U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

+ + + + +

BUSINESS MEETING

+ + + + +

FRIDAY, AUGUST 19,

2022+ + + + +

UNEDITED

The Commission convened at the National Press Club, 529 14th Street, NW, Washington, D.C., at 10:00 a.m., Norma V. Cantu, Chair, presiding. PRESENT:

NORMA V. CANTU, Chair

J. CHRISTIAN ADAMS, Commissioner

DEBO P. ADEGBILE, Commissioner*

STEPHEN GILCHRIST, Commissioner

GAIL HERIOT, Commissioner

PETER N. KIRSANOW, Commissioner*

DAVID KLADNEY, Commissioner*

MICHAEL YAKI, Commissioner*

MAURO MORALES, Staff Director

DAVID GANZ, General Counsel, Parliamentarian*

* Present via telephone

STAFF PRESENT:

ROBERT AMARTEY

LASHONDRA BRENSON

BARBARA DE LA VIEZ

PAMELA DUNSTON, Chief, ASCD

LATRICE FOSHEE

ALFREDA GREENE

JEFF KNISHKOWY

JOHN RADCLIFFE

MICHELE RAMEY

BRIAN WALCH

MARIK XAVIER-BRIER

COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

JOSHUA DANSBY

ALEC DUELL

JASON LAGRIA

CARISSA MULDER

AMY ROYCE

RUKKU SINGLA

ALISON SOMIN

IRENA VIDULOVIC

AGENDA

I. APPROVAL OF AGENDA 5
II. BUSINESS MEETING A. Presentations by State Advisory Committee
Chairs on Released Reports and Memorandums 9 B. Discussion and Vote on Advisory Committee Appointments (withdrawn)
C. Discussion and Vote on 2023 Topics for USCCR Reports
D. Management and Operations Staff Director's Report
III. ADJOURN MEETING

PROCEEDINGS

(10:00 a.m.)

CHAIR CANTU: Good morning. Welcome to the business meeting for the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. The meeting comes to order at 10:02 a.m. Eastern Standard Time on Friday, August 19th, 2022. I am Chair Norma V. Cantu.

We thank the staff who completed the public notice needed for this meeting and arranged for today's business meeting. We thank the general public for their interest in today's meeting and in attending. Due to respect for health and safety, the Commissioners are in attendance in person and via conference call. We're hosting the general public by phone conference.

I would like to confirm the Commissioners present both in person and on the line, and we'll take a roll call vote. Please say present or aye when I say your name.

Commissioner Adams.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Present.

CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Adegbile.

COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Present.

CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Gilchrist.

COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Present.

1 CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Heriot.	
COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm here.	
CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Kirsanow.	
4 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Here.	
5 CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Kladney.	
6 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Here.	
7 CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Yaki.	
8 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.	
9 CHAIR CANTU: Based on the response,	a
quorum of the Commission is present. Is the cour	`t
11 reporter present?	
12 COURT REPORTER: Yes.	
CHAIR CANTU: Thank you. Is the Staf	f
14 Director present?	
MR. MORALES: I am present.	
CHAIR CANTU: The meeting will come to	:0
order. Before we get started, I move to withdraw	from
our agenda we're going to be talking about our	•
19 agenda.	
We have an Item 2 that looks to appoin	nting
21 members to our advisory committees. That item I	will
move to withdraw from the agenda and expect a reg	ort
from the advisory committees at our September bus	iness
24 meeting.	
II mecering.	

call a vote on that proposal?

(No audible response.)

CHAIR CANTU: Thank you. The next agenda to the meeting is, if you're looking at Item C, it calls for our taking a vote on subjects for fiscal year '23 and fiscal year '24. The change in that item will be in our agenda that we will only be discussing fiscal year 2023. Do I have a second to that change to the agenda?

COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Second, Madam Chair.

CHAIR CANTU: Thank you, Commissioner

Gilchrist. We then open up for discussion on changing
the item to only discuss today fiscal year 2023.

COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Madam Chair,

Kladney here. What are the items for 2023?

CHAIR CANTU: The items -- okay, you were going to ask me that. Let me look at my notes. There was a briefing report on -- help me out, folks. No, he asked for '24. Oh, for '23. Oh, that one is easy. For '23, we have an enforcement report on the civil rights implications of climate change, and we have a briefing report on data collected by the U.S.

Department of Justice and civil rights implications on policy and practice with regards to victims of violent

1	crimes.
2	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: That's for '23,
3	environmental
4	CHAIR CANTU: Yes, sir, enforcement and
5	(Simultaneous speaking.)
6	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Thank you.
7	CHAIR CANTU: Thank you. Any other
8	questions before calling a vote on that change to the
9	that amendment to the agenda?
10	(No audible response.)
11	CHAIR CANTU: Wonderful. I'm going to
12	call for the roll call vote. Commissioner Adams, how
13	do you vote?
14	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Aye.
15	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Adegbile.
16	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Aye.
17	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Gilchrist.
18	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Aye.
19	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Heriot.
20	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
21	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Kirsanow.
22	Commissioner Kirsanow. I'll come back to you, sir.
23	Commissioner Kladney.
24	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
25	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Yaki.

1	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
2	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Kirsanow.
3	(No audible response.)
4	CHAIR CANTU: All right. I vote aye. We
5	have seven voting aye and one absent. He'll come
6	back, maybe.
7	I. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
8	CHAIR CANTU: So, now based on that, we'll
9	proceed to vote on the agenda itself. So do I have a
10	second to my motion to approve the agenda as amended?
11	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: So moved, Madam
12	Chair.
13	CHAIR CANTU: Thank you, Commissioner
14	Gilchrist. Any discussion? We've had discussion
15	already. Okay. I'm going to call for the roll call
16	vote.
17	Commissioner Adams.
18	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Aye.
19	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Adegbile.
20	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Aye.
21	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Gilchrist.
22	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Aye.
23	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Heriot.
24	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
25	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Kirsanow.

1	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
2	CHAIR CANTU: Thank you. Commissioner
3	Kladney.
4	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
5	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Yaki.
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
7	CHAIR CANTU: The vote is unanimous. The
8	agenda is approved for today's meeting.
9	II. BUSINESS MEETING
10	PRESENTATIONS BY STATE ADVISORY CHAIRS ON RELEASED
11	REPORTS AND MEMORANDUMS
12	CHAIR CANTU: So we have time for our
13	first item, which is going to be, our first order of
14	business is going to be a presentation by the Kentucky
15	State Advisory Committee. The Committee Chair, Ms.
16	Enid Trucios-Haynes, will present the Committee's
17	Advisory Report on Bail Reform. The report is called,
18	"Locked Up for Being Poor: The Need for Bail Reform in
19	Kentucky."
20	Chair Trucios-Haynes, you've got ten
21	minutes. And then, afterwards, we'll have time for
22	questions from our Commissioners.
23	You've got the floor.
24	MS. TRUCIOS-HAYNES: Thank you, Chair
25	Cantu and other members of the Commission, for the

opportunity to share our key findings and recommendations. The Kentucky Committee held hearings about bail reform to understand the variations in pretrial release in different courts, judicial districts, and before different judges throughout the state. The committee requested information about whether the 2013 risk assessment formula adopted by the legislature achieved the goal of reducing the use of cash bail in favor of pretrial release. This culminated in our report, "Locked Up for Being Poor: The Need for Bail Reform in Kentucky," which was released in November 2021.

The testimony established that the failure of the 2013 risk assessment formula called the Public Safety Assessment -- that it failed to enhance public safety. As well, we heard about the extraordinary consequences of unnecessary pretrial detention on the people who are detained, their family, and their communities, our communities in Kentucky.

The committee made ten findings and fixed recommendations, concluding that the Kentucky legislature should eliminate cash bail, except when there is clear and convincing evidence that an individual poses a risk to public safety or a risk of nonappearance at future court hearings.

The most significant findings of the committee include, first, that Kentucky has a high number of incarcerated people. Between 2008 and 2018, Kentucky ranked tenth in the United States for the rate of people incarcerated per capita. By the end of 2018, at a time when prison populations were declining across most of the U.S., the number of people imprisoned in Kentucky had increased three years in a row. And at that time, the growing prison population led to nearly 73 percent of Kentucky jails being at or overcapacity.

A significant percentage of innocent

Kentuckians remain in jail simply because they cannot

afford to pay cash bail, even when it is under \$250.

In addition, poverty and the inability to pay bail

disproportionately harms people of color, economically

disadvantaged communities, and people in our state

with substance use and mental health challenges.

These communities experience higher rates of pretrial

detention because of increased contacts with the

criminal legal system due to racial inequities,

poverty, and other challenges.

Second, pretrial release varies significantly for similarly-situated defendants across Kentucky. A 2019 Lexington Herald-Leader news report

on bail data found that the likelihood of a defendant being ordered to pay cash bail varies widely from county to county. For example, in 2019, the percentage of cases in which defendants were released before trial without monetary conditions ranged from 5 percent in one county to 68 percent in another county. So the likelihood that a lower-risk is unnecessarily detained due to their inability to pay cash bail varies significantly based on their location in the state.

The Kentucky Supreme Court Chief Justice confirmed this in his 2020 testimony before our committee and has mentioned this in speeches, other speeches in the state. He noted that the current bail system disproportionately affects low-income defendants who aren't able to pay for release after being charged with low-level nonviolent offenses.

Third, the Kentucky legislature's 2013

pretrial assessment formula called the Public Safety

Assessment was adopted to reduce the use of cash bail

and release more people while they were awaiting their

trials. The PSA has not achieved the intended goal of

reduced pretrial detention, according to a

comprehensive empirical study published in 2018. The

study found only a trivial increase in pretrial

release. According to the study, the low number of releases was due in part to discretionary judgments by judges who ignored the presumptive default of non-monetary release in more than two-thirds of the cases. This lack of trust in the pretrial assessment leads to unnecessary detention, and this was confirmed by several witnesses before our committee.

As a result, prosecutors, jailers, and judges do not trust the low-risk scores in individual cases. Cash bail then is unnecessarily imposed in cases in which there is a low to moderate risk of nonappearance at future court dates or threat to public safety. Further, the pretrial risk assessment does not include a person's ability to pay bail, although legislative proposals to include this have been considered.

Fourth, in addition, jailers, judges, and prosecutors have a high level of discretion in individual cases having a low risk or a moderate risk score. Release and the decision to follow the low-risk course varies widely by county. This variation allows greater potential of discriminatory decision-making and a meaningful appeals process is needed to ensure fair decision-making throughout Kentucky.

Fifth, pretrial detention can have

lifelong negative impacts on a person. People are more likely to plead guilty to get released from detention quickly and often do not have a lawyer to advise them about the consequences of a guilty plea. And, obviously, a guilty plea limits a person's access to jobs, housing, banking, and other community resources. Pretrial detention also causes significant harm and trauma to families. Detention causes poverty, creating it or exacerbating it. People in pretrial detention lose their jobs and housing. Detention harms children. We learned that 15 percent of Kentucky's children experience this harm, which was four times the national average, and that 70 percent of women and 55 percent of men incarcerated in Kentucky have children. Finally, pretrial detention reduces a person's ability to work with their lawyer on their own legal defense.

Seven, alternative pretrial release
measures, such as electronic monitoring, drug testing,
and supervision also varies widely across Kentucky
counties. These measures can be as harmful as cash
bail to people, their families, and our community.
Electronic monitoring, for example, effectively
imposes cash bail because the cost of the monitoring
system is shifted to the individual. Further, the

lack of clear standards about when to impose these alternatives and about the factors determining when violations occur can result in disparate treatment.

