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P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
(12:12 p.m.)

MR. MORALES: Good afternoon and welcome to everybody, and welcome to the business meeting for the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

This meeting comes to order at -- I'll get the time correct here -- at 12:12 Eastern Standard Time on Friday, April 21, 2023.

I am Staff Director Mauro Morales. I am not substantively participating in this meeting, but because of the current absence of a chair and vice chair, I am administering it pursuant to our administrative functions set out in 42 U.S.C. 1975(b). And I would like to confirm the commissioners present and will take a roll call. Please say present when I say your name. Commissioner Adams?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Present.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Garza.
COMMISSIONER GARZA: Present.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Gilchrist?
COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Present.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Heriot?
COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm here.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Jones?
COMMISSIONER JONES: Present.

MR. MORALES: Commissioner Kirsanow? COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Here.

MR. MORALES: Commissioner Magpantay? COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: Here.

MR. MORALES: Commissioner Nourse?
COMMISSIONER NOURSE: I'm here.
MR. MORALES: Based on the response, a quorum of commissioners is present. Is the court reporter present?

COURT REPORTER: Present.
I. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

MR. MORALES: I am the staff director, and I am present. The meeting will now come to order. The first order of business is a vote to approve the agenda.

MR. MORALES: All those in favor say --
COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No. No, I move to amend the agenda.

MR. MORALES: Well, let's approve -- okay. Yes, are there any amendment to amend the --

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes. Yes, there is one.

MR. MORALES: Please state your motion.
COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I move to amend the proposed agenda to put first that is under -- I got it
here, under Section 2, Business Meeting, before what is currently A, I would move to include a discussion and vote of our 30/30/10 Policy and a discussion and vote of the Crime Victims Report, including the discovery documents and the proposed schedule.

MR. MORALES: Is there a second?
COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Second.
MR. MORALES: It is open for discussion.
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: A point of personal privilege, my microphone doesn't turn on.

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: Mine doesn't either. I guess they don't like us.

MR. MORALES: You've got to push it hard. COMMISSIONER ADAMS: There we go. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: Oh, I see.
MR. MORALES: You have the floor.
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Thank you. The reason for this motion is fairly simple and hopefully the action of the Commission will reflect its simplicity. Regarding the 30/30/10, I will reserve to other commissioners to talk about it. But in the last Commission, two meetings or three meetings ago, we approved the second paper that we are required to do by Congress as part of our regular business. We had
a statutory report we were working on. We had hearings on. And we approved the second one.

And we had an agreement to approve the discovery plan, which the staff drew up. I would note that Commissioner Heriot's motion reflects a staff proposal, not a proposal that Commissioner Adams wrote. And we had an agreement to approve that discovery plan last fall, and we were double-crossed, plain and simple.

And we need to do, as some have said, I agree, the work of the Commission and do the work for the people. It related to an issue that I think has broad agreement. It is an important issue in the United States.

This is not a difficult lift. And that is what I think is behind Commissioner Heriot's motion to amend the agenda to take this up and approve it and get about the Commission's business. It's not tough. I don't think it's even controversial.

MR. MORALES: Thank you. Any other discussion?

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yeah.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Heriot?
COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yeah. I mean, we all know the thing that has been noticed that is most
significant on our agenda is the approval of President Biden's nominations for chair and vice chair. I think I speak for three other colleagues of mine, Commissioner Adams, Commissioner Gilchrist and Commissioner Kirsanow, we would very much like to be able to vote in favor of those nominations. But before we do so, we feel that it is very important to set certain ground rules, and we didn't think this would even be controversial. We thought this was going to be easy stuff here.

We've had previous negotiations with previous chairs and vice chairs, and they have all gone, I think, ultimately quite smoothly. This one seems to be an exception in that we are being required to vote before we have been able to resolve these ground rules.

And we actually pared down what we needed to what we thought would be really uncontroversial. The 30/30/10 policy, I think, is really one of the most significant things about this Commission. Under our 30/30/10 policy, when a report is approved by the Commission, each commissioner then has 30 days to write a statement that will be part of that report.

Those reports are then -- those statements are then traded so that we then have an additional 30
days to respond to things that the other commissioners have said. And I think these statements have been extremely valuable in the Commission reports, often far more valuable than the report itself, the part that is generated by the staff, because it allows us to flesh out arguments from all sides in addition, in rare occasions, and I think this has only been invoked once, we have an additional 10 days for surrebuttal if new material has been brought up during the rebuttal period, and three commissioners agree that there ought to be a 10 day surrebuttal. And I think that has worked out extremely well.

Previous chairs have readily accepted this and have gone on the record saying that they will always vote in favor of retaining the policy. And that way even though, you know, Commission majorities, you know, come and go, there will always be a majority in favor of retaining the policy.

You know, I don't think that should be controversial. And yet because we have been hurried in this case, we haven't gotten that guarantee. And I would very much like to see that. And I think there is a problem that the policy was adopted some time ago. And I believe there was a controversy over whether or not the policy should take the form of an

AI or whether given that it applies to the commissioners rather than to the staff that it doesn't need to be an AI.

I think it will be nice to have it codified in some way whether you call it an AI or call it Fred. I mean, I don't much care what it's called as long as it is in writing, and it can all be clear for everyone.

The other issue is, of course, what Commissioner Adams brought up, and that is the Crime Victims Report. We're frankly, you know, the four of us feel that like we were chumps, that we agreed to the anti-Asian briefing on the condition that we also have a crime victims.

This is 4/4 Commission. You would expect that, you know, when you have a 4/4 Commission there is going to be a one for you, one for me. Both of these reports were approved. And then much to our shock, while we happily voted in favor of the discovery plan and accompanying documents for the anti-Asian, the accompanying documents for the discovery plan and accompanying documents for the Crime Victims Report were voted down.

We felt that we were double-crossed. And that doesn't make for a happy Commission. So that is
why I have moved to put these things first. If we could agree on these things and get all that settled, then we could have, you know, a much easier meeting. But otherwise, I don't see how we can approve the nominations at this time.

I would hope that at least we can get them done by notational vote in the coming days because I think that once members of the Commission focus on the notion that these requests are really very, very fair. We are just trying to ensure that we will be treated fairly on the Commission. I think once that is clear, this is going to be smooth sailing.

MR. MORALES: Turn off your mics, please. Commissioner Heriot, has this proposed AI that you're asking for codification, has it been submitted to general counsel's office, OCRE, for a review?

