

U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

+ + + + +

COMMISSION MEETING

+ + + + +

FRIDAY

NOVEMBER 17, 2006

+ + + + +

WASHINGTON, D.C.

+ + + + +

The commission convened at 9:00 a.m. in room 550 of 624 9th St NW, Gerald Reynolds, Chairman, presiding.

Present:

GERALD A. REYNOLDS, Chairman
JENNIFER C. BRACERAS, Member
PETER N. KIRSANOW, Member
ARLAN D. MELENDEZ (via telephone), Member
ASHLEY L. TAYLOR, JR., Member
MICHAEL YAKI, Member

Staff Present:

KENNETH MARCUS, Staff Director
EMMA MONROIG, Solicitor
DAVID BLACKWOOD, General Counsel

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

I. APPROVAL OF AGENDA3

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF OCTOBER 13 MEETING ...4

III. ANNOUNCEMENTS5

IV. PROGRAM PLANNING6

Briefing Report Benefits of Diversity in
Elementary and Secondary Education

V. MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS75

Orange County Voter Harassment Letter

2007 Business Meeting and Briefing Calendar

VI. STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ISSUES.....101

Recharter Package for California State
Advisory Committee

VII. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS.....117

VIII. STAFF DIRECTOR'S REPORT.....118

IX. ADJOURN.....137

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(9:20 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I apologize for the late start. Is Commissioner Melendez on the line? Is anyone on the line?

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes, I'm here.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Very good. Okay. This meeting will come to order. This is a meeting of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at 624 9th Street, N.W., Room 540, Washington, D.C. All the commissioners are present, except for the Vice Chair, Commissioner Melendez is participating by telephone. We were previously scheduled to conduct a briefing today on Voting Rights in the Territories. Unfortunately, due to scheduling conflicts, we have had a low rate of acceptance from potential speakers. We will try again to conduct this briefing next year; however, today we will conduct only our regular monthly meeting. First item on the agenda is the approval of the agenda. Once we have a motion to approve the agenda, I suggest that we amend the agenda just to rearrange the order.

I. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

In any event, may I have a motion to approve the agenda?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So moved.

2 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is there a second?

3 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second.

4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I move to
5 change the order of the items to be considered so that
6 the Staff Director's Report will be the last item on
7 the agenda. Is there a second?

8 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second.

9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? All in
10 favor?

11 (Chorus of ayes.)

12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any in opposition?
13 Okay. The motion passes unanimously.

14 The second item is the Approval of the
15 Minutes of the October 13th, 2006 meeting.

16 **II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF OCTOBER 13 MEETING**

17 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: May I have a motion?

18 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So moved.

19 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is there a second?

20 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Second.

21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? All in
22 favor?

23 (Chorus of ayes.)

24 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any in opposition?
25 Any abstentions? The motion passes unanimously.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Next up, we have the Announcements for the
2 month of November.

3 **III. ANNOUNCEMENTS**

4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: November is National
5 American Indian and Alaska Native Heritage Month.
6 This month is dedicated to recognizing the inter-
7 tribal cultures, events, lifestyles, designs, and
8 achievements of American Indians and Alaska Natives.
9 The U.S. Commission on Civil Rights has marked this
10 observation since 1976, and on behalf of the
11 Commission, I urge all Americans to celebrate National
12 American Indian and Alaska Native Heritage Month by
13 participating in appropriate programs and activities.

14 Also, November marks the 22nd anniversary
15 of Fred Korematsu and Min Yasui, and Gordon Hirabashi,
16 their petition to overturn their World War II
17 convictions for violating curfew and the evacuation
18 orders directed at Americans of Japanese descent. It
19 is also the --

20 COMMISSIONER YAKI: The coram novis cases.

21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry?

22 COMMISSIONER YAKI: They were called the
23 coram novis cases.

24 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I guess we could have
25 a briefing on that alone, and have you as one of the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 panelists. It is also the 16th anniversary of the
2 signing by then President George H.W. Bush of a law
3 requiring the payment of \$20,000 to each surviving
4 Japanese American internee.

5 And, finally, November 29th marks the 30th
6 anniversary of the Individuals with Disabilities and
7 Education Act. IDEA mandates the provision to allow
8 students with disabilities to obtain a free
9 appropriately structured public education. This
10 anniversary reminds us of the importance of working
11 together to ensure that all children are provided with
12 educational opportunities that will enable them to
13 reach their full potential.

14 Next up, we have the Briefing Report on
15 the Benefits of Diversity in Elementary and Secondary
16 Education.

17 **IV. PROGRAM PLANNING**

18 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: On July 28th, 2006, the
19 Commission conducted a briefing on the impact of
20 racial and ethnic diversity in elementary and
21 secondary schools. The Commission directed staff to
22 prepare a briefing report after the briefing. The
23 Office of the Staff Director distributed the first
24 draft of this briefing report to the Commissioners on
25 September 29th, 2006, at which time we were asked for

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 our input with the hopes that OSD would incorporate
2 those comments and redistribute a proposed final draft
3 in time for a vote at the October 13th meeting.

4 Based on the request of some
5 commissioners, additional time was needed.
6 Consequently, at the October 13th meeting,
7 Commissioners agreed to have OSD distribute another
8 draft on October 20th; whereas, Commissioners' comments
9 were due to OSD on November 2nd. The Staff Director's
10 Office then turned around a proposed final draft
11 briefing report incorporating those comments on
12 November 9th for a vote at this business meeting.

13 It's now been three and a half months
14 since the briefing, and a month and a half since the
15 Commissioners were first provided with a draft of this
16 report. May I have a motion to approve the briefing
17 report sent to the Commissioners on November 9th, 2006?

18 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So moved.

19 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: A second?

20 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second.

21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? Oh, yes.

22 Why am I not surprised? Commissioner Yaki.

23 COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, you should not be
24 surprised. Are the staff who wrote this report
25 present?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Unfortunately, one of
2 the individuals who put in a lot of time called in
3 sick this morning.

4 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, that's
5 unfortunate, because I would like to ask questions
6 about --

7 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Who is that, by
8 the way?

9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Chris Byrnes.

10 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Some of the statements
11 in here, I'd like to know the source of many of the
12 changes that were made, and I would especially like to
13 know his familiarity with the rather voluminous
14 bibliography that was attached, because I believe that
15 there are statements made about certain of the studies
16 that do not comport with what their conclusions were,
17 other ones are all lumped together, and I'm not too
18 sure whether they should be lumped together in terms
19 of citations. And, again, I have no idea where some
20 of the changes came, especially in the findings which
21 are radically restructured in a way that would enable
22 me to get some idea about who participated, and why
23 certain changes were made.

24 In the absence of that, I cannot -- in the
25 absence of the author being here, I really believe I

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 cannot, in good conscience, vote on this in any way,
2 shape, or form, given the fact that this is our first
3 opportunity publicly to discuss this document, and to
4 ask questions that go toward the heart of the
5 justification for many of the findings, and to ask
6 whether or not aspects of the bibliography were,
7 indeed, read cobbled together, or just relied upon
8 from other citations in other works; which, for me,
9 goes to the whole issue of why I have objections to
10 the very nature of doing findings and recommendations
11 in briefing reports.

12 I recall when I first came here a year
13 ago, we had many discussions about the fact that we
14 wish to do items that had a much more raw nature about
15 the data and the research, that we would put it out
16 there. I remember the quote, "Put it out there on the
17 website and let people draw their own conclusions, or
18 use it as they would in proceedings before the
19 legislature, in Social Science, what have you." We
20 have diverged radically from those original
21 declarations, in that the briefings which I always
22 thought would be a good way to get issues out on the
23 table, to hear divergent points of view, to hear
24 discussion and dissension on topics, and let the
25 public, let the policy makers, let the researchers use

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that material, much as you would a hearing report in
2 the House of Representatives or the Senate, where
3 conclusions are not drawn, but the raw material is out
4 there for people to access and use. And when I looked
5 at - I don't want to jump too far ahead of myself -
6 when I looked at Commissioner Braceras' attempts to
7 put some structure to briefing reports, which I
8 greatly admire and commend her for doing that, it
9 still seems to me that it's like our dear late friend,
10 Ann Richards, used to say - you can put lipstick on a
11 cow and call it Susie, but it's still a pig.

12 This is an issue where the idea that we
13 can somehow from three hours of testimony, go to a
14 body of literature afterwards and create findings and
15 recommendations, I think is inconsistent with this.
16 It's a drain on staff resources. I would love to know
17 how much of our staff time is spent combing through
18 the one, two, three, four - seventy-odd, hundred-odd
19 citations in the bibliography, given that we already
20 are screaming about lack of staff resources for our
21 national reports, as it is. As you know, two meetings
22 ago we cut back on our national report, because we
23 don't have the kind of resources in which to do that.

24
25 So in the absence of Chris being here, I

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 understand he's a hardworking guy, respect him
2 greatly. I would have appreciated him being here to
3 answer these questions, which I believe go toward the
4 credibility and the changes in the report over time,
5 and in the absence of that, I can't vote on it.

6 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioner
7 Braceras.

8 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: This isn't
9 specifically about this report, but I just wanted to
10 raise, at this time, the issue of reforming the
11 process going forward. And just to note for the
12 record that as Commissioner Yaki said, I did submit to
13 all of you for discussion purposes, a draft of
14 proposed rules for briefing reports that I hope will
15 eliminate many of the concerns that Commissioner Yaki
16 has, and I share many of those concerns. And so,
17 while I realize that we cannot grind our work to a
18 halt while commissioners deliberate on new rules, and
19 come up with a new plan for briefing reports, I would
20 like just to be very clear that this will be the last
21 briefing report that I will vote for until we
22 implement, not necessarily my rules, but a system that
23 hopefully we can get buy-in on from the Democratic
24 members of the Commission, as well. Because I think
25 that's really important to our credibility going

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 forward, and I think that it's important to have
2 integrity in the process, and have all of the
3 commissioners feel comfortable with that process,
4 whatever it may turn out to be. So I hope that you'll
5 all carefully look at the draft I put together, and we
6 can maybe conference about it; and, ideally, bring it
7 up for debate and vote at the next meeting.

8 So with that in mind, I'm going to vote
9 for this report because a large amount of work went
10 into it, and I think it's a good report, but it will
11 be the last report I will support until we have some
12 better procedural rules in place.

13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I'd like to --

14 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: This is
15 Commissioner Melendez here.

16 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.

17 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes. Can you hear
18 me?

19 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.

20 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I just wanted to
21 say, I did submit some comments on this report. And I
22 appreciate Jennifer's working on this issue, because
23 it's really overdue and needed. But, again, what I
24 said in my comments was that I believe that we go
25 beyond the testimony, similar to the Native Hawaiians,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 where we interjected most of our own opinion about
2 staff, and I think we kind of see that here in this
3 report. So just based on the fact that we don't want
4 to seem biased to either of the presenters at that
5 time, I would hope that we could send in a report to
6 Congress that was basically without findings, similar
7 to what we did before. And, hopefully, they can make
8 up their own minds as to that testimony of all of the
9 presenters, so that's kind of my position until we can
10 actually get a better process in place, so I would
11 concur with Mr. Yaki that the findings are what I'm
12 having trouble with. We don't have the expertise as
13 far as Social Science review in our office, I don't
14 believe, or it hasn't been done, except for Chris
15 Byrnes maybe reviewing this. And I think we go beyond
16 what the people actually testified to, so that's my
17 opinion, and hopefully -- I would vote not to include
18 the findings, so thank you.

19 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Well, I'd like
20 to say that -- well, first of all, I'd like to commend
21 the staff. I think that this report is quite good. I
22 think that the staff put in a lot of time, and they
23 came up with a -- the product is good, in my humble
24 opinion. I find it interesting that we are spending
25 this much time talking about our procedures.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 So far, no one has identified any fatal
2 flaws with the document.

3 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: No, I --

4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, let me finish.
5 We're talking procedural issues, tactical issues. If
6 we can point to something in the document that is
7 fatally flawed, then I think that we should address
8 it. Hold on, folks, let me finish. I'll get to
9 everyone.

10 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Don't raise a question
11 that you know I'm going to have an answer to.

12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Oh, well, no. I don't
13 mind. I want to have this discussion. The notion
14 that we issue briefing reports without any findings, I
15 don't think that's the way we should go. This is the
16 U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, and it would be a
17 deviation from the role that we have historically
18 played. We are not neutral observers. Sometimes
19 things are right, sometimes things are wrong. This
20 agency, this commission has always had a particular
21 point of view. If you will, it's been biased against
22 say the South's attempt to deny the vote to blacks.
23 It's not unusual for the commission to take a
24 leadership role when it comes to civil rights issues.
25 That's why we're here. This is not a think-tank.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Our job is not to just deliver facts to decision
2 makers. Our job is to influence decision makers, in
3 my view.

4 This particular document, I think that the
5 findings reflect what was said. I think that the main
6 thrust of the document is that when we look at the
7 literature out there, at best, the benefits are modest
8 in reading. In math, I think that there is a
9 consensus that there is no benefits that have been
10 quantified, so that was the main thrust of the
11 findings. I think those findings are supported by the
12 testimony.

13 This is not an instance where a particular
14 panelist was singled out. I think that the findings
15 are a -- this is the consensus of all the individuals
16 that participated in the briefing. Modest findings
17 for reading, no benefits in math, and some screwy
18 findings with respect, and in one study showed that
19 segregation, in some instances, helped Hispanics, so
20 the data is all over the place. That's what I took
21 away from the hearing.

22 Commissioner Braceras.

23 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I agree with you
24 on the merits, that I think that that's what the
25 evidence demonstrates. However, I have to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 respectfully disagree with your comment that we're
2 spending too much time discussing process. I think
3 process is extremely --

4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Oh, no, no. I don't
5 think I said that. I said I find it interesting that
6 we're focusing on process --

7 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: But I think
8 process is critical, because --

9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, let me rephrase
10 my statement then. I am not -- I think it's a good
11 idea that we're going to have some clear guidelines
12 with respect to our briefing reports, but looking at
13 the merits, looking at this particular document, I
14 don't see --

15 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Under a revised
16 process, it may very well be that we'd come out with
17 the exact same -- well, I think we would come out with
18 the exact same findings and recommendations. However,
19 I think what would be different about it is that the
20 findings would be findings that -- rather than staff
21 findings, I think they'd be commissioner findings.
22 And I think there would be a chance to explore each of
23 the findings at a commissioner level, instead of just
24 voting for the report in and all or nothing format.
25 And this -- it's the same objection I had under the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 old regime with Mary Frances Berry, where at that
2 time, when we were in the minority, we were presented
3 with reports that had conclusions and recommendations
4 with which not everyone agreed, and we were asked to
5 vote up or down on the entire thing, even though there
6 may be some very good, interesting material in the
7 report. We, as minority commissioners at the time,
8 didn't necessarily agree with all the findings and
9 conclusions. And what we wanted from Mary Frances
10 Berry, and what we never received, was an opportunity
11 to deliberate over each of the findings, and have an
12 opportunity to vote on them individually.

