something to do with its actions on those issues, at least I'd like to think so. But I'm afraid, on the issue of the way to treat the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, it may have gotten out over its skis in a way that I think is not ultimately in the President's interests. But I look forward to supporting the President's leadership choices once it becomes clear that there are no inappropriate threats being made over a non-executive agency.

CHAIR GARZA: Thank you, Commissioner Heriot.

COMMISSIONER JONES: Madam Chair, I'm going to be voting the same way as my colleague who was appointed to this Commission by Mitch McConnell on this, but I would also add, for the same reasons, that Carissa was not actually designated by this White House properly as the next Staff Director, nor have the alleged Chair and Vice Chair designees under the motion that is now pending. And for that reason also, I will be voting not to amend the

_	l _
7	
	lagenda.
	1 0.50.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIR GARZA: Vice Chair Nourse.

VICE CHAIR NOURSE: Just two seconds on One, just to quote Justice Gorsuch in a case called Niz-Chavez: we need to dot our i's and cross our t's when it comes to government processes. This is important to the rule of law. And I want to commend my colleague and fellow law professor, Commissioner Heriot, for a legal analysis that I have been supporting for a long time. And I have spent a lot of time talking about the separation of powers over the last 30 years at the Federalist Society and writing about this. And I agree and I think it's consistent with the Supreme Court's recent shadow docket orders as well. Thank you.

CHAIR GARZA: Okay. Well, if there is no further discussion, I'm going to go ahead and rule that motion out of order for the same reasons as I said previously.

As I stated in my email communications to all Commissioners regarding this, the statute requires the President to request a concurrence from the Commissioners in every administration. That request is then conveyed to the Commission in the form of a formal written letter from the White House

Presidential Personnel Office. Until such letter
is received, the Commission has no valid request
before it and therefore no basis to consider a vote
or vote on these designations.

II. BUSINESS MEETING

A. PRESENTATION ON THE STATUS OF LATINO CIVIL RIGHTS

CHAIR GARZA: So we can go ahead and move on to the next agenda item that we have on our business meeting. Which is, and I'll repeat it for folks, a presentation on the status of Latino civil rights. So, folks, if you all want to come forward.

So, we're going to -- for generations, the Latino communities have been on the front lines of the civil rights struggle in this country. These struggles are not just about voting rights or representation at the ballot box; they're about dignity. They're about whether families have access to healthcare, whether workers have access to jobs, whether students can pursue an education free from discrimination, and whether people can live without fear of being targeted simply for who they are or how they speak.

The Commission has documented these issues before, ranging from family separation at the border to unequal treatment of asylum seekers and

1	conditions in detention facilities. Again and
2	again, we've seen how immigration policy is often
3	used as an entry point to strip away rights, not
4	just from immigrants but from all of us. That's
5	why today's conversation is so important.
6	We are fortunate to be joined today by
7	Monica Garay, who serves as the Executive Director
8	of the Congressional Hispanic Caucus under the
9	leadership of Congressman Adriano Espaillat, Chair
10	of the Caucus.
11	Ms. Garay has more than a decade of
12	experience advancing policies that directly impact
13	Latino communities nationwide, from immigration
14	reform and transportation equity to securing
15	investments in education, housing and
16	infrastructure, that uplift underserved
17	communities. She will share an overview of the
18	civil rights landscape and its effects, Latino
19	communities highlight highlighting the
20	perspective of Congressional Hispanic Caucus
21	members and response to some of Commissioners'
22	questions. While many of those members had hoped
23	to be here in person today, they were unable to do
24	so because there are votes on the floor currently.
25	She is joined by Rubi Flores, Policy

1	Director, also with the Congressional Hispanic
2	Caucus. So, thank you both for being here today.
3	As a note for fellow Commissioners: these
4	are our guests. I hope that we engage in a
5	thoughtful dialogue with them, because there are
6	a lot of issues impacting Latino communities across
7	this country, and they're very real, and I would
8	like for us to have an open dialogue here.
9	So, if you would like to proceed, Ms.
LO	Garay, we'd love to hear your comments.
L1	MR. GARAY: Thank you so much. Thank you,
L2	Chair Garza, Vice Chair Nourse, and Commissioners,
L3	for the opportunity to speak. As mentioned, I am
L4	Monica Garay, Executive Director of the
L5	Congressional Hispanic Caucus, representing
L6	members of our Caucus committed to advancing the
L7	civil rights of Latino communities.
L8	I'm here to urge the Commission, on behalf
L9	of the Caucus, to investigate the profound civil
20	rights implications of the recent Supreme Court
21	order in Noem versus Vasquez Perdomo. I have a
22	letter from our members that I would like to submit
23	for the record, and I brought copies for each of
24	you, as well.
25	As we all have seen and know, the Fourth

1	Amendment is a bedrock guarantee protection against
2	unreasonable searches and seizures. It has
3	historically shielded vulnerable communities from
4	State abuse. Mapp v. Ohio and Terry v. Ohio are
5	landmark cases, affirming that government power
6	cannot operate without accountability.
7	In Arizona v. United States, the Court
8	explicitly warned against laws that invite racial
9	profiling. The Noem v. Vasquez Perdomo order runs
10	directly counter to these precedents, eroding the
11	Fourth Amendment for Latinos. The court order
12	greenlights racial profiling. The ACLU has warned,
13	it opens the door to indiscriminate ICE stops,
14	effectively sanctioning suspicious-less policing
15	in Latino neighborhoods.
16	We have received many first-hand reports,
17	including in Los Angeles County, following the
18	Court's order, community members have reported an
19	uptick in vehicle stops targeting Latino drivers.
20	One father, a U.S. citizen, described being pulled
21	over three times in a month and questioned about
22	his immigration status, despite showing a valid
23	California license.
24	As the ACLU of Southern California notes,
25	the ruling opens the door to indiscriminate ICE

stops,	that	dest	tabilize	trust	between	law
enforce	ement	and	resident	cs.		

Texas and Arizona border communities.

Surveys conducted by the Immigration Council reveal that 68 percent of Latino communities, or residents, fear being stopped by police solely because of their appearance -- a number that has spiked since the decision. Families report curtailing daily activities, such as attending church, school, or medical appointments due to fear of harassment.

The Guardian reported that experts believe this decision will effectively legalize racial profiling, leading to increased absenteeism at workplaces and schools, reduce consumer confidence in Latino-majority neighborhoods, and an erosion of economic participation.

This is a chilling effect. Families are avoiding schools, workplaces, churches, and medical appointments out of fear of harassment and discrimination. This is not just a civil rights issue, it's an economic and public safety issue, undermining trust in law enforcement and destabilizing communities across the nation, particularly Latino communities.