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: This was voted on a decade ago. This was a failure of that office to codify it in the past, not that that makes much difference here. The thing is, we need these to be done. We cannot vote in favor of these nominations until this is done.

The agenda, which made this the issue for the agenda, was not promulgated properly with our rules. It is not up to the staff director to
promulgate an agenda.
In the case of our last chair, Norma Cantu, it was two months and almost three weeks before the nomination was confirmed because it was necessary to undergo these negotiations. And suddenly the staff director, who has no authority to promulgate an agenda, is telling us we absolutely must vote on this now.

You know, that means unnecessary embarrassment to everybody. We don't want to vote down these nominations. What we would like to do is be able to happily approve these, but with these very fair proposals of ours. They haven't been acted on, and they need to be acted on before we can vote.

MR. MORALES: So your answer is they have not been submitted to the general counsel's office.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: They were submitted

MR. MORALES: Please don't talk over me. COMMISSIONER HERIOT: -- a decade ago.

MR. MORALES: Please don't talk over me. You can answer when I am finished. So your answer is they have not been submitted --

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No, it's not.
MR. MORALES: -- to the general counsel's
office, and they have not been submitted to the Office of Civil Rights Evaluation for review, which is the minimum for all AIs that should be accomplished. And so that has not been done.

These were just sent to our office or to me or to the staff less than three days ago. So I'm not sure why you have the rush to put this through without a thorough evaluation and making sure that it follows and adheres to the AIs and the statutes so. COMMISSIONER HERIOT: This has been our policy since November of 2011.

MR. MORALES: It was never acted upon, so it is not the policy of the Commission.

COMMISSIONER GARZA: I'm going to exercise a point of personal privilege here -- Commissioner Garza. I just want to back up a little bit and make it very clear that there are four new commissioners on this Commission.

I was sworn in on March 17. We had a briefing on March 24. We received the designation from President Biden's office for chair and vice chair on April 6. And there has been plenty of time to have a conversation about these issues and yet notice wasn't given until this week prior to our meeting today.

I am sorry to hear about the things that have happened in the past that I had nothing to do with. You know, I am here in good faith. I would like to have the opportunity to work across the aisle with all commissioners to enact the mission of this Commission, which is to serve the American public, to inform the President and Congress of the status of civil rights in this country. And all we are asking for is an opportunity to serve and to work together at this point.

You know, I myself do not have a special assistant and neither do two of my colleagues on the Democratic side. We are at a blatant disadvantage when it comes to understanding the rules and what goes into this process.

So to me, considering that, it is
inappropriate to consider this kind of amendment. I would like for us to wrap this portion up so that we can get to what we are really here about, which has been duly notified to the public, which is the vote on the President's designation of chair and vice chair and to also change our meeting for May.

So I would like to move us forward on this
point. I want to reiterate to all of my colleagues that I am here to work in good faith across the aisle,
but I cannot do that unless we move forward with these designations. So thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I would just point out --

MR. MORALES: Any further discussion? COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.

MR. MORALES: Commissioner Heriot, please. COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes. I would just point out that as of Tuesday of last week, Commissioner Garza was aware that we did have two issues that we wished to discuss. I discussed them last week with Commissioner Nourse. My understanding is that she shared those with you.

And, again, in the past we have had some time to negotiate before such a vote. I am not aware of any case before where the staff director usurped the power to make an agenda and move forward this issue before the commissioners have had an opportunity to negotiate on the vote. I think this is highly improper, and I therefore support my own motion that we amend the agenda.

COMMISSIONER GARZA: I would just like to correct the record on that point. I did not have a conversation with anyone from the Republican caucus until Tuesday and was not given anything in writing as
to what was being requested until Wednesday. And there has been no time to check how this would impact the function of this Commission. So I take umbrage with falsifying the facts. That is not what happened.

As I said, we had over a month to have a conversation about this. I have been reaching out since my appointment to each of the individual commissioners to introduce myself, to say, you know, I am here to work with all of you. And I mean that. I understand that there have been issues in the past. But I am not privy to them. And I don't believe my colleagues on the Democratic side are as well.

And we need to have a clean slate and move forward if we're going to get anything done. So I wanted to make sure that that was correct. That it was on the record. That we have not had ample opportunity. And I do not want to be forced into a vote just because we haven't been given ample opportunity to discuss these things.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Speaking of not wanting to be forced into a vote, we don't want to be forced into a vote either. We would prefer not to have to vote down your nomination, but you seem to want that. I would correct the record. You were nominated to be chair not a month ago, but on April 6.

That was just before the Easter weekend.
Our caucus promptly met on what we were going to do in response to that. I emailed on Tuesday to Commissioner Nourse what our -- that we had two plans. You were forwarded that email on that day so you knew that that was the case.

I am sorry that you did not have an opportunity to talk in person with Commissioner Kirsanow until Tuesday, but it was last week that these issues came out, not this week.

Even so, even if it had been this week, the point is, we are the ones who are being rushed into voting upon your nomination and that I think is highly inappropriate. As I said before, last time with Commissioner Cantu when she was made chair, we conducted negotiations over the course of two months and almost three weeks. I think we actually moved very promptly in this case, but there was no way that we could negotiate this prior to knowing who was being nominated.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Very briefly, whomever I should ask for recognition.

MR. MORALES: Please.
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: On the issue of surprise, look, we and everyone on this Commission
knows this, as soon as we had an opportunity to talk about this, this was the first thing out of my mouth with every new nominee was this issue. And it went back months.

And I don't think there were surprises. I endeavored probably to the point of boorishness of explaining to every new nominee that I could talk to about this, this was the issue. This is a big issue. And there is no surprise that I have a Washington Times opinion piece about this from two months ago.

So I just want to make sure that from my perspective, I bent over backwards to make sure everybody knew this was a deal breaker before this meeting.

MR. MORALES: Thank you. Any further discussion? Hearing none --

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I'm still here.
MR. MORALES: Oh, go ahead.
COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Very briefly, I echo what Commissioners Heriot and Adams had to say, and simply know this. That these two issues, the one with respect to 30/30/10 frankly should be a no brainer. It is to the benefit of all commissioners, and it is not heavy lift to understand what it means. We simply have 30 days in which to write the
statements related to whatever report the Commission. It is 30 days for rebuttal and 10 days for surrebuttal. That is not complicated.

