U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

+ + + + +

BUSINESS MEETING

+ + + + +

FRIDAY, APRIL 11, 2025

+ + + + +

The Commission convened at 1331
Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 1150, Washington D.C. and via Videoconference at 10:00 a.m. EDT, Rochelle Garza, Chair, presiding.
PRESENT:

ROCHELLE GARZA, Chair
VICTORIA NOURSE, Vice Chair
J. CHRISTIAN ADAMS, Commissioner
STEPHEN GILCHRIST, Commissioner
GAIL HERIOT, Commissioner
PETER N. KIRSANOW, Commissioner
MONDAIRE JONES, Commissioner
GLENN MAGPANTAY, Commissioner

MARIK XAVIER-BRIER, Acting Deputy Staff Director

STAFF PRESENT:

DONALD BATES, Webex Producer
PAMELA DUNSTON, Chief, ACSD
DAVID GANZ, Parliamentarian
TINALOUISE MARTIN, Director, OM
DAVID MUSSATT, Director, RPCU
JULIAN NELSON
ESSENCE PERRY
ANGELICA RORISON, Director, PAU
MICHELE YORKMAN-RAMEY

COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:
NATHALIE DEMIRDJAIN-RIVEST
ALEXIS FRAGOSA
JOHN K. MASHBURN
CARISSA MULDER
THOMAS SIMUEL
IRENA VIDULOVIC
STEPHANIE WONG
YVESNER ZAMAR

7

A G E N D A

I. APPROVAL OF AGENDA 5
II. BUSINESS MEETING
b. Presentation by Pennsylvania Advisory Committee Chair on The Rising use of Artificial Intelligence in K-12 Education c. Discussion and Vote on State Advisory Committee Appointments
III. MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS
TV. ADJOURN MEETING

P-	-R-	\cap -	· C -	- F	- F. –	- D-	- T -	-N-	G-	_ <
	Τ.	\circ	\sim			$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}$		ΤN	\cup	_

2	(9:35 a.m.)			
3	MR BATES: Good day and welcome to the			
4	U.S. Commissions on Civil Rights monthly business			
5	meeting. Today's conference is being recorded. At			
6	this time, I would like to turn the conference over			
7	to Chair Rochelle Garza. Please go ahead.			
8	CHAIR GARZA: Good morning everyone.			
9	We're going to go ahead and get started. This			
10	business meeting of the U.S. Commission on Civil			
11	Rights comes to order at 10:05 a.m., Eastern Time,			
12	on Friday, April 11, 2025.			
13	The meeting is taking place at the			
14	Commission's headquarters, located at			
15	1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC. I'm			
16	the Chair of the Commission, Rochelle Garza.			
17	I'd like to start with the roll call.			
18	So, please confirm your presence when I say your			
19	name. Vice-Chair Nourse?			
20	VICE CHAIR NOURSE: Present.			
21	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Adams?			
22	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Present.			
23	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Gilchrist?			
24	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: I'm present.			
25	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Heriot?			

1	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm here.
2	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Jones?
3	COMMISSIONER JONES: Present.
4	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Kirsanow?
5	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Here.
6	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Magpantay?
7	COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: I'm here. Good
8	morning.
9	CHAIR GARZA: Good morning. Everyone is
L 0	present based on that roll call. We have a full
1	quorum. Is the court reporter present?
12	THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, ma'am.
13	CHAIR GARZA: Yes, the court reporter is
4	present, has indicated they're present. Is the
15	acting staff director present? The acting staff
16	director has indicated he is present. Is the
L7	parliamentarian present?
8 .	MR. GANZ: I'm present, yes.
19	CHAIR GARZA: The parliamentarian has
20	indicated he is present.
21	I. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
22	CHAIR GARZA: Okay, so now we're going
23	to go ahead and proceed with today's agenda as
24	posted on the Federal Register.
5	Today we're going to consider the

following items: A presentation by District of
Columbia Advisory Committee Chair on Accessing
Services for Students with Disabilities in DC Public
Schools; followed by a presentation by Pennsylvania
Advisory Committee Chair on the rising use of
artificial intelligence in K-through-12 education.

And then the Commission is going to take up a discussion and vote on State Advisory Committee appointments, and we'll conclude with the Staff Director's Report.

So, are there any motions to amend the current agenda? Hearing one, we're going to go ahead and move on to the first agenda item.

II. BUSINESS MEETING

PRESENTATION BY DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA ADVISORY

COMMITTEE CHAIR ON ACCESSING SERVICES FOR STUDENTS

WITH DISABILITIES IN DC PUBLIC SCHOOLS

CHAIR GARZA: Today we're going to hear on the status of civil rights in the realm of education from our DC and Pennsylvania Advisory Committee chairs.

As many of you know, Advisory Committee serves as the eyes and ears on the ground to identify and elevate civil rights concerns from the local to the national level, their dedication to

uncovering inequality and recommending actionable solutions, is crucial for promoting justice and equality across our nation.

We are grateful to have the chairs of these committees as our guests to present upon the text of the reports that have been voted upon by a majority of their committees.

So, up first we're going to go ahead and hear from our DC Advisory Committee Chair Wayne

Heard, who's on the Committee's Report Accessing

Services for Students with Disabilities in DC Public Schools.

Thank you, Chair Heard, for being here with us today. And whenever you're ready, you can go ahead and begin.

MR. HEARD: Thank you all. Good morning. I'm Wayne Heard and I'm Chair of the Washington DC Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

Today, I'll be presenting our findings from our report, Accessing Services for Students with Disabilities in DC Public Schools.

This report was completed and voted upon by the Committee November 21, 2024, and our committee decided to study special education access

in DC, due to concerns raised in anecdotes, in articles, indicating the Office of the State Superintendent of Education ma overly rely on administrative complaints and lawsuits, also known as due process complaints, and apportioning special education and related transportation services within DC.

This may cause a discriminatory impact based on race, ethnicity, gender, and disability, on allocation of special education resources in the District.

Our committee was also concerned that marginalized and at-risk DC communities with less access to monetary funds, attorneys, inability to file lawsuits to mount these due process challenges, could be significantly harmed by this approach.

This could create a disparate impact based on the protected classes mentioned above.

This is important because not only is their finding of these issues along these lines in the District of Columbia, these are issues nationally as well, especially within the transportation component of our inquiry.

Through our public hearing and witness testimony, our report found chronic underfunding of

special education services, starting with the Individuals with Disabilities Act, or the IDEA.

The IDEA mandates that any state or public agency receiving federal funds must ensure that every child with a disability in their state has the right to a free, appropriate public education, in the least restrictive environment.

When passed in 1975, Congress promised to allocate forty percent of federal funding for states that agree to follow the IDEA.

However, to date the funding received from the federal government has varied between fourteen and eighteen percent.

DC's dispute resolution system also places the burden of accessing special education services on students and their families.

Our committee presents a series of recommendations. Several of them: (1) Congress should fully fund IDEA; (2) Congress should consider expanding the Family Medical Leave Act to include key IEP meetings and related events to provide services for students with disabilities, as paid leave for parents and caregivers.

We do ask, in our third recommendation, that you all -- this Commission -- consider taking

up this issue and examining how to best implement our recommendations locally and broadly.

We do appreciate the work that you all are doing. I know you all are studying teacher shortages and the impact on these students as well.

Since our report was published, we have received some feedback, to say the least, but very positive feedback.

In the next steps for our committee, we are currently considering one or two additional hearings to help form the basis of an addendum report.

We imagine that those points that our

addendums report will center around, is:

(1) requesting feedback from stakeholders on our report published. We want to know what we did well, what points we should have explored more, and then the second point likely will be what input, if any, will the proposed shutting of the U.S. Department of Education and the transfer key oversight and facilitation functions to other agencies, have on students with disabilities accessing these vital

I would like to thank you all, thank our committee members that are here today -- some are

services.

here, some are joining virtually -- and also the staff. I can't thank the staff enough. Our committee found that our DFOs, our support staff, were incredibly helpful as we navigated this process.

I want to thank the public stakeholders, special advocacy groups, educational facilities that invited us in, and then government offices.

I don't want to list everyone, at the risk of leaving someone out, but I will say the District of Columbia is unique in how local government is structured.

Obviously, there's some limited home rule, but everyone has obviously created a collaborative process. They understand that we want to work to try to elevate this cause for progress.

CHAIR GARZA: Well, thank you very much for your words here. It's a topic that we are exploring through our teacher shortages investigation led by Commissioner Gilchrist. So, thank you for presenting.

We're going to open up questions at this time. And I've been indicated that Commissioner

Jones would like to ask a question.

COMMISSIONER JONES: Thank you, Madam

Chair. Thank you for being here today and for your important work.

I want to hear more about the report.

So, I would love to hear more about these due process complaints, the way that the report originated, what were the facts leading up to the opening of this investigation and the report.

And could you talk about some of the findings? You mentioned recommendations in your report, but I'd love to know what were some of the factual findings that you made.

MR. HEARD: So, this report kind of originated -- our committee in 2023 were leading up to that. We were considering different topics.

There was a Law Review article from
University of District of Columbia Law Review that
indicated that at the time DC had the highest number
of due process complaints in the country, and that
probably before that and since then, has really kind
of hovered at the top of that.

When you look at the nature of the population, the students impacted, it's a disproportionate amount.

Also, from personal matters with members on our committee that had gone through this, our

Vice-Chair Craig Lean shared his family story about how there was -- somewhat when he went to access these services for his daughter, there was more of an invitation to sue.

Basically, the school system said, well, if you sue us, you'll probably get this done. And we heard that same testimony from several parents.

mean when you referred to a due process complaint?

This idea that under the IDEA a FAPE -- a free and appropriate education -- is not being provided, and so you have to sue on due process grounds to get that relief?

MR. HEARD: Yeah, somewhat. So, the way this works is there's a series of steps to try to resolve these things, starting with a meeting with the teachers and different stakeholders within the school, to inform what the IEP -- Individualized Education Plan -- looks like for each student.

Parents may feel like, my child needs more, or they need less, or after IEP is put in place, what if something should develop where parents or caregivers feel like there's additional services needed. So, each step, it kind of resets the clock on each issue.

		I
	1	
4	2	
	3	
,	4	
,	5	
(6	
,	7	
;	8	
	9	
1	С	
1	1	
12	2	
1:	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
18	8	
1	9	
2	С	
2	1	
22	2	
2:	3	

24

25

Well, after you exhaust those processes, or depending on the length of time, there was kind of informally -- we heard testimony and personal experiences from our Vice-Chair -- that school officials would just say, if you were to sue us, we can kind of speed this up and you get what you need.

Well, that creates this huge disparate impact, because what about families that can't necessarily navigate the legal system, can't afford to navigate the legal system.

What about children in foster care.

Right? Those barriers. People where English is not their first language.

It created this huge issue that we decided to explore more, based off the UDC article, testimony, and all of those things were affirmed to be kind of critically true that we're hearing, especially in the transportation lens, somewhere like the District of Columbia.

Unfortunately, every school is not necessarily equipped with the services and the staff to provide these services. So, we saw transportation issues from students having to be bused as far away as Baltimore.

And in those issues, the bus showing up

late, parents not being able to track the bus -- and by late, I mean hours, sometimes. Hours, or -- say, well, it's an hour late or two hours late, or they're not going to be able to come at all.

And so, what does that do for a caregiver or a parent in their employment situation? But then also, critically, allowing these children to receive his education. When you're missing hours, days and weeks out of the school year due to that, that creates an issue.

So, those are some of the things that we kind of identified, that we heard over and over.

I will say that throughout this testimony, us gathering testimony, we also did kind of understand informally that this is something the District is obviously working on.

Seems like there's a barrier perhaps in resources that are available, and parents knowing those resources.