We of course thank you all for your

1	commitment to protecting constitutional rights.
2	And the U.S. Commission was created to respond when
3	constitutional rights are under attack, and with
4	that the Congressional Hispanic Caucus formally
5	request that you launch an investigation into the
6	impact of Noem v. Vasquez Perdomo, gather testimony
7	from the Latino community, civil rights
8	organizations, and local officials, and lastly,
9	issue a report with recommendations for Congress
10	and federal agencies to consider.
11	With that we're happy to answer any
12	questions. And, again, thank you so much for
13	providing us the opportunity to come before you
14	today.
15	CHAIR GARZA: Do you have a question?
16	(No audible response.)
17	CHAIR GARZA: Do Commissioners have
18	questions?
19	(No audible response.)
20	CHAIR GARZA: There may not be questions,
21	but I want to say thank you for being here. And
22	thank you for speaking on behalf of Latinos all
23	across this country that are feeling fearful of the
24	decision that came down.
25	You know, as a Latina, I felt scared when

1	I saw that. I'll share, personally, that, you know,
2	I have family members that speak Spanish
3	predominantly even though we're multiple generation
4	Americans, that work in construction, and those were
5	kind of the outlines of what made you suspect as
6	an immigrant. And I worry about my uncles when they
7	go to work and what could happen to them.
8	So I just want to say that this issue is
9	really important, it's really pressing, and, you
10	know, I think that we can have a conversation and
11	discuss how we move forward in addressing this
12	issue.
13	Commissioner Magpantay?
14	COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: I think this is
15	great. Thank you very much. And we'll take this
16	under advisement and fully consider it. Could I
17	ask a separate question, though, if I may?
18	CHAIR GARZA: Go ahead.
19	COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: We're working on
20	an investigation on language access. Many Latinos,
21	Native Americans, United States of America
22	citizens, are limited English proficient. I just
23	wanted to ask, thoughts on recommendations for
24	things that we can do on the importance of language
25	access for Latino citizens and Hispanic citizens

1	in America?
2	MR. GARAY: Thank you for the question.
3	I think Title III in Department of Education is
4	very essential to English language learners, it
5	provides a lot of the resources that schools depend
6	on for English language access. So, I think if this
7	Commission can also look into ways in safeguarding
8	Title III resources for communities, because it
9	really is essential to our economic stability and
LO	self-sufficiency of communities having that access,
L1	that would be important. But that would be my
L2	recommendation.
L3	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Adams?
L4	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Hi. Thank you for
L5	coming. Noem v. Vasquez, the case that you write
L6	about and spoke about this is not my area of law,
L7	okay? This was about two weeks ago, right? Is that
L8	about right?
L9	(No audible response.)
20	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Okay. Could you
21	tell me what the procedural posture was? Was this
22	like, an interlocutory order? Was this on some
23	emergency basis? Or, was this a full merits
24	hearing? I just don't know.
25	VICE CHAIR NOURSE: It's a shadow docket

1	order.
2	MS. FLORES: Yes, thank you for that
3	question. The ruling that came from the Supreme
4	Court was on the emergency basis in the shadow docket
5	as was mentioned by the Vice Chair. And the
6	procedural motion then, they were ruling on a
7	temporary restraining order that was issued by the
8	U.S. District Court in the Central District of
9	California that was upheld by the Ninth Circuit
10	Court and that the Government sought to seek a stay
11	on the temporary restraining order, which the
12	Supreme Court granted.
13	Following that steps, there is a hearing
14	on the 24 so in a few days back in the District
15	Court, to discuss a preliminary injunction. So
16	this case will continue, obviously, for the months
17	and years to come.
18	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Is there a live cert
19	petition or is this just straight up emergency
20	relief request?
21	MS. FLORES: I would have to look into if
22	there's a live cert, but I believe there is.
23	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Thank you.
24	CHAIR GARZA: Well, thank you so much for
25	coming. I don't think we have any further questions

1	for you all. We will take this into consideration
2	and have a conversation and figure out what next
3	steps look like for us, but I appreciate you bringing
4	this to our attention. And thank you, again.
5	MR. GARAY: Thank you. And again, on
6	behalf of the Congressional Hispanic Caucus,
7	Chairman Adriano Espaillat, and our leadership
8	officers, we really do appreciate the opportunity.
9	CHAIR GARZA: Thank you.
10	B. DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON STATE ADVISORY
11	COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS
12	CHAIR GARZA: Okay, moving on to our next
13	agenda item, is a discussion and vote on Advisory
14	Committees. I believe I would like to make a
15	motion that we go ahead and appoint the following
16	interim appointments to the State Advisory
17	Committee, so we can continue to ensure that they
18	operate effectively.
19	For the State of Oklahoma, Althea Wilkins.
20	For the State of Georgia, Kennedy Johnson. For
21	Guam, Bruce Taijeron. And for American Samoa,
22	Jaiyah Saelua. Do I have a second?
23	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Second.
24	CHAIR GARZA: All right, is there any
25	discussion on the motion that has been properly

1	seconded?
2	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Question, is this
3	just these are just replacements?
4	CHAIR GARZA: Yes, that's correct. These
5	are backfilled so that we can continue to have the
6	State Advisory Committees operating. And just for
7	the record, these names have been, you know,
8	circulating for months now. Go ahead, Commissioner
9	Heriot.
10	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No, my
11	understanding is we haven't had time to look at
12	these. We'd be
13	CHAIR GARZA: We can call the question,
14	if you would like. Unless there's further
15	discussion.
16	COMMISSIONER JONES: Do we want to have
17	the discussion on what she just said? Because,
18	that's important, right?
19	CHAIR GARZA: Yeah, do you want to say
20	something? Commissioner Jones is recognized.
21	COMMISSIONER JONES: So, Madam Chair,
22	it's my understanding and anyone here, please
23	do correct me if I'm wrong that these names have
24	been at least received by one or more special
25	assistants on the other side of the aisle months

1	ago. And so, it shouldn't be that any of these names
2	are a surprise.
3	And, again, it's just replacing people who
4	have left and created vacancies, so that we continue
5	to have the balance that we've all agreed to and
6	has been our practice. I've been pleased with how
7	we've been able to I mean, we've now, I guess,
8	empaneled dozens of these State Advisory Committees
9	
LO	CHAIR GARZA: Yeah, that's correct.
L1	COMMISSIONER JONES: in recent months,
L2	with an equal number of appointees on either side
L3	of the aisle. So this is just, from my perspective,
L4	honoring that agreement, so that we continue to have
L5	that kind of balance.
L6	If there were vacancies on the GOP side
L7	of the aisle, I would take no issue with replacing
L8	with filling those vacancies with other GOP
L9	appointees.
20	CHAIR GARZA: Any further discussion?
21	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Madam Chair?
22	CHAIR GARZA: Yes, Commissioner
23	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Motion to table.
24	I'm not against these. I think there's a bunch of
25	confusion over here, and so if we were to vote no

Τ	on these now they would die. I'm making a motion
2	to table so we can pick it up next time, after we
3	sort out the confusion.
4	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Second.
5	CHAIR GARZA: I'm just for the record,
6	I'm very hesitant to we already have a first
7	motion, right, which is the motion to actually vote
8	on them, and then we have the motion to table. So,
9	we have to vote on the first one before we vote on
10	the second
11	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: That is absolutely
12	not correct under parliamentary procedure. It is
13	exactly the opposite.
14	(Simultaneous speaking.)
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No, that's not
16	that's wrong. Right, no, that's elementary wrong.
17	CHAIR GARZA: Okay. Let's just be clear
18	here. So these names have been given to you all
19	for months now, these are just backfilled. It's
20	three it's four states well, two states and
21	two territories. We're just missing one
22	individual, to make sure that they are functioning.
23	They've we've been holding on them for a very
24	long time.
25	I would very much like to make sure that

1	our State Advisory Committees are filled and
2	continuing to fulfill their duties and their
3	functions. So, I mean, I would encourage us to
4	continue to to vote on this today, you know, so
5	that we can make sure that we adhere to our statute,
6	we adhere to the work that we need to do, and continue
7	moving these Advisory Committees forward.
8	Commissioner Magpantay?
9	COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: No, Heriot
10	Gail.
11	CHAIR GARZA: I just recognized you.
12	COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: Sure, yes.
13	Thank you, Madam Chair. Yeah, I would encourage
14	us to move forward. We have been doing good work
15	with the State Advisory Communities, we have
16	appointed them in good faith, they are equally
17	balanced. We do need to have functional State
18	Advisory Committees to look at the issues at the
19	state and local level.
20	I think this is an important vote. I would
21	hope that it would not be controversial, as my
22	colleagues have said. So, I would encourage a vote.
23	I would oppose the motion to table, just to advance
24	these issues.
25	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Jones.

