## U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

+ + + + +

COMMISSION BRIEFING

+ + + + +

FRIDAY, MARCH 24, 2023

+ + + + +

The Commission convened at the National Press Club, 529 14th Street, Northwest, 13th Floor, Washington, D.C., at 1:00 p.m. EDT, Peter Kirsanow, Commissioner and Moderator, presiding.

## PRESENT:

PETER N. KIRSANOW, Commissioner, Moderator
STEPHEN GILCHRIST, Commissioner

JOHN CHRISTIAN ADAMS, Commissioner

GAIL HERIOT, Commissioner

GLENN MAGPANTAY, Commissioner

VICTORIA NOURSE, Commissioner

ROCHELLE GARZA, Commissioner

MONDAIRE JONES, Commissioner

MAURO MORALES, Staff Director
DAVID GANZ, General Counsel

STAFF PRESENT:

CODY BOWLER

SHERYL COZART

PAMELA DUNSTON, Chief, ACSD

PATRICIA FLETCHER

JULIE GRIECO

NICOLE HEWITT

JOE KIM

TINALOUISE MARTIN

PILAR MCLAUGHLIN

PRINCE OLUBAKINDE

ESSENCE PERRY

SWASTI SHAH

MARK SPENCER

MICHELE YORKMAN-RAMEY

MARIK XAVIER-BRIER

COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

ALEXIS FRAGOSA

JOHN MASHBURN

CARISSA MULDER

THOMAS SIMUEL

## A G E N D A

INTRODUCTORY REMARKS
A. Russell Jeung, Ph.D., Professor of Asian American Studies, SF State University
American Federation (AAF)
E. John Yang, President & Executive Director, Asian Americans Advancing Justice AAJC F. Prof. Brian Levin, Professor, California State
University San Bernardino; Director, Center for the Study of Hate & Extremism (CSHE)42
PANEL 2: COMMUNITY STAKEHOLDERS AND ADVOCATES  A. Cynthia Choi, Co-Executive Director, Chinese for Affirmative Action; Co Founder,
Stop AAPI Hate
C. Jennifer Wu, Founding Partner, Groombridge, Wu, Baughman & Stone LLP81 D. Lee Cheng, Co-Founder, The Asian American
Legal Foundation
PANEL 3: LAW ENFORCEMENT & FORMER FEDERAL OFFICIALS A. Eric Dreiband, Former Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, Department of
Justice; Partner, Jones Day
Equal Opportunity
D. William Scott, Police Chief, San Francisco Police Department
CLOSING REMARKS181

## P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2	(1:00 p.m.)
3	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Good afternoon and
4	welcome to the U.S Commission on Civil Rights briefing
5	on anti-Asian racism in the United States. Thank you
6	to the witnesses, staff, and guests who are joining us
7	today whether in-person or virtually.
8	The briefing comes to order at 1:00 p.m.
9	Eastern Standard Time; we're holding the briefing in
0	the National Press Club.
1	I'm Commissioner Peter Kirsanow, I'll be
2	moderating the briefing today. I'll be joined by
3	Commissioner Adams, Commissioner Garza try to do
4	this in alphabetical order Commissioner Gilchrist,
5	Commissioner Heriot, Commissioner Magpantay tay,
6	I'm sorry, Magpantay and Commissioner Nourse.
17	A quorum of the Commissioners is present.
8	Staff Director is present right to my
9	right here is it Morales is the Court Reporter
20	present?
21	COURT REPORTER: Present.
22	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you very
23	much.
24	Before we begin, I'd like to welcome our
25	newly appointed Commissioners who are with us today.

Commissioners Garza, Magpantay -- I keep screwing that up, Magpantay -- and Nourse. Commissioner Mondaire Jones is unable to join us today, but we look forward to welcoming him in the future.

Since we're a little pressed for time today, I'll briefly introduce each of our new Commissioners, and they may wish to say a few words.

Commissioner Rochelle Garza was appointed by President Biden and sworn in on March 17 of this year, she's an attorney from the Rio Grande Valley, currently serving as president of the Texas Civil Rights Project. She has expertise in immigration, family, criminal, and constitutional law.

Commissioner Glenn Magpantay was appointed to the commission by Senate Majority Leader Chuck Schumer and sworn in on February 15 of 2023. He's a longtime civil rights attorney, professor of law in Asian-American studies, and LGBTQ rights activist. He is a principal at Magpantay and Associates, and a Soros Equality Fellow.

And last, Commissioner Victoria Nourse was appointed to the commission by President Biden and sworn in on March 16 of 2023. She's the Ralph Whitworth Professor of Law at Georgetown University Law School. She's also taught at a number of other

1 institutions such as Yale, NYU, and Northwestern. She's authored textbooks and dozens of legal articles. 2 3 Welcome to our new Commissioners. each of you, starting with Glenn, like to say a few 4 5 words? 6 COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: Sure. It's an 7 incredible honor to be here to have this opportunity 8 to serve our country, and to raise these issues that 9 so importantly affect our community. 10 As Asian-Americans are growing in this 11 country, the distinct honor of being an Asian-American 12 member of this commission, but really looking at the 13 rights and issues that affect all Americans across 14 this country. 15 It was 30 years ago that this commission 16 unveiled a report called Civil Rights Issues in the 17 90s, and I remember when I was a young law student 18 researching my law review article, there was nothing 19 out there about the Asian-American community. And yet 20 this commission, well before I even became an admitted 21 attorney, provided the insights and information that 22 we needed to look at the growing community in our 23 country. 24 I'm so excited for all the panelists to be 25 on this commission, to serve our country, and for the

1 important issues that we have here today, and for the 2 work of the commission for the next couple of years. 3 Thank you. Commissioner 4 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: 5 Nourse? Well, thank you so 6 COMMISSIONER NOURSE: 7 I've been delighted and honored to be nominated 8 by President Biden, who I've known since I was a 30-9 year-old lawyer working on the Senate Judiciary 10 Committee. And I'm delighted to be nominated with a 11 phenom from Texas, Rochelle Garza, who's going to 12 speak in a minute. 13 But I just know that the president, if he 14 were here today, he would say this is exactly the 15 example of what I used to do in the Senate. 16 better together than apart, and that the United States 17 needs right now so much, in particular in the Asian 18 sphere, to be a leader in human rights. 19 So, I'm delighted that this is our first 20 hearing and I look forward to hearing from your 21 testimony. 22 COMMISSIONER GARZA: Good afternoon, 23 It is truly an honor for me to have been 24 appointed by President Biden to this very important position, I deeply deeply care about civil rights.

1 You know, I'm a civil rights attorney from South 2 Texas, my father was a farmer -- he was one of 13 3 children. 4 I've been thinking а lot about mу 5 grandmother, a lot about the future of my daughter --6 she turns one today, and --7 (Applause.) 8 COMMISSIONER GARZA: So, I want to make 9 sure that we make this country -- or, continue to go 10 on the path where there is equal protection for 11 everyone in this country, because I deeply believe 12 I am very excited that this is the first panel 13 that we will be hearing from about this incredibly 14 important topic about anti-Asian bias that is 15 impacting people across this country. 16 So, thank you so much for being here and I 17 look forward to hearing from all of you. 18 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you very 19 and welcome to the new Commissioners, 20 condolences. 21 (Laughter.) 22 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: The subject of 23 today's hearing, the federal response to anti-Asian 24 racism in the United States. This is a topic that

former Commissioner Yaki, from whom we will be hearing

a little bit later today, and who, by the way, speaks fluent Klingon, had the pleasure -- he proposed this topic last year.

And all of us have been alarmed by news reports of attacks against Asian-Americans, this has been going on for some time, I had been involved in this and tried to get a briefing on this issue myself, and here we are.

I'm happy that we're going to be addressing this at this time, and I thank the commission staff, and our special assistants, and everyone for putting this thing together -- all the hard work that it entailed and getting knowledgeable witnesses to testify in front of us.

The commission will hear from three panels of expert witnesses. The first panel consists of policy and research experts, the second panel will consist of community stakeholders and advocates, and the third and final panel consists of law enforcement and federal officials.

Now, the logistics of it are each panelist gets seven minutes in which to speak. Now, there's a system of warning lights there set up so you know how long you have left, if it turns from green to yellow that's your signal that you now have two minutes left

1 to speak. And, of course, when the light turns red 2 you are to stop, otherwise SEAL Team Six comes in the 3 door and escorts you out. 4 After each presentation, commissioners 5 will have an opportunity to ask some questions of the 6 witnesses, and then there will be a 10-minute break 7 between panels. So, with that, we'll begin the 8 briefing. 9 PANEL 1: RESEARCH AND POLICY EXPERTS 10 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: This first 11 witness, our first witness panel, consists of Dr. 12 Russell Jeung, who's professor of Asian-American 13 studies at San Francisco State University, and a co-14 founder of Stop AAPI hate. 15 Second panelist is Charles Lehman who is a 16 fellow at the Manhattan Institute. Jo-Ann Yoo is next 17 Executive Director of Asian-American to him, 18 Federation. Diane Yap, founding member of Friends of 19 Lowell Foundation and board member of the Lowell 20 Alumni Association. And John Yang, who is President 21 and Executive Director of Asian-Americans Advancing 22 Justice. 23 And I see we've got Brian Levin here, and 24 I don't have a bio for you -- sorry about that. 25 My father wanted me to be a

MR. LEVIN:

11 1 doctor. 2 (Laughter.) 3 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: His father wanted 4 him to be a doctor, and that's why he's been consigned 5 to being here today. And you are a professor at California -- I've seen your name before -- California 6 7 State University, San Bernardino, Director of the 8 Center for the Study of Hate and Extremism. 9 Thank you very much all for appearing and 10 for testifying today. Dr. Jeung, you may proceed. 11 PANEL 1: RESEARCH AND POLICY EXPERTS 12 Dr. JEUNG: Good afternoon, commissioners. 13 I'd like to thank the Commission on Civil Rights for 14 hosting this hearing, it's a really important topic. 15 I'd also like to thank the staff who so professionally 16 and -- organized this event. Next slide, please. 17 I'd like to start with an incident that we 18 received at Stop AAPI Hate that, sadly, is all too 19 As you can see and read, it's not necessarily 20 an issue of a hate crime but one of racial harassment 21 where a woman -- customer -- was denied access at her 22 pharmacy. 23 perpetrator, using yellow The

rhetoric, not only saw her as being a disease-

carrying, threatening person of color, but instead

24

stated that she was the infection, that our racialized bodies themselves were the defiling elements to the United States. And so, he told her to go home, we don't want you here.

And you could see the traumatizing result, this person was in shock, and cried and left, saying no one came to help. She left isolated, marginalized.

And I think that's the experience of the Asian-American community at this moment, one of collective racial trauma. Thanks. Next slide.

So, according to surveys, national surveys random samples in translated in different languages, eight to 45 percent of Asian-Americans have faced this type of racism, this type of harassment, across the nation.

Since we make up the fastest growing minority in the United States, these numbers translate to 2,000,000 to 10,000,000 cases of hate during the pandemic. So, it clearly is an epidemic of hate, it clearly is a time of collective racial trauma. Next slide.

But the racism we're seeing now have particular sources, Asian-Americans face a sort of a unique set of factors that lead to racism against us.

Clearly, people were afraid of COVID-19 because of

the disease, but the political rhetoric and social media today really did exacerbate the issue.

When politicians used the term Chinese virus, it really did two things -- it racialized the virus, so it made it Chinese, and it stigmatized the people, so Chinese people were the ones carrying the disease. That association that the Chinese -- that the virus was Chinese and Chinese had the virus really did go viral on social media, and that's been correlated with anti-Asian hate.

The other key factor really fomenting anti-Asian hate, that maybe other groups don't experience as much, is that U.S./Asian foreign relations translates to American race relations for Asian-Americans. And those who see China as the country's main threat, then tend to see Asians in the U.S negatively and that leads to greater anti-Asian sentiment.

So, we have to get at these factors, we have to get at these sources if we really want to uproot the racism.

The impacts have been devastating. Racism has incurred severe costs in our community. Asian businesses have lost 60 percent of their income since the start of the pandemic, compared to 12 percent of

the national average -- five times more. And, because of this business loss, large swaths of our community have been unemployed during the pandemic.

Because of the fear of COVID, because we're seen as the yellow peril, or the dusky peril, policies have been institutionalized that, actually, again see us as dangerous outsiders to be banned, to be excluded.

Individually, these policies may be unintentionally benign but, taken as a whole, these policies have really had a chilling effect on our community, have deprived us of the rights to migrate, deprived us of the rights to reunite our families, deprived of the rights to free speech, deprived us even of freedom of religion on social media.

So, again, this institutionalized policy have sanctioned greater anti-Asian hate. Next slide.

And finally, over 50 studies have concluded that this trauma -- or, this period has been really traumatizing. And those of us who experience direct racism are even more traumatized, leading to de facto segregation. People are not going out, being sequestered in certain neighborhoods for fear of danger. Next slide.

So, to wrap up, I make these suggestions.

I think we do need to get at the particular sources of anti-Asian hate, we need to really pay attention to how U.S foreign relations impacts Asian-Americans. Next slide.

We have to see this period as not one of just hate crimes, but really a civil rights issue. And so, we need to make sure that Asian-Americans and other groups receive public accommodations, that they have safe access to goods. We have to really ensure that the COVID-19 Hate Crimes Act is fully implemented, and that would then promote antiharassment campaigns to promote empathy. Next slide.

And finally, I think we really need non-punitive efforts to build racial unity, to educate our community to create empathy. Education really is key across the board, Asian-Americans polled --Americans polled realized that education is the best way to remedy racism.

But I'm really alarmed at this moment by the backward movement that is calling on bans of books that are curbing discussions of race and racism, it's really impacting teachers and students from having frank discussions of race. And so, we have to actually guard the civil rights of our teachers, of our students, so that they have that freedom of speech

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to address racism.

Now, I'm actually, you know, alarmed -I'm a alumnus of Lowell High, and some alumnus at
Lowell High are seeking to file a lawsuit that may
impact other students of color. And I just hope that,
in our efforts, that we would improve the educational
opportunity for everybody, not just a select few. We
can't just gain Asian-American civil rights at the
expense of others.

So, thank you. Again, this period of the yellow peril is frightening. It's not an Asian-American issue we're dealing with, it's an American issue, right? It's Americans who are afraid of COVID, it's Americans who are afraid for their national security, it's Americans who are afraid of their economic standing.

And to the extent that we can address those fears and create an Asian-American civil rights agenda, then I think we could expand equal opportunity, we can expand education for all. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you, Dr. Jeung. Mr. Lehman, you have seven minutes.

MR. LEHMAN: Thank you to the Commission for the opportunity to address you, I am speaking to

17 1 you today in my capacity as an analyst of crime. 2 With my time I want to make three points. 3 First, anti-Asian hate crime has risen substantially 4 thanks to both, the COVID-19 pandemic and to an 5 increasing criminal offending generally. This latter is because, second, hate crime 6 7 offenders are quite similar to other criminals and 8 often commit other crimes. 9 Therefore, third, the best way to combat 10 hate crime is not by targeting hate, e.g. through 11 education or content moderation, but to target crime 12 through aggressive law enforcement. 13 After decades of decline, anti-Asian hate 14 crime began rising in 2017 then spiked dramatically in 15 2020. That year's official count, 279, is a 16 percent increase over 2019. Reported incidents rose 17 yet again in 2021 a cumulative 89 percent increase 18 over 2019. 19 This last finding is particularly 20 remarkable, given the reported counts of many other 21 categories of hate crimes fell in 2021 when thousands

This last finding is particularly remarkable, given the reported counts of many other categories of hate crimes fell in 2021 when thousands of agencies did not report hate crimes because of the FBI's transition to a new reporting system. The measured increase in anti-Asian offending is therefore biased downwards, the true number is probably much

22

23

24

higher.

Further support for this trend comes in data from New York, Los Angeles, and Chicago, collectively home to roughly 10 percent of the U.S. Asian population -- Anti-Asian hate crimes have increased in all three cities.

At least in these cities, though, there have been two waves of crime, but Los Angeles and New York incidents spiked in the second quarter of 2020, around the time the coronavirus began spreading in the United States. Then fell before spiking again in early 2021 when they also began rising in Chicago, a similar pattern appears in data from San Francisco.

This suggests that the increase in anti-Asian hate crime is not as simple as the coronavirus crisis starting a new wave of anti-Asian racism. If it were, one would expect incidents to have risen continuously from Q2 2020 onwards.

Most importantly, 2021 -- so not only an increase in anti-Asian racism but also increased crime among individuals most likely to convert that racism into criminal behavior. Homicides rose nearly 30 percent in 2020, another five percent in 2021, a similar trend obtains for shootings.

And, in many jurisdictions, including the

ones previously mentioned, other kinds of crime have risen as well. As social control has ebbed, in other words, criminal offenders of all types have been more prone to acting out.

This implies, though, that hate crime offenders are like other criminals as opposed to being specialists in hate crime. The data bear this out, one survey of convicted hate crime offenders found that 87 percent had a prior conviction, including 60 percent with violent conviction.

Another survey of UK offenders found that 97.7 percent of hate offenders had prior arrests, including 87 percent who had committed crime of violence. Data on arrest records from New York also suggests a similarity between hate criminals and nonhate criminals.

While hate crime offenders vary demographically from others, they look essentially indistinguishable on measures of criminality, including whether they have previously been convicted, whether they have an open case, whether they were rearrested following release on bail.

What about those who commit anti-Asian hate crimes, high profile incidents suggest that prior criminality is not uncommon. Antoine Watson, who

murdered 84-year-old Vicha Ratanapakdee, one of the earliest anti-Asian offenses in 2020, was in the process of vandalizing another car when he assaulted Ratanapakdee -- he'd been cited for reckless driving earlier that day.

Haskell Allen, who allegedly assaulted an 83-year-old Asian man in 2021, was on probation when he committed his offense.

The reason for this phenomenon is intuitive, while many people hold bigoted views most will not convert them into criminal acts. To do so requires some other disinhibition, that sort of disinhibition also leads to committing other crimes.

To put it graphically, a hate crime offender who is someone who thinks it's both, a good idea to brutalize someone on the street and to scream racial slurs while doing so. That some other criminals do not yell racial slurs while they brutalize people does not mean that they do not share key characteristics with hate crime offenders.

The consequence of this insight is simple, to combat hate crime focuses on crime. The wrong policy is to focus on reducing bias through education or social media content moderation. Such approaches are not only ineffective on their own terms, a large

research literature casts doubt on educational interventions efficacy, but inefficient because they poorly target the small subset of the biased population that will actually criminally offend.

The marginal student or social media commenter is not likely to become a hate criminal, spending resources on him is a bad bet on crime control dollar-for-dollar. Rather, the Criminal Justice System, including the Federal Criminal Justice is well equipped to combat hate crime as crime. Multiple statutes, especially the 2009 Hate Crime Preventions Act -- Hate Crimes Prevention Act -permit the federalization of many bias-related offenses.

Yet, recent data suggests DOJ has been slow to use these powers. It investigated only about 120 hate crimes per year from 2015 to 2019, declining to prosecute in 77 percent of cases -- just 82 defendants faced charges.

Rates have increased in recent years as Justice charged more than 40 people, and obtained 35 convictions, between January 2021 and May 2022. Still, the relatively low numbers suggest the Department could be doing more, including coordinating more closely with state and federal task forces.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In addition to directly prosecuting hate crimes, Department of Justice every year issues tens of millions of dollars to anti-hate crime grants. While these are substantial amounts, they don't go that far. Fiscal year 2022 -- covered just 22 awards, compared to roughly 2,300 state prosecutors offices. Many offices do not have specialists to focus on hate crime prosecutions.

The challenge inherent in prosecuting bias motivated crime means the federal government could allocate more funding to, at least, coordinating between offices and articulating best practices, to increase the often shockingly low rate of convictions.

Another approach is to increase police a proven tool for reducing crimes of Across a variety of measures, police to sorts. population ratios have declined steadily since the Great Recession. DOJ's main hiring grant office is authorized to spend \$386,000,000 in fiscal 2021, including \$156,000,000 for its primary program. a dramatic decline from the \$1.4 billion dollars a it was given the five years after its year authorization.