And I'd be remiss to not pointing out, especially on the transportation front, I believe in 2017 or such, it was the conclusion of a classaction lawsuit that had gone on basically under a consent decree for several years -- almost two decades.

And so, as we were undertaking our study last spring, another suit commenced on the transportation lens.

So, this is clearly an issue that is impacting the District of Columbia and the students and its families.

So, in the recommendations, our committee sought to be innovative. To back up, we understand throughout our committee, life experience, professional experience.

We wanted to not make this a "gotcha" process, or adversarial process. Some things can only be in the District of Columbia.

We wanted to basically provide a platform to assess and find out what the facts were, and make innovative recommendations based off that.

And so, those recommendations

considered: (1) fully fund the IDEA. That was

probably the largest that I think impacts most

students, not just in DC but across the country. It

creates another burden for local school systems.

(2) I think expanding Family Medical

Leave Act is something I highlighted in my testimony
today, because those are key meetings that must
occur to develop the basis of an IEP that then is

kind of the impetus of, are those needs being met?

If not, that triggers due process --

COMMISSIONER JONES: I'm sorry. Can you elaborate on how the Family Medical Leave Act applies to what you researched?

MR. HEARD: So, graciously, one local public charter school -- St. Coletta -- brought us in. We were able to meet with them and they collaborated with us. And then we also heard from parents as well.

Some parents were not able to meet necessarily with the proper officials in the local school, teachers included, to have those ongoing conversations and dialogue to resolve potential issues.

We heard resounding amount of testimony and statements. That is key to limiting things getting to an area where there could be litigation.

And so, if parents aren't able to do that because of work circumstances or whatever the case may be, that's a critical component of IDEA.

And so, considering what would that look like potentially, we understand that's a heavy lift to say, let's just create a new law. Especially something along those lines.

But we wanted to be thoughtful on how we proposed that. One of the other recommendations in our report immediately that would impact this region probably the most, was for the President to consider an executive order to expand coverage -- paid leave -- for government employees and federal government contractors, in the interim.

So, those are some of the recommendations that we found. On the local level --

CHAIR GARZA: I'm so sorry. Just to jump in here, because I want to clarify what you're saying. What you're really saying is you've got parents that are working, that can't leave work to go to the school to help their special needs child go through a program and ensure that they're able to get the kind of services they need for them to be successful in school.

MR. HEARD: Yes.

CHAIR GARZA: And that's the heart of it, isn't it? The parents cannot access it and that's the heart of the recommendation --

MR. HEARD: Yes.

CHAIR GARZA: -- is making sure that they have that ability, and that FMLA is a vehicle

for ensuring that protection.

MR. HEARD: Yes.

CHAIR GARZA: Okay. I didn't mean to cut you off. Please continue. I just wanted to clarify, because I think the public really needs to understand these things in plain terms, and understand that the way that policies are made deeply impacts their ability to live and receive benefits that they deserve. Right?

Especially, our children are a very particular population that is particularly vulnerable.

I've made no secret that I grew up with a sibling with disabilities. My oldest brother Robbie had a brain injury during childbirth. And it's so critical to ensure that families get the support that they need, that these children get the support that they need.

And just want to make sure that the American public understands these things in plain terms. So, didn't mean to cut you off. Go ahead and continue, please.

MR. HEARD: And additionally, from a local government standpoint, we suggested a few considerations for policy implementation. As

2.4

recently as this week, we've gotten some communication with local government.

I will say DC is unique from most local governments in that any law that is passed between council and the Mayor, is subject to congressional review before it actually is implemented.

So, when we look at the number one recommendation, Congress fully funds the IDEA, additionally, whatever DC local government were to implement to help out OSSE or DCPS, would still be subject in appropriation of their funding, to congressional review.

CHAIR GARZA: One other thing I wanted you to dig into a little bit is the funding for the IDEA. You said that it's at fourteen percent?

MR. HEARD: It varied between fourteen

CHAIR GARZA: And is that specific to

the DC school system, or just across the board?

and eighteen percent.

MR. HEARD: Across the board. Across the board. So, when you apply that to DC public schools, especially the legs that we kind of found from this report when you combine that with the transportation issue, the pie-in-the-sky students would not have to be bused upwards of two hours away

1	if schools within the District had what they need
2	staff, teaching, everything for this.
3	So, that funding can make probably
4	likely, we'd imagine, make a large difference, and
5	from the top down, across the United States, but
6	definitely here in the District of Columbia.
7	CHAIR GARZA: So, fully funding it would
8	help resolve this problem.
9	MR. HEARD: Yes. That's what we
10	believe.
11	CHAIR GARZA: Okay. Are there any other
12	questions from Commissioners? Commissioner
13	Kirsanow?
14	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thanks very much
15	for the presentation. What do you think is the
16	population, the number of individuals affected by
17	this in DC?
18	MR. HEARD: I'm not certain. I would
19	have to look into that.
20	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Okay. And what
21	do you consider to be fully funding?
22	MR. HEARD: The forty percent that was
23	guaranteed when the law was passed in 1975.
24	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yeah. Thanks.
25	COMMISSIONER JONES: Can you estimate

the number of people who are impacted in the DC
area? Number of students who are impacted by this?
MR. HEARD: Actually, give me one
moment. It definitely would be tens of thousands.
CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Magpantay?
COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: This is a great
report. I read it carefully and I'm sensitive to
the unique circumstances of the District of
Columbia.

Can I just ask, you made recommendations to the United States Congress, and I also see one to the Department of Education.

Under our authority as the Civil Rights
Commission to advise the President and the Congress
and his administration on civil rights and equal
opportunity, can I just ask the staff that we ensure
that their recommendations are transmitted to the
appropriate congressional committees, as well as to
the Secretary of Education, they full consider the
DC State Advisory Committee's recommendations, in
whole.

Thank you for the acknowledgment mark, our acting staff director, that that will be done.

Because I do think it is important when you do this work, to not just sit in a file, but to convey to

decision-makers who can actually enact the recommendations that you need. Thank you.

MR. HEARD: Thank you, Commissioner.

CHAIR GARZA: Vice-Chair Nourse?

VICE CHAIR NOURSE: Hello, Mr. Heard.

Thank you so much for all this work. It's really lovely to have you here. And I've lived in the District for many, many years. Unfortunately, I headed to decamp to Virginia when I was remarried. But I have great affection for the District and the work that you do.

I am concerned about the future. And
I'm glad you're doing another report. Could you
just clarify for us how you see development of the
Department of Education impacting DC, in particular?

MR. HEARD: To answer that, in March of this year the U.S. Department of Education announced an investigation into DC public schools, based off our report. So, I'm sure you all are aware of that.

We do hope to learn more about what that finds and yields. But I think the concern is the impact of shuttering those services, or transferring those services rather, to another agency.

Based off what I am seeing and hearing from our committee -- and we have not voted formally

to proceed, but I think that's where it will go -is what would that delay mean for students from the
oversight standpoint, what it would mean for
receiving necessary services from the schools as
well. I think that is the largest course that I'm
hearing, that we want to explore more and get
feedback from, as we kind of proceed with this
addendum.

CHAIR GARZA: I wanted to ask you if there was a piece of testimony, or an impact story that you heard throughout this process, that really illustrates the issue here?

Because I'm just thinking about the kids. Right? The kids that need to get to school, the families that need that structure to ensure that their kinds don't have a bad day.

I don't know how to say it other than that. Because a lot of times kids with special needs, they need structure, they need reliability, they need support, and the families need support.

So, I would love to hear, so you could bring it home for the public that are listening today, just how critical this is. And then also, if you can tell us -- I mean, this to me is a funding issue. And it's where we're putting our priorities.

Right?

We should be prioritizing them. So, I wanted to hear from you something that stuck out to you.

MR. HEARD: I will say the parents and caregivers, they gave us testimony. I applaud their transparency. That could not have been easy to do.

One of the things that we heard from multiple parents that I thought was admirable, is people of means -- a lot of attorneys are in the District of Columbia, a lot of professionals with great salaries, business owners -- we heard testimony from several that, very transparent, they had created an informal parent bar. They were giving those services to other parents.

But in that process, we heard testimony -- I think in May actually -- in 2024, where a parent, they did not know where their child was in the transportation route, for over two hours. The bus broke down.

So, in terms of special education services, disruption can wreak havoc. Right? So, think about if they're late at school, what happens during the day but on their way home from school.

They didn't know where their child was.

That means a child didn't know where their parent was, and there was a delay for over two hours.

And the only way that they found out is because of another parent. I think someone posted on Twitter, if I recall correctly, that the bus had actually been involved in a traffic accident. So, that was one thing that stood out.

The other component that stood out to me was the disruption in the actual educational setting.

What that means for parents when the children aren't getting what they need because they're not in the school, but actually when they are in the school, if children don't have a sense of safety, if they are not progressing — there were some findings that suggested that kids were kind of just being passed along.

And I don't want to put too much of people's individual testimony that's not included in the report, or things that people said kind of anecdotally in passing, but a lot of people reached out and expressed that that creates havoc for the child in their development and their sense of belonging, and their sense of progress in their immediate community.

1	So, it definitely is an issue here in
2	the District of Columbia, and then collectively as
3	well.
4	CHAIR GARZA: Thank you for that.
5	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Madam Chair?
6	CHAIR GARZA: Yes, Commissioner
7	Gilchrist.
8	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Thank you so
9	much. I want to thank Chairman Heard for what I
10	thought was a very informative report.
11	One of the things that really received
12	my attention was the personal testimony from
13	parents, as we were just discussing, particularly
14	the testimony from, I believe it was Ms. Mitchell.
15	I'm a dad of a school-aged child. And
16	to read how a parent witnessed their child being
17	bullied and harassed particularly the special
18	needs children and being targeted, was quite eye-
19	opening for me.
20	And a lot of times in our public schools
21	across this country, adults just don't seem to get
22	this aspect of what's happening to children.
23	When there's a cultural bullying and
24	harassment in school, I'm just curious to know, did

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

the committee make any recommendations on policy

25

changes to assist with the protection of some of our most vulnerable students when it comes to special needs?

MR. HEARD: Commissioner, collectively,
I think all the recommendations taken, I will say,
and highlight, we wanted to highlight the finding of
the dispute resolution system places the burden of
accessing these services on the parents.

Like, it says to the parents, you must go through this, but kind of strips the floor from under them.

Specific to bullying, we didn't address that directly. But we wanted to create the culture to eliminate that.

We did hear testimony from parents their fear of retaliation for raising these concerns.

That was something very real.

And I will say, as we continue and possibly hold additional hearings, one to two, we would like to invite more parents to give their testimony.

Since it was announced that the U.S.

Department of Education was going to use this report to investigate DC public schools, I have seen media reports and broad national publication of parents

locally, saying this is long overdue, and may have touched on issues of bullying.

And unfortunately, I believe I saw a report that a parent alluded to, they actually went to the school for the IEP process and the teacher deliberately didn't show up.

So, we want to explore that more, to add a complete fullness to this report.

COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: No, thank you for that. That's all the questions I had, Madam Chair. Thank you.

CHAIR GARZA: Thank you, Commissioner Gilchrist. Commissioner Jones?

COMMISSIONER JONES: I know we've got a full schedule today, but I just want to conclude by thanking you again for the important work that you did.

In recent months there's been a lot of talk about the ineffectiveness of government. And I think the important work that your committee has done has clearly made a difference, because it's gotten the Department of Education itself to open that investigation that you referred to.