1	COMMISSIONER JONES: Again, correct me if
2	I'm wrong because a lot of this is happening at
3	the staff level but there has not been a veto
4	of a Republican or Democratic idea for an appointee,
5	you know, since we've gotten back to empaneling
6	these State Advisory Committees. And so, it feels
7	pretextual to say we need more time in order to just
8	fill these vacancies. But, again, I'm please,
9	like, engage in a discussion about this, because
10	I could have a misunderstanding about something.
11	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Heriot, go
12	ahead.
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Let me just say that
14	my special assistant, Alexis Fragosa, has been doing
15	10 times more work on people in these SACs than
16	anybody else on the Commission. She has been doing
17	wonderful work trying to get this done. She has
18	been thwarted by the Democratic side over and over
19	again.
20	And we have been ready with some of these
21	SACs for months and months, and here we get one where
22	suddenly we're being asked to approve people that
23	we haven't had time to vet. And, you know, it's
24	ridiculous to say that our side is the side that
25	has been holding up SACs. I mean, it's to the point

of libelous.

2.2

She has been working so hard and getting so few thanks from you guys. It is shocking to me that you would say that we are the ones that are causing the problem here. If it weren't for the Republican side on this, we wouldn't have any of these SACs done.

CHAIR GARZA: Well, we have an opportunity to get four more done today. So, Commissioner Heriot, I do want to say I appreciate working with you and getting these things done. I have stated very clearly my commitment to filling the State Advisory Committees. This is just backfilling the roles.

And here's my fear: if we do not fill these they're just going to stay stagnant. And, you know, I really think we should go ahead and move forward. These names have been circulated to you all for months. We need to make sure we backfill these at the very least, and we continue our work to fill the rest of the State Advisory Committees, which you have the full commitment of the Democratic caucus, and myself as Chair, to ensure that we continue filling these roles out.

But I would encourage us to go ahead and

```
1
    vote. So I'm going to vote no on the motion to
 2
     table.
               COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Parliamentary
 3
 4
     inquiry, please.
5
               CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Adams, you're
     recognized.
 6
7
               COMMISSIONER ADAMS: If this is tabled,
8
     it can be brought up at the next meeting. And if
9
     this is voted no -- not the tabling but the merits
     -- what is the procedure in the Commission? Is it
10
11
     dead, such that if we vote no, these folks are not
12
     ever going to be approved? That was one of the basis
13
     for my motion to table. I just don't know the answer
14
     to the question, so that's why I'm asking.-
15
               (Simultaneous speaking.)
16
               COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: I thought this
17
    was going to be raised in the last meeting and that
18
    we were going to vote on it. We did not vote on
19
     it at that time. I tolerated that decision not to
2.0
    vote on it last time, I am advancing and encouraging
21
    us to advance it now.
2.2
               COMMISSIONER ADAMS: All right, I'll
23
    withdraw my motion to table.
24
               CHAIR GARZA:
                             Okay.
25
               COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's just a
```

1	mistake. You know it's going to be voted down.
2	(Simultaneous speaking.)
3	COMMISSIONER JONES: Am I recognized?
4	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Jones is
5	recognized.
6	COMMISSIONER JONES: Thank you. I would
7	view it to be a violation of the pact that we have
8	struck as appointees on both sides of the aisle to
9	have an equal number of Democratic and Republican
LO	appointees to these State Advisory Committees, for
L1	us now to say that we're not going to fill these
L2	vacancies and thereby circumventing that pact.
L3	And so, you know, I get that a special
L4	assistant feels particularly strongly about this,
L5	but I would encourage some reconsideration,
L6	especially as we try to accomplish things on other
L7	State Advisory Committees that I would also like
L8	to empanel with equal numbers of Democratic and
L9	Republican appointees.
20	I would like to get this done so that we
21	can continue to move in good faith, which we've been
22	able to do. And, not for nothing, a lot of special
23	assistants have been engaged in this process, not
24	just yours, Commissioner Heriot
25	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Ten time more.

Τ	Absolutely 10 times. Let me up that to 20 times
2	more.
3	COMMISSIONER JONES: That's well, if
4	you'd let me finish especially because you
5	haven't been recognized mine certainly has been
6	doing a lot of work. I know the Chair's has also
7	been doing a lot of work. So I would take issue
8	with that characterization that it's been somehow
9	disproportionately done on one or the other side
LO	of the aisle. Thanks very much.
L1	CHAIR GARZA: I'm going to go ahead and
L2	call to
L3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Well hold on.
L4	Just to, you know, correct the record here
L5	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Heriot, I'm
L6	going to recognize you.
L7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You know, the
L8	Republicans also have positions to fill and the
L9	Democrats have refused to do so. That is accurate.
20	CHAIR GARZA: Okay, we're going to call
21	to question. That is not accurate. We will
22	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, it is.
23	CHAIR GARZA: call the question on my
24	motion to appoint the individuals I named for
25	Oklahoma, Georgia, Guam, and American Samoa. We

1	will go ahead and start with the roll call vote.
2	Vice Chair Nourse?
3	VICE CHAIR NOURSE: Aye.
4	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Adams.
5	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Abstain.
6	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Gilchrist.
7	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Gilchrist is
8	abstaining.
9	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Heriot.
10	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.
11	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Jones.
12	COMMISSIONER JONES: Aye.
13	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Kirsanow.
14	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
15	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Magpantay.
16	COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: Yes.
17	CHAIR GARZA: And I vote yes, so the motion
18	passes with a majority.
19	Again, our Advisory Committees for the
20	audience at home, our Advisory Committees are
21	instrumental in amplifying the voices of
22	communities across the country and ensuring that
23	civil rights remain central to policy discussions.
24	I look forward to the meaningful contributions that
25	these individuals and the State Advisory Committees