Lastly, many particularly heinous hate crimes are, under federal law, eligible for capital

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 punishment, including high-profile hate crimes like 2 the Emanuel AME church shooting and the Buffalo Tops 3 shooting. Light of this, the Biden Market 4 Administration's ongoing death penalty moratorium 5 poses a serious challenge to the orderly proceeding of 6 justice. 7 When discussing crime we too often insist 8 on reducing it -- that to reduce it we must first end 9 poverty, addiction, despair, other root causes. 10 same is often true for hate crime, to reduce it many 11 insists that we need to weed out hatred in hearts and 12 minds. 13 Reality, hate crime offenders are like 14 other criminals, which means that hate crime offending 15 should be responsive to the traditional tools of crime 16 control. Smart policing and prosecution should be the 17 front line defense in blunting the spike in anti-Asian 18 hate crime, the federal government is well positioned 19 to enact this agenda, to do so. 20 Thank you, and I look forward to your 21 questions. 22 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you, Mr. 23 Lehman. Ms. Yoo? 24 MS. YOO: Commissioners, I apologize in 25 advance as this has been a very triggering and emotional three years for me.

My name is Jo-Ann Yoo, and I serve as the Executive Director of the Asian American Federation in New York. We're the strongest leadership organization serving Asian Americans working in collaboration with 70 member and partner agencies who are deeply embedded in our communities and operate under the larger umbrella of human services. We are best positioned to address community issues across different Asian ethnicities to quickly and efficiently tackle emerging challenges like the anti-Asian hate.

Today I am here to represent the victims of anti-Asian violence in New York because they deserve to be named, to be recognized, and honored. My organization raised and sub granted more than \$3 million to 33 Asian-serving members and partner agencies to implement our Hope Against Hate campaign. In our first year of the campaign, we distributed over 50,000 safety resources and supported nearly 10,000 individuals with safety trainings, helped with reporting incidents, and connecting connections through victim services.

In January 2020, the first warning signs of the brewing storm came from the Asian small businesses which reported a significant drop off in

customer traffic due to news of the COVID outbreak in China. In response, we immediately began working with elected officials to develop response strategies aimed at keeping Asian Americans -- Asian New Yorkers safe.

By February, we started to receive reports of anti-Asian violence through our members, partners, and personal contacts. The stories I heard from strangers who called or emailed me at all hours of the day and night are beyond heartbreaking, and I want you to hear some of their stories.

I'm going to tell you about the frontline healthcare workers who kept us alive during the height of COVID, who talked about having to consider early retirement because they were afraid of being physically attacked going to and from work.

Or how about the 90-year-old grandmother out for an evening walk in a Brooklyn who neighborhood, and she was set on fire by teenagers. She was too scared to tell anybody including her own family. And the hundreds of small business owners who were afraid for themselves, their families, their employees, and their customers, and consulted with us to implement safety protocols.

Let me tell you about Noel, one of the most gentle people I have ever met who was slashed

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

from ear to ear as he was going to work in the morning and required over 100 stitches to close the gaping wound, scars that are still healing two years later, not to mention his mental and emotional trauma. He has chosen to forgo plastic surgery as a reminder that hate violence continues to plague our community.

Velma was on her way to mass on Palm Sunday when she was knocked to the ground and kicked in the face and pelvis by an assailant who yelled, "you don't belong here," in a country where she has lived for decades.

And on March 3rd, just a few weeks ago,
Cecile and her son, Kyle, were returning from family
lunch when they were repeatedly called racial slurs
and beaten in their own neighborhood. Cecile was
punched repeatedly until she lost consciousness. Her
son, who has served our country as a Marine, sustained
skull fractures trying to protect his mother and will
deal with long-term impacts from his injuries.

And then there's my story. I was walking to visit my local small businesses when a man decided to block my path and refused to let me pass. I'm a person with tremendous privilege. I work closely with the Attorney General's office, the mayor, the governor, and other elected leaders, but my privilege

did not protect me from potential harm in my own neighborhood.

These are the real-life -- real-life stories of Americans living through this horror right now. This is our daily reality -- our daily reality.

The pain and trauma of Asian New Yorkers has motivated the Asian American Federation to launch the Hope Against Hate Campaign in 2021, which is an expansive response network of Asian nonprofits working together to provide safety programming and services. Together, we connect victims to legal, financial, and mental health support as well as equip vulnerable women, children, and young people with safety measures to prevent attacks from happening in the first place.

If you think you are strong, I invite you to come and watch 80-year-old grandmothers, some with canes, learn self-defense techniques to keep themselves safe from attacks because it will break you as it has broken me many times.

In April 2020, we launched a reporting platform in eight Asian languages to make it easier for victims to report incidents and seek help in their native languages. In the first six months of the COVID lockdown, the Federation worked with our members and partners to track victim reports, some of which

totaled over 400 in the largest Asian neighborhoods in New York City.

At the Federation, we have taken on the responsibility on behalf of our communities to publicly honor victims of anti-Asian hate with the singular purpose of sharing their stories because if we don't, who will speak for the victims?

I am grateful for this opportunity to speak and give voice to the urgent needs of Asian Americans who continue to experience anti-Asian assault on a daily basis more than three years since the first COVID-related attack.

Concrete actions must begin today if we aim to prevent more attacks from occurring again. following: urae the one, investing in the strengthening and expansion of local networks victims have ready access to community-based places they can report attacks, receive support services, and take safety trainings and workshops in their own languages. We need system changes correctly label and track violence for every category including religious identification.

For instance, the highest rate of anti-Sikh attacks are in New York State, but there doesn't exist a category to track an attack as anti-Sikh, so

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

those numbers are ignored. Our partner organization, the Sikh Coalition, reports that there has been a rise in attacks against Sikhs because the men wear turbans making them a visible target for hate violence.

Over 50 percent of Asian New Yorkers have limited English proficiency. I have heard from seniors tell me that they try to report a hate attack only to be turned away because there was no police officer could take a report in the language the victim spoke. We must invest in language services and resources.

Disturbingly, the media gaze has moved on from anti-Asian hate and the investments have also waned. Despite these realities, incidents of violence have not stopped. We cannot let this racist, violent pattern of behavior become the norm. We need a new normal that recognizes the Asian American community as being integral to this country's identity.

Our community has yet to see any solutions that we in the front lines have proposed. Statements of solidarity matter only if they are backed up with concrete action. Our leaders can and must do more.

In the meantime, we continue with our work, which remains necessary as one of the only few resources for the victims. As I have sat with the

victims, held their hands, and cried with them, I have asked all of them what does justice look like for you?

And today I want to ask this Commission this same question. What does justice look like for us Asian Americans? Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you, Ms. Yoo. Ms. Yap, you have seven minutes.

MS. YAP: Good afternoon. My name is Diane Yap, and I was born and raised in San Francisco. Unfortunately, my city has changed over the years into one where Asian seniors are afraid to leave their own homes. There's been a surge of violent and often fatal attacks against Asians.

Just a few examples: 70-year-old Mrs. Ren was shoved to the floor, beaten, kicked in the head by three teens and an 11-year-old; two elderly women were stabbed with a machete while waiting for a bus; a 15-year-old boy was stabbed in the neck on the bus by a 12-year-old boy. However, none of these brutal attacks have been classified as hate crimes.

Hate crimes, while certainly on the rise, are a small fraction of the violence faced by Asians in this country. According to the Department of Justice's 2021 Criminal Victimization Report, Asians were the victims in nearly 170,000 violent incidents,

while the number of anti-Asian hate crimes in 2021 was just 305.

This is not to minimize the impact of hate crimes victimization but to put the scope of the problem into proper context. The danger in restricting our analysis to just hate crimes is that we misdiagnose the cause.

Who is responsible for these attacks against Asians? It appears the attacks against Asians only stirred interest on Capitol Hill once there was a way to blame conservatives or white supremacy like that oft-repeated statistic that 75 percent of anti-Asian hate crimes are perpetrated by White people.

Diving into the source material reveals it's based on incidents from 4,337 news articles about coronavirus-related anti-Asian racism in the United States. Of these, there were just 16 incidents with physical contact where the race of the perpetrator was known. Of those 16, 12 had White perpetrators, hence 75 percent. By comparison, the same study included 55 tweets from Donald Trump alone. Yet, a tweet never put Grandma in the hospital.

The urgent issue of violence against Asians predates COVID. The 2018 Criminal Victimization Report by the Bureau of Justice

Statistics shows violent incidents by race of victim and offender. The largest share, 27.5 percent, are perpetrated by Black offenders, while Asians commit just 0.1 percent of such attacks against Black victims. If all those living nearby were equally likely to attack Asians, Blacks are attacking Asians at more than three times the expected rate as the average Asian lives in a neighborhood that's just 8 percent Black.

On the other hand, White and Hispanic people are attacking Asians at about half the expected rate. This is not new. A 2008 analysis on physical assault crimes in San Francisco showed that in 85 percent of cases, the victim was Asian, and the perpetrators were African American.

Sadly, government policymakers and media outlets do not want to acknowledge that Black-on-Asian violence is a problem. And racial resentment is at the root of the problem.

This resentment is well explained by the middleman minority concept. Immigrants who occupy a lower-barrier niche in the economy, like retail, and via punishing work schedules and self-sacrifice ascend from poverty to prosperity often within one generation. Middleman minorities have faced violence

throughout history because native populations of lower status feel entitled to the same outcomes.

Today's expectation of equal outcomes can be summarized by one word, equity. The repetition of this term in policy discussions implies that all outcomes should be doled out proportionately amongst different racial groups regardless of relevant factors such as behavior. But we should expect proportionate outcomes only if these are assigned at random. But police don't arrest people at random. Courts don't imprison people at random. The government does not randomly force anyone to drop out of high school, nor does it randomly assign anyone to a life of poverty.

All of these outcomes depend largely on behavior and individual choices. When you repeatedly told that outcomes are unfair because they are racially disproportionate, it is natural to resent the beneficiaries of this imagined unfairness. Unfortunately, mindset such а breeds racial resentment, and thus, anti-Asian hate.

While the focus of this hearing is anti-Asian hate crimes, any given Asian individual in the U.S., out of a total population of about 18 million, is statistically unlikely to be the victim of a hate crime. On the other hand, anti-Asian discrimination

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

in education figures prominently in the life of every Asian American.

When I was 12, I saw my friend sobbing at school after acceptance letters to Lowell High arrived. She missed the cutoff score for Chinese students by just one point. If she had been any other race, she would have gotten in.

Selective high schools like Lowell High in San Francisco, the screened schools of New York City, and number one ranked Thomas Jefferson in Virginia are all fighting misguided attempts to make their student bodies match area demographics, equity. All of these attempts involve degrading or eliminating the consideration of academic proficiency in admissions. Asians bear the brunt of these unconstitutional attempts at racial balancing.

Even at Harvard, an Asian applicant with a 25 percent chance of getting in would have a 95 percent chance if he were only able to check the African American box instead. Nothing else about him would have to change.

The role of the government is not and should not be to put the thumb on the scale in order to produce racially proportionate outcomes. The goal should not be equal outcomes or equity but rather

1 equal opportunity. The government's role is to ensure 2 that no one faces discrimination on the basis of race. 3 On the matter of hate crimes, that means 4 better crime prevention generally in the form of 5 increased police presence, surveillance of hotspots, predictive policing, and especially imprisonment of 6 7 repeat offenders. 8 On the issue of affirmative action, that 9 means recognizing that such policies discriminate 10 against Asians in favor of objectively less qualified 11 applicants. If you believe that underrepresented 12 groups deserve special help, then you also believe 13 that there are overrepresented groups that deserve to 14 be discriminated against. These are inextricably 15 linked, and the latter is clearly unconstitutional. 16 If the Supreme Court bans race-based 17 affirmative action, it is the duty of the government 18 to enforce the ban as vigorously as it once enforced 19 Brown v. Board of Education. 20 Thank you. I yield my time. 21 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you, Ms. 22 Yap. Mr. Yang. 23 Thank you. MR. YANG: Thank you to the 24 Commission for holding this important hearing. 25 My name is John Yang. I'm the President

and Executive Director of Asian Americans Advancing Justice, AAJC.

Professor Jeung has laid out some of the root causes of anti-Asian hate and its impact, and Jo-Ann has contextualized this with the life experiences that we all feel every single day.

I want to talk about how the government could move forward by focusing on solutions, on language, on education, and on community.

First, on language. Professor Jeung illustrated whenever the United States has an economic or national security concern, Americans of Asian descent have faced a backlash. To be clear, I am not saying that these threats are not real. We do have real geopolitical tension with the Chinese Communist Party and authoritarian government, whether it's a Xinjiang Muslim minority in Xinjiang, whether it's democracy in Hong Kong, whether it is free speech with respect to Chinese citizens. But even as we talk about these issues, we must be careful to use a proper nuance and exercise responsibility in our language.

We must affirmatively emphasize that Asian Americans are Americans and contribute to our economy, our well-being, our liberty, and our prosperity. We must be careful to distinguish between the Chinese

governmental action on the one hand and Chinese and Asian Americans and the society on the other.

When federal officials suggest that this is an all of society problem or that all Chinese students or scholars or scientists are suspected of being spies for the Chinese government, this creates a suspect class where Chinese Americans and Asian Americans are deemed to be spies in the minds of all Americans. References to Asian Americans as being sneaky, cunning, untrustworthy feed on stereotypes that lead to this hate that we are talking about. We would encourage all relevant federal agencies to work with all stakeholders, develop best practices for language.

In talking about language, I would also emphasize the need for language access. One-third of the Asian American community is limited English proficient. And that means that if material is presented only in English, we will not be able to access it and use it.

Toward that end, this Commission in May of 2020 said that Asian Americans must always -- for this Commission, the federal government must always take into account the critical requirement to provide language access for limited English populations. I

would note that the media advisory agenda for this hearing was done in Chinese and Vietnamese.

Under Executive Order 13166, federal agencies and entities receiving federal funding are required to provide meaningful access to Asian social services in limited English proficient individuals. In practice, we have found that significant gaps in these resources and for our LEP populations that are underserved. I would urge federal agencies to review their compliance with Title VI in Executive Order 13166.

Second, let me talk about education. To dispel the myth of the perpetual foreigner or the model minority, we need to ensure that education is inclusive of all marginalized communities including the Asian American community. This is a root cause that we must address.

This includes ensuring expeditious funding and work on the creation of a national museum for Asian-Pacific American history and culture. This includes Asian-Pacific American history in K through 12 education. This is part of a larger effort that all of us share, that all of us need to address together to tell a full account of American history that is inclusive of Asian-Pacific Americans, African

Americans, Native Americans, Hispanic Americans, and LGBTQ Americans. All of these communities have too often been ignored in history books, left out of social studies, and these communities' authors have been silenced, ignored, or banned.

Also, on the subject of education, I respect my colleagues including friends like Lee Cheng, who will testify later, on whom I differ on subjects such as magnet schools and affirmative action. But in our assessment, these are not examples of anti-Asian racism, which is the subject of today's These policies are designed to create hearing. greater opportunities for bright and meritorious students who do not have equitable resources and who are often overlooked. It is recognition that the merits of a student exclude so much more and extend beyond test scores and grades. Indeed, many Asian Americans and Asian-Pacific Americans have benefitted from these policies.

On affirmative action, there's one important thing to recognize. It is that Asian Americans support affirmative action. Polling has demonstrated this time and again. But more importantly, affirmative action is not about quotas. It is not about lowering the bars to admissions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Rather, it is simple recognition that race still matters in our country, and a student must be able to express their full story including their story about their own race.

Again, any discussion about college admissions must be holistic. 20 to 30 percent of college admissions for prestigious universities are based on relatives to alumni; relatives to staff; are based on sports scholarships; and the so-called President's List, which consists of donors and what are considered notable figures. We are contorting the discussion if we only focus on affirmative action.

Lastly, I would want to touch on community and how the federal government can make itself more accessible to our community.

Legislation such as the COVID-19 Hate Crimes Act, the Jabara-Heyer NO HATE Act, has been helpful to many in our community. It's provided grants to our community groups fighting hate. It includes innovative models like restorative justice that many communities embrace. It recognizes that reporting hate should happen not only through law enforcement but health services. Those are examples of where the federal government is making a difference and responding.

I know that Manjusha Kulkarni will dive into more deeply the subject of data and the work of Stop AAPI Hate that has been wonderful for contributing to our community.

One thing I do want to emphasize -- and respectfully referring to the prior speaker -- one thing I want to make clear is the narrative cannot be about African American on Asian hate. That is why these statistics are important. And I understand we have disagreements about them, but the statistics consistently show that African Americans are not overrepresented in hate incidents against the Asian American community. And this is important because this is creating a division, a divisiveness, that is unnecessary within all of our communities.

While the COVID-19 Hate Crimes Act does provide examples of where the federal government can help, federal government can do more.

With respect to grants, the grantmaking process is often opaque and cumbersome, especially for communities that really struggle and would benefit most from the money. Without focused outreach and local touchpoints, federal government will not be trusted and will not be used by our community. Sustained commitment to engagement in culturally and

1 linguistically sensitive manners is critical. 2 Look, there is much work to be done, and I 3 hopeful that а report by this Commission 4 highlighting these issues will spur not only federal 5 agencies to take greater action but for all 6 policymakers to do so as well. 7 Thank you very much, and I look forward to 8 your questions. 9 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you, Mr. 10 Yang. Mr. Levin. 11 MR. LEVIN: Commissioners, thank you so 12 much for having me today. 13 There was a great American who said the 14 following, "If you have the feeling that something is 15 wrong, don't be afraid to speak up." He further said, 16 "Every day in school we said the pledge to the flag, 17 'with liberty and justice for all,' and I believed all 18 that." That's Fred Korematsu. Okay? 19 It's time that we set the record straight 20 for our Asian American brothers and sisters and also 21 set the record straight on incorrect information that 22 has just come up today before this panel. But let me 23 just introduce myself to you. 24 I'm the son of a felon. My father lied 25 about his age as a teenager to go to Europe to fight

1 the Nazis and was captured as a teenager 78 years ago 2 and was one step away from death. The rest of my 3 resume doesn't matter, but you can look it up if you 4 like. And I'm in the New York Times today. 5 Thank you so much for your long-standing 6 The year that they barred nine Black students 7 from Little Rock High was when you got your marching 8 orders to make America what it aspires to be. 9 what an honor to be here with all of you and thank you 10 all. 11 I've been doing this for over 36 years, 12 and my research has been used in the promulgation of 13 every major federal hate crime law, including the Hate 14 Crime Statistics Act. So let me just -- I'm throwing 15 this out because I've got to set the record straight 16 here. 17 First of all, your data's wrong. The FBI 18 just updated its data. It's not 305, it's 746, and 19 that doesn't include Pacific Islanders. 20 If we could go to some slides. I'm just 21 going to get straight to it. 22 This is the increase in reported hate 23 Okay? By the way, if you look at Bureau of 24 Justice Statistics, no, it's not the same as violent

In fact, violent hate crimes have

crime overall.

1 risen more over the last decade that than overall 2 crime in their research. 3 If we could go to the next slide real 4 quick because I don't have a lot of time. 5 If you look at the bottom, look at that 6 explosion in 2020. Those months, from June forward, 7 were worse than any month in the previous decade. 8 Let's go forward again. We don't have a 9 lot of time. 10 This is the latest data. By the way, I'm 11 sure someone's going to twist it. Okay? This is '20 12 We saw a record in to '21 where we saw a record. 13 anti-Asian violence. And this is FBI. 14 By the way, FBI's also wrong. Okay, they 15 said there was 11.6 percent increase. It was a 31 16 percent increase in '21. Just use their top-line 17 numbers because what they did is they kind of compared 18 like the DOW Industrials to the Russell 5000. And you 19 can't do that. So let's move forward. 20 This is anti-Asian hate crime in the 21 United States. We have had an invisible record 22 because we have had instances where the FBI has had to 23 supplement its data for the last two years. 24 By the way, the people who are in charge 25 of that program -- very nice people -- and I asked them to supplement it. So I'm not trying to sound angry. But they have to deal -- like a professor has to deal with students -- they have to deal with 18,000 police agencies that don't turn in their homework on time.

And let me just tell you about the data. Let's look at this because we found, in our latest multi-city study, which is very accurate, we showed an overall 29 percent increase in 2021. FBI showed 31. 73 percent rise in anti-Asian hate crime in 2020, and 167 percent in 2021.

In the cities, it was even more. FBI, 2022 data we don't know yet, but we had a 35 percent decline in the major cities in anti-Asian hate crime. Guess what? That would still be a record if it wasn't for 2021.

So we're talking about like oh, wow, well, we had a slightly better Category 5 tornado or hurricane. And what I'm afraid is people are going to use that number and say oh, whoa, problem solved.