13 Now under that regime, we still would have
14 lost those votes. And under this administration, the
15 Democratic commissioners may still lose particular
16 votes on particular findings, but I think that if they
17 had an opportunity to discuss the findings one by one,
18 and to dissent from each particular finding so that
19 would give the process greater integrity. That's all.
20 I'm not saying that the findings would be different,
21 or that the report is in any way academically flawed,
22 but I do think the process is extremely important.

23 Anyway, putting that aside, I'd just
24 simply like to ask Commissioners Melendez and Yaki if
25 there's any compromise possible on this document that

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 would satisfy them, and enable them to vote for it?
2 Is there anything, short of tanking the whole thing,
3 that you would remove from the report? I mean, is
4 there some way we can come up --

5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Or object to?

6 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Are there sections
7 of the report that could be removed, and would then
8 satisfy your concerns?

9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, point of
10 clarification. With respect to -- well, I would -- am
11 I correct that with our national reports, our
12 statutory report, for example, we have the flexibility
13 to support particular findings, but not others. Is
14 that already in place?

15 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes. Right. So
16 when the working group on the reform came up with its
17 original rules, they were focused on national reports
18 because we hadn't, as a commission, yet moved to this
19 model. But then when we moved to this model, we found
20 ourselves in the position of having to vote on
21 findings and recommendations that hadn't been vetted
22 in the same way by the commissioners themselves. And
23 it's not that I think that the commission should not
24 be in the business of making findings and
25 recommendations. I think it's fine for us to make

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 findings and recommendations, but I think that as with
2 other study groups, and even governmental commissions,
3 I think that each finding needs to be considered
4 separately, and there needs to be an opportunity to
5 explain the positions of the majority and minority on
6 each finding.

7 I think, for example, to the report on
8 Title 9 that was done by you guys at the Department of
9 Education. Right? That was under your leadership.
10 Where the findings were stated, and then underneath it
11 would say something like dissenting commissioners were
12 concerned that blah, blah, blah, blah, so their
13 concern about each finding was listed. There weren't
14 voluminous dissenting statements, but it was broken
15 down in what seemed to be a more procedurally
16 democratic way. That might be a model that we would
17 look to, and that's something that we need to discuss
18 as commissioners, whether that's a model we want to
19 adopt.

20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I think we
21 should redouble our efforts to get the procedures in
22 place, and with any luck, vote on it at our next
23 meeting.

24 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, that's what
25 I'm trying to do, but in the meantime, I would like to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 hear from Commissioners Yaki and Melendez as to
2 whether there are specific paragraphs or things that
3 if removed they could support the report, or would you
4 vote against it anyway?

5 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Well, I think --
6 this is Commissioner Melendez. Again, I have problem
7 with a lot of the findings and a lasso citation to
8 some of the Social Science portions of this. And
9 really what that would require would be to delay this
10 until another meeting, and go back over it, and
11 basically see if it's acceptable to delete certain
12 things, even more so; otherwise, I'd have to still
13 vote against the way it is now.

14 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Let me just put it
15 to you this way. If there were no findings and
16 recommendations in this report, would you vote for it?

17 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: If there were no
18 findings, yes.

19 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes. Commissioner
20 Yaki?

21 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, let me just talk
22 just a little bit about procedure. I am somewhat
23 disturbed. And, again, this has nothing to do with
24 the qualifications of Mr. Byrnes, who I think does a
25 fine job, but this was, in many ways, an exercise in

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 understanding the Social Science research of this. It
2 is my understanding that we have Social Science people
3 in OCRE, and my first question is, did they review the
4 bibliography and literature to determine whether or
5 not some of the conclusions made about the literature,
6 or characterization of the literature were accurate or
7 not?

8 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: In making the
9 assignments, I think that we had the luxury of having
10 on staff someone who is particularly expert in
11 educational civil rights policy, and who had an
12 unusual amount of expertise dealing with policies
13 regarding diversity in education, and that's Mr.
14 Byrnes. You may be aware that he joined us from the
15 Office for Civil Rights at the Department of
16 Education, where a large percentage of his work dealt
17 with looking at issues of diversity in education. So
18 he is, I think, a person who has an unusually strong
19 background in the subject.

20 He was joined in this work for parts of it
21 by an analyst that we had on detail from HUD. We did
22 not have anyone --

23 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Is she an analyst or
24 an attorney?

25 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Pardon?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Was she an analyst or
2 an attorney from HUD?

3 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: She was not an
4 attorney. I don't recall her exact personnel
5 designation, but she was some form of analyst.

6 COMMISSIONER YAKI: And what kind of
7 training did she have?

8 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Her background is
9 in the Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity
10 dealing with fair housing issues at HUD. We did not
11 have precisely the sort of exercise that you
12 described, but we did have a review of at least some
13 of the findings by OCRE, just where it appeared that
14 some technical guidance would be necessary. It's a
15 kind of a reality check.

16 COMMISSIONER YAKI: But the basic answer
17 is no.

18 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I don't think
19 that's the basic answer. The basic answer is what I
20 just gave.

21 COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, I think the basic
22 answer is that OCRE's Social Scientist did not play a
23 significant role in reviewing whether or not the
24 characterizations of the Social Science research and
25 the bibliography, as characterized in the findings,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 were accurate or not.

2 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: They played only a
3 supporting role in the findings. That's right.

4 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Thank you. The reason
5 I'm bringing that up is that, like I said, I have
6 great fondness and appreciation for the comments of
7 Commissioner Braceras, and if you were to ask me, I
8 would say that, to me, the significant flaw, fatal
9 flaw of this document is in tone, and in
10 characterization. When you start with Finding One,
11 first sentence, "There's little academic consensus",
12 that sentence in -- that phrase, in and of itself, I
13 believe, is misleading. You had in the testimony from
14 Professor Kurlaender and Attorney Coleman that they
15 believed there was broad consensus on the idea that
16 there were educational benefits.

17 Now part of this definitional issue that
18 we have, as the Chair said, the Chair keeps on talking
19 about math, but the educational benefit, the
20 educational experience in the American primary school
21 system, and its impact on secondary and post-secondary
22 goes far beyond simply whether or not it's a math
23 score, or the English score.

24 I like, for example, the points brought up
25 about should there be differential research on

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 economics, and some of the other areas? I think those
2 are good questions to pose. I think those are the
3 kinds of things that briefing reports should look at
4 to say, everyone seems to be focusing on these two
5 factors, but there are other differentials that we
6 should look at to see what kind of impact there is on
7 that. I like that.

8 What I don't like, though, is the idea
9 that you say there's little academic consensus, where
10 there is clearly two people saying there is, two
11 people there are not --

12 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Why don't we just
13 say the evidence is mixed?

14 COMMISSIONER YAKI: The evidence is -- I
15 would say we would simply characterize the panel as
16 they said it, which is that two of them believe there
17 was broad academic consensus, and cite who it was they
18 believe constitutes that broad consensus, and the two
19 said there wasn't, cite the one or two studies, which
20 I know that they were doing, to say that there wasn't.

21 That, to me, is how these findings should be done.
22 So, number one, as it starts off, is just completely
23 off the chart.

24 I could go --

25 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, before you go on

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 --

2 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, you asked me for
3 my response.

4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Oh, no. Oh, no, no,
5 no. And I want you to continue, but before you do,
6 since my memory isn't what it should be, I would like
7 to respond to the first bullet point. I mean, we have
8 to sit in judgment of the evidence that's put before
9 us, and to judge the credibility of the panelists, and
10 the evidence. And we, at times, will come to
11 different conclusions, and I think that that's all
12 right. But in terms of the academic piece of this, I
13 don't think - at least I don't recall any panelist
14 stating that there were significant academic benefits
15 to diversity.

16 Now to put it in context, I mean, the
17 issue before the court - okay --

18 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Did you say academic?
19 What did you -- rephrase that.

20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Academic benefits? I
21 said that, no, I don't recall any of the panelists
22 stating that there was significant academic
23 educational benefits to diverse settings in schools.
24 Now the background, though, is a court case. The
25 court is going to need a compelling state interest.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Now if we're saying that on the one hand
2 we have to meet the strict scrutiny standard, and on
3 the other, at best, what we have in one subject area
4 is modest educational improvement, and even that is
5 mixed. The high-performing black students, they seem
6 to benefit; whereas, the low-performing black students
7 do not. That's what the court is going to look at,
8 and so when I discuss this issue --

9 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Is that a mixed
10 result, or is that a differential result? In other
11 words, you have a clear measure of higher performance
12 in one category, and not in another. Now is that
13 mixed, or is that a differential?

14 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: In that particular
15 case, it's mixed. Overall, I believe all of the
16 Social Scientists on the panel said that with respect
17 to math, nothing; with respect to reading, it's
18 modest. I believe the best we can do is two points.

19 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I mean, just with
20 respect to this first sentence, the word "consensus"
21 means agreement. The fact that we're even having this
22 discussion proves the validity of the sentence, that
23 there is little academic agreement. I mean, if some
24 people think that are benefits to racial and ethnic
25 diversity, and some people think that there are not

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 benefits, then there's no consensus.

2 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, I would disagree
3 with that, Commissioner Braceras, because if you and I
4 were to say based on, let's say Panelist A and
5 Panelist B. Panelist A said there was consensus,
6 Panelist B said there's no consensus, and you --

7 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And if they don't
8 agree, there's no consensus.

9 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, that may be,
10 except that Panelist B is talking about two reports,
11 and Panelist A is talking about 125. I mean, to me,
12 that is part of the methodological and open
13 scholarship --

14 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: But that's still
15 not a consensus. It could be 99 to 1, and that's not
16 a consensus, that's a majority viewpoint.

17 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, in that case --

18 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: You wouldn't call
19 it a consensus.

20 COMMISSIONER YAKI: In that case, then we
21 should rephrase it to -- instead of using the weak
22 phrase, "There's little academic consensus", we could,
23 instead, say that the majority of academic reports
24 support blankity-blank, versus us taking it back --

25 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Or we could just

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 say the evidence is mixed.

2 COMMISSIONER YAKI: -- and saying mixed.

3 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: The evidence is
4 mixed.

5 COMMISSIONER YAKI: But see, that's what I
6 don't believe that the evidence is as mixed as you say
7 it is. When I look at the bibliographies, and I see
8 who it is that's saying no, and who it is that's
9 saying yes, it's one thing to say there's 25 reports
10 here, and 25 reports here. There's nothing to say
11 there's 25 different reports by different authors in
12 different studies over here, versus 25 of the same
13 people jinning up the same thing over and over again.

14 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Are you backing out
15 the flawed studies? I mean, the two panelists who
16 were most expert in this area both agreed, there was
17 consensus, that most of the studies had fatal
18 methodological flaws. There are not many studies out
19 there, there are not many sound studies out there on
20 this particular issue, so when you talk about 25
21 studies here, are you including the flawed ones?

22 COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, I'm talking about
23 the fact that when I received one of the big globs of
24 backup material that we got from -- very late in the
25 game that would support the -- that would go toward

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 supporting the findings in this document, and then I
2 started looking at it more closely, it looked to me
3 like complete rehashes by the same people over and
4 over again, versus a much more distinct, varied, and
5 broad-based set of studies on the other side. And
6 that, to me, is --

7 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes, but can I
8 just say one thing? I'm genuinely trying to sort of
9 strike out a compromise, and if that means going over
10 these findings one by one, and rewording them in a way
11 that you think has a more neutral tone, then I'm
12 willing to do that. But it seems from Commissioner
13 Yaki's most recent statement that that may not solve
14 the problem, because it seems like what you're really
15 concerned about is not necessarily whether the
16 language of these findings is neutral, but rather, the
17 underlying studies. I mean, it seems, in other words,
18 that you're not willing to acknowledge, for example,
19 that the evidence is mixed. You don't like a certain
20 set of studies, so you don't want to acknowledge them
21 at all.

22 COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, I would say -- I
23 would characterize it -- let me just put it to you
24 another way. I am not a Social Scientist, I'm a
25 lawyer, I'm a politician. I acknowledge that, that's

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 who I am. We have staff here who are Social
2 Scientists, who can look at the data, arrange it in
3 such a way and say these studies tend to favor these,
4 these are the kinds of things these studies looked at.

5 There really was very little variation in what these
6 studies were saying over and over again, versus the
7 kinds of data that these people were going. I would
8 say in that case, there seems to be a greater range of
9 research supporting this on this side, a narrower
10 range of research on the other side, and just leave it
11 at that. I wouldn't say it's mixed. If you want to
12 say it's mixed at that point, yes, but as long as you
13 accurately characterize what it is within Column A or
14 Column B. And, quite frankly, I don't think that I am
15 functionally competent to do that in the document as
16 it's here right now.

17 Part of the reason why I wanted Chris here
18 was to sort of ask him some of the questions about
19 where some of these things came from, to help me
20 understand better what he relied on, and what he
21 didn't rely upon. But if you want to go one thing,
22 which I think is completely off the chart, is
23 Recommendation 17, which just pops out of nowhere from
24 anything that I can think of.

25 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Which page is that on?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER YAKI: 20. And just to
2 finish, because I think that this is -- I just have
3 one final statement to make.

4 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes, I see.

5 COMMISSIONER YAKI: And that is, I just
6 find -- the difficulty I have with briefing reports,
7 and I was a big fan of the briefing report. It was a
8 means of dealing with issues with a lack of resources.
9 We do findings and recommendations on the national
10 report. We do findings and recommendations on the big
11 hearings that we do. Briefings in the original
12 context, and I was a big supporter, was to get issues
13 on the table, hear about them, get it out so that we
14 could react quickly to what was going on. I
15 understand what the Chairman says about having a point
16 of view. Of course, we have a point of view, and the
17 commission is charged with having a point of view.
18 But to take a three-hour hearing with limited
19 panelists on short timetables with limited staff, and
20 then task that staff with going through 85, 90
21 different studies, and not even ask our OCRE folks,
22 who have Social Science degrees and are called Social
23 Scientists, to take a look at it, as well, and provide
24 feedback back, I think is a methodological and
25 fundamental flaw, weakness of the entire document. I

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 cannot support this document. I'm not going to --

2 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: In any form.

3 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm not going to sit
4 here and try to improve it any way, other than to say
5 I think that for the benefit of the credibility of
6 those of you who are going to be voting on it, Number
7 17 just really sticks out there as -- well, let me
8 just throw --

9 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I agree with you.

10 COMMISSIONER YAKI: -- a bias out there
11 real far.

12 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I agree with you,
13 I think it should be removed.

14 COMMISSIONER YAKI: So, in other words, I
15 don't want to take up more of the commission's time.

16 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No, I understand that.

17 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I think there is a
18 fundamental methodological and analytical weakness of
19 this document. I think that the findings
20 mischaracterize and do not do justice to the kinds of
21 opinions and studies that are out there. If we're
22 going to do this kind of work, it should be done in a
23 much deeper, broader, and more coherent point of view
24 that uses our Social Scientists at OCRE, and I cannot
25 support this report.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: But the whole
2 point of the testimony, as I understand it, was that
3 these people were brought in, these experts we brought
4 in were Social Scientists who said that the Social
5 Science data out there is both unreliable, and a mixed
6 result, so how would we expect our staff of Social
7 Scientists, who, while they might be well-trained, are
8 not the experts that we brought in before us, to make
9 sense of data that even they are saying they cannot
10 make sense of?