1	will have in advancing equity, fairness, and justice
2	for all.
3	C. STAFF DIRECTOR'S REPORT
4	CHAIR GARZA: Finally, we have our
5	final agenda item is regarding the Acting Staff
6	Director's Report. So we'll go ahead and turn to
7	you, Acting Deputy Staff Director Marik
8	Xavier-Brier, for your monthly Staff Director's
9	Report.
LO	MR. XAVIER-BRIER: Good morning,
L1	Commissioners. In the interest of time, I have
L2	nothing further to add than what's already contained
L3	within the Staff Director's Report. But, as
L4	always, I'm happy to answer any questions that you
L5	guys may have. Thank you.
L6	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Adams, would
L7	you like to be recognized?
L8	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yeah, I do have a
L9	question.
20	CHAIR GARZA: Okay, go ahead.
21	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Are you interested
22	in having a Staff Director appointed?
23	(Laughter.)
24	MR. XAVIER-BRIER: I am interested in
25	making sure that things are followed the way that

```
1
     they need to, but I am happy to -- I will look forward
 2
     to working with any Staff Director.
 3
               COMMISSIONER ADAMS: And you got the email
4
     from the White House designating the people, didn't
5
    you?
               CHAIR GARZA: Is that contained in your
 6
7
     report, Staff Director -- Acting Staff Director?
8
               (Simultaneous speaking.)
9
               COMMISSIONER ADAMS:
                                    That's why I'm
10
     asking, because it's not. So I'm asking.
11
               MR. XAVIER-BRIER: The email was
12
     forwarded to me, yes.
13
               COMMISSIONER ADAMS:
                                    Thank you.
14
     ADJOURN MEETING
15
               CHAIR GARZA: All right, thank you, Acting
    Deputy Staff Director Marik Xavier-Brier.
16
                                                That
17
     actually concludes our -- all the items on our agenda
18
     for today. So, thank you so much. I'm going to
19
     go ahead and adjourn us. If there's nothing
2.0
     further, I adjourn the meeting at 10:55 a.m. Eastern
21
     Time.
2.2
               (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
23
    went off the record at 10:55 a.m.)
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	This is to certify that the foregoing transcript
3	was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under
4	my direction; further, that said transcript is a
5	true and accurate record of the proceedings; and
6	that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor
7	employed by any of the parties to this action in
8	which this matter was taken; and further that I am
9	not a relative nor an employee of any of the parties
10	nor counsel employed by the parties, and I am not
11	financially or otherwise interested in the outcome
12	of the action.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	Ayrer Withia
18	
19	Court Reporter
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25

Index: (a)..Amendment

		` ,
	7	adequate 9:19
		adhere 42:5,6
(a) 17:17	7th 6:21,25	adjourn 50:14,19,20
(b) 17:18	A	administration 23:17 24:6 26:25 27:2 28:23
1		administration's 23:7
40 40 45 47 4	a.m. 4:2,6 50:20,23	administrative 17:17 23:5 24:14
10 43:15 47:1	above-entitled 50:22	Adriano 30:9 38:7
10:04 4:2	absence 26:19,24	advance 42:23 45:21
10:05 4:6	absenteeism 33:13 49:1	advancing 30:12 31:16 45:20
10:55 50:20,23		
13 22:10	absolutely 11:18 41:11 47:1	advisement 35:16
1331 4:9	Abstain 48:5	advisors 24:3
18 22:6	abstaining 19:12 48:8	Advisory 5:25 38:10,13,16 39:6 40:8 42:1,7,15,18 43:6 44:13,21 46:10,17 48:19,20,25
19 4:7	abstains 19:15	
1975 13:25	abuse 32:4	affirming 32:5
1975d 13:14,24	access 29:18 35:20,25 36:6,10	afraid 27:7
19th 9:18 15:11	accomplish 46:16	agencies 34:10
2	accountability 32:6	agency 24:23 25:2,8 26:2 27:14
	accurate 47:19,21	rate 47:19,21 agenda 5:20,21 6:3,7,9 7:6,11
2 14:1	acknowledge 15:18,21	10:22 20:11 21:7 28:1 29:8 38:13
20 47:1	ACLU 32:12,24	49:5 50:17
2025 4:7	act 14:9	agree 19:20 25:14 26:1 28:13
24 37:14	Acting 5:12 7:2 18:14 49:5,7	agreed 40:5
26th 7:7	50:7,15	agreement 40:14
	actions 27:5	ahead 4:4 6:15 9:12,25 10:7 11:5 12:16 13:23 21:23 28:17 29:7
3		activities 33:9 35:18 38:15 39:8 43:12 44:17,29
3 14:5	actual 17:25 18:19 24:11	47:11 48:1 49:6,20 50:19
30 28:12	Adams 4:17,18 6:4,5,6,14,16 8:5, 23 9:3,5,7,10,13,21,23 10:1,4,9,	aisle 39:25 40:13,17 46:8 47:10
	15,18,23,25 11:4,7,14,18,22	Alexis 43:14
4	13:19 14:17 15:1,7,8,9,18,20,24 ——— 16:6,9,12 17:3,11 18:2,21 20:2,6,	alleged 27:23
42 13:14,25	9,19,21,22,24,25 21:4,6,14,17	allegedly 18:11
45 24:15	36:13,14,20 37:18,23 39:2 40:21, 23 41:11 45:3,5,7,22 48:4,5	allies 23:24
	49:16,18,21 50:3,9,13	Althea 38:19
6	add 6:7 22:5,8 27:20 49:12	amend 6:2,7 20:4,10 21:4,6,20 27:25
60 22.5	addition 12:6	amending 10:22
68 33:5	addressing 35:11	Amendment 32:1,11
6th 6:16		Amendment 32.1,11

Index: America..churches

America 35:21 36:1

American 38:21 47:25

Americans 35:4,21

amplifying 48:21

analysis 26:6 28:9

Angeles 32:17

Antonin 24:15

apologize 20:23 21:1

apparently 7:10

appearance 33:7

applies 23:9

appoint 38:15 47:24

appointed 17:18 25:5 26:17,19

27:19 42:16 49:22

appointee 43:4

appointees 40:12,19 46:8,10,19

appointing 25:4

appointments 5:25 33:10,19

38:11,16

APPROVAL 5:21

approve 43:22

approved 45:12

area 36:16

Arizona 32:7 33:3

asking.- 45:14

asserting 24:21

assistant 7:4 22:10 43:14 46:14

assistants 23:23 24:13 39:25

46:23

assurance 24:7

assurances 23:16

asylum 29:25

attack 34:3

attending 33:9

attention 38:4

audible 34:16,19 36:19

audience 48:20

August 6:16,21,24 7:7

authenticate 18:10

Avenue 4:9

avoid 21:21

avoiding 33:18

aware 17:7,8

Aye 48:3,12

В