If we could move forward with the slides, please. Again, we saw records in 2020 -- I'm sorry -- we saw record months in 2020. And by the way, yes, you see how it went down? Guess what? If you were to look at the first quarter of 2021, after the

insurrection -- and yes, it was an insurrection --1 when we had a shift to debates about vaccines, and we 2 3 saw an increase in hospitalizations, we saw more anti-4 Asian hate crimes just in New York City alone than --5 there was about a quarter, I think, of the increase in 6 the whole country. So we saw quite a bit. 7 By the way, is all data limited? Yes. 8 don't have the time to go into all the limitations. 9 Now, with respect to hate crime being just like all 10 crime, you can't cherry-pick homicides. That's like 11 less -- it's a fraction of 1 percent. Okay? 12 All the major research, whether it's Nolan 13 and Bennett in 2006 or McDevitt, there are different 14 types of offenders, and you can't treat them all the 15 same. Okay? 16 In New York City, in the first half of 17 2021, just 49 percent of hate offenders were listed as 18 emotionally disturbed persons. And as was the only 19 person on the panel who actually arrested people in 20 New York, let me tell you, that's something where 21 there's a mental health issue that is apparent when 22 you label someone an EDP. 23 If we could just go forward again. 24 No, we do need education. Look at this. 25 In 2020 -- by the way, do you see how that went down?

Guess what? That shot up more in 2021. And why don't I have it? Because the FBI hasn't given us data that we can disaggregate this year. They've given us a little bit of data but not that we can disaggregate. That chart line would pop through the ceiling.

So there's a seasonality with respect to hate crime and anti-Asian hate crime. And what I'm concerned about is if you look at correlations with victimization surveys, if you look to increases with respect to online hate, let me tell you something, the best professor in the Cal State system is here with you today, and he sits at the other end of the table. His data is correct. And his conclusions are correct.

The toxic social media landscape -- and when we saw a Congress person, secretary of state use stigmatizing language against Asians, and then a week later we saw the President, guess what? Within days anti-Asian hate crime on a day-to-day tick peaked. We do this -- I've been doing this for decades.

Hate crimes are not like all types of criminality. There's a printed circuit of stereotypes that Dr. Robin Williams of Cornell said label certain individuals as legitimate targets of aggression, and there are certain circumstances where people who would

otherwise not be criminals and not offend do offend, like probably that person who sprayed Lysol all over that poor woman.

And I don't understand how we can sit here today and make this a debate about affirmative action when we heard the testimony of Jo-Ann Yoo. And I'll tell you something. Every time I go -- and I'm going to shut up right now. Just give me one second here. I came from California. Just give me one second.

Every time I go to panels and this and that, there are people from the Asian American community that come up to me and say oh, my octogenarian parents were attacked twice in six months. Or someone else who's an attorney says my car was attacked.

We have to stop this, and we have to focus on education. And yeah, for people who murder Asian Americans, let them spend the rest of their lives in jail, but that's less than 1 percent of all offenders.

I want to thank you so much for this privilege today and thank you for what you're doing to make this country better for all our brothers and sisters and especially our Asian American brothers and sisters who I care about so very much.

Thank you so much.

1	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you, Mr.
2	Levin. And thanks to all the panelists.
3	I'm going to open it up for questions, and
4	I'll exercise the privilege of the Chair and just ask
5	if any panelist wants to respond to any other
6	panelist.
7	MR. LEVIN: Yeah. The majority of the
8	anti-Asian hate crime offenders in the United States,
9	according to the FBI, are White.
10	One other quick thing. The top 50 cities
11	and we get most of the hard data, the high
12	reporting is from cities. Okay? They have different
13	demographics.
14	So I heard the same thing with regard to,
15	like, a tweet that went viral about anti-Semitic
16	attacks. Guess what? It's about 1 percent more,
17	about 14 percent something like that Black
18	offenders on Jews nationally.
19	But when you have people living right next
20	door to each other right you're going to have a
21	different type of offender mix, and of course, someone
22	will exaggerate that and not use the overall data that
23	is available. Thank you so much.
24	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes, Ms. Yap.
25	MS. YAP: I would like to respond to Mr.

Levin.

Because you've brought up proximity, that is why I did include statistics about both directions of offending because when you live close to somebody, they also live close to you. So if it's a matter of proximity, then the rate of violence should be pretty similar.

I also did analysis on the neighborhood that the average Asian person lives in and like what percent of each race they live in -- their neighbors are, so I do believe that's been addressed.

MR. LEVIN: That's still wrong.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Jeung.

MR. JEUNG: I'd like to really distinguish between hate incidents, which make up the great majority of our cases, and hate crimes, which make up a small fraction. We really need to address what the majority of Asian Americans are experiencing, what we're experiencing trauma from are just regular microaggressions of verbal harassment. But they're not micro in their impact. Right?

We're getting shunned. We're getting spat upon. We're facing 8 percent of Stop AAPI Hate cases are civil rights violations. So you have to address the breadth of racism that Asian Americans are

1 experiencing if we want to, again, serve 2 communities. 3 So to focus solely on hate crimes, 4 think, does a disservice, doesn't get to the roots of 5 the issue. And again, the hate incidents that can, 6 then, rise to the level of assault, and so that's why 7 we need to get at the breadth of incidence. 8 I think the other danger is conflating the 9 crime that Asian Americans experience and then 10 conflating that as any experience of crime is a hate 11 crime. 12 So I think Ms. Yap is correct that those 13 of us living in low-income maybe high-crime areas are 14 victims of crime at high rates, but everybody in the 15 community are victims of crime in those communities. 16 So it's not necessarily Black on Asian crimes. 17 Black and African American community, they're also 18 victims of crime in those neighborhoods. 19 So you have to be careful on focusing on 20 race crimes but instead -- like Ms. Yap just said --21 look at the communities where there are high crime 22 rates and distinguish them from the hate crimes that 23 other people are experiencing. 24 MR. LEVIN: Could I just respond to him 25 for a second?

1 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Sure. Go ahead. 2 MR. LEVIN: He's totally right. And one 3 of things that we like to do is take that crime data -4 - and this happens all the time. I'm so glad that you 5 brought you this up. 6 We just don't use it singularly. We 7 combine it where we can measure epithets online. 8 we look at the wonderful -- God bless you. You're a 9 hero of the Cal State system. 10 This focus on victimizations beyond 11 crimes. When we look at more data -- like, for 12 looked instance, if а weather person just 13 barometric pressure but didn't look at radar and 14 didn't look at temperature change -- right -- so we 15 have to combine them. And we've been seeing what 16 appears to be a shift in types of offenders. 17 And that is it looks like, at least 18 according to Professor James Nolan -- we have a piece 19 in Northwestern's Criminal Law coming out 20 Criminology Review this month -- it looks like we're 21 seeing an older set of offenders coming around now. 22 But we have different types of hate offenders. 23 And one thing with regard to African 24 Americans because this comes up a lot, and it gets

twisted, and it's wrong. It's not only statistically

wrong. It's a moral evil. And I'm not going to be a part of it.

If we look at New York City, for instance, we certainly did have attacks by African Americans against Asians. But when we went across the country where the demographics were different, we had a change.

The other thing, too, is just look at this week about maternal deaths per African Americans with regard to births. Right? So African Americans are more likely to be in poverty and have all kinds of -- I come from a medical family, so this is -- you can't imagine how funny the rest of my relatives are going to be looking at this.

But access to healthcare, including mental healthcare, is far more limited with respect to the African American community. So some of that -- if we just went colorblind and said mentally ill offenders -- we see a lot of offenders with respect to hate crime who have some type of mental illness. And the more we ignore that -- not looking at it as a race thing, but looking at a poverty thing and a mental illness issue -- I think that's more productive. We have to have education be a part of this.

To say that hate crimes are just the same

1	as all criminality, no. And I'm going to quote the
2	dean, Jack McDevitt, who's the first one to come up
3	with the typology back in 1989 with the American Study
4	of Criminology. I added the mentally ill offender,
5	but he looks at the thrill offender; the defensive-
6	reactive offender, who's reacting to a threat or a
7	change in their neighborhood; and the mission
8	offender, like the neo-Nazi skinheads. There are
9	different types of offenders, and they require, and
10	America requires a different response.
11	MR. LEHMAN: What's the composition the
12	percentage-wise composition?
13	MR. LEVIN: I'm sorry. Percentage-wide
14	composition?
15	MR. LEHMAN: So McDevitt and Levin look
16	they look at they derive their typology from their
17	analysis of BPD data. Right?
18	MR. LEVIN: Correct.
19	MR. LEHMAN: And they say what's the
20	distribution of those three types in those offenders?
21	MR. LEVIN: Well, when they first came out
22	with it, it was the thrill offenders.
23	MR. LEHMAN: That's the fourth one but
24	later. But sure, yeah.
25	MR. LEVIN: But what was the fourth one?

1	MR. LEHMAN: They add the
2	MR. LEVIN: No. They just divide the
3	MR. LEHMAN: This is the Bennett thing.
4	Sorry. I'd just like an answer to the question, even
5	the original one, even 89 or 93.
6	MR. LEVIN: I'm sorry. What is your
7	question?
8	MR. LEHMAN: What's the distribution?
9	MR. LEVIN: They had much more thrill
10	offenders. I think it was around 60 percent.
11	MR. LEHMAN: It's 66. It's about 32
12	percent roughly
13	MR. LEVIN: Right. From 1989.
14	MR. LEHMAN: percent for defensive.
15	Yeah. But it's roughly the same in the 2003
16	MR. LEVIN: Right. Which proves my point
17	that education
18	MR. LEHMAN: same in 2010. One percent
19	are mission offenders.
20	MR. LEVIN: I mean, who commits the most
21	homicides?
22	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Okay. Let's try -
23	_
24	MR. LEHMAN: Yeah. And that's very
25	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I'm going to have

to stop the crosstalk because the listeners are not going to be able to discern what's going on here. So one person at a time.

I'm going to have to call on Mr. Yang.

MR. YANG: Yeah. Thank you. I would like to jump in here just to kind of refocus the discussion a little bit because I think the discussion here the purpose of this hearing is to talk about federal response to anti-Asian racism. And so I think the panelists have all done a good job of talking about different viewpoints.

You know, I still go back to sort of I think where we all agreed to varying extents is on education, is on investment to the community. We do have sometimes differing opinions about what that means, but we have -- I think we need to think about what data means because I think there's an agreement that there's data that matters. Having relevant data matters, and the government plays a large role in that. Language matters, and the government -- all of our policymakers -- has a huge difference in how we about these issues and talk about talk responsibilities. So I would like to refocus there.

I do want to speak to one thing that I want us to be very clear about with respect to sort of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 what I am hearing about the divisions between the 2 African American and Asian American community. 3 Let me be clear about this. Is there 4 anti-Blackness in the Asian American community? Yes, 5 there is. Is there anti-Asian-ness in the Black 6 community? Yes, there is. And this is where, again, 7 education is important. Right? Facts are important 8 because there are those that are trying to use these 9 divisions to create wedges within all 10 communities in a manner that is going to prevent 11 progress. 12 And so, what I would urge all of us to do 13 is think about sort of how we get to better facts, how 14 we get the better data, how we are careful with our 15 language and responsible with our language in how we 16 move forward. 17 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Lehman, did 18 you want to respond? 19 Ms. Yoo? 20 MS. YOO: I wanted to address the mental 21 health question. You know, we have to think about the 22 lack of mental health support for victims, for the 23 community in general. 24 know. we scramble to find 25 professionals who can speak the language that the

victims need support in, not just the victims, but the families and the entire community.

After last year, the entire Asian American community in New York City, we were afraid to leave our homes, and we were reeling every time we had to step outside. We all had tremendous anxiety.

And young professional women working in corporations making more in one year than I will ever make in my lifetime, they were calling me, saying, how do I go to work? I'm afraid to get on the subway. What do I do? Do I -- how do I navigate this? This has been very scary. We have tremendous, tremendous anguish right now.

And I appreciate these number conversations because that's where we need to start that. We are a census information center, so for us, at the Federation, everything works starting with data and numbers. But the realityis there are real people behind those numbers, and we need to think about what is happening in my community.

And I will tell you right now, my community, we are having a meltdown. This entire country's having a meltdown, and I appreciate these numbers conversations because that's what needs to happen, but I need to have solutions.

1	I need to have funding to hire and train
2	mental health professionals in language to be able to
3	offer culturally competent programming so that that
4	way nobody's afraid to leave their homes and nobody's
5	afraid to look at their neighbors and think that
6	they're going to be attacked.
7	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Any other
8	Commissioners? Questions? Ms. Garza?
9	COMMISSIONER GARZA: Yes. I do have a
10	question. Professor Jeung? Did I pronounce that
11	correctly?
12	MR. JEUNG: Jeung.
13	COMMISSIONER GARZA: Jeung. Okay. So I
14	wanted to ask you about you mentioned in your
15	testimony how political rhetoric and what we've seen
16	happen around anti-Asian rhetoric has sort of fed into
17	policies that are being put forth.
18	I'm from Texas, and very recently our
19	state legislature was considering a ban or still,
20	to an extent, considering a ban on Chinese Americans
21	owning property. Now it's been reshaped, and now it's
22	businesses in particular. And so, I was hoping I
23	could hear from you or from any of the other panelists
24	that have been looking at these issues.

You know, what are we seeing happen at the

state level in these policies that are implementing these anti-Asian sentiments and continuing to stoke anti-Asian sentiments across the country?

MR. JEUNG: Yeah. I think that's a great question that the policies implemented at the state

question that the policies implemented at the state level, at the local level, at school boards that, again, prohibit, for example, non-Americans from buying property that then sanctions further violence because citizens recognize well if government thinks it's okay to exclude noncitizens from owning property, it's okay for us to then yell at, exclude, mistreat individuals. And then policymakers are emboldened to then pass further xenophobic policies.

And I think that's what we see occurring.

It's hearkening back to the 19th century, the early

20th century when we had several anti-Asian alien land

laws, the Chinese Exclusion Act.

And at the same time of the Chinese Exclusion Act and these alien land laws, we saw massive mass displacement of Asian communities. And people don't hear about that in history. Over 168 Chinese communities were actually forcibly driven out right after the Chinese Exclusion Act.

So there is that sort of cause and effect, the correlation that if the government sanctions

1 xenophobic policies, if it perceives certain 2 communities as outsiders undeserving of rights, then 3 mob violence then occurs. 4 And so, I think we have to be really 5 careful at the state and local level and be on guard. 6 And what the federal government's response should be 7 is to really safeguard the communities. 8 When you see sites that are banning books 9 or instituting laws, land laws, those will then become 10 hotbeds for interpersonal violence against Asians. 11 COMMISSIONER GARZA: Thank you. 12 MR. YANG: And if I might add onto that, 13 as you heard me testify, I recognize the real national 14 security challenges we have. 15 So my framework on some of these laws are 16 how does ACTAS actually benefit national security? 17 And what expertise does the legislative body, or 18 body's involved, have in making 19 determination? And this is where I have serious 20 concerns. 21 Then, the second question I have is what 22 impact, the secondary impact, is that 23 legislation or policy will have on the community? One 24 aspect of things such as alien land laws the way that

these are being discussed is would it be the right of

1 the prior owner to look at citizenship papers, to look 2 at business interests? How will this be enforced? 3 Does the Attorney General get to sue? 4 So those are the real policy implications 5 that I think, whether it is the federal government or 6 state and local government, should be thinking about 7 in determining these things. 8 You know, even setting aside, obviously, 9 what we perceive to be some of the racist quality of 10 these, again, I want to give some deference to 11 national security, but let's talk about this in a 12 smart way. 13 MR. LEVIN: Could I just interject real 14 quick? Gallup, I think it was 2018, 11 percent --it 15 was either 2017, 2018 -- 11 percent China greatest 16 enemy; 49 percent today. 17 And again, using the same disclaimer that 18 my friend John uses, yeah, there are legitimate issues 19 that we have to address across a variety of things, 20 but what ends up happening when politics gets thrown 21 into that stew, you know, once you put some dirt in 22 the stew, there's dirt in the stew. 23 And let me just give you an example about 24 legislation and other things can seem 25 correlate. We saw in 23 states legislation relating to

1 transgender Americans and gender-nonconforming 2 Americans. And we saw our friends at Princeton ACLED 3 showed a massive increase in protests, including 4 violent protests, sometimes with folks like the Proud 5 Boys showing up. Guess what we found is the second 6 most attacked group in 2022 -- you're getting the 7 latest data here -- gender-nonconforming. Top five: 8 other races, gender-nonconforming, LGBTQ mixed, anti-9 Semitic, anti-transgender. 10 So even sometimes when we see like, for 11 instance, anti-gay male and anti-lesbian either go 12 down a little bit or stay flat, we see this mutation 13 of othering. And it correlates to the kind of 14 discourse that we're seeing in politics and also on 15 social media, which is a whole other ball of wax that 16 I'd love to see this Commission handle at another 17 time. 18 COMMISSIONER GARZA: Thank you for that. I 19 do have one more question for Ms. Yoo if I can. 20 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I think we're 21 I'm sorry, but this will have to be the last 22 question because we're up on time now. 23 COMMISSIONER GARZA: Okay. I do want to 24 take it back to the very human aspect and what our

Asian brothers and sisters are experiencing.

What kind of support has your organization received? Where are the gaps? You know, I'd like to hear more about the gaps in terms of making sure that the federal response is adequate.

MS. YOO: Thank you for that question.

I agree with John when he talked about funding coming through. There is a lot of money. You know, when all the violence is happening, people are throwing money at you. And for us, we were throwing money back at the community to be able to build infrastructure.

Literally, I've been telling my staff we are building the airplane. We're paving the runway as we're flying this plane. And I honor my staff who never got to sleep in the first year because this is what we were doing. And it was great to have money. It was great to be able to pay for stuff to be able to get things going, but the media gaze has slowed, and so now the money has slowed. And so, we are trying — and the violence hasn't stopped.

We need to be able to -- and some of those federal applications, it is so cumbersome. Many of the nonprofit organizations in our community are small. They don't have the capacity to write sophisticated proposals. Some of these nonprofits

1 function with two, three staff people. There isn't 2 that capacity. There isn't the language capacity. 3 There isn't the professional quality proposals that 4 they can turn out. They've got to get the money 5 deployed quickly on the ground. And it takes forever. It takes forever. 6 7 And we are struggling because we are 8 paying for, not just victim services, but also 9 figuring out how do we have program money so that that 10 way we can get food programs going for seniors? 11 do we make sure that we pay for therapists? How do we 12 make sure that there's overall mental health 13 programming in our community? 14 And so, I think if the government responds 15 -- if I can change one thing -- if I had a magic wand 16 that I can change tomorrow, it would be that the funds 17 deployed to our community comes faster without a lot 18 of reporting knowing that we are best equipped to know 19 how to spend this money because this is the work that 20 we're doing. 21 COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: And your staff is 22 multilingual? 23 MS. YOO: Yes. Thank you. Many, many 24 languages.

(Simultaneous speaking.)

1 COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: I just wanted to 2 make sure. 3 MS. YOO: Many, many languages. 4 many languages our staff. But we also have member 5 agencies, so that when we do anything, it gets 6 translated into seven to ten languages. 7 membership agencies have a lot of language capacity. 8 So if we do a press conference, 9 instance, when we had a press conference on public 10 charge, it was done in 10 languages. Yes, it took a 11 little while, but every community needed to know what 12 their rights were, deserved to know what the facts 13 were. So it does take a while. It costs money. 14 this is how we communicate because this is what we 15 need to do. 16 COMMISSIONER GARZA: Thank you. 17 MS. YOO: Thank you. 18 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I do have one 19 I just need a clarification. question. 20 Was I hearing you correctly that Texas is 21 legislation to prohibit proposing some 22 Americans from owning land or Chinese from owning land 23 because I heard Chinese Americans? 24 MR. YANG: Right. It has been a moving 25 target to have an amendment offered. Right now, it is

foreign nationals, and now it is more focused on business rather than on individual personal land ownership from my understanding. But it is still a moving target.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: And if such legislation is passed, my sense is it's because of a concern for national security. And there has been considerable purchase of land by the People's Republic of China in strategically sensitive spots in the United States of America. How do we address that?

MR. YANG: And you bring up a very good point. There can be cases made -- and I want to be careful here because I am not an expert on national security, and I am not an expert on sort of how -- sort of when we talk about military installations where there have been discussions -- but there can be appropriate things.

And if you think about CFIUS as being one of the places where we talk about these types of issues, there are appropriate forums to talk about these issues and how to address foreign investment and what that might do. That certainly -- the federal government in many ways is well-equipped to do.