11 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, just in
12 response, Mr. Chair, and thank you for indulging this
13 colloquy. In that case, we shouldn't have a report
14 chock-full of references to studies that were not
15 discussed in full by any of the panelists, because --

16 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, then we can't
17 have any briefing reports -- I mean, the standard that
18 you just set forth --

19 COMMISSIONER YAKI: The standard I set
20 forth is more like what I'm used to, which is a
21 hearing report, which is people come, they give
22 testimony, they have other stuff that's in there.

23 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: You want to dissect
24 the report and any statement in there has to be traced
25 back to not only a particular document, but we have to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 explore the whole body of literature to see where that
2 particular statement, how it sits --

3 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: No, the opposite.

4 COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, no, no. If we --

5 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I think he's
6 saying the opposite.

7 COMMISSIONER YAKI: If we are using
8 statements that were not spoken of on the record, if
9 we are using source data that was only alluded to by
10 panelists - and if you look at the findings, they're
11 like multiple, multiple, multiple, multiple citations
12 for this and that; although, of course, there's no
13 citation for the widely cited 1984 review of research
14 in the second sentence.

15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: In the Cook Report?

16 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, we're not citing
17 it. Anyway, the --

18 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

19 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I --

20 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I mean --

21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: You know, just -- I
22 find this beneficial because it's causing me to think
23 about the role of the findings and who owns the
24 findings. Is it the panelists who come before us? Is
25 our job merely to regurgitate what they have said, and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 if they haven't said it, offer no opinion on a
2 particular topic. I have a different point of view.

3 I think that the commissioners are here to
4 exercise their judgment, to gather information, to
5 cogitate, to think about it, to draw their own
6 conclusions.

7 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: But Commissioner
8 Yaki is right that there was, at some point in time,
9 conceptually, at least, a difference between hearings
10 and briefings, and hearing reports and briefing
11 reports, and the whole notion was that hearings, we
12 would hear from all of the witnesses, and there would
13 be probably more than one panel of witnesses, but then
14 our own staff would get involved in doing their own
15 research, be it legal analysis, or Social Science
16 research. Whereas, a briefing was meant to be just
17 that, bringing together people of different
18 perspectives to give us their views, and for us to
19 synthesize those views for the public, but not
20 necessarily go back and do our own exhaustive
21 research.

22 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Changed.

23 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Huh?

24 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That changed.

25 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, I don't know

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 if I agree with that part, but the point is that there
2 were supposed to be two different vehicles for us to
3 be relevant in the civil rights debates that are
4 happening, and the line between hearings and briefings
5 seems to have become blurred. And I think that's
6 where a lot of the objections we're hearing from
7 Commissioners Melendez and Yaki are resonating with
8 me, because it is procedurally confusing.

9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I agree that we
10 need to get our arms around the procedural issues, and
11 I think that the document that you put together is a
12 good starting point. And I don't see any reason why -
13 -well, we have some holidays coming up, but I'm
14 hopeful that we will be able to have a serious
15 discussion, and hopefully vote on these new procedures
16 at the next meeting. So I don't think there's any
17 push-back in terms of the need to formalize our rules
18 in terms of briefings.

19 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: No, no. I
20 understand that. I mean, with respect to this report,
21 I think it would be a useful exercise; and, frankly, I
22 think it's something we should do all the time, to go
23 over -- to take each finding and recommendation and
24 discuss them. And I know we're not going to get
25 agreement from Commissioners Melendez and Yaki on a

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 majority of them, but if there are some that are
2 particularly either inaccurate or biased, then I would
3 like to strike them for the integrity of the report.

4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: There's nothing to
5 stop us from discussing any aspect of this briefing
6 report, whether it's the findings, or --

7 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: All right. So
8 let's do that right now.

9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Thank you for
10 your patience, Commissioner Kirsanow.

11 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Just a couple of
12 quick statements on the record. I concur with
13 Commissioner Braceras, and I'm also sensitive to the
14 procedure questions that have been raised by
15 Commissioner Yaki. Just a couple of observations with
16 respect to some specific aspects of it.

17 With respect to the point of the Social
18 Scientists and who's considering the data, analyzing
19 the data, it's my understanding from what the Staff
20 Director said, that perhaps the person most suitable
21 on staff to do that was, in fact, Chris Byrnes. Maybe
22 it would have been helpful to run it by Social
23 Scientists, also, but my understanding was that in the
24 allocation of resources, Chris Byrnes was probably the
25 individual who could do it the most efficiently, and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 with the greatest amount of background.

2 Second, I would prefer a process similar
3 to what was described by Commissioner Braceras, and
4 that is that the statutory reports, of course, would
5 have much more guts to them, been vetted a number of
6 times, and could yield findings and recommendations;
7 whereas, briefing reports, as Commissioner Yaki
8 indicated, would probably consist of the facts
9 gathered, maybe with some summarization or synthesis
10 of those facts gathered, and put it out into the
11 public domain.

12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow,
13 let me ask you; would the commission be within its
14 authority, would it be proper if there were some civil
15 rights event for us to issue a statement condemning --

16 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No, I agree with
17 you on that. I think we don't sit simply as kind of
18 solons that kind of throw things up and let them fall
19 where they may. I think we do come to conclusions,
20 and we have -- that's part of our charter. But my
21 point goes to process, and I think having reviewed
22 Commissioner Braceras' emails that she had sent
23 around, I think that we're going to be going a long
24 way toward establishing a process that I think
25 everybody can sign on to.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 A couple of other quick observations, and
2 these are just very minor. With respect to findings
3 and Recommendation 1, maybe I would have phrased it a
4 little bit differently, but that was probably, in my
5 estimation, the most accurate finding. I recall that
6 we spent a good portion of time cross examining, for
7 no other better term, the witnesses as to specific
8 aspects of the purported educational benefits yielded
9 by diversity. Not only did we go through the Grutter
10 standards of whether or not it promoted cross-cultural
11 understanding, eliminated stereotypes, prepared
12 students for a global marketplace, those that were
13 cited by Grutter, but we went through specific
14 academic disciplines to determine whether or not the
15 extant data yielded any benefits. We asked them,
16 given that they are the experts in the area, please
17 cite for us the data that supports, or literature that
18 supports a finding of benefit, if there is any.

19 I recall specifically what those findings
20 were, and we can go through the transcript. There was
21 a marginal increase in spelling scores, there was a
22 disputed report with respect to an improvement in
23 geography, there was a disputed report as to
24 improvements in attendance and tardiness, or overall
25 discipline, virtually every - and this includes

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Kurlaender, Coleman, Thernstrom, and Armor. Armor
2 was, perhaps, the most knowledgeable. If there was a
3 consensus, the consensus was there was hardly anything
4 out there to support benefits. Later we received
5 literature from, I believe it was Professor
6 Kurlaender, which I went through in great detail,
7 which purported to be literature in support of
8 possible benefits in K-12, solely by virtue of
9 diversity. That literature was, at best, at very,
10 very best, yielding the most liberal interpretation
11 mixed, and more likely than not saying nothing, simply
12 coming up with conclusions that well, diversity is
13 intuitively considered a good thing, but can't point
14 to any particular standards whereby there have been
15 objective measurements that show that there are either
16 hard facts supporting improvements in academic scores,
17 or the Grutter standards, the kind of soft standards
18 of - and I don't even know how you measure these -
19 promoting cross-cultural understanding were improved,
20 so with respect to number 1.

21 Now going to another one, Commissioner
22 Yaki's point with respect to 17, I agree with that
23 entirely. If it were up to me, I would vote to excise
24 that. And the reason I would is, I think we can come
25 to conclusions like that. I think we have the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 authority to do it. I think it's wise to avoid doing
2 that, because I think it tends -- I think we go beyond
3 really standing in judgment, to the point where we go
4 make another leap beyond judgment, and even maybe
5 beyond advocacy, to coming to conclusions. It's a
6 very conclusory statement, but more important is, it
7 is consistent with what - and maybe Jennifer Braceras
8 and I, the old timers here - had been faced with
9 during the previous regime, these kind of conclusions.

10 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Battered
11 Commissioners' Syndrome.

12 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Right.

13 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I can't help it.

14 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I think we have to
15 eliminate that one, even if we could somehow argue
16 that the data there supports it, I don't know that it
17 does, I think that's really a matter of opinion.

18 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Now on 17 --
19 actually, I like 17, but I have no objections to --

20 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Can I just say, I
21 agree with 17, but for the purpose of this commission
22 and its integrity, the fact of the matter is, I think
23 it is wise for us to avoid certain types of
24 statements, even if we could make reasonable arguments
25 in support thereof.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Isn't there a moral,
2 an ethical cost associated with distributing benefits,
3 at least here in America --

4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I agree.

5 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: -- benefits and
6 burdens on the base of risk. Isn't there an ethical
7 and a moral --

8 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: We can have that
9 discussion, but I think -- let's just not -- I mean,
10 it seems like the majority of the commissioners don't
11 want number 17 in there. It's not that I disagree
12 with you on the merits.

13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, no, I think --

14 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Let's just get rid
15 of it and move on.

16 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I think that
17 we're in agreement that 17 goes, but I think it's --

18 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: You want to have
19 the philosophical discussion, and we could do that at
20 lunch.

21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, no. I think
22 that it's an important issue.

23 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, there are
24 lots of important issues, but we have an agenda, so if
25 the majority of the commissioners don't support number

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 17, let's strike it and discuss the philosophy later.

2 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, there's the
3 discussion piece of the meeting here. This is the
4 first time since we started the discussion of this
5 briefing where we wanted to cut off discussion.

6 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: No, I'm not saying
7 that. I'm just saying that the moral and
8 philosophical question is one that could be debated
9 from here to eternity, and we --

10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No, I disagree. I
11 think that the issue is settled in America, at least,
12 amongst most Americans, that it is, at a minimum,
13 unethical.

14 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I would say this.

15 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Let's just deal
16 with the report.

17 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: My recollection is
18 that Item 17 actually encapsulates something that
19 Professor Thernstrom said in his testimony.

20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.

21 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I don't know that
22 -- I would prefer not to have it in there, but I think
23 that it is, at least, supportable on the basis of
24 something that someone had made a statement, somebody
25 made a conclusion that an expert had come to based on

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 a considered analysis and a longstanding, I mean, 30
2 years analysis of the purported benefits of diversity,
3 and also assigning students or others on the basis of
4 race.

5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

6 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: That's fine, but -
7 -

8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So I would like to -
9 somebody tell me the procedure - move to amend the
10 document so that this particular finding is deleted.

11 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: May I make a
12 recommendation?

13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.

14 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I agree with
15 Commissioner Braceras. I don't think Commissioner
16 Yaki - he's already indicated he's not going to sign
17 onto the document, but for - I think there is an
18 institutional imperative for us to go through each one
19 of those and say yea or nay.

20 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes, I do. And I
21 think we should do that every time.

22 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: And I would -- let
23 me add to that, because I think it will improve our
24 work product. Despite the fact that Commissioner Yaki
25 and Melendez may not be inclined to support the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 document, I think it's helpful for purposes of
2 improving the document to hear their criticism on each
3 particular point, because I think it will help us in
4 terms of our deliberative process. And we may want to
5 tweak some language in response to the criticism, so I
6 just encourage those two to participate.

7 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And Commissioner
8 Yaki's strong arguments from time to time persuade me
9 that I am wrong.

10 COMMISSIONER YAKI: They should.

11 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So I think we
12 should go over it, and then vote on the revised thing
13 at the end.

14 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

15 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So number one, can
16 I make a proposal?

17 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Sure.

18 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I would propose
19 that we delete the first sentence, and instead say,
20 "The academic literature on the effect of racial and
21 ethnic diversity in elementary and secondary education
22 is mixed." Leave the rest of it as it is.

23 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I think it would
24 be more accurate to say that there is very little
25 academic literature to support the notion that

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 diversity improves academic performance. When
2 Commissioner Kirsanow went down the line, it was a
3 long laundry list of subjects, and the response for
4 most of them, the overwhelming majority was no, there
5 is no evidence to support it. So to say that the
6 evidence is mixed, I think is not --

7 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, there are
8 studies. It's just that we believe, and the panelists
9 believe that many of them are flawed. There are
10 studies.

11 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, I just
12 have a question. Are you distinguishing in your own
13 mind between academic evidence and educational
14 benefits?

15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm thinking test
16 scores improved, academics.

17 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: That's what I'm --
18 so are you using the two terms interchangeably?

19 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.

20 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: My sense was that
21 the -- the way I heard the evidence come out, there
22 seemed to be more evidence on the soft side, which I
23 put in the category of educational benefits, which I
24 have a difficult time getting my arms around, versus
25 the harder academic aspects, the test scores, things -

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 - I mean, just those tangible things. And I didn't
2 know if you were using the two interchangeably.

3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, when I say
4 academic or educational, I'm referring, basically, to
5 subject matters like spelling, Social Studies, math.

6 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Things you can test,
7 versus cultural efficiency.

8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.

9 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So how --

10 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: As we all know, as
11 I think everybody here is a lawyer, there's a
12 difference between evidence and opinion. And the
13 evidence that was adduced during the hearing didn't
14 even yield any standards with respect to the soft
15 characteristics. They were simply conclusory
16 statements made, and then when you go through the
17 empirical - if you go through the literature, there's
18 nothing there. In fact, if I have any flaw with
19 number one, I wouldn't even say there was a consensus
20 - there's little academic consensus on whether it
21 results in significant education benefits. There's
22 almost a consensus that it doesn't.

23 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.

24 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So you don't want
25 to use the word "mixed". You want something stronger.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I think that --
2 actually, when I look at this, I think that this was
3 -- well, this could have been even harder. I think
4 that there is very little evidence to support the
5 notion that diversity raises test scores. And if we
6 take a step back and just look at where is all this
7 achievement taking place, when we look at school
8 districts -- well, you pick the city where they have
9 mixed classes, so you have the academic literature,
10 you have the NAEP data, whatever benefits that are
11 being generated by diverse classrooms, those benefits
12 are modest.

13 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. So what's
14 your proposed edit?

15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

16 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Come up with
17 something concrete.

18 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: There is little
19 evidence to support that racial and ethnic diversity
20 results in improved academic performance.

21 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: There is little -
22 say it again - little academic --

23 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: There's little
24 evidence.

25 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: There's little

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 evidence.

2 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That racial and ethnic
3 diversity results in improved academic performance.
4 Yes, increased reading level by two to six weeks, I
5 mean --

6 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: All right. Let's
7 just --

8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All right. I'm
9 trying.

10 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So there is little
11 evidence that racial and ethnic diversity in
12 elementary and secondary schools --

13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Results in improved --

14
15 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: -- results in
16 improved academic performance.

17 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Right.

