Page 1

U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

+ + + + + MEETING + + + + +

FRIDAY, MARCH 11, 2011

+ + + + +

The Commission convened in Room 540 at 624 Ninth Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C. at 10:30 a.m., ABIGAIL THERNSTROM, Vice Chairman, presiding. PRESENT:

> ABIGAIL THERNSTROM, Vice Chairman ROBERTA ACHTENBERG, Commissioner MARTIN R. CASTRO, Commissioner (elected Chairman during the meeting) TODD F. GAZIANO, Commissioner GAIL L. HERIOT, Commissioner PETER N. KIRSANOW, Commissioner DINA TITUS, Commissioner KIMBERLY TOLHURST:, Delegated the Authority of

the Staff Director

Page 2

STAFF PRESENT:

CHRISTOPHER BYRNES

IVY DAVIS

DEMITRIA DEAS

LILLIAN DUNLAP

PAMELA A. DUNSTON, Chief, ASCD

ALFREDA GREENE

TinaLOUISE MARTIN, Director, OM

LENORE OSTROWSKY, Acting Chief, PAU

JOHN RATCLIFFE, Chief, Budget and Finance

MICHELLE RAMEY-YORKMAN

EILEEN RUDERT

DAVID SNYDER

VANESSA WILLIAMSON

COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

NICHOLAS COLTON ALEC DEULL TIM FAY DOMINIQUE LUDVIGSON JOHN MARTIN ALISON SCHMAUCH

| | | Page 3 |
|-------|--|--------|
| | TABLE OF CONTENTS | |
| AGEND | DA ITEM | PAGE |
| I. | Approval of Agenda | 5 |
| II. | White House Nominees for Chair, Vice | 6 |
| | Chair and Staff Director | |
| III. | Management and Operations: | 31 |
| | - Staff Director's report | 31 |
| IV. | Program Planning: Update and | |
| | discussion of projects | 33 |
| | - Consideration of new statutory | 33 |
| | report topics for FY 2011 | |
| | - Consideration of briefing/hearing | 103 |
| | topic(s) for FY 2011 | |
| | - Title IX - Sex Discrimination in | 110 |
| | Liberal Arts College Admissions | 100 |
| | - English Only in the Workplace | 128 |
| | Report | 133 |
| v. | - Healthcare Disparities Report State Advisory Committee Issues | 133 |
| ۷. | - Re-chartering the North Dakota SAC | 134 |
| | - Re-chartering the Montana SAC | 134 |
| VI. | | 137 |
| • - • | Minutes | 107 |
| VII. | Announcements | 138 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| | Page 4 |
|----|--|
| 1 | P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S |
| 2 | (10:30 a.m.) |
| 3 | ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: The meeting will |
| 4 | come to order. This is a business meeting of the U.S. |
| 5 | Commission on Civil Rights. We're starting a little |
| 6 | late at 10:30 on March 11th, 2011. |
| 7 | This meeting is taking place at the |
| 8 | Commission's headquarters located at 624 9th Street, |
| 9 | Northwest, Washington, D.C. |
| 10 | I am Abigail Thernstrom. I am the Vice |
| 11 | Chair. And I am temporarily chairing the meeting. |
| 12 | Commissioners who are present at this |
| 13 | meeting are myself, Commissioner Gaziano, Commissioner |
| 14 | Kirsanow, Commissioner Heriot, Commissioner Titus, |
| 15 | Commissioner Achtenberg, and Commissioner Castro. |
| 16 | A quorum of the commissioners is present. |
| 17 | Is the person delegate the authority of the Staff |
| 18 | Director present? |
| 19 | DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF |
| 20 | DIRECTOR TOLHURST: I am. |
| 21 | ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: Is the Court |
| 22 | Reporter on this line? |
| 23 | THE REPORTER: The Court Reporter is |
| 24 | present, Madam Chair. |
| 25 | ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: The Court |

Page 5 Reporter is present. And the meeting will come to 1 2 order. I. APPROVAL OF AGENDA 3 ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: The first item 4 of business is the approval of the agenda. I suggest 5 that we take any motions to amend one by one, vote up 6 7 or down on each, and then vote to approve the amended 8 agenda. 9 If that procedure meets with Commission 10 approval, commissioners' approval, are there any 11 amendments to the agenda? 12 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I move to maybe 13 just bifurcate one item on the agenda. Under "Program Planning," the first item, "Consideration of the new 14 statutory report topic," when we turn to that item, I 15 16 would propose after discussion that we vote first 17 whether to affirm or reject the current statutory report topic before we proceed to consider 18 19 alternatives. 20 ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: Have I got a second on that? 21 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: 22 Second. 23 ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: Any discussion? 24 (No response.) 25 ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: Hearing none,

Page 6 all in favor? 1 2 (Whereupon, there was a chorus of "Ayes.") ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: I guess that is 3 4 a unanimous vote unless somebody abstained that I didn't --5 6 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: I was going to 7 vote no, but --8 (Laughter.) 9 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Hear her out. 10 ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: It's in my view 11 a whatever. I was attempted to vote no also. 12 Whatever. 13 Okay. Any other amendments to the agenda? 14 (No response.) ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: Hearing none, we 15 have a motion to approve the revised --16 COMMISSIONER CASTRO: So moved. 17 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Second. 18 19 ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: All in favor? 20 (Whereupon, there was a chorus of "Ayes.") 21 ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: That is a 22 unanimous vote. It has been approved. 23 II. WHITE HOUSE NOMINEES FOR 24 CHAIR, VICE CHAIR AND STAFF DIRECTOR 25 ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: Next on the

| | Page 7 |
|----|--|
| 1 | agenda, consideration of the White House nomination |
| 2 | for Commission Chair. As you all know, at this time |
| 3 | the White House has nominated a new Chair. We still |
| 4 | do not have a new Staff Director. |
| 5 | Before we discuss the nomination for |
| 6 | Chair, I would like to say a few things about what I |
| 7 | hope from our Chair and our eventual Staff Director. |
| 8 | And when I finish, perhaps other commissioners will |
| 9 | want to weigh in. |
| 10 | This Commission needs to support a strong |
| 11 | executive, the Staff Director, who is authorized to |
| 12 | run the agency with broad input by a majority vote |
| 13 | from the Commission. Regarding the authority of the |
| 14 | Staff Director, once the Commission affirms the new |
| 15 | Staff Director, only the White House obviously can |
| 16 | fire him or her. |
| 17 | I would like to add the following |
| 18 | expectations on my part. The Staff Director will not |
| 19 | permit the staff to be micromanaged by commissioners |
| 20 | and their assistants. Neither the Chair not he |
| 21 | commissioners will micromanage the new Staff Director, |
| 22 | nor will they undercut the Staff Director's authority |
| 23 | over the staff. |
| 24 | Staff should look only to the Staff |
| 25 | Director for guidance, assignments, and evaluations. |

| | Page 8 |
|----|--|
| 1 | The Staff Director has the sole authority to make |
| 2 | staff assignments and to evaluate the results of their |
| 3 | work. |
| 4 | The subcommittees authorized last year for |
| 5 | various projects should either be canceled if any are |
| 6 | still active or restructured so that the subcommittee |
| 7 | only reports to the committee of the whole; that is, |
| 8 | the entire Commission, which will then vote whether or |
| 9 | not to direct the Staff Director to implement the |
| 10 | subcommittees' recommendation. |
| 11 | Finally, I just want to affirm to the |
| 12 | Chair nominee the restrictions that our Administrative |
| 13 | Instruction 9-2 places upon commissioner-to-staff |
| 14 | contact and staff-to-commissioner contact. |
| 15 | And we were going to hand out copies of AI |
| 16 | 9-2. Can you start with me? Do people need time to |
| 17 | look at these. They certainly can have it. |
| 18 | I also affirm to our nominee the portion |
| 19 | of our regulation which describes the function of the |
| 20 | Staff Director is the same language used in our |
| 21 | Administrative Instruction 1-2, section 3, paragraph |
| 22 | 01. And that is the second page of your handout. |
| 23 | Do people need more time? Anybody who |
| 24 | needs more time raise their hand? |
| 25 | COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: What's the purpose |

Page 9 of sharing the marginally ultra vires administrative 1 2 instruction? What's the purpose? ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, I mean, 3 4 just to get our house in order from the very start and get the procedural expectations clear. 5 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: When you are done, 6 7 I will provide some thoughts on your thoughts. 8 ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, I am done. 9 Of course, the next thing I am going to say is I am 10 pleased to announce the White House has nominated 11 Martin Castro as Chair. And obviously a majority of 12 the commissioners must vote. But so go ahead now. 13 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Could I proceed before commenting on your comment, Madam Vice Chair? 14 I take your word in your e-mail communication from 15 your Special Assistant that the White House did, in 16 17 fact, nominate Commissioner Castro. ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: 18 Yes. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And I take his word 19 that he has received such a nomination, but he 20 21 mentioned the letter to us before this meeting. Does 22 someone have it? Could we see a copy? Is it possible that we can --23 24 ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: Oh, there was an 25 e-mailed letter.

Page 10 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I didn't receive a 1 2 copy. We can probably proceed just on you-all's word 3 that he's really -- but it would be kind of nice for 4 those of us who are asked to vote on this that we, in fact, know that this isn't some elaborate trick and 5 that the White House has indeed nominated --6 7 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We're getting ahead 8 of ourselves here. 9 ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: Should we admit 10 it is an elaborate trick? Do you think? 11 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Does someone have 12 an e-mail that they can -- all right. Thank you. 13 TIM FAY: I would be glad to print out a copy of the letter and bring it. 14 ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: But it is an 15 elaborate trick. Let's get copies all around. 16 DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE 17 STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Yes, I have a copy in my office. 18 19 COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Let's just get a 20 copy for everybody, why don't we, all the 21 commissioners? 22 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: But we can proceed to discuss. 23 ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay. 24 I was 25 wondering, could we --

Page 11 1 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes, we can 2 proceed. ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: 3 proceed --4 without having the elaborate trick exposed. Go on, Commissioner Heriot? 5 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I just want to back 6 7 up to some of your earlier statements here and just 8 put on the record that just about everything you said 9 I disagreed with --10 ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: I understand --11 and that it's especially for you. Yes. 12 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: -- and that it's 13 especially for you. ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: 14 Yes. COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It's important to me 15 not to suggest on the record that anybody but the Vice 16 Chair has this view of the Commission. The statute 17 says that the Commission consists of eight 18 19 commissioners. It does not consist of the staff. We 20 are not an advisory committee to the staff. And I don't think we need to spend more time on that. 21 Just 22 let me say I don't agree with any of that. But I do agree that it is very, very 23 likely -- and I am going to vote that Marty Castro has 24 25 been nominated by the President of the United States.

Page 12 So it would be nice, actually, to have, you know, 1 official notification of that, but I am going to go 2 with it. 3 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: If we couldn't 4 produce the letter, I was willing to go with it, too. 5 COMMISSIONER CASTRO: I believe the letter 6 7 is coming. 8 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: But, anyway, I 9 would like to turn to the discussions we had with the 10 Chair nominee in just a minute. But I do want to 11 explain part of my thoughts with regard to the Vice 12 Chair's statements. First of all, with regard to our new 13 commissioners, administrative instructions are what 14 the Commission adopts to bind the staff, to instruct 15 the staff. And it is our sort of current 16 17 understanding amongst each other sometimes that they are our procedures. 18 19 This particular administrative instruction, 9-2, you know, dealt with some weird 20 goings-on -- by the way, it was adopted before I was 21 on the Commission. It related to some activities. 22 And to the extent that it is inconsistent with the 23 24 commissioners' statutory role, it's ultra vires. But 25 in general, of course, we can all agree that

Page 13 commissioners ought not to micromanage anyone, but 1 2 commissioners should be running the Commission. And, as far as I know, there was no breach of the policy or 3 4 principle of this. So I just wanted to get in on the discussion. 5 But maybe we can now 6 turn to the 7 discussion of the Chair. COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: 8 No. We're 9 waiting for the letter. 10 ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: No, we're not 11 waiting for the letter. They said to go on. 12 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We could go on in the discussion as far as I'm concerned. 13 ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: Commissioner 14 Achtenberg? 15 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: This is 16 an 17 important moment. And while I appreciate the notion that the Chair nominee should be up to date on the way 18 19 the Commission operates and to the extent that this is the current embodiment of the way the Commission 20 operates, you know, the point being made is 21 22 well-taken. But I would hate to lose the importance of 23 24 the opportunity, at least for me to say on the record 25 to my colleague what an honor it is to be asked by the

Page 14 President of the United States not only to serve but 1 to lead the Commission, how thrilled I am that Marty's 2 selection has been made here. 3 certainly intend to vote in the 4 Ι affirmative. I appreciate very much the way actually 5 all of the commissioners have conducted themselves 6 7 since we have been appointed. And I want to give 8 particular thanks to Vice Chair Thernstrom for the way 9 she has introduced us to the Commission, worked with us to make sure that we understand the Commission's 10 11 ways, and, you know, for her even-handed leadership 12 and having set a very good example that, you know, I know we intend to follow and I'm certain Marty will 13 follow as he assumes the role of Chair. 14 I mean, these are serious issues. This is 15 an important body. And, you know, I think it is a 16 17 wonderful honor. So I just wanted to say that, Mr. Castro, you will have my vote. 18 19 ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: And, of course, I want to second that and to say for those of you who 20 have not had much experience with Marty Castro -- and 21 22 I have had in the last few weeks a certain amount of experience with him -- I think you will find him a 23 24 fair man, a man of civility, a man of integrity. And I am thrilled to have somebody with those qualities as 25

| | Page 15 |
|----|---|
| 1 | Chair. And, you know, I would always welcome a new |
| 2 | Chair, but I do so with a special enthusiasm for you. |
| 3 | And, with that, I am pleased to announce |
| 4 | that the White House has nominated Martin Castro as |
| 5 | Chair. And we need obviously to |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER CASTRO: A motion, right? |
| 7 | ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: a motion to |
| 8 | vote. |
| 9 | COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Where's the |
| 10 | letter? |
| 11 | ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: Oh, I'm sorry. |
| 12 | I'm terribly sorry. Thank you. |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER TITUS: I appreciate that. I |
| 14 | think we are running two things together. One was |
| 15 | Marty's nomination, and the second was the discussion |
| 16 | about the staff's independence. Now, both of these |
| 17 | were brought up by the other side. And I think they |
| 18 | both deserve to be addressed. |
| 19 | Now, whether in jest or not, they wanted |
| 20 | to see the letter. So I think we should get the |
| 21 | letter so they can see it. Now, we may pretend that |
| 22 | is a joke, but they want to see the letter. So let's |
| 23 | get the letter. And then we'll talk about Marty. |
| 24 | The second thing is they now don't think |
| 25 | that these rules apply, even though they apparently |

Page 16 have been in place for a long time. And I would like 1 to address that while we are waiting for the letter. 2 These rules seem to me to make the point 3 4 that the staff is independent and nonpartisan, as is this Commission. The intent is for it to be 5 objective, for it to look fairly into issues, for us 6 7 to gather data from all sources at both ends of the 8 political spectrum, and then to consider them. When 9 we vote, it will be perhaps a vote that reflects our 10 own political ideologies or beliefs, but we have all the data in front of us. 11 12 If the commissioners can go to the staff 13 independently to try to influence the information that they gather, that seems to me to undercut our 14 objectives. So I think it is totally appropriate that 15 you have a Staff Director that keeps the staff 16 17 independent, does not subject it to any kind of pressure from any individual on any side, and gets us 18 19 the best information possible. So I would totally support keeping with 20 21 these administrative instructions. And I think it is 22 very appropriate that you brought them up at this 23 point. Now, second, in relation to Marty with 24 25 we have the letter, I certainly this, that now

Page 17 congratulate him and look forward to working with him. 1 2 And I think that the great work that you have done, Madam Chairman, in helping this transition 3 is most important. Since I was first nominated in 4 December and you were kind enough to draw the staff 5 6 together and allow me to come over and meet you all 7 and provide me with information, I am most indebted to 8 you for doing that and for your direction of this 9 Commission in the time that you have served as its 10 Chair and in the long time that you have been a 11 member. And I look forward to continuing that 12 relationship. 13 ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, thank you very much. And thanks to Commissioner Achtenberg for 14 15 her kind words as well. They are more than welcome 16 after what has been a difficult time at the Commission. 17 So, with that, I finally turn to needing a 18 19 motion to affirm the White House's nominee of Martin 20 Castro as our new Chair. I should add I'm not only 21 delighted to have Marty. I'm delighted to give up 22 this seat. 23 COMMISSIONER TITUS: So moved. COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: 24 Second. 25 ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: Anyway, I need

| | Page 18 |
|----|--|
| 1 | it's been seconded. Okay. Any discussion? Yes? |
| 2 | COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I don't want to |
| 3 | hopefully misspeak for Commissioners Kirsanow and |
| 4 | Heriot, but those of us who are now in some respects |
| 5 | relieved to find ourselves in the minority, in some |
| 6 | respects, enjoyed our opportunity this morning to meet |
| 7 | with Commissioner Castro, soon to be our Chair. We |
| 8 | are very pleased with what we have seen of his |
| 9 | behavior so far but also some of the assurances. |
| 10 | The basic request that we asked of him |
| 11 | that we want to put on the record was the continuation |
| 12 | of certain rights that both the majority and the |
| 13 | minority we think are equally valuable but in our new |
| 14 | role we think are very important to us. And namely |
| 15 | they are the assurance that he will continue to |
| 16 | support the codification of the rules that we adopted |
| 17 | for commissioner statements, rebuttals, and the |
| 18 | possibility of surrebuttal if three commissioners |
| 19 | believe the rule allows it with the following |
| 20 | exception. With the exception for the upcoming |
| 21 | statutory report for 2011, we would understand that |
| 22 | the commissioner statements would follow a different |
| 23 | timing, namely a one week after adoption of the report |
| 24 | to submit initial statements; three weeks following |
| 25 | that for any rebuttal statement; and one week, if that |

Page 19 is necessary, for any sur-reply under the rule. 1 I think that Commissioners Heriot and 2 Kirsanow may want to talk about that, but is that 3 4 consistent with your --COMMISSIONER CASTRO: That is consistent 5 and is an accurate representation of our conversation, 6 7 our agreement this morning. So I agree. 8 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Commissioner, do 9 you want to make a brief statement as to why you think 10 this is in everybody's interest or --11 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Why don't just move 12 on? Because we're happy right now, aren't we? 13 COMMISSIONER TITUS: May I make а 14 statement, Madam Chairman, --ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: Of course. 15 COMMISSIONER TITUS: -- in response to 16 17 this? I will support that. And I realize that the surrebuttal is kind of a new process, and I will 18 19 support that. 20 But you have asked for our assurance or 21 the Chairman's assurance that this would be 22 recognized. I would ask for the minority's assurance that they will not use the surrebuttal process to drag 23 24 this out forever so that we never get to the point 25 where a report can be issued. If you will put that on

Page 20 the record, I would appreciate that. 1 2 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm happy to say that it is certainly not our intention to abuse this 3 4 rule so that reports get dragged out. In the past, we have not had to resort to surrebuttals because I think 5 the threat exists that that encourages people to get 6 7 their arguments in as a statement. It has worked 8 fine. I certainly do not intend to abuse that rule. 9 You know, I will use it only if it is necessary. And 10 I am hoping everybody remembers to put their arguments 11 in their initial statements. 12 The replies are meant to be rebuttal. And 13 if someone puts an argument in the rebuttal that should have been in the reply -- should have been in 14 initial under 15 their statement, only those 16 circumstances should we resort to surrebuttal. And, 17 even then, if there is like a little, tiny argument in a reply that really should have been made in a 18 19 statement, that is not necessarily going to cause 20 people to want to invoke the surrebuttals. We all 21 want to get these reports out on time. 22 COMMISSIONER TITUS: And, Commissioner, are you speaking for your side or are you speaking for 23 yourself --24 25 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I can't say that I

Page 21 am speaking for them. 1 2 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I can speak for myself. No, I have never filed a surrebuttal. 3 I don't want to ever file a surrebuttal. I think its 4 existence keeps the process more fair, more honest. 5 Ι 6 think that the --7 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I concur with 8 Commissioners Gaziano and Heriot on that. And I would 9 say, as I said to soon-to-be-Chair Castro а 10 surrebuttal, to my knowledge, has never been filed. Ι 11 don't anticipate one to be filed. But if anyone were 12 to file it, I would suspect it would be the majority. This is something that I would suspect if 13 you give it some thought, you're the ones who are 14 15 going to want it the most. That has been our experience. And that is the reason why it was put 16 17 into place. The other reason it was put into place --18 19 actually, there are a couple more -- is to prevent the 20 abuses that you are talking about. It acted as a 21 surrebuttal component, acted as a check against 22 anyone's desire to go off the reservation on a statement or rebuttal and make ultra vires statements 23 24 or statements not contained in their original 25 statement.

| Page 22 |
|--|
| So I think this is something that |
| modulates or moderates the commissioners here in terms |
| of the statements made on any given briefing or |
| report. |
| ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, you don't |
| know that for a fact that it has acted that way |
| because nobody has ever said, without a surrebuttal |
| option, I would have done X or Y. I mean, it's |
| speculation on your part. |
| COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I think it's one |
| speculation. |
| COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We had abuses |
| before we added the surrebuttal. We didn't have |
| abuses. And, by the way, I just want to reconfirm |
| that I think the last month's vote reflected that I |
| just want to reaffirm that applies to the special |
| exception we have made for if Commissioner Yaki is |
| reappointed, his statement would be limited to what he |
| would have originally been limited to and that if he |
| goes beyond that, that we would have that right |
| because otherwise someone could argue his is a |
| surrebuttal. Anyway, never mind. |
| ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: You do realize |
| that in the 11 years I have been on the Commission, in |
| the first place, almost that entire time, there have |
| |

Page 23 been no surrebuttals allowed. 1 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes. 2 ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: And, therefore 3 4 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No, no. Jerry 5 always said he would allow surrebuttals. That's why 6 7 we codified it. 8 ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay. 9 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I thought you meant 10 we hadn't done them. 11 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We hadn't done them 12 but no. 13 ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay. Jerry said on the record he would allow them. It was never 14 a part of our process. Mary Frances Berry made it 15 very clear she would not allow them, and she made it 16 17 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I don't think you 18 19 want to use Mary Frances Berry as your --ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: No. But the 20 21 point is, the point is, that -- in fact, I stated that 22 wrong. She wanted to allow surrebuttals. Christopher Edley stopped her, and the rest of the Commission 23 24 stopped her from doing so. 25 It doesn't matter. We are not going to go

Page 24 through this history. I think we should move on and 1 take a vote on Martin Castro as our new Chair. And is 2 there any discussion on that point before we do? Yes? 3 4 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I just want to reiterate what some of the other commissioners have 5 had to say. I have only had brief interaction with 6 7 Marty. The interaction I have had has been extremely 8 positive. I think he has developed or demonstrated an 9 interest in acting in good faith and in comity --10 that's with i-t-y -- on this Commission very often --11 (Laughter.) 12 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: -- and that I 13 think that he is going to be -- I am hopeful. And I believe he is going to be a very good Chair, who is 14 going to protect the interests of all involved and be 15 open-minded and move the Commission forward in its 16 17 statutory mission. ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: 18 Any other 19 discussion? 20 (No response.) ACTING CHAIR THERNSTROM: 21 Well, I am 22 calling for a vote. All in favor? 23 (Whereupon, there was a chorus of "Ayes.") VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I believe that is 24 a unanimous vote. Congratulations, Mr. Chair. 25

| | Page 25 |
|----|--|
| 1 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you very much. |
| 2 | VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: And you and I |
| 3 | should switch places. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. |
| 5 | VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Only as a bag |
| 6 | lady, I've got to come in and collect my bags. Nobody |
| 7 | has ever been happier to get out of this chair than I |
| 8 | am. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, thank you. That |
| 10 | was easy. |
| 11 | VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: The hard part |
| 12 | starts now. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: First of all, I also |
| 14 | want to express my gratitude to our Vice Chair for the |
| 15 | stewardship that she has given to the Commission in |
| 16 | the interim period between the prior Commission's |
| 17 | Chairperson and my designation today very much |
| 18 | appreciate not only the stewardship of the Commission |
| 19 | but also the guidance that you have given me and as my |
| 20 | colleagues who are also new to the Commission, have |
| 21 | joined it. And all the commissioners who are |
| 22 | experienced appreciate the support and the guidance |
| 23 | and the historic references that you have given us |
| 24 | that are to acclimate ourselves. |
| 25 | As I said before when we had our last |

Page 26 meeting, it's a great honor or said here to be 1 2 nominated by the President to serve on this Commission but then to have the President designate me to be the 3 Chair of the Commission. And to have you, all of my 4 colleagues, unanimously support that is something that 5 is very humbling. 6 7 Т understand that Ι am the eighth 8 Commission Chair in the history of our Commission. 9 And I am, to my full knowledge, the first Latino Chair 10 of this Commission. And I think that as I look at the work 11 that we have to do as a country, the work that we have 12 to do as a Commission, there is much work out there. 13 Discrimination and violations of voting rights are 14 there. They may be different, take different forms 15 than they have before. Some may be new and obvious. 16 17 Others may be less obvious. But it is the role of this Commission I believe to be the nation's 18 19 conscience on issues of civil rights. And I will look forward to working with 20 each of my commissioners and our staff to continue 21 forward on that mission. And I look forward to making 22 I lead this organization, this 23 sure that as Commission, that I seek to be fair because I know what 24 it is like to be in the minority, but I also have a 25

Page 27 very strong passion and belief in issues. We all do. 1 2 We have different political perspectives, different ideologies, which is why we are at this table. 3 4 That is why this Commission was constructed as it was, to bring the different 5 perspectives that make up this country to the table. 6 7 It is how we bring those perspectives together and how 8 we move forward that is really the important aspects. 9 But what I want to make sure my 10 chairmanship is for you quys, I hope the hallmark of 11 it is that we will learn -- and I think we have today 12 -- how to work together, how to possibly agree and 13 when we can't agree to do it as we have done today. So, again, thank you very much for this 14 great, great opportunity and this great honor to be 15 Chairperson of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. 16 17 Thank you. (Applause.) 18 19 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: It is now my first duty to move on with our next item on the agenda. And I 20 21 would ask our Staff Director to provide her report on 22 management and operations. Ms. Tolhurst? DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF 23 THE STAFF 24 DIRECTOR TOLHURST: All right. Thank you. 25 Two things to begin with. First, I want

Page 28 to offer my congratulations as well. 1 In my third or fourth hat as Ethics 2 Officer, Marty and I had a very in-depth relationship, 3 let me say. And I feel like we have been through 4 trial by fire already in getting all of your forms 5 6 approved and in order. 7 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: There were no problems 8 with the forms. 9 (Laughter.) 10 DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF 11 DIRECTOR TOLHURST: No problems. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I was just involved in a 12 lot of nonprofit organizations. 13 DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF 14 15 DIRECTOR TOLHURST: There was a lot of activity. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And we had to go through 16 17 a list, just so the record is clear. DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE 18 STAFF 19 DIRECTOR TOLHURST: I will say that I felt that you 20 went out of your way to be incredibly scrupulous and 21 ethical in that process --22 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you. Thank you. 23 DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF 24 DIRECTOR TOLHURST: -- and were a pleasure to work 25 with.

Page 29

| | Page 29 |
|----|--|
| 1 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you. |
| 2 | DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF |
| 3 | DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Secondly, before I start my |
| 4 | report, not to have an Al Haig "I'm in charge" moment, |
| 5 | but I just want to clarify regarding AIs that the |
| 6 | Staff Director being delegated the day-to-day work of |
| 7 | the Commission is on dry regs and AIs, at least at |
| 8 | present and you all can modify AIs by a majority |
| 9 | vote authorized through AIs without Commission |
| 10 | approval and has under the last two Republican Staff |
| 11 | Directors issued AIs on their own. They tend to give |
| 12 | them to the commissioners when they are of substantive |
| 13 | nature reports, et cetera, but it is presumed that you |
| 14 | all do not want to deal with an AI on sick leave, for |
| 15 | example. |
| 16 | So, even in my brief time as Acting Staff |
| 17 | Director, I have issued AIs. For example, OPM |
| 18 | required us to issue regulations on how to manage a |
| 19 | shutdown. And there was actually not time for a |
| 20 | Commission meeting to even comply with that request. |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: And it was too |
| 22 | boring for us. |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Actually, that |
| 24 | makes sense to me. |
| 25 | DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF |

Page 30 DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Okay. 1 2 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: But that confirms one of my points, which is that AIs are binding on the 3 4 staff and not necessarily binding on the Commission. Sometimes some commissioners have acted confused about 5 that, that somehow the Commission can't change an AI 6 7 or can't make an exception to an AI, can't take a 8 position that is inconsistent. 9 If we take a position and vote that it is 10 inconsistent, we have amended or made an exception to 11 an AI, but we --12 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It's not an 13 instruction to the Commission. It's an instruction to 14 the staff. It may be binding on us because it's federal law, but it's not binding on us because it is 15 an AI. 16 17 DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Correct. And I would say some AIs 18 19 commissioners by a majority vote have approved setting up rules for themselves, in which case you would have 20 to by a majority vote repeal those rules. 21 22 And yes, some AIs are written in direct response to federal law. And we are not going to want 23 24 to violate or try to repeal those. But the types of sort of rote and banal AIs that need to make this 25

| | Page 31 |
|----|--|
| 1 | efficiently function are regularly done by the Staff |
| 2 | Director. And, as I said, I have issued them since I |
| 3 | have been here and copied you always. But I have not |
| 4 | come to you all for every administrative |
| 5 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Thank you for not |
| 6 | coming to us |
| 7 | DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF |
| 8 | DIRECTOR TOLHURST: instruction that you may not |
| 9 | want. |
| 10 | COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: They look good to |
| 11 | me. |
| 12 | DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF |
| 13 | DIRECTOR TOLHURST: So I just wanted to clarify that, |
| 14 | that it is, in fact, at some points issued directly by |
| 15 | the Staff Director. |
| 16 | III. MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS: |
| 17 | - STAFF DIRECTOR'S REPORT |
| 18 | DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF |
| 19 | DIRECTOR TOLHURST: So for my report, I am going to |
| 20 | depart from past practice unless you tell me |
| 21 | otherwise. In general, you have received the written |
| 22 | reports. Unless you want me to, I am not going to |
| 23 | read to you the number of library requests we received |
| 24 | and complaints we have received. They are at the |
| 25 | second tab in your report. |

Page 32 So generally what has been up in the last 1 2 month, we are still under a continuing resolution through 3/18. Our 2012 budget justification was 3 4 submitted to Congress last month. And you all received a copy of that. 5 The next opportunity for really meaningful 6 7 commissioner involvement under current plans would be 8 budget planning for the 2013 budget. And you all will 9 receive a proposed budget in June. And action has to 10 be taken on that by September. 11 I met, along with others, with our new --12 we have a new OMB examiner. And I have had 13 conversations with your assistants and/or some 14 commissioners about the concerns, suggestions, et cetera, of the new OMB examiner. 15 16 I am not going to go into those here while 17 we are in open session, but if you all have any questions, please feel free to call me. I have spoken 18 19 with many of you about his main points. He seems 20 anxious to help and wanting to engage and learn more about our work. 21 This week we had an ethics audit on 22 Monday. That went very well. They are hoping we can 23 24 turn our program around. At next month's meeting, I 25 will be speaking with you all about your upcoming 278

Page 33 financial disclosure. I'll spare you that for now. 1 2 Just two more points. Again, I've met with many of you and/or your assistants regarding 3 staffing concerns. And, again, I won't say much in 4 open session, but there is a fairly dire need to fill 5 all funded positions in the near future. We have 6 7 multiple staff members serving two and even three jobs 8 at the moment. And we have others stepping in to do 9 work well outside their day-to-day norm helping on a 10 variety of editorial and other program and 11 administrative tasks. 12 So, on that note, I also want to thank the 13 staff, especially those members who have really stepped up during this rather awkward period of 14 transition, vacancies in multiple high-level 15 jobs here. And many of you have gone above and beyond in 16 17 making sure the agency keeps running and that work continues in a timely and quality manner. I thank you 18 19 all for that. You all have been a great help to me. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you, Ms. Tolhurst. 20 21 PROGRAM PLANNING: TV. UPDATE AND DISCUSSION OF PROJECTS 22 - CONSIDERATION OF NEW STATUTORY REPORT TOPICS 23 24 FOR FY 2011 25 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Next we will move on to

Page 34 the agenda item on program planning, which also is a 1 2 report from Ms. Tolhurst. So we know we have got a number of items. Actually, I should say it's not a --3 4 sorry. I apologize. It's actually us that's going to address a number of items. 5 The first topic, as we have talked about 6 7 earlier, is the consideration of a new statutory 8 report for this year. As you recall, at our last 9 meeting, there was a motion that was passed to suspend 10 the work on the fiscal year 2012 cy-près enforcement 11 report topic so that the commissioners could circulate 12 alternative topics. Two proposals have been circulated. 13 There is a report concept that has been circulated that was 14 a paper that is drafted by Commissioners Achtenberg, 15 Titus, and myself, the working title of which is 16 17 "Inner Student Violence: The Impact of Discriminatory Activity in Public Schools" and "The Role of the U.S. 18 19 Departments of Justice and Education in Eliminating 20 Harassment of Students Targeted Due to Race, Ethnicity, and/or Sexual Orientation." 21 22 The other concept paper is a concept paper circulated by Commissioner Kirsanow. And it is titled 23 24 "Assessing Civil Rights Enforcement in the Department 25 of Agriculture's Farm Services Agency Programs."

Page 35 I know Commissioner Gaziano asked that we 1 2 bifurcate the consideration of this. So, with that, Commissioner Achtenberg? 3 4 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: So, Mr. Chair, we amended the -- we are going to undertake a dealing 5 with the cy-près issue first. Is that correct? 6 7 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Can I explain why I 8 did that? And we might be able to dispense? Is that 9 all right? 10 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Why don't you explain 11 it? 12 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Sure. I wanted to 13 talk about the procedure that we normally follow and the procedure that we ought to follow if we are going 14 to change it. And I want to do that in the abstract, 15 rather than make it look like we are trying to apply 16 17 it just to one topic or the other. That's really why. And if you want me to proceed with that, 18 19 now --CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. What I would like 20 to do is have a motion on the table so that we could 21 22 discuss whether that motion is a motion in particular 23 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I move to reaffirm 24 25 the cy-près topic. That would allow me, then, to

| | Page 36 |
|----|--|
| 1 | discuss. |
| 2 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Second. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Go ahead. What's your |
| 4 | topic? |
| 5 | COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I could go through |
| 6 | the exact days and times that I have got in the notes |
| 7 | that John prepared for me, but I went over this a |
| 8 | little bit last time. |
| 9 | Prior to my arriving on the Commission, |
| 10 | the topic was chosen about two to three years in |
| 11 | advance of the Commission's calendar, in part to |
| 12 | reflect our Commission practices that allowed the SACs |
| 13 | to be informed a year ahead of time so that they could |
| 14 | adopt parallel investigations that they could then |
| 15 | publish before we even began our work. I thought that |
| 16 | was a little excessive. |
| 17 | For the past three years, we have had a |
| 18 | process that started in June prior to the beginning of |
| 19 | the fiscal year. |
| 20 | And, by the way, this is reflected in AI |
| 21 | 1-6, if I have my number right. And it requires |
| 22 | and this is one that the commissioners adopted. And |
| 23 | it requires in practice a request by the Staff |
| 24 | Director for possible topics. Then little blurbs were |
| 25 | written about them. Then commissioners could rank |

1 order and vote on them.

| _ | |
|----|--|
| 2 | And then we would discuss generally for |
| 3 | about a month or two or at least a month what the |
| 4 | topic the two that got the most votes, rather than |
| 5 | just have one or two concept papers. |
| 6 | Then concept papers were developed after |
| 7 | the topic was if the concept papers were circulated |
| 8 | in enough time, the commissioners could actually offer |
| 9 | amendments to them when the eventual concept paper was |
| 10 | adopted. |
| 11 | Then the AI requires after the concept, |
| 12 | amended concept, paper that is usually amended is |
| 13 | adopted, the next step is that staff prepares a |
| 14 | propjet, a project outline. And then the Commission |
| 15 | had an opportunity to comment on the project outline. |
| 16 | Then after the project outline is adopted, there is a |
| 17 | discovery plan and so on and so forth. And hopefully |
| 18 | those are done even before or about the time the |
| 19 | fiscal year is started. |
| 20 | If the Commission is going to change the |
| 21 | topic now, my concern is that commissioner input is |
| 22 | going to be nonexistent or almost nonexistent and that |
| 23 | I am not a fan of every jot and tittle of the way I |
| 24 | led the charge to not make it three years in advance. |
| 25 | I led the charge to be a little bit more nimble, but |
| | |

Page 38 I hope if we are going to change the topic, that we at 1 2 least try to incorporate some of the feedback elements that allow all commissioners to have input on how the 3 4 new topic is developed. And as someone in the minority, I expect 5 most of my input is going to be voted down. But I 6 7 hope to convince you of a few or I at least like the 8 input, like the opportunity to be voted down. So, for 9 example, I hope if we are going to vote down the 10 cy-près topic, we are going to consider another one --11 I commend the person acting as the Staff Director for 12 making shells of discovery plans, but they are not 13 really discovery plans. And discovery plans aren't supposed to even be drafted until the staff hears from 14 15 the commissioners concerns about the concept paper and a project outline. So I again hope we don't have a 16 17 discovery plan. And let me just mention one issue that 18 19 In June of -- you know, it was the summer. came up. Maybe John will find me the exact month. What was the 20 21 Was it July? June of '08? Okay. month? In June of '08, after I was on the 22 23 Commission for a number of months, I made a motion to add to the agenda a discussion item of whether to 24 25 discuss changing the topic for the statutory report

Page 39 that was going to begin in a few months hence, that 1 2 topic having been decided two years previous, before I was on the Commission. 3 And the Vice Chair led an effort when 4 there were a few commissioners absent to vote down 5 even allowing a discussion to be placed on the agenda 6 7 to even discuss the possibility of changing the topic 8 two months before or three months before the statutory 9 report was -- and I thought that was wrong. And so I 10 am not going to make a big -- I don't think any of us 11 are going to make a big deal if you are going to 12 jettison the cy-près topic. 13 But I hope that you will not at least try to modify whatever process we come up with so that 14 commissioner input can be meaningful and realistic for 15 the next topic. 16 17 That's all I wanted to say. COMMISSIONER TITUS: Mr. Chairman? 18 19 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Go ahead. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kirsanow? 20 21 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I just want to 22 associate myself with the statements made by Commissioner Gaziano. Just a couple of other things, 23 24 just some observations. In addition to being in the the majority feels 25 minority, I suspect that if

| | Page 40 |
|----|--|
| 1 | strongly about a particular topic, that I will get |
| 2 | voted down, but I think it bears some consideration of |
| 3 | that. |
| 4 | With respect to changing this particular |
| 5 | topic in midstream and I will tell you that I am |
| б | not particularly excited about cy-près, but I wasn't |
| 7 | particularly excited about a number of enforcement |
| 8 | topics that we have had in the past that you don't |
| 9 | have to be excited about a topic. |
| 10 | We only will have approximately six months |
| 11 | to get this particular if there is a change, |
| 12 | whatever it may be, whether it is mine or the one |
| 13 | that's been proposed by the majority, that is going to |
| 14 | be a prodigious undertaking. |
| 15 | A couple of things. One is we want to do |
| 16 | it right, whatever the project is. And I've got only |
| 17 | six months or so less seniority than Vice Chair |
| 18 | Thernstrom. In my ten years or so of experience here, |
| 19 | I can tell you that the statutory enforcement report |
| 20 | is something that takes some time to do, to do well, |
| 21 | especially if there are going to be commissioner |
| 22 | statements or rebuttals, but just doing the initial |
| 23 | report is something that poses some significant |
| 24 | challenges. |
| 25 | We are currently laboring with a skeletal |

staff. Our last briefing reports and enforcement
 reports have been a challenge for staff because of the
 understaffing.

In addition, enforcement reports benefit 4 from being very narrowly defined or confined to a 5 very, very specific topic. I am not suggesting how 6 7 you want to construct a concept paper or how you want 8 to construct a particular briefing or enforcement 9 topic, but to the extent it is on one discrete topic, 10 it I think benefits from our ability to really flesh 11 out various facts and arguments related to that 12 particular topic and do credit to the Commission in 13 presenting a report to the Congress and the President. I am not sure that the one currently on 14

the table -- cy-près is fairly narrowly defined. 15 Ι 16 think it is extremely narrowly defined. The intra-student violence one -- and I would like to hear 17 some discussion about it, but at first blush seems to 18 me to be a project that could be expansive. And that 19 20 is something we can incredibly get our arms around 21 within the short amount of time we have got right now. 22 Those are my observations. And I hope you take those into consideration. 23 24 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Vice?

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, just one

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

25

Page 41

| | Page 42 |
|----|---|
| 1 | small note. There have been several references from |
| 2 | that side of the table to there being a minority and |
| 3 | there is a majority here. I do want to remind people |
| 4 | that I am a Republican. I remain a Republican. |
| 5 | So I believe there are four |
| 6 | conservatives/Republicans/Libertarians, whatever you |
| 7 | want to call yourselves, on this Commission. And the |
| 8 | Democrats at the moment are in numbers a minority. |
| 9 | So on the chance that anybody has any |
| 10 | illusions I have switched parties, I have not. And I |
| 11 | want that on the record. |
| 12 | Now, as for cy-près, as I understand it |
| 13 | and, the Acting Staff Director, it is really a |
| 14 | question posed to her. As I understand it, there has |
| 15 | basically been no work to speak of that we are |
| 16 | throwing out if we change topics. We've got kind of |
| 17 | |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: How is that? Well, |
| 19 | we did all the work on the discovery plan. We had all |
| 20 | of the input of the commissioners. So that is work |
| 21 | that should not be ignored. But the staff sent out |
| 22 | interrogatories. We were supposed to get them back |
| 23 | except that our vote last week suspended the receipt |
| 24 | of |
| 25 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes. |

Page 43 Interrogatories don't grow on trees. Somebody has to 1 2 draft them. They have to be carefully drafted. And 3 it takes a long time to get those interrogatories --4 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: The response is waiting in a box, as I understand, in the Department 5 of Justice. 6 7 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Let me just -- I said to you I want to make sure I manage these meetings well. 8 9 So don't take this as an effort to cut you off, but 10 there was a question that was directed to our Acting 11 General Counsel, Acting Staff Director, which I would 12 like to hear an answer to. 13 Then we have another commissioner, who had 14 her hand up earlier. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Right. 15 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So I would like to give 16 17 her an opportunity. And then we can go back to yours. DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF 18 THE STAFF 19 DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Okay. Thank you. Here is where cy-près stands. And first 20 let me say if we're talking about following AI, as it 21 22 currently stands, concepts are supposed to be assigned by the Staff Director, assigned to staff to develop 23 24 project concepts. The Staff Director then approves the project concept before it is submitted to 25

Page 44

1 commissioners.

| 2 | At present, our project concepts are |
|----|--|
| 3 | coming from commissioners. So if we are noting where |
| 4 | we are departing from the AI, we're departing from the |
| 5 | AI from the git-go. And I have been here four and a |
| 6 | half years, and we have never fully followed the AI. |
| 7 | I don't endorse that at all, but if we want to get |
| 8 | back to following it, we should all know there have |
| 9 | been in various years various points of departure from |
| 10 | the AI. |
| 11 | Regarding cy-près, interrogatories were |
| 12 | issued. As you all know and as you said at the last |
| 13 | meeting, work on that was suspended. At the time work |
| 14 | was suspended, we had an outstanding discovery request |
| 15 | to DOJ. I spoke with an attorney at DOJ in |
| 16 | consultation with Commissioner Heriot, who was on the |
| 17 | Discovery Subcommittee. And what we came to is an |
| 18 | understanding that if cy-près remained live after this |
| 19 | meeting, Justice would begin a rolling discovery |
| 20 | beginning in two weeks. |
| 21 | What we have now if that is the question |
| 22 | on the table is |
| 23 | VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: That was the |
| 24 | question. |
| 25 | DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF |
| | |

| | Page 45 |
|----|--|
| 1 | DIRECTOR TOLHURST: a three-page memo from the |
| 2 | Justice Department listing some publicly available |
| 3 | cases. It's not meaningful discovery. Nothing has |
| 4 | been written on this report. |
| 5 | It is true that interrogatories have been |
| 6 | issued and we do have this guarantee that if we select |
| 7 | this topic today, we will begin receiving information |
| 8 | in two weeks. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Titus? |
| 10 | COMMISSIONER TITUS: Thank you. |
| 11 | A couple of points that have already been |
| 12 | made. One of them was about the minority. And the |
| 13 | Vice Chair made that. I mean, I think I can count, |
| 14 | too. But I think the bigger point is we need to quit |
| 15 | focusing on who is in the minority, who is in the |
| 16 | majority, and start working together on some of these |
| 17 | topics. |
| 18 | Second, I have heard a lot of references |
| 19 | to the discovery plan. I think, too and one was |
| 20 | that it was done, it was an elaborate plan, a lot of |
| 21 | work has gone into it, and that the new plans that |
| 22 | were issued for the two potential topics that we'll |
| 23 | talk about today are skeletal. |
| 24 | Well, as I reviewed that, I believe they |
| 25 | are exact replicas of the discovery plan that was |

Γ

| | Page 46 |
|----|---|
| 1 | issued for the cy-près report almost word for word in |
| 2 | the same length of time and space and all. |
| 3 | So I don't think one is skeletal and one |
| 4 | is not. I think they're both pretty minimal, a page |
| 5 | and a half |
| б | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Actually, they're |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER TITUS: Excuse me. I'll let |
| 8 | you speak when I finish. |
| 9 | So let's be clear about that. And then |
| 10 | the third thing is if we have these AIs like 1.6 or |
| 11 | whatever it is that governs how we are supposed to |
| 12 | come up with topics and that we're not using it, I |
| 13 | think that we should put that on the agenda to review |
| 14 | and either have a process or not have a process but |
| 15 | not have a process that we don't follow. That makes |
| 16 | no sense to me at all. So I would just make that as a |
| 17 | suggestion. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Gaziano? |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I'm glad for an |
| 20 | opportunity to educate on one of the exceptions we |
| 21 | made to the AIs on the record, which was that concept |
| 22 | papers could be initiated either by the staff, as the |
| 23 | AI structure, but we made an express vote that |
| 24 | commissioners could also write concept papers. And in |
| 25 | my practice, it's far superior. That was an exception |

Page 47 we voted on. 1 2 by the way, I welcome the And, new commissioners to look through these. There's a lot of 3 good stuff in these AIs. And then there's some stuff 4 that we've wisely modified or abandoned. And maybe we 5 should recodify it. 6 7 But the advantage of the process, whether 8 it's skeletal or not, my principal concern was the 9 availability for input into the discovery plan. 10 The discovery plan, by the way, for the 11 first couple of statutory reports was very lengthy --12 oh, I don't know -- ten pages long. And they came after -- but the idea is that commissioners would get 13 this weeks in advance, we would have an opportunity to 14 circulate with each other a week or so before the 15 meeting what we intended to raise. 16 17 And if we're going to short-circuit that, I hope -- well, I don't want to follow any AI. They 18 19 don't bind us every jot and tittle, but to the extent 20 that they have important principles I hope that we all 21 think are worthy, that we should try to follow these 22 important principles. COMMISSIONER 23 TITUS: Perhaps Ι 24 misunderstand what a discovery plan is. When I looked at it -- and I am not an attorney -- it seemed to me 25

Page 48 it was just like a little page that described kind of 1 2 what the schedule was going to be for how to pursue whatever topic it was that didn't have a lot of real 3 4 substance to it. Is that correct, to the Staff Director? 5 THEAUTHORITY THE STAFF 6 DELEGATED OF 7 DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Sure. Ι would agree with 8 Commissioner Gaziano that in past years, particularly 9 where subjects were approved in August the year 10 before, which at least happened once since I have been 11 here, they have been more detailed for sure. 12 Your point is correct, though, that the 13 cy-près discovery plan is no more detailed than what was issued on the other two. They are all skeletal. 14 They are not particularly meaningful. And I don't 15 I'm not pretending I like them. 16 like them. My concern as Staff Director is if staff 17 cannot produce something by deadline unless we are 18 19 able to issue interrogatories or follow up on the 20 existing ASAP. And that is why I insisted that Justice agree to do the two-week -- back of the topic, 21 22 we're not going to give them another 30 days. If one of the new topics, either the farm 23 or the school bullying, becomes it, "I want us to be 24 able to go" and unless you all decide that the 25

Page 49 September 30th deadline is what we want to move, we 1 2 need to be able to go. And it's not my preference at all. 3 I'm not a fan of it. I would rather have a lot of time to 4 become expert on these topics before engaging with 5 Justice Department, Department of Education, 6 or 7 Department of Agriculture. 8 If you decide to change the subject, we 9 don't have the luxury of doing the type of plan we 10 would want to do. I would hope this year would be an 11 unusual brave exception. And if I'm asked later, I'm 12 going to talk about 2012 and how I would vehemently 13 ask you to approve a topic for 2012 by August at the latest so this never happens again and you can get the 14 kind of quality background that you deserve and staff 15 can be respected and have the time they need to do 16 17 this reports. But for this year, what I'm offering is a 18 19 way to get it done. Whether it's cy-près and I want 20 to go get everything we need in two weeks, whether it's the farming or the school bullying, we need to 21 22 And that is what I am offering, albeit skeletal. qo. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Achtenberg? 23 24 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chair, I 25 think what the Staff Director just expressed is at

Page 50 this point in time -- I mean, we need to be serious 1 2 about this. That is precisely the point. Let me just lay out my own view here. 3 Ι intend to vote down, to cast my vote not to make 4 cy-près the statutory report. On the merits, I think 5 it is the wrong topic that I would like to see the 6 7 Commission pursue. 8 And the fact that only a very small amount 9 of work has gone into it does matter to me. Had the 10 work been more extensive, that would have weighed on 11 the more, understanding how precious the staff is as a 12 resource. But as a commissioner, I just believe that 13 it is the wrong topic. I intend to be a forceful proponent for 14 the school bullying topic. I think it is timely. I 15 think it is discrete. I think it is doable with the 16 17 descriptions, however, that any topic undertaken at this point in time, including the cy-près, is going to 18 19 be under the same constraints that the director just outlined. 20 21 So if we are going to meet the statutory 22 mandate this year within a reasonable time frame, any one of these topics is going to have to be under the 23 same constraint. And we need to understand that and 24 respect that and, as Commissioner Kirsanow said, seek 25

Page 51 to produce the highest quality work product that this 1 Commission can produce under the circumstances. 2 That is the situation that we are in. 3 4 Your points are well-taken. Ι did consider your proposal, Commissioner Kirsanow, on the 5 merits. I find my own proposal to be superior to 6 7 yours, but that, of course, is a matter of judgment. 8 But I think we're talking about -- and I 9 don't mean to trivialize this discussion, far from it. 10 I think it is what we should be discussing, both the 11 procedure and the merits. 12 And so I just wanted to say that and just 13 put on the table where I intend to weigh in to take the discussion further. 14 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kirsanow 15 and Commissioner Heriot? Then I will say a few words. 16 Then we'll call for a vote on this. 17 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Very briefly. 18 I respect what Commissioner Achtenberg had to say. 19 I 20 expect, again, as the minority to get voted down. And, by the way, I do believe we are a 21 minority, regardless of R, D, I, or anything like 22 that. Functionally we have been in the minority. 23 The Vice Chair has been voting with others rather than the 24 three of us for the last two years. 25

Page 52 Nonetheless, I would urge you -- and, 1 2 again, I don't mean to be saying because I have got seniority you should listen to our experience. I have 3 been in that position before where everyone was 4 telling me we had to do things a certain way. Just 5 because it has been done a certain way doesn't mean it 6 7 is the right way. 8 But in terms of the narrowness of the 9 scope, Commissioner Achtenberg, I would disagree with 10 you on that. In terms of the narrowness, I would put 11 cy-près first. I think that is an eminently doable 12 project. I don't mean to put a lot of pressure on the Staff Director and the staff on this. I think it is 13 doable. Of the three, it is the most doable. 14 I then think that the farm project is 15 narrower than the project that you have proposed. 16 And the reason for that is -- we'll get into it in the 17 discussion. One of them is that we are talking about 18 violence in schools. And we're talking about tens of 19 thousands of schools. 20 21 Yes, we can get experts in here. I don't 22 know that data has been collated on this, but this seems to be a gigantic, potentially a gigantic, 23 24 project that we were going to be trying to devote 25 to gathering data and I presume resources some

Page 53 anecdotes about a particular topic that I think is 1 something that this Commission is ill-suited to do in 2 the span of just six months or so. 3 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot? 4 Then I will say a few words. Then we will vote. 5 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay. I just wanted 6 7 to say that, number one, I'm not in love with the 8 cy-près topic either. I don't think it's a topic that 9 I want deeply to have studied, but I thought it was a 10 good topic. And it was a good topic particularly 11 because, as Commissioner Kirsanow says, it's narrow. 12 It's something where we actually can study it, we can 13 actually make some authoritative on. 14 So that's why I supported it. In fact, I think it was my idea. 15 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes. 16 17 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: But, again, it's not 18 19 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Don't abandon it. 20 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No, I'm not abandoning it. It might be about to be abandoned, but 21 22 it won't be abandoned by me. On discovery plans, the point with the 23 24 cy-près topic is not that we had an elaborate 25 discovery plan. I don't even recall the discovery

Page 54 plan in cy-près. We got all wrapped up with finishing 1 2 up New Black Panther. But the point is that actual discovery has 3 been undertaken. Interrogatories have been formulated 4 and issued. And that is a lot of work to come up with 5 those interrogatories for the Department of Justice. 6 7 And I understand that we have the interrogatories for 8 the nonprofits as well. So that was work. 9 Number three, narrowness matters a lot. 10 And you're going to end up being surprised at how 11 important narrowness is to these topics. And the way 12 the bullying topic, bullying/violence topic, is now 13 formulated, it is not narrow. It is very, very, very 14 broad. And, second -- oh, not second. Fourth on 15 my list here, discovery plans. A few years back, when 16 we did the religious liberties of prisoners, it was 17 early in my tenure. So I was not privy to the 18 19 discovery plan. And I wish I had been because I think 20 there were deep flaws in the methodology that was 21 adopted. And by the time the draft came out, it was 22 impossible to correct it. 23 I think it basically meant the report was 24 not worth very much. It was something, some useful 25 stuff in it. But the main point that the project

Page 55 attempted it wasn't able to make. And I think that is 1 2 not going to be a unique circumstance. The discovery plan will often help 3 4 glossing it. It will need commissioner input. And if we go with discovery plans, like the quickie that we 5 got last night, you're going to end up being 6 7 disappointed because basically you're jettisoning your 8 ability to affect the discovery. And you're going to 9 find out that mistakes were made very late in the 10 process. And it's going to be hard to correct. 11 So this isn't simply a minority defense 12 work. This is majority defense work. You need to be 13 able to know exactly what the staff is doing because you cannot assume that it is going to be what you 14 wanted. 15 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Could you yield? 16 17 Thirty seconds. And I promise 30 seconds. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Twenty-nine. 18 19 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Twenty-nine. Ιf 20 the discovery plan for cy-près was weaker than the others before us, it's because it wasn't necessary. 21 22 It was a normal topic. The topic that I think Commissioner Achtenberg has proposed I think would 23 24 benefit greatly by a proper discovery plan. 25 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you. I appreciate

Page 5
1 everyone's thoughtful comments. I just want to add I
2 could echo everything that Commissioner Achtenberg
3 said.

I also think that, at least from my 4 perspective, I do need to be excited about a topic 5 that we are going to cover. Our resources, as has 6 7 been discussed here, are limited. And, therefore, I 8 don't want to move forward on any topic given the 9 limited resources and the capacity that our staff is 10 -- you know, the overcapacity of our staff right now 11 in terms of their ability to do work that we would move forward on any topic that we are excited with. 12 So I am excited about the topic that 13

14 myself and Commissioner Titus, Commissioner Achtenberg 15 have brought forward. And we have a timeline we put 16 forward. And we will discuss that, I'm sure, after we 17 vote on this motion.

I do think that this is an exceptional 18 19 circumstance as well. We have three new commissioners 20 that were not here when this topic was first chosen. 21 And we happen to arrive here in the middle of this 22 So this is not something we process. foresee happening often in the future, if at all, but it is 23 the circumstance under which we find ourselves. 24 And 25 it is a challenge that we are all going to meet,

> Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

Page 56

| | Page 57 |
|----|--|
| 1 | whether it is the cy-près report, which, even though |
| 2 | it sounds like it might be a little bit ahead, is not |
| 3 | significantly so. |
| 4 | So those are my views. And this issue, in |
| 5 | particular, I am passionate about it. I saw a parent |
| 6 | just on the news yesterday talking about the fact that |
| 7 | their child was bullied and took his life. And to me |
| 8 | that is something I think is important for us to look |
| 9 | at. |
| 10 | So, having said that, I would like to call |
| 11 | the vote on this motion that Commissioner Gaziano has |
| 12 | put forward on affirming the cy-près. All those in |
| 13 | favor of affirming cy-près signify by saying, "Aye." |
| 14 | (Whereupon, there was a chorus of "Ayes.") |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All those opposed to |
| 16 | cy-près' affirmance signify by saying, "Aye" or |
| 17 | "Opposed." |
| 18 | (Whereupon, there was a chorus of "Noes.") |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Opposed, no, that's |
| 20 | four. Any abstentions? |
| 21 | (No response.) |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: No. So, in the opinion |
| 23 | of the Chair, the motion fails. Commissioners |
| 24 | Gaziano, Heriot, and Kirsanow voted in favor of the |
| 25 | motion. Commissioners Achtenberg, Titus, Thernstrom, |

Page 58 and Castro voted against the motion. 1 2 Is there another motion that is going to be presented? 3 4 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I would like to move that we adopt the inner student 5 violence topic as our 2011 statutory report topic, but 6 7 before anybody gets too excited, I am doing this 8 because it seems to me that, as a matter of Robert's 9 Rules, we should have a substantive discussion on the 10 competing topics, if you will, to the Commission's 11 satisfaction before compelling the commissioners to 12 vote on either, but the proper way to do that, it 13 seems to me, is to begin by making a motion so that the discussion that emanates there from is germane. 14 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is there a second on 15 16 that motion? COMMISSIONER TITUS: 17 Second. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Might I ask the 18 19 indulgence of the Commission for a four-minute recess if Commissioner Achtenberg -- would you mind if we 20 would allow a recess, if I could talk to you for about 21 four minutes? 22 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: 23 Sure. 24 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: It's going to be four 25 minutes.

| | Page 59 |
|----|--|
| 1 | VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Let's not turn it |
| 2 | into 20. |
| 3 | (Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off |
| 4 | the record at 11:39 a.m. and went back on the record |
| 5 | at 11:46 a.m.) |
| б | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Achtenberg? |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: I made a motion, |
| 8 | did I not? |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, and it was seconded |
| 10 | by Commissioner Titus. |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Let me just say |
| 12 | that I think this is a serious topic. It's relevant |
| 13 | to our mission. It in my mind is quite urgent. |
| 14 | Violence in all of its forms can be on the rise. And |
| 15 | there is no question that there are many motivations |
| 16 | for this violence in our schools. |
| 17 | And this is not meant to suggest that |
| 18 | these two categories of student-on-student violence |
| 19 | are the only ones to be concerned about, but in the |
| 20 | interest of manageability, these seem to be the two |
| 21 | most pervasive and important and germane to our |
| 22 | mission. And this is why we crafted the topic the way |
| 23 | that we did. |
| 24 | There are multiple studies and information |
| 25 | out there, expert opinions, books, and treatises, from |

Page 60

which much information can be gleaned, which is not to say that we know from the outset the answer to the question, which is how pervasive, where is this happening, why is it happening, and what can be done to address it.

One of the important things that I will 6 7 point out with regard to what I am asserting is the 8 manageability of this as our statutory topic within 9 the time frame is that I have proposed two discrete 10 demographic classes to be investigated. And I have 11 proposed this in a way that focuses on the federal 12 involvement and response and specifically that of the 13 Department of Justice and the Department of Education.

And originally in the original concept 14 paper that I circulated a week ago, we suggested that 15 we might also look at the responses in the various 16 17 states, the response that our own SACs may have unearthed in the process of 18 doing their work, 19 ordinances and statutes that are being proposed elsewhere than in the federal realm. 20 And I have been since persuaded that that exacerbates an existing 21 22 problem that you have properly identified, namely making whatever topic we undertake manageable so that 23 24 we can do a quality job of research analysis and so that our recommendations to the President and to the 25

Page 61 Congress will mean something. 1 So let me restate that that is what I 2 believe and my fellow commissioners believe that we 3 have done here. 4 We have consulted the staff to determine 5 the manageability of producing any report within the 6 7 six months that we have. I believe that it is 8 manageable, although it won't be without great 9 difficulty, but that would be true of any topic that 10 we choose. 11 So unless we are going to forego our statutory report, which I would not recommend, I would 12 13 respectfully suggest that and would ask for your support in adopting this as the topic for the 14 statutory report for 2011. 15 16 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kirsanow, then Commissioner Gaziano? 17 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you, Mr. 18 19 I just have a question of Commissioner Chair. 20 Achtenberg. 21 There are two concept papers that were 22 issued that were somewhat similar, the first one being the anti-gay bullying concept paper. 23 And I'm 24 wondering in terms of for definitional purposes, are talking about an examination of inter-student 25 we

Page 62 violence or is it bullying or what are we looking at 1 2 here or is it both? COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: 3 I'm sorry? Which concept paper? Last Friday? 4 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No. The one that 5 you recently introduced is inter-student violence --6 7 that one is understood --8 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Correct, yes. 9 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: -- amended by your 10 e-mails that came out I think last night or yesterday. 11 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes 12 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: There was а 13 previous concept paper that dealt with -- and it's entitled --14 DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF 15 DIRECTOR TOLHURST: The confusion is that OGC, by pure 16 17 coincidence, had advanced an anti-bullying topic. COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: And I just want to 18 19 be sure as to what we are looking at here. 20 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: We're talking 21 about the concept paper that the three commissioners 22 authored, the first version of which you received last Friday, which involves race and ethnicity and sexual 23 24 minority status. 25 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: And is that

Page 63 violence against? Interested in violence? 1 2 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Is interested in violence, which also includes bullying. 3 4 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Okay. And how do you define bullying? 5 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: It's defined in 6 7 I mean, it is one example of the -- yes. 8 inter-student violence. It's not the only example, 9 but it's --10 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: But it's also a much 11 larger concept than violence. I mean, you can be 12 bullied in a way that isn't violence. 13 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Correct, 14 correct. COMMISSIONER HERIOT: So are you including 15 all of bullying or just that which threatens violence? 16 17 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: No. Bullying and -- yes, I am including both in the concept paper. 18 19 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: That's I guess what we want to kind of unpack is violence as I would 20 view it is some type of assault and/or battery between 21 and among students; whereas, bullying could take a 22 different degree of activity, such as threats, 23 24 intimidation, --25 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Correct.

Page 64 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: 1 -- coercion, 2 Is touching, physical touching, harassment. 3 considered to be bullying, for example? So I guess 4 you're saying that oral threats, for example -because I am just trying to get a sense for what we 5 are talking about here. 6 7 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Sure. 8 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Oral threats, 9 intimidation, coercion, ridicule? 10 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Could be. 11 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Okay. Ridicule it 12 could be. What else? I'm trying to get a sense of 13 the scope because, again, this goes to my previous concern about what we are undertaking here. 14 I will take your word that there is data 15 out there. I am just unfamiliar with it. But when we 16 17 start to expand the definition, now we're getting into a fairly large universe of potential topics or 18 potential offenses that we're going to be taking a 19 look at. 20 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Correct. 21 22 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: So if we could get a sense for -- I've just laid out a few of them. Are 23 24 there any other things that fall within the definition, as you understand it, of the bullying that 25

| | Page 65 |
|----|--|
| 1 | we are going to be undertaking to examine? |
| 2 | COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: There may be. |
| 3 | Once the staff can ascertain from the Department of |
| 4 | Justice and Department of Education what kinds of |
| 5 | complaints in this regard they are receiving, what |
| 6 | kinds of activities they have been called in to |
| 7 | undertake or have initiated legal processes pursuant |
| 8 | to, we will have greater clarity on which issues have |
| 9 | implications for the civil rights inquiry of the |
| 10 | Commission. That is, we need to understand how |
| 11 | pervasive and how extensive their activities have been |
| 12 | in addressing these varying levels of violence in |
| 13 | schools. |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: As I understand |
| 15 | it, OCR only has jurisdiction or only addresses those |
| 16 | things that rise to the level of the hostile |
| 17 | environment harassment, something that could be |
| 18 | readily equated to the kind of Farrager and Ellerth |
| 19 | types of standards in the employment law context. I |
| 20 | am not sure. |
| 21 | And, again, I am not sure that bullying, |
| 22 | broadly speaking, can be defined as narrowly as OCR |
| 23 | looks at it. If, in fact, that is the case, I am not |
| 24 | sure to what extent we would have jurisdiction to look |
| 25 | at those other things, too. |

Page 66 So, again, I am not sure in terms of 1 2 trying to cabin the scope of this, whether bullying as broadly defined as to encompass intimidation, 3 4 harassment, threats, coercion that may be oral, ridicule necessarily falls within our jurisdiction. 5 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Gaziano, 6 7 then Commissioner Heriot? 8 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes. I was going 9 to address part of that. I think this follows. Ιf 10 this is the topic that is adopted, I would have 11 similar -- propose a similar process if this is 12 defeated and we go to the other topic. I would then want to be able to be heard 13 on motions to amend the classes that are addressed and 14 the procedures that we are going to use going forward 15 so that there could be commissioner input on the 16 17 definition of bullying. But I do want to begin right now with two 18 reasons why. Although I am very interested in this 19 topic of inter-school violence and the federal 20 21 government's relation to it, I just want to state for 22 the record that it is a better topic for a briefing report for a variety of reasons or for us to determine 23 24 whether it really is suitable for an enforcement 25 report sometime down the line. And that partially is

Page 67

1 tied to the jurisdictional.

In our enforcement report, our statute said we were really going to be studying the federal government, whether the federal government has enforced properly its laws. Our briefing reports, obviously we can look at state practices. We can look at state conduct.

8 There are individual incidents in this 9 concept paper that are mentioned as examples. There 10 is no indication in the concept paper that any of 11 those, well, I think with the one exception were even 12 known to the school. There was an allegation in one case that it somehow known to the school. But even if 13 there was one incident known to a particular school 14 and there's an allegation that the school didn't take 15 corrective action, that doesn't rise to the level of 16 17 what Commissioner Kirsanow was talking about as a sort of pattern in practice. OCR and other agencies have 18 19 jurisdiction over sort of pattern and practice 20 failures. So it seems to me that this topic fits less well as an enforcement report. 21

The other jurisdictional concern is whether the LGBT discrimination also falls within this Commission's jurisdiction. We don't have jurisdiction under our statute to look at all types of

Page 68 discrimination but only those under our organic 1 2 statute because of color, race, religion, sex, age, disability, and national origin. And perhaps Congress 3 4 will amend our organic statute and expand it, but I have some question about that. 5 Again, this is why it would be to me 6 7 either better as an enforcement report down the road, 8 where we could answer that question, or as a briefing 9 report, where we have a little bit, possibly a little 10 bit, more latitude in this regard. 11 And the final point just by comparison to the farm study, if we are going to do bullying, I am 12 13 going to have motions to expand it into religion and gender because I think it would be odd if this is our 14 national enforcement report not to cover gender and 15 the religion. 16 But that makes it even less manageable in 17 the time that we have; whereas, I think that the 18 19 topics that Commissioner Kirsanow suggested under the 20 farm are very discrete. We have billions of dollars damages owing to countless acts of 21 worth of 22 discrimination, as determined by the courts, at least. And the discrete -- there are thousands of 23 24 pages of I think reports and pleadings that are already on file. And the two discrete topics that 25

Page 69 Commissioner Kirsanow asked that we study are what 1 2 steps have the relevant agency or agencies taken to prevent that kind of discrimination in the future and 3 4 what kind of stuff we are taking to discipline the individuals who were responsible. 5 So, for those reasons, I am very 6 7 interested in the student violence topic. And I wish 8 it could be done with justice. 9 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any comments? If not --10 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I think Commissioner Heriot --11 12 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm still in line 13 here. 14 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I apologize. I'm sorry. Go ahead. 15 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: -- I'm still 16 17 concerned about this notion of bullying, on the one hand, and violence and threats of violence, on the 18 19 other hand. They overlap, but they are not the same. 20 There is a lot of violence that I wouldn't put into the category of bullying, violence that I think is 21 22 better put under the category of gang violence. Well, you don't really talk about that as 23 24 bullying. And I think to approach it as bullying 25 means that we are going to make mistakes. You know,

Page 70 the dynamic going on with gang violence isn't just a 1 bullying thing. There is something much more going 2 on. And it is hard to deal with that in a report that 3 4 is more I think more you're thinking about bullying than you are about gang violence. 5 At the same time, there is a lot of 6 7 bullying that isn't violence, you know, insults, 8 taunting. And I think most of the cases that are 9 getting a lot of play in the media aren't about threats of violence. 10 violence or They're about 11 bullying that is basically taunting. 12 And then we are going to have to get into 13 First Amendment issues. If we are going to deal with nonviolent bullying, which is just nasty, mean 14 bullying, we are going to have a lot of legal issues 15 that we wouldn't otherwise have. And I don't see how 16 17 we are going to be able to do all of that, the gang violence; the bullying violence; the bullying that 18 19 isn't violent but, nevertheless, can be very destructive because, you know, we can't deal with that 20 21 without dealing with First Amendment guestions. 22 So it just seems to me that this is overwhelming. Is there some way you could limit this? 23 24 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: I think the --25 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes? And then after you

Page 71 respond, our Vice Chair is going to say a few words. 1 2 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: The description contained in the concept paper is workable. I think 3 4 Commissioner Kirsanow's likening it to the equivalent of a hostile work environment is quite appropriate 5 because the notion here is these are the activities 6 7 being undertaken that then go on to deprive these --8 this is in the context of students attending school, 9 right? It goes on to deprive the students of a 10 meaningful ability to enjoy their education. 11 So that is obviously the federal interest 12 and concern. That is the hook for the review by the Commission, the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. 13 So to the extent that these things rise to that effect, 14 then they are not protected speech within the context 15 of the First Amendment, but they are --16 17 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's actually open for dispute. 18 19 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Well, I mean, that --20 21 That issue is going COMMISSIONER HERIOT: 22 to flow in. You just have to recognize that. That First Amendment issue is going to come in there. 23 24 Well, I mean, we COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: 25 have a -- there is not a clear line. I will grant you

| | Page 72 |
|----------------|--|
| 1 | that. But I think there is a discrete area of inquiry |
| 2 | that we can pursue. And it's my proposal that we do |
| 3 | pursue it, that this is a serious issue. And I |
| 4 | appreciate Commissioner Gaziano's concurrence in that |
| 5 | regard. |
| 6 | I think it is important that it be the |
| 7 | topic of the statutory report. I understand you're |
| 8 | differing on that. And I appreciate that as an honest |
| 9 | difference that we have. |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Vice Chair Thernstrom? |
| 11 | VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Just a couple of |
| 12 | things. One, just to note as a statistical matter, |
| 13 | most gang violence takes place not in school but |
| 14 | outside a school. |
| 15 | Two, it seems to me, Commissioner Gaziano, |
| 16 | that one can't both complain about the scope of the |
| 17 | report and I agree that the scope is a problem. |
| 18 | You know, I am also concerned about that question, but |
| 19 | you can't complain that the scope is too large and |
| 20 | then add other possible categories. |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I'll try to |
| 22 | explain. |
| 23 | VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Pardon me? |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I'll try to explain |
| 25 | later |
| 22 23 24 | explain. VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Pardon me? COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I'll try to explain |

Page 73 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, you know --1 -- and make my 2 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: motion. 3 4 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: religion, whatever else you added in. 5 And, three, I would love to hear from the 6 7 Staff Director on the question of whether, in fact, 8 this should be a concern, whether this project as it 9 now stands is doable. And, of course, I should add we 10 can modify this, the scope of this project, as we go 11 along, I would assume. Commissioner Achtenberg, is 12 that not correct? I assume she would say yes. 13 But, anyway, I just said I wanted to hear from the Staff Director on the question of the scope 14 and whether this is a doable topic. 15 But I also said -- and this is what I 16 17 directed my question to you -- we can modify if we find this is not in some way, some aspects not 18 19 manageable. You know, we take one step at a time. We 20 can modify the scope as we go along. But, you know, 21 it's hard to predict anyway. Acting Staff Director, I would love to 22 hear your view on -- it's actually not six months to 23 24 go. AUTHORITY THE 25 DELEGATED THE OF STAFF

Page 74 DIRECTOR TOLHURST: 1 No. 2 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: We've got five 3 months to go. 4 DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Yes, that's correct. 5 So two things as a threshold. 6 If now 7 we're not using the existing report, any new topic, 8 any new topic, is going to require a Herculean effort 9 by the staff to get done by the deadline. 10 That said, on this topic, I was asked when 11 I saw the original proposal what I thought of it. I 12 suggested ways to narrow it: no state survey; very 13 limited exploration of best practices; one briefing, in May, local, no field hearings; interrogatories; not 14 subpoenas issued ASAP, things like that. 15 Also -- and commissioners would have to 16 17 agree to this and vote on it, obviously, but staff will be scrambling to get any topic done. Again, if 18 19 you choose the deadline is important, commissioners would, similarly, have to make some 20 sacrifices, largely in the form of truncating some of their review 21 22 periods and/or comment periods. So I am not saying it is doable at all 23 24 under our normal plan, but our normal plan went out 25 the window last August when we didn't select a report.

Page 75 So I don't at all want to suggest this is 1 2 easy or going to have the level of depth that I would want had I been working on any topic for the last 3 4 several months. And there are breadth concerns. I think a 5 federal survey of the law, what is DOJ interpreting 6 7 under Title IX, that type of thing is something that 8 we can do, but we would want to talk about these First 9 Amendment concerns, other concerns, and how to most 10 focus the topic in a way that was manageable in a 11 legitimate way. We don't want to dip into subjects 12 that we don't have the time to treat adequately and 13 give an adequate analysis think if we can focus on 14 Ι federal enforcement, what is DOJ doing, what is DOE doing, and 15 16 have strict limitations on how deep this examination 17 will be, that it is possible. But, again, every single step of the process will be limited and not 18 19 match what we have conceived under the AI in the past in terms of number of reviews, that type of thing. 20 21 Whether we choose the USDA issue, 22 inter-student violence, or someone magically comes up with issue C that we can pick today, interrogatories 23 -- and this is why I offered these plans. They're not 24 25 good, but interrogatories have to go out really soon.

| | Page 76 |
|----|--|
| 1 | We can't wait until another meeting for folks to |
| 2 | vote. |
| 3 | That is a caveat I have in agreeing. If |
| 4 | you want my assessment that we could do any of it, the |
| 5 | doing has to start as soon as I leave this room. |
| 6 | Another concern I have for any topic is, |
| 7 | you know, usually the person acting as Staff Director |
| 8 | isn't writing papers. The ethics officer isn't |
| 9 | writing papers. And it wouldn't be alleviated by |
| 10 | assigning it to OCRE either because Chris Byrnes, |
| 11 | similarly, is doing two and three and four jobs. |
| 12 | So the situation we're in is that getting |
| 13 | reports done is contingent of one of about four people |
| 14 | not being sick or hit by a bus. So that is a problem, |
| 15 | right? |
| 16 | Whatever you pick, whichever, your topics |
| 17 | or another one, we will do something to the best of |
| 18 | our ability and have it done on deadline. And I will |
| 19 | work with you to come up with a schedule, but it will |
| 20 | not be easy. I will be giving comp time to people to |
| 21 | work on it extra. And it's not going to be pretty at |
| 22 | all, but I will and can do either topic on deadline |
| 23 | with the understanding it is not going to be at the |
| 24 | level of depth I would have preferred. |
| 25 | And so again I will urge you for 2012, |

Page 77 please pick a topic in August so we can give civil 1 2 rights issues the respect they deserve and get something done really in-depth. 3 4 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. I'm going to call the vote on the question. All those in favor of the 5 motion put forward by Commissioner Achtenberg to have 6 7 the inter-student violence concept paper be the 2011 8 statutory report signify by saying, "Aye." 9 (Whereupon, there was a chorus of "Ayes.") 10 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All those opposed? (Whereupon, there was a chorus of "Noes.") 11 12 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any abstentions? 13 (No response.) CHAIRMAN CASTRO: In the opinion of the 14 Chair, the motion passes with the affirmative vote of 15 16 Commissioners Achtenberg, Titus, Castro, and our Vice Chair Thernstrom. And those opposed are Commissioners 17 Gaziano, Heriot, and Kirsanow. And there are no 18 19 abstentions. 20 Do we have a motion on the discovery plan? COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes. 21 T move the 22 discovery plan that was put forward by the Staff Director so that discovery can proceed. 23 24 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Do we have a second on 25 that?

Page 78 COMMISSIONER TITUS: Second. 1 2 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I don't know if it's out of order, but before we adopt the discovery 3 4 plan, I indicated earlier that I wanted to offer a few motions on the scope. With the Chair's indulgence, 5 would it be all right if we talk about the scope 6 7 before we talk about the discovery plan? 8 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, since the 9 discovery plan is so, as you indicted earlier, not 10 that broad, why don't we vote on the discovery plan? 11 Then we can go back and address any issues that you 12 have. 13 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: If that's the way 14 that you prefer. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is there any discussion 15 on the discovery plan other than what we had earlier? 16 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: A few brief things. 17 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Sure. 18 19 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I haven't read it. As the Vice Chair, I looked at the beyond depth of 20 And I have heard about it from others. Our Vice 21 it. 22 Chair has said that we shouldn't be voting on things that we get late into the night. 23 I don't know if she is going to make an 24 exception in this case, but I think whatever truncated 25

Page 79 schedule you adopt for this new topic, we ought to 1 2 allow more commissioner input. Again, I know it was meant in good faith 3 4 that we just deal with the definition of bullying. Once we get our discovery back from the Department of 5 Justice, that will tell us what the scope of our 6 7 enforcement report focus is. 8 I think that is a backward way of doing 9 it. We ought to do, however fast we have to work, the 10 hard work of figuring that out and nailing those 11 issues down as best we can so that then the 12 interrogatories that are issued are tightly written to 13 that. So I would oppose adopting a discovery 14 plan until it has been rewritten to reflect our 15 16 discussion today, it has been rewritten to reflect 17 whatever changes in focus have been adopted. Commissioners, I know we killed telephone meetings, 18 19 but I don't like them. But I am willing to go back to 20 one if the Commission really thinks that we need to 21 have input before the next meeting to give the staff 22 direction they need. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Vice Chair Thernstrom? 23 24 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Commissioner 25 Gaziano is perfectly right that I have long objected

Page 80 to getting material at the last moment. And that 1 2 became a regular process on this Commission. However, this is a really extraordinary circumstance where we 3 have only five months left. It is unprecedented. 4 And so I sign onto the procedural 5 irregularity in this one instance, in spite of the 6 7 fact that I have had this long history of saying, can 8 we not do this to commissioners? I've got papers in 9 front of me. I haven't had a chance to read, to 10 digest, to think about. 11 And but, again, we are really short on 12 time here. And so I, you know, will go along with a truncated schedule with the understanding this will 13 not be our usual practice. 14 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any other discussion? 15 If not -- oh, Commissioner Heriot? 16 17 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I am Glinda, the Good Witch of the North. And I could tell you why I 18 19 don't think this is going to work, but at this point 20 you won't believe me. So let's see what happens. 21 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I thought you were going 22 to raise Klingons this time like last --(Laughter.) 23 24 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: -- last month. Can we address you VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: 25

Page 81 as such, Glinda? 1 Can I ask for one 2 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: clarification? Sometimes the commissioners have seen 3 4 the interrogatories before they go out. Sometimes that's been committed to subcommittees. 5 By the way, the idea that subcommittees 6 7 are not a good idea, I think that's insane, but 8 sometimes we can do a lot more work if we split it up 9 and have subcommittees. 10 Is there any opportunity? What 11 opportunity will commissioners have to look at the 12 discovery requests? We have never completely delegated authority with this little discretion on 13 discovery for an enforcement report like this. 14 What 15 other accommodation is possible? 16 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I have every confidence 17 in our Acting General Counsel, frankly. In the very limited time that I have worked with her over the last 18 19 couple of months, I have found her to be someone who is a career person who, as she said today, honestly 20 21 this is what she believes. I have absolutely no 22 qualms personally with delegating authority to the 23 Office of the General Counsel under our Acting General 24 Counsel at this point. 25 We are also, as was said earlier, dealing

Page 82 with an exceptional circumstance of time in order to 1 2 meet the September 30th deadline, which is something that is not negotiable. We need to move forward as 3 4 quickly as possible. And commissioners tinkering with interrogatories, they can best be put together by our 5 legal staff in this instance, I think is not 6 7 appropriate. 8 Commissioner Achtenberg? 9 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: It also seems to 10 me that an extensive part of our April meeting could 11 be and probably should be devoted to a staff report on 12 how things are going; what kinds of problems, if any, they are encountering; what kind of 13 additional quidance they can receive from this body. Is that not 14 a way that we can --15 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: 16 Absolutely. 17 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: In part, what I heard from the Acting Staff Director is that she 18 19 believes -- it makes perfect sense to me what she 20 believes, that she needs to send the interrogatories out within weeks or days. By the way, I think it has 21 22 always been the practice that commissioners can look at the interrogatories before. 23 24 So I would, at a minimum, move that we 25 have three days just to provide our -- and, by the

Page 83 way, it doesn't violate any AI that we talk to the 1 2 Staff Director -- three --DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF 3 THE STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Or the General Counsel. 4 5 (Laughter.) COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: -- that we have --6 7 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Not at the same time. (Laughter.) 8 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: -- that we have 9 10 three days to provide you with our thoughts. I am not 11 sure that's an adequate substitute for Commission 12 decision-making, but I think it might help -- is that 13 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Thernstrom? VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Three days isn't 14 15 three days. You get three days. And then the Staff Director/General Counsel has to respond. And then you 16 17 respond. And it ends up it's not three days. And, I 18 mean --19 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I don't understand that. The Staff Director/General Counsel can either 20 take such advice under --21 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay. As long as 22 you're not insisting on going back and forth here for 23 24 _ _ 25 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I don't think we have

Page 84 the luxury, unfortunately. 1 2 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I mean, we just don't have the luxury to do that. 3 4 DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Can I say something as well? 5 6 Whatever you decide, discovery is not a one-time 7 thing. And, as people looked at what we issued, 8 which, of course, would be shared immediately and in 9 advance if at all possible, if we just come back and 10 say, "Why didn't you ask this or that?" or "We wish 11 you had defined this in a different way," we can issue 12 supplemental discovery, incorporating any input that 13 you have. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: That's an excellent 14 I think that should satisfy any concerns. 15 point. Any other questions, discussion? 16 17 (No response.) CHAIRMAN CASTRO: If not, shall we have a 18 19 vote on the discovery plan? All those in favor of the 20 discovery plan signify by saying, "Aye." 21 (Whereupon, there was a chorus of "Ayes.") 22 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All those opposed to the discovery plan please signify by indicating your 23 24 opposition by saying, "No." 25 (Whereupon, there was a chorus of "Noes.")

| | Page 85 |
|----|--|
| 1 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any abstentions? |
| 2 | COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I abstain. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: One abstention. So the |
| 4 | motion on the discovery plan passes with the |
| 5 | affirmative votes of Commissioners Achtenberg, Titus, |
| 6 | Thernstrom, Castro. Opposed to the motion were |
| 7 | Commissioners Gaziano and Heriot. And Commissioner |
| 8 | Kirsanow abstained from that vote. Okay. |
| 9 | COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: May I now offer |
| 10 | some motions on the |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Go ahead. |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: If we are doing |
| 13 | this as a national enforcement report, there are three |
| 14 | classes identified in the most recent concept paper |
| 15 | that I looked at at about 12:30 last night, received |
| 16 | it about 10:00 in my in box. The three classes were |
| 17 | race, inter-student violence based on race, LGBT |
| 18 | status, and ethnicity to the extent that ethnicity was |
| 19 | foreign-born or language of proficient students. |
| 20 | It seems to me that it gives and the |
| 21 | examples that were used about the foreign-born |
| 22 | students really involved, it seemed to me, |
| 23 | discrimination against religious minorities. The fact |
| 24 | that they were born in foreign countries seemed to me |
| 25 | to be incidental to the claim. |

Page 86 And so my first motion is that if we are 1 2 going to study some religions, Sikhs, Islam, whatever, 3 that we open it up to any inter-student violence based 4 on religion generally. And I doubt if we are really going to limit this to OCR or the Department of 5 Justice's enforcement, that that is really expanding 6 7 the scope very much. I think the scope is too big to 8 begin with, but I don't think it is expanding it very 9 much. It is just treating it in a little bit more 10 even-handed way. 11 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: How many motions do you 12 plan to have? Is it two? One? COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: 13 Three. 14 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Three? Is there any way we can put them all into one motion just for --15 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Someone might agree 16 17 to one. For example, would you adopt that as a friendly amendment? 18 19 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes, I would. 20 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay. And I don't 21 know whether the others --22 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes. I think we have to do them one by one. Otherwise they could be 23 voted down for --24 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So let's proceed with 25

Page 87 your motion. 1 It looks like the 2 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: 3 author or the sponsor has accepted that one. 4 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Could you clarify that one for me? 5 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes. 6 7 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: What has just been 8 accepted? 9 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We're going to look 10 at all bullying or however we define it later ore 11 inter-student violence on the basis of any religion --12 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: A friendly amendment to 13 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Can I say why I 14 concurred in that? I thought the commissioner made a 15 very good point. To the extent that one of the things 16 17 that was very -- in fact, the example was suggested that it was with regard to anti-Muslim animus. 18 It 19 seemed to me to be correct. Then Commissioner Gaziano 20 brought to my attention what about students being bullied because of their Christian beliefs or what 21 22 about --COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Orthodox Jews in 23 some schools. 24 25 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: -- or bring

Page 88 bullied because of their Jewish religion. So he asked 1 I would view this as a modest but friendly 2 if 3 expansion. And I said yes. 4 Obviously I don't have the authority to speak for the other commissioners who concur in the 5 paper in chief, but that was his proposal. And that 6 7 was my rationale. 8 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Vice Chair Thernstrom? 9 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, I mean, I 10 don't have an objection to expanding it. I suspect 11 that there are no data to speak of, you know, in terms 12 of harassment of Jewish students. And so I don't have 13 an objection to expanding it. To the extent to which we can, I would 14 like to proceed on the basis of data, rather than some 15 anecdotes here and there. 16 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: 17 T think we all would. That's one of the reasons I wish we could have 18 19 postponed this. COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Uncovering the fact 20 that there is no data is useful in itself if that were 21 22 to be the case. VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, if that were 23 to be true, but, you know, the sense is there are 24 25 religious questions. I mean, that is generally kind

Page 89 of off the --1 2 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes. Putting that in the report that we have no way of knowing how many 3 of --4 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay. Done. I 5 don't have an objection to that. 6 7 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Todd, did you have 8 more? 9 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Do we want to vote on this or is this --10 11 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Do we have acceptance of 12 the amendment? 13 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: We have an 14 acceptance of the amendment. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Does the seconder agree? 15 Does the seconder need to agree on it? 16 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'll second it. 17 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. All those in 18 19 favor --COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Can we have a 20 short discussion on that because I'm not sure what 21 22 other motions you've got. This may be superfluous, but I think it might make sense to also include -- and 23 24 I don't want to expand this. Actually, my concern, 25 Commissioner Achtenberg, was not so much with respect

| | Page 90 |
|----|--|
| 1 | to the categories but with respect to the scope of the |
| 2 | offense. |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Understood. |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Our organic |
| 5 | statute talks about a lot of things, but, in any |
| 6 | event, I would think that possibly we would want to |
| 7 | also look at the violence or harassment or bullying |
| 8 | directed at disabled or those perceived to be |
| 9 | disabled. |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: In fact, Commissioner |
| 11 | Titus and I'll give you a second to talk about that |
| 12 | as well. We had these conversations as we were trying |
| 13 | to limit the scope of this. And, in fact, folks may |
| 14 | not have seen it, but on page 2 of the concept paper, |
| 15 | in footnote 2, we indicated that it is also |
| 16 | appropriate to leave open the possibility of |
| 17 | exploration of how inter-student violence affects |
| 18 | other categories of students as the work on this |
| 19 | project unfolds with the view that issues such as the |
| 20 | one that Commissioner Gaziano raised and issues such |
| 21 | as Commissioner Titus has highlighted for us and you |
| 22 | have just now, that we are precluding ourselves from |
| 23 | looking at those. |
| 24 | And, in fact, in the course of this |
| 25 | project, we have no doubt that some of those are going |

Page 91 to come up. And we don't want to ignore those. 1 So that was our effort to to at least 2 provide the opportunity for that to occur while at the 3 4 same time balancing the concerns that had been raised about manageability and the staff resources. 5 So I don't know if that would be 6 7 sufficient for folks, but I know, Commissioner Titus, 8 you want to speak to the issue of special needs. 9 COMMISSIONER TITUS: Well, I agree. Ι 10 mentioned that on several occasions that we need to 11 look at children with special needs because often they may be the subject of bullying and wanted to include 12 13 that in here but agreeing that we didn't have the resources of the time, but I thought that it would be 14 covered under this amendment that when it came across 15 those cases, we would look at them. 16 17 But if we are going to amend the study to include all of the categories that are covered by our 18 19 statute and state that specifically, I would have to 20 say I want to see that stated in there specifically, just like religion, just like gender, or these other 21 22 things. So if we are going to open that door, I would like to see that written, spelled out specifically. 23 24 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Gaziano? COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: The reason I think 25

| | Page 92 |
|----|--|
| 1 | we should go through this process is if the |
| 2 | interrogatories are going to be written, we need to |
| 3 | make that kind of decision. And if we are really |
| 4 | focus on what has DOJ done, what has OCR done, then it |
| 5 | is broadening it a little bit but not very much. |
| 6 | But if we don't ask for certain categories |
| 7 | in the interrogatories, we're not going to get |
| 8 | we're on a short time frame. So that's why I think we |
| 9 | so that's a good second. |
| 10 | My third was going to be gender because I |
| 11 | think it also certainly is more explicitly within our |
| 12 | jurisdiction. Then the LGBT harassment and it's a |
| 13 | little bit odd to be focusing on you know, not |
| 14 | focusing on gender. |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So let's vote on the |
| 16 | religion amendment. And then we'll have a formal |
| 17 | motion put forward by Commissioners Titus and Kirsanow |
| 18 | on special needs. And then we'll move on to the next |
| 19 | topic. So let's vote on this. We've got a motion |
| 20 | that's been amended, and the amendment has been |
| 21 | seconded. So all of those in favor of adding religion |
| 22 | signify by saying, "Aye." |
| 23 | (Whereupon, there was a chorus of "Ayes.") |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All those opposed? |
| 25 | (No response.) |
| I | |

| | Page 93 |
|----|--|
| 1 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any abstentions? |
| 2 | (No response.) |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: It passes unanimously. |
| 4 | Which of the two commissioners would like |
| 5 | to raise the next motion? |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Go ahead. |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER TITUS: I would move that we |
| 8 | include people with disabilities in our study. |
| 9 | COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I would include |
| 10 | just a slight amendment to that: perceived |
| 11 | disabilities also. |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER TITUS: Yes. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. |
| 14 | DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF |
| 15 | DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Before you vote, can I make a |
| 16 | quick point? |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Oh, sure. |
| 18 | DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF |
| 19 | DIRECTOR TOLHURST: If we're adding all of these, on |
| 20 | the one hand, I would withdraw my assessment that this |
| 21 | is doable with this caveat. And I agree with |
| 22 | Commissioner Gaziano. As you approve these, these are |
| 23 | all going to need to go to interrogatories. And that |
| 24 | we can do: collect and analyze data on any groups |
| 25 | that you ask us for. |

Page 94 What you won't get -- I mean, there is a 1 2 rich history. And you can go back through years and years of law of students facing bullying and violence 3 because of their religion. You're not going to get a 4 rich legal background on any of these individual 5 6 issues. 7 You will get the forward-looking. You 8 will get the analysis of what DOJ is doing. But in 9 terms of expectations of if you were to include 10 everything, you are not going to get the analysis I 11 would like to give you had I had a year on in terms of 12 setting the stage of legal protections very broadly 13 for all of these groups. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We understand that. 14 We 15 appreciate it. 16 DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF 17 DIRECTOR TOLHURST: But the interrogatories, yes, I totally agree we need to be really clear today so we 18 19 include anything you want in the data that we get. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Fair enough if 20 21 that's agreeable. So we have a motion that has been seconded 22 23 on the issue of students with disabilities or 24 perceived disabilities All those in favor signify by 25 saying, "Aye."

| | Page 95 |
|----|---|
| 1 | (Whereupon, there was a chorus of "Ayes.") |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any opposed? |
| 3 | (No response.) |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any abstentions? |
| 5 | (No response.) |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: It passes unanimously. |
| 7 | Commissioner Gaziano, did you have another |
| 8 | motion? |
| 9 | COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I move that we ask |
| 10 | the same types of questions of the Department of |
| 11 | Justice, Department of Education or any other federal |
| 12 | regarding their enforcement of federal statutes that |
| 13 | prohibit bullying or however it is defined as we |
| 14 | ultimately define it on the basis of gender. |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Do we have a |
| 16 | second on that? |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Second. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any additional |
| 19 | discussion on that motion? Vice Chair? |
| 20 | VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'm sorry. I'm |
| 21 | being a little stupid or something on this. What does |
| 22 | bullying on the basis of gender look like |
| 23 | specifically? |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: There's some notion |
| 25 | in the concept paper. We also had some teachers |
| | |

| | Page 96 |
|----|--|
| 1 | testify last month about obviously sometimes boys pick |
| 2 | on girls and sometimes girls pick on other girls |
| 3 | because they see them as sexual threats. It was very |
| 4 | interesting testimony. |
| 5 | VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: The girls picking |
| б | on girls, I have seen that a lot, but you are |
| 7 | including that? |
| 8 | COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes, in fact, I |
| 9 | think that was raised as the most prevalent level of |
| 10 | violence. |
| 11 | VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, I'm sure. |
| 12 | Girls are horrible to each other in grade school, |
| 13 | middle school mainly. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Do we have a second on |
| 15 | that motion, by the way? Yes, we did, right? |
| 16 | Commissioner Heriot? |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Let me just back up |
| 18 | a little bit. This does have to do with this motion. |
| 19 | But one of the things about this report is that it |
| 20 | deals basically with sexual orientation as a topic. |
| 21 | And it's not clear that that is within our |
| 22 | jurisdiction. |
| 23 | We might bolster our jurisdictional |
| 24 | argument a little bit better if we include gender |
| 25 | here, but one thing I would like is an opinion from |

Page 97 the General Counsel's office about whether or not we 1 2 can study sexual orientation issues. That would make me feel a lot more comfortable on the jurisdictional 3 4 issue. THE AUTHORITY OF THE DELEGATED STAFF 5 DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Do you want an opinion right now? 6 7 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I want a --8 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: No. I'd rather, 9 you know --10 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Actually, I would 11 like to know a bottom line, but I would also like, you 12 know, some argument. 13 DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Yes. I mean, going back to your 14 AI, what should happen with all concept papers is 15 before we even talk about them, they would go to the 16 17 General Counsel, who would write a opinion about jurisdiction and foundation. That hasn't happened in 18 19 a while. 20 I can tell you that the previous General Counsel was asked the question. I've not been asked 21 22 it until now and he believed that it was within our jurisdiction. 23 I think that what we would look at would 24 25 be what other federal agencies are doing, including

Page 98

the Department of Justice, who is explicitly at the
 moment including sexual orientation under their DOMA
 protections.

I would also harken back to a time before 4 disability was under our jurisdiction, when we 5 considered disability in helping to frame the laws 6 7 that were being created to protect people. So there is a question of whether under our administration of 8 9 justice statute we should as a Commission be looking 10 or you should be looking at is it part of our role to 11 write in quotes "advocating for a group to become or not become a protected class" and something that the 12 13 Commission should look at. And should the Commission have a role in thinking about that, even before it's 14 under our jurisdiction? 15 But I would prefer to have a day to think 16 17 about this and give you something in writing that was 18 a more sophisticated --COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes. Ι would 19 20 appreciate getting something in writing. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I would, too. 21 And 22 I did note the authority cited in the concept paper

23 that certainly it is very relevant that the 24 administration is interpreting Title IX in a certain 25 way. But if you could address the other authorities

Page 99 because we need to make an independent determination 1 2 of our jurisdiction. Yes, Commissioner 3 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: 4 Achtenberg? COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: My question, our 5 enabling statute and the fact that we have purview 6 7 under the administration of justice and the fact that 8 the Commission in its history has shed light on 9 emerging issues, even before they were part of enumerated classes, is that a fair characterization of 10 11 some of the activities that the Commission has 12 undertaken in the past? What is the legal 13 significance, if any, Mr. Chairman, of the guidance that has been asked for by the Office of General 14 Counsel, as compared to essentially a vote of this 15 body that we are going to undertaken in the particular 16 17 -- I mean, is it mad to suggest there is a difference between that and the vote of this body or is it merely 18 19 to elucidate the issue of jurisdiction? 20 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: In my view -- and I can't give you a legal opinion because this is the job 21 22 our counsel here. But we, a majority of our Commission, has already voted on this concept paper 23 24 that includes as one of the topics the subject areas that we are going to look at as LGBT students. 25 And it

Page 100 is not the only topic we voted to look at. 1 In fact, we just added a few more. 2 So I believe in putting forward this 3 4 concept paper, believe that we have the authority through, as you said, our administration of justice as 5 well as the fact that we are looking at a federal 6 7 agency which is currently looking at that class of 8 individuals in the context of the topic that we have 9 chosen. 10 So I would view the report that is being 11 requested from our General Counsel as elucidating to these commissioners who voted against it anyway. 12 13 So I would not view that as something that would prevent us from going forward and looking at 14 that additional class of individual. And that is my 15 personal view of the effect of this. 16 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I have the same 17 view, but it might depending on the legal analysis be 18 19 something we would raise at another meeting but maybe 20 not. 21 And your point about the administration of 22 justice, that's always been subject to interpretation of our jurisdiction. I think it is a fair point. 23 And 24 it is a fair point that we ought not to interpret unreasonably narrowly either. 25

Page 101 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So we have a motion. 1 And it has been seconded on the issue of addition to 2 the report, that concept paper of gender. Unless 3 there is any further discussion, shall we have a vote? 4 All those in favor of adding gender please signify by 5 saying, "Aye.a" 6 7 (Whereupon, there was a chorus of "Ayes.") CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All those opposed? 8 9 (No response.) 10 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any abstentions? 11 (No response.) 12 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The motion passes 13 unanimously. Any other motions on that? You're done? 14 So now we will move on to a consideration 15 of briefing and hearing topics for fiscal year 2011 --16 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Just before we 17 close out this. I'm very sorry, but will we will ask 18 19 -- the Chair working with the Staff Director to circulate a proposed time frame, under our prior plan, 20 which I think has to be abandoned, you get the first 21 22 draft of the enforcement report in May. I don't think that's going to possible, but I would like -- by the 23 24 way, I am again speaking as -- what did you say you 25 were, Glinda? I'm --

Page 102 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Glinda, the good 1 2 witch of the North. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes. I'm the 3 4 warlock. I think everybody will be --5 (Laughter.) COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: -- well-served -- I 6 7 don't need it today but well-served if you allow 8 yourselves time to look at drafts and have changes 9 incorporated. So is that something that unless you 10 have an alternative proposal that you would circulate 11 to us for consideration, what the proposed schedule 12 should be for this report? CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I believe there is a 13 14 timeline that has been prepared for this. Has there not, Commissioner Achtenberg? 15 16 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Indeed, yes. 17 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And we can circulate a copy of that? We have it. Is it in final form? 18 19 DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF 20 DIRECTOR TOLHURST: I would prepare to conform it more to what commissioners are use to in terms of a 21 22 timeline if that is all right. 23 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. 24 DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF 25 DIRECTOR TOLHURST: We can circulate that on Monday.