But when we have state and local governments implementing these -- certainly the

political debate in those climates have not focused on 1 2 That is where there is concern. these nuances. 3 And then the repercussions. 4 focus also on the impact on all of our communities 5 being very, very drastic. 6 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I want to thank 7 the panelists. This has been very informative. We're 8 going to be taking a short break. We'll reconvene at 9 2:25 with Panel 2. 10 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went 11 off the record at 2:13 p.m. and resumed at 2:24 p.m.) 12 PANEL 2: COMMUNITY STAKEHOLDERS 13 ADVOCATES COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: 14 Okay, we're going to begin with panel number two. And 15 the witnesses for this panel are Cynthia Choi, who is 16 Co-Executive Director of the Chinese for Affirmative 17 Action; Dr. Wenyuan Wu, Executive Director 18 Californians for Equal Rights Foundation; Jennifer Wu, 19 partner with Groombridge, Wu, Baughman & Stone LLP; 20 Lee Cheng, who is co-founder of the Asian American 21 Legal Foundation; and Manjusha Kulkarni, Executive 22 Director of the AAPI Equity Alliance. 23 Because we have five panelists, instead of 24 the seven minutes that we accorded everyone in the 25 previous panel, you all have eight minutes now if you

1 choose to use that. 2 PARTICIPANT: Lucky eight. 3 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I know, it's like 4 the Gettysburg Address or something. So we may as well begin. Let's go with Ms. Choi. 5 6 MS. CHOI: Thank you. Well, 7 afternoon, my name is Cynthia Choi, and I am the Co-8 Executive Director of Chinese for Affirmative Action. 9 And I'm also one of the co-founders of Stop AAPI 10 And I serve on the California Commission for --11 on the State of Hate. 12 I'd like to thank the Commission for 13 inviting all of us here today on the perspective of 14 federal response to anti-Asian racism, as it's been 15 from my understanding over 30 years since there's been 16 a real focus on the experiences of our communities. 17 At Stop AAPI Hate and CAA, we believe that 18 data and informed analysis should drive our policy 19 recommendations. Today we have over 11,000 incidents 20 from all across the country. And for us, these are 21 not just data points, these are real people who shared 22 their stories and that of their loved ones. 23 I do want to state very clearly that a 24 vast majority of the incidents are not hate crimes,

but rather non-criminal hate incidents like verbal

harassment, racist comments, and offensive gestures that those who report to us describe as traumatic and harmful.

In addition to our data, we also receive requests from support, like the mother of a student enrolled at Montana State University, who contacted us because her daughter was allegedly subjected to anti-Asian, anti-LGBTQ slurs. And who found a noose in her closet with a note telling her to kill herself.

At the same time, we don't want to minimize racially -- racially motivated acts of violence, like the incident in Bloomington, IL, where a woman repeatedly -- reportedly confessed to stabbing 18-year-old student because it would mean, quote, one less person to blow up our country.

I'm here for them. At Stop AAPI Hate, we remain deeply concerned with the rise in anti-Asian hate and discrimination that is largely being fueled by racial scapegoating of Asians and Asian Americans, and specifically anti-Chinese political rhetoric.

In our latest report on the impact of anti-Asian political rhetoric, we cite multiple examples of political leaders and candidates unfairly blaming Asian people for current events, such as the economic downturn, the COVID-19 pandemic, and the

threats to national security.

Our research demonstrates that this harmful rhetoric poses a significant danger to millions of Asian Americans in the United States. For example, we know that political rhetoric associating Chinese people with COVID-19 contributed to incidents of hate against our community.

In the week after President Trump first tweet using the language Chinese virus in March of 2020, Twitter hashtags expressing anti-Asian sentiment increased 174 times.

Since then, Stop AAPI Hate has documented more than 2,000 hate incidents that include language blaming Asian people for COVID-19, some including threats of violence. And this number is only the tip of the iceberg.

We've also received hundreds of incidence reports that include baseless accusations of spying for the Chinese Government and multiple reports of incidents where Asian Americans were threatened with violence and accused of stealing jobs or harassed to go back to China.

It should be noted that the link between anti-Asian political rhetoric and anti-Asian hate is nothing new. And in fact, in 1992, this very body

commissioned a landmark civil rights report, which found politicians were in part responsible for hate and animus directed at Asian Americans.

It stated, quote, "Political leaders contribute to the problem when they unthinking lash out at Japan as a cause of United States' economic difficulties." The report urged politicians to engage in responsible rhetoric, cautioning against racebaiting tactics and remarks that play on -- play upon racial and ethnic bias.

Three decades later, we find ourselves in the same situation. We are concerned that increasing levels of anti-Chinese political rhetoric we're seeing today will not only embolden others to commit racist acts, but also enshrine policies and embody institutional racism.

As been mentioned before, there is a troubling wave of policy proposals rooted in anti-Chinese discrimination, with lawmakers in Texas and other states pushing bans on Chinese land ownership, having a devastating impact on Chinese immigrants and others who are being targeted, preventing them from buying homes, settling their families, and developing roots in their community.

These proposals are reminiscent of the

past policies that systematically harmed Asian Americans, as was mentioned in the prior panel, the Exclusion Act of 1882, alien land laws in the early 1900s, and Japanese incarceration — force incarceration of Japanese Americans. And of course more recently, the racial profiling and surveillance of Muslim Americans.

The intense anti-Asian rhetoric of this area has not only created a hostile environment for people like Vincent Chin, who was targeted in a brutal racist attack. It also led to institutionalize racism in the hands of our government.

Unless we act, the reckless anti-Chinese rhetoric and the policies of today will lead our nation down the same slippery slope in which -- in which racist fearmongering overtakes our shared values of equity and unity.

The federal government has a duty and an obligation to step in to enforce civil rights and to ensure all American are afforded equal opportunities and are treated with dignity and respect regardless of race, ethnicity, and immigration status.

We applaud the current administration for enacting a whole-of-government approach to equity. We strongly support efforts that entail auditing

coordination across agencies to ensure our communities 1 2 can benefit fully from these programs and policies, in 3 particular that enforce our civil rights. Which also 4 includes prioritizing language access. 5 And finally we want to stress that it's 6 important that we have sustained and resource 7 collaborations with community partners so that our 8 government engages meaningfully with our 9 understands the community's diverse experiences with 10 bias and discrimination so that they're fully 11 understood and meaningfully addressed. 12 I want to thank you for your time, and I 13 look forward to your questions later. Thank you. 14 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you, Ms. 15 Choi. Ms. Wu. 16 I want to thank the Commission DR. WU: 17 this opportunity to testify on this hugely 18 important topic of anti-Asian discrimination. My name 19 is Wenyuan Wu. I represent Californians for Equal 20 Rights Foundation. 21 I want to first make the following three 22 Number one, criminal incidents targeting points. 23 Asian Americans are egregious and must be addressed in 24 the context of rising threats to public safety in 25 general.

Number two, framing anti-Asian hate crimes in a narrative of systemic racism or systemic inequity misleads the public on methodological and moral grounds.

Number three, persistent discrimination against Asian American students in education, justified under the names of diversity, equity, and race-based affirmative action is much more salient and consequential form of anti-Asian discrimination.

First, data from the FBI Crime Data Explorer shows a 90 percent increase from 2018 to 2021 in crimes involving Asian American victims. An initial reading of such an increase could validate the Asian hate thesis, but further analysis reveals interlocking nuances.

On violent incidents by victim race and ethnicity, the percentage change from 2018 to 2021 was 75 percent for White victims, 118 percent for Black victims, and 138 percent for Hispanic victims. These numbers also mirror trends in rates of violent crime offenders desegregated by race and ethnicity during the same period.

The rates of violent incident victims of - and offenders per 100,000 people by race and
ethnicity are even more telling. Severe imbalances at

a group level between Asian Americans with lowest crime rates and certain minority groups that disproportionately commit violent crimes and fall victim to criminal violence deserves attention here.

Taking into account their percentages in the general population, Asian Americans can be said to be under-committing crimes by over five percentage points. And over, they are over-victimized by about 4.5 percentage points. That is only if we were to go along with a crime to population proportionality rationale.

Official data between 1985 and 2020 shows a slight reversal of a historical trend of lowering crimes in recent years, especially since 2014. In other words, violent crimes committed by all racial and ethnic groups and victimizing all groups have been on the rise lately.

More alarmingly, given the current political climate in which activists and politicians have called for structural reforms to abolish proactive policing, the rates of crimes cleared by the police have decreased in major U.S. cities where such crime — such reforms have been adopted to various degrees.

The crusade against law enforcement has

done a huge disservice by degrading the dignity of the profession and the compromise in public safety. In a vicious cycle, many law enforcement agencies have responded to staffing shortages by lowering recruiting and training standards.

In short, when we situate crimes targeting Asian Americans in the broad context of increases in violent crimes and decreases in the capacity of law enforcement to adequately address crimes, the wave of Asian hate is part and parcel of general crime patterns.

Secondly, pegging anti-Asian crimes to racism lacks rigor. FBI reported 7,264 hate crime incidents in 2021, of which 4,470, or 65 percent, were motivated by race, ethnicity, or ancestry.

There was a 12 percent decrease compared with the total number of hate crimes in 2020, and a 14.5 drop compared with the number of hate crimes motivated by race, ethnicity, or ancestry.

But the reports by Stop AAPI Hate, which are frequently cited in media as evidence of Asian hate endorses a much more expansive conceptualization.

Not only do hate crimes involving physical assaults are counted. Hate incidents such as verbal harassment, avoidance or shunning, denial of service,

and online trolling are also calculated.

Of the 10,905 anti-Asian hate incidents documents in its 2020 national report, about 67 percent were incidents of verbal harassment, and only 16 percent were physical assaults. The former does not always result in an actual hate crime.

Therefore, the claim of unprecedented anti-Asian hate is inflated by events without traceable criminal element. These pitfalls are consequential.

Upholding anti-Asian racism as a structural issue warranting transformative changes can divert precious public policy focuses on public forums away from community building to ideological exercises that ultimately do not improve public safety.

The Stop Asian Hate movement has garnered tens of millions of funding from various entities. For instance, in March 2022, the California state government distributed \$40 million in grant funds to 80 regional and local organizations to combat biasmotivated attacks.

For ideological reasons and also for profit, proponents of the Stop Asian Hate movement skew historical data and incorrectly paint a picture of systemic racism and the most underlying variable of

hate incidents targeting Asian Americans.

Trumped-up charges of hate incidents can have a fearmongering psychological effect on members of the Asian American community, especially those who are first generation immigrants or low income. Imagine what bearing the burden of feeling like a perpetual victim and being pressured to support virtue signaling practices of condemning racism would do to an Asian American who has not analyzed the data.

He or she would feel powerless and threatened by imaginary enemy. He or she may even partake in unproven reforms of anti-racism and restorative justice, only to see an escalation in crimes harming the community because the underlying issues related to public safety would remain unsolved.

Last but not least, if policymakers and observers are serious about identifying and tackling discrimination against Asian Americans, they must confront the elephant in the room: systemic and ongoing anti-Asian discrimination in education through the practice of race-conscious admissions.

Whether it is in admissions to selective U.S. colleges and universities or in recruitment of gifted and talented students at the K to 12 level, evidence regarding high standards, de facto quotas,

1 racial balancing, and negative stereotypes to limit 2 the access of Asian American applicants abound. 3 In higher education admission barriers 4 hampering the changes of Asian American applicants 5 have been well documented by statistical evidence and eyewitness accounts. Racial preferences for the so-6 7 called under-represented minority students at elite 8 colleges come almost entirely at the expense of Asian 9 Americans. 10 The trend of racial preferences 11 continue at the graduate school level. Beyond elite 12 schools, the penalty against Asian American students 13 is endemic throughout the education ecosystem. 14 survey by Inside Higher Education revealed 42 percent 15 of admission officers from private colleges and 39 16 percent of admission officers from public colleges 17 admitted that they hold Asian American applicants to a 18 higher standard. 19 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Dr. Wu, if you 20 could begin to wrap it up. 21 In summary, I -- to reduce WU: 22 Asian Americans, policymakers violence targeting 23 should devise solutions to improve public safety and 24 support law enforcement.

On the other hand, if public policy

1	decisionmakers are committed to combating
2	discrimination and bias against Americans of Asian
3	descent, they should not ignore the prevalence of
4	race-conscious education policies, which
5	disproportionately harm Asian American students.
6	Thank you again for hearing my testimony.
7	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you. Ms.
8	Wu.
9	MS. WU: Thank you for having me. My name
10	is Jennifer Wu, and I'm a patent lawyer in New York
11	City. I am here because I became a civil rights
12	lawyer, because there has been no federal response to
13	anti-Asian racism.
14	Since 2020, I have represented nearly
15	every victim in New York City who has died of anti-
16	Asian violence. Michelle Go, the Deloitte consultant
17	and vibrant woman who was pushed in the Times Square
18	subway; GuiYing Ma, who is the grandmother sweeping
19	the street when she was viciously hit with a rock and
20	later died.
21	And also Zhiwen Yan, among others, the
22	small business owner who was on his scooter when he
23	was ruthlessly gunned down while making a food
24	delivery order.

I am here because there is no data that is

accurate and comprehensive and on the federal level. We have organizations like Stop AAPI Hate who are collecting data. We have data from the FBI.

But not a single one of my clients, who are all pro-bono clients, has ever reported a crime to the federal agencies, and ever heard from the U.S. Government, beyond Congresswoman Grace Meng of New York City, who is not even the congresswoman for most of my victims.

There has been no federal response, which is why private practitioners like myself have stepped in to help the victims and develop a response. I am the editor the Asian American Bar Association's -- or one of the editors of the Asian American Bar Association's reports on anti-Asian violence, the first of which came out in 2021, and the second that came out in 2022.

I urge you to read them, because this is the response of the local community to people in our community dying. This is not about affirmative action. This is not about lack of education at some level. This is about people dying in the streets of New York City, much as they died of COVID-19.

 $\hbox{I was drawn and called to action because I} \\$   $\hbox{am the daughter of immigrants.} \quad \hbox{My parents are from}$ 

different places. My father is native Taiwanese, and my mother is from China. They met in North Dakota at North Dakota State University, where they were both graduate students and my dad thought that my mother was Taiwanese. By the time he realized that she was not, it was too late.

It is no surprise that my parents, who have disagreed politically on nearly everything, raised two daughters, both of whom became lawyers and both went to Harvard University. We grew up in the suburbs of New Jersey in the 1980s, when anti-Japanese sentiment was high because there was a belief that the Japanese were taking away the jobs of American auto workers in Detroit.

Unbeknownst to me, I was Asian. There were not that many mirrors in my parents' small home in New Jersey, so I hadn't realized that I looked different than everyone else. One day when my parents were driving their station wagon, they had a little Christmas tree car freshener on it. My dad took it down and he put an American flag underneath it.

And when I asked him why he was doing that, he said to me, "Jennifer, you're Asian." And I said, "What, what are you talking about? I'm American. This is the only home that I have ever

known." And he said, "Well, you look Asian, so people will think that you are loyal to Asia and not to America, and you need to understand that."

it was my first consciousness at the age of five that I was different than everybody else. But it also made me realize that sometimes what we feel is what we see reflected in other people. That the fear that we feel as Asian Americans in New York City comes because when we're walking down the street, people cross the street because they do not want to be near us.

And I had the good fortune of being partners with the great Ted Wells of Paul, Weiss for many years, and he would say as Black man, when he walked down the street in a suit, people would cross the street in New York City so they did not have to be in the same side as him.

That fear that you feel is not necessarily one that you see in yourself, but is reflected in you in the community. And what I saw in New York City and continue to see in New York City is that fear.

New York City is home to the largest Asian population in the country. Nearly a quarter of the people who are Asian American are in poverty, so it is also the poorest ethnic group in New York City.

This is also one of the reasons why despite the model minority myth, that anti-Asian violence skyrocketed in New York City. And compared to 2020, anti-Asian hate crimes reported to NYPD rose 361 percent in 2021, even leaving aside the underreporting issue.

This brings me to my point, which is the call to action. The reason that I have provided pro bono services completely free to all these victims is because they need them and because they are human. They are our family. They are our daughters, they are our mothers, they are our sisters, they are aunts, they are uncles.

We provide to them what we would provide our own family. The first of which is to provide -- to advocate -- advocacy for victims before the District Attorney and to interact with police. Much of the DAs do not have access to interpreter services that are good.

I often find myself in meetings where I am using Mandarin Chinese to translate because someone has brought a translator in a different dialect. I have never encountered an ADA who is Asian, but for Hannah Yu, who is Chief of the Hate Crimes Unit at the Manhattan DA's office.

The Asian community cannot have trust in the local law enforcement if they are not represented and people do not speak their language. There are also mixed messages. When the ADA tells someone that they are going to do something, the local police will sometimes say the opposite and say I don't have faith in the ADA. There are problems there and distrust in the institutions.

We need training and education. We have given training and educations to the DA's office in Manhattan, but we need more of that. For example, the New York City Council dedicated \$1.7 million for heat crimes work, which expanded the Hate Crimes Unit in Manhattan from three people to 13 dedicated people and 20 dedicated ADAs.

The other thing that victims need is wraparound services, people to help them with Go Fund Me. In order to withdraw funds, you need Social Security number from the United States as well as bank account from the United States. They also need ability to apply for U visas if they are undocumented. They need help with healthcare. They need help with the media.

There is no easy answer to any of these problems, but the fact that there is a large

population of people who are pro bono lawyers dedicating services here indicates that the community organizations are overwhelmed and that we as a community has failed the community that's supposed to trust us.

I want to tell one story that is noteworthy because it surprised me. The husband of GuiYing Ma, who's the grandmother sweeping her street, when her -- when she died in the hospital three months after she was crushed with a rock, instead of blaming the perpetrator who had bashed in her head and taken away her life, he blamed the hospital because he did not trust the hospital institution to have protected her.

That is an illustration of the loss of trust that our community has had in institutions that are supposed to protect us. Whether it's the hospital, the police, the DA, even this commission, or myself. What I have tried to do is create bonds with the community so that they have someone to go to that they can trust.

And to be honest with you, I am the mother of three children who are quite young, six, seven, and nine years old. And having seen anti-Asian hate when I was growing up in the suburbs, I yearn for a better

1 future. 2 Thank you to the Commission, all the work 3 that you are doing. I'm very hopeful that there will 4 be a federal response to anti-Asian racism. 5 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you, Ms. Wu. 6 Mr. Cheng. MR. CHENG: So Ms. Wu, thank you very much 7 8 for your advocacy on behalf of Asian American crime 9 victims. I feel very humbled. And after this panel 10 is done, I'd like to find out how I could do more. 11 Good afternoon, Commissioners, staff 12 members, distinguished panelists, and guests. 13 grateful for this opportunity to speak to 14 commission on a topic of great personal importance, 15 racism and its impact on Americans of Asian descent. 16 By way of background, I am the first 17 generation in my family to be born in the United 18 States to immigrant parents. I grew up in the 1970s 19 in a racially diverse middle class suburb of San 20 I remember being frequently mocked and Francisco. 21 even being beaten up for being different. 22 When I was in eighth grade, I started the 23 chess club so I could eat lunch indoors to avoid being 24 beaten up. I have very visceral reasons to hate

racism.

1 In 1984, I applied to Lowell High School, 2 an academic merit-based program in San Francisco. 3 desegregation initiative, 4 admissions process featured an academic index that 5 took into account grades and test scores. 6 However, based on false claims that racial 7 mixing in schools and classrooms would help improve 8 the academic performance of Black students, the San 9 Francisco Unified School District imposed racial caps 10 on admissions that forced Chinese kids to score higher 11 than any other ethnic group. 12 The racial caps harmed most poor Chinese 13 American kids. I was shocked and dismayed even as a 14 child to see such blatant discrimination being proudly 15 promoted by public officials. 16 After graduating from college in 1994, I 17 and a group of activists from a Chinese-American 18 democratic club formed the Asian American legal 19 foundation in order to organize a law suit that 20 challenged the racial quotas. 21 After six years of litigation, the SFUSD 22 agreed to all of our terms in quotas, and the quotas 23 were removed. 24 Since then, the Asian American Legal 25 Foundation has championed the rights of Asian

Americans by adopting in judicial and public advocacy a position that has -- that was surprisingly unique for many years in Asian American civil rights groups, that discrimination based on race, ethnicity, or national origin is never justified and should never be permitted unless subjected to strict scrutiny.

We believed that then and now more than ever we believe that discrimination and violence against Asian Americans and all Americans can best and only be eliminated ultimately by returning to the foundational principles that every American must be treated equally under law.

The history of discrimination and ethnic violence against Asian Americans is as extensive as the history of Asians in America. One of the largest lynchings in American history occurred in Los Angeles in 1871 when a mob murdered ten percent of the already ghettoized Chinese population.

Racial violence in the West, excuse me, including by the KKK, targeted Asian Americans as the -- as did segregation efforts and policies in schools, employments, and other arenas. The Chinese Exclusion Act of 1882, the internment of Japanese Americans in World War II, the list just goes on and on.