And, eighth, increased pretrial releases are not a threat to public safety. The experience from Kentucky's COVID-19 emergency automatic release policy, which limited discretion in pretrial detention decisions, demonstrates that releasing more people before trial does not compromise public safety. In April 2020, the Kentucky Supreme Court issued an order to expand the group's eligible for release without bail and to require the automatic release of people arrested for specific low-level crimes, including some Class D felonies. Although more than double the amount of people were released in 2020 compared to 2019, the data shows that the percentage of people who were re-arrested in 2020 did not meaningfully increase.

Our recommendations for action included that the Kentucky legislature should eliminate cash bail, except when there's clear and convincing evidence that there's a threat to public safety or a risk of nonappearance.

Second, that specific efforts must be made to ensure that those charged with crimes have pretrial

legal representation at all court appearances to protect their rights.

Third, other no-cost options to pretrial detention are needed. However, in addition, there need to be clear standards to impose these no-cost options in order to ensure equal and consistent treatment across Kentucky and more emphasis and resources are needed for alternatives, such as treatment for substance use and mental health challenges.

Four, reforms are needed to ensure that pretrial detention does not influence a person's decision to enter a guilty plea.

Five, to ensure equal treatment, clear standards are needed for any determination that a person has violated pretrial release conditions.

And, finally, six, more research is needed on discrimination disparities and outcomes because of the Committee's concerns about the overall impact of Kentucky's risk assessment tool, the administration of pretrial services, charging decisions, and case processing. Research is needed on pretrial release rates across counties and judicial districts across the state and research about the cash bail amounts and the extent to which higher bail is set for people of

color and other disadvantaged communities, as well as 1 2 research on the use of alternative pretrial orders and conditions, particularly electronic monitoring. 3 4 And, finally, we recommend that there's 5 research on the long-term physical-psychological 6 relationship and economic impacts on individuals, 7 their families, and our communities. 8 We recommended further that all of this 9 research should include in-depth peer-reviewed studies 10 and should be conducted in cooperation with the Office of Pretrial Services of the Administrative Office of 11 12 the Courts of Kentucky. 13 This is the end of my presentation, and I'm happy to answer any questions that anyone might 14 15 have. CHAIR CANTU: Thank you, Chair. That was 16 17 an excellent presentation. The Commission has 18 undertaken a thoughtful and a sensitive process, and 19 we have been listening and reading your reports. 20 I'm going to use this period now to allow our 21 Commissioners to ask questions and interact with you, Madam Chair. 22 23 Do any of the Commissioners have any 24 questions to ask? 25 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Chair Cantu, this

is Commissioner Kladney. I'd like to ask a question 1 2 or two. CHAIR CANTU: Yes. Please proceed. 3 4 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Chair Haynes, thank you very much for the complete report. I find it 5 6 fascinating. Especially -- I think I heard you say 7 one jurisdiction uses the pretrial assessment tool and 8 releases -- or releases -- they may not use it; they 9 release 68 percent of their defendants to pretrial 10 supervision, and another county releases 2 percent. 11 Is that correct? Did you say that? 12 MS. TRUCIOS-HAYNES: Yes. The range was 13 between 5 percent in one county and 68 percent in another county. And that could be using the PSA, the 14 15 Public Safety Assessment, or it might not be, because 16 many judges reject the scores that are provided by the 17 PSA. 18 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Right. So have 19 there been any studies regarding re-arrest rates 20 comparing the 68-percent county with the 5-percent 21 county or some lower released amount, significantly 22 released amount counting? 23 MS. TRUCIOS-HAYNES: I don't have that 24 information, but I can tell you the re-arrest data 25 comparing 2020 when the Kentucky Supreme Court order

was in place, which required automatic release, the re-arrest rate in 2020 was 89 percent -- oh, wait, let me say that in reverse. Eighty-nine percent of the people were not re-arrested. In 2019, in comparison, the year before when the system was in place, 92 percent were not re-arrested. So there was a 3-percent difference in terms of re-arrests during the time period when there was the required automatic release.

able to find the sweet spot on the judges' discretion on setting bail, balancing public safety interest; that is, people who are dangerous to the community or serial FTAs with their liberty interest? Were you able to figure that out or, you know, where the judge should override the PSA, what would constitute a serial FTA person or a dangerous person to the community?

MS. TRUCIOS-HAYNES: Thank you for that question. Well, what we heard from several witnesses related to that is about the system. So judges are elected in Kentucky, and many judges feel pressure from their elected representatives in their voting districts and worry about, of course, releasing someone where there might be a re-arrest for a serious

crime, and that the effect of the great discretion
that judges have, that that can result in a greater
likelihood of imposing cash bail. Judges often assert
that they need the discretion because they are most
familiar with their communities and may have the
ability, therefore, to really assess the situation on
the ground.

We did hear from one representative of the legislature who had proposed several bills in the Kentucky legislature that, in smaller rural communities, what can happen is that those who have connections to the criminal legal system either through their family members or otherwise might get released, where someone who can't afford to pay their cash bail as low as an amount of \$250 are not. And one thing to think about when you ask about re-arrest rates and failure to appear, that we're comparing those who cannot pay their cash bail to those who might be higher risk and likely are higher risk but are able to pay their cash bail, so there's a higher risk of not appearing at their future court dates or maybe a higher risk to public safety according to the PSA, but they are able to leave detention because they can afford to pay the cash bail. I hope that --

COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Just a couple more

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

questions. What's the cost difference between keeping 1 2 someone incarcerated and pretrial supervision in Kentucky? 3 4 MS. TRUCIOS-HAYNES: We did have -- there was a news report about that, because there's a 5 6 significant overcrowding in the jails, and I'm trying 7 to remember the exact number. But it was costly, and 8 I'm sorry I don't have that at my fingertips right now 9 to share with you, but I can get that information back 10 to you. COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: And, finally --11 12 MS. TRUCIOS-HAYNES: Okay. I have that to 13 answer that question. So, Kentuckians -- this was from 2016, so it's a little bit outdated. So, people 14 15 who were assessed as likely to come back to court and 16 unlikely to be arrested who were held pretrial in 2016 17 were held for an average of 109 days at a cost of over 18 \$100 million. And that is from the Director Spalding 19 of the Kentucky Research Director for the Kentucky Center for Economic Policy. 20 21 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: And I take it that 22 pretrial supervision would be significantly less. 23 think you said 2 to 10 percent of that cost? 24 MS. TRUCIOS-HAYNES: I'm not sure, but it 25 is a lot.

COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Okay. And,

finally, you seem to think -- or the committee seems

to have thought -- not you, the committee seemed to

have thought that pretrial supervision with electronic

bracelets was a degrading thing for people who were

released with them. So is your proposal to keep those

people incarcerated at that point or how -- because

they must come in higher on a PSA, must be rated as

more likely to leave or do something untoward.

MS. TRUCIOS-HAYNES: What the committee found is that people who have low-to-moderate risk on the PSA are still being given high cash bail amounts, right? So they are remaining in jail despite the low or moderate risk assessment on the score. And the way the law is written, it prefers release on their own recognizance so that people should be released on their own recognizance and not necessarily involving electronic monitoring or supervision.

What we did hear from a number of witnesses is part of the challenge, especially in rural Kentucky, is that many people who wind up, who cannot pay their bail are also in poverty and also often have mental health and substance abuse challenges, and judges are hesitant to release individuals if there is not a slot for them to get

into some kind of treatment.

So that is one of the challenges that judges are facing in their own communities. However, our general recommendation is that people should be released on their own recognizance and our concern about electronic monitoring is that it is the defendant, while awaiting trial, who is required to pay for the cost of electronic monitoring, which can be quite high, and effectively results in imposing a form of cash bail.

COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Right. So shouldn't the government pick that up in light of the money that they're saving by not having the person in jail?

MS. TRUCIOS-HAYNES: Ideally, that would be the case. And there are different opinions. We heard testimony at the committee from a member of the legislature who believes there was sufficient treatment facilities that are available throughout the state. But we are a state that has rural counties, and it's a little bit more difficult for transportation and other challenges that exist in providing treatment services.

COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Thank you very much, Chair Haynes, and please thank the committee for

the excellent report. And, hopefully, I didn't take up too much time. Thank you.

MS. TRUCIOS-HAYNES: Thank you.

CHAIR CANTU: Thank you, Commissioner

Kladney and that was valuable additional information
through that Q&A. Do we have other Commissioners who
would like to pose a question to our Chair, to Chair
Haynes? Yes, Commissioner Adams.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Thank you, Madam
Chair. Chair Haynes, my question focuses on your
suggestion that the standard be changed to clear and
convincing evidence of risk to public safety or risk
of flight. My question relates to the clear and
convincing part of that. What is the status quo
standard?

MS. TRUCIOS-HAYNES: The status quo standard is preponderance of the evidence generally. And because of the discretion that judges have, there's tremendous, there's a wide variety of decision-making, which is what was established through the report.

One of the challenges and concerns that
we've heard in testimony before the committee was
about the lack of an effective appeals process for the
decisions that are made for bail decisions and whether

or not there's reliance on the Public Safety 1 2 Assessment. COMMISSIONER ADAMS: So is preponderance 3 4 of the evidence, is that the same as more likely than 5 not? 6 MS. TRUCIOS-HAYNES: Yes, yes, generally. 7 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: All right. Thanks. 8 CHAIR CANTU: Thank you. Would any other 9 Commissioner would like to ask a question of Chair 10 Haynes? 11 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes, this is 12 Commissioner Yaki. 13 CHAIR CANTU: Yes. Please proceed. COMMISSIONER YAKI: Chair Haynes, thank 14 15 you very much for the report. Just a quick question. 16 When a judge decides to essentially overrule or ignore 17 the PSA, do they have to give reasons on the record 18 for doing so? 19 MS. TRUCIOS-HAYNES: No, no. discretion of the judge is broad, and I will say it's 20 21 judges' decisions on bail and sometimes prosecutors 22 are involved in discussing, of course, whether a 23 person is truly low risk or moderate risk. And, often, judges will rely, especially in some of the 24 25 smaller districts, on their knowledge of the community

and perhaps of the individual in smaller communities.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: But they have no, but there's no requirement that they state on the record why they are rejecting the PSA? I say that simply because moving the standard may not be sufficient. I think part of any standard and whether or not you can actually have an appealable right for that standard would depend on the record; and, if the record has absolutely zero in it, other than the judge simply saying I'm going to ignore this and impose blah, blah, blah, blah, I think it creates issues.

MS. TRUCIOS-HAYNES: Absolutely. And there is no requirement, and the risk assessment is conducted by a pretrial services officer after someone is arrested and booked into the jail and based on the interview of the individual, and then that PSA score is presented to the judge during the bail hearing. But there is no requirement, to your question, of stating this on the record why the PSA has been rejected.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay. Thank you very much, and thank you again for the work that the committee did.

CHAIR CANTU: Thank you for that,

Commissioner Yaki. Any other Commissioners would like

to speak? May I just be clear? The state advisory committees use the same kind of jurisdiction, and you mentioned one of the constitutional issues dealing with people being held because of inability to pay.

Can you, off the top of your head, think of the constitutional aspects of this area of law that you've reported on? Is it the Fourth, the Eighth, the Fifth, the Fourteenth, or all of them?

MS. TRUCIOS-HAYNES: Well, a bit of all of it, including the Sixth, because people are not represented at their bail hearings and arraignments and because, in part, the Department of Public Advocacy is not fully staffed, so people are pleading guilty in order to get out of jail quickly, rather than wait until a public defender is appointed to them. So that's one of the concerns.

We're also concerned about the disparate impacts on different communities in Kentucky, as well, and the violation of the right to bail, which is in the Kentucky Constitution, as well as the Federal Constitution.

CHAIR CANTU: Thank you.