And so, I think the DC Committee, the Advisory Committee to this body, is a prime example

1	of the important work that we do here at the
2	Commission.
3	And I hope I speak for all of us when I
4	say that we're going to continue to amplify the work
5	that you're doing.
6	CHAIR GARZA: Yeah, I'll agree with
7	that, Commissioner Jones. Thank you again.
8	COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: Madam Chair.
9	CHAIR GARZA: Go ahead, Commissioner
L 0	Magpantay.
L1	COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: Sorry, I'm not
L2	on the support, but if I may just make a request.
L3	So, under the enabling statute, it's a
L 4	reciprocal arrangement between the Commission and
L 5	the Civic Advisory Committees.
L 6	We accept your recommendations, and the
L 7	Federal Commission is also authorized to request
L8	that you can take up a matter.
L 9	A while ago, we heard from the Puerto
20	Rican and the Virgin Islands State Advisory
21	Committees, which are not states.
22	And we're one of the few or only federal
23	agencies that actually recognizes entities that are
24	part of the United States that are not states.
25	And so maybe this is also a question

for the staff. I would love -- and this is a request -- if you all would consider looking at what are the commonalities between the District of Columbia and the territories, as non-states, and is there something that the Congress should do with regard to our representation in the Congress in commonality with other states and your rights under the Constitution.

That is only a request, not a mandate. But would love to work with you all if you are interested in taking that up.

CHAIR GARZA: Thank you, Commissioner

Magpantay. I know you all have your process. Each

of our advisory committees has their process in

evaluating and looking at issues and coming to a

consensus on what they're going to investigate.

COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: My request is authorized under Section 4 of our enabling statute.

CHAIR GARZA: Great.

COMMISSIONER JONES: But to be clear, you're not speaking on behalf of the Commission when you say that, so you should feel no pressure to do that.

CHAIR GARZA: Yeah. That is not an official request of this Commission.

1	COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: That is an
2	undermining comment.
3	CHAIR GARZA: Okay. Well, thank you
4	very much for your presentation. I appreciate all
5	of your words, I appreciate the work that all of you
6	have done, and will look to hear more from you in
7	the future as you continue to investigate this very
8	important issue. Thank you for being here.
9	COMMISSIONER JONES: Thank you all.
L 0	Thank you.
L1	CHAIR GARZA: All right. So, with that,
L2	we're going to go ahead and hear from our
L3	Pennsylvania Advisory Committee Chair Steve Irwin on
L 4	the Committee's Report, the Rising Use of Artificial
L 5	Intelligence in K-through-12 Education. If you
L 6	would please step forward.
L7	PRESENTATION BY PENNSYLVANIA ADVISORY COMMITTEE
L8	CHAIR ON THE RISING USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
L 9	IN K-12 EDUCATION
20	MR. IRWIN: I'm on camera.
21	CHAIR GARZA: I believe you're on the
22	phone. Okay, great. You can go ahead. The floor
23	is yours.
24	MR. IRWIN: Okay, thank you very much.
25	Good morning, Chair Garza, Vice-Chair Nourse,

Commissioners. My name's Steve Irwin.

I've had the honor of serving on the Pennsylvania Advisory Committee to the U.S.

Commission on Civil Rights for the past twenty-five years.

First, I was a member, as many of you, and vice-chair, and for I believe the last eight years, as chair.

I'm here today to present our

Committee's Report, which was completed at the

conclusion of our most recent term in December 2025,

which is entitled, "The Rising Use of Artificial

Intelligence in K-through-12 Education."

Before I begin, I want to acknowledge our vice-chairs, our officers, and our dedicated committee members -- our term had come to an end -- many of whom are listening today, for their scholarship, their commitment, and their passion, which was augmented by the highly, eminently capable commission staff designated for Pennsylvania.

They're all listed in the report and I'm deeply grateful for their contributions.

So, why we took this on. Artificial intelligence has already entered K-through-12 education. While it offers promising tools --

helping teachers streamline tasks, personalized learning, and free up time for student interaction, it also introduces serious risks.

Teachers use AI to create lesson plans, to create grading rubrics, and even evaluate student work. Students use it to summarize texts or get writing help for students with disabilities.

AI can offer accommodations for students with disabilities, like text-to-speech, or virtual reality environments that make learning even more engaged.

Yet, we also heard compelling testimony from students with disabilities who reported that AI tools, like transcription, live-captioning, and voice-recognition, often fall short. These aren't yet reliable replacements for human support.

Most significantly, AI systems are not neutral. Bias in AI programming is well-documented and it's hard to detect, let alone fix.

As Dr. Beatrice Diaz of the University of Pittsburgh put it, "AI algorithms are opinions embedded in code."

And Dr. Hoda Heidari of Carnegie-Mellon's preeminent School of Computer Science added, "there's no such thing as an unbiased

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
_	0	
_	1	
_	2	
_	3	
_	4	
_	5	
-	6	
_	7	
_	8	
_	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	

23

24

25

algorithm. The best we can do is minimize harm."

The bias comes from, we learn, from

flawed data. Flawed assumptions were the human

choices embedded in algorithms.

In schools, these biases can reinforce discrimination -- quietly and quickly. Let me share a few examples that highlight the risks.

E-proctoring software. This kind of software has misidentified black and Asian students at rates ten to a hundred times higher than white students.

AI-driven placement systems can trap low-income and minority students into low-expectation academic tracks.

Predictive analytics tools have been used to flag at-risk youth using school data, often leading to increased surveillance and discipline for vulnerable students.

And devices that are issued to students who cannot afford their own, often come with monitoring software that invades privacy and enables disproportionate discipline.

We heard testimony showing that AI is being used not just to teach, but to track behavior, assign value, and in some cases predict future

criminality.

So, our findings. The committee broke down and identified two distinct uses of AI in education, K-through-12 realm: teaching students about AI, how to use it, how it works, and how to think critically about it.

That's not education. That's profiling.

And second, using AI to teach students -- to evaluate, monitor, or assess their progress. Now, it's fair to say that there was a consensus as to the first.

Teaching students how to understand AI is crucial to their future, but the second must be approached with great caution.

AI has the potential to improve education, but also to damage it. It can disrupt student-teacher relationships, reduce critical thinking, and widen the digital divide.

Many witnesses, particularly those focused on early education, urged a pause.

Algorithms can't teach empathy. They can't replace play, movement, or face-to-face connection. And for students living with trauma, no AI system can substitute for a caring adult.

Among our key findings was that the push

to introduce AI into classrooms is being led by industry, not educators.

Companies are developing products in search of markets, not necessarily in response to teacher or student needs.

That's why our committee urges that access to the K-through-12 education marketplace be contingent on proper design, proven efficacy, and civil rights protections. Procurement can be a powerful tool if it's used wisely.

Let's talk for a second about current gaps in oversight.

We found that school districts often lack the resources and the expertise to safely implement AI tools.

As IBM's first global chief AI officer told us, "local school boards simply aren't equipped to handle this alone."

We heard from Luke Bilger of the Philadelphia School District, which has taken a thoughtful approach. AI is not used in instruction there yet. Only vetted tools with strict data privacy agreements are allowed.

And an internal oversight committee brings together educators, legal teams, and IT

staff, to evaluate next steps.

It's a model worth following.

Despite existing federal protections
like Title VI, Title IX, the ADA, and Section 4 of
the Rehab Act, AI is moving faster than enforcement.
Ed Tech companies can be considered school officials
under the Act, under the Family Educational Rights
and Privacy Act goals (FERPA) giving them broad
access to student data, often with no oversight.
That data's been sold, merged, or reused, with
little transparency.

Consider some troubling statistics:

Thirty-eight percent of teachers said their schools share sensitive student data with law enforcement.

Thirty-six percent report the use of predictive analytics to identify future criminal behavior, and one-third report the use of facial recognition to regulate access to schools.

This has fueled a rise in police presence in Pennsylvania schools, which reinforces a deeply concerning trend, the school-to-prison pipeline, in which our advisory committee, you may recall, produced an excellent report that I had the honor of presenting to you several years ago.

Here's our key recommendation. So, we made twenty-five -- you can look at them in the report but I'm just going to highlight five.

Our advisory committee recommends that you, the Commission, call on government and industry to establish benchmarks for safety, efficacy, equity, and data privacy, before AI tools can be procured with public funds.

Two, acknowledge the long-term risks of unregulated AI in education, and commission a national study by you -- by the U.S. Commission -- to explore its civil rights implications.

Three, urge Congress to close the FERPA loophole that I mentioned, preventing ed tech companies from acting as school officials, without meaningful restrictions.

Number four, alert the Department of Justice to investigate the use of AI in school surveillance and disciplinary systems, especially where it intersects with juvenile justice.

And fifth, we recommend that you encourage Congress and the states to incentivize states and school districts to center human relationships in curriculum at these levels, even as they explore these new technologies.

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

As the learning instigators, Michelle
King wisely warned, "if we fall into the trap of
speediness, we might create the conditions for worse
programs. Our goal must not only be student
achievement, but human development."

In the end, we concluded that the
success, fairness, and safety of AI in K-through 12

success, fairness, and safety of AI in K-through 12 education depends on measured implementation and the active participation of educators, families, researchers, and students.

Philadelphia has shown a path forward when it's collaborative, cautious, and focused on student well-being. And I'm sitting in Pittsburgh, so me giving credit to Philly's a big thing.

The promise of AI is real. But so are the dangers. We get this wrong, it's the most vulnerable students who will bear the costs.

Thanks for your attention and for your continued commitment to civil rights in this rapidly evolving space.

CHAIR GARZA: Thank you so much, Chair Irwin, for your presentation. We're going to now turn to Commissioners for questions for Chair Irwin. Are there any questions? Who would like to be recognized? Vice-Chair Nourse?

VICE CHAIR NOURSE: Hello, Mr. Irwin.

Thank you so much for all your work at the

Pennsylvania Advisory Committee. And it's nice to

hear you again here at the Commission.

I thought this was fascinating. It's

I thought this was fascinating. It's no secret I'm a law professor. And we have been struggling at the graduate level with the use of AI.

But the idea that it's being used in kindergarten is just kind of shocking to me. Our work has shown that AI within the law is remarkably useless. Which is to say that it hallucinates, because it has a built-in set of assumptions to be helpful.

So, you can create entire law review articles that look like a law review article, but have no basis in reality.

So, I'm kind of shocked about this. If there's one thing we could do -- I know you have several recommendations, and I really like the benchmark idea.

But my question is, how do you get to the benchmarks? Do you have to go to the companies? Spin that out a little bit more. How would we get benchmarks that we could actually offer to companies? Or how would be develop that kind of

expertise?

MR. IRWIN: That's a really great question, Vice-Chair Nourse. And so, a couple of things. First of all, remember it's being used in two ways: in elementary, secondary, preschool even.

It's used to be able to create lesson plans, it's used to be able to do a lot of administrative work for teachers, that gives them more time to actually be with the children as well.

But there are kids from homes that have access to this on computers from second grade, third grade, and on. And not everybody has that access and not every school district is able to provide its students with the kind of devices that they would be able to experiment and use these tools.

In full disclosure, I got the wonderful guidelines from Chair Garza on how to present today, only a few days ago. And I've been pretty busy and wasn't able to get my presentation today down to my ten-minute limitation.

So, I just put it into AI and asked it to make it into a ten-minute speech, as opposed to a twenty-five minute speech. And it did it in literally about three seconds last night at 11:30.

So, I did read it carefully and made

sure it was all accurate. But I'm happy to -- I can't show you because you can't see me on camera. And by the way, I got dressed up for you guys today. I wish I were there in person.

 $\label{eq:CHAIR GARZA:} \mbox{ We wish you were here in }$ person too.

MR. IRWIN: Thanks. It's really an incredible tool. If used well, that's a good use of that tool. But as you alluded, AI has the ability to create its own law, its own interpretations.

A judge on the Pennsylvania Court of Judicial Discipline, and we watch very carefully what judges are doing, clerks are doing, in terms of producing opinions, and what they're relying on and what lawyers are relying on. And we've had some decisions in that regard as well.