While there has been tremendous progress,

discrimination and violence against Asian Americans remains pervasive. Asian Americans face growing levels of discrimination in education, employment, business, and both anecdotally and statistically are increasingly victims of violence.

animus has been joined by an ugly cousin, racist discrimination and violence that is supposedly benign.

Most notably in recent years, reportedly benign discrimination helped advance redistributionist equity efforts seems to have supplanted ignorance-based animus as the source of institutionalized discrimination against Asian Americans.

As previously mentioned, I first encountered this type of discrimination applying to a public high school, but programs seeking to limit Asian American enrollment for the sake of diversity are now ubiquitous in any selective admissions setting.

The same attitudes exclude Asian Americans de facto, if not officially, from being recruited under DEI programs in employment or other business contexts as well as for a growing number of governmental programs.

But the horrifying surge of violence that

Asian Americans face in the present appears only to be driven partly by racism by both Whites and non-Whites.

The Commission does not need additional recitations of horrifying acts of violence that are occurring on a daily basis.

The answer lies in deterring and stopping crime in bad acts and bad actors and not to focus only on things called hate crimes. Focusing on hate misses the forest for the trees. It is true that crimes against Asian Americans have increased in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic.

It is commonly explained by the narrative that White supremacists or nationalists are surge responsible for the in violence, often reportedly because of stupid remarks about the origin of COVID-19.

Another panelist in a previous -- in an earlier panel, Diane Yap, has shown that claims that White people are responsible for the upswing in crimes against Asian Americans are foundationally based on two biased and misleading studies that conflate often non-violent hate crimes with violent crime.

Logically the increase in violent crimes against Asian Americans is predominantly due to a surge in violent crime overall, particularly in large

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

American cities. While the number of reported hate crimes has increased, many acts of violence against Asian Americans are not reported or categorized as hate crimes.

Preventing hate crimes will not and has not prevented an increase in crimes against Asian Americans. Three years after the pandemic started, there's no evidence that posters or rallies have anything to -- have done anything to actually counter the early release, for instance, of tens of thousands of felons in the state of California.

The emphasis on identity politics also almost certainly plays a role in anti-Asian hate and violence. Logically, a focus on racial identity encourages people to regard people from different ethnic groups as others, less important and potentially less or even inhuman.

As previously mentioned, the majority of crimes against Asian Americans and shocking and dismaying images of brutality that we see daily are not apparently caused by racial animus but are largely due to changes in criminal justice.

We've heard from Dr. Wu earlier that restorative justice initiatives in states like California have resulted in -- in the release of tens

1 thousands convicted felons of of and the 2 decriminalization or downgrading of many crimes 3 previously considered and prosecuted as felonies. The racial focus of criminal justice 4 5 reform has also contributed to the surge in crime. The death of George Floyd in May 2020 added to the 6 7 wave of initiatives to eliminate the carceral state. 8 The focus of criminal justice reform 9 appears to be on those who commit crimes and the race 10 of those who commit crimes at the expense of the 11 rights of victims and the families of all communities. 12 The pendulum has swung too far. 13 victims of crime do not only include Asian Americans, 14 but are actually and tragically disproportionately 15 Black. 16 The federal government has historically 17 had a mixed role in protecting civil rights. On the 18 federal government has been hand, the 19 perpetrator and participant in almost all of the most 20 egregious civil rights violations. Conversely, the 21 federal government has often also protected the rights 22 of minorities. Historically, when various states 23 and 24 localities refuse to provide equal access

protection, the federal government stepped in.

25

The

civil rights advances of the nation post-Brown could not have been achieved without -- without active federal intervention.

In my opinion, the proper role of the federal government is to uphold the dearly won constitutional protections for individuals to avoid discrimination based on immutable characteristics. Of late, the government has not only abdicated that duty but facilitated injustice.

A high profile example of the educational context involves the decision by the current administration to have the Solicitor General's Office support Harvard's bogus claim that its admissions policies do not discriminate against Asian Americans.

In the criminal justice arena, the gathering and reporting of crime statistics have been overhauled to focus on hate crimes, masking the increase in violent crimes that actually drives the increased violence against Asian Americans and everyone.

I believe that the federal government should not and cannot treat any American differently based on race, ethnicity, or national origin, except under circumstances that can survive strict scrutiny.

That means the federal government should vigorously

1 anti-discrimination laws, enforce regardless of 2 rationale or claim beneficiary. 3 If an action or program would be illegal 4 or prohibited in committed against someone Black, it 5 should be equally illegal if committed against an 6 Asian American. 7 criminal justice, the federal 8 government should focus its data-gathering efforts to 9 actually objectively defined 10 especially violent crimes. The federal government 11 needs to step in to aggressively pursue justice for 12 crime victims when local officials refuse to prosecute 13 criminals. 14 Accountability for criminals who lack --15 who attack law-abiding citizens and residents will 16 deter and decrease anti-Asian violence far more than 17 national demands not called COVID-19, the China or 18 Wuhan virus. 19 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Cheng, if you 20 can wrap it up. 21 MR. CHENG: Almost done. Finally, all 22 forms of racial injustice including violence against 23 Asian Americans can only be truly controlled and 24 eliminated with the concerted -- a concerted effort to

racial neutrality under law a real chance.

1 Nothing good can be achieved by trying to fight past 2 discrimination with racial discrimination. 3 The federal government must focus its 4 efforts, policies, and resources to reducing the 5 number of victims of racism instead of actively making 6 new ones. 7 Thank you for your time and consideration. 8 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you, sir. 9 Ms. Kulkarni. 10 MS. KULKARNI: Thank you. Good afternoon, 11 my name is Manjusha Kulkarni, and I serve as Executive 12 Director of AAPI Equity Alliance and co-founder of 13 Stop AAPI Hate, along with Cynthia Choi and Russell 14 Jeung, who spoke earlier today. Thank you for the 15 opportunity to speak at today's very important 16 briefing. 17 In the 2019 report Are Rights a Reality: 18 Evaluating Federal Civil Rights Enforcement, this 19 Commission stated the nation still has not reached a 20 time of recognition of and protection for core civil 21 rights promises as the norm for all Americans. This 22 is especially true for Asian Americans and Pacific 23 Islanders since the pandemic. 24 In the past three years, our communities 25 have experienced verbal harassment, civil rights

violations, and in some limited cases, physical attacks. As Cynthia Choi outlined, we at Stop AAPI Hate have received over 11,000 incident reports from all 50 states and the District of Columbia.

Sadly, these hate incidents have impacted not only East Asians, but also Southeast Asians and South Asians. According to a 2021 survey Stop AAPI Hate did with the Edelman firm, 20 percent of South Asians have experienced hate incidents. This includes individuals whose families originate from India, Pakistan, Sri Lanka, Bangladesh, and Nepal, and who identify most commonly as Hindu, Muslim, and Sikh.

Some of the most devastating examples of hate directed at South Asians include not only the Indianapolis shooting that resulted in the deaths of four Sikh Americans in 2021, but also the murder of seven Sikh Americans in 2012 in Oak Creek, Wisconsin, and the homicides of three South Asians immediately after 911, one Sikh, one Hindu, and one Muslim.

Given the horrific nature of the Indianapolis and Atlanta shootings in 2021, it is —it is not surprising that Congress passed the COVID-19 hate crimes bill and President Biden signed it into law in May of the same year.

And yet, immediately after the Atlanta

attack, FBI Director Christopher Wray stated that race was not a factor in the Atlanta shooting. Such comments and the failure to prosecute hate crimes against Asian Americans stems from the very high federal standard required.

The "because of" standard has been interpreted by the courts to mean that individuals can only be found guilty if the protected characteristic of the victim or target is the sole factor in willfully causing bodily injury. Similar standards exist at the state level and inhibit the appropriate prosecution of hate crimes by White supremacists, including in Atlanta.

Much attention has been paid to violent attacks and reports of anti-Asian hate. Analysis of our Stop AAPI Hate data indicates that the vast majority do not involve a crime, let alone a hate crime. Only a minority of the 11,000 involve crimes such as physical attacks and destruction of property.

Seventeen percent involve cases of assault and battery and four percent vandalism. Of the cases involving personal injury, very few result in serious bodily injury that necessitate hate crimes prosecution.

Beyond the fact that only a small minority

involve crimes, an even more compelling reason not to rely solely on hate crimes enforcement is the lack of evidence of its efficacy. Little research has shown that prosecution of hate crimes for sentencing enhancements they provide serve as a deterrent to prevent recidivism among perpetrators or future crimes by other actors.

Rather, they often lead to more mass incarceration, especially of African Americans and Latinx individuals, who commit fewer hate crimes than Whites, as evidenced by the latest FBI data. Moreover, such prosecutions do nothing to promote healing of victims and community members.

Of the 11,000 hate incidents reported to Stop AAPI Hate, 12 percent involve civil rights violations. They include workplace discrimination, discrimination in housing refusal of service in retail and public accommodations, and bullying in schools.

Given these numbers, it is critical to look beyond criminal law enforcement towards civil rights enforcement and civil mechanisms that offer the possibility of redress.

Key to civil and civil rights approach is the fact that it holds institutions and not simply individuals accountable, both public as well as

private, for discriminatory acts committed against employees, tenants, students, and customers. A central remedy of such actions is injunctive relief ending the racial discrimination itself.

With it can come financial penalties which offer some level of accountability from the perpetrating institution along with restitution to victims or survivors.

Given these facts, we offer the following recommendations. Number one, improve civil rights reporting nationwide.

Federal, state, and territory agencies responsible for enforcing civil rights laws receive hundreds of thousands of complaints every year, yet few agencies engage in the type of public data collection, research, and reporting needed to inform effective civil rights enforcement, as noted by this very commission in the 2019 report.

By contrast, federal law requires the collection of hate crimes data and the data informs law enforcement administration operations managements and reveals ongoing and emerging trends. We for this reason strongly recommend requiring federal civil rights agencies to collect and report key data related to civil rights enforcement and the Attorney General

to annually publish this data.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Number two, update Title II to include retail stores and other businesses where discrimination occurs. Title ΙI bans thea discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, and national origin in places of public accommodation. These heretofore have been limited to restaurants, hotels, and places of exhibition or entertainment.

Excluded are retail stores such as grocery stores, markets, banks, beauty salons, and other businesses. We recommend that legislation amending the definition expressly include retail stores and other places where professional services are provided.

Number three, codify the language access coordinator at the U.S. Department of Justice. As many earlier speakers, have indicated, many in our community are unable to access the laws and benefits that come from our federal and state governments because of lack of language access.

Enshrining a coordinator in federal law eliminates -- or sorry, elevates its importance and protects it from changes within administration. For millions of Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders, access to justice and the important federal programs and benefits should not depend on a ability to speak

English.

Number four, fund state and local government efforts in partnership with community groups to address discrimination and other hate incidents. Federal funding when it is not a hate crime is uneven.

For example, the Department of Justice provides a number of federal grants to support state, local, and tribal enforcement of -- four victims of crimes. But there's no such program to address hate incidents that are not crimes or specifically supporting state and local civil rights enforcement.

Additional resources are needed if we want to truly address hate and prevent anti-Asian hate incidents. These and other recommendations are included in Stop AAPI Hate Civil Rights Report and a law journal article to be published in the Asian Pacific American Legal Journal, both to be released in May.

As a former civil rights attorney whose career began at the Southern Poverty Law Center and the Mexican American Legal Defense and Education Fund, I can tell you that truly combating anti-Asian hate requires a comprehensive approach and not simply one that primarily relies upon criminal law enforcement.

1 Rather, it must include civil rights 2 enforcement, community safety measures, and education 3 equity. Only then will the American promise of civil 4 rights become a reality for Asian Americans. 5 Thank you very much. Thanks to all the 6 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: 7 panelists. I just want to state for the record that 8 Commissioner Mondaire Jones is trying to call in by 9 phone so that it's noted for the record. 10 Thank you all for your testimony, I'm 11 going to leave it open for questions from our 12 commissioners. 13 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Mr. Chairman, thank 14 you so much for allowing me to speak. Let me thank 15 all of you for being here today. The information has 16 been extraordinarily helpful to our case. 17 I want to just ask a quick question to the 18 entire panel. President Biden recently issued an 19 executive order furthering the advancement of racial 20 and equity support through the federal government. 21 Do you think that those efforts would help 22 some of the issues that you're sharing with us here 23 today? Any of you can give me a response on that. 24 MS. KULKARNI: I can start. I think those 25 measures are integral to having true equity in our

1 federal government and I think will only enhance some 2 of the recommendations that I have made, which is to 3 include a language access coordinator, to ensure that 4 civil rights violations are included and that data is 5 collected on a regular basis. And the federal grant 6 program to look at ways in which we can provide 7 support to communities. 8 Jo-Ann described very succinctly 9 communities need that support, how community-based 10 organizations like hers can benefit from it, even when 11 there are not crimes. 12 So we absolutely applaud those measure and 13 appreciate the fact that Asian Americans are being 14 brought into the context of what it means to have true 15 equity in the -- in the United States. 16 So from the perspective of the MS. WU: 17 victims, I think that it's a good start. But I think 18 it needs to address a holistic community that is 19 better. 20 So the father of Michelle Go, Justin Go, 21 who lost his daughter to the Times Square subway, he 22 didn't speak out for a year. But on the one year 23 anniversary of his death -- her death, he wrote a New 24 York Times op ed.

And while the op ed focused in part on the

life that Michelle lived, the solution that he had for how to stop this was not focused on necessarily the Asian community.

This is what he wrote: We cannot abandon people like Michelle's attacker, Martial Simon, to our subway systems. We cannot assume that emergency medical workers will be able to handle these neglected souls or that ordinary individuals will be able to deal with the threats posed.

Real change comes with meaningful preventative measures. This requires, among other things, adequate and continued funding for housing, treatment, and other programs. We have to take care of all of our community. It is not simple as saying that if we direct resources to the Asian community, that that is enough.

MS. CHOI: I'd like to follow up, if I may. The fact, the piece that I want to lift up is that this executive order mandates that key agencies establish some kind of sustained dialog with impacted communities. And that's key.

As you can see from this panel, we have a diverse set of opinions in terms of interpreting the data, understanding the diverse experiences of our communities. But it's very important to talk with

1 those community-based organizations that are actually serving those who are impacted. 2 3 So for example, we have a visit coming to 4 San Francisco to learn about the types of investments 5 that need to be made around victim services. We know 6 that healing and recovery takes intensive resources 7 and coordination. 8 And we have to get to a point where we're 9 actually preventing incidents of violence. And we can 10 only do that by working with other communities who are 11 also affected by crime and by violence as well. 12 that's absolutely key. 13 In California, we made a historic \$166 14 million dollar investment going largely to community-15 based organizations doing just that work. 16 what it's going to take in the long term. 17 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Commissioner 18 Magpantay. 19 COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: Thank you all for 20 I've heard a lot about -- and I think your comments. 21 on affirmative action we're going to hear from the 22 Supreme Court very shortly around those issues. 23 that might just sort of lay out what the law is for 24 all of us. 25 But on the question on language access,

and I think Mr. Yang had talked about this earlier, is that President Bush signed this executive order, 13166, and a number of you had talked about the need to have DAs, police, services that are available in the myriad languages that Asian Americans speak.

There is a federal standard in -- either you don't have to do everything in every language every place, right? There is a standard to do that that the government has -- could you just talk a little bit about that?

Because I want to make sure that our services that our agencies provide under federal law, under Bush's executive order and subsequently signed, comport with the language minority groups in those populations when they need those services.

MS. WU: So I mean my -- from my perspective, there's been no -- it doesn't conform, right. Language accesses are not provided. But let me just explain how these cases come in. I'm a patent lawyer, people don't normally call me about, you know, when someone dies.

But what happens is the first person on the scene of a crime is almost always a reporter. The reporter is often the Asian reporter, but they may not speak the specific dialect.

1 The reason I got roped into this is I work 2 in the community and they would call me and say do you 3 know someone who speaks this dialect, do you know 4 someone that'll speak this dialect. I have broad, 5 friends of Asian, you know, different diverse 6 dialects, and they would ask me to hook them up with 7 interpreters. 8 One of the most important things 9 criminal prosecution is the interpreter, because the 10 interpreter is the voice of the victim. If the voice 11 of the victim is not being heard in front of the grand 12 jury, this is really devastating to just the charges 13 that are being brought. 14 What you often find with the DA is that 15 they drop the hate crime charge because it's not 16 necessary. You have a murder charge, you might as 17 well just go for the 20 years to life. 18 What does adding two to three years mean 19 to you, and what's that additional burden of proof, 20 particularly if you have a language access issue where 21 the victim isn't speaking clearly about what was being 22 said when the attack was happening? 23 One of the cases that stands out to me was 24 the witness was speaking Spanish, the victim was

speaking Chinese, and we had two interpreters both

translating for the grand jury. As some of you may know, in Asian languages the words "he" and "she" are the same. Maybe that's progressive in some way, right.

So if you say, "I think he did it," that could sound like "I think she did it." And this is important if the interpreter is not a good interpreter, because they have to know from context whether that word is he or she.

In that case it was going before a grand jury for a hate crime charge. The interpreter, we had worked with the interpreter so they could understand the context of this. But nevertheless, they got the word wrong. They said, "I think he did it" when it should have been "I think she did it," or "I saw her coming around the corner" instead of "I saw him."

And I think that the interpreter was very upset because they thought that they may jeopardize the hate crime charge. We were able to talk to the ADA and get that sort of sorted out and the hate crime charge did go in.

But one of the most stressful things to do is to be interpreter in one of these cases, because you think that the case turns on the language you use and the emotion that you put into it. So if you have

a man translating for a woman, it's not quite as 1 2 effective to be honest with you. 3 And I, as a native Chinese speaker who 4 didn't speak English till I was six, I don't always 5 agree with the interpretation that's happening. there's 6 think nuance the 7 interpretation. It's not simply just finding any 8 sit it's interpreter who can there. But an 9 interpreter who can sit with the witness through all 10 of this. 11 And one of the reasons I think this is so 12 important is when I started this work, I knew nothing 13 about civil rights really. And I went to the great 14 Loretta Lynch, who was a partner of mine at the time, 15 and she had handled many cases in the Black community. 16 And I said, "Loretta, what do I need to do 17 to do civil rights work?" And her answer was, "you 18 need a good interpreter." And she actually gave me 19 her personal interpreter from the Eastern District of 20 New York when she was the U.S. Attorney there. 21 that's how I started along this path. 22 And so for those who want to really do 23 this work, finding good interpreters who are court-24 certified who can actually work with the witnesses and 25 will often do it at their own dime, because we're

charging sort of a lower rate to do court certified work, it's super important.

And then the second thing I just want to mention is that a lot of community organizations, when I've asked them to have people become court-certified, they don't want to. They don't want to do this kind of work, it's traumatic. You're working with victims, right.

And so among the people I could lean on for one Chinese dialect when I was looking, I only had three people. And I paid them double, you know, with the good fortune of my law firm paying them double to have them on call. So that any time the DA wanted this person to come appear and testified or even just have a witness interviewed, this interpreter was available.

And that's why private people have stepped in to provide these services, I think. Because the criminal justice system either doesn't have enough funding or doesn't have enough training in order to really prosecute these crimes to the degree that they need to be prosecuted.

And let's put yourself in the shoes of the ADA. Why put in a hate crime penalty enhancement if you don't have to? Why prove something if you don't

need to? It's only a penalty enhancement, it doesn't get you the original crime. And to be honest with you, you know, sometimes it's not worth it.

And I'll just tell one more anecdote just so you can get a sense of this. In the case in Queens where there was a delivery worker who was killed on his scooter, this was famously called the duck sauce killer case. One of -- the Great Wall restaurant owner to court to the grand jury to testify that he'd been threatened, and that's why this delivery worked had been shot.

And he brought with him what he said was his interpreter, a family member. It turned out that this family member, this woman that he said was a family member, was a member of the Chinese media newspaper. And she then revealed portions of the secret grand jury to the Chinese press thereafter.

These kinds of incidents jeopardize the criminal prosecution. As you could imagine, the District Attorney was not so happy with that.

And so part of the things that we're doing in providing a wrap-around services and acting as an advocate is also safeguarding our criminal justice system so that the victims can obtain the kind of the justice that I think that we as Americans have come to

1 really expect and really rely on and I honestly think 2 is one of the great things about our country. 3 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you. 4 MS. KULKARNI: May I go next? Would you like to 5 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: 6 finish up? 7 MS. KULKARNI: Yes. So I wanted -- I'm 8 reading from the Federal Register, Title VI of the 9 Civil Rights Act of 1964 provides that no person shall 10 be subjected to discrimination on the basis of race, 11 color, or national origin under any program or 12 activity that receives federal financial assistance. 13 The purpose of this policy guidance is to 14 clarify the responsibilities of providers of health 15 and social services from the U.S. Department of Health 16 and Human Services fulfill their responsibilities to 17 limited English-proficient persons by providing 18 language access. 19 And it goes on to say that individuals 20 providing those services must ensure that limited 21 English-proficient persons have meaningful access to 22 programs and services, and the guidance provides 23 examples and further clarification of these policies 24 and practices.