Page 103 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. Okav. 1 Good. 2 Okay. - CONSIDERATION OF BRIEFING/HEARING TOPIC(S) 3 FOR FY 2011 4 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: As you know, at our 5 6 February 11th hearing, there was a motion by 7 Commissioner Gaziano that we all agreed that the 8 eminent domain briefing would be conducted within six 9 months, which would be sometime before the end of 10 August. We have been discussing here I think today 11 12 a lot of issues as to staff capacity and whether or 13 not we have the capability of performing what we voted to perform. And what I wanted to do was have a 14 discussion as to whether or not we have room for other 15 16 topics at this point in 2011 assuming we're going to move forward with imminent domain, as we have agreed 17 to do within a six-month time period. 18 19 So I wanted to ask our General Counsel, our Acting General Counsel, do you think there is room 20 21 to do another hearing on another topic? 22 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: That is on top of 23 24 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: On top of eminent 25 domain.

| | Page 104 |
|----|---|
| 1 | VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: eminent domain. |
| 2 | It's not a hearing, a briefing. |
| 3 | DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF |
| 4 | DIRECTOR TOLHURST: A briefing? |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: A briefing. |
| 6 | DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF |
| 7 | DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Not before October. Well, a |
| 8 | couple of things. For eminent domain, I knew you were |
| 9 | going to ask me about that. That is a ready-to-go |
| 10 | briefing. OGC had done all of the background work. |
| 11 | We have briefing binders for commissioners. We have |
| 12 | identified speakers. So for imminent domain, it is a |
| 13 | really pull the trigger and let's pull a good fix to |
| 14 | make sure that briefing happens with the caveat that |
| 15 | what I have just committed David Snyder and myself to |
| 16 | that we would need OCRE to assist with the logistics |
| 17 | of that briefing. |
| 18 | For another briefing, I think I would need |
| 19 | to confer with Chris Byrnes as to OCRE's workload |
| 20 | because that briefing would most certainly have to |
| 21 | come out of OCRE given what the General Counsel's |
| 22 | office is committed to. |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And here's the reason |
| 24 | for my question, because I know Commissioner Kirsanow |
| 25 | has put forward as a statutory topic, which did not |

Page 105 end up being chosen as the USDA. I don't know if he 1 2 wants to go forward for us to look at that as a briefing topic. 3 4 And if so, what I'm trying to have an idea of is we have already got eminent domain out there. 5 Do we have the capacity to look at something else 6 7 right now or do we need to wait and see how we play 8 out with what we approve today in terms of the new 9 statutory report? So, you know, that's what I'm 10 trying to get an idea of. 11 Commissioner Kirsanow, I don't know if you 12 wanted to move this forward today as а 13 hearing/briefing topic or not. COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes, I think I 14 would. I appreciate your consideration of it. 15 I do think -- and I will reiterate what I said before. 16 Ι 17 think this topic is one that is kind of a ready-made 18 project. 19 I don't want to presume what the staff 20 would do on this or to truncate what they would do, 21 but I do think that we are looking at only two 22 discrete areas of inquiry with respect to the topic. I think all the data has already been 23 24 adduced. There's been litigation on this. There's 25 been a settlement. And it would be a very discrete

Page 106 inquiry as to what USDA is doing or has done to remedy 1 2 what precipitated what appears to be fairly rampant discrimination that at least in one case, the Pigford 3 4 case, affected 94,000 individuals and in the Eagles --I can't remember the name of the other litigation but 5 the ones that affected Hispanic farmers and female 6 7 farmers. There's another fairly sizeable cohort. But 8 I think that investigative work has been done pursuant 9 to litigation. And we simply -- that would be kind of 10 background material to see what USDA has done to 11 remedy that situation. 12 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Sorry. Vice Chair 13 Thernstrom? 14 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Commissioner Kirsanow, I wonder if just out of pity for the staff, 15 as it were, whether you would be willing to have that 16 17 delayed until October. We don't have --CHAIRMAN CASTRO: A discussion about it. 18 I mean, are you talking about a discussion about it or 19 vote on it? 20 Well, just --21 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: 22 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: The briefing? VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, have the 23 24 motion not contemplate any kind of briefing before the staff gets on its feet again; that is, not until 25

Page 107 October. 1 2 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: As I understand, I think what I am asking to clarify is before we even have a 3 motion on something like that, I want to make sure 4 that there is a capacity for the staff, not 5 necessarily vote on a topic today, whether it's --6 7 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Right. 8 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: -- this and then say, 9 "Well, just do it in October." I want to make sure I 10 have an understanding from the staff that they have 11 the capability to do something else beyond the eminent 12 domain or I don't know if folks wanted to swap eminent 13 domain out. I'm not suggesting that, but do we have 14 the capacity for one more item or are we at capacity 15 now? AUTHORITY 16 DELEGATED THE OF THE STAFF 17 DIRECTOR TOLHURST: That item if you did another one would have to come out of OCRE. So we'll need to get 18 19 Chris to be able to weigh in. And I see that on our discussion, it looks like Title IX with this other 20 21 discussion. So probably he can't answer unless he 22 knows what you all want to do with Title IX and what the timeline is for getting any report on Title XI out 23 24 because that is certainly occupying their time. 25 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Maybe what I could

| | Page 108 |
|----|--|
| 1 | suggest if it's amenable is that we put off a |
| 2 | discussion of this topic as the briefing topic until |
| 3 | next month's meeting so that in the interim, staff can |
| 4 | consult with one another and come back and inform us |
| 5 | as to their capacity to take on anything else, in |
| 6 | addition to what's already on |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: That's agreeable |
| 8 | to me. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Does that work for |
| 10 | VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: That is the right |
| 11 | thing to do here. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And that was the USDA |
| 13 | topic. |
| 14 | I'm sorry. Commissioner Titus? |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER TITUS: One quick question. |
| 16 | You mentioned how things are underway and from the |
| 17 | eminent domain, from the eminent domain briefing. Did |
| 18 | you expand the invited guests who are going to come to |
| 19 | testify or is it still just that limited number that |
| 20 | was someone who is connected to the staff? |
| 21 | DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF |
| 22 | DIRECTOR TOLHURST: What we had locked in were two |
| 23 | people that are committed. We had other people we |
| 24 | were speaking with at the time we were asked to stop |
| 25 | work on it. So there are other people on our list. |

| | Page 109 | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | They had not committed before the trigger was pulled | | | | | |
| 2 | on work. | | | | | |
| 3 | VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: But we can go back | | | | | |
| 4 | to them on | | | | | |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We can add folks. | | | | | |
| 6 | DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF | | | | | |
| 7 | DIRECTOR TOLHURST: We can go back. | | | | | |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We can add folks. | | | | | |
| 9 | VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, of course. | | | | | |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Great. | | | | | |
| 11 | DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF | | | | | |
| 12 | DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Do we need to talk about we need | | | | | |
| 13 | everything to happen, the eminent domain? | | | | | |
| 14 | VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. When are you | | | | | |
| 15 | rescheduling the eminent domain briefing for? | | | | | |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We voted at the last | | | | | |
| 17 | meeting that we would conduct it within six months | | | | | |
| 18 | from that date, which would be by the end of August. | | | | | |
| 19 | So we haven't set a date for it, but maybe what we | | | | | |
| 20 | ought to do is at the next meeting, we could talk | | | | | |
| 21 | about whether or not the capacity is for anything new. | | | | | |
| 22 | You may want to look at that point as to when we want | | | | | |
| 23 | to set this hearing. | | | | | |
| 24 | VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: That's fine. | | | | | |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: That will also I | | | | | |
| | Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc. | | | | | |

Γ

202-234-4433

Page 110 think the day that this happens will dictated, in 1 2 large measure, by the staff's ability to move forward on the other thing. 3 4 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: That's fine. - TITLE IX - SEX DISCRIMINATION IN 5 LIBERAL ARTS COLLEGE ADMISSIONS 6 7 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Now we're moving 8 on to Title IX, sex discrimination in liberal arts 9 project. Mr. Byrnes, I believe you have a staff 10 report on this project. 11 MR. BYRNES: Yes. Thank you, Mr. 12 Chairman. 13 I did prepare an update on the status of the project that our Acting Staff Director distributed 14 to you all yesterday. I was hoping that would 15 streamline discussion, especially because many aspects 16 17 of this investigation should be discussed in closed session. 18 19 I don't know if anyone has had a chance to 20 read that. I would be happy to take any questions for those who have. Okay. Well, I will try to discuss 21 22 the status as gingerly as I can without having to force us into closed session. 23 As I mentioned previously, three schools 24 25 are working with us to analyze their data subject to a

Page 111 Commission-drafted research protocol. Two of the 1 2 schools have expressed concerns about the Commission's use of certain intermediate data outputs. 3 4 This isn't the logistic regression It's not the final analysis. But we had analysis. 5 asked them to generate differences in median SAT 6 7 scores for men and women across applicants, admitees, 8 and enrollees. And they have requested an assurance 9 from us as to how we would use and publish this data and what sorts of inferences we could draw on that. 10 We have offered to include a disclaimer in 11 12 the report that our analyses do not perfectly model or 13 represent any one college's admission process. With the third school, the ball is in our 14 court. We distributed Part 1 of the protocol to them 15 and received the requested listings of values of their 16 data in return but determined that the school's 17 variables needed special recoding. 18 19 Before we distribute the next step for the protocol, staff must first resolve how to handle their 20 21 high school GPA, which contains data on various different scales. 22 So that's where we stand with the three 23 24 holdout schools. For the rest of the schools, staff is developing tables of data showing the proportions 25

Page 112 of applicants, admitees, and enrollees by gender, 1 2 sex-specific admissions rates, and median SAT scores. These represent the intermediate data outputs that 3 concern the two holdout schools. These are mostly 4 complete and proofread for 14 schools. 5 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Thank you, Mr. 6 7 Byrnes. 8 MR. BYRNES: Any questions? 9 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any questions? Any 10 motions? 11 COMMISSIONER TITUS: Mr. Chairman? 12 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes? Yes, Commissioner Titus? 13 COMMISSIONER TITUS: As I look through 14 this update, I thank Mr. Byrnes for preparing this for 15 16 us. 17 MR. BYRNES: Sure. COMMISSIONER TITUS: It seems to me if I 18 19 can just kind of sum it up, some of the schools have not been forthcoming and for various reasons. And 20 21 those that have been forthcoming have also some -- or 22 we should have some concerns about the data that they have been providing. 23 24 As you see, the quality of the data varies 25 from school to school. Some of it is missing for

Page 113 legitimate reasons. Different schools don't want to 1 2 provide this because maybe they don't have it. Other quality data issues arise from the 3 4 manner in which they code the people who are admitted or rejeected so you're comparing apples to oranges. 5 The list just kind of goes on. 6 7 So I would say that since the material is 8 incomplete and potentially unhelpful because of the 9 quality of the material and the inconsistency of it. 10 And also another problem, as I understand it -- and 11 correct me, Mr. Byrnes -- is since it's collected by 12 subpoena, we would have to have another hearing to deal with that information? 13 MR. BYRNES: I conferred with the previous 14 General Counsel. It was his opinion that we would 15 need a hearing to enter the data into the record and 16 17 then publish the results of that analysis. COMMISSIONER TITUS: 18 Okay. 19 MR. BYRNES: But that would be a very pro forma matter from my understanding. It would be ten 20 21 minutes, all of ten minutes, to swear it in. Ιt 22 wouldn't be sort of the traditional hearing, we're, you know, all the bells and whistle and --23 24 COMMISSIONER TITUS: And debriefing. 25 Well, for whatever, maybe that is not as big a problem

Page 114 as the nature of the data. I would say that at this 1 2 point, having heard that report and concerns with the 3 data, we don't want to put out something based on faulty or incomplete data. I would just move that we 4 suspend this study and move forward with other things. 5 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is there a second to 6 7 that motion? 8 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Second. 9 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: discussion? Any Commissioner Gaziano? 10 11 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I will yield to 12 Commissioner Heriot. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot? 13 14 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I would oppose that motion. I think we have potentially valuable 15 information here. And to cut it off would be a 16 17 travesty. I don't think we are in a position to say that we have worthless data. I think it is going to 18 19 be very useful. And the amount of work that is 20 necessary at this point is really quite small. 21 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Gaziano? 22 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes. Reading I would ask the acting head of OCRE, who used to be a 23 24 different acting head -- but, anyway, to comment on There are always inconsistencies in the way 25 this.

Page 115 data sets are sent by different institutions. 1 It is a 2 function of statisticians in your office and other 3 offices to try to make sense of that. 4 That is not, certainly, as I understand it, a rare instance. It is a rare instance when 5 different institutions keep their data in exactly the 6 7 same way. 8 But I also understand that analysis of 9 certain institutions is further along -- I'd like a little bit more information on that -- and that 10 information on even a few institutions could be 11 12 potentially very valuable. 13 I want to say one other point, but I want 14 to get your answer first. MR. BYRNES: I think for that, we would 15 have to go into closed session if we start discussing 16 17 particular institutions. I don't want to sort of --COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: No. I just meant, 18 19 without naming them, are there certain institutions 20 that the data has been analyzed? 21 MR. BYRNES: Yes. 22 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay. So --23 MR. BYRNES: Along different statistical 24 models, as I laid out in this update. 25 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I read your summary

| | Page 116 | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | briefly. How many institutions is there whatever | | | | |
| 2 | stage it is, whether it is robust, final, words you | | | | |
| 3 | all use? How many institutions have you done a basic | | | | |
| 4 | analysis of? | | | | |
| 5 | MR. BYRNES: Eleven. | | | | |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Eleven. Okay. | | | | |
| 7 | MR. BYRNES: And this is for the logistic | | | | |
| 8 | regression analysis model. This is for the ratios. | | | | |
| 9 | COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes, yes. Even if | | | | |
| 10 | major further work on this is going to be suspended, I | | | | |
| 11 | would have a very strong objection to essentially | | | | |
| 12 | burying the work that the Commission has done. That | | | | |
| 13 | would be a terrible waste of the Commission's time, | | | | |
| 14 | the Commission's money. | | | | |
| 15 | I would want this even if we are not | | | | |
| 16 | going to stand behind it in some form, that we publish | | | | |
| 17 | or release or something, the data, even if we are not | | | | |
| 18 | going to finish the analysis in the way that we | | | | |
| 19 | originally so that other scholars can make what use of | | | | |
| 20 | it they want. | | | | |
| 21 | Now, I would hope that we actually | | | | |
| 22 | complete the job and that we actually publish a report | | | | |
| 23 | or data sets that we are comfortable with. But if we | | | | |
| 24 | are not going to do that, then I don't want this | | | | |
| 25 | buried. | | | | |

Page 117 CASTRO: 1 CHAIRMAN Any others? 2 Commissioner Achtenberg? 3 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Thank you, Mr. 4 Chair. I was wondering, Mr. Byrnes, what do you 5 make, if anything, of the criticism of our study that 6 7 the sample size was too small for results could 8 significant? 9 And if you could answer that question as 10 well with regard to the criticism of our study that 11 the geography that we had utilized because of the -- I 12 assume it's because we can only, our subpoena can 13 only, extend so far -- that the geographical isolation has on the validity of the statistical findings. 14 MR. BYRNES: I wasn't on board for the 15 project when we did select the schools. I will say 16 17 that the schools that we are seeking data from, some 18-19 schools, 2 represent a very wide variety of 18 schools, moderately selective, highly selective, 19 20 HBCUs, non-HBCOs, public, private, some institutions with a religious affiliation. I think it's a good 21 22 cross-section. Again, I am not a statistician. Bear in 23 24 mind that, even though the number of schools might be 25 small, we are looking at thousands of applicants. So

Page 118 I think that does give us a very large sample size 1 2 from which to analyze data. COMMISSIONER TITUS: So you're saying that 3 diversity of the kinds of schools overcomes the 4 geographical isolation issue or is that a --5 MR. BYRNES: Aqain, 6 I'm not а 7 statistician, but I think it would tend to make up for 8 that, tend to mitigate that concern. 9 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Vice Chair, do you have 10 a question? 11 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, yes. And I 12 thank Mr. Byrnes for this. I mean, I, frankly, am 13 reading it. I've never been in favor of this project, but reading this I -- you know, my heart sank some. 14 And I just feel there are so many problems here with 15 the data. 16 17 I started out with a basic problem of looking at private schools, which I don't think we 18 19 should be doing. I think private schools should be free to set whatever admissions criteria they want. 20 21 And you have just added frosting to that cake, as it 22 were. And I thank you for the honesty of this 23 24 report. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot? 25

Page 119 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I just wanted to 1 2 address the issue of geographic isolation here. It is true that all of these schools are within what, 3 100-mile radius of Washington. I don't think that 4 presents a problem for us at all. We don't have to 5 say from whatever results we get that this means that 6 7 discrimination is going on across the country or that 8 it's not going on across the country. 9 It would be a useful thing to say it's 10 going on within 100 miles of Washington. People can 11 make their own conclusions about whether or not it is 12 going on in Oregon, too. I mean, if they find it is 13 not here, or they find it is here, then I think, you know, that will then mean that, you know if there is 14 15 such discrimination, we may want to study it more broadly. 16 But to cut it off, saying we haven't 17 studied enough schools, if you would prefer to think 18 19 of this as a pilot study to see whether we should do a further study later. You know, that's fine, but, yes, 20 21 it is very useful to know whether or not there is 22 gender discrimination going on here, here within 100 miles of Washington. 23 24 And we may well want to go further or some 25 other entity may want to study it further as to

Page 120 geography, but I don't think we have any problem with 1 2 sample size here. As for the individual schools, it's not a 3 4 sample at all. We're looking at all of their admissions, not some of their admissions. 5 So conclusions can be drawn about particular schools if 6 7 we find the data does draw us there, but it's not a 8 question of sample size. I don't think we're going to 9 have any problem with that. 10 As for whether or not private schools 11 should be permitted to discriminate on the basis of 12 gender, maybe they should be, but that is not what this study is about. This study is about whether or 13 not they are discriminating, not whether they should 14 have that authority. 15 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Gaziano? 16 And then we'll vote on this. 17 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Just to clarify, 18 19 our beloved former head of OCRE died in the middle of this process. And, by the way, I was looking for the 20 21 plaque. We designated a conference room in Bob 22 Lerner's name. So someone needs to tell me if we've put the plaque up. There's no plaque. I would asking 23 24 the person acting as Staff Director to see if we can 25 put a plaque commemorating the conference room that we

| | Page 121 |
|----|---|
| 1 | named |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: He said it's up. |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Oh, it is? |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It wasn't in the |
| 5 | room we saw. |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I missed it. |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Where is it? |
| 8 | COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay. Let me take |
| 9 | that back okay. I didn't see. Let me take that |
| 10 | back. But, anyway, it was my opinion just I have |
| 11 | to state this for the record that our subpoena for |
| 12 | written documents and not compelling testimony does |
| 13 | extend beyond 100 miles. |
| 14 | It was also my opinion at the time that |
| 15 | through our field offices, we could extend our |
| 16 | jurisdiction. But I went along with this because Mr. |
| 17 | Lerner opined that the schools within our 100 |
| 18 | jurisdiction in case some school raised an |
| 19 | objection, in case I was wrong, he opined that the |
| 20 | schools would be provided actually a pretty good |
| 21 | reasonable sample. |
| 22 | But I would concur with what Commissioner |
| 23 | Heriot said, that I don't think we need to say that. |
| 24 | We could let others draw their conclusion whether the |
| 25 | sample that we have obtained means anything about the |

| | Page 122 | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | rest of the country. | | | | | |
| 2 | I think it would be valuable to know | | | | | |
| 3 | whether certain of these institutions whether the | | | | | |
| 4 | sample that we have chosen, any conclusions can be | | | | | |
| 5 | drawn from. | | | | | |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you. | | | | | |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER TITUS: Can I ask | | | | | |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Sure, Commissioner | | | | | |
| 9 | Titus? | | | | | |
| 10 | COMMISSIONER TITUS: I'd be the last | | | | | |
| 11 | person to want to bury a study on sex discrimination. | | | | | |
| 12 | I mean, I think it is very important. I think it | | | | | |
| 13 | still exists out there. I think it could be something | | | | | |
| 14 | to study. But if you look at your very own words, | | | | | |
| 15 | "The quality of the data we have received varies from | | | | | |
| 16 | school to school." And then you go on to say, "Our | | | | | |
| 17 | biggest concerns arise from extensive missing data and | | | | | |
| 18 | insufficient information." | | | | | |
| 19 | And then here's another line. These are | | | | | |
| 20 | your words. "At least 1 of 15 schools that provided | | | | | |
| 21 | data have issues that may preclude any analysis. | | | | | |
| 22 | Staff is still determining whether we can fix and | | | | | |
| 23 | analyze the data in several other schools." | | | | | |
| 24 | What worries me is that using this data to | | | | | |
| 25 | come up with some kind of report I think will lead to | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

misleading conclusions. And that is the last thing
 that we want to do.

As for the sample size, I don't think 3 there's any question about that fact that the schools 4 surrounding Washington are not representative of the 5 entire country, I mean, if you label the title that 6 7 very specifically, but that is not what the title is. 8 And you cannot tell me that this is not a subset that 9 is different from the deep South or the far West or 10 Las Vegas or other places, too.

11 So I say that we put a stop to this 12 because we don't want to risk putting out there 13 misleading conclusions based on what we have admitted 14 up front is inadequate or perhaps faulty data.

MR. BYRNES: I do want to speak just really briefly to the possibility of putting out misleading data. Certainly this project does not preclude the possibility of multiple rounds of going back to the schools to say, "Is this data complete?"

20 We tried to do that in several instances. 21 They would have the opportunity to do so in an 22 affected agency review. So certainly if there were 23 large enough gaps, we would obviously take that 24 concern into account. The investigation does not 25 preclude sort of ongoing verification with the

> Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

Page 123

Page 124 schools. 1 Staff has done their best in the meantime 2 to sort of figure out the significance of missing 3 4 data, whether it is sort of deliberate omission, they just don't keep the data. 5 COMMISSIONER TITUS: Oh, I was not in any 6 7 way suggesting it was the staff's fault. I want to 8 make that clear. I think you all do the very best you 9 I am amazed how well you do with so few can. So I don't blame the staff on this data 10 resources. 11 problem at all. I think it's the source of the data 12 and the inability to get it and their unwillingness to 13 give it to us. So I want to be very clear about that. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All right. Commissioner 14 Heriot? And then we are going to vote on this because 15 I know this is a topic that was proposed by you. 16 So I 17 will give you the last word before we vote. COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I would like to say, 18 19 number one, that if we were to cancel this project on 20 the ground that we have missing data and we have some 21 data collection problems. We have never had a study 22 ever ... We always have data collection problems. There are always difficulties in getting facts. 23 And if this one is canceled when it is 24 25 almost done on that basis, then we had better cancel

| | Page 125 | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | every project we are ever going to do and might as | | | | | |
| 2 | well just go home. You are going to have problems | | | | | |
| 3 | like this in any report you ever look at. | | | | | |
| 4 | Nowhere in Mr. Byrnes' paper does he tell | | | | | |
| 5 | us that none of the data is adequate. And so the | | | | | |
| 6 | notion of we're going to cancel it because some of the | | | | | |
| 7 | schools may be a problem seems to me just silly. | | | | | |
| 8 | And, you know, we very much ought to | | | | | |
| 9 | continue this project. There is useful information | | | | | |
| 10 | here. And we shouldn't throw it away. | | | | | |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. We're going to | | | | | |
| 12 | call the question. All those in favor of Commissioner | | | | | |
| 13 | Titus' motion to suspend the Title XI sex | | | | | |
| 14 | discrimination in liberal arts college admissions | | | | | |
| 15 | programs signify by saying, "Aye." | | | | | |
| 16 | (Whereupon, there was a chorus of "Ayes.") | | | | | |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All those opposed | | | | | |
| 18 | signify by saying, "No." | | | | | |
| 19 | (Whereupon, there was a chorus of "Noes.") | | | | | |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any abstentions? | | | | | |
| 21 | (No response.) | | | | | |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: In the opinion of the | | | | | |
| 23 | Chair, the ayes have it with the votes, affirmative | | | | | |
| 24 | votes, in favor of the motion, Commissioners | | | | | |
| 25 | Achtenberg, Titus, Vice Chair Thernstrom, Commissioner | | | | | |

Page 126 Castro; the opposition from Commissioners Gaziano, 1 2 Heriot, and Kirsanow. The next item on the agenda --3 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I had an alternate 4 motion. I'm sorry. If it is going to be killed, I 5 move that the data, be released for other scholars to 6 7 make of it what they will with such exceptions as this 8 Commission may determine, but that which can be 9 released without our imprimatur, that that will be released for other scholars to study so that the money 10 and time aren't wasted. 11 12 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Second. 13 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Do we have any discussion on that motion? 14 COMMISSIONER TITUS: What about the people 15 who provided us that information? Do they have any 16 17 problem with that? Is that something that we can do? MR. BYRNES: I'd have to see, I guess, 18 19 their permission, their input on what, you know, the limitations would be on the release of the data. They 20 21 might challenge it. 22 COMMISSIONER TITUS: That's what I would 23 think. 24 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And you have already got 25 an opinion that says we'd have to conduct a hearing

Page 127 anyway, right? 1 2 MR. BYRNES: To enter into data and I 3 think for us to publish it. 4 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So it seems to me it's the same problem. 5 6 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It's still there. Ι 7 mean, let's --8 MR. BYRNES: We would have to swear in the 9 data. That would be very pro forma. 10 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any other 11 questions on this? Otherwise we'll vote on it. 12 (No response.) CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All those in favor of 13 Commissioner Gaziano's motion to release the 14 information? 15 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Release such data 16 17 as we feel that we can reasonably release. And obviously pursuant to law, but I don't think that this 18 19 Commission should be pretending that we have to seek 20 permission. Now, if we made an agreement, if we made a 21 22 promise, then I am concerned about that, but short of 23 a promise we made to an institution, I think it is damaging to this Commission to suggest that we need 24 potential discriminator's permission to release their 25

Page 128 data. 1 2 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I just wanted you to rephrase your motion so that we knew what we were 3 4 voting on. I add to the fact that it is reasonable such a subjective issue here. 5 6 Let's vote on the motion. All those in 7 favor of Commissioner Gaziano's motion signify by 8 saying, "Aye." 9 (Whereupon, there was a chorus of "Ayes.") 10 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All those opposed 11 signify by saying, "No." (Whereupon, there was a chorus of "Noes.") 12 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any abstentions? 13 14 (No response.) CHAIRMAN CASTRO: In the opinion of the 15 16 Chair, the motion fails. The majority of the folks who voted voted against, and those are Commissioner 17 Achtenberg, Commissioner Titus, Vice Chair Thernstrom, 18 19 Commissioner Castro. Those who voted in favor of the motion are Commissioner Gaziano, Commissioner Heriot, 20 and Commissioner Kirsanow. 21 - ENGLISH ONLY IN THE WORKPLACE REPORT 22 23 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We will now move on to 24 the next top on the agenda, which is the English only 25 in the workplace report. And that was a report that

Page 129 was approved near the end of the previous calendar 1 I understand it is currently awaiting 2 year. commissioner statements and rebuttals. 3 4 Some of us were not members of the Commission at that time. And I understand that there 5 6 is a motion that wants to be made. 7 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I 8 would like to move that we have a discussion regarding 9 a possibility that we permit a democratic statement to 10 be included as part of the report. 11 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So your motion is to 12 include a democratic statement of the English only report. Do we have a second for that? 13 COMMISSIONER TITUS: Second. 14 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any discussion? 15 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Is there 16 no democratic statement now? 17 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: No. 18 19 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: No, no. There was 20 none. 21 I would probably COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: 22 support that. I'm curious. Now, I know that the timing was extended for various -- maybe possibly even 23 for this reason since I don't know anyone on our side 24 who requested that. 25

Page 130 We haven't had any statements yet. 1 Is 2 that correct? DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE 3 STAFF 4 DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Correct. And they're due on the 15th of this month. 5 6 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay. 7 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We would submit it on 8 the 15th. We're not asking for additional time. Just 9 leave the file. 10 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Who would? Is this 11 a motion of Commissioner Yaki because he was here at 12 the time? 13 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: No. Commissioners 14 Castro, Titus, and Achtenberg. COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay. Okay. 15 Only existing 16 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: commissioners. 17 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I have questions. 18 19 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Thernstrom? 20 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: So you're assuming the three of you want to submit one statement --21 22 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: -- desire to have 23 24 25 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Concurrences?

Page 131 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: -- individual 1 2 statements. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We feel strongly about 3 4 this issue. And we just feel that it is important to 5 stay --6 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: And you are all on 7 precisely the same page, in other words? 8 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. 9 COMMISSIONER TITUS: Yes. 10 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We are going to submit a 11 joint --12 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: -- democratic statement. 13 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I would leave that 14 to you all. 15 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We just wanted to make 16 17 sure that it --COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It's right to have a 18 19 motion, I think. 20 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So we have a motion, 21 yes. 22 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: You have a motion. And I would just simply note that I would generally 23 24 object to the inclusion of any statements, whether 25 it's anybody here, by commissioners who were not part

| | Page 132 | | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | of the Commission at the time the report was drafted. | | | | | | |
| 2 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Approved. | | | | | | |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Right. | | | | | | |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: The time it was | | | | | | |
| 5 | approved. | | | | | | |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Right, exactly. I | | | | | | |
| 7 | appreciate you have a strong opinion on this. I look | | | | | | |
| 8 | forward to reading that opinion. But I think it is | | | | | | |
| 9 | essential for purposes of procedure and the integrity | | | | | | |
| 10 | of a report, frankly, that only those commissioners | | | | | | |
| 11 | who were physically part of the Commission, were | | | | | | |
| 12 | authorized to be part of the Commission at the time | | | | | | |
| 13 | that the report was approved be permitted to draft | | | | | | |
| 14 | statements related to such report. | | | | | | |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any other discussion? | | | | | | |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Unless in this | | | | | | |
| 17 | situation there would otherwise be no representation | | | | | | |
| 18 | of a particular party. | | | | | | |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Well, for example, | | | | | | |
| 20 | I would not have any objection to Commissioner Yaki. | | | | | | |
| 21 | I mean, I would have some objection, but | | | | | | |
| 22 | (Laughter.) | | | | | | |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: if Commissioner | | | | | | |
| 24 | Yaki upon his reappointment and if it were within the | | | | | | |
| 25 | time frame decided he wanted to submit a statement | | | | | | |

Page 133 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: That's not what we're 1 2 asking for. COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: 3 Right. Ι 4 understand that. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. 5 Any other 6 discussion? 7 (No response.) 8 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: If not, all those in 9 favor of the motion signify by saying, "Aye." 10 (Whereupon, there was a chorus of "Ayes.") 11 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All those opposed? 12 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Opposed. 13 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So in the opinion of the Chair, the motion passes with the support of all the 14 commissioners with the exception of Commissioner 15 Kirsanow, who opposed the motion. 16 - HEALTHCARE DISPARITIES REPORT 17 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Then we have on the 18 19 agenda the healthcare disparities report. My understanding is that there were some questions the 20 21 commissioners had on that but they have since been 22 resolved. I don't believe we have anything on the healthcare disparities report in addition to that. 23 No. 24 25 So we will move on to the State Advisory

Page 134 Committee issues. 1 2 DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: I would remind you that rebuttals 3 on healthcare are due on the 15th as well. 4 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. 5 V. STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ISSUES 6 7 - RE-CHARTERING THE NORTH DAKOTA SAC 8 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So, as Chair, I move 9 that the Commission re-charter the North Dakota State 10 Advisory Committee. Under this motion, the Commission 11 appoints the following individuals to that committee 12 based upon the recommendation of our Staff Director: 13 Dawn Marie Charging --VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay. Good. 14 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: -- Mark Castalustone, 15 Crystal Duker, Carol E. Goodbear, Cheryl Ann 16 17 Longfeather, David A. Myer, Fahill Padilla, Cheryl Bergian, Thomas Fibigger, Dr. Robert Stanley Heron, 18 19 Constance L. Nelson, Jordan T. Schultz, Stephanie Pursuant to this motion, the Commission 20 Wise. 21 appoints Dawn Marie Charging as Chair of this 22 re-chartered North Dakota Advisory Committee. These members will serve as uncompensated 23 24 government employees under this motion. The Commission authorizes the Staff Director to execute 25

| | Page 135 | | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | the appropriate paperwork for the appointment. | | | | | | |
| 2 | Is there a second? | | | | | | |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER TITUS: Second. | | | | | | |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is there any discussion? | | | | | | |
| 5 | (No response.) | | | | | | |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: None? Hearing none, | | | | | | |
| 7 | then all in favor signify by saying, "Aye." | | | | | | |
| 8 | (Whereupon, there was a chorus of "Ayes.") | | | | | | |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any opposed? | | | | | | |
| 10 | (No response.) | | | | | | |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any abstentions? | | | | | | |
| 12 | (Whereupon, there was a chorus of "I | | | | | | |
| 13 | abstain.") | | | | | | |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: In the opinion of the | | | | | | |
| 15 | Chair, the motion passes with the affirmative vote of | | | | | | |
| 16 | Commissioners Achtenberg, Titus, Thernstrom, and | | | | | | |
| 17 | Castro and the opposition of Commissioners Gaziano, | | | | | | |
| 18 | Heriot, and Kirsanow. I'm sorry. Abstained. | | | | | | |
| 19 | Commissioner Kirsanow abstained. I apologize. | | | | | | |
| 20 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We all | | | | | | |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. You all abstained. | | | | | | |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: And I would like | | | | | | |
| 23 | just to note for the record the purpose of my | | | | | | |
| 24 | abstention has nothing. It's not a reflection on the | | | | | | |
| 25 | merit or integrity of any of the individuals. It's | | | | | | |

| | Page 136 | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | simply that I like to devote a lot of attention to | | | | | |
| 2 | determining who these individuals are. My schedule | | | | | |
| 3 | precluded me from doing that. | | | | | |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Understood. Okay. So | | | | | |
| 5 | that was an abstention. The record reflected that. | | | | | |
| 6 | - RE-CHARTERING THE MONTANA SAC | | | | | |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Next we are moving on to | | | | | |
| 8 | re-charter the Montana State Advisory Committee. I | | | | | |
| 9 | move that the Commission re-charter the Montana State | | | | | |
| 10 | Advisory Committee. Under this motion, the Commission | | | | | |
| 11 | appoints the following individuals to that committee | | | | | |
| 12 | based upon the recommendation of our Staff Director: | | | | | |
| 13 | Norma Bixby, Jean Bearicrane, Kyle Graham, Joan Hoff, | | | | | |
| 14 | Gwendolyn McNeill Kursher, Nancy Noff, Dr. David | | | | | |
| 15 | Lopez, David S. Morales, Doug L. Betters, Timothy C. | | | | | |
| 16 | Fox, Dr. Linda Frey, Marilyn M. Kramer, Rev. Denise L. | | | | | |
| 17 | Rogers, Edward Stafman. Pursuant to this motion, the | | | | | |
| 18 | Commission appoints Norma Bixby as Chair of this | | | | | |
| 19 | re-chartered Montana Advisory Committee. | | | | | |
| 20 | These members will serve as uncompensated | | | | | |
| 21 | government employees under this motion. The | | | | | |
| 22 | Commission authorizes the Staff Director to execute | | | | | |
| 23 | the appropriate paperwork for the appointment. | | | | | |
| 24 | Is there a second? | | | | | |
| 25 | COMMISSIONER TITUS: Second. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | Page 137 | | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any discussion? | | | | | | |
| 2 | (No response.) | | | | | | |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Hearing none, let us | | | | | | |
| 4 | vote. All those in favor of the motion signify by | | | | | | |
| 5 | saying, "Aye." | | | | | | |
| 6 | (Whereupon, there was a chorus of "Ayes.") | | | | | | |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any opposition? | | | | | | |
| 8 | (No response.) | | | | | | |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any abstentions? | | | | | | |
| 10 | (Whereupon, there was a chorus of "I | | | | | | |
| 11 | abstain.") | | | | | | |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I abstain for the | | | | | | |
| 13 | same reasons, although I really regret not be able to | | | | | | |
| 14 | vote in favor of somebody named Bearicrane. | | | | | | |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: In the opinion of the | | | | | | |
| 16 | Chair, the motion passes with the affirmative vote of | | | | | | |
| 17 | Commissioners Achtenberg, Titus, Thernstrom, and | | | | | | |
| 18 | Castro. And the following commissioners abstained: | | | | | | |
| 19 | Commissioner Gaziano, Commissioner Heriot, and | | | | | | |
| 20 | Commissioner Kirsanow. | | | | | | |
| 21 | VI. APPROVAL OF FEB. 11, 2011 MEETING MINUTES | | | | | | |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We now move on to the | | | | | | |
| 23 | approval of the February 11th, 2011 meeting minutes. | | | | | | |
| 24 | I move that we approve those minutes for the February | | | | | | |
| 25 | 11th, 2011 meeting. Is there a second? | | | | | | |

Page 138 COMMISSIONER TITUS: Second. 1 2 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Second? Okay. Any changes, discussions, or questions regarding the 3 minutes of February 11th? 4 (No response.) 5 6 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Hearing none, let's vote 7 on that. All those in favor of the motion signify by 8 saying, "Aye." 9 (Whereupon, there was a chorus of "Ayes.") 10 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any opposed? 11 (No response.) 12 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any abstentions? VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I abstain because 13 I forgot to read them. I'm sorry. 14 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. 15 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Abstain also. 16 17 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. So the motion passes with the vote affirmatively of Commissioners 18 19 Achtenberg, Titus, Castro, Gaziano, Heriot, and the abstention of Commissioners Kirsanow and Thernstrom. 20 21 VII. ANNOUNCEMENTS 22 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Do we have any 23 announcements? 24 (No response.) 25 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Hearing none I'm --