And now I'll answer your question.

There are some really -- our report, we had five hearings, with four or five people who came and testified in person. You have the benefit of all of that testimony.

It's extensive. We had one year to do one report and it was our understanding that there was interest by the Commission in helping us lay the groundwork for you to do a more fulsome national

report. And we hope we provided you with some incredible people who are thinking about this.

I think what even industry recognizes is -- and IBM, of course, can afford to recognize it -- but not every startup is going to be able to think about this, because it's where the money is, to be able to sell these kinds of textbooks and databases to school districts.

They don't have the ability to do that kind of auditing, to see what the consequences are of using these tools in the classroom.

So, in the development there's a new deployment, there's coming back and checking to see whether it's working.

It's going to take time. The most important thing is that we don't go head-first and then clean up the mess. People are saying go, but go slow.

And there's a lot of good thinking out there, but it's expensive and school district's need to learn from each other, states need to learn from each other. And there's a role for the federal government in modern training, establishing these benchmarks.

CHAIR GARZA: Well, thank you for that.

Are there any other questions from Commissioners on this topic? Commissioner Magpantay?

COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: Thank you,

Chair Irwin, for your work on this. Same request of
the staff as before. You make a recommendation, the
Commonwealth's Advisory Committee makes a
recommendation to the Secretary of Education. If we
could be sure to convey the State Advisory

Committee's recommendations to the Secretary of
Education and what's left of the Department, so that
they can take up this matter, that would be
appreciative. That would be great. Yes? Thank
you, staff, for acknowledging that that can be done
and conveyed. Thank you.

MR. IRWIN: Thank you, Commissioner

Magpantay. Thank you for your friendship to the

Pennsylvania committee. You've been a great support

for us these many years.

CHAIR GARZA: Okay. Well, Chair Irwin,
I don't think we have any further questions for you.
I do want to commend you all on investigating
artificial intelligence. It's something that this
Commission has been endeavoring to investigate.

We more recently looked into facial recognition technology, and I think that these

emerging technology issues are going to have farreaching implications, and not just in education and criminal law. In various ways in our lives.

So, I appreciate you all being at the forefront and investigating this important issue.

And appreciate your service. Thank you.

MR. IRWIN: Thank you. Thank you very, very much for the opportunity to present today. And thanks for getting -- our term's ended, so reappoint for Pennsylvania as soon as possible. There's tremendous resources at the universities in Pennsylvania, on this topic in particular, and by appointing a great committee, which you did last time, we can do a lot of the hard work on the ground.

And the last thing I'll say, again, is that the support, the officer of need, the staff and the Commission, on twenty-five years that I've been involved with the Commission, has never been better. So, thank you very much.

CHAIR GARZA: Well, thank you for commending our staff. They work very hard, and I know that they shepherd you along in these projects, as well as us. So, I'm really happy to hear that and I'm sure the staff is happy to hear your praise

as well.

All right, we're going to move on to our next agenda item for the Commission. It is a discussion to vote on the package of advisory committee appointments.

DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

APPOINTMENTS

CHAIR GARZA: To move our discussion along, I'm going to go ahead and move that we appoint the following people to serve as members of the following advisory committees:

For Alaska, Carole Holley as Chair, along with appointees Jennifer Adams, Chad Emswiler, Nelson Godoy, Forest Haven, Cynthia Henry, Christina Love, Keith Manternach, Kathryn McCollum, Elizabeth Blaine-Seilotes, Alexander Toth, Jason Warfield.

For West Virginia, Adam Kissel, as

Chair, along with appointees Mark Adkins, Kristi

Dumas, Kent George, Roger Hanshaw, Emily Minick,

Fanica Payne, Jonathan (Zak) Ritchie, Jennifer Sano
Franchini, Stephanne Thorton, Sarah Wagner.

For Florida, Ebonni Chrispin, Chevalier Lovett, Brad McVay.

For Maryland, Georgia Coffey as Chair, along with another appointee, Thomas Dineen.

1	For Virginia, Nicholas Down.
2	All of these members will serve as
3	uncompensated government representatives, and if the
4	motion passes, the Commission will authorize the
5	staff director or his designee to execute the
6	appropriate paperwork for the appointment. Do I
7	have a second for this motion?
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Second.
9	CHAIR GARZA: A motion has been properly
10	made and seconded by Commissioner Heriot. Is there
11	any discussion?
12	Okay, hearing none, we're going to go
13	ahead and proceed to roll call vote. Please respond
14	with yes if you are in favor, no if you're opposed,
15	or if you are abstaining.
16	Vice-Chair Nourse?
17	VICE CHAIR NOURSE: Aye.
18	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Adams?
19	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yes.
20	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Gilchrist?
21	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Yes.
22	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Heriot?
23	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
24	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Jones?
25	COMMISSIONER JONES: Abstain.

1	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Kirsanow?
2	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
3	CHAIR GARZA: Commissioner Magpantay?
4	COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: Aye.
5	CHAIR GARZA: Okay. And I abstain. So,
6	two abstentions and six oh, hold on. Did I miss
7	someone? No, I did not.
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No, you got it.
9	CHAIR GARZA: Okay. Two abstentions and
LO	six yeses, motion passes. Congratulations to the
L1	folks that have been appointed to the State Advisory
L2	Committees. And as many of you are aware and as
L3	I've said earlier, our advisory committees are
L 4	instrumental in amplifying the voices of the
L 5	communities across this country, to ensure that
L 6	civil rights remain central to the policy
L7	discussions that we are having, and I look forward
L8	to the meaningful contributions of the newest
L 9	members of the State Advisory Committees.
20	So, with that, we are going to go ahead
21	and turn to our acting deputy staff director, Marik
22	Xavier-Brier. We're going to turn to you for the
23	monthly Staff Director's Report. The floor's yours.
24	III. MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS
25	STAFF DIRECTOR'S REPORT

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3

24

25

DR. XAVIER-BRIER: Good morning,

Commissioners. In the interest of time, I have

nothing further to add than what was already in the

Staff Director's Report. But if Commissioners have

any questions, please feel free to reach out and I'm

happy to answer whatever issues you may have. Thank

you.

CHAIR GARZA: All right. Well, thank
you for that. Prior to concluding today's meeting,
I'd like to take a moment of personal privilege.
I'd like to acknowledge Commissioner Magpantay for a
brief moment, whose intern is ending their time and
would like to acknowledge them.

COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: I just wanted to recognize George Washington, GW Washington Justin Cayago, who interned this spring, 2025, and supported my special assistant and myself, particularly the work that we did with language access and the research.

Justin, thank you so much for your service on behalf of the Commission, and I speak on behalf of the United States. Your work has been great. Thank you.

CHAIR GARZA: Great. Okay. Well, I think that concludes the business of the Commission.

So, we're going to -- actually, hold on.

I did want to acknowledge that we did have an Advisory Committee member from Guam who passed away. And I do want to note that for the record, Robert Klitzke, and I wanted to acknowledge that we had sent some condolences to him.

(Off-microphone comments.)

CHAIR GARZA: We did, yes.

PARTICIPANT: His family.

CHAIR GARZA: And his family, yes. He served on the Advisory Committee and has served the Commonwealth of Guam for many years.

IV. ADJOURN MEETING

CHAIR GARZA: Okay. With that, I'm going to go ahead and adjourn us. I believe we're ending at 11:00 a.m., Eastern Time. So, nothing further. I'm going to go ahead and adjourn us. Thank you.

(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 11:00 a.m.)

a.m 1:8 4:2,11 51:16,20 ability 18:25 19:8 43:9 44:9 able 15:1,4 17:8,11,19 18:16 42:6,7,13,15,19 above-entitled 51:19 abstain 48:25 49:5 abstaining 48:15 abstentions 49:6,9 academic 35:14 accept 30:16 access 7:25 8:14 13:2 18:21 37:7 38:9,19 42:11,12 50:19 accessing 3:4 6:2,16 7:10,21 9:15 10:22 28:8 accident 26:6 accommodations 34:8 accurate 43:1 achievement 40:5 acknowledge 33:14 39:9 50:11,13 51:2,5 acknowledging 45:13 acknowledgment 22:22 **ACSD** 2:2 Act 9:2,20 16:23 17:4 38:5.7.8 acting 1:14 5:15,15 22:23 39:15 49:21 action 15:23 actionable 7:1 active 40:9 actual 26:9 **ADA** 38:4 **Adam** 47:17 **Adams** 1:10 4:21.22 47:13 48:18,19 add 29:7 50:3 added 34:25 addendum 10:11 24:8 addendums 10:14 additional 10:10 13:23 28:19 additionally 19:23 20:9 address 28:12 adjourn 3:10 51:13,15 51:17 **Adkins** 47:18 administration 22:15 administrative 8:4 42:8 admirable 25:9 adult 36:24 adults 27:21 adversarial 16:12

advisory 3:4,5,7 6:2,5,8 6:15,20,22 7:9,18 22:20 29:25 30:15,20 31:14 32:13,17 33:3 38:23 39:4 41:3 45:6 45:8 47:4,6,11 49:11 49:13,19 51:3,11 advocacy 11:7 affection 23:10 affirmed 14:16 afford 14:9 35:20 44:4 agencies 10:21 30:23 agency 9:4 23:23 agenda 3:2 5:21,23 6:12,13 47:3 ago 30:19 38:25 42:18 agree 9:10 30:6 agreements 37:23 ahead 4:7,9 5:23 6:13 7:8,15 19:21 30:9 32:12,22 47:9 48:13 49:20 51:15,17 **AI** 34:4,8,13,17,18,21 35:23 36:4,6,8,12,15 36:23 37:1.15.16.21 38:5 39:7.10.18 40:7 40:15 41:7,10 42:21 43:9 Al-driven 35:12 **Alaska** 47:12 alert 39:17 Alexander 47:16 **ALEXIS** 2:7 algorithm 35:1 algorithms 34:21 35:4 36:21 allocate 9:9 allocation 8:10 allowed 37:23 allowing 15:7 alluded 29:4 43:9 **amend** 6:11 American 19:20 amount 12:23 17:16 amplify 30:4 amplifying 49:14 analytics 35:15 38:17 anecdotally 26:21 anecdotes 8:1 ANGELICA 2:5 announced 23:17 28:22 answer 23:16 43:17 50:6 applaud 25:6 applies 17:5 **apply** 20:21 appoint 47:10 appointed 49:11

appointee 47:25 **appointees** 47:13,18 appointing 46:13 appointment 48:6 appointments 3:7 6:9 47:5.7 apportioning 8:5 appreciate 10:3 32:4,5 46:4.6 appreciative 45:12 approach 8:16 37:21 approached 36:14 appropriate 9:6 13:11 22:18 48:6 appropriation 20:11 **APPROVAL** 3:2 5:21 **April** 1:5 4:12 area 17:18 22:2 arrangement 30:14 **article** 12:15 14:15 41:15 articles 8:2 41:15 artificial 3:6 6:6 32:14 32:18 33:12,23 45:22 **Asian** 35:9 asked 42:21 **aspect** 27:22 assess 16:15 36:9 **assign** 35:25 assist 28:1 assistant 50:17 **ASSISTANTS** 2:6 assumptions 35:3 41:12 at-risk 8:13 35:16 attention 27:12 40:18 attorneys 8:14 25:10 auditing 44:10 augmented 33:19 authority 22:13 authorize 48:4 authorized 30:17 31:18 available 15:18 **Avenue** 1:7 4:15 aware 23:19 49:12 Aye 48:17 49:4

B
b 3:5
back 16:8 44:13
bad 24:16
Baltimore 14:24
bar 25:14
barrier 15:17
barriers 14:12
based 5:10 8:9,17
14:15 16:16 23:18,24
basically 13:5 15:23