In most cases, most attorneys could figure that out within 5 to 10 minutes and agree or disagree. With respect to the concept papers, again, a no brainer. I like to give you as much advanced notice as possible that this is something that had been decided on by the Commission in the past. It is fairly simple. We like to examine the issue of crime victims. It is not a difficult task in terms of assessing what precisely this mission is.

So we hope -- it would be nice to have a year to think about these things, but in truth these are issues that can be resolved or thought about within, frankly, 10, 15 minutes.

MR. MORALES: Thank you. Any further comment?

COMMISSIONER JONES: Yes.
MR. MORALES: Mr. Jones?
COMMISSIONER JONES: Let me start by saying what a pleasure it is to be here with all of you today and how I'm looking forward to serving with you and fulfilling the purpose of this Commission, which as the chair designee has said is to inform

Congress, the President and the public about the status of civil rights in this country. And I am very hopeful that we can agree on a definition of civil rights that is consistent with what I've always understood it to be and what I think history teaches us and certainly what our civil rights laws reflect.

I also would like to think that I have served in more contentious environments than even this one. And so I am optimistic that we can get through this probably with continued deliberation. Maybe some of it won't even happen today. We'll see how that goes.

As we develop the record today, which is clear that some people are trying to do, Commissioner Heriot has said repeatedly now that the staff director has usurped the authority of the Commission in setting the agenda.

And I just wanted to know, Mauro, if there is some general counsel opinion or other text that you have relied on in setting the agenda so that is abundantly clear to people who are either ready the transcript or who are paying attention to this proceeding today?

MR. MORALES: Thank you, Mr. Jones. You are correct. And I will let general counsel opine,
but under our administrative instructions and our statute, the chair and the staff director create the agenda for the Commission for each business meeting.

And therefore since we don't have a chair, I consulted with a variety of commissioners as to what the agenda should be. And we received a letter, which I have sent to all commissioners, from the President, which has designated and requested that the Commission, and I'll read it, consistent with 42 U.S.C. 1975, the President seeks concurrence from the majority of the members of the U.S. Commission to designate Rochelle Mercedes Garza as chairperson and Victoria Frances Nourse as vice chair of the Commission. It would be appreciated if you could inform of the Commission's decision at your earliest convenience.

While this business had been scheduled over a year ago by commissioners, and this was the first opportunity, I was requested to withhold a business meeting by commissioners on March 6. So this was the earliest and quickest that we could create an agenda. And I was requested to also add to that agenda the movement of a Commission business meeting from May 19 to May 18.

So those were the two items. They were
presented to commissioners well over a week in advance. I had received no objections at that time until Monday afternoon, $I$ received an email saying that they wanted -- from Commissioner Adams, Gilchrist, Heriot and Kirsanow, they wanted the meeting cancelled because they were either not going to come to the meeting or they were going to vote against the President's request.

So I don't have the authority to
unilaterally cancel the meeting. And so we are holding this meeting now. If you want to amend, as Commissioner Heriot has, the agenda then so be it. But the meeting should take place, and the vote should take place. And the President deserves a vote on yes or no of his designation. There is no reason not to hold the vote.

If you feel that you want to negotiate and have time to negotiate, so be it. You will have a new chair, and you can sit down with her and the rest of the new commissioners and work out an opportunity. But you shouldn't force them into a corner. You shouldn't coerce them to take your orders --

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Point of order -objection to the term coerce. Point of order.

MR. MORALES: Well, I withdraw coerce. I
would say force them to, you know, take these two amendments or else you are going to vote no. That's just not right.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Point of order. Staff director --

MR. MORALES: I don't recognize the point of order.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: May I ask the question of staff director?

MR. MORALES: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Staff director, I have a question, please. You indicated, and I think you lay out a sound argument, that the statute and the guiding regulations designate the chair and the staff director to set the agenda. That's essentially what you said --

MR. MORALES: That's not true.
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: But that's what you said. Was there a regulation that prevented you from putting 30/30/10 or the crime discovery plan on the agenda today, prevented?

MR. MORALES: Yes. There is an AI that requires the -- there is a seven day notice in order to post on the website and in the Federal Register. And so when I received the request, I believe one of
the special assistants to add two items to the agenda, I informed all commissioners at that time that it was inappropriate to add those two items, but they could be added if you amend the agenda.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Okay. I don't think you understand my question.

MR. MORALES: That's where we are now. COMMISSIONER ADAMS: I don't think you understood my question. Let me restate it. Prior to last week, could you have done it on your own volition is my question?

MR. MORALES: If I had been requested, yes, we could have, but it wasn't.

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: I would like to -I have not spoken yet. And I am delighted to be here with such amazing people, Commissioner Magpantay and Mondaire Jones, Commissioner Jones and the future chair, Rochelle Garza.

It is no secret that I worked for the President in the White House when he was Vice President as his chief lawyer, the year his son died. And why did they ask me to do that? Well, I've known him since I was a baby lawyer, even younger than our esteemed commissioners here.

And I worked with him when he was on the

Senate. And he taught me everything I know about bipartisanship. I know that we can work together. I've done it before on -- the first duty of a state, which is to keep people safe. But I want to remind everyone that the statute and the regulations depend upon statutes that provide protections, as Commissioner Jones said, for civil rights.

So I need the time. I don't have an office. I don't have a lawyer. These last two weeks -- I'm a professor at Georgetown. You know, I haven't had time to look at these documents in a way that would be consistent with the statute and regulations. And I know that the President has asked me to be Rochelle's veep because I'm a stickler for the rule of law. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I need to correct the record on the issue of who promulgates the agenda. The rule actually says the chairperson, after consulting with the staff director, shall establish the agenda, not that the chairperson and the staff director establish the agenda. That's what the rule actually says.

Chairperson is defined as chairperson or acting chairperson. And that means, okay, who is the acting chairperson? And as of last month, we showed
that Pete, as the most senior person in the absence of any other decision by the Commission, is the person who assumes the chair. The Commission can then appoint someone else as acting chair. But the staff director is not authorized to establish the agenda. But most importantly, nobody is authorized to say, look, we're going to shove this down your throat. We have people now telling us that they don't have time to consider these things. Well, but they need to be considered before we vote on who is going to be confirmed as chair or vice chair. Why? Because this is the only moment at which we have any leverage and that is important here.

We feel that we have been outrageously double-crossed on the issue of the Crime Victims Report. For some reason, the members of the Commission, who have now rotated off, thought that it was okay to make such a deal and then to back away from the deal by, you know, after we had already committed to allowing the Anti-Asian Report.