back 37:14 43:5

backfill 44:19

backfilled 39:5 41:19

backfilling 44:13

badly 7:25

balance 40:5,15

balanced 42:17

ballot 29:16

based 5:7 12:23

basis 8:20 29:3 36:23 37:4 45:12

bedrock 32:1

behalf 31:18 34:22 38:6

believer 24:10

border 29:24 33:3

box 29:16

Branch 24:22

bringing 38:3

brought 31:23 45:8

Bruce 38:21

budgetary 7:14

bunch 40:24

buried 24:17

business 4:5 29:5,9

C

California 32:23,24 37:9

call 4:13 10:17 11:9 15:16 17:11 18:5,25 39:13 47:12,20,23 48:1

called 28:5

Carissa 6:8,18,25 7:18,23 12:5, 12 21:25 22:8,9,14 27:21

case 14:3,8 22:20 25:22 28:4

36:15 37:16

cases 23:10 32:5

caucus 17:1 30:8,10,20 31:2,15,

16,19 34:4 38:6 44:23

causing 44:5

central 37:8 48:23

cert 37:18,22

chair 4:3,11,15,16,17,19,21,23, 25 5:2,4,11,14,18,22 6:4,5,12,14,

15 7:7 8:1,23 9:1,4,8,9,12,21,24, 25 10:2,3,7,10,13,16,17,20,23

11:2,5,8,10,16,20,23,25 12:2,15,

16 13:1,5,20,22,24 14:16,17,19

16 13.1,3,20,22,24 14.10,17,19

15:3,7,8,17,21 16:3,8,10,14 17:5,

12,21 18:5,23 19:5,6,7,9,12,14,

19,24 20:5,12,16,18,20,22 21:1,5,

7,8,9,10,11,13 22:18,20,21 26:11,

20,21 27:15,17,23 28:2,3,16 29:7

30:9 31:12 34:15,17,20 35:18

36:13,25 37:5,24 38:9,12,24 39:4, 13,19,21 40:10,20,21,22 41:5,17

42:11,13,25 43:11 44:8,23 45:5,

42.11,13,23 43.11 44.0,23 43.3,

24 46:4 47:11,15,20,23 48:2,3,4, 6,9,11,13,15,17 49:4,16,20 50:6,

15

chair's 8:3 11:12,13 19:3,17 20:1

47.6

Chairman 22:7,17 38:7

chairmanship 10:11

Chairperson 13:25 14:3,7,8,9

challenge 9:7,23 10:1,10,15,20

11:23

challenged 11:1,4

chance 9:14

change 7:6

characterization 47:8

chilling 33:17

choices 25:13 27:12

church 33:9

churches 33:18

Index: Circuit..D.C.

Circuit 37:9

circulated 18:11 44:18

circulating 39:8

circumventing 46:12

citizen 32:20

citizens 35:22.25

civil 4:5 5:24 16:19 23:15 27:8 29:6,10,14 30:18 31:17,19 33:20 34:7 48:23

claims 26:7

class 17:25

clear 14:22 24:6 27:12 41:17

colleague 12:4 27:18 28:8

colleagues 42:22

commend 28:8

comment 15:22

comments 8:3 31:10

commission 4:5,11 6:23 7:13,17 8:15,16,19 10:12 12:10,23 13:11 17:16,18,20,23,24 22:11 23:15,23 24:5,22 25:7,12 26:1 27:8,19 28:24 29:2,23 31:18 34:2 36:7 43:16 45:10

Commission's 4:8 14:2,4,6 23:13

Commissioner 4:17,18,19,20, 21,22,23,24,25 5:1,2,3 6:4,5,6,10, 14,16,21 8:5,23 9:3,5,7,10,13,21, 23 10:1,4,9,15,18,23,25 11:4,7, 14,18,22 12:2,15,16,18,22 13:3,8, 18,19 14:17 15:1,7,8,9,17,20,24 16:6,9,12 17:3,11 18:2,7,8,21 19:7,8,9,10,13,14,16,17,19,20,21, 24 20:2,6,7,9,15,16,17,19,21,22, 24,25 21:4,6,12,14,15,17,19 27:15,17 28:9 35:13,14,19 36:13, 14,20 37:18,23 38:23 39:2,8,10, 16,20,21 40:11,21,22,23 41:4,11, 15 42:8,9,12,25 43:1,11,13 44:9 45:3,5,7,16,22,25 46:3,4,6,24,25 47:3,13,15,17,22 48:4,5,6,7,9,10, 11,12,13,14,15,16 49:16,18,21 50:3,9,13

Commissioners 5:8 7:3 8:9,11 23:11 26:17,18 28:21,23 31:3,12 34:17 49:11

Commissioners' 30:21

commitment 34:1 44:12,22

committed 31:16

committee 5:25 9:11 38:11,17

Committees 38:14 39:6 40:8 42:1,7,18 43:6 44:13,21 46:10,17 48:19,20,25

common 14:11

communicated 8:5 13:17 15:13 16:3

communication 8:13

communications 8:8 28:20

communities 29:13 30:13,17,19 31:6,17 32:3 33:3,5,23,24 36:8,10 42:15 48:22

community 32:18 34:7

complete 7:23

conclude 6:1 concludes 50:17

concur 25:12

concurrence 7:10 8:11 12:9 14:1,6,13,14,24 17:19 28:22

conditions 30:1

conducted 33:4

confidence 33:14

confirm 4:14

Confirmation 5:14

confusion 40:25 41:3

Congress 25:4,6,9 34:9

congressional 25:8 30:8,20

31:1,15 34:4 38:6

Congressman 30:9

consequences 15:15

conservative 25:24 26:18

consideration 38:1

consistent 28:14

Constitution 25:3

constitutional 24:14 34:1.3

construction 35:4

construe 25:7

consulted 25:24

consumer 33:14

contained 49:12 50:6

contention 19:1

contest 13:9

continue 16:20,22 37:16 38:17 39:5 40:4,14 42:4,6 44:20,24

46:21

continues 7:12

continuing 42:2

contributions 48:24

control 24:11,21

controversial 42:21

conversation 30:5 35:10 38:2

conveyed 8:15,16 28:24

cooler 24:9

copies 31:23

correct 13:3 39:4,23 40:10 41:12

43:1 47:14

Council 33:4

Counsel 6:23 7:2 8:25 9:20 12:19,20 13:10 15:11 16:6,13

26:1

counter 32:10

country 29:14 31:7 34:23 48:22

County 32:17

court 5:9,10,11 31:20 32:7,11

37:4,8,10,12,15

Court's 28:14 32:18

courts 23:1

created 34:2 40:4

cross 28:5

current 6:2

curtailing 33:8

cut 24:12

D

D.C. 4:10

Index: daily..expert

daily 33:8

David 6:24 7:2 9:17 13:13

days 25:22 37:14

dead 45:11

dealmaking 26:22,23

decade 30:11

decide 23:2

decision 9:8,24 10:2,10,16,19,20 11:23 24:17 33:8,12 34:24 45:19

dedicated 7:20

deeper 23:9

delighted 24:20

Democratic 23:11 43:4,18 44:22

46:9,18

Democrats 22:19 23:23 26:18

47:19

Department 36:3

depend 36:5

Deputy 49:7 50:16

designate 12:12 14:2

designated 13:12 15:25 27:21

designating 6:22 14:7 50:4

designation 6:8 7:8 8:7 12:5,9, 10,13 13:16 15:12 16:23,25 21:8,

24 22:24

designations 8:21 14:23 26:12,

21 29:4

designee 7:22

designees 26:25 27:23

destabilize 33:1

destabilizing 33:23

detail 23:20

detention 30:1

deviate 16:19

dialogue 31:5,8

die 41:1

dignity 29:17

directing 13:9

directly 30:12 32:10

Director 5:12 6:8,18,22 7:1,2,21, 24 12:6,12 13:6 14:18,21,22 15:2

16:1 17:13,15,16 18:14 22:1,12, 15 27:22 30:7 31:1,14 49:7,22

50:2,7,16

Director's 6:1 49:3.6.8.13

disagreed 7:11

discipline 27:3

discrimination 29:20 33:20

discuss 35:11 37:15

discussing 10:13

discussion 5:24 6:13 8:2 10:5,

19,21 11:15,17 12:1,4 21:13,14, 16 28:17 38:10,13,25 39:15,17

40:20 43:9

discussions 48:23

disparate 27:3

dispositive 12:7

disproportionately 47:9

District 37:8,14

docket 28:15 36:25 37:4

document 18:10,13,15

documented 29:23

door 32:13,25

dot 28:5

doubt 24:3

dozens 40:8

drivers 32:19

due 33:10

duties 42:2

Ε

Eastern 4:6 50:20