16:14 basis 10:11 16:25 41:16 **BATES** 2:1 4:3 bear 40:17 Beatrice 34:20 behalf 31:21 50:21,22 **behavior** 35:24 38:18 believe 15:21 21:10 27:14 29:3 32:21 33:7 51:15 belonging 26:24 benchmark 41:20 benchmarks 39:6 41:22 41:24 44:24 benefit 43:20 benefits 19:9 best 10:1 35:1 **better** 46:19 bias 34:18 35:2 **biases** 35:5 **big** 40:14 **Bilger** 37:19 bit 20:14 41:23 black 35:9 Blaine-Seilotes 47:16 board 20:19,20,21 **boards** 37:17 **body** 29:25 **Brad** 47:23 **brain** 19:15 **brief** 50:12 **bring** 24:22 **brings** 37:25 broad 28:25 38:8 broadly 10:2 **broke** 25:20 36:3 **brother** 19:14 brought 17:7 **built-in** 41:12 bullied 27:17 **bullying** 27:23 28:12 29:2 burden 9:15 16:21 28:7 **bus** 14:25 15:1 25:20 26:5 bused 14:24 20:25 **business** 1:3 3:3 4:4,10 6:14 25:12 50:25 busy 42:18

C c 3:7 call 4:17 5:10 39:5 48:13 camera 32:20 43:2 capable 33:19 care 14:11 carefully 22:7 42:25

advise 22:14

43:12
caregiver 15:6
caregivers 9:23 13:23
25:6
caring 36:24
CARISSA 2:8
Carnegie- 34:23
Carole 47:12
case 17:21 cases 35:25
cause 8:8 11:16
caution 36:14
cautious 40:12
Cayago 50:16
center 10:14 39:23
central 49:16
certain 21:18 Chad 47:13
chair 1:8,9,10 3:4,6 4:7
4:8,16,20,21,23,25
5:2,4,6,9,13,19,22 6:2
6:5,16,18 7:9,13,17
11:17 12:1 18:11,20
18:24 19:3 20:13,18
21:7,11 22:5 23:4,5
24:9 27:4,5,6 29:11 29:12 30:6,8,9 31:12
31:19,24 32:3,11,13
32:18,21,25 33:8
40:21,21,23 41:1
42:17 43:5 44:25 45:4
45:19,19 46:21 47:8
47:12,18,24 48:9,17 48:18,20,22,24 49:1,3
49:5,9 50:8,24 51:8
51:10,14
Chairman 27:9
chairs 6:21 7:4
challenges 8:15
changes 28:1
charter 17:7 checking 44:13
Chevalier 47:22
chief 2:2 37:16
child 9:5 13:20 18:15
25:18,25 26:1,23
27:15,16
childbirth 19:15
children 14:11 15:7
19:10,17 26:12,14 27:18,22 42:9
choices 35:4
Chrispin 47:22
CHRISTIAN 1:10
Christina 47:14
chronic 8:25
circumstances 17:20 22:8
۷۷.0

Civic 30:15 civil 1:1 4:4,10 6:19,24 7:19 22:13,15 33:4 37:9 39:12 40:19 **clarify** 18:12 19:5 23:14 **class-** 15:22 classes 8:18 classroom 44:11 classrooms 37:1 clean 44:17 clear 31:20 clearly 16:4 29:21 **clerks** 43:13 clock 13:25 close 39:13 code 34:22 **Coffey** 47:24 Coletta 17:7 collaborated 17:9 collaborative 11:15 40:12 collectively 27:2 28:4 **Columbia** 3:3 6:2,15 8:21 11:11 12:16 14:19 16:5.13 21:6 22:9 25:11 27:2 31:4 combine 20:23 come 15:4 33:16 35:20 comes 4:11 28:2 35:2 coming 31:15 44:13 commenced 16:2 **commend** 45:21 commending 46:22 comment 32:2 comments 51:7 **commission** 1:1,7 4:10 4:16 6:7 7:19 9:25 22:14 30:2,14,17 31:21,25 33:4,20 39:5 39:10,11 41:4 43:24 45:23 46:18,19 47:3 48:4 50:21.25 Commission's 4:14 Commissioner 1:10,11 1:11,12,12,13 2:6 4:21,22,23,24,25 5:1 5:2,3,4,5,6,7 11:20,23 11:25 13:8 17:3 21:12 21:14,20,24,25 22:5,6 23:3 27:5,6,8 28:4 29:9,12,13,14 30:7,8 30:9,11 31:12,17,20 32:1,9 45:2,3,15 48:8 48:10,18,19,20,21,22 48:23,24,25 49:1,2,3 49:4,8 50:11,14 Commissioners 21:12

33:1 40:23 45:1 50:2 50.4 Commissions 4:4 commitment 33:18 40:19 **committee** 3:4,6,7 6:2,5 6:8,16,21,22 7:9,18 7:24,25 8:12 9:17 10:9,25 11:3 12:13,25 16:8,9 23:25 27:25 29:20,24,25 32:13,17 33:3,16 36:3 37:6,24 38:23 39:4 41:3 45:6 45:17 46:13 47:5,6 51:3.11 Committee's 7:10 22:20 32:14 33:10 45:9 committees 7:5,7 22:18 30:15,21 31:14 47:11 49:12,13,19 commonalities 31:3 commonality 31:7 Commonwealth 51:12 Commonwealth's 45:6 communication 20:2 communities 8:13 49:15 community 26:25 **companies** 37:3 38:6 39:15 41:22.25 compelling 34:12 complaint 13:9 complaints 8:4,5 12:5 12:18 complete 29:8 **completed** 7:23 33:10 component 8:23 17:21 26:8 Computer 34:24 computers 42:11 concern 23:21 concerned 8:12 23:12 concerning 38:22 concerns 6:24 8:1 28:16 conclude 6:9 29:15 concluded 40:6 concludes 50:25 concluding 50:9 conclusion 15:22 33:11 conditions 40:3 condolences 51:6 conference 4:5,6 confirm 4:18 Congratulations 49:10 **Congress** 9:8,18,19 20:8 22:11,14 31:5,6

39:13.22 congressional 20:5,12 22:18 connection 36:22 consensus 31:16 36:11 consent 15:24 consequences 44:10 consider 5:25 9:19,25 18:4 21:21 22:19 31:2 38:12 considerations 19:25 **considered** 16:18 38:6 considering 10:10 12:14 17:22 Constitution 31:8 contingent 37:8 continue 19:4,22 28:18 30:4 32:7 continued 40:19 contractors 18:7 contributions 33:22 49:18 convened 1:7 conversations 17:14 convey 22:25 45:8 conveyed 45:14 correctly 26:5 costs 40:17 council 20:5 **country** 12:18 16:20 27:21 49:15 **couple** 42:3 **course** 24:5 44:4 court 5:11,12,13 43:11 coverage 18:5 **Craig** 13:1 create 8:17 17:24 28:13 34:4,5 40:3 41:14 42:6 43:10 created 11:14 14:14 25:14 **creates** 14:7 15:10 16:21 26:22 credit 40:14 **criminal** 38:17 46:3 criminality 36:1 **critical** 17:21 19:16 24:23 36:17 **critically** 14:17 15:7 36:7 **crucial** 7:2 36:13 cultural 27:23 culture 28:13 curious 27:24 current 6:12 37:11 currently 10:10 curriculum 39:24 **cut** 19:4,21

Cynthia 47:14			
D			
D 3:1			
D.C 1:7			
dad 27:15			
damage 36:16			
dangers 40:16			
data 35:3,16 37:22 38:9			
38:14 39:7			
data's 38:10 databases 44:8			
date 9:11			
daughter 13:3			
DAVID 2:2,3			
day 4:3 24:16 25:24			
days 15:9 42:18			
DC 3:4 4:15 6:3.17.20			
7:9,11,18,22 8:1,7,13			
12:17 16:20 20:3,9,19			
20:21 21:17 22:1,20			
23:15,18 28:24 29:24			
DC's 9:14			
DCPS 20:10			
decades 15:25			
decamp 23:9 December 33:11			
decided 7:25 14:15			
decision-makers 23:1			
decisions 43:16			
decree 15:24			
dedicated 33:15			
dedication 6:25			
deeply 19:8 33:21			
38:22			
definitely 21:6 22:4			
27:1			
delay 24:2 26:2			
deliberately 29:6			
DEMIRDJAIN-RIVEST 2:7			
Department 10:19			
22:12 23:15,17 28:23			
29:22 39:17 45:10			
depending 14:2			
depends 40:8			
deployment 44:13			
deputy 1:14 49:21			
deserve 19:9			
design 37:8			
designated 33:20			
designee 48:5			

26:23 40:5 44:12 **devices** 35:19 42:14 **DFOs** 11:3 dialogue 17:14 **Diaz** 34:20 difference 21:4 29:21 different 12:14 13:17 dig 20:14 digital 36:18 **Dineen** 47:25 directly 28:13 director 1:14 2:3,3,5 5:15,16 22:23 48:5 49:21 **Director's** 3:9 6:10 49:23,25 50:4 **disabilities** 3:4 6:3,17 7:11,22 9:2,22 10:22 19:14 34:7,9,13 disability 8:9 9:5 disciplinary 39:19 discipline 35:17,22 43:12 disclosure 42:16 discrimination 35:6 discriminatory 8:8 discussing 27:13 discussion 3:7 6:8 47:4 47:6,8 48:11 discussions 49:17 **disparate** 8:17 14:7 disproportionate 12:23 35:22 **dispute** 9:14 28:7 **disrupt** 36:16 **disruption** 25:22 26:9 distinct 36:4 district 3:3 6:1,15 8:11 8:21 11:11 12:16 14:19 15:16 16:5.13 21:1,6 22:8 23:8,10 25:11 27:2 31:3 37:20 42:13 district's 44:20 districts 37:13 39:23 44:8 divide 36:18 doing 10:4 23:13 30:5 43:13,13 DONALD 2:1 **Dr** 34:20,23 50:1 dressed 43:3 **due** 8:1,5,15 12:4,18 13:9,12 15:9 17:2 **Dumas** 47:19 **DUNSTON 2:2**

E 3:1 E-proctoring 35:8 earlier 49:13 early 36:20 ears 6:23 Eastern 4:11 51:16 easy 25:7 **Ebonni** 47:22 ed 38:6 39:14 **EDT** 1:8 education 3:6 6:6,20 7:25 8:3,6,10 9:1,7,15 10:20 13:11,19 15:8 22:12,19 23:15,17 25:21 28:23 29:22 32:15,19 33:13,25 36:2,5,16,20 37:7 39:10 40:8 45:7,10 46:2 educational 11:7 26:9 38:7 educators 37:2,25 40:9 efficacy 37:8 39:6 **eight** 33:7 eighteen 9:13 20:17 elaborate 17:4 elementary 42:5 **elevate** 6:24 11:16 eliminate 28:14 Elizabeth 47:15 embedded 34:22 35:4 emerging 46:1 **Emily** 47:19 eminently 33:19 empathy 36:21 employees 18:6 employment 15:6 Emswiler 47:13 **enables** 35:21 enabling 30:13 31:18 **enact** 23:1 encourage 39:22 endeavoring 45:23 **ended** 46:9 enforcement 38:5,15 engaged 34:11 English 14:12 **ensure** 9:4 18:16 19:16 22:16 24:15 49:15 ensuring 19:1 entered 33:24 **entire** 41:14 entities 30:23 entitled 33:12 environment 9:7 environments 34:10 equal 22:15 equality 7:3

equipped 14:21 37:17 equity 39:7 **especially** 8:22 14:18 15:21 17:24 19:10 20:22 39:19 **ESSENCE** 2:4 establish 39:6 establishing 44:23 estimate 21:25 ethnicity 8:9 evaluate 34:5 36:9 38:1 evaluating 31:15 events 9:21 everybody 42:12 evolving 40:20 examining 10:1 example 29:25 examples 35:7 excellent 38:24 execute 48:5 executive 18:5 exhaust 14:1 existing 38:3 expand 18:5 expanding 9:20 16:22 expectation 35:14 expensive 44:20 experience 16:10,10 experiences 14:4 experiment 42:15 **expertise** 37:14 42:1 **explore** 14:15 24:6 29:7 39:12.25 explored 10:17 exploring 11:19 expressed 26:22 extensive 43:22 eye-27:18 **eyes** 6:23 F face-to-face 36:22

facial 38:18 45:24 facilitation 10:21 facilities 11:7 facts 12:6 16:15 factual 12:11 fair 36:10 fairness 40:7 fall 34:15 40:2 families 9:16 14:8 16:6 19:16 24:15,20 40:9 family 9:20 13:1 16:22 17:4 38:7 51:9,10 Fanica 47:20 **FAPE** 13:10 far 14:24 far- 46:1