That's pretty bad mistreatment of the four of us, I believe. Therefore, it shouldn't be a surprise that we would want some commitment before we vote. And the reason that we suggested that this meeting be postponed is we didn't want to embarrass
the candidates by having to vote no. And I still don't want to embarrass the candidates by having to vote no. But, you know, here we are.

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: I would just like to note for the record that based on the statute, the nature of this Commission, the President has the authority to name the chair and the vice chair. And under the Constitution, we all serve the current President of the United States.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I would just like to point out that that same law says that the nomination has to be approved by the Commission as a whole.

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: The Constitution surpasses any statute.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: The Constitution does not say that we don't have the power to approve. I mean, that's just a silly argument.

MR. MORALES: All right. I think we've had a vigorous debate. Why don't we call the question and have a vote on Commissioner Heriot's motion to add the two items to the agenda. We will do a roll call vote. Sorry. I'll get the list out correctly. Commissioner Adams, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yes.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Garza, how do
you vote?
COMMISSIONER GARZA: No.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Gilchrist, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Yes.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Heriot, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I vote yes.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Jones, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER JONES: No. Commissioner Jones votes no for the record. I'm sorry. My mic is off. I turned my mic off.

MR. MORALES: I'll get it right.
Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?
COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Magpantay, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: Nay, no.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Nourse, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: No.
MR. MORALES: It's a 4-4 tie. The motion fails. We move to the first order of business as noted in the agenda in the Federal Register. We will
turn to a discussion of the vote on the designation of the designation of Rochelle Mercedes Garza --

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Point of order. We still have to vote to approve the agenda.

MR. MORALES: Good point. Thank you. Is there a motion?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: So moved.
MR. MORALES: Is there a second?
COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: I'll second it.
COMMISSIONER JONES: Seconded.
MR. MORALES: We have two seconds, but we'll go with the first one. Any discussion on the agenda, the two items, which are the vote on the President's designation of the chair and the vice chair and the motion to change the meeting date from May 19 to May 18.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Discussion.
MR. MORALES: Please, Commissioner Adams.
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: I hope we can change the meeting date to accommodate Commissioner Jones if by no other means by a notational vote. I will certainly vote yes on that. I will certainly vote yes on future Chairman Garza's chairmanship.

We have bent over backwards to try to avoid conflict here. I cannot wait to vote for the
vice chair. But unfortunately, this is a good lesson for everyone watching how this Commission, unfortunately, can frequently devolve into something that reminded me of when $I$ was in high school.

So I will -- I want to emphasize how
enthusiastic $I$ am to vote for these two designations despite all the other votes that might happen. That's all.

MR. MORALES: Anybody else? Vote on the agenda. All those in favor, aye?
(Chorus of aye.)
MR. MORALES: All those opposed?
(Chorus of nay.)
MR. MORALES: Okay. Call the question. Commissioner Adams, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: No, no.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Garza, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER GARZA: I'm sorry.
MR. MORALES: On approving the agenda.
COMMISSIONER GARZA: Yes.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Gilchrist, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: No.
MR. MORALES: Gilchrist votes no.

Commissioner Heriot, how do you vote?
COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Jones, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER JONES: Yes.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Magpantay, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: Yes.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Nourse, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: Yes.
MR. MORALES: No agenda is -- the agenda fails. There is no agenda. There is nothing left to discuss at this meeting.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Move to adjourn.
MR. MORALES: Before we adjourn, I would like to make a comment.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: It is not debatable. It is not a debatable motion.

MR. MORALES: Is that correct?
COMMISSIONER GARZA: I have a point of order.

MR. MORALES: Point of order.
COMMISSIONER GARZA: I am under the belief that since this agenda was duly notified to the public that this is duplicative that we vote it down. You know, I would like an opinion from legal counsel on whether or not this has been appropriately done.

MR. GANZ: Just for the record, I am David Ganz. I am the general counsel here at the Commission. I understand it is the past practice of USCCR to vote for agendas. However, it is not consistent with the regulation that provides that the agenda is set by the chair and the staff director. It does not do that. So it is simply a past practice that has been done here, but it is not consistent with our regulations.

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: I move to vitiate the vote.

COMMISSIONER GARZA: Second.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Nourse, I'm sorry. I couldn't hear what you said.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
COMMISSIONER NOURSE: I withdraw.
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: There is a motion to adjourn that was seconded. Now that we have a ruling from the general counsel --

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: A motion to adjourn
is in order now.
MR. MORALES: The motion to adjourn on the Robert's Rules is not debatable.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: So let's vote.
MR. MORALES: Nevertheless, it needs a majority vote in order to adjourn.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: So let's vote.
MR. MORALES: How do you vote to adjourn? Hold on. Let me get my -- Commissioner Adams, how do you vote --

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yes.
MR. MORALES: -- to adjourn?
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yes.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Garza, how do you vote to adjourn?

COMMISSIONER GARZA: No.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Gilchrist, how do you vote to adjourn?

COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Yes.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Heriot, how do you vote to adjourn?

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Jones, how do you vote to adjourn?

COMMISSIONER JONES: No.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote to adjourn?

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Magpantay, how do you vote to adjourn?

COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: No.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Nourse, how do you vote to adjourn?

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: No.
II. BUSINESS MEETING

DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON PRESIDENTIAL DESIGNATION OF COMMISSIONER ROCHELLE MERCEDES GARZA AS CHAIRPERSON AND VICTORIA FRANCES NOURSE AS VICE CHAIR OF THE
U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

MR. MORALES: The motion fails. We are still in a meeting. So with that in mind, I would say we should turn to a discussion and a vote on the designation of Rochelle Mercedes Garza to serve as the chair and Victoria Frances Nourse to serve as the vice chair for the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights pursuant to the request of President Joseph Biden.

COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: So moved.
MR. MORALES: I will proceed to open the floor for discussion. Any debate?

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: So moved.
COMMISSIONER GARZA: I would like to make a few comments for the record.

MR. MORALES: Please
COMMISSIONER GARZA: So I want to just start off by saying that it is an honor of a lifetime to be President Biden's nominee for chair of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. It is also an honor to serve the American public alongside my fellow commissioners, who have incredible life journeys of their own that represent the American spirit of progress, resilience and determination.

As someone who has dedicated my life to advocating for justice and equality, I take the responsibility we have been tasked with to heart. And I want to reflect on my personal -- on what the personal significance of this nomination means for me and for my family.

My family is from deep south Texas, on the border with Mexico. On my father's side, my grandmother married as a teenager. She bore 13 children and worked the land picking cotton, okra and tomatoes. She would give birth at home with the help of my great grandmother, a midwife. She would rest for a few days and then continue working the land and
making food for the family.
She often told me especially during my
teen years and even after I graduated from college to continue my education, to not get married, to keep working towards the life that I wanted. And I don't believe for a second that my grandmother regretted her life, as hard as it was. She just didn't have the choices or opportunities that she fought so hard to have for her children, grandchildren and every generation after her.

And as I sit here today, I am reminded of the responsibility that I carry for my 13-month-old daughter and for every generation that follows her. My hope for this Commission is that together we can continue the legacy of bipartisan progress that has been made possible by the resilience of many generations of Americans before us.

I sought President Biden's nomination. And I promise to work tirelessly alongside my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to ensure that the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights remains a powerful force for change and progress in our country.

MR. MORALES: Thank you. Commissioner Nourse, do you have a comment?

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: Thank you so much.

And I am so delighted to be Commissioner Garza's veep, having worked for a veep. She inspires me every day. I have had a life, you know, that spans far more than hers. I am old enough so that when I was born in Florida, not only could my mother -- she would have to apply to sit on a jury, and yet her daughter became counsel to the Vice President of the United States.

A lot has changed. And I know, and I have worked in the past across the aisle to do things that sometimes my liberal colleagues don't like. But I am delighted to be here. And I know that with Commissioner Garza's tremendous story and with Commissioner Jones and Magpantay, that we will come to agreement on the nature of civil rights. We will forge forward.

And I know that the folks in the White House are watching. There is a reason they asked me to be here. The President has spent many years thinking, oh, man -- you know how he talks -- I didn't do enough during the '60s. He goes to Selma every year. So I know we can get this done. And we just have to follow regular order. And good fences make great neighbors. Thank you.

MR. MORALES: Any further discussion? I call the question.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: There was. We just can't turn our mics on.

MR. MORALES: Go ahead.
COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Mr. Chairman, I just want to first of all welcome our four new commissioners and the nominees for both vice chair and chair.

Let me just say for the record that I have had the pleasure of talking to all of you. And in no way have I sensed any nefarious attempt on your part to stifle the business of the American people to the Civil Rights Commission.

I want to echo my colleagues concerns about the issues we have brought to this discussion today regarding 30/30/10 and the Crime Victims Report. You know, both of these things were negotiated -well, at least the Crime Victims Report was negotiated in good faith -- I had the pleasure of being on the negotiating team during that time -- all but to be very disappointed at the eleventh hour that we operated in good faith and then did not receive the same type of consideration for our proposal. And so I think my colleagues are fair in their assessment of what occurred and more specifically what we think should occur going forward.

I would also echo my colleagues regarding the nominees for chair and vice chair. I don't think anybody on our side wants to delay or deny that. But we also want to make sure that in good faith, and I know we are going to use that term a lot, in good faith, that we come together, and we find ways to make sure that we are working good faith. And the presumptive chair, I want to thank you for, you know, your willingness to acknowledge that.

Unfortunately today, I am somewhat disappointed that we did not have an opportunity to amend our agenda to include those two items going forward. So I wanted to make those comments, and I will defer to my colleague to my left.

MR. MORALES: Please proceed.
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: I want to echo what Commissioner Gilchrist said. The question right now on the table is, $I$ believe, the nomination, is that correct, parliamentary procedure?

MR. MORALES: Yes.
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: We will have a chair in short order, but the issue that we are facing right now is one that is supported by enormous poll majorities to examine how minority communities around the country are being victimized by the increasing
crime. Now who is responsible, but are we collecting the right data? Is it disproportionately affecting minorities?

This is not some sort of bizarre quest to pin blame for any crime increase. So let's be clear about that. I know some of you haven't had time to read the materials. So this is not this bipolar or binary fight between law and order versus civil rights.

We carefully crafted a proposal. The staff did, too, by the way. What we are arguing about is staff work right now. Not Christian Adams's work. It is staff work, whether that becomes a discovery plan.

So we have attempted to bend over backwards today with procedural motion after procedural motion to avoid a vote because nobody wants to vote no. We all want to vote yes. And we are hung up over a discovery plan and a right of the commissioners to voice an opinion. Just so the record reflects that for all of those watching.

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: Well, for all of those watching, crime has gone down. This motion was a year ago. We had a pandemic. And we have an entire Justice Department that investigates crime. My intern
is now the Deputy Attorney General. She has a Crime Commission. I need the time, Commissioner Adams, to look at that discovery plan given the change of events.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Well, that would infer that you are going to be against voting on the discovery plan in the future.

COMMISSIONER GARZA: No. If I may
interject, I just want to be very, very clear, and this has been communicated to Commissioner Kirsanow. I communicated this with Commissioner Gilchrist. I have made it very clear, we are not here to undo anything that the Commission has already decided upon.

They decided upon a concept paper and what was missing was a discovery plan. To my knowledge, okay, as someone who has only been on this Commission for about a month, I do not know all the things I need to know. You don't know what you don't know. And I think that it is unfair to hold this vote hostage just so you can get what you want.

And I want to make that very clear. We are happy to work with you. I am not here to obstruct the work of this Commission. But by not voting for a chair and vice chair at this point in time, it is obstruction.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Why couldn't we move on a discovery plan without a chair?

MR. MORALES: Your mic, please.
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: How would it be obstruction? We could still work on a discovery plan without a chair. There is nothing that this Commission is limited in doing by not having a chair unless I'm missing something.

MR. MORALES: But you can't vote on a discovery plan without it --

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: With it being on the agenda.

MR. MORALES: And without the commissioners. But it wasn't on the agenda.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Because you chose not to put it on.

MR. MORALES: No. Because it wasn't submitted.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yes. You could have put it on of your own volition.

MR. MORALES: But it wasn't submitted.
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Well, put it on the next one then.

MR. MORALES: It wasn't submitted.
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Put it on the next
one then. Motion to table the motion that is on the table right now. Motion to table the nominations. COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Second. COMMISSIONER ADAMS: It's debatable. MR. MORALES: You've got to have a majority. Do you want to take a vote? COMMISSIONER ADAMS: No. It's debatable. COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It's debatable, yes. COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: We made a motion. MR. MORALES: Then debate it.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Recognized?
MR. MORALES: Recognized.
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Okay. We're doing
everything procedurally possible to get a confirmation. Now, somebody said, I'm not here to oppose what the Commission has already done. Others have voiced, well, the discovery plan is out of date. All these are ominous warnings for our ability to do the discovery plan.

So I would move to table the nominations until we have time to sort this out in a collegial bipartisan way, and there is no doubt that we will sort this out and that the chair will be voted on and the vice chair will be voted on.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Recognized?

MR. MORALES: Please proceed, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Just getting back to what $I$ consider to be the core issue here and that is that $I$ don't see how any of us can vote on a chair or a vice chair. We have a responsibility under our statute to vote on whether or not to accept the President's nomination.

We have independent duties as individual commissioners to satisfy ourselves that the nominations are for people who will do a good job. That's why it is in statute.

I anticipate being able to do this, but my doubts are greater now than they were at the beginning of this meeting. I can't vote in good faith for any nomination for chair or vice chair unless I know that they are willing to support our basic rule that each commissioner has an opportunity to file a statement, given 30 days to write that statement, given an additional 30 days after they have had an opportunity to see other commissioners' statements to respond to that. That's how we flesh out arguments on civil rights issues.

And it is extremely important that we have commissioners who understand that these are our basic
ground rules, and this is how the Commission proceeds. You know, if you need more time, you know, we have always been happy to give more time. But that means that we have to put the vote off. I can't vote in favor of someone who isn't in favor of the 30/30/10 rule. It is as easy as that.

And I will note that the Commission usually moves much, much faster than the United States Senate in their role as confirming the President's various nominations. Our Commission works a little bit differently. We are not Senate confirmed. The chair and vice chair have to be confirmed by the Commission as a whole.

We have a duty to take that role seriously and not to have the issue rammed down our throats before we have had an opportunity to negotiate. You are conceding -- the nominees have conceded that they don't feel they are ready to negotiate on that issue. Well, then we are not ready to vote on the nominations. It is as easy as that.

COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: May I be recognized?

MR. MORALES: I will recognize
Commissioner Nourse and then Commissioner Gilchrist.
COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Okay.

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: I defer to Commissioner Gilchrist.

MR. MORALES: Please, Commissioner Gilchrist.

COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Okay. I just wanted to ask staff director, I mean, the general counsel, if there is anything within our rules that prevent us from being able to take these nominations up through a notational vote.

MR. GANZ: Please repeat the question so I got it.

COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Is there anything in our rules that prevent us from being able to take these nominations up through a notational vote?

MR. GANZ: It is my view that the AIs aren't limited to any subject matter. So, you know, a vote for chair or vice chair could be done via a notational vote as per our policies and administrative instructions.

COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Thank you.
MR. GANZ: I would add that the AI does require, $I$ believe, it's not in front of me, but it requires that no one objects to a vote being held on a paper ballot or electronically. So there are some requirements for it, I believe. But --

COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: So it would --
MR. GANZ: -- nothing in the AI limits it.
COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: So it would
require the full Commission in favor or against those votes, is that right?

MR. GANZ: It doesn't require a unanimous vote as far as the subject matter. It is just that if someone objects to the vote being held --

COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: I one person --
MR. GANZ: -- in a notational vote.
COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: -- if one
commissioner objects, right.
MR. GANZ: In that format, yes --
COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Right, right.
Okay. Thank you.
MR. GANZ: -- versus person.
COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Thank you.
MR. GANZ: Sure.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Magpantay, you had a question?

COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: No.
MR. MORALES: I'm sorry. Any further discussion?

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: I would just like to make one note for the record with the analogy to a
concept of a confirmation. Forgive me for being very law professorial about this, but $I$ did work for the Senate Judiciary Committee, and it is generally not the practice.

And I am just stating a fact. It is not the practice of senators who are confirming judges to require substantive matters that they will be deciding. The confirmation is on the qualifications of the nominees and to advise and consent our President, President Biden, on whether you think we are qualified.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I have also worked for the Senate Judiciary Committee. And I think that the Commissioner is correct that it would not be appropriate to do that with judicial officers. But it is frequently done with executive officers.

There are understandings between members of the Senate and executive officers about how they intend to go about their work. And that is a lot more analogous to what we are doing here. And, again, there is a reason this is in the statute.

The statute does not say that commissioners must vote yes. They need not vote yes. There wouldn't be any point in putting the confirmation in the statute if Congress did not fully
intend that we indeed work hard to make sure that the Commission will be run properly.

And it is part of what makes a nominee qualified to be chair or vice chair that they are in fact willing to make sure that this bipartisan Commission will have in its reports the issues fully fleshed out and that each commissioner get the opportunity to state their case about how the issues should be resolved. This is fundamental to who we are.

COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: May I speak?
MR. MORALES: Yes, please.
COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: I would like to make an amended motion to Commissioner Adams's motion to defer to also defer to a notational vote to allow the Commission as a whole to work on some of the concerns regarding the two issues that some of the commissioners have indicated they need more time to consider and to move that we vote in a notational manner regarding the chair and vice chair going forward.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: I view that as a friendly amendment to my motion to table. And I would therefore rewrite my motion to table to include the idea of resolving this through a notational vote
through what I am sure will be a unanimous vote if it were a notational vote.

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: Could I have a parliamentary inquiry? Given the fact that, and this really is a question of procedure. So a notational vote allows any individual to bar -- you know, you can say it requires unanimity.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: It does.
COMMISSIONER NOURSE: So the question for me is whether you are actually re-writing the statute, which is to say it requires the concurrence of the majority, the word majority is there. If you change it to a notational vote, have you changed it to a single negative vote?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: And being the author of the amendment, both the original motion supporter, I don't have an answer for you. I don't know.

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: All right.
COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No, under the rules, any commissioner can object to a notational vote so that it can't be taken as a notational vote. But that would at most delay it until the next meeting. I don't think anybody wants to object to the notational vote. I'm sure nobody wants to object to that. Whether or not -- are you saying you do want to object

```
to a notational --
```

COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: I'm putting my hand up, when you're done.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay, okay. I'm sorry. We all want to get this done, but we also want to make sure that our two requirements for a yes vote are -- you know, we get that. We believe that these are more than fair and are frankly quite shocked that this has become the least bit controversial. But, okay.

