# Disclaimer for Rough Real-Time Transcripts

"Do not quote or rely on this uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at <a href="mailto:transcripts@usccr.gov">transcripts@usccr.gov</a>."

## U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

+ + + + +

## BUSINESS MEETING UNEDITED

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 14, 2015

+ + + + +

The Commission convened in Suite 1150 at 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest, Washington, D.C. at 10:00 a.m., Martin R. Castro, Chairman, presiding. PRESENT:

MARTIN R. CASTRO, Chairman\*

PATRICIA TIMMONS-GOODSON, Vice Chair\*

ROBERTA ACHTENBERG, Commissioner\*

GAIL L. HERIOT, Commissioner

PETER N. KIRSANOW, Commissioner\*

KAREN K. NARASAKI, Commissioner

MICHAEL YAKI, Commissioner\*

MAURO MORALES, Staff Director

JENNIFER CRON HEPLER, Solicitor, Parliamentarian

<sup>\*</sup> Present via telephone

## STAFF PRESENT:

IVY L. DAVIS, Director, ERO

BARBARA DE LA VIEZ

PAMELA DUNSTON, Chief, ASCD

DARREN FERNANDEZ

ANGELA FRENCH-BELL

SEAN GOLIDAY

ALFREDA GREENE

TINALOUISE MARTIN, Director, OM

DAVID MUSSATT, Director, RPCU

LENORE OSTROWSKY, Acting Chief, PAU

MICHELE YORKMAN

## COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

SHERYL COZART

ALEC DEULL

JASON LAGRIA

CLARISSA MULDER

MAY ROYCE

RICHARD SCHAMEL

ALISON SOMIN

KIMBERLY TOLHURST

## A G E N D A

| I. APPROVAL OF AGENDA   | 5 |
|---|---|
| PROGRAM PLANNING  |   |
| • Status on Pending Commission Reports and Hiring of Contractors by OCRE  | 1 |
| • Presentation of Outline on Hearing Plan for 2016 Statutory Enforcement Report on Environmental Justice  | 6 |
| • Discussion and Vote on Dates for 2016 Meetings4   | 1 |
| • Discussion About Whether the December 11th Event at the Lincoln Cottage Should Include a Commission Business Meeting or Be Limited to a Ceremony Commemorating the Passage of the 13th Amendment4 | 6 |
| Discussion of Resolution Honoring Carl Auerbach   | 3 |
| Discussion of Amicus Brief  | 8 |
| II. MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION6   | 9 |
| IV. ADJOURN MEETING   | 2 |

| 1  | PROCEEDINGS  |
|----|--|
| 2  | (10:04 a.m.)   |
| 3  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All right. Well, we'll  |
| 4  | get started. I'm going to call the meeting to order.   |
| 5  | I don't have a gavel here at my home office in Chicago,  |
| 6  | so I'm going to use my fist. It is now 10:03 a.m.  |
| 7  | Eastern Time, and the meeting will come to order. This   |
| 8  | is a meeting of the U.S. Civil Rights Commission.  |
| 9  | Today is October 14th, 2015. The meeting is taking   |
| 10 | place at the Commission's headquarters located at 1331   |
| 11 | Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., in Washington, D.C. I'm   |
| 12 | Chairman Marty Castro.   |
| 13 | The commissioners who are present at the   |
| 14 | headquarters are Commissioners Heriot and Narasaki.  |
| 15 | Joining me on the phone are Vice Chair Timmons-Goodson,  |
| 16 | Commissioners Kladney, Achtenberg, Kirsanow, and Yaki,   |
| 17 | although we're not sure if Kladney is on the phone yet,  |
| 18 | but he will be joining at some point, we understand.   |
| 19 |  |
|    | So the quorum of the commissioners is  |
| 20 | So the quorum of the commissioners is present. Given that I'm on the phone, if the court                   |
| 20 |  |
|    | present. Given that I'm on the phone, if the court   |
| 21 | present. Given that I'm on the phone, if the court reporter could answer in the affirmative that he or she |

| 1  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Is the staff                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | director present?                                       |
| 3  | MR. MORALES: I am, sir, and I would ask                 |
| 4  | that, as the commissioners speak, that they present     |
| 5  | their names so that the court reporter can accurately   |
| 6  | take down their comments.                               |
| 7  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, great. And also,                 |
| 8  | if you are interested in speaking, just, you know, say, |
| 9  | "Chairman, I'd like to say something," and then I'll    |
| 10 | call on you or put a list together to the extent that   |
| 11 | there are more than one person who wants to speak at    |
| 12 | a time.   |
| 13 | Okay. So the meeting will now come to                   |
| 14 | order. The first item on the agenda is the approval     |
| 15 | of the agenda.  |
| 16 | I. APPROVAL OF AGENDA                                   |
| 17 | MR. MORALES: I move that we approve the                 |
| 18 | agenda. Is there a second?                              |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I second.                        |
| 20 | VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Second.                     |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman?                      |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Are there any                          |
| 23 | amendments?   |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes. Mr.                           |

| 1  | Chairman, can you hear me?                              |
|----|---|
| 2  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, go ahead.                         |
| 3  | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: This is Gail. I                    |
| 4  | would like to move to amend the agenda to include an    |
| 5  | item to congratulate Professor Carl Auerbach on his     |
| 6  | 100th birthday. Professor Auerbach is a colleague of    |
| 7  | mine at the University of San Diego, and he was         |
| 8  | instrumental in getting the Civil Rights Act of 1957    |
| 9  | passed. I have sent out a copy of what I would like     |
| 10 | to put on the agenda. I think I've got copies here if   |
| 11 | any special assistant needs one, but I would like to    |
| 12 | move to put this on the agenda anywhere you would like, |
| 13 | Mr. Chairman. But one place to put it might be, might   |
| 14 | be at the end.  |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: I'll second                    |
| 16 | that motion.  |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. And also we have                 |
| 18 | a couple of other possible amendments. Commissioner     |
| 19 | Achtenberg, I think you have something.                 |
| 20 | COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: I do, Mr.                      |
| 21 | Chairman. I have a motion to amend the agenda to        |
| 22 | postpone consideration of Part B until our November     |
| 23 | meeting. Quite frankly, Mr. Chairman, I need            |
| 24 | additional time to put forward a series of proposed     |

| 1  | findings and recommendations on the Peaceful            |
|----|---|
| 2  | Coexistence project.                                    |
| 3  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.                                  |
| 4  | COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: So that's my                   |
| 5  | motion.   |
| 6  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.                                  |
| 7  | COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Chair, it's                      |
| 8  | Commissioner Yaki. I have a motion, as well.            |
| 9  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Go ahead.                              |
| 10 | COMMISSIONER YAKI: I would like to put                  |
| 11 | onto the agenda a discussion and a motion regarding     |
| 12 | directing the staff director to prepare a brief and     |
| 13 | statement regarding the Supreme Court affirmative       |
| 14 | action case.  |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.                                  |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Do you include a lot               |
| 17 | of discussion of whether or not it would be appropriate |
| 18 | to do that? If so, I'd be for the motion.               |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, I think that's                    |
| 20 | embedded in that. Yes, Madam Vice Chair?                |
| 21 | VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes, I was                  |
| 22 | going to second Commissioner Achtenberg's motion.       |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, all the amendments                |
| 24 | sort of omnibus seconded. I think that's how we         |

| 1  | normally do it so                                      |
|----|--|
| 2  | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Mr. Chair?                      |
| 3  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes.                                  |
| 4  | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: This is                         |
| 5  | Commissioner Narasaki. I would respectfully suggest    |
| 6  | that we hold off on discussion about the amicus brief  |
| 7  | or statement.  |
| 8  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, we could take each              |
| 9  | of these amendments in turn, so maybe we should have   |
| 10 | individuals second. So Commissioner Achtenberg's       |
| 11 | motion to amend was seconded by the Vice Chair.        |
| 12 | Commissioner Heriot's motion was seconded by           |
| 13 | Commissioner Achtenberg. Does Commissioner Yaki's      |
| 14 | motion have a second?                                  |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: I'll second                   |
| 16 | that motion.   |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. And then we'll                  |
| 18 | vote on these in turn.                                 |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Mr. Chair, this                 |
| 20 | is Commissioner Narasaki, and I also move to amend the |
| 21 | agenda to remove the Maryland SAC from consideration.  |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.                                 |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'll second that.                 |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, all right. So                   |
|    | NEAL D. CDCCC  |

| Do not  | quote   | or rel | y on  | this   | uncorre  | cted  | transcript  |
|---------|---------|--------|-------|--------|----------|-------|-------------|
| without | obtai   | ning v | ritte | n per  | rmission | from  | the U.S.    |
| Commiss | sion on | Civil  | Righ  | ıts at | transci  | ripts | @usccr.gov. |

| 1  | we'll vote first on the amendment to add the            |
|----|---|
| 2  | consideration of Carl Auerbach's proclamation. All      |
| 3  | those in favor, say aye.                                |
| 4  | (Chorus of ayes.)                                       |
| 5  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any abstentions?                       |
| 6  | (No response.)  |
| 7  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any declines?                          |
| 8  | (No response.)  |
| 9  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. The motion to                    |
| 10 | push back consideration for Part B of finding some recs |
| 11 | for the Peaceful Coexistence report, all those in favor |
| 12 | say aye.  |
| 13 | (Chorus of ayes.)                                       |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any opposed?                           |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow. No.                    |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Kirsanow is                      |
| 17 | opposed.  |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I've abstained.                    |
| 19 | Heriot abstains.  |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Heriot                           |
| 21 | abstains. All right. The motion passes. Motion to       |
| 22 | add a discussion of an amicus brief in the affirmative  |
| 23 | action case, all those in favor say aye.                |
| 24 | (Chorus of ayes.)                                       |

| 1  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any opposed?                           |
|----|---|
| 2  | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Commissioner                     |
| 3  | Narasaki says no.                                       |
| 4  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki                  |
| 5  | opposes. Okay. Any abstentions?                         |
| 6  | VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON:                             |
| 7  | Timmons-Goodson abstains.                               |
| 8  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. The one                          |
| 9  | abstention and the no. The motion passes. And then      |
| 10 | a motion to remove the Maryland SAC from consideration  |
| 11 | at today's business meeting. All those in favor, say    |
| 12 | aye.  |
| 13 | (Chorus of ayes.)                                       |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All those opposed?                     |
| 15 | (No response.)  |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any abstentions?                       |
| 17 | (No response.)  |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. So then we will                  |
| 19 | add the discussion of the amicus brief after we discuss |
| 20 | at the end the centennial proclamation, okay? So now    |
| 21 | there's no further amendments. Let's vote to approve    |
| 22 | the agenda in whole. All those in favor, say aye.       |
| 23 | (Chorus of ayes.)                                       |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any opposed?                           |

| 1  | (No response.)  |
|----|---|
| 2  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any abstentions?                       |
| 3  | (No response.)  |
| 4  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Program                          |
| 5  | Planning.   |
| 6  | II. PROGRAM PLANNING                                    |
| 7  | STATUS ON PENDING COMMISSION REPORTS AND                |
| 8  | HIRING OF CONTRACTORS BY OCRE                           |
| 9  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So the first item we                   |
| 10 | have is a status of the pending Commission report and   |
| 11 | the hiring of contractors by OCRE. So, Ms.              |
| 12 | French-Bell, if you could inform us of the status of    |
| 13 | these two matters.                                      |
| 14 | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Good morning. My name                  |
| 15 | is Angela French-Bell. I'm the Assistant Staff          |
| 16 | Director for the Office of Civil Rights Evaluation, and |
| 17 | this is our report. The first thing we wanted to cover  |
| 18 | would be the contractors, followed by an update on      |
| 19 | reports. We will delve briefly into environmental       |
| 20 | justice, covering our tentative discuss. We will        |
| 21 | discuss why we will want the report to focus on coal    |
| 22 | ash, discuss potential site visits that we could        |
| 23 | conduct as a part of our environmental justice report,  |
| 24 | discuss possibly keeping the record open until April    |

| 1  | to make sure that we have all the data that we need for |
|----|---|
| 2  | our report, and then Darren Fernandez, a civil rights   |
| 3  | analysis for OCRE, will discuss the outline that he     |
| 4  | created as part of this project.                        |
| 5  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Well, we'll take                 |
| 6  | that first. I have that as your second item. The        |
| 7  | first one was a discussion of the status of the pending |
| 8  | outstanding reports and the contractor status.          |
| 9  | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Okay. Do you want me to                |
| 10 | do it in that order then?                               |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: You know, this is fine.                |
| 12 | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Okay. Thank you so                     |
| 13 | much. I appreciate the indulgence. So OCRE did hire     |
| 14 | a contractor before the end of the fiscal year. His     |
| 15 | name is David Gardner. He comes to us from a rich legal |
| 16 | background, which includes a J.D. at Georgetown         |
| 17 | University. He's been doing an excellent job for us.    |
| 18 | I wanted to briefly highlight the overall               |
| 19 | outline that he came up with to discuss the Native      |
| 20 | American report with the understanding that this is     |
| 21 | only a very basic outline at this time.                 |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: You went to the Native                 |
| 23 | American report?  |
| 24 | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Yes.                                   |

| 1  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Oh, okay.  |
|--|---|
| 2  | MS. FRENCH-BELL: I will send out a copy   |
| 3  | as soon as the meeting is over. I apologize for that  |
| 4  | oversight. What we're also looking to do is to work   |
| 5  | with Jason Lagria to develop a team of special  |
| 6  | assistants who would like to assist on this project and   |
| 7  | help to refine the outline some more and to kind of work  |
| 8  | with us going forward, and we'd like to meet with them  |
| 9  | on a bi-weekly basis.   |
| 10   | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. So this hasn't   |
| 11   | been circulated just yet?   |
| 12   | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Right. So why don't I  |
|  |   |
| 13   | just circulate it, and then we'll discuss it? So we'll  |
| 13<br>14                                     | just circulate it, and then we'll discuss it? So we'll move on to the next agenda item.   |
|  |   |
| 14   | move on to the next agenda item.  |
| 14<br>15                                     | move on to the next agenda item.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All right, okay.   |
| 14<br>15<br>16                               | move on to the next agenda item.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All right, okay.  MS. FRENCH-BELL: Okay. So for update   |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17                         | move on to the next agenda item.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All right, okay.  MS. FRENCH-BELL: Okay. So for update  for the reports, the first report that you should  |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17                         | move on to the next agenda item.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All right, okay.  MS. FRENCH-BELL: Okay. So for update for the reports, the first report that you should receive will be state immigration. That will come out   |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                   | move on to the next agenda item.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All right, okay.  MS. FRENCH-BELL: Okay. So for update for the reports, the first report that you should receive will be state immigration. That will come out on Friday. It has been reviewed by OSD.   |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20       | move on to the next agenda item.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All right, okay.  MS. FRENCH-BELL: Okay. So for update for the reports, the first report that you should receive will be state immigration. That will come out on Friday. It has been reviewed by OSD.  Further, we have two options. We can   |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21 | move on to the next agenda item.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All right, okay.  MS. FRENCH-BELL: Okay. So for update for the reports, the first report that you should receive will be state immigration. That will come out on Friday. It has been reviewed by OSD.  Further, we have two options. We can either send out reports to you. We have three reports |

| Do  | not   | quot | e or | rely  | on   | this   | uncorre  | ected  | trans | script |
|-----|-------|------|------|-------|------|--------|----------|--------|-------|--------|
| wit | hout  | obt  | aini | ng wr | itte | en per | rmissior | n from | ı the | U.S.   |
| Cor | nmiss | sion | on C | ivil  | Rial | nts at | transo   | cripts | @usco | cr.gov |

| 1  | it out every two weeks will be that we'll get more       |
|----|--|
| 2  | reports out during the fiscal year. The disadvantage     |
| 3  | is that it will be more of a burden on the commissioners |
| 4  | and their assistants to get it done in a timely manner.  |
| 5  | It might not provide them adequate time for review.      |
| 6  | So I wanted to pose that as a question to                |
| 7  | you, get your feedback on that matter so that I know     |
| 8  | how to adjust the schedule.                              |
| 9  | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Are they all ready                  |
| 10 | to go to the Commission now? This is just a draft that   |
| 11 | we just make comments on                                 |
| 12 | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Exactly.                                |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: So send all three of                |
| 14 | them out, and then just adjust the times that the        |
| 15 | comments are due.  |
| 16 | MS. FRENCH-BELL: That works.                             |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, I think the sooner                 |
| 18 | we get them to us the better.                            |
| 19 | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Okay, perfect. Thank                    |
| 20 | you. That's very helpful.                                |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Make sure that we                   |
| 22 | have a schedule that's doable for getting comments to    |
| 23 | you.   |
| 24 | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Okay. So in terms of                    |

| 1  | the schedule being doable, is it easier to make them    |
|----|---|
| 2  | do it with a month in between or with two weeks in      |
| 3  | between? What would be better for you?                  |
| 4  | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Let me ask you a                   |
| 5  | question. If what's convenient is for me, like, to      |
| 6  | work like crazy and get, like, all three of them out,   |
| 7  | but then you don't get comments from Commissioner       |
| 8  | Narasaki on, you know, number three until eight weeks   |
| 9  | from now, I mean, does it matter to you whether you get |
| 10 | all the comments on the same report in the same week,   |
| 11 | or is it okay if we dribble them in in no particular    |
| 12 | order?  |
| 13 | MS. FRENCH-BELL: For me, it would be                    |
| 14 | easier and more organized if they came in around one    |
| 15 | time. It would be easier to incorporate them, it would  |
| 16 | be easier to track them. So for me, the easiest thing   |
| 17 | would be to have at least a solid deadline for when     |
| 18 | they're due.  |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay. What are the                 |
| 20 | three? What are the names of the three?                 |
| 21 | MS. FRENCH-BELL: The three reports are                  |
| 22 | state immigration, civil rights for vets, and stand     |
| 23 | your ground.  |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: From my standpoint                 |

| 1  | stand your ground is more important and, therefore, I   |
|----|---|
| 2  | want more time for stand your ground.                   |
| 3  | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Okay.                                  |
| 4  | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: This is                          |
| 5  | Commissioner Narasaki. I agree with Commissioner        |
| 6  | Heriot. And what I would suggest is maybe for the first |
| 7  | two, we might try two weeks. But if it turns out that   |
| 8  | that is not realistic, then we could adjust it.         |
| 9  | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Perfect. Thank you so                  |
| 10 | much.   |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: If the other                     |
| 12 | commissioners agree.                                    |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: That makes sense.                      |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes, I agree with                |
| 15 | Commissioner Heriot that we will need more time for     |
| 16 | stand your ground.                                      |
| 17 | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you. Since that                  |
| 18 | seems to be the general consensus, and I will include   |
| 19 | a time line for the other reports on that time line,    |
| 20 | as well, when I send it out. And you should have that   |
| 21 | within the next week. The next order of                 |
| 22 | business is the time line for the statutory enforcement |
| 23 | report. That should be part of the folder that Mauro    |
| 24 | created. Okay. So I just wanted to highlight some of    |

1 the most important dates and explain --2. Hold on. I didn't get that, MR. MORALES: and I didn't forward that time line to them because I 3 4 didn't receive that until yesterday afternoon. 5 just have the outline. 6 MS. FRENCH-BELL: Okay. So what we will 7 do then is I will just talk about two of the most 8 important dates, and we'll send that out to. Okay. So 9 interrogatories will be sent to the federal agencies December 2015. The reason that we're going 10 11 to send it out in December is because we've been having 12 ongoing meetings with EPA, and they're sending us a lot 13 of information that we already need ahead of time. 14 it will be easier for us to be able to find out what 15 exactly it is that we don't know and to give them a 16 refined list that reflects the actual documents that 17 we need to complete the report. 18 The report will be submitted to the 19 commissioners on June 3rd, 2016. The comments will be 20 due July 5th, 2016. And the final vote will be July 21 15th, 2016. So we'll send this out to you, as well. 22 The next thing that we wanted to discuss 23 is the coal ash issue and why we wanted to focus the 24 statutory enforcement report on the coal ash issue.

1 First of all, it's an emerging issue. The EPA just recently put out the coal ash rule. That rule will help to determine the future of coal ash facilities moving forward, so this our chance to be on the cutting edge of an issue that's coming out at the current time. Also, it's a matter of our chickens coming home to roost. The U.S. has generated more energy internally, which is one of our goals. However, there are costs for that production, and we want to make sure that the costs are not disproportionately borne by those who are least able to handle it and especially that it doesn't disproportionately negatively impact poor communities of color. Coal ash is also a transcendent issue. Ιt impacts air, water, and ground. The plants emit particulate matter, mostly in the form of sulfur dioxide, which people are breathing in. They're having negative health impacts with their respiratory Also, coal ash is stored in ponds near those facilities where it's leaching into the groundwater, making the groundwater undrinkable. It also is impacting home prices negatively. It's also meaningful because so many people are suffering as a result of these coal ash

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

facilities. There's evidence that there are cancer clusters near these coal ash facilities and other ailments.

Another reason is that they have an opportunity to do independent research if we can focus on one particular issue. To the extent that we focus on other issues, our attention is divided, and we're not able to commit as many resources to focus on this particular issue. We have the best resources and time to focus on coal ash, but if we keep adding other issues we reduce our ability to do so.

So the next thing that we wanted to talk about were, if we can focus on coal ash, that we would like to be able to have one town hall meeting. That would enable the Commission to be able to hear from the public at one of four locations. The four locations are Alabama near Perry County. That is one of the main topics of the concept paper, and it shows how a community can be negatively impacted by coal ash. In that community, there were toxins in the air which you could smell for miles, which negatively impacted home values. The water was contaminated. People were not able to drink the water.

Another area that we could possibly cover

2.

would be Eden, North Carolina. That involves Duke

2. A pipe burst, spilling toxins into the Dan Energy. 3 River, and it's had a very negative impact on the 4 communities that are in that area. 5 A third area that we can consider is 6 Chicago near Joliet. The Will County Generating Plant 7 and the Midwest Generating Plant are spewing contaminates which exceed legal limits, which is 8 9 leaking into the groundwater and making the water contaminated, as well. 10 11 So our goal would be to have the town hall 12 at one of these venues, and then for the other two venues 13 to have an investigator from OCRE to go out, similar 14 to what we did with stand your ground, and to conduct 15 research by talking with local officials and then bring 16 that information back to the Commission. We don't have 17 to vote on it today. We just wanted to propose it as 18 a possibility. 19 MR. MORALES: This is Staff Director 20 Morales. Is this in addition to the hearing you're 21 planning in January, which will be here in Washington, 22 D.C.; is that correct? 23 MS. FRENCH-BELL: That is correct. Ιt 24 is. And this will be a chance for us to do more

1 independent research on the ground to see what's 2. happening to really get a chance to assess some of the 3 negative health impacts that the communities are 4 facing. 5 This is COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: 6 Commissioner Narasaki. Are you ready for comment or 7 8 MS. FRENCH-BELL: Absolutely. Sure. 9 COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I want to make 10 finished. So applaud sure you were Ι the 11 thoughtfulness of staff in trying to reign us in and be focused in an area that Commissioner Heriot has often 12 13 said that we should be about generating more original 14 So I do applaud that. research. 15 I would like to see, and I certainly 16 understand the tradeoff in terms of focus and breadth, 17 but when we approved this as a topic and sent out the 18 press release saying what we're approving, we noted 19 that coal ash would be one of the featured but that it 20 was not going to be limited to that. And that's what 21 I've been discussing with the civil rights community. 22 I would like to see, and we could talk about 23 how best to do it so it doesn't impede your staff's 24 ability, but I would like to see at least some

1 discussion about the Gulf state region and the environmental issues that remain for those communities 2. 3 after the hurricanes and the BP oil spill. 4 MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you for that. 5 definitely appreciate that comment. I think there may 6 be a way that we could accommodate that while having 7 our main focus be on coal ash, and we definitely look 8 forward to talking to you about ways that we can 9 accomplish that. 10 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I would definitely 11 like to commend you on the notion of limiting this to 12 coal ash. I think that is a step in the right 13 But I actually would go much further in direction. 14 that direction. Maybe I'm not really certain what coal 15 ash is. We were talking a little bit about air 16 pollution, and I thought the coal ash would be that part 17 that gets caught in the filters and, therefore, is 18 So is some of the leaking gasses still within 19 the definition of coal ash? 20 MS. FRENCH-BELL: It is. It's leaking 21 gas. It's particulates. It's sludge that goes into 22 the water where it's stored. But if they don't store 23 it properly, it leaks into the water and contaminates 24 So Darren is going to talk about it more the water.

| 1  | during his presentation and explain what it is, but it  |
|----|---|
| 2  | has multiple negative health impacts.                   |
| 3  | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: So should I reserve                |
| 4  | other comments on the outline until when Darren speaks? |
| 5  | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Yes.                                   |
| 6  | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay. On the issue                 |
| 7  | of should we limit it to coal ash                       |
| 8  | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you, thank you.                  |
| 9  | The second to last thing we wanted to talk about was    |
| 10 | possibly keeping the record open until April. If we     |
| 11 | end up being able to do a forum meeting, that would     |
| 12 | probably occur in late February - early March. We       |
| 13 | would like to have people who were not able to attend   |
| 14 | the meeting be able to submit comments up to a month    |
| 15 | later. So if we kept the record open initially, that    |
| 16 | would enable us to be able to receive all of the public |
| 17 | comments that we would need to complete our report.     |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Mr. Chair, this                  |
| 19 | is Commissioner Narasaki. I am a fan of keeping the     |
| 20 | record open. It saves us from having to keep            |
| 21 | revisiting the question. As we learned last year, we    |
| 22 | need that time to make sure we have a complete record.  |
| 23 | But I do want to ask about I also like the idea of      |
| 24 | having a town hall outside of D.C., but I want to       |

understand the impact because we also have hearings planned for the criminal justice topic and education topic that we adopted. I think at least I'm concerned that the hearing for the education not be so late that we wouldn't be able to have a good chance of getting a report done in this year, this next year. Thank you. MS. FRENCH-BELL: That's absolutely a valid comment and something that we have been discussing as a team. What we can do is to work up a little plan to show you how we would work that in to our time line and present it to you at next month's meeting. COMMISSIONER YAKI: This is Commissioner I just wanted to add that I think that the idea Yaki. of a town hall is important because this is showing that is, in many ways, hyperlocal and, in other ways, deals with communities that have probably the least means with which to communicate the impact and their experience with this issue to Washington, D.C.

we're dealing with communities of folks who don't have

the means to come to Washington, D.C. and to have their

all in favor of going out to where the problem is because

voices heard.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

24

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. This is the

| 1                                | Chair. I agree with Commissioner Yaki, the importance   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| 2                                | of doing a town hall. Of course, I would like to see  |
| 3                                | it in Chicago, in addition to Joliet. We have three   |
| 4                                | other similar communities, two, actually three, two on  |
| 5                                | the southwest side of Chicago and one on the southeast  |
| 6                                | side and another in Lake County just north of Chicago.  |
| 7                                | So this has been a big problem for the Chicago area for   |
| 8                                | a long time, but I know that we'll be discussing that   |
| 9                                | location later. But wherever we end up going, I think   |
| 10                               | it's important for us to at least once a year have a  |
| 11                               | presence outside of Washington, D.C., and I think this  |
| 12                               | will be important to do.  |
| 13                               | In addition, I presume that we can still  |
| 14                               | send you some names of some potential witnesses,  |
| 15                               |   |
| 13                               | Angela?   |
| 16                               | Angela?  MS. FRENCH-BELL: Yes, absolutely.  |
|                                  |   |
| 16                               | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Yes, absolutely.   |
| 16<br>17                         | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Yes, absolutely. Right now, we're just kind of working on researching  |
| 16<br>17<br>18                   | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Yes, absolutely. Right now, we're just kind of working on researching those potential panelists, and we will definitely  |
| 16<br>17<br>18<br>19             | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Yes, absolutely. Right now, we're just kind of working on researching those potential panelists, and we will definitely appreciate any that you would like to submit to us. So   |
| 16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20       | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Yes, absolutely. Right now, we're just kind of working on researching those potential panelists, and we will definitely appreciate any that you would like to submit to us. So thank you for that comment.   |
| 16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21 | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Yes, absolutely. Right now, we're just kind of working on researching those potential panelists, and we will definitely appreciate any that you would like to submit to us. So thank you for that comment.  COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Can I jump in here, |

| important. I also agree with the Chairman that Chicago    |
|---|
| is a really nice city, and I also want to point out that, |
| if we're looking to save money, and I think we always     |
| are here, when we have events outside of Washington,      |
| it costs the Commission a rather sizable chunk of         |
| change. And one thing we could do to try to cut down      |
| on the expense is to appoint a subcommittee to be the     |
| ones that hear what goes on in Chicago, and I would       |
| nominate the Chairman to be one of those since you're     |
| on location. Perhaps Commissioner Kirsanow in             |
| Cleveland and Commissioner Yaki because it was his        |
| proposal, I believe, would make a very nice               |
| subcommittee to do the Chicago thing. If Kirsanow         |
| can't make it, since I'm an old Chicago girl, I love      |
| to come to Chicago, but it seems cheaper to send someone  |
| from Cleveland than it does to send someone from San      |
| Diego, and we might be able to save money that way.       |
| MS. FRENCH-BELL: I absolutely love that                   |
| idea. We were planning to work it in as part of our       |
| work plan that we were submitting to you. Thank you       |
| so much.  |
| CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And you all can crash                    |
| out at my apartment, so that will save money, too.        |
| COMMISSIONER YAKI: Shotgun on the couch.                  |

MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you so much. So the next thing that we'll have is Darren Fernandez to talk about the outline.

## PRESENTATION OF OUTLINE ON HEARING PLAN FOR

## 2016 STATUTORY ENFORCEMENT REPORT ON

#### ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE

MR. FERNANDEZ: Good morning, Commissioners. This is Darren. Good morning. Thank you for allowing me to speak with you today. I'd like to walk through the outline for you and possibly answer any questions that you might have. Chapter one, if we can start, I have a few sections in here. is the introduction and kind of laying out an anecdote to set up the issue and get more of a human feel to it. I plan on introducing Esther Calhoun, who the media has portrayed as being almost the face of the issue when it comes to Alabama, and look at the Tennessee Valley spill and look at coal ash spillage in North Carolina and eventually tie that into environmental justice. In that section, in the environmental justice section, I would like to address what the Commission has done in the past, the Commission's past report, "Not in My Backyard, " and then look at the updates of what happened since then.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 the Turning we'll page, go onto justice in 2. environmental Title VI and EO. So 3 everything is going to be tied in using transition 4 steps. We're going to look at Title VI and Executive Order 12898 and kind of spell that out. 5 6 After that, I really want to bring up the 7 issue of coal ash, explain what coal ash is, and why it can be a problem and really look at the affected 8 9 communities, where these coal ash locations are placed, and finding out the demographics there and really 10 11 seeing if there's a disproportionate impact that these 12 communities facing in of minority are terms 13 populations. 14 And I think Commissioner Heriot has some 15 questions on what is coal ash. Coal ash is essentially 16 a byproduct for after burning coal. And after the coal 17 is burnt, it really comprises a lot of metallic elements 18 into it, and the EPA has stated that there's a lot of 19 carcinogenic metals that are a part of what builds up 20 coal ash and are primarily the dominant elements in coal 21 ash. And that's why it's become an issue. I don't 22 know if I can answer your question any further. 23 COMMISSIONER **HERIOT:** Ι was iust 24 concerned rather something that's in gaseous form would

1 still be considered coal ash. I think that Angela 2. clarified that this could be particulate matter, that it's gassy but is, in fact, solid if you smash it down. 3 4 MR. FERNANDEZ: Yes, that is correct. 5 And then it could also get into the liquid and stuff 6 like that. And then next I'd like to, after presenting 7 the coal ash issue, present EPA environmental justice. This is where I'd like to really lay out who EPA is and 8 9 what they do, look at NEPA, the EPA, and their 10 environmental justice issues. I'd like to highlight 11 their plan EJ 2014, and then their current plan that 12 they're coming out with is plan EJ 2020. 13 their website, and it's up for public comment right now. 14 But, you know, I think we're still looking to meet with 15 EPA to get more details on that, and that way we can 16 put that in our report to kind of add that to the 17 monitoring enforcement of this report. 18 And then I would conclude and tie that into 19 chapter two, which would discuss the EPA --20 COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Darren, before 21 you go there, this is Commissioner Narasaki. I just 22 have a question, and this is not an area I'm an expert, so this could be very much a stupid question. 23 24 there state regulations that also impact this area?

1 And if so, how do you plan to treat that? 2. MR. FERNANDEZ: Yes, that's a very good 3 I address that later on in the outline, but 4 I can answer that to you right now. Right now, coal 5 ash, as the way the EPA says it, and they classify this under their final coal ash rule, it falls under 6 Subsection D of the RCRA. 7 And Subsection D essentially states that coal ash is a non-hazardous material and 8 9 can be treated, you know, put into places, kind of like 10 a dump site for ordinary trash. And they essentially, 11 the EPA left it up to the states to regulate coal ash. 12 And then they can take the EPA suggestions if they want 13 to follow them or not, essentially. And that's under 14 Subsection D. 15 I don't know if I answered your question. 16 COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: So are you going 17 to look at some of the state regulations? I just don't 18 see it in the outline. Maybe I'm missing it. 19 MR. FERNANDEZ: Yes, I will look at the 20 state regulations. This is just a very preliminary 21 outline just to kind of, you know, outline exactly where 22 the direction of the paper is going. But I would be 23 happy to meet with Jason to, you know, further explore 24 the issue.

1 COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Well, I mean, 2 just given your description that there's a right now a state piece of it, I think it would be important to 3 4 at least understand what that is. 5 MR. FERNANDEZ: Yes. Can I comment on 6 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: 7 that, as well? We're skipping a little bit ahead here. 8 But if you're going to be commenting on 9 regulation, there also needs to be a comment on just basic common law tort actions available here. 10 11 you know, the concept of nuisance law, the concept of 12 a tort. 13 On the other hand, I think just to, 14 generally, the outline is way too heavy on law. The 15 section on equal protection I think needs to go 16 entirely. You know, don't go back and start talking 17 about cases that have nothing to do with our basic 18 topic, other than the just general constitution. Nobody is looking to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights 19 20 to explain constitutional law to them. This is not 21 what we should be doing. It's not a good idea. 22 know, if you do a terrific job, no one will care because 23 other people have done terrific jobs in other contexts. 24 If you do a bad job, on the other hand, then like every

| 1  | mistake that we make, it will be held against us. So  |
|----|---|
| 2  | don't try to, like, invent why we have the concept of |
| 3  | law or anything. I would file a general discussion of |
| 4  | equal protection and due process as way too abstract  |
| 5  | for our purposes. We want to talk about this notion   |
| 6  | of environmental justice, period.                     |
| 7  | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Commissioner                   |
| 8  | Heriot, though, I think the reason that there's       |
| 9  | discussion there is because they're trying to explain |
| 10 | the concept of environmental justice. So I agree with |
| 11 | you   |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Already done. I                  |
| 13 | don't think it's a good idea to talk about equal      |
| 14 | protection generally. It is going to be a quagmire    |
| 15 | that we will never get out of. I guarantee we will    |
| 16 | screw up.   |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I just think it                |
| 18 | might be difficult to I mean, because not everybody   |
| 19 | who reads the Commission's report is going to know. I |
| 20 | agree with you, I agree with you that I wouldn't want |
| 21 | to see pages and pages and pages on the topic         |
| 22 | but   |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We end up                        |
| 24 | embarrassing ourselves when we talk about tough       |

| 1  | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I think it's hard                |
|----|---|
| 2  | to  |
| 3  | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: issues of                          |
| 4  | constitutional law. I don't want to see us embarrassed  |
| 5  | anymore.  |
| 6  | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I kind of feel                   |
| 7  | it's fundamental to the discussion, and I don't see how |
| 8  | you don't mention it at all. So that's                  |
| 9  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, maybe we do                       |
| 10 | something limited, a sort of a primer on it, for those  |
| 11 | who may not be familiar                                 |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's what we do in               |
| 13 | the first place is primer, and it tends to be bad.      |
| 14 | Don't write something that's going to make people that  |
| 15 | know about constitutional law not take the rest of our  |
| 16 | report seriously.                                       |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: This is                          |
| 18 | Commissioner Narasaki. Again, I'm not talking about     |
| 19 | an exhaustive attempt to have a law review type         |
| 20 | discussion. I agree with you that's not necessary.      |
| 21 | But I do think some mention and maybe staff should be   |
| 22 | allowed to at least do an introductory thing, and we    |
| 23 | can decide whether we feel                              |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Bolling v. Sharpe,                 |

1 Adickes v. S.H. Kress & Company, Washington v. Davis, 2. McLaughlin v. Florida? I mean, it's insane for us to 3 discuss those cases. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Let's let them do it. 4 Let's see what it looks like, and we can always make 5 revisions if we don't --6 7 COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: We can work with staff on limiting the discussion and making sure that 8 9 it's on point. 10 MR. FERNANDEZ: Yes. And then chapter 11 two, I would really like to dive into the EPA and their 12 involvement in coal ash. I'd really like to highlight 13 their EPA rule and how it relates to the issue. 14 really want to look at why they considered it as a 15 non-hazardous substance under Subsection D, and this 16 is where the independent research would come in, where, 17 you know, we would hopefully be working with some sort 18 of university who would be willing to help us do this 19 type of research or look at other groups that, you know, 20 will take a --21 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I don't quite 22 understand what you mean by a university that would be willing to help us. I mean, how would we do this that 23 24 wouldn't run us into so much time that, by the time we

| 1  | have something worked out with the university, we've     |
|----|--|
| 2  | all died from old age?                                   |
| 3  | MS. FRENCH-BELL: You raise a very good                   |
| 4  | question, and I appreciate it. So what we're looking     |
| 5  | at is several universities have ongoing studies that     |
| 6  | we could possibly get information from, or they could    |
| 7  | do additional testing as part of the research that we're |
| 8  | doing. We'd, of course, have to work with OGC to make    |
| 9  | sure we're within legal parameters, and we would         |
| 10 | discuss any of that before we actually did it with the   |
| 11 | Commission.  |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: And so I don't think                |
| 13 | we'd possibly get our report done in time within that.   |
| 14 | That's what I'm worried about.                           |
| 15 | MS. FRENCH-BELL: No, no, we would                        |
| 16 | definitely get the report done on time. This is only     |
| 17 | if it can be done within the confines of the time line.  |
| 18 | These are ideas that we're putting forward right now.    |
| 19 | This is a tentative outline. It's subject to change      |
| 20 | based on realities of our time.                          |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: As long as it's                     |
| 22 | subject to, gosh, we had to drop that idea because it    |
| 23 | just wasn't going to work out.                           |
| 24 | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Absolutely.                             |

MR. FERNANDEZ: Again, this is а preliminary outline, so we're very open to suggestions. And then, after that, I'd really like to, like I said, go over why the EPA really classified coal ash as a non-hazardous waste, and I think we're going to be meeting with them and meet with their engineers, as well, to see, you know, to kind of explain the science to us because, after reading the rule, it's just very hard to understand. So, you know, I think it would be helpful to actually go there and speak with their scientific, explain it at our level. like And then I'd to look health-related issues concerning coal ash. the next chapter would really be the basis of the paper is EPA compliance or non-compliance with Title VI. We're going to look at the EPA complaint process as thoroughly as we can, and then we're going to go through, you know, kind of the guidelines for the Title VI complaint program to really see, you know, why there's a backlog, in terms of coal ash especially, and then go over that overall and really analyze whether EPA is complying or not. And then chapter four, I think we already had a discussion on.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I got a

Okay.

| 1  | couple of comments. If I'm remembering correctly,       |
|----|---|
| 2  | there's a place in here where we talk about partnering  |
| 3  | with EPA. Do you use that word?                         |
| 4  | MS. FRENCH-BELL: That was probably the                  |
| 5  | wrong word to use. We know we cannot partner with other |
| 6  | federal agencies.                                       |
| 7  | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, let me just                   |
| 8  | MS. FRENCH-BELL: We know that that was                  |
| 9  | the wrong word. Sorry.                                  |
| 10 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We are                             |
| 11 | investigating whether or not they do their job          |
| 12 | properly. We are, you know, in a posture with them,     |
| 13 | we're looking over their shoulders and trying to        |
| 14 | determine whether or not they are being good. They are  |
| 15 | not our partner.  |
| 16 | MS. FRENCH-BELL: No, they're not our                    |
| 17 | partner. And to the extent that we put that in there,   |
| 18 | that was a mistake. Thank you for pointing that out.    |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: There was also                     |
| 20 | something in some version about partnering with 60      |
| 21 | Minutes, and I just want to go on the record, over my   |
| 22 | dead and lifeless body.                                 |
| 23 | MS. FRENCH-BELL: No, that will not                      |
| 24 | happen. We would glean information from what they have  |

| 1  | out or perhaps talk to them. They are not our partners.  |
|----|--|
| 2  | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay.                               |
| 3  | MS. FRENCH-BELL: We will fix that in the                 |
| 4  | next version.  |
| 5  | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's great.                       |
| 6  | Here's my biggest comment, though, is that I always want |
| 7  | to see that we have done some research that otherwise    |
| 8  | is unavailable. And I'm worried that we're going to      |
| 9  | get in over our head, and if talks about, you know,      |
| 10 | medical research, you know, we're going to have a very   |
| 11 | difficult time making a useful comment on that because   |
| 12 | nobody here is, you know, specializes in medical         |
| 13 | research. You know, we've got a social scientist here    |
| 14 | that's going to be good with statistics, but we don't    |
| 15 | have anybody that is knowledgeable about medical         |
| 16 | issues.  |
| 17 | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Agreed and agreed.                      |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: And so I'm                          |
| 19 | terrified of this one. I'm just terrified. Here's a      |
| 20 | proposal I have, and I have no idea whether this is      |
| 21 | useful or not. But one thing that occurred to me, maybe  |
| 22 | somebody has already done it, maybe not. But I would     |
| 23 | just like to know exactly where the coal ash is. You     |
| 24 | know, is there some way to map out across the country    |

| 1  | here are how many coal ash dumps there, here is exactly |
|----|---|
| 2  | where it's located, here's the date they started to put |
| 3  | coal ash in that spot, and then here's the date they    |
| 4  | stopped doing it or it's ongoing. And that way, that    |
| 5  | can be coordinated with census data. That strikes me    |
| 6  | as a genuine contribution here, just to be able to say  |
| 7  | nobody else knew exactly where it is, but we can tell   |
| 8  | you where it is.  |
| 9  | MR. FERNANDEZ: Yes, and I completely                    |
| 10 | agree with you there, Commissioner. And we have it      |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Is it doable?                      |
| 12 | MR. FERNANDEZ: Yes, it's doable. And we                 |
| 13 | have that, I think under chapter two or three. I didn't |
| 14 | really talk about it at length during our discussion    |
| 15 | here, but there are tools that we can actually compile  |
| 16 | that data and provide it in there.                      |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I think that's, you                |
| 18 | know, people in the future who are litigating these     |
| 19 | cases, making complaints, if they could say, look, you  |
| 20 | know, here are the 54 coal ash, maybe it's 354.         |
| 21 | MR. FERNANDEZ: Seven-hundred and                        |
| 22 | thirty-five, yes.                                       |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Well, the fact that                |
| 24 | somebody knows that it's 735 means that maybe it's      |

| 1  | already been mapped out well. But at least that's        |
|----|--|
| 2  | data. You know, maybe we can, like, increase the         |
| 3  | actual sum total of human knowledge here somewhere,      |
| 4  | rather than just reporting what somebody else did.       |
| 5  | MR. FERNANDEZ: Yes. And what we would do                 |
| 6  | is we'd get the coordinates of those coal ash            |
| 7  | facilities, which I think there is already compiled      |
| 8  | lists, and then look at the demographic data around that |
| 9  | area, just like  |
| 10 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Well, it's                          |
| 11 | important to know, like, when did this coal ash dump     |
| 12 | get started because, you know, sometimes the             |
| 13 | demographics in 1957 when they first started it were     |
| 14 | very different from what the demographics are today.     |
| 15 | And that's important to know. It's important to get      |
| 16 | how this changes over time. So that's what I would love  |
| 17 | to see in this report.                                   |
| 18 | MR. FERNANDEZ: Absolutely. And I think                   |
| 19 | we can definitely make that work, and I think we'll have |
| 20 | an updated outline later on to, you know, incorporate    |
| 21 | the suggestions that you had made and the Commission     |
| 22 | has made and show it to you again.                       |
| 23 | VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: This is                      |
| 24 | Commissioner Timmons-Goodson. I think that's an          |

| MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you. Agreed.  Are there anymore questions for us?  COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow here.  Just a suggestion. In addition to the demographic data that Commissioner Heriot was talking about, I think it might be also useful to look at any demographic data related to household income and wages in the areas affected.  MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you very much. That's part of our new updated outline that should be on there, but we'll make sure that we define that out a little bit more in our next version. Thank you. That's a good suggestion.  COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I have one. Ms. French-Bell, is there any possibility that either the National Institutes of Health or a related organization might be in a position to advise us or offer some kind of expert assistance with regard   |  |
|---|--|
| individuals on the ground that are actually living around and with this, I think it makes it even more powerful.  MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you. Agreed. Are there anymore questions for us?  COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow here. Just a suggestion. In addition to the demographic data that Commissioner Heriot was talking about, I think it might be also useful to look at any demographic data related to household income and wages in the areas affected.  MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you very much. That's part of our new updated outline that should be on there, but we'll make sure that we define that out a little bit more in our next version. Thank you. That's a good suggestion.  COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I have one. Ms. French-Bell, is there any possibility that either the National Institutes of Health or a related organization might be in a position to advise us or offer some kind of expert assistance with regard | excellent idea, Commissioner Heriot. And when you      |
| around and with this, I think it makes it even more powerful.  MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you. Agreed. Are there anymore questions for us?  COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow here. Just a suggestion. In addition to the demographic data that Commissioner Heriot was talking about, I think it might be also useful to look at any demographic data related to household income and wages in the areas affected.  MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you very much. That's part of our new updated outline that should be on there, but we'll make sure that we define that out a little bit more in our next version. Thank you. That's a good suggestion.  COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I have one. Ms. French-Bell, is there any possibility that either the National Institutes of Health or a related organization might be in a position to advise us or offer some kind of expert assistance with regard  | couple that data with a report from interviews with    |
| MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you. Agreed.  Are there anymore questions for us?  COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow here.  Just a suggestion. In addition to the demographic data that Commissioner Heriot was talking about, I think it might be also useful to look at any demographic data related to household income and wages in the areas affected.  MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you very much. That's part of our new updated outline that should be on there, but we'll make sure that we define that out a little bit more in our next version. Thank you. That's a good suggestion.  COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I have one. Ms. French-Bell, is there any possibility that either the National Institutes of Health or a related organization might be in a position to advise us or offer some kind of expert assistance with regard   | individuals on the ground that are actually living     |
| MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you. Agreed.  Are there anymore questions for us?  COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow here.  Just a suggestion. In addition to the demographic data that Commissioner Heriot was talking about, I think it might be also useful to look at any demographic data related to household income and wages in the areas affected.  MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you very much.  That's part of our new updated outline that should be on there, but we'll make sure that we define that out a little bit more in our next version. Thank you.  That's a good suggestion.  COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I have one. Ms. French-Bell, is there any possibility that either the National Institutes of Health or a related organization might be in a position to advise us or offer some kind of expert assistance with regard   | around and with this, I think it makes it even more    |
| COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow here.  Just a suggestion. In addition to the demographic data that Commissioner Heriot was talking about, I think it might be also useful to look at any demographic data related to household income and wages in the areas affected.  MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you very much. That's part of our new updated outline that should be on there, but we'll make sure that we define that out a little bit more in our next version. Thank you. That's a good suggestion.  COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I have one. Ms. French-Bell, is there any possibility that either the National Institutes of Health or a related organization might be in a position to advise us or offer some kind of expert assistance with regard   | powerful.  |
| COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow here.  Just a suggestion. In addition to the demographic data that Commissioner Heriot was talking about, I think it might be also useful to look at any demographic data related to household income and wages in the areas affected.  MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you very much. That's part of our new updated outline that should be on there, but we'll make sure that we define that out a little bit more in our next version. Thank you. That's a good suggestion.  COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I have one. Ms. French-Bell, is there any possibility that either the National Institutes of Health or a related organization might be in a position to advise us or offer some kind of expert assistance with regard   | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you. Agreed.                    |
| Just a suggestion. In addition to the demographic data that Commissioner Heriot was talking about, I think it might be also useful to look at any demographic data related to household income and wages in the areas affected.  MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you very much. That's part of our new updated outline that should be on there, but we'll make sure that we define that out a little bit more in our next version. Thank you. That's a good suggestion.  COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I have one. Ms. French-Bell, is there any possibility that either the National Institutes of Health or a related organization might be in a position to advise us or offer some kind of expert assistance with regard  | Are there anymore questions for us?                    |
| that Commissioner Heriot was talking about, I think it might be also useful to look at any demographic data related to household income and wages in the areas affected.  MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you very much. That's part of our new updated outline that should be on there, but we'll make sure that we define that out a little bit more in our next version. Thank you. That's a good suggestion.  COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I have one. Ms. French-Bell, is there any possibility that either the National Institutes of Health or a related organization might be in a position to advise us or offer some kind of expert assistance with regard   | COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow here.                  |
| might be also useful to look at any demographic data related to household income and wages in the areas affected.  MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you very much. That's part of our new updated outline that should be on there, but we'll make sure that we define that out a little bit more in our next version. Thank you. That's a good suggestion.  COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I have one. Ms. French-Bell, is there any possibility that either the National Institutes of Health or a related organization might be in a position to advise us or offer some kind of expert assistance with regard  | Just a suggestion. In addition to the demographic data |
| related to household income and wages in the areas affected.  MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you very much.  That's part of our new updated outline that should be on there, but we'll make sure that we define that out a little bit more in our next version. Thank you.  That's a good suggestion.  COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I have one. Ms. French-Bell, is there any possibility that either the National Institutes of Health or a related organization might be in a position to advise us or offer some kind of expert assistance with regard   | that Commissioner Heriot was talking about, I think it |
| MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you very much.  That's part of our new updated outline that should be on there, but we'll make sure that we define that out a little bit more in our next version. Thank you.  That's a good suggestion.  COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I have one. Ms. French-Bell, is there any possibility that either the National Institutes of Health or a related organization might be in a position to advise us or offer some kind of expert assistance with regard   | might be also useful to look at any demographic data   |
| MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you very much.  That's part of our new updated outline that should be on there, but we'll make sure that we define that out a little bit more in our next version. Thank you. That's a good suggestion.  COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I have one. Ms. French-Bell, is there any possibility that either the National Institutes of Health or a related organization might be in a position to advise us or offer some kind of expert assistance with regard  | related to household income and wages in the areas     |
| That's part of our new updated outline that should be on there, but we'll make sure that we define that out a little bit more in our next version. Thank you. That's a good suggestion.  COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I have one. Ms. French-Bell, is there any possibility that either the National Institutes of Health or a related organization might be in a position to advise us or offer some kind of expert assistance with regard   | affected.  |
| on there, but we'll make sure that we define that out a little bit more in our next version. Thank you. That's a good suggestion.  COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I have one. Ms. French-Bell, is there any possibility that either the National Institutes of Health or a related organization might be in a position to advise us or offer some kind of expert assistance with regard   | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you very much.                  |
| a little bit more in our next version. Thank you.  That's a good suggestion.  COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I have one. Ms. French-Bell, is there any possibility that either the National Institutes of Health or a related organization might be in a position to advise us or offer some kind of expert assistance with regard  | That's part of our new updated outline that should be  |
| That's a good suggestion.  COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I have one. Ms. French-Bell, is there any possibility that either the National Institutes of Health or a related organization might be in a position to advise us or offer some kind of expert assistance with regard   | on there, but we'll make sure that we define that out  |
| COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I have one. Ms. French-Bell, is there any possibility that either the National Institutes of Health or a related organization might be in a position to advise us or offer some kind of expert assistance with regard  | a little bit more in our next version. Thank you.      |
| have one. Ms. French-Bell, is there any possibility that either the National Institutes of Health or a related organization might be in a position to advise us or offer some kind of expert assistance with regard   | That's a good suggestion.                              |
| that either the National Institutes of Health or a related organization might be in a position to advise us or offer some kind of expert assistance with regard   | COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I               |
| related organization might be in a position to advise us or offer some kind of expert assistance with regard  | have one. Ms. French-Bell, is there any possibility    |
| us or offer some kind of expert assistance with regard  | that either the National Institutes of Health or a     |
|   | related organization might be in a position to advise  |
| to the medical issues that you propose to address? But  | us or offer some kind of expert assistance with regard |
|   | to the medical issues that you propose to address? But |

| 1  | as Commissioner Heriot pointed out, we have very little |
|----|---|
| 2  | resident expertise with which to handle those issues.   |
| 3  | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Yes. Thank you for                     |
| 4  | that suggestion. We have reached out to NIH. One of     |
| 5  | the people that we're particularly looking at for a     |
| 6  | possible panelist is from NIH, so we're definitely      |
| 7  | following through on that suggestion. Thank you for     |
| 8  | that.   |
| 9  | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Mr. Chair, this                  |
| 10 | is Commissioner Narasaki. We might also consider        |
| 11 | reaching out to the surgeon general's office, as well.  |
| 12 | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you for that.                    |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Good idea.                             |
| 14 | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you. If there                    |
| 15 | are no  |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any other suggestions?                 |
| 17 | If not, do you have anything else on the agenda for us? |
| 18 | Are you going to discuss with us the hearing dates, or  |
| 19 | is that something that's                                |
| 20 | DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON DATES FOR 2016 HEARINGS          |
| 21 | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Oh, we wanted to                       |
| 22 | suggest, after talking with some of the special         |
| 23 | assistants, two potential hearing dates. The first      |
| 24 | one that we wanted to suggest was during the March      |

| meeting, so I believe that's March 18th, to have the     |
|--|
| municipal fees briefing and the second one is May 20th   |
| and that's for our elementary and secondary education    |
| briefing. The reason that we wanted those briefings      |
| to be at that time is that, one, we would probably have  |
| our money in from the continuing resolution from         |
| Congress. The second one is it would give us enough      |
| time to be able to finish up some of the other reports   |
| that we have in the backlog. Three, it would be          |
| staggered, so, unlike last year when the reports were    |
| back to back and it was difficult for us to be able to   |
| handle our work as efficiently as we would like to, they |
| would be staggered out so that we would be more          |
| efficient and better able to handle the briefings and    |
| it would also be beneficial to other staff who will be   |
| working to help us on the logistics and other issues     |
| that go along with the briefings. So we wanted to        |
| suggest those dates to you and see if they work.         |
| MR. MORALES: Am I correct in assuming                    |
| that you have had discussions with staff assistants      |
| MS. FRENCH-BELL: Yes.                                    |
| MR. MORALES: so these dates then kind                    |
| of work with them?                                       |
| MS. FRENCH-BELL: Exactly.                                |

| 1  | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes. This is                      |
|----|--|
| 2  | Commissioner Narasaki. I and Vice Chair                  |
| 3  | Timmons-Goodson have talked with staff, and we think     |
| 4  | these dates work.  |
| 5  | VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.                         |
| 6  | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Thank you both.                         |
| 7  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So is there a motion?                   |
| 8  | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I would favor later                 |
| 9  | dates. I would much rather get out reports. I think      |
| 10 | August would be a splendid month to have such a briefing |
| 11 | because during that month you guys will have gotten the  |
| 12 | statutory report off your plate and can have some other  |
| 13 | project while the members of the Commission work on      |
| 14 | their statements.  |
| 15 | MS. FRENCH-BELL: Well, August is                         |
| 16 | typically a month where a lot of people take vacation,   |
| 17 | so it's really hard to do things.                        |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's why I love                   |
| 19 | August. Everybody is on vacation, and we can get some    |
| 20 | work done.   |
| 21 | MS. FRENCH-BELL: But it's harder to get                  |
| 22 | panelists. The staff will be gone.                       |
| 23 | VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Vice Chair                   |
| 24 | Timmons-Goodson again. One of the reasons that the       |

| Do  | not   | quot | e c | or : | rely | on   | this  | u u | incorre | cted  | trans | cript  |
|-----|-------|------|-----|------|------|------|-------|-----|---------|-------|-------|--------|
| wit | hout  | obt  | air | ning | g wr | itte | en pe | rm  | nission | from  | the   | U.S.   |
| Cor | nmiss | sion | on  | Ci   | vil  | Righ | nts a | ıt  | transci | cipts | @usco | cr.gov |

| 1  | schedule appeals to me is that I believe there's enough |
|----|---|
| 2  | time built in that we should be able to get all of the  |
| 3  | reports on the table out before any of our deploying    |
| 4  | commissioners leave.                                    |
| 5  | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes. And this is                 |
| 6  | Commissioner Narasaki. We're committed to working       |
| 7  | with staff. We've already begun to work with staff to   |
| 8  | help recruit the people to testify and, you know, try   |
| 9  | to provide additional capacity as we can.               |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We wanted to vote on                   |
| 11 | this? I think so, right? Do we have a motion on the     |
| 12 | hearing dates that have been proposed?                  |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER YAKI: So moved.                            |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Could you                      |
| 15 | restate the second hearing date?                        |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: May 20th for elementary                |
| 17 | and secondary school education and March 18th for       |
| 18 | municipal fees. So we have a motion. Do we have a       |
| 19 | second? Was that a second?                              |
| 20 | VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: I thought                   |
| 21 | that I was moving.                                      |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The Vice Chair moved.                  |
| 23 | Is there a second?                                      |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Narasaki                         |
|    | NEAL R GROSS  |

| 1  | seconds.   |
|----|--|
| 2  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any additional                  |
| 3  | discussion? If not, I will call a roll. Commissioner   |
| 4  | Kirsanow, how do you vote?                             |
| 5  | COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.                            |
| 6  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,                  |
| 7  | how do you vote?                                       |
| 8  | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm going to be                   |
| 9  | cantankerous. I vote no. But the dates are okay with   |
| 10 | me.  |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Commissioner                    |
| 12 | Narasaki, how do you vote?                             |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I vote yes.                     |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,                 |
| 15 | have you joined the call? Okay. Commissioner           |
| 16 | Achtenberg, how do you vote?                           |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.                          |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki has                 |
| 19 | the vote. Okay. Madam Vice Chair, how do you vote?     |
| 20 | VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.                       |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I vote yes. There are                 |
| 22 | one, two, three, four, five, six yeses, a no, and then |
| 23 | a non-vote. So the motion passes.                      |
| 24 | Do we need to vote on your outline for the             |

1 environmental justice report, or is this sort of to get 2 our temperature and consensus? This is a draft version. 3 MS. FRENCH-BELL: 4 Moving forward, I think it would be good to have a vote 5 on it, but this is a draft. 6 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. I wanted to make 7 All right. Well, thank you, Ms. French-Bell. 8 It's very helpful. We're now going to move on to a 9 discussion of the December 11th meeting at the Lincoln Cottage. What I'd like to do is pass this over to the 10 11 staff director to discuss with us some alternatives. 12 DISCUSSION ABOUT WHETHER THE DECEMBER 11TH EVENT AT THE LINCOLN COTTAGE SHOULD INCLUDE A COMMISSION 13 14 BUSINESS MEETING OR BE LIMITED TO A CEREMONY 15 COMMEMORATING THE PASSAGE OF THE 13TH AMENDMENT 16 Thank you, MR. MORALES: Yes. Mr. 17 brief Chairman. I'll try to be as as Ι 18 Essentially, you know, you've tasked us with looking 19 December 11th as a date to have a ceremony 20 commemorating the passage of the 13th Amendment. 21 We've done some preliminary work on that I'd like 22 to provide to you and then talk about how those, that date can, you know, has some complications in terms of 23 24 a Commission business meeting.

1 First of all, I want to, you know, just let 2 you know that we have reserved the Cottage for December We've been working with the Lincoln Cottage 3 4 folks. We've been out there twice to see the venue. 5 I'd like to, you know, thank staff, the special 6 assistants that have been particularly helpful. 7 They've been May Royce. Jason has been helpful, as well as Juana and Alison and Carissa, as well, have been 8 9 helpful in helping us plan some of the preliminary points of this. 10 11 First of all, let me say the two options 12 that I'm looking at that I'd like to discuss, if you'll 13 bear with me, is that, you know, the original idea was 14 to hold a Commission business meeting and then move into 15 a ceremony commemorating the passage of the 13th 16 Amendment. That has some pros and cons that I'll 17 discuss in a moment. 18 A second option would be perhaps to move 19 the Commission business meeting to either later that 20 afternoon or earlier the preceding day. And then I 21 kind of threw in, if we did it on a Thursday, the day 22 before the ceremony, we could do it late afternoon and 23 perhaps have a holiday party after the Commission 24 hearing. But I'll get into that in a moment.

Let me just get real quickly into some details about the first option of holding a Commission meeting and a ceremony on the same day. I believe and my investigation reveals that it will result in higher cost and more uncertainty about attendance to the The cost of renting the cottage is \$5,895. for that, we get access from 9 a.m. to 12 noon. The room, this is actually the room that will be holding the event, is where President Lincoln wrote the Emancipation Proclamation. He didn't sign it there. He signed it at the White House, pursuant to whatever law was in force at the time. Nevertheless, historical records indicate that he actually created, drafted, and wrote it in that room. So the historical significance, of course, is impressive. And that room will hold 75 people. while the acoustics in the room are outstanding, they do not have a microphone system. Obviously, it was a home built almost 200 years ago, 150 years ago. would have to rent a microphone system at a cost of approximately \$1800. We would have to pay a court reporter to travel and be on-site, and the estimate cost was about \$2500.

We would incur additional staff travel

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

time, prep time, breakdown time, and additional cost for staff to drive or travel to take equipment and meeting material. The estimate I was given by our staff was approximately 25 additional man hours of work.

on the same date and at the same venue would cost approximately \$9,000. Plus, Commission business meetings, as you well know, are governed by the Federal Advisory Committee Act. Therefore, the meeting has to be open to the public, and we have no idea or control about how many people from the public could or would be able to attend.

The second option I've looked at and I'd like to present to you, if you'll bear with me, would be to hold the Commission business meeting possibly the day before on Thursday the 10th in the afternoon. You know, I know there are some challenges with travel of the commissioners, but, if you'll bear with me, we could perhaps do it from like 3:30 to 5 and then from 5 have a holiday party here at the Commission. Using this option, the Commission could jointly host the 13th Amendment commemorating ceremony with the Lincoln Cottage. And by hosting it jointly with them, the fee

2.

1 to us would be decreased from \$5,895 to \$3,895, a 2. savings of \$2,000 for us. We'd save the costs of 3 renting a microphone system, transporting, paying for 4 a court reporter, costs incurred by staff to travel. The downside is we'd have to hold the 5 business meeting, of course, either the day before or 6 that afternoon back here at the Commission. 7 downside is, in order to secure the lower rental cost, 8 9 we have to allow the Lincoln Cottage to partner with 10 In exchange for doing that, we would allow the 11 director of the Lincoln Cottage to say a few words of They would retain 25 of the 75 seats for the 12 13 event for them, leaving the commissioners with 50 seats 14 to divide among themselves for invited guests. 15 The Lincoln Cottage, however, remarked 16 that, most likely, they would give back anywhere from 17 10 to 15 seats. So we'd end up with about 60 to 65 seats 18 for commissioners for invitations, so that's a little 19 more than six invites per commissioner. And the basic 20 cost would just be at the \$3,895 level. 21 So I want to present those to you for 22 discussion. I can also discuss the preliminary agenda 23 for the day or for the event if you'd like. 24 know if you want to get into that at this point.

| 1  | I just wanted to throw that out there and get your      |
|----|---|
| 2  | feedback and see if this is something to consider or    |
| 3  | if you wanted to go ahead and have the business meeting |
| 4  | that morning. So I throw that up for discussion.        |
| 5  | Thank you.  |
| 6  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Mr. Staff Director,                    |
| 7  | this is the Chairman. I think your proposal to do the   |
| 8  | meeting before, the afternoon before, and then do the   |
| 9  | commemorative event in partnership with the Lincoln     |
| 10 | Cottage the next day makes sense. So just agreeing,     |
| 11 | financially, I would support that.                      |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I think most people                |
| 13 | would have a hard time getting here in time for an      |
| 14 | afternoon meeting, and so it means an extra day of      |
| 15 | travel. Is it possible to have the business meeting     |
| 16 | after the Lincoln Cottage event instead, like from 1    |
| 17 | to 3 here?  |
| 18 | MR. MORALES: The answer would be yes. It                |
| 19 | would be, you know, travel time for you, as well,       |
| 20 | getting back to the West Coast. But, yes, we could have |
| 21 | it that afternoon, as well, here.                       |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That probably works                |
| 23 | better for me.  |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER YAKI: I don't have a problem               |

| 1  | with that.  |
|----|---|
| 2  | COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: This is                        |
| 3  | Commissioner  |
| 4  | VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: I have the                  |
| 5  | same problem Gail does. That works. Doing it on         |
| 6  | Friday afternoon works equally well, so that's fine     |
| 7  | with me.  |
| 8  | COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: I think the                    |
| 9  | partnering with the Lincoln Cottage folks is an         |
| 10 | outstanding idea. And as long as that takes place, I'm  |
| 11 | flexible on the business meeting.                       |
| 12 | VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: I would                     |
| 13 | point out that we partnered with them on the 14th       |
| 14 | Amendment, the Emancipation Proclamation, and, you      |
| 15 | know, they're lovely and outstanding partners, so I     |
| 16 | like the idea of that, as well, as well as the savings. |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And we're still looking                |
| 18 | for commissioners who would like to participate in the  |
| 19 | holiday party beforehand to show up the day before,     |
| 20 | unless you would just move it to that night, as well.   |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: So this is                       |
| 22 | Commissioner Narasaki. I think the partnership is       |
| 23 | great. Unfortunately, Friday afternoon, I have a        |
| 24 | standing call that I could not miss. But I would be     |

| okay with the Commission having the business meeting    |
|---|
| without my presence if that works better for the West   |
| Coast commissioners.                                    |
| CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Is there a                       |
| particular time where maybe you will be there in the    |
| afternoon?  |
| MR. MORALES: I was just informed by staff               |
| that to have the meeting at one might be a challenge    |
| getting the staff back here. But, you know, we could    |
| do it at 2:00 perhaps, you know, start it at 2 or       |
| somewhere close there and after. And then, you know,    |
| if it ends at, let's say, 4:00, we could, you know,     |
| evolve into a holiday party for staff and commissioners |
| that are able to attend. So I think that would be very  |
| workable.   |
| CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, that seems to work                |
| for most folks, but, unfortunately, Commissioner        |
| Narasaki. Is there a consensus then that that's how     |
| we proceed?   |
| COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes, I think                   |
| so.   |
| CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, okay. That's what                 |
|   |
| we shall do then. I don't think we need a vote on that, |
|   |

direction we want to go. Okay. Then the next item then on the agenda is the consideration of the proclamation centennial Carl Auerbach. Commissioner Heriot, you have the floor.

## DISCUSSION OF RESOLUTION HONORING CARL AUERBACH

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would like to have the Commission vote to congratulate my colleague, Carl Auerbach, on reaching his 100th birthday. Carl Auerbach is a wonderful man. He's a democrat, by the way, so you should feel good about voting for this, a New Deal kind of democrat. He was teaching at the University of San Diego until he was about 92, I believe. He's still on our faculty. He still comes in now and then, and he is as sweet and wonderful a man as you will ever meet, and he had a great deal to do with why we're sitting here today.

As you know, the Civil Rights Commission was created by the Civil Rights Act of 1957. And at the time, there were a lot of controversies going on. It was very hard to get this bill passed. And one of the sticking points was how to enforce certain parts of the bill that people feared would not be enforced properly by the juries that existed in southern states at the time. And Carl Auerbach, as a remedies

2.

professor, which I am, too, and therefore have a particular soft spot for Professor Auerbach. He came up with a solution that avoided jury trials. Senate Majority Leader Lyndon Johnson thought the idea was a good one. He went forward with it and, as a result, the bill got passed. That changed history in lots of ways. They might have come up with a different solution if Carl hadn't been around, but this was the solution they went with. It also gave Lyndon Johnson the credibility to run for president in 1960, which he otherwise might That meant that he was nominated to be not have had. vice president and then later became president. also without Carl's article, we might not have had LBJ as president. All sorts of things would have been different. in addition to his Anyway, other achievements, Professor Auerbach is now 100 years old, and that's an achievement in itself. So I would like the Commission to honor him on that. COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Mr. Chair, this is Commissioner Narasaki. I support this statement by the Commission. While Professor Auerbach and I do not see eye to eye on issues, we do see eye to eye on the

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

| 1  | importance of the 1957 Act, and I wholeheartedly        |
|----|---|
| 2  | support it.   |
| 3  | VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: This is                     |
| 4  | Commissioner Timmons-Goodson. I agree with what         |
| 5  | you've said, Commissioner Narasaki. I'm a firm          |
| 6  | believer that we don't necessarily expect to agree with |
| 7  | everybody on everything, and that when folks do things  |
| 8  | worthy of applause and recognition, we should do that.  |
| 9  | Even more so, I believe in giving folks their flowers   |
| 10 | while they can still sniff them and smell their         |
| 11 | fragrance. And this happy birthday from the             |
| 12 | Commission I put in that category, and so I say happy   |
| 13 | 100th, Professor.                                       |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Do we need a motion                |
| 15 | on this then to vote on?                                |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes.                                   |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay. I make the                   |
| 18 | motion.   |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And then anyone want to                |
| 20 |   |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: I'll second it.                |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Who was that?                          |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Achtenberg.                    |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I'm sorry. Who?                        |
|    | NEAL D. CDOSS   |

| 1  | COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Achtenberg.                 |
|----|--|
| 2  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Achtenberg? Okay.                   |
| 3  | Any additional discussion?                           |
| 4  | (No response.)                                       |
| 5  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Hearing none, I will                |
| 6  | take a roll call vote. Commissioner Kirsanow, how do |
| 7  | you vote?  |
| 8  | COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.                          |
| 9  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,                |
| 10 | how do you vote?                                     |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.                            |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,              |
| 13 | how do you vote?                                     |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes.                          |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,               |
| 16 | are you on the line? Commissioner Achtenberg, how do |
| 17 | you vote?  |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.                        |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, how              |
| 20 | do you vote?   |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, I was puzzled by            |
| 22 | Commissioner Heriot's contrary vote on the previous  |
| 23 | item, but, despite that                              |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Do it for Carl.                 |
|    | NEAL D. CDOSS  |

| 1  | COMMISSIONER YAKI: despite that, I'm                    |
|----|---|
| 2  | not going to swim upstream on this one, and I commend   |
| 3  | Commissioner Heriot for bringing this to our attention. |
| 4  | And please send my personal thanks to your colleague,   |
| 5  | as well.  |
| 6  | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Thank you.                         |
| 7  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So that's a yes?                       |
| 8  | COMMISSIONER YAKI: Oh, yes. Aye.                        |
| 9  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Vice Chair, how do you                 |
| 10 | vote?   |
| 11 | VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.                        |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I'll vote yes, as                  |
| 13 | well. So it's unanimous. Will you see then,             |
| 14 | Commissioner Heriot, that an appropriate copy of this   |
| 15 | is delivered to the Professor?                          |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Thank you.                         |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Next on the                      |
| 18 | agenda is Commissioner Yaki's discussion of his         |
| 19 | proposal circulated last night for an amicus brief.     |
| 20 | Commissioner Yaki, you have the floor.                  |
| 21 | DISCUSSION OF AMICUS BRIEF                              |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes. Thank you very                  |
| 23 | much, Mr. Chair. This is an issue that is important     |
| 24 | to us. There were sort of other issues that we can      |

discuss or not discuss that compel me to bring this forward. This is not meant to be the end-all and be-all of briefs from the Supreme Court. This is really concerning two points, one that the majority of the Commission has with regard to where the decision will go on the affirmative action case and, secondly, to express that in a very modest brief that would be drafted, consistent with our pledge to Heriot's jurisdiction by collaborative effort of staff and special assistants to be filed in a timely manner. I realize that this is probably the last meeting we can do this in order to make this happen.

So I wanted to bring up to this a motion to authorize, at the discretion of the staff director or the chair or both, to file a brief on behalf of the Commission expressing our view, support, and our viewpoints on the issue of affirmative action in higher education. And we'll circulate simply a draft. It's not going to be the final one. I'm sure there are people who would wish to make some changes and alterations to it. Ultimately, I think that if a majority of us authorize the staff director and chair to approve the final version, I would be comfortable with that, rather than having it come back and deal with

2.

| 1  | it again.   |
|----|---|
| 2  | But I think it's important that we vote                 |
| 3  | officially on position on this important matter. This   |
| 4  | Commission has done so previously. When I was in the    |
| 5  | minority, the majority took positions on Supreme Court  |
| 6  | cases, and I would like us to join that, especially on  |
| 7  | this very, very important issue.                        |
| 8  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you, Commissioner                |
| 9  | Yaki. So I'll consider that a motion. Do we have a      |
| 10 | second for discussion?                                  |
| 11 | MS. HEPLER: This is Jennifer in the                     |
| 12 | Office of General Counsel.                              |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Don't we need a                    |
| 14 | second before we need to discuss this?                  |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, that's why I'm                    |
| 16 | asking for a second right now.                          |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: I'll second                    |
| 18 | that. Achtenberg here.                                  |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Now we can                       |
| 20 | discuss it.   |
| 21 | MS. HEPLER: Okay. There are legal                       |
| 22 | issues with us, the Commission, filing an amicus brief. |
| 23 | First of all, the Commission does not have authority    |
| 24 | to file an amicus brief. Our attorneys are the          |

| 1  | Department of Justice. We do not have litigation        |
|----|---|
| 2  | authority. I wasn't prepared for this, so I don't have  |
| 3  | the statutes; I'll be honest. That's part one.          |
| 4  | But the commissioners, on the other hand,               |
| 5  | in their individual capacities, not using government    |
| 6  | resources or staff, are capable of filing amicus briefs |
| 7  | in the Supreme Court. It would be in your individual    |
| 8  | capacities outside of the job. You can use resources.   |
| 9  | You can file on your own in the Supreme Court. You can  |
| 10 | file one collectively, but it shouldn't be as           |
| 11 | commissioners of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights    |
| 12 | and you shouldn't be using agency resources to do it.   |
| 13 | You can do that in your individual capacity, but the    |
| 14 | Commission itself does not have authority to file a     |
| 15 | brief.  |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, I think                          |
| 17 | Commissioner Yaki has indicated that there is a         |
| 18 | MS. HEPLER: Actually, there was let me                  |
| 19 | say, in the past, the legislation, it was proposed, but |
| 20 | it did not pass.  |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: When was it                        |
| 22 | proposed? Was this the Reagan-era statute that we're    |
| 23 | talking about?  |
| 24 | MS. HEPLER: I'm not sure. I said I                      |

| 1  | wasn't prepared for this. This came up this morning,  |
|----|---|
| 2  | so I don't have anything. I do know, in the past, it  |
| 3  | was proposed to give the Commission amicus brief      |
| 4  | authority, and it did not pass. I can't               |
| 5  | COMMISSIONER YAKI: This is Commissioner               |
| 6  | Yaki. Are we talking specifically amicus authority?   |
| 7  | Because I know that is it specific only to amicus     |
| 8  | authority with regard to the                          |
| 9  | MS. HEPLER: No, no, it's all litigation               |
| 10 | authority. If you read                                |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay. But Jennifer,                |
| 12 | Jennifer, Jennifer, with all due respect, this        |
| 13 | commission has engaged in litigation in the past and  |
| 14 | I've actually read transcripts where                  |
| 15 | MS. HEPLER: When?                                     |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER YAKI: the board                          |
| 17 | discussed this in terms of actually intervening,      |
| 18 | actually filing and intervening at district and trial |
| 19 | court level.  |
| 20 | MS. HEPLER: I don't know what they did in             |
| 21 | the past. I'm just saying legally the Commission does |
| 22 | not have the authority to litigate.                   |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, it's not                       |
| 24 | litigating.   |

| 1  | MS. HEPLER: Filing in the Supreme Court,                |
|----|---|
| 2  | the statutory authority is with the Solicitor General.  |
| 3  | COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, Jennifer, let                  |
| 4  | me ask you this: if there was a filing to the Supreme   |
| 5  | Court that listed our position as commissioners on the  |
| 6  | front page of the brief, is that legal or illegal?      |
| 7  | MS. HEPLER: It shouldn't be on there. I                 |
| 8  | said you could file in your individual capacity.        |
| 9  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. I think that,                     |
| 10 | clearly, Jennifer, as you indicated, you're not fully   |
| 11 | prepared today to opine on this. So I would say that    |
| 12 |   |
| 13 | MS. HEPLER: I did one a couple of years                 |
| 14 | ago, but, you know, it's been a couple of years. But,   |
| 15 | I mean, you can file in your individual capacity, the   |
| 16 | commissioners can. But the                              |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER YAKI: But you can identify                 |
| 18 | yourselves as commissioners on the front page, correct? |
| 19 | MS. HEPLER: You're not supposed to, no.                 |
| 20 | You know, the rule on teaching, speaking, writing,      |
| 21 | etcetera, is it can be one of many positions and not    |
| 22 | more conspicuous than the others.                       |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER YAKI: But it's the position                |
| 24 | that's not supposed to be done?                         |

| 1  | MS. HEPLER: It shouldn't be in the                       |
|----|--|
| 2  | caption. I wrote a memo. I mean, I don't know what       |
| 3  | happened to it. I think it was circulated a couple of    |
| 4  | years ago about not using your Commission title in the   |
| 5  | caption. You can file individually. You're special       |
| 6  | government employees, the commissioners are. You have    |
| 7  | an outside life.   |
| 8  | COMMISSIONER YAKI: So the Commission                     |
| 9  | cannot even send a, the Commission can't issue a         |
| 10 | statement and it cannot take a position on litigation.   |
| 11 | Is that what your papers are saying?                     |
| 12 | MS. HEPLER: What I'm saying, you asked                   |
| 13 | about filing an amicus brief, we do not have legal       |
| 14 | authority to file an amicus brief.                       |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay. Well, I think                   |
| 16 | I would ask you to, I would say this is a case I'm not   |
| 17 | going to push or force my colleagues into doing anything |
| 18 | that is contrary to what you're stating right now. But   |
| 19 | I would urge you to go back into the transcripts of      |
| 20 | I'll get you the date where the Commission actually      |
| 21 | not only did that but actually hired a lawyer and        |
| 22 | intervened in a court proceeding.                        |
| 23 | MS. HEPLER: Well, I'm not saying they                    |
| 24 | may have. But, you know, I've worked for the             |

| 1  | government for decades. I have lots of legal             |
|----|--|
| 2  | experience, and I know the law.                          |
| 3  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, I think we need a                 |
| 4  | more complete analysis than just one where we're sort    |
| 5  | of   |
| 6  | MS. HEPLER: I said I didn't know this was                |
| 7  | coming up today.   |
| 8  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I understand that. So                   |
| 9  | what I would say is, before we make any determinative    |
| 10 | conclusions on the legality or the authority to do this, |
| 11 | I think we do need to have a thoughtful analysis of it.  |
| 12 | Having said that, Commissioner Yaki, what's the timing   |
| 13 | of getting something like this filed if we were able     |
| 14 | to do it?  |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm trying to                         |
| 16 | remember. I think, for information purposes only,        |
| 17 | Commissioner Narasaki, I'd like to brief you and get     |
| 18 | deadlines around this case.                              |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I think the                         |
| 20 | respondent's brief is due, like, the 26th and this would |
| 21 | be due like a week after that.                           |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. October 26th?                     |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: A week from October                 |
| 24 | 26th. It might have been the 24th, but it's like that    |

| 1        | kind of a schedule we're talking about.                                     |
|----------|---|
| 2        | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We actually could have                                     |
| 3        | the Office of the General Counsel take a closer, more                       |
| 4        | thoughtful look at this issue and make what would be                        |
| 5        | a better, at least a more reasonable decision on whether                    |
| 6        | or not this is something we can do or not. In the                           |
| 7        | interim, we could provide whatever historic data that                       |
| 8        | we have to support this having been done before.                            |
| 9        | COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay, great.   |
| 10       | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Maybe we can conduct a                                     |
| 11       | special telephonic meeting in order to meet the                             |
| 12       | deadline if it is, in fact, something we are allowed                        |
| 13       | to do. Will that work for you?  |
| 14       | COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay, sure.  |
| 15       | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Mr. Chair, this                                      |
| 16       | is Commissioner Narasaki. I have a question for                             |
| 17       | Jennifer. So what is the sanction if commissioners do                       |
| 18       | put their names on the caption as commissioners and                         |
| 19       |   |
|          | don't in the caption indicate that they're not                              |
| 20       | don't in the caption indicate that they're not representing the Commission? |
| 20<br>21 |   |
|          | representing the Commission?  |
| 21       | representing the Commission?  MS. HEPLER: The sanction?                     |

| 1  | real sanctions since it's been done before by some of    |
|----|--|
| 2  | our colleagues.  |
| 3  | MS. HEPLER: You know, I can't, I can, you                |
| 4  | know, advise against it, I can say it can't be done.     |
| 5  | As you know, there's some issue with use of letterhead   |
| 6  | in the Senate report. I don't have, I mean, as an        |
| 7  | ethics officer, I'm telling you it's wrong.              |
| 8  | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: So that's a gap                   |
| 9  | that the Commission might look at then?                  |
| 10 | MS. HEPLER: Right.                                       |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Okay, thank you.                  |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All right. So we'll                     |
| 13 | take a closer look at this issue. And then if it looks   |
| 14 | like there may be some opportunity to move forward on    |
| 15 | this, we can schedule a telephonic meeting to address    |
| 16 | the issue specifically. Does that work?                  |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Chair?                        |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes.                                    |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow here.                    |
| 20 | Just a couple of observations. First, Commissioner       |
| 21 | Yaki's quote that the Commission, in the past, did hire  |
| 22 | an outside counsel did so improperly. I'm sure, if you   |
| 23 | look at the circumstance, because it was the occasion    |
| 24 | upon which the majority of the Commission sought to kick |

1 me off the Commission. 2. COMMISSIONER YAKI: I tried to keep that 3 detail out, Commissioner Kirsanow. 4 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: That was improper 5 That was not litigated, did not have use of resources. to reach that issue because the real issue was whether 6 certain vacancies had occurred and that 7 was 8 overarching issue had that larger and greater 9 significance. 10 Second, the other observation, and I have no problem with the majority, of course, voicing its 11 12 opinion on a certain matter, I just would note that our 13 most recent hearings and briefings on this subject are 14 contrary to the position taken in this particular 15 brief. We've had briefings in, I think, in 2005, 2007, 16 and I think the latest was 2010. And if this Commission 17 is going to take a position, it might be useful to take 18 a position consistent with the findings and recs that 19 it deduced. 20 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any other 21 comment? If not, then we will put this off until we 22 follow up more thoughtfully with our general counsel's office and examine the historic that may or may not 23 24 exist. And then, if needed, we will schedule a

1 follow-up meeting in time for the deadline to be met 2. if we can do this. If we can't, then obviously we won't 3 Is everyone in consensus with that plan? 4 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Sure. 5 Okay, all right. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So 6 next we'll move onto management and operations. 7 Staff Director, you have the floor. MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS 8 III. 9 MORALES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MR. 10 Did you want to, was there a motion in terms of pushing 11 off the findings and recommendations? Was that up for discussion, or was that --12 13 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We voted to amend the 14 agenda to take that off. 15 MR. MORALES: Take it off. Okav. I'm 16 My bad. Thank you, sir. A couple of things 17 One, I wanted to just do a quick shout-out for 18 Barbara de La Viez. I wanted to make sure that the 19 commissioners understood that she stepped up to help 20 us with the Lincoln Cottage and using some of her 21 expertise to help pull it together, and I just wanted 22 to let you know that. She's here in the audience, and 23 I just wanted to acknowledge that and thank Ivy Davis 24 for allowing her to participate, as well. We're hoping

1 it won't be a lot of her time because she's got a lot 2. of other very important work she does, of course, but we really needed somebody to help us pull it all 3 4 together, and Ι just want to acknowledge 5 contribution, at least to this point. And so we'll look to her to help pull all this together for us, so 6 7 I just wanted to make that. Second, sir, I'd like to discuss and update 8 9 you all on the continuing resolution. I'd like to again do another shout-out to staff, you know, John 10 11 Ratcliffe, Jennifer Hepler, and Tina and all the team, 12 the executive team we have. We went through a lot of 13 fire drill in terms of preparing for the shutdown. 14 had to meet with OMB, OPM. We were on calls everyday 15 and all that. And you saw, of course, a lot of the 16 notices we went out. 17 But I wanted to give you an update on the 18 continuing resolution. I mean, I don't have much more 19 than, obviously, we all know. But the Commission 20 currently is operating under a continuing resolution 21 on December 11th. We're all hoping, like the rest of 22 the country, that we'll get full appropriations. 23 But I just want to remind the commissioners 24 that, under a CR, we operate at a minimal level until

| 1  | we receive our 2016 appropriation. This will not         |
|----|--|
| 2  | impact commissioner travel to Commission meetings or     |
| 3  | the December 11th Lincoln Cottage event. However, it     |
| 4  | may limit some other activities that we have, but I just |
| 5  | want to put that on your radar. And, of course, we       |
| 6  | don't know how long we'll be under continuing            |
| 7  | resolution, if they'll continue that after December      |
| 8  | 11th for a short period until we get the full one. But   |
| 9  | I just wanted to bring that to the commissioners'        |
| 10 | attention.   |
| 11 | Lastly, I have, I am pleased to announce                 |
| 12 | the selection of a new general counsel for the           |
| 13 | Commission. Maureen Rudolph accepted the position of     |
| 14 | general counsel and will start on Monday, September      |
| 15 | 19th. Ms. Rudolph is currently                           |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: October.                          |
| 17 | October 19th.  |
| 18 | MR. MORALES: I'm sorry. What did I say?                  |
| 19 | My really bad. Boy, that tells you what goes on when     |
| 20 | you're trying to juggle a lot of things here. Yes, this  |
| 21 | Monday, coming Monday. Sorry. Thank you for the          |
| 22 | correction there. That's what happens when you write     |
| 23 | things at 10:00 at night.                                |
| 24 | Ms. Rudolph is currently senior counsel                  |

with the U.S. Department of Justice. She comes with over 15 years of legal experience at the Department of Justice. She has extensive litigation in Native American law, natural resources law, and has handled complex legal and policy matters for the Department of Justice. In addition, she has significant administrative law experience.

Ms. Rudolph currently supervises a 13-member attorney team, provides legal advice and representation to senior leadership at the Department of Justice. I'm confident that Ms. Rudolph will provide the Commission with outstanding legal advice to carry out the mission of the Commission, and we look forward to her and are confident that she'll really be able to help us out here, especially now that we have, I think one of her first issues, obviously, is going to be the ability of the Commission to prepare an amicus brief.

So with that, that's all I have, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any questions for the staff director? Hearing none, let me just add we also received an email yesterday from my special assistant, Juana Silverio, who is moving on to serve at another federal agency. I know she's not there, but

| 1  | I just want to publicly thank her for the support she's  |
|----|--|
| 2  | given not only to me in my role as chairman and her      |
| 3  | position as my special assistant but really in her       |
| 4  | overall efforts to the expansion and moving forward the  |
| 5  | mission of the Commission. She'll be sorely missed.      |
| 6  | She made a great impact here and left a lot of friends   |
| 7  | and left an important legacy. She can't be replaced,     |
| 8  | but we will definitely hire someone to stand in her      |
| 9  | shoes, and that will happen shortly. I just wanted to    |
| 10 | put on the record how appreciative we are of the service |
| 11 | that she's given us here at the Commission.              |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman, I was                 |
| 13 | curious. I wanted to be able to write an email message   |
| 14 | to Juana telling her that I would miss her and such,     |
| 15 | but I figured she wouldn't be on the dot-gov anymore.    |
| 16 | Is she still getting dot-gov email for a few more days?  |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: You know, I sent an                     |
| 18 | email this morning and it bounced back saying she's no   |
| 19 | longer with the Commission, but I can provide you with   |
| 20 | her personal email offline so you can do that.           |
| 21 | VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: And if you                   |
| 22 | would share that with me, as well, Mr. Chair.            |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I'll share it with all                  |
| 24 | commissioners.   |

| 1  | COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Thank you, Mr.                  |
|----|--|
| 2  | Chair. I had the same thought, but it bounced back so  |
| 3  |  |
| 4  | IV. ADJOURN MEETING                                    |
| 5  | CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. Will do. I'll do                 |
| 6  | that after we get off the phone. Okay. Well, that's    |
| 7  | our business agenda. So I'm hereby adjourning the      |
| 8  | meeting. It is currently 11:29 Eastern Time, and thank |
| 9  | you, everyone.   |
| 10 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to matter               |
| 11 | went off the record at 11:29 a.m.)                     |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |

1

2

3

4

5

6

7