So I think it's very important that for

what we're talking about today, the federal government has already weighed in and said that it is mandatory that limited English-proficient individuals get language access for any services that come from funding from the federal government. And that applies to so many different services at state and local levels.

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: Could I ask --

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Cheng?

MR. CHENG: Yeah, so I would strongly support all the efforts, initiatives to make sure that there are adequate and even enhanced services available for victims, including language services.

That said, I keep coming back to I think the forest and the trees. You know, we're talking about a lot of trees, different services available for victims. My hope is that we can focus on trying to make sure that there are fewer victims.

You know, my hope is that we can take ——
the federal government can take a hard look at a lot
of the efforts right now that decriminalize activities
and actions, that downgrade criminal acts, the —— that
discourage criminals from being arrested. That
discourage criminals from being prosecuted. That
result in early release of felons. That result in

repeated release of felons. 1 2 Those are all contributors to the making 3 of victims. And my hope is that we have fewer victims. 4 5 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Commissioner 6 Nourse. 7 COMMISSIONER NOURSE: Yeah, Ms. Jennifer 8 Wu, thank you very much for your work. I started out 9 my legal career at Paul, Weiss, so welcome. 10 I just want to make clear you've been 11 dealing with the Manhattan, or the New York DAs. 12 Because there's a difficulty in criminal law, which I 13 taught for 15 years, which is that the federal 14 government has a supervisory responsibility over 15 certain kinds of crime, right. 16 And the Supreme Court actually seeks to 17 enforce increasingly limits on the ability of the 18 federal government to address crime. 19 Now, there is a Hate Crimes Act. 20 are very few prosecutions, right. But the principle 21 of responsibility is the state and locality, right? 22 And so the question would be whether under 23 current federal law there is some responsibility on 24 behalf of the money that comes out of the Department 25 of Justice to be spent on these kinds of things. I

1 think that's why Ms. Kulkarni suggested that there already are federal laws, whether they're implemented 2 3 or not is another question. 4 Do you have any knowledge, that was a long 5 lead up to do you have any knowledge about needs 6 inside the DOJ about their hate crimes prosecution? 7 Because I'd like to hear that from you. 8 MS. WU: You know, our contact with DOJ is 9 relatively limited. My understanding is that I don't 10 think that the resources are devoted to anti-Asian 11 hate crimes. 12 That there are kind of, there's a big hate 13 crime kind of, you know, sort of department, but 14 similar to the Manhattan DA's office to this kind of 15 pandemic of anti-Asian hate, you didn't have people 16 who specialized in anti-Asian hate crimes, and none of 17 them were Asian. 18 And that's an important cultural fact. 19 Asians tend to under-report. One of the things that I 20 did in my Manhattan DA training was probably I would 21 do it for DOJ if they wanted to, was when you ask an 22 anti-Asian hate victim or a witness what do you do for 23 a living, the answer is almost always a lie. 24 If they are working, they may not be being

paid above the books, they think, right. So they say

oh, I don't do anything, I just help friends out, so that's a lie.

If they aren't working, they're embarrassed, and so they say I have a job. But they can't articulate what the job is. They're sort of unique facets to anti-Asian hate where I think that the tension you have is the Asian community would like to have reporting so you can get funding, you can address these issues.

Individuals on the other hand are embarrassed. The biggest complaint I hear from my victim is my face is in the newspaper. That means all the friends and family of the perpetrator are going to come get me.

They're afraid for their own safety and they don't want to report. So they want to do it anonymously. So all these news cameras, the Commission, everyone who's coming in their face, the DA, they're scared. They lock the door, they don't want to.

And one of the kind of roles that I play is getting them to open the door, to say I will talk to the DA, I will talk to the police, and I will talk to everyone else. And the reason they won't open the door is the same reason that my own parents didn't let

1 me open the door when I was growing up in the 1980s. 2 My parents, in a very safe household, 3 every time the doorbell rang, they would have me and 4 my sister go hide in the closet. Every single time. Whether it was the post person, the FedEx person, a 5 6 car accident person, a neighbor. Girl Scout cookies, 7 I was a Girl Scout, I would never open the door. 8 And only when I was a teenager when I went 9 to a friend's house, they opened the door when the 10 doorbell rang, I realized that my parents had a fear 11 of institutions. Of not being perceived as American, 12 even though they were law-abiding Americans. 13 They were so American that I'm named 14 Jennifer after Jennifer Jones, the Western actress. 15 And my sister was named Mindy after Mork and Mindy. 16 That's how American they are, right. And even then, 17 they didn't open the door. 18 So I think the federal response, whether 19 it's from the DOJ or from a different agency, needs to 20 focus on, you know, I appreciate kind of everything 21 everyone said, but focus on getting victims to open 22 the door. 23 Because these are people who've lost trust 24 in American society. Whether it's because of the 25 pandemic or because of something else or because

they're immigrants, or over time, and these are the bonds that bring us together as a community.

And if they're broken, you know, that sort of is -- they will be no impact to the federal response if they won't open the door when they -- people come offering help.

And then this last thing I just want to say is that I agree with the Commissioner on affirmative action. I don't think a single one of my victims would have been saved if affirmative action was not in effect, right.

And so the issue here is that people are dying. They aren't taking the resources. It's hard to help them, and that's why you have private practitioners like me stepping in to offer not money, but just help and emotional support. And what they see in me is the thing that I didn't see in myself. They see that I'm Asian.

MS. KULKARNI: May I also answer that question regarding DOJ? I have some statistics. As I included in my law journal article, of the 66,000 hate crimes reported to law enforcement between 2010 and 2019, only 1,200 were investigated by U.S. attorneys in matters involving the violation of federal hate crime statutes.

1	Of that figure, the U.S. Justice
2	Department only referred 208 for prosecution. So just
3	to give you an idea of what actually gets prosecuted,
4	and let me add that the state auditor's report in
5	California listed three significant reasons for gaps,
6	and of course those are based on state laws.
7	But one thing that's applicable across all
8	50 states is that in up to 50 percent of cases, local
9	law enforcement officials failed to include the factor
10	of race in the police report.
11	So police themselves are unable or
12	refusing to see race as a factor. And so for that
13	reason, much of the hate crimes prosecution doesn't
14	happen.
15	COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: Could you say the
16	citation?
17	MS. KULKARNI: That's the state auditor's
18	report of oh, yes, please. It's from 2018.
19	MS. CHOI: Could I also add one point,
20	because we often critique the federal government. I
21	do want to applaud that DOJ hired its first dedicated
22	language access coordinator.
23	And that is after decades of advocating
24	for the need to have some dedicated staff looking at
25	the issue, what the barriers are for individuals

1 reporting, for ensuring that we offer culturally 2 competent and language-accessible services. 3 And so I do want to note that for the 4 record, that that has been an important and 5 affirmative I would say step that's really important 6 to our community. 7 MR. CHENG: I actually would like to ask 8 both the commissioners and my fellow panelists this 9 question, because I'm struggling a little bit with 10 some basic logic, right. 11 So I've been -- I have mentioned the fact 12 that I believe that a systemic decrease in crime would 13 actually decrease hate crimes. Ι'm completely 14 supportive of prosecuting hate crimes, making it 15 easier to prosecute hate crimes. 16 In effect, basically throwing not just the 17 book but a heavier book at people who attack and 18 commit violence against other people based upon race 19 and ethnicity. 20 But again, I keep coming back for myself 21 you know, if crimes were systemically to, gosh, 22 prosecuted in a competent way, and ideology didn't 23 enter into decisions to not prosecute criminals and 24 let criminals out early, wouldn't that actually help 25 victims of hate crimes as well?

1 MS. WU: I think for my victims the answer 2 is no, because the crime is so overwhelming, they're 3 in shock, right. They're not thinking systemically. And while I don't doubt the data and that 4 5 there might be some logic in there, I think the real 6 issue is what is our federal response right now. 7 know, what are we going to do now when people are 8 dying and they have died. And how can we prevent the 9 loss of another life. 10 Questions about what justice means are 11 going to vary. The answers will vary to each of you 12 as they will to me. But the reality is that we're all 13 human and the loss of a human life in America is a 14 tragedy. 15 should take And that we that 16 seriously, not just in terms of the numbers, not just 17 in terms of the data, but because we care about each 18 And that we are community regardless of the 19 race or the, you know, our gender, our skin. 20 And having worked with so many of these 21 victims who've died in New York City, I can tell you 22 that there is a tidal wave of anti-Asian violence. 23 I am a patent lawyer. I didn't become a 24 civil rights lawyer till 2020. This is not

accident, right, I didn't come out to want to testify

before this commission.

I wish I did not have any more clients who were dead. I was not in probate court, that I wasn't dealing with these issues, I wasn't going to NYPD to pick up the belongings of people who are deceased.

This is not just about looking at the data. We see it on the ground here, and we're just begging the federal government for a response.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: This is a question for Jennifer Wu. Have to use your first name in this panel. I'm struck by your testimony. The previous panel also had similar testimony about waves, tidal waves, the violence, the cruelty.

And you talk about a lack of federal response. And I know we've heard evidence or statistics about how rare things are to be prosecuted. But my question is have you talked with the Civil Rights Division about these cases? Because they have people who are better trained to open those doors, right.

Have you talked to the Civil Rights
Division about getting some of these particular
clients or the next victim protected or having federal
-- because they sound like federal civil rights
violations, right.

125 1 MS. WU: Yeah, so we've talked to them, 2 but the solutions haven't been immediate enough, 3 right. So for many of our clients, there -- it's the 4 initial stage of grief, right. They've lost a family 5 member. And the solutions that the federal government 6 is giving are years away. 7 And we, as we all know from our criminal 8 justice system, particularly at the federal level, the 9 first part of a criminal case is about protection of 10 the defendant's rights. It's about discovery because 11 you're presumed innocent, right. 12 13 show, you know, kind of why that person could be 14 innocent. It's not victim-centered. So if you're the 15 victim, particularly if you're from a country that's

And so what they want is discovery to not the United States, this is a very foreign concept, Where you might presume guilty versus right. innocence.

And so I'm not sure that it's necessarily about the actual conviction for a lot of the victims. But it's about does the federal government care, do they recognize that there's a tidal wave. Do they know that this is an important issue, and have they committed funding and resources.

We are constantly encountering lack of

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	resources at every level of the criminal prosecution,
2	including at the federal level.
3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Are you encountering
4	this at the U.S. Attorney's Office, or are you
5	encountering this at the Civil Rights Division?
6	MS. WU: It's the U.S. Attorney's Office I
7	would say. Yeah.
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: There's a fellow I'd
9	recommend you talk to, his name is Jim Felte. He's
10	the head of the Criminal Section in the Civil Rights
11	Division. Maybe instead of the SDNY or the EDNY, it
12	might be a good idea to actually talk to the Civil
13	Rights Division and see if they'll change your
14	experience.
15	MS. WU: Right, thank you very much.
16	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I want to thank
17	all of the panelists. We're a little bit beyond our
18	time right now, so thanks very much. And we'll take a
19	short break for ten minutes at 2:00 I'm sorry, 3:45
20	we'll have our next panel.
21	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went
22	off the record at 3:32 p.m. and resumed at 3:42 p.m.)
23	PANEL 3: LAW ENFORCEMENT & FORMER FEDERAL OFFICIALS
24	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: All right, welcome
25	to the final panel of today's briefing on the federal

response to anti-Asian racism, and our panels are members of law enforcement and current and former federal officials.

And I'll repeat what I said before and that is each panelist gets, well, this time, more time actually because there's only three of you, so take as much time as you want. Oh, four of you, okay, but still. We have two by Zoom, all right.

You'll each have seven minutes in which to testify, and when the warning lights come on, please, you know, pay attention to these lights up here as they give you some guidance as to how much time you've got left, and then after you've all testified, we'll ask the Commissioners to ask the panelists any questions they may have.

The panelists in this panel are Eric Dreiband, Former Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights at the U.S. Department of Justice, and current partner at a small firm called Jones Day; Devon Westhill, Former Assistant Secretary of Civil Rights at the U.S. Department of Agriculture, and current President and General Counsel of the Center for Equal Opportunity, say hello to Roger for me; Detective Orlando Martinez, there we go, Hate Crimes Coordinator for the LAPD; and we have by Zoom, William Scott,

Police Chief with San Francisco, and the lovely and talented Michael Yaki, Former Member of the U.S.

Commission on Civil Rights. Welcome. Mr. Dreiband?

MR. DREIBAND: Thank you. Good afternoon,

Members of the Commission, and thank you for inviting me to today's briefing. My name is Eric Dreiband and I'm a partner at the law firm of Jones Day in Washington, D.C.

I served as the Assistant Attorney General

for the Civil Rights Division at the United States
Department of Justice from 2018 to 2021, and I'm here
today at your invitation to speak about the federal
response to anti-Asian discrimination in the United
States.

While my discussion today will focus in part on hate crimes, during my tenure at the Justice Department, we prosecuted other crimes and sought justice for Asians and Asian American victims.

And for example, in the area of human trafficking, the Department convicted several defendants for operating an international sex trafficking organization that coerced hundreds of Thai women into engaging in commercial sex acts across the United States, and I want to focus though today on our response to the issues that occurred during the

pandemic.

And in the very early stages of the pandemic in March of 2020, Attorney General William Barr and I instructed Justice Department prosecutors across the United States that the Department would not tolerate hate-motivated acts of violence, including any such acts against Asians and Asian Americans.

On April 9, 2020, I announced publicly that the Department would not tolerate civil rights violations during the pandemic, and I explained that the Justice Department's pandemic-related work includes enforcing disability rights laws, protecting religious liberty, and prosecuting hate crimes.

I also explained that because the coronavirus originated in China, some people have targeted Asian Americans and Asians simply because of their ethnicity and that this conduct has no place in America, and I added the Justice Department will prosecute hate crimes and violations of antidiscrimination laws against Asian Americans, Asians, and others to the fullest extent of the law.

Next, on April 27, 2020, Attorney General Barr directed me and Matthew Schneider, who was then the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Michigan, to oversee and coordinate our efforts to

1 monitor state and local policies, and if necessary, 2 take action to correct them. 3 Attorney General Barr stated, quote, "the 4 Constitution is not suspended in times of crisis. We 5 must therefore be vigilant to ensure its protections 6 are preserved at the same time that the public is 7 protected." 8 During my remaining time at the Justice 9 Department, the Civil Rights Division and the United 10 States Attorney partners across the country led the 11 Department's efforts to investigate and address civil 12 rights issues related to the pandemic and other 13 issues, including issues about anti-Asian 14 discrimination. 15 2020, last full Ιn mу year 16 Department, the Department charged the highest number 17 of hate crimes cases in two decades. 18 We encountered another form of anti-Asian 19 discrimination during my tenure at the Justice 20 Department, namely discrimination against 21 American applicants to Harvard and Yale Colleges. 22 the Harvard case, the Department 23 launched an investigation after Asian American 24 applicants complained about alleged discrimination by 25 Harvard. The Department also supported the plaintiffs in a private lawsuit brought against Harvard.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When the District Court rules in favor of Harvard, the plaintiffs appealed. We filed a brief in support of the plaintiffs in the Court of Appeals.

Our brief asserted that Harvard's race discrimination and its expansive use of race admissions was unlawful, that Harvard actively engaged in unlawful racial balancing, that Harvard's admissions office consistently and inexplicably scored Asian American applicants lower than other applicants on an entirely subjective personal rating, and Asian American applicants, it was clear in the record, to Harvard ranked the highest in academic and extracurricular qualifications.

And given the results of the personal ratings, Harvard expected us to believe that these same applicants lacked courage, integrity, confidence, perseverance, and leadership qualities compared to other applicants, yet Harvard had no explanation for the disparities in the personal ratings.

I appeared personally at oral argument on behalf of the United States before the Court of Appeals and explained that Harvard illegally monitored the evolving racial composition of the class at every stage of the process illegally to produce a racially

1 balanced class. I emphasized that Harvard pervasively 2 and illegally used race at every step of its process. The court affirmed the District Court's 3 4 decision and the case is pending before the Supreme 5 Court of the United States with a decision expected 6 probably at the end of June of this year. 7 Ιn the Yale the Department case, 8 investigated allegations that Yale University violated 9 the Civil Rights Act of 1964 by the widespread acts of 10 unlawful race and national origin discrimination in 11 college admissions. 12 August 13, 2020, the Department 13 determined that Yale violated the Civil Rights Act's 14 prohibitions against race and national origin 15 discrimination. 16 The Department also determined that Yale's 17 multi-decade use of race continues unabated without 18 any serious effort by Yale to consider an admissions 19 process that is free of race discrimination. 20 When we announced the Department's 21 determination in the Yale case, I said publicly, 22 quote, "There is no such thing as a nice form of race 23 Unlawfully dividing Americans into discrimination. 24 ethnic blocks racial and fosters stereotypes, 25 bitterness, and division."

We were unable to reach a resolution with Yale, and so on October 8, 2020, the Department sued Yale. The complaint alleged that Yale illegally discriminated against applicants to Yale College on the grounds of race and national origin, and that Yale's discrimination injured racially disfavored applicants, including in particular, most Asian American and White applicants. The complaint also alleged that Yale injured African American and Asian American applicants by the use of racial stereotypes and racial balancing.

When we filed suit against Yale, I observed that for centuries, people from all over the world have left their ancestral homes and come to the United States hoping that they and their families could enjoy equal opportunity in pursuit of the American dream.

Countless Americans have pursued their dreams through higher education and they continue to do so, and all persons who apply for admission to colleges and universities should expect and know that they will be judged by their character, talents, and achievements, and not the color of their skin. To do otherwise is to permit our institutions to foster stereotypes, bitterness, and division.

1	After I left the Department of Justice,
2	the Department moved to dismiss the case against Yale,
3	and with that, I look forward to your questions.
4	Thank you.
5	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you, Mr.
6	Dreiband. Mr. Westhill?
7	MR. WESTHILL: Thank you very much. I
8	really appreciate the opportunity to talk about this
9	important subject. I'm honored to be here. It's very
10	important to me.
11	I am Devon Westhill. I run the Center for
12	Equal Opportunity, which is an organization that, for
13	almost 30 years, has advocated for color blind non-
14	discrimination in America.
15	My primary concern today is how the
16	federal government regards the civil rights of Asian
17	Americans and that of other Americans who, as a result
18	of their racial or ethnic identity, are treated
19	differently, contrary to the American guarantee of
20	equal protection under the law.
21	In particular, I'm worried about efforts
22	to promote the concept of equity in many spheres of
23	American life, but I'll focus my remarks primarily on
24	the federal government's activities.

First, I will describe and comment on a

1 examples of the Biden Administration equity 2 agenda, some of which I've already heard about today. 3 You can find more in my written statement, of course. 4 Then, I'll describe what the concept of 5 equity entails, and then I'll explain why I think it's 6 problematic for the protection of civil 7 especially for Asian Americans. I'll close by briefly 8 suggesting a better way forward and what this 9 distinguished body can do to address the issues I 10 identify. 11 So, on January 20, 2021, just hours into 12 office, President Biden signed an executive order to 13 establish an ambitious whole-of-government equity 14 agenda, signaling that in his administration, there 15 would be a major effort to coordinate efforts to embed 16 equity principles, policies, and approaches across the 17 federal government. 18 Within the same week, the President issued 19 a memo essentially condemning anti-Asian racism and 20 admitting that the federal government deserved some 21 blame However, all for it. almost οf the 22 Administration's actions to further the government's 23 interests in equity have been at odds with that memo. 24 example, February 3, 2021.

Department of Justice dropped its lawsuit filed by the

Justice Department in the previous administration against Yale University alleging anti-Asian discrimination in its admissions.

This was only a few months after the

Justice Department claimed based on, quote, voluminous admissions data they reviewed, that Yale University violated and is continuing to violate Title VI by discriminating on the basis of race and national origin in its undergraduate admissions, that Yale's discrimination is longstanding, ongoing, and significantly disfavors Asian Americans.

On June 25, 2021, President Biden signed another EO to advance diversity, equity, inclusion, and accessibility to, quote, determine whether and to what extent agency practices result in inequitable employment outcomes rather than unequal employment opportunities.

On December 8, 2021, the Biden Justice Department urged unsuccessfully the Supreme Court to decline taking two cases alleging discrimination in admissions against Asian applicants to Harvard University and the University of North Carolina, one of my alma maters.