MS. TRUCIOS-HAYNES: There's a presumption that liberty should exist for those pretrial.

CHAIR CANTU: Thank you for making that

clear for me. Any other questions from the Commissioners?

(No audible response.)

CHAIR CANTU: Well, thank you, Chair
Haynes. We're going to move, now that there are no
further questions, we're going to move to the next
item of the agenda. And I really do appreciate and we
all appreciate your service and leadership as Chair of
the Kentucky State Advisory Committee, and we
appreciate you taking the time to speak with us today.
If you'd like to stay on the line, you can hear the
rest of the meeting, or, otherwise, please have a
wonderful day and go with our thanks. Thank you,
Chair.

MS. TRUCIOS-HAYNES: Well, thank you very much. Thank you very much, and I appreciate all of your time.

C. DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON 2023 TOPICS FOR USCCR

REPORTS

CHAIR CANTU: Thank you. The next item, the second item on today's admitted agenda, is a discussion and vote on fiscal year '23. In line with our role as the USCCR, we have a statutory obligation to Congress and to the President to collect data, to report on it, and to send recommendations and findings

to the President and to the White House and to share out that information we gather to the American public.

The purpose of our reports is to ensure civil rights across our federal government, that these rights are being enforced with equity. And so, today, we're going to be voting on fiscal year '23. I move to take up first the Statutory Enforcement Report.

This is a report that we are mandated by Congress to file, and we have one report for consideration and that is the report being the Civil Rights Implications of Climate Change in Minority Communities and Persons with Disabilities.

Would you like to say something,
Commissioner Heriot?

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'd like to make a motion.

CHAIR CANTU: Yes, please.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I move that we adopt both the climate change proposal and the crime increase proposal with these two provisos that we drop the language that says most of the witnesses will come from the Department of Justice for the crime increase report and we replace it with the prior language which said that some of the witnesses will come from the Department of Justice, and that, number two, the

second proviso, that the number of field briefings and 1 2 hearings be equal between the two proposals. 3 So, under this motion, we would adopt both 4 proposals at the same time and they would get through, 5 I think, easily. More easily, I hope so. 6 CHAIR CANTU: Thank you. Is there a 7 second to her motion, to Commissioner Heriot's motion? 8 COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Second, Madam Chair. 9 CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Gilchrist 10 11 seconds. We open for discussion. 12 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Yaki 13 here. CHAIR CANTU: Yes, Commissioner, please 14 15 proceed. COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm a little confused 16 17 because I changed the title of the climate change report that I submitted, and I'm not sure which one it 18 19 is that you're looking at right now since I am not 20 there, number one. 21 (Simultaneous speaking.) 22 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Number two, number two 23 -- I have not yet finished. Number two, regardless of that, I move to amend the motion to defer this item 24 25 until next month.

1	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Commissioner Yaki,
2	we would be willing to accept your latest version.
3	CHAIR CANTU: That is my error. I have a
4	typo in my this is Chair Cantu. The typo should
5	reflect the August draft of Commissioner Yaki's
6	proposal.
7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Would you like to
8	withdraw your amendment? We are talking about
9	accepting the one that you want.
10	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Actually, I still move
11	to push this until the next meeting.
12	CHAIR CANTU: Is this a friendly amendment
13	to defer
14	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No, I don't
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: It's definitely not a
16	friendly amendment.
17	CHAIR CANTU: To defer to the next month,
18	you would need a second.
19	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Adegbile seconds.
20	CHAIR CANTU: We will vote first on the
21	motion to amend to the next month before proceeding
22	back to your motion, Commissioner Heriot. Any
23	discussion on deferring to the next month?
24	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Would you like me
25	CHAIR CANTU: Yes, please.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes, Chair,

Commissioner Yaki. First of all, the addition made by Commissioner Heriot, to me, is not acceptable at this point. I need to review it in its total context, number one. Number two, I would probably move to sever and vote on these individually and not as a package, which I think would be unacceptable to the other side. But it is a privileged motion and would happen as a rule of parliamentary procedure that does not require a second, nor does it require a vote.

I think that there are a couple of item
that still require further discussion on this. I
think that we've made a lot of progress in the past
few weeks, if not days, but I believe that a little
more time is going to be required to get this right.
Otherwise, I am not even voting to support, especially
in combination with the report. Thank you.

CHAIR CANTU: Thank you. Commissioner Adams.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: This is discussion on the motion. This commission has been working on proposals month after month after month after month after month after month, and I have not even counted all the months. We have an agreement, at least we thought we did, to support the Commissioner's proposal on climate

change. Yet, somehow we aren't going to do that, it seems, or we might not do that.

The four members of the Commission have given absolutely everything that has been asked.

Everything. And it's unreasonable and unfair to continue to string this out for more months. We need to get busy and do something, rather than squabble.

That's all I have on discussion on the motion.

COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Madam Chair.

CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Gilchrist.

echo Commissioner Adams' comments, as well. You know, it's a little disappointing to know that, for those of us who have worked, at your request, Madam Chair, as a committee to come up with what we think is not only a roadmap forward, but an opportunity to be able to do what this Commission has been required to do by law, all but to be here at the 11th hour to find out that now that there is some concern about the negotiations that have taken place in order to get us to a yes vote on these proposals.

So I just wanted to echo Commissioner

Adams' comments, as well, that we worked on this for

quite some time, and there is no reason why this

commission cannot see our way forward with these two

proposals. So I just wanted to add my comment, Madam Chair.

CHAIR CANTU: Thank you. Commissioner Heriot.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: This is Commissioner Yaki.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I just would like to say that, again, echoing what Commissioner Adams and Commissioner Gilchrist have said, that these negotiations have gone on a very long time, and we are required to come up with a 2023 statutory enforcement report. We have given Commissioner Yaki everything he wanted. His proposal, even though he keeps changing it and substituting new version of it, and, even so, even though it goes beyond what we had agreed to, we're still willing to do it. And I cannot imagine what the motivation would be for preventing this from going through.

I'm going to call on you, but I just want to set the record straight. I was the one who told people that each report would stand on its own merits, that the way that this report would be done would not use prior practice of bundling, that I'll vote for yours because you're voting for mine, and then the downside of the

bundling is that people did not read the whole report, did not understand what was in it, and it caused problems.

So I just want to put on the record I take it, as Chair, the responsibility to be sure that each report stands on its own merits.

Commissioner Yaki, I call on you.

much. I'm trying to find the lamentations of my colleagues to be a little interesting, given that we have been attempting to resolve this issue for months. I would note for the record that we have been proceeding along for quite some time with my proposal, which was actually my number one proposal, for a report on Asian hate crimes and the rise in anti-Asian animus and bias in this country over the past few years. That was something that had been, it was on the table, it was part of the discussion, and only last week was I told that, no, all of a sudden, that wasn't going to be in.

So the idea that a paper that I had written some months ago and where it was not a priority of mine at the time is the subject of a, quote, unquote, long negotiation is actually not true, number one.

Number two, the revised paper was only because the other, some of my colleagues made it clear they decided that they were not going to support anything on the Asian hate crime, Asian bias report, because, even though I had made changes in accommodation to some of their members, including one change that one of their members has been pushing for for quite some time, many years as a matter of fact, that they decided they were not going to support that and that they would support this other thing, in which case I decided I would take a look at it and decide if I were going to take a first draft and do something more with it. That's what I put together in good faith.

However, what I do not know and what I do not quite understand is the change that Commissioner Heriot just made on the floor to the Department of Justice report, and it's one where I believe, having not had been privy to any discussion regarding the addition of that and its impact on the structure of that report, that I am going to need some time to do it.

So I think we are close. I think we are close, but, at the same time, I think it's rather pot calling the kettle something, that the idea that

there's last-minute change by me is a little ridiculous because it is not, in fact, what we had discussed as of a couple of weeks ago. It is not where I thought that report was going with regard to what the Chair represented to me at the time.

as I'm concerned. And we could be able to reach an agreement, but it is not going to be today. And if it is going to be today, I'm going to move to sever these items, which is going to result in probably both of them going down because, as one of my colleagues knows by wanting to put them together as a vote together, it's to ensure that they both pass or they both -- otherwise, they would probably both fail.

So I would just simply say, as a matter of practicality, you know, or we could call a special meeting in between now and then if we can reach some kind of agreement. But it is not going to be today.

CHAIR CANTU: Thank you, Commissioner
Yaki. Commissioner Heriot.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I just want to say that the language change that I'm referring to for the crime increase report is simply going back to the language that was in there just a few days ago.

Somehow, someone put in an edit that took the proposal

from saying some of the witnesses will come from the Department of Justice. It got altered to say most of the witnesses will come from the Department of Justice, and I'm simply going back to the language that Commissioner Yaki would have seen in the proposal before. This is not changing the proposal; it's changing it back from a recent edit where, for some reason, somebody changed it to most, but that's changing it back to some.

CHAIR CANTU: I think I can be helpful on that. I will remove the word most. I will, however, still ask -- I will speak in favor of asking for more time because we have not received any explanations and we've kind of put in a parking lot who the witnesses will be, what kind of topics we expect, what types of witnesses we expect to see in the report dealing with Department of Justice data and civil rights implications.

So the facts are that not knowing about the field briefings, we did not know who would testify. But it doesn't matter. I can withdraw the word most, substitute the word some, and will that get us back to the agenda of being able to vote for the first vote, which is the enforcement. We have to do an enforcement by statute. It's mandatory that we

have an enforcement report. So trying to be gracious here, I will withdraw that language and substitute it with some.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It's a motion. You can't, you know --

CHAIR CANTU: I'm asking for a reply from the filer of the motion. How do you feel -- oh, I'm sorry. Commissioner Yaki, would you entertain that since it is your motion to defer until next month, or do you maintain that we do need another month?

another month because, at this point, we have given a lot with regard to this topic, which I actually think is not something that is going to be at all very productive to this commission. And if we are going to proceed with that other topic, I actually would like to rethink whether or not, you know, what I'm going to do on this.

But, Madam Chair, look, my preference has been in light of what has happened in our country and in my own community and in my own, in the area in which I live, my number one topic has been and will continue to be the issue of the rise of anti-Asian violence, animus, bias, hate in this country. It is something that was on the table until a week ago. And

as much as I also believe in the climate change report, I think that, quite frankly, if I am going to accept the report that my colleagues wish us to with great fervor to accept, I believe that for me and for my colleagues that the report would be much more acceptable as one of import to the interest that we represent and the communities that we are working to assist, that I may reconsider whether or not we turn it down then, that we engage in further negotiation on the Asian report. If not, you know, we may come back to where we are next month, we can have the vote, and go from there.

But I really do believe that being told a week ago that I had to change everything and then now other changes are occurring now is not in keeping with what we have been discussing. I am still unsure exactly what this amendment change is going to mean, Madam Chair. I know that you want to support it, but I do not. And at this point, I believe that another month is going to be required to get this to a point where both reports could be voted on.

CHAIR CANTU: I thank you for that comment, Commissioner Yaki. Our Staff Director would like to provide us some information.

MR. MORALES: Thank you, Madam Chair and

Commissioners. I'd just like to say that, on behalf of our staff at the USCCR, we support the Commissioners' priorities here. Just to put it in context, the most important thing Commissioners can provide to us as a staff are the topics that staff be working on.

Given the time constraints that the staff is facing, you know, just keep in mind that the longer we prolong this, the more tough and more difficult it gets. If we have a statutory enforcement report and we're voting on it in September, that means we have 12 months. And if we do a second report in the calendar or fiscal year, then we're looking at least two field hearings, if not more, which puts an enormous stress on the staff to pull that together.

So just keep that in mind. I would continue to urge you to work together and to find a means forward. But just to keep in mind that, you know, we're starting to get to a point where the ability to do hearings more than one per topic may be jeopardized. So thank you.

COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Madam Chair, this is Kladney here.

CHAIR CANTU: Yes. Please proceed.

COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I would just note

that, I would just note that OCRE and the GC's office had time to review these proposals and give their input, which would, I think, tremendously help, as Director Morales said, getting these reports done within the fiscal year in a timely fashion. Thank you.

CHAIR CANTU: I shared the enforcement report with OCRE staff director. Are there further comments? They did provide any comments. Are there any further?

MR. MORALES: Not beyond what was presented to us. If there are amendments to it, that would change, potentially could change the outcome.

CHAIR CANTU: Okay. So I need to make it clear I incorporated the OCRE comments into what was uploaded into the file, and I did not get anymore comments from OCRE after I uploaded.

MR. MORALES: Right. That's correct. So the comments that were provided stand.

CHAIR CANTU: Okay. So what I am trying to explain to people who are listening is that we have an enforcement report that's been uploaded, shared across all of the Commissioners, that the OCRE has been consulted, that people made an objection to a different report other than the one that I've made a

motion for, and now I'm being asked to defer for 1 2 another month. Is that where I am? 3 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Cantu, 4 this is Commissioner Yaki. You have my personal word that we'll have a vote, up or down, next month. 5 6 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Call the question. 7 CHAIR CANTU: It looks like we are at a 8 gridlock, so I am going to call a five-minute break. This is unusual. We have never done this before. 9 We've never been at a gridlock like this before. I'm 10 going to call a five-minute break because the Chair 11 12 needs to consider where we are right now. 13 So we will take a pause. If you would like to play elevator music, this is the time to do 14 15 it. (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went 16 off the record at 10:54 a.m. and resumed at 11:04 17 18 a.m.) 19 CHAIR CANTU: Thank you. We took a five-20 minute recess. We are resuming the discussion. 21 is pending before us is a vote on the statutory 22 enforcement report, which is on climate change, an 23 important topic. And we've got some motions that deal 24 with possibly a delay. 25 So, Commissioner Yaki, I'm going to call

1	back on you to finish your thought on the idea of the				
2	one-month delay. And I understand you have your				
3	personal voucher that something will happen in that				
4	month, but I want to hear some more from you.				
5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm sorry. My audio				
6	broke up. I couldn't understand a word you said.				
7	CHAIR CANTU: All right. Where we took a				
8	break was with				
9	COMMISSIONER YAKI: It's still broken up.				
10	CHAIR CANTU: Testing.				
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Still broken.				
12	CHAIR CANTU: Yes, we're having audio				
13	issues on both sides. Let me call on another				
14	Commissioner. Is there another Commissioner on line				
15	to see if your audio works?				
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, can you hear me?				
17	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Commissioner				
18	Adegbile here.				
19	CHAIR CANTU: Thank you.				
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I can hear				
21	Commissioner Adegbile.				
22	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow here.				
23	CHAIR CANTU: Thank you, Commissioner				
24	Kirsanow.				
25	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Kladney.				

CHAIR CANTU: Thank you, Commissioner 1 Kladney. Let's try you again, Commissioner Yaki. 2 COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, it wasn't me. 3 4 couldn't hear you. Now the audio seems to be 5 restored. 6 CHAIR CANTU: Terrific. Did you have 7 anything further to add? 8 COMMISSIONER YAKI: What you said seemed 9 to take a lot longer than that, so I wanted to hear 10 what it was that you actually did say. CHAIR CANTU: What I did say is that, 11 12 before we took the break, we had been discussing the 13 statutory enforcement report on climate change and that we were engaged in a conversation of whether we 14 15 had a meeting of the minds today and were prepared to vote on that or whether we did not. And you offered 16 17 an amendment to the motion, and it was not accepted as 18 a friendly amendment, but you are asking to change the 19 motion. The motion was, as I understand it, that we 20 would vote on two reports at the same time. 21 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We have a pending 22 motion --23 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, I mean --24 (Simultaneous speaking.) 25 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's got to be

voted on. 1 2 CHAIR CANTU: I understand that. So, 3 Commissioner Yaki, I'm calling on you because you've 4 intervened with a motion to put this off for our next business meeting or earlier if we can do it. 5 6 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I haven't intervened. 7 I am making a motion to delay this until the next 8 meeting or possibly sooner, if the Commission so decides, for the consideration of the FY 23 statutory 9 10 and briefing report. CHAIR CANTU: And it is the most important 11 12 thing that we do today, so does that motion have a 13 second? A motion to not vote today but to move that for a further date. 14 15 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It was already seconded --16 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Kladney will 17 18 second. 19 COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: I seconded it 20 previously, I believe. 21 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's the motion --22 CHAIR CANTU: Is that -- please don't 23 interrupt. Is that Commissioner Adegbile? 24 COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Yes, I understood 25 that earlier before the break Commissioner Yaki made

a motion to put off the vote for a month, and I 1 2 believe I seconded it. If I didn't, then I have now. 3 CHAIR CANTU: Thank you. And then, after 4 that, I believe we had conversation about the effect that that would have on the Office of Civil Rights 5 6 evaluation, which has a deadline to get this mandatory 7 report finished June 23; is that correct, Staff 8 Director? 9 MR. MORALES: A draft to Commissioners by June 23. 10 11 CHAIR CANTU: Thank you. Okay. Is there 12 any further information that people need to vote on 13 the motion to delay the vote on climate change for no longer than a month? 14 15 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm sorry. My motion 16 was not on climate change. My motion was for both the 17 statutory and the briefing report for FY 23 to be 18 delayed until next month. 19 CHAIR CANTU: Thank you for the 20 clarification. Both actions delayed. Thank you. Is 21 that what the second went to, Commissioner Adegbile? COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Yes. 22 23 CHAIR CANTU: Thank you. Is everyone 24 clear what I'm going to call a vote on? Very good. 25 Commissioner Adams, how do you vote?

1	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: No.
2	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Adegbile.
3	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Aye.
4	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Gilchrist.
5	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: No.
6	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Heriot.
7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.
8	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Kirsanow?
9	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
10	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Kladney.
11	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
12	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Yaki.
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
14	CHAIR CANTU: We have three yeses, four
15	noes, and I've not voted.
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: You didn't vote, Madam
17	Chair.
18	CHAIR CANTU: I know. We have a yes from
19	me.
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Typically, we do the
21	votes
22	CHAIR CANTU: Yes. And I am saying I am
23	voting in favor of the one-month delay. So we have
24	four noes and the motion fails because we did not get
25	a majority. So we are back to the original motion.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Motion to reconsider, 1 2 Madam Chair. 3 CHAIR CANTU: Explain what you are doing 4 with a motion to reconsider. COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Well, I was voting 5 6 with the prevailing vote, and I want to reconsider my 7 vote to a yes. 8 CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Adams changes 9 his vote from no to yes, leading us to five yeses, 10 which means that the motion to delay for a month, with full knowledge of the impact that it's having on our 11 12 Office of Civil Rights evaluation, the motion carries. 13 Both matters before us today having been completed, we now turn to the Staff Director's Report. 14 15 Staff Director Morales, would you like to speak, 16 please? D. MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS 17 18 STAFF DIRECTOR'S REPORT 19 MR. MORALES: Thank you, Madam Chair. the interest of time, I don't have anything further to 20 21 add than what's already contained in the report. 22 Commissioners are always welcome to contact me about 23 a specific item in the Staff Director's Report, and 24 I'm happy to talk to them about anything that they

would like to discuss.

Mr. Mark Spencer as the new staff director of the Commission on Social Status of Black Men and Boys. He has a distinguished, he's had a distinguished career in public service. He's a native of Washington, D.C. and resides in Maryland, and we're really looking forward to his leadership and his expertise to help lead the Commission on Social Status of Black Men and Boys, which is a commission that was placed within USCCR under the auspices of the staff director by Congress. And so we've been working with that over the last couple of years, and they provided a separate earmarked budget for that commission.

So with that, Madam Chair, I have nothing further to add. I'd ask all Commissioners, as you encounter Mr. Spencer, to welcome him both to USCCR and as the new Director of the Commission on Social Status of Black Men and Boys. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIR CANTU: Thank you. Thank you, Staff Director.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Madam Chair.

CHAIR CANTU: Yes, Commissioner Adams.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: A question for the Staff Director, and I apologize. I couldn't find this in the report, so I'm going to ask you now if that's

okay.

MR. MORALES: Sure. If I can answer it,
I will.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: We're meeting in the National Press Club now, and I've never been to a meeting at the Civil Rights Commission itself. I checked the report for this, and I couldn't find it. Is there a cost associated with meeting here at the National Press Club?

MR. MORALES: There is, and it runs anywhere from \$6,000 to \$8,000. Our general meetings in the headquarters runs from \$5,000 to \$7,000, depending on travel. So there is an increased cost to have them here at the National Press Club.

As I had mentioned and I stated in an email to all Commissioners, your special assistants, and the executive team of the IT challenges and the supply chain challenges in getting the meetings back to the hearing room at the Commission, I'm sorry, at the USCCR. One of the primary problems has been the internet bandwidth and requirements that have been placed subsequent to the pandemic on cybersecurity by DHS. And so Homeland Security has upped the security requirements of producing and having internet bandwidth that complies with their requirements.

I will say, if we want -- and the other 1 2 thing is we're doing that we didn't do when we were in 3 the pandemic is these are being livestreamed. 4 these are being produced as we speak live to the public. We could tape them and get that to the 5 6 Commission's hearing rooms or we can do them 7 telephonically in the Commission's hearing rooms. 8 Given the level of fluctuation over the last few months of the pandemic, we didn't believe it was safe 9 10 yet to have the public return. We're hoping that that could occur here in the near future, and we're hopeful 11 12 that we can get back to these, and I know the staff is 13 working hard to try to get us back into our hearing rooms in headquarters in the next couple of months. 14 15 But I don't have a firm deadline, a time for you at 16 this moment. I know they're working with them, and 17 our team is looking at that. So thank you for that 18 question. 19 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Real quick follow-up. 20 Do they have the same klieg lights as they do here? 21 MR. MORALES: No, they do not. 22 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: All right. 23 you. 24 MR. MORALES: It's a different setup. The 25 room is differently lit up. This is a studio, and so

it has these bright lights of Broadway for us. 1 2 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Thank you. 3 CHAIR CANTU: Any other questions? 4 MR. MORALES: There's a question on the line. 5 6 CHAIR CANTU: Yes, please. 7 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Dave Kladney here. 8 CHAIR CANTU: Yes, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Wouldn't it be 9 possible, well, then, from what you said, it's 10 possible to do it live, provide the public with a live 11 12 presentation, and then run a tape and put it up on 13 YouTube. MR. MORALES: That is a potential that 14 15 I've been informed is a possibility. We could tape it at our headquarters' hearing room and then put it up 16 17 as a tape. It wouldn't be livestreamed, which has 18 been the preference of many Commissioners over the 19 years, but it could be put up either later that 20 afternoon or the next day. 21 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: And have we asked 22 DHS perhaps for a waiver, an interim waiver? 23 MR. MORALES: They won't give us a waiver. 24 They don't give waivers on cybersecurity and the 25 potential to crash. So thank you.

COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I mean, I just 1 2 didn't know what kind of security we had, so I 3 apologize. 4 MR. MORALES: No, no, it's, as you can imagine, complicated. And it keeps changing because 5 6 of, you know, hackers developing technology that we 7 had seen. In fact, as you well know, you all received 8 a notice today to up your Apple phones because of a new hack that developed over the last couple of days. 9 And so we encounter this all the time, and the 10 unfortunate aftermath of all this is that it only 11 12 increases our costs. Our costs for cybersecurity go 13 up, they never go down. And so, you know, but that's the world we all live in, unfortunately. 14 15 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Thank you, Mr. Director. 16 17 MR. MORALES: Thank you, Commissioner. 18 Thank you, Madam Chair. 19 CHAIR CANTU: Thank you. Hearing no further questions, thank you, Staff Director. 20 21 concludes the business on the agenda for today's 22 business meeting. And hearing nothing further, I 23 hereby adjourn the meeting at 11:17 a.m. Eastern Time. 24 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went 25 off the record at 11:17 a.m.)

A
a.m 1:10 4:2,5 43:17,18
54:23,25
ability 13:14 14:16 20:6
41:20
able 12:16 19:11,15
20:20,23 33:16 37:7
38:23
above-entitled 43:16 54:24
absent 8:5
absolutely 26:9,12 33:4
abuse 22:23
accept 31:2 40:3,4
acceptable 32:3 40:6
accepted 45:17
accepting 31:9
access 14:5
accommodation 36:6
achieved 10:8 12:22
action 15:19
actions 47:20
Adams 1:13 4:20,21
7:12,14 8:17,18 24:8 24:9 25:3,7 32:19,20
24:9 25:3,7 32:19,20
34:8 47:25 48:1 49:1
49:5,8 50:21,22,23
51:4 52:19,22 53:2
Adams' 33:12,23
add 34:1 45:7 49:21
50:15
addition 11:15 13:17 16:4 32:2 36:20
additional 24:5
Adegbile 1:14 4:22,23
7:15,16 8:19,20 31:19
31:19 44:17,18,21
46:19,23,24 47:21,22
48:2,3
adjourn 3:23 54:23
administration 16:20
Administrative 17:11
admitted 28:21
adopt 29:18 30:3
adopted 10:7 12:20
advise 14:4
advisory 3:11,14 5:21
5:23 9:10,15,17 27:1
28:9
Advocacy 27:13
afford 11:14 20:14,24
aftermath 54:11 afternoon 53:20
agenda 3:8 5:18,19,22
6:3,7,9 7:9 8:7,9,10
9:8 28:7,21 38:23
54:21
ago 35:22 37:3,24

39:25 40:14 **agreed** 34:15 agreement 32:24 37:8 37:18 **ALEC** 2:19 ALFREDA 2:10 ALISON 2:24 allow 17:20 allows 13:22 altered 38:2 **alternative** 14:18 17:2 alternatives 15:2 16:8 **AMARTEY** 2:5 amend 30:24 31:21 amended 8:10 amendment 7:9 31:8,12 31:16 37:6 40:17 45:17,18 amendments 42:12 American 29:2 amount 15:15 18:21,22 20:15 amounts 16:24 22:12 **AMY** 2:22 animus 35:16 39:24 answer 17:14 21:13 51:2 anti-Asian 35:15 39:23 anymore 42:16 apologize 50:24 54:3 appealable 26:7 appeals 13:23 24:24 appear 20:17 appearances 16:1 appearing 20:21 **Apple** 54:8 appointed 27:15 appointing 5:20 Appointments 3:15 appreciate 28:7,8,10,16 **APPROVAL** 3:8 8:7 approve 8:10 approved 9:8 **April** 15:10 area 27:6 39:21 arraignments 27:11 arranged 4:9 arrested 15:13 21:16 26:15 **ASCD** 2:8 **Asian** 35:15 36:4,4 40:10 asked 6:20 33:4 43:1 53:21 asking 38:12 39:6 45:18 aspects 27:6

assert 20:3

assess 20:6 assessed 21:15 assessment 10:7,14,15 12:19,20 13:5,13 16:20 18:7,15 22:14 25:2 26:13 **assist** 40:8 assistants 2:17 51:16 associated 51:8 attempting 35:11 attendance 4:13 attending 4:12 audible 6:2 7:10 8:3 28:3 audio 44:5,12,15 45:4 August 1:5 4:6 31:5 auspices 50:10 automatic 15:6,12 19:1 19.8 available 23:19 average 14:13 21:17 awaiting 12:21 23:7 aye 4:18 5:8 7:14,16,18 8:1,4,5,18,20,22 9:6 48:3.13

В **B** 3:14 back 7:22 8:6 21:9,15 31:22 37:23 38:4.7.9 38:23 40:10 44:1 48:25 51:18 52:12,13 bail 9:17,18 10:3,9,11 10:22 11:14,15 12:1,2 12:9,14,20 13:10,14 14:22,24 15:12,21 16:24,25 19:12 20:3 20:15,18,20,24 22:12 22:22 23:10 24:25 25:21 26:17 27:11,19 balancing 19:12 **bandwidth** 51:21,25 banking 14:6 **BARBARA** 2:7 based 5:9 8:8 12:9 26:15 behalf 41:1 **believe** 32:14 36:18 40:1,4,13,19 46:20 47:2,4 52:9 believes 23:18 beyond 34:15 42:11 bias 35:16 36:4 39:24 **bills** 20:9 bit 21:14 23:21 27:9 **Black** 50:3,8,18 **blah** 26:10,10,11,11,11 **blue** 37:6

booked 26:15 **Boys** 50:3,9,18 bracelets 22:5 break 43:8,11 44:8 45:12 46:25 **BRENSON** 2:6 **BRIAN** 2:14 **briefing** 6:19,23 46:10 47:17 briefings 30:1 38:20 bright 53:1 broad 25:20 **Broadway** 53:1 **broke** 44:6 broken 44:9,11 **budget** 50:13 bundling 34:24 35:1 business 1:3 3:10 4:4 4:10 5:23 9:9,14 46:5 54:21,22 **busy** 33:7

С **C** 3:17 4:1 6:4 28:18 calendar 41:12 call 4:14,18 6:1 7:12,12 8:15,15 34:20 35:7 37:16 43:6,8,11,25 44:13 47:24 called 9:17 10:14 12:19 calling 7:8 36:25 46:3 **calls** 6:5 capita 11:5 career 50:4 CARISSA 2:21 carries 49:12 case 16:21 23:16 36:11 cases 12:4 13:4,10,11 13:19 cash 10:9,22 11:14 12:2 12:9,20 13:10 14:21 14:24 15:20 16:24 20:3,15,18,20,24 22:12 23:10 caused 35:2 causes 14:7,8 **Center** 21:20 chain 51:18 Chairs 3:12 9:10 challenge 22:20 challenges 11:18,22 16:10 22:24 23:2,22 24:22 51:17,18 change 6:6,8,22 7:8 29:11,19 30:17 33:1 36:7,16 37:1,22 40:1 40:14,17 42:13,13

43:22 45:13,18 47:13

dealing 27:3 38:16 47:16 33:15 convincing 10:23 15:21 Committee's 9:16 **changed** 24:11 30:17 24:12,14 **DEBO** 1:14 38:8 16:19 cooperation 17:10 **decide** 36:11 **changes** 36:5 40:15 committees 5:21,23 **correct** 18:11 42:18 decided 36:3,9,11 49:8 27:2 47:7 decides 25:16 46:9 **changing** 6:13 34:13 **communities** 10:19,19 cost 14:24 21:1,17,23 decision 13:20 16:13 38:6,7,9 54:5 11:17,19 17:1,7 20:5 decision- 13:22 23:8 51:8,13 **charged** 12:17 15:25 20:11 23:3 26:1 27:18 costly 21:7 decision-making 13:24 29:11 40:7 charging 16:21 costs 54:12,12 24:20 checked 51:7 **community** 14:6,22 Counsel 1:22 decisions 15:8 16:21 Chief 2:8 12:11 19:13,18 25:25 39:21 **counted** 32:23 24:25,25 25:21 counties 14:21 16:23 **children** 14:11,12,15 compared 15:15 declining 11:6 **CHRISTIAN** 1:13 comparing 18:20,25 23:20 default 13:3 civil 1:1 4:4 6:21,24 20:17 counting 18:22 defendant 12:1 23:7 country 35:16 39:20,24 defendants 11:24 12:4 29:4,10 38:17 47:5 comparison 19:4 49:12 51:6 complete 18:5 county 12:3,3,6,7 13:21 12:16 18:9 clarification 47:20 completed 4:8 49:14 18:10,13,14,20,21 defender 27:15 complicated 54:5 **Class** 15:14 couple 20:25 32:11 defense 14:17 complies 51:25 37:3 50:12 52:14 54:9 defer 30:24 31:13,17 clear 10:23 15:1,21 comprehensive 12:24 course 13:21 19:24 16:5,14 24:11,13 27:1 39:9 43:1 28:1 36:2 42:15 47:24 compromise 15:9 25:22 deferring 31:23 climate 6:22 29:11,19 concern 23:5 33:19 court 5:10,12 10:25 definitely 31:15 30:17 32:25 40:1 concerned 27:17 37:7 12:11 13:12 15:10 degrading 22:5 43:22 45:13 47:13,16 16:1 18:25 20:21 concerns 16:19 24:22 delay 43:24 44:2 46:7 close 36:23.24 21:15 47:13 48:23 49:10 27:16 Club 1:9 51:5.9.14 concludes 54:21 courts 10:4 17:12 delayed 47:18.20 **colleagues** 35:10 36:2 concluding 10:21 **COVID-19** 15:6 demonstrates 15:8 37:11 40:3,5 conditions 12:5 16:16 crash 53:25 **Department** 6:24 27:12 collect 28:24 17:3 creates 26:11 29:22,25 36:17 38:2,3 collected 6:23 conducted 17:10 26:14 creating 14:9 38:17 color 11:16 17:1 conference 4:14.15 crime 20:1 29:19,22 depend 26:8 combination 32:17 confirm 4:16 36:4 37:23 depending 51:13 confirmed 12:12 13:6 **come** 5:16 7:22 8:5 **crimes** 7:1 15:13,25 despite 22:13 21:15 22:8 29:21,24 confused 30:16 35:15 detained 10:18 12:8 33:15 34:11 38:1,3 Congress 28:24 29:8 criminal 11:21 20:12 detention 10:17 11:20 40:10 50:11 culminated 10:10 12:23 13:6,25 14:3,7 **comes** 4:5 connections 20:12 current 12:14 14:8,10,11,15 15:7 **comment** 34:1 40:23 cybersecurity 51:22 consequences 10:17 16:4,12 20:23 **comments** 33:12,23 14:4 53:24 54:12 determination 16:15 42:9,9,15,17,19 consider 43:12 determining 15:2 D **commission** 1:1,8 4:4 consideration 29:9 developed 54:9 5:10 9:25 17:17 32:21 46:9 **D** 3:6,20 4:1 15:14 developing 54:6 considered 13:16 DHS 51:23 53:22 33:3,17,25 39:15 46:8 49:17 consistent 16:6 **D.C** 1:9 50:5 difference 19:7 21:1 50:3,8,9,13,17 51:6 51:19 constitute 19:16 dangerous 19:13,17 different 10:4,5 23:16 Commission's 52:6,7 Constitution 27:20,21 27:18 42:25 52:24 **DANSBY** 2:18 Commissioner's 32:25 constitutional 27:3,6 data 6:23 12:1 15:16 differently 52:25 **Commissioners** 4:13 constraints 41:7 18:24 28:24 38:17 difficult 23:21 41:9 4:16 9:22 17:21,23 consulted 42:24 date 46:14 director 1:21 5:14 24:6 26:25 28:2 41:1 contact 49:22 dates 13:12 20:21 21:18,19 40:23 42:4,8 41:4 42:23 47:9 49:22 contacts 11:20 47:8 49:15 50:2,10,17 **Dave** 53:7 50:15 51:16 53:18 contained 49:21 **DAVID** 1:18,22 50:20,24 54:16,20 Commissioners' 41:3 context 32:4 41:4 **Director's** 3:21 49:14 day 28:13 53:20 committee 3:11,14 9:15 **continue** 33:6 39:23 49:18,23 days 21:17 32:14 37:24 54:9 9:15 10:2,6,20 11:2 41:17 Disabilities 29:12 **DE** 2:7 12:13 13:7 19:10 22:2 convened 1:8 disadvantaged 11:17 22:3,10 23:17,25 conversation 45:14 deadline 47:6 52:15 disappointing 33:13 24:23 26:23 28:9 47:4 deal 43:23

discretion 13:18 15:7 19:11 20:1,4 24:18 25:20 discretionary 13:2 discrimination 16:18 discriminatory 13:22 **discuss** 6:14 49:25 discussed 37:3 **discussing** 6:7 25:22 40:16 45:12 discussion 3:14,17 6:13 8:14,14 28:18,22 30:11 31:23 32:12,20 33:8 35:18 36:19 43:20 **disparate** 15:3 27:17 disparities 16:18

disproportionately 11:16 12:15 distinguished 50:4,4 districts 10:5 16:23 19:24 25:25 doing 25:18 49:3 52:2 double 15:14 downside 34:25 draft 31:5 36:12 47:9 drop 29:20 drug 14:19 due 4:12 11:21 12:8 13:2 DUELL 2:19

Ε

DUNSTON 2:8

E 3:6 4:1.1 earlier 46:5,25 earmarked 50:13 easily 30:5,5 Eastern 4:6 54:23 easy 6:20 echo 33:12,22 echoing 34:8 **economic** 17:6 21:20 economically 11:16 edit 37:25 38:7 effect 20:1 47:4 effective 24:24 effectively 14:23 23:9 efforts 15:24 eighth 15:4 27:7 Eighty-nine 19:3 either 20:12 53:19 **elected** 19:22,23 **electronic** 14:19,23 17:3 22:4,18 23:6,8 elevator 43:14 eligible 15:11 eliminate 10:22 15:20 email 51:16 emergency 15:6 emphasis 16:7 empirical 12:24 encounter 50:16 54:10 enforced 29:5 enforcement 6:21 7:4 29:7 34:11 38:24,25 39:1 41:10 42:7,22 43:22 45:13 engage 40:9 engaged 45:14 **enhance** 10:15 **Enid** 9:16 enormous 41:14 ensure 13:24 15:25 16:6,11,14 29:3 37:13 enter 16:13 entertain 39:8 environmental 7:3 **equal** 16:6,14 30:2 equity 29:5 **error** 31:3 **especially** 18:6 22:20 25:24 32:16 essentially 25:16 established 10:13 24:20 **evaluation** 47:6 49:12 evidence 10:23 15:22 24:12,17 25:4 exacerbating 14:9 **exact** 21:7 exactly 40:17 **example** 12:3 14:23 excellent 17:17 24:1 executive 51:17 exist 23:22 27:24 **expand** 15:11 expect 5:22 38:15,16 **experience** 11:19 14:12 15:5 expertise 50:7 explain 42:21 49:3 explanations 38:13 **extent** 16:25 extraordinary 10:16

F

facilities 23:19
facing 23:3 41:8
fact 36:8 37:2 54:7
factors 15:2
facts 38:19
fail 37:14
failed 10:15
fails 48:24
failure 10:13 20:17

fair 13:24 faith 36:14 familiar 20:5 families 14:8,22 17:7 family 10:18 20:13 far 37:6 fascinating 18:6 fashion 42:5 favor 10:9 38:12 48:23 federal 27:20 29:4 feel 19:22 39:7 felonies 15:14 **fervor** 40:4 field 30:1 38:20 41:13 Fifth 13:25 27:7 **figure** 19:15 file 29:9 42:16 **filer** 39:7 finally 14:15 16:17 17:4 21:11 22:2 **find** 18:5 19:11 33:18 35:9 41:17 50:24 51:7 **findings** 10:1,20 11:1 28:25 fingertips 21:8 finish 44:1 finished 30:23 47:7 firm 52:15 first 9:13,13 11:2 29:7 31:20 32:2 36:12 38:24 **fiscal** 6:5,6,8,14 28:22 29:6 41:13 42:5 five 16:14 49:9 five- 43:19 **five-minute** 43:8,11 **fixed** 10:20 flight 24:13 floor 9:23 36:17 fluctuation 52:8 **focuses** 24:10 folks 6:19 follow 13:20 **follow-up** 52:19 form 23:10 **formula** 10:7,14 12:19 forward 33:16,25 41:18 50:7 **FOSHEE** 2:9 found 12:1,25 22:11 four 14:13 16:11 33:3 48:14.24 Fourteenth 27:8 Fourth 13:17 27:7 frankly 40:2

FTAs 19:14 full 49:11 fully 27:13 further 13:13 14:25 17:8 28:6 32:12 40:9 42:8,10 45:7 46:14 47:12 49:20 50:15 54:20,22 future 10:25 13:12 20:21 52:11 FY 46:9 47:17

G

G 3:6 4:1 **GAIL** 1:16 **GANZ** 1:22 gather 29:2 GC's 42:1 general 1:22 4:10,14 23:4 51:11 generally 24:17 25:6 getting 42:4 51:18 Gilchrist 1:15 4:24,25 6:10,13 7:17,18 8:11 8:14,21,22 30:8,10 33:9,10,11 34:9 48:4 48:5 give 25:17 42:2 53:23 53:24 **given** 22:12 33:4 34:12 35:10 39:12 41:7 52:8 goal 10:8 12:22 government 23:12 29:4 gracious 39:1 greater 13:22 20:2 **GREENE** 2:10 gridlock 43:8,10 ground 20:7 group's 15:11 growing 11:9 guilty 14:2,4,5 16:13 27:14

<u>H</u>

hack 54:9 hackers 54:6 happen 20:11 32:9 44:3 happened 39:20 happy 17:14 49:24 hard 52:13 harm 14:8,12 harmful 14:21 harms 11:16 14:11 hate 35:15 36:4 39:24 Haynes 18:4 23:25 24:8 24:10 25:10,14 28:5 He'll 8:5 head 27:5

Friday 1:5 4:6

FTA 19:17

friendly 31:12,16 45:18

knows 37:11 headquarters 51:12 46:11 26:15 27:14 52:14 **impose** 15:1 16:5 26:10 jailers 13:8,17 headquarters' 53:16 **imposed** 13:10 jails 11:10 21:6 health 4:12 11:18 16:9 **imposes** 14:24 **JASON** 2:20 **LA** 2:7 22:23 imposing 20:3 23:9 **JEFF 2:11** lack 13:5 15:1 24:24 hear 5:25 20:8 22:19 imprisoned 11:8 jeopardized 41:21 LAGRIA 2:20 28:11 44:4,16,20 45:4 **in-depth** 17:9 jobs 14:6,10 lamentations 35:9 45:9 inability 11:15 12:8 **JOHN** 2:12 language 29:21,23 heard 10:16 18:6 19:20 **JOSHUA** 2:18 27:4 37:22,24 38:4 39:2 judge 19:15 25:16,20 LASHONDRA 2:6 23:17 24:23 incarcerated 11:3,5 hearing 26:17 51:19 14:14 21:2 22:7 last-minute 37:1 26:9,17 52:6,7,13 53:16 54:19 include 11:2 13:14,15 judges 10:5 13:3,9,17 **latest** 31:2 18:16 19:21,22 20:2,3 LATRICE 2:9 54:22 17:9 hearings 10:2,25 27:11 included 15:19 22:24 23:3 24:18 law 22:15 27:6 33:17 30:2 41:14,20 including 15:13 27:10 25:24 lawyer 14:3,16 judges' 19:11 25:21 held 10:2 21:16,17 27:4 36:6 lead 50:8 help 6:19 42:3 50:7 incorporated 42:15 judgments 13:2 leadership 28:8 50:7 **helpful** 38:10 increase 12:25 15:18 judicial 10:4 16:23 leading 49:9 **leads** 13:5 Herald-Leader 11:25 29:20,22 37:23 **June** 47:7,10 increased 11:8,20 15:4 **Heriot** 1:16 5:1,2 7:19 jurisdiction 18:7 27:2 learned 14:11 7:20 8:23,24 29:14,15 51:13 **Justice** 6:24 12:11 leave 20:23 22:9 increases 54:12 29:22,25 36:18 38:2,4 29:18 31:1,7,14,22 **led** 11:10 32:3 34:4,7 36:17 individual 10:24 13:9 38:17 legal 11:21 14:17 16:1 37:20,21 39:4 43:6 13:19 14:25 26:1,16 20:12 Κ 45:21,25 46:15,21 individually 32:6 legislative 13:15 48:6.7 individuals 17:6 22:25 keep 22:6 41:8,16,18 legislature 10:8,22 Heriot's 30:7 inequities 11:21 **keeping** 21:1 40:15 15:20 20:9,10 23:18 hesitant 22:24 influence 16:12 **keeps** 34:13 54:5 legislature's 12:18 high 11:2 13:18 22:12 **information** 10:6 18:24 Kentuckians 11:13 **Let's** 45:2 23:9 21:9 24:5 29:2 40:24 level 13:18 52:8 higher 11:19 16:25 47:12 Kentucky 9:14,19 10:2 Lexington 11:25 20:19,19,20,22 22:8 informed 53:15 10:11,19,21 11:2,4,8 liberty 19:14 27:24 Homeland 51:23 innocent 11:12 11:10,25 12:11,18 lifelong 14:1 hope 20:24 30:5 input 42:3 13:24 14:15,20 15:10 light 23:12 39:20 **hopeful** 52:11 intended 12:22 15:20 16:7 17:12 lights 52:20 53:1 hopefully 24:1 interact 17:21 18:25 19:22 20:10 likelihood 12:1,7 20:3 limited 15:7 **hoping** 52:10 interest 4:11 19:12,14 21:3,19,19 22:21 hosting 4:14 40:6 49:20 27:18,20 28:9 **limits** 14:5 hour 33:18 interesting 35:10 Kentucky's 14:12 15:6 line 4:17 28:11,22 44:14 **House** 29:1 **interim** 53:22 16:20 53:5 internet 51:21,24 **housing** 14:6,10 kettle 36:25 listening 17:19 42:21 interrupt 46:23 key 10:1 lit 52:25 intervened 46:4,6 Kirsanow 1:17 5:3,4 little 21:14 23:21 30:16 idea 35:21 36:25 44:1 interview 26:16 7:21,22 8:2,25 9:1 32:14 33:13 35:10 involved 25:22 Ideally 23:15 44:22,22,24 48:8,9 37:1 involving 22:17 Kladney 1:18 5:5,6 6:15 live 39:22 52:4 53:11,11 ignore 25:16 26:10 ignored 13:3 **IRENA** 2:25 6:16 7:2,6,23,24 9:3,4 54:14 II 3:10 9:9 issue 35:11 39:23 17:25 18:1,4,18 19:10 livestreamed 52:3 **III** 3:23 **issued** 15:10 20:25 21:11,21 22:1 53:17 issues 26:11 27:3 44:13 23:11,24 24:5 41:22 location 12:9 imagine 34:16 54:5 item 5:20,21 6:4,6,14 impact 16:19 36:20 41:23,25 44:25,25 **Locked** 9:18 10:10 49:11 9:13 28:7,20,21 30:24 45:2 46:17,17 48:10 long 34:10 35:24 **impacts** 14:1 17:6 32:11 49:23 48:11 53:7,7,9,21 long-term 17:5 items 6:16,17 37:10 27:18 54:1,15 longer 41:8 45:9 47:14 implications 6:22,24 klieg 52:20 look 6:18 36:11 39:19 J 29:10 38:18 KNISHKOWY 2:11 **looking** 6:4 30:19 41:13 50:6 52:17 import 40:6 **J** 1:13 **knowing** 38:19 jail 11:13 22:13 23:14 knowledge 25:25 49:11 looks 5:20 43:7 important 41:4 43:23

lose 14:10 lot 21:25 32:13 38:14 39:13 45:9 **low** 13:1,11,19 20:15 22:13 25:23 low- 13:20 low-income 12:15 low-level 12:17 15:13 low-risk 13:9 low-to-moderate 22:11 lower 18:21 lower-risk 12:7 M Madam 6:10,15 8:11 33:14 34:1 39:19 54:18 maintain 39:10,11 majority 48:25 making 13:23 27:25 46:7

17:22 24:9 30:8 33:9 40:18,25 41:22 48:16 49:2,19 50:14,18,21 Management 3:20 49:17 mandated 29:8 mandatory 38:25 47:6 **MARIK** 2:15 Mark 50:2 Maryland 50:6 matter 36:8 37:15 38:21 43:16 54:24 **matters** 49:13 **MAURO** 1:21 mean 40:17 45:23 54:1 meaningful 13:23 meaningfully 15:17 means 41:11,18 49:10 measures 14:19,21 meeting 1:3 3:10,23 4:4 4:5,9,10,11 5:16,24 6:4 9:8,9 28:12 31:11 37:17 45:15 46:5.8 51:4,6,8 54:22,23 meetings 51:11,18 member 23:17 members 5:21 9:25 20:13 33:3 36:6,7 Memorandums 3:12 9:11 men 14:14 50:3,8,18

MICHELE 2:13 **million** 21:18 mind 41:8,16,18 minds 45:15 mine 34:25 35:23 Minority 29:11 minute 43:20 minutes 9:21 **moderate** 13:11,19 22:14 25:23 moment 50:1 52:16 monetary 12:5 13:4 money 23:13 monitoring 14:19,23,24 17:3 22:18 23:6,8 month 30:25 31:17,21 31:23 32:22,22,22,22 32:23 39:9,10,12 40:11,20 43:2,5 44:4 47:1,14,18 49:10 months 32:24 33:6 35:11,22 41:12 52:9 52:14 **Morales** 1:21 5:15 40:25 42:4,11,18 47:9 49:15.19 51:2.10 52:21,24 53:4,14,23 54:4,17 morning 4:3 motion 8:10 29:16 30:3 30:7,7,24 31:21,22 32:8,21 33:8 39:4,7,9 43:1 45:17,19,19,22

motion 8:10 29:16 30:3 30:7,7,24 31:21,22 32:8,21 33:8 39:4,7,9 43:1 45:17,19,19,22 46:4,7,12,13,21 47:1 47:13,15,16 48:24,25 49:1,4,10,12 motions 43:23 motivation 34:17 move 5:17,22 28:5,6 29:6,18 30:24 31:10 32:5 37:9 46:13 moved 8:11 moving 26:5 MULDER 2:21

N

music 43:14

N 1:17 3:6 4:1 name 4:19 national 1:8 14:13 51:5 51:9,14 native 50:5 near 52:11 nearly 11:10 necessarily 22:17 need 5:25 9:18 10:11 16:5 20:4 31:18 32:4 33:6 36:21 39:10,11

42:14 47:12 needed 4:9 13:23 16:4 16:8,11,15,17,22 **needs** 43:12 negative 14:1 negotiation 35:24 40:9 negotiations 33:19 34:10 never 43:9,10 51:5 54:13 new 34:14 50:2,17 54:9 **news** 11:25 21:5 no-cost 16:3,5 noes 48:15,24 **non-** 13:3 nonappearance 10:25 13:12 15:23 nonviolent 12:17 Norma 1:10,12 4:7 note 35:12 41:25 42:1 **noted** 12:14 **notes** 6:18 notice 4:9 54:8 November 10:12 **number** 11:3,7 13:1 21:7 22:19 29:25 30:1 30:20.22.22.23 32:5.5 35:14,25 36:1 39:22 **NW** 1:9

0 O 4:1 **objection** 5:25 42:24 obligation 28:23 obviously 14:5 occur 15:3 52:11 occurring 40:15 **OCRE** 42:1,8,15,17,23 offenses 12:17 offered 45:16 office 17:10,11 42:1 47:5 49:12 officer 26:14 one-month 44:2 48:23 open 6:13 30:11 **Operations** 3:20 49:17 opinions 23:16 opportunity 10:1 33:16 options 16:3,6 order 4:5 5:17 9:13 15:10 16:6 18:25 27:14 33:20

ordered 12:2

original 48:25

outcome 42:13

outdated 21:14

outcomes 16:18

orders 17:2

overall 16:19 overcapacity 11:11 overcrowding 21:6 override 19:16 overrule 25:16

Р

P 1:14 4:1

package 32:7 PAMELA 2:8 pandemic 51:22 52:3,9 paper 35:21 36:1 parking 38:14 Parliamentarian 1:22 parliamentary 32:9 part 13:2 22:20 24:14 26:6 27:12 35:18 particularly 17:3 pass 37:13 **pause** 43:13 pay 11:14,15 12:2,8,16 13:14 20:14.18.20.24 22:22 23:8 27:4 peer-reviewed 17:9 pending 43:21 45:21 people 10:18 11:3,5,7 11:16,17 12:21 14:1,9 14:22 15:8,12,15,16 16:25 19:4,13 21:14 22:5.7.11.16.21 23:4 27:4,10,13 34:21 35:1 42:21,24 47:12 percent 11:10 12:6,6 14:11,13,14 18:9,10 18:13,13 19:2,3,6,7 21:23 percentage 11:12 12:4 15:16 **period** 17:20 19:8 person 4:13,17 14:1 16:16 19:17,17 23:13 25:23 person's 13:14 14:5,16 16:12 personal 43:4 44:3 Persons 29:11 **PETER 1:17 phone** 4:15 phones 54:8 physical-psychologi... 17:5 pick 23:12 **place** 19:1,5 33:20 placed 50:9 51:22 **play** 43:14 **plea** 14:4,5 16:13

mental 11:18 16:9

merits 34:22 35:6

MICHAEL 1:19

mentioned 12:13 27:3

22:23

51:15

plead 14:2

pleading 27:13

please 4:18 18:3 23:25 privileged 32:8 50:23 52:18 53:4 regarding 18:19 36:19 25:13 28:12 29:17 **privy** 36:19 **questions** 7:8 9:22 regardless 30:23 17:14,21,24 21:1 28:1 30:14 31:25 41:24 probably 32:5 37:10,14 regards 6:25 **problems** 35:3 51:20 28:6 53:3 54:20 46:22 49:16 53:6 reject 18:16 point 22:7 32:4 39:12 procedure 32:9 quick 25:15 52:19 rejected 26:20 proceed 8:9 18:3 25:13 40:19,20 41:19 quickly 14:3 27:14 rejecting 26:4 **policy** 6:25 15:7 21:20 30:15 39:16 41:24 quite 23:9 33:24 35:13 related 19:21 **Poor** 9:18 10:10 proceeding 31:21 36:8,16 40:2 relates 24:13 population 11:9 35:13 **quo** 24:14,16 relationship 17:6 populations 11:6 process 13:23 17:18 release 10:4,9 11:23 quorum 5:10 pose 24:7 24:24 12:16,21 13:1,4,20 **quote** 35:24 poses 10:24 processing 16:22 14:18 15:6,11,12 R possibility 53:15 produced 52:4 16:16,22 18:9 19:1,9 possible 53:10,11 producing 51:24 R 4:1 22:15,24 productive 39:15 racial 11:21 released 3:12 9:10 possibly 43:24 46:8 RADCLIFFE 2:12 10:12 12:4 14:2 15:15 **pot** 36:24 progress 32:13 potential 13:22 53:14 prolong 41:9 **RAMEY** 2:13 18:21,22 20:14 22:6 53:25 proposal 6:1 22:6 29:19 range 18:12 22:16 23:5 releases 13:2 15:4 18:8 potentially 42:13 29:20 31:6 32:25 ranged 12:5 poverty 11:15,22 14:9 34:13 35:13,14 37:25 18:8,10 ranked 11:4 22:22 38:5,6 rate 11:5 19:2 releasing 15:8 19:24 practicality 37:16 proposals 13:15 30:2,4 **rated** 22:8 reliance 25:1 practice 6:25 34:24 32:22 33:21 34:1 42:2 rates 11:19 16:23 18:19 rely 25:24 preference 39:19 53:18 remain 11:13 proposed 20:9 20:17 prefers 22:15 prosecutors 13:8,18 re-arrest 18:19,24 19:2 remaining 22:13 prepared 45:15 25:21 remember 21:7 19:25 20:16 preponderance 24:17 protect 16:2 re-arrested 15:17 19:4 remove 38:11 provide 40:24 41:5 42:9 25:3 replace 29:23 19:6 present 1:11,25 2:4,17 53:11 re-arrests 19:7 reply 39:6 4:17,18,21,23,25 5:10 **provided** 18:16 42:19 reach 37:7.17 report 3:21 5:22 6:19 5:11,14,15 9:16 50:12 read 35:1 6:21.23 9:17.17 10:10 presentation 9:14 providing 23:23 reading 17:19 11:25 18:5 21:5 24:1 17:13,17 53:12 proviso 30:1 **Real** 52:19 24:21 25:15 28:25 Presentations 3:11 provisos 29:20 reason 33:24 38:8 29:7,8,9,10,23 30:18 32:17 34:12,22,23 9:10 **PSA** 12:22 18:14.17 reasons 25:17 presented 26:17 42:12 35:1,6,15 36:4,18,21 19:16 20:23 22:8,12 received 38:13 54:7 **President** 28:24 29:1 25:17 26:4,16,19 recess 43:20 37:4,23 38:16 39:1 **public** 4:9,10,14 10:14 presiding 1:10 recognizance 22:16,17 40:2,3,5,10 41:10,12 Press 1:9 51:5,9,14 10:15,24 12:19 13:13 23:5 42:8,22,25 43:22 pressure 19:22 15:5,9,22 18:15 19:12 recommend 17:4 45:13 46:10 47:7,17 presumption 27:23 20:22 24:12 25:1 recommendation 23:4 49:14,18,21,23 50:25 presumptive 13:3 27:12,15 29:2 50:5 recommendations 10:2 51:7 pretrial 10:4,9,17 11:19 52:5,10 53:11 10:21 15:19 28:25 reported 27:7 published 12:24 recommended 17:8 11:23 12:19,23,25 reporter 5:11,12 13:5,13,25 14:7,10,15 pull 41:15 reconsider 40:8 49:1,4 reports 3:12,18 9:11 14:18 15:4,7,25 16:3 17:19 28:19 29:3 purpose 29:3 49:6 16:12,16,21,22 17:2 push 31:11 record 25:17 26:3,8,8 40:21 42:4 45:20 17:11 18:7,9 21:2,16 26:19 34:21 35:4,12 represent 40:7 pushing 36:7 21:22 22:4 26:14 put 35:4 36:13 37:12,25 43:17 54:25 representation 16:1 27:24 38:14 41:3 46:4 47:1 **reduce** 12:20 representative 20:8 53:12,16,19 reduced 12:23 prevailing 49:6 representatives 19:23 preventing 34:17 puts 41:14 **reduces** 14:16 represented 27:11 37:5 previously 46:20 reducing 10:8 request 33:14 Q **primary** 51:20 referring 37:22 requested 10:6 prior 29:23 34:23 **Q&A** 24:6 require 15:12 32:10,10 reflect 31:5 priorities 41:3 question 18:1 19:20 reform 9:17,18 10:3,11 32:12 21:13 24:7,10,13 25:9 **reforms** 16:11 required 19:1,8 23:7 priority 35:23 prison 11:6,9 25:15 26:18 43:6 regard 37:4 39:13 32:15 33:17 34:11

40:20 saying 26:10 38:1 47:15 51:19 suggestion 24:11 requirement 26:3,13,18 48:22 Spalding 21:18 supervision 14:20 18:10 21:2,22 22:4,18 requirements 51:21,24 **says** 29:21 **speak** 27:1 28:10 38:12 **supply** 51:18 51:25 score 13:20 22:14 49:15 52:4 research 16:17,22,24 26:16 speaking 7:5 30:21 **support** 32:16,25 36:3 17:2,5,9 21:19 scores 13:9 18:16 36:9,10 40:18 41:2 45:24 resides 50:6 second 6:8,10 8:10 **special** 37:16 51:16 **Supreme** 12:11 15:10 **resolve** 35:11 11:23 15:24 28:21 **specific** 15:13,24 49:23 18:25 resources 14:7 16:8 sweet 19:11 30:1,7,8 31:18 32:10 **speeches** 12:13,14 41:12 46:13,18 47:21 system 11:21 12:15 respect 4:12 **Spencer** 50:2,16 response 5:9 6:2 7:10 seconded 46:16,19 **spot** 19:11 14:25 19:5,21 20:12 8:3 28:3 47:2 squabble 33:7 Т responsibility 35:5 seconds 30:11 31:19 staff 1:21 2:4 3:21 4:8 rest 28:12 security 51:23,23 54:2 5:13 40:23 41:2,5,5,7 table 35:18 39:25 restored 45:5 seen 38:5 54:7 41:15 42:8 47:7 49:14 taken 33:20 send 28:25 result 13:8 15:3 20:2 49:15,18,23 50:2,10 talk 49:24 37:10 sensitive 17:18 50:19,24 52:12 54:20 talking 5:18 31:8 staffed 27:13 results 23:9 separate 50:12 tape 52:5 53:12,15,17 **resumed** 43:17 **September** 5:23 41:11 stand 34:22 42:19 team 51:17 52:17 **standard** 4:6 24:11,15 resuming 43:20 serial 19:14,17 technology 54:6 rethink 39:17 **serious** 19:25 24:17 26:5,6,7 telephone 1:25 return 52:10 **service** 28:8 50:5 standards 15:1 16:5,15 telephonically 52:7 reverse 19:3 services 16:21 17:11 **stands** 35:6 tell 18:24 review 32:4 42:2 23:23 26:14 started 5:17 ten 9:20 10:20 set 16:25 34:20 revised 36:1 starting 41:19 tenth 11:4 ridiculous 37:2 setting 19:12 state 3:11 9:10,15 10:6 terms 19:7 rights 1:1 4:5 6:22,24 setup 52:24 11:17 12:10.14 16:24 Terrific 45:6 16:2 29:4,5,10 38:17 seven 8:5 14:18 23:20,20 26:3 27:1 **testify** 38:21 47:5 49:12 51:6 sever 32:6 37:9 28:9 testimony 10:13 12:12 rise 35:15 39:23 **share** 10:1 21:9 29:1 **stated** 51:15 23:17 24:23 risk 10:7,14,24,24 shared 42:7.22 **States** 11:4 testing 14:19 44:10 13:11,13,19,19,21 shifted 14:25 stating 26:19 thank 4:8,10 5:13 6:3 15:23 16:20 20:19,19 **shows** 15:16 status 24:14,16 50:3,8 6:12 7:6,7 8:13 9:2,24 20:21,22 22:11,14 side 32:8 50:18 17:16 18:4 19:19 24:12,12 25:23,23 sides 44:13 statute 38:25 23:24,25 24:2,3,4,9 25:8,14 26:21,22,24 26:13 significant 11:1,12 14:7 **statutory** 28:23 29:7 roadmap 33:16 21:6 34:11 41:10 43:21 27:22,25 28:4,13,15 **ROBERT** 2:5 significantly 11:24 12:9 45:13 46:9 47:17 28:16,20 30:6 32:17 role 28:23 18:21 21:22 stay 28:11 32:18 34:3 35:8 37:19 roll 4:18 7:12 8:15 similarly-situated STEPHEN 1:15 40:22,25 41:21 42:5 room 51:19 52:25 53:16 11:24 straight 34:21 43:19 44:19,23 45:1 rooms 52:6,7,14 **simply** 11:13 26:4,9 **Street** 1:9 47:3,11,19,20,23 row 11:9 37:15,23 38:4 stress 41:14 49:19 50:18,19,19 **ROYCE** 2:22 Simultaneous 7:5 string 33:6 52:17,22 53:2,25 **RUKKU** 2:23 30:21 45:24 structure 36:20 54:15,17,18,19,20 rule 32:9 **SINGLA** 2:23 **studies** 17:9 18:19 thanks 25:7 28:13 run 53:12 sir 7:4,22 **studio** 52:25 Third 12:18 16:3 runs 51:10,12 situation 20:6 study 12:24,25 13:1 thought 22:3,4 32:24 rural 20:10 22:21 23:20 six 16:17 subject 35:23 37:4 44:1 Sixth 27:10 subjects 6:5 thoughtful 17:18 S **slot** 22:25 submitted 30:18 threat 13:12 15:5,22 **S** 4:1 smaller 20:10 25:25 subsequent 51:22 three 11:8 48:14 **substance** 11:18 16:9 **safe** 52:9 26:1 timely 42:5 **Social** 50:3,8,17 22:23 safety 4:12 10:15,16,24 times 14:13 **substitute** 38:22 39:2 12:19 13:13 15:5,9,22 somebody 38:8 title 30:17 18:15 19:12 20:22 **SOMIN** 2:24 substituting 34:14 today 6:14 28:10 29:5 37:8,9,18 45:15 46:12 24:12 25:1 sooner 46:8 **sudden** 35:19 **saving** 23:13 **sorry** 21:8 39:8 44:5 sufficient 23:18 26:5 46:13 49:13 54:8

today's 4:10,11 9:8 unnecessary 10:17 wasn't 35:20 45:3 0 28:21 54:21 13:6 way 22:14 33:25 34:23 told 34:21 35:19 40:13 unquote 35:24 week 35:19 39:25 40:14 1 tool 16:20 18:7 unreasonable 33:5 weeks 32:14 37:3 **10** 21:23 top 27:5 unsure 40:16 welcome 4:3 49:22 50:1 **10:00** 1:10 4:2 topic 39:13,16,22 41:20 untoward 22:9 50.16 **10:02** 4:5 43:23 **unusual** 43:9 went 43:16 47:21 54:24 **10:54** 43:17 topics 3:17 28:18 38:15 uploaded 42:16,17,22 **White** 29:1 **100** 21:18 41:5 wide 24:19 **upped** 51:23 **109** 21:17 total 32:4 widely 12:2 13:21 14:20 urge 41:17 **11:04** 43:17 tough 41:9 **USCCR** 3:18 28:18,23 willing 31:2 34:16 **11:17** 54:23,25 wind 22:21 transportation 23:22 41:2 50:10,16 51:20 **11th** 33:18 **trauma** 14:8 use 10:8 11:18 12:20 wish 40:3 **12** 41:11 travel 51:13 16:9 17:2,20 18:8 withdraw 5:17,22 31:8 **14th** 1:9 treatment 15:3 16:7,9 27:2 34:23 38:21 39:2 **15** 14:11 16:14 23:1,19,23 uses 18:7 withdrawn 3:15 **19** 1:5 tremendous 24:19 witnesses 13:7 19:20 **19th** 4:6 ٧ tremendously 42:3 22:20 29:21,24 38:1,3 **V** 1:10.12 4:7 trial 12:5 15:9 23:7 38:14,16 trials 12:22 valuable 24:5 women 14:14 **2** 5:20 18:10 21:23 trivial 12:25 variation 13:21 wonderful 7:11 28:13 2008 11:3 Trucios-Haynes 9:16 word 38:11,22,22 43:4 variations 10:3 **2013** 10:7,14 12:18 9:20,24 18:12,23 varies 11:23 12:2,9 44.6 **2016** 21:14,16 19:19 21:4,12,24 13:21 14:20 work 14:16 26:22 41:17 **2018** 11:3,6 12:24 22:10 23:15 24:3.16 worked 33:14,23 variety 24:19 **2019** 11:25 12:3 15:16 25:6.19 26:12 27:9.23 version 31:2 34:14 working 32:21 40:7 19:4 41:6 50:11 52:13,16 28:15 victims 6:25 **2020** 12:12 15:10,15,17 VIDULOVIC 2:25 true 35:24 works 44:15 18:25 19:2 truly 25:23 world 54:14 **VIEZ** 2:7 **2021** 10:12 trust 13:5,9 violated 16:16 worry 19:24 **2022** 1:5 4:6 try 45:2 52:13 violation 27:19 wouldn't 53:9,17 **2023** 3:17 6:8,14,16 trying 21:6 35:9 39:1 violations 15:3 written 22:15 35:22 28:18 34:11 42:20 violence 39:24 **23** 6:6,20,21 7:2 28:22 Χ turn 40:8 49:14 violent 6:25 29:6 46:9 47:7,10,17 **two** 18:2 29:20.25 30:2 **vote** 3:14,17 4:18 6:1,5 XAVIER-BRIER 2:15 **24** 6:6.20 30:22,22,23 32:5 7:8,12,13 8:4,9,16 9:7 **250** 11:14 20:15 33:25 36:1 41:13 28:18,22 31:20 32:6 **28** 3:18 45:20 32:10 33:20 34:24 Yaki 1:19 5:7,8 7:25 8:1 two-thirds 13:4 37:12 38:23,24 40:11 9:5,6 25:11,12,14 3 types 38:15 43:5,21 45:16,20 26:2,21,25 30:12,12 **3-** 19:6 Typically 48:20 46:13 47:1,12,13,24 30:16,22 31:1,10,15 **typo** 31:4,4 47:25 48:16 49:6,7,9 31:24 32:1,2 34:5,6 4 voted 40:21 46:1 48:15 34:12,19 35:7,8 37:20 **49** 3:21 U votes 48:21 38:5 39:8,11 40:23 **U.S** 1:1 4:4 6:23 11:7 voting 8:5 19:23 29:6 43:3,4,25 44:5,9,11 5 unacceptable 32:7 32:16 34:25 41:11 44:16,20 45:2,3,8,23 **5** 3:8 12:6 18:13 unanimous 9:7 48:23 49:5 46:3,6,25 47:15 48:12 5-percent 18:20 understand 10:3 35:2 voucher 44:3 48:13,16,20 **5,000** 51:12 36:16 44:2,6 45:19 **Yaki's** 31:5 **529** 1:9 W 46:2 year 6:6,6,8,14 19:5 **54** 3:23 understood 46:24 wait 19:2 27:15 28:22 29:6 41:13 42:5 **55** 14:14 years 11:8 35:17 36:8 undertaken 17:18 waiver 53:22,22,23 unfair 33:5 **waivers** 53:24 50:12 53:19 unfortunate 54:11 **WALCH** 2:14 yeses 48:14 49:9 6.000 51:11 unfortunately 54:14 wanted 33:22 34:1,13 **YouTube** 53:13 **68** 12:6 18:9,13 **United** 11:4 45:9 **68-percent** 18:20 Ζ unnecessarily 12:8 wanting 37:12 13:10 **Washington** 1:9 50:5 zero 26:9

		63
7		
7,000 51:12 70 14:13 73 11:10		
73 11:10		
8 8 000 51:11		
8,000 51:11 89 19:2		
9		
9 3:12 92 19:5		

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Business Meeting

Before: US CCR

Date: 08-09-22

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

Mac Nous &