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: I still don't have an answer to the question about whether you changed the statute. The statute uses the word majority.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No, no. No, it would not change the statute and that what you object to is not whether or not to confirm but whether or not to hold a notational vote. So it would mean the vote hasn't yet been taken. That's all.

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: I really don't quite understand this. It seems like a lot of procedural -you know, there is a possibility, given what the general counsel said, that any single commissioner can then say we don't do a notational vote. So that changes the voting rules that should apply --

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It just delays.

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: -- under the statute.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It just delays, that's all. And I'm quite willing to say I am not going to object to a notational vote.

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: Well, all of the commissioners would have to agree on the record that you wouldn't object to a notational vote, otherwise there is the possibility that we would have one person who would in effect negate the President's, your President's nominations.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No, it would just delay it, that's all because it's not a vote on the actual matter. I am sure you can get everybody, at least everybody on this side of the aisle, to say --

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: Well, I would like to hear it on the record.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I will not be objecting to a notational vote.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow doesn't object to taking a notational vote.

COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Gilchrist
certainly does not object.
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: It's my motion.
COMMISSIONER MAPANTAY: Chair, Glenn

Magpantay. So, I'm sorry, I think to echo Commissioner Adams's point, I actually am very interested in talking about the two proposals. And I have questions. Actually, $I$ need just more time to study it. I don't know everything. I do have some ideas. So I am very much looking forward to voting on these two issues.

So I want to echo that and say that my only concern with the notational vote, especially on the two issues, the 30/40 rebuttal and the discovery plan is $I$ don't know if we have an opportunity to discussion. And I just have some questions. And I don't know if $I$ have to ask questions on that research plan in a notational vote.

It just seems that if we move forward on a notational plan, there wouldn't be even any conversation on the substance of that plan. And I would actually ask for some conversation, you know, and just try to understand it better. And the 20/40 dissenting -- which $I$ very much support a dissenting opinion.

I was on the New York Advisory Committee, and we very much encouraged dissenting opinions on both sides. So I really do think that it is an appropriate procedural matter.

I don't understand the form of it, and I don't understand why it is a rebuttal as opposed to a dissent. And I'm not opposed to it conceptually. I just don't understand the history and why. So it's a good faith, I don't understand, and I don't think that is getting answered in a notational vote. But if you can do it, I'll listen.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That is why I wanted to amend the agenda to have a discussion of that, you know. And I feel like we are trying to get this done and get these nominations, you know, through. Then, you know, what we need to do is not vote on it today because if we reject the nominations, which is what I think we are going to have to do until these issues are resolved, that means the White House has to go through and do all of this again. And I don't see the point in throwing the ball back to the White House.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Which is why I made a motion to table, right, which is still the live motion. I'm going to withdraw the part that I accepted as a friendly amendment as a notational vote just to simplify things and make this a motion to table so we can all avoid doing what everybody has pointed out will happen.

COMMISSIONER GARZA: I just have a point
of order because you are making a motion to table the first agenda item on our agenda. And I don't believe that is appropriate under the rules.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Of course, it is. COMMISSIONER GARZA: But I could be wrong. COMMISSIONER ADAMS: It is totally -COMMISSIONER GARZA: I'm going to ask for COMMISSIONER ADAMS: -- totally acceptable.

COMMISSIONER GARZA: -- I'm going to ask for legal counsel.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: It's not a close call. You can table anything by a majority vote.

MR. MORALES: Mr. Ganz?
MR. GANZ: Robert's Rules state that a motion may be taken from the table after one item of business has been conducted.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: This is not a motion to take from the table. This is a motion to lay on the table. Those are different motions.

COMMISSIONER GARZA: This is a motion to table the first agenda item.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: But what the general counsel just cited was the motion to take off the
table, not to take off the agenda. Those are two different things. This was a motion to table Item 2A, to table, not to pick up from the table.

MR. GANZ: Yes. I only have to go by the Robert's Rules $I$ have in front of me, you know. So I'm not sure I can provide much more clarity than motions may be taken from the table after one item of business has been conducted.

COMMISSIONER GARZA: Can we take a recess?
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: My understanding is

MR. MORALES: Let's take a 10 minute recess.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 1:18 p.m. and resumed at 1:31 p.m.)

MR. MORALES: We are under a discussion on the motion to table. Any further discussion? I call the question on the motion to table. All in favor say aye.
(Chorus of aye.)
MR. MORALES: All those opposed?
(Chorus of nay.)
MR. MORALES: We'll do a division.
Commissioner Adams, how do you vote?
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yes, table.

MR. MORALES: Commissioner Garza, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER GARZA: No.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Gilchrist, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Yes, table.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Heriot, how do
you vote?
COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I vote yes.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Jones, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER JONES: I vote no.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Magpantay, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: No.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Nourse, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: No.
MR. MORALES: The motion fails. Now we are back on the motion, discussion, and now call a question on the vote to designate Rochelle Mercedes Garza to serve as the chair, Victoria Frances Nourse
to serve as the vice chair of the U.S. Commission of Civil Rights pursuant to the request of President Joseph Biden.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Divide the motion.
MR. MORALES: I call for the vote.
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Motion to divide.
COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Second.
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Well, you are very familiar with this motion, Mr. Staff Director, because we did it a lot the last two years. This is a dual motion. I'm suggesting we divide the motion as we did multiple times in recent Commission meetings to segregate the vote.

MR. MORALES: So you are proposing that we have two separate votes?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: You know full well what I am proposing because we did it over and over again in previous Commission meetings, and you backed it up.

MR. MORALES: I am asking you to explain it for the record.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: But you know, don't you?

MR. MORALES: I don't know.
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Okay.

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: Parliamentary inquiry. Commissioner Adams, you have to state what the motion is.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: All right. So I am moving that the two issues be divided. It is not debatable. In fact, we learned from Mr. Mauro and perhaps even the general counsel.

MR. MORALES: My name is not Mr. Mauro.
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Staff Director Mauro.
MR. MORALES: It is Mauro Morales. I am Staff Director Morales, please. You may not like me, but please respect me. Please respect the office.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Apologies. We
learned last year and the year before that when a motion is bundled with multiple items, for which there are here, that it is perfectly appropriate, in fact, we learned that it is not even debatable. That it must be divided upon request. Remember all that? Remember all those or should we --

MR. MORALES: I don't know what you are talking about.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Okay. There were multiple motions, in fact, in the October meeting, I believe, one occurred, where we had items related to the concept paper and the crime report appearing
together, and Commissioner Kladney was very good at the motion to divide. He usually made it. And the issue was divided. I mean, surely you remember this. MR. MORALES: Commissioners agreed to that, $I$ didn't.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: But surely you remember it happening. And it wasn't one instance. MR. MORALES: It can't be because it is one letter on the agenda.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Okay. But there are two -- so it was one item on the agenda previously that got divided. You debundled it repeatedly. COMMISSIONER NOURSE: What are you asking, Commissioner Adams?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Separate motions. COMMISSIONER NOURSE: Votes on the chair and me, is that what you are asking? There are several things on this agenda --

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Well, the question -COMMISSIONER NOURSE: -- I mean, that you have wanted to be on the agenda.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: -- before us right now, the pending question that has been called is the motion on the vote. Perhaps the general counsel could refresh everyone's recollection.

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

MR. MORALES: We will ask the general counsel to opine.

MR. GANZ: According to our Robert's Rules, a motion to divide is not debatable and requires a majority vote.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: For the record, this is the first time the motion to divide required a majority vote on this Commission. The last two years, it was just done.

MR. MORALES: You asked for a legal opinion of the general counsel.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: All right. I'm sure the practice will change eventually, but I'll withdraw the motion to divide.

MR. MORALES: Okay then. Then we call the question to vote again on the designation of Rochelle Mercedes Garza to serve as chair, Victoria Frances Nourse to serve as vice chair of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights pursuant to the request of President Joseph Biden. I will proceed to the vote. Commissioner Adams, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Under the authority of 42 U.S.C. 1975(d), I vote no.

MR. MORALES: It's a no vote. Commissioner Garza --

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: Could you clarify -parliamentary inquiry -- why under 1975(d) you are voting no?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: No, I can't. At least, $I$ choose not to.

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: I would like 1975(d) to be read into the record. Counsel, could you do that?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Or perhaps we could get the general counsel's opinion on what $1975(d)$ says because it was offered last week in writing to the commissioners.

MR. MORALES: One moment.
COMMISSIONER NOURSE: I need a better citation because there are several d's.

MR. GANZ: The president shall, with the concurrence of a majority of the Commission's members, fill a vacancy by designating a chairperson or vice chairperson among the Commission's members.

The President may, with the concurrence of a majority of the Commission members, designate a chairperson or vice chairperson as may be from the Commission's members. That is various parts.

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: That doesn't apply here. I seek further clarification from Commissioner

Adams on the precise textual language.
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Well, we had an opinion of general counsel last week that I am relying on. And we can argue about this until the cows come home, but my vote is no pursuant to the powers I have under 42 U.S.C. 1975(d).

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: D is what you are saying? Well, I think you should really -- well.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: I have it front of me, it's D, delta.

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: Could you read that into the record?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: No. We are taking a vote. That would be out of order.

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: The public is not well served by this.

MR. MORALES: So your vote is no. Okay. Moving along, Commissioner Garza, how do you vote? COMMISSIONER GARZA: Yes.

MR. MORALES: Commissioner Gilchrist, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Before I cast my vote, I want to just say to my colleagues how disappointed I am that we have not been able to, in my opinion, handle some various simplified business here
today, particularly with regard to some of the issues that my colleagues on the other side have brought up regarding 30/30/10 and the Crime Victims Report.

COMMISSIONER GARZA: Point of order. I am sorry to interrupt you. I think we are just in the middle of a vote, and we should just vote.

COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Okay. Well --
COMMISSIONER GARZA: I think we had enough
time.
COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: I vote no.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Heriot, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I cannot in good
faith vote for anyone who cannot commit to support our policy of allowing each commissioner to file a dissent with each --

MR. MORALES: Just a vote. We are just asking for a yes or no vote. COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Nope, nope, nope. MR. MORALES: Nope, nope, nope is not a vote. That means no?

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Staff
Director, under what authority are you directing Commissioner Heriot to vote?

MR. MORALES: Because we are asking for
the vote, yes or no.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: -- staff director, not the Commission director.

MR. MORALES: Then go ahead and speak all you want.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: In any event, I vote no. I am shocked that this has come to this, but I do vote no.

MR. MORALES: Commissioner Jones, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER JONES: I vote yea.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Well, what do we -- no.

MR. MORALES: Commissioner Magpantay, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: I vote yea.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Nourse, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: Yes.
MR. MORALES: The motion fails, 4-4. Should we attempt to pass the change of the date for commissioners?

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

```
COMMISSIONER GARZA: I will move that -DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON CHANGING FRIDAY, MAY 19 USCCR BUSINESS MEETING TO THURSDAY MAY 18
```

MR. MORALES: Yeah, okay. Yeah, so we would like to have a discussion and vote on changing the Friday, May 19, USCCR business meeting to Thursday, May 18, 2023.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Second.
MR. MORALES: Any discussion?
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yes.
MR. MORALES: Please proceed.
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: This is an example of how the Republican members of this caucus have voted to be accommodating, to be respectful, to move the ball to vote for reports over and over and over again. Over and over again. So I -- I have no more discussion on that.

COMMISSIONER JONES: Staff director.
MR. MORALES: Yes, Commissioner Jones?
COMMISSIONER JONES: Yes. I would like to express my deep gratitude for the indulgences of my Republican colleagues on this Commission and to reiterate my excitement about working through the issues that have been identified today that have not yet been resolved. Thank you.

MR. MORALES: Any further discussion?
Hearing none, I will proceed to the vote. Do we want to do this -- do you want to divide or do you want to just have it a voice vote?

COMMISSIONER GARZA: Let's divide.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Adams, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Microphone, I can't -- I vote yes.

MR. MORALES: Commissioner Garza, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER GARZA: Yes.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Gilchrist, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Yes.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Heriot, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I vote yes.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Jones, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER JONES: Yes.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Magpantay, how

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.
do you vote?
COMMISSIONER MAGPANTAY: Yea.
MR. MORALES: Commissioner Nourse, how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER NOURSE: Yea.
MR. MORALES: Thank you. The motion
passes. The business meeting has been changed to Thursday, May 18. We'll have to circle back and find out what time works best for all commissioners. We can do it at noon again or earlier if that works out. We are open to whatever works best for commissioners so with that --

COMMISSIONER GARZA: Motion to adjourn.
COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Second.
MR. MORALES: All in favor of adjourning say aye.
(Chorus of aye.)
MR. MORALES: All those opposed?
The meeting is adjourned.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 1:42 p.m.)
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