economic 33:16,21 36:9

education 29:19 30:15 36:3

effect 33:17

effectively 32:14 33:12 38:18

effects 30:18

elaborate 25:23

elementary 41:16

email 6:22 7:1 15:10 28:20 50:3,

11

email-- 13:19

embarrassing 26:25

emergency 36:23 37:4,19

empanel 46:18

empaneled 40:8

empaneling 43:5

employees 7:15

encourage 42:3,13,22 44:25

46:15

encouraging 45:20

enforcement 33:2,22

engage 26:7 31:4 43:9

engaged 26:11 46:23

English 35:22 36:4,6

ensure 25:10 38:17 44:23

ensuring 48:22

entire 10:12

entitled 13:24

entry 30:3

equal 40:12 46:9,18

equally 42:16

equity 30:14 49:1

eroding 32:10

erosion 33:15

Espaillat 30:9 38:7

essential 36:4,9

excellent 7:20

Excuse 16:8

executive 24:11,21,22,23,25

25:2,4 26:2 30:7 31:14

Executor 24:17

experience 30:12

expert 23:5

Index: experts..guess

experts 25:25 33:11
explaining 8:4
explicitly 32:8
extend 12:3

F

facilities 30:1

fact 18:17 22:13 23:4 27:4

fails 21:2 failure 26:12 fair 7:19

fairly 12:7

fairness 49:1

faith 42:16 46:21

fall 15:15

families 29:17 33:8,17

family 29:24 35:2

fantastic 22:12 father 32:20

favor 21:23 25:18

favorable 23:7

fear 29:21 33:6,10,19 44:15

fearful 34:23

February 9:18 13:14 15:11

federal 5:20 34:10

Federalist 28:12 feedback 5:5

feeling 34:23

feels 43:6 46:14

fellow 28:8 31:3

felt 34:25

figure 38:2

fill 14:7 43:8 44:15,20 46:11 47:18

filled 42:1

filling 40:18 44:12,24

final 49:5

Finally 49:4

fine 9:9

finish 47:4

fire 24:13

first-hand 32:16

first-year 17:25

floor 30:24

Flores 30:25 37:2,21

folk 14:11

folks 29:10,11 45:11

follow 16:4,16,17,18

form 8:16 28:25

formal 7:8 8:13,16 12:5,13 16:23,

25 17:22 18:12 28:25

formally 34:4

fortunate 30:6

forward 27:11 29:11 35:11 42:7,

14 44:17 48:24 50:1

forwarded 50:12

Fourth 31:25 32:11

Fragosa 43:14

free 29:20

Friday 4:7

friend 23:4

front 29:13

fulfill 42:2

full 21:23 36:23 44:22

full-time 17:16

fully 35:16

functional 42:17

functioning 41:22

functions 42:3

G

Gail 42:10

Ganz 5:17 6:24 7:2 9:17 12:21

13:13

GAO 25:9

Garay 30:7,11 31:10,11,14 36:2

38:5

Garza 4:3,12,17,19,21,23,25 5:2, 4,11,14,18,22 6:5,12,15 8:1 9:1,4, 9,12,21,25 10:3,7,13,17,23 11:2,

5,8,16,20,25 12:16 13:22 15:8,17, 21 16:3,8,10,14 17:5 18:5,23 19:7,9,12,14,19,24 20:5,12,16,18, 20,22 21:1,5,11,13 26:11 27:15 28:2,16 29:7 31:12 34:15,17,20 35:18 36:13 37:24 38:9,12,24

39:4,13,19 40:10,20,22 41:5,17 42:11,25 43:11 44:8 45:5,24 46:4 47:11,15,20,23 48:4,6,9,11,13,15,

17 49:4,16,20 50:6,15

gather 34:6

gavel 10:4

General 6:23 7:2 8:25 9:20 12:19,20 13:10 15:10 16:6,13

generation 35:3

generations 29:12

gentleman 22:16

Georgia 38:20 47:25

Gilchrist 4:19,20 12:15,17,18,22 13:3,8,18 19:7,8 21:9,12 38:23

48:6,7

give 9:14

good 4:3 11:22 25:10 26:9 42:14,

16 46:21 49:10

GOP 40:16,18

Gorsuch 28:4

government 16:15 28:6 32:5

37:10

granted 37:12

grapevine 18:1

great 22:7,17 24:10 35:15

greater 24:21

greenlights 32:12

Guam 38:21 47:25

guarantee 32:1

Guardian 33:11

quess 19:13 26:13 40:7

Index: guests..jobs

guests 31:4 independence 23:13,14 25:10 home 48:20 guys 44:3 49:15 honoring 40:14 indication 12:8 indiscriminate 32:13,25 hope 26:23 31:4 42:21 Н hoped 30:22 individual 41:22 individuals 47:24 48:25 hoping 22:2 half 25:5 hot 24:18 infrastructure 30:16 halt 23:22 **House** 7:4.9.11 8:6.17 9:19 12:6. iniunction 37:15 **hands** 9:19 11,13 17:6,14 18:17 27:22 28:25 inquiry 20:3 45:4 happen 35:7 50:4 inside 22:11 happened 18:3,16 22:15 housing 30:15 institutions 27:4 happening 43:2 Humphrey's 24:17 instrumental 48:21 **happy** 16:4 22:24 34:11 49:14 50:1 Т **intend** 23:16 harassment 33:10,19 **intent** 18:19 **i's** 28:5 **hard** 44:2 interest 49:11 **ICE** 32:13,25 hardworking 7:19 **interested** 49:21,24 idea 19:10,21 22:13 43:4 he'll 25:16 interests 27:10 identified 13:4 **head** 17:17 **interim** 38:16 **II** 29:5 headquarters 4:8 interlocutory 36:22 **III** 36:3,8 heads 24:9 interpretation 23:2,6,8 illegal 12:23 13:10 healthcare 29:18 intervention 13:1 immigrant 35:6 healthy 7:16 investigate 31:19 immigrants 30:4 hear 15:14 31:10 investigation 34:5 35:20 immigration 30:2,13 32:22 33:4 heard 17:2 investments 30:15 impact 27:3 30:12 34:6 hearing 18:1 36:24 37:13 invite 32:8 impacting 31:6 **Heriot** 4:21,22 19:9,10,13,14,21 issue 8:9 25:1 27:7 33:21 34:9

21:15,18,19 27:16 28:9 39:9,10 41:4,15 42:9 43:11,13 44:10 45:25 46:24,25 47:13,15,17,22 48:9.10

hesitant 41:6

hesitated 15:18 highlight 30:19

highlighting 30:19

Hispanic 30:8,20 31:1,15 34:4 35:25 38:6

historically 32:3

hold 47:13

holding 41:23 43:25

implications 31:20

importance 35:24

important 24:24 28:7 30:5 35:9 36:11 39:18 42:20

impulse 18:9

inappropriate 25:15,20 27:13

Inauguration 6:20

include 7:7 10:22 21:7

including 24:12 25:11 32:17

incorrect 19:1

increased 33:13

incumbent 16:19,20

35:8,12 40:17 47:7

issued 37:7

issues 7:14,16 27:3,6 29:23 31:6

42:18.24

it's-- 15:20

item 29:8 38:13 49:5

items 5:23 18:24 21:3 50:17

It's 9:19

J

Jaiyah 38:22

jobs 29:19

Index: Johnson..motion

Johnson 38:20

joined 30:6,25

Jones 4:23,24 12:2 18:7,8,21 19:16,17 27:17 39:16,20,21 40:11 42:25 43:1 46:3,4,6 47:3 48:11,12

judicial 25:18 justice 28:4 49:1

Κ

Kennedy 38:20 Kilchrist 21:25

kind 35:5 40:15

Kirk 7:3

Kirsanow 4:25 5:1 6:10,21 19:19,20 20:7,15 21:9,24 22:6 48:13,14

knew 26:16

L

lack 18:19

landmark 32:5

landscape 30:18

language 17:13 35:20,24 36:4,6

lastly 34:8

Latina 34:25

Latino 5:24 29:6,10,13 30:13,18 31:6,17 32:15,19 33:5,24 34:7

35:25

Latino-majority 33:15

Latinos 32:11 34:22 35:20

Laughter 49:23

launch 34:5

law 16:5,11,14,16,17,21 23:5 24:14,15 25:11 28:7,8 33:1,22

36:16

laws 32:8

lawyer 17:14

lawyers 17:23 24:19 25:22

lay 7:15 18:23

leadership 25:13 27:12 30:9 38:7

leading 33:13

learners 36:4

left 40:4