Later, the Biden Administration urged the court to rule against the group of Asian American

petitioners challenging race discrimination in Harvard University admissions in both briefing materials and oral argument.

Just last month, and we heard earlier today in this briefing, President Biden signed yet another EO on advancing government-wide equity. Vice President Kamala Harris expressed on her official Twitter account her thoughts behind the motivation for the directive, quote, "America is the promise of equity and justice for all. Today, President Biden signed an executive order that puts our nation one step closer to achieving that promise," end quote.

It was a telling admission that the current administration has perhaps less commitment to our pledge of allegiance's promise of liberty and justice for all.

Indeed, Vice President Harris' change in terminology comports with the Biden Administration equity fixation. In fact, in the most recent EO, for example, equity is mentioned 63 times, but liberty gets no mention at all.

It's not just executive actions, memoranda, and court cases that make up the Biden equity agenda. The administration has pushed equity legislative priorities such as granting only farmers

of color debt relief, federal programs, corporate board representation, and more aggressive reporting on and gathering of, quote, equitable data.

The federal government's equity agenda appears to consist of identifying disparities in outcomes and attempted to close them by preferential treatment based on race or other immutable characteristics such as ancestral lineage. That's immoral, it's illegal, and it's undeniable that the federal government has put forward massive efforts to deliver on it. Equity is not equality.

The currently fashionable term equity is not contained in our civil rights laws and one might question to seek to investigate given that equity initiatives target federally protected classes whether equity initiatives might frustrate or impede civil rights protection and enforcement.

Indeed, equity is not synonymous with equality. We need look no further than top government officials own pronouncements to learn this. For example, on November 1, 2020, once again Vice President tweeted a video from her official government Twitter page where she acknowledged, quote, "there's a big difference between equality and equity." Equality suggests, quote, "oh, everyone should get the same

amount. Equitable treatment means we all end up in the same place."

I say believe them when they say the quiet part out loud. Contrary to the focus on equity-engineered outcomes, equality of opportunity seeks to remove barriers that otherwise close doors to opportunity.

I have firsthand experience as the leader of the civil rights program at USDA. There, for example, we have redesigned and implemented a better department-wide reasonable accommodation policy for Americans with disabilities, thereby opening the door of opportunity to people, putting their talents to work for the public interest. I have more examples.

The insistence that we must produce equal outcomes among groups defined by skin color, national origin, or gender perverts the American understanding of justice based on individual rights as achievable only by substituting authoritarian methods that trample those rights, and there is no good reason to believe that people or groups of people should even all end up in the same place as Vice President Kamala Harris has said.

This brings me to my main point. Asian Americans are a disfavored group under the equity

regime because of their success. Equity initiatives, as discussed, seek to reach proportional outcomes for groups.

That is especially problematic for Asian Americans in certain areas of American life within which they exceed in disproportionate numbers. Many Asian Americans are culturally dedicated to academic achievement and family cohesion.

That devotion has led to representation in fields that outstrip their proportion to the general population. So, in a system where outcomes must reflect proportionality, Asian Americans can occupy only their proportional share, approximately seven percent.

Achieving equitable outcomes means both providing preferences to artificially inflate the proportion of and lowering standards for certain groups, and also bringing down other over-represented races like Asian Americans to their proportion of the population.

These are the two sides of the same equity coin. Neither side can be satisfied without violating federal civil rights laws or the constitutional principle of equal protection under the law since both require divvying us up by race.

My invitation to participate in this briefing requested I address the federal response to anti-Asian racism in the United States. Sadly, as I lay out, the federal government is one of the great purveyors of discrimination against Asian Americans and other accomplished ethnic groups in this country via its equity agenda. The question is what this body will do about it.

In conclusion to this statement, I list a number of actions, I won't list them right now, they're in my written statement, the Commission can and should take to address this discriminatory equity agenda.

However, I'd be remiss if I didn't first comment on another major problem. The problem is that these efforts, equity efforts, mask fundamental reasons and stifle efforts to address why there are a disproportionate number of certain groups under performing in certain ways.

An undue focus on an outcome driven approach can paper over structural issues within certain demographic populations that contribute to a failure to meet standards such as in hiring, contracting, college acceptance, promotions, et cetera.

1 Such obfuscation can in turn lead to these 2 issues being neglected with minorities perhaps the 3 most acutely affected. We have seen this problem exacerbated in the decades of unsuccessful racial 4 5 preference experiments in higher education. And with 6 that, I will report back to Roger Clay. Thank you. 7 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you, Mr. 8 Westhill. Detective Martinez? 9 DET. MARTINEZ: Thank you for having me. 10 I want to take my time to kind of explain the 11 perspective from a line officer, a line investigator, 12 of how these cases get, these anti-Asian cases get 13 classified or not as hate crimes or bias-motivated 14 crimes. 15 Bias-motivated crime is a criminal offense 16 motivated in whole or in part, this is state, by the 17 actual or perceived legally protected characteristic 18 These can be race, nationality, of the victim. 19 ethnicity, religion, disability, immigration status, 20 sexual orientation, gender, and gender identity. 21 my state, age is not protected. 22 Political ideology is not protected. Being a police 23 officer is not a protected characteristic. 24 A hate crime includes any willful act that 25 by force or threat of force injures, intimidates,

1 interferes with another person's civil right. what does that mean? Most people do not know what our 2 3 civil rights are, including line officers. 4 So, the easiest way to think of it is a 5 biased-motivated crime occurs when someone commits a 6 crime with part of the reason being because of their 7 protected characteristic, a bias against the protected 8 characteristic. 9 Federally, if the crime would have 10 occurred anyways when you remove the bias, it does not 11 count as a federal hate crime. It does not count 12 under that statute, but a lot of states have a 13 difference whether in whole or in part. 14 Like I said, the average person, patrol 15 officer, or person who calls 9-1-1, they don't know 16 what their civil rights are. All they're calling is 17 the police because they're scared, they're being 18 attacked, they're worried about their safety. 19 don't report being the victims of hate crimes. 20 When the officers arrive, they have to 21 meet the elements of whatever statute. So, you may 22 have the criminal offense against them and additional either direct or circumstantial evidence to show that 23 24 the criminal offense is also bias-motivated. 25 99.9 percent of our determinations are

made by the officers in the field, the supervisors in the field, or the investigators after they get the case. Folks are not just, in the second-largest police department in the second-largest city, people are not calling 9-1-1 saying I am the victim of a hate crime.

Is it a violation of our civil rights if someone vandalizes our property, if someone spits on us, if someone screams on us? Like I said, most people don't know these things.

Bias motivation is a thought crime. It is the suspect's intent. We have to prove that through direct evidence or circumstantial evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that the suspect committed the crime because of the bias against the protected characteristic.

This is extremely difficult when the underlying crime is a misdemeanor. We have the two types of crime, a misdemeanor and a felony. Search warrants do not apply to misdemeanor offenses except for certain exceptions.

So, when us, as officers, are trying to get that proof to prove the bias, whether it's social media posts or to look through someone's phone to see if they had been texting someone to plan it, we cannot

1 use that tool on misdemeanors if the hate crime falls 2 under the misdemeanor rules of evidence. 3 It is also a specific intent crime. 4 unlike general intent crimes such as if you fire a 5 weapon into the air, everybody should know that the 6 bullet's going to come down and hurt somebody, so you 7 don't need to prove that the person who shot the gun 8 up into the air wanted to hurt someone. 9 When it comes to bias-motivated crimes, 10 you have to prove that that is the intent, and that is 11 part of the reason why investigating, classifying, and 12 prosecuting these cases is so difficult. 13 Without direct or circumstantial evidence, 14 must get the suspects to waive their Fifth 15 Amendment rights and admit to the bias motivation. 16 does not matter the victim's perception. We need the 17 suspect's perception. 18 We have many cases where a victim was 19 mistaken for having a protected characteristic when 20 they don't or belonging to a different protected 21 characteristic, but it doesn't matter what the victim 22 It matters what the suspect thought. 23 While we do have standalone hate crime 24 statutes, in California and in a lot of them, they are

low-level misdemeanors, so we cannot charge the low-

level misdemeanor if there's a higher crime because double jeopardy applies. They can plead out to the lower one and then we cannot charge the higher one, so this is also some issues that come up.

The term hate can be misleading. When used in hate crime law, the word hate does not necessarily mean rage, anger, or general dislike. It can mean bias against people or groups with specific characteristics.

This can be a preexisting negative attitude such as animosity, resentment, revulsion, contempt, fear, paranoia, thrill seeking, other things. It doesn't have to be disgust or hate to meet the bias motivation.

Now, there have been several folks up here who have talked about hate incidents. Legally, there is no such thing as a hate incident. We know about it because this is what we do. Most people don't know that. Legally, there is no such thing as a hate incident and the vast majority of police departments across the country, over 99 percent, will not complete a hate incident report.

They show up, tell the victim who has just been, had one of the worst days of their lives, free speech, First Amendment, and they drive away. My

1	department and others are some of the few that do take
2	it, but it is not a common thing. The federal
3	agencies do not take hate incident reports.
4	My time is up. Just the last thing I'll
5	talk about is the reason that we take hate incident
6	reports is because we're trying to focus on the
7	victim-centered approach. The victim-centered
8	approach is a way of dealing with victims of crimes.
9	Instead of the old just the facts, ma'am,
10	and I'm going to chase after the bad guy, it's slowing
11	things down and trying to get the victim the
12	assistance, the help, connection to the advocacy
13	groups that can assist them best before we run off and
14	chase the bad guy.
15	So, I tried to keep it short, so if you
16	guys have any questions, but I did run out of time,
17	so.
18	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you,
19	Detective Martinez. Next, Chief Scott? Chief, can
20	you hear me?
21	CHIEF SCOTT: Thank you. Yes, I can.
22	Thank you. Can you all hear me okay?
23	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: By the way, before
24	you begin, I just want to note for the record that
25	Commissioner Jones has joined by Zoom. Go ahead,

Chief.

afternoon, everybody. I'm going to use my time to talk more of a high level about hate crimes in general and some of the ways that we believe we can all work together as a community to address hate crimes and what comes along with it.

Yeah, the first part of my comments, I'm going to paraphrase, not quote directly, from our Attorney General Rob Bonta on what hate crimes actually are. You know, crimes motivated by hate are not just attacks on individual people. They are attacks on our community, our entire community.

On May 11, 2021, our California Attorney General Bonta declared a state of crisis as heightened hate crime violence in the Asian American and Pacific Islander communities reverberated and continue to do so in some respects across the state.

Meanwhile, in 2020, late 2020, California reported the highest number of hate crimes of any state with 1,017 bias-motivated crimes against the victims' race, ethnicity, ancestry, gender, gender identity, religion, disability, or sexual orientation.

As has been stated by many of the speakers, hate crimes are criminal acts where victims

1 targeted because of their group identity or 2 perceived identity such as race, national origin, 3 religion, or another group of characteristics. 4 Hate crimes convey a message to both the 5 victims and their group or perceived group that they 6 are not welcome and not safe, and as such, these 7 crimes are an attack on the fundamental rights of our 8 communities at large. 9 it's vital that law enforcement So, 10 agencies, prosecutors, and the community that tries to 11 combat these types of crimes recognize what hate 12 crimes are and respond appropriately. 13 Here in San Francisco, we believe that an 14 effective response to hate crimes has a multi-faceted, 15 multi-component response mechanism and I'm going to 16 start with community engagement. 17 No effort to address hate crimes 18 incidents complete would be without 19 engagement and collaboration between both community-20 based organizations, government organizations, and, of 21 course, our prosecutorial agencies, both at the local, 22 state, and federal level where applicable. 23 Media plays a big role in communications 24 on how we address hate crimes and really relaying the

message through the communities at large that these

crimes are given the utmost seriousness in terms of the response, in terms of how we see them as a society, and how we try to combat and prevent them.

When this is done effectively, community members see the visible signs that our communities and our governments are working together in order to do everything necessary to both prevent and combat hate crimes, intervene on those that perpetrate these types of acts, and hold those that do perpetrate these types of acts accountable.

Victims' advocacy and victims' rights are part of our response here in San Francisco, and as far as, you know, the San Francisco Police Department, when we saw a tremendous increase in hate crimes in 2021, which our hate crimes, our reported hate crimes doubled, one of our responses was to enhance victims' advocacy and victims' rights by standing up a community liaison unit specifically for the purpose of connecting victims and their families to services, to provide a support network, and to send a clear message to members of our communities that we are there for them when these crimes occur.

Because on the back side of that and the flip side of that, we do know, and I don't think this is germane to the city of San Francisco or the state

of California, that many of these crimes go unreported for a variety of reasons, and without that advocacy, without that support, we think that situation would be worse.

There is a component of hate crime response that really deals with immigration and the fear of people reporting crimes because of their immigration status, and in most communities, at least this community, we make sure that people understand that immigration status has no value of whether or not a person can report a crime.

We welcome and encourage every member of our community, despite immigration status, to engage with law enforcement, to engage with government to report what is happening, because without that accurate reporting, we cannot address this issue appropriately.

I know our last speaker, Detective Martinez, spoke a little bit about hate incidents, and I want to speak a little bit about hate incidents and how that plays a role in this matter.

You know, as was stated earlier, hate incidents, if it doesn't amount to a violation of the California Penal Code, it's not a crime, but we do encourage the reporting of hate incidents here in San

Francisco because we believe that that is a precursor oftentimes to serious, more serious crimes that may occur by the people that perpetrate hate incidents.

We have stepped up our efforts to encourage our community members to report hate incidents, and one of the ways we do that is to make sure we can communicate with the very diverse community here in our city.

multiple languages have that accessible by members of our community, and despite the ability of some people with limited English proficiency, we encourage them to report incidents as well as hate crimes, and that information can prove to be valuable down the line in the event that arrests are made and prosecutions are at play. Those hate incidents sometimes prove motives.

So, that is something I think that is really an emerging issue in many jurisdictions of how we report and how we capture those hate incidents. I do believe there is work to be done on that front in terms of having systematic ways to do that, and we can all learn from each other on how we do that best.

The last thing I want to talk about is our reporting of hate crimes in general and where we are on that, and what I believe we can do to improve in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that area.

As we have tracked our hate crimes here in our city over the last six years, we've seen pretty much post-2020, post the global pandemic, a sharp rise in hate crimes in 2021, and then we saw a sharp decrease in 2022.

What we do know is that the reporting of hate crimes, as we nationwide change our reporting system to the National Incident-Based Reporting System, that we hope to have better data on reporting.

I do have the opinion that without the data to be able to really track the patterns of hate crime, to really be able to track what is fueling hate crimes and hate-fueled violence, that we're behind the curve if we don't have basic consistent, robust reporting systems.

And I am hopeful that with the implementation of NIBRS across the United States, that our reporting will be more consistent, our reporting will be more accurate where we really understand the data and what's fueling and what the patterns are in hate crime.

And that's one thing that I believe, at least in our city, we are building that infrastructure to do just that, to be NIBRS compliant we hope within

1	the next year and a half or so, and we hope that our
2	data and the data that we share with others is more
3	robust so we have a way to not only track the data,
4	but track the indicators and the things that we know
5	that will help us really try to crack the code, if you
6	will, on addressing hate crime in a holistic way.
7	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you, Chief.
8	CHIEF SCOTT: I believe thank you.
9	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Our next witness
10	is Former Commissioner Michael Yaki, who is the
11	principal reason why we're having this hearing.
12	Commissioner, can you hear us?
13	MR. YAKI: I can indeed, Mr. Chair.
14	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Proceed.
15	MR. YAKI: Thank you very much, Mr. Chair
16	and Members of the Commission. My name is Michael
17	Yaki and I am the reason that you're sitting there
18	right now, so you can blame it all on me.
19	After 17 years, it's very different sort
20	of being on this side of the panel, but I'll do my
21	best to just take a few moments and let you have more
22	questions for the esteemed panelists who are here
23	today.
24	I'm not going to try and inundate you with
25	facts and figures, and everything that you've heard

today, and I think you've heard a lot, but I will say this. The reason I wanted to put this forward is not just because of what we saw during the COVID epidemic, not just because we saw the President of the United States referring to this as the Chinese Flu and giving license essentially to characterize a terrible affliction spreading across this country that was killing, you know, hundreds of thousands of people, by blaming it all on China, but because it goes to something deeper and very fundamental and personal to who I am.

My father is a Japanese American. His family was incarcerated during World War II. His family possessions were taken from him. His family farm was sold. This is something that was a defining moment for who my father is, a father who nevertheless rose up from that to become the highest ranking career diplomat at that time for an Asian American in the United States Foreign Service.

But he grew up experiencing racism firsthand, and this is racism that came from before. I mean, this is something that started essentially in 1800s the with exclusion with acts, the discrimination, the segregation of the Chinese in San Francisco, with the fact that my mother, who came to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 this country not as an immigrant, but as the daughter of a Chinese diplomat, marooned essentially in Los 2 3 Angeles because of the war in the Pacific occurring 4 that just started, Pearl Harbor, and they couldn't get 5 back to where he was being recalled back to China. 6 And because she was Chinese, and because 7 her family was Chinese, they tried to deport her 8 because of the Chinese exclusion act at the time. 9 Luckily, that didn't occur. Otherwise, I wouldn't be 10 here today. 11 But the animus against Asians has been a 12 part, an unfortunate part of the history of this 13 country for quite some time, and I have always 14 believed that it's always just there scratching the 15 surface. 16 And it comes up again even today when you 17 have a member of Congress challenging the loyalty of 18 Congresswoman Judy Chu to serve and view classified 19 documents because, quote-unquote, "She has loyalties 20 to China." 21 There is something that has been unique 22 about our American experience, about the experience of 23 Asian Americans in this country in which somehow we 24 are always seen as the other.

We are always seen as having our loyalty

questioned, where we are sent en masse into the desert and other hellholes during World War II because, out of all of the nationalities, out of all of the ethnicities in this country, many of whom had relatives, or cousins, or whatever fighting against us on the other side in the axis, only the ones who looked or had my kind of surname were put into camps in this country. Somehow or another, it's our loyalty that's always been questioned. It's our commitment to this country that's always been put at doubt.

And what I hope will come out of this, what I hope all of you will be doing as you work through this is probably working with and taking something like the COVID-19 Hate Crimes Act and seeing that it really does what it's supposed to do, working with state and local governments to get out public education campaigns, and really start getting to the core of this.

Because a lot of people have touched upon it in different ways, and I agree with some of what even people who I would vehemently disagree with who have spoken today, is that it's somehow easier to pick us out for the purposes of discrimination, and this Commission, its mission is to combat exactly that. We've done it before. We can do it again.

I thank all of you for the work that you're doing. I commend all of you for it, and for the people who I served with for 17 or so years who are still on the Commission, it's a pleasure seeing all of you again. To the new ones, congratulations. This is a great body to work with and I wish you all the best.

But keep in mind that there is, at its core, a mission about this particular investigation and that is how do we combat, how do we deal with the fact that when the critical times come, somehow or another our loyalty as Americans is always questioned, and somehow or another it gives license to people to commit heinous acts of violence against us at a time when, as all Americans, we should be working together toward that brighter future, toward that great unification to the bitter angels of our nature every single day? So, thank you very much for the time to appear before you today and good luck in your continuing mission.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you,
Commissioner Yaki, and thank you for your long service
and for instituting this very hearing.

Now we'll open it up to questions. I think I'll take the first one as a privilege of the

1 To Mr. Dreiband, when you were at the Civil 2 Rights Division, were there colleges other than 3 Harvard and Yale that were engaged in the kind of 4 conduct that you just described with respect to 5 Harvard and Yale? 6 MR. DREIBAND: Yes. 7 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: And approximately 8 how many were there, if you know? 9 MR. DREIBAND: I don't know the number, 10 but Ι think the Department of Education 11 investigating several other colleges and universities, 12 as well as the Department of Justice. The practices 13 that I described that were occurring at Harvard and 14 Yale were not atypical. 15 They were -- you know, there was a view, I 16 think, in higher education today that when it comes to 17 discrimination, that the colleges race and 18 universities can do whatever they want. That's the 19 attitude that we saw and I'll give one example just 20 from the Yale case. 21 When we notified Yale of our determination 22 that Yale violated the Civil Rights Act, we made a 23 proposal to Yale to settle without litigation and we 24 suggested that for one year, due to what we regarded

and I think correctly as Yale's unlawful and pervasive

1 use of race in higher education admissions to Yale College, that they stop using race for one year, and 2 3 if they wanted to use race thereafter, that they make 4 a narrowly tailored proposal to use race that was 5 limited in time. 6 Yale responded to us in writing by saying 7 one, they don't discriminate on the basis of race, 8 which is blatantly untrue, and two, they were going to 9 continue using race, and they insisted they would 10 continue using it eternally. 11 There was no indication in anything that I 12 saw that any of the colleges or universities that we 13 investigated ever intended to stop using race and 14 engaging in race discrimination. 15 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: You indicated that 16 the two cases were dropped by the Biden 17 Administration. What about the investigations that 18 you said were pending? Do you know what the status of 19 those are? 20 I don't have access to MR. DREIBAND: 21 either the Justice Department's investigative, you 22 know, inner workings, or the Education Department's, 23 which -- so I don't know the answer to that. 24 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you. 25 Commissioner Adams?