Page 139 1 sorry? 2 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, I would just 3 like to ask a question about the plaque that is already up for Bob Lerner. Has Althea Lerner been 4 notified so that she could --5 6 DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF 7 DIRECTOR TOLHURST: The answer is yes. 8 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: She has been 9 notified? Good. Thank you very much. 10 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Hearing no other 11 announcements, I would move to adjourn the meeting. 12 Is there a second? COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Second. 13 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: A second? Okay. 14 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Indeed. 15 16 (Laughter.) 17 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All those in favor say, 18 "Aye." 19 (Whereupon, there was a chorus of "Ayes.") CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Opposed or abstentions? 20 21 (No response.) CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Another unanimous vote. 22 Great. Thank you, everyone. I appreciate it. 23 24 (Whereupon, the foregoing matter was 25 concluded at 1:18 p.m.)

| A | 35:4 49:23,24 | 38:24 56:1 72:20 | 66:10 79:17 | agreed 103:7,17 |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| abandon 53:19 | 51:19 52:9 55:23 | 73:9 109:5,8 | adopting 61:14 | agreeing 76:3 |
| abandoned 47:5 | 56:2,14 57:25 | 128:4 | 79:14 | 91:13 |
| 53:21,22 101:21 | 58:4,20,23 59:6,7 | added 22:13 73:5 | adoption 18:23 | agreement 19:7 |
| abandoning 53:21 | 59:11 61:20 62:3 | 100:2 118:21 | adopts 12:15 | 127:21 |
| Abigail 1:8,9 4:10 | 62:8,11,20 63:2,6 | adding 92:21 93:19 | advance 36:11 | Agriculture 49:7 |
| ability 41:10 55:8 | 63:13,17,25 64:7 | 101:5 | 37:24 47:14 84:9 | Agriculture's |
| 56:11 71:10 76:18 | 64:10,21 65:2 | addition 39:24 41:4 | advanced 62:17 | 34:25 |
| 110:2 | 70:24 71:2,19,24 | 101:2 108:6 | advantage 47:7 | ahead 9:12 10:7 |
| able 35:8 48:19,25 | 73:11 77:6,16,21 | 133:23 | advice 83:21 | 36:3,13 39:19 |
| 49:2 55:1,13 | 82:8,9 85:5 86:19 | additional 82:13 | advisory 3:14 | 57:2 69:15 85:11 |
| 66:13 70:17 | 87:14,25 89:25 | 95:18 100:15 | 11:20 133:25 | 93:6 |
| 107:19 137:13 | 90:3 99:4,5 | 130:8 | 134:6,10,22 136:8 | AI 8:15 29:14 30:6 |
| absent 39:5 | 102:15,16 114:8 | address 16:2 34:5 | 136:10,19 | 30:7,11,16 36:20 |
| absolutely 81:21 | 117:2,3 125:25 | 60:5 66:9 78:11 | advocating 98:11 | 37:11 43:21 44:4 |
| 82:16 | 128:18 129:7 | 80:25 98:25 119:2 | affect 55:8 | 44:5,6,10 46:23 |
| abstain 85:2 | 130:14,25 135:16 | addressed 15:18 | affiliation 117:21 | 47:18 75:19 83:1 |
| 135:13 137:11,12 | 137:17 138:19 | 66:14 | affirm 5:17 8:11,18 | 97:15 |
| 138:13,16 | 139:13,15 | addresses 65:15 | 17:19 | AIs 29:5,7,8,9,11 |
| abstained 6:4 85:8 | acted 21:20,21 22:6 | addressing 65:12 | affirmance 57:16 | 29:17 30:3,18,22 |
| 135:18,19,21 | 30:5 | adduced 105:24 | affirmative 14:5 | 30:25 46:10,21 |
| 137:18 | acting 2:5 4:3,21 | adequate 75:13 | 77:15 85:5 125:23 | 47:4 |
| abstention 85:3 | 4:25 5:4,20,23,25 | 83:11 125:5 | 135:15 137:16 | Al 29:4 |
| 135:24 136:5 | 6:3,10,15,19,21 | adequately 75:12 | affirmatively | albeit 49:22 |
| 138:20 | 6:25 9:3,8,18,24 | adjourn 139:11 | 138:18 | ALEC 2:10 |
| abstentions 57:20 | 10:9,15,24 11:3 | administration | affirming 57:12,13 | ALFREDA 2:4 |
| 77:12,19 85:1 | 11:10,14 13:10,14 | 98:8,24 99:7 | affirms 7:14 | ALISON 2:12 |
| 93:1 95:4 101:10 | 14:19 15:7,11 | 100:5,21 | age 68:2 | allegation 67:12,15 |
| 125:20 128:13 | 17:13,25 19:15 | administrative | agencies 67:18 69:2 | alleviated 76:9 |
| 135:11 137:9 | 22:5,23 23:3,8,13 | 8:12,21 9:1 12:14 | 97:25 | allow 17:6 23:6,14 |
| 138:12 139:20 | 23:20 24:9,18,21 | 12:19 16:21 31:4 | agency 7:12 33:17 | 23:16,22 35:25 |
| abstract 35:15 | 29:16 38:11 42:13 | 33:11 | 34:25 69:2 100:7 | 38:3 58:21 79:2 |
| abuse 20:3,8 | 43:10,11 73:22 | admission 111:13 | 123:22 | 102:7 |
| abuses 21:20 22:12 | 76:7 81:17,23 | admissions 3:11 | agenda 3:2,3 5:3,5 | allowed 23:1 36:12 |
| 22:14 | 82:18 103:20 | 110:6 112:2 | 5:8,11,13 6:13 7:1 | allowing 39:6 |
| acceptance 89:11 | 110:14 114:23,24 | 118:20 120:5,5 | 27:20 34:1 38:24 | allows 18:19 |
| 89:14 | 120:24 | 125:14 | 39:6 46:13 126:3 | alternate 126:4 alternative 34:12 |
| accepted 87:3,8 | action 32:9 67:16 active 8:6 | admit 10:9 admitees 111:7 | 128:24 133:19 | 102:10 |
| acclimate 25:24 | activities 12:22 | 112:1 | ago 60:15 | alternatives 5:19 |
| accommodation | 65:6,11 71:6 | admitted 113:4 | agree 11:22,23 12:25 19:7 27:12 | Althea 139:4 |
| 81:15 | 99:11 | 123:13 | 27:13 48:7,21 | amazed 124:9 |
| account 123:24 | activity 28:15 | adopt 36:14 58:5 | 72:17 74:17 86:16 | amenable 108:1 |
| accurate 19:6 | 34:18 63:23 | 78:3 79:1 86:17 | 89:15,16 91:9 | amend 5:6 66:14 |
| Achtenberg 1:10 | acts 68:21 | adopted 12:21 | 93:21 94:18 | 68:4 91:17 |
| 4:15 6:6 13:8,15 | actual 54:3 | 18:16 36:22 37:10 | agreeable 94:21 | amended 5:7 30:10 |
| 13:16 15:9 17:14 | add 7:17 17:20 | 37:13,16 54:21 | 108:7 | 35:5 37:12,12 |
| 17:24 34:15 35:3 | uuu /.1/ 1/.20 | 57.15,10 57.21 | 100.7 | 55.5 57.12,12 |
| | | | | |

| 62:9 92:20 | 121.10 127.1 | arms 41:20 | 47:25 | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| | 121:10 127:1 | arrive 56:21 | audit 32:22 | <u> </u> |
| amendment 70:13 | apologize 34:4 | | | back 11:6 42:22 |
| 70:21 71:16,23 | 69:14 135:19 | arriving 36:9 | August 48:9 49:13 74:25 77:1 103:10 | 43:17 44:8 48:21 |
| 75:9 86:18 87:12 | apparently 15:25 | arts 3:11 110:6,8 | | 54:16 59:4 78:11 |
| 89:12,14 91:15 | appears 106:2 | 125:14 | 109:18 | 79:5,19 83:23 |
| 92:16,20 93:10 | Applause 27:18 | ASAP 48:20 74:15 | author 87:3 | 84:9 94:2 96:17 |
| amendments 5:11 | apples 113:5 | ASCD 2:4 | authored 62:22 | 97:14 98:4 108:4 |
| 6:13 37:9 | applicants 111:7 | ascertain 65:3 | authoritative 53:13 | 109:3,7 121:9,10 |
| amount 14:22 | 112:1 117:25 | asked 10:4 13:25 | authorities 98:25 | 123:19 |
| 41:21 50:8 114:19 | applies 22:16 | 18:10 19:20 35:1 | authority 1:14 4:17 | background 49:15 |
| analyses 111:12 | apply 15:25 35:16 | 49:11 69:1 74:10 | 4:19 7:13,22 8:1 | 94:5 104:10 |
| analysis 60:24 | appointed 14:7 | 88:1 97:21,21 | 10:17 27:23 28:10 | 106:10 |
| 75:13 94:8,10 | appointment 135:1 | 99:14 108:24 | 28:14,18,23 29:2 | backward 79:8 |
| 100:18 111:5,5 | 136:23 | 111:6 | 29:25 30:17 31:7 | bag 25:5 |
| 113:17 115:8 | appoints 134:11,21 | asking 107:3 | 31:12,18 43:18 | bags 25:6 |
| 116:4,8,18 122:21 | 136:11,18 | 120:23 130:8 | 44:25 48:6 62:15 | balancing 91:4 |
| analyze 93:24 | appreciate 13:17 | 133:2 | 73:25 74:4 81:13 | ball 111:14 |
| 110:25 118:2 | 14:5 15:13 20:1 | aspects 27:8 73:18 | 81:22 83:3 84:4 | banal 30:25 |
| 122:23 | 25:18,22 55:25 | 110:16 | 88:4 93:14,18 | based 85:17 86:3 |
| analyzed 115:20 | 72:4,8 94:15 | assault 63:21 | 94:16 97:5,13 | 114:3 123:13 |
| and/or 32:13 33:3 | 98:20 105:15 | asserting 60:7 | 98:22 100:4 | 134:12 136:12 |
| 34:21 63:21 74:22 | 132:7 139:23 | Assessing 34:24 | 102:19,24 104:3,6 | basic 18:10 116:3 |
| anecdotes 53:1 | approach 69:24 | assessment 76:4 | 107:16 108:21 | 118:17 |
| 88:16 | appropriate 16:15 | 93:20 | 109:6,11 120:15 | basically 42:15 |
| animus 87:18 | 16:22 71:5 82:7 | assigned 43:22,23 | 130:3 134:2 139:6 | 54:23 55:7 70:11 |
| Ann 134:16 | 90:16 135:1 | assigning 76:10 | authorized 7:11 8:4 | 96:20 |
| announce 9:10 | 136:23 | assignments 7:25 | 29:9 132:12 | basis 87:11 88:15 |
| 15:3 | approval 3:3,16 5:3 | 8:2 | authorizes 134:25 | 95:14,22 120:11 |
| announcements | 5:5,10,10 29:10 | assist 104:16 | 136:22 | 124:25 |
| 3:17 138:21,23 | 137:21,23 | Assistant 9:16 | availability 47:9 | battery 63:21 |
| 139:11 | approve 5:7 6:16 | assistants 2:9 7:20 | available 45:2 | Bear 117:23 |
| answer 43:12 60:2 | 49:13 93:22 105:8 | 32:13 33:3 | awaiting 129:2 | Bearicrane 136:13 |
| 68:8 107:21 | 137:24 | associate 39:22 | awkward 33:14 | 137:14 |
| 115:14 117:9 | approved 6:22 28:6 | assume 55:14 | Aye 57:13,16 77:8 | bears 40:2 |
| 139:7 | 30:19 48:9 129:1 | 73:11,12 117:12 | 84:20 92:22 94:25 | began 36:15 |
| anticipate 21:11 | 132:2,5,13 | assumes 14:14 | 125:15 128:8 | beginning 36:18 |
| anti-bullying 62:17 | approves 43:24 | assuming 103:16 | 133:9 135:7 137:5 | 44:20 |
| anti-gay 61:23 | approximately | 130:20 | 138:8 139:18 | behavior 18:9 |
| anti-Muslim 87:18 | 40:10 | assurance 18:15 | ayes 6:2,20 24:23 | belief 27:1 |
| anxious 32:20 | April 82:10 | 19:20,21,22 111:8 | 57:14 77:9 84:21 | beliefs 16:10 87:21 |
| anybody 8:23 | area 72:1 | assurances 18:9 | 92:23 95:1 101:7 | believe 12:6 18:19 |
| 11:16 42:9 58:7 | areas 99:24 105:22 | attempted 6:11 | 125:16,23 128:9 | 24:14,24 26:18 |
| 131:25 | argue 22:21 | 55:1 | 133:10 135:8 | 42:5 45:24 50:12 |
| anyone's 21:22 | argument 20:13,17 | attending 71:8 | 137:6 138:9 | 51:21 61:3,3,7 |
| anyway 12:8 17:25 | 96:24 97:12 | attention 87:20 | 139:19 | 80:20 100:3,4 |
| 22:22 73:13,21 | arguments 20:7,10 | 136:1 | Aye.a 101:6 | 102:13 110:9 |
| 100:12 114:24 | 41:11 | attorney 44:15 | a.m 1:8 4:2 59:4,5 | 133:22 |
| | | | | |
| L | | | | |

Г

| believed 97:22 | box 43:5 85:16 | burying 116:12 | 10:19 11:24 12:6 | 131:3,8,10,13,16 |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| believes 81:21 | boys 96:1 | bus 76:14 | 14:18,21 15:4,6 | 131:20 132:15 |
| 82:19,20 | brave 49:11 | business 4:4 5:5 | 17:20 18:7 19:5 | 133:1,5,8,11,13 |
| bells 113:23 | breach 13:3 | Byrnes 2:2 76:10 | 21:9 24:2 25:1,4,9 | 133:18 134:5,8,15 |
| beloved 120:19 | breadth 75:5 | 104:19 110:9,11 | 25:13 27:19 28:7 | 135:4,6,9,11,14 |
| benefit 41:4 55:24 | brief 19:9 24:6 | 112:7,8,15,17 | 28:12,16,22 29:1 | 135:17,21 136:4,7 |
| benefits 41:10 | 29:16 78:17 | 113:11,14,19 | 33:20,25 35:10,20 | 137:1,3,7,9,15,18 |
| Bergian 134:18 | briefing 22:3 41:1 | 115:15,21,23 | 36:3 39:20 41:24 | 137:22 138:2,6,10 |
| Berry 23:15,19 | 41:8 66:22 67:5 | 116:5,7 117:5,15 | 43:7,16 45:9 | 138:12,15,17,19 |
| best 16:19 74:13 | 68:8 74:13 101:16 | 118:6,12 123:15 | 46:18 49:23 51:15 | 138:22,25 139:10 |
| 76:17 79:11 82:5 | 103:8 104:2,4,5 | 125:4 126:18 | 53:4 55:18,25 | 139:14,17,20,22 |
| 124:2,8 | 104:10,11,14,17 | 127:2,8 | 57:15,19,22 58:1 | categories 59:18 |
| better 66:22 68:7 | 104:18,20 105:3 | | 58:15,24 59:6,9 | 72:20 90:1,18 |
| 69:22 96:24 | 106:22,24 108:2 | C | 61:16 66:6 69:9 | 91:18 92:6 |
| 124:25 | 108:17 109:15 | C 75:23 136:15 | 69:14 70:25 72:10 | category 69:21,22 |
| Betters 136:15 | briefing/hearing | cabin 66:2 | 77:4,10,12,14,16 | cause 20:19 |
| beyond 22:20 | 3:10 103:3 | cake 118:21 | 77:24 78:8,15,18 | caveat 76:3 93:21 |
| 33:16 78:20 | briefly 51:18 116:1 | calendar 36:11 | 79:23 80:15,21,24 | 104:14 |
| 107:11 121:13 | 123:16 | 129:1 | 81:16 83:7,13,25 | certain 14:13,22 |
| bifurcate 5:13 35:2 | bring 10:14 27:5,7 | call 32:18 42:7 | 84:14,18,22 85:1 | 18:12 52:5,6 92:6 |
| big 39:10,11 86:7 | 87:25 | 51:17 57:10 77:4 | 85:3,6,11 86:11 | 98:24 111:3 115:9 |
| 113:25 | broad 7:12 54:14 | 125:12 | 86:14,25 87:12 | 115:19 122:3 |
| bigger 45:14 | 78:10 | called 65:6 | 88:8 89:11,15,18 | certainly 8:17 14:4 |
| biggest 122:17 | broadening 92:5 | calling 24:22 | 90:10 91:24 92:15 | 16:25 20:3,8 |
| billions 68:20 | broadly 65:22 66:3 | cancel 124:19,25 | 92:24 93:1,3,13 | 92:11 98:23 |
| bind 12:15 47:19 | 94:12 119:16 | 125:6 | 93:17 94:14,20 | 104:20 107:24 |
| binders 104:11 | brought 15:17 | canceled 8:5 | 95:2,4,6,15,18 | 115:4 123:17,22 |
| binding 30:3,4,14 | 16:22 56:15 87:20 | 124:24 | 96:14 99:3,20 | cetera 29:13 32:15 |
| 30:15 | budget 2:6 32:3,8,8 | capability 103:13 | 101:1,8,10,12 | chair 3:4,4 4:3,11 |
| bit 36:8 37:25 57:2 | 32:9 | 107:11 | 102:13,17,23 | 4:21,24,25 5:4,20 |
| 68:9,10 86:9 92:5 | bullied 57:7 63:12 | capacity 56:9 | 103:1,5,24 104:5 | 5:23,25 6:3,10,15 |
| 92:13 96:18,24 | 87:21 88:1 | 103:12 105:6 | 104:23 106:12,18 | 6:19,21,24,24,25 |
| 115:10 | bullying 48:24 | 107:5,14,14 108:5 | 107:2,8,25 108:9 | 7:2,3,6,7,20 8:12 |
| Bixby 136:13,18 | 49:21 50:15 54:12 | 109:21 | 108:12 109:5,8,10 | 9:3,8,11,14,18,24 |
| Black 54:2 | 61:23 62:1 63:3,5 | career 81:20 | 109:16,25 110:7 | 10:9,15,24 11:3 |
| blame 124:10 | 63:16,17,22 64:3 | carefully 43:2 | 112:6,9,12 114:6 | 11:10,14,17 12:10 |
| blurbs 36:24 | 64:25 65:21 66:2 | Carol 134:16 | 114:9,13,21 117:1 | 13:7,10,14,18 |
| blush 41:18 | 66:17 68:12 69:17 | case 30:20 65:23 | 118:9,25 120:16 | 14:8,14,19 15:1,2 |
| board 117:15 | 69:21,24,24 70:2 | 67:13 78:25 88:22 | 121:2 122:6,8 | 15:5,7,11 17:10 |
| Bob 120:21 139:4 | 70:4,7,11,14,15 | 106:3,4 121:18,19 | 124:14 125:11,17 | 17:13,20,25 18:7 |
| body 14:16 82:14 | 70:18,18 79:4 | cases 45:3 70:8 | 125:20,22 126:1 | 19:15 22:5,23 |
| 99:16,18 | 87:10 90:7 91:12 | 91:16 | 126:13,24 127:4 | 23:3,8,13,20 24:2 |
| bolster 96:23 | 94:3 95:13,22 | cast 50:4 | 127:10,13 128:2 | 24:14,18,21,24,25 |
| books 59:25 | bullying/violence | Castalustone | 128:10,13,15,19 | 25:2,5,7,11,14 |
| boring 29:22 | 54:12 | 134:15 | 128:23 129:11,15 | 26:4,8,9 35:4 39:4 |
| born 85:24 | buried 116:25 | Castro 1:10 4:15 | 129:18 130:7,13 | 40:17 41:25 44:23 |
| bottom 97:11 | bury 122:11 | 6:17 9:11,17 | 130:14,16,19,22 | 45:13 49:24 51:24 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| 57:23 59:1 61:19 | 93:1,3,13,17 | 42:16 49:8 | civility 14:24 | 44:3 |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| 71:1 72:10,11,23 | 94:14,20 95:2,4,6 | changes 79:17 | claim 85:25 | comity 24:9 |
| 73:1,4 74:2 77:15 | 95:15,18 96:14 | 102:8 138:3 | clarification 81:3 | commemorating |
| 77:17 78:20,22 | 99:3,13,20 101:1 | changing 38:25 | clarify 29:5 31:13 | 120:25 |
| 79:23,24 80:25 | 101:8,10,12 | 39:7 40:4 | 87:4 107:3 120:18 | commend 38:11 |
| 82:16 83:14,22 | 102:13,17,23 | characterization | clarity 65:8 | comment 9:14 |
| 84:2 88:8,9,23 | 103:1,5,24 104:5 | 99:10 | class 98:12 100:7 | 37:15 74:22 |
| 89:5,13,17 95:19 | 104:23 106:12,18 | charge 29:4 37:24 | 100:15 | 114:24 |
| 95:20 96:5,11 | 107:2,8,25 108:9 | 37:25 | classes 60:10 66:14 | commenting 9:14 |
| 101:19 103:22 | 108:12 109:5,8,10 | Charging 134:13 | 85:14,16 99:10 | comments 56:1 |
| 104:1 106:12,14 | 109:16,25 110:7 | 134:21 | clear 9:5 23:16 | 69:9 |
| 106:21,23 107:7 | 110:12 112:6,9,11 | check 21:21 | 28:17 46:9 71:25 | Commission 1:1,7 |
| 108:10 109:3,9,14 | 112:12 114:6,9,13 | Cheryl 134:16,17 | 94:18 96:21 124:8 | 4:5 5:9 7:2,10,13 |
| 109:24 110:4 | 114:21 117:1 | chief 2:4,5,6 88:6 | 124:13 | 7:14 8:8 11:17,18 |
| 117:4 118:9,11 | 118:9,25 120:16 | child 57:7 | close 101:18 | 12:15,22 13:2,19 |
| 125:23,25 128:16 | 121:2 122:6,8 | children 91:11 | closed 110:17,23 | 13:20 14:2,9 16:5 |
| 128:18 129:19 | 124:14 125:11,17 | choose 61:10 74:19 | 115:16 | 17:9,17 22:24 |
| 130:18,20,23 | 125:20,22 126:13 | 75:21 | code 113:4 | 23:23 24:10,16 |
| 131:1,6 133:14 | 126:24 127:4,10 | chorus 6:2,20 | codification 18:16 | 25:15,18,20 26:2 |
| 134:8,14,21 | 127:13 128:2,10 | 24:23 57:14,18 | codified 23:7 | 26:4,8,8,10,13,18 |
| 135:15 136:18 | 128:13,15,23 | 77:9,11 84:21,25 | coercion 64:1,9 | 26:24 27:4,16 |
| 137:16 138:13 | 129:7,11,15,18 | 92:23 95:1 101:7 | 66:4 | 29:7,9,20 30:4,6 |
| 139:2,8 | 130:7,13,16,19,22 | 125:16,19 128:9 | cohort 106:7 | 30:13 36:9,12 |
| chairing 4:11 | 131:3,8,10,13,16 | 128:12 133:10 | coincidence 62:17 | 37:14,20 38:23 |
| Chairman 1:8,9,10 | 131:20 132:15 | 135:8,12 137:6,10 | collated 52:22 | 39:3 41:12 42:7 |
| 17:3 19:14 25:1,4 | 133:1,5,8,11,13 | 138:9 139:19 | colleague 13:25 | 50:7 51:2 53:2 |
| 25:9,13 27:19 | 133:18 134:5,8,15 | chosen 36:10 56:20 | colleagues 25:20 | 58:19 65:10 71:13 |
| 28:7,12,16,22 | 135:4,6,9,11,14 | 100:9 105:1 122:4 | 26:5 | 71:13 79:20 80:2 |
| 29:1 33:20,25 | 135:21 136:4,7 | Chris 76:10 104:19 | collect 25:6 93:24 | 83:11 98:9,13,13 |
| 35:10,20 36:3 | 137:1,3,7,9,15,22 | 107:19 | collected 113:11 | 99:8,11,23 116:12 |
| 39:18,20 41:24 | 138:2,6,10,12,15 | Christian 87:21 | collection 124:21 | 126:8 127:19,24 |
| 43:7,16 45:9 | 138:17,22,25 | Christopher 2:2 | 124:22 | 129:5 132:1,11,12 |
| 46:18 49:23 51:15 | 139:10,14,17,20 | 23:22 | college 3:11 110:6 | 134:9,10,20,25 |
| 53:4 55:18,25 | 139:22 | circulate 34:11 | 125:14 | 136:9,10,18,22 |
| 57:15,19,22 58:4 | chairmanship | 47:15 101:20 | college's 111:13 | commissioner 1:10 |
| 58:15,24 59:6,9 | 27:10 | 102:10,17,25 | color 68:2 | 1:10,11,12,12,13 |
| 61:16 66:6 69:9 | Chairman's 19:21 | circulated 34:13,14 | COLTON 2:10 | 2:9 4:13,13,14,14 |
| 69:14 70:25 72:10 | Chairperson 25:17 | 34:23 37:7 60:15 | come 4:4 5:1 17:6 | 4:15,15 5:12,22 |
| 77:4,10,12,14,24 | 27:16 | circumstance 55:2 | 25:6 31:4 39:14 | 6:6,9,17,18 8:25 |
| 78:8,15,18 79:23 | Chair's 12:12 78:5 | 56:19,24 80:3 | 46:12 54:5 71:23 | 9:6,13,17,19 10:1 |
| 80:15,21,24 81:16 | challenge 41:2 | .82:1 | 76:19 84:9 91:1 | 10:7,11,19,22 |
| 83:7,13,25 84:14 | 56:25 126:21 | circumstances | 104:21 107:18 | 11:1,5,6,12,15 |
| 84:18,22 85:1,3 | challenges 40:24 | 20:16 51:2 | 108:4,18 122:25 | 12:4,6,8 13:8,12 |
| 85:11 86:11,14,25 | chance 42:9 80:9 | cited 98:22 | comes 75:22 | 13:14,16 15:6,9 |
| 87:12 88:8 89:11 | 110:19 | civil 1:1 4:5 26:19 | comfortable 97:3 | 15:13 17:14,23,24 |
| 89:15,18 90:10 | change 30:6 35:15 | 27:16 34:24 65:9 | 116:23 | 18:2,7,17,22 19:5 |
| 91:24 92:15,24 | 37:20 38:1 40:11 | 71:13 77:1 | coming 12:7 31:6 | 19:8,8,11,13,16 |
| | | | | |

| 20:2,22,22,25 | 93:7,9,12,22 95:7 | 36:22,25 37:8 | comply 29:20 | conferred 113:14 |
|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 21:2,7 22:10,12 | 95:9,17,24 96:8 | 38:3,15 39:5 | component 21:21 | confidence 81:16 |
| 22:17 23:2,5,9,11 | 96:16,17 97:7,8 | 42:20 44:1,3 | conceived 75:19 | confined 41:5 |
| 23:18 24:4,12 | 97:10 98:19,21 | 46:24 47:3,13 | concept 34:14,22 | confirms 30:2 |
| 29:21,23 30:2,12 | 99:3,5 100:17 | 56:19 57:23,25 | 34:22 37:5,6,7,9 | conform 102:20 |
| 31:5,10 32:7 | 101:17 102:1,3,6 | 58:11 61:3 62:21 | 37:11,12 38:15 | confused 30:5 |
| 34:23 35:1,3,4,7 | 102:15,16 103:7 | 74:16,19 77:16,17 | 41:7 43:25 46:21 | confusion 62:16 |
| 35:12,24 36:2,5 | 102:13,10 103:7 | 79:18 80:8 81:3 | 46:24 60:14 61:21 | congratulate 17:1 |
| 37:21 39:15,18,19 | 106:14,22 108:7 | 81:11 82:4,22 | 61:23 62:4,13,21 | congratulations |
| 39:20,21,23 40:21 | 108:14,15 112:11 | 85:5,7 88:5 92:17 | 63:11,18 67:9,10 | 24:25 28:1 |
| 42:18,25 43:4,13 | 112:12,14,18 | 93:4 100:12 | 71:3 77:7 85:14 | Congress 32:4 |
| 43:15 44:16 45:9 | 113:18,24 114:8 | 102:21 104:11 | 90:14 95:25 97:15 | 41:13 61:1 68:3 |
| 45:10 46:6,7,18 | 114:10,11,12,13 | 125:24 126:1 | 98:22 99:23 100:4 | connected 108:20 |
| 46:19 47:23 48:8 | 114:14,21,22 | 130:13,17 131:25 | 101:3 | conscience 26:19 |
| 49:23,24 50:12,25 | 115:18,22,25 | 132:10 133:15,21 | concepts 43:22,24 | conservatives/Re |
| 51:5,15,16,18,19 | 116:6,9 117:2,3 | 135:16,17 137:17 | 44:2 | 42:6 |
| 52:9 53:4,6,11,16 | 118:3,25 119:1 | 137:18 138:18,20 | concern 37:21 47:8 | consider 5:18 16:8 |
| 53:17,19,20 55:4 | 120:16,18 121:3,4 | commissioner-to | 48:17 64:14 67:22 | 38:10 51:5 |
| 55:16,19,23 56:2 | 121:6,7,8,22 | 8:13 | 71:12 73:8 76:6 | consideration 3:9 |
| 56:14,14 57:11 | 122:7,8,10 124:6 | Commission's 4:8 | 89:24 112:4 118:8 | 3:10 5:14 7:1 |
| 58:4,17,18,20,23 | 124:14,18 125:12 | 14:10 25:16 36:11 | 123:24 | 33:23 34:7 35:2 |
| 59:6,7,10,11 | 125:25 126:4,12 | 58:10 67:24 111:2 | concerned 13:13 | 40:2 41:23 101:15 |
| 61:16,17,18,19 | 126:15,22 127:6 | 116:13,14 | 59:19 69:17 72:18 | 102:11 103:3 |
| 62:3,5,8,9,11,12 | 127:14,16 128:7 | Commission-dra | 127:22 | 105:15 |
| 62:18,20,25 63:2 | 128:17,18,19,20 | 111:1 | concerns 32:14 | considered 64:3 |
| 63:4,6,10,13,15 | 128:20,21 129:3,7 | committed 81:5 | 33:4 38:15 75:5,9 | 98:6 |
| 63:17,19,25 64:1 | 129:14,16,21 | 104:15,22 108:23 | 75:9 84:15 91:4 | consist 11:19 |
| 64:7,8,10,11,21 | 130:6,10,11,15,19 | 109:1 | 111:2 112:22 | consistent 19:4,5 |
| 64:22 65:2,14 | 130:25 131:9,12 | committee 3:14 8:7 | 114:2 122:17 | consists 11:18 |
| 66:6,7,8,16 67:17 | 131:14,18,22 | 11:20 134:1,6,10 | concluded 139:25 | Constance 134:19 |
| 68:19 69:1,10,11 | 132:2,3,4,6,16,19 | 134:11,22 136:8 | conclusion 121:24 | constraint 50:24 |
| 69:12,16 70:24 | 132:20,23,23 | 136:10,11,19 | conclusions 119:11 | constraints 50:19 |
| 71:2,4,17,19,21 | 133:3,12,15 135:3 | communication | 120:6 122:4 123:1 | construct 41:7,8 |
| 71:24 72:4,15,21 | 135:19,20,22 | 9:15 | 123:13 | constructed 27:5 |
| 72:24 73:2,11 | 136:25 137:12,19 | comp 76:20 | concur 21:7 88:5 | consult 108:4 |
| 77:6,21 78:1,2,13 | 137:19,20 138:1 | compared 99:15 | 121:22 | consultation 44:16 |
| 78:17,19 79:2,24 | 138:16 139:13,15 | comparing 113:5 | concurred 87:15 | consulted 61:5 |
| 80:16,17 81:2 | commissioners | comparison 68:11 | concurrence 72:4 | contact 8:14,14 |
| 82:8,9,17 83:6,9 | 4:12,16 5:10 7:8 | compelling 58:11 | Concurrences | contained 21:24 |
| 83:13,19 85:2,7,9 | 7:19,21 9:12 | 121:12 | 130:25 | 71:3 |
| 85:12 86:13,16,19 | 10:21 11:19 12:14 | competing 58:10 | conduct 67:7 | contains 111:21 |
| 86:20,22 87:2,4,6 | 12:24 13:1,2 14:6 | complain 72:16,19 | 109:17 126:25 | contemplate |
| 87:7,9,14,15,19 | 16:12 18:3,18 | complaints 31:24 | conducted 14:6 | 106:24 |
| 87:23,25 88:17,20 | 19:2 21:8 22:2 | 65:5 | 103:8 | CONTENTS 3:1 |
| 89:2,7,9,20,25 | 24:5 25:21 26:21 | complete 112:5 | confer 104:19 | context 65:19 71:8 |
| 90:3,4,10,20,21 | 29:12 30:5,19 | 116:22 123:19 | conference 120:21 | 71:15 100:8 |
| 91:7,9,24,25 93:6 | 32:14 34:11,15 | completely 81:12 | 120:25 | contingent 76:13 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| | | 10/11/00/01/00 | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| continuation 18:11 | covered 91:15,18 | 124:11,20,21,22 | definitional 61:24 | designated 120:21 |
| continue 18:15 | crafted 59:22 | 125:5 126:6,20 | degree 63:23 | designation 25:17 |
| 26:21 125:9 | created 98:7 | 127:2,9,16 128:1 | delayed 106:17 | desire 21:22 130:23 |
| continues 33:18 | credit 41:12 | date 13:18 109:18 | delegate 4:17 | destructive 70:20 |
| continuing 17:11 | criteria 118:20 | 109:19 | delegated 1:14 4:19 | detailed 48:11,13 |
| 32:2 | criticism 117:6,10 | David 2:7 104:15 | 10:17 27:23 28:10 | determination 99:1 |
| convened 1:7 | cross-section | 134:17 136:14,15 | 28:14,18,23 29:2 | determine 61:5 |
| conversation 19:6 | 117:22 | DAVIS 2:2 | 29:6,25 30:17 | 66:23 126:8 |
| conversations | Crystal 134:16 | Dawn 134:13,21 | 31:7,12,18 43:18 | determined 68:22 |
| 32:13 90:12 | curious 129:22 | day 98:16 110:1 | 44:25 48:6 62:15 | 111:17 |
| convince 38:7 | current 5:17 12:16 | days 36:6 48:22 | 73:25 74:4 81:13 | determining |
| copied 31:3 | 13:20 32:7 | 82:21,25 83:10,14 | 83:3 84:4 93:14 | 122:22 136:2 |
| copies 8:15 10:16 | currently 40:25 | 83:15,15,17 | 93:18 94:16 97:5 | DEULL 2:10 |
| copy 9:22 10:2,14 | 41:14 43:22 100:7 | day-to-day 29:6 | 97:13 102:19,24 | develop 43:23 |
| 10:18,20 32:5 | 129:2 | 33:9 | 104:3,6 107:16 | developed 24:8 |
| 102:18 | cut 43:9 114:16 | deadline 48:18 | 108:21 109:6,11 | 37:6 38:4 |
| correct 30:18 35:6 | 119:17 | 49:1 74:9,19 | 130:3 134:2 139:6 | developing 111:25 |
| 48:4,12 54:22 | cy-près 34:10 35:6 | 76:18,22 82:2 | delegating 81:22 | devote 52:24 136:1 |
| 55:10 62:8 63:13 | 35:25 38:10 39:12 | deal 29:14 39:11 | deliberate 124:4 | devoted 82:11 |
| 63:14,25 64:21 | 40:6 41:15 42:12 | 70:3,13,20 79:4 | delighted 17:21,21 | dictated 110:1 |
| 73:12 74:5 87:19 | 43:20 44:11,18 | 113:13 | DEMITRIA 2:3 | died 120:19 |
| 113:11 130:2,4 | 46:1 48:13 49:19 | dealing 35:5 70:21 | democratic 129:9 | difference 72:9 |
| corrective 67:16 | 50:5,18 52:11 | 81:25 | 129:12,17 131:13 | 99:17 |
| counsel 43:11 | 53:8,24 54:1 | deals 96:20 | Democrats 42:8 | differences 111:6 |
| 81:17,23,24 83:4 | 55:20 57:1,12,13 | dealt 12:20 62:13 | demographic 60:10 | different 18:22 |
| 83:16,20 97:17,21 | 57:16 | DEAS 2:3 | demonstrated 24:8 | 26:15,15 27:2,2,5 |
| 99:15,22 100:11 | | debriefing 113:24 | Denise 136:16 | 63:23 84:11 |
| 103:19,20 113:15 | D D | December 17:5 | depart 31:20 | 111:22 113:1 |
| Counsel's 97:1 | D 51:22 | decide 48:25 49:8 | departing 44:4,4 | 114:24 115:1,6,23 |
| 104:21 | Dakota 3:14 134:7 | 84:6 | Department 34:24 | 123:9 |
| count 45:13 | 134:9,22 | decided 39:2 | 43:5 45:2 49:6,6,7 | differing 72:8 |
| countless 68:21 | damages 68:21 | 132:25 | 54:6 60:13,13 | difficult 17:16 |
| countries 85:24 | damaging 127:24 | decision 92:3 | 65:3,4 79:5 86:5 | difficulties 124:23 |
| country 26:12 27:6 | data 16:7,11 52:22 | decision-making | 95:10,11 98:1 | difficulty 61:9 |
| 119:7,8 122:1 | 52:25 64:15 88:11 | 83:12 | Departments 34:19 | digest 80:10 |
| 123:6 | 88:15,21 93:24 | deep 54:20 75:16 | departure 44:9 | DINA 1:13 |
| couple 21:19 39:23 | 94:19 105:23 | 123:9 | depending 100:18 | dip 75:11 |
| 40:15 45:11 47:11 | 110:25 111:3,9,17 | deeply 53:9 | deprive 71:7,9 | dire 33:5 |
| 72:11 81:19 104:8 | 111:21,25 112:3 | defeated 66:12 | depth 75:2 76:24 | direct 8:9 30:22 |
| course 9:9 12:25 | 112:22,24 113:3 | defense 55:11,12 | 78:20 | directed 43:10 |
| 14:19 19:15 51:7 | 113:16 114:1,3,4 | define 63:5 87:10 | described 48:1 | 73:17 90:8 |
| 73:9 84:8 90:24 | 114:18 115:1,6,20 | 95:14 | describes 8:19 | direction 17:8 |
| 109:9 | 116:17,23 117:17 | defined 41:5,15,16 | description 71:2 | 79:22 |
| court 4:21,23,25 | 118:2,16 120:7 | 63:6 65:22 66:3 | descriptions 50:17 | directly 31:14 |
| 111:15 | 122:15,17,21,23 | 84:11 95:13 | deserve 15:18 | director 1:14 2:5 |
| courts 68:22 | 122:24 123:14,17 | definition 64:17,25 | 49:15 77:2 | 3:4 4:18,20 6:24 |
| cover 56:6 68:15 | 123:19 124:4,5,10 | 66:17 79:4 | designate 26:3 | 7:4,7,11,14,15,18 |
| | | | | |
| L | - | - | - | |

| | | | | I |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 7:21,25 8:1,9,20 | 81:12,14 84:6,12 | dispense 35:8 | DUNLAP 2:3 | eminent 103:8,24 |
| 10:18 16:16 27:21 | 84:19,20,23 85:4 | dispute 71:18 | DUNSTON 2:4 | 104:1,8 105:5 |
| 27:24 28:11,15,19 | discrete 41:9 50:16 | distribute 111:19 | duty 27:19 | 107:11,12 108:17 |
| 28:24 29:3,6,17 | 60:9 68:20,23,25 | distributed 110:14 | dynamic 70:1 | 108:17 109:13,15 |
| 30:1,18 31:2,8,13 | 72:1 105:22,25 | 111:15 | D.C 1:7 4:9 | eminently 52:11 |
| 31:15,19 36:24 | discretion 81:13 | diversity 118:4 | | employees 134:24 |
| 38:11 42:13 43:11 | discriminate | doable 50:16 52:11 | <u> </u> | 136:21 |
| 43:19,23,24 45:1 | 120:11 | 52:14,14 73:9,15 | E 134:16 | employment 65:19 |
| 48:5,7,17 49:25 | discriminating | 74:23 93:21 | Eagles 106:4 | enabling 99:6 |
| 50:19 52:13 62:16 | 120:14 | documents 121:12 | earlier 11:7 34:7 | encompass 66:3 |
| 73:7,14,22 74:1,5 | discrimination | DOE 75:15 | 43:14 78:4,9,16 | encountering 82:13 |
| 76:7 77:23 82:18 | 3:11 26:14 67:23 | doing 17:8 23:24 | 81:25 | encourages 20:6 |
| 83:2,4 84:5 93:15 | 68:1,22 69:3 | 40:22 49:9 55:13 | early 54:18 | endorse 44:7 |
| 93:19 94:17 97:6 | 85:23 106:3 110:5 | 58:7 60:18 75:15 | easy 25:10 75:2 | ends 16:7 83:17 |
| 97:14 101:19 | 110:8 119:7,15,22 | 75:15 76:5,11 | 76:20 | enforced 67:5 |
| 102:20,25 104:4,7 | 122:11 125:14 | 79:8 85:12 94:8 | echo 56:2 | enforcement 34:10 |
| 107:17 108:22 | Discriminatory | 97:25 106:1 | editorial 33:10 | 34:24 40:7,19 |
| 109:7,12 110:14 | 34:17 | 118:19 136:3 | Edley 23:23 | 41:1,4,8 66:24 |
| 120:24 130:4 | discriminator's | DOJ 44:15,15 75:6 | educate 46:20 | 67:2,21 68:7,15 |
| 134:3,12,25 | 127:25 | 75:15 92:4 94:8 | education 34:19 | 75:15 79:7 81:14 |
| 136:12,22 139:7 | discuss 7:5 10:23 | dollars 68:20 | 49:6 60:13 65:4 | 85:13 86:6 95:12 |
| Directors 29:11 | 35:22 36:1 37:2 | DOMA 98:2 | 71:10 95:11 | 101:22 |
| Director's 3:6 7:22 | 38:25 39:7 56:16 | domain 103:8,17 | Edward 136:17 | engage 32:20 |
| 31:17 | 110:21 | 103:25 104:1,8,12 | effect 71:14 100:16 | engaging 49:5 |
| Director/General | discussed 56:7 | 105:5 107:12,13 | efficiently 31:1 | English 3:12 |
| 83:16,20 | 110:17 | 108:17,17 109:13 | effort 39:4 43:9 | 128:22,24 129:12 |
| disabilities 93:8,11 | discussing 51:10 | 109:15 | 74:8 91:2 | enjoy 71:10 |
| 94:23,24 | 103:11 115:16 | DOMINIQUE 2:11 | eight 11:18 | enjoyed 18:6 |
| disability 68:3 98:5 | discussion 3:8 5:16 | door 91:22 | eighth 26:7 | enrollees 111:8 |
| 98:6 | 5:23 13:5,7,13 | doubt 86:4 90:25 | EILEEN 2:7 | 112:1 |
| disabled 90:8,9 | 15:15 18:1 24:3 | Doug 136:15 | either 8:5 46:14,22 | enter 113:16 127:2 |
| disagree 52:9 | 24:19 33:22 38:24 | Dr 134:18 136:14 | 48:23 53:8 58:12 | enthusiasm 15:2 |
| disagreed 11:9 | 39:6 41:18 51:9 | 136:16 | 68:7 76:10,22 | entire 8:8 22:25 |
| disappointed 55:7 | 51:14 52:18 58:9 | draft 43:2 54:21 | 83:20 100:25 | 123:6 |
| discipline 69:4 | 58:14 78:15 79:16 | | elaborate 10:5,10 | entitled 62:14 |
| disclaimer 111:11 | 80:15 84:16 89:21 | drafted 34:15 | 10:16 11:4 45:20 | entity 119:25 |
| disclosure 33:1 | 95:19 101:4 | 38:14 43:2 132:1 | 53:24 | enumerated 99:10 |
| discovery 37:17 | 103:15 106:18,19 | drafts 102:8 | elected 1:10 | environment 65:17 |
| 38:12,13,13,17 | 107:20,21 108:2 | drag 19:23 | elements 38:2 | 71:5 |
| 42:19 44:14,17,19 | 110:16 114:9 | dragged 20:4 | Eleven 116:5,6 | equally 18:13 |
| 45:3,19,25 47:9 | 126:14 129:8,15 | draw 17:5 111:10 | Eliminating 34:19 | equated 65:18 |
| 47:10,24 48:13 | 132:15 133:6 | 120:7 121:24 | Ellerth 65:18 | equivalent 71:4 |
| 53:23,25,25 54:3 | 135:4 137:1 | drawn 120:6 122:5 | elucidate 99:19 | especially 11:11,13 |
| 54:16,19 55:3,5,8 | discussions 12:9 | dry 29:7 | elucidating 100:11 | 33:13 40:21 |
| 55:20,24 77:20,22 | 138:3 | due 34:20 130:4 | emanates 58:14 | 110:16 |
| 77:23 78:3,7,9,10 | disparities 3:13 | 134:4 | embodiment 13:20 | essential 132:9 |
| 78:16 79:5,14 | 133:17,19,23 | Duker 134:16 | emerging 99:9 | essentially 99:15 |
| | | | <u> </u> | |

| 116:11 | execute 134:25 | 41:16 | 133:9 135:7 137:4 | 25:13 26:9 27:19 |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| et 29:13 32:14 | 136:22 | e-mail 9:15 10:12 | 137:14 138:7 | 27:25 34:6 35:6 |
| ethical 28:21 | executive 7:11 | e-mailed 9:25 | 139:17 | 41:18 43:20 47:11 |
| ethics 28:2 32:22 | existence 21:5 | e-mails 62:10 | FAY 2:11 10:13 | 52:11 56:20 61:22 |
| 76:8 | existing 48:20 | | Feb 3:16 137:21 | 62:22 70:13,21 |
| ethnicity 34:21 | 60:21 74:7 130:16 | F | February 103:6 | 71:16,23 75:8 |
| 62:23 85:18,18 | exists 20:6 122:13 | F 1:11 | 137:23,24 138:4 | 86:1 101:21 |
| evaluate 8:2 | expand 64:17 68:4 | facing 94:3 | federal 30:15,23 | 111:20 115:14 |
| evaluations 7:25 | 68:13 89:24 | fact 9:17 10:5 22:6 | 60:11,20 66:20 | fiscal 34:10 36:19 |
| event 90:6 | 108:18 | 23:21 31:14 50:8 | 67:3,4 71:11 75:6 | 37:19 101:16 |
| eventual 7:7 37:9 | expanding 86:6,8 | 53:14 57:6 65:23 | 75:14 95:11,12 | fits 67:20 |
| even-handed 14:11 | 88:10,13 | 73:7 80:7 85:23 | 97:25 100:6 | five 74:2 80:4 |
| 86:10 | expansion 88:3 | 87:17 88:20 90:10 | feedback 38:2 | fix 104:13 122:22 |
| everybody 10:20 | expansive 41:19 | 90:13,24 96:8 | feel 28:4 32:18 97:3 | flaws 54:20 |
| 20:10 102:4 | expect 38:5 51:20 | 99:6,7 100:1,6 | 118:15 127:17 | flesh 41:10 |
| everybody's 19:10 | expectations 7:18 | 123:4 128:4 | 131:3,4 | flow 71:22 |
| everyone's 56:1 | 9:5 94:9 | facts 41:11 124:23 | feels 39:25 | focus 75:10,14 79:7 |
| everWe 124:22 | experience 14:21 | Fahill 134:17 | feet 106:25 | 79:17 92:4 |
| exacerbates 60:21 | 14:23 21:16 40:18 | fails 57:23 128:16 | fellow 61:3 | focuses 60:11 |
| exact 36:6 38:20 | 52:3 | failures 67:20 | felt 28:19 | focusing 45:15 |
| 45:25 | experienced 25:22 | fair 14:24 21:5 | female 106:6 | 92:13,14 |
| exactly 55:13 115:6 | expert 49:5 59:25 | 26:24 94:20 99:10 | Fibigger 134:18 | folks 76:1 90:13 |
| 132:6 | experts 52:21 | 100:23,24 | field 74:14 121:15 | 91:7 107:12 109:5 |
| examination 61:25 | explain 12:11 35:7 | fairly 16:6 33:5 | figure 124:3 | 109:8 128:16 |
| 75:16 | 35:10 72:22,24 | 41:15 64:18 106:2 | figuring 79:10 | follow 14:13,14 |
| examine 65:1 | explicitly 92:11 | 106:7 | file 21:4,12 68:25 | 18:22 35:13,14 |
| examiner 32:12,15 | 98:1 | faith 24:9 79:3 | 130:9 | 46:15 47:18,21 |
| example 14:12 | exploration 74:13 | fall 64:24 | filed 21:3,10,11 | 48:19 |
| 29:15,17 38:9 | 90:17 | falls 66:5 67:23 | fill 33:5 | followed 44:6 |
| 63:7,8 64:3,4 | exposed 11:4 | fan 37:23 49:4 | final 68:11 102:18 | following 7:17 |
| 86:17 87:17 | express 25:14 | far 13:3,13 18:9 | 111:5 116:2 | 18:19,24 43:21 |
| 132:19 | 46:23 | 46:25 51:9 117:13 | finally 8:11 17:18 | 44:8 134:11 |
| examples 67:9 | expressed 49:25 | 123:9 | Finance 2:6 | 136:11 137:18 |
| 85:21 | 111:2 | farm 34:25 48:23 | financial 33:1 | follows 66:9 |
| excellent 84:14 | extend 117:13 | 52:15 68:12,20 | find 14:23 18:5 | footnote 90:15 |
| exception 18:20,20 | 121:13,15 | farmers 106:6,7 | 38:20 51:6 55:9 | force 110:23 |
| 22:17 30:7,10 | extended 129:23 | farming 49:21 | 56:24 73:18 | forceful 50:14 |
| 46:25 49:11 67:11 | extensive 50:10 | Farrager 65:18 | 119:12,13 120:7 | forego 61:11 |
| 78:25 133:15 | 65:11 82:10 | fast 79:9 | findings 117:14 | foregoing 59:3 |
| exceptional 56:18 | 122:17 | fault 124:7 | fine 20:8 109:24 | 139:24 |
| 82:1 | extent 12:23 13:19 | faulty 114:4 123:14 | 110:4 119:20 | foreign 85:24 |
| exceptions 46:20 | 41:9 47:19 65:24 | favor 6:1,19 24:22 | finish 7:8 46:8 | foreign-born 85:19 |
| 126:7 | 71:14 85:18 87:16 | 57:13,24 77:5 | 116:18 | 85:21 |
| excessive 36:16 | 88:14 | 84:19 89:19 92:21 | finishing 54:1 | foresee 56:22 |
| excited 40:6,7,9 | extra 76:21 | 94:24 101:5 | fire 7:16 28:5 | forever 19:24 |
| 56:5,12,13 58:7 | extraordinary 80:3 | 118:13 125:12,24 | first 5:4,14,16 | forgot 138:14 |
| Excuse 46:7 | extremely 24:7 | 127:13 128:7,19 | 12:13 17:4 22:25 | form 74:21 102:18 |
| | | | | |
| L | • | - | · | - |

| Î. | | | | - |
|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| 116:16 | 115:2 | 115:22,25 116:6,9 | gives 85:20 | 90:25 91:17,22 |
| forma 113:20 | Functionally 51:23 | 120:16,18 121:3,6 | giving 76:20 | 92:2,7,10 93:23 |
| 127:9 | funded 33:6 | 121:8 126:1,4 | glad 10:13 46:19 | 94:4,10 97:14 |
| formal 92:16 | further 51:14 | 127:16 128:20 | gleaned 60:1 | 99:16,25 100:14 |
| former 120:19 | 101:4 115:9 | 129:21 130:6 | Glinda 80:17 81:1 | 101:23 103:16 |
| forms 26:15 28:5,8 | 116:10 119:20,24 | 131:14 135:17 | 101:25 102:1 | 104:9 108:18 |
| 59:14 | 119:25 | 137:19 138:19 | glossing 55:4 | 114:18 116:10,16 |
| formulated 54:4,13 | future 33:6 56:23 | Gaziano's 72:4 | go 9:12 11:4 12:2,5 | 116:18,24 119:7,8 |
| forth 37:17 83:23 | 69:3 | 127:14 128:7 | 13:11,12 16:12 | 119:10,12,22 |
| forthcoming | FY 3:9,10 33:24 | gender 68:14,15 | 21:22 23:25 28:16 | 120:8 123:18 |
| 112:20,21 | 103:4 | 91:21 92:10,14 | 32:16 36:3,5 | 124:15 125:1,2,6 |
| forward 17:1,11 | | 95:14,22 96:24 | 39:19 43:17 48:25 | 125:11 126:5 |
| 24:16 26:20,22,22 | G | 101:3,5 112:1 | 49:2,20,22 55:5 | 131:10 |
| 27:8 56:8,12,15 | GAIL 1:12 | 119:22 120:12 | 66:12 69:15 71:7 | goings-on 12:21 |
| 56:16 57:12 66:15 | gang 69:22 70:1,5 | general 12:25 | 73:10,20,24 74:3 | good 14:12 24:9,14 |
| 77:6,22 82:3 | 70:17 72:13 | 31:21 43:11 81:17 | 75:25 78:11 79:19 | 31:10 47:4 53:10 |
| 92:17 100:3,14 | gaps 123:23 | 81:23,23 83:4 | 80:12 81:4 85:11 | 53:10 75:25 79:3 |
| 103:17 104:25 | gather 16:7,14 | 97:1,17,20 99:14 | 92:1 93:6.23 94:2 | 80:18 81:7 87:16 |
| 105:2,12 110:2 | gathering 52:25 | 100:11 103:19,20 | 97:16 105:2 109:3 | 92:9 102:1 103:1 |
| 114:5 132:8 | Gaziano 1:11 4:13 | 104:21 113:15 | 109:7 115:16 | 104:13 117:21 |
| forward-looking | 5:12 6:9,18 8:25 | generally 32:1 37:2 | 119:24 122:16 | 121:20 134:14 |
| 94:7 | 9:6,13,19 10:1,11 | 86:4 88:25 131:23 | 125:2 | 139:9 |
| found 81:19 | 10:22 11:1 12:4,8 | generate 111:6 | goes 22:20 64:13 | Goodbear 134:16 |
| foundation 97:18 | 13:12 18:2 19:8 | geographic 119:2 | 71:9 113:6 | government 67:4,4 |
| four 42:5 44:5 | 21:2,8 22:12 23:2 | geographical | going 6:6 8:15 9:9 | 134:24 136:21 |
| 57:20 58:22,24 | 23:9 29:23 30:2 | 117:13 118:5 | 11:24 12:2 20:19 | government's |
| 76:11,13 | 31:10 35:1,7,12 | geography 117:11 | 21:15 23:25 24:13 | 66:21 |
| fourth 28:2 54:15 | 35:24 36:5 39:23 | 120:1 | 24:14,15 30:23 | governs 46:11 |
| four-minute 58:19 | 42:18 43:4,15 | germane 58:14 | 31:19,22 32:16 | GPA 111:21 |
| Fox 136:16 | 46:18,19 48:8 | 59:21 | 34:4 35:5,14 | grade 96:12 |
| frame 50:22 60:9 | 53:16,19 55:16,19 | getting 10:7 28:5 | 37:20,22 38:1,6,9 | Graham 136:13 |
| 92:8 98:6 101:20 | 57:11,24 58:18 | 64:17 70:9 76:12 | 38:10 39:1,10,11 | grant 71:25 |
| 132:25 | 61:17 66:6,8 | 80:1 98:20 107:23 | 39:11 40:13,21 | gratitude 25:14 |
| Frances 23:15,19 | 69:10 72:15,21,24 | 124:23 | 47:17 48:2,22 | great 17:2 26:1 |
| frankly 81:17 | 73:2 77:18 78:2 | gigantic 52:23,23 | 49:12 50:18,21,23 | 27:15,15,15 33:19 |
| 118:12 132:10 | 78:13,17,19 79:25 | gingerly 110:22 | 52:24 54:10 55:2 | 61:8 109:10 |
| free 32:18 118:20 | 81:2 82:17 83:6,9 | girls 96:2,2,2,5,6 | 55:6,8,10,14 56:6 | 139:23 |
| Frey 136:16 | 83:19 85:7,9,12 | 96:12 | 56:25 58:2,24 | greater 65:8 |
| Friday 1:5 62:4,23 | 86:13,16,20 87:2 | git-go 44:5 | 61:11 64:19 65:1 | greatly 55:24 |
| friendly 86:18 | 87:6,9,19,23 | give 14:7 17:21 | 66:8,15,15 67:3 | GREENE 2:4 |
| 87:12 88:2 | 88:17 89:9 90:20 | 21:14 29:11 43:16 | 68:12,13 69:25 | ground 124:20 |
| front 16:11 80:9 | 91:24,25 93:22 | 48:22 75:13 77:1 | 70:1,2,12,13,15 | group 98:11 |
| 123:14 | 95:7,9,24 96:8 | 79:21 90:11 94:11 | 70:17 71:1,21,23 | groups 93:24 94:13 |
| frosting 118:21 | 97:8 98:21 100:17 | 98:17 99:21 118:1 | 74:8 75:2 76:21 | grow 43:1 |
| full 26:9 | 101:17 102:3,6 | 124:13,17 | 76:23 77:4 78:24 | guarantee 45:6 |
| fully 44:6 | 103:7 114:10,11 | given 22:3 25:15,19 | 80:19,21 82:12 | guess 6:3 63:19 |
| function 8:19 31:1 | 114:21,22 115:18 | 25:23 56:8 104:21 | 83:23 86:2,5 87:9 | 64:3 126:18 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Page | 1 | 49 |
|------|---|----|
|------|---|----|

| guests 108:18 | 101:16 103:6,21 | Hispanic 106:6 | 104:12 | 16:17 99:1 |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| guidance 7:25 | 104:2 109:23 | historic 25:23 | Impact 34:17 | independently |
| 25:19,22 82:14 | 113:12,16,22 | history 24:1 26:8 | implement 8:9 | 16:13 |
| 99:13 | 126:25 135:6 | 80:7 94:2 99:8 | implications 65:9 | indicated 78:4 |
| guys 27:10 | 137:3 138:6,25 | hit 76:14 | importance 13:23 | 90:15 |
| Gwendolyn 136:14 | 139:10 | Hoff 136:13 | important 11:15 | indicating 84:23 |
| | hearings 74:14 | holdout 111:24 | 13:17 14:16 17:4 | indication 67:10 |
| <u> </u> | hearing/briefing | 112:4 | 18:14 27:8 47:20 | indicted 78:9 |
| Haig 29:4 | 105:13 | home 125:2 | 47:22 54:11 57:8 | individual 16:18 |
| half 44:6 46:5 | hears 38:14 | honest 21:5 72:8 | 59:21 60:6 72:6 | 67:8 94:5 100:15 |
| hallmark 27:10 | heart 118:14 | honestly 81:20 | 74:19 122:12 | 120:3 131:1 |
| hand 8:15,24 43:14 | help 32:20 33:19 | honesty 118:23 | 131:4 | individuals 69:5 |
| 69:18,19 93:20 | 55:3 83:12 | honor 13:25 14:17 | impossible 54:22 | 100:8 106:4 |
| handle 111:20 | helping 17:3 33:9 | 26:1 27:15 | imprimatur 126:9 | 134:11 135:25 |
| handout 8:22 | 98:6 | hook 71:12 | inability 124:12 | 136:2,11 |
| happen 56:21 | Herculean 74:8 | hope 7:7 27:10 38:1 | inadequate 123:14 | indulgence 58:19 |
| 97:15 109:13 | Heriot 1:12 4:14 | 38:7,9,16 39:13 | incident 67:14 | 78:5 |
| happened 48:10 | 10:7 11:5,6,12,15 | 41:22 47:18,20 | incidental 85:25 | inferences 111:10 |
| 97:18 | 18:4 19:2,11 20:2 | 49:10 116:21 | incidents 67:8 | influence 16:13 |
| happening 56:23 | 20:25 21:8 23:5 | hopeful 24:13 | include 89:23 | inform 108:4 |
| 60:4,4 | 23:11,18 29:21 | hopefully 18:3 | 91:12,18 93:8,9 | information 16:13 |
| happens 49:14 | 30:12 31:5 36:2 | 37:17 | 94:9,19 96:24 | 16:19 17:7 45:7 |
| 80:20 104:14 | 42:25 44:16 46:6 | hoping 20:10 32:23 | 111:11 129:12 | 59:24 60:1 113:13 |
| 110:1 | 51:16 53:4,6,17 | 110:15 | included 129:10 | 114:16 115:10,11 |
| happier 25:7 | 53:20 57:24 63:10 | horrible 96:12 | includes 63:3 99:24 | 122:18 125:9 |
| happy 19:12 20:2 | 63:15 66:7 69:11 | hostile 65:16 71:5 | including 50:18 | 126:16 127:15 |
| 110:20 | 69:12,16 71:17,21 | house 3:4 6:23 7:1 | 63:15,18 96:7 | informed 36:13 |
| harassment 34:20 | 77:18 80:16,17 | 7:3,15 9:4,10,16 | 97:25 98:2 | initial 18:24 20:11 |
| 64:2 65:17 66:4 | 85:7 86:22 87:4,7 | 10:6 15:4 | inclusion 131:24 | 20:15 40:22 |
| 88:12 90:7 92:12 | 88:20 89:2,7 | House's 17:19 | incomplete 113:8 | initiated 46:22 65:7 |
| hard 25:11 55:10 | 96:16,17 97:7,10 | humbling 26:6 | 114:4 | inner 34:17 58:5 |
| 70:3 73:21 79:10 | 98:19 102:1 | 8_2010 | inconsistencies | input 7:12 37:21 |
| harken 98:4 | 114:12,13,14 | I | 114:25 | 38:3,6,8 39:15 |
| hat 28:2 | 118:25 119:1 | idea 47:13 53:15 | inconsistency | 42:20 47:9 55:4 |
| hate 13:23 | 121:4,7,23 124:15 | 81:6,7 105:4,10 | 113:9 | 66:16 79:2,21 |
| HBCUs 117:20 | 124:18 126:2,12 | identified 60:22 | inconsistent 12:23 | 84:12 126:19 |
| head 114:23,24 | 127:6 128:20 | 85:14 104:12 | 30:8,10 | inquiry 65:9 72:1 |
| 120:19 | 129:16 130:10,15 | ideologies 16:10 | incorporate 38:2 | 105:22 106:1 |
| headquarters 4:8 | 131:12,18 132:2,4 | 27:3 | incorporated 102:9 | insane 81:7 |
| healthcare 3:13 | 132:16 135:18,20 | ignore 91:1 | incorporating | insisted 48:20 |
| 133:17,19,23 | 137:19 138:19 | ignored 42:21 | 84:12 | insisting 83:23 |
| 134:4 | Heron 134:18 | II 3:4 6:23 | incredibly 28:20 | instance 80:6 82:6 |
| hear 6:9 41:17 | high 111:21 | III 3:5 31:16 | 41:20 | 115:5,5 |
| 43:12 73:6,13,23 | highest 51:1 | illusions 42:10 | indebted 17:7 | instances 123:20 |
| heard 45:18 66:13 | highlighted 90:21 | ill-suited 53:2 | independence | institution 127:23 |
| 78:21 82:18 114:2 | highly 117:19 | immediately 84:8 | 15:16 | institution 127.23 |
| hearing 5:25 6:15 | high-level 33:15 | imminent 103:17 | independent 16:4 | 115:9,11,17,19 |
| | | | | |
| | 1 | | I | I |

| 116:1,3 117:20 | 64:9 66:3 | items 34:3,5 | justification 32:3 | 15:1 20:9 22:6 |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| 122:3 | intra-student 41:17 | it's 11:11 | | 26:24 34:2 35:1 |
| instruct 12:15 | introduced 14:9 | IV 3:7 33:21 | $\frac{\mathbf{K}}{\mathbf{L}}$ | 38:19 44:8,12 |
| instruction 8:13,21 | 62:6 | IVY 2:2 | keep 115:6 124:5 | 47:12 52:22 55:13 |
| 9:2 12:20 30:13 | investigated 60:10 | IX 3:11 75:7 98:24 | keeping 16:20 | 56:10 60:2 69:25 |
| 30:13 31:8 | investigation | 107:20,22 110:5,8 | keeps 16:16 21:5 | 70:7,20 72:18 |
| instructions 12:14 | 110:17 123:24 | i-t-y 24:10 | 33:17 | 73:1,19,20 76:7 |
| 16:21 | investigations | I'm 69:16 | killed 79:18 126:5 | 78:2,24 79:3,18 |
| insufficient 122:18 | 36:14 | | KIMBERLY 1:14 | 80:12 86:21 88:11 |
| insults 70:7 | investigative 106:8 | <u> </u> | kind 10:3 16:17 | 88:24 91:6,7 |
| integrity 14:24 | invited 108:18 | Jean 136:13 | 17:5,15 19:18 | 92:13 97:9,11,12 |
| 132:9 135:25 | invoke 20:20 | Jerry 23:5,13 | 42:16 48:1 49:15 | 103:5 104:24 |
| intend 14:4,13 20:8 | involved 24:15 | jest 15:19 | 63:20 65:18 69:3 | 105:1,9,11 107:12 |
| 50:4,14 51:13 | 28:12 85:22 | jettison 39:12 | 69:4 82:13 88:25 | 110:19 113:23 |
| intended 47:16 | involvement 32:7 | jettisoning 55:7 | 92:3 105:17 106:9 | 118:14 119:14,14 |
| intent 16:5 | 60:12 | Jewish 88:1,12 | 106:24 112:19 | 119:20,21 122:2 |
| intention 20:3 | involves 62:23 | Jews 87:23 | 113:6 122:25 | 124:16 125:8 |
| interaction 24:6,7 | in-depth 28:3 77:3 | Joan 136:13 | kinds 65:4,6 82:12 | 126:19 129:22,24 |
| interest 19:10 24:9 | irregularity 80:6 | job 60:24 99:21 | 118:4 | knowing 89:3 |
| 59:20 71:11 | Islam 86:2 | 116:22 | Kirsanow 1:12 | knowledge 21:10 |
| interested 63:1,2 | isolation 117:13 | jobs 33:7,15 76:11 | 4:14 5:22 18:3 | 26:9 |
| 66:19 69:7 | 118:5 119:2 | John 2:6,12 36:7 | 19:3 21:7 22:10 | known 67:12,13,14 |
| interesting 96:4 | issue 29:18 35:6 | 38:20 | 24:4,12 34:23 | knows 107:22 |
| interests 24:15 | 38:18 48:19 57:4 | joined 25:21 | 39:19,20,21 50:25 | Kramer 136:16 |
| interim 25:16 | 71:21,23 72:3 | joint 131:11 | 51:5,15,18 53:11 | Kursher 136:14 |
| 108:3 | 75:21,23 84:11 | joke 15:22 | 57:24 61:16,18 | Kyle 136:13 |
| intermediate 111:3 | 91:8 94:23 97:4 | Jordan 134:19 | 62:5,9,12,18,25 | |
| 112:3 | 99:19 101:2 118:5 | jot 37:23 47:19 | 63:4,19 64:1,8,11 | L |
| interpret 100:24 | 119:2 128:5 131:4 | judgment 51:7 | 64:22 65:14 67:17 | L 1:12 134:19 |
| interpretation | issued 19:25 29:11 | July 38:21 | 68:19 69:1 77:18 | 136:15,16 |
| 100:22 | 29:17 31:2,14 | June 32:9 36:18 | 85:2,8 89:20 90:4 | label 123:6 |
| interpreting 75:6 | 44:12 45:6,22 | 38:19,21,22 | 92:17 93:6,9 | laboring 40:25 |
| 98:24 | 46:1 48:14 54:5 | jurisdiction 65:15 | 95:17 104:24 | lady 25:6 |
| interrogatories | 61:22 74:15 79:12 | 65:24 66:5 67:19 | 105:11,14 106:15 | laid 64:23 115:24 |
| 42:22 43:1,3 | 84:7 | 67:24,24 92:12 | 106:22 108:7 | language 8:20 |
| 44:11 45:5 48:19 | issues 3:14 14:15 | 96:22 97:18,23 | 126:2 128:21 | 85:19 |
| 54:4,6,7 74:14 | 16:6 26:19 27:1 | 98:5,15 99:2,19 | 131:22 132:3,6,19 | large 64:18 72:19 |
| 75:23,25 79:12 | 65:8 70:13,15 | 100:23 121:16,18 | 132:23 133:3,12 | 110:2 118:1 |
| 81:4 82:5,20,23 | 77:2 78:11 79:11 | jurisdictional 67:1 | 133:16 135:18,19 | 123:23 |
| 92:2,7 93:23 | 90:19,20 94:6 | 67:22 96:23 97:3 | 135:22 137:12,20 | largely 74:21 |
| 94:17 | 97:2 99:9 103:12 | justice 34:19 43:6 | 138:16,20 | larger 63:11 |
| inter-school 66:20 | 113:3 122:21 | 44:19 45:2 48:21 | Kirsanow's 71:4 | Las 123:10 |
| inter-student 61:25 | 134:1,6 | 49:6 54:6 60:13 | Klingons 80:22 | late 4:6 55:9 78:23 |
| 62:6 63:8 75:22 | item 3:2 5:4,13,14 | 65:4 69:8 79:6 | knew 104:8 128:3 | latest 49:14 |
| 77:7 85:17 86:3 | 5:15 27:20 34:1 | 95:11 98:1,9 99:7 | know 7:2 10:5 12:1 | Latino 26:9 |
| 87:11 90:17 | 38:24 107:14,17 | 100:5,22 | 12:20 13:3,21 | latitude 68:10 |
| intimidation 63:24 | 126:3 | Justice's 86:6 | 14:11,12,13,16 | Laughter 6:8 24:11 |
| | | | | |
| | 1 | | 1 | 1 |

| | | | | 3 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 28:9 80:23 83:5,8 | likening 71:4 | 100:1 102:8 105:2 | manageable 60:23 | 54:23 59:17 79:3 |
| 102:5 132:22 | LILLIAN 2:3 | 105:6 109:22 | 61:8 68:17 73:19 | 115:18 |
| 139:16 | limit 70:23 86:5 | 112:14 122:14 | 75:10 | measure 110:2 |
| law 30:15,23 65:19 | 90:13 | 125:3 132:7 | management 3:5 | media 70:9 |
| 75:6 94:3 127:18 | limitations 75:16 | looked 47:24 78:20 | 27:22 31:16 | median 111:6 |
| laws 67:5 98:6 | 126:20 | 84:7 85:15 | mandate 50:22 | 112:2 |
| lay 50:3 | limited 22:18,19 | looking 62:1,19 | manner 33:18 | meet 17:6 18:6 |
| lead 14:2 26:23 | 56:7,9 74:13 | 90:23 98:9,10 | 113:4 | 50:21 56:25 82:2 |
| 122:25 | 75:18 81:18 | 100:6,7,14 105:21 | March 1:5 4:6 | meeting 1:3,11 |
| leadership 14:11 | 108:19 | 117:25 118:18 | marginally 9:1 | 3:16 4:3,4,7,11,13 |
| learn 27:11 32:20 | Linda 136:16 | 120:4,20 | Marie 134:13,21 | 5:1 9:21 26:1 |
| leave 29:14 76:5 | line 4:22 66:25 | looks 65:23 87:2 | Marilyn 136:16 | 29:20 32:24 34:9 |
| 90:16 130:9 | 69:12 71:25 97:11 | 107:20 | Mark 134:15 | 44:13,19 47:16 |
| 131:14 | 122:19 | Lopez 136:15 | Martin 1:10 2:5,12 | 76:1 79:21 82:10 |
| led 37:24,25 39:4 | list 28:17 54:16 | lose 13:23 | 9:11 15:4 17:19 | 100:19 108:3 |
| left 80:4 | 108:25 113:6 | lot 28:13,15 45:18 | 24:2 | 109:17,20 137:21 |
| legal 65:7 70:15 | listen 52:3 | 45:20 47:3 48:3 | Marty 11:24 14:13 | 137:23,25 139:11 |
| 82:6 94:5,12 | listing 45:2 | 49:4 52:12 54:5,9 | 14:21 15:23 16:24 | meetings 43:8 |
| 99:12,21 100:18 | listings 111:16 | 69:20 70:6,9,15 | 17:21 24:7 28:3 | 79:18 |
| legitimate 75:11 | litigation 105:24 | 81:8 90:5 96:6 | Marty's 14:2 15:15 | meets 5:9 |
| 113:1 | 106:5,9 | 97:3 103:12 136:1 | Mary 23:15,19 | member 17:11 |
| length 46:2 | little 4:5 20:17 36:8 | love 53:7 73:6,22 | match 75:19 | members 33:7,13 |
| lengthy 47:11 | 36:16,24 37:25 | LUDVIGSON 2:11 | material 80:1 | 129:4 134:23 |
| LENORE 2:5 | 48:1 57:2 68:9,9 | luxury 49:9 84:1,3 | 106:10 113:7,9 | 136:20 |
| Lenoke 2.5 Lerner 121:17 | 81:13 86:9 92:5 | Iuxui y 49.9 04.1,3 | matter 23:25 50:9 | memo 45:1 |
| 139:4,4 | 92:13 95:21 96:18 | Μ | 51:7 58:8 59:3 | men 111:7 |
| Lerner's 120:22 | 96:24 115:10 | M 136:16 | 72:12 113:20 | mention 38:18 |
| letter 9:21,25 10:14 | live 44:18 | mad 99:17 | 139:24 | mention 38.18 mentioned 9:21 |
| 12:5,6 13:9,11 | local 74:14 | Madam 4:24 9:14 | matters 54:9 | 67:9 91:10 108:16 |
| 15:10,20,21,22,23 | located 4:8 | 17:3 19:14 41:24 | McNeill 136:14 | 110:24 |
| 16:2,25 | locked 108:22 | magically 75:22 | mean 9:3 14:15 | merely 99:18 |
| let's 10:16,19 15:22 | logistic 111:4 116:7 | main 32:19 54:25 | 22:8 45:13 50:1 | merit 135:25 |
| 46:9 59:1 80:20 | logistics 104:16 | major 116:10 | 51:9 52:2,6,12 | merits 50:5 51:6,11 |
| 86:25 92:15,19 | long 16:1 17:10 | majority 7:12 9:11 | 61:1 63:7,11 | met 32:11 33:2 |
| 104:13 127:7 | 43:3 47:12 79:25 | 18:12 21:12 29:8 | 70:14 71:19,24 | methodology 54:20 |
| 128:6 138:6 | 80:7 83:22 | 30:19,21 39:25 | 83:18 84:2 88:9 | MICHELLE 2:6 |
| level 65:16 67:16 | Longfeather | 40:13 42:3 45:16 | 88:25 94:1 97:14 | micromanage 7:21 |
| 75:2 76:24 96:9 | 134:17 | 55:12 99:22 | 99:17 106:19 | 13:1 |
| levels 65:12 | look 7:24 8:17 16:6 | 128:16 | 118:12 119:12,14 | micromanaged |
| LGBT 67:23 85:17 | 17:1,11 26:11,20 | making 26:22 | 122:12 123:6 | 7:19 |
| 92:12 99:25 | 26:22 31:10 35:16 | | 127:7 132:21 | middle 56:21 96:13 |
| liberal 3:11 110:6,8 | 47:3 57:8 60:16 | 60:23 | meaningful 32:6 | 120:19 |
| 125:14 | 64:20 65:24 67:6 | man 14:24,24,24 | 39:15 45:3 48:15 | midstream 40:5 |
| liberties 54:17 | 67:6,25 81:11 | manage 29:18 43:8 | 71:10 | miles 119:10,23 |
| | 82:22 87:9 90:7 | manageability | means 69:25 119:6 | 121:13 |
| library 31:23 life 57:7 | 91:11,16 95:22 | 59:20 60:8 61:6 | 121:25 | mind 22:22 58:20 |
| light 99:8 | 97:24 98:13 99:25 | 91:5 | meant 20:12 23:9 | 59:13 117:24 |
| ngin 77.0 | 21.24 20.13 22:23 | 71.0 | meant 20.12 23.9 | 37.13 117.24 |

| | | 101.15 102.17 | 01.10 02.2 02.22 | Nomin 200 204 (022 |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| mine 40:12 | month 32:2,4 37:3 | 101:15 103:17 | 91:10 92:2 93:23 94:18 99:1 102:7 | Nominees 3:4 6:23 |
| minimal 46:4 | 37:3 38:20,21 | 105:12 110:2 | | nonexistent 37:22 |
| minimum 82:24 | 80:24 96:1 130:5 | 114:4,5 126:6 | 104:16,18 105:7 | 37:22 |
| minorities 85:23 | months 38:23 39:1 | 128:23 129:8 | 107:18 109:12,12 | nonpartisan 16:4 |
| minority 18:5,13 | 39:8,8 40:10,17 | 133:25 134:8 | 113:16 121:23 | nonprofit 28:13 |
| 26:25 38:5 39:25 | 53:3 61:7 73:23 | 136:9 137:22,24 | 127:24 | nonprofits 54:8 |
| 42:2,8 45:12,15 | 74:3 75:4 80:4 | 139:11 | needed 111:18 | nonviolent 70:14 |
| 51:20,22,23 55:11 | 81:19 103:9 | moved 6:17 17:23 | needing 17:18 | non-HBCOs |
| 62:24 | 109:17 | moving 110:7 | needs 7:10 8:24 | 117:20 |
| minority's 19:22 | month's 22:15 | 136:7 | 82:20 91:8,11 | norm 33:9 |
| minute 12:10 | 32:24 108:3 | multiple 33:7,15 | 92:18 120:22 | Norma 136:13,18 |
| minutes 3:16 58:22 | Morales 136:15 | 59:24 123:18 | negotiable 82:3 | normal 55:22 |
| 58:25 113:21,21 | morning 18:6 19:7 | Myer 134:17 | Neither 7:20 | 74:24,24 |
| 137:21,23,24 | motion 6:16 15:6,7 | N | Nelson 134:19 | normally 35:13 |
| 138:4 | 17:19 34:9 35:21 | | never 19:24 21:3 | North 3:14 80:18 |
| misleading 123:1 | 35:22,22 38:23 | N 1:12 | 21:10 22:22 23:14 | 102:2 134:7,9,22 |
| 123:13,17 | 56:17 57:11,23,25 | nailing 79:10 | 44:6 49:14 81:12 | Northwest 1:7 4:9 |
| missed 121:6 | 58:1,2,13,16 59:7 | name 106:5 120:22 | 118:13 124:21 | note 33:12 42:1 |
| missing 112:25 | 73:3 77:6,15,20 | named 121:1 | nevertheless 70:19 | 72:12 98:22 |
| 122:17 124:3,20 | 85:4,6 86:1,15 | 137:14 | new 3:9 5:14 7:3,4 | 131:23 135:23 |
| mission 24:17 | 87:1 92:17,19 | naming 115:19 | 7:14,21 12:13 | notes 36:6 |
| 26:22 59:13,22 | 93:5 94:22 95:8 | Nancy 136:14 | 15:1 17:20 18:13 | notification 12:2 |
| misspeak 18:3 | 95:19 96:15,18 | narrow 53:11 | 19:18 24:2 25:20 | notified 139:5,9 |
| mistakes 55:9 | 101:1,12 103:6 | 54:13 74:12 | 26:16 32:11,12,15 | noting 44:3 |
| 69:25 | 106:24 107:4 | narrower 52:16 | 33:23 34:7 38:4 | notion 13:17 69:17 |
| misunderstand | 114:7,15 125:13 | narrowly 41:5,15 | 45:21 47:2 48:23 | 71:6 95:24 125:6 |
| 47:24 | 125:24 126:5,14 | 41:16 65:22 | 54:2 56:19 74:7,8 | number 31:23 34:3 |
| mitigate 118:8 | 127:14 128:3,6,7 | 100:25 | 79:1 105:8 109:21 | 34:5 36:21 38:23 |
| model 111:12 | 128:16,20 129:6 | narrowness 52:8 | news 57:6 | 40:7 53:7 54:9 |
| 116:8 | 129:11 130:11 | 52:10 54:9,11 | nice 10:3 12:1 | 75:20 108:19 |
| models 115:24 | 131:19,20,22 | nasty 70:14 | NICHOLAS 2:10 | 117:24 124:19 |
| moderately 117:19 | 133:9,14,16 | national 68:3,15 | night 55:6 62:10 | numbers 42:8 |
| moderates 22:2 | 134:10,20,24 | 85:13 | 78:23 85:15 | |
| modest 88:2 | 135:15 136:10,17 | nation's 26:18 | nimble 37:25 | 0 |
| modified 47:5 | 136:21 137:4,16 | nature 29:13 114:1 | Ninth 1:7 | object 131:24 |
| modify 29:8 39:14 | 138:7,17 | near 33:6 129:1 | Noes 57:18 77:11 | objected 79:25 |
| 73:10,17,20 | motions 5:6 66:14 | necessarily 20:19 | 84:25 125:19 | objection 88:10,13 |
| modulates 22:2 | 68:13 78:5 85:10 | 30:4 66:5 107:6 | 128:12 | 89:6 116:11 |
| moment 13:17 29:4 | 86:11 89:22 | necessary 19:1 | Noff 136:14 | 121:19 132:20,21 |
| 33:8 42:8 80:1 | 101:14 112:10 | 20:9 55:21 114:20 | nominate 9:17 | objective 16:6 |
| 98:2 | motivations 59:15 | need 8:16,23 11:21 | nominated 7:3 9:10 | objectives 16:15 |
| Monday 32:23 | move 5:12 19:11 | 15:5 17:25 30:25 | 10:6 11:25 15:4 | observations 39:24 |
| 102:25 | 24:1,16 27:8,20 | 33:5 45:14 49:2 | 17:4 26:2 | 41:22 |
| money 116:14 | 33:25 35:24 49:1 | 49:16,20,21 50:1 | nomination 7:1,5 | obtained 121:25 |
| 126:10 | 56:8,12 58:5 | 50:24 55:4,12 | 9:20 15:15 | obvious 26:16,17 |
| Montana 3:15 | 77:21 82:3,24 | 56:5 65:10 79:20 | nominee 8:12,18 | obviously 7:15 9:11 |
| 136:6,8,9,19 | 92:18 93:7 95:9 | 79:22 82:3 89:16 | 12:10 13:18 17:19 | 15:5 67:6 71:11 |
| , , , - | | | | |
| | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

| 74:17 88:4 96:1 | 136:4 138:2,15,17 | oral 64:4,8 66:4 | 37:9,12 38:15 | 126:15 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 123:23 127:18 | 139:10,14 | oranges 113:5 | 41:7 60:15 61:23 | perceived 90:8 |
| occasions 91:10 | OM 2:5 | order 4:4 5:2 9:4 | 62:4,13,21 63:18 | 93:10 94:24 |
| occupying 107:24 | OMB 32:12,15 | 28:6 37:1 78:3 | 67:9,10 71:3 77:7 | perfect 82:19 |
| occur 91:3 | omission 124:4 | 82:1 | 85:14 88:6 90:14 | perfectly 79:25 |
| OCR 65:15,22 | once 7:14 48:10 | ordinances 60:19 | 95:25 98:22 99:23 | 111:12 |
| 67:18 86:5 92:4 | 65:3 79:5 | ore 87:10 | 100:4 101:3 125:4 | perform 103:14 |
| OCRE 76:10 | ones 21:14 59:19 | Oregon 119:12 | papers 37:5,6,7 | performing 103:13 |
| 104:16,21 107:18 | 106:6 | organic 68:1,4 90:4 | 46:22,24 61:21 | period 25:16 33:14 |
| 114:23 120:19 | one-time 84:6 | organization 26:23 | 76:8,9 80:8 97:15 | 103:18 |
| OCRE's 104:19 | ongoing 123:25 | organizations | paperwork 135:1 | periods 74:22,22 |
| October 104:7 | open 32:17 33:5 | 28:13 | 136:23 | permission 126:19 |
| 106:17 107:1,9 | 71:17 86:3 90:16 | orientation 34:21 | paragraph 8:21 | 127:20,25 |
| odd 68:14 92:13 | 91:22 | 96:20 97:2 98:2 | parallel 36:14 | permit 7:19 129:9 |
| offense 90:2 | open-minded 24:16 | origin 68:3 | Pardon 72:23 | permitted 120:11 |
| offenses 64:19 | operates 13:19,21 | original 21:24 | parent 57:5 | 132:13 |
| offer 28:1 37:8 78:4 | operations 3:5 | 60:14 74:11 | part 7:18 12:11 | person 4:17 38:11 |
| 85:9 | 27:22 31:16 | originally 22:19 | 22:9 23:15 25:11 | 76:7 81:20 120:24 |
| offered 75:24 | opined 121:17,19 | 60:14 116:19 | 36:11 66:9 82:10 | 122:11 |
| 111:11 | opinion 57:22 | Orthodox 87:23 | 82:17 98:10 99:9 | personal 100:16 |
| offering 49:18,22 | 77:14 96:25 97:6 | OSTROWSKY 2:5 | 111:15 129:10 | personally 81:22 |
| office 10:18 81:23 | 97:17 99:21 | ought 13:1 35:14 | 131:25 132:11,12 | perspective 56:5 |
| 97:1 99:14 104:22 | 113:15 121:10,14 | 79:1,9 100:24 | partially 66:25 | perspectives 27:2,6 |
| 115:2 | 125:22 126:25 | 109:20 125:8 | particular 12:19 | 27:7 |
| officer 28:3 76:8 | 128:15 132:7,8 | outline 37:14,15,16 | 14:8 35:22 40:1,4 | persuaded 60:21 |
| offices 115:3 | 133:13 135:14 | 38:16 | 40:11 41:8,12 | pervasive 59:21 |
| 121:15 | 137:15 | outlined 50:20 | 53:1 57:5 67:14 | 60:3 65:11 |
| official 12:2 | opinions 59:25 | outputs 111:3 | 99:16 115:17 | PETER 1:12 |
| OGC 62:16 104:10 | OPM 29:17 | 112:3 | 120:6 132:18 | physical 64:2 |
| oh 9:24 15:11 47:12 | opportunity 13:24 | outset 60:2 | particularly 40:6,7 | physically 132:11 |
| 54:15 80:16 93:17 | 18:6 27:15 32:6 | outside 33:9 72:14 | 48:8,15 53:10 | pick 75:23 76:16 |
| 121:3 124:6 | 37:15 38:8 43:17 | outstanding 44:14 | parties 42:10 | 77:1 96:1,2 |
| okay 6:13 10:24 | 46:20 47:14 81:10 | overcapacity 56:10 | party 132:18 | picking 96:5 |
| 18:1 23:8,13 30:1 | 81:11 91:3 123:21 | overcomes 118:4 | passed 34:9 | Pigford 106:3 |
| 38:21 43:19 53:6 | oppose 79:14 | overlap 69:19 | passes 77:15 85:4 | pilot 119:19 |
| 63:4 64:11 77:4 | 114:14 | overwhelming | 93:3 95:6 101:12 | pity 106:15 |
| 83:22 85:8 86:20 | opposed 57:15,17 | 70:23 | 133:14 135:15 | place 4:7 16:1 |
| 89:5,18 93:13 | 57:19 77:10,17 | owing 68:21 | 137:16 138:18 | 21:17,18 22:25 |
| 94:20 95:15 102:23 103:1,2 | 84:22 85:6 92:24 95:2 101:8 125:17 | <u>Р</u> | passion 27:1 passionate 57:5 | 72:13 |
| 102:23 103:1,2 109:10 110:7,21 | 128:10 133:11,12 | Padilla 134:17 | passionate 57:5 pattern 67:18,19 | placed 39:6 |
| 112:6 113:18 | 128:10 133:11,12 | page 3:2 8:22 46:4 | PAU 2:5 | places 8:13 25:3 123:10 |
| 112:0 113:18 | 133:10 133:9 | 48:1 90:14 131:7 | people 8:16,23 20:6 | plan 37:17 38:17 |
| 121:8,9 125:11 | opposition 84:24 | pages 47:12 68:24 | 20:20 42:3 76:13 | 42:19 45:19,20,25 |
| 127:10 130:6,15 | 126:1 135:17 | PAMELA 2:4 | 76:20 84:7 93:8 | 47:9,10,24 48:13 |
| 130:15 131:12 | 120.1 155.17 137:7 | Panther 54:2 | 98:7 108:23,23,25 | 49:9 53:25 54:1 |
| 130:13 131:12 | option 22:8 | paper 34:15,22,22 | 113:4 119:10 | 54:19 55:3,20,24 |
| 155.5 154.5,14 | option 22.0 | r - r | 113.4117.10 | 57.17 55.5,20,24 |
| | | | | l |

| | | | | Page 15 |
|----------------------------|------------------------|---|----------------------------|--|
| 74:24,24 77:20,22 | 101:23 | presumed 29:13 | process 19:18,23 | proposed 32:9 |
| 78:4,7,9,10,16 | possibly 27:12 68:9 | pretend 15:21 | 21:5 23:15 28:21 | 40:13 52:16 55:23 |
| 79:15 84:19,20,23 | 90:6 129:23 | pretending 48:16 | 36:18 39:14 46:14 | 60:9,11,19 101:20 |
| 85:4 86:12 101:20 | postponed 88:19 | 127:19 | 46:14,15 47:7 | 102:11 124:16 |
| planning 3:7 5:14 | potential 45:22 | pretty 46:4 76:21 | 55:10 56:22 60:18 | protect 24:15 98:7 |
| 32:8 33:21 34:1 | 64:18,19 127:25 | 121:20 | 66:11 75:18 80:2 | protected 71:15 |
| plans 32:7 38:12,13 | potentially 52:23 | prevalent 96:9 | 92:1 111:13 | 98:12 |
| 38:13 45:21 53:23 | 113:8 114:15 | prevent 21:19 69:3 | 120:20 | protections 94:12 |
| 54:16 55:5 75:24 | 115:12 | 100:14 | processes 65:7 | 98:3 |
| plaque 120:21,23 | practice 31:20 | previous 39:2 | prodigious 40:14 | protocol 111:1,15 |
| 120:23,25 139:3 | 36:23 46:25 67:18 | 62:13 64:13 97:20 | produce 12:5 48:18 | 111:20 |
| play 70:9 105:7 | 67:19 80:14 82:22 | 113:14 129:1 | 51:1,2 | provide 9:7 17:7 |
| pleadings 68:24 | practices 36:12 | previously 110:24 | producing 61:6 | 27:21 82:25 83:10 |
| please 32:18 77:1 | 67:6 74:13 | principal 47:8 | product 51:1 | 91:3 113:2 |
| 84:23 101:5 | precious 50:11 | principle 13:4 | proficient 85:19 | provided 121:20 |
| pleased 9:10 15:3 | precipitated 106:2 | principles 47:20,22 | program 3:7 5:13 | 122:20 126:16 |
| 18:8 | precisely 50:2 | print 10:13 | 32:24 33:10,21 | providing 112:23 |
| pleasure 28:24 | 131:7 | prior 25:16 36:9,18 | 34:1 | public 34:18 |
| point 13:21 16:3,23 | preclude 122:21 | 101:20 | | 117:20 |
| 19:24 23:21,21 | 123:18,25 | prisoners 54:17 | programs 34:25 125:15 | publicly 45:2 |
| 24:3 45:14 48:12 | precluded 136:3 | private 117:20 | prohibit 95:13 | publish 36:15 |
| 50:1,2,18 53:23 | precluding 90:22 | 118:18,19 120:10 | project 37:14,15,16 | 111:9 113:17 |
| 54:3,25 60:7 | predict 73:21 | , | 38:16 40:16 41:19 | |
| 68:11 80:19 81:24 | prefer 78:14 98:16 | privy 54:18 pro 113:19 127:9 | 43:24,25 44:2 | 116:16,22 127:3 pull 104:13,13 |
| 84:15 87:16 93:16 | 119:18 | - | , | · · |
| | preference 49:3 | probably 10:2 82:11 107:21 | 52:12,15,16,24 | pulled 109:1 |
| 100:21,23,24 | - | 129:21 | 54:25 73:8,10 | pure 62:16 |
| 103:16 109:22 | preferred 76:24 | | 90:19,25 105:18 | purpose 8:25 9:2 135:23 |
| 114:2,20 115:13 | prepare 102:20 | problem 60:22 | 110:9,10,14 | · - |
| points 30:3 31:14 | 110:13 | 72:17 76:14 | 117:16 118:13 | purposes 61:24 |
| 32:19 33:2 44:9 | prepared 36:7 | 113:10,25 118:17 | 123:17 124:19 | 132:9 |
| 45:11 51:4 | 102:14 | 119:5 120:1,9 | 125:1,9 | pursuant 65:7 |
| policy 13:3 | prepares 37:13 | 124:11 125:7 | projects 3:8 8:5 | 106:8 127:18 |
| political 16:8,10 | preparing 112:15 | 126:17 127:5 | 33:22 | 134:20 136:17 |
| 27:2 | present 1:8 2:1,9 | problems 28:7,11 | promise 55:17 | pursue 48:2 50:7 |
| portion 8:18 | 4:12,16,18,24 5:1 | 82:12 118:15 | 127:22,23 | 72:2,3 |
| posed 42:14 | 29:8 44:2 | 124:21,22 125:2 | proofread 112:5 | purview 99:6 |
| poses 40:23 | presented 58:3 | procedural 9:5 | proper 55:24 58:12 | put 11:8 18:11 |
| position 30:8,9 | presenting 41:13 | 80:5 | properly 60:22 | 19:25 20:10 21:16 |
| 52:4 114:17 | presents 119:5 | procedure 5:9 | 67:5 | 21:18 46:13 51:13 |
| positions 33:6 | President 11:25 | 35:13,14 51:11 | propjet 37:14 | 52:10,12 56:15 |
| positive 24:8 | 14:1 26:2,3 41:13 | 132:9 | proponent 50:14 | 57:12 69:20,22 |
| possibility 18:18 | 60:25 | procedures 12:18 | proportions 111:25 | 77:6,22 82:5 |
| 39:7 90:16 123:16 | presiding 1:8 | 66:15 | proposal 51:5,6 | 86:15 92:17 |
| 123:18 129:9 | pressure 16:18 | proceed 5:18 9:13 | 72:2 74:11 88:6 | 104:25 108:1 |
| possible 9:22 16:19 | 52:12 | 10:2,22 11:2,3 | 102:10 | 114:3 120:23,25 |
| 36:24 72:20 75:17 | presume 52:25 | 35:18 77:23 86:25 | proposals 34:13 | 123:11 |
| 81:15 82:4 84:9 | 105:19 | 88:15 | propose 5:16 66:11 | puts 20:13 |
| | | l | l | l |
| | | | | |

| putting 89:2 100:3 | romport 106.2 | recall 34:8 53:25 | nogular 90.2 | 15.1 16.1 50.5 |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| | rampant 106:2 rank 36:25 | | regular 80:2 | 45:4 46:1 50:5 |
| 123:12,16 | | receipt 42:23 | regularly 31:1 | 54:23 57:1 58:6 |
| P-R-O-C-E-E-D | rare 115:5,5 RATCLIFFE 2:6 | receive 10:1 32:9 82:14 | regulation 8:19 | 61:6,12,15 66:23 |
| 4:1 | | | regulations 29:18 | 66:25 67:2,21 |
| p.m 139:25 | rates 112:2 | received 9:20 31:21 | reiterate 24:5 | 68:7,9,15 70:3 |
| 0 | rationale 88:7 | 31:23,24 32:5 | 105:16 | 72:7,17 74:7,25 |
| qualities 14:25 | ratios 116:8 | 62:22 85:15 | reject 5:17 | 77:8 79:7 81:14 |
| quality 33:18 49:15 | read 31:23 78:19 | 111:16 122:15 | rejeected 113:5 | 82:11 85:13 89:3 |
| 51:1 60:24 112:24 | 80:9 110:20 | receiving 45:7 65:5 | related 12:22 41:11 | 96:19 100:10 |
| 113:3,9 122:15 | 115:25 138:14 | recess 58:19,21 | 132:14 | 101:3,22 102:12 |
| qualms 81:22 | readily 65:18 | recodify 47:6 | relation 16:24 | 105:9 107:23 |
| question 42:14 | reading 114:22 | recoding 111:18 | 66:21 | 110:10 111:12 |
| 43:10 44:21,24 | 118:13,14 132:8 | recognize 71:22 | relationship 17:12 | 114:2 116:22 |
| 59:15 60:3 61:19 | ready-made 105:17 | recognized 19:22 | 28:3 | 118:24 122:25 |
| | ready-to-go 104:9 | recommend 61:12 | release 116:17 | 125:3 128:22,25 |
| 68:5,8 72:18 73:7 73:14,17 77:5 | reaffirm 22:16 | recommendation | 126:20 127:14,16 | 128:25 129:10,13 |
| 97:21 98:8 99:5 | 35:24 | 8:10 134:12 | 127:17,25 | 132:1,10,13,14 |
| | real 48:3 | 136:12 | released 126:6,9,10 | 133:17,19,23 |
| 104:24 108:15 | realistic 39:15 | recommendations | relevant 59:12 69:2 | Reporter 4:22,23 |
| 117:9 118:10 | realize 19:17 22:23 | 60:25 | 98:23 | 4:23 5:1 |
| 120:8 123:4 | really 10:3 20:18 | reconfirm 22:14 | relieved 18:5 | reports 8:7 20:4,21 |
| 125:12 139:3 | 27:8 32:6 33:13 | record 11:8,16 | religion 68:2,13,16 | 29:13 31:22 41:1 |
| questions 32:18 | 35:17 38:13 41:10 | 13:24 18:11 20:1 | 73:4 86:4 87:11 | 41:2,4 47:11 |
| 70:21 84:16 88:25 | 42:13 66:24 67:3 | 23:14 28:17 42:11 | 88:1 91:21 92:16 | 49:17 67:5 68:24 |
| 95:10 110:20 | 69:23 75:25 77:3 | 46:21 59:4,4 | 92:21 94:4 | 76:13 |
| 112:8,9 127:11 | 79:20 80:3,11 | 66:22 113:16 | religions 86:2 | represent 111:13 |
| 130:18 133:20 | 85:22 86:4,6 92:3 | 121:11 135:23 | religious 54:17 | 112:3 117:18 |
| 138:3 | 94:18 104:13 | 136:5 | 85:23 88:25 | representation |
| quick 93:16 108:15 | 114:20 123:16 | references 25:23 | 117:21 | 19:6 132:17 |
| quickie 55:5 | 137:13 | 42:1 45:18 | remain 42:4 | representative |
| quickly 82:4 | realm 60:20 | reflect 36:12 79:15 | remained 44:18 | 123:5 |
| quit 45:14 | reappointed 22:18 | 79:16 | remedy 106:1,11 | Republican 29:10 |
| quite 59:13 71:5 | reappointment | reflected 22:15 | remember 106:5 | 42:4,4 |
| 114:20 | 132:24 | 36:20 136:5 | remembers 20:10 | request 18:10 |
| quorum 4:16 | reason 21:16,18 | reflection 135:24 | remind 42:3 134:3 | 29:20 36:23 44:14 |
| quotes 98:11 | 52:17 91:25 | reflects 16:9 | repeal 30:21,24 | requested 100:11 |
| R | 104:23 129:24 | regard 12:11,13 | rephrase 128:3 | 111:8,16 129:25 |
| $\frac{\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{R} 1:10\ 51:22}$ | reasonable 50:22 | 60:7 65:5 68:10 | replicas 45:25 | requests 31:23 |
| | 121:21 128:4 | 72:5 87:18 117:10 | replies 20:12 | 81:12 |
| race 34:20 62:23 | reasonably 127:17 | regarding 7:13 | reply 20:14,18 | require 74:8 |
| 68:2 85:17,17 | reasons 66:19,23 | 29:5 33:3 44:11 | report 3:6,9,12,13 | required 29:18 |
| radius 119:4 | 69:6 88:18 112:20 | 95:12 129:8 138:3 | 5:15,18 18:21,23 | requires 36:21,23 |
| raise 8:24 47:16 | 113:1 137:13 | regardless 51:22 | 19:25 22:4 27:21 | 37:11 |
| 80:22 93:5 100:19 | rebuttal 18:25 | regression 111:4 | 29:4 31:17,19,25 | rescheduling |
| raised 90:20 91:4 | 20:12,13 21:23 | 116:8 | 33:23 34:2,8,11 | 109:15 |
| 96:9 121:18 | rebuttals 18:17 | regret 137:13 | 34:14 38:25 39:9 | research 60:24 |
| RAMEY-YORK | 40:22 129:3 134:3 | regs 29:7 | 40:19,23 41:13 | 111:1 |
| 2:6 | | | | |
| | • | • | • | • |

| | I | 1 | 1 | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| reservation 21:22 | 134:22 136:19 | 33:17 | 117:16,17,18,19 | seen 18:8 81:3 |
| resolution 32:2 | Re-chartering 3:14 | | 117:24 118:4,18 | 90:14 96:6 |
| resolve 111:20 | 3:15 134:7 136:6 | S | 118:19 119:3,18 | select 45:6 74:25 |
| resolved 133:22 | rich 94:2,5 | S 136:15 | 120:3,6,10 121:17 | 117:16 |
| resort 20:5,16 | ridicule 64:9,11 | SAC 3:14,15 134:7 | 121:20 122:20,23 | selection 14:3 |
| resource 50:12 | 66:5 | 136:6 | 123:4,19 124:1 | selective 117:19,19 |
| resources 52:25 | right 10:12 15:6 | sacrifices 74:20 | 125:7 | send 82:20 |
| 56:6,9 91:5,14 | 19:12 22:20 27:24 | SACs 36:12 60:17 | school's 111:17 | seniority 40:17 |
| 124:10 | 35:9 36:21 40:16 | sample 117:7 118:1 | Schultz 134:19 | 52:3 |
| respect 40:4 50:25 | 41:21 43:15 52:7 | 120:2,4,8 121:21 | scope 52:9 64:13 | sense 29:24 46:16 |
| 51:19 77:2 89:25 | 56:10 66:18 71:9 | 121:25 122:4 | 66:2 72:16,17,19 | 64:5,12,23 82:19 |
| 90:1 105:22 | 76:15 78:6 79:25 | 123:3 | 73:10,14,20 78:5 | 88:24 89:23 115:3 |
| respected 49:16 | 96:15 97:6 102:22 | sank 118:14 | 78:6 79:6 86:7,7 | sent 42:21 115:1 |
| respectfully 61:13 | 105:7 107:7 | SAT 111:6 112:2 | 90:1,13 | September 32:10 |
| respects 18:4,6 | 108:10 124:14 | satisfaction 58:11 | scores 111:7 112:2 | 49:1 82:2 |
| respond 71:1 83:16 | 127:1 131:18 | satisfy 84:15 | scrambling 74:18 | serious 14:15 50:1 |
| 83:17 | 132:3,6 133:3 | saw 57:5 74:11 | scrupulous 28:20 | 59:12 72:3 |
| response 5:24 6:14 | rights 1:1 4:5 18:12 | 121:5 | seat 17:22 | serve 14:1 26:2 |
| 19:16 24:20 30:23 | 26:14,19 27:16 | saying 52:2 57:13 | second 5:21,22 | 134:23 136:20 |
| 43:4 57:21 60:12 | 34:24 65:9 71:13 | 57:16 64:4 74:23 | 6:18 8:22 14:20 | served 17:9 |
| 60:17 77:13 84:17 | 77:2 | 77:8 80:7 84:20 | 15:15,24 16:24 | Services 34:25 |
| 92:25 93:2 95:3,5 | rise 59:14 65:16 | 84:24 92:22 94:25 | 17:24 31:25 36:2 | serving 33:7 |
| 101:9,11 125:21 | 67:16 71:14 | 101:6 118:3 | 45:18 54:15,15 | session 32:17 33:5 |
| 127:12 128:14 | risk 123:12 | 119:17 125:15,18 | 58:15,17 77:24 | 110:18,23 115:16 |
| 133:7 135:5,10 | road 68:7 | 128:8,11 133:9 | 78:1 89:17 90:11 | set 14:12 109:19,23 |
| 137:2,8 138:5,11 | Robert 134:18 | 135:7 137:5 138:8 | 92:9 95:16,17 | 118:20 |
| 138:24 139:21 | ROBERTA 1:10 | says 11:18 53:11 | 96:14 114:6,8 | sets 115:1 116:23 |
| responses 60:16 | Robert's 58:8 | 126:25 | 126:12 129:13,14 | setting 30:19 94:12 |
| responsible 69:5 | robust 116:2 | scales 111:22 | 135:2,3 136:24,25 | settlement 105:25 |
| rest 23:23 111:24 | Rogers 136:17 | schedule 48:2 | 137:25 138:1,2 | sex 3:11 68:2 110:5 |
| 122:1 | role 12:24 14:14 | 76:19 79:1 80:13 | 139:12,13,14 | 110:8 122:11 |
| restate 61:2 | 18:14 26:17 34:18 | 102:11 136:2 | seconded 18:1 59:9 | 125:13 |
| restrictions 8:12 | 98:10,14 | SCHMAUCH 2:12 | 92:21 94:22 101:2 | sexual 34:21 62:23 |
| restructured 8:6 | rolling 44:19 | scholars 116:19 | seconder 89:15,16 | 96:3,20 97:2 98:2 |
| results 8:2 113:17 | room 1:7 76:5 | 126:6,10 | Secondly 29:3 | sex-specific 112:2 |
| 117:7 119:6 | 103:15,20 120:21 | school 48:24 49:21 | seconds 55:17,17 | shared 84:8 |
| return 111:17 | 120:25 121:5 | 50:15 67:12,13,14 | section 8:21 | sharing 9:1 |
| Rev 136:16 | rote 30:25 | 67:15 71:8 72:13 | see 9:22 15:20,21 | shed 99:8 |
| review 46:13 71:12 | rounds 123:18 | 72:14 96:12,13 | 15:22 50:6 70:16 | shells 38:12 |
| 74:21 123:22 | RUDERT 2:7 | 111:14,21 112:25 | 80:20 91:20,23 | short 41:21 80:11 |
| reviewed 45:24 | rule 18:19 19:1 | 112:25 121:18 | 96:3 105:7 106:10 | 89:21 92:8 127:22 |
| reviews 75:20 | 20:4,8 | 122:16,16 | 107:19 112:24 | short-circuit 47:17 |
| revised 6:16 | rules 15:25 16:3 | schools 34:18 52:19 | 119:19 120:24 | showing 111:25 |
| rewritten 79:15,16 | 18:16 30:20,21 | 52:20 59:16 65:13 | 121:9 126:18 | shutdown 29:19 |
| re-charter 134:9 | 58:9 | 87:24 110:24 | seek 26:24 50:25 | sick 29:14 76:14 |
| 136:8,9 | run 7:12 | 111:2,24,24 112:4 | 127:19 | side 15:17 16:18 |
| re-chartered | running 13:2 15:14 | 112:5,19 113:1 | seeking 117:17 | 20:23 42:2 129:24 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| D | 1 |
|----------|-----|
| Page | 15/ |
| | |

| | | 1 | 1 | I |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| sign 80:5 | sorry 15:11,12 34:4 | 11:19,20 12:15,16 | start 8:16 9:4 29:3 | stay 131:5 |
| significance 99:13 | 62:3 69:14 95:20 | 16:4,12,16,16 | 45:16 64:17 76:5 | step 37:13 73:19 |
| 124:3 | 101:18 106:12 | 17:5 26:21 27:21 | 115:16 | 75:18 111:19 |
| significant 40:23 | 108:14 126:5 | 27:23 28:10,14,18 | started 36:18 37:19 | Stephanie 134:19 |
| 117:8 | 135:18 138:14 | 28:23 29:2,6,10 | 118:17 | stepped 33:14 |
| significantly 57:3 | 139:1 | 29:16,25 30:4,14 | starting 4:5 | stepping 33:8 |
| signify 57:13,16 | sort 12:16 30:25 | 30:17 31:1,7,12 | starts 25:12 | steps 69:2 |
| 77:8 84:20,23 | 67:17,19 113:22 | 31:15,17,18 33:7 | state 3:14 66:21 | stewardship 25:15 |
| 92:22 94:24 101:5 | 115:17 123:25 | 33:13 36:23 37:13 | 67:6,7 74:12 | 25:18 |
| 125:15,18 128:7 | 124:3,4 | 38:11,14 41:1,2 | 91:19 121:11 | stop 108:24 123:11 |
| 128:11 133:9 | sorts 111:10 | 42:13,21 43:11,18 | 133:25 134:6,9 | stopped 23:23,24 |
| 135:7 137:4 138:7 | sounds 57:2 | 43:23,23,24 44:25 | 136:8,9 | streamline 110:16 |
| Sikhs 86:2 | source 124:11 | 46:22 48:4,6,17 | stated 23:21 91:20 | Street 1:7 4:8 |
| silly 125:7 | sources 16:7 | 48:17 49:15,25 | statement 18:25 | strict 75:16 |
| similar 61:22 66:11 | South 123:9 | 50:11 52:13,13 | 19:9,14 20:7,15 | strong 7:10 27:1 |
| 66:11 | space 46:2 | 55:13 56:9,10 | 20:19 21:23,25 | 116:11 132:7 |
| similarly 74:20 | span 53:3 | 61:5 62:15 65:3 | 22:18 129:9,12,17 | strongly 40:1 131:3 |
| 76:11 | spare 33:1 | 73:7,14,22,25 | 130:21 131:13 | structure 46:23 |
| simply 55:11 106:9 | speak 21:2 42:15 | 74:4,9,17 76:7 | 132:25 | student 34:17 58:5 |
| 131:23 136:1 | 46:8 88:5,11 91:8 | 77:22 79:21 82:6 | statements 11:7 | 69:7 |
| single 75:18 | 123:15 | 82:11,18 83:2,3 | 12:12 18:17,22,24 | students 34:20 |
| situation 51:3 | speakers 104:12 | 83:15,20 84:4 | 20:11 21:23,24 | 63:22 71:8,9 |
| 76:12 106:11 | speaking 20:23,23 | 91:5 93:14,18 | 22:3 39:22 40:22 | 85:19,22 87:20 |
| 132:17 | 21:1 32:25 65:22 | 94:16 97:5,13 | 129:3 130:1 131:2 | 88:12 90:18 94:3 |
| six 40:10,17 53:3 | 101:24 108:24 | 101:19 102:19,24 | 131:24 132:14 | 94:23 99:25 |
| 61:7 73:23 103:8 | special 9:16 15:2 | 103:12 104:3,6 | states 11:25 14:1 | student-on-student |
| 109:17 | 22:16 91:8,11 | 105:19 106:15,25 | 60:17 | 59:18 |
| six-month 103:18 | 92:18 111:18 | 107:5,10,16 108:3 | statistical 72:12 | studied 53:9 |
| size 117:7 118:1 | specific 41:6 | 108:20,21 109:6 | 115:23 117:14 | 119:18 |
| 120:2,8 123:3 | specifically 60:12 | 109:11 110:9,14 | statistician 117:23 | studies 59:24 |
| sizeable 106:7 | 91:19,20,23 95:23 | 111:20,24 120:24 | 118:7 | study 53:12 68:12 |
| skeletal 40:25 | 123:7 | 122:22 124:2,10 | statisticians 115:2 | 69:1 86:2 91:17 |
| 45:23 46:3 47:8 | spectrum 16:8 | 130:3 134:2,12,25 | status 62:24 85:18 | 93:8 97:2 114:5 |
| 48:14 49:22 | speculation 22:9,11 | 136:12,22 139:6 | 110:13,22 | 117:6,10 119:15 |
| slight 93:10 | speech 71:15 | staffing 33:4 | statute 11:17 67:2 | 119:19,20,25 |
| small 42:1 50:8 | spelled 91:23 | staff's 15:16 110:2 | 67:25 68:2,4 90:5 | 120:13,13 122:11 |
| 114:20 117:7,25 | spend 11:21 | 124:7 | 91:19 98:9 99:6 | 122:14 124:21 |
| Snyder 2:7 104:15 | spite 80:6 | staff-to-commiss | statutes 60:19 | 126:10 |
| sole 8:1 | split 81:8 | 8:14 | 95:12 | studying 67:3 |
| somebody 6:4 | spoke 44:15 | Stafman 136:17 | statutory 3:9 5:15 | stuff 47:4,4 54:25 |
| 14:25 43:1 137:14 | spoken 32:18 | stage 94:12 116:2 | 5:17 12:24 18:21 | 69:4 |
| somewhat 61:22 | sponsor 87:3 | stand 111:23 | 24:17 33:23 34:7 | stupid 95:21 |
| soon 18:7 75:25 | staff 1:14 2:1 3:4,6 | 116:16 | 38:25 39:8 40:19 | subcommittee 8:6 |
| 76:5 | 4:17,19 6:24 7:4,7 | standards 65:19 | 47:11 50:5,21 | 44:17 |
| soon-to-be-Chair | 7:11,14,15,18,19 | stands 43:20,22 | 58:6 60:8 61:12 | subcommittees 8:4 |
| 21:9 | 7:21,22,23,24,24 | 73:9 | 61:15 72:7 77:8 | 8:10 81:5,6,9 |
| sophisticated 98:18 | 8:1,2,9,20 10:17 | Stanley 134:18 | 104:25 105:9 | subject 16:17 49:8 |
| | | | l | l |

| | | | | Page 150 |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| 91:12 99:24 | 48:11 56:16 58:23 | 35:13 45:23 49:12 | Thernstrom 1:8,9 | 15:20,24 16:15,21 |
| 100:22 110:25 | 62:19 64:7 65:20 | 58:21 69:23 75:8 | 4:3,10,21,25 5:4 | 17:2 18:13,14 |
| subjective 128:5 | 65:21,24 66:1 | 78:6,7 83:1 90:11 | 5:20,23,25 6:3,10 | 19:2,9 20:5 21:4,6 |
| subjects 48:9 75:11 | 78:18 83:11 89:21 | 97:16 109:12,20 | | 22:1,10,15 23:18 |
| 0 | | , | 6:15,19,21,25 9:3 | |
| submit 18:24 130:7 | 93:17 96:11 | talked 34:6 | 9:8,18,24 10:9,15 | 24:1,8,13 26:11 |
| 130:21 131:10 | 104:14 107:4,9 | talking 21:20 43:21 | 10:24 11:3,10,14 | 27:11 39:10 40:2 |
| 132:25 | 112:17 122:8 | 51:8 52:18,19 | 13:10,14 14:8,19 | 41:10,16 45:13,14 |
| submitted 32:4 | 131:17 | 57:6 61:25 62:20 | 15:7,11 17:13,25 | 45:19 46:3,4,13 |
| 43:25 | surprised 54:10 | 64:6 67:17 106:19 | 19:15 22:5,23 | 47:21 49:25 50:5 |
| subpoena 113:12 | surrebuttal 18:18 | talks 90:5 | 23:3,8,13,20 | 50:15,16,16 51:8 |
| 117:12 121:11 | 19:18,23 20:16 | Targeted 34:20 | 24:18,21,24 25:2 | 51:10 52:11,13,15 |
| subpoenas 74:15 | 21:3,4,10,21 22:7 | tasks 33:11 | 25:5,11 40:18 | 53:1,8,15 54:19 |
| subset 123:8 | 22:13,22 | taunting 70:8,11 | 41:25 44:23 57:25 | 54:23 55:1,22,23 |
| substance 48:4 | surrebuttals 20:5 | teachers 95:25 | 59:1 72:10,11,23 | 56:4,18 57:8 |
| substantive 29:12 | 20:20 23:1,6,22 | telephone 79:18 | 73:1,4 74:2 77:17 | 59:12 62:10 66:9 |
| 58:9 | surrounding 123:5 | tell 31:20 40:5,19 | 79:23,24 80:25 | 67:11 68:14,18,24 |
| substitute 83:11 | survey 74:12 75:6 | 79:6 80:18 97:20 | 82:16 83:13,14,22 | 69:10,21,24 70:4 |
| sufficient 91:7 | sur-reply 19:1 | 120:22 123:8 | 84:2 85:6 88:8,9 | 70:8,24 71:3 72:1 |
| suggest 5:5 11:16 | suspect 21:12,13 | 125:4 | 88:23 89:5,13,17 | 72:6 75:5,14 |
| 59:17 61:13 75:1 | 39:25 88:10 | telling 52:5 | 95:20 96:5,11 | 78:25 79:8 80:10 |
| 99:17 108:1 | suspend 34:9 114:5 | temporarily 4:11 | 103:22 104:1 | 80:19 81:7 82:6 |
| 127:24 | 125:13 | ten 40:18 47:12 | 106:13,14,21,23 | 82:21 83:12,25 |
| suggested 60:15 | suspended 42:23 | 113:20,21 | 107:7 108:10 | 84:15 86:7,8,22 |
| 68:19 74:12 87:17 | 44:13,14 116:10 | tend 29:11 118:7,8 | 109:3,9,14,24 | 88:17 89:23 90:6 |
| suggesting 41:6 | swap 107:12 | tens 52:19 | 110:4 118:11 | 91:25 92:8,11 |
| 107:13 124:7 | swear 113:21 127:8 | tenure 54:18 | 125:25 128:18 | 96:9 97:24 98:16 |
| suggestion 46:17 | switch 25:3 | terms 22:2 52:8,10 | 129:19 130:18,19 | 100:23 101:21,22 |
| suggestions 32:14 | switched 42:10 | 56:11 61:24 66:1 | 130:20,23 131:1,6 | 102:4 103:11,20 |
| suitable 66:24 | | 75:20 88:11 94:9 | 134:14 135:16 | 104:18 105:14,16 |
| sum 112:19 | T | 94:11 102:21 | 137:17 138:13,20 | 105:17,21,23 |
| summary 115:25 | T 134:19 | 105:8 | 139:2,8 | 106:8 107:2 110:1 |
| summer 38:19 | tab 31:25 | terrible 116:13 | thing 9:9 15:24 | 114:15,17,18 |
| superfluous 89:22 | table 3:1 27:3,6 | terribly 15:12 | 46:10 70:2 75:7 | 115:15 117:21 |
| superior 46:25 | 35:21 41:15 42:2 | testify 96:1 108:19 | 75:20 84:7 96:25 | 118:1,7,18,19 |
| 51:6 | 44:22 51:13 | testimony 96:4 | 108:11 110:3 | 119:4,13,18 120:1 |
| supplemental | tables 111:25 | 121:12 | 119:9 123:1 | 120:8 121:23 |
| 84:12 | take 5:6 9:15,19 | thank 10:12 15:12 | things 7:6 15:14 | 122:2,12,12,13,25 |
| support 7:10 16:20 | 24:2 26:15 30:7,9 | 17:13 25:1,9 | 27:25 39:23 40:15 | 123:3 124:8,11 |
| 18:16 19:17,19 | 41:23 43:9 51:13 | 27:14,17,24 28:22 | 52:5 60:6 64:24 | 126:23 127:3,18 |
| 25:22 26:5 61:14 | 63:22 64:15 67:15 | 28:22 29:1 31:5 | 65:16,25 71:14 | 127:23 131:19 |
| 129:22 133:14 | 73:19 83:21 108:5 | 33:12,18,20 43:19 | 72:12 74:6,15 | 132:8 |
| supported 53:14 | 110:20 121:8,9 | 45:10 55:25 61:18 | 78:17,22 82:12 | thinking 70:4 |
| supposed 38:14 | 123:23 | 110:11 112:6,15 | 87:16 90:5 91:22 | 98:14 |
| 42:22 43:22 46:11 | taken 32:10 69:2 | 117:3 118:12,23 | 96:19 104:8 | thinks 79:20 |
| sure 14:10 26:23 | takes 40:20 43:3 | 122:6 139:9,23 | 108:16 114:5 | third 28:2 46:10 |
| 27:9 33:17 35:12 | 72:13 | thanks 14:8 17:14 | think 10:10 11:21 | 92:10 111:14 |
| 41:14 43:8 48:7 | talk 15:23 19:3 | that's 83:11 | 14:16,23 15:14,17 | Thirty 55:17 |
| | | | ,,_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | |
| | I | 1 | I | I |

| | | | | 3 |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Thomas 134:18 | 108:24 116:13 | 10:18 27:22,24 | totally 16:15,20 | two-week 48:21 |
| thought 21:14 23:9 | 121:14 126:11 | 28:11,15,19,24 | 94:18 | type 49:9 63:21 |
| 36:15 39:9 53:9 | 129:5 130:8,12 | 29:3 30:1,18 31:8 | touching 64:2,2 | 75:7,20 |
| 74:11 80:21 87:15 | 132:1,4,12,25 | 31:13,19 33:20 | traditional 113:22 | types 30:24 65:19 |
| 91:14 | timeline 56:15 | 34:2 43:19 45:1 | transition 17:3 | 67:25 95:10 |
| thoughtful 56:1 | 102:14,22 107:23 | 48:7 62:16 74:1,5 | 33:15 | |
| thoughts 9:7,7 | timely 33:18 50:15 | 83:4 84:5 93:15 | travesty 114:17 | U |
| 12:11 83:10 | times 36:6 | 93:19 94:17 97:6 | treat 75:12 | ultimately 95:14 |
| thousands 52:20 | timing 18:23 | 97:14 102:20,25 | treating 86:9 | ultra 9:1 12:24 |
| 68:23 117:25 | 129:23 | 104:4,7 107:17 | treatises 59:25 | 21:23 |
| threat 20:6 | Timothy 136:15 | 108:22 109:7,12 | trees 43:1 | unanimous 6:4,22 |
| threatens 63:16 | TinaLOUISE 2:5 | 130:4 134:3 139:7 | trial 28:5 | 24:25 139:22 |
| threats 63:23 64:4 | tinkering 82:4 | top 103:22,24 | trick 10:5,10,16 | unanimously 26:5 |
| 64:8 66:4 69:18 | tiny 20:17 | 128:24 | 11:4 | 93:3 95:6 101:13 |
| 70:10 96:3 | title 3:11 34:16 | topic 5:15,18 34:6 | tried 123:20 | uncompensated |
| three 18:18,24 33:7 | 75:7 98:24 107:20 | 34:11 35:17,25 | trigger 104:13 | 134:23 136:20 |
| 36:10,17 37:24 | 107:22,23 110:5,8 | 36:4,10 37:4,7,21 | 109:1 | Uncovering 88:20 |
| 39:8 51:25 52:14 | 123:6,7 125:13 | 38:1,4,10,25 39:2 | trivialize 51:9 | undercut 7:22 |
| 54:9 56:19 62:21 | titled 34:23 | 39:7,12,16 40:1,5 | true 45:5 61:9 | 16:14 |
| 73:6 76:11 82:25 | tittle 37:23 47:19 | 40:9 41:6,9,9,12 | 88:24 119:3 | understaffing 41:3 |
| 83:2,10,14,15,15 | Titus 1:13 4:14 | 45:7 48:3,21 | truncate 105:20 | understand 11:10 |
| 83:17 85:13,16 | 15:13 17:23 19:13 | 49:13 50:6,13,15 | truncated 78:25 | 14:10 18:21 26:7 |
| 86:13,14 110:24 | 19:16 20:22 34:16 | 50:17 53:1,8,8,10 | 80:13 | 42:12,14 43:5 |
| 111:23 130:21 | 39:18 45:9,10 | 53:10,24 54:12,12 | truncating 74:21 | 50:24 54:7 64:25 |
| three-page 45:1 | 46:7 47:23 56:14 | 55:22,22 56:5,8 | try 16:13 30:24 | 65:10,14 72:7 |
| threshold 74:6 | 57:25 58:17 59:10 | 56:12,13,20 58:6 | 38:2 39:13 47:21 | 83:19 94:14 107:2 |
| thrilled 14:2,25 | 77:16 78:1 85:5 | 58:6 59:12,22 | 72:21,24 110:21 | 113:10 115:4,8 |
| throw 125:10 | 90:11,21 91:7,9 | 60:8,23 61:9,14 | 115:3 | 129:2,5 133:4 |
| throwing 42:16 | 92:17 93:7,12 | 62:17 66:10,12,20 | trying 35:16 52:24 | understanding |
| tied 67:1 | 108:14,15 112:11 | 66:22 67:20 69:7 | 64:5,12 66:2 | 12:17 44:18 50:11 |
| tightly 79:12 | 112:13,14,18 | 72:7 73:15 74:7,8 | 90:12 105:4,10 | 76:23 80:13 |
| TIM 2:11 10:13 | 113:18,24 118:3 | 74:10,18 75:3,10 | turn 5:15 12:9 13:6 | 107:10 113:20 |
| time 7:2 8:16,23,24 | 122:7,9,10 124:6 | 76:6,22 77:1 79:1 | 17:18 32:24 59:1 | 133:20 |
| 11:21 16:1 17:9 | 125:13,25 126:15 | 92:19 96:20 100:1 | Twenty-nine 55:18 | understood 62:7 |
| 17:10,16 20:21 | 126:22 128:18 | 100:8 103:21 | 55:19 | 90:3 136:4 |
| 22:25 29:16,19 | 129:14 130:14 | 104:25 105:3,13 | two 15:14 27:25 | undertake 35:5 |
| 36:8,13 37:8,18 | 131:9 135:3,16 | 105:17,22 107:6 | 29:10 33:2,7 | 60:23 65:7 |
| 40:20 41:21 43:3 | 136:25 137:17 | 108:2,2,13 124:16 | 34:13 36:10 37:3 | undertaken 50:17 |
| 44:13 46:2 49:4 | 138:1,19 | topics 3:9 33:23 | 37:4,5 39:2,8 | 54:4 71:7 99:12 |
| 49:16 50:1,18,22 | today 25:17 27:11 | 34:12 36:24 40:8 | 44:20 45:8,22 | 99:16 |
| 54:21 60:9 68:18 | 27:13 45:7,23 | 42:16 45:17,22 | 48:14 49:20 51:25 | undertaking 40:14 |
| 70:6 73:19 75:12 | 75:23 79:16 81:20 | 46:12 48:23 49:5 | 59:18,20 60:9 | 64:14 65:1 |
| 76:20 80:12,22 | 94:18 102:7 | 50:23 54:11 58:10 | 61:21 66:18 68:25 | underway 108:16 |
| 81:18 82:1 83:7 | 103:11 105:8,12 | 64:18 68:19,25 | 72:15 74:6 76:11 | unearthed 60:18 |
| 91:4,14 92:8 98:4 | 107:6 | 76:16 99:24 | 86:12 93:4 105:21 | unfamiliar 64:16 |
| 101:20 102:8 | Todd 1:11 89:7 | 101:16 103:16 | 108:22 111:1 | unfolds 90:19 |
| 103:18 107:24 | Tolhurst 1:14 4:20 | topic(s) 3:10 103:3 | 112:4 | unfortunately 84:1 |
| | | | | |
| · | | | | |

| unhelpful 113:8 | 117:18 | 63:12,16,20 65:12 | want 7:9 8:11 11:6 | way 12:21 13:18,20 |
|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| unique 55:2 | various 8:5 41:11 | 66:20 69:7,18,18 | 12:10 14:7,20 | 14:5,8 22:6,14 |
| United 11:25 14:1 | 44:9,9 60:16 | 69:20,21,22 70:1 | 15:22 18:2,11 | 28:20 36:20 37:23 |
| universe 64:18 | 111:21 112:20 | 70:5,7,10,10,18 | 19:3,9 20:20,21 | 47:2,10 49:19 |
| unpack 63:20 | 129:23 | 70:18 72:13 75:22 | 21:4,15 22:14,16 | 51:21 52:5,6,7 |
| unprecedented | varying 65:12 | 77:7 85:17 86:3 | 23:19 24:4 25:14 | 54:11 58:12 59:22 |
| 80:4 | Vegas 123:10 | 87:11 90:7,17 | 27:9,25 29:5,14 | 60:11 63:12 70:23 |
| unreasonably | vehemently 49:12 | 94:3 96:10 | 30:23 31:9,22 | 73:18 75:10,11 |
| 100:25 | verification 123:25 | violent 70:19 | 33:12 35:15,18 | 78:13 79:8 81:6 |
| unusual 49:11 | version 62:22 | vires 9:1 12:24 | 39:21 40:15 41:7 | 82:15,21 83:1 |
| unwillingness | VI 3:16 137:21 | 21:23 | 41:7 42:3,7,11 | 84:11 86:10,14 |
| 124:12 | Vice 1:8,9 3:4 4:10 | vote 5:6,7,16 6:4,7 | 43:8 44:7 47:18 | 89:3 96:15 98:25 |
| upcoming 18:20 | 6:24 9:14 11:16 | 6:11,22 7:12 8:8 | 48:24 49:1,10,19 | 101:24 114:25 |
| 32:25 | 12:11 14:8 24:24 | 9:12 10:4 11:24 | 53:9 56:1,8 62:18 | 115:7 116:18 |
| update 3:7 33:22 | 25:2,5,11,14 39:4 | 14:4,18 15:8 16:9 | 63:20 66:13,18,21 | 120:20 124:7 |
| 110:13 112:15 | 40:17 41:24,25 | 16:9 22:15 24:2 | 75:1,3,8,11 76:4 | ways 14:11 74:12 |
| 115:24 | 44:23 45:13 51:24 | 24:22,25 29:9 | 89:9,24 90:6 91:1 | weaker 55:20 |
| urge 52:1 76:25 | 59:1 71:1 72:10 | 30:9,19,21 37:1 | 91:8,20 94:19 | week 18:23,25 |
| urgent 59:13 | 72:11,23 73:1,4 | 38:9 39:5 42:23 | 97:6,7 105:19 | 32:22 42:23 47:15 |
| USDA 75:21 105:1 | 74:2 77:16 78:20 | 46:23 50:4,4 | 107:4,9,22 109:22 | 60:15 |
| 106:1,10 108:12 | 78:21 79:23,24 | 51:17 53:5 56:17 | 109:22 113:1 | weeks 14:22 18:24 |
| use 19:23 20:9 | 80:25 82:16 83:14 | 57:11 58:12 74:17 | 114:3 115:13,13 | 44:20 45:8 47:14 |
| 23:19 66:15 | 83:22 84:2 88:8,9 | 76:2 77:5,15 | 115:17 116:15,20 | 49:20 82:21 |
| 102:21 111:3,9 | 88:23 89:5,13,17 | 78:10 84:19 85:8 | 116:24 118:20 | weigh 7:9 51:13 |
| 116:3,19 | 95:19,20 96:5,11 | 89:9 92:15,19 | 119:15,24,25 | 107:19 |
| useful 54:24 88:21 | 103:22 104:1 | 93:15 99:15,18 | 122:11 123:2,12 | weighed 50:10 |
| 114:19 119:9,21 | 106:12,14,21,23 | 101:4 106:20 | 123:15 124:7,13 | weird 12:20 |
| 125:9 | 107:7 108:10 | 107:6 120:17 | 130:21 | welcome 15:1 |
| usual 80:14 | 109:3,9,14,24 | 124:15,17 127:11 | wanted 13:4 14:17 | 17:15 47:2 |
| usually 37:12 76:7 | 110:4 118:9,11 | 128:6 135:15 | 15:19 23:22 31:13 | well-served 102:6,7 |
| utilized 117:11 | 125:25 128:18 | 137:4,14,16 138:6 | 35:12 39:17 51:12 | well-taken 13:22 |
| U.S 1:1 4:4 27:16 | 129:19 130:18,20 | 138:18 139:22 | 53:6 55:15 73:13 | 51:4 |
| 34:18 71:13 | 130:23 131:1,6 | voted 38:6,8 40:2 | 78:4 91:12 103:14 | went 28:20 32:23 |
| | 134:14 138:13 | 47:1 51:20 57:24 | 103:19 105:12 | 36:7 59:3,4 74:24 |
| | 139:2,8 | 58:1 86:24 99:23 | 107:12 119:1 | 121:16 |
| V 3:14 134:6 | view 6:10 11:17 | 100:1,12 103:13 | 128:2 131:16 | West 123:9 |
| vacancies 33:15 | 50:3 63:21 73:23 | 109:16 128:17,17 | 132:25 | we'll 15:23 45:22 |
| validity 117:14 | 88:2 90:19 99:20 | 128:19 | wanting 32:20 | 51:17 52:17 92:16 |
| valuable 18:13 | 100:10,13,16,18 | votes 37:4 85:5 | wants 105:2 129:6 | 92:18 107:18 |
| 114:15 115:12 | views 57:4 | 125:23,24 | warlock 102:4 | 120:17 127:11 |
| 122:2 | VII 3:17 138:21 | voting 26:14 51:24 | Washington 1:7 | we're 4:5 10:7 13:8 |
| values 111:16 | violate 30:24 83:1 | 78:22 128:4 | 4:9 119:4,10,23 | 13:10 19:12 43:21 |
| VANESSA 2:8 | violations 26:14 | | 123:5 | 44:4 46:12 47:17 |
| variables 111:18 | violence 34:17 | W | wasn't 40:6 55:1,21 | 48:22 51:8 52:19 |
| varies 112:24 | 41:17 52:19 58:6 | wait 76:1 105:7 | 117:15 121:4 | 62:20 64:17,19 |
| 122:15 | 59:14,16,18 62:1 | waiting 13:9,11 | waste 116:13 | 74:7 76:12 87:9 |
| variety 33:10 66:23 | 62:6 63:1,1,3,8,11 | 16:2 43:5 | wasted 126:11 | 92:7,8 93:19 |
| | | | | |

| 103:16 110:7 | 114:19 116:10,12 | yield 55:16 114:11 | 2013 32:8 | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|---|
| 113:22 120:4,8 | workable 71:3 | you-all's 10:2 | 278 32:25 | |
| 125:6,11 130:8 | worked 14:9 20:7 | Jou un 5 10.2 | | |
| 133:1 | 81:18 | 0 | 3 | |
| we've 42:16 47:5 | working 17:1 26:20 | 01 8:22 | 3 8:21 | |
| 74:2 92:19 120:22 | 34:16 45:16 75:3 | 08 38:21,22 | 3/18 32:3 | |
| whichever 76:16 | 101:19 110:25 | | 30 48:22 55:17 | |
| whistle 113:23 | workload 104:19 | 1 | 30th 49:1 82:2 | |
| White 3:4 6:23 7:1 | workplace 3:12 | 1 111:15 122:20 | 31 3:5,6 | |
| 7:3,15 9:10,16 | 128:22,25 | 1-2 8:21 | 33 3:8,9 | |
| 10:6 15:4 17:19 | worries 122:24 | 1-6 36:21 | | |
| wide 117:18 | worth 54:24 68:21 | 1.6 46:10 | 5 | |
| WILLIAMSON | worthless 114:18 | 1:18 139:25 | 5 3:3 | |
| 2:8 | worthy 47:21 | 10:00 85:16 | 540 1:7 | |
| willing 12:5 79:19 | wouldn't 69:20 | 10:30 1:7 4:2,6 | 6 | |
| 106:16 | 70:16 76:9 113:22 | 100 119:10,22 | | |
| window 74:25 | wrapped 54:1 | 121:13,17 | 6 3:4 624 1:7 4:8 | |
| Wise 134:20 | write 46:24 97:17 | 100-mile 119:4 | 024 1.7 4.0 | |
| wisely 47:5 | 98:11 | 103 3:10 | 9 | |
| wish 54:19 69:7 | writing 76:8,9 | 11 1:5 3:16 22:24 | 9th 4:8 | |
| 84:10 88:18 | 98:17,20 | 137:21 11th 4:6 102:6 | 9-2 8:13,16 12:20 | |
| witch 80:18 102:2 | written 30:22 | 11th 4:6 103:6 | 94,000 106:4 | |
| withdraw 93:20 | 31:21 36:25 45:4 | 137:23,25 138:4 11:39 59:4 | , | |
| women 111:7 | 79:12 91:23 92:2 | 11:39 59:4 11:46 59:5 | | |
| wonder 106:15 | 121:12 | 11.40 39.5 110 3:11 | | |
| wonderful 14:17 | wrong 23:22 39:9 | 110 5.11 12:30 85:15 | | |
| wondering 10:25 | 50:6,13 121:19 | 12:30 83:15 128 3:12 | | |
| 61:24 117:5 | <u> </u> | 133 3:13 | | |
| word 9:15,19 10:2 | $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ 22:8 | 133 3:14,14 | | |
| 46:1,1 64:15 | XI 107:23 125:13 | 136 3:15 | | |
| 124:17 | AI 107.23 123.13 | 137 3:16 | | |
| words 17:15 51:16 | Y | 138 3:17 | | |
| 53:5 71:1 116:2 122:14,20 131:7 | Y 22:8 | 14 112:5 | | |
| work 8:3 17:2 | Yaki 22:17 130:11 | 15 122:20 | | |
| 26:11,12,13 27:12 | 132:20,24 | 15th 130:5,8 134:4 | | |
| 28:24 29:6 32:21 | year 8:4 34:8,10 | 18-19 117:18 | | |
| 33:9,17 34:10 | 36:13,19 37:19 | | | |
| 36:15 42:15,19,20 | 48:9 49:10,18 | 2 | | |
| 44:13,13 45:21 | 50:22 94:11 | 2 90:14,15 117:18 | | |
| 50:9,10 51:1 54:5 | 101:16 129:2 | 20 59:2 | | |
| 54:8 55:12,12 | years 22:24 36:10 | 2011 1:5 3:9,10,16 | | |
| 56:11 60:18 71:5 | 36:17 37:24 39:2 | 4:6 18:21 33:24 | | |
| 76:19,21 79:9,10 | 40:18 44:6,9 48:8 | 58:6 61:15 77:7 | | |
| 80:19 81:8 90:18 | 51:25 54:16 94:2 | 101:16 103:4,16 | | |
| 104:10 106:8 | 94:3 | 137:21,23,25 | | |
| 108:9,25 109:2 | yesterday 57:6 | 2012 32:3 34:10 | | |
| | 62:10 110:15 | 49:12,13 76:25 | | |
| | • | • | • | • |

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights

Before: n/a

Date: 03-11-11

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

near A ans f

Court Reporter

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 162