legal 12:8 25:25 28:9

legalize 33:12

letter 8:14,17,18 9:16,17 12:14 16:23,25 28:25 29:1 31:22

letters 7:9 8:7,8

level 42:19 43:3

libelous 44:1

license 32:23

limited 35:22

lines 29:13

litigates 17:22

live 29:21 37:18,22

local 34:8 42:19

located 4:9

long 6:20 16:4 22:9,13 24:17,18 28:10 41:24

loose 23:21

Los 32:17

lose 10:11

lot 23:21 28:11 31:6 36:5 43:2 46:22 47:6,7

40.22 47.0,7

love 21:22 31:10

М

Madam 6:4,14 7:7 8:23 12:2,15 13:20 15:7 27:17 39:21 40:21 42:13

made 10:24 12:24 13:2,16 15:12 23:10 27:13 35:5

Magpantay 5:2,3 20:16,17

35:13,14,19 42:8,9,12 45:16 48:15.16

maintain 23:13

majority 14:2,6,14 17:19 48:18

make 7:20,24 14:22 22:7,16 38:14 41:22,25 42:5 44:19

making 41:1 49:25

Mapp 32:4

Marik 5:13 49:7 50:16

matter 21:25 50:22

Mcconnell 27:19

meaningful 48:24

means 25:9

measure 10:11

medical 33:10,18

meeting 4:5,7 29:5,9 45:8,17

50:14,20

members 14:2,4,7 25:5,25 30:21,22 31:16,22 32:18 35:2

mentioned 31:13 37:5

merits 36:23 45:9

method 13:15 15:12

mic 5:6

mine 23:5 47:5

minimum 18:14

minority-serving 27:4

misinformed 24:7

missing 41:21

mistake 46:1

misunderstanding 43:10

misunderstandings 21:21

Mitch 27:19

Monday 23:4

Monica 30:7 31:14

month 32:21

monthly 49:8

months 37:16 39:8,25 40:11 41:19 43:21 44:19

morning 4:3 49:10

motion 6:11,12 8:21 9:4 10:14 11:2,20 15:1 19:1,25 20:4,10,13 21:2,5,20 22:4,25 27:24 28:18 37:6 38:15,25 40:23 41:1,7,8

Index: motions..power

42:23 45:1,13,23 47:24 48:17

motions 6:2

move 6:6 21:4,6,22 29:7 35:11 42:14 44:17 46:21

moving 38:12 42:7

Mulder 6:8,18,25

multiple 35:3

Ν

named 47:24

names 39:7,23 40:1 41:18 44:18

nation 33:23

nationwide 30:13

Native 35:21

needed 17:8

neighborhoods 32:15 33:15

Ninth 37:9

Niz-chavez 28:5

Noem 31:21 32:9 34:6 36:15

nominated 6:17

nomination 6:25 13:2 23:25

nominations 12:24

nominees 25:19

non-executive 27:14

Northwest 4:9

note 24:10 25:21 31:3

notes 32:24

notice 14:15 17:22 18:1,4,12

Nourse 4:15,16 11:10 13:1,20, 22,24 14:19 15:3 17:12 19:5,6 28:2,3 31:12 36:25 48:2,3

number 24:24 33:7 40:12 46:9

numbers 46:18 numerous 16:25

0

occur 26:23

October 22:2

Office 8:18 25:25 29:1

officers 24:12,23 25:5 38:8

official 8:6 14:15 17:25

officials 34:8

Ohio 32:4

Oklahoma 38:19 47:25

open 31:8

opens 32:13,25

operate 32:6 38:18

operating 39:6

opinion 25:8

OPM 17:14

opportunity 12:3 31:13 34:13

38:8 44:8

oppose 42:23

opposing 22:23

opposite 41:13

order 4:6 8:22 9:5,22 10:14,16 11:3,14,21 19:2,25 20:11,14

25:16 28:18 31:21 32:9,11,18 36:22 37:1,7,11 43:7

orders 28:15

organizations 34:8

ousted 22:21

outlined 26:20

outlines 35:5

overrule 11:6

oversight 21:2

overview 30:17

Ρ

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 4:1

pact 46:7,12

Parliamentarian 5:16,18

parliamentary 10:11 20:2 41:12

45:3

part 15:4 21:2 25:11

participation 33:16

party 23:12

pass 26:16

passed 22:25

passes 48:18

past 23:4

pay 23:22 24:12

payment 25:17

pending 27:24

Pennsylvania 4:9

people 25:14 29:20 40:3 43:15,

22 50:4

percent 33:5

Perdomo 31:21 32:9 34:6

person 30:23

personally 35:1

Personnel 7:5 8:17 29:1

persons 13:11

perspective 30:20 40:13

Pete 21:8,24 22:5

Pete's 22:9 26:12

petition 37:19

pick 41:2

place 4:8 14:9

plan 23:22 24:8

pleased 40:6

point 14:10 24:16 26:24 30:3

43:25

police 33:6

policies 8:12 30:12

policing 32:14

policy 30:2,25 48:23

position 6:20 7:12 23:8

positions 47:18

posted 5:20

posture 36:21

power 24:11,12,25 32:5

Index: powers..report

powers 28:12

practice 16:5,22 18:13 40:6

practices 16:18

precedents 32:10

precisely 19:23

predominantly 35:3

preliminary 37:15

premature 22:4

prepare 24:25

presence 4:14

present 4:16 5:9,12,13,15,16,17,

19

presentation 5:23 29:6,10

President 6:17 7:4,21 8:10 12:25 13:2,4,16 14:1,5,13 15:13,25 16:24 17:18 18:20 21:8 24:2,20

25:15 28:22

President's 6:7,25 21:24 22:24

24:11 25:12 27:10,11

Presidential 7:5 8:17 29:1

pressing 35:9

pretend 15:14

pretending 15:15

pretextual 43:7

prevailed 24:9

previous 22:20

previously 13:14 28:19

privilege 8:3

problem 7:13 22:25 23:9 44:5

problems 22:17

procedural 36:21 37:6

procedure 41:12 45:10

procedures 8:12

proceed 5:19 31:9

process 46:23

processes 28:6

professor 24:15 28:8

proficient 35:22

(202) 234-4433

profiling 32:9,12 33:13

profound 31:19

progressive 26:17

prohibits 25:4

prompt 26:13

proper 23:2 25:7

properly 27:22 38:25

proposition 19:22

protect 16:19

protecting 34:1

protection 32:1

providing 34:13

public 33:21

pulled 32:20

pursue 29:19

put 7:10 9:20 25:10 26:12

Q

question 10:18 11:11,12 12:20 13:9 15:16 17:11 18:6,25 19:3 20:8,12 34:15 35:17 36:2 37:3

39:2,13 45:14 47:21,23 49:19

questioned 32:21

questions 30:22 34:12,18,20

37:25 49:14

quickly 26:16

quorum 5:8

quote 28:4

quoting 23:22

R

racial 32:8,12 33:12

raised 45:17

ranging 29:24

reach 14:18

reached 17:5

read 13:21 14:11,12 15:4 17:12,

15

reading 15:9

ready 17:21 43:20

real 22:16 23:14 31:7

reality 15:25

realize 18:8

reason 22:23 23:15 24:1 26:15

27:25

reasons 25:23 27:20 28:18

receive 16:24 17:1,9,22 18:13

received 6:17,24 8:7,14,18 14:16

17:7,9 18:11,18 29:2 32:16 39:24

receiving 16:23 18:15

recent 28:15 31:20 40:11

recognize 47:16

recognized 21:18 39:20 42:11

45:6 46:3,5 47:5 49:17

recommend 22:14

recommendation 36:12

recommendations 34:9 35:23

reconsideration 46:15

record 9:20 13:21 15:5,10,22

17:10 31:23 39:7 41:5 47:14

50:23

red 7:14

reduce 33:14

reference 14:20

referred 14:24

reform 30:14

refused 47:19

Register 5:20

related 15:2

relief 37:20

remain 48:23

repeat 29:9

replacements 39:3

replacing 40:3,17

report 6:1 33:8 34:9 49:3,6,9,13

50:7

Index: reported..spoken

reported 32:18 33:11 reporter 5:9,10,11

reports 25:1 32:16

representation 29:16

representing 31:15

Republican 43:4 44:6 46:9,19

Republicans 26:19 47:18

request 8:10,14,19 9:1,22 28:22, 24 29:2 34:5 37:20

requested 7:6 17:6

requesting 7:9

requires 8:10,12 14:12 17:25

18:12 28:22

requiring 12:9

residents 33:2,5

resources 36:5,8

respect 14:23

respond 34:2

responded 6:24

response 7:8 30:21 34:16,19

36:19

rest 6:23 18:11,24 44:21

restate 19:22

restraining 37:7,11

retaliating 23:18

reveal 33:4

ridiculous 43:24

rights 4:6 5:24 16:20 23:15 27:8 29:6,11,14,15 30:3,18 31:17,20

33:20 34:1,3,7 48:23

Rochelle 4:11 26:11

roles 44:14,24

roll 4:13 10:17 11:8 48:1

Ronald 7:3

Rubi 30:25

rule 8:21 16:11,14,16,17 19:6

28:7,17

ruled 9:4,22 10:14

rules 26:3

ruling 10:16,24 11:12,13 19:1,3, 18,24 20:1,13 32:25 37:3,6

running 7:14

runs 32:9

S

SA 6:22

SACS 43:15,21,25 44:7

Saelua 38:22

safeguarding 36:7

safety 33:21

salary 25:18

Samoa 38:21 47:25

sanctioning 32:14

Scalia 24:15

scared 34:25

scheduled 26:15

school 27:3 33:9

schools 33:14,18 36:5

searches 32:2

seconded 21:12 39:1

seconds 28:3

Secretary 25:17

Section 13:25 14:1

securing 30:14

seek 14:13 37:10

seekers 29:25

seizures 32:2

self-sufficiency 36:10

Senators 25:16

send 12:13

senior 23:23 24:13

separate 35:17

separation 28:11 29:24

September 4:7

serve 7:1 13:5 17:17

serves 30:7

shadow 28:15 36:25 37:4

share 30:17 35:1

shielded 32:3

shocking 44:3

showing 32:22

side 39:25 40:12,16 43:18,24

44:6 47:9

sides 46:8

silent 13:15 15:11 16:7,13

simplistic 18:16

simply 29:21

simultaneous 13:7 41:14 45:15

46:2 50:8

sir 13:18

skis 27:9

small 24:4

Smithsonian 25:21 26:3

Society 28:13

solely 33:6

sort 41:3

sought 37:10

sounds 5:4 15:19

Southern 32:24

Spanish 35:2

speak 9:14 29:22 31:13 35:2

speaking 13:7 16:8 34:22 41:14

45:15 46:2 50:8

speaks 5:5 18:18

special 7:4 14:19 22:9 39:24

43:14 46:13,22

specific 13:15 14:20 15:12

speed 21:23

spend 24:4

spent 28:11

spiked 33:7

spoke 36:16

spoken 26:14

Index: stability..U.S.

stability 36:9

staff 5:12 6:1,8,18,22 7:1,2,20,24 12:6,12 13:6 14:18,21 15:2 16:1 17:5,13,15,16 18:14 22:1,12,15 27:22 43:3 49:3,5,7,8,13,22 50:2, 7,16

staffing 7:15 staffs' 25:17 stagnant 44:16

stand 11:13 17:21 23:24

standing 19:4,18

stands 11:13 19:6 20:1,13

start 4:13 48:1 **started** 4:4

state 5:25 21:5,22 32:4 38:10,16, 19,20 39:6 40:8 42:1,15,17,19 43:6 44:12,21 46:10,17 48:25

stated 8:8 13:13,14 28:20 44:11

states 12:25 25:16 32:7 35:21 41:20

status 5:23 29:6,10 32:22

statute 8:10,12 12:14 13:21 14:18 15:4,11 16:10,12,17,22 17:13 22:22 23:3,6 25:10 28:21 42:5

42.5

statutes 14:11

stay 37:10 44:16

Stephen 21:9,25 22:16

Stephen's 26:12 **steps** 37:13 38:3

stop 25:17

stopped 33:6

stops 32:13,19 33:1

straight 37:19 strategizing 24:4

strip 30:3

strongly 7:11 26:14 46:14

struck 46:8 struggle 29:14 struggles 29:15 students 29:19

submit 31:22

suddenly 43:22

Suffice 23:20 25:23

suggested 23:6

suggestions 26:10

support 7:23 27:2

supporting 27:11 28:10

Supreme 28:14 31:20 37:3,12

surprise 40:2 Surveys 33:4

suspect 26:15 35:5

suspicious-less 32:14

T

table 40:23 41:2,8 42:23 45:2,13, 23

tabled 45:7 tabling 45:9

Taijeron 38:21

taking 4:8

talk 23:21

talking 25:22 28:11

targeted 29:21

targeting 32:19

taught 24:13

teach 14:12

temporary 37:7,11

Ten 46:25 tend 19:20

terminate 23:24

terms 22:19

terrific 7:24

territories 41:21

Terry 32:4

testimony 34:6

Texas 33:3

thereof 18:19

thing 18:17

things 15:10 22:15 24:24 25:9 35:24 44:11 46:16 49:25

thought 45:16

thoughtful 25:14 26:22,23 31:5

thoughts 35:23

threat 15:24

threatened 23:18

threatening 15:19,22

threats 23:10,20 24:2 26:20

27:13

thwarted 43:18

time 4:6 7:22 22:13 23:16 24:4,18 28:10,11 39:11 41:2,24 43:7,23 45:19,20 46:25 49:11 50:21

times 17:1 32:21 43:15 47:1

Title 36:3,8

today 5:22 17:3 30:6,23 31:2 34:14 42:4 44:9 50:18

today's 5:19 30:5

token 25:19

told 26:8

tolerated 45:19

top 24:3

topic 24:18 26:8

transportation 30:14

Treasury 25:17

treat 27:7

treatment 29:25

true 8:24,25

Trump 7:21 24:2

trust 33:1,22

turn 5:5 10:4 49:6

Typically 8:15

U

U.S. 4:5 27:8 32:20 34:2 37:8

Index: U.S.C...years

U.S.C. 13:14,25

ultimately 27:10

unable 30:23

uncles 35:6

unconstitutional 25:3

undermining 33:22

underserved 30:16

understanding 39:11,22

unequal 29:25

United 12:25 25:16 32:7 35:21

unlike 14:10

unreasonable 32:2

unwilling 12:11

upheld 37:9

uplift 30:16

uptick 32:19

urge 31:18

USCCR 13:17

V

vacancies 40:4,16,18 43:8 46:12

vacancy 6:19 7:16,22 14:7 15:2

valid 8:19 29:2 32:22

Vasquez 31:21 32:9 34:6 36:15

vehicle 32:19

versus 31:21

vet 43:23

veto 43:3

Vice 4:15,16 11:10 13:1,5,20,22, 24 14:3,8,16,17,19 15:3 17:12 19:5,6 21:7,9 22:17,18,21 26:21 27:23 28:2,3 31:12 36:25 37:5

48:2,3

view 46:7

violation 46:7

voices 48:21

volumes 18:18

vote 5:25 7:7,23 8:20 9:11 10:5,8,

17 11:6,9 12:23 13:11 16:2,4,24 17:21 18:9 20:4,10,19 21:7,23 22:24 23:11,16 25:18 26:13 29:3, 4 38:10,13 40:25 41:7,9 42:4,20, 22 45:1,11,18,20 48:1,17

voted 7:21 21:19 45:9 46:1

votes 10:12 20:18 26:22 30:24

voting 11:24 19:22,23 27:1,18,25

29:15

vulnerable 32:3

W

waiting 24:16

wanted 14:22 25:2 35:23

wanting 15:18

warned 32:8,12

Washington 4:10

ways 23:18 36:7

weeks 36:17

White 7:3,9,11 8:6,17 9:18 12:6, 11,13 17:6,14 18:17 27:22 28:25

50:4

whoa 18:2

Wilkins 38:19

withdraw 45:23

wonderful 43:17

wondering 12:10

word 6:17

work 7:16 23:12 27:5 35:4,7 42:6, 14 43:15,17 44:20 47:6,7

workers 29:18

working 22:5 35:19 44:2,10 50:2

workplaces 33:14,18

world 22:6

worry 35:6

Wow 20:6

write 36:15

writing 28:13

written 18:4 28:25

wrong 26:5 39:23 41:16 43:2

wrongdoing 26:11

wrote 9:17

Χ

Xavier-brier 49:8,10,24 50:11,16

Υ

year 6:20

years 22:6,10 24:15 28:12 37:17