18 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I would strike the
19 word "any", and keep the word "significant", so that
20 it reads results in significant --

21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, that's better.
22 That's more accurate.

23 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. There is
24 little evidence that racial and ethnic diversity in
25 elementary and secondary schools results --

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: In significant
2 academic.

3 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: -- in significant
4 academic performance.

5 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Right.

6 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Wait, significant
7 --

8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Improvements in
9 academic performance.

10 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Significant
11 improvements in academic performance. Does everybody
12 agree with that?

13 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.

14 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Or is there
15 majority support for that sentence? There is little
16 evidence that racial and ethnic diversity in
17 elementary and secondary schools results in
18 significant improvement in academic performance.
19 That's the new first sentence of number 1.

20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Does that get your
21 support?

22 COMMISSIONER YAKI: No.

23 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez.

24 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: No, it seems
25 pretty much the same as what we had before.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

2 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: All right. Number
3 2, does anybody have any concerns, or proposed
4 language changes?

5 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I don't.

6 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I have none.

7 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Let's go to number 3.

8 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I have no comments
9 on number 3.

10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Neither do I.

11 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Neither do I. But
12 I just want to interject, so far in the first three in
13 going through this, I just want to relay to Chris
14 Byrnes that I think he has digested accurately and
15 truthfully what was represented at the hearing.

16 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I disagree.

17 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: One small point on
18 3.

19 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Steven?

20 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes. That's
21 supposed to be Thernstrom. Right? Not Steven.

22 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: He was probably
23 calling him up at the time.

24 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Oh, okay. I
25 didn't know who he was referring to.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I was going to make
2 the same comment.

3 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So maybe a last
4 name should -- a first or last name should be --

5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I assume this is a
6 last name.

7 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I believe it's
8 reference to Professor Walter G. Stephan.

9 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Can we say Walter
10 G. Stephan?

11 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Or Professor Stephan.

12 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: It's just a little
13 confusing, since the panelist was Steven Thernstrom,
14 Steven spelled that way. I don't know. Maybe it's
15 not a big deal.

16 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I agree with
17 Commissioner Braceras because in findings and
18 recommendations, there's no previous reference to
19 Professor Stephan, so it would be confusing as to --

20 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I know. I know
21 that's the way Social Scientists do it, though.

22 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: We tried to follow
23 the usual form, and in future findings we also refer
24 to a number of Social Scientists by last name.

25 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Let's remain
2 uniform, at least.

3 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Let's just keep it
4 as it is.

5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Number 4.

6 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Wait. I just want to
7 make an objection on number three.

8 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes.

9 COMMISSIONER YAKI: And cite studies by
10 Braddock, Crane, McPartland, Dawkins, Eric Fulcher,
11 Hallanan, Hawley, Schofield, Ward, Slavin,
12 Sandleitner, Woods, and Amy Wells as being to the
13 contrary.

14 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So --

15 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Contrary to what?

16 COMMISSIONER YAKI: The idea that their --

17

18 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: It says mixed
19 results.

20 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Right.

21 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So those studies -
22 - how do those studies --

23 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I don't think they're
24 mixed. I don't think that what we heard had anything
25 to do with being mixed. I thought that what I heard

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 from Thernstrom and Armor was much more on the
2 academic achievement side, and much less contradiction
3 of Professor Kurlaender's points of view. And I think
4 that the bibliographies that are out there tend to
5 support that, so I object. But, whatever. Let's keep
6 it going.

7 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: What if we deleted
8 the second paragraph of number 3, instead of bringing
9 in the examples, just sort of plucking out the studies
10 that we want to highlight, and just leave the first
11 paragraph as it is, which just says there are varied
12 results, and mixed results.

13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Would you support that
14 finding?

15 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And not pluck out
16 the examples that we like.

17 COMMISSIONER YAKI: And, by the way, the
18 things I'm looking at, which come from the David Armor
19 bibliography, were all post 1978.

20 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I think if you took
21 out the second paragraph it would be --

22 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: All right. I move
23 that we strike the second paragraph in number 3.

24 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second.

25 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: This is

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Commissioner Melendez. Hello?

2 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.

3 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I have in my
4 recommendation that I sent in, that was it possible to
5 consolidate finding 3-5. We got 20, I was wondering
6 is there any consolidate any of these?

7 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Would you support the
8 document with that change?

9 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Well, that's just
10 one component. I don't know if this is -- I'm trying
11 to take my comments line by line right now.

12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I guess I'm
13 trying to see -- I mean, we're changing the document
14 and we're trying to accommodate some of the concerns
15 of Commissioners Melendez and Yaki, and I'm just
16 trying to see if that's going to be enough to get
17 support. And if not, then --

18 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, I think you can
19 pretty much count on the fact I'm not going to support
20 this document. The fact you're going through this
21 exercise is, I think, nice.

22 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I think we still
23 need to do it.

24 COMMISSIONER YAKI: You're working on your
25 own dime now, Mr. Chairman.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: It needs to be
2 done. I just --

3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

4 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: We could not have
5 a regime where we have to vote up and down --

6 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

7 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: -- on serious
8 findings and recommendations without going through
9 them as a commission.

10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

11 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Otherwise, we're
12 just a rubber stamp for the staff.

13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I mean, there's no
14 disagreement. We are already doing what you have
15 suggested.

16 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: All right. So
17 we're striking the second paragraph of number 3.

18 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. That's fine.

19 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I want to kind of
20 piggyback on what Commissioner Melendez just said
21 about some of the subsequent findings, seeming a
22 little drawn out, and maybe recommending a
23 consolidation.

24 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So we strike the
25 second paragraph of 3.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, I mean, it
2 seems that 4, 5, and 6 are not really findings, but
3 just summaries. They're summaries of studies, they're
4 not findings.

5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I think a piece of 4
6 is a finding, and the discussion about the
7 mythological weaknesses.

8 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes, that is a
9 finding. No, 4 is a finding, but 5 and 6 are not
10 findings, they're summaries of research.

11 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: This is probably
12 something more appropriate in the body of the
13 document.

14 COMMISSIONER YAKI: 5 and 6 is really what
15 I wanted to talk to Chris about.

16 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I would strike 5
17 and 6, to be honest with you.

18 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Is the first
19 sentence in 5, is that accurate, or is that
20 misleading?

21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Why would you suspect
22 that that's --

23 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Well, if we're
24 striking it, to me, the first sentence seems to be an
25 important finding.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Some ongoing
2 research, including several longitudinal studies --

3 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes, those are -- if
4 we think that is where the research is really going, I
5 think that's an important point. I don't need the
6 examples afterward.

7 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right. I mean,
8 the examples are something that should be either in a
9 footnote, or --

10 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes, they should be
11 in a footnote.

12 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: They're not
13 findings.

14 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. So what I am
15 hearing is keep the first sentence of finding 5, and
16 either delete or drop the remaining information in a
17 footnote.

18 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Drop it in a
19 footnote. I think the information is useful, and then
20 anyone who is interested in the finding can refer to
21 the footnote, and then go to the original source
22 material.

23 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So 5 and 6 would
24 go into a footnote?

25 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I think that's

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 right. Keep the first sentence of 5, and the balance
2 of 5, and all of 6 would be a footnote, probably to
3 the finding, although, I prefer it to be in the text
4 somewhere, at the appropriate place in the text.

5 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, there is no
6 appropriate -- see, here's the other thing, there is
7 no appropriate place because there's no commission
8 opinion, as it were. Right? There's a summary of
9 what the panelists said and what the discussion was
10 like, and then there's the panelists' papers, but
11 there's no place in these documents, other than the
12 findings and recommendations, where we offer our own
13 views.

14 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Then let's put it
15 in a footnote.

16 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So I think it
17 should in a footnote, but I also think the first
18 sentence needs to be tweaked a little bit. "Raise
19 questions about" is a little vague. I would just say
20 some ongoing research, including blah, blah, blah,
21 suggests that - whatever it suggests. And then
22 there's a footnote. Right?

23 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I would just like to
24 point out from a scholarship point of view that
25 neither of these papers has actually been published

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 yet under the first two by Fulker, and Ladd, and
2 Cooley, at least according to the bibliography, one
3 was a paper presented at a research conference, the
4 other one is an unpublished manuscript, so we do not
5 know whether or not, what kind of peer review its gone
6 through before it has entered the academic mainstream.

7 I just thought I'd point that out.

8 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I would be fine
9 striking it all together, to be honest with you.

10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm comfortable.

11 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I have no strong
12 feelings about it. It's more of a question than
13 anything else.

14 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I mean, it's
15 interesting, but it's not critical to the rest of --

16 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Let's drop it.

17 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. Strike 5
18 and 6.

19 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So we're at 7.

20 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I'm sorry. How did
21 we handle 4?

22 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: We're leaving it,
23 as is.

24 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Okay. Let's go to
25 number 7.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: 6 you mean, 7.

2 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: 5 and 6 have been
3 stricken, struck, eliminated.

4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Struck.

5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: 7 makes a different
6 point. The piece that discusses controlling for socio
7 economic status.

8 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I think it's fine.

9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: 8. I like 8.

10 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: That's consistent
11 with the questions that we posed to them.

12 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I'm interested in
13 the relationship that racial and ethnic diversity has
14 on people's performance in shop class.

15 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Penmanship. Mine
16 was penmanship. I acknowledge that these questions,
17 that they are kind of silly, but I thought, you know,
18 no more silly than trying to come up with measurable
19 differences in performance between students who are in
20 a diverse setting, and those who are not, with respect
21 to whether or not it promotes cross-cultural
22 understanding, or there is an improvement in the --

23 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes, yes.

24 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: -- global
25 marketplace. How do you measure those things?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Do people still
2 take home ec?

3 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes, they do.
4 What I did is, when I posed those questions, I went
5 through the --

6 COMMISSIONER YAKI: That's one of his
7 teaching assignments. Right?

8 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I went through
9 report cards that you might find through K-12, and I
10 just --

11 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Oh, okay.

12 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: -- pulled out
13 every single one and said give it to me.

14 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: All right. Well,
15 I think 8 is fine.

16 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: 9.

17 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: That's not
18 consistent with what Professor Armor said.

19 COMMISSIONER YAKI: That's not consistent
20 with some of the other studies, but that's okay. I'm
21 not voting for it, anyway.

22 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Is -- can we just
23 say on number 9 - I think this might change the tone
24 of it a little bit - there is some evidence
25 indicating that students in racially diverse

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 elementary and secondary schools were somewhat more
2 likely to engage in spirited classroom discussion than
3 their racially isolated peers.

4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm comfortable with
5 that, but, I mean, the horse trader in me, I don't
6 feel comfortable handing over compromises --

7 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: To quid no pro.

8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Right.

9 (Laughter.)

10 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: It's not a
11 compromise to try to get the result.

12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I feel like I'm
13 negotiating against myself.

14 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: No. It's not
15 negotiating against yourself. We're not changing the
16 language to buy their votes. I mean, if they want to
17 vote for it, that's great. And if they don't feel
18 they can vote for it, that's their prerogative. I
19 want to change it to make it a better document, and to
20 make it as neutral and fair, as possible. And some of
21 the points that they raise I think are valid, and we
22 should incorporate them, not because we're trying to
23 get their votes, but because they're good comments.

24 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Commissioner
25 Braceras' comment, the impact of it actually dilutes

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 whether or not spirited classroom discussions are
2 supportable, findings are supportable. She said
3 "somewhat more likely".

4 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, because it
5 says they found only a small difference.

6 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes, there was a
7 very small difference. When you take a look at what
8 Professor Armor said, and there was really no
9 contradiction -- I'm sorry, not Professor Armor.

10 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm sorry, that's not
11 true.

12 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: It is true.

13 COMMISSIONER YAKI: It is not true.

14 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: It is true.

15 COMMISSIONER YAKI: We can pull the
16 transcript.

17 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Well, then let's
18 pull it.

19 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Since we've obviously
20 gone beyond the transcript in all these things, let's
21 get Chris out here, pull out the Amy Wells report that
22 went after the long-term impacts of classroom
23 interaction. Let's pull it out.

24 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: My recollection is
25 that in response, Professor - I think it's Professor

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Armor referred to the flawed nature of that study, and
2 there were at least two other studies --

3 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, we're taking his
4 word that it was flawed, and she says his stuff is
5 flawed back. And we can sit here and argue all day
6 about it.

7 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: And what we're
8 saying is there's research to support, despite the
9 fact that he says they're flawed, we're actually going
10 with --

11 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: There's some
12 evidence indicated.

13 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: If we're simply
14 relying on Professor Armor, we wouldn't even say
15 there's any evidence.

16 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right.

17 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, if we were
18 relying on Professor Armor, we'd be in a much
19 different country than we are right now.

20 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Professor Armor
21 says that he disputes that.

22 (Simultaneous speech.)

23 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, that's the
24 question that I asked him during the thing, and I
25 stand by that.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I think all the
2 evidence we heard during our briefing on historically
3 black colleges and universities - now, granted it's
4 higher ed and not primary and secondary ed, but,
5 basically, came to the opposite conclusion; that
6 students that attend historically black colleges and
7 universities, which are majority black, tend to be
8 more confident, and more engaged in spirited classroom
9 discussion, so I think evidence is all over the place
10 of it. I don't know which way that cuts --

11 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I believe that --

12 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: -- but I think we
13 should say that there is some evidence that comes to
14 this other conclusion. That's fine.

15 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I think this is an
16 accurate summary --

17 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Before we go on, we
18 have an issue. Ken.

19 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I'm just going to
20 remind commissioners that under our Defame and Degrade
21 Rules, any individual who might be defamed or degraded
22 by remarks here will have a right to respond, and the
23 transcript we'll review for purposes of compliance
24 after the meeting.

25 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Fine. Okay. So

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 number 9, here's my suggestion.

2 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I thought it was a
3 good shot.

4 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: There is some
5 evidence --

6 COMMISSIONER REYNOLDS: and he has a sense
7 of humor.

8 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: There is some
9 evidence indicating that students in racially diverse
10 elementary and secondary schools -

11 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Were somewhat more
12 likely.

13 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: -- were somewhat
14 more likely to engage in spirited classroom
15 discussions than their racially isolated peers,
16 period.

17 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's good.

18 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. Number 10.
19 I'm okay with number 10.

20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Number 11.

21 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I'm still reading.
22 Please bear with me. I don't read as quickly as some
23 of you. I'm fine with it. Number 11.

24 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I don't
25 understand.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: This seems
2 redundant here.

3 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes.

4 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I don't know that
5 we need 11, since we've made the point pretty much in
6 10.

7 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Let's strike it.

8 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Strike 11. Yeah,
9 I think that similar to number 5, I think that some of
10 the citations to these other studies that weren't in
11 the transcript should just go into a footnote. I
12 mean, I know we ended up striking five all together,
13 but in this case, I would stop after the word
14 "definitive", period, footnote. And then the whole
15 "For example" part, I would put in a footnote. And
16 then I would come back to the text with, "It is
17 difficult".

18 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I'm okay with
19 that.

20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yep.

21 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: But take out --
22 well, I'd put the whole thing in a footnote. I'd stop
23 at "definitive", and put everything else in a
24 footnote.

25 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Oh, by the way, Mr.
2 Staff Director, given the characterization of Ms.
3 Kurlaender's testimony in the beginning of the
4 document, I suggest that if you're going to do a
5 defame and degrade process, you should send that to
6 her, because when I last communicated with her, she
7 thought that was an overly narrow characterization of
8 her testimony, and she didn't have a chance to correct
9 it.

10 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I would be pleased
11 to submit the entire matter for a defame and degrade
12 review.

13 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Fine.

14 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. Number 13.

15 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I'm just a little
16 puzzled as to why we are making reference to early
17 studies. What do we yield from that? What gain do we
18 get from that? I mean, I think there's good academic
19 reason for that, but I'm not sure that needs to be a
20 finding of ours.

21 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I would strike
22 number 13 for that reason.

23 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's strike
24 it.

25 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: And let me just

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 say that I would not be opposed to putting this into a
2 footnote or somewhere. I mean, I think it's useful
3 information, but I don't know why it would be a
4 finding.

5 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Let's just strike
6 it for now, because -- well, let's just strike it,
7 because then we're going to vote, and we can't ask
8 for, at this point, the staff to go back and reword,
9 so I think it's either in or out.

10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any strong feelings on
11 that matter, on whether it goes into the footnote or
12 not?

13 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I don't have a
14 strong feeling.

15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's strike
16 it.

17 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So in number 14, I
18 haven't read it carefully just now, but I would delete
19 the word "more", because it makes sense if we're
20 taking out 13, and start with "recent".

21 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Right. Exactly.

22 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I had a question.
23 Commissioner Melendez. I had suggested that merging
24 it into finding two and my comments, if that's
25 possible.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Merging 14 into 2?

2 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes, is that
3 possible? We've got too many findings, in my opinion.

4 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: No, I think it's
5 different, because one has to do with academic --
6 well, number 2 has to do with academic achievement,
7 and number 14 has to do with cross-racial friendships.

8 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes, there are two
9 different components. One is the hard component, the
10 other one is the soft component.

11 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: But I would -- in
12 addition to striking the word "more" at the beginning,
13 after the sentence that ends "racially isolated
14 schools, period." I would take that data, 2005 study,
15 and put it in a footnote at the bottom.

16 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections?
17 Anything else for 14? Okay, 15.

18 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: 14, I would take -
19 - I'm sorry, 15.

20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: 15.

21 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I would start with
22 "A study by Crane and Mihard", and then down to the
23 bottom and put that in a footnote.

24 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: You know, I
25 wouldn't, Jennifer, and I'll tell you why.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: You wouldn't?
2 Okay.

3 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes. Because the
4 first sentence deals with military academies, the
5 second one has to do with occupational aspirations.
6 It's a different subject. I was initially inclined to
7 do that, but I think it should remain as-is. We're
8 talking about two different concepts.

9 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Wait a minute.
10 Where is it military academies?

11 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: The first one says
12 that racial composition has no effect on whether or
13 not someone is more likely to attend military
14 academies, or become officers. 15, right there, for
15 example.

16 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes.

17 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Okay. And then it
18 goes to occupational aspirations, in general.

19 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes, gotcha. All
20 right. Keep it, keep 15 as-is.

21 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I don't --
22 persuasive, it says a little persuasive evidence?

23 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes. Delete
24 persuasive.

25 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's a good point.
2 Anything else on 15?

3 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Wait a minute.
4 Hold on a second.

5 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Number 16, I would
6 delete. I agree with the statement. In fact, this is
7 a statement that I think I made, but I don't think
8 it's a finding.

9 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I agree.

10 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: This goes to the
11 whole rationale by O'Connor under Sweezy v. New
12 Hampshire, the Frankfurter rationale related to the
13 benefits or the deference accorded to institutions of
14 higher education, so let's delete that.

15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I don't agree, but
16 I'll go along. I think that that's inordinate.

17 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I think that this
18 makes it a tighter document. I think it has -- I
19 thought the document originally had good integrity in
20 terms of reflecting what was adduced at the hearing,
21 and I think this is a very useful exercise. However
22 we adopt or don't adopt changes to the procedure for
23 briefings, and I think Commissioner Braceras' work on
24 this is going to be very helpful, I think that this
25 improves this particular document. And I hope we can

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 do something - if we ever have findings and
2 recommendations for briefings, and I have issues with
3 respect to whether or not we should do that - but if
4 we do that, and for statutory reports, I think this
5 kind of exercise, first of all, is useful in terms of
6 sheer transparency, the procedural aspect of this.

7 Second, I think it vets the document one
8 last time. Third, I think it reflects more accurately
9 the consensus of the commission, and so that we can
10 support this document more readily in a public domain.

11 If we're ever asked in hearings, or by the press, or
12 anyone else, I think that we have a more effective
13 means by which we can respond, because this is -- I
14 think this does reflect the consensus of the
15 commission. And, going to what Commissioner Braceras
16 had indicated before, the Battered Commissioner
17 syndrome, is the antithesis of what had occurred
18 before. I asked the question, are we potted plants?
19 Because something would be presented to us, and the
20 commission - by the way, let me just say, the staff
21 had always done fine work, and it was within the
22 parameters of what their charge was, but we would be
23 presented with it, and we said we either sign off on
24 it, or don't sign off on it.

25 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: That is the first

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 time we've done this, and I think it was extremely
2 helpful. So, anyway, I guess I'd move that we approve
3 the document, as edited by the commission.

4 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I second.

5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Discussion?
6 Commissioner Yaki.

7 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I just wanted to thank
8 the rest of the commission for going through this
9 process. I think that for this document, it was a
10 good exercise. I still believe that for future
11 briefings, we need to look at a different process all
12 together.

13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I think that there is
14 --

15 COMMISSIONER YAKI: And I am not a member
16 of -- I do not have Battered Commissioner Syndrome,
17 but I'm wondering if I stay here too long, if I'll get
18 Stockholm Syndrome.

19 (Laughter.)

20 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: You might.

21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All in favor?

22 (Chorus of ayes.)

23 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All the folks who
24 object?

25 (Vote taken.)

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let the record
2 reflect that Commissioners Yaki and Melendez voted
3 against the amended motion, and the remaining
4 commissioners voted in favor, the motion passes.

5 **V. MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS**

6 Okay. Next up, last month all of the
7 members of the commission signed letters urging the
8 Attorney General to investigate very disturbing
9 allegations of Anti-Hispanic Voter Discrimination in
10 Orange County, California. I am pleased that the
11 commissioners were unanimous in calling upon the
12 Attorney General in this matter. This unanimity is
13 reflective, I believe, of the level of cooperation
14 that we should strive for. I thank Commissioner
15 Michael Yaki for bringing this matter to the attention
16 of the commission, and I thank all of the
17 commissioners for accepting Commissioner Yaki's
18 recommendation to send the letters.

19 These letters, I think, should be
20 accessible to the public. May I have a motion for
21 posting to the website the letters from Commissioners
22 Braceras, Taylor, Yaki, Kirsanow, and Reynolds, the
23 letter that was sent to Attorney General Gonzalez on
24 October 18th regarding an Anti-Hispanic Voter
25 Harassment Letter, and the letter that was sent by

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Vice Chair Abigail Thernstrom and Commissioner
2 Melendez to Attorney Gonzalez dated October 19th
3 regarding the same topic.

4 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Second.

5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? All in
6 favor?

7 (Chorus of ayes.)

8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections,
9 abstentions? The motion carries unanimously.

10 Okay. Now it's time to set a schedule for
11 the meetings during the 2007 calendar year. May I
12 have a motion to approve the proposed 2007 business
13 meeting and briefing calendar that was distributed to
14 the commissioners via email and hard copy sent on
15 November 9th, 2006? May I have a motion?

16 COMMISSIONER YAKI: So move.

17 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Second?

18 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Second.

19 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioner
20 Braceras.

21 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes, I'd like to
22 discuss. A couple of things; first, I think as our
23 previous discussion indicates, we need more time in
24 the calendar for business meetings, and for thoughtful
25 consideration of our reports, so I would like to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 propose -- I mean, the way it's set up right now is we
2 have four briefings scheduled back-to-back, and then
3 everything right now is open, but I assume that the
4 theory was that we would plug briefings into those
5 other slots. I think it's very difficult to have a
6 productive business meeting and a briefing on the same
7 day. I know the commissioners that come from farther
8 away have to get home, the commissioners who have
9 children need to get home at the end of the day, and
10 it's just hard to go late in the day on Friday, for a
11 variety of reasons. So what I would like to propose
12 is that we do fewer briefings, and perhaps get on an
13 every other month rotation, where we'd do six
14 briefings, and every other month have simply a
15 business meeting where we can really hash out the
16 reports, because I think while we put out fewer
17 reports and fewer briefings, they would be of a higher
18 quality, and we wouldn't rush through our agenda.

19 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I like the idea, but I
20 would ask that, would anyone object to tabling this
21 issue so that we can think about it some more? I like
22 the idea of reducing the number of briefings for the
23 very reasons you've just articulated.

24 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, I think the
25 staff needs to know what they're going to be preparing

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 for January, February, and March, so I think -- I
2 mean, my proposal would be to have a briefing in
3 January, to not have a briefing in February, and then
4 we could go from there. And I think -- I'd like to
5 sort of discuss the order of the briefings, too. But
6 if you want to just first vote on the calendar and the
7 dates, we could do that, and then --

8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, how about this;
9 how about we keep the briefing schedule for January,
10 and table everything else until we give this some more
11 thought in terms of restructuring our approach, and
12 also the order of the briefings.

13 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I guess I'm not
14 sure why it's not something we can just discuss right
15 now. It doesn't seem that complicated. If there are
16 concerns, let's discuss them. I mean, it's simply a
17 question of whether the quantity of work product is
18 more important than breathing room, because I, myself,
19 feel that I need breathing room, and I think some
20 other commissioners do, as well.

21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. So basically,
22 we would move everything -- well, we would space -- we
23 would add a business meeting between each briefing.

24 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes.

25 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Starting after

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 January.

2 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes. Hopefully,
3 that would avoid a situation where we have to
4 continuously table our votes on reports because people
5 feel that they haven't had the time to discuss or
6 consider it, because we've been discussing and
7 considering them at the meeting, so it might end up
8 helping us to produce our reports more quickly in the
9 end.

10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki.

11 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, I understand the
12 sentiment, but I object.

13 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay.

14 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Two reasons; one, I
15 like the briefings, I think that they provide
16 intellectual and civic discussion of important issues,
17 number one. Number two, a lot of this could be solved
18 if we didn't have this insanely cumbersome mini-
19 national report process for briefing reports, where
20 that has a habit of consuming staff time while they're
21 busy also trying to prepare for briefings in the
22 future. And, number three, I have my own parochial
23 objection, because so far, those items which I had
24 proposed keep on getting bumped further and further
25 back, and an alternate schedule will put anything that

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 I had an interest in probably into 2008, and I'm just

2 --

3 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Which are your
4 priorities on the list?

5 COMMISSIONER YAKI: None of the ones right
6 there.

7 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: But tell me which
8 ones on the broader list are your priorities?

9 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, let's see, the
10 Patriot Act is now back to 2008, but the Domestic
11 wiretapping issue is something that I'm very
12 interested in, and it got a high number of votes, and
13 it could be -- it's last on that list, hopefully not
14 in terms of priority, but it always appears there
15 last, and I'm just worried that's going to get kicked
16 off yet again.

17 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, I mean, that
18 is very understandable, and I, personally, am more
19 than happy to see some of your priorities --

20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Moved up.

21 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: -- moved up to
22 early this year, early 2007.

23 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Folks, let's take a
24 10-minute break.

25 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Why now, we're in

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 mid-conversation?

2 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Because I have to --

3 (Laughter.)

4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I would rather not
5 respond.

6 COMMISSIONER YAKI: All right. All you
7 have to do is hand the gavel over, walk out the door.
8 No one is going --

9 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes, hand the
10 gavel over.

11 (Whereupon, the proceedings went off the
12 record at 10:55:59 a.m., and went back on the record
13 at 11:06:47 a.m.)

14 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Everyone is here.
15 Let's go back on the record.

16 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I wanted to, if
17 it's okay, just discuss strictly the dates in the
18 calendar, first, before we discuss anything else, if
19 that's okay. And I raise the point that I know right
20 now that Friday, June 8th, doesn't work for me. I do
21 appreciate the September meeting not conflicting with
22 the first day of school this year, that's very
23 helpful, but December 14th, I'd like to point out is, I
24 believe, several days after Commissioner Kirsanow's
25 term and my term expire. Maybe you care about that,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 maybe you don't, but if you wanted us to participate
2 in the December meeting --

3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: When does it expire,
4 when does your --

5 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I think both of
6 ours is the same date, December 9th.

7 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: December 7th, or
8 the 9th.

9 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: The 7th.

10 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: 6th.

11 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's --

12 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yaki can't get
13 them down fast enough.

14 (Laughter.)

15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Does anyone --
16 let's see, let me get out my gizmo. Okay. Let's
17 start with June. Will the 7th, you said that that may
18 possibly work, the 7th.

19 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I don't know how
20 Thursdays work for other people. I could do a
21 different week, or I could do --

22 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: How does the 7th, June
23 7th work for everyone?

24 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: It's fine with me.

25 COMMISSIONER YAKI: For the west coasters,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that kind of bites.

2 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Thursday bites?

3 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.

4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

5 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: This is
6 Commissioner Melendez. Thursdays would be hard for
7 me, but Friday would work.

8 COMMISSIONER YAKI: How about the 15th?

9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

10 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, what about
11 earlier, what's the Friday before?

12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The first. Are kids
13 out of school on the 15th?

14 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: No, we go almost
15 until July in New England.

16 COMMISSIONER YAKI: What has happened to
17 education since we went to school?

18 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Really.

19 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. The first and
20 the 15th - the first works for me, and so does the 15th.

21 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I mean, it is
22 true, depending on snow days, that could potentially
23 be the last day of school, but we tend to go much
24 later. So the first would be preferable, just from my
25 --

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

2 COMMISSIONER YAKI: They tack on school
3 days for snow days now?

4 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes.

5 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Outrageous. I think
6 we ought to have a hearing on that.

7 (Laughter.)

8 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I know their civil
9 rights are being violated.

10 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Absolutely.

11 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. So unless I
12 hear an objection, I'll put it down for June 1st.

13 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Thank you.

14 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez,
15 is that okay with you?

16 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: That's fine. I
17 had another question about next month's meeting, was
18 that a Thursday instead of a Friday?

19 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: It's a Friday.

20 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: No, it's a
21 Thursday, because Friday is the --

22 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Oh, next month.

23 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Friday, that
24 Friday in December is the first day of Chanukah.

25 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Also, one of the worst

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 travel days of the year.

2 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: We can't move it a
3 week earlier?

4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's take a
5 look.

6 COMMISSIONER YAKI: It's too late, isn't
7 it? We have to give 30 days notice or something like
8 that.

9 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I'm not aware of a
10 legal requirement.

11 PARTICIPANT: No, we don't need a 30-day
12 notice for agendas or attending a meeting.

13 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: No, it makes it a
14 little bit more difficult in terms of notice to the
15 panelists for the briefing, but I'm not aware of any
16 legal requirement.

17 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: What is our
18 December briefing?

19 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Elementary and
20 secondary desegregation.

21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Haven't we already
22 sent out the -- have we received the responses?

23 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: We've not yet.

24 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. If that's the
25 case, then, we wouldn't be asking the panelists to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 change their schedule. December.

2 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Don't change - if you
3 change the 14th, I'm toast.

4 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So--

5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: It's a Thursday.

6 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: It's currently
7 scheduled for Thursday.

8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's the only
9 Thursday that actually works for me. The only
10 Thursday, what about a Friday? Say the --

11 COMMISSIONER YAKI: The 8th does not work.

12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Oh, not for me.

13 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Any later in the
14 month is probably untenable --

15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Right.

16 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: But that's okay, I
17 can participate by phone.

18 COMMISSIONER YAKI: You're going to get
19 snowed in at the airport, anyway, or the train
20 station.

21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Here are the
22 ugly options, the 29th, the 1st.

23 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Of what?

24 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: December.

25 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: The 29th?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Chairman --

2 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I said --

3 COMMISSIONER YAKI: -- is your middle name
4 Grinch?

5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I prefaced my
6 statements by saying that these are the ugly options.
7 You're not available on any Thursday, except the 14th.

8 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I actually could
9 do the 29th, now that I think about it.

10 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm in Hawaii.

11 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Good man.

12 (Off the record comments.)

13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, folks. Where
14 are we on December? Jennifer is fine with the 29th.

15 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I'm fine with
16 that, too.

17 (Laughter.)

18 COMMISSIONER YAKI: What was that laugh?
19 What the hell laugh was that? If you want to fly on
20 the weekend before New Year's, that is just --

21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Folks, the 29th, I
22 mean, Jennifer, Arlan, I just think that the 29th is
23 just bad.

24 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay.

25 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Staff is going to want

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 to prepare for the New Year's, and all that good
2 stuff, and people will take time, at least I hope.

3 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes. No, that's
4 fine.

5 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Scrooge.

6 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Let me just throw
7 something else out there. Do we need a December
8 meeting? We had an August meeting.

9 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: We have a briefing
10 scheduled.

11 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: We could postpone
12 the briefing to January.

13 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: We can't make it
14 earlier in December? And what's the problem with the
15 date we have on the calendar?

16 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Who is the trouble
17 maker?

18 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I thought it was you.

19 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: No, I'm fine with
20 it. I think Commissioner Melendez raised it.

21 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I have a meeting
22 on Wednesday night. I will pull a red-eye, like
23 Michael Yaki did the last time.

24 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, would you be
25 willing to participate by phone?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes, I guess I
2 could do that, if I have to.

3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. Okay.

4 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Keep it where it
5 is then.

6 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

7 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: No?

8 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes, it's good. I
9 like it. It's the only Thursday that works for me.

10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any other dates that
11 are problematic?

12 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, the only
13 other one I raised was the December 14th.

14 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Of next year.

15 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Of next year.

16 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

17 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Of 2007.

18 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

19 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Actually, I have a
20 problem with that date, too.

21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

22 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Can we do it the
23 week before?

24 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: We need to move it
25 up another week.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Right. So like the
2 first.

3 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I'd be in the same
4 situation, a Wednesday meeting.

5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No, the 7th.

6 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: It needs to be the
7 week before that if Commissioner Kirsanow and I are
8 going to participate. And we'll be expecting a big
9 farewell party with beverages and pastries.

10 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.

11 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No, they said their
12 terms expire the 6th, so we have to do it before the
13 6th.

14 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Oh, the 6th.

15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

16 COMMISSIONER YAKI: So Wednesday, the 5th?

17 Or Monday?

18 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The 3rd works for me.

19 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I can actually do it
20 Monday.

21 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Is that the 3rd?

22 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.

23 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: It doesn't matter
24 to me.

25 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: What date?

2 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The 3rd, which is a
3 Monday. How much pain does that inflict on you?

4 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: That's fine.

5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

6 COMMISSIONER YAKI: If you guys get
7 reappointed before then, I want to move --

8 (Laughter.)

9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Are we done
10 with the -- we are done with the dates. Okay.
11 Briefings. I suggest that we take two items that are
12 not currently slotted. One would be, assuming that
13 Commissioner Yaki approves, is to put the domestic
14 wiretapping briefing on the calendar, and also --

15 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Excuse me. I'm
16 sorry. Can we deal with the structural question first?

17 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes. I think so,
18 as to whether or not we're going to have on-day/off-
19 day, or on-briefing --

20 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Can I ask a
21 question? This is Commissioner Melendez. As far as
22 alternating may not be feasible, but I think maybe one
23 time we should have just strictly not a briefing
24 meeting, similar to today's meeting.

25 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Even if it's just

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 once a quarter.

2 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Like two on, one off,
3 two on, one off.

4 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes.

5 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Like the Senate
6 schedule, two weeks in, one week off.

7 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Is everyone
8 comfortable with that approach?

9 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I'm comfortable
10 with that, as long as we have some that are designated
11 for purely business agendas.

12 COMMISSIONER YAKI: That's fine.

13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. If that is the
14 case, then the question of when this starts.

15 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So, if we have a
16 briefing in December, then maybe we want to have a
17 business meeting in January, and a briefing in
18 February, and in March, and a business meeting in
19 April. What does the Staff Director think of that?

20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: He thinks it's crazy.

21 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I think that
22 there's no problem with that. I think we're
23 indifferent as to where the breaks are.

24 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay.

25 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I mean if the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 breaks have some operational ramifications that I
2 think balance out. One is that we might have had
3 difficulty doing all of these briefings in light of
4 the expected appropriations, anyhow, so this is --

5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So there will be seven
6 briefings for next year.

7 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: On the other hand,
8 we've announced publicly and to Congress the briefings
9 we're going to have, so we'll have to revise that, and
10 let them know we're going to do less than we initially
11 committed to, that may be a wash.

12 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, I think
13 that's okay.

14 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. So if I
15 understand this right, we will have a briefing in
16 December, a business meeting in January, then a
17 briefing in February.

18 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I think I
19 preferred having a briefing in January, given the fact
20 that we've had a briefing today. Let's do December,
21 January, then take --

22 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Break in February.

23 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Business meeting in
24 December.

25 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: No, no.

2 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Briefing in
3 December, briefing in January, then we'll take --

4 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And a business
5 meeting in February.

6 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: -- February for a
7 business meeting. So it'll go March, April, business
8 meeting in May.

9 COMMISSIONER YAKI: So that means the last
10 meeting can be done strictly to extolling your
11 virtues, and --

12 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Exactly.

13 (Off the record comments.)

14 COMMISSIONER YAKI: So it would be seven
15 briefings, four meetings.

16 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right. And then
17 as Kim points out, the end of the fiscal year in
18 September, that would be a strictly business meeting,
19 which might be good. So briefing January, business
20 February, briefings for March and April, business May,
21 briefings June and July, business September, briefings
22 October and November.

23 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is anybody writing
24 this down?

25 COMMISSIONER YAKI: And party in December.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And party for Pete
2 and me in December.

3 (Laughter.)

4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. So we are done,
5 I believe, with that.

6 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Can I ask a
7 question?

8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Yes.

9 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Are we set for
10 four briefings next year already all the way up to
11 April?

12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We are about to tackle
13 that issue, as soon as we're done with the briefing
14 versus business meeting.

15 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right. So we've
16 got the calendar now. Now we're going to plug in the
17 topics.

18 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay, go ahead.

19 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I would like to
20 move two issues that are not currently calendared, and
21 they are the No Child Left Behind briefing, and the
22 Domestic Wiretapping Briefing.

23 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'd like to add,
24 Commissioner Melendez' important one was the
25 discrimination against the American Border towns.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes. I've been
2 waiting a long time for that one, also.

3 (Off the record comments.)

4 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I mean, why don't
5 we let the Democrats pick the March briefing. You
6 work that out amongst yourselves.

7 COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, we're both going
8 to get one. What are you talking about?

9 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, which do you
10 want in March? You can't have two in March.

11 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Oh, in March.

12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, you don't have
13 to decide now. You can consult with Commissioner
14 Melendez, and just let the Staff Director know.

15 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay.

16 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I propose that
17 the one on No Child Left Behind, NCLB, that that go
18 forward in January.

19 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: As a briefing.

20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.

21 COMMISSIONER YAKI: That's fine.

22 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And March will be
23 the topic of the Democratically appointed
24 Commissioners' choice. The Democrats choice.

25 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Arlan, do you mind if

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 I put mine there?

2 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Go ahead, that's
3 fine.

4 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay.

5 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Domestic
6 wiretapping?

7 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.

8 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: For March.

9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So you pulled rank on
10 him.

11 (Laughter.)

12 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I asked did he mind.

13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, that's right.

14 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: No, that's fine.

15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Sort of like when my
16 boss asks me if I want to do something. Okay. So we
17 have December covered, January covered, March covered.
18 When is the next briefing?

19 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: April.

20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: April. Thoughts?

21 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, one thought
22 I have is that we haven't done anything on gender, and
23 so that might be an appropriate slot for the Title 9
24 topic.

25 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm still recovering

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 from my involvement with Title 9 when I was at the
2 Department of Education, but that's fine.

3 COMMISSIONER YAKI: So you have Battered
4 Title 9 Syndrome. Is that --

5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, indeed. Yes,
6 indeed, angry women, angry girls.

7 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay, go ahead.

8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's fine with me.
9 Is there -- does anyone else have concerns? Okay.

10 COMMISSIONER YAKI: How would you like to
11 reserve either October or November for Arlan's
12 briefing?

13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry. What are
14 the months?

15 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Either October or
16 November for Arlan's briefing.

17 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Sure. Okay. How
18 about we put it down for October?

19 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Is that good?

20 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Thank you.

21 COMMISSIONER YAKI: And you guys can work
22 out the rest offline.

23 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I think that's
24 a good suggestion.

25 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Is everyone in
2 agreement that we would work out the rest of the slots
3 offline?

4 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: All right. So
5 it's NCLB in January, domestic wiretapping in March,
6 Title 9 in April, and the rest is to be determined?

7 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No. And the border
8 towns.

9 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I'm sorry, where
10 is that?

11 COMMISSIONER YAKI: October.

12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: October.

13 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And why October,
14 as opposed to --

15 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I just chose October.
16 And then you can --

17 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I defer to the
18 Democrats.

19 COMMISSIONER YAKI: That'll give you a
20 nice stream of uninterrupted Republican briefings, so
21 --

22 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: You didn't want
23 the summer, you'll be vacationing?

24 (Laughter.)

25 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. October is

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 border town.

2 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I have good attendance
3 here.

4 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And the rest is to
5 be determined from this list. Sounds good.

6 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Where am I?

7 **VI. STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ISSUES**

8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. The staff has
9 done an exemplary job of preparing the recharter
10 packages for the commission's consideration for the
11 State of California. In this instance, the recharter
12 package was initially presented to the commission for
13 a vote in July of 2006. At the request of a
14 commissioner, the vote was delayed until today's
15 meeting. At this point, I would like to discuss the
16 folks who are being voted on. Well, I'll skip that
17 piece.

18 Okay. I'm going to move that the
19 following individuals be appointed to the California
20 SAC; Gail Heriot, Luis Alejo, James Bolton, Sharon
21 Browne, Jack Citrin, John Dodd, Marc Dollinger, Percy
22 Duran, Thomas Gray, Lance Izumi, Manual Klausner,
23 Sanford Lakoff, Al Latham, Leonard Mitchell, Velma
24 Montoya, and Matthew Rosenthal. And I also move that
25 the commission appoint Gail Heriot as Chair of the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 newly rechartered California State Advisory Committee.
2 These members will serve as uncompensated government
3 employees. Under the motion, the commission
4 authorizes the Staff Director to execute the
5 appropriate paperwork for the appointment of these
6 individuals. Is there a second?

7 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Second.

8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?

9 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes. This is
10 Commissioner Melendez. Again, I have a real concern
11 in the makeup of some of our advisory committee
12 members. One of my concerns, as I mentioned, and even
13 the Connecticut, and some of these other State
14 Advisory Committees, was that I think there's a lack
15 of women. As you know, there was only 19 percent in
16 this of California SAC nominees, 13 percent in
17 Connecticut, and only 27 percent in Georgia, as far as
18 number of women that are being appointed to the SAC.
19 And the other thing was that there's four returning
20 members to this California SAC, and I was wondering
21 why one of those returning members wasn't the Chair,
22 would be nominated then for the Chair.

23 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I probably nominated
24 Gail. I know her personally. She's scary smart. She
25 knows a lot of the issues that come before the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 commission, and I just thought that she'd do a fine
2 job. So that's the rationale.

3 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: And then the other
4 thing I had was, there is -- the other question was
5 how qualified are our commissioners with respect to
6 civil rights work, and I think there was one question
7 as far as this John L. Dodd, who didn't really appear
8 to me that he has a lot of experience in civil rights
9 issues. That was my other concern.

10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I went through
11 the rechartering packages last night, and I looked at
12 each individual in terms of their interest in civil
13 rights, and no one jumped out at me as not having an
14 interest, but give me a moment while I find his paper.

15 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Can I ask a question
16 of the solicitor?

17 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Sure. Yes.

18 COMMISSIONER YAKI: What are the conflict
19 of interest rules regarding, can a SAC committee
20 member participate on an item in which he or she is
21 involved in litigation on the subject? In other
22 words, if the SAC were to consider desegregation, and
23 one of the attorneys is involved in a desegregation
24 case. I know that we have some conflicts in our
25 ability to deal with items for which we are receiving

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 remuneration or compensation for specific items,
2 specific topics. I just want to know whether or not
3 that applies to SAC members, as well.

4 MS. MONROIG: Well, the regional directors
5 have -- and in some cases, civil rights analysts have
6 been appointed Deputy Ethics Officers. The
7 appropriate thing is for members of SACs that might
8 have a conflict to disclose all the circumstances, and
9 for them, in the first instance, to analyze it to see
10 if there's a conflict, and maybe consult on the
11 matter.

12 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I would like to know
13 from the Staff Director whether or not the California
14 SAC is one of the SACs that would be dealing with the
15 desegregation issue.

16 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I think that the
17 SAC would have to convene together, and talk about
18 what they want to deal with. At this point, I would
19 say that the --

20 COMMISSIONER YAKI: But I --

21 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: You mean for our
22 national report.

23 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes. I had understood
24 that some of the SACs were being tasked with dealing
25 with some of the issues related to the national

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 report.

2 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: But don't they have to
3 decide to --

4 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Let me just answer
5 these. That is, part of it is if they get to decide.

6 California is not one of the states that we are
7 relying on in the sense that we feel it is important
8 to our national report to get something from them. I
9 don't recall off-hand whether it was one of the ones
10 that we designated with a request, that designation
11 was based on whether they had certain filings there,
12 but it's not one of the ones that we feel that we
13 need.

14 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay. Well, my
15 response to that is I find that very odd, given that
16 it's one of the --

17 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Oh, I'm sorry.
18 Commissioner Yaki, and I can say further that it is
19 not one of the ones that we even made a request of.

20 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay. I was just
21 going to say that's odd, given that it's one of the
22 most diverse states in the country with some of the
23 biggest issues involving deseg, but hey, whatever.

24 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: The requests were
25 not based on subjective evaluations, but based on the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Department of Justice docket.

2 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Getting back to
3 Commissioner Melendez' issue. I have before me the
4 rechartering package, and, quite frankly, I am
5 comfortable with his background. Apparently, he has
6 done work in the area of Criminal Justice,
7 representing the indigent, and I think that that is --
8 well, I'm satisfied. Other questions?

9 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm sorry, the
10 indigent?

11 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.

12 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Are they within our
13 charter?

14 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Under certain
15 circumstances, yes. To the extent that these issues
16 relate to race, ethnicity.

17 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay. Well, may I
18 speak on this subject?

19 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.

20 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I have, as someone who
21 has been an elected official in the great State of
22 California, who has campaigned up and down the state,
23 been involved in campaigns there, and electoral and
24 public policy issues for 20 years of my life, I have
25 to say that I am absolutely 100 percent - and you may

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 send this out for defame or degrade, whatever way you
2 want - I'm completely appalled by the nominees to the
3 California State Advisory Committee. There is a
4 difference between having diversity of viewpoint on a
5 committee, and creating uniformity of viewpoint in
6 that diversity.

7 You have amongst these individuals
8 overlapping -- let me put it another way. Three to
9 four members of the appointees were all part of the
10 Prop. 209 campaign, which has done horrific things to
11 affirmative action issues in the University of
12 California system. You have people who have been
13 involved, who are currently involved working together
14 on the Seattle and Louisville cases. They are, by
15 most parts, all lawyers or professors, hardly a cross-
16 section of the type - even if we cut out the issues of
17 ethnicity, hardly a cross-section, a representation of
18 the people of California.

19 I think that this is an elite group of
20 individuals, who share a distinct point of view. I do
21 not believe there is diversity. I look at the fact
22 that 75 percent of the new nominees are white, and
23 male. I look at the fact that 63 percent of the
24 committee is going to be white in a state that is
25 majority minority, and even if you say well, we have

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 no quotas, the mere fact you would ignore the fact
2 that in a state where ethnicity and race plays such a
3 major role in how things work, by having the committee
4 of this makeup, I think is a slap in the face to
5 Californians. It's a slap in the face to the
6 communities of color in California, and I cannot
7 support this in any way, shape, or form. And, to me,
8 the idea that Gail Heriot would be named Chair, when I
9 found her to be wholly unconvincing and evasive during
10 her testimony on the Native Hawaiian Act, send that to
11 her, let her respond, is a double insult to the people
12 of color in California. And that is my statement, and
13 I don't even want to participate in this vote.

14 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. We will treat
15 Commissioner Yaki's actions as an abstention.

16 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I won't even be here.

17 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

18 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Not voting at all. I
19 refuse to have my name appear in any way, shape, or
20 form with any vote on the composition of this
21 committee. This committee is anathema to everything
22 that people of color, that women, and minorities in
23 California have worked for, for years. And this is --
24 I mean, as I've said before, there is diversity, and
25 there is uniformity.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is this because those
2 three individuals --

3 COMMISSIONER YAKI: It's more than the
4 three. I could have gone into much more detail about
5 all the different back -- about all the similarity of
6 backgrounds, about all the organizations that they all
7 cross-belong to.

8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki --

9 COMMISSIONER YAKI: The fact that they
10 belong to the same network of people, and it just does
11 not say to me that this commission is seriously
12 interested, as I said it was, in promoting balance and
13 diversity of viewpoint.

14 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki --

15 COMMISSIONER YAKI: And the State Advisory
16 Committees, especially in a state as big, and as
17 important, as California. Because of that, I cannot
18 participate in this farce of a proceeding on the
19 California State Advisory Committee.

20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is the driver that the
21 individuals that you mentioned were in the forefront
22 of Prop. 209, a proposition that was supported by a
23 majority of the folks living in California?

24 COMMISSIONER YAKI: The driver is that the
25 overall composition of this committee, the selection

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 process that was used, the criteria that evidently was
2 pulled together, creates homogeneity of viewpoint and
3 background that is completely contrary --

4 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Am I mistaken --

5 COMMISSIONER YAKI: There's more than
6 three people.

7 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. You --

8 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Am I mistaken, I
9 thought this was a pretty evenly balanced panel in
10 terms of 50 percent sort of liberals, and 50 percent
11 conservatives?

12 COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, because if you
13 look at some of the resumes for the independents and
14 the Ds, they're not.

15 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: They're not what?

16 COMMISSIONER YAKI: They're not liberals.

17 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So would you like
18 to remove some of the independents and Ds, and come up
19 with your own suggestion?

20 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I've already spoken
21 with the Chair. There is no desire to remove any of
22 the people involved, and --

23 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So you just want
24 more Ds.

25 COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, I just think that

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 --

2 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Not different Ds.

3 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I just think that the
4 idea that if the commission were willing to think
5 about looking at these names differently, adding a
6 different kind of viewpoint and ethnic balance to the
7 committee - for the life of me, I don't understand --

8 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Why don't you sit
9 down and we'll discuss it.

10 COMMISSIONER YAKI: -- how the commission
11 could believe that it could, with a straight face,
12 nominate a SAC that is completely out of whack with
13 the State of California.

14 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: How so?

15 COMMISSIONER YAKI: The idea that the
16 State Advisory Committee would be 64 percent Caucasian
17 in a state that is majority minority is, in and of
18 itself, indicative of a lack of understanding. If you
19 cannot find conservatives, people of color to
20 articulate a viewpoint and put it out there, I have
21 little trouble with that. Let that debate go on.
22 That's number one.

23 Number two, is the fact that when you look
24 at from where the recruitment began, there is such
25 similarity and cross-overlap of bodies of work, of

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 organizations that they belong to, that it just does
2 not seem to me -- it would be one thing to say I'm
3 going to get someone from this community who is
4 conservative and believes in dahdala dahdala da, but
5 doesn't necessarily belong to the same three groups as
6 three other people do.

7 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm not sure that
8 belonging to an organization, that that's a proxy for
9 each issue. For example, the Federalist Society,
10 there are libertarians.

11 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I have heard --

12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Conservatives --

13 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Chairman, with all
14 due respect, I have heard your argument on that time
15 and time again. The fact of the matter is, is that
16 the fact that we cannot, especially in a state as big
17 and as diverse, and as populous as California, with as
18 many different interests, and people involved, that
19 you cannot go outside the box of certain
20 organizations, and instead, rely on the fact that
21 well, maybe they're not all the same. Well, Democrats
22 aren't all the same, Republicans aren't all the same.
23 I understand that.

24 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I agree.

25 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: We have in various

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 SACs, I would wager that a number of SACs, if not
2 most, have more than one, two, three individuals who
3 belong to the same organization, such as the NAACP. I
4 don't know that belonging to the same organization is
5 necessarily a disqualifying factor. I understand your
6 concerns. I also don't think that, and I would be
7 personally opposed to some type of proportional ethnic
8 representation. If the chips fall where they may and
9 that happens, fine. But I think one of the things we
10 wanted to avoid was ethnicity as a proxy for
11 viewpoint. So, because you're from California, and
12 you've got a particular knowledge of that, I mean, I'm
13 sensitive to your view, but I'm not necessarily
14 persuaded by it.

15 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, I would say that
16 you can look at certain areas, certain regions,
17 certain states, and make differential conclusions
18 about where interests lie, what groups should be
19 involved. But I'm just saying this as a practical
20 fact, it is California, it is the largest state in the
21 Union, it is the most diverse state in the Union, it
22 is the seventh largest economy in the entire world, it
23 is a place where people of all colors, all creeds, all
24 political persuasions get together and have a friendly
25 hash fight, and not so friendly hash fight every two,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 four, or six years, depending on what election is
2 going on.

3 It just strikes me as bizarre, and
4 certainly indicative of either lack of effort,
5 imagination, or creativity to find a little bit more
6 diversity. Just look at it from one point of view.
7 Why is the vast majority of the new appointees all
8 lawyers? Why is the vast majority or professors?
9 That's it, that's the group. Certainly, there are
10 other types of individuals involved in the civil
11 rights perspective from both left and right, who don't
12 necessarily belong in the lawyer drafting camp, and
13 the professor camp.

14 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I have a question
15 for you. May I ask a question of Commissioner Yaki?

16 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.

17 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: If this group were
18 to contain more community activists, more people of
19 color, as you suggest, but it still contained the
20 names of the three individuals with whom I understand
21 you have strong objection, would you vote for the
22 panel?

23 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Let me just say this;
24 I named three individuals as being sort of from the
25 same cut of cloth. If you really want my --

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: If Gail Heriot
2 were still named as Chairman, and the other two
3 individuals - I forget who they are, even, that you
4 object to - are still on the panel, is it Manny
5 Klausner, is that one of them?

6 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm not going to get
7 into names.

8 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. Well, if
9 Gail were still named as Chair, and the other two
10 individuals to whom you object are still on the panel,
11 but there was reshuffling of other individuals to
12 include more community activists, and other types of
13 criteria that you desire, would you vote for the
14 panel?

15 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I might.

16 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Because I don't
17 believe that you would vote for a panel with Gail
18 Heriot on it, no matter what it looked like. And so
19 that's why --

20 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, Gail I have
21 trouble with. I think overall in terms of what -- I
22 mean, I've been a politician most of my life.

23 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right. So what
24 I'm saying is I think you're bluffing a little bit,
25 because I think you would reject any panel that

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 included Professor Heriot.

2 COMMISSIONER YAKI: No. I can tell you
3 right now, I would not reject any panel that included
4 Professor Heriot.

5 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: As Chair?

6 COMMISSIONER YAKI: It would depend on who
7 the Vice Chair was, and would depend on the
8 composition of the rest of the committee. Never say
9 no, never say never.

10 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: But you're not
11 saying yes.

12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I suggest that we vote
13 on the --

14 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm not saying yes,
15 because I've been informed that that's not going to
16 happen. And that's not going to happen, I can't vote
17 for it. I'm not going to vote on speculation that
18 maybe --

19 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I'm not asking you
20 to vote. I'm just asking your intention.

21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I suggest that we vote
22 on this slate, and this is 16 individuals. I believe
23 California has what, 18 slots? Is that correct?

24 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Our standard is to
25 go up to 19, no more than 19.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. We could
2 continue these conversations with respect to the
3 remaining slots, and see if through discussions we can
4 come up with some candidates that have the support of
5 Commissioner Yaki. But in the meantime, I suggest
6 that we vote. All in favor?

7 (Chorus of ayes.)

8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in opposition?

9 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Aye.

10 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: That would be a
11 nay.

12 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: No. I vote no.

13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Please let the record
14 reflect that Commissioners Braceras, Kirsanow, Taylor
15 and Reynolds voted in favor of the California SAC
16 members proposed, and that Commissioner Yaki did not
17 vote, and that Commissioner Melendez voted against the
18 motion. The motion passes.

19 COMMISSIONER YAKI: For the record,
20 Commissioner Yaki left the room so he did not vote.

21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Let the record reflect
22 that Commissioner Yaki left the room so he did not
23 vote.

24 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Would not vote.

25 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Would not vote,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 refused to vote. Next up, Future Agenda Items.

2 **VII. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS**

3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Do any of the
4 commissioners have any items that they'd like to
5 discuss?

6 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes. This is
7 Commissioner Melendez. Are we going to have an update
8 on the audit?

9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, but -- one, two.
10 Okay. We have to wait until Commissioner Braceras
11 returns so that we will have a quorum. Okay. We're
12 going to start the discussion of the Staff Director's
13 Report.

14 **VIII. STAFF DIRECTOR'S REPORT**

15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Staff Director Marcus.

16 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Thank you, Mr.
17 Chairman. First, I just wanted to make a brief
18 announcement about the Utah State Advisory Committee,
19 which is one of our most newly rechartered committees.

20 On December 13, the Utah Advisory Committee will
21 conduct a briefing in Salt Lake City, Utah regarding
22 discrimination against Native Americans in border
23 communities. Our Denver office is working hard to put
24 on what I think will be a very fine panel on that
25 topic. The governor of Utah is now scheduled to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 participate, as is the mayor of Salt Lake County,
2 Utah.

3 The meeting is very shortly before our
4 commission meeting, which, unfortunately, was an
5 unavoidable conflict. Nevertheless, if there are any
6 commissioners who are interested in attending that
7 meeting, please let me know, and I'm sure that they
8 will be welcome.

9 I'd like to say a few words about the
10 commission's financial reporting and finances. On
11 Thursday night, Wednesday night, early Thursday
12 morning, we completed and issued our Annual
13 Performance and Accountability Report, including our
14 Audited Financial Statement.

15 As you know, these reports were seldom
16 prepared and delivered to OMB on time in the past, and
17 getting our paperwork together for the deadline was, I
18 think, nothing short of a heroic effort. I
19 particularly would commend Tina Martin and Debra Carr.

20 Staff worked here until 2:30 in the morning to get
21 the work done, and I think that their ability to
22 compile this accountability report really was an
23 indication of extraordinary dedication by commission
24 staff.

25 The report, together with the audited

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 financial statement, paints a picture that I think has
2 two main features. One of them is that it shows that
3 we still have some weaknesses that are significant,
4 and that will require concerted effort in order to fix
5 them and turn them around. We still have a great deal
6 of work to do on our finances, and I think we have a
7 great deal of aggressive work still to do.

8 On the other hand, they do show rather
9 dramatic improvement from two years ago, and rather
10 dramatic improvement even from last year. As the
11 commissioners will recall, we have never before been
12 able to get even a qualified opinion on more than one
13 of our financial statements. In 2004, when we
14 arrived, the Parker firm was in the middle of an audit
15 that took two years to complete, and when they
16 completed it, they only looked at the balance
17 statement, and were unable to provide even a qualified
18 opinion. Instead, they provided a disclaimer,
19 together with a discussion of weaknesses on that one
20 statement.

21 Last year, we made substantial progress,
22 even though we still had the same accounting firm that
23 had been contracted by the prior administration, we
24 actually were able to get a full-scope audit, which is
25 to say an audit of all five financial statements, and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 we were able to get not a disclaimer, but a qualified
2 opinion on our balance sheet, as well as disclaimers
3 on the other four statements.

4 This year, for the first time, we have
5 opinions on all five financial statements. Becoming
6 auditable, and being able to get qualified opinions on
7 all five statements I think is an extraordinary amount
8 of progress. But what's more is that we didn't get
9 qualified opinion on all five of our statements, we
10 actually got unqualified opinions on every single one
11 of them for the first time, so this is a dramatic
12 improvement.

13 As I say, there are still material
14 weaknesses and reportable conditions. They deal with
15 the travel and approval process, they deal with human
16 capital needs, travel expenses, supporting
17 documentation, file maintenance, and travel and
18 approval processing. These are all things that we are
19 in the process of developing a corrective action plan
20 to deal with. Nevertheless, the work to ensure that
21 our financial reporting is sound, I think was a big
22 step forward. So it is mixed news, still very
23 significant issues, very significant issues, but
24 dramatic improvements.

25 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Could I ask a

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 question? This is Commissioner Melendez. Here's
2 where we really need what the findings was, maybe the
3 other stuff, but we need the findings, here's where
4 it's really needed. What were the actual findings as
5 far as issues relating to the budget, and spending,
6 those type things?

7 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: If you're
8 referring to the findings of our auditors, Walker and
9 Company, they were distributed to commissioners
10 yesterday. As I say, there were two material
11 weaknesses, and three reportable conditions, which is
12 an improvement from the prior year. Is it two and
13 three or two and two? I'm sorry, it's two and two.
14 Two material weaknesses, two reportable conditions,
15 which is an improvement from the prior year, but that
16 everything else is unqualified.

17 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay. My question
18 as to have we spent our money appropriately so that we
19 don't really lose funding that reflects on the
20 commission? Because, as you know, we were unable to
21 hire special assistants for a number of months, and
22 I'd hate to see us all of a sudden find out that we
23 did not spend appropriately the money that we have.
24 Could you answer that question?

25 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 we intend to go into closed session, and that issue
2 will be discussed at that time. But we do have an
3 answer for you.

4 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay.

5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any other questions?
6 Okay. The Staff Director has requested that the next
7 portion of the meeting be closed pursuant to the
8 commission's regulations implementing the Sunshine
9 Act. Will the General Counsel and Solicitor please
10 certify that the meeting can be closed pursuant to the
11 commission's regulations?

12 MR. BLACKWOOD: Based on our knowledge of
13 why the Staff Director is requesting the closed
14 portion of the meeting, we certify in writing and have
15 submitted in writing, that this meeting can be closed,
16 and the information pertaining to same can be
17 withheld. Pursuant to the following exemptions
18 provided for in the commission regulations, see 45 CFR
19 Section 702.54. Exemption Two, when a meeting relates
20 to the internal personnel rules and practices of the
21 commission. Exemption Five, when a meeting might
22 involve censoring a person. And Exemption Six, when a
23 meeting might involve disclosing information of a
24 personal nature, where disclosure might constitute a
25 clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. And

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Exemption Ten, when the meeting might involve the
2 commission's participation in a civil action or
3 proceeding.

4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. May I have a
5 motion to close this meeting pursuant to Exemptions
6 Two, Five, Six, and Ten of the Closed Meetings
7 Procedures for the commission meetings; furthermore,
8 this motion would authorize the Staff Director to
9 place in a location accessible to the public within
10 one working day, business day, the public vote to
11 close the meeting, reflecting an explanation of the
12 decision to close the meeting, and a list of all
13 persons attending the meeting.

14 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: So moved.

15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is there a second?

16 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Second.

17 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I will call out
18 the name of each commissioner. The commissioner
19 should then answer yes, no, or abstain. After the
20 voting is concluded, I will read out how each one of
21 you voted in order to ensure that the tally is
22 correct. Please vote when I call your name.
23 Commissioner Braceras.

24 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes.

25 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.

2 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez.

3 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes.

4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Taylor.

5 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.

6 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And I vote yes, also.

7 The tally is as follows, Commissioners Braceras,
8 Kirsanow, Melendez, Taylor, and Reynolds voted in the
9 affirmative. Commissioners Thernstrom and Yaki did
10 not participate in the vote. The motion passes.

11 At this point, I guess we need to clear
12 the room pursuant to the affirmative vote. The
13 individuals who will participate in the closed session
14 are Commissioners Braceras, Kirsanow, Taylor,
15 Melendez, and Reynolds. Also present will be Staff
16 Director Marcus, the General Counsel, David Blackwood,
17 the Assistant Deputy Staff Director, Debra Carr, the
18 Director of Administration, Tina Louise Martin, the
19 Director of Human Resources, Tyro Beatty, Derek Horne,
20 who is the Attorney Advisor to the Staff Director, and
21 the Solicitor, Emma Monroig.

22 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I would add that
23 if Commissioner Melendez would want Mr. Schmechel to
24 remain, that there is no problem with his remaining.
25 I don't know whether Ms. Schuld is here, but if

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Commissioner Braceras --

2 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: She is no longer
3 here. She needed to catch a flight.

4 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes, Richard can
5 remain.

6 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let the record
7 reflect that Richard Schmechel will also be in
8 attendance. Okay. So everyone is out, doors closed.

9 (CLOSED SESSION.)

10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's go back
11 on the record.

12 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: These are just
13 minor questions that I have.

14 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Are we required to
15 certify on the back-end?

16 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry?

17 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Are we required to
18 certify on the back-end of a closed session?

19 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry. Yes.

20 (Off the record comments.)

21 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Ms. Monroig, why
22 don't you answer the question.

23 MS. MONROIG: The requirement, according
24 to the regs, is that you read the transcript of the
25 closed session afterwards to determine if there is any

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 items there that are disclosable to the public or not.

2 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Okay.

3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioner
4 Braceras.

5 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Oh, I just had a
6 question about transcripts, and where we stand in
7 receiving them, and getting them up on the web for
8 previous briefings and meetings?

9 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Yes, Commissioner
10 Braceras. We are now several months behind in making
11 the transcripts available in final form. The process
12 that we go through is a time-consuming one. Usually,
13 it is the Secretary to the Staff Director who does
14 this work, which involves not just reading the
15 transcript, but listening to the tapes carefully,
16 finding any errors, with due respect to court
17 reporters, which we do find.

18 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: With respect to
19 the briefing, or also the meeting portion?

20 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Both of them, we
21 find errors in both. Based on various efforts using
22 current staff, I've determined that we simply are not
23 able currently to make our way through the backlog
24 with the currently available people, particularly
25 given that the Secretary to the Staff Director remains

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 on long-term medical leave.

2 We are bringing on a temporary person for
3 the sole purpose of wading through these transcripts
4 to try and come up to speed. And my expectation is
5 that our temporary employee who is working on that
6 matter, I hope, will be on board on Monday. And the
7 Director of Management is nodding her head yes, we do
8 expect her to be on board, so she can start.

9 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. I raise it,
10 simply because I've been asked by members of the
11 public who are interested in some of the briefings we
12 did, and I guess, wanted to do research based on them,
13 whether the transcripts were publicly available.

14 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Yes. And that's
15 why we're spending the money to bring a temporary
16 person on board, is because we really need to get
17 those --

18 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: My other question
19 is, is it our intention, with respect to all of the
20 briefings we've done, to try to issue briefing
21 reports, or are some of them just simply verbal
22 briefings? Specifically, I was wondering whether
23 we're putting together a report on the ABA Rules, and
24 on the Omaha briefing that we had.

25 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Yes. We are

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 working on reports on all of the briefings that we've
2 held so far.

3 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: When do you think
4 the ABA Rules one might come out?

5 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Fairly confident
6 by the January meeting, and there's a chance that it
7 would be in the December meeting.

8 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: The reason I ask -
9 - I'm sorry. Go ahead.

10 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes, the reason I
11 asked, which may be the same reason that Commissioner
12 Kirsanow was concerned, is that my understanding is
13 that negotiations between the ABA and the Department
14 of Education are moving along at a speedy clip, and I
15 understand from folks at the Department of Education
16 that it would be useful for them to have us weigh-in
17 on the issue, or at least make the transcripts
18 available as soon as possible.

19 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: That is my
20 concern. My understanding is that the first week of
21 December, the Department of Education is going to have
22 its accreditation hearings. I know I'm going to be
23 testifying at them, and then they're going to be
24 making a determination shortly thereafter, so anything
25 that we can do to weigh-in, I think might be helpful.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I would suggest
2 that we make that particular transcript a priority.
3 Is there any chance -- well, what's your estimate in
4 terms of completing the briefing report for that
5 topic?

6 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I think he just
7 said that --

8 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Well, again, I'm
9 pretty confident for January, for December - and the
10 December, I believe, is after the hearing. I think we
11 have a pretty good chance, I don't know if it's 50/50
12 or not, but we can certainly try to focus on that
13 above all the others. I have to say, and I may need
14 to focus on it a little bit more, or maybe your input
15 would be useful - one of the difficult questions for
16 that briefing and the other briefings that are well
17 advanced in process, is to what extent we need to redo
18 them based on the new draft briefing procedures that I
19 think are still in process.

20 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, let me just
21 ask a few questions. What are, other than Omaha and
22 ABA, the briefings that are out there that we're
23 trying to reduce to writing?

24 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Voting Fraud and
25 Intimidation, Misidentification or Misrepresentation

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 of Minorities in the Census.

2 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: That's right.

3 Okay, so ABA, voting, census, and Omaha.

4 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Those are the ones
5 I can think of off-hand.

6 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And which is -- I
7 mean --

8 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I have to say that
9 the -- some of the staff have been sent out and
10 haven't come back, so I can't guarantee for sure I
11 haven't forgotten something.

12 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. No, no,
13 that's fine, but of these, am I correct - I mean, this
14 is just based on my shaky memory - but am I correct
15 that the oldest, the one that's been out there the
16 longest is ABA, or is it census?

17 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I think it's
18 census. I did forget one. The one that I forgot is
19 the Effectiveness of Historically Black Colleges.

20 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: That's right.
21 HBCUs, okay. Right. And, hence, I think this sort of
22 reiterates our need for separate business meetings,
23 because this is a lot to talk about if we're going to
24 be putting these out. So is the priority to do them
25 in chronological order in terms of getting out the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 briefing reports, or what is the priority?

2 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I suggest that, to the
3 extent an issue - a particular topic that's been
4 covered is being covered by a federal agency - for
5 example, the ABA briefing report, I would suggest that
6 we take that one out of order.

7 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: The ABA report
8 happens to be one of the ones in the most advanced
9 stage.

10 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right. I mean, I
11 think it should be, precisely for the reason that the
12 Chair just stated. I mean, other than that, I think
13 we should try to proceed chronologically, but when
14 something is more timely or current, I think we need
15 to move it to the head of the line.

16 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: There are, of
17 course, other considerations. For instance, there's
18 at least one that was - the timing of which is
19 affected by a change in personnel.

20 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right.

21 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Being done by
22 attorneys in OGC who are no longer employed here, so
23 it got delayed by that reason.

24 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right.

25 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: There are others

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that are a little faster based on difference in
2 resources between OGC, versus OCRE, versus OSD.

3 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. I guess my
4 concern is that before we allocate staff time and
5 resources to planning further briefings, I think it's
6 important to try to kind of clear the docket, or make
7 headway on the docket before we move on to the next
8 thing. But my particular concern was with the ABA,
9 and I'd like to see that moved forward as quickly -- I
10 mean, if we could have with the ABA - if we could get
11 the transcript available ASAP so that it can be useful
12 to the Department as they move into their hearings,
13 that would be great. And then the report will just
14 come when it comes.

15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: With any luck, by
16 December. If not December, January.

17 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right.

18 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: We'll move it to
19 the top of the list.

20 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right. I mean,
21 and why don't we, for purposes of procedure, you know,
22 our procedures are in flux, but why don't we try to --
23 well, why don't we schedule -- I think that there
24 needs to be some sort of conference call where a
25 member of the minority commissioners - the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 commissioners in the political minority can kind of go
2 through it with some of the members in the majority,
3 and hash it out line-by-line. I don't know that we
4 need to call it a working group, per se, but I think
5 Commissioner Yaki and myself, and whoever else may be
6 interested with the Staff Director's cooperation, we
7 should all talk soon about this. I don't know if you
8 want to -- if we can ask the Staff Director's shop to
9 coordinate that conference.

10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I think it's a good
11 suggestion. I think the other commissioners, the ones
12 who are not going to participate in this
13 teleconference, should also read the document that you
14 prepared, and to provide you with their input. But I
15 think that the Staff Director should work with the
16 participants to select a date that this conversation
17 can take place.

18 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Can we try to do
19 that in the next couple of weeks?

20 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I would certainly
21 be happy to. Commissioner Melendez is on the line,
22 still?

23 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes.

24 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Did you want to
25 participate on that?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: If I'm available,
2 yes.

3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Then we should
4 assume that -- well, I'm going to assume that
5 Commissioner Yaki, he has a keen interest in these
6 procedural issues.

7 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: How many can we
8 have without violating the Sunshine Act?

9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: It is four?

10 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Four.

11 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So --

12 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I would be --

13 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So that would be
14 Yaki, Melendez, Taylor, Braceras.

15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Right.

16 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And the Staff
17 Director.

18 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's right.

19 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And I think if we
20 can all set aside a chunk of time, an hour or so, or
21 more to hash through it on the phone, we can probably
22 take care of it in one phone call. But if everybody
23 else could get their written comments to me, and to
24 the Staff Director ahead of time, that would be great.

25 I mean, with respect to how to proceed on

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the reports you're going to be putting together, I
2 guess I would -- I don't know. Do you have any
3 thoughts?

4 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.

5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: This is -- the markers
6 laid down. Well, assuming that we get the new
7 procedures in place quickly, then I don't think that
8 we're going to need -- that we're going to lose too
9 much ground, but there is the potential that we will
10 have to go back and redo some things.

11 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, I think the
12 only risk is that if a report is coming up for the
13 December meeting for a vote, I think if we could
14 separate it physically into two parts, the summary of
15 the proceedings, and the finding and recommendations,
16 then, at the very least, I think we can vote on sort
17 of the clearinghouse version, and approve that without
18 -- hopefully, we could also approve findings and
19 recommendations, but that could be a separate
20 discussion.

21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, I would be
22 interested to hear from Commissioner Yaki. I have no
23 idea whether that would be an acceptable approach for
24 Commissioner Yaki, but it's a reasonable one.

25 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And my guess is

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that it would be, but I don't want to speak for him.

2 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: It's a reasonable
3 approach.

4 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Like I said before,
5 I am uncomfortable voting to approve any further
6 reports, unless it is either just a clearinghouse
7 report, or unless the process has been put in place
8 beforehand. So if we're not voting on just a
9 clearinghouse report, I would abstain from that vote.

10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

11 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Until we have a
12 process.

13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I understand. Any
14 other questions or comments? Commissioner Melendez,
15 questions, comments?

16 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: No.

17 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Oh, I do have one
18 other question. Where do we stand with the strategic
19 plan right now?

20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Ken.

21 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: We're very close
22 to having another draft. I think that we should have
23 one early next week before Tuesday.

24 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Thanksgiving reading.

25 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

IX. ADJOURN1
2
3
4
5
6

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, on that note,
let's adjourn until next month. Thanks, folks.

(Whereupon, the proceedings went off the
record at 12:30 p.m.)

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701