Disclaimer for Rough Real-Time Transcripts

"Do not quote or rely on this uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@usccr.gov."

U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

+ + + + +

MEETING UNEDITED

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 18, 2015

+ + + + +

The Commission convened in Suite 1150 at 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest, Washington, D.C. at 10:00 a.m., Martin R. Castro, Chairman, presiding. PRESENT:

MARTIN R. CASTRO, Chairman

PATRICIA TIMMONS-GOODSON, Vice Chair

ROBERTA ACHTENBERG, Commissioner*

GAIL L. HERIOT, Commissioner*

PETER N. KIRSANOW, Commissioner*

DAVID KLADNEY, Commissioner*

KAREN K. NARASAKI, Commissioner

MAURO MORALES, Staff Director

JENNIFER CRON HEPLER, Solicitor, Parliamentarian

* Present via telephone

STAFF PRESENT:

EVELYN BOHOR

IVY L. DAVIS, Director, ERO

PAMELA DUNSTON, Chief, ASCD

ANTHONY FELIX, Intern

DARREN FERNANDEZ, ESQ., Civil Rights Analyst

ANGELA FRENCH-BELL

SEAN GOLIDAY

ALFREDA GREENE

ASHLEY MACAYSA, Intern

TINALOUISE MARTIN, Director, OM

LENORE OSTROWSKY, Acting Chief, PAU

MAUREEN RUDOLPH, General Counsel

PILAR VELASQUEZ-MCLAUGHLIN, Associate General

Counsel

MICHELE YORKMAN

COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

SHERYL COZART

ALEC DEULL

CLARISSA MULDER

AMY ROYCE

ALISON E. SOMIN

KIMBERLY TOLHURST

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

A-G-E-N-D-A

APPROVAL OF AGENDA4
Introduction of General Counsel Maureen Rudolph8
PROGRAM PLANNING Status on Pending Commission Reports18
Presentation Discussion and Vote on Timeline and on Town Hall Plan for 2016 Statutory Enforcement Report on Environmental Justice
Discussion and Vote on Approval of Letter to Solicitor General Regarding Fisher v. University of Texas64
Discussion and Vote on Approval of Public Statement on Fisher v. University of Texas73
Discussion About December 11th Event at the Lincoln Cottage Ceremony Commemorating the Passage of the 13th Amendment
Discussion and Vote on Approval of Letter to Attorney General Lynch Regarding Prosecutions of Chinese Americans for Spying and Espionage85
MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS
Report by SAC Chair for Kansas90
Staff Director Report107
STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE (SAC) APPOINTMENTS Maryland
ADJOURN MEETING129

NEAL R. GROSS

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(10:00 a.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Calling the meeting to
4	order.
5	This is a meeting of the U.S. Commission
6	on Civil Rights. It is currently 10:00 a.m. Eastern
7	Time on November 18, 2015. This meeting is taking
8	place at the Commission's Headquarters located at 1331
9	Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. in Washington, D.C.
10	I'm Chairman Marty Castro. Those
11	Commissioners who are present with me at the meeting
12	are Commissioners Narasaki and our Vice Chair Judge
13	Timmons-Goodson.
14	Participating by phone are Commissioners
15	Kladney, Kirsanow, Achtenberg and Heriot. We
16	understand Commissioner Yaki will not be joining the
17	call by phone or in person.
18	A quorum of the Commission is present. Is
19	the Court Reporter present? Great.
20	Is the Staff Director present?
21	MR. MORALES: I am present.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. So, the meeting
23	will now come to order.
24	The first item on the Agenda is the

1	Approval of the Agenda.
2	I. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I know there will be
4	some amendments as well, but, first, let me make the
5	motion to approve the Agenda. Is there a second?
6	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I second.
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Are there any
8	amendments?
9	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman?
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Commissioner
11	Achtenberg?
12	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes, Mr.
13	Chairman, I'd like to amend the Agenda to note the
14	discussion and vote on Part B Findings and
15	Recommendations for Peaceful Coexistence for
16	consideration at the December meeting. And, I will be
17	providing a draft no less than a week in advance of the
18	December meeting for the Commissioners' consideration.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Is there a
20	second?
21	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any other amendments?
23	Well, actually, I have two. I am moving
24	to amend the Agenda to remove a discussion on the Birth

1	Certificate issue in Texas. It's an evolving issue.
2	As you all know, we wrote a letter to Texas
3	asking them to cease and desist their failure to provide
4	U.S. Citizen children of undocumented parents their
5	Birth Certificates.
6	There is litigation currently going on,
7	but we're exploring what other steps we can take as a
8	Commission. We'll have something in December to
9	present to the Commission in terms of some potential
10	ideas on how to address that.
11	And, the other item is we wanted to add a
12	review of a press release in the statement on the
13	passing of the former EEOC Chair. You all should have
14	gotten that in your packets.
15	And then, we also have some guests from the
16	U.S. Department of Agriculture Office of Civil Rights
17	who want to make a brief presentation to us. So, I'd
18	like to add them to the Agenda as well.
19	Do I have a second?
20	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I second.
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any other
22	amendments?
23	(No response.)
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, all those in favor
	NEAL D. CDOCC

1	of the Agenda as amended, say aye?
2	(Chorus of aye.)
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any opposed?
4	(No response.)
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any abstentions?
6	(No response.)
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I guess I abstain.
9	I think we need to go back to Robert's Rules of Order
10	a little bit better.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. We'll look at
12	that that we've got in the General Counsel, so maybe
13	she could counsel
14	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: But, what you
15	should do is like hold on, hold on, hold on.
16	Just whoever made the original motion and
17	whoever seconded it needed to put on the record that
18	these were friendly amendments and then we sen water on
	these were friendly amendments and then we can vote on
19	them as a package.
19 20	
	them as a package.
20	them as a package. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, Commissioner
20 21	them as a package. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, Commissioner Heriot. We've not done that before, but if you'd like

1	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I recognize that
2	these are friendly amendments and accept them.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And, I think who
4	seconded it? Was that you, Madam Vice Chair?
5	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: I seconded
6	the amendment of the Agenda to remove the Peaceful
7	Coexistence.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.
9	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Who seconded the
10	original motion?
11	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: I did.
12	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: So, all you have to
13	do is say, these are friendly amendments.
14	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: I thought I
15	just did, but okay. These are friendly amendments.
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: If it's a motion,
17	though, to the original motion.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All right, we're
19	covered then.
20	Okay, so anyway, speaking of General
21	Counsel, I'd like to turn it over to our Staff Director
22	to introduce our new General Counsel.
23	INTRODUCTION OF GENERAL COUNSEL
24	MAUREEN RUDOLPH
	11-11 - 0-00

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	MR. MORALES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
2	appreciate a moment of your time here.
3	I'd like to just, again, take a moment of
4	the Commission's time here to introduce our new General
5	Counsel. Her name is Maureen Rudolph. She comes from
6	the Department of Justice, has over 15 years of
7	experience in litigation and civil rights and various
8	components throughout the Department of Justice.
9	And, she's been with us now for about I
10	believe four and a half or five weeks and been very
11	helpful in a variety of matters.
12	And so, I just wanted to take a moment to
13	introduce her. I don't know if you'd like to say
14	anything real briefly, General Counsel?
15	MS. RUDOLPH: Good morning, everyone.
16	My name is Maureen Rudolph. I am your new
17	General Counsel for the Commission.
18	I wanted to take a moment to introduce
19	myself on a personal level and also on a professional
20	level.
21	I grew up in a military family. My father
22	was in the Air Force. He was career in the Air Force
23	and retired from the Air Force.
24	My mother was an English as a Second

1 Language Teacher. I have learned a lot from both of them over 2. 3 the years growing up. We lived in many different 4 locations. We lived overseas. We lived all over the United States. 5 I currently have two children, a boy and 6 7 a girl, who I love quite dearly and who you will hear me speak about. I also have a wonderful long term 8 9 supportive partner who is also my best friend and I'm very blessed to have that in my life. 10 11 On a professional note, as the Staff 12 Director just said, I have 15 years of experience 13 working for the Department of Justice. In that 14 capacity, I have worked with Congress on substantive 15 legislation, budget requests, I have also litigated 16 cases in front of District Courts, Circuit Courts, and 17 the Supreme Court. 18 I have worked with federal agencies on 19 policy matters and on regulations. I have negotiated an international declaration. 20 I was part of the United 21 delegation who negotiated the Nations 22 Delegation on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples. 23 that capacity, I traveled to Geneva and also negotiated 24 some of that declaration here in Washington, D.C.

1	Those were wonderful experiences that I've
2	had in my life. My primary areas of focus have been
3	mainly in the Native American issues and also on natural
4	resources and environmental law issues.
5	I am thrilled to be here with you. I look
6	forward to working with all of you as we carry out the
7	mission of the Commission.
8	Thank you so much.
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, welcome Madam
10	General Counsel. I know in my interactions with you
11	over the last few weeks, you already made a tremendous
12	contribution and we look forward to continuing to see
13	that in your term.
14	Thanks.
15	Next on the Agenda, what I'd like to do is
16	you all did receive the statement that we have prepared
17	mourning the loss of Jacqueline Berrien. I am going
18	to read the statement and then ask for a vote, a motion
19	and a vote so that it is a press release that would be
20	issued by the Commission.
21	The U.S. Commission on Civil Rights mourns
22	the passing of Jacqueline Berrien, recent Chairwoman
23	of the EEOC. That's the headline.
24	The United States Commission on Civil

1 Rights joins the nation in mourning the passing of 2. former EEOC Chairwoman Jacqueline Berrien, 3 accomplished civil rights lawyer and champion of civil 4 rights over a long and successful career. 5 Among her many accomplishments prior to 6 chairing the EEOC, she served as the Assistant General 7 Counsel -- I'm sorry, Assistant Counsel litigating 8 voting rights and school desegregation cases at the 9 NAACP Legal Defense Fund, as an Associate Director and Counsel at the Ford Foundation and as a faculty member 10 11 at Harvard Law School. 12 Chairwoman Berrien graduated from Oberlin 13 College and Harvard Law School and then clerked for a 14 Federal Judge before joining the Lawyers Committee for 15 Civil Rights and the Women's Rights Project of the ACLU. 16 At the EEOC, she increased investments in 17 staffing, training and technology that increased 18 productivity and substantially reduced backlogs of 19 pending charges despite increased of numbers 20 discrimination filings. During her tenure, the Agency won the 21 22 largest award to date under the Americans with 23 Disabilities Act in EEOC v. Hill Country Farms. 24 Also under her leadership, the EEOC issued

1	important new guidance on the use of arrest and
2	conviction records in employment decisions.
3	Martin R. Castro, Chairman of the U.S.
4	Commission on Civil Rights stated, "the nation has lost
5	a tremendous public servant and the civil rights
6	community has lost a leader."
7	Chair Berrien's was a life well led, not
8	only for herself but also for her nation. She leaves
9	a great legacy for the rest of us to follow.
10	And then, it concludes with our standard
11	language, the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights is an
12	independent bipartisan agency charged with advising
13	the President and Congress on civil rights matters and
14	issuing federal civil rights enforcement report. And,
15	it goes on to give our website.
16	So, can I entertain a motion?
17	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Mr. Chair, I
18	move that we issue that press release.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is there a second?
20	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Mr. Chair, I
21	second it. I had the pleasure of working with Jackie
22	for over 20 years and it is, indeed, a huge loss for
23	the civil rights community.
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All right, would any

1	other Commissioner like to add some words to that? If
2	not, then I'll call it for a vote. All those in favor
3	signify by saying aye?
4	(Chorus of aye.)
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any opposed?
6	(No response.)
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any abstentions?
8	(No response.)
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, we'll direct our
10	Office of Press to send that out today.
11	And now, I'd like to invite to the podium
12	very quickly here, let me pull this up, good friends
13	of ours from the U.S. Department of Agriculture Office
14	of Civil Rights, in particular, Fred Pfaeffle Arana who
15	is the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights who
16	we've had the opportunity to work with on a number of
17	initiatives. He has a brief presentation for us.
18	Mr. Assistant Secretary?
19	MR. PFAEFFLE-ARANA: Good morning, Mr.
20	Chairman. Good morning, Commissioners.
21	Thank you for the opportunity to thank the
22	Commission on a very important project that we worked
23	together recently.
24	My name is Frederick Pfaeffle-Arana and I

1 am Deputy Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights at the Department of Agriculture. 2 I'd like to start out with saying -- by 3 4 saying that with the help and support of the U.S. 5 Commission on Civil Rights, this past November 4th and 6 5th, UDSA, EPA and a coalition of 14 sponsoring federal agencies held the inaugural National Civil Rights 7 Conference entitled 21st 8 Century Civil Rights 9 Integrity, Integration, Innovation Initiative. 10 It was held at the United States Institute 11 of Peace in Washington, D.C. It was a conference that 12 was the first of its kind and provided a collaborative 13 forum for federal civil rights professionals to receive 14 training, share best practices and explore 15 crosscutting issues in enforcement and compliance. 16 behalf of the Executive Planning 17 Committee for the conference, we thank the Commission 18 and also express our gratitude to Chairman Castro for 19 his participation and leadership and to Vice Chair 20 Patricia Timmons-Goodson for delivering her salient 21 keynote address on November the 4th. 22 Justice Goodson's to Ms. remarks 23 exemplified how the civil rights community can work 24 together seemingly insurmountable to meeting

challenges that we face in common.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

More specifically, at the conference, over 275 civil rights professionals from 20 different federal agencies participated in workshops training in some of the most exacting areas facing government and its delivery of services to the people of the United States, ranging from environmental justice, fair housing, disabilities, discrimination and social media and technology, limited English proficiency, religious discrimination, sexual and other forms of harassment and how to hold the government accountable to comply with civil rights laws and regulations in and outside of the work environment. The conference proved an important tool that aligns with the mission of this Commission to aid in development of national civil rights policy and enforcement of federal civil rights laws to help prevent and address discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex, age, disability or national origin or in the administration of justice. So, to sum up, we invite the Commission and the coalition of agencies to continue our joint efforts, leading to the success of this very important

conference to allow us to jointly advance and enhance

1	civil rights through integrity, integration,
2	innovation and initiative.
3	Thank you very much for your time this
4	morning.
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you, Mr. Deputy
6	Assistant Secretary. You and your staff and your
7	Agency taking the lead on putting this together.
8	You know, we've often been talking about
9	more collaboration between our Agency and our sister
10	agencies in the struggle for civil rights and the
11	federal government. And, this was really a wonderful
12	model that I hope we continue to replicate.
13	I'd actually like the Vice Chair to maybe
14	say a few words because she was kind enough to deliver
15	the keynote address for us on behalf of the Agency.
16	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Thank you,
17	Mr. Chair.
18	I first want to thank you for your
19	leadership in involving our agency in this and I'd like
20	to thank our Staff Director for all of his efforts and
21	on helping move us along and get us in the place that
22	we want to be.
23	I was proud to represent the Agency in this
24	first of its kind effort. I hope that it will not be

1 the last. In my eyes, it was a tremendous success. 2 Not only did I deliver the keynote on 3 behalf of our Agency, but, I also took the time to attend 4 a number of the sessions, three to be exact. And, I 5 found each of the presenters extremely knowledgeable 6 and did a tremendous job sharing all of the knowledge 7 that they had. And so, again, I hope that this will not 8 9 be the last one. If we're not able to put it together 10 annually, and I say that because it was clear all of 11 the hard work and effort that went in to it, so if we're 12 not able to do it annually, I hope that we will consider 13 at least every other year, bringing these agencies 14 together to discuss all that we have in common. 15 if for no other reason, to continue to encourage one 16 another. 17 We all admit that this is difficult work, 18 extremely difficult work. And, sometimes, we're not 19 able to, as the song says, you need to encourage 20 yourself, sometimes, encouraging yourself is 21 enough. We need the encouragement of others. 22 And so, I hope that we will come together 23 often. And, again, thank you so very much, Mr. 24 Pfaeffle, for all of your hard work and the organizers

1	and we were pleased to be a part of it.
2	MR. PFAEFFLE-ARANA: Thank you very much.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you, Mr. Deputy
4	Assistant.
5	Okay, now we'll move on to our formal
6	Agenda. The first item is a discussion on the status
7	of pending Commission Reports. So, we'll ask Angela
8	French-Bell to come to the microphone, our head of OCRE.
9	II. PROGRAM PLANNING
10	STATUS ON PENDING COMMISSION REPORTS
11	MS. FRENCH-BELL: Good morning, Chairman
12	Castro, Vice Chair Timmons-Goodson, Commissioners,
13	Special Assistants and staff.
14	Today, we wanted to cover a couple of
15	things. First of all, we wanted to cover the status
16	of our pending reports. Then we wanted to talk about
17	the timeline for our statutory report. Followed by,
18	hopefully, obtaining your approval for our proposed
19	town hall meetings.
20	So, the first item on the Agenda is an
21	update on our OCRE reports.
22	We have already submitted to you three
23	reports, namely, State Immigration, Civil Rights for
24	Vets and Stand Your Ground.

1	We are awaiting comments from a few									
2	Commissioners on State Immigration and we hope to									
3	receive those soon.									
4	We also wanted to get feedback from you in									
5	terms of what would be a good a date to have the comments									
6	come back for the Civil Rights for Vets report.									
7	Initially, we set November 20th as a good									
8	date. Clearly, that's not going to work. So, we									
9	wanted to get you input about a date that would be more									
10	appropriate.									
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: What's the next date for									
12	the next report comments?									
13	MS. FRENCH-BELL: I think it's December									
14	21st or close to it.									
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. So, then maybe									
16	sometime in early December? I don't know, what's the									
17	first week, sometime maybe towards the end of the first									
18	week of December. Is that doable for folks? Pull up									
19	your calendars.									
20	Let's see, how's the well, we've got our									
21	meeting December 11th, so why don't we have them turned									
22	in by then?									
23	MS. FRENCH-BELL: That's wonderful.									
24	Thank you.									

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Will that work?
2	MS. FRENCH-BELL: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And, that's for Vets,
4	right?
5	MS. FRENCH-BELL: That's for Vets.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.
7	MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Do we need to
9	adjust any others or is that
10	MS. FRENCH-BELL: I'm thinking we
11	probably will need to adjust Stand Your Ground, but I'm
12	not sure if you will be able to get it in by the 21st
13	if that one's due on the 11th. But, I definitely would
14	like your feedback.
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: How do folks feel? Do
16	we just leave it for now? Yes, I think we can do it.
17	MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you, I like that.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Folks can spend some of
19	their holiday downtime revising.
20	Please proceed.
21	MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you.
22	And, we have ENDA and SVRA, they are
23	currently in the editorial process. So, we hope to
24	have those reports to you within the coming weeks.

1	Police Use of Force is more than halfway										
2	written, so we'll be coming to you on schedule, the										
3	schedule we provided to you earlier.										
4	As far as the Quite Crisis Report Update,										
5	we are working with Jason and Commissioner Narasaki and										
6	we've begun the writing stage. The editor										
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Remind us, what is the										
8	quite Crisis?										
9	MS. FRENCH-BELL: It's the Native										
10	American court looking at the infrastructure.										
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Right, yes.										
12	MS. FRENCH-BELL: So, that one, we're in										
13	the process of writing, so we should be able to complete										
14	our April schedule for that report as well. And, we										
15	will continue to provide details on that as we move										
16	forward.										
17	Were there any questions on the report										
18	update portion of our presentation?										
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,										
20	did you have something?										
21	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes. So, as you										
22	know, we've been playing catchup because the Commission										
23	went a little bit of time before you came on board and										
24	Pat and I came on board without sufficient research										

1	staff. So, we developed a backlog.								
2	And then, on top of that, there were some								
3	reports that Commissioners either were the								
4	Commission was either asked by Members of Congress or								
5	the Commission was interested in trying to revisit an								
6	update and the Native American report is one of them.								
7	But, we realize, we have come to see the								
8	wisdom that you had offered in terms of the reality that								
9	our staff is still overtaxed. And, particularly,								
10	since we don't have our budget in place, fully in place								
11	for next year.								
12	So, I am offering up a motion that we put								
13	on hold, that we suspend work on two of the reports,								
14	the anti-Semitism on Campus and Voter Fraud, Voter								
15	Intimidation until the Commission can catch up on the								
16	backlog and on the reports that we have pending from								
17	last year. And then, we will revisit it as we get,								
18	hopefully, closer to getting caught up and having								
19	sufficient staff.								
20	So, I offer up that motion.								
21	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: I'll second								
22	that motion.								
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And, just to clarify,								
24	that means we would continue to finish off the Native								

1	American report and that we would next take in turn the								
2	immigration								
3	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes, the Voter								
4	Fraud, Voter Intimidation, those two reports we as								
5	the Director noted, we have already started on the Quiet								
6	Crisis and are fairly far along and we have they have								
7	hired a consultant so that we have sufficient staff								
8	capacity to do that.								
9	Hopefully, that staff will be able that								
10	consultant will be able to finish that and then quickly								
11	move on to the Voter Fraud, Voter Intimidation report.								
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The immigration?								
13	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I'm sorry, yes,								
14	to move on to the Effective Undocumented Immigration								
15	on Black Workers, sorry about that.								
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. So, we have								
17	motion and a second, any discussion?								
18	Hearing none, I will take a roll call vote.								
19	Madam Vice Chair, how to you vote?								
20	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.								
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,								
22	how do you vote?								
23	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes.								
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,								
	NEAL D. CDOSS								

1	how do you vote?
2	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kirsanow,
4	how do you vote?
5	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Abstain.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
7	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
8	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
10	how do you vote?
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: This is a hard one,
12	actually. I think I'm going to vote no given that these
13	were the two reports I was most interested in out of
14	the ones that we were doing. But, I agree that we need
15	to cut back on some of the reports that we're working
16	on. But, I think this has been has been going on too
17	late and my instinct is that the reports are not being
18	carved back in the appropriate way, so I vote no.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, so I vote yes.
20	The motion passes with one, two, three,
21	four, five yeses, one no and one abstention.
22	Okay, continue please.
23	MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you. That was a
24	very helpful motion and it's definitely appreciated.

1 The next item on the Agenda is the Environmental Justice Timeline. 2 3 II. PROGRAM PLANNING 4 TIMELINE ON TOWN HALL PLAN FOR 2016 STATUTORY ENFORCEMENT REPORT ON 5 6 ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE MS. FRENCH-BELL: so, we just wanted to 7 8 cover a couple of the major dates that are on that 9 timeline that we proposed that is currently in the Commissioners folder. 10 11 The first one is December 2015. That's 12 the date that the interrogatories will be sent to 13 federal agencies. And, the reason that we did that was 14 because we were also working collaboratively with the 15 federal agencies and we wanted to be able to ensure that 16 the information that we requested from them wasn't 17 information that we already would be able to obtain in 18 other ways. 19 The second date is on May 20th. The 20 initial draft will be sent to the Staff Director. The 21 reason that we chose that date is because, one, we 22 wanted to ensure that we would be able to have all the 23 information and properly analyze it following the town 24 hall meetings.

The second reason is that we wanted to
ensure that the report is of the highest quality. We
have heard before that there may be some reason for us
to apply even more effort to ensure that the quality
of our reports is high.
And, we want to be able to do that as well
as we want to be able to ensure that we're offering you
the independent research that you requested that we
have in our reports.
So, for that reason, that date is set at
May 20th.
One of the next important dates is that the
draft is submitted to the Commissioners on May 30, 2016.
We set that date because we wanted to
ensure that there would be at least three months for
Commissioners to look at the report and to make
revisions to it.
Initial comments would be due on June 30th.
Commissioners' vote on the final report would be July
15th. Statements and concurrences would be due August
15th and rebuttals and concurrences and dissents would
be due September 15th.
We couldn't have it due any later than that
because we had to allow enough time for staff to be able

1	to prepare for putting the report on the web and to do									
2	the finalizing of the report.									
3	So, that is our proposal.									
4	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman?									
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Commissioner									
6	Heriot?									
7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I just have a									
8	comment here.									
9	I would very much like to see a draft of									
10	the discovery requests. I think one of the places that									
11	the Commission staff has gone wrong in the past is not									
12	asking for the right things. And so, I think it's									
13	important that we see drafts of the discovery requests.									
14	MS. FRENCH-BELL: I don't know if that's									
15	a practice we've done in the past. We will leave that									
16	decision to our Staff Director, but we will submit it									
17	to him in enough time to allow him to make that decision.									
18	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Well, then I make a									
19	motion that we be given copies of the drafts of the									
20	discovery requests.									
21	Again, this is an area where the staff has									
22	gone wrong in the past.									
23	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow,									
24	second.									

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Just a second.									
2	There's some consultation going on here that may be									
3	relevant.									
4	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I've actually been									
5	told that it is our past practice. But, on occasion,									
6	I have not gotten them.									
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: You know, in the time									
8	I've been here, I've seen in some instances where we									
9	did get it, others where we didn't. I don't know that									
10	we've been consistent with that. But, I do recall									
11	MR. MORALES: Mr. Chair?									
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, the Staff Director									
13	will									
14	MR. MORALES: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman,									
15	I've been informed and I understand there's an AI that									
16	requires that. And so, I'll work with the General									
17	Counsel and we'll make sure that we apply that correctly									
18	and make sure that we adhere to the AI.									
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. In light of									
20	that, I don't think we'll need a motion.									
21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay, I think that									
22	I withdraw my motion.									
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I don't think we need a									
24	motion since there's an AI on it.									

1	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay, I withdraw.									
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.									
3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Pete, you have to									
4	withdraw, too.									
5	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I'll withdraw.									
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Now that we're									
7	going to follow Robert's Rule of Order, we've got to									
8	make sure that that happens properly.									
9	Okay. So, we have a presentation here of									
10	a draft timeline. We need a vote on this. We need a									
11	vote on this, so do we have a motion?									
12	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Chair, I									
13	would move to amend the timeline. Unfortunately,									
14	logistically, I'm not sure that I can provide a cogent									
15	revision to this for folks that are on the phone.									
16	The purpose of the motion would be to									
17	truncate the well, the period in which the									
18	Commissioners would be able to file statements,									
19	rebuttals, surrebuttals in this particular timeline									
20	has compressed to a point that is different from what									
21	we've done in the past and what AIs require.									
22	And, what I would propose is timeline that									
23	would give Commissioners the time that is set forth in									
24	both our past practice and our AIs.									

1 as I've said before, that would And. 2. require me to present to you several different dates that may be difficult to comprehend over the phone. 3 4 So, I think what I may do is defer this 5 motion until December, but I'd like to at least explain the basis for the motion to give you some idea as to 6 what the timelines would be. 7 of the timelines would remain 8 Most 9 virtually the same with the exception of the timelines for submission of the report to the Staff Director for 10 11 consideration by the Commission. 12 The report on -- the Commission's vote on 13 the report would be moved up approximately one week, 14 but others would be moved up approximately three weeks. 15 Again, give Commissioners sufficient time to 16 consistent with our AIs to file statements, rebuttals, 17 surrebuttals. 18 But, the salient points are that the draft 19 submission to the Commissioners would be moved up from 20 the current May 31st to May 10th. Commissioner 21 comments on the initial draft would be moved up from 22 June 30th to the 10th. The Commission's vote on the 23 final report would be moved up to July 8th instead of 24 the 15th.

1	And, that would provide, if you look at the								
2	various timelines, sufficient time for Commissioners								
3	to submit statements, rebuttals and surrebuttals								
4	consistent with our past practice.								
5	So, I simply want to note this. I will								
6	I'm not sure if there's a draft of this circulating								
7	among you right now. I doubt that there is, but I would								
8	propose this for our next meeting so that we have a								
9	sufficient amount of time consistent with what we've								
10	done in the past to submit reports or the statements,								
11	rebuttals and surrebuttals consistent with our AI.								
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I'd like our Chief of								
13	OCRE to respond to this.								
14	MS. FRENCH-BELL: I can understand the								
15	reasons that the Commissioners would want to move the								
16	date that they receive								
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Could you move the mic								
18	a little?								
19	MS. FRENCH-BELL: Okay.								
20	I can understand why the Commissioners								
21	would want to receive the date that they receive the								
22	report forward. However, there are a couple of								
23	concerns.								
24	One, just because that is what we've done								

1 in the past, it doesn't take into reality our current 2. In the past, we may not have done the situation. intense level of independent research that we are 3 4 currently doing. 5 We may not have had town hall meetings and 6 they may not have been incorporated into the timeline. 7 So, I believe that's an important consideration. 8 Secondly, I was pleased to hear that we're 9 adhering to the AI when it came to interrogatories. There's also an AI when it concerns the timeline and 10 11 that the national office that's creating the report is 12 supposed to develop the timeline. 13 And, the reason that that's done is because 14 this office itself is aware of what's going on, what 15 the circumstances are and whether or not they're able 16 to actually complete that work in a timely manner. 17 Another reason is quality. One of the 18 concerns that we've heard about our reports is that they 19 want to be -- them to be of high quality. That's what 20 we want, too. We're aware that we're not perfect, but 21 we are moving towards perfection. And, in order to do 22 that, we need the time in order to create board reports. 23 Another reason is that we need to ensure 24 that we're able to incorporate all of the information

1 and analysis from our town hall meetings. And, in 2 order to that, we need time. So, for all of those reasons, I would 3 4 submit that, one, it might be possible that there's some 5 sort of compromise that takes into consideration the Commissioners' concerns as well as the concerns of the 6 staff. 7 And, assuming arguendo that there isn't 8 9 that compromise available, then I would respectfully request that we be allowed to have move over to overtime 10 11 and comp time that would be necessary to make this 12 possible. 13 COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Mr. Chair? 14 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki? 15 COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: So, I have a 16 question because we also are going to be talking about 17 what the actual plan is for the statutory report and, 18 unlike prior reports, it does incorporate some 19 subcommittee subhearings in addition to the main 20 hearing that we typically do. 21 And so, you know, my concern is if we cut 22 the time then the tradeoff might need to be it's either 23 overtime, which we don't necessarily have funding for, 24 or we may have to cut back on the amount of research

Do not	quote	or re	Ly on	this	uncorre	cted	transcri	ot
without	t obtai	ning v	vritt	en pei	rmission	from	the U.S.	
Commiss	sion on	Civi	L Rig!	hts at	transc	ripts	@usccr.go	ov.

1	that we are doing, and this is research that the
2	Commissioners have asked for.
3	So, I'm just laying that out there. The
4	Director is nodding, you might, for the record want to
5	say something out loud.
6	But, my thing is I think Commissioners need
7	to think about that tradeoff in trying to decide where
8	we need to land on this issue about timeline.
9	MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Vice Chair?
11	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: It's my
12	understanding that Commissioner Kirsanow is inclined
13	to defer the motion until December so as at least to
14	have his proposed timeline in writing so that those of
15	us that are visual and need to see it will have that.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: But we do, he just
17	handed it out.
18	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: I meant now.
19	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes, Mr. Chair,
20	it's
21	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I haven't seen it.
22	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: I don't
23	think that
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: This is not his?

1	Clarissa, weren't you handing this out? This is it.
2	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: But, do the
3	Commissioners on the phone have it?
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Oh, I don't know.
5	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: So,
6	Commissioner Kladney theoretically you have it in your
7	email.
8	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Are you still is
9	Commissioner Kirsanow still included to defer his
10	motion?
11	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No, if everyone
12	has it in front of them, what I would propose is that
13	we consider this after a similar period of time, a few
14	minutes, whatever Commissioners deem necessary to
15	review it. It's not complicated; however, it's pretty
16	clear as to what the dates that are being proposed.
17	And, I move on this now because given the
18	timelines that we're talking about related to the
19	staff's consideration and revision of the report, I
20	think we're all together on this as soon as possible
21	and I think we can do that today.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, the longer we wait
23	to do this, the further behind we get.
24	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: And, again, if

you simply take a look at it, I apologize for interrupting, if you take a look at the timeline that is self-explanatory, we're not making draconian changes to this.

I think that everything that Angela said is well considered. We've taken this into consideration. We understand the exigencies involved

8 in producing this report. We nonetheless maintain

9 that, or I nonetheless maintain that it is imperative

10 that we preserve the timelines for Commissioners to

file

upon,

statements,

rebuttals

and

surrebuttals consistent with what we've done in the

past.

comment

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

14 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, this is the 15 Chairman.

While I agree with you, your proposals are not draconian, that doesn't mean that they're necessarily reasonable in light of the tight timelines that we're on, particularly given the rightfully aggressive effort we're undertaking to address this issue by way of not only a briefing but town halls and the additional research to make this a really impactful hearing and impactful report with information of the first instance, which is what we've all been asking for.

You know, we're not -- we're trying to not just parrot what other people are telling us. while 30 days seems like a little bit to us, on that the staff that we've already all agreed is overtaxed. And, in fact, took an action earlier today to take two reports off their plate because they're over stacked. It seems to me that this is not the appropriate way to handle it. I understand that this current draft that we have from the Office of Civil Rights Evaluation does make adjustments so Commissioners do have the opportunity to submit their statements and rebuttals, the only question is the issue of surrebuttal which I understand, should that be exercised, could take us beyond our statutory deadline. However, we -- it's virtually impossible, at this point, we've seen, for surrebuttals to even occur because, one, it's hard for us to tell right now whether they'll happen, but just in the last report we had on immigration, one which I fully would have expected to be controversial enough to merit surrebuttals, that did not occur. And, even if it did, there would be certain triggers that needed to occur where, I believe, three Commissioners would need to

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	approve surrebuttals. I doubt that would happen.
2	So, I think that this timeline presented
3	by OCRE accommodates the realistic needs of rebuttals
4	and statements and also gives them a realistic
5	opportunity to present to us the most high quality work
6	product in the first instance.
7	Commissioner Narasaki?
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman?
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Commissioner
10	Heriot and then Commissioner Narasaki.
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay. Everyone
12	agrees that we would like this report to be of high
13	quality. The problem is it's not just the Commissioner
14	statements that are at stake here, it's the period under
15	which Commissioners are able to form what goes into the
16	body of the staff written report.
17	I have counseled modesty in the past. I
18	will continue to counsel that here. If it's not
19	possible to get a draft of the report done by the time
20	that Commissioner Kirsanow is suggesting, it's because
21	the outline is insufficiently modest.
22	For example, the outline brings in
23	Hurricane Katrina and fracking. These issues should
24	not be in the report at all.

1	Mr. Chairman, you have said that you would
2	like that report to have an impact. It will not have
3	an impact except an embarrassing one unless we get the
4	draft early enough to make whatever modifications are
5	necessary.
6	I strongly support Commissioner
7	Kirsanow's motion which, if no one else has seconded
8	it, I will certainly second.
9	I don't see this as really something that
10	we have a lot of a choice on. Last year's report I think
11	was significantly embarrassing. I don't want this
12	report to be as well.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I think the only thing
14	embarrassing was the way that the dissenters handled
15	
13	their position on this in the public arena.
16	their position on this in the public arena. But, in any event, Commissioner Narasaki
16	But, in any event, Commissioner Narasaki
16 17	But, in any event, Commissioner Narasaki and then I want to have the OCRE opine.
16 17 18	But, in any event, Commissioner Narasaki and then I want to have the OCRE opine. COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: So, I don't feel
16 17 18 19	But, in any event, Commissioner Narasaki and then I want to have the OCRE opine. COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: So, I don't feel that Commissioner Kirsanow's request is unreasonable,
16 17 18 19 20	But, in any event, Commissioner Narasaki and then I want to have the OCRE opine. COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: So, I don't feel that Commissioner Kirsanow's request is unreasonable, but I do feel that having gotten it just five minutes
16 17 18 19 20 21	But, in any event, Commissioner Narasaki and then I want to have the OCRE opine. COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: So, I don't feel that Commissioner Kirsanow's request is unreasonable, but I do feel that having gotten it just five minutes ago and the fact that it's very clear from the

1	decision so we have a chance to hear from OCRE exactly,
2	as Gail notes, exactly what might need to be cut out
3	so that we completely understand the tradeoffs before
4	we vote.
5	I understand that we are under a time
6	crunch because work needs to move forward. So, we will
7	not have the luxury of working to the December meeting.
8	I think this is something that maybe we
9	could handle by notational vote. But, I'm hoping to
10	give the staff at least some time to be able to tell
11	us what the impact would be so that we're making a
12	decision with the full knowledge of what the how that
13	is going to impact the content of the report.
14	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman?
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I'm going to have the
16	OCRE opine and then, is that you, Commissioner
17	Achtenberg?
18	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, you'll speak after
20	she does.
21	Go ahead.
22	MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you.
23	One of the comments that I wanted to
24	address was that fracking and Hurricane Katrina would

1 be central parts of the report. That is not true. 2. There's just going to be a brief mention of those issues the end of the report as subjects for other 3 4 individuals or other Commissions or other federal 5 agencies to explore. So, we will not be expounding in 6 depth upon those issues. 7 The second thing, the notational vote may be a good idea because we would like to make a 8 9 counterproposal that maybe takes into consideration 10 both sides. And, I don't know that we can really talk 11 about the town hall meeting until we've figured out what the timeline will be. 12 13 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Okay. 14 Achtenberg? 15 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Well, I'm 16 inclined to support Commissioner Kirsanow on this, 17 particularly, although maybe what we really need is a 18 compromise here. So, something we hadn't thought 19 a subsequent notational vote to give 20 principles an opportunity meet yet one more time. I would only encourage that to happen 21 22 quickly, like tomorrow or the next day so that we might 23 resolve this well before, I don't know, you know, that 24 we get into full holiday footing here.

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So, let me suggest this,
2	Commissioner Achtenberg, here's my concern about a
3	notational vote. The last time we had one, one of the
4	Commissioner's blocked it.
5	If we're going to move to a notational
6	vote, I think there should be an understanding among
7	Commissioners that we're not going to attempt to block
8	it. You can vote against it, obviously, but don't
9	block it so that we have to push it to the December
10	meeting because this does need to be addressed.
11	So, if there's a commitment among the
12	Commissioners not to block the notational vote, then
13	I'm willing to put it off. Otherwise, I'm going to want
14	to vote today.
15	So, do I have a commitment from the
16	Commissioners not to block a notational vote on a
17	potential compromise?
18	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: You have my
19	commitment, Mr. Chair.
20	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Sure.
21	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow, yes.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Commissioner
23	Heriot?
24	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm happy to vote

1	for it now or on a notational vote.
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.
3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I would prefer to
4	vote on it now.
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: That's what I would
6	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: That's what I
7	but you know, if we can do it by tomorrow or Friday,
8	as Commissioner Achtenberg had proposed, I'm on board
9	with that.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, there's a Notice
11	period that we have to comply with and I think it's a
12	four-day Notice is my recollection.
13	MR. MORALES: It can be waived.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes.
15	MR. MORALES: I can be waived.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Yes, it can be
17	waived. So then we can do that.
18	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Can we vote on this
19	now?
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: No, because there's a
21	compromise that that was out of order there was
22	a proposed compromise that the head of OCRE wants to
23	put forward. And so, I want to give her an opportunity
24	to do that.

1	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Well, can we vote on
2	this now then we can then, you know, re-vote on it later
3	if we want.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Here, why don't we do
5	this?
6	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It seems like, you
7	know, a lot of time that's going to be spent
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, believe me, I
9	know.
10	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: you know, a lot
11	of time will be spent instead of working on the report
12	lobbying for more time. And, I would prefer to vote
13	now and if there's a notational vote later, I'll vote
14	on that, too.
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We will put it off to
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: And there is a
17	motion pending.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We're going to put it
19	off to a notational vote that will happen quickly.
20	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: My issue is still
21	pending, though, Mr. Chair.
22	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, we'll
24	(Simultaneous speaking.)
	MEAL D. CDOSS

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: table the motion.
2	Motion to table your motion. Can we have a motion to
3	table the motion, please?
4	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I move to table
5	the motion.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is there a second?
7	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: I'll second.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. We'll give an
9	opportunity for the principles to discuss this and
10	quickly put out a proposed compromise that meets the
11	needs of both of OCRE as well as the Commissioners who
12	do raise valid concerns about additional time. Okay?
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: No? Okay, well, go
15	ahead Ms. Vice Chair.
16	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Let me say,
17	I like or could support Commissioner Kirsanow's
18	proposal but for if we were considering this in a
19	vacuum. But, as I look and understand that we have the
20	statutory hearing in January, well, in March, we have
21	another we have a briefing. And then, in May, we
22	have a briefing.
23	The proposed timeline change that has been
24	submitted by Commissioner Kirsanow would have the first

1	draft, the initial draft to the Staff Director the 19th
2	of April.
3	So, January, you have the hearing, another
4	briefing on a totally different issue in March. April
5	19th, you have the report, the draft has to be ready
6	to be given to the Staff Director.
7	And then, in May, we have still another
8	briefing.
9	Like I said, if we're looking for
10	considering this motion or this change in a vacuum,
11	there's certainly nothing wrong with it. We could do
12	it, but given all of the other things, it does look like
13	an awful lot.
14	And so, I hope that we can find a
15	compromise.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And, that's what we're
17	going to do so, Commissioner Heriot, look in your
18	mailbox for a notational vote ballot.
19	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We've got to vote on
20	the motion to table.
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All those in favor of
22	the motion to table, say aye?
23	(Chorus of aye.)
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any opposed?
	NEAL D. ODOCC

1	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Roll call vote,
2	please?
3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All right, I'll do a
5	roll call vote.
6	Madam Vice Chair, how do you vote?
7	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Aye.
8	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Are we finished
9	discussing this?
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I mean I have a
12	couple of things to say.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, well go ahead
14	then, Commissioner.
15	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I, too, am
16	inclined for Commissioner Kirsanow. One of the things
17	that seems to be rattling in the back of my head is for
18	surrebuttal. I thought the Commission needed to vote
19	on that to allow that to occur in AI.
20	The second thing is, as far as overtime or
21	comp time is concerned, I believe we've just saved a
22	bunch of money from suspending these other reports we
23	were going to do.
24	The third item I would like to mention is,

Do	not	quot	ce o	or 1	cely	on	thi	ร เ	ncor	rec	ted	trans	crip	ρt
wit	hout	: obt	aiı	ning	y wr	itte	en pe	erm	nissi	on	from	the	U.S.	
Cor	nmiss	sion	on	Civ	/il :	Riql	nts a	at	tran	scr	ipts	@usco	r.qc	v

1	even though we have these other two briefings schedule
2	for, as pointed out by the Vice Chair, for March and
3	then May I believe it was, if we need to, I would assume
4	we could copy them up or move them down the calendar
5	another month or six weeks or something like that if
6	that is what seems to be the problem.
7	It just seems to me that this can be done
8	and there can be a comprise that's in the works to make
9	it done, especially if we consider these three things.
10	I can't remember the AI, but I thought
11	there was an AI where the Commission had to vote to allow
12	surrebuttal.
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.
14	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Maybe someone can
15	help me out.
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It's like you have
17	to have three members, I think, that are in favor of
18	it.
19	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: There we go,
20	that's a vote. So, that's
21	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman?
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So, yes, Commissioner
23	Achtenberg?
24	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, I think three

1	members have to invoke.
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Can I have some water,
3	please? Can I have some water please?
4	Mr. Achtenberg?
5	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: I am notionally
6	in favor of Commissioner Kirsanow's proposal.
7	However, given the request by the OCRE to work with
8	Commissioners to find a compromise, I would support the
9	motion to table but with the caveat that Commissioner
10	Heriot requests that the possibility of surrebuttal be
11	preserved. I won't unless that's a part of the
12	consideration, I won't support a motion to table.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, I think that's the
14	whole point of trying to find some time to find a
15	compromise. So, I mean we're not tabling it to kill
16	it, we're tabling it to give these people time to
17	discuss a thoughtful resolution that meets everybody's
18	needs.
19	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Can we have a date
20	for when this
21	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: I'm putting my
22	colleagues on notice
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes?
24	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: that I won't
	NEAL D. CDOCC

Do	not	quot	ie d	or r	ely	on	thi	s u	ıncor	rec	ted	trans	cr:	ipt
wit	hout	obt	air	ning	wr	itte	en pe	erm	issi	lon	from	the	U.S	3.
Cor	nmiss	sion	on	Civ	il I	Righ	nts a	at	trar	nscr	ipts	@usco	er.	VOF

1	support ultimately anything that takes away from the
2	Commissioners' potential right in this case.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And, Commissioner
4	Kladney, I think it was said that we would issue a
5	notational ballot by tomorrow. So, I don't know how
6	much more specific a timeline you need than that.
7	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I must have missed
8	that, Mr. Chairman.
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: You must have.
10	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: It's hard on the
11	phone. It's hard on the phone.
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I know, I must have been
13	on mute.
14	So, now I will take a roll call vote on the
15	motion to table. The purpose of which is to give OCRE
16	and Commissioner Kirsanow and those who may interested
17	an opportunity to craft a compromise that works for
18	everyone which will then be used
19	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay, I don't quite
20	understand what's going on here.
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, just pay
22	attention.
23	Then we will then have that compromise
24	I'm not done we will then have that compromise

1	circulated by way of notational vote by tomorrow.
2	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I don't want that.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I know you don't, but
4	that's what we're all we're going to vote on.
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No, I don't
6	understand. Hold on, hold on.
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: No, you hold on,
8	Commissioner Heriot.
9	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Hold on, hold.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: No.
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Hold on, hold on,
12	hold. The notational vote that you are proposing is
13	that we vote on the compromise. But, I don't want to
14	vote on the compromise because that will be just another
15	take it or leave from the staff.
16	What we need is a vote that allows us to
17	vote for peak timeline.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well then, you vote no
19	on the motion to table. That's your option.
20	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That has to be part
21	of the option.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, that's the
23	options.
24	So, now I'm going to carve the question on

1	the motion to table.
2	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Hold on, hold on,
3	hold on, hold on. Whatever
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So, moving forward
5	Commissioner Madam Vice Chair, how do you vote on
6	the motion to table?
7	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,
9	how do you vote on the motion to table?
10	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Aye.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
12	how do you vote on the motion to table?
13	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I abstain.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kirsanow,
15	how do you vote on the motion to table?
16	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
18	Achtenberg, how do you vote on the motion to table?
19	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
21	how do you vote on the motion to table?
22	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And, I vote yes. So, we
24	have one, two, three, four yeses, one abstention and
	NEAL D. GDOSS

1	two nos. So, does that carry?
2	So, a majority of those voting voted in
3	favor of tabling. It's four yeses, one abstention and
4	two nos.
5	MS. HEPLER: We've got a quorum, we've got
6	five and four out of seven is a quorum and four of them
7	
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, I just want to
9	make sure because I know that we had questions about
10	this in the past.
11	So, the motion to table passes then.
12	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Chairman, I
13	then move that a notational vote to be conducted
14	tomorrow the Commission vote on the timeline that was
15	just distributed to Commissioners.
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Second.
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We had agreed that there
18	would be an opportunity for you to discuss with OCRE
19	a potential compromise. So, I'm hearing that your
20	motion is no?
21	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: If the notational
22	vote fails, we can do that.
23	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Can I say
24	something?

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Commissioner
2	Narasaki?
3	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: So, Commissioner
4	Kirsanow, what we've been discussing is, is there an
5	opportunity to get to a compromise. Obviously, if you
6	don't agree then there's not going to be a compromise
7	to vote on. So then, we will be voting on your motion.
8	So, what I would suggest, I think hopefully
9	this takes into account what Commissioner Heriot was
10	seeking, is that the notational vote will be on a
11	compromise, if a compromise is reached. And, if not,
12	we will be voting on Commissioner Kirsanow's motion.
13	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I'm okay with
14	that.
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, I'm okay with
17	that, too. That's what I was missing.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. So, I don't we
19	don't need a vote on that, that's we will proceed
20	under that set of circumstances. Okay?
21	All right, so I think we're done with that.
22	Anything else for you?
23	MS. FRENCH-BELL: I don't know if you
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I think we're far from
	NEAL D. ODOCC

1	done.
2	MS. FRENCH-BELL: I don't know if you want
3	me to talk about the town hall meeting plan as it
4	currently stands or
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Does it make a
6	difference what that timeline is?
7	MS. FRENCH-BELL: I may need to go back
8	with staff and discuss to kind of come to a resolution.
9	So, but I can present it as it is right now.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Why don't you do that?
11	MS. FRENCH-BELL: Okay. So, basically,
12	what we're proposing is, of course, the primary
13	briefing would take place in D.C. in January 2016.
14	We're also proposing a town hall forum
15	meeting in Perry County, Alabama in late February or
16	early March. And, the reason that we wanted it on that
17	date was to make sure that it would not interfere with
18	the other briefings. And, to the extent possible, to
19	try to avoid inclement weather.
20	The reason that we chose Alabama, and we
21	definitely wanted you input on this as well, because
22	it's a central issue that's in the concept paper. It's
23	the one area that has been a Title XI case that's been
24	or a complaint that's been accepted and it also bring

1	together a lot of issues on disproportionate health
2	impacts in communities of color.
3	The second option that we have is a
4	Commission Subcommittee briefing and we have options
5	for three locations, Chicago, Illinois or Joliet area,
6	the other North Carolina, the Moapa River Reservation
7	in Nevada. And that would be a choice of the
8	Commissioners and we would schedule according to which
9	ever location that you selected.
10	And then, the last action would be a
11	maximum of two set advisory committee SAC forms.
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, you don't need to
13	vote on that today?
14	MS. FRENCH-BELL: No, it would have been
15	helpful, but we kind of need to sort the other issues
16	out as well.
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All right. Thank you.
18	Any questions?
19	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm a little
20	confused here, Mr. Chairman. Are we talking about
21	having a Chicago and Alabama town hall plus these
22	meetings in Nevada and North Carolina?
23	MS. FRENCH-BELL: No, it would be in one
24	of those three options. We do not have the time, staff

or resources for three, unfortunately. We'd love to
do it, but we cannot.
COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Even that seems
like a lot. I thought we were just going to do Alabama
and Chicago.
MS. FRENCH-BELL: Chicago may be one of
those three options for the second option for a
subcommittee, there's an option for Chicago or even
North Carolina or Nevada, so it would one of those
three. So, it still could potentially be in Chicago,
Illinois.
COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Oh, I misunderstood
this. I thought that originally our plan was to have
subcommittees do Perry County and Chicago and that was
it.
CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So, there's three
1'CC
different things here, right? There's the hearing,
there's a town hall and then there's the hearing,
there's a town hall and then there's these
there's a town hall and then there's these subcommittee, what, fact finding kind of?
there's a town hall and then there's these subcommittee, what, fact finding kind of? MS. FRENCH-BELL: Right.
there's a town hall and then there's these subcommittee, what, fact finding kind of? MS. FRENCH-BELL: Right. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So, it's really three

1	of lower level subcommittee fact finding, maybe
2	something like what we did to the detention centers?
3	MS. FRENCH-BELL: Right.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is that okay.
5	MS. FRENCH-BELL: Exactly.
6	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I get back to my
7	modesty point. You do too much and you're just going
8	to foul up.
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Well, point well
10	taken. We'll figure it out when we vote on it.
11	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Mr. Chair?
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Commissioner?
13	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: So, I have a
14	question about the SACs because my understanding is
15	that we, as a Commission, cannot tell State Advisory
16	Committees what to do. But, so I understand is an
17	invitation, but I'm just wondering at the timing,
18	right, because they would need to move quickly for them
19	to be able to do something that could be incorporated
20	in the report.
21	So, I'm just wondering how realistic that
22	is?
23	MS. FRENCH-BELL: We're not entirely
24	certain that that will take place. We just wanted to

1 ensure that we are including the SACs and giving them 2 the opportunity to participate if they would like to. We worked with Mauro and Maureen to develop 3 4 a letter to send out to the SACS asking them if they 5 wanted to help. And it doesn't just have to be holding 6 a form, it could also be research that they may have found in other arenas that they would like to send to 7 8 us for inclusion in the report. 9 COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: So, would there 10 be sufficient regional staff capacity to support this? 11 If a SAC volunteered to do that, would they have support 12 to do that? 13 MS. FRENCH-BELL: We would have to work 14 with the ASCD and we would actually probably let them 15 respond to that. They are aware that that's in the 16 We did discuss it with them beforehand, but 17 perhaps it would be best to have ASCD comment on whether 18 there will be sufficient support for a SAC meeting. 19 MR. MORALES: In addition, let me -- I'm 20 sorry, this is Staff Director Morales. 21 In addition, we've been in contact with, 22 you know, the Director of the State Advisory Committee 23 Regional Office, David Mussatt. And he's reaching out 24 as well to the SACs to see what their level of interest

1	is.
2	And, there has been some level of interest
3	with some SACs. Whether or not you're completely
4	correct, Commissioner Narasaki, whether or not there's
5	the time and the ability to do it, obviously, that
6	remains to be seen.
7	But, we're hopeful that we can and that,
8	you know, because the SACS are out there, obviously,
9	in their states, they're more, you know, they're better
10	positioned to be able to pull something together if they
11	can't.
12	So, it's an option we want to explore and
13	will continue to explore and we're hopeful that that
14	could occur. But, it's, you know, of course, again,
15	as you pointed out, it's the timing and certainly with,
16	you know, a shortened time, that may actually not be
17	a possibility and we may have to let it go.
18	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Right. No, I
19	love the idea, it's just about making sure that it could
20	be executed.
21	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Mr. Chairman?
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes? Go ahead.
23	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I was wondering if
24	OCRE has looked at this and all these hearings with the

Do	not	quot	ce o	or 1	cely	on	thi	ร เ	ncor	rec	ted	trans	crip	ρt
wit	hout	: obt	aiı	ning	y wr	itte	en pe	erm	nissi	on	from	the	U.S.	
Cor	nmiss	sion	on	Civ	/il :	Riql	nts a	at	tran	scr	ipts	@usco	r.qc	v

1	SACs and things like that? How much does that cost and
2	how many hours of staff time does it take to put all
3	that together? Well, that they've estimated in their
4	budget?
5	MS. FRENCH-BELL: We are working with ASCD
6	and the Office of Management and also John with budget.
7	We can put a proposal together to you and submit it for
8	the next meeting.
9	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: So, you don't have
10	any idea how many hours or dollars it will take at this
11	point even though you proposed it?
12	MS. FRENCH-BELL: We have a rough
13	estimate, but we don't have a complete estimate and I
14	would rather wait until we had a complete estimate to
15	provide it.
16	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Well, what do you
17	think the rough estimate is?
18	MS. FRENCH-BELL: As I said, I think it
19	would be better just to give an accurate estimate. I
20	don't want to misspeak and I want to make sure that we've
21	considered all the factors, all the individuals that
22	may attend.
23	For instance, if we're talking about
24	Alabama, it would make a difference between how many

Do	not	quot	ce o	or 1	cely	on	thi	ร เ	ncor	rec	ted	trans	crip	ρt
wit	hout	: obt	aiı	ning	y wr	itte	en pe	erm	nissi	on	from	the	U.S.	
Cor	nmiss	sion	on	Civ	/il :	Riql	nts a	at	tran	scr	ipts	@usco	r.qc	v

1	Commissioners decided to attend what the cost would be.
2	So, I would hesitate to give a figure that didn't fully
3	take into account the number of people who may be
4	attending.
5	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Okay, so we don't
6	have any idea at this time, we'll have one next month?
7	MS. FRENCH-BELL: I would rather wait
8	until we have the most accurate estimate to provide one
9	and I will do so next month, correct. Thank you.
10	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Can I ask a
11	question?
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Commissioner
13	Narasaki?
14	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: So, I think maybe
15	what might help in terms of trying to do the estimates
16	is to figure out if we can among the Commissioners how
17	many actually intend to participate and have a more
18	formal subcommittee than just necessarily who wants to
19	show up. So, that's just my suggestion. I haven't
20	discussed with the other Commissioners, so I don't know
21	how they feel about that.
22	MS. FRENCH-BELL: That would be very
23	helpful. Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, any other

Do not	quote	or re	Ly on	this	uncorre	cted	transcript
without	obtai	ning v	vritte	en per	rmission	from	the U.S.
Commiss	sion on	Civi	Righ	nts at	transc	ripts	@usccr.gov.

1	questions? If not, thank you. You're off the hot seat
2	now.
3	Now, we'll move on to the next items on the
4	Agenda. You should have
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Can I just make one
6	comment, though, about seeing the list of witnesses
7	that are supposed to come to our briefing in January
8	on this, it is way, way, way long and it is not clear
9	to me that we want to have anywhere near that number
10	of witnesses.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Go ahead, Angela.
12	MS. FRENCH-BELL: That was our initial
13	list of witnesses. The actual list will not be that
14	long.
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.
16	MS. FRENCH-BELL: And, we will
17	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: So, are those
18	people that have had invitations or are they just people
19	that you thought about?
20	MS. FRENCH-BELL: They're people who have
21	had invitations. They will not all come.
22	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: How many have
23	agreed to actually participate?
24	MS. FRENCH-BELL: I would say about 80
	NEAL D. CDOSS

1	percent.
2	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: And more may be
3	agreeing to participate in the future or have the others
4	said no?
5	MS. FRENCH-BELL: I'm a little confused by
6	your question.
7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Are the other
8	invitation still pending, the 20 percent?
9	MS. FRENCH-BELL: Some of them have said
10	no and some of them are still pending.
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: So, we've got the
12	list of who said yes and who said no and who's still
13	pending?
14	MS. FRENCH-BELL: Yes, we will send that
15	around next week. Thank you.
16	II. PROGRAM PLANNING
17	DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON APPROVAL OF
18	LETTER TO SOLICITOR GENERAL REGARDING
19	FISHER V. UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Next, we have a
21	discussion and vote on the approval of a letter to the
22	Solicitor General regarding the Fisher v. University
23	of Texas case.
24	As you recall, two of our colleagues have

1	submitted an amicus brief. Some of us believe that it
2	improperly confuses their role as Commissioners and it
3	makes it appear that they're statements in support or
4	I should say against the University of Texas admission
5	policy is the position of the Commission, which it is
6	not.
7	We have drafted a letter to the Solicitor
8	General asking that office to ask the Clerk of the
9	Supreme Court to change the caption on their docket so
10	that it is clear that it is not Commission or couldn't
11	imply that it is Commission related amicus brief.
12	We wanted to vote on this letter via
13	notational vote a couple weeks ago. Commissioner
14	Kirsanow objected, therefore, the letter is here.
15	You have all seen the letter previously as
16	well as in your packet. So, any motion so that we can
17	have a discussion?
18	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: So moved.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Commissioner
20	Achtenberg moved. Madam Vice Chair, you second?
21	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: I second.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any discussion?
23	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes, Mr.
24	Chairman, Kirsanow here.

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Go ahead, Commissioner.
2	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I'll simply note
3	that if anyone is confused by that brief, then they have
4	no business being involved in the Supreme Court.
5	The brief is clear in so many different
6	respects that it was filed on our individual basis and
7	as counsel has markered, my firm name is on the brief.
8	It doesn't say anything about the Commission on it.
9	This amicus brief that was filed all make
10	it abundantly clear on whom's behalf such Commission
11	brief is filed, whether it's Members of Congress, in
12	this particular case, you have a number of interest
13	groups that have filed, universities that have filed,
14	think tanks that have filed, make it abundantly clear
15	on whom's behalf it's filed.
16	In our brief, in several different
17	locations, Mr. Harriet and I make it completely clear
18	this is being done on our individual capacities.
19	So, my point to you is that this letter is
20	overkill and I think it would be an embarrassment to
21	the Clerk of the Court, the Solicitor General, the
22	various Clerks in the Supreme Court, the Supreme Court
23	Justices, if they were to concur that somehow they were
24	confused by my brief.

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The public docket entry
2	Commissioner Kladney, let me speak and then I'll let
3	you.
4	The public docket entry says brief, amici
5	curiae of Gail Heriot and Peter Kirsanow, Members of
6	the United States Commission on Civil Rights. If that
7	does not imply your titles, then I don't know what does.
8	And, if anything is an embarrassment, it
9	is two Commissioners of the United States Commission
10	on Civil Rights standing up against Affirmative Action.
11	So, we want to that trend to change and in
12	your substantive amicus brief, you can say what you
13	want. We want to clear the public record so that it
14	is clear that those titles do not appear there.
15	Commissioner Kladney?
16	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: That's really all
17	I had to say. I mean the brief speaks for itself, but
18	it's just the docket entry that seems to be incorrect
19	and I'm sure that Commissioner Heriot and Commissioner
20	Kirsanow would not want somebody to come by or in the
21	press and read that and say United States Commission
22	on Civil Rights, that would be a misreading and I'm sure
23	nobody wants that to happen.
2.4	COMMISSIONED KIDSANOW. I would say that

1	having mitigated cases in the U.S. Circuits and Supreme
2	Court and elsewhere, that almost those who look at the
3	docket are the individuals I just mentioned. There may
4	be an extreme member of the public that may have had
5	too many comments to say, but who's going to look at
6	the Supreme Court docket? No one else looks at that
7	thing, no one in the world is going to be confused by
8	this thing.
9	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Then they're
10	opened up for a challenge.
11	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: If they simply
12	look at the thing, I'm saying it's superfluous.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki?
14	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: But, why you
15	don't mind if nobody's looking at it.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Order, order.
17	Commissioner Narasaki? Commissioner Narasaki?
18	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: So, I would
19	actually like to note two things. The reason that it's
20	improperly put into the docket is because the caption,
21	unfortunately, erroneously included the titles. If
22	you had left the caption at the two individual names,
23	we would not have this problem.
24	So, I just want to note that it came from

1 the fact that the caption, unfortunately, was erroneous 2. even though, internally, it makes it clear that you are not filing on behalf of the Commission. 3 4 The second thing is that I actually follow 5 this issue very closely and, in fact, every time this 6 case has been argued, the New York Time, the USA Today and other broadly circulated newspapers have actually 7 listed all of the amici to show that the incredible 8 9 interests and diversity of people weighing in. 10 So, while, Pete, you're right that people 11 will not be necessarily running to the docket, it 12 actually does get written about and that's what we're 13 concerned about. 14 Madam Vice Chair? CHAIRMAN CASTRO: 15 VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: I was iust 16 wondering if in light of Commissioner Kirsanow's 17 statement if he would consider simply removing that 18 language, Members of the United States Commission on 19 Civil Rights and that would, you know, since, as you 20 say, no one looks at it, that would just clear it up 21 and we -- I was just wondering if you would consider 22 doing that? I'll talk with 23 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: 24 Commissioner Heriot about it. I'm not inclined to do

1	so because I don't think there's been any risk of
2	confusion. Again, I tend to think that the folks who
3	are interested in this case are pretty smart. And, if
4	they're interested in it, they're simply not going to
5	look at even a public page and say, well, you know, this
6	is the Commission's position.
7	In addition to that
8	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman?
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Commissioner?
10	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: there's a
11	very small hall closet of people interested in this
12	issue on in terms of what my side of the issue says.
13	They will not look at this, they know who Gail Heriot
14	and Peter Kirsanow are. They have absolutely no doubt
15	what our position is.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
17	Achtenberg?
18	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman?
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
20	Achtenberg first and then Commissioner Heriot.
21	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman,
22	my concern is that there are ethics implications for
23	identifying oneself either primarily or exclusively as
24	a Commissioner of the United States Commission on Civil

1	Rights.
2	We have been admonished on many occasions
3	that we're only entitled to use the moniker in a series
4	of attributes and not either as the exclusive or the
5	primary. And, that's the nature of my concern here.
6	And, I think if that is indeed the ethical
7	standard, then this needs to be stricken and, it's my
8	recollection that, internal to the brief itself, there
9	may be need to be edits to the ways in which the
10	Commissioners identify themselves.
11	So, I think is an ethical issue as well as
12	an issue of potential misunderstanding.
13	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Chair, if
14	you'd please let me respond to that
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot
16	wanted to speak.
17	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: If the very cover
18	misstates who we are, it is not just the Commissioners,
19	we state that Professor Gail Heriot is at the University
20	San Diego School of Law, I'm at Benesch, Friedlander,
21	Coplan & Aronoff. We spend two pages pursuant to
22	Supreme Court rule identifying our interest and who we
23	are.
24	It is said quite clearly that we are

1	part-time appointees of the Commission on Civil Rights.
2	We are filing this brief and amici in our individual
3	capacity as private citizens.
4	Again, I tend to give credit to people in
5	our industry, in our business, that they can read and
6	that they can understand what this means and they're
7	not going to be confused under any circumstances about
8	what this is, particularly because everybody who's
9	interested in this issue knows the Commission consists
10	of eight people and that Gail Heriot and Peter Kirsanow
11	are only that Commission.
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot?
13	And then we're going to call this for a vote.
14	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, the only thing
15	I can add is that, you know, briefs are routinely filed
16	by Members of Congress and they're identified as such.
17	Nobody in their wildest imagination thinks they're
18	speaking for Congress.
19	Our brief, if anything, was much more
20	adamant about the fact that we were filing in our
21	private capacities. We do not primarily identify as
22	Commissioners. To the contrary, we say this is being
23	done in our private capacity.
24	I'm happy to call the question.

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. We're going to
2	call the question then.
3	Madam Vice Chair, how do you vote?
4	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes?
6	Commissioner Narasaki, how do you vote?
7	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Because the
8	caption on the brief only refers to being Members of
9	the Commission, I vote yes.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
11	how do you vote?
12	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
14	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
15	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: I concur with
16	Commissioner Narasaki precisely for that reason, I vote
17	yes.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kirsanow,
19	how do you vote?
20	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
22	how do you vote?
23	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I vote no.
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote yes.
	NEAL R GROSS

1	The motion passes with one, two, three,
2	four, five yeses and two nos.
3	II. PROGRAM PLANNING
4	DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON APPROVAL OF
5	PUBLIC STATEMENT REGARDING
б	FISHER V. UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Similar to this issue,
8	we circulated I believe this morning, Sheryl circulated
9	a statement that we want to issue on this matter to the
10	public. Hopefully everyone received that.
11	I don't know if you want me to read this
12	and then or, you know, let's do a motion and then
13	a second and then we can discussion.
14	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Mr. Chair, I
15	move that the Commission issue the statement to which
16	you refer regarding the Supreme Court deciding soon
17	Fisher v. University of Texas at Austin.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is there a second?
19	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I'll second.
20	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: I'll second.
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Do folks want me to read
22	this or has everyone had a chance to take a look at this?
23	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Can I call for the
24	question?

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any discussion?
2	Okay, you can call for the question,
3	Commissioner.
4	Madam Vice Chair, how do you vote?
5	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,
7	how do you vote?
8	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
10	how do you vote?
11	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
13	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
14	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kirsanow,
16	how do you vote?
17	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
19	how do you vote?
20	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote yes.
22	The motion passes with five yeses and two
23	nos. So, this will be the public statement of the
24	Commission. We'll have our press office issue it as
	NEAL D. CDOCC

1	well today, if possible. If not, tomorrow.
2	II. PROGRAM PLANNING
3	DISCUSSION ABOUT DECEMBER 11TH EVENT AT
4	THE LINCOLN COTTAGE CEREMONY
5	COMMEMORATING THE PASSAGE OF
б	THE 13TH AMENDMENT
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, now we're moving
8	on to a discussion of our December 11th event at the
9	Lincoln Cottage commemorating the passage of the 13th
10	Amendment.
11	Mr. Staff Director?
12	MR. MORALES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
13	I'd like to just update the Commissioners
14	on the progress and where we are.
15	Barbara de La Viez is not here with us but
16	she's been spearheading a lot of this effort. We've
17	been working with numerous of your staff assistants
18	regarding, but I, nevertheless, still wanted to just
19	call your attention to it now that we're getting pretty
20	close it.
21	The date of the event, of course, I want
22	to remind you, is December 11th. It'll be from 10:00
23	a.m. to 12:00 a.m. It'll be at the Lincoln Cottage in
24	Northeast Washington, D.C. It'll be in the room where

1 President Lincoln drafted and wrote the draft of the 2 Emancipation Proclamation. And, of course, the celebration or the 3 4 ceremony that we're having that day will be in 5 commemoration of the passage of the 13th Amendment. 6 The room can handle up to 75 people maximum 7 and we've gone through and looked at the number of for Commissioners. 8 invitations have six We 9 invitations per Commissioner. That invitation will 10 include their -- does not include their special 11 assistants, so if they want their special assistant to 12 attend, then they need to allot one of that invitation 13 to their special assistant. 14 We're in the process of drafting an Evite 15 and we're actually sharing it today with the Chair to 16 get his approval on it that we'll -- what we'd like to 17 do is by the end of next week or at some time, we'll 18 give you a date in the next week or less, probably in 19 the next week, when we would like your -- the names and 20 email addresses of the six individuals you would like 21 to invite so that we can send that email -- I'm sorry, 22 that Evite to them. 23 And we could have -- it's going to be to 24 Barbara to RSVP so that we have a solid number, an

1	ongoing number as to the number of people that could
2	attend. Because, again, it is limited in terms of the
3	size of the room.
4	Staff, we're also trying to allot the
5	opportunity for staff to attend. There's an overflow
6	room if need be, but, you know, perhaps that may not
7	occur. Not all the invitations are used up.
8	The program that we've created is, and I'll
9	just read it to you. You'll get a draft of all this
10	or you'll get this in the next days here.
11	But, the way we have the program set up
12	right now is that at 10:00 a.m., the event will start.
13	The Chair will be the Master of Ceremonies. There'll
14	be five minutes of welcome remarks by the Director of
15	Lincoln Cottage, five minutes of remarks by the Chair.
16	Commissioner Heriot has asked for an
17	opportunity to make comments, so we've allotted five
18	minutes of time for her at the beginning there.
19	We'll have approximately 15 minutes of
20	singing by either a choir or singers, we are still
21	working on that. We haven't been able to nail down a
22	quartet or a singer and we're still working on that.
23	We have a panel, so that should end those
24	two segments from it should go from 10:00 a.m., 10:15

1 and then should end at 10:30, that the end, you know, 2 the singing. 3 And then we'll have a panel of scholars 4 that will be moderated by the Chair. And, I'll just, 5 very briefly, the three scholars we have, we're very excited about them. 6 7 One is a gentleman named Christian Samito. He holds his law degree from Harvard Law. He's a --8 9 and a Doctorate in History from Boston College. the author of Lincoln and the 13th Amendment which was 10 11 released this year. It's, I believe, on the best 12 seller's list. He's been on numerous television shows 13 to discuss the book and the 13th Amendment. 14 He's an editor of а legal history 15 documentary and two Civil War -- he owns two Civil War 16 letter collections. Не teaches legal 17 Constitutional history at BU, Boston University School 18 of Law and practices law in Boston. 19 And, I only mention his name to all the 20 individuals we are reaching out to become a part of 21 this panel, they were all very excited about his, you 22 know, participation because he's viewed as, right now, 23 as the preeminent scholar and figure on the 13th 24 Amendment and as well as the Lincoln Cottage being very

1	excited about our being able to have secured him.
2	We also have Edna Greene Medford. She's
3	the Chair of the Department of History at Howard
4	University. She received her PhD from the University
5	of Maryland in U.S. History. She's also published
6	numerous books on African-Americans during the Civil
7	War.
8	She's on the Scholar Advisory Circle for
9	the Lincoln Cottage itself and she's also the author
10	of several books including the Emancipation
11	Proclamation, Three Views.
12	The third and final individual we have
13	selected is George Rutherglen. He is a John Barbee
14	Minor Distinguished Professor of Law at the University
15	of Virginia. He's a former law clerk to Supreme Court
16	Justice William O. Douglas, John Paul Stevens.
17	And, he has published numerous articles
18	about civil rights, and in particular, Civil Rights in
19	the Shadow of Slavery.
20	So, these three individuals should bring
21	the type of caliber of scholar and academic, you know,
22	interest that you will be able to appreciate, we hope.
23	And then, the panel will be opened up to
24	after they've they'll each get 20 minutes to, you

1 know, discuss their views and their viewpoints on the 2. Emancipation Proclamation -- I'm sorry, on the 13th Amendment and then we'll open it up for 25 minutes of 3 4 question and answers for the Commissioners. the Commissioners 5 that wish So, 6 participate or, you know, make a statement or a remark 7 at that time would be appropriate, and of course, ask these individuals their views and, you know, what 8 9 they've just commented on. 10 So, we're very excited about that. 11 should end at approximately -- that should begin at about 11:30 and end about 11:55. 12 13 We're going to have five minutes of closing 14 remarks by the Vice Chair. And, we're hoping the event 15 will end at approximately 12:00 or shortly thereafter. 16 We will have -- we're looking into having 17 a shuttle bus available here in the morning prior to 18 going to the Lincoln Cottage. So, those of you that 19 wish to ride on the shuttle bus and special assistants 20 and staff will be able to. 21 We're hoping that once the event ends, 22 we'll be able to come back here, get back here about 23 12:30 there and about so that you have a little bit of 24 time to freshen up and have some lunch.

And then, perhaps, and then we're planning on starting the hearing at 2:00 p.m. I'm sorry, the business meeting of the Commission at 2:00 p.m. believe it will go maybe an hour and a half, hour or maybe a little shorter. I think the General Counsel's office is looking to have a period of time for some ethics training for the Commissioners since many of you will be here. If you're not, they'll work something else out. And then, after that, we're going to have a holiday party for the staff with the Commissioners immediately thereafter, maybe start about something like that for an hour, hour and a half. And, I understand that some Commissioners have been graciously -- have graciously offered to provide some, you know, refreshments and food for the party. So, we want to thank the Commissioners for their generosity in doing that as well. So, that's what I have at this point on the Lincoln Cottage. Again, you will be getting an email from me asking for your invitations and then, we'll also send you a copy of the Evite so you can see what is being sent to your guests.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	So, do you have any questions?
2	Yes, Commissioner Narasaki?
3	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes, I understand
4	that the plan was to allot so many invitations per
5	Commissioner.
6	MR. MORALES: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: But, I'm think
8	it's important for the staff to think about who are
9	stakeholders apart from who we might want to invite.
10	For example, Members of Congress, members in the
11	Administration, who are our stakeholders before we
12	individually decide what we want to do.
13	So, my suggestion would be to set aside
14	some number for people that, as an institution, the
15	Commission should be inviting.
16	MR. MORALES: I think that's a great idea.
17	We'll very much do so. We'll see if your
18	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: In addition, you
19	might want to invite some media as well.
20	MR. MORALES: Yes, we're looking into
21	that. We've been told that C-SPAN is very interested
22	in taping it. And, the Lincoln Cottage will tape it
23	so that we'll have it available to put onto the website
24	so that folks can catch that link and see what the event

1	was.
2	We looked at we looked into web
3	streaming and to, you know, doing it live but it was
4	too expensive and the Lincoln Cottage didn't have the
5	facilities or the ability to do that. And we would have
6	had to bring all that equipment in and it would have
7	been a much more expensive.
8	But, I'd definitely like to work with the
9	Commissioners and any ideas they have of some, you know,
10	distinguished stakeholders or others that we should
11	invite.
12	Our only concern is that if we invite them,
13	we want to make very clear to them that they're not going
14	to have a speaking role. This is, you know, a lot of
15	times, Members of Congress won't show up unless they're
16	the ones that get to speak. And I wouldn't want to
17	disappoint them.
18	But, in any case, yes, definitely, we'll
19	work with you and your staff and the staff assistants
20	to get some names.
21	Thank you.
22	Any other questions?
23	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: This is not
24	a question, but of course, this program has not taken

Do	not	quot	ce c	r re	ely	on	this	u	ncori	rec	ted t	trans	cri	.pt
wit	thout	obt	air	ning	wr	itte	en pe	erm	issi	on	from	the	U.S	5.
Cor	nmiss	ion	on	Civ	il E	Righ	nts a	ıt	trans	scr	ipts	@usco	r.g	JOV

1	place yet, but I'd like to say based on what I've heard,
2	it's going to be a grand event and I can already tell
3	that a lot of work has gone into it. And so, I applaud
4	all that have worked on it thus far.
5	MR. MORALES: Well, thank you. I, you
6	know, obviously, the credit goes to a lot of the staff.
7	Barbara's really stepped up to make a big difference
8	as well as Pam and the special assistants. I mean I've
9	worked with, you know, Alison and Sheryl and Amy and
10	Jason, they've all, you know, kind of given their input.
11	And, you know, obviously, they speak for
12	the Commissioners and wanted to make sure that, you
13	know, it's a quality event that the Commission can feel
14	proud of and we hope that will be the end result and
15	that's what we're working towards.
16	So, thank you.
17	II. PROGRAM PLANNING
18	DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON APPROVAL OF
19	LETTER TO ATTORNEY GENERAL LYNCH
20	REGARDING PROSECUTIONS OF CHINESE AMERICANS
21	FOR SPYING AND ESPIONAGE
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Great. Now we move or
23	to a discussion and vote on a letter to Attorney
24	General Lynch regarding prosecutions of Chinese

1	Americans for spying and espionage.
2	I'll turn this one over to Commissioner
3	Narasaki.
4	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes, thank you,
5	Mr. Chair.
6	I have proposed a draft a letter to
7	Attorney General Lynch concerning the recent
8	prosecutions of Chinese American scientists for
9	alleged spying and economic espionage.
10	According to a recent article in Science
11	Magazine, in the past five years, five Chinese born
12	scientists have been accused of trade secret theft or
13	economic espionage only for the federal government to
14	drop the charges.
15	In one case involving a Temple University
16	physics professor, Mr. Xiaoxing, who was arrested for
17	allegedly sharing confidential schematics of
18	superconductor equipment with scientists in China.
19	The FBI agents raided his home with guns
20	drawn and he was taken away in handcuffs in front of
21	his wife and children.
22	He's a naturalized citizen and the charges
23	were dropped after other scientists informed the
24	Government that the blueprints he shared were not

1 actually for the equipment that he was charged with 2 sharing and, in fact, were not under any kind of trade 3 secret. 4 Now, of course, he was cleared and I want 5 to acknowledge the, you know, the courage of the U.S. 6 Attorneys who have dropped charges when they're faced 7 with evidence that there are, in fact, is a total lack of evidence which has happened in numerous occasions 8 9 because of mistakes about what they're even basically 10 being charged with having taken. 11 It is not clear whether racial profiling 12 is involved in the targeting investigations, but there 13 does appear to be enough instances of a rush to judgment and a lack of sufficient care taken before someone is 14 15 arrested when Chinese Americans are the target. 16 Over 40 Members of Congress just this week 17 and also, I think, almost 50 Asian and Chinese American 18 organizations have raised similar concerns and have 19 asked for the Attorney General to take a look at this 20 and make sure that what they are doing is fair and 21 complies with what our values are of due process. 22 So, accordingly, I'm asking the Commission 23 to call for the Department of Justice to conduct an 24 investigation as to whether federal investigators and

1	prosecutors either improperly or over relying on race.
2	Or, need to increase training and oversight over the
3	ongoing and future investigations to make sure that,
4	in fact, these gross errors of in terms of evidence and
5	judgment do not happen again.
6	Even though these individuals get cleared,
7	for example, Dr. Xi who was the head of his department
8	and he lost that position. In addition, the attorneys
9	have put such pressure on his family that his daughter
10	had to consider whether she had to withdraw from
11	college.
12	So, even once you're cleared, there are
13	consequences to families wrongly charged.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Do you have a motion?
15	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: So, I move that
16	the Commission send the draft letter to the Honorable
17	Loretta Lynch.
18	I want to thank the Commissioners, my
19	fellow Commissioners, for their constructive comments.
20	We dialed back the tone a little bit of the letter and
21	I believe the special assistants all got the redline
22	of the updated draft letter.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is there a second?
24	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: I second it.

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, any discussion?
2	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: The only
3	thing that I would add, Mr. Chair
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Please turn your mic a
5	little closer.
6	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: The only
7	think that I would add, Mr. Chair, is in light of eth
8	events going on around the world and here in this
9	country, I think this letter's a very appropriate
10	reminder. And, I support it.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any other
12	discussion? If not, I'll call the question.
13	All right, Madam Vice Chair, how do you
14	vote?
15	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,
17	how do you vote?
18	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
20	how do you vote?
21	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
23	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
24	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
	NEAL D. CDOSS

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kirsanow,
2	how do you vote?
3	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I appreciate
4	Commissioner Narasaki's work on this, but for those
5	particularly by the Vice Chair, while taking a
6	different conclusion, I think we have to be careful as
7	a Commission, while although ensuring as for which we
8	are poorly qualified in.
9	This is an area that is very sensitive and
10	we have to largely look at whether or not there is some
11	type of unresolved prosecution.
12	This is a very narrow area and I don't
13	really know that I've got sufficient information to
14	take a vote, so I'm going to abstain.
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
16	how do you vote?
17	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I have a lot of
18	sympathy for this letter and it's possible that I might
19	write something else. But, I'm going to have to
20	abstain on this. I just feel like I don't know enough
21	to vote yes.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And, I vote yes.
23	So, we have a motion that passes with five
24	yeses and two abstentions. Okay, and then we'll have
	NEAL D. ODOGO

1	that put on appropriate letterhead and sent out.
2	III. MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS
3	REPORT BY SAC CHAIR FOR KANSAS
4	CHAIR CASTRO: We now move on to
5	Management and Operations. Did you want to handle
6	that?
7	MR. MORALES: Yes, Mr. Chairman, thank
8	you.
9	Before I get into the staff report, I'd
10	just like to open it up to David Mussatt who I believe
11	is on the line. We have the SAC Chair for Kansas who
12	will give us an update on their findings and their
13	report.
14	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I'm sorry, before
15	we do that, I forgot to ask if we if the Commission
16	could as the staff to do a press release about the
17	letter?
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, we'll do that.
19	MR. MORALES: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, so it's the Kansas
22	Report on Seclusion and we started in Kansas schools.
23	It was an advisory memo that was presented to us.
24	MR. MORALES: And, the Kansas, you know,
	NEAL D. GDOSS

1	SAC had worked very hard on this and wanted to report
2	to the Commissioners.
3	And so, we've been in touch with them and,
4	David, I know you're on the line, so I'll let you
5	introduce the SAC Chair.
6	David? David?
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Maybe he's not on the
8	line.
9	MR. MORALES: Maybe he's not on the line.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is the SAC Chair on the
11	line?
12	MR. MORALES: Is the SAC Chair on the line?
13	MS. KRONK WARNER: Yes, this is me, I'm on
14	the line.
15	MR. MORALES: Oh, okay. Well, then, for
16	some reason, we're having technical difficulties with
17	David, but please, introduce yourself and go forward
18	with your presentation.
19	MR. KRONK WARNER: Great, thank you so
20	much and I appreciate the time and attention of the
21	Commissioners on this issue. We certain appreciate
22	you taking a look at it.
23	My name's Elizabeth Kronk Warner, and just
24	by way of brief introduction, in addition to serving

as Chair of the Kansas Advisory Committee, I am also a professor of law and Associate Dean for the University of Kansas School of Law and a Citizen of the Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians. Although, I do want to make clear that I'm speaking in my capacity as Chair of the Committee and not in my capacity as an employee of the University of Kansas today. I'd also just like to briefly start off by thanking David and also Melissa Wojnaroski for their wonderful support and work. As I'm sure you're aware, we couldn't do what we do as a Committee without their support. So, I'm very appreciative of all they do and just want to acknowledge them. So, the report that we have sent to you and, hopefully, I'm going to assume that everybody has a copy of that, so I won't waste your time by just reading the report, is specifically an issue that was brought to our attention as one of potential concern in Kansas, and that's the issue of seclusion and restraint. And, as you saw in the report, initially, we looked at this from the lens of disability because, of course, disability is part of the Commission's charge. But then, as you'll see throughout the report,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

we believe that there may be intersections with race 1 2. as well. 3 And so, that, as we'll talk about in a 4 moment, one of our recommendations to the Commission 5 is that additional information gathering as to that 6 intersection would be very helpful. But, at the very 7 least, we know that this is potentially an issue, or we received testimony to the fact that this is an issue 8 9 with disabled people. 10 And so, we held a hearing on this issue on 11 March 23rd of this year. And, I just want to bring your 12 attention to the second full paragraph of our report 13 on page one to highlight the fact that the purpose of 14 this look was limited in scope because, as you may be 15 aware, we're actually gearing up as a committee to 16 really do an in depth and substantial look at voting 17 rights in Kansas. 18 So, we didn't have the time, quite frankly, 19 to really go in depth on this issue. But, we wanted 20 to get a sense of whether there was an actual problem 21 and then whether federal intervention or federal 22 involvement would be appropriate. 23 So, I do want to highlight the fact that 24 this was a limited look at this particular topic. And,

as you'll see in our recommendations, we actually recommend that the Commission look at this a little bit more in depth based on what we discovered in our own hearing. And then, of course, this memorandum that you received and the recommendations were approved by a majority of our committee on May 27th of 2015. In terms of background, it is important to note that seclusion and restraint can be particularly detrimental to children with disabilities. On page two of the report, you'll see that the National Disability Rights Network says that children with disabilities are at increased risk of injury, both mentally and potentially physically, through seclusion and restraint. So, this is a vulnerable population. Also, currently, there are federal laws in place to protect children in medical institutions and hospitals and inpatient institutions, but we currently don't have federal regulations in place for children in schools. Now, we do have the Department Education's restraint and seclusion resource document, but that is not binding on schools, that is a guidance document.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 So, right now, we don't have any binding federal law on the issue of seclusion and restraint in 2. schools, but we do have it in other contexts. 3 4 Now, as the memo points on page three, 5 there could potentially be some protection for students with disabilities under other federal Statutes such as 6 Individuals With Disabilities Education Act, 7 Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act and then also, 8 9 of course, the Americans With Disabilities Act. The protections may exist, however, that 10 11 concrete, clear protection doesn't seem to be spelled 12 out. And, as you'll see in the testimony and our 13 recommendations, that's one of the areas of why we think 14 that it would be helpful for the Commission to do 15 increase investigation is to clear up some of these 16 areas of confusion. 17 And then, continuing on on page three, 18 there's a wide variety of ways in which the States have 19 addressed this issue. And, in fact, the 2009 GAO 20 report concluded that 19 States including Kansas, at 21 the time, had no laws or regulations related to use of 22 seclusion and restraints. 23 And, then of course, the paragraph goes on 24 to explain that other States have adopted various laws

on this particular issue. 1 2 Now, in 2013, here in Kansas, the State 3 Board of Education passed the Emergency Safety and 4 Intervention Regulation which remain in effect today. 5 And, if you look at page four of our report, you'll see 6 the six things that are required under the Emergency 7 Safety and Intervention Regulation. Now, the concern, if you look in that first 8 9 paragraph on page four, is that, while certainly these six things are helpful and fill that void that the GAO 10 11 report talked about in 2009, there's still a concern 12 from some about implementation and enforcement of those 13 standards because implementation and enforcement is 14 left completely to the digression of the individual 15 school districts. 16 So, there's confusion about 17 implementation and enforcement and also, there's not 18 uniformity. 19 Also continuing on on page four, data 20 collection and reporting is varying widely by State. 21 And, one thing I do want to draw your attention is the 22 last sentence on the second paragraph on page four which 23 says that as part of this project, our committee issued 24 a formal request for seclusion and restraint data to

the Kansas State Department of Education. 1 2. The Department noted that available data 3 was limited as prior to 2013 data reporting for each 4 school was not mandatory. 5 And then, if you continue on page five, 6 furthermore, the committee's request for available 7 data to be disaggregated by disability status, race and sex was denied by the Data Release Board. 8 9 one of the challenges 10 committee encountered was, even though we submitted 11 this request to the State for the information, we didn't 12 receive it. We resubmitted a request and we didn't 13 receive it. So, we did encounter challenges in 14 obtaining that information the State. 15 Moving on on page five, the first full 16 paragraph does talk about the fact that the committee 17 recognizes that there is some data that suggests that 18 there is intersecting disparities on the basis of race 19 and color. So, again, this is something, as you'll see 20 in our recommendations, the committee -- excuse me -to the Commission that additional information would be 21 22 helpful. 23 Moving on to our actual panel testimony 24 that the committee received, you'll see that we

received testimony from Tonya Wade who is a parent who spoke really passionately and forcibly about some significant trauma, both physical and emotional, that her daughter encountered as a result of seclusion. We also heard from Kathleen Johnson who's an attorney with the Disability Rights Center of Kansas. And, her testimony, as you can see from the report, focused on some confusion in the existing laws, specifically, some confusion about what constitutes immediate danger. She also spoke about some concerns about the complaint process that exists. Parents expressed concern that there might be retaliation from the school districts and also that there's no clarity as to when seclusion and restraint is used. Also, I would specifically point out the second full paragraph on page seven of her testimony or the memo summary of her testimony, speaks to KAR 91-42-1 and 91-42-2 which are specifically mentioned in the Office of General Counsel's report to David Mussatt which is dated November 17 on our memorandum. So, we'll come back to that at the very end. But, I do want to note that Ms. Johnson mentions those provisions in her testimony and spoke

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	specifically to them.
2	We also heard from Laura Jurgensen from the
3	State Department of Education who spoke about the
4	State's efforts and the State implementation.
5	Ms. Jurgensen also noted, if you look at
6	the first full paragraph on page nine, that there's
7	currently no federal law governing the use of seclusion
8	and restraint in schools, so she noted the absence of
9	federal law as well.
10	And then, we also heard from Terry Collins,
11	Legislative Liaison for the Kansas Association of
12	Special Education who testified about the current
13	regulations that are in place and spoke about how the
14	purpose of these regulations was really to address some
15	of the concerns such as those that were mentioned in
16	the 2009 GAO report.
17	So, Kansas has certainly taken efforts
18	since that 2009 report to, again, fill the void.
19	That brings me to our committee's
20	conclusions from our hearing.
21	First off, as we mentioned, as I've
22	mentioned a couple of times, there are no clear federal
23	rules addressing children with disabilities in schools
24	in regards to seclusion and restraint.

1 the effectiveness, even though Also. 2. Kansas certainly has taken steps in this regard since 2009 with the passage of the regulations in 2013, the 3 4 effectiveness of those regulations is unclear. 5 There's also a disconnect between parents 6 perception and administrative perception. And, one of 7 the concerns that we heard in the testimony was that enforcement left 8 implementation and is to the individual school districts so we don't have uniformity 9 on these issues. 10 11 And then also, another concern is that the 12 procedures for data collection are left to 13 individual school districts, so it's not clear whether 14 or not we're getting uniform data. And, again, so that 15 makes it very difficult for us to get data just 16 aggregated by race, color, disability or sex as a result 17 of that. 18 And then, last, we also concluded that 19 there appear to be barriers to effective dispute 20 resolution. 21 As a result of those, you'll see that our 22 recommendations start on page 13. The first thing is 23 we recommend that the Commission should collaborate 24 with the U.S. Department of Education to review state

by state data and to take a deeper look at this issue and to see where there might be disparate impacts based on disability or potential sex, race color, because, as we mentioned in our report, we encountered obstacles to getting effective data.

And then, our second recommendation is that, if the Commission should determine that there is a disparate impact, then the Commission should issue specific recommendations to the U.S. Department of Education that govern the practices under the U.S. Constitution.

Also, it would be helpful as the committee determined in its hearing and its findings, that a clear complaint and appeals process would be very helpful because, as was elicited in our testimony, there appears to be some confusion as to complaint and appeal processes.

And then, last but not least, I also wanted to just draw your attention to page 14. Our fourth recommendation, is that, as I mentioned earlier, we did not receive a response from the Department of Education, U.S. Department of Education I should specify, as to our inquiry into this topic. And, it's my understanding that 42 USC Section 1775(b)(e)

2.

1 specifies that all federal agencies shall cooperate 2 fully. So, we were disappointed that we did not 3 4 receive cooperation from the U.S. Department of 5 Education and we would appreciate the Department of Education's cooperation in the future because this is 6 obviously a really important issue, especially for 7 children with disabilities. 8 And then, lastly, I'll just mention, I 9 received a copy of the Office of General Counsel's 10 11 memorandum to David Mussatt. I personally haven't had 12 a chance to talk to my entire committee. 13 objections to the recommendation to include 14 language that is included on page one. 15 would just point out the General 16 Counsel's language references the KAR 91-42-1 and 17 91-42-2 which Kathleen Johnson does or did talk about 18 in her testimony which is captured at page seven. And, as she specifies in her testimony, 19 20 even with passage of those regulations, she indicated 21 that she or her center still has two concerns, first, 22 that the proposed amendment limits parents 23 requesting an administrative review regarding one of 24 nine identified types of issues.

1	And then, second, that the authority of the
2	hearing officer is very discretionary.
3	So, those two regulations were addressed
4	in the testimony to the committee.
5	And, that constitutes my report. Again,
6	I very much appreciate your time and attention and I
7	would be happy to answer any questions.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Chair, this is
9	Chair Castro.
10	First of all, thanks for serving as Chair
11	of the SAC. I know that's important work and we
12	appreciate your willingness to serve the people of your
13	State but also to work with us at the Commission or
14	really important topics like this.
15	I can tell you one thing we can certainly
16	do right off the bat is send this report to the
17	Department of Education on our behalf. We can talk
18	among Commissioners to see if maybe there's something
19	more specific we could send in terms of asking for
20	action. But, we will review this and discuss amongst
21	ourselves what more substantive steps we could take to
22	support your efforts here.
23	I did have a question. There's been a lot
24	of news recently about, in essence, criminalization of

1 schools and we saw some recent videos where youth were 2. being essentially subjected to use of force by local community affairs police officers. 3 4 Ι would image some children with 5 disabilities which have some issues may be 6 misinterpreted as acting out or other things. 7 briefing and in your hearing on this topic, did you run into any issues with regard to how the children are 8 9 being treated when it comes to interactions with law enforcement within the schools? 10 11 MS. KRONK WARNER: Yes, and so first, let 12 me just start off by saying thank you so much for 13 forwarding this on to the Department of Education. 14 very much appreciate that. 15 And, as to your question as to policing in 16 the schools, unfortunately, no. My recollection is 17 that none of the individuals who testified in front of 18 us specifically spoke to the issue of policing. And, 19 unfortunately, our hearing was relatively limited in 20 scope. 21 But, I will say that kind of related to that 22 issue that you raised, Chairman, about the fact that children with disabilities, somehow their actions can 23 24 sometimes be misinterpreted, Kathleen Johnson did

testify to the fact that, and you'll see that on page six, the first paragraph of her testimony, that clarification increased information or constitutes an immediate danger would be helpful for exactly the reasons that you're articulating that sometimes the actions of children with disabilities may be misinterpreted. And so, increased clarification on that immediate danger standard would be helpful. And, she also recommends serious physical harm, but at the very least, increased clarification on immediate danger would be helpful. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, yes. We held a briefing on the police use of force in New York earlier this year and we had testimony from the Disability Rights community that, I forget the exact number, but well over half of the instances of use of deadly force by police involve someone with a disability. So, you know, it's clearly an issue that's on our radar screen. Other Commissioners have questions? Commissioner Narasaki? COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes, thank you, also and thank you very much for this really well-written memo. It raises a lot of important issues.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I had a question, there's a letter in Appendix C from the Kansas Department of Education, State Department of Education, and if I understand it correctly, it's requesting that the Office of Civil Rights monitor statewide implementation. Are they referring to the Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights? Because it was directed to the Director of the State Advisory Committee's David Mussatt for the Commission. MS. KRONK WARNER: Yes, and that was, quite honestly, something that we were confused as We were uncertain whether that was a statement well. from Director Riley that she would like to see the State take care of that and it wasn't something that we were able to get increased clarity from her. But, if that would be helpful to the Commission, I would be delighted to reach out to Director Riley to get clarification on that, but we have not yet received clarification from her. COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I think that would be helpful because it goes to what the Chairman mentioned in terms of what the Commission might consider doing in terms of our request to the Department of Education.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	MR. KRONK WARNER: Yes, I'd be happy to
2	follow up.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All right, thank you.
4	Any other questions or comments from
5	Commissioners?
б	Well, hearing none, we want to thank you,
7	Chair Warner, for your presentation and your service.
8	Thank you.
9	And, we'll follow up with you on next
10	steps.
11	MR. KRONK WARNER: Okay, wonderful.
12	Thank you so much.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: You're welcome. Thank
14	you.
15	Next, we have our Staff Director's report.
16	III. MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS
17	STAFF DIRECTOR'S REPORT
18	MR. MORALES: Well, thank you, Mr. Chair.
19	Mr. Chairman, of course, you have the
20	report. I just want to call your attention to three
21	things that aren't in our report that I wanted to bring
22	to your attention.
22	
23	One, I want to do a shout out and recognize

1	Correct. Okay, thank you.
2	She's an Administrative Assistant for our
3	Rocky Mountain Regional Office. She's been in the area
4	working with our Eastern Regional Office helping them
5	update their file system. She's come out here as part
6	of her duties and responsibilities working with the
7	regional staff and was very helpful. And so, I want
8	to thank her for coming and helping get that.
9	You know, as the Commissioners all know and
10	have heard on numerous occasions, we're understaffed
11	and we do the best we can with Band-Aids and scotch tape.
12	And so, we were able to secure her services from Rocky
13	Mountain administrative office for a week and a half.
14	And so, you know, we're going to look at
15	all opportunities to move staff around when we have some
16	holes that need to be filled. So, thank you, Evelyn.
17	Second, I want to call the attention to the
18	Commissioners that we, yesterday, posted the 2015 audit
19	report that was sent to the Office of Management and
20	Budget. Our auditors completed their work on it. It
21	is on the website for all of you to examine.
22	The opinion that was given by the auditors
23	was something called a disclaimer which means that what
24	happened was these auditors went back to 2010 to do a

1 more rigorous analysis of our financial statements and 2 an enormous amount of work went into it by the staff. 3 I really want to thank Pam, Tina, John and 4 that's it, sorry, for their hard work -- I thought I 5 was omitting somebody, I apologize -- for the hard work they did in pulling those staff together -- oh and 6 Michelle, as well -- and a lot of the work that was done 7 8 to get ready for the audit, to pull all the files 9 together. 10 It's ongoing and so that's part of the 11 reason why we got a disclaimer. 12 We're very confident, or I should say we're 13 confident, that we'll get a, you know, an opinion next 14 year that will be a positive opinion. And so, we think 15 all the hard work done and the steps taken will result 16 in a new opinion next year. 17 So, we put things in place for that. Ιf 18 any Commissioners have individual questions or would 19 like to talk to me about it, please feel free to contact 20 me. 21 And lastly, I just wanted to let you know 22 that I've been working with the special assistants, the 23 General Counsel and with David Mussatt in convening a 24 working group of our panel here to look at revisions

Do	not	quot	te d	or 1	cely	on	thi	ร เ	ncor	rec	ted	trans	crip	ρt
wit	hout	: obt	aiı	ning	y wr	itte	en pe	erm	nissi	on	from	the	U.S.	
Cor	nmiss	sion	on	Civ	/il :	Riql	nts a	at	tran	scr	ipts	@usco	r.qc	v

1	and recommendations for the administrative
2	instructions, the AI, on SAC appointments.
3	You know, since I've come on Board, there
4	have been challenges with getting SACs prepared and
5	getting it put into an area or to shape that
6	Commissioners are willing to vote for and support.
7	And, there have been a lot them, you know,
8	back and forth on it. And I just finally have reached
9	a point where I think, you know, folks are frustrated
10	and dissatisfied and I really am hoping that some of
11	the individuals working, Alison has stepped up as well
12	as Sheryl to represent the sides of the Commissioners
13	to present some recommendations.
14	I'm hoping within the next 30 days, we'll
15	have some recommendations that we can accept and I can
16	present to the Commissioners as well.
17	So, with that, Mr. Chairman, I thank you
18	and turn it back over to you.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any questions for the
20	Staff Director?
21	Hearing none, I will then move on to our
22	State Advisory Committee appointments.
23	IV. STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS
24	MARYLAND

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The first we'll deal
2	with is Maryland. So, I'm going to make a motion that
}	the Commission appoint the following individuals to the
Į.	Maryland State Advisory Committee based upon the
;	recommendation of our Staff Director:
;	Thomas Mackall, George LaNoue, Pace
,	McConkie, Susannah Prucka, Genevieve Segura, Leonard
}	Addison, Pamela Bennett, Zainab Chaudry, Doris Cowl,
)	Gregory Dolin, Ernie Dominguez, Walter Howell, Jr.,
)	Tara Huffman, Evelyn Kelly, David Kim, Jennifer Mathis.
	Let me make sure I've got everybody here.
2	Okay, and also pursuant to this motion that Thomas
}	Mackall shall serve as the Chair of the Maryland State
ŀ	Advisory Committee.
·	These members will serve as uncompensated
·)	Government employees. Under this motion, the
,	Commission authorizes the Staff Director to execute the
}	appropriate paperwork for the appointments.
)	Do I have a second?
)	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I second, Mr.
	Chair, and I would really like to thank staff for their
2	efforts in responding to my request that slates contain
}	younger representatives from the younger generations
Ŀ	as well as more diversity of religion. So, I very much

1	appreciate that effort in terms of putting together
2	this particular slate.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Did I get a
4	second?
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman?
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Did I get a second?
7	Just a second, did I get a second?
8	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes, I did.
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, yes, Commissioner
10	Heriot?
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm going to be
12	voting no on the Maryland staff. First, because I
13	think the viewpoint balance is a serious problem.
14	As I count it, there are three candidates
15	who you could describe as right of center, 12 who could
16	be described as left of center and one who's up on the
17	continuum I couldn't figure out.
18	Finally, and I think more importantly, I'm
19	very disappointed that two very strong conservative
20	candidates have not been reappointed and that I haven't
21	been able to get an explanation from our Staff Director
22	as to why.
23	I think that it's possible that the
24	explanation for at least one or two of them is that there

1 have been some conflicts with the staff. I'm very 2. concerned about the vertical integration problem of the staff member who works with the SACs decides whether 3 4 or not to keep members on that SAC. 5 And I'm very concerned with the problem 6 that we, as the Commission, are never told why someone 7 who has been on the SAC in the past is not being 8 reappointed. 9 I'm also disappointed that a very strong 10 candidate who previously worked at the Commission has 11 not been chosen for an appointment, even though there 12 are obviously several available spaces on the SAC for someone who holds similar views. 13 14 It is not like conservatives are being over 15 represented on the Maryland SAC. So, I plan to vote 16 no. 17 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, well, I didn't get 18 three people I recommended, one of whom has been waiting 19 for five years. So, but I'm still going to vote for 20 this because I know that the Staff Director's put 21 together a subcommittee that's going to address these 22 kinds of problems for the future. 23 So, but, you know, thank you for your 24 statement.

1	I'm going to call the vote now.
2	Vice Chair, how do you vote?
3	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,
5	how do you vote?
6	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
8	how do you vote?
9	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
11	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
12	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kirsanow,
14	how do you vote?
15	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
17	you've indicated no already so I'll mark that down.
18	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Well, you have to
19	let me say it.
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, go ahead, say it.
21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I vote no.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Say it loud and proud.
23	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Oh, I vote no and I
24	consider this slate to be violation of our AI.

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, thank you.
2	And, I vote yes.
3	So, that's five yeses to two nos, the slate
4	passes.
5	IV. STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS
6	WEST VIRGINIA
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We now move on to the
8	West Virginia SAC.
9	I make a motion that the Commission appoint
10	the following individuals to the West Virginia State
11	Advisory Committee based upon the recommendation of our
12	Staff Director.
13	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: I'll second
14	that.
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Let me read the names
16	and then thank you, I appreciate the support already,
17	though.
18	Sheli Bernstein-Goff, Tara N. Martinez,
19	James F. Shaffer, Ethel M. Smith, Lisa K. Younis,
20	Adrienne Belafonte Biesemeyer, Naomi W. Cohen, Attiba
21	R. Ellis, David E. Gilbert, Ira F. Hartman, Anne M.
22	Lofaso, Lydia C. Milnes, Jason D. Nicholas, Merideth
23	D. Smith, Joseph M. Tyree.
24	And then, pursuant to this motion, the

1	Commission appoints Tara N. Martinez as the Chair of
2	the West Virginia State Advisory Committee.
3	These members will serve as uncompensated
4	Government employees. Under this motion, the
5	Commission authorizes the Staff Director to execute the
6	appropriate paperwork for the appointments.
7	Do I have a second?
8	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: You do have
9	a second, Mr. Chair.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you, Madam Vice
11	Chair.
12	Any discussion?
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman?
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Commissioner
15	Heriot?
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I just wanted to say
17	that I plan to vote against this stack as well which
18	I believe to be a violation of our AI for clearly
19	
	ideological balance.
20	ideological balance. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you.
20 21	
	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you. I'll call the question for a vote.

1	how do you vote?
2	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
4	how do you vote?
5	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
7	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
8	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kirsanow,
10	how do you vote? Commissioner Kirsanow?
11	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
13	how do you vote?
14	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I vote yes.
16	That's five yeses to two nos, the West
17	Virginia slate passes.
18	IV. STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS
19	INTERIM APPOINTMENTS TO THE
20	MISSOURI STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Now, we have some
22	interim appointments. We have interim appoints to the
23	Missouri State Advisory Committee and the Kentucky
24	State Advisory Committee as well as an appointment to

1	the Wisconsin SAC Chair.
2	So, let me actually start with Missouri.
3	We have a number of candidates
4	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Mr. Chairman?
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, is that Kladney?
6	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney?
8	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes, I just got
9	these yesterday, I think, if I'm correct.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I don't recall, but yes,
11	they
12	MR. MORALES: That is correct. I had
13	inadvertently thought I had put it into the packet the
14	week before, but I noticed I hadn't.
15	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: And, actually, I
16	don't I've been on the Commission now for a while
17	and I haven't seen an interim appointment, so I was
18	wondering if somebody could explain that to me?
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Mr. Staff Director?
20	MR. MORALES: Certainly, and I believe we
21	also David may still be on or he may have gotten back
22	on the line.
23	Essentially, what's happened is that there
24	were some exceptional circumstances that occurred with

1	the three Advisory Committees and that the Chief of
2	Regional Programs Unit has requested the Commission
3	take specific actions to address these issues.
4	I can go into each one if you'd like, Mr.
5	Commissioner.
6	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Actually, I was
7	just wondering if we had the authority to do this,
8	that's all. And, what are the lengths to the
9	appointments and things like that just to make sure it's
10	all correct.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So, my understanding is
12	that in Missouri, given the number of replacements that
13	we needed actually brings the Missouri SAC below the
14	minimum, is that right?
15	MR. MORALES: That is correct because
16	numerous a number of individuals resigned.
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And, Commissioner
18	Kladney, I don't know, but this might have been before
19	you joined the Commission, when Commissioner Titus held
20	your position, but I know that, in the past, we have
21	had at least one maybe more instances of a SAC going
22	below the number of minimum number of members to
23	function.
24	And so, I do know that, in the past, we have

1	appointed individuals so that that SAC can continue to						
2	function. And those individual that individual						
3	served only the term that the person they're replacing						
4	is filling.						
5	So, we have done that in the past. Again,						
6	as it's not frequent. It's actually rare, but this						
7	SAC in particular is doing a lot of work on the Ferguson						
8	related issue and we want to make sure they continue						
9	to be able to complete that. So, we don't want it to						
10	languish too long.						
11	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Thank you, Mr.						
12	Chairman.						
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: You're welcome.						
13 14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: You're welcome. COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman?						
14	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman?						
14 15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Commissioner						
14 15 16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Commissioner Heriot?						
14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Commissioner Heriot? COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I have two thoughts						
14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Commissioner Heriot? COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I have two thoughts here. One, on the issue of minimum numbers, this is						
14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Commissioner Heriot? COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I have two thoughts here. One, on the issue of minimum numbers, this is one way we could cut down on work for the staff by						
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Commissioner Heriot? COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I have two thoughts here. One, on the issue of minimum numbers, this is one way we could cut down on work for the staff by reducing the minimum number of members of the SAC so						
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Commissioner Heriot? COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I have two thoughts here. One, on the issue of minimum numbers, this is one way we could cut down on work for the staff by reducing the minimum number of members of the SAC so that they don't have to go to the trouble of making						

1 be better off with a smaller minimum. It doesn't have 2. to be the same minimum for the initial appointment that we have for the SACs. 3 4 We could say that a committee can continue as long as it has a minimum of X, but the minimum for 5 6 the initial appointment, we could set at X plus two or 7 something. So, that's one point I have to make. The second is, I'm concerned about why 8 9 there have been resignations from the Missouri SAC. Could the Staff Director speak to that? 10 11 MR. MORALES: I can and I have a memo from 12 a memorandum that I received from David Mussatt, Chief 13 of the Regional Programs Unit. 14 So, in regards to Missouri, in what he --15 it's a short paragraph here, so bear with me, in fiscal 16 year 2015, the Missouri Advisory Committee held nine 17 publically noticed meetings including two full-day 18 meetings where testimony was heard regarding the topic 19 of police community relations in the State. 20 This number of meetings far exceeded the 21 number of meetings normally held by active committees 22 and, as a result, three members resigned because they 23 did not feel that they could fulfill the full-time 24 commitment necessary to be constructive members.

1	Those three members are Anna Crosslin,							
2	Jalesia McQueen and Michelle Sherod.							
3	To ensure balance and robust debate, the							
4	DFO for the the Regional Director for the Missouri							
5	Advisory Committee recruited additional potential							
6	candidates for the Committee and they completed this							
7	timely and important project.							
8	The action requested by the Chief is that							
9	the Commission appoint the following individuals to							
10	serve the Missouri Advisory Committee from the November							
11	18, 2015 until July 2016 when the terms of all of the							
12	Committee members expires.							
13	And, these individuals are Chad Flanders,							
14	Amany Ragab Hacking, Domingo Martinez-Castilla,							
15	Sabrine Rhodes and David Tyson Smith.							
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: So, all of this has							
17	to do with time commitments and there were no disputes							
18	that led to this?							
19	MR. MORALES: Correct.							
20								
	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's correct?							
21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's correct? CHAIRMAN CASTRO: That's correct.							
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: That's correct.							

1	to go ahead and make a motion that the Commission						
2	appoint the following individuals to the Indiana State						
3	Advisory Committee.						
4	MR. MORALES: No.						
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: No?						
6	MR. MORALES: That's Missouri.						
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I'm sorry, Missouri						
8	State Advisory Committee and that these are interim						
9	appointments to fill the unexpired term of those						
10	individuals who previously stepped down as was						
11	indicated by the Staff Director.						
12	So, this is upon recommendation of our						
13	Staff Director, the following individuals are Chad W.						
14	Flanders, Amany Ragab Hacking, Domingo A.						
15	Martinez-Castilla, Sabrine Y. Rhodes and David. T.						
16	Smith.						
17	These individuals will serve as						
18	uncompensated Government employees. Under this						
19	motion, the Commission authorizes the Staff Director						
20	to execute the appropriate paperwork for the						
21	appointments.						
22	Do I have a second?						
23	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I second and want						
24	to note, I appreciate the staff's efforts to reach out						

1	and recruit Muslim candidates for the various slates
2	that we have been considering today.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any other questions or
4	comments? If not, I will call the vote on Missouri
5	interim candidates.
6	Madam Vice Chair, how do you vote?
7	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,
9	how do you vote?
10	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
12	how do you vote?
13	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
15	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
16	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kirsanow,
18	how do you vote?
19	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Abstain.
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
21	how do you vote?
22	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote yes.
24	So, we have six yeses and one abstention,
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	the slate passes.
2	IV. STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS
3	INTERIM APPOINTMENT TO THE
4	KENTUCKY STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Next, we have Kentucky,
6	and the Kentucky interim appointment, there's only one.
7	So, I'm going to make a motion that the
8	Commission appoint the following individual to the
9	Kentucky State Advisory Committee based upon the
10	recommendation of our Staff Director, Mitchell H.
11	Payne.
12	And, pursuant to this motion, Mr. Payne
13	will be a member and serve as an uncompensated
14	Government employee. Under this motion, the
15	Commission authorizes the Staff Director to execute the
16	appropriate paperwork for the appointment.
17	Is there a second?
18	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, any questions?
20	Do you want to explain?
21	MR. MORALES: Certainly, Mr. Chairman,
22	thank you for
23	So, what I received from the Chief of
24	Regional Programs Unit, David Mussatt, regarding this

1 appointment is that the Kentucky Advisory Committee was 2 appointed on July 12, 2015. During the recruitment period, the DFO who 3 4 was new to the Commission, the regional staff, reached 5 out to the returning members' and gave them a deadline 6 respond whether they were interested to 7 reappointment. One member, Mitchell Payne, experienced a 8 9 serious personal loss at this time and did not respond to the request for reappointment. He did reach out 10 11 subsequently to the regional staff after the July 12 appointment. 13 And, at that time, the regional staff found 14 out about Mr. Payne's loss as well as the major 15 contributions Mr. Payne had made to the Committee 16 during his previous tenure on the Committee. 17 Given these exceptional circumstances, 18 the Regional Office would like to add Mr. Payne to the 19 Kentucky Committee. 20 The Committee appointed Mitchell Payne to 21 the Kentucky Advisory Committee -- the action requested 22 is that the Commission appoint Mitchell Payne to the 23 Kentucky Advisory Committee from November 18th until 24 July 11, 2019 when the other committee members terms

1	expire.
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any questions?
3	Okay, we'll call it for a vote.
4	Madam Vice Chair, how do you vote?
5	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,
7	how do you vote?
8	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
10	how do you vote?
11	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
13	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
14	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kirsanow,
16	how do you vote?
17	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Abstain.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
19	how do you vote?
20	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote yes.
22	That's six yeses and an abstention, the
23	motion passes.
24	IV. STATE ADVISORY APPOINTMENTS

NEAL R. GROSS OURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBE

1	APPOINTMENT OF THE
2	WISCONSIN STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE CHAIR
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Finally, we have a
4	motion to appoint a new Chair for the Wisconsin State
5	Advisory Committee. So, let me make the motion and
6	then the Staff Director can explain the circumstances.
7	I make a motion that the Commission appoint
8	Naheed N. Bleecker as the Chair of the Wisconsin State
9	Advisory Committee.
10	Pursuant to this motion, he shall serve as
11	an uncompensated Government employee and the
12	Commission authorizes the Staff Director to execute the
13	appropriate paperwork for the appointment.
14	Is there a second?
15	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, Mr. Staff
17	Director will you explain?
18	MR. MORALES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
19	Yes, the Wisconsin Advisory Committee was
20	appointed on August 14, 2015. The Commission
21	reappointed Adel Mekraz to be Chair of the Committee.
22	After the appointment, Mr. Mekraz informed
23	the Regional Office that he would be moving out of the
24	country and had to resign from the Committee.

1	Therefore, the Committee currently has no
2	Chair and under current Commission rules, cannot hold
3	a meeting because no one has appointed to call meetings
4	and certify meeting minutes.
5	The regional staff has reached out to the
6	Wisconsin Advisory Committee members and only one
7	member volunteered who was then nominated by both
8	Democratic and Republican members. That individual
9	was Naheed Bleecker.
10	The action requested is that the
11	Commission appoint Naheed Bleecker Chairman of the
12	Wisconsin Advisory Committee.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you.
13 14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you. Any questions?
14	Any questions?
14 15	Any questions? Let me just say Wisconsin has been one of
14 15 16	Any questions? Let me just say Wisconsin has been one of our very active Committees. I have attended some of
14 15 16 17	Any questions? Let me just say Wisconsin has been one of our very active Committees. I have attended some of their briefings. I also want to thank Adel for his
14 15 16 17	Any questions? Let me just say Wisconsin has been one of our very active Committees. I have attended some of their briefings. I also want to thank Adel for his service as Chair. He was a very good and active Chair.
14 15 16 17 18	Any questions? Let me just say Wisconsin has been one of our very active Committees. I have attended some of their briefings. I also want to thank Adel for his service as Chair. He was a very good and active Chair. It's unfortunate he could not participate, but, you
14 15 16 17 18 19	Any questions? Let me just say Wisconsin has been one of our very active Committees. I have attended some of their briefings. I also want to thank Adel for his service as Chair. He was a very good and active Chair. It's unfortunate he could not participate, but, you know, we want to make sure the SAC continues to
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Any questions? Let me just say Wisconsin has been one of our very active Committees. I have attended some of their briefings. I also want to thank Adel for his service as Chair. He was a very good and active Chair. It's unfortunate he could not participate, but, you know, we want to make sure the SAC continues to function. So, it's important that we do this.

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,
2	how do you vote?
3	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
5	how do you vote?
6	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
8	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
9	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kirsanow,
11	how do you vote?
12	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Abstain.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
14	how do you vote?
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I vote yes.
17	The motion passes with six yeses and one
18	abstention.
19	V. ADJOURN MEETING
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: That concludes the
21	business on our Agenda, so I hereby adjourn the meeting
22	at 12:15 Eastern Time.
23	Thank you, everybody.
24	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
	NEAL R. GROSS

Τ	went	OII	tne	recora	at	12:15	p.m.)
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							