Chief Scott, I hope COMMISSIONER ADAMS: you can hear me. Good. Does the San Francisco Police collect other Department any precursor information or pre-crime data in San Francisco like confronting citizens, people confronting police citizens on the street, maybe mental health events that occur in public, disturbances of the peace or the public, or anything at all like that? Does the San Francisco Police Department collect other precursor data?

know, hate incident data. I mentioned that a bit in my opening remarks, but those other types of events, let's say a person in mental crisis and things like that, is captured in and of itself, but if there's an element of it, of hate that may not amount to a hate crime, we do document that information and push that information forward, and it could be captured in a number of ways.

So, we capture it all, everything that you mentioned, but as far as hate itself, we do have prejudice-based incident reporting that don't amount to crimes, and then those hate crimes that meet the statutory elements of a hate crime that are in their own reporting category.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Right, but I'm asking 2 for the opposite, the things that are not hate crimes. 3 Does the San Francisco Police Department collect data 4 on other public disturbances in a systematic way, 5 maybe, you know, incidents of vagrancy or other 6 disturbances of the peace at all? 7 CHIEF SCOTT: Yes, yes, disturbances of 8 the peace, that is, those are collected and reported, 9 and if they don't amount to crimes, we have to rely on 10 computer-aided dispatch or our dispatch our 11 information, but if they amount to crimes, then we 12 will take an incident report, our officers 13 complete an incident report. For instance, 14 disturbances of the peace, those types of things are 15 collected and reported in both ways. 16 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Commissioner Garza 17 or was it Commissioner Nourse? 18 COMMISSIONER NOURSE: Nourse. 19 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Okay. 20 COMMISSIONER NOURSE: It's nice to see you 21 all here, I'd like to focus on what gentlemen. 22 Detective Martinez said about the difficulty 23 completing the hate crimes forms, and he indicated 24 that a large percentage were not completed. Does that

suggest to you --

What kind of reform would be needed to get police to actually fill them out? Is it a backward flow? If the prosecutor won't take the case, then the police don't want to write the report or how can one solve that problem, training?

DET. MARTINEZ: I believe that a more across the board philosophy from the top down will change the way that the departments and therefore the officers view these things. Let me give you an example.

If I am driving and someone cuts me off, and I block them in and start yelling at them, and then I spit on them, I've committed a battery. Now, the same exact set of facts, it is an LGBTQ person. I do exactly the same thing, but before I spit on them, I start throwing out anti-LGBTQ slurs, or anti-Asian slurs, or whatever.

Most officers won't even take into consideration the slurs, the bias that there is evidence of because it is due to the road rage, but to have that training and the buy-in from the top down of this is what we want to do, not in that exact circumstance, but these type of circumstances, it will be pushed down, and as line officers, we do what we're told. We do what our policy is, but if there's not a

1	buy-in at the top
2	COMMISSIONER NOURSE: Right, I mean, it is
3	very difficult to prosecute these cases, but it is
4	important because it's not simply a crime. It's a
5	crime that someone feels entitled to commit because of
6	the lesser humanity of the victim.
7	And so, it is important to get more
8	training, it seems to me, in these circumstances, both
9	at the prosecutorial level, we heard about that from
10	the last panel, as well as for officers. You
11	obviously know this quite well.
12	In truth, there are no thought crimes.
13	That would be unconstitutional. I'll take that case,
14	but there are elements such as the context. I mean,
15	you've used speech, but in fact, it's often the
16	context.
17	So, if you're in front of a gay bar, let's
18	say, you know, that that would matter, but you have to
19	train officers to look for those kinds of things as I
20	understand it.
21	DET. MARTINEZ: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER NOURSE: Okay.
23	DET. MARTINEZ: And, you know
24	COMMISSIONER NOURSE: And finally for the
25	other panelists, I'll just say I was just over at

1 Jones Day debating someone, Professor Hamburger from 2 The Supreme Court's going to rule. Columbia. 3 Supreme Court's going to rule. 4 And I just want the record to reflect that 5 there is no Biden Administration person here. You 6 served the Trump Administration and we will, I'm sure, 7 abide by the rule of law here at the Commission. 8 MR. WESTHILL: So, that was - a question? 9 COMMISSIONER GARZA: I do have a question, 10 if I may interject, for Detective Martinez. If you 11 could give us a little bit more background on how your 12 officers are trained in terms of identifying those 13 issues of what constitutes a hate crime? 14 You know, because you mentioned in your 15 comments about how these statutes are difficult, 16 So, if there a process for training your 17 officers on how to identify them? You know, are you 18 tracking that training and the results of that 19 training? You know, could you give us a little bit 20 more? 21 DET. MARTINEZ: Yes, since our department 22 has fully committed, I conduct all of the trainings 23 myself, and multiple, from the academy, to 24 supervisors, to the detectives, to the training

officers, all of them are trained beyond what the

peace officer standards for California does. We train our own in these things.

And recognizing that they're very difficult to prosecute, that doesn't matter to us. What matters to us is getting the victim the help that they can get at that time that the police cannot provide. We, as police, we don't do therapy. We don't do -- you know, we barely do proactive policing very well.

So, getting them in touch with those agencies or those advocacy groups, some that are here, that can assist them with the non-criminal things that we can't, whether it's work related, or visa, immigration related, getting them in touch with those people that can help them that we cannot do.

COMMISSIONER GARZA: And we've talked a lot about language and translation services. Is that something that you all also employ in your sort of victim-centered approach?

DET. MARTINEZ: We try. So, the way that we do it is we have 42 different languages spoken in our 10,000 officers, so we, wherever in the city, we try to get a language speaker there no matter where they're assigned, and if that doesn't work, we have a contract with AT&T Language Bank.

1 We try not to use family members because 2 of certain cultures and stuff, so we try to use our 3 contract services, and we'll do either video or over 4 the phone to speak in the preferred language when we 5 It doesn't always work, but that's our goal. can. 6 COMMISSIONER GARZA: Okay, thank you. 7 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Detective Martinez, 8 I was interested in your answer to one of the earlier 9 questions, and I'm interested in sort of uniformity 10 of, you know, what gets classified as a hate crime and 11 what doesn't, and you said that on the road rage 12 hypothetical, which I thought was a very good one, 13 that most officers would not consider that a hate 14 crime. Would some? 15 DET. MARTINEZ: My department will. My department counts that as a hate crime, but, you know, 16 17 maybe if it was the Sheriffs of San Francisco, 18 depending on how they are trained, they may not. 19 For us, all we need -- the way that I 20 train my folks is if there's evidence, whether direct 21 or circumstantial, of the bias, we are making it a 22 hate crime. 23 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: A hate crime, so 24 you're erring on that side. See, the interesting 25 thing about the hypothetical is that often people

1 don't know the race or sexual orientation of 2 person that cuts them off until they get a better look 3 at them, and therefore, it might be one of those, you 4 know, it's more likely to be one of those cases where 5 once people know it, you know, then they act on it 6 because they want to throw whatever epithet. 7 Whereas, there are going to be other 8 crimes where they know right from the beginning, you 9 know, who the person is. And I'm interested then in, 10 like you say you conduct the training. Do you have 11 like written materials? 12 DET. MARTINEZ: Yes, yes, we have --13 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Can we get a copy of 14 those? 15 DET. MARTINEZ: Oh, yeah, I have our 16 policy and I can send you my syllabus and all of that 17 kind of stuff. 18 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Great, I would love 19 to see that. 20 DET. MARTINEZ: I try to tell my folks it 21 is not your, it is not the patrol officer's or the 22 detective's job to determine whether it's enough to 23 convict. If you have evidence, we're going to make it 24 a hate crime. We're going to get the victim in touch 25 with those services. We're going to present it to the

1	correct deputy district attorney or city attorney that
2	has that experience and we're going to let them
3	decide.
4	But in the meantime, someone who has had
5	one of the worst days of their life because of who
6	they love, or the color of their skin, or where
7	they're from, they have the victim-centered
8	approach, I'm sure you guys have dealt with that
9	before. They have been taken care of in a more
10	thorough way than a regular victim.
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yeah, the training
12	materials would be great. In fact, can I ask, Chief
13	Scott, do you have training materials of that sort?
14	Yeah?
15	CHIEF SCOTT: Yes, yes, ma'am, we do, we
16	do.
17	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Could you send them
18	to us?
19	CHIEF SCOTT: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Great.
21	CHIEF SCOTT: Yes, I can certainly do
22	that.
23	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Great, thank you
24	very much.
25	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Gilchrist?

COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Dreiband, I wanted to thank you for your work that you did at the Department of Justice. I'm a South Carolinian and the situation that occurred at Mother Emanuel where the nine souls were killed, I want to thank you for, and the Department of Justice, for your work there in dealing with the murderer who killed those people.

My question to you, the House Energy and Commerce Committee met yesterday with the CEO of TikTok, and all of the rising tensions that are going on with China, do you in any way believe that some of those tensions can, at some point, end up being just what we were experiencing during COVID as it relates to Asian hate crimes?

MR. DREIBAND: Well, first of all, thank you for your kind remarks about my service at the Department. We did convict Dylann Roof of murdering people at Mother Emanuel Church and we successfully defended the conviction on appeal, we being there were many people involved. I was the head of the Civil Rights Division during the appeal, but many, many people worked on that, including people in the Obama Administration and later in the Trump Administration.

With respect to hostilities with China and

the pandemic, I think it's a very complicated question. You know, the pandemic did, by all accounts, start in China, and there were concerns that we had when I was at the Department of Justice that because of the origins of it, that we wanted to alert all of our prosecutors, and the FBI, and law enforcement to be aware of this concern that there would be hate crimes committed against Asians and Asian Americans.

And Attorney General William Barr and I instructed all federal prosecutors throughout the United States that we would not tolerate that kind of conduct, and so we increased significantly the Criminal Section staffing at the Civil Rights Division.

That's the section of the Civil Rights
Division that prosecutes hate crimes among other civil
rights crimes, and we expanded in addition, you know,
training and things like that to other law
enforcement.

There are obviously geopolitical tensions between the United States and China that I think both predate the pandemic and I think are unrelated to them. I've not -- so it's a complicated question, but I'm not sure if it's --

1 All of these are kind of somewhat 2 interrelated in terms of anti-Asian, or particularly 3 anti-Chinese, or oftentimes anti-Asian hate crimes 4 where people are perceived to be Chinese even though 5 they might be Vietnamese or some other national 6 origin. 7 COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Thank you for 8 that. 9 COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: Thank you all for 10 your service and for your testimony today. 11 you just -- or Commissioner Yaki, could Former 12 Commissioner Yaki, I mean, I'm new to the Commission 13 and I have a lot to do and learn. 14 You know, we look at concept papers and 15 identify current issues to look at and examine, and 16 since you had written the concept paper, could you 17 just help me and the Commission, just help me think 18 about the original understanding in developing this 19 concept paper, which I think was really important and 20 serves us today? 21 MR. YAKI: Well, thank you very much, Mr. 22 Commissioner and thank you to the Chair. I think this 23 paper really came out of the rise in hate crime 24 incidents against Asian Americans from after the

COVID-19 outbreak.

This Commission had acted previously and sent letters and notices to the White House regarding our concern about the then President's remarks concerning the virus. And yes, while it originated in China, that does not make it a Chinese issue. It does not —

And again, because of the fact that historically, our communities have been seen as sort of all the same, like let's not forget about the murder of Vincent Chin, who was killed, a Chinese American who was killed because some people thought that he was Japanese. It comes down to as simple as that.

I mean, it's interesting hearing some of the stuff, testimony that we heard today regarding affirmative action, which has been pointed out by others, is going to be decided by the United States Supreme Court, and as someone --

If I may speak out of school, you know, I sort of knew this was going to happen. I had an inkling that it would because it has been something that some members of the Commission have been wanting to do for quite some time. I think it's interesting because, again, there is a court case going on. It's going to be resolved one way or the other.

And I'm just going to say, you know, quite frankly, I am someone who, throughout my 17 years on the Commission, supported diversity, did not vote for similar projects that would have advanced the topic that some other people on this panel and others have advanced today regarding alleged discrimination at schools.

And I would just point out just, you know, really off the cuff that, you know, we're not talking about anyone being denied an education. This is not segregation of the education system, but, and it is a far different cry from someone whose life, and property, and family have been severely injured by a hate crime, and that is the animus and the idea that it's still there.

And I do worry about the TikTok issue. I do worry about how that at this time in our nation's history, we have put forward the fact that now China is the new number one concern. And certainly in Asia, but also around the world, we see what's going on with Russia and their interaction with the Ukraine War, and how, again, people don't distinguish between someone who looks like this and someone over there in Beijing as a cause of some death, or injury, or inconvenience to people.

1	Inat is still there and that's what i
2	think that's what this report was in my view.
3	You're different. You can do whatever it is you want
4	to do with it, but the animus was to go and address
5	that underlying core, which is the continuing presence
6	of anti-Asian discrimination and how quickly it lights
7	up at times like this.
8	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Westhill, you
9	mentioned that in the Biden executive order, there
10	were 63 mentions of the word equity or thereabouts,
11	correct?
12	MR. WESTHILL: Correct.
13	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Did it have a
14	definition of equity in the executive order, and if
15	so, what was it?
16	MR. WESTHILL: I think there is a
17	definition in the executive order. I can't quote it
18	here for you now.
19	But what I think I've endeavored to do
20	with my spoken testimony here and certainly in my
21	written testimony, which I hope the Commission will
22	review, is to explain what I think is obvious about
23	what equity is.
24	Equity is the goal of pushing some people
25	artificially forward and bringing some others back. I

1 suggested that's the two sides of the equity coin. 2 It's immoral, it's illegal, and I do think it's something that this Commission could speak out about. 3 4 I don't remember, again, what exactly the 5 definition was that was used by the executive order, but I think the actions of the Biden Administration's 6 7 equity agenda and also how it's crept into other areas 8 of American life as well makes it clear what it 9 actually is. 10 It's preferences for some based on their 11 race, ethnicity, other immutable or or 12 characteristics, and to hold back others because they 13 do not fit the criteria for what the outcome needs to 14 be for proportional representation. 15 I could, just one additional And if 16 statement regarding the Supreme Court cases 17 affirmative action, I find it peculiar indeed that 18 anyone would think that just because the Supreme Court 19 is going to rule on the affirmative cases, that this 20 is all done. 21 I don't think, based on actually some 22 comments of my co-panelist, Mr. Dreiband here, that 23 schools care very much at all about what the Supreme 24 Court's going to say. I think, and we've seen some

signs of it already, that they're going to try and

1 skirt the law and do as they please to make their campuses more skin deep diverse, which has been their 2 3 goal from, you know, from the beginning. 4 Moreover, this Commission is worried about 5 If the Supreme Court, as a lot of civil rights. 6 commentators have predicted, prohibits the use of race 7 in making admissions decisions, it's all the more 8 important for this Commission to be reviewing and 9 making sure that they're being held to the standard 10 that Title VI holds them to, that they cannot, that it 11 prohibits the consideration of race in federally 12 funded programs. 13 So, this Commission's job becomes even 14 more onerous if the Supreme Court does what many 15 commentators think they're going to do, which is 16 prohibit race in college admissions. 17 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Dreiband, of 18 the statutes that the Civil Rights Division is charged 19 with enforcing, say Title VII, 42 U.S.C. 1981, Title 20 IX, all of the titles with respect to civil rights, is 21 there a definition of equity to your knowledge in any 22 of those? 23 MR. DREIBAND: No. 24 COMMISSIONER NOURSE: I'd like to make 25 just an interjection here. If you open up your

1 iPhone, you can find the definition of equity, 2 quality of being fair and impartial. I understand you 3 believe it means outcome. There's a difference here, 4 but that's what my dictionary says and the Supreme 5 Court likes dictionaries these days. MR. DREIBAND: But there's no -- the word 6 7 equity does not appear in a single statute passed by 8 the United States Congress about civil rights issues, 9 at least certainly that is enforced by the Civil 10 Rights Division at the Justice Department. It's not 11 part of the United States Code at all and that's why 12 it's not defined anywhere in the U.S. Code, that I 13 know of anyway. 14 Commissioner COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: 15 Heriot? 16 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm going to direct 17 this to Mr. Westhill because he mentioned it in his 18 testimony. The Vice President has indeed said what 19 she believes equity means, hasn't she? What did she 20 say again? 21 MR. WESTHILL: She has on a couple of 22 different occasions. The most recent having to do with 23 the executive order that was signed just, I believe, 24 last month, that "America has a promise of equity and

Today, the President signed an

justice for all.

1	executive order that puts our nation one step closer
2	to that," which I think is a change on the pledge of
3	allegiance's liberty and justice for all.
4	But the Vice President has commented on
5	this before as well on her official Twitter account.
6	She said that "There's a big difference between
7	equality and equity. Equality suggests oh, everyone
8	should get the same amount. Equitable treatment means
9	we all end up in the same place."
10	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Commissioner
11	Magpantay?
12	COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: Mr. Dreiband,
13	just a quick question, and thank you for your service
14	to the Trump Administration. And in the case
15	involving what you worked on, can you define what is
16	an Asian?
17	MR. DREIBAND: I'm sorry, in what case?
18	COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: What is an Asian?
19	MR. DREIBAND: What is an Asian? Asians
20	typically refer to people whose ancestry or national
21	origin is from the continent of Asia.
22	COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: The reason why is
23	that it is a very large and diverse community, which
24	some segments encounter poverty, limitations on
25	proficiency, and I'm just curious in the court papers

if it is recognized the nuance and diversity that we seek in America, like our schools should be -- you know, skin color, whatever, but there is a diversity of experience and insights, isn't there, within the Asian community?

MR. DREIBAND: Well, of course there is, and that's why this whole notion of grouping populations of people together the way many colleges, and universities, and other institutions today are doing as, quote, Asians, I think is shameful, disgraceful, and illegal.

yes, there is а huge diversity among people whose ancestry is from Asia, among people who are from East Asia, or South Asia, or various part of Asia, and I think it's horrible that our institutions in this country today treat these individuals as if they are part of some monolithic group and then subject them to pervasive discrimination.

And it's even worse because we're talking typically about 18-year-old children who are applying to further their education at colleges and universities throughout this country, many of whom come from very poor families, many of whom are first generation arrivals to this country, and they are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	lumped together and disadvantaged by Ivy League
2	institutions, by all kinds of institutions in this
3	country, and it is a total disgrace in my opinion, and
4	it's illegal, and immoral, and wrong, and should stop.
5	COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: And that's in
6	your papers?
7	MR. DREIBAND: What?
8	COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: And that's in
9	your papers, your litigation papers, yes?
0	MR. DREIBAND: Well, yeah, in the cases
1	that I mentioned, yes, we made those allegations, yes.
12	COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: Thank you.
13	MR. MORALES: I'm going to assert my
4	authority under 45 CFR 701.11 and I want to remind all
15	Commissioners that they voted for the concept paper on
16	hate crimes against Asian Americans on September 16,
17	transcript page 40, research timeline voted on October
8	21, transcript page 32.
9	An amendment to add admissions of Asian
20	Americans' status to colleges and universities failed
21	by a vote on September 16. Our conversation and the
22	point of testimony today is limited to the four
23	corners contained in the concept paper, so I'm going
24	to submit the concept paper and the timeline for the

record, so thank you.

1	COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: I apologize for
2	my question if it was not germane to the topic.
3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, it was.
4	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Any other
5	questions from Commissioners?
6	CLOSING REMARKS
7	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Panelists, I
8	want to thank you. That will conclude this
9	briefing and we appreciate the testimony of
10	everyone who has participated in this briefing
11	today. The record will be open for 30 days in
12	which you can submit further comments.
13	Members of the public are invited to do
14	so also and they may be emailed to, if you want to
15	take this down, antiasianhatecrimes@usccr.gov.
16	That is antiasianhatecrimes@usccr.gov. And please
17	submit your comments by April 24.
18	ADJOURN MEETING
19	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: And with that,
20	the briefing is concluded.
21	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
22	went off the record at 4:51 p.m.)
23	
24	
25	