Ε

Despite 38:3

detect 34:19

41:25 **developing** 37:3

develop 13:22 16:25

development 23:14

fascinating 41:5 faster 38:5 favor 48:14 fear 28:16 federal 5:24 9:4,9,12 18:6 30:17,22 38:3 44:22 feedback 10:7,8,15 24:7 feel 13:20.23 31:22 50:5 **FERPA** 38:8 39:13 fifth 39:21 file 8:15 22:25 find 16:15 finding 8:20 28:6 findings 7:20 12:9,11 26:16 36:3,25 finds 23:21 first 6:13 7:8 14:13 33:6 36:11 37:16 42:4 five 39:3 43:18,19 fix 34:19 flag 35:16 flawed 35:3,3 floor 28:10 32:22 floor's 49:23 Florida 47:22 **FMLA** 18:25 focused 36:20 40:12 folks 49:11 **follow** 9:10 followed 6:4 **following** 6:1 38:2 47:10.11 forefront 46:5 Forest 47:14 form 10:11 formally 23:25 **forty** 9:9 21:22 forward 32:16 40:11 49:17 foster 14:11 found 8:25 11:3 18:9 20:22 26:3 37:13 four 39:17 43:19 fourteen 9:13 20:15,16 FRAGOSA 2:7 Franchini 47:21 free 9:6 13:10 34:2 50:5 Friday 1:5 4:12 friendship 45:16 front 15:21 **fueled** 38:20 full 5:10 22:19 29:15 42:16 fullness 29:8 fully 9:19 16:18 20:8 21:7,21

fulsome 43:25 functions 10:21 fund 9:19 16:18 funding 9:9,11 20:11,14 21:3,7,21 24:24 funds 8:14 9:4 20:8 39:8 further 45:20 50:3 51:17 future 23:12 32:7 35:25 36:13 38:17

G

G 3:1

GAIL 1:11

GANZ 2:2 5:18 **gaps** 37:12 **Garza** 1:8,9 4:7,8,16,21 4:23,25 5:2,4,6,9,13 5:19,22 6:18 11:17 18:11,20,24 19:3 20:13,18 21:7,11 22:5 23:4 24:9 27:4,6 29:12 30:6,9 31:12,19 31:24 32:3,11,21,25 40:21 42:17 43:5 44:25 45:19 46:21 47:8 48:9,18,20,22,24 49:1,3,5,9 50:8,24 51:8,10,14 gathering 15:14 gender 8:9 **George** 47:19 50:15 Georgia 47:24 getting 17:18 26:12 46:9 **Gilchrist** 1:11 4:23,24 11:20 27:5,7,8 29:9 29:13 48:20,21 give 22:3 28:20 gives 42:8 giving 25:15 38:8 40:14 glad 23:13 **GLENN** 1:13 global 37:16 **go** 4:7,9 5:23 6:12 7:8 7:15 18:15,16 19:21 24:1 28:10 30:9 32:12 32:22 41:22 44:16,17 44:18 47:9 48:12 49:20 51:15,17 goal 40:4 goals 38:8 **Godoy** 47:14 going 4:9 5:22,25 6:7 6:12,18 7:8 11:22 15:4 28:23 30:4 31:16 32:12 39:3 40:22 44:5

48:12 49:20,22 51:1 51:15,17 **good** 4:3,8 5:7,9 7:16 32:25 43:8 44:19 50:1 **gotcha** 16:11 gotten 20:1 29:22 **government** 9:12 11:8 11:12 18:6,7 19:24 20:2,9 29:19 39:5 44:23 48:3 governments 20:4 graciously 17:6 grade 42:11,12 grading 34:5 graduate 41:7 grateful 7:4 33:22 great 22:6 23:10 25:12 31:19 32:22 36:14 42:2 45:12,17 46:13 50:23,24 grew 19:13 ground 6:23 46:15 **grounds** 13:12 groundwork 43:25 groups 11:7 **Guam** 51:3,12 quaranteed 21:23 guests 7:5 guidelines 42:17 **auvs** 43:3 **GW** 50:15

44:15 46:1 47:2.9

Н

hallucinates 41:11 handle 37:18 **Hanshaw** 47:19 happening 27:22 happens 25:23 happy 43:1 46:24,25 50:6 harassed 27:17 harassment 27:24 hard 34:19 46:14,22 harm 35:1 **harmed** 8:16 **Haven** 47:14 havoc 25:22 26:22 head-first 44:16 headed 23:9 headquarters 4:14 hear 6:18 7:9 12:3,4 24:21 25:3 28:15 32:6 32:12 41:4 46:24,25 heard 7:10,13,16,17 12:12 13:7,14 14:3 15:12 17:6,9,16 18:19 18:23 19:2,23 20:16

20:20 21:9,18,22 22:3 23:3,5,16 24:11 25:5 25:8,12,16 27:9 28:4 30:19 34:12 35:23 37:19 hearing 6:12 8:24 14:17 23:24 24:6 48:12 hearings 10:11 28:19 43:19 heart 18:20,22 heavy 17:23 Heidari 34:23 Hello 23:5 41:1 help 10:11 18:15 20:10 21:8 34:7 helpful 11:4 41:13 helping 34:1 43:24 Henry 47:14 Heriot 1:11 4:25 5:1 48:8,10,22,23 49:8 **higher** 35:10 highest 12:17 highlight 28:6,6 35:7 39:3 highlighted 16:23 **highly** 33:19 Hoda 34:23 hold 28:19 49:6 51:1 Holley 47:12 home 11:13 24:22 25:24 homes 42:10 honor 33:2 38:25 hope 23:20 30:3 44:1 hour 15:3 hours 15:2,2,3,9 20:25 25:19 26:2 hovered 12:20 huge 14:7,14 human 34:16 35:3 39:23 40:5 hundred 35:10

IBM 44:4
IBM's 37:16
idea 9:2,3,10,19 13:10
13:10 16:18 17:21
20:8,15 41:8,20
identified 15:12 36:4
identify 6:24 38:17
IEP 9:21 13:18,21 16:25
29:5
II 3:3 6:14
III 3:8 49:24
illustrates 24:12
imagine 10:13 21:4
immediate 26:25

immediately 18:3 impact 8:8,17 10:5 14:8 18:3 23:22 24:10 impacted 12:22 22:1,2 **impacting** 16:5 23:15 **impacts** 16:19 19:8 impetus 17:1 **implement** 10:1 20:10 37:15 implementation 19:25 40:8 implemented 20:6 **implications** 39:12 46:2 important 8:19 12:2 22:24 29:16,20 30:1 32:8 44:16 46:5 **improve** 36:15 inability 8:14 incentivize 39:22 include 9:20 included 17:13 26:19 increased 35:17 **incredible** 43:8 44:2 incredibly 11:4 indicated 5:14.16.20 11:23 12:17 indicating 8:2 individual 26:19 Individualized 13:18 **individuals** 9:2 21:16 industry 37:2 39:5 44:3 ineffectiveness 29:19 inequality 7:1 **inform** 13:18 informal 25:14 **informally** 14:3 15:15 informative 27:10 **injury** 19:15 innovative 16:8,16 **input** 10:18 inquiry 8:23 instigators 40:1 instruction 37:21 instrumental 49:14 intelligence 3:6 6:6 32:15,18 33:13,24 45:22 interaction 34:2 interest 43:24 50:2 interested 31:11 interim 18:7 **intern** 50:12 internal 37:24 interned 50:16 interpretations 43:10

invades 35:21 investigate 28:24 31:16 32:7 39:18 45:23 investigating 45:21 46:5 investigation 11:20 12:7 23:18 29:23 invitation 13:4 invite 28:20 invited 11:8 involved 26:6 46:19 **IRENA** 2:9 Irwin 32:13,20,24 33:1 40:22,23 41:1 42:2 43:7 45:4,15,19 46:7 **Islands** 30:20 issue 10:1 13:25 14:14 15:10 16:4 20:24 24:12,25 27:1 32:8 46:5 **issued** 35:19 issues 8:20,21 14:23,25 17:15 29:2 31:15 46:1 50:6 item 6:13 47:3 items 6:1 IV 3:10 51:13

J **J** 1:10 Jason 47:16 Jennifer 47:13,20 **JOHN** 2:8 joining 11:1 Jonathan 47:20 **Jones** 1:12 5:2,3 11:24 11:25 13:8 17:3 21:25 29:13,14 30:7 31:20 32:9 48:24,25 judge 43:11 judges 43:13 Judicial 43:12 **JULIAN** 2:4 jump 18:12 justice 7:2 39:18,20 **Justin** 50:15,20 juvenile 39:20

IX 38:4

K 2:8
K-12 3:6 32:19
K-through 40:7
K-through-12 6:6 32:15
33:13,24 36:5 37:7
Kathryn 47:15
Keith 47:15
Kent 47:19

key 9:21 10:20 16:24 17:17 36:25 39:1 kids 24:14,14,18 26:16 kind 12:12,19 13:24 14:2,6,17 15:12,14 17:1 18:17 20:22 24:7 26:16,20 28:10 35:8 41:9,17,25 42:14 44:10 kindergarten 41:9 kinds 24:16 44:7 King 40:2 **Kirsanow** 1:12 5:4,5 21:13,14,20,24 49:1,2 **Kissel** 47:17 Klitzke 51:5 know 6:22 10:4,16 12:10 24:17 25:18,25 26:1 27:24 29:14 31:13 41:18 46:23 **knowing** 15:18 known 8:4 Kristi 47:18

L

lack 37:14 language 14:13 50:18 large 21:4 largest 16:19 24:5 late 15:1,2,3,3 25:23 law 12:15,16 17:24 20:4 21:23 38:14 41:6,10 41:14,15 43:10 46:3 **lawsuit** 15:23 **lawsuits** 8:4,15 **lawyers** 43:15 lay 43:24 leading 12:6,13 35:17 Lean 13:1 learn 23:20 35:2 44:21 44:21 learning 34:2,10 40:1 leave 9:20,23 16:23 17:4 18:6,14 leaving 11:10 led 11:20 37:1 left 45:10 legal 14:9,10 37:25 legs 20:22 length 14:2 lens 14:18 16:3 lesson 34:4 42:6 let's 17:24 37:11 level 6:25 18:10 41:7 levels 39:24 **life** 16:9 lift 17:23

limitation 42:20 **limited** 11:13 limiting 17:17 lines 8:20 17:25 list 11:9 listed 33:21 listening 24:22 33:17 literally 42:24 litigation 17:18 little 20:14 38:11 41:23 live 19:8 live-captioning 34:14 lived 23:7 lives 46:3 living 36:23 local 6:25 11:11 16:21 17:6,12 18:9 19:24 20:2,3,9 37:17 locally 10:2 29:1 located 4:14 long 29:1 long-term 39:9 look 12:21 17:22 20:7 21:19 32:6 39:2 41:15 49:17 looked 45:24 looking 31:2,15 looks 13:19

looking 31:2,15 looks 13:19 loophole 39:14 lot 24:18 25:10,11 26:21 27:20 29:18 42:7 44:19 46:14 love 12:4,10 24:21 31:1 31:10 47:15 lovely 23:7 Lovett 47:23 low- 35:13 low-income 35:13 Luke 37:19

М

ma 8:3 ma'am 5:12 Madam 11:25 27:5 29:10 30:8 Magpantay 1:13 5:6,7 22:5,6 30:8,10,11 31:13,17 32:1 45:2,3 45:16 49:3,4 50:11,14 majority 7:7 **making** 18:24 **MANAGEMENT 3:8** 49:24 mandate 31:9 mandates 9:3 Manternach 47:15 March 23:16 marginalized 8:13

intersects 39:20

introduces 34:3

introduce 37:1

Marik 1:14 49:21 mark 22:22 47:18 marketplace 37:7 markets 37:4 MARTIN 2:3 Maryland 47:24 **MASHBURN** 2:8 matter 30:18 45:11 51:19 **matters** 12:24 Mayor 20:5 McCollum 47:15 McVay 47:23 mean 13:9 15:2 19:3,21 24:2,3,24 meaningful 39:16 49:18 means 25:10 26:1,11 measured 40:8 media 28:24 Medical 9:20 16:22 17:4 **meet** 17:8,11 meeting 1:3 3:3,10 4:5 4:10,13 6:14 13:16 50:9 51:13 meetings 9:21 16:24 Mellon's 34:24 member 33:6 51:3 members 10:25 12:24 33:16 47:10 48:2 49:19 mentioned 8:18 12:9 39:14 merged 38:10 mess 44:17 met 17:1 MICHELE 2:5 Michelle 40:1 Minick 47:19 minimize 35:1 minority 35:13 minute 42:23 misidentified 35:9 missing 15:8 Mitchell 27:14 model 38:2 modern 44:23 moment 22:4 50:10,12 MONDAIRE 1:12 monetary 8:14 money 44:6 monitor 36:9 monitoring 35:21 monthly 4:4 49:23 months 29:18 morning 4:8 5:8,9 7:17 32:25 50:1 motion 48:4,7,9 49:10 motions 6:11

mount 8:15 move 6:13 47:2,8,9 movement 36:22 moving 38:5 MULDER 2:8 multiple 25:9 MUSSATT 2:3

N

N 1:12 3:1 name 4:19 name's 33:1 **NATHALIE** 2:7 nation 7:3 national 6:25 28:25 39:11 43:25 nationally 8:22 **nature** 12:21 **navigate** 14:9,10 navigated 11:4 necessarily 14:9,21 17:12 37:4 necessary 24:4 need 13:21 14:6 18:17 19:17,18 21:1 23:2 24:14.15.19.19.20.20 26:12 44:20,21 46:17 needed 13:24 needs 13:20 17:1 18:15 19:5 24:19 27:18 28:3 **Nelson** 2:4 47:14 neutral 34:18 never 46:19

new 17:24 39:25 44:12

newest 49:18

Nicholas 48:1

non-states 31:4

November 7:24

NW 1:7 4:15

number 12:17 20:7

Nourse 1:10 4:19,20

23:4,5 32:25 40:25

41:1 42:3 48:16.17

21:16 22:1,2 39:17

night 42:24

note 51:4

nice 41:3

0

obviously 11:13,14 15:16 occur 16:25 Off-microphone 51:7 offer 34:8 41:24 offers 33:25 Office 8:2 officer 37:16 46:17 officers 33:15 offices 11:8 official 31:25 officials 14:5 17:12 38:6 39:15 oh 49:6 Okay 5:22 19:3 21:11 21:20 32:3,22,24 45:19 48:12 49:5,9 50:24 51:14 oldest 19:14 **OM** 2:3 one-third 38:18 **ongoing** 17:13 open 11:22 29:22 opening 12:7 27:19 **OPERATIONS** 3:8 49:24 opinions 34:21 43:14 **opportunity** 22:16 46:8 opposed 42:22 48:14 order 4:11 18:5 originated 12:6,13 **OSSE** 20:10 overdue 29:1 overly 8:3 oversight 10:20 24:3 37:12,24 38:9 owners 25:12

Ρ

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 4:1 package 47:4 paid 9:22 18:5 PAMELA 2:2 paperwork 48:6 parent 15:6 25:14,18 26:1,4 27:16 29:4 parents 9:23 13:7,20,23 15:1,18 17:10,11,19 18:14,21 25:5,9,15 26:11 27:13 28:8,9,15 28:20.25 parliamentarian 2:2 5:17,19 part 30:24 **PARTICIPANT** 51:9 participation 40:9 particular 19:11 23:15 46:12 particularly 19:11 27:13,17 36:19 50:18 passed 9:8 20:4 21:23 26:17 51:4 passes 48:4 49:10 passing 26:21

path 40:11 **PAU** 2:5 pause 36:20 **Payne** 47:20 Pennsylvania 1:7 3:5 4:15 6:4,20 32:13,17 33:3,20 38:21 41:3 43:11 45:17 46:10,12 people 14:12 22:1 25:10 26:20,21 43:19 44:2,17 47:10 people's 26:19 percent 9:9,13 20:15,17 21:22 38:13.16 PERRY 2:4 person 43:4,6,20 personal 12:24 14:3 27:12 50:10 personalized 34:1 **PETER** 1:12 Philadelphia 37:20 40:11 **Philly's** 40:14 phone 32:22 pie-in-the-sky 20:24 piece 24:10 pipeline 38:23 Pittsburgh 34:21 40:13 place 4:13 13:22 placement 35:12 places 9:15 28:7 plain 19:6.20 **Plan** 13:19 plans 34:4 42:7 platform 16:15 play 36:22 please 4:7,18 19:4,22 32:16 48:13 50:5 point 10:18 pointing 15:20 **points** 10:13,17 **police** 38:20 policies 19:7 policy 19:25 27:25 49:16 population 12:22 19:11 21:16 positive 10:8 possible 46:10 possibly 28:19 posted 5:24 26:4 potential 17:14 36:15 potentially 17:23 powerful 37:10 praise 46:25 predict 35:25 predictive 35:15 38:17 preeminent 34:24

passion 33:18

preschool 42:5 presence 4:18 38:21 present 1:9 2:1,6 4:20 4:22,24 5:3,10,11,14 5:14,15,16,17,18,20 7:5 33:9 42:17 46:8 presentation 3:3,5 6:1 6:4,15 21:15 32:4,17 40:22 42:19 presenting 7:20 11:21 38:25 presents 9:17 **President** 18:4 22:14 presiding 1:8 pressure 31:22 **pretty** 42:18 preventing 39:14 prime 29:25 **Prior** 50:9 priorities 24:25 prioritizing 25:2 **privacy** 35:21 37:23 38:8 39:7 privilege 50:10 **probably** 12:19 13:6 16:19 18:4 21:3 problem 21:8 proceed 5:23 24:1,7 48:13 process 8:5,15 11:5,15 12:5.18 13:9.12 16:12 16:12 17:2 24:11 25:16 29:5 31:13,14 processes 14:1 procured 39:8 **Procurement** 37:9 produced 38:24 Producer 2:1 producing 43:14 products 37:3 professional 16:10 professionals 25:11 professor 41:6 profiling 36:2 program 18:16 programming 34:18 programs 40:4 progress 11:16 26:24 36:10 progressing 26:15 projects 46:23 **promise** 40:15 promised 9:8 promising 33:25 promoting 7:2 proper 17:12 37:8 properly 48:9 proposed 10:19 18:2

protected 8:18 **protection** 19:1 28:1 **protections** 37:9 38:3 proven 37:8 **provide** 9:21 14:22 16:14 42:13 **provided** 13:11 44:1 public 3:4 6:3,17 7:11 7:22 8:24 9:4,6 11:6 17:7 19:5,20 20:21 23:18 24:22 27:20 28:24 39:8 publication 28:25 **published** 10:6,16 **Puerto** 30:19 push 36:25 put 13:21 26:18 34:21 putting 24:25

Q

question 11:24 30:25 41:21 42:3 43:17 questions 11:22 21:12 29:10 40:23,24 45:1 45:20 50:5 quickly 35:6 quietly 35:6 quite 27:18 quorum 5:11

R

race 8:9 raised 8:1 raising 28:16 **rapidly** 40:19 rates 35:10 reach 50:5 reached 26:21 reaching 46:2 read 22:7 27:16 42:25 ready 7:14 real 28:17 40:15 reality 34:10 41:16 really 12:19 18:13 19:5 23:6 24:11 27:11 41:19 42:2 43:7,18 46:24 realm 6:19 36:5 reappoint 46:9 recall 26:5 38:24 receive 15:8 19:8 received 9:11 10:7 27:11 receiving 9:4 24:4 reciprocal 30:14 recognition 38:19 45:25

recommend 39:21 recommendation 9:24 18:22 20:8 39:1 45:5 45:7 recommendations 9:18 10:2 12:9 16:7,16,17 18:2,9 22:10,17,20 23:2 27:25 28:5 30:16 41:19 45:9 recommending 7:1 recommends 39:4 record 51:5,20 recorded 4:5 **reduce** 36:17 referred 13:9 29:23 regard 31:6 43:16 region 18:3 Register 5:24 regulate 38:19 **Rehab** 38:5 reinforce 35:5 reinforces 38:21 related 8:6 9:21 relationships 36:17 39:24 reliability 24:19 reliable 34:16 **relief** 13:13 **relv** 8:3 relying 43:14,15 remain 49:16 remarkably 41:10 remarried 23:9 remember 42:4 **remiss** 15:20 **replace** 36:21 replacements 34:16 report 3:9 6:10 7:10,21 7:23 8:25 10:6,12,14 10:16 12:3,5,7,10,12 18:3 20:23 22:7 23:13 23:19 26:20 27:10 28:23 29:4,8 32:14 33:10,21 38:16,18,24 39:3 43:18,23 44:1 49:23,25 50:4 reported 34:13 reporter 5:11,12,13 reports 7:6 28:25 representation 31:6 representatives 48:3 request 30:12,17 31:2,9

recognize 44:4 50:15

recognizes 30:23 44:3

recognized 40:25

researched 17:5 researchers 40:10 resets 13:24 resolution 9:14 28:7 resolve 13:16 17:14 21:8 resounding 17:16 resources 8:10 15:18 15:19 37:14 46:11 **respond** 48:13 response 37:4 restrictions 39:16 restrictive 9:7 retaliation 28:16 reused 38:10 review 12:15,16 20:6,12 41:14,15 Rican 30:20 right 9:6 14:12 19:9 24:14 25:1,22 32:11 47:2 50:8 **rights** 1:1 4:4,11 6:19 6:24 7:19 22:13,15 31:7 33:4 37:9 38:7 39:12 40:19 49:16 rise 38:20 rising 3:6 6:5 32:14,18 33:12 risk 11:10 risks 34:3 35:7 39:9 Ritchie 47:20 **Robbie** 19:15 Robert 51:5 **Rochelle** 1:8,9 4:7,16 **Roger** 47:19 role 44:22 **roll** 4:17 5:10 48:13 RORISON 2:5 route 25:19 **RPCU** 2:3 rubrics 34:5 rule 11:14

S

safely 37:14 safety 26:15 39:6 40:7 salaries 25:12 Sano- 47:20 Sarah 47:21 saw 14:22 29:3 saying 18:13,13 29:1 44:17 says 28:9 schedule 29:15 scholarship 33:18 school 13:5,18 14:4,20 15:9 16:21 17:7,13 18:15,18 20:19 24:14

31:17,25 45:4

requesting 10:15

research 50:19

25:23,24 26:13,14
27:24 29:5 34:24
35:16 37:13,17,20
20.0 20.45 40.02
38:6 39:15,18,23
42:13 44:8,20
school-aged 27:15
school-to-prison 38:22
schools 3:5 6:4,17 7:12
7:22 20:22 21:1 23:18
24:4 27:20 28:24 35:5
38:14,19,21
Science 34:24
search 37:4
second 10:18 36:8,13
37:11 42:11 48:7,8
secondary 42:5
seconded 48:10
seconds 42:24
secret 19:13 41:6
Secretary 22:19 45:7,9
Section 31:18 38:4
see 22:11 23:14 43:2
44:10,13
seeing 23:24
seen 28:24
sell 44:7
sense 26:14,23,24
361136 20.14,20,24
sensitive 22:7 38:14
sent 51:6
series 9:17 13:15
serious 34:3
serve 47:10 48:2
served 51:11,11
serves 6:23
service 46:6 50:21
services 3:4 6:3,16
7:11,21 8:6 9:1,16,22
10:23 13:3,24 14:21
14:22 18:17 23:22,23
24:4 25:15,22 28:8
serving 33:2
set 41:12
setting 26:10
share 35:6 38:14
shared 13:1
shepherd 46:23
shocked 41:17
shocking 41:9
short 34:15
shortages 10:5 11:19
show 29:6 43:2
showing 14:25 35:23
shown 40:11 41:10
shuttering 23:22
shutting 10:19
sibling 19:14
significantly 8:16 34:17
simply 37:17
• •

SIMUEL 2:9 sit 22:25 sitting 40:13 situation 15:6 six 49:6.10 slow 44:18 **software** 35:8,9,21 sold 38:10 solutions 7:2 somewhat 13:2,14 soon 46:10 sorry 17:3 18:11 30:11 sought 16:8 space 40:20 speak 30:3 50:21 speaking 31:21 **special** 7:25 8:5,10 9:1 9:15 11:7 18:15 24:18 25:21 27:17 28:2 50:17 **specific** 20:18 28:12 **speech** 42:22,23 **speed** 14:6 speediness 40:3 **Spin** 41:23 spring 16:2 50:16 St 17:7 staff 1:14 2:1 3:9 5:15 5:15 6:9 11:2,2,3 14:21 21:2 22:16,23 31:1 33:20 38:1 45:5 45:13 46:17.22.25 48:5 49:21,23,25 50:4 stakeholders 10:15 11:6 13:17 **standpoint** 19:24 24:3 start 4:17 started 4:9 **starting** 9:1 13:16 startup 44:5 **state** 3:7 6:8 8:2 9:3,5 22:20 30:20 45:8 47:6 49:11,19 statements 17:17 states 9:10 21:5 22:11 30:21,24,24 31:7 39:22,23 44:21 50:22 statistics 38:12 **status** 6:19 **statute** 30:13 31:18 step 13:24 32:16 STEPHANIE 2:10 Stephanne 47:21 STEPHEN 1:11 steps 10:9 13:15 38:1 **Steve** 32:13 33:1 stood 26:7,8 **story** 13:1 24:10

streamline 34:1 **strict** 37:22 **strips** 28:10 **structure** 24:15.19 structured 11:12 struggling 41:7 **stuck** 25:3 student 13:19 34:2,5 37:5 38:9.14 40:4.13 student-teacher 36:17 students 3:4 6:3,16 7:11,21 9:16,22 10:5 10:22 12:22 14:23 16:5,20 20:24 22:2 24:2 28:2 34:6,7,8,13 35:9,11,13,18,19 36:5 36:9,12,23 40:10,17 42:14 study 7:25 16:1 39:11 studying 10:4 **subject** 20:5,11 substitute 36:24 success 40:7 successful 18:18 **sue** 13:4.6.12 14:5 suggested 19:24 26:16 suit 16:2 Suite 1:7 summarize 34:6 Superintendent 8:3 **support** 11:3 19:17,18 24:20.20 30:12 34:16 45:17 46:17 supported 50:17 sure 18:24 19:19 23:19 43:1 45:8 46:25 surveillance 35:17 39:19 **system** 9:14 13:5 14:9 14:10 20:19 28:7 36:23 systems 16:21 34:17 35:12 39:19

Т

take 6:7 30:18 44:15 45:11 50:10 taken 28:5 37:20 talk 12:8 29:19 37:11 targeted 27:18 tasks 34:1 teach 35:24 36:8,21 teacher 10:4 11:19 29:5 37:5 teachers 13:17 17:13 34:1,4 38:13 42:8 teaching 21:2 36:5,12 teams 37:25

tech 38:6 39:14 technologies 39:25 technology 45:25 46:1 tell 24:24 ten 35:10 ten-minute 42:20,22 tens 22:4 term 33:11,16 term's 46:9 terms 19:6,21 25:21 43:13 territories 31:4 testified 43:20 testimony 8:25 13:7 14:3,16 15:14,14 16:23 17:16 24:10 25:6,13,17 26:19 27:12,14 28:15,21 34:12 35:23 43:21 text 7:6 text-to-speech 34:9 textbooks 44:7 texts 34:6 thank 7:13,16 10:24,24 11:2,6,17,21,25 12:1 22:22 23:2,3,6 27:4,8 27:9 29:9,11,12 30:7 31:12 32:3,8,9,10,24 40:21 41:2 44:25 45:3 45:12,14,15,16 46:6,7 46:7.20.21 50:6.8.20 50:23 51:18 thanking 29:16 thanks 21:14,24 40:18 43:7 46:9 thing 20:13 26:7 34:25 40:14 41:18 44:16 46:16 things 13:16 14:16 15:11 16:12 17:17 19:6,20 25:8 26:20 27:11 42:4 think 16:19,22 19:5 21:15 22:24 23:21 24:1,5 25:17,23 26:4 28:5 29:20,24 36:7 44:3,6 45:20,25 50:25 thinking 24:13 36:18 44:2.19 third 9:24 42:11 Thirty-eight 38:13 Thirty-six 38:16 **Thomas** 2:9 47:25 **Thorton** 47:21 thought 25:9 27:10 41:5 thoughtful 18:1 37:21 thousands 22:4

three 39:13 42:24 time 4:6,11 11:23 12:17 14:2 34:2 42:9 44:15 46:14 50:2,12 51:16 times 24:18 27:20 35:10 **TINALOUISE** 2:3 **Title** 38:4,4 today 5:25 6:18 7:14,20 10:25 12:1 16:24 24:23 29:15 33:9,17 42:17,19 43:3 46:8 today's 4:5 5:23 50:9 told 37:17 tool 37:10 43:8,9 tools 33:25 34:14 35:15 37:15,22 39:7 42:15 44:11 top 12:20 21:5 topic 11:18 45:2 46:12 **topics** 12:14 **Toth** 47:16 touched 29:2 track 15:1 35:24 tracks 35:14 traffic 26:6 training 44:23 transcription 34:14 transfer 10:20 transferring 23:22 transmitted 22:17 transparency 25:7 38:11 transparent 25:13 transportation 8:6,23 14:18,23 15:21 16:3 20:24 25:19 trap 35:12 40:2 trauma 36:23 tremendous 46:11 trend 38:22 triggers 17:2 troubling 38:12 true 14:17 try 11:16 13:15 turn 4:6 40:23 49:21,22 twenty-five 33:4 39:2 42:23 46:18 Twitter 26:5 two 10:10 15:3,24 20:25 25:19 26:2 28:19 36:4 39:9 42:5 49:6,9

U

U.S 1:1 4:4,10 7:18 10:19 23:17 28:22 33:3 39:11 **UDC** 14:15

unbiased 34:25 uncompensated 48:3 uncovering 7:1 underfunding 8:25 undermining 32:2 understand 11:15 15:15 16:9 17:23 19:6 19:7 36:12 understanding 43:23 understands 19:20 undertaking 16:1 unfortunately 14:20 23:8 29:3 unique 11:11 20:3 22:8 United 21:5 22:11 30:24 50:22 universities 46:11 **University** 12:16 34:20 unregulated 39:10 upwards 20:25 urge 39:13 urged 36:20 urges 37:6 use 3:6 6:5 28:23 32:14 32:18 33:12 34:4.6 36:6 38:16.18 39:18 41:7 42:15 43:8 useless 41:11

٧

uses 36:4

value 35:25

varied 9:12 20:16 various 46:3 vehicle 18:25 **vetted** 37:22 **VI** 38:4 Vice 1:10 4:20 23:5 41:1 48:17 vice-chair 4:19 13:1 14:4 23:4 32:25 33:7 40:25 42:3 48:16 vice-chairs 33:15 VICTORIA 1:10 Videoconference 1:8 VIDULOVIC 2:9 Virgin 30:20 Virginia 23:9 47:17 48:1 virtual 34:9 virtually 11:1 vital 10:22 voice-recognition 34:15 voices 49:14 vote 3:7 6:8 47:4,6 48:13

voted 7:6,23 23:25

vulnerable 19:12 28:2

35:18 40:17

W Wagner 47:21 want 10:16 11:6.9.15 12:3 18:12 19:19 24:6 26:18 27:9 29:7,15 33:14 45:21 51:2,4 wanted 16:11,14 18:1 19:4 20:13 24:9 25:3 28:6,13 50:14 51:5 Warfield 47:16 warned 40:2 Washington 1:7 4:15 7:18 50:15,15 wasn't 42:19 watch 43:12 way 12:5 13:14 19:7 25:24 26:3 43:3 Wayne 7:9,17 ways 42:5 46:3 we'll 6:9 we're 4:9 5:22,25 6:12 6:18 7:8 11:22 14:17 24:25 30:4,22 32:12 40:22 47:2 48:12 49:22 51:1.15 we've 20:1 29:14 43:15 Webex 2:1 week 20:1 weeks 15:9 welcome 4:3 well-being 40:13 well-documented 34:18 went 13:2 29:4 51:20 West 47:17 white 35:10 widen 36:18 wisely 37:10 40:2 wish 43:4.5 witness 8:24 witnessed 27:16 witnesses 36:19 wonderful 42:16 **WONG** 2:10 words 11:18 32:5 work 10:3 11:16 12:2 17:20 18:14 22:25 23:6,11 29:16,20 30:1 30:4 31:10 32:5 34:6 41:2,10 42:8 45:4 46:14,22 50:18,22 working 15:16 18:14 44:14

wreak 25:22 writing 34:7 wrong 40:16

Xavier-Brier 1:14 49:22 50:1

Υ

Yeah 13:14 21:24 30:6 31:24 vear 15:9 23:17 43:22 years 15:24 23:8 33:5,8 38:25 45:18 46:18 51:12 yeses 49:10

yields 23:21 YORKMAN-RAMEY 2:5 youth 35:16 YVESNER 2:10

Z Zak 47:20 **ZAMAR** 2:10

0

1

1 9:18 10:15 16:18 **10:00** 1:8 10:05 4:11 11 1:5 4:12 **11:00** 51:16,20 11:30 42:24 **1150** 1:7 **12** 40:7 **1331** 1:7 4:15 **1975** 9:8 21:23

2 9:19 16:22 2017 15:22 2023 12:13 2024 7:24 25:17 **2025** 1:5 4:12 33:11 50:16

21 7:24

	3			
35 3:8				
	4			
4 31:18 38:4				
	5			
5 3:2				
	6			

works 13:15 36:6

worse 40:3

worth 38:2

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Business Meeting

Before: USCCR

Date: 04-11-25

Place: Washington, D.C.

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &