## U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

+ + + + +

TELEPHONIC BUSINESS MEETING

+ + + + +

FRIDAY, AUGUST 20, 2021

+ + + + +

The Commission convened via teleconference at 12:00 p.m. EDT, Norma Cantu, Chair, presiding.

### PRESENT:

NORMA V. CANTU, Chair

J. CHRISTIAN ADAMS, Commissioner

STEPHEN GILCHRIST, Commissioner

DEBO P. ADEGBILE, Commissioner

GAIL HERIOT, Commissioner

PETER N. KIRSANOW, Commissioner

DAVID KLADNEY, Commissioner

MICHAEL YAKI, Commissioner

MAURO MORALES, Staff Director

MAUREEN RUDOLPH, General Counsel

STAFF PRESENT:

**EVELYN BOHOR** 

LASHONDA BRENSON

PAMELA DUNSTON, Chief ASCD

ALFREDA GREENE

LENORE OSTROWSKY

MICHELE RAMEY

JOHN RATCLIFFE

SARALE SEWELL

JUANDA SMITH

BRIAN WALCH

MARIK XAVIER-BRIER

COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

SHERYL COZART

JASON LAGRIA

CARISSA MULDER

AMY ROYCE

RUKKU SINGLA

ALISON SOMIN

IRENA VIDULOVIC

# AGENDA

I.	Appr	oval of Agenda6
II.	Busi	ness Meeting
	A.	Presentations from Advisory Committees to the Commission on Recent Reports/Memo Releases
		ù Maine Advisory Committee on Hate Crimes
		ù Utah Advisory Committee on the Gender Wage Gap
	в.	Discussion and Vote on Iowa Advisory Committee Chair Appointment
	C.	Discussion and Vote on Florida Advisory Committee Appointments40
	D.	Discussion and Vote on the Release of The Civil Rights Implications of Cash Bail
	E.	Discussion and Vote on the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Appointments to the U.S. Election Assistance Commission
	F.	Management and Operations
		Staff Director's Report
	G.	Discussion of Vote on Findings
		and Recommendations on the
		Maternal Health Care Report 80
III.	Adjo	urn Meeting

#### PROCEEDINGS

2

1

12:02 p.m.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

OPERATOR: Good day and welcome to the Commission meeting for August 20th. Today's conference is being recorded.

At this time, I would like to turn the conference over to Chair Cantu.

Please go ahead, ma'am.

CHAIR CANTU: Yes, welcome to the business meeting for the U.S. Commission on Civil The meeting comes to order at noon Rights. Eastern Standard Time on Friday, August 20, 2021.

My name is Norma V. Cantu, and I thank the staff who completed the public notice needed for this meeting and arranged for this public phone call.

I also want to thank the general public for their interesting in attending today.

Due to respect for health and safety, the Commissioners are conducting this business meeting by telephone conference. I'd like to confirm that each of the Commissioners is online, and I'll take a roll call at the outset. please say, "present" or "here" when I say your name.

1	Commissioner Adams?
2	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Present.
3	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Adegbile?
4	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Present.
5	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Gilchrist?
6	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Present.
7	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Heriot?
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm here.
9	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Kirsanow?
10	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Here.
11	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Kladney?
12	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Here.
13	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Yaki?
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yo.
15	CHAIR CANTU: Well, we have full
16	attendance and a quorum of Commissioners is
17	present.
18	Is the court reporter present?
19	MS. CRAWLEY: Yes, ma'am.
20	CHAIR CANTU: Thank you.
21	Is the Staff Director present?
22	MR. MORALES: I am present.
23	CHAIR CANTU: Thank you.
24	Okay. The meeting will now come to
25	order.

## APPROVAL OF AGENDA 1 I. I'll begin by the first 2 CHAIR CANTU: 3 item of the agenda which is the approval of the 4 In doing that, I will call whether there agenda. 5 are any amendments to the agenda, and then, we will have a vote to approve the agenda. 6 7 So, do any Commissioners --COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Madam Chairman? 8 9 CHAIR CANTU: Yes? 10 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Sorry. 11 CHAIR CANTU: May I finish the 12 sentence? And then, I'll let you interrupt. 13 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yes. Sorry. 14 CHAIR CANTU: Okay. Okay. Do any 15 Commissioners wish to amend today's agenda? 16 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Madam Chairman, 17 Adams. 18 CHAIR CANTU: Please say your name and 19 I'll recognize you. 20 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Adams. 21 CHAIR CANTU: Adams. Thank you, 22 Commissioner Adams. 23 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Thank you, Madam 24 Chairman. 25 I would move to amend the agenda today

1	to include taking up the designations of
2	representatives of the Commission on Civil Rights
3	to the Advisory Committee of the United States
4	Election Assistance Commission, in conformance
5	with the AI agreed upon at our April business
6	meeting, and including the names exchanged in
7	conformance with that AI. That is my motion, to
8	amend the agenda to do that.
9	CHAIR CANTU: Thank you.
10	Do I hear a second to that proposal?
11	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Madam Chair,
12	Gilchrist seconds that motion.
13	CHAIR CANTU: I hear a second.
14	So, any discussion on adding that, the
15	agenda item, to discuss confirming the two
16	nominated representatives to the U.S. Election
17	Assistance Commission?
18	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Madam Chair,
19	Adams.
20	CHAIR CANTU: Yes, Commissioner Adams,
21	please speak.
22	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yes. I made this
23	motion because the Election Assistance Commission
24	is waiting for our nominees and there will be

work being done that our representatives will not

be present to assist with. And we spent a great deal of time at our April business meeting and a great deal of time after that to move this process along. And my effort to amend to the agenda is to move this process along.

That's all I have.

CHAIR CANTU: Thank you, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Madam Chair,

Commissioner Kladney here.

CHAIR CANTU: Yes, Commissioner Kladney.

COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I don't know if we can proceed with the nominations. I guess we could, but I would like to discuss some AI that governs the nominees to the EAC, or E-A-C, because we had no -- the Commission has nothing structured, in structure, especially because we are nominating a non-Commissioner to the position.

It's my understanding that the EAC has a two-year term of office, but I was wondering whether the Commission would like reports from our EAC nominees; the form in which they would be presented: written, verbal, or both. How often they should be provided to the Commission?

Also, letting us know what proposals they're making at the EAC; the resulting action taken on them, if any, at the EAC; how many meetings can they miss. I think the EAC has a rule of how many meetings you can miss before you're removed, but I want to make sure that the amount of meetings is okay with us.

I think there should be a statement that these appointees cannot speak for the Commission; can't use Commission resources, things like that. I think all that should be in place before we let the nominations go forward.

And that's my input. Whether we amend the agenda and discuss that today, or form a group that can draft it. I mean, I don't think it's going to be very difficult to draft some of those things. But I think there should be some responsibility to the Commission or information given to the Commission from our EAC appointees, especially the one -- because if we have a Commissioner onboard that's in the EAC, we can ask them anytime what's going on. However, when we start nominating people outside of the Commission, we have less -- well, we don't have any control over them, but we should, obviously,

listen and be informed as to what they're doing 1 2 because they are a nominee of the Commission. 3 CHAIR CANTU: That's relevant to the 4 discussion. 5 Commissioner Adams, do you have a response? 6 7 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: I have a question. 8 I'm wondering, Commissioner Kladney, I 9 think you were one of the designees over the last 10 couple of years. What has been the past practice 11 of reporting back to the full Commission the 12 activities of the EAC? 13 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: There actually 14 hasn't been one. When people inquire -- I'm a 15 Commissioner; Commissioner Yaki is a Commissioner 16 -- we have an obligation to give information when 17 people want to know. I know Commissioner Yaki has informed the Commission from time to time as 18 19 to what's gone on at the EAC. But I just think that, since we don't 20 21 have any connection to the outside person -- and 22 this is not -- I mean, if both people were 23 outside people, I'd be saying the same thing, 24 both sides. I just don't think we nominate a

person and just not know what's going on, if that

makes sense.

CHAIR CANTU: For the purpose of folks who are wondering why we're bringing this up, this seems to be the first time we're working in a bipartisan, very collegial way to replace nominees. We've shifted from an old system where it was predominantly the Chair, and now we're in a system that is much more inclusive of the entire body of Commissioners. So, this conversation is the first time we're doing it with regards to appointments.

I did inquire with our Staff Director as to whether we had an AI that specified, answered any of these questions of how is reporting made back; how often does the reporting occur? And we know there isn't an explicit AI on that.

So, we can continue the discussion, if others want to contribute.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Yaki.

CHAIR CANTU: Yes, please,

Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes. As the longest-serving member of the Commission to the EAC, one, I have no objection to providing any

report on what's going on. I can tell you that, at the present time, there is discussion regarding the advisory role of the Board of Advisors with respect to what are called the implementation components of the Voluntary Voting Security Guidelines, VVSG 2.0, which were adopted by the Commissioners of the EAC early this year. And now, the focus is really about how do you sort of adapt it as time goes on and new information comes in, in order to deal with new guidelines and new standards that come forward.

But that is the only activity going on currently right now. There are discussions about protecting poll workers that have been brought up by some members. But the Board of Advisors will probably not have another quarterly meeting until October of this year, at which time -- usually, these are conducted virtually, either by Zoom or by telephone for participation.

There are subcommittees that people can be involved in that, when I was Chair, I was very active in appointing people to those to get stuff going for especially the 2020 election.

And certainly, the new appointee will have ample opportunity to ask the current Chair,

and I would be more than willing to make any 1 2 introductions as may be necessary to the new 3 Chair for their interest in serving committees 4 and such. 5 But I would be more than happy to report on an ongoing basis to the Commission. 6 Ι 7 would like to think that, as the first and only 8 repeat Chair of the Board of Advisors, that I 9 served our Commission well and hope to continue to do so in the future. 10 11 CHAIR CANTU: Thank you. 12 I've not heard anyone speak against adding this to the agenda. So, should we proceed 13 or have I missed some? 14 15 Commissioner Adams, I think you're --16 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: I guess we need 17 to vote? Do we need to vote? 18 CHAIR CANTU: No, these are procedural 19 motions that can be, unless there's controversy, 20 we can add that to the agenda today. 21 So, is there someone who would speak 22 against it? 23 (No response.) 24 So, it's been determined that we don't 25 need to take a vote. So, that item will be

1 added. Commissioner, if you don't mind, we 2 will do it at the end, so that we can stay on 3 4 time with our guest speakers from the advisory 5 committees. COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Sounds good. 6 7 CHAIR CANTU: Any other agenda items 8 for today? 9 (No response.) 10 All right. So, we will now vote to 11 approve the agenda, as amended to include a vote 12 on the two nominees to represent the Commission 13 on the U.S. Election Assistance Commission. 14 All those in favor say aye, please. 15 (Chorus of ayes.) COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Madam Chair? 16 17 Madam Chair, is it to include the items that I discussed? 18 19 CHAIR CANTU: Yes. Yes. 20 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Okay. Thank 21 you. 22 CHAIR CANTU: What was raised in 23 discussion is relevant and there's no need to 24 decouple. So, your items can be discussed at the

same time as the vote.

1	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Thank you,
2	Madam Chair.
3	CHAIR CANTU: Thank you, Commissioner
4	Kladney.
5	All in favor, aye, please.
6	(Chorus of ayes.)
7	Any opposed?
8	(No response.)
9	Any abstaining?
10	(No response.)
11	The motion carries.
12	Thank you very much.
13	II. BUSINESS MEETING
14	A. PRESENTATIONS FROM ADVISORY COMMITTEES TO
15	THE COMMISSION ON RECENT REPORTS/MEMOS/RELEASES
16	CHAIR CANTU: For our first order of
17	business, we have presentations from advisory
18	committees to the U.S. Commission on their recent
19	reports and memos.
20	ù MAINE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON HATE CRIMES
21	CHAIR CANTU: First, we will hear from
22	our Maine State Advisory Committee Chair, Diane
23	Khiel.
24	Committee Chair Khiel, is that
25	correctly pronounced?

MS. KHIEL: It's Khiel. 1 2 CHAIR CANTU: Khiel. Thank you. 3 MS. KHIEL: Khiel, as on a --CHAIR CANTU: Chair Khiel will present 4 5 on the Committee's Released Memorandum on Hate Crimes. 6 And I'm taking 10 minutes of our 7 8 agenda just for you, Chair. So, please proceed. 9 MS. KHIEL: Very good. Thank you. 10 Good afternoon, Chair and Commissioners. Thank you for inviting me to 11 12 present highlights from the Advisory Committee on Hate Crimes in Maine. 13 On July 12th, 2019, two years ago, our 14 15 Committee held a briefing on hate crimes in Maine, asking advocates and law enforcement 16 17 whether hate crimes were affecting their 18 communities. We asked whether they were seeing 19 trends in hate-motivated incidents, and most 20 importantly, whether Maine has adequate legal 21 protections against them. 22 Our Advisory Memorandum provides an 23 overview of the briefing and the Committee's assertions and themes, including, sadly, reports 24 25 about the disturbing rise of extremist propaganda

and the prevalence of hate crimes on college campuses.

Today, I'd like to focus on three points brought to light that may have wider application for other state committees:

First, the advantages of Maine's twotrack legal system for punishing offenders and protecting victims.

Second, the problems identified as barriers to determining a true measure of hate crimes in every state.

And third, the availability of hate crime data in each state annual crime report.

Our Committee learned that Maine had the unique legal framework for addressing biasmotivated conduct. Actions can be brought on parallel civil and criminal tracks, and sometimes on both simultaneously for the same conduct.

Each track serves a distinct purpose.

On the civil track, the Maine Attorney General's Office or a private party can bring a civil action to enjoin certain bias-motivated conduct under the Maine Civil Rights Act. This means that they can ask a judge to prohibit an offender from repeating any conduct that

intentionally interfered with, or attempted to intentionally interfere with, by physical force or violence against a person, damage or destruction to property, or trespass on a property, or threaten to do any of the above, if that offender's motivation was based on the race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, gender, physical or mental disability, or sexual orientation of the victim.

Such an injunction may prohibit conduct in the future by the offender against the original victim or against any future victim, and can prohibit the offender from being in the vicinity of a specific place or in the vicinity of a named victim or group. If the offender then violates the court order, he or she has committed a Class B crime.

As of March 2019, there were nearly 300 such injunctions in full effect in Maine, with only nine criminal prosecutions for violations of the orders. The orders can be made to last indefinitely and apply to the offender as long as he or she is in Maine. The orders may include a civil monetary penalty.

On a parallel track or separately,

charges may be brought by any of the 16 County
District Attorneys under Maine's Criminal Code
for bias-motivated crime. Even though Maine has
no specific hate crimes statute, a Maine
prosecutor at our briefing maintained that this
actually gives her more flexibility to prosecute
hate-motivated crimes. As long as she can prove
the elements of an underlying crime under Maine's
Criminal Code, such as assault, murder, or arson,
she can still present evidence of a defendant's
motivation in committing the crime and his
specific actions toward the victim without having
to prove motivation beyond a reasonable doubt.

Later in the case, she'll argue, under Maine's sentencing enhancement law, that the defendant's choice of victim was based on race, color, religion, sex, ancestry, national origin, physical or mental disability, sexual orientation, or homelessness of that person, thereby addressing the hate-motivated conduct. She also has a separate, broader criminal that she could add of a misdemeanor charge, which addresses a defendant's intentional interference with an individual's civil and constitutional rights.

1 In terms of the logistics of 2 coordinating her criminal actions with a civil injunction by the Maine Attorney General's 3 Office, this prosecutor told us that she had 5 brought current criminal charges against all of 6 the individuals against whom the Maine AG had

> In her view, the two systems in Maine, civil and criminal, complement each other well. The Anti-defamation League did suggest improvements to Maine's laws, which we included in the memorandum.

initiated civil orders between 2010 and 2018.

A hate crime committed in Maine may also be charged in federal court for a violation of the Matthew Shepherd and James Bird, Jr., Hate Crimes Prevention Act. In April 2019, federal prosecutors brought their first case in Maine under the then-10-year-old federal statute, when two men -- two white men, that is -- beat two black men in separate incidents an hour apart outside of a Portland street and outside of a Biddeford bar, both breaking the victims' jaws. In those cases, all three agencies' avenues of attack were pursued -- state civil, state criminal, and federal criminal.

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Next, some of our findings are likely
applicable to all regions of the country in the
prosecution of hate crimes. That is, the
prosecution of hate crimes can only take place if
victims are willing to report them and if police
officers are properly trained to recognize them.

It is widely suspected that the dearth

of hate crime reports is due to their underreporting by affected communities for various reasons. There are numerous systemic and cultural barriers that prevent people from reporting hate crimes. Immigrants may be reluctant to draw attention to themselves for fear of jeopardizing their status in the United States. Many other minority groups lack trust in law enforcement. The LGBTQ community is, likewise, prone to underreporting.

A very troubling 2016 national transgender survey indicated that responses from Maine revealed that their highest level of mistreatment was actually by the police, with 62 percent of transgender respondents experiencing some type of mistreatment by police.

Appallingly, this has culminated in 59 percent of the transgender community stating that they would

feel uncomfortable asking the police for help, if
they needed it. As a solution to underreporting
due to lack of trust, it was suggested that there
should be partnering between community
organizations and law enforcement to open lines
of communication and to build trust.

Maine and most states would also benefit from comprehensive mandatory hate crime training for all law enforcement officers. Maine has done well with its broad adoption of having specially trained civil rights officers in most departments, but all officers require training, especially with the State's changing demographics.

As the prosecutor reported to us, the impact of hate-motivated incidents on the vulnerable individual victims and the community to which they belong has an exponential impact upon the actors involved. They have a chilling effect on all similarly situated groups.

The last point from the briefing that

I'd like to emphasize today is the issuance of

annual state hate crime statistics. Each state

collects data on hate crimes as part of its

annual crime report. But, as we found in 2019,

the latest available crime report in Maine was its 2017 report. As of today, 2021, the most recent report available to the Maine public in Maine is the State's 2019 report. So, apparently, there's a two-year time lag. We don't know the reasons of a lag or whether all states lag in their reporting, but this delay should be shortened.

With that said, the hate crimes section of the Maine crime report contains a wealth of information, and we assume that these reports are available in all states. The annual statistics break down hate crimes in Maine by the number of incidents, victims, offenders, and offenses.

It further lists which bias motivation was involved in the crime -- race, ethnicity, ancestry, religion, sexual orientation, disability, gender, gender identity. And under each of those, the category is further specified. For example, it lists hate crimes against African-Americans, Asian-Americans, American Indians, Hispanics, and other groups. It specifies which type of disability, mental or physical, and which religion, if the hate crime

is religion-based.

The report also specifies hate crimes by location. For example, did it take place in a store, on a street, at a church, in an arena, or on a college campus?

The report lists the offenders' rate.

The reports list which Maine law enforcement

agency charged the crime and what type of

underlying crime -- be it assault, murder, or

arson -- was involved.

And finally, they outline the type of victim. Was it an individual or business, governmental facility, or other groups?

Of course, comparison of the year-toyear numbers could also reveal possible trends in heavy hate crime areas or possibly indicate better reporting in some areas of the state or better training of law enforcement in identifying hate crimes.

In fact, it may be time for the Maine Advisory Committee to revisit hate crimes and home in on these trends. The hate crime excerpt for 2017 is included in our appendix.

Thank you very much for this opportunity today,

CHAIR CANTU: Thank you, Chair Khiel. 1 2 You were exactly at 10 minutes, and your report 3 was very enlightening. I am reminded of the late William 4 5 Powers, a law professor who said that, "Trust is 6 earned by the thimbleful and sometimes lost by 7 the bucketful." So, you are quite apt in defining some 8 9 of the major problems and significant problems 10 that require daily attention, rather than every 11 two years' attention. 12 MS. KHIEL: Thank you. 13 CHAIR CANTU: Do any of the Commissioners have questions of Chair Khiel? 14 15 (No response.) It sounds as if the report was useful 16 17 for us, and I don't believe that folks have 18 questions. So, if there's no further questions, 19 thank you, Chair Khiel, and we'll move on to the 20 next item on the agenda. Your service, Chair 21 Khiel, and your leadership on the Maine State 22 Advisory Committee is exemplary. And thank you 23 so very much for taking time to speak with us 24 today. 25 MS. KHIEL: You're very welcome.

1	CHAIR CANTU: Thank you.
2	ù UTAH ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON
3	THE GENDER WAGE GAP
4	CHAIR CANTU: Our next presentation is
5	from Utah State Advisory Committee Member
6	Carolina Nunez on the Committee's released report
7	on the gender wage gap.
8	And I was able to pronounce Nunez
9	correctly because I have cousins in Seattle who
10	are Nunez.
11	MS. NUNEZ: You got it exactly right.
12	Thank you.
13	CHAIR CANTU: Thank you.
14	Chair Nunez, would you please also
15	address us? And you also have 10 minutes.
16	MS. NUNEZ: Absolutely.
17	Chair Cantu and Commissioners, it's a
18	pleasure to be with you today. As Chair Cantu
19	said, my name is Carolina Nunez, and I am the
20	Vice Chair of the Utah Advisory Committee.
21	Over the three years, our Committee
22	has studied the gender wage gap, and I'm pleased
23	to report our findings and recommendations to
24	you.
25	In 2019, a few weeks after a Utah

newspaper reported that, according to U.S. Census
Bureau data, Utah has the second-worst gender
wage gap, our Advisory Committee voted
unanimously to take up this topic as the subject
of our report.

While the gender wage gap is always of concern, the topic was particularly ripe for examination at that time. Our Committee had issued previously a report on employment discrimination in Utah back in 1997, and the U.S. was about to celebrate the 100th anniversary of the 19th Amendment, which guaranteed women the right to vote.

Given that Utah was one of the first states to grant women the right to vote well before the 19th Amendment, and given our Committee's earlier inquiry to employment discrimination, the significant gender wage gap in Utah merited, and continues to merit, addressing.

The Committee organized a series of five panel discussions, as part of public meetings that we held in Salt Lake City, and we heard from experts and stakeholders. And based on this testimony, we issued a report. And

hopefully, you've had a chance to look at it.

Today, I want to walk you through some
highlights, really take a high-level overview,
and, of course, I'd be happy to answer questions
that you have.

First, let me provide some data on the
size of the gender wage gap in Utah, as compared
to the gender wage gap across the United States.

size of the gender wage gap in Utah, as compared to the gender wage gap across the United States. In Utah, women earn 73.7 percent of what men earn, while, nationally, women earn 80 percent of what their male counterparts earn. The gap is more significant for women of color. For example, black women in Utah can expect to earn just 51.7 percent of what men earn, and Latino women can expect to earn 47.5 percent of what men earn. These gaps, again, are wider than what we see nationally. This is what led us to choose this particular topic for our study.

So, I'd like to report on our

Committee's findings, on some of the factors that

lead to the gender wage gap in Utah and which

inform our recommendations as well.

One of the biggest factors leading to the gender wage gap is occupational segregation.

And this holds true nationally, not just in Utah.

Nationally, women make up nearly two-thirds of workers in the 40 lowest-paying jobs, and this includes jobs like being personal care aides, home health aides, or jobs in the fast food industry.

Women only make up 37 percent of workers in the 40 highest-paying occupations.

And this disparity is even greater, if we look at the very highest-paying jobs, like in business management, C-suite-level officers, software developers.

Likewise, women are more likely to take part-time positions that offer lower wages and fewer or no benefits at all. This is even more true in Utah than in the rest of the country. So, that is one factor that accounts for a portion of the gender wage gap.

Also, in our hearings, in the testimony that we heard, we heard about the motherhood penalty, about women taking time out of the labor force to bear and raise children, which, then, locks them into lower incomes well into their futures, as they're not able to catch up after being out of the labor force for any time.

We heard about a corollary, the

fatherhood bonus that is reported in research,

where high-income men in the highest-paid jobs

actually get pay bumps for having children. So,

of course, this would increase the disparity even

on the very same basis, having children.

Another factor that we heard about was the effect of -- and this is tied to occupational segregation -- but the effect of minimum wage or subminimum wage. Because women are overrepresented in lower-wage jobs, their incomes are disproportionately dependent on minimum wage floors. In Utah, it is currently \$7.25.

Another area that we heard testimony about was starting salary, negotiating, and pay secrecy -- a constellation, really, of factors that work together in the aggregate to prevent women from having the same wage parity, from having wage parity with their male counterparts.

Women are less likely to advocate for a higher salary upon hiring, and this can be cultural. It can also be policy-based, in that women are not in their careers likely to get information from colleagues about how much they are being paid. And this can be an employer

policy, even if it is illegal, or it can be a culture that happens to exist in a place of employment.

So, after getting hired, perhaps without much negotiation, women are more likely to be locked in, again, because of pay secrecy that happens either on a cultural basis or on an employer policy basis.

Another topic that we heard quite a bit of testimony on was employment law enforcement. There are not very many complaints, relatively speaking, of -- not very many claims under relevant employment laws in Utah. And this, of course, means that there cannot be as much enforcement as perhaps there ought to be. And there was some testimony to suggest that perhaps there was under-enforcement, even of claims that have been raised to the relevant Utah agencies or federal agencies.

There are other items that contribute to the gender wage gap in Utah, but I would point you to our report to look at those in more detail. I just wanted to highlight some of the ones on which we heard more testimony, some of the heavier ones.

so, let me turn to what do we recommend to begin addressing the gender wage gap. And I would categorize our recommendations into three buckets: awareness, enforcement, and change.

So, I would start with awareness.

Many of the factors that I discuss have a

cultural component, where women may choose to

enter professions that do not have as high of

wages. And more awareness of what careers look

like may help women close that gender pay gap.

Likewise, awareness of employee rights, more awareness of what Utah and federal law prohibit, would allow for more claims, so that those laws can be enforced. Also, on the enforcement, we would recommend more vigilant enforcement of existing employment-related laws, both on the state and the federal level.

But we also have some recommendations for change.

Change includes recommendation of laws, both federally and in the state, barring policies of pay secrecy; also, laws prohibiting employers from forbidding employees from discussing their salaries; also, passage of laws

forbidding employers from relying on salary
history of a prospective employee, which we heard
does happen, and, of course, reinforces lower
wages and the wage gap that we see.

We also have recommendations for raising the minimum wages, whether at state or federal level, or ideally, both. With so many women relying on minimum wage, this would do quite a bit to close some of the gender pay gap.

Also, we recommend for employers to conduct a pay equity audit in their own organizations. One of the difficulties is the lack of more granular data to understand exactly what is going on, and having more data gathering would help us address the issue by formulating more relevant solutions.

And also, encouraging employers to provide training on implicit bias for management and human resources. Because while we can explain some of the gender pay gap by occupational segregation, it does not fully explain it. There is also pay differences, even within those occupations, between men and women. So, there is something more than self-selection going on, and we may need to address that with

more training and, again, the gathering of more 1 2 data. That is all I have for you today. 3 appreciate your willingness to listen to me 4 5 describe our report. I'm happy to answer 6 questions, but also happy to rely on the report, 7 as written, if you do not have any. CHAIR CANTU: Thank you, Chair Nunez. 8 9 You actually used nine minutes. So, we do have 10 time for questions. Would any of the Commissioners like to 11 12 ask anything to Chair Nunez? 13 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Madam Chair, this is Commissioner Kladney. 14 15 CHAIR CANTU: Yes, sir. 16 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I just have one 17 question, actually. Is it really a thing that 18 employers give increases to employees when they have children? 19 20 MS. NUNEZ: This is the testimony that 21 we heard. And so, I think the notion is that 22 someone may go into a supervisor's office and 23 say, "Oh, you know, I've just had children and, 24 you know, we have more expenses." And when 25 you're in a high-paying job and not taking

parental leave, you are actually more likely to 1 2 get that. This is the testimony we heard. 3 course, I have no firsthand knowledge. COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: 4 Thank you. 5 CHAIR CANTU: Could you add more --6 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Yaki. 7 CHAIR CANTU: Oh, yes, Yaki. Yes, Commissioner Yaki, please. 8 9 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes, thank you 10 very much, and thank you for that report, Madam Chair. 11 12 I was sort of struck by your 13 references to pay secrecy. Can you elaborate a little bit more on that? 14 15 MS. NUNEZ: Yes, pay secrecy can take many forms, but I think the broad definition is a 16 17 policy -- or it could just be a culture -- of not 18 discussing salary within an organization. 19 you can imagine a company that forbids its 20 employees from telling each other what their 21 salaries are. And, of course, this leaves all of 22 the employees with little to no information about 23 whether they are being paid fairly at all. And there are laws --24 25 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, what are the

penalties for disclosure? If you're out, you 1 2 know, with someone and you just sort of casually 3 mention, and then, you find out, oh, my God, this person is getting paid twice as much as I do for 4 5 the same work that I do, but he's a man and I'm a 6 I mean, are there actual penalties that 7 employers can impose for breaching that secrecy 8 policy? 9 MS. NUNEZ: There are many instances 10 of having that secrecy policy. It depends on exactly which law applies and the size of the 11 12 organization. In many instances, that would 13 actually be illegal to do, but employers are doing it, nonetheless. And so, this is 14 15 speculation; my question is that employers may actually know it's illegal and will not impose a 16 17 strict penalty. But there may be some kind of 18 retaliatory measure. I have actually worked here in Utah at 19 20 an organization that prohibited discussing salary 21 among employees. I don't know what the penalty 22 was, if any, for that. 23 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Thank you. 24 Fascinating. 25 CHAIR CANTU: Anyone else, please?

(No response.)

Chair Nunez, can you speak about the pandemic? There have been some reports of women taking more leave because of caring for dependents. And was the study, it was close to completion before many of these reports came out. Was there any testimony that you recall about the effect of the COVID-19 pandemic?

MS. NUNEZ: Unfortunately, this was complete. Our hearing was complete and the report, actually, essentially, drafted by the time that the pandemic sort of shocked us all.

But, yes, you are right that this would be another factor to consider, and it could be considered more generally, not this particular pandemic, but perhaps the burden that women bear when there are disruptions in society for whatever reason. So, you are absolutely right that that would be another avenue of study and inquiry.

CHAIR CANTU: Thank you for that,
because any downturn in the economy would have a
similar effect, with people readjusting their
budgets and not being able to afford child care
or babysitters. Thank you.

MS. NUNEZ: I agree, and I think we 1 2 see that data nationally, and I do not think Utah 3 is an exception. CHAIR CANTU: We appreciate your 4 5 It's very thorough, and I really do want report. 6 to congratulate you and the other members of your 7 Committee for the hard work you have done. 8 thank you very much. 9 MS. NUNEZ: Thank you for your time. 10 CHAIR CANTU: I'm sorry, I need to 11 pause for just a second. 12 (Pause.) DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON IOWA ADVISORY 13 в. COMMITTEE CHAIR APPOINTMENT 14 15 CHAIR CANTU: So, our next item on the agenda is a discussion and vote on the 16 17 appointment of a Chair to the Iowa Advisory

Committee to the Commission.

So, the Commission appointed the members of the Iowa State Advisory Committee during our July 2021 business meeting, but we did not move to the next step of designating the Chair of the Iowa State Committee. So, today, we're going to amend appointments by appointing a Chair.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	So, I'll start this by moving myself.
2	I will move to appoint Mr. Juan Garcia to serve
3	as Chair of the State Advisory Committee in the
4	State of Iowa. And Mr. Garcia has been reviewed
5	by the Commissioners, and he's also being
6	recommended by our Staff Director.
7	Do I have a second to this motion?
8	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Second. Adams.
9	CHAIR CANTU: Thank you, Commissioner
10	Adams.
11	I'm going to open the floor for
12	discussion.
13	(No response.)
14	Okay. I take that as we're ready to
15	move to the next so, I'll call the question
16	and take a roll call vote.
17	Commissioner Adams, how do you vote?
18	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yes.
19	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Adegbile?
20	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Abstain.
21	CHAIR CANTU: Abstain. Thank you,
22	sir.
23	Commissioner Gilchrist?
24	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Aye.
25	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Heriot?

1	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
2	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Kirsanow?
3	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
4	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Kladney?
5	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
6	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Yaki?
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
8	CHAIR CANTU: And I vote aye.
9	The motion passes, 7 aye and 1
LO	abstaining.
L1	So, I will ask the Staff Director to
L2	notify the Iowa folk that they have an approved
L3	Chair.
L4	Thank you very much, Commissioners.
L5	C. DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON FLORIDA ADVISORY
L6	COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS
L7	CHAIR CANTU: The next item on today's
L8	agenda is discussion and vote on Florida Advisory
L9	Committee appointments. As you know, the U.S.
20	Commission on Civil Rights depends on advice from
21	highly qualified persons who serve in each of our
22	50 states, plus the District of Columbia, and
23	most recently, the U.S. Virgin Islands, Puerto,
24	Northern Mariana Islands, Guam, and American
25	Samoa.

Our Commissioners have been discussing 1 2 how to move forward on this. And so, today, I 3 would move to appoint the following persons to serve on Florida's State Advisory Committee. 4 5 nominations, the recommendations -- and these 6 have been reviewed by our Commissioners and by the Staff Director -- are as follows: 7 And by the way, this was posted 8 9 already, but, for the record, please bear with me 10 while I read their names. And hopefully, I will 11 pronounce all of them correctly. 12 Nadine Smith, nominated for Chair and 13 returning appointee to the Advisory Committee for Florida. 14 15 Warren Belmar, new appointee. 16 Bradford Brown, returning appointee. 17 Charlene Taylor Hill, new appointee. 18 Thomas Newcomb Hyde, new appointee. 19 Linda Kidwell, new appointee. 20 William Mattox, new appointee. 21 Michael Morley, new appointee. 22 Sal Nuzzo, new appointee. 23 Victor Romano, returning appointee. 24 Brandon Wolf, new appointee. 25 Toufic Zakharia, returning appointee.

1	All these individuals will serve as
2	uncompensated government employees.
3	If the motion passes, the Commission
4	will authorize the Staff Director to execute the
5	appropriate paperwork.
6	Do I have a second for this motion for
7	appointees to the Florida SAC?
8	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Madam Chair,
9	Gilchrist seconds.
10	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Gilchrist?
11	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Yes,
12	Gilchrist seconds.
13	CHAIR CANTU: Thank you.
14	And I heard another voice, and then,
15	I apologize, but on the phone I do try to listen
16	very closely. Thank you.
17	So, is there further discussion?
18	(No response.)
19	So, without further discussion, I'm
20	going to call the question and take a roll call
21	vote.
22	Is someone trying to get on? I don't
23	want to cut anyone off.
24	(No response.)
25	Okay. Thank you. All right.

1	Commissioner Adams, how do you vote?
2	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yes.
3	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Adegbile?
4	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Aye.
5	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Gilchrist?
6	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Aye.
7	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Heriot?
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
9	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Kirsanow?
10	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
11	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Kladney?
12	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
13	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Yaki?
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
15	CHAIR CANTU: And I vote yes.
16	The motion passes unanimously, and the
17	Staff Director will contact them.
18	And I congratulate all the new
19	appointees.
20	And I'm going to express my gratitude
21	to you, Commissioners, for moving them along very
22	expeditiously.
23	D. DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON THE RELEASE OF THE
24	CIVIL RIGHTS IMPLICATIONS OF CASH BAIL
25	CHAIR CANTU: So now, our next agenda

2 3 Cash Bail." The Commission held a briefing on the 5 topic of cash bail on February 26, 2021, where we heard from subject matter experts on whether the federal government involvement impacted the state of money bail and what the appropriate role of 8 the federal government is to ensure the fair administration of justice. 10 11 12

item is a discussion and vote on the final draft of the report, "The Civil Rights Implications of

Thanks to the combined efforts of our Office of Civil Rights Evaluation, the Office of the General Counsel, the Office of the Staff Director, including the Office of Administration, and our U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Commissioners, special assistants, and interns, we are finally now ready to vote on the final draft of the report.

To open the floor, would someone like to make a motion to approve the -- to have a discussion and a vote? So, would someone like to have a motion to have a discussion and a vote on the final draft of the report on cash bail?

COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Madam Chair, Commissioner Kladney. I'd like to make a motion

1

4

6

7

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	that we adopt the report that's been circulated,
2	the final report regarding cash bail.
3	CHAIR CANTU: And, Commissioner,
4	you've done yeoman's labor on this report. So,
5	it is your honor to file the motion.
6	Do I hear a second?
7	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Adegbile
8	seconds.
9	CHAIR CANTU: Thank you, Commissioner
10	Adegbile.
11	Okay. I'm opening the floor for
12	discussion.
13	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Madam Chair,
14	the genesis
15	CHAIR CANTU: Yes, Commissioner?
16	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: of this
17	report began with former Chair Katherine Lhamon
18	in February of 2020. She and her Special
19	Assistant, Rukku Singla, organized and
20	coordinated this project with our staff and OCRE
21	up until the time they departed for significant
22	Administration appointments.
23	I thanked them for the high quality of
24	work completed when the project was turned over
25	to my office, and my former Special Assistant,

Amy Royce, who continued with the same high quality of work.

To borrow from the Executive Summary of the report, bail is probably understood as the, quote, "process of releasing a defendant from jail or other governmental custody with conditions set to provide reasonable assurance of court appearance or public safety." Close quote.

This is true except where the defendant is accused of a heinous crime where probable cause is present and no bail is set.

This led to a system where those of wealth had the ability to post bail almost instantaneously and walk out of the jailhouse to continue with their life. Those without significant assets may languish in the jailhouse for days or weeks, with collateral consequences occurring in their lives, regardless of the level of seriousness of the offense.

Many states are now looking to reform this system, but still have defendants appear in court in a timely fashion and provide for public safety at the same time. This report analyzes the different approaches currently taken in six different state jurisdictions. They all seek to

reform, but go about it, each in a different 1 2 fashion; yet, trying to achieve the same goal, a constitutional and fair bail system. 3 I would like to thank the Director of 4 5 OCRE, Merik Xavier-Brier, and Gerald Austin 6 (phonetic) and Nick Baer (phonetic) for a great 7 job in putting this report together; at the Office of General Counsel, David Gantz, Pilar 8 9 Vilasquez McLaughlin, and Sheryl Pozart for their legal input. And I'd like to thank the Chief of 10 11 ASCD, Ms. Pam Dunston, for her ever-present 12 ability to produce the briefings we held on this 13 report, and our other efforts. Of course, I'd again like to thank our 14 15 former Chair and her Special Assistant for their efforts, along with my former Special Assistant, 16 17 Amy Royce. But I'd also like to thank my fellow 18 Commissioners, all of them, and their assistants, for the work they did in providing input into 19 20 this high quality report. 21 Thank you all, and I hope to see your 22 support for this report with your vote. 23 Thank you, Madam Chair. 24 CHAIR CANTU: Thank you, Commissioner 25 Kladney.

1 Are there any comments or questions 2 from the Commissioners regarding the cash bail 3 report? (No response.) 4 5 All right. I would like to express my 6 thanks as well to all the hard work that went 7 into the report. And in particular, I want to compliment how clear the visual tables and graphs 8 9 were. You have really done a terrific job of 10 preparing something that is readable in the classic hand-published, but as well as in the 11 12 digital format. So, I really do want to express 13 my gratitude for how clear you have been. So, unless there's further discussion, 14 15 we can proceed to a vote. COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: 16 Madam Chair, 17 Gilchrist here. 18 CHAIR CANTU: Yes? 19 COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: I apologize, 20 I was trying to take my phone off mute when you 21 were asking for persons to comment. 22 apologize for that. 23 CHAIR CANTU: Yes, please, sir. 24 Commissioner Gilchrist? 25 COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: If I may,

Madam Chair and Fellow Commissioners, I just want to share with you a perspective that I think is quite fitting for this particular report.

I remember sitting in an auditorium at Benedict College, a Historical Black College in South Carolina, in the fall of 2019, when then-President Trump was touting his prison reform accomplishments. One of the beneficiaries of the reform was a 63-year-old grandmother that I had the pleasure of meeting, Alice Johnson.

Alice was serving a life sentence for being part of a drug ring, even though she never received or distributed the drugs, but was convicted due to others' plea deals. She was actually convicted to a life sentence.

I had the privilege of personally meeting Ms. Johnson and found her to be a lovely lady. When I was chatting with her, she commented that, "Stephen, I'm an example of a woman who has been given a second chance in life, and there's so many others who deserve the same chance."

I remember sharing with her that I agreed with that sentiment. And she began to explain a little bit more to me about what she

felt like that meant.

In the context of this report, I believe the Commission certainly needs to consider whether or not we balance an individual's liberties versus the public safety concerns of our communities all across this country. As we all know, the Constitution speaks of one's right to bail, the idea of innocence until proven guilty, which has always been a cornerstone of the democracy that we so dearly treasure.

And so, obviously, we want to be cautious and steadfast against treating charged persons as convicted persons. And the idea of someone remaining in jail simply because they cannot afford bail seems to be more onerous on the poor. And particularly, as it relates to cash bail, it's been an entity that has been overly used. However, we must be cautious not to overcorrect and cause more harm to the very vulnerable communities that we all want to protect.

So, I believe in bail reform, just as
I believe in some of the prison reform and the
First Steps Act. And I believe that all these

reforms, in my opinion, are just that, reforms. 1 2 Prison reform just didn't let people out 3 haphazardly. Like Ms. Johnson, they actually went through a process to get out. Ms. Johnson 4 5 paid her debt to society. President Trump at the 6 time saw the injustices and harsh sentences 7 imposed on individuals that were a lasting remnant of the 1994 crime bill. 8 9 And so, the difference is -- and it's 10 a big difference -- is whether or not liberty and

And so, the difference is -- and it's a big difference -- is whether or not liberty and freedom protections must be respected on the accused differently than on the convicted. And I just thought this report did a reasonable job in looking at the nature of bail, its potential civil rights implications, and the possibility of reforms that earnestly seek to balance individual liberty and community safety.

And so, for that, I, too, want to echo Commissioner Kladney's comments to thank the staff and my fellow Commissioners on their hard work. And I will be voting to support the publication.

Thank you.

CHAIR CANTU: Thank you for your comments, Commissioner Gilchrist.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 Would anyone else like the floor? 2 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Madam Chair, 3 Adams. Yes, Commissioner Adams. 4 CHAIR CANTU: 5 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Thank you very 6 much, Madam Chair. 7 I wanted to highlight one of the more pleasant experiences on this report, and that is 8 9 the willingness of the Special Assistants and staff and other Commissioners to work 10 collaboratively with all of the Commission. 11 And 12 I think that's a really important thing for us to 13 do, is find ways to get something done from time to time. And I think this report is a good 14 15 example of that. Thank you, Commissioner 16 CHAIR CANTU: 17 Adams. 18 Hearing from Commissioners Gilchrist 19 and Adams, I'm reminded that we are the three 20 newest members of the U.S. Commission, and this is the first report that has included all three 21 22 So, I'm very proud of the report, and I'm 23 very proud of the comments that both of you have made. 24 25 Are there any interventions or any

1	other expressions before we call the vote?
2	(No response.)
3	Thank you.
4	Commissioner Adams, how do you vote?
5	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yes.
6	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Adegbile?
7	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Aye.
8	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Gilchrist?
9	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Aye.
10	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Heriot?
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm going to
12	abstain on this.
13	CHAIR CANTU: Thank you.
14	Commissioner Kirsanow?
15	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Abstain.
16	CHAIR CANTU: Thank you.
17	Commissioner Kladney?
18	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
19	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Yaki?
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
21	CHAIR CANTU: And I vote yes.
22	We have 7 yes, 2 abstentions, and the
23	motion passes. Thank you all.
24	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Well, that
25	can't be right. You mean six.

1 CHAIR CANTU: I'm sorry, you're right, 2 Thank you for my math; thank you for 3 that. (Laughter.) 4 5 I can always count on my colleagues to 6 help me out here. 7 Six yes and 2 abstentions should total 8 8. Thank you. 9 E. DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON THE U.S. COMMISSION 10 ON CIVIL RIGHTS APPOINTMENTS TO THE U.S. ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION 11 12 CHAIR CANTU: So, we're now turning to 13 our fifth item, which is a discussion and vote on the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights appointments 14 15 to the U.S. Election Assistance Commission. So, do we want to have some more --16 17 let's have a motion before we can have further 18 discussion. COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Madam Chair? 19 20 CHAIR CANTU: So, Commissioner Adams, 21 do you have some language, please? Yes? 22 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: I do. We have 23 already moved to amend the agenda, and the motion to amend contained the language to move to take 24 25 up the designations of representatives of the

1	Commission to the Board of Advisors of the United
2	States Election Assistance Commission, in
3	conformance with the AI agreed upon at our April
4	business meeting, and including the names
5	exchanged by the Commissioners in conformance
6	with that AI.
7	So moved.
8	CHAIR CANTU: And is there a second?
9	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow,
10	second.
11	CHAIR CANTU: I'm sorry, I didn't hear
12	who seconded.
13	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Madam Chair?
14	CHAIR CANTU: Who is speaking?
15	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: That was
16	Kladney. I think Kirsanow now seconded.
17	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow
18	seconded. I think Kladney is now speaking.
19	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
20	CHAIR CANTU: Okay.
21	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I'm sorry.
22	CHAIR CANTU: All right. We have a
23	second.
24	Is there a procedural issue,
25	Commissioner Kladney?

1 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I thought that 2 the motion also included us working on an AI, 3 language of an AI, regarding reports back to us and regarding the proposals; anything going on at 4 5 the EAC, proposals made by our appointees; making 6 sure that they cannot speak for the Commission or 7 use Commission resources. And I was wondering -- I guess I'd 8 have to ask the General Counsel -- is removal 9 from the EAC of our appointee in the EAC hands or 10 11 our hands? And what grounds would that be? 12 That was part of the motion, I believe. 13 So, Commissioner Adams, 14 CHAIR CANTU: 15 I'm going to suggest that we try something here. If there are so many questions that this will 16 17 result in a very prolonged discussion, would you like to consider setting a time limit as to how 18 19 long the discussion would be, and then, maybe 20 push the AI to a discussion very, very soon after 21 this meeting? 22 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Right, I would 23 consider it --24 Yes, sir, I'd like to CHAIR CANTU: 25 hear from you first because you made the motion,

but I'd also like to hear from Commissioner

Kladney on my suggestion that we give ourselves a
set amount of time today.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Right, and I think you called my name, Adams. So, can I respond?

CHAIR CANTU: Yes, please.

it to be a friendly amendment to my motion to incorporate an AI that resolves those issues that Commissioner Kladney discussed -- mainly, term and reporting back, which were the two that I think were identified -- in the same fashion that we reached agreement on the AIs in April. And I would consider that, proceeding as I just outlined, to be a friendly amendment to my motion, and therefore, incorporated.

CHAIR CANTU: I believe you are quite accurate. So, bearing in mind that both discussions are going to take place because it's now incorporated in the same motion.

So, I will open the floor for discussion. Commissioner Adams, do you have more you want to elaborate before other people ask questions?

It's very 1 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Right. 2 simple. Thank you. It's very simple. We agreed on 3 something in April. It's now four months later. 4 5 We've done exactly what we agreed to do. It's 6 time to move the process along and designate Commissioner Michael Yaki and Cleta Mitchell to 7 the Board of Advisors to the Elections Assistance 8 Commission. 9 10 As far as their term goes, we obviously have the power over that. 11 12 Elections Assistance Commission says when the terms are up. They've done that with the 13 14 previous two Commissioners. 15 I'm quite certain that we will get a copious amount of reporting back. 16 I'm sure 17 Commissioner Yaki would keep us apprised. I have 18 confidence in that. And I'm sure the other designee would keep is apprised. And therefore, 19 20 I would urge the adoption, or I should say, the selection of these individuals to the Board of 21 22 Advisors. 23 That's all I have. 24 CHAIR CANTU: Thank you. 25 I'm opening the floor.

1 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Madam Chair, 2 Commissioner Kladney. 3 CHAIR CANTU: Yes, sir, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I quess I don't 4 5 have any objection to moving these nominations 6 forward, conditioned upon an AI speaking to those 7 issues that I brought up. Otherwise, absent that, I would say that we should have a time 8 limit to address an AI. I don't think it's that 9 10 difficult. So, that would be my proposal. 11 mean, it's better than trying to draft one right 12 here right now. 13 CHAIR CANTU: Exactly. Exactly. 14 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: So, if these 15 nominations can be made conditionally upon us reaching an agreement on an AI within 30 days or 16 17 45 days, whichever time limit someone would want, 18 I would be in concurrence. Absent making these appointments conditional, I would say that we 19 20 should draft the AI first, and then, come back 21 and vote again. 22 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Madam Chair, 23 Adams. 24 CHAIR CANTU: Yes, Commissioner Adams? 25 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: May I ask a

question, Commissioner Kladney? Why didn't we 1 2 address these issues in our February, March, and 3 April discussions and vote at the business meeting in April on that limiting factor or those 4 5 limiting principles? 6 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I just thought 7 about it the other day. I'm going, we're appointing outside people from the Commission to 8 9 a body over which we have not made any 10 requirements in regards to telling us what's 11 going on. And I think the fact that they are 12 from outside the Commission itself is a relevant 13 fact. COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Well, isn't it 14 15 true, Commissioner Kladney, that we --COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: But I 16 17 apologize, Commissioner Adams, for not thinking 18 about it sooner. 19 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: But isn't it true that we envisioned someone from outside the 20 21 Commission explicitly when we voted in April? 22 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes, but my 23 mind doesn't work that fast. 24 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Well, then, 25 Commissioner Kladney, I think you have a good

idea and I consider it to be a friendly amendment 1 2 to adopt an AI sometime in the next month. 3 on it; adopt it next month. But I do not agree that it's a friendly amendment to make this a 4 5 conditional appointment. 6 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Well, then, I 7 ask that we vote on it, see if it passes. CHAIR CANTU: We can't call the 8 9 question yet because we've only heard from two 10 Commissioners. 11 Are there any other Commissioners that 12 would like to take the floor? 13 MR. MORALES: Madam Chair, this is the Staff Director. If I may opine, it might help. 14 15 CHAIR CANTU: Yes, please. It's my belief that, of 16 MR. MORALES: 17 course, the AI is valid since it pertains to 18 Commissioner functions and procedures and was 19 voted on by a majority of Commissioners. But 20 since the Commissioners wrote it, and staff wasn't involved in or consulted in its creation, 21 22 I would leave the intent of the AI to the 23 Commissioners to decide how to proceed. We did the best we could to follow up 24 on how the AI was written to move the process 25

forward, and now we're on the vote. And the vote is up to Commissioners.

But I would also argue that all
Commissioners' rules and AIs apply to the persons
appointed as they would to Commissioners and
staff and members to the State Advisory
Committees. These new appointments to the EAC
don't get any more extraordinary powers and
authority than what's provided and granted to
other folks, including the State Advisory
Committee members.

And so, I would also offer that our General Counsel would provide the individual who is external to the Commission and being appointed to the new Commission to receive an ethics training, just as the State Advisory Committee members receive when they're appointed, so that they understand the parameters of what applies to them and the extent of their authorities. And that might be helpful.

And we could assist Commissioners, if they so would like, with helping them draft an AI that may provide some level of understanding that Commissioner Kladney is seeking and others are seeking.

1 Thank you, Madam Chair. 2 CHAIR CANTU: Thank you. 3 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Madam Chair, it's Kladney again. 4 5 CHAIR CANTU: Yes, just for 6 information, there already are requirements from the U.S. Election Assistance Commission, and the 7 AI would only address any new requirements. 8 9 I also would not support a conditional vote. Ι think that puts a cloud on both nominees, if 10 11 they're conditionally approved. 12 I would, however, continue listening 13 to you folk in terms of what other comments. So, 14 with that in mind, would you proceed, 15 Commissioner Kladney? COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: 16 Yes. Can the General Counsel inform us if all the rules and 17 administrative instructions of the Commission, if 18 19 they apply to these appointees? And if they do, I think that goes a long way towards solving my 20 21 issues, except for a reporting requirement. 22 MR. GANZ: Hi, everyone. 23 David Ganz, GC. 24 So, keep in mind a couple of observations I have. The Sunshine Act and the 25

Federal Advisory Committee Act are applicable to the EAC Board of Advisors, and those statutes require that their meetings be public, that their meetings are public in the same manner in which the Commissions are. So, in terms of reporting, those meetings will be publicly available to the Commissioners and the general public at large.

And with regard to sort of removal and things like that, you know, this appointment and who has the power to, say, remove this nominee, or if the nominee goes forward, this member of the EAC Board of Advisors, you know, generally, the appointing authority, say -- it's not up to the appointing authority to call back their appointee. If the President appoints somebody to the Supreme Court, he can't unilaterally -- this is just a hypothetical, of course -- he can't unilaterally call back that nominee after the Senate confirms them. So, any removal would lie with the EAC Board of Advisors.

And I pulled up their statute, and it doesn't have -- there's a two-year term, per the EAC statute. It doesn't, based on my just basic research I've done right now, you know, there isn't a provision for removal. This is an

advisory committee. So, you know, we're happy to
-- OGC can research the issue regarding how
removals are effectuated under the Federal
Advisory Committee Act, FACA, but I can't offer
anything definitive regarding removals.

COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: What about speaking on behalf of the Commission, using Commission resources, and providing reports to us? Is that applicable?

The reports we would have to put in an IA, I understand, which isn't that difficult, I don't think, and I don't think Commissioner Adams would object to that.

But, as far as all other AIs and things like that regarding speaking on behalf of the Commission, using Commission resources, and things like that, is that applicable?

MR. GANZ: I mean, generally, the body to which someone is being appointed is going to assess the rules, right? So, if the EAC has certain rules regarding how they publish their meeting notes or findings, and such, right, if we issue an AI that conflicts with that -- and we don't know, obviously, what those are because it's their FACA; it's their Advisory Committee,

right? -- that would be problematic. So, generally, when someone's being appointed to be a member of some other agency's body, they need to function within that body's rules, rather than whatever rules we want to set for them.

COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I understand that, but I'm trying to get the idea of whether these appointees can speak on behalf of the Commission for Civil Rights.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Can I answer? Can
I answer? This is Commissioner Yaki.

I believe that I'm an appointee of the United States Commission on Civil Rights, but this is as far as it goes. When I am there, I attempt to represent the interests to the extent that, if there is a position by the Commission on an issue that is referred to the EAC, I will bring it up. Generally, there is not. This is a very technical body that deals with issues of voting, as you know, Commissioner Kladney, voting machines, the Help America Vote Act, but, for the most part, it is drawing upon our expertise that we've developed as Commissioners that we bring to the body.

But I would, because I am constrained,

as we all are, in not being able to speak on behalf of the Commission because that is the purview of the Chair; I think, similarly, it would be very unlikely that -- I think it's probably within our general statute, and even as a parallel to the State Advisory Committees, that they cannot speak on behalf of the Commission. Certainly, I do not and never have.

COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I understand that, Commissioner Yaki. What my question is, is an outside person, are they bound by that rule?

And that's what I'm asking the General Counsel.

If they're treated as an appointee similar to a State Advisory Commission, and they are bound by that rule, that is fine. But we have no AI saying that.

MR. GANZ: Yes, I mean, I'm not sure.

I mean, the question is a good one, but it gets
into the nuances of how federal advisory
committees function and who the members are
representing. And obviously, this person doesn't
need to be -- the EAC appointment statute doesn't
require that the various agencies, including
ours, that they appoint internal, you know, that
that appointee is an employee or an SGE, a

1 Special Government Employee. So, I mean, it 2 doesn't follow that they would be speaking for 3 the Commission, given that they, you know, could be from the general population and a non-4 5 employee. 6 So, I mean, government ethics rules 7 have some bearing on this. They state that an employee of an agency isn't its spokesman, but, 8 9 you know, this potential appointee could be from 10 the general population. So, I mean, I don't 11 think that any advisory committee, when there's 12 an appointee, that that advisory committee takes 13 it that they're speaking on behalf of the appointing authority. It's just that --14 15 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Well, absolutely, it's not an advisory committee 16 17 function --18 CHAIR CANTU: Excuse me. Excuse me. 19 Excuse me. But you can look at the --20 MR. GANZ: 21 CHAIR CANTU: People are talking. 22 MR. GANZ: But you can look at the 23 actual language, the appointing language itself. 24 It does not say, "We are appointing a 25 representative of.... We simply are one of the

enumerated entities that is given the power to 1 2 appoint two individuals. 3 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Right. MR. GANZ: That's my point. The point 4 5 is --6 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes, I think 7 that's a good, I should say that, yes, that's a 8 good observation. 9 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Madam Chair? Madam --10 CHAIR CANTU: Excuse me. Let me 11 12 remind people that it's the Chair that speaks for 13 the Commission, and I've not agreed in the AI to modify the Chair's responsibility to do that. 14 15 So, we are not discussing modifying the Chair's duties and authority in this conversation. 16 17 But I what do appreciate is that you 18 are trying to express to each other what our 19 expectations are, because that will make both our 20 representatives have a very clear understanding 21 of what they can and cannot do. 22 And I appreciate the legal counsel 23 advice that there already are expectations from the EAC, the Election Assistance Commission. 24 25 if there are any additional expectations not

inconsistent with what the EAC wants, this is the right forum and the right place and the Commissioners are the right people to have that conversation.

But I'm wondering how much more time we want to pursue the specifics of this. Does someone have an intervention that would help us get closer to resolving it on this meeting?

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Madam Chair, I just have one quick comment.

CHAIR CANTU: Yes. We have not heard before from Commissioner Heriot. So, yes, you have the floor.

me that the issue of whether or not our designees will be able to speak for the Commission is a pretty easy one and our current rules are already enough. Either they are an agent of the Commission, in which case they are bound by the AI that says that the Chair, and in appropriate circumstances the Staff Director, speaks for the Commission, but others don't. Or, alternatively, they are not an agent of the Commission, in which case, you know, of course, they can't speak for the Commission any more than they can speak for

NASA. You know, it's obvious that they're not 1 2 somehow our agent; then they don't have the 3 authority to speak on our behalf. So that we really don't need a rule here. It's clear, they 4 5 can't speak on behalf of the Commission. 6 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Madam Chair, 7 Kladney. 8 CHAIR CANTU: Yes, Commissioner 9 Kladney? 10 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Thank you, Commissioner Heriot. 11 12 The issue is whether the General 13 Counsel believes they are bound by our rules or not. And I think that's how I started my 14 15 inquiry. 16 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No, it's not --17 if they're an agent, then they're bound by our 18 If they're not, then they're not. it's just it's no different from any other member 19 20 of the public. They can't speak for the 21 Commission because, golly, gee, they're not an 22 agent of the Commission. 23 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Well --24 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We don't need to 25 bind them any more than you would bind anybody

1	else in the 330-some million people in the
2	country.
3	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: So, it's my
4	question, are they an agent of the Commission,
5	No. 1? And No. 2, do we want them to report back
6	to us, or are they just going to be a person
7	expressing their opinion?
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Yaki.
9	And by the statutory definition,
10	they're not agents. The statute
11	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Madam Chair
12	COMMISSIONER YAKI: does not say
13	representatives of the Commission. They are
14	appointees of the Commission.
15	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Madam Chair
16	CHAIR CANTU: I need to start a queue.
17	I have Commissioner Adams.
18	Who's next on the queue?
19	(No response.)
20	Okay. Commissioner Adams, you have
21	the floor.
22	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Very quickly,
23	Commissioner Yaki is exactly right. The wide
24	variety of other government entities designate
25	individuals to serve on this Board of Advisors.

1	The Justice Department does. They're just people
2	who go as appointed people to go provide their
3	expertise. They don't represent anybody.
4	There's a wide variety of other entities that are
5	doing this that Commissioner Yaki has been
6	working with. He's exactly right; they're not an
7	agent of the Commission.
8	CHAIR CANTU: And I believe the
9	language you used in your motion was we were
10	designating folk rather than choosing
11	representatives.
12	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Right.
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Madam Chair?
14	CHAIR CANTU: Yes, Commissioner
15	Heriot.
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Another just
17	quick comment. I know that some people are
18	concerned about the fact that one of the persons
19	on the list here is not a Commissioner and
20	thought that was especially worrisome. But, you
21	know, if that's especially worrisome, you can
22	always change your mind.
23	CHAIR CANTU: I would like to move
24	forward. So, no backsies?
25	(No response.)

In the spirit of moving forward, do we 1 2 have other comments with regards to describing the type of duties and responsibilities? We've 3 heard from our General Counsel that the EAC 4 5 already has described it to some detail. 6 (No response.) 7 Commissioner Adams, do you want to 8 restate your motion, so that we --9 MR. GANZ: Madam --10 CHAIR CANTU: Yes?

MR. GANZ: Pardon me, Chair Cantu.

I'm looking at, actually, the Charter for the EAC Board of Advisors, and it notes that they are going to keep their records, pursuant to FACA, and publish their minutes, and such. So, I mean, these are public meetings. So, there's not really, in my view, a need for them to report back because everything's going to be publicly available.

CHAIR CANTU: And I have gone online and listened to the most recent meeting, and I saw Commissioner Yaki chair the meeting. And I felt that I could have served, but I think the folk are pushing for more of a conversation, like a consultation. Is that where people are going

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	in terms of wanting to hear back? Or do they
2	just want to watch the meeting?
3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I think it would
4	be nice to have reports back.
5	CHAIR CANTU: Would Commissioner Adams
6	consider that, I mean, some of your language that
7	you and I checked in with each other, that no
8	later than 30 days after each meeting, we shall
9	hear, have a brief, one- or two-page written
10	report from each of our designees to the EAC?
11	Commissioner Adams
12	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: I think that
13	would be a great
14	CHAIR CANTU: does that meet your
15	interests?
16	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: I think that
17	would be a great amendment.
18	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Kladney,
19	would that meet your interests?
20	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Whatever. That
21	would be fine.
22	CHAIR CANTU: Thank you.
23	Any other Commissioners have something
24	similar to that or any other comments to that?
25	COMMISSIONER YAKI: This is

Commissioner Yaki.

I would just note that the EAC -- and for the life of me, I've never heard the word "EACK" (phonetic) in five years on the Board of Advisors --

(Laughter.)

CHAIR CANTU: Okay. E-A-C. Thank you. Thank you.

Advisors has one annual meeting and three quarterly briefings on the phone. Within a week or two weeks after each of those quarterly briefings, there is a summary of the minutes distributed to the membership, especially if we take up items that are discussed and voted upon during that time.

so, I would say let's make it reasonable and say 30 days, not a week, because there are a lot of people on that and a lot of words get spoken. And then, most of them are not familiar with parliamentary procedure. So, it can get a little wild. But it all gets distilled down into a summary within two weeks. So, a 30-day report would be more manageable, because then they would have the ability to review the minutes

as well and attach them as an exhibit to whatever 1 2 report came up. 3 CHAIR CANTU: Thank you, Commissioner Yaki. 4 5 Are we ready to vote? 6 (No response.) 7 Do we all know what we're voting on? 8 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Can we restate the motion? 9 10 CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Adams, do you want to take a tact at it or should I? 11 12 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Well, let me tell 13 you what I've written down. To put forward the designation of 14 15 representatives of the Election Assistance --16 excuse me. Start again. 17 To move forward the designations of 18 representatives of the Civil Rights Commission to the Board of Advisors of the United States 19 20 Election Assistance Commission, in conformance 21 with the AI agreed upon at our April business 22 meeting, and including the names exchanged in 23 conformance with that AI. And for the record, that is Commissioner Michael Yaki and Mrs. Cleta 24 25 Mitchell. That includes reporting to the

1	Commission and adherence to all applicable
2	federal ethics rules.
3	CHAIR CANTU: Second?
4	We already had a second to that.
5	That's a restatement of the discussion. Your
6	friendly amendment, Commissioner Kladney, was
7	accepted.
8	I'm ready to call the question, folks.
9	All right. Call the question then.
10	Commissioner Adams, how do you vote?
11	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yes.
12	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Adegbile?
13	COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Aye.
14	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Gilchrist?
15	COMMISSIONER GILCHRIST: Yes.
16	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Heriot?
17	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
18	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Kirsanow?
19	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
20	CHAIR CANTU: Commissioner Kladney?
21	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: No.
22	CHAIR CANTU: Thank you.
23	Commissioner Yaki?
24	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Abstain.
25	CHAIR CANTU: And I vote yes.

One abstention, 1 no, 6 yeses. The
motion passes.
Did I get the math right?
(Laughter.)
COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: It sounds
right.
CHAIR CANTU: Thank you.
And I offer my congratulations to Mrs.
Mitchell and to Commissioner Yaki.
Very well done, folks. Today's
discussion really did inform what our
expectations are, and I appreciate that each of
you were able to voice and to contribute to this
topic.
We now turn to the
COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Madam Chair?
CHAIR CANTU: Yes, please.
COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: One point of
personal privilege after the Staff Director's
report?
CHAIR CANTU: Of course. Is this
Commissioner Yaki?
COMMISSIONER YAKI: Pardon?
COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Adegbile.
CHAIR CANTU: I am so sorry. I will

1	keep trying until I get it right.
2	Commissioner Adegbile, yes, you are
3	added for a point of privilege after the Staff
4	Director's report.
5	Thank you.
6	F. MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS
7	ù STAFF DIRECTOR'S REPORT
8	CHAIR CANTU: We'll now turn to the
9	Staff Director's report.
10	Mr. Morales?
11	MR. MORALES: Thank you, Madam Chair.
12	In the interest of time, I have
13	nothing further to add than what's already
14	contained in the report that you have. As
15	always, I'm available to speak with any
16	Commissioner about any particular piece of
17	information in the report that they wish to
18	discuss.
19	Thank you for your time, Madam Chair.
20	CHAIR CANTU: Thank you, Staff
21	Director.
22	H. DISCUSSION OF VOTE ON THE FINDINGS AND
23	RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE MATERNAL HEALTH CARE
24	REPORT
25	CHAIR CANTU: So, a point of

privilege, please, Commissioner Adegbile. 1 2 COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Yes, Madam 3 Chair. I just wanted to return very briefly 4 5 to an issue that we discussed during our last 6 meeting, which involved the vote on the Findings 7 and Recs, the Findings and Recommendations, associated with the Maternal Health Care Report. 8 9 We had a vigorous discussion at the last meeting, and the vigorous discussion was 10 11 occasioned by certain objections that 12 Commissioner Heriot had to us calling that vote 13 at our last meeting. And as part of framing Commissioner 14 15 Heriot's concern, one of the things that she said is that she thought that there would have been a 16 17 notational vote on the Findings and Recommendations, which never happened. 18 19 urged to Commissioner Heriot that the principal reason that a notational vote never happened is 20 21 because, as we all know, notational votes can't 22 go forward if there's unanimity of Commissioners 23 to proceed with notational votes. And it was then, and it is now, my 24 25 understanding that Commissioner Heriot had

orally, and at her direction, reiterated in writing that she was not prepared to go forward on a notational vote with respect to the Findings and Recommendations, which is her right. She has that right as a Commissioner, and we had understood that that was what she had conveyed.

in which these votes got out of sequence is because we had an unexpected federal holiday declared the day before our scheduled meeting. And so, we were all calibrating very quickly to figure out how we could go forward with a series of votes and start the timeframes running for statements, and the like, associated with the Maternal Health Care Report, because that report is a special one, not because of its subject matter, although I think the subject matter is special also, but because we had designated it as our statutory enforcement report. So, it's the report that, by statute, we have to release in a certain timeframe.

And there was cooperation from the members of the Commission to proceed on a notational on the substance of the report, on the report itself, but the reason that we didn't go

forward and have a notational vote on the

Findings and Recs, which Commissioner Heriot was
expressing some surprise or concern about at our
last meeting, was for the very reason that

Commissioner Heriot herself had conveyed that she
did not consent and would not allow that to go
forward, which, again, I reiterate is her right
to do so.

And in that discussion, I indicated to Commissioner Heriot that we had understood this to be her position, that we were in possession of a writing confirming that to be her position.

And so, we were a little surprised at the vigor with which she resisted our effort to simply call a vote on the Findings and Recs, included language of accusing people -- and I'm not sure exactly whom -- but accusing some of us of bad faith or proceeding in bad faith. And I found that to be surprising because, to my mind, then and now, nobody was proceeding in bad faith. We were just trying to get the votes done, have the votes taken, and then, move on with our work, which is our job and our oath.

And I just want to note, because it was not acknowledged on the record, that the day

before the scheduled meeting -- so, June 17th -Commissioner Heriot's Special Assistant sent a
writing to the Chair that was confirming a
conversation that Commissioner Heriot had with
the Chair. And the purpose of this confirmatory
writing was to note that Commissioner Heriot
supported a notational vote on the report and
timeline; that Commissioner Heriot supported
starting the clock for statements. But
Commissioner Heriot does not -- emphasis "in the
writing" -- support a notational vote on the
Findings and Recommendations.

And so, this is the entire reason that we didn't proceed with a notational vote and why we called it at a regular vote. Our AI says that, if any Commissioner objects, that a vote would have to be called at a full meeting of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

And so, all of this to me is largely water under the bridge. The thing that I was just puzzled about is why the language of Commissioner Heriot would be introduced to accuse the vote, the people trying to take the vote of bad faith, when I don't think, did not observe, certainly did not attend and did not see in

evidence anywhere bad faith on anybody's part, including on her objection to a notational vote, which, as I've said many times, is her right.

But to accuse others of it on this record with the writing that we're in possession of, and that I indicated existed at the time, was disconcerting, and I regard it as inappropriate. Commissioner Heriot and I have served for a long time on this body. We disagree vigorously about many different things, but we do so respectfully. I am unaware of having accused her on the record of bad faith, and I just wanted these facts to be known and clear for the record, so that we could have the avoidance of doubt about what transpired and why we proceeded in the way that we did.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Madam Chair?

CHAIR CANTU: Yes, Commissioner,

please proceed.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We talked about this yesterday, of course. And I'm real surprised that Commissioner Adegbile would bring this up at this point, particularly after the email that I sent last night.

You know, I now better understand why the Commissioner thought that I had said that I

would veto a notational vote back in June.

However, as we discussed in our telephone call

back in June, that is not what I did.

What I did -- and I think it's very clear from the email that you sent to me, Madam Chair, yesterday that you understood at the time. You know, in the email I received from you yesterday, you said that I "made it abundantly clear" -- and this is a quote -- on our phone call of June 17th that I" -- that is me -- "would vigorously oppose the proposed findings and urge the other members of the conservative caucus to vote them down." That's exactly right. That's what I did. And that entailed having the vote and getting the other Commissioners to vote no, not vetoing the notational vote.

Again, in that conversation we had back in June, we agreed at the end of the conversation that you would try to lobby members to pass the Findings and Recommendations, and that I would try to lobby members of the Commission not to pass the Findings and Recommendations. That's utterly inconsistent with the notion that I was getting ready to veto the notational vote.

I looked back at my emails over the time, and indeed, I did lobby members of the conservative caucus to vote no, fully expecting that you were going to schedule a notational vote. Yes, I would have preferred not to have it, but I was not going to veto it. Given the circumstances at the time, we had to move quickly in order to have a report that would be ready by the end of September.

Now it's true that my Special

Assistant wrote an ambiguous email, and that's

probably my fault. At the time that I was

talking to him -- and I don't even remember that

moment right now -- I probably didn't clarify the

difference.

But the difference is important. It is one thing to vote something down; it's another to stand in the way of having a vote. And never did I do that, and never would I do that, given the circumstances of this case.

We had our meeting cancelled for reasons that were totally unrelated to the Commission itself. The federal government declared a holiday. Okay, we needed to get that done. And it didn't happen.

I continue to regard it as absolutely outrageous that we would attempt to pass Findings and Recommendations after the Commissioners' statement had been written and filed. That makes a mockery of the 30-30-10 rule. So, I continue to find that outrageous.

But now, unlike before, I do
understand why, although I think it was error to
believe that somehow I might be veto the
notational vote. The thing to do was either say,
"Hey, Gail, don't do that, because if we can't
vote on this in June, it will be too late by
July." You could have done that or you could
have just tried it. But, instead, you didn't.

I assumed, under the circumstances -and it turns out I was right -- that they
wouldn't pass, and they didn't pass. But, had
they passed, then I would continue to be
absolutely irate that the 30-30-10 rule was
violated.

So, I'm happy to --

CHAIR CANTU: And your statements are welcome because I think it clarifies there were misunderstandings.

It requires, though, for me to say

that this Commission will have to work fast and 3 bipartisan, respectful way. 8 were hurt. 11 12 13 14 15 CHAIR CANTU: And I state --16 17 18

will have to work under challenging times. we should continue to cut each other some slack and not assume any bad faith from any of our colleagues. We are a group that I hope will serve as a model for the country on working in a

And you told me yesterday your feelings were hurt, and I told you my feelings So, going forward from this --

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, but, again -- again -- I will object vociferously to any effort to curtail the 30-30-10 rule every time. So, you know, if you see a situation --

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: -- where you think this is coming down the pike, the best thing to do is to try to deal with it in some other way.

CHAIR CANTU: And I explained to you yesterday that, had the motion passed, we still would have had to follow the 30-30-10, and that would have been the next step. But because we moved so quickly, we never got to that. The vote did fail and --

1

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No, no.

CHAIR CANTU: -- there was no need to go to that question.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Madam Chair, if you had intended to extend the rules beyond the deadline for the end of the fiscal year, which I think would have been illegal, you know, you should have spoken up beforehand. You know, that would be an attempt to accommodate my concerns over the 30-30-10 rule. And if you had wanted the things to pass, you'd need to bring those up ahead of time, and not to say, "But, gee, we could have extended this." You couldn't have extended this. We have a deadline.

## CHAIR CANTU: And I --

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's why we had to get to the agreement back in June, to start the clock ticking. If it was unnecessary to start the clock ticking, then we shouldn't have been led to believe that it was necessary to make these accommodations.

You know, we were trying to be cooperative on our side. We were trying to be in a situation where, yeah, we could get this report out on time and still give Commissioners the time

1 that they need for their statements. The notion 2 that, golly, gee, you know, had we passed the 3 Findings and Recommendations, we could have adjusted the schedule, if that's true, you'd want 4 5 to bring that up before the vote, not after. 6 CHAIR CANTU: The way we talk to each 7 other, and not make assumptions of bad faith, was the reason that I permitted Commissioner Adegbile 8 9 to raise this issue. Because I do want to make 10 the point that mistakes will happen when people 11 are managing very large projects in a very 12 expeditious way, and those mistakes will be 13 corrected. And never will I expect that you would do anything illegal or any other 14 15 Commissioner would do anything illegal. And I would ask the same courtesy from me, to not 16 17 assume that I would ask the Commission to do 18 something illegal. 19 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Don't schedule votes that (audio interference) --20 21 COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Madam Chair? 22 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: -- the 30-30-10 23 rule. 24 CHAIR CANTU: I hear your commitment 25 to the 30-30-10 rule. I share your commitment to

it. 1 2 Commissioner Adegbile? 3 COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: So, it's been a long meeting and I know people want to get on 4 5 with their day. 6 I just want to clarify, so that there is no confusion about this. On June 17th, 7 following an oral conversation between 8 9 Commissioner Heriot and yourself, Commissioner Heriot's Special Assistant sent a writing that 10 11 said, among other things, Commissioner Heriot --12 emphasis added -- does not support a notational 13 vote on the Findings and Recommendations. 14 So, on the strength of that 15 statement --COMMISSIONER HERIOT: 16 That's not a --17 COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: On the --COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I don't want to 18 19 hold up that vote. It's not that I don't --COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: Commissioner 20 21 Heriot, I listened to you. I listened to you. I 22 let you say everything you needed to say and I 23 waited until it came to the end, and then, I 24 began with my remarks, for lots of reasons. Not

only is it our custom, but it's also hard for the

court reporter to transcribe crosstalk. And so, if you could just let me finish, I will be done shortly, and then, you can retask the words that we have on the page in the way that you wish.

But the fact remains that the writing from your Special Assistant to the Chair said, and I quote, among other things, "Commissioner Heriot does not" -- emphasis added; italics for those words -- "support a notational vote on the Findings and Recommendations."

And in our last meeting, you said on the record that you had expected that we would proceed by a notational vote. And under our AI, if a single Commissioner does not agree to proceed by notational vote, we cannot proceed by notational vote.

Now there's lots to say about all of that. Obviously, we know that the most important thing to you is the rule about the timing of the issuance of statements. You've made that abundantly clear. Everybody is entitled to their prerogatives and their commitments to different things.

My simple point is that whatever may be said about what I was doing with respect to

our statutory enforcement report, having seen that statement and having had it conveyed to me, it was reasonable to believe that you would, in fact -- that you had conveyed accurately what your position was, that you were agreeing to a notational vote on one thing, but not the other. And under the force of our rule, that means we can't have a notational vote on the Findings and Recommendations.

So, the idea that you said in a meeting that we should have done that, when you had sent a writing saying that you would block us doing that, just suggests to me that I'm really puzzled about why you would accuse anybody of bad faith on that record, written record.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Bear in mind -bear in mind, Debo -- I didn't see that email
until yesterday. I didn't see that. That --

COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: But, now that you've -- oh, sorry. Sorry, sorry, sorry. Sorry, Commissioner Heriot. Continue.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You know, now that I have seen it, I think it's true that I understand why you thought I would have vetoed it. I don't think that's what Alex said, but I

can understand why, reading that, you would think she's going to veto the notational vote, as opposed to she would prefer that that notational vote not occur and will vote no.

But, as you can see from the record now, and you can look to -- I'll show you my email from June; I'm lobbying people to vote no. I am not trying to prevent the notational vote from occurring.

So, at the time in July, I had not seen that email and did not realize that you might have been misled. But I continue to believe, misled or not, it is inappropriate to schedule Findings and Recommendations for a vote after the Commissioners' statements are in. That is, I think, making a mockery of the rule and should never have been done.

COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: And I would just respond by saying that there is a difference in life and in the Commission between something being inappropriate and accusing people of bad faith. And I quoted a number of times what the statement said, what the writing of your SA said, and there's only one way to read those words.

And it was reasonable, under a combination of our

AI and that statement that was sent to confirm a conversation that you had been a party to, it was reasonable for us to believe that you meant what those words said, and meant it so much that you wanted to emphasize it with a confirmatory writing.

And so, whether you had seen it at that time or not, the idea that you accused people of bad faith, and that now having seen the email, to know what our state of mind was, in light of the writing that your SA sent on your behalf, and are not taking down your words, having accused people of bad faith will just stand. That will just stand. What you said and what you accused people of will stand.

And I understand your objection. I really do. I understand the way in which you value the rule with respect to statements. But I regret that you would accuse people of bad faith with the factual record being as it is in this case, because nobody was proceeding in bad faith.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Again, let me emphasize it. Putting something like that on the agenda after the Commissioners' statements are in is highly inappropriate. And that would have

been so, even if one of the members of the Commission had vetoed a notational vote. You know, our rules allow an individual Commissioner to do that. I don't think any individual Commissioner would have wanted to do that. But if one of them had, it would still be highly inappropriate to amend the thing after the statements are in.

That would just be one of the things that happens when you have a rule that allows one Commissioner to veto a notational vote. A report might have to go out without Findings and Recommendations on the very, very unusual circumstance of a meeting being cancelled because of a declaration of a federal holiday. It is inappropriate to bring something like that to a vote after the statements are finished.

COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Madam Chair,

Kladney here. I would just say -
CHAIR CANTU: Yes, Commissioner

Kladney.

COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: -- that inappropriateness is a far cry in terms of an allegation of bad faith. And I think Commissioner Heriot knows that.

1 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: If you would 2 prefer, if you would prefer to maybe use "highly 3 inappropriate," I hereby change what I said earlier to highly inappropriate. 4 5 CHAIR CANTU: I would prefer that all 6 of us refrain from language that is putting a cloud over people's heads in terms of suggesting 7 that something improper, illegal, outside of our 8 9 bounds of reasonable conduct -- going forward, I really do not want to have this discussion 10 11 continue where folk are accused, and then, later, 12 new language has to be put in. 13 I think we've discussed enough of it today to understand thoroughly folks' positions. 14 15 Commissioner Adegbile, do you want to 16 persist? Or are you --17 COMMISSIONER ADEGBILE: No, I have 18 nothing further. I just wanted the record to be 19 clear about what the facts were as we proceeded. CHAIR CANTU: And I want to thank the 20 21 Special Assistants. They have been working as 22 hard as they can, and they have been doing their 23 best. And I appreciate the Commissioner 24 25 owning her instructions to the assistant and the

1 responses to that. 2 The Special Assistants have been doing 3 a terrific job, as have all employees of the 4 Agency. 5 And when Commissioners talk to each 6 other, we're doing it with the notion that we are 7 a body of folk who believe we can always improve; we can always do better. 8 9 And without pointing it out, as Commissioner Adegbile did, that this is an area 10 that we cannot keep repeating, and it's not 11 12 productive, I think we would have missed an 13 opportunity to have this conversation. So, I'm going to close the meeting 14 15 with an expression that I look forward to our next meeting. I look forward to talking with you 16 17 all between now and the next meeting. And I wish 18 everyone a safe and healthy month before we have 19 our next business meeting. 20 There being no further business, this 21 meeting is concluded. Thank you, everyone. 22 (Whereupon, at 1:58 p.m., the meeting 23 was concluded.) 24

I		1	1	I
	A	80:13	16:8 25:20 38:16	94:14
	ability 46:13 47:12	added 14:1 80:3 92:12	40:18 43:25 54:23	anybody's 85:1
	76:25	93:8	96:24	anytime 9:22
	<b>able</b> 26:8 29:23 37:24	adding 7:14 13:13	agent 70:18,23 71:2,17	apart 20:20
	67:1 70:16 79:13	additional 69:25	71:22 72:4 73:7	apologize 42:15 48:19
	absent 59:7,18	address 26:15 33:15,25	agents 72:10	48:22 60:17
	absolutely 26:16 37:18	59:9 60:2 63:8	aggregate 30:17	Appallingly 21:24
	68:16 88:1,19	addresses 19:23	ago 16:14	apparently 23:5
	<b>abstain</b> 39:20,21 53:12	addressing 17:15 19:20	agree 38:1 61:3 93:14	appear 46:21
	53:15 78:24	27:20 32:2	agreed 7:5 49:24 55:3	appearance 46:8
	abstaining 15:9 40:10	Adegbile 1:15 5:3,4	58:3,5 69:13 77:21	appendix 24:23
	abstention 79:1	39:19,20 43:3,4 45:7	86:18	applicable 21:2 64:1
	abstentions 53:22 54:7	45:7,10 53:6,7 78:12	agreeing 94:5	65:9,17 78:1
	abundantly 86:8 93:21	78:13 79:16,18,24,24	agreement 57:14 59:16	application 17:5
	accepted 78:7	80:2 81:1,2 85:21	90:17	applies 36:11 62:18
	accommodate 90:9	91:8,21 92:2,3,17,20	ahead 4:8 90:12	apply 18:22 62:4 63:19
	accommodations	94:19 95:18 98:15,17	<b>AI</b> 7:5,7 8:14 11:13,16	appoint 39:2 41:3 67:24
	90:21	99:10	55:3,6 56:2,3,20	69:2
	accomplishments 49:8	adequate 16:20	57:10 59:6,9,16,20	appointed 38:19 62:5
	accounts 29:16	adherence 78:1	61:2,17,22,25 62:22	62:14,17 65:19 66:2
	accurate 57:19	Adjourn 3:25	63:8 65:23 67:16	73:2
	accurately 94:4	adjusted 91:4	69:13 70:20 77:21,23	appointee 12:24 41:13
	accuse 84:22 85:4	administration 44:10	84:15 93:13 96:1	41:15,16,17,18,19,20
	94:14 96:19	44:14 45:22	aides 29:3,4	41:21,22,23,24,25
	accused 46:10 51:12	administrative 63:18	Als 57:14 62:4 65:14	56:10 64:15 66:12 67:13,25 68:9,12
	85:11 96:8,13,15	adopt 45:1 61:2,3 adopted 12:6	Alex 94:25 ALFREDA 2:9	appointees 9:9,19 42:7
	98:11	adopted 12.0 adoption 22:10 58:20	Alice 49:10,11	43:19 56:5 63:19 66:8
	accusing 83:16,17	advantages 17:6	ALISON 2:24	72:14
	95:21	advice 40:20 69:23	allegation 97:24	appointing 12:22 38:24
	achieve 47:2	<b>Advisors</b> 12:4,15 13:8	allow 32:14 83:6 97:3	60:8 64:13,14 68:14
	acknowledged 83:25 Act 17:23 20:16 50:25	55:1 58:8,22 64:2,12	allows 97:10	68:23,24
	63:25 64:1 65:4 66:21	64:20 72:25 74:13	alternatively 70:22	appointment 3:10
	action 9:2 17:22	76:5,10 77:19	ambiguous 87:11	38:14,17 61:5 64:9
	actions 17:16 19:12	advisory 3:4,6,7,9,11	amend 6:15,25 7:8 8:4	67:22
	20:2	7:3 12:3 14:4 15:14	9:13 38:24 54:23,24	appointments 3:11,15
	active 12:22	15:17,20,22 16:12,22	97:7	11:11 38:24 40:16,19
	activities 10:12	24:21 25:22 26:2,5,20	amended 14:11	45:22 54:10,14 59:19
	activity 12:12	27:3 38:13,17,20 39:3	amendment 27:12,16	62:7
	actors 22:19	40:15,18 41:4,13 62:6	57:9,16 61:1,4 75:17	appoints 64:15
	actual 36:6 68:23	62:10,16 64:1 65:1,4	78:6	appreciate 34:4 38:4
	<b>Adams</b> 1:13 5:1,2 6:8	65:25 67:6,14,19	amendments 6:5	69:17,22 79:12 98:24
	6:10,13,16,17,20,20	68:11,12,16	America 66:21	apprised 58:17,19
	6:21,22,23 7:18,19,20	advocate 30:20	American 23:22 40:24	approaches 46:24
	7:22 10:5,7 13:15,16	advocates 16:16 afford 37:24 50:16	amount 9:7 57:3 58:16 ample 12:25	<b>appropriate</b> 42:5 44:8 70:20
	14:6 39:8,8,10,17,18	African-Americans	Amy 2:22 46:1 47:17	approval 3:2 6:1,3
	43:1,2 52:2,3,4,5,17	23:22	analyzes 46:23	approve 6:6 14:11
	52:19 53:4,5 54:19,20	afternoon 16:10	ancestry 18:7 19:17	44:20
	54:22 56:14,22 57:4,5	<b>AG</b> 20:6	23:18	approved 40:12 63:11
	57:8,23 58:1 59:22,23 59:24,25 60:14,17,19	agencies 31:19,19	anniversary 27:11	<b>April</b> 7:5 8:2 20:16 55:3
	60:24 65:12 69:9	67:23	annual 17:13 22:23,25	57:14 58:4 60:3,4,21
	72:11,15,17,20,22	agencies' 20:23	23:12 76:10	77:21
	73:12 74:7 75:5,11,12	agency 24:8 68:8 99:4	answer 28:4 34:5 66:10	apt 25:8
	75:16 77:10,12 78:10	agency's 66:3	66:11	area 30:14 99:10
	78:11	agenda 3:2 6:1,3,4,5,6	answered 11:14	areas 24:16,17
	adapt 12:9	6:15,25 7:8,15 8:5	Anti-defamation 20:10	arena 24:4
	add 13:20 19:22 35:5	9:14 13:13,20 14:7,11	anybody 71:25 73:3	argue 19:14 62:3
		I	I	I

Biddeford 20:22 arranged 4:15 53:7.9.20 78:13 С arson 19:9 24:10 ayes 14:15 15:6 **big** 51:10 C 3:11 4:1 40:15 **ASCD** 2:8 47:11 biggest 28:23 C-suite-level 29:10 В **Asian-Americans bill** 51:8 calibrating 82:11 23:22 **B** 3:9 18:17 38:13 bind 71:25,25 call 4:16,23 6:4 39:15 asked 16:18 babysitters 37:25 bipartisan 11:5 89:7 39:16 42:20,20 53:1 asking 16:16 22:1 back 10:11 11:15 27:10 **Bird** 20:15 61:8 64:14.18 78:8.9 bit 31:10 33:9 35:14 48:21 67:12 56:3 57:12 58:16 83:14 86:2.10 assault 19:9 24:9 59:20 64:14,18 72:5 49:25 called 12:4 57:5 84:15 assertions 16:24 74:18 75:1,4 86:1,3 black 20:20 28:13 49:5 84:17 assess 65:20 86:18 87:1 90:17 **block** 94:12 calling 81:12 assets 46:15 backsies 73:24 **Board** 12:3,15 13:8 **campus** 24:5 assist 8:1 62:21 bad 83:17.18.20 84:24 55:1 58:8,21 64:2,12 campuses 17:2 64:20 72:25 74:13 **Assistance** 3:16 7:4,17 85:1,12 89:4 91:7 cancelled 87:21 97:14 7:23 14:13 54:11,15 94:14 95:21 96:9,13 76:4,9 77:19 care 3:23 29:3 37:24 55:2 58:8,12 63:7 96:19,21 97:24 **body** 11:9 60:9 65:18 80:23 81:8 82:15 69:24 77:15,20 Baer 47:6 66:3,19,24 85:9 99:7 careers 30:23 32:10 bodv's 66:4 assistant 45:19,25 bail 3:13 43:24 44:3,5,8 caring 37:4 **BOHOR** 2:6 47:15,16 84:2 87:11 44:23 45:2 46:4,11,13 CARISSA 2:21 **bonus** 30:2 92:10 93:6 98:25 47:3 48:2 50:8,16,18 Carolina 26:6,19 49:6 assistants 2:18 44:16 50:23 51:14 **borrow** 46:3 carries 15:11 47:18 52:9 98:21 99:2 balance 50:4 51:16 bound 67:11,15 70:19 case 19:14 20:17 70:19 associated 81:8 82:14 bar 20:22 71:13,17 70:24 87:20 96:21 assume 23:11 89:4 barriers 17:10 21:11 **bounds** 98:9 cases 20:23 Bradford 41:16 91:17 barring 32:22 cash 3:13 43:24 44:3,5 **assumed** 88:15 based 18:6 19:16 27:24 Brandon 41:24 44:23 45:2 48:2 50:18 assumptions 91:7 64:23 breaching 36:7 casually 36:2 basic 64:23 assurance 46:7 break 23:13 catch 29:23 attach 77:1 basis 13:6 30:6 31:7,8 breaking 20:22 categorize 32:3 attack 20:24 bear 29:21 37:16 41:9 BRENSON 2:7 category 23:20 attempt 66:15 88:2 90:9 94:16,17 **BRIAN** 2:15 caucus 86:12 87:3 attempted 18:1 bearing 57:19 68:7 **bridge** 84:20 cause 46:11 50:20 attend 84:25 **beat** 20:19 **brief** 75:9 cautious 50:13,19 attendance 5:16 began 45:17 49:24 briefing 16:15,23 19:5 celebrate 27:11 attending 4:18 92:24 22:21 44:4 Census 27:1 attention 21:13 25:10 behalf 65:7,15 66:8 **briefings** 47:12 76:11 certain 17:22 58:15 25:11 67:2,7 68:13 71:3,5 76:13 65:21 81:11 82:21 **Attorney** 17:20 20:3 96:12 briefly 81:4 certainly 12:24 50:3 Attorneys 19:2 **belief** 61:16 **bring** 17:21 66:18,23 67:8 84:25 85:21 90:11 91:5 audio 91:20 **believe** 25:17 50:3,23 Chair's 69:14.15 audit 33:11 50:24,25 56:13 57:18 97:16 **Chairman** 6:8.16.24 bringing 11:3 auditorium 49:4 66:12 73:8 88:9 90:20 challenging 89:2 August 1:5 4:4,12 94:3 95:13 96:3 99:7 **broad** 22:10 35:16 **chance** 28:1 49:20,22 Austin 47:5 believes 71:13 broader 19:21 change 32:5,20,21 authorities 62:19 **Belmar** 41:15 brought 12:14 17:4,16 73:22 98:3 19:1 20:5,17 59:7 authority 62:9 64:13,14 **belong** 22:18 changing 22:13 Benedict 49:5 68:14 69:16 71:3 **Brown** 41:16 **charge** 19:22 authorize 42:4 beneficiaries 49:8 bucketful 25:7 **charged** 20:14 24:8 availability 17:12 benefit 22:8 buckets 32:4 50:13 available 23:1,3,12 64:6 **budgets** 37:24 benefits 29:14 **charges** 19:1 20:5 74:19 80:15 best 61:24 89:17 98:23 **build** 22:6 Charlene 41:17 **avenue** 37:19 **better** 24:17,18 59:11 **bumps** 30:4 Charter 74:12 avenues 20:23 **burden** 37:16 85:24 99:8 chatting 49:18 avoidance 85:14 Bureau 27:2 beyond 19:13 90:5 checked 75:7 **awareness** 32:4,6,10 bias 23:16 33:18 business 1:3 3:3 4:10 Chief 2:8 47:10 32:12,13 **bias-** 17:15 4:20 7:5 8:2 15:13,17 child 37:24 ave 14:14 15:5 39:24 bias-motivated 17:22 24:12 29:9 38:21 55:4 children 29:21 30:4,6 40:7,8,9 43:4,6,14 60:3 77:21 99:19,20 19:3 34:19,23

chilling 22:19 **choice** 19:16 **choose** 28:17 32:8 choosing 73:10 **Chorus** 14:15 15:6 **CHRISTIAN** 1:13 church 24:4 circulated 45:1 circumstance 97:14 circumstances 70:21 87:7,20 88:15 City 27:23 civil 1:1 3:13,15 4:10 7:2 17:17,20,22,23 18:24 19:24 20:2,7,9 20:24 22:11 40:20 43:24 44:2,12,15 51:15 54:10,14 66:9 66:13 77:18 84:18 claims 31:12,18 32:14 clarifies 88:23 clarify 87:14 92:6 **Class** 18:17 classic 48:11 **clear** 48:8.13 69:20 71:4 85:13 86:5.9 93:21 98:19 Cleta 58:7 77:24 **clock** 84:9 90:18,19 close 32:11 33:9 37:5 46:8 99:14 closely 42:16 closer 70:8 cloud 63:10 98:7 Code 19:2.9 collaboratively 52:11 collateral 46:17 **colleagues** 30:24 54:5 89:5 collects 22:24 **college** 17:1 24:5 49:5 49:5 collegial 11:5 color 18:7 19:17 28:12 Columbia 40:22 combination 95:25 combined 44:11 come 5:24 12:11 59:20 comes 4:11 12:10 coming 89:17 comment 48:21 70:10 73:17 commented 49:19 comments 48:1 51:19 51:25 52:23 63:13 74:2 75:24 **Commission** 1:1,8 3:4 3:15,16 4:4,10 7:2,4

7:17,23 8:16,22,25 9:10,10,18,19,24 10:2 10:11,18 11:24 13:6,9 14:12,13 15:15,18 38:18,19 40:20 42:3 44:4,15 50:3 52:11,20 54:9,11,14,15 55:1,2 56:6,7 58:9,12 60:8 60:12,21 62:14,15 63:7,18 65:7,8,16,16 66:9,13,16 67:2,7,14 68:3 69:13,24 70:16 70:19,22,23,25 71:5 71:21,22 72:4,13,14 73:7 77:18,20 78:1 82:23 84:18 86:22 87:23 89:1 91:17 95:20 97:2 Commissioners 4:20 4:22 5:16 6:7,15 11:9 12:7 16:11 25:14 26:17 34:11 39:5 40:14 41:1,6 43:21 44:16 47:18 48:2 49:1 51:20 52:10,18 55:5 58:14 61:10,11,19,20 61:23 62:2,5,21 64:7

99:5 Commissioners' 62:4 88:3 95:15 96:24 Commissions 64:5 commitment 91:24,25 commitments 93:22 committed 18:16 20:13 **committee** 3:6,7,9,11 7:3 15:20,22,24 16:12 16:15 17:14 24:21 25:22 26:2,5,20,21 27:3,8,21 38:7,14,18 38:20,23 39:3 40:16 40:19 41:4,13 62:11 62:16 64:1 65:1,4,25 68:11,12,16

66:23 70:3 75:23

81:22 86:15 90:25

Committee's 16:5,23 26:6 27:17 28:20 committees 3:4 13:3 14:5 15:14,18 17:5 62:7 67:6,20 committing 19:11 communication 22:6 communities 16:18 21:9 50:6,21 community 21:16,25 22:4,17 51:17 company 35:19 compared 28:7 comparison 24:14 complaints 31:11 complement 20:9 **complete** 37:10,10 completed 4:14 45:24 completion 37:6 compliment 48:8 component 32:8 components 12:5 comprehensive 22:8 concern 27:7 81:15 83:3 concerned 73:18 concerns 50:6 90:9 **concluded** 99:21,23 concurrence 59:18 conditional 59:19 61:5

conditionally 59:15 63:11 conditioned 59:6 conditions 46:7 conduct 17:16,18,23,25 18:11 19:20 33:11 98:9

63:9

conducted 12:18 conducting 4:20 conference 4:5,7,21 confidence 58:18 confirm 4:22 96:1 confirmatory 84:5 96:5 confirming 7:15 83:12 84:3

confirms 64:19 conflicts 65:23 conformance 7:4,7 55:3,5 77:20,23 confusion 92:7 congratulate 38:6 43:18 congratulations 79:8

connection 10:21

consent 83:6

conservative 86:12 87:3 consider 37:14 50:4 56:18,23 57:8,15 61:1 75:6

consequences 46:17

considered 37:15 constellation 30:16 Constitution 50:7 constitutional 19:24 47:3

constrained 66:25 consultation 74:25 consulted 61:21 contact 43:17

contained 54:24 80:14 contains 23:10 context 50:2 continue 11:18 13:9 46:14 63:12 88:1,5,18 89:3 94:21 95:12 98:11 continued 46:1 continues 27:19 contribute 11:19 31:20 79:13 control 9:25 controversy 13:19 convened 1:8 conversation 11:10 69:16 70:4 74:24 84:4 86:17,19 92:8 96:2 99:13 conveyed 82:6 83:5 94:2,4 **convicted** 49:14,15 50:14 51:12 cooperation 82:22

cooperative 90:23 coordinated 45:20 coordinating 20:2 copious 58:16 cornerstone 50:10 corollary 30:1 corrected 91:13 correctly 15:25 26:9 41:11 counsel 1:22 44:13 47:8 56:9 62:13 63:17

67:12 69:22 71:13 74:4 count 54:5 counterparts 28:11 30:19

country 21:2 29:16 50:7 72:2 89:6 County 19:1

course 24:14 28:4 30:5 31:14 33:3 35:3,21 47:14 61:17 64:17 70:24 79:21 85:20

couple 10:10 63:24

**court** 5:18 18:16 20:14 46:8,22 64:16 93:1 **courtesy** 91:16

cousins 26:9 COVID-19 37:8 COZART 2:19 CRAWLEY 5:19 creation 61:21 crime 17:13,13 18:17 19:3,8,11 20:13 21:8 22:8,23,25 23:1,10,17

23:25 24:8,9,16,22 departed 45:21 **discussion** 3:9.11.12 **economy** 37:22 **EDT** 1:9 46:10 51:8 Department 73:1 3:14,21 7:14 10:4 departments 22:12 crimes 3:6 15:20 16:6 11:18 12:2 14:23 effect 18:19 22:20 30:8 38:13,16 39:12 40:15 16:13,15,17 17:1,11 dependent 30:12 30:9 37:8,23 19:4,7 20:16 21:3,4 dependents 37:5 40:18 42:17,19 43:23 effectuated 65:3 depends 36:10 40:20 21:12 22:24 23:9,13 44:1,21,22 45:12 effort 8:4 83:14 89:13 23:21 24:2,19,21 describe 34:5 48:14 54:9,13,18 **efforts** 44:11 47:13,16 criminal 17:17 18:20 described 74:5 56:17,19,20 57:23 either 12:18 31:7 70:18 19:2,9,21 20:2,5,9,25 describing 74:2 78:5 79:11 80:22 81:9 88:10 deserve 49:21 81:10 83:9 98:10 elaborate 35:13 57:24 20:25 crosstalk 93:1 designate 58:6 72:24 discussions 12:13 **election** 3:15 7:4,16,23 **cry** 97:23 designated 82:18 27:22 57:20 60:3 12:23 14:13 54:11,15 culminated 21:24 designating 38:22 **disparity** 29:8 30:5 55:2 63:7 69:24 77:15 cultural 21:11 30:22 73:10 disproportionately 77:20 31:7 32:8 designation 77:14 30:12 **Elections** 58:8,12 culture 31:2 35:17 disruptions 37:17 designations 7:1 54:25 elements 19:8 current 12:25 20:5 distilled 76:22 email 85:23 86:5,7 distinct 17:19 70:17 designee 58:19 87:11 94:17 95:7,11 currently 12:13 30:13 **designees** 10:9 70:15 distributed 49:13 76:14 96:10 75:10 **District** 19:2 40:22 46:24 **emails** 87:1 curtail 89:13 destruction 18:4 disturbing 16:25 **emphasis** 84:10 92:12 custody 46:6 detail 31:23 74:5 doing 6:4 10:1 11:10 93:8 **custom** 92:25 determined 13:24 36:14 73:5 93:25 **emphasize** 22:22 96:5 cut 42:23 89:3 determining 17:10 94:13 98:22 99:2,6 96:23 developed 66:23 doubt 19:13 85:14 **employee** 32:12 33:2 D developers 29:11 downturn 37:22 67:25 68:1,5,8 **D** 3:1,12 4:1 43:23 **Diane** 15:22 draft 9:15,16 44:1,18,23 **employees** 32:24 34:18 59:11,20 62:22 daily 25:10 difference 51:9,10 35:20,22 36:21 42:2 87:15,16 95:19 drafted 37:11 99:3 **damage** 18:3 data 17:13 22:24 27:2 differences 33:22 **draw** 21:13 **employer** 30:25 31:8 28:6 33:13,14 34:2 different 46:24.25 47:1 drawing 66:22 **employers** 32:24 33:1 38:2 71:19 85:10 93:22 drug 49:12 33:10,17 34:18 36:7 36:13,15 **David** 1:18 47:8 63:23 differently 51:12 drugs 49:13 day 4:3 60:7 76:24 difficult 9:16 59:10 due 4:19 21:8 22:3 employment 27:9,17 82:10 83:25 92:5 65:11 49:14 31:3,10,13 days 46:16 59:16,17 difficulties 33:12 **Dunston** 2:8 47:11 employment-related duties 69:16 74:3 75:8 76:18 digital 48:12 32:17 **deadline** 90:6,14 direction 82:1 encouraging 33:17 Ε deal 8:2,3 12:10 89:18 **Director** 1:21 5:21 enforced 32:15 deals 49:14 66:19 11:12 39:6 40:11 41:7 **E** 3:1,14 4:1,1 54:9 enforcement 16:16 dearly 50:10 42:4 43:17 44:14 47:4 **E-A-C** 8:15 76:7 21:16 22:5,9 24:7,18 dearth 21:7 61:14 70:21 80:21 **EAC** 8:15,20,23 9:2,3,4 31:11,15 32:4,16,17 **Debo** 1:15 94:17 **Director's** 3:19 79:19 9:19,21 10:12,19 82:19 94:1 debt 51:5 enhancement 19:15 80:4.7.9 11:25 12:7 56:5,10,10 decide 61:23 disability 18:8 19:18 enjoin 17:22 62:7 64:2,12,20,23 23:19,24 declaration 97:15 65:20 66:17 67:22 enlightening 25:3 declared 82:10 87:24 disagree 85:9 69:24 70:1 74:4,13 **ensure** 44:9 decouple 14:24 disclosure 36:1 75:10 76:2 entailed 86:14 defendant 46:5,10 disconcerting 85:7 **EACK** 76:4 **enter** 32:9 discrimination 27:10 entire 11:9 84:13 defendant's 19:10,16 earlier 27:17 98:4 27:18 entities 69:1 72:24 73:4 19:23 **early** 12:7 discuss 7:15 8:14 9:14 defendants 46:21 earn 28:9,10,10,11,13 entitled 93:21 32:7 80:18 entity 50:18 defining 25:8 28:14,15,16 definition 35:16 72:9 discussed 14:18,24 enumerated 69:1 earned 25:6 57:11 76:15 81:5 86:2 earnestly 51:16 envisioned 60:20 definitive 65:5 **delay** 23:7 98:13 Eastern 4:12 equity 33:11 democracy 50:10 discussing 32:25 35:18 error 88:8 easy 70:17 demographics 22:14 36:20 41:1 69:15 echo 51:18 **especially** 8:17 9:20

extremist 16:25 92:13 93:10 94:8 fully 33:21 87:3 12:23 22:13 73:20.21 function 66:4 67:20 76:14 95:14 97:12 F essentially 37:11 fine 67:15 75:21 68:17 ethics 62:15 68:6 78:2 **F** 3:17 80:6 finish 6:11 93:2 functions 61:18 ethnicity 23:17 **FACA** 65:4.25 74:15 finished 97:17 further 23:16,20 25:18 first 6:2 11:4,10 13:7 Evaluation 44:12 facility 24:13 42:17,19 48:14 54:17 EVELYN 2:6 fact 24:20 60:11,13 15:16,21 17:6 20:17 80:13 98:18 99:20 ever-present 47:11 73:18 93:5 94:4 27:14 28:6 50:25 future 13:10 18:11,12 52:21 56:25 59:20 **futures** 29:23 Everybody 93:21 factor 29:16 30:7 37:14 everything's 74:18 firsthand 35:3 60.4 G evidence 19:10 85:1 fiscal 90:6 factors 28:20,23 30:16 exactly 25:2 26:11 32:7 fitting 49:3 **G** 3:1,21 4:1 33:13 36:11 58:5 facts 85:12 98:19 five 27:22 76:4 Gail 1:16 88:11 59:13,13 72:23 73:6 factual 96:20 flexibility 19:6 **Gantz** 47:8 83:17 86:13 **Ganz** 63:22,23 65:18 fail 89:25 floor 39:11 44:19 45:11 52:1 57:22 58:25 examination 27:8 fair 44:9 47:3 67:17 68:20,22 69:4 **example** 23:21 24:3 fairly 35:23 61:12 70:13 72:21 74:9,11 28:13 49:19 52:15 faith 83:18,18,20 84:24 **floors** 30:13 gap 3:8 26:3,7,22 27:3 Florida 3:11 40:15,18 exception 38:3 85:1,12 89:4 91:7 27:6,18 28:7,8,11,21 41:14 42:7 excerpt 24:22 94:15 95:22 96:9,13 28:24 29:17 31:21 **exchanged** 7:6 55:5 96:19,21 97:24 Florida's 41:4 32:3,11 33:4,9,20 focus 12:8 17:3 gaps 28:16 77:22 fall 49:6 **excuse** 68:18,18,19 familiar 76:21 folk 40:12 63:13 73:10 Garcia 39:2,4 69:11 77:16 far 58:10 65:14 66:14 74:24 98:11 99:7 **gathering** 33:14 34:1 folks 11:2 25:17 62:10 execute 42:4 97:23 **GC** 63:23 Executive 46:3 Fascinating 36:24 78:8 79:10 qee 71:21 90:12 91:2 exemplary 25:22 fashion 46:22 47:2 folks' 98:14 gender 3:8 18:8 23:19 exhibit 77:1 57:13 follow 61:24 68:2 89:22 23:19 26:3,7,22 27:2 exist 31:2 fast 29:4 60:23 89:1 **following** 41:3 92:8 27:6,18 28:7,8,21,24 existed 85:6 fatherhood 30:2 follows 41:7 29:17 31:21 32:2,11 existing 32:17 **fault** 87:12 food 29:4 33:9.20 **expect** 28:13,15 91:13 favor 14:14 15:5 forbidding 32:24 33:1 general 1:22 4:17 44:13 expectations 69:19,23 fear 21:14 **forbids** 35:19 47:8 56:9 62:13 63:17 69:25 79:12 **February** 44:5 45:18 force 18:2 29:21,24 64:7 67:5,12 68:4,10 expected 93:12 60:2 94:7 71:12 74:4 expecting 87:3 federal 20:14,16,18,25 form 8:23 9:14 **General's** 17:21 20:3 expeditious 91:12 31:19 32:13,18 33:7 format 48:12 generally 37:15 64:12 expeditiously 43:22 44:7,9 64:1 65:3 former 45:17,25 47:15 65:18 66:2,18 expenses 34:24 67:19 78:2 82:9 87:23 47:16 **genesis** 45:14 experiences 52:8 97:15 **forms** 35:16 Gerald 47:5 formulating 33:15 experiencing 21:22 federally 32:22 getting 31:4 36:4 86:15 forum 70:2 **expertise** 66:22 73:3 86:24 feel 22:1 forward 9:12 12:11 41:2 experts 27:24 44:6 feelings 89:9,9 Gilchrist 1:14 5:5,6 explain 33:20,22 49:25 fellow 47:17 49:1 51:20 59:6 62:1 64:11 73:24 7:11,12 39:23,24 42:8 explained 89:20 felt 50:1 74:23 74:1 77:14,17 81:22 42:9,10,11,12 43:5,6 explicit 11:16 82:2,12 83:1,7 89:10 fewer 29:14 48:16,17,19,24,25 explicitly 60:21 fifth 54:13 98:9 99:15,16 51:25 52:18 53:8,9 exponential 22:18 figure 82:12 found 22:25 49:17 78:14,15 **express** 43:20 48:5,12 **file** 45:5 83:18 give 10:16 34:18 57:2 69:18 filed 88:4 four 58:4 90:25 **expressing** 72:7 83:3 final 44:1,17,23 45:2 framework 17:15 given 9:19 27:14,16 expression 99:15 finally 24:11 44:17 framing 81:14 49:20 68:3 69:1 87:6 expressions 53:1 **freedom** 51:11 find 36:3 52:13 88:6 87:19 gives 19:6 extend 90:5 Friday 1:5 4:12 **findings** 3:21 21:1 extended 90:13,14 friendly 57:9,16 61:1,4 goal 47:2 26:23 28:20 65:22 **extent** 62:19 66:15 80:22 81:6,7,17 82:3 78:6 **God** 36:3 golly 71:21 91:2 external 62:14 full 5:15 10:11 18:19 83:2,15 84:12 86:11 extraordinary 62:8 86:20,22 88:2 91:3 84:17 government 42:2 44:7

44:9 68:1,6 72:24 87:23 governmental 24:13 46:6 qoverns 8:15 grandmother 49:9 grant 27:15 granted 62:9 granular 33:13 graphs 48:8 gratitude 43:20 48:13 greater 29:8 **GREENE** 2:9 grounds 56:11 group 9:15 18:15 89:5 groups 21:15 22:20 23:23 24:13 Guam 40:24 guaranteed 27:12 guess 8:13 13:16 56:8 59:4 **quest** 14:4 quidelines 12:6,11 guilty 50:9

# Н

H 80:22 hand-published 48:11 hands 56:10,11 haphazardly 51:3 happen 33:3 87:25 91:10 **happened** 81:18,20 happens 31:2,7 97:10 happy 13:5 28:4 34:5,6 65:1 88:21 hard 38:7 48:6 51:20 92:25 98:22 harm 50:20 harsh 51:6 hate 3:6 15:20 16:5,13 16:15,17 17:1,10,12 19:4 20:13,15 21:3,4 21:8,12 22:8,23,24 23:9,13,21,25 24:2,16 24:19,21,22 hate-motivated 16:19 19:7,20 22:16 heads 98:7 health 3:23 4:19 29:4 80:23 81:8 82:15 healthy 99:18 hear 7:10,13 15:21 45:6 55:11 56:25 57:1 75:1 75:9 91:24

35:2 42:14 44:6 61:9 70:11 74:4 76:3 hearing 37:10 52:18 hearings 29:18 heavier 31:25 heavy 24:16 heinous 46:10 held 16:15 27:23 44:4 47:12 help 22:1 32:11 33:15 54:6 61:14 66:21 70:7 helpful 62:20 helping 62:22 **Heriot** 1:16 5:7,8 39:25 40:1 43:7,8 53:10,11 70:9,12,14 71:11,16 71:24 73:13,15,16 75:3 77:8 78:16,17 81:12,19,25 83:2,5,10 84:4,6,8,10,22 85:8 85:16,19 89:11,16 90:1,4,16 91:19,22 92:9,11,16,18,21 93:8 94:16,21,22 96:22 97:25 98:1 Heriot's 81:15 84:2 92:10 Hey 88:11 Hi 63:22 high 32:9 45:23 46:1 47:20 high-income 30:3 high-level 28:3 high-paying 34:25 **higher** 30:21 highest 21:20 highest-paid 30:3 highest-paying 29:7,9 highlight 31:23 52:7 **highlights** 16:12 28:3 highly 40:21 96:25 97:6 98:2,4 Hill 41:17 hired 31:4 hiring 30:21 Hispanics 23:23 Historical 49:5 history 33:2 hold 92:19 holds 28:25 holiday 82:9 87:24 97:15 home 24:22 29:4 homelessness 19:19 **honor** 45:5 hope 13:9 47:21 89:5

hopefully 28:1 41:10

hour 20:20

human 33:19 hurt 89:9,10 Hyde 41:18 hypothetical 64:17

IA 65:11 idea 50:8,14 61:1 66:7 94:10 96:8 ideally 33:7 **identified** 17:9 57:13 identifying 24:18 identity 23:19 II 3:3 15:13 III 3:25 illegal 31:1 36:13,16 90:7 91:14,15,18 98:8 **imagine** 35:19 **Immigrants** 21:12 **impact** 22:16,18 impacted 44:7 implementation 12:5 **implications** 3:13 43:24 44:2 51:15 implicit 33:18 **important** 52:12 87:16 93:18 importantly 16:20 **impose** 36:7,16 imposed 51:7 improper 98:8 improve 99:7 improvements 20:11 inappropriate 85:7 95:13,21 96:25 97:7 97:16 98:3,4 inappropriateness 97:23 incidents 16:19 20:20 22:16 23:14 include 7:1 14:11,17 18:24 included 20:11 24:23 52:21 56:2 83:15 includes 29:3 32:21 77:25 including 7:6 16:24 44:14 55:4 62:10 67:23 77:22 85:2 inclusive 11:8 incomes 29:22 30:11 **inconsistent** 70:1 86:23 incorporate 57:10

incorporated 57:17,21

increase 30:5

**Indians** 23:23

increases 34:18

indefinitely 18:22

indicate 24:16 indicated 21:19 83:9 85:6 individual 22:17 24:12 51:16 62:13 97:3,4 individual's 19:24 50:5 individuals 20:6 42:1 51:7 58:21 69:2 72:25 industry 29:5 inform 28:22 63:17 79:11 **information** 9:18 10:16 12:10 23:11 30:24 35:22 63:6 80:17 **informed** 10:1,18 initiated 20:7 injunction 18:10 20:3 injunctions 18:19 injustices 51:6 innocence 50:8 input 9:13 47:10,19 inquire 10:14 11:12 inquiry 27:17 37:20 71:15 **instances** 36:9.12 instantaneously 46:13 instructions 63:18 98:25 intended 90:5 intent 61:22 intentional 19:23 intentionally 18:1,2 interest 13:3 80:12 interesting 4:18 interests 66:15 75:15 75:19 interfere 18:2 interfered 18:1 interference 19:23 91:20 internal 67:24 **interns** 44:16 interrupt 6:12 intervention 70:7 interventions 52:25 introduced 84:22 introductions 13:2 inviting 16:11 involved 12:21 22:19 23:17 24:10 61:21 81:6 involvement 44:7 lowa 3:9 38:13,17,20,23 39:4 40:12 **irate** 88:19 **IRENA** 2:25 Islands 40:23,24 issuance 22:22 93:20

heard 13:12 27:24

29:19,19 30:1,7,14 31:9,24 33:2 34:21

issue 33:15 55:24 65:2 65:23 66:17 70:15 71:12 81:5 91:9 issued 27:9,25 issues 57:10 59:7 60:2 63:21 66:19 italics 93:8 item 6:3 7:15 13:25 25:20 38:15 40:17 44:1 54:13 items 14:7,17,24 31:20 76:15 J

**J** 1:13 jail 46:6 50:15 jailhouse 46:14,16 **James** 20:15 **JASON** 2:20 jaws 20:22 jeopardizing 21:14 **job** 34:25 47:7 48:9 51:13 83:23 99:3 **iobs** 29:2,3,4,9 30:3,11 **JOHN** 2:12 Johnson 49:10,17 51:3 51:4 **Jr** 20:15 **Juan** 39:2 **JUANDA** 2:14 judge 17:24 **July** 16:14 38:21 88:13 95:10 **June** 84:1 86:1,3,10,18 88:12 90:17 92:7 95:7 jurisdictions 46:25 justice 44:10 73:1

### Κ

Katherine 45:17 keep 58:17,19 63:24 74:14 80:1 99:11 Khiel 15:23,24 16:1,1,2 16:3,3,4,9 25:1,12,14 25:19,21,25 **Kidwell** 41:19 **Kirsanow** 1:17 5:9,10 40:2,3 43:9,10 53:14 53:15,24 55:9,9,16,17 55:17 78:18,19 79:5 **Kladney** 1:18 5:11,12 8:8,9,11,12 10:8,13 14:16,20 15:1,4 34:13 34:14,16 35:4 40:4,5 43:11,12 44:24,25 45:13.16 47:25 53:17 53:18 55:13.15.16.18 55:19,21,25 56:1 57:2

57:11 59:1,2,4,14 60:1,6,15,16,22,25 61:6 62:24 63:3,4,15 63:16 65:6 66:6,20 67:9 68:15 69:3,6 71:6,7,9,10,23 72:3 75:18,20 78:6,20,21 97:18,19,21,22 Kladney's 51:19 knowledge 35:3 known 85:13 knows 97:25

L

labor 29:21,24 45:4 lack 21:15 22:3 33:13 lady 49:18 lag 23:5,6,7 LAGRIA 2:20 **Lake** 27:23 language 54:21,24 56:3 68:23,23 73:9 75:6 83:16 84:21 98:6,12 languish 46:16 large 64:7 91:11 largely 84:19 LASHONDA 2:7 lasting 51:7 late 25:4 88:12 latest 23:1 **Latino** 28:14 Laughter 54:4 76:6 79:4 law 16:16 19:15 21:16 22:5,9 24:7,18 25:5 31:10 32:14 36:11 laws 20:11 31:13 32:15 32:17,22,23,25 35:24 lead 28:21 leadership 25:21 leading 28:23 **League** 20:10

learned 17:14

leaves 35:21

leave 35:1 37:4 61:22

**led** 28:17 46:12 90:20

level 21:20 32:18 33:7

legal 16:20 17:7,15

47:10 69:22

let's 54:17 76:17

46:18 62:23

**LGBTQ** 21:16

**Lhamon** 45:17

**liberty** 51:10,17

liberties 50:5

lie 64:19

LENORE 2:10

letting 9:1

lower 29:13,22 33:3 lower-wage 30:11 lowest-paying 29:2 М ma'am 4:8 5:19 machines 66:21 Madam 6:8,16,23 7:11 7:18 8:8 14:16,17 15:2 34:13 35:10 42:8 44:24 45:13 47:23 48:16 49:1 52:2,6 54:19 55:13 59:1,22 61:13 63:1,3 69:9,10 70:9 71:6 72:11,15 73:13 74:9 79:16 80:11,19 81:2 85:16 86:5 90:4 91:21 97:18 Maine 3:6 15:20,22 16:13,16,20 17:14,20 17:23 18:19,23 19:3,4

20:3,6,8,13,17 21:20

life 46:15 49:11,15,20

likewise 21:17 29:12

listen 10:1 34:4 42:15

listened 74:21 92:21,21

limit 56:18 59:9,17

76:3 95:20

**limiting** 60:4,5

list 24:7 73:19

listening 63:12

76:22 83:13

lobbying 95:7

location 24:3

logistics 20:1

99:15,16

**looked** 87:1

74:12

lost 25:6

**lot** 76:19.19

**lovely** 49:17

**lots** 92:24 93:17

**locked** 31:6 locks 29:22

lives 46:17

lists 23:16,21 24:6

little 35:14,22 49:25

**lobby** 86:19,21 87:2

long 18:23 19:7 56:19

longest-serving 11:24

32:10 68:20,22 95:6

63:20 85:8 92:4

look 28:1 29:8 31:22

looking 46:20 51:14

**Linda** 41:19

lines 22:5

32:12

light 17:4 96:11

22:7,9 23:1,3,4,10,13 24:7,20 25:21 **Maine's** 17:6 19:2,8,15 20:11 maintained 19:5 major 25:9 majority 61:19 making 9:2 56:5 59:18 95:16 male 28:11 30:19 man 36:5 manageable 76:24 management 3:17 29:10 33:18 80:6 managing 91:11 mandatory 22:8 manner 64:4 March 18:18 60:2 Mariana 40:24 **MARIK** 2:16 Maternal 3:23 80:23 81:8 82:15 math 54:2 79:3 matter 44:6 82:17,17 **Matthew** 20:15 Mattox 41:20 MAUREEN 1:22 **MAURO** 1:21 McLaughlin 47:9 mean 9:15 10:22 36:6 53:25 59:11 65:18 67:17,18 68:1,6,10 74:16 75:6 means 17:24 31:14 94:7 meant 50:1 96:3.4 measure 17:10 36:18 meet 75:14,19 meeting 1:3 3:3,25 4:4 4:10,11,15,21 5:24

7:6 8:2 12:16 15:13

38:21 49:10,17 55:4

77:22 81:6,10,13

87:21 92:4 93:11

99:17,19,21,22

64:3,4,6 74:16

member 11:24 26:5 64:11 66:3 71:19

52:20 62:6,11,17

86:21 87:2 97:1

membership 76:14

82:10 83:4 84:1,17

94:11 97:14 99:14,16

meetings 9:4,5,7 27:23

members 12:15 38:6,20

67:20 82:23 86:12,19

56:21 60:4 65:22 70:8

74:21,22 75:2,8 76:10

offenders 17:7 23:14 memorandum 16:5,22 38:22 39:2.15 41:2.3 nominees 7:24 8:15,23 11:6 14:12 63:10 offenders' 24:6 20:12 54:24 58:6 61:25 **offense** 46:19 **memos** 15:19 73:23 77:17 83:22 non-68:4 non-Commissioner offenses 23:15 men 20:19,19,20 28:9 87:7 28:14,15 30:3 33:23 moved 54:23 55:7 8:18 offer 29:13 62:12 65:4 mental 18:8 19:18 89:24 noon 4:11 79.8 23:24 moving 39:1 43:21 59:5 **Norma** 1:9,12 4:13 office 8:21 17:21 20:4 mention 36:3 74:1 Northern 40:24 34:22 44:12,12,13,14 **Merik** 47:5 MULDER 2:21 notational 81:17,20,21 45:25 47:8 merit 27:19 murder 19:9 24:9 81:23 82:3,24 83:1 officers 21:6 22:9,11,12 merited 27:19 mute 48:20 84:7,11,14 85:2 86:1 29:10 Michael 1:19 41:21 58:7 **OGC** 65:2 86:16,25 87:4 88:10 Ν 92:12 93:9,13,15,16 old 11:6 77.24 MICHELE 2:11 N 1:17 3:1 4:1 94:6,8 95:2,3,8 97:2 onboard 9:21 one's 50:8 million 72:1 **Nadine** 41:12 97:11 mind 14:2 57:19 60:23 note 76:2 83:24 84:6 one-75:9 name 4:13,25 6:18 63:14,24 73:22 83:19 26:19 57:5 notes 65:22 74:13 onerous 50:16 94:16,17 96:10 named 18:15 notice 4:14 ones 31:24.25 minimum 30:9,12 33:6 names 7:6 41:10 55:4 **notify** 40:12 ongoing 13:6 notion 34:21 86:24 91:1 online 4:22 74:20 33:8 77:22 minority 21:15 open 22:5 39:11 44:19 **NASA** 71:1 99:6 minutes 16:7 25:2 **national** 18:7 19:17 **nuances** 67:19 57:22 26:15 34:9 74:15 number 23:14 95:22 opening 45:11 58:25 21:18 76:13,25 nationally 28:10,17,25 **numbers** 24:15 **Operations** 3:17 80:6 misdemeanor 19:22 numerous 21:10 **OPERATOR** 4:3 29:1 38:2 misled 95:12.13 nature 51:14 Nunez 26:6.8.10.11.14 opine 61:14 missed 13:14 99:12 nearly 18:18 29:1 26:16.19 34:8.12.20 opinion 51:1 72:7 mistakes 91:10,12 necessary 13:2 90:20 35:15 36:9 37:2,9 opportunity 12:25 mistreatment 21:21,23 **need** 13:16,17,25 14:23 38:1,9 24:25 99:13 misunderstandings 33:25 38:10 66:3 **Nuzzo** 41:22 oppose 86:11 88:24 67:22 71:4,24 72:16 opposed 15:7 95:3 0 Mitchell 58:7 77:25 74:17 90:2,11 91:1 oral 92:8 79:9 needed 4:14 22:2 87:24 **O** 4:1 orally 82:1 order 4:11 5:25 12:10 mockery 88:5 95:16 92:22 oath 83:23 **model** 89:6 **needs** 50:3 **object** 65:13 89:12 15:16 18:16 87:8 **modify** 69:14 negotiating 30:15 **objection** 11:25 59:5 orders 18:21,21,23 20:7 modifying 69:15 negotiation 31:5 85:2 96:16 organization 35:18 **moment** 87:14 never 49:12 67:8 76:3 objections 81:11 36:12,20 monetary 18:24 81:18,20 87:18,19 objects 84:16 organizations 22:5 **money** 44:8 89:24 91:13 95:17 obligation 10:16 33:12 month 61:2,3 99:18 new 12:9,10,11,24 13:2 observation 69:8 organized 27:21 45:19 months 58:4 orientation 18:9 19:19 41:15,17,18,19,20,21 observations 63:25 **Morales** 1:21 5:22 41:22,24 43:18 62:7 **observe** 84:24 23:18 61:13,16 80:10,11 62:15 63:8 98:12 obvious 71:1 origin 18:7 19:17 Morley 41:21 Newcomb 41:18 **obviously** 9:25 50:12 original 18:12 **OSTROWSKY** 2:10 motherhood 29:20 58:11 65:24 67:21 newest 52:20 motion 7:7,12,23 15:11 others' 49:14 newspaper 27:1 93:18 39:7 40:9 42:3,6 nice 75:4 occasioned 81:11 ought 31:15 outline 24:11 43:16 44:20,22,25 Nick 47:6 occupational 28:24 outlined 57:16 45:5 53:23 54:17,23 night 85:23 30:8 33:21 56:2,12,25 57:9,17,21 nine 18:20 34:9 occupations 29:7 33:23 outrageous 88:2,6 73:9 74:8 77:9 79:2 nominate 10:24 occur 11:16 95:4 outset 4:23 89:21 nominated 7:16 41:12 occurring 46:17 95:9 outside 9:23 10:21,23 **motions** 13:19 **OCRE** 45:20 47:5 20:21,21 60:8,12,20 **nominating** 8:18 9:23 motivated 17:16 **nominations** 8:13 9:12 67:11 98:8 **October** 12:17 motivation 18:6 19:11 offender 17:25 18:11 overcorrect 50:20 41:5 59:5,15 nominee 10:2 64:10,11 **overly** 50:19 19:13 23:16 18:13,15,22 move 6:25 8:3,5 25:19 64:18 offender's 18:6 overrepresented 30:11

overview 16:23 28:3 owning 98:25			
Р			
<b>P</b> 1:15 4:1			
<b>p.m</b> 1:9 4:2 99:22			
page 93:4 paid 30:25 35:23 36:4			
51:5			
Pam 47:11			
<b>PAMELA</b> 2:8 <b>pandemic</b> 37:3,8,12,16			
panel 27:22			
paperwork 42:5			
<b>parallel</b> 17:17 18:25 67:6			
parameters 62:18			
<b>Pardon</b> 74:11 79:23			
parental 35:1			
parity 30:18,19 parliamentary 76:21			
part 22:24 27:22 49:12			
56:12 66:22 81:14			
85:1 <b>part-time</b> 29:13			
participation 12:19			
particular 28:18 37:15			
48:7 49:3 80:16 particularly 27:7 50:17			
85:22			
partnering 22:4			
party 17:21 96:2 pass 86:20,22 88:2,17			
88:17 90:11			
passage 32:25			
passed 88:18 89:21 91:2			
passes 40:9 42:3 43:16			
53:23 61:7 79:2			
pause 38:11,12			
<b>pay</b> 30:4,15 31:6 32:11 32:23 33:9,11,20,22			
35:13,15			
penalties 36:1,6			
penalty 18:24 29:20 36:17,21			
people 9:23 10:14,17			
10:22,23 12:20,22			
21:11 37:23 51:2 57:24 60:8 68:21			
69:12 70:3 72:1 73:1			
73:2,17 74:25 76:19			
83:16 84:23 91:10 92:4 95:7,21 96:9,13			
96:15,19			
people's 98:7			

permitted 91:8 persist 98:16 **person** 10:21,25 18:3 19:19 36:4 67:11,21 72:6 personal 29:3 79:19 personally 49:16 persons 40:21 41:3 48:21 50:14,14 62:4 73:18 perspective 49:2 pertains 61:17 **PETER 1:17 phone** 4:16 42:15 48:20 76:11 86:9 **phonetic** 47:6,6 76:4 physical 18:2,8 19:18 23:25 **piece** 80:16 pike 89:17 **Pilar** 47:8 place 9:12 18:14 21:4 24:3 31:2 57:20 70:2 **plea** 49:14 pleasant 52:8 please 4:8.24 6:18 7:21 11:21 14:14 15:5 16:8 26:14 35:8 36:25 41:9 48:23 54:21 57:7 61:15 79:17 81:1 85:18 **pleased** 26:22 pleasure 26:18 49:10 plus 40:22 point 22:21 31:21 69:4 69:4 79:18 80:3,25 85:22 91:10 93:24 pointing 99:9 points 17:4 police 21:5,21,23 22:1 policies 32:23 **policy** 31:1,8 35:17 36:8,10 policy-based 30:22 **poll** 12:14 poor 50:17 population 68:4,10 portion 29:17 Portland 20:21 **position** 8:19 66:16 83:11,12 94:5 **positions** 29:13 98:14 possession 83:11 85:5 possibility 51:15 possible 24:15 possibly 24:16 post 46:13

posted 41:8

potential 51:14 68:9 power 58:11 64:10 69:1 powers 25:5 62:8 **Pozart** 47:9 practice 10:10 predominantly 11:7 prefer 95:3 98:2,2,5 preferred 87:5 prepared 82:2 preparing 48:10 prerogatives 93:22 present 1:11 2:5,18 4:24 5:2,4,6,17,18,21 5:22 8:1 12:2 16:4,12 19:10 46:11 presentation 26:4 presentations 3:4 15:14.17 presented 8:24 **President** 49:7 51:5 64:15 presiding 1:10 **pretty** 70:17 prevalence 17:1 prevent 21:11 30:17 95:8 Prevention 20:16 previous 58:14 previously 27:9 principal 81:19 principles 60:5 **prison** 49:7 50:24 51:2 private 17:21 **privilege** 49:16 79:19 80:3 81:1 probable 46:11 probably 12:16 46:4 67:5 87:12,14 problematic 66:1 **problems** 17:9 25:9,9 procedural 13:18 55:24 procedure 76:21 procedures 61:18 proceed 8:13 13:13 16:8 48:15 61:23 63:14 81:23 82:23 84:14 85:18 93:13,15 93:15 proceeded 85:15 98:19 proceeding 57:15 83:18,20 96:21 process 8:4,5 46:5 51:4 58:6 61:25 produce 47:12 productive 99:12 professions 32:9 professor 25:5

32:14 prohibited 36:20 prohibiting 32:23 project 45:20,24 projects 91:11 prolonged 56:17 prone 21:17 **pronounce** 26:8 41:11 pronounced 15:25 propaganda 16:25 properly 21:6 property 18:4,5 proposal 7:10 59:10 **proposals** 9:1 56:4,5 proposed 86:11 prosecute 19:6 prosecution 21:3,4 prosecutions 18:20 prosecutor 19:5 20:4 22:15 prosecutors 20:17 prospective 33:2 protect 50:22 protecting 12:14 17:8 protections 16:21 51:11 proud 52:22,23 **prove** 19:7,13 **proven** 50:9 **provide** 28:6 33:18 46:7 46:22 62:13.23 73:2 provided 8:25 62:9 provides 16:22 **providing** 11:25 47:19 65:8 provision 64:25 **public** 4:14,15,18 23:3 27:22 46:8,22 50:5 64:3,4,7 71:20 74:16 publication 51:22 **publicly** 64:6 74:18 publish 65:21 74:15 **Puerto** 40:23 pulled 64:21 punishing 17:7 purpose 11:2 17:19 84:5 pursuant 74:14 **pursue** 70:6 pursued 20:24 purview 67:3 push 56:20 pushing 74:24 put 65:10 77:14 98:12 **puts** 63:10 putting 47:7 96:23 98:6 **puzzled** 84:21 94:14

prohibit 17:24 18:10,13

percent 21:22,24 28:9

28:10,14,15 29:6

#### **remove** 64:10 33:5 41:5 80:23 81:7 respected 51:11 Q 81:18 82:4 84:12 removed 9:6 respectful 89:7 qualified 40:21 86:20,23 88:3 91:3 repeat 13:8 respectfully 85:10 quality 45:23 46:2 92:13 93:10 94:9 repeating 17:25 99:11 respond 57:6 95:19 47:20 95:14 97:13 replace 11:5 respondents 21:22 quarterly 12:16 76:11 recommended 39:6 report 3:19,23 12:1 response 10:6 13:23 76:12 record 41:9 77:23 83:25 13:6 17:13 21:5 22:25 14:9 15:8,10 25:15 **auestion** 10:8 34:17 85:5,11,13 93:12 23:1,2,3,4,10 24:2,6 37:1 39:13 42:18,24 36:15 39:15 42:20 48:4 53:2 72:19 73:25 94:15,15 95:5 96:20 25:2,16 26:6,23 27:5 60:1 61:9 67:10,18 98:18 27:9,25 28:19 31:22 74:6 77:6 72:4 78:8,9 90:3 recorded 4:5 34:5,6 35:10 37:11 responses 21:19 99:1 questions 11:14 25:14 records 74:14 38:5 44:2,18,23 45:1 responsibilities 74:3 25:18,18 28:4 34:6,10 **Recs** 81:7 83:2,15 45:2,4,17 46:4,23 responsibility 9:18 48:1 56:16 57:25 references 35:13 47:7,13,20,22 48:3,7 69:14 queue 72:16,18 referred 66:17 49:3 50:2 51:13 52:8 rest 29:15 quick 70:10 73:17 reform 46:20 47:1 49:7 52:14,21,22 72:5 restate 74:8 77:8 quickly 72:22 82:11 49:9 50:23,24 51:2 74:17 75:10 76:24 restatement 78:5 87:7 89:24 reforms 51:1,1,16 77:2 79:20 80:4,7,9 result 56:17 quite 25:8 31:9 33:9 resulting 9:2 refrain 98:6 80:14,17,24 81:8 49:3 57:18 58:15 regard 64:8 85:7 88:1 82:15,15,19,20,24,25 retaliatory 36:18 **quorum** 5:16 regarding 12:3 45:2 84:7 87:8 90:24 94:1 **retask** 93:3 quote 46:5,8 86:9 93:7 48:2 56:3,4 65:2,5,15 97:11 return 81:4 **quoted** 95:22 reported 22:15 27:1 returning 41:13,16,23 65:21 regardless 46:18 30:2 41:25 R regards 11:11 60:10 reporter 5:18 93:1 reveal 24:15 R 4:1 74:2 reporting 10:11 11:15 revealed 21:20 race 18:6 19:16 23:17 regions 21:2 11:15 21:12 23:7 review 76:25 raise 29:21 91:9 regret 96:19 24:17 57:12 58:16 reviewed 39:4 41:6 raised 14:22 31:18 regular 84:15 63:21 64:5 77:25 revisit 24:21 raising 33:6 reinforces 33:3 reports 8:22 15:19 rights 1:1 3:13,15 4:11 **RAMEY** 2:11 reiterate 83:7 16:24 21:8 23:12 24:7 7:2 17:23 19:25 22:11 RATCLIFFE 2:12 reiterated 82:1 37:3,6 56:3 65:8,10 32:13 40:20 43:24 rate 24:6 **relates** 50:17 75:4 44:2,12,15 51:15 reached 57:14 relatively 31:12 Reports/Memo 3:5 54:10,14 66:9,13 reaching 59:16 release 3:13 43:23 REPORTS/MEMOS/R... 77:18 84:18 read 41:10 95:24 82:20 15:15 ring 49:12 readable 48:10 released 16:5 26:6 represent 14:12 66:15 ripe 27:7 reading 95:1 Releases 3:5 73:3 rise 16:25 readjusting 37:23 releasing 46:5 representative 68:25 role 12:3 44:8 ready 39:14 44:17 77:5 relevant 10:3 14:23 representatives 7:2,16 roll 4:23 39:16 42:20 78:8 86:24 87:8 31:13,18 33:16 60:12 7:25 54:25 69:20 **Romano** 41:23 real 85:20 Royce 2:22 46:1 47:17 religion 18:7 19:17 72:13 73:11 77:15,18 realize 95:11 23:18,25 representing 67:21 RUDOLPH 1:22 reason 37:18 81:20 religion-based 24:1 require 22:12 25:10 Rukku 2:23 45:19 82:25 83:4 84:13 91:8 reluctant 21:13 64:3 67:23 rule 9:5 67:11,15 71:4 reasonable 19:13 46:7 requirement 63:21 88:5,19 89:13 90:10 rely 34:6 51:13 76:18 94:3 relying 33:1,8 requirements 60:10 91:23,25 93:19 94:7 95:25 96:3 98:9 remaining 50:15 63:6,8 95:16 96:18 97:10 reasons 21:10 23:6 requires 88:25 remains 93:5 rules 62:4 63:17 65:20 87:22 92:24 research 30:2 64:24 remarks 92:24 65:21 66:4,5 68:6 recall 37:7 remember 49:4,23 82:7 65:2 70:17 71:13,18 78:2 receive 62:15.17 87:13 resisted 83:14 90:5 97:3 received 49:13 86:7 resolves 57:10 remind 69:12 running 82:13 recognize 6:19 21:6 reminded 25:4 52:19 resolving 70:8 **recommend** 32:2,16 remnant 51:8 **resources** 9:10 33:19 33:10 **S** 4:1 removal 56:9 64:8,19 56:7 65:8,16 recommendation 32:21 64:25 respect 4:19 12:4 82:3 **SA** 95:23 96:11 recommendations 3:22 removals 65:3,5 93:25 96:18 **SAC** 42:7 26:23 28:22 32:3,19

**sadly** 16:24 **serves** 17:19 **speak** 7:21 9:9 13:12,21 66:13 77:19 service 25:20 **safe** 99:18 25:23 37:2 56:6 66:8 stating 21:25 safety 4:19 46:8,23 serving 13:3 49:11 67:1,7 70:16,24,25 **statistics** 22:23 23:13 50:5 51:17 **set** 46:7,11 57:3 66:5 71:3,5,20 80:15 **status** 21:14 Sal 41:22 setting 56:18 speakers 14:4 statute 19:4 20:18 SEWELL 2:13 salaries 32:25 35:21 speaking 31:12 55:14 64:21,23 67:5,22 salary 30:15,21 33:1 sex 19:17 55:18 59:6 65:7,15 72:10 82:20 sexual 18:8 19:18 23:18 35:18 36:20 68:2.13 statutes 64:2 Salt 27:23 speaks 50:7 69:12 **SGE** 67:25 **statutory** 72:9 82:19 Samoa 40:25 **share** 49:2 91:25 94:1 70:21 stay 14:3 SARALE 2:13 sharing 49:23 special 44:16 45:18,25 saw 51:6 74:22 **she'll** 19:14 47:15,16 52:9 68:1 steadfast 50:13 Shepherd 20:15 **saying** 10:23 67:16 82:16,18 84:2 87:10 step 38:22 89:23 94:12 95:19 **Sheryl** 2:19 47:9 92:10 93:6 98:21 99:2 **Stephen** 1:14 49:19 shifted 11:6 says 58:12 70:20 84:15 **Steps** 50:25 specially 22:11 **shocked** 37:12 **schedule** 87:4 91:4,19 **specific** 18:14 19:4,12 **store** 24:4 95:14 shortened 23:8 specifics 70:6 street 20:21 24:4 scheduled 82:10 84:1 shortly 93:3 **specified** 11:13 23:20 strength 92:14 Seattle 26:9 **show** 95:6 **specifies** 23:24 24:2 **strict** 36:17 second 7:10,13 17:9 **side** 90:23 struck 35:12 speculation 36:15 38:11 39:7,8 42:6 sides 10:24 spent 8:1 structure 8:17 45:6 49:20 55:8,10,23 **significant** 25:9 27:18 spirit 74:1 structured 8:17 78:3,4 28:12 45:21 46:15 spoken 76:20 90:8 **studied** 26:22 second-worst 27:2 similar 37:23 67:14 study 28:18 37:5,19 spokesman 68:8 seconded 55:12.16.18 staff 1:21 2:5 3:19 4:14 75:24 stuff 12:23 seconds 7:12 42:9.12 similarly 22:20 67:3 5:21 11:12 39:6 40:11 subcommittees 12:20 45:8 simple 58:2,3 93:24 41:7 42:4 43:17 44:13 subject 27:4 44:6 82:16 **secrecy** 30:16 31:6 simply 50:15 68:25 45:20 51:20 52:10 82:17 32:23 35:13,15 36:7 83:14 61:14,20 62:6 70:21 subminimum 30:10 36:10 simultaneously 17:18 79:19 80:3,7,9,20 substance 82:24 section 23:10 **Singla** 2:23 45:19 stakeholders 27:24 suggest 20:10 31:16 Security 12:6 single 93:14 stand 87:18 96:14,14 56:15 **seeing** 16:18 sir 34:15 39:22 48:23 96:15 suggested 22:3 seek 46:25 51:16 56:24 59:3 Standard 4:12 suggesting 98:7 seeking 62:24,25 sitting 49:4 standards 12:11 suggestion 57:2 seen 94:1,23 95:11 96:7 situated 22:20 start 9:23 32:6 39:1 suggests 94:13 situation 89:14 90:24 72:16 77:16 82:13 96:9 **summary** 46:3 76:13,23 **segregation** 28:24 30:9 six 46:24 53:25 54:7 90:18,19 **Sunshine** 63:25 33:21 size 28:7 36:11 started 71:14 supervisor's 34:22 selection 58:21 slack 89:3 starting 30:15 84:9 support 47:22 51:21 self-selection 33:24 **Smith** 2:14 41:12 **state** 15:22 17:5,11,13 63:9 84:11 92:12 93:9 **society** 37:17 51:5 **Senate** 64:19 20:24,24 22:23,23 supported 84:7,8 sense 11:1 software 29:10 24:17 25:21 26:5 **Supreme** 64:16 sent 84:2 85:23 86:5 solution 22:2 surprise 83:3 32:18,22 33:6 38:20 92:10 94:12 96:1,11 solutions 33:16 38:23 39:3,4 41:4 surprised 83:13 85:21 sentence 6:12 49:11,15 44:7 46:25 62:6,10,16 **solving** 63:20 surprising 83:19 sentences 51:6 somebody 64:15 67:6,14 68:7 89:15 **survey** 21:19 someone's 66:2 96:10 suspected 21:7 sentencing 19:15 **SOMIN** 2:24 **State's** 22:13 23:4 sentiment 49:24 **system** 11:6,8 17:7 46:12,21 47:3 separate 19:21 20:20 soon 56:20 **statement** 9:8 88:4 **sooner** 60:18 92:15 94:2 95:23 96:1 systemic 21:10 separately 18:25 September 87:9 **sorry** 6:10,13 38:10 statements 82:14 84:9 systems 20:8 88:22 91:1 93:20 sequence 82:8 54:1 55:11,21 79:25 T series 27:21 82:12 94:20,20,20,20,21 95:15 96:18,24 97:8 sort 12:9 35:12 36:2 97:17 **tables** 48:8 seriousness 46:18 **serve** 39:2 40:21 41:4 37:12 64:8 states 7:3 21:15 22:7 tact 77:11 42:1 72:25 89:6 sounds 14:6 25:16 79:5 23:7,12 27:15 28:8 taken 9:3 46:24 83:22 served 13:9 74:23 85:8 **South** 49:6 40:22 46:20 55:2 takes 68:12

talk 91:6 99:5 timeframe 82:21 two-thirds 29:1 various 21:10 67:23 **talked** 85:19 timeframes 82:13 two-year 8:21 23:5 verbal 8:24 talking 68:21 87:13 timeline 84:8 64:22 **versus** 50:5 99:16 timely 46:22 type 21:23 23:24 24:8 veto 86:1,24 87:6 88:9 **Taylor** 41:17 times 85:3 89:2 95:22 24:11 74:3 95:2 97:11 technical 66:19 timing 93:19 vetoed 94:24 97:2 U today 4:18 6:25 9:14 teleconference 1:9 vetoing 86:16 telephone 4:21 12:19 13:20 14:8 17:3 22:22 ù 3:6,7 15:20 26:2 80:7 Vice 26:20 vicinity 18:14,14 86:2 23:2 24:25 25:24 **U.S** 1:1 3:15,15 4:10 **TELEPHONIC** 1:3 26:18 28:2 34:3 38:23 victim 18:9,12,12,15 7:16 14:13 15:18 27:1 tell 12:1 77:12 41:2 57:3 98:14 27:10 40:19,23 44:15 19:12,16 24:12 telling 35:20 60:10 today's 4:4 6:15 40:17 52:20 54:9,10,14,15 victims 17:8 21:5 22:17 term 8:21 57:11 58:10 23:14 79:10 63:7 84:18 unanimity 81:22 64:22 told 20:4 89:8,9 victims' 20:22 terms 20:1 58:13 63:13 topic 27:4,7 28:18 31:9 unanimously 27:4 Victor 41:23 VIDULOVIC 2:25 64:5 75:1 97:23 98:7 44:5 79:14 43:16 terrific 48:9 99:3 total 54:7 unaware 85:11 view 20:8 74:17 totally 87:22 testimony 27:25 29:19 uncomfortable 22:1 vigilant 32:16 vigor 83:13 30:14 31:10,16,24 **Toufic** 41:25 uncompensated 42:2 34:20 35:2 37:7 touting 49:7 under-enforcement vigorous 81:9,10 track 17:7,19,20 18:25 thank 4:13,17 5:20,23 vigorously 85:9 86:11 Vilasquez 47:9 6:21,23 7:9 8:7 13:11 tracks 17:17 underlving 19:8 24:9 14:20 15:1,3,12 16:2 trained 21:6 22:11 underreporting 21:9,17 violated 88:20 violates 18:16 16:9,11 24:24 25:1,12 training 22:9,12 24:18 22:2 33:18 34:1 62:16 violation 20:14 25:19,22 26:1,12,13 understand 33:13 34:8 35:4.9.10 36:23 transcribe 93:1 62:18 65:11 66:6 67:9 violations 18:21 37:21.25 38:8.9 39:9 transgender 21:19,22 85:24 88:8 94:24 95:1 violence 18:3 39:21 40:14 42:13,16 21:25 96:16,17 98:14 Virgin 40:23 42:25 45:9 47:4,10,14 transpired 85:14 virtually 12:18 understanding 8:20 47:17,21,23,24 51:19 treasure 50:11 62:23 69:20 81:25 visual 48:8 51:23,24 52:5,16 53:3 treated 67:13 understood 46:4 82:6 vociferously 89:12 53:13,16,23 54:2,2,8 treating 50:13 83:10 86:6 voice 42:14 79:13 58:2,24 63:1,2 71:10 trends 16:19 24:15,22 unexpected 82:9 Voluntary 12:5 75:22 76:7,8 77:3 trespass 18:4 **Unfortunately** 37:9 vote 3:9,11,12,14,21 78:22 79:7 80:5,11,19 tried 88:14 unilaterally 64:16,18 6:6 13:17,17,25 14:10 80:20 98:20 99:21 troubling 21:18 **unique** 17:15 14:11,25 27:13,15 **thanked** 45:23 **true** 17:10 28:25 29:15 **United** 7:3 21:14 28:8 38:13,16 39:16,17 46:9 60:15,19 87:10 thanks 44:11 48:6 55:1 66:13 77:19 40:8,15,18 42:21 43:1 themes 16:24 91:4 94:23 unnecessary 90:18 43:15,23 44:1,17,21 then- 49:6 **Trump** 49:7 51:5 unrelated 87:22 44:22 47:22 48:15 then-10-year-old 20:18 trust 21:15 22:3,6 25:5 **unusual** 97:13 53:1,4,21 54:9,13 thimbleful 25:6 **try** 42:15 56:15 86:19 urge 58:20 86:11 59:21 60:3 61:7 62:1 things 9:11,17 64:9 86:21 89:18 urged 81:19 62:1 63:9 66:21 77:5 65:15,17 81:15 85:10 trying 42:22 47:2 48:20 use 9:10 56:7 98:2 78:10,25 80:22 81:6 90:11 92:11 93:7,23 59:11 66:7 69:18 80:1 useful 25:16 81:12,17,20 82:3 83:1 97:9 83:21 84:23 90:22,23 usually 12:17 83:15 84:7,11,14,15 third 17:12 95:8 Utah 3:7 26:2,5,20,25 84:16,23,23 85:2 86:1 **Thomas** 41:18 turn 4:6 32:1 79:15 80:8 86:13,14,15,16,25 27:2,10,14,19 28:7,9 thorough 38:5 turned 45:24 28:13,21,25 29:15 87:3,5,17,18 88:10,12 thoroughly 98:14 **turning** 54:12 30:13 31:13,18,21 89:24 91:5 92:13,19 turns 88:16 thought 51:13 56:1 32:13 36:19 38:2 93:9,13,15,16 94:6,8 60:6 73:20 81:16 twice 36:4 **utterly** 86:23 95:2,4,4,7,8,14 97:2 85:25 94:24 **two** 7:15 14:12 16:14 97:11,17 V threaten 18:5 20:8,19,19,19 25:11 voted 27:3 60:21 61:19 three 17:3 20:23 26:21 57:12 58:14 61:9 69:2 **V** 1:12 4:13 76:15 32:4 52:19,21 76:10 76:12,23 valid 61:17 votes 81:21,23 82:8,13 ticking 90:18,19 **two-** 17:6 83:21,22 91:20 **value** 96:18 tied 30:8 voting 12:5 51:21 66:20 **two-page** 75:9 variety 72:24 73:4

vulnerable 22:17 50:21	words 76:20 93:3,9 95:24 96:4,12 work 7:25 30:17 36:5 38:7 45:24 46:2 47:19	<b>15</b> 3:3,5,6 <b>16</b> 19:1 <b>17th</b> 84:1 86:10 92:7	9 99 3:25
vulnerable 22:17 50:21 VVSG 12:6	95:24 96:4,12 work 7:25 30:17 36:5 38:7 45:24 46:2 47:19	<b>16</b> 19:1	
Wwage 3:8 26:3,7,22 27:3	<b>work</b> 7:25 30:17 36:5 38:7 45:24 46:2 47:19		<b>99</b> 3.23
W wage 3:8 26:3,7,22 27:3	38:7 45:24 46:2 47:19	1	
wage 3:8 26:3,7,22 27:3		<b>1994</b> 51:8	
wage 3:8 26:3,7,22 27:3	48:6 51:21 52:10	<b>1997</b> 27:10	
	60:23 61:2 83:22 89:1	<b>19th</b> 27:12,16	
21.0,10.20.1,0.21.24	89:2	1911 27.12,10	
	worked 36:19	2	
	workers 12:14 29:2,7	<b>2</b> 53:22 54:7 72:5	
	working 11:4 56:2 73:6	<b>2.0</b> 12:6	
wages 29:13 32:10 33:4	89:6 98:21	<b>20</b> 1:5 4:12	
	worrisome 73:20,21	<b>2010</b> 20:7	
	wouldn't 88:17	<b>2016</b> 21:18	
	writing 82:2 83:12 84:3	<b>2017</b> 23:2 24:23	
<b>WALCH</b> 2:15	84:6,11 85:5 92:10	<b>2018</b> 20:7	
walk 28:2 46:14	93:5 94:12 95:23 96:6	<b>2019</b> 16:14 18:18 20:16	
wanted 31:23 52:7 81:4	96:11	22:25 23:4 26:25 49:6	
85:12 90:10 96:5 97:5	written 8:24 34:7 61:25	<b>2020</b> 12:23 45:18	
98:18	75:9 77:13 88:4 94:15	<b>2021</b> 1:5 4:12 23:2	
wanting 75:1	wrote 61:20 87:11	38:21 44:5	
wants 70:1		<b>20th</b> 4:4	
<b>Warren</b> 41:15	X	<b>26</b> 3:8 44:5	
	<b>Xavier-Brier</b> 2:16 47:5		
watch 75:2		3	
water 84:20	Υ	<b>30</b> 59:16 75:8 76:18	
	Yaki 1:19 5:13,14 10:15	<b>30-</b> 76:23	
82:7 85:15 87:18 89:7	10:17 11:20,20,23	<b>30-30-10</b> 88:5,19 89:13	
89:19 91:6,12 93:4	35:6,6,7,8,9,25 36:23	89:22 90:10 91:22,25	
95:24 96:17	40:6,7 43:13,14 53:19	<b>300</b> 18:19	
ways 52:13	53:20 58:7,17 66:10	<b>330-some</b> 72:1	
wealth 23:11 46:12	66:11 67:10 72:8,8,12	<b>37</b> 29:6	
week 76:11,18	72:23 73:5 74:22	<b>38</b> 3:10	
weeks 26:25 46:16	75:25 76:1,9 77:4,24		
76:12,23	78:23,24 79:9,22,23	4	
	year 12:7,17 24:15 90:6	<b>40</b> 3:11 29:2,7	
	year-to- 24:14	<b>43</b> 3:13	
	years 10:10 16:14	<b>45</b> 59:17	
whichever 59:17	26:21 76:4	<b>47.5</b> 28:15	
<b>white</b> 20:19	years' 25:11		
wide 72:23 73:4	yeoman's 45:4	5	
	<b>yeses</b> 79:1	<b>50</b> 40:22	
wider 17:4 28:16	yesterday 85:20 86:6,8	<b>51.7</b> 28:14	
wild 76:22	89:8,21 94:18	<b>54</b> 3:16	
	<b>Yo</b> 5:14	<b>59</b> 21:24	
willing 13:1 21:5			
willingness 34:4 52:9	Z	6	
_	Zakharia 41:25	<b>6</b> 3:2 54:2 79:1	
	<b>Zoom</b> 12:18	<b>62</b> 21:21	
Wolf 41:24		<b>63-year-old</b> 49:9	
woman 36:6 49:20	0	<b>33 year old 4</b> 3.3	
woman 30:0 43:20 women 27:12,15 28:9		7	
28:10,12,13,15 29:1,6	1		
		<b>7</b> 40:9 53:22	
	<b>1:58</b> 99:22	<b>7.25</b> 30:13	
	<b>10</b> 16:7 25:2 26:15	<b>73.7</b> 28:9	
	100th 27:11		
_	<b>12:00</b> 1:9	8	
	<b>12:02</b> 4:2	<b>8</b> 54:8	
word 76:3	<b>12th</b> 16:14	<b>80</b> 3:17,19,23 28:10	

# ${\color{red} \underline{C} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{E} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{R} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{T} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{F} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{C} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{A} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{T} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{E}}$

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Business Meeting

Before: US CCR

Date: 08-20-21

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &