# Disclaimer for Rough Real-Time Transcripts

"Do not quote or rely on this uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at <a href="mailto:transcripts@usccr.gov">transcripts@usccr.gov</a>."

U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

+ + + + +

UNEDITED

MEETING

+ + + + +

FRIDAY, AUGUST 14, 2015

+ + + + +

The Commission convened in Suite 1150 at 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest, Washington, D.C. at 10:02 a.m., Martin R. Castro, Chairman, presiding.

#### PRESENT:

MARTIN R. CASTRO, Chairman
PATRICIA TIMMONS-GOODSON, Vice Chair
ROBERTA ACHTENBERG, Commissioner \*
GAIL L. HERIOT, Commissioner
PETER N. KIRSANOW, Commissioner
DAVID KLADNEY, Commissioner \*
KAREN K. NARASAKI, Commissioner
MICHAEL YAKI, Commissioner \*

MAURO MORALES, Staff Director

\* Present via telephone

#### STAFF PRESENT:

DARREN FERNANDEZ, Civil Rights Analyst, OCRE
ANGELA FRENCH-BELL, Director, OCRE
ALFREDA GREENE, Secretary, OSD
JENNIFER HEPLER, Parliamentarian
DAVID MUSSATT, Chief, RPCU
JOHN RATCLIFFE, Chief, BFD
JESMOND RIGGINS, Civil Rights Analyst, OCRE
ASHLEY TIMBERS
JADE VALDES
MICHELE YORKMAN, Deputy CIO, ASCD

COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:
SHERYL COZART
ALEC DEULL
JASON LAGRIA
CARRISA MULDER
AMY ROYCE
JUANA SILVERIO
ALLISON SOMIN
KIMBERLY TOLHURST

## T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

I.	APPROVAL OF AGENDA7
II.	PROGRAM PLANNING9
	Budget Status9
	OCRE Contractor Update12
	Discussion and Vote on 2015 Statutory Enforcement Report on the State of Civil Rights at Immigration Detention Facilities, Part A and B
	Discussion and Vote on Two Topics for 2016 Commission Reports35
	Discussion and Vote on Dates for Future Commission Business Meetings44
III.	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS47
	Staff Director Report
IV.	STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE (SAC) APPOINTMENTS
	Illinois77Massachusetts80South Dakota83Wisconsin85
V	ADJOURN 88

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	10:02 a.m.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Calling this meeting to
4	order. This is a meeting of the U.S. Civil Rights
5	Commission. Today is August 14th, 2015. It is now
6	10:02 a.m. Eastern Time and we're meeting here at the
7	Commission's headquarters at 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue,
8	N.W. in Washington, D.C.
9	I'm Chairman Marty Castro. Commissioners
10	who are present with me here at the headquarters are
11	myself, of course, the Vice Chair, Commissioners
12	Narasaki, Heriot and Kirsanow. And participating by
13	phone today are Commissioners Achtenberg, Kladney and
14	Yaki. A quorum of the Commissioners is present.
15	Is the court reporter present?
16	COURT REPORTER: (No audible response)
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: He's nodded yes and
18	given me the thumbs up.
19	And is the staff director present?
20	DIRECTOR MORALES: I am.
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Great. Before I
22	ask for a motion on the agenda, we have a very important
23	topic we want to highlight for everyone, and that is an
24	important anniversary for the Commission and for one of

Do	not	quot	te d	or 1	rely	on	thi	sι	ıncor	rec	ted	trans	cr	ipt
wit	hout	obt	aiı	ning	g wr	itte	en p	ern	nissi	on	from	the	U.	S.
Cor	nmiss	ion	on	Civ	vil	Rial	nts	at	tran	scr	ipts	@usco	ır.	aov

our very dear and hard-working staff members known to
everyone as Freda. Alfreda Greene is now celebrating
her 25th year with the Federal Government serving the
people of the United States.
(Applause)
CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And she started with the
Federal Government in the U.S. Postal Service and
neither rain, nor sleet, nor anything kept her from
coming to us by way of the National Labor Relations Board
and then the Bethesda Naval Hospital. And she started
here at the Commission in 1988 as a secretary in the
Eastern Regional Office. And she's currently the
secretary in the Office of the Staff Director. And she
is one of the first people that I met when I came here
and has personally been a great help to me. One of the
kindest, most thoughtful people that you could meet
anywhere
And we're very lucky to have you here at the
Commission. We're glad that you've served the people
of the United States for 25 years and we expect you'll
continue to serve them for as long as you wish.
(Laughter)
CHAIRMAN CASTRO: You have certainly made
an impact here, a positive impact on the Commission, and

Do	not	quot	te d	or 1	rely	on	thi	sι	ıncor	rec	ted	trans	cr	ipt
wit	hout	obt	aiı	ning	g wr	itte	en p	ern	nissi	on	from	the	U.	S.
Cor	nmiss	ion	on	Civ	vil	Rial	nts	at	tran	scr	ipts	@usco	ır.	aov

1	certainly on me during my chairmanship. So I would like
2	to present you on behalf of the people of the United
3	States and the Government of the United States a
4	certificate for recognition of 25 years. Let me come
5	around front here.
6	(Applause)
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: (Off microphone)
8	(Applause)
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Let's get a photo here.
10	MS. GREENE: Good morning, everyone.
11	It's a surprise, but it's not because the staff director
12	said I sent you an email. He sent three emails to make
13	sure I got it. And then I didn't fill it out, so he came
14	around to my desk and said, okay, we're just filling
15	these out.
16	So I thank everyone for celebrating this
17	with me. It's actually been a little more, but for me
18	the 25 was really a milestone, because I'd never thought
19	I work that long. And I enjoy working with everyone
20	here. It's been tough, but it's been fun, and I would
21	do it again if I had the choice.
22	(Laughter)
23	(Applause)
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you. I don't know

Do	not	quot	ce o	or 1	cely	on	thi	ร เ	ncor	rec	ted	trans	crip	ρt
wit	hout	: obt	aiı	ning	y wr	itte	en pe	erm	nissi	on	from	the	U.S.	
Cor	nmiss	sion	on	Civ	/il :	Riql	nts a	at	tran	scr	ipts	@usco	r.qc	v

1	if any other Commissioners want to say anything from
2	their own interactions with Freda?
3	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Mr. Chair,
4	thank you very much. I've not been here long, but when
5	I come in, I am so happy to see that smiling face. And
6	you always have a kind word and I know that it's not
7	those kind words aren't just for me, but they're for all
8	that you come in contact with during the course of the
9	day. I add my thanks to those already expressed so well
10	by our Chair.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Anyone else?
12	(No audible response)
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: No? Okay. Wellagain,
14	thank you, Freda, and you don't have to stay for the
15	whole meeting, but you're welcome to.
16	(Laughter)
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: In fact, we would hope
18	you do because we like to see your smiling face, but
19	thank you.
20	So now the formal agenda is going to move
21	forward.
22	I. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
23	and we need to approve the agenda, so I am willing to
24	entertain a motion on the agenda, but we will have some
	NEAL D. CDOSS

1	amendments that
2	PARTICIPANT: So moved.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. And the
4	amendments I will well, let me make the amendments.
5	It would be probably easier. We want to amend the
6	agenda to remove the discussion and vote on the Peaceful
7	Coexistence report to the September business meeting.
8	I believe our staff director notified us that the
9	changes which we were hoping to have been made for
10	today's meeting were not, so we'll definitely have that
11	on in September.
12	And then a motion to amend the agenda to
13	remove the appointment of the Maryland State Advisory
14	Committee slate, putting that forward at the September
15	meeting. And also moving to correct the agenda to
16	reflect that we're actually going to appoint the
17	Massachusetts State Advisory Committee today, not
18	Tennessee.
19	So is there a second to that?
20	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Second, Mr.
21	Chair.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any further
23	amendments?
24	(No audible response)

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Hearing none, all those
2	in favor, say aye?
3	(Chorus of ayes)
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any opposed?
5	(No audible response)
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any abstentions? Yes,
7	Commissioner?
8	PARTICIPANT: I oppose.
9	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I oppose, too.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. I'm sorry.
11	That's two opposed and the balance were yes.
12	Next item we have now is program planning.
13	II. PROGRAM PLANNING
13	II. PROGRAM PLANNING  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We have five agenda
14 15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We have five agenda
14 15 16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We have five agenda items there today. The first is the discussion of the
14 15 16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We have five agenda items there today. The first is the discussion of the Commission's budget status. I'll turn that over to our
14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We have five agenda items there today. The first is the discussion of the Commission's budget status. I'll turn that over to our staff director, Mr. Morales.
14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We have five agenda items there today. The first is the discussion of the Commission's budget status. I'll turn that over to our staff director, Mr. Morales.  BUDGET STATUS
14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We have five agenda items there today. The first is the discussion of the Commission's budget status. I'll turn that over to our staff director, Mr. Morales.  BUDGET STATUS  DIRECTOR MORALES: Yes. Thank you, Mr.
14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We have five agenda items there today. The first is the discussion of the Commission's budget status. I'll turn that over to our staff director, Mr. Morales.  BUDGET STATUS  DIRECTOR MORALES: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I sent to the Commissioners in their packet
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We have five agenda items there today. The first is the discussion of the Commission's budget status. I'll turn that over to our staff director, Mr. Morales.  BUDGET STATUS  DIRECTOR MORALES: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I sent to the Commissioners in their packet some items, and it was in a folder called "Budget." And

1	we were coming up with our budget plans and priorities.
2	And so that's the memo that is dated August 11th to you
3	all of you. It's in response to those questions.
4	And then the second thing that I really want
5	to focus your attention on is the second domestic, which
6	is titled, "Year End Spending," and it has salaries and
7	benefit savings. And if you look at I'm sorry. I'll
8	wait until folks pull it up. It looks like this. Next
9	one. That one. Does everybody have it?
10	Okay. Essentially what I want you to see
11	is that there are some salary and benefit savings of
12	\$253,228. And I want you to see what the money is being
13	spent on. We have a statistical package subscription
14	which costs \$22,000.
15	Commissioners' travel exceeded what was
16	allocated in the budget. It's not exceeded it in excess
17	of \$40,000.
18	There were some IT requirements because of
19	some upgrades that have to do with cybersecurity.
20	We have to bring in an auditor I'm sorry,
21	a contractor to work on our audit because we need to
22	receive a clean audit. And so, they're asking for an
23	extensive amount of information and material, and so we
24	have to bring in an auditor. And that was a little more

1 expensive than we had anticipated, but we're hoping that all of this will be done on time as well. 2. 3 And then of course have 4 writer/editor that has been requested to work on the 5 backlog of Commission reports. So we have that 6 allocated at 34,000. We believe it will probably come in a little less. 7 And then we've also have engaged a media and 8 9 public engagement contractor to help us with release of 10 our statutory report. That was one of the things that 11 all the Commissioners had recommended and suggested to 12 me when I was first brought in, that they wanted to raise 13 the profile and the visibility of the Commission. 14 we decided that it would be a good process to use this 15 as a pilot and a template for future reports. 16 So with all of that spending we've got about 17 227,000, which gives us an excess of about 26,000. 18 that excess we're planning on using to bring in the 19 second contractor before the end of the fiscal year so 20 that we'll have two contractors working for OCRE on the 21 backlog of reports. 22 So I had that discussion with a few of you 23 already and I think I just wanted to be clear that you 24 understood where we were in terms of the budget.

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you, Mr. Staff
2	Director. That's actually probably one of the better
3	discussions we've had in terms of where we sit on our
4	budget, so I appreciate that.
5	I don't know if any Commissioners have any
6	questions for the staff director on his report? It does
7	dovetail nicely into our OCRE chief coming over and
8	taking the mic as we begin to discuss the issue of the
9	contract employees, or the contractors for the report
10	backlog.
11	Any questions for the staff director?
12	(No audible response)
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Hearing none,
13 14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Hearing none, then we'll ask Ms. French-Bell to present to us on the
14	then we'll ask Ms. French-Bell to present to us on the
14 15	then we'll ask Ms. French-Bell to present to us on the outstanding reports and the hiring of the contractors.
14 15 16	then we'll ask Ms. French-Bell to present to us on the outstanding reports and the hiring of the contractors.  OCRE CONTRACTOR UPDATE
14 15 16 17	then we'll ask Ms. French-Bell to present to us on the outstanding reports and the hiring of the contractors.  OCRE CONTRACTOR UPDATE  MS. FRENCH BELL: Good morning. I wanted
14 15 16 17	then we'll ask Ms. French-Bell to present to us on the outstanding reports and the hiring of the contractors.  OCRE CONTRACTOR UPDATE  MS. FRENCH BELL: Good morning. I wanted to talk briefly about the status of the contractor and
14 15 16 17 18	then we'll ask Ms. French-Bell to present to us on the outstanding reports and the hiring of the contractors.  OCRE CONTRACTOR UPDATE  MS. FRENCH BELL: Good morning. I wanted to talk briefly about the status of the contractor and then the status of a few of our reports.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	then we'll ask Ms. French-Bell to present to us on the outstanding reports and the hiring of the contractors.  OCRE CONTRACTOR UPDATE  MS. FRENCH BELL: Good morning. I wanted to talk briefly about the status of the contractor and then the status of a few of our reports.  So first of all, we wanted to acknowledge
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	then we'll ask Ms. French-Bell to present to us on the outstanding reports and the hiring of the contractors.  OCRE CONTRACTOR UPDATE  MS. FRENCH BELL: Good morning. I wanted to talk briefly about the status of the contractor and then the status of a few of our reports.  So first of all, we wanted to acknowledge that we do recognize the importance of getting the

1	hopefully today that will be well underway, we can turn
2	our attentions more to focusing on the contractor.
3	So in order to discuss that we wanted to
4	briefly talk about a few of the steps. The first step
5	is a Statement of Work, which we are currently revising.
6	It is a complex process. We have to make certain that
7	we're following federal acquisitions law, so we've had
8	to revise it to be in compliance.
9	So the next thing is it goes to GSA. They
10	review it. It's normally a quick turnaround. After
11	that we advertise it and then we select the best vendor
12	based on price and the ability to deliver on that
13	Statement of Work.
14	So after that we interview the top three to
15	four candidates. And then we're looking to have this
16	in place by the next meeting and we'll be back to report
17	on it and let you know who we selected and why we selected
18	them.
19	As far as the status of the reports go, we
20	just wanted to send a brief reminder that the statements
21	for the Enforcement Report are due on August 14th, 2015.
22	PARTICIPANT: Twenty-first.
23	MS. FRENCH-BELL: I'm sorry, August 21st,

1 PARTICIPANT: Scared me there. 2 MS. FRENCH-BELL: Sorry. I'm not trying 3 to scare anyone. And the rebuttals and concurrences 4 are due September 4th. 5 We will have the State Immigration Report 6 By the close of business today we will have 7 ENDA tomorrow by the end of the month. So we are working 8 to get our backlog resolved and to take care of things 9 as quickly as possible. 10 The contractors will be very helpful in 11 getting our backlog resolved. As Mauro said, we will 12 have the first in place by the next meeting and the 13 second one shortly thereafter before the beginning of 14 the next fiscal year. And then we'll have then the 15 remaining two the following fiscal year. 16 And with the contractors we're highly 17 confident that can complete three briefings we 18 including the Immigration Detention -- sorry, including 19 the Statutory Enforcement Report that will be in place 20 for next year, and the backlog by the end of next year. 21 If we add a fourth report we're not as confident that 22 we can accomplish that and we just wanted to set that 23 out there from the outset. And it will be a bit of a 24 greater burden to the staff in terms of accomplishing

1	our goals.
2	So an alternative may be to wait until
3	December or January to see where OCRE is on our backlog
4	and then determine from there about adding in additional
5	reports.
6	Were there any questions for me?
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So you did issue a draft
8	of the Veterans Report?
9	MS. FRENCH-BELL: Oh, yes.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: When are those comments
11	due?
12	MS. FRENCH-BELL: Sorry. Those are due
13	the 28th.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Twenty-eighth?
15	MS. FRENCH-BELL; Yes, the 28th of this
16	month.
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Of August, right.
18	MS. FRENCH-BELL: August, yes.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any questions
20	for Ms. French-Bell?
21	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney?
23	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: This is Dave
24	Kladney.

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Go ahead.
2	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: My first question
3	is these vendors, are these individuals or are these
4	companies, or
5	MS. FRENCH-BELL: Companies.
6	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: And say if a
7	company is selected and they send you I would assume
8	they will send you two or three candidates, or something
9	like that.
10	MS. FRENCH-BELL: Correct.
11	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Is that correct?
12	And then you interview them and if you feel that one of
13	them is fine, you select that one. If you feel none of
14	them are fine, then you inform the company, is that
15	correct?
16	DIRECTOR MORALES: That's correct.
17	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Okay. And do you
18	know who these companies are, by any chance?
19	MS. FRENCH-BELL: I'm sorry, I don't. We
20	have to compete it out, so we wouldn't possibly know
21	until we get the proposals back.
22	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Okay. And then I
23	spoke during the month with the director and we were
24	talking about in the past and I think we spoke about

1	this in the last meeting, that the General Counsel's
2	Office used to do prepare briefings and do reports.
3	Is there any possibility that you think that that would
4	be a possibility to be able to add an additional report?
5	MS. FRENCH-BELL: I don't think it would be
6	a possibility at this time, but let Jennifer Hepler talk
7	more about that.
8	MS. HEPLER: At the current time I'm the
9	only person in the Office of General Counsel. I'm doing
10	the job of three to four attorneys. I don't think that
11	will be possible at this time.
12	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Jennifer Hepler,
13	thank you. I was not clear. I apologize, which I'm
14	usually not clear. This discussion was after your
15	office was staffed with a general counsel and another
16	assistant general counsel.
17	MS. HEPLER: Well, that would have be then.
18	Right now I am overloaded and I cannot take on another
19	project.
20	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Right, right,
21	right. We were not talking about now. We were talking
22	about after the office was staffed up, and not
23	necessarily to have you do it, but there's an additional
24	assistant, isn't there, that's vacant?

1 MS. HEPLER: Well, at this time -- do you 2 want to talk -- I mean, that's not -- you want to talk --CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The staff director will 3 4 answer that. 5 DIRECTOR MORALES: Commissioner, Mr. 6 definitely once we get a general counsel in -- I'm 7 actually going -- I'll give you a report later in this When we get a general counsel in, it's 8 meeting. 9 certainly something we can discuss with him or her and something we can definitely entertain if there's a 10 11 possibility, but I wouldn't want to encumber general 12 counsel at this point. 13 But we can see also after a few months where 14 Angela and OCRE are with the backlog, and if they're 15 making a lot of progress and we don't need to go down 16 that route, that will probably be possible. 17 definitely think what you suggest is a possibility and 18 it's something we should look at later in the year when 19 we get a better idea of where we are with it. 20 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: And the other part 21 of the discussion we had, as I recall, was the 22 possibility of hiring another contractor to prepare a 23 briefing and do the report for that as well. 24 that's a possibility and I think you said that we could

1 review that probably about the same time, December, 2 January? 3 DIRECTOR MORALES: Yes, we're looking at bringing on the first two contractors to work on the 4 5 We're planning and we're working towards reports. 6 bringing both of them on prior to the end of this fiscal 7 vear. The next two will come in after the beginning of the next fiscal year, so we'll have four as we had told 8 9 the Commissioners at the last meeting. So from my understanding and from our 10 11 discussions with Angela, with those resources in place 12 and the planning that we have for those resources in 13 place that she can quarantee or she can -- as close as 14 you can quarantee three reports completed. To add an 15 additional report would make it more problematic and she 16 wouldn't necessarily be able to guarantee that that 17 fourth report would be completed by the end of 2016. 18 So there's a lot of potential here and depends on how quickly the revised reports -- it depends 19 20 on who quickly some of these other reports can get --21 we can make a dent in the backlog. But I think that's 22 what -- I don't want to speak for her. She can certainly 23 speak up if she needs to, but I think that's where we're 24 So just wanted to be clear about that. at.

1	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Right, I
2	obviously am not clear. I understand about the four
3	contractors, but I was talking in terms of doing other
4	reports, new reports, and hiring say a fifth contractor
5	to prepare the briefing, get the witnesses together, do
6	the briefing and do the report.
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney;
8	it's the Chairman, I think we all understand what you're
9	asking and I think everyone's saying we'll revisit those
10	issues later in the beginning of the new fiscal year.
11	We just don't have the capability or the knowledge today
12	of what that budget's going to be and whether we can do
13	them. If we have the budget, we'd love to do it. I
14	think we're all clear that we're all on that same page.
15	If the new general counsel and his staff and
16	her staff are capable of doing some additional reports,
17	we'll visit with them and hope that they do that because
18	I think we all want to see us do more work this year than
19	we can vote on today, but we will vote today on two
20	additional reports and we will then look to the future
21	to see what more we can do. And I'm confident that we'll
22	be able to do more at some point, just not today.
23	Commissioner Narasaki?
24	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Well, that was not

1	my purpose, Mr. Chairman.
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.
3	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: The focus of my
4	discussion was to lay out the possibilities before the
5	Commission, because I've already discussed this with
6	the director. And we were speaking in terms of
7	revisiting it in the next fiscal year, probably about
8	December or January. I was just trying to bring this
9	up because I really have not spoken to the whole
10	Commission about it.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I think we're all clear
12	on that now, so thank you.
13	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki?
15	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes, I just want
16	
	to note that the President has asked for an increase in
17	to note that the President has asked for an increase in our budget, and while we're not sure what will happen
17	our budget, and while we're not sure what will happen
17 18	our budget, and while we're not sure what will happen since Congress has not approved that additional amount,
17 18 19	our budget, and while we're not sure what will happen since Congress has not approved that additional amount, there's still time for Congress to work it out. And
17 18 19 20	our budget, and while we're not sure what will happen since Congress has not approved that additional amount, there's still time for Congress to work it out. And they haven't been doing appropriations in regular order
17 18 19 20 21	our budget, and while we're not sure what will happen since Congress has not approved that additional amount, there's still time for Congress to work it out. And they haven't been doing appropriations in regular order in the last few years. So hopefully in the new fiscal

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Correct. But in an
2	abundance of caution we may not, and we may also be on
3	a continuing resolution for quite a while. So we also
4	have to keep that in mind. But we're all hopeful, yes.
5	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I understand
6	that. I'm just
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I appreciate that.
8	Ms. French-Bell?
9	MS. FRENCH-BELL: Can I say one more thing?
10	I just wanted to commend all the hard work that Darren
11	Fernandez has done on the Statutory Enforcement Report.
12	I'm very impressed by what he was able to turn around
13	in such a short time. So I just wanted to recognize him.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you. Is Darren
15	here? He's working on other areas. Okay. So he's
16	working on last minute changes to the report?
17	(Laughter)
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you. Any other
19	questions? If not, we'll now move onto the actual
20	discussion on the Enforcement Report, both parts A and
21	В.
22	DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON 2015 STATUTORY
23	ENFORCEMENT REPORT ON TH STATE OF THE
24	CIVIL RIGHTS AT IMMIGRATION DETENTION

### FACILITIES, PART A AND B. 1 2. So let me also just chime CHAIRMAN CASTRO: in and thank our staff at OCRE for the efforts that you 3 4 all put in to getting this report done. And it's an 5 I also excellent report. want to thank mу I think in the four-and-a-half years 6 Commissioners. 7 I've been here this is the Statutory Enforcement Report 8 that has drawn the most engagement from as many 9 Commissioners as we've had. And I think what we're going to vote on 10 11 today is truly a work of the staff and all the 12 Commissioners, most of the Commissioners, and I 13 appreciate that. And I know it was tough, a big time 14 commitment and we had a site visit, a couple site visits. 15 So there were a lot of moving pieces to this and to get 16 to this point today is commendable. And I want to thank 17 everyone for all their efforts on that. 18 I know that there's still probably some questions given that we circulated a revised draft a 19 20 couple days ago again in the spirit that Commissioners 21 really wanted to continue to elucidate what we are going 22 to put forward. And then there were some findings and recommendations, which is Part B. 23

So what I would like to do is start with Part

24

1	A. I'd be willing to entertain a motion on Part A, and
2	then we can begin a discussion if needed on specificity
3	of the actual content of Part A. So is there a motion
4	on Part A?
5	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Mr. Chair, I
6	would move that we accept Part A as it is currently
7	written subject of course to minor modifications. I'm
8	talking typographical errors, grammatical issues and
9	what I would consider nits.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Do we have a
11	second on that motion?
12	(No audible response)
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Do we have a second?
14	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I'll second.
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney
16	seconds. Discussion?
17	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Mr. Chairman, I
18	just would like to note one thing I would like changed
19	in the report on page 120.
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.
21	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: And on page 120 it
22	concerns it currently reads well, starting at page
23	121. It's a long sentence, but on page 120 it says,
24	"Provides adequate alternative remedies. Taken

Do not	quote	or re	Ly on	this	uncorre	cted	transcri	ot
without	t obtai	ning v	vritt	en pei	rmission	from	the U.S.	
Commiss	sion on	Civi	L Rig!	hts at	transc	ripts	@usccr.go	ov.

1	together these cases limit the ability of detainees to
2	bring a claim for evaluation of their constitutional
3	rights." I would like to insert the word "damages"
4	before the word "claim."
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.
6	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I think that's a
7	more accurate description.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Correct. And so you
9	want to just distinguish between equitable relief and
10	damages relief?
11	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes, sir.
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. That's fine. I
13	don't think anyone has a problem with that. So, you
14	will make the motion subject to adding "damages" in from
15	of "claim" as you suggested on page 120.
16	Anything else?
17	(No audible response)
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Hearing none, then I am
19	going to call for a roll call vote on this.
20	Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?
21	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
23	how do you vote?
24	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I vote no, though I
	NEAL D. CDOSS

1	think this microphone is not working.
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Well, we heard
3	you though.
4	Commissioner Narasaki, how do you vote?
5	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I have to recuse
6	myself from this report.
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
8	how do you vote?
9	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
11	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
12	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, how
14	do you vote?
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Vice Chair, how do
17	you vote?
18	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote yes, so one,
20	two, three, four, five yeses, one recusal and two nos.
21	So Part A passes.
22	Now we will move on to Part B of the report,
23	which is the findings and recommendations. And as
24	clarified yesterday, it's the attachment that was
	NEAL D. CDOCC

1	circulated by Alec Deull the other day and resent
2	yesterday. Do we have a motion on Part B?
3	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: So moved.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Achtenberg
5	moves. Is there a second?
6	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. We have a second.
8	I would actually like to add two findings. I know I
9	circulated these to Commissioners last night, but what
10	I would like to do is read into the record the addition
11	of the following two proposed findings:
12	The first is, quote, "The process by which
13	bond amounts are set and the range of the bond amounts
14	are inconsistent and perhaps punitive." And that's
15	found at page 118 of the report.
16	And the second one is, quote, "Evidence
17	indicates federal employees are interfering with an
18	attorney's ability to represent clients," end quote.
19	And I found that at page 128. And that's the Word
20	version of the document.
21	So if your motion could be subject to those
22	two additions, Commissioner Achtenberg?
23	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any other
	NEAL R GROSS

1	questions or comments or
2	(No audible response)
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Hearing none, then I
4	will call a roll call vote on Part B with the addition
5	of those two findings.
6	Commissioner Kirsanow, now do you vote?
7	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
9	how do you vote?
10	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,
12	you recuse yourself?
13	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
15	how do you vote?
16	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
18	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
19	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, how
21	do you vote?
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
24	Timmons-Goodson, how do you vote?
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote, so that's
3	five yeses, one recusal and two nos. Part B passes.
4	Okay. Great. Thank you.
5	Now we move on to a discussion and vote on
6	two briefing topics for 2016. I know at the last
7	meeting
8	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Mr. Chairman?
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Commissioner
10	Kladney?
11	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I'd like to make a
12	motion regarding Parts A and B that we just passed.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.
14	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: In light of recent
15	developments regarding this subject matter, I was
16	wondering if and I would make a motion that we make
17	the report and the findings and recommendations public
18	immediately.
19	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.
20	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: That would be my
21	motion.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Well, he's got a
23	motion. Let's follow the procedure. Hold on a second.
24	Is there a second to the motion?

1	(No audible response)
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is there a second to the
3	motion?
4	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. So now we have a
6	second. Now let's have some discussion. I heard a lot
7	of well, hold on a second. Wait. Commissioner
8	Kladney, why don't you state your statements in support
9	for your motion. And then we have several
10	Commissioners here who are dying to say a few words. So
11	I'll let you take the floor since it's your motion. Go
12	ahead.
13	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I don't think I'd
14	probably have to say anything if they're going to be
15	jumping down my throat.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Well, let me let
17	them start that. All right. No, go ahead. You want
18	to say anything else?
19	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I just want to say
20	that this is of very important public interest and the
21	report in and of itself is a report. And the findings
22	and recommendations are findings and recommendations
23	that have been approved by the Commission. I think the
24	public has a right to as much information on this subject

1 as possible at this time and I think that it's going to 2. be very relevant in the public debate and it should be 3 released as soon as possible. 4 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. I'm actually 5 going to let Ms. Hepler say a few words and then I'm going 6 to -- let's have our -- our lawyer's got to say something 7 So go ahead, Ms. Hepler. It's still a draft document 8 MS. HEPLER: because from what I understand here there were some 9 10 changes made. And under FOIA you withhold drafts until 11 they're final. It's a deliberative process. Ιf 12 something needs to be done. I've advised about this 13 issue because it came up previously that we hold it until 14 it's final because under law it doesn't need to be 15 released until it's out in the formal format. 16 know based on the discussion today there are going to 17 be some changes to it. 18 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Commissioner 19 Heriot? Thank you, Ms. Hepler. 20 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: When the President 21 nominated the Chairman, at that point the one promise 22 that we wanted to secure from him before we would vote 23 for his chairmanship was that our right to descent to 24 a attach statements to the report always be honored.

Do	not	quote	or	rely	on	this	uncorre	cted	trans	cript
wit	hout	obta	ini	ng wr	itte	en pei	rmission	from	the	U.S.
Con	nmiss	sion c	n C	ivil 1	Riah	nts at	transc	ripts	@usco	ir. anv

1 And he was kind enough to promise that to us. With the 2. Vice Chair, the same. We would always have the right to attach our statements. And of course sometimes we 3 4 agree with some of the things that are in the report, but sometimes we don't. And in this case there are many 5 things I don't agree with on the report. 6 This is the one issue that is absolutely positively end of discussion the most important issue 8 9 to me, and I believe that's probably so for Commissioner Kirsanow as well. We would consider it to be in bad 10 11 faith for the Commission to publish a report before our 12 statements have been appended to it. And that would 13 mean that there -- well, I hesitate to say really, really 14 negative things, Commissioner Kladney, like what I 15 would do if this motion were to pass. 16 (Laughter) 17 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I don't really want 18 this on the record unless it has to be. As you said, 19 you didn't seem to think this was all that important, 20 so if you would withdraw the motion, I won't say any of 21 these awful things. 22 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Well, Commissioner 23 Heriot, thank you so much for the warning. 24 (Laughter)

1	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: And I will withdraw
2	the motion, however
3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Thank you so much,
4	sir.
5	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I would like to
6	make another motion. Amy should be passing out now a
7	proposed letter I would like to send to President Obama,
8	Attorney General Lynch and Secretary Johnson regarding
9	the subject matter and the recent decision in the Flores
10	matter. I would appreciate if you would review it.
11	And the motion would be that we pass it as a Commission
12	and send it on to the addressees.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is there a second?
14	(No audible response)
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We have to follow
16	procedure. Is there a second?
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I second.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: He's made a motion, so I
19	need to find out if there's a second.
20	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, but we haven't
21	even read the letter yet, so
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I know, but that could
23	be well
24	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, but no.
	NEAL D. CDOSS

1	Let's nobody should be seconding a motion that's
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. So
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I just seconded the
4	motion.
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any chance we could put
6	this off until the next meeting, Commissioner? I mean,
7	I know that this is something that I would like to put
8	a little more time and effort in. Wish we'd known a
9	little bit in advance that this was coming out, because
10	I'm sure that many of us would like to add to this.
11	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Well, as you know,
12	Mr. Chairman, we were working on other things.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Oh, I know, and that's
14	why I'm asking us to put it off.
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Could we put it off
16	for a little while? We could have a notational vote so
17	we wouldn't necessarily have to wait until the next
18	meeting.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: That's a good idea.
20	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Like I can't absorb
21	this
22	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Okay.
23	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: in the amount of
24	time.
	11=11 = 0=000

1	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Oh, I'm sure you
2	could, Commissioner. However, I will agree and we can
3	have a notational vote. How's that?
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Thank you,
5	Commissioner Kladney.
6	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: But can we we
7	understand that and the motion is I guess pending? Is
8	that I don't know what we do with the motion.
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, let's table it for
10	the moment and with the understanding that we will
11	review this and set it up for a notational vote. In the
12	interim obviously Commissioners can provide written
13	comments. Can we have those sent to the staff director
14	who can circulate them to all Commissioners so that we
15	have a focal point and that they're not going back and
16	forth all over the place?
17	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Can we have that
18	within a certain amount of time, Mr. Chairman?
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, within seven days.
20	Okay?
21	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Can we set the
22	notational vote as soon as possible?
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We'll set it as soon as
24	possible, yes. We'll have staff work on that, but the

1	understanding will be it will be set up as soon as
2	possible after the comments come in. Okay?
3	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Thank you, all.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: You're welcome. Thank
5	you.
6	So now back to the 2016 briefing topics.
7	DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON TWO TOPICS FOR
8	2016 COMMISSION REPORTS
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We have the ability to
10	select two today. Last meeting we asked everyone to not
11	necessarily rank them, but to vote on or to at least give
12	us their top three preferences. And I believe Jason
13	sent along a very nice chart outlining the votes of each
14	Commissioner and the total votes
15	PARTICIPANT: Preferences.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Oh, preferences. I'm
17	sorry. Preferences on each of these papers. Now, we
18	can go about this number of ways. We can, as we did at
19	the last meeting, have each Commissioner say a few brief
20	words in support of his or her paper or we can entertain
21	a motion and then hear from Commissioners. I don't know
22	if you have any preference, folks. If not, then I will
23	give Commissioners a last opportunity to make their
24	pitch. I will take it from the bottom up, so Narcotics

1	Policing. That's whose is that? Is that yours,
2	Madam Vice Chair? Oh, Commissioner Kladney, Narcotics
3	Policing.
4	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I'll pass on that.
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Pass? Okay. War on
6	Youth. Is that Commissioner Heriot?
7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's mine. Yes,
8	that's mine. I would like to say I think it's a swell
9	idea, but I also think that we probably ought not be
10	adopting any of these until we've gotten more reports
11	out.
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All right.
13	Asian-American Admissions? Commissioner Kirsanow?
14	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes, that's mine.
15	I obviously think it's a very good topic. I also know
16	that I'm outvoted on this. But also I concur with
17	Commissioner Heriot that I think we should be devoting
18	our energies to getting these other matters out before
19	we have other hearings.
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: You know, you didn't
21	even vote for your paper. Oh, maybe it wasn't one of
22	your top three. I see, yes.
23	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: It's a concession
24	to reality.

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I see. Educational
2	Equity. I believe this is Commissioner Achtenberg's.
3	Commissioner Achtenberg?
4	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: I, similar to my
5	colleagues Commissioners Heriot and Kirsanow think it's
6	a swell idea, but apparently others are not similarly
7	inclined, so I will accede to reality.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Well, I'm ever on
9	a quixotic mission, so I really do think that my Child
10	Labor Paper is I think it's a nice next step on what
11	we have just finished on the immigration detention,
12	although this also includes U.S. citizen children who
13	are being subjected to very harsh working conditions,
14	very harsh living conditions. As farm worker labor
15	children they are subjected to conditions that no other
16	child laborers in the work force are. And this
17	overwhelmingly impacts Hispanic children in a
18	disproportionate ways, so I would hope that we would
19	consider that as a paper, if not this round, then the
20	next round. But hopefully this round.
21	So School-to-Prison Pipeline.
22	Commissioner Kladney?
23	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I think this
24	subject is most important, both from an institutional

and structural manner in which children are now disciplined in school, most schools having police The disciplinary action taken away officers in them. from school administrators and made more in the form of police, putting children on the street with -- not suspending them from school and other types of problems. I think the paper is fairly self-explanatory, but I think it's time that we raise this issue up, give it more visibility. It's affecting hundreds of thousands of children. Thank you. CASTRO: Thank CHAIRMAN you, Commissioner. I think Collateral Consequences is also yours. COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes, it is, I've presented this paper before. I believe the Commission is familiar with it. This, too, means that even though you get -- someone can get sentenced either to probation or prison time for an offense from drug possession, to sales, to an attempt at something, a crime of some event of sort, that not only do they wind up doing their time or doing the penalty on probation, but they wind up carrying with them this mark the rest of their lives, which actually holds them back from succeeding when we want them to change their life and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

be more of a -- take more of a successful path. Rather, we hold them back and stop many of these people from succeeding. And I think that this subject matter is of primary importance to the Commission. Thank you. Okay. Public Education CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki? Funding. COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes, thank you. think that the issue of public education and segregation has actually long been a priority issue for the Commission from its very beginning and getting public education right I think will go a long way to addressing some of the issues raised in these other proposals such as the School-to-Prison Pipeline. of the reason that exists is because of the inequality and lack of quality education for all children. particularly impacts children of color. And I also think it goes to some of the issues that Commissioner Kirsanow raises about concerns around affirmative action. As everyone recalls in one of the last affirmative action cases Justice Sandra Day O'Connor gave -- put a clock on affirmative action of 25 years. We're now deeply into those 25 years and I strongly believe that getting public education right would help

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 alleviate the need to do -- have programs around 2. affirmative action. So I'm hoping that Commissioners 3 will support this. 4 The civil right community eight years ago 5 came together in a strategic planning retreat, and there was consensus around the fact that education is the 6 7 civil right issue right now that we need to get right. 8 Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you. Madam Vice 10 Chair, the last one is yours. 11 VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes, thank 12 you very much. In the wake of the civil unrest 13 following the killing of Michael Brown our Justice 14 Department investigated all that was going on there in 15 Ferguson, Missouri. Among the gravest injustices that 16 they uncovered was what I view as a dirty secret, that 17 being that our courts, the courts there in that 18 community were being used to collect fees and to support 19 the municipality's budget shortfalls. Unfortunately, 20 if you look around the country, Ferguson is not the only 21 place that that's taking place. This is not isolated 22 behavior. 23 And one of the most vital institutions that we rely upon in our society is our courts. 24 When

1	confidence and faith in our courts is gone, we're left
2	in terrible shape. I think it would be most appropriate
3	for the Commission to take a look at and examine the
4	recommendations there, and I recommend that we look very
5	closely at this.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you, Madam Vice
7	Chair. Do I have a motion? I'm willing to entertain
8	a motion on how to proceed here with the selection of
9	the two topics. Is there a motion?
10	(No audible response)
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Vice Chair?
12	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: I'm not sure
13	that this is appropriate, but I'll make it anyway. I
13 14	that this is appropriate, but I'll make it anyway. I would move that we accept and adopt the municipal
14	would move that we accept and adopt the municipal
14 15	would move that we accept and adopt the municipal Policing and Courts and the Public Education Funding as
14 15 16	would move that we accept and adopt the municipal Policing and Courts and the Public Education Funding as our top two.
14 15 16 17	would move that we accept and adopt the municipal Policing and Courts and the Public Education Funding as our top two.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is there a second?
14 15 16 17	would move that we accept and adopt the municipal Policing and Courts and the Public Education Funding as our top two.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is there a second?  COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I second.
14 15 16 17 18	would move that we accept and adopt the municipal Policing and Courts and the Public Education Funding as our top two.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is there a second?  COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I second.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any discussion?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>would move that we accept and adopt the municipal Policing and Courts and the Public Education Funding as our top two.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is there a second?  COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I second.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any discussion?  (No audible response)</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	would move that we accept and adopt the municipal Policing and Courts and the Public Education Funding as our top two.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is there a second?  COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I second.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any discussion?  (No audible response)  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any discussion?

1	Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?
2	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Abstain.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
4	how do you vote?
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm going to
6	continue my no hitter with voting no on this, not on the
7	ground that these are not good topics, but rather on the
8	ground that we shouldn't be doing this until we've
9	gotten more reports out.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Commissioner
11	Narasaki?
12	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I vote yes.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney?
14	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
16	Achtenberg?
17	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki?
19	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Vice Chair?
21	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote yes. That's
23	one, two, three, four, five, six yeses, one no and an
24	abstention. So Municipal Policing and Courts and NEAL R. GROSS

1	Public Education Funding will be our next two briefing
2	topics for 2016.
3	Okay. We now move on to, speaking of
4	2016 oh, yes, Madam?
5	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Can I make a
6	motion?
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Commissioner?
8	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I don't know if
9	this is in order or not, but I would like to move that
10	if we do have the opportunity and have sufficient
11	capacity that the Collateral Consequences be the next
12	hearing that we have after the two.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I would rather wait on
14	that, because we don't know what four, five months, or
15	three months, whatever it is, is going to hold and
16	whether there will be some issue that maybe the issue
17	of the day that we're going to want to address. So I'd
18	rather not tie our hands yet, although that's an
19	important topic. But I would prefer that we kind of
20	hold our powder dry for the moment. Okay. Thank you.
21	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Okay. I
22	withdraw.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. So next we have
24	the vote on the 2016 proposed meeting dates.

1	DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON DATES FOR FUTURE
2	COMMISSION BUSINESS MEETINGS
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The staff director
4	circulated some dates. And I think there was one change
5	due to one of the Jewish holidays, so hopefully
6	everyone's had a chance to look at that.
7	But, Mr. Staff Director, I'll turn it over
8	to you.
9	DIRECTOR MORALES: Thank you, Mr.
10	Chairman. Yes, I circulated an original draft. I've
11	made one change subsequent to that. And I sent that
12	yesterday. I hope you received that email. It would
13	be to move Friday, April 22nd to now Friday, April 15th.
14	That's been the only request I've had to change, and so
15	I've gone ahead and made that change subject to your
16	approval.
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Anybody else have any
18	issues with the dates? Madam Vice Chair?
19	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Mr. Chair,
20	the Friday the 26th of February is problematic for me,
21	and if we could change that, I'd appreciate it. I have
22	no other conflicts.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Let's take a look
24	at that. February 2016. So the 26th does not work for

1	you?
2	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: That's
3	right.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: How does the 19th work
5	for people? Any issues?
6	PARTICIPANT: I'm sorry. We're talking
7	about February? Wait, wait.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: February of '16,
9	correct.
10	PARTICIPANT: Okay.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The 19th work for folks?
12	PARTICIPANT: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes?
14	PARTICIPANT: Yes, it does for me.
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. So that will be
16	the 19th of February, 2016.
17	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Thank you
18	very much.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: You're welcome. Any
20	other changes from Commissioners?
21	(No audible response)
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: If not, then we'll
23	entertain a motion to approve that calendar as just been
24	changed.

1	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: So moved, Mr.
2	Chair.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is there a second?
4	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All those in favor, say
6	aye?
7	(Chorus of ayes)
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any opposed?
9	(No audible response)
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any abstentions?
11	(No audible response)
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And staff will
13	recirculate the updated version so that we have the
14	correct dates in front of us.
15	Commissioner Narasaki?
16	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I have a question
17	just on process.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: So at what point
20	will we know what dates we will have for hearings? And
21	my recommendation would be if we could space it out
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: because this
24	year when we had a hearing every month, I think it was

1	tough on both staff and the Commissioners to
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Staff did an
4	amazing job, but it was tough.
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We'll coordinate that
6	with staff and perhaps at the next meeting we'll have
7	some proposals based on timing and staffing
8	capabilities, etcetera.
9	Okay. Anything else on that?
10	(No audible response)
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: No? All right. Now
12	we're I'm sorry.
13	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Oh, I'm sorry. I
14	forgot to do something that my staff suggested I do, that
15	as we did for the statutory hearing, if we could approve
16	staff sending out a press release noting that we have
17	adopted those two topics so that the community is aware
18	that those are two issues that we will be looking at next
19	year.
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Good point. Yes, we'll
21	do that. Thanks for the reminder.
22	Next we move on to the Management and
23	Operations Report, so I'll turn it back over to the staff
24	director.

## 1 III. MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS 2 STAFF DIRECTOR REPORT 3 DIRECTOR MORALES: Thank you, Mr. I just have -- of course you have the report. 4 Chairman. 5 I don't know if there's any questions to that. We can entertain those, of course. 6 7 I wanted to update you on three things: 8 First, regarding the general counsel vacancy 9 announcement. It ends today. I checked this morning that have submitted 10 have 20 candidates and we 11 applications. Eighteen of them have been certified at 12 this point. The plan is next week I will review the 13 candidates. It looks like we have several strong ones, 14 so we're pleased about that. And then I'm planning on 15 interviewing a number, anywhere from eight to six. 16 then coming down to a final group to interview and 17 hopefully make that selection. 18 I'm planning on trying to move this as 19 quickly as possible through September, having an offer 20 out by the end or the middle of September to have 21 somebody on board by the beginning of the fiscal year, 22 So that's the plan at this point. And it October 1. 23 looks like we're heading in that direction, so I feel 24 pretty good about that.

1	Having said that, we've been fortunate to
2	get a detail from the Office of Civil Rights at the U.S.
3	Department of Agriculture who has started a week ago.
4	She's actually on vacation right now, otherwise she'd
5	have been here. Her name is Pilar Velasquez. She is
6	an attorney in the Office of Civil Rights at USDA and
7	she's on a six-month detail here to help us. And so,
8	she's going to be working with Jennifer and helping her
9	supplement some of the work she's doing on legal
10	sufficiency, FOIA, amongst other things. And so she'll
11	be a big help when the new general counsel comes in as
12	well.
13	The third, final piece I want to call your
13 14	The third, final piece I want to call your attention to is we engaged a contractor, the VENG Group,
14	attention to is we engaged a contractor, the VENG Group,
14 15	attention to is we engaged a contractor, the VENG Group, V-E-N-G Group, to assist us with media and public
14 15 16	attention to is we engaged a contractor, the VENG Group, V-E-N-G Group, to assist us with media and public engagement on the release of
14 15 16 17	attention to is we engaged a contractor, the VENG Group, V-E-N-G Group, to assist us with media and public engagement on the release of the
14 15 16 17	attention to is we engaged a contractor, the VENG Group,  V-E-N-G Group, to assist us with media and public  engagement on the release of  the  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Could you mute your
14 15 16 17 18	attention to is we engaged a contractor, the VENG Group,  V-E-N-G Group, to assist us with media and public  engagement on the release of  the  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Could you mute your  phone, whoever that is, please?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	attention to is we engaged a contractor, the VENG Group,  V-E-N-G Group, to assist us with media and public  engagement on the release of  the  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Could you mute your  phone, whoever that is, please?  DIRECTOR MORALES: sorry to engage
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	attention to is we engaged a contractor, the VENG Group,  V-E-N-G Group, to assist us with media and public engagement on the release of  the  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Could you mute your phone, whoever that is, please?  DIRECTOR MORALES: sorry to engage and help us and assist us in getting the report out and

Do	not	quot	ce c	r re	ely	on	this	u	ncori	rec	ted t	trans	cri	.pt
wit	thout	obt	air	ning	wr	itte	en pe	erm	issi	on	from	the	U.S	5.
Cor	nmiss	ion	on	Civ	il E	Righ	nts a	ıt	trans	scr	ipts	@usco	r.g	ov

1	a caliber that folks are really going to be interested
2	in it. So, that's our plan right now. So, thank you,
3	Mr. Chairman.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any questions for the
5	staff director?
6	(No audible response)
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Hearing none, we'll move
8	on to SAC-related activities.
9	REPORTS BY SAC CHAIRS FOR NEVADA AND ILLINOIS
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: In keeping with our
11	recent efforts to further engage our State Advisory
12	Committees, we have two reports today from SAC leaders.
13	The first report is going to come from the chair of the
14	Nevada SAC, and then we're going to have a report from
15	a member of the Illinois State Advisory Committee.
16	David, I don't know if you need to say
17	anything in advance of this? No? Okay.
18	So first of all, I'd like to welcome and
19	invite Professor Fott from the Nevada State Advisory
20	Committee, who is going to talk about the committee's
21	Report on Police Militarization.
22	Professor Fott?
23	DR. FOTT: Yes, how do you do?
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Good. How are you?
	NEAL D. CDCCC

1 DR. FOTT: It's a pleasure to be with you. 2 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you. 3 DR. FOTT: And I'm happy to be filling in 4 for the chair of our committee, who because of prior business was unable to make this meeting. 5 6 I'm happy to tell you about our report on 7 the militarization of police which we began about one We conducted fact finding on the acquisition 8 year ago. 9 and use of military equipment by big urban county and rural policy agencies in the state. We also heard from 10 11 individuals with experience, professionals, and from 12 members of the public. We collected data from the 13 selected law enforcement agencies on the obtaining and 14 use of the military equipment. And let me begin by 15 mentioning the comments from a few of those with 16 relevant experience and then speak more to the data that 17 we collected. We heard from an executive board member of 18 19 the Nevada chapter of the National Association for Black 20 He expressed concern about the use of these Veterans. 21 weapons while admitted that specialized SWAT teams 22 should have special weaponry for certain situations. On the whole he said law enforcement should not be 23 24 heavily armed military forces.

We heard from the former chapter president of the NAACP, who told the Committee that seeing police on the news reminded him of harsh repression for the civil rights demonstrators in the 1960s.

We heard from the deputy superintendent of the Reno Police Department, who said that he was quite aware of the concerns regarding militarization and that he thought that the community-oriented policing of the Reno Police Department went some way toward alleviating the difficulties. He said that if the national program did not exist, his police department would still purchase gas masks on the open market, as he put it, and probably an armored vehicle. There have been sniper shootings in the past and he thought that there was reason to purchase such a vehicle.

We heard from a lieutenant with the Las
Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, who said that
because of this program the department has been able to
obtain two rescue helicopters at no charge, of course,
that would have cost about \$9 million if purchased.
Rescue boats have also been obtained. These things
have been used and have saved lives. This lieutenant
told our committee that the police department has
encountered resisters to arrest armed with 50mm caliber

2.

1	weapons and other sophisticated military weaponry.
2	The Las Vegas Police Department began to
3	use community-oriented policing in the 1990s, and it's
4	still being used today to great success, he said.
5	Public comment on the program, on the
6	Federal Government's program I would say was about
7	equally divided.
8	So now, onto the data that we collected on
9	the acquisition and use of weapons. The Las Vegas
10	Police Department has acquired quite a bit more military
11	equipment in recent years than the other two urban
12	departments. Rescue helicopters, as I mentioned, one
13	armored personnel carrier and one armored robot. But
14	the personnel carrier has not been used during the last
15	two years. Also, quite a bit of rescue gear has been
16	acquired by that department.
17	The Reno Police Department obtained an
18	armored personnel carrier, a number of telescopic rifle
19	sites. Those sites were returned by request from the
20	Defense Department so that they could be reissued.
21	The Elko Police Department acquired two
22	military vehicles, a number of weapon sites and night
23	vision equipment.
24	Then we also surveyed two urban county

1 sheriff's The Washoe Sheriff's departments. Department; that's the Reno area, obtained numerous 2 3 items: weapons, auxiliary weapon equipment, clothing, 4 outdoor gear, among other things. The Elko County 5 Sheriff's Department, however, obtained no more than a little bit of equipment. 6 The rural county sheriff's departments 7 acquired a number of automatic rifles. 8 That would be 9 Humboldt County and Nye County. 10 Police departments we did not survey we learned some information from the Law Enforcement 11 12 Support Office also acquired some equipment, but none 13 of that was actual military weaponry. 14 Our findings, I guess I could put in four 15 points as our report puts them in four points. 16 local police agencies in Nevada seem not to have armed 17 themselves excessively with military assault weapons. 18 No. 2, the equipment that was obtained 19 seems on the whole appropriate for what communities 20 need. 21 No. 3, the transfer of military equipment 22 to policies agencies in Nevada has not led to any 23 excessive use or misuse of the equipment that we've learned of. 24

1 And No. 4, the Federal Government's program 2. has saved the taxpayer money by allowing for the reuse of previously purchased equipment. 3 4 Now as for training police officers in the 5 use of this equipment, we did not find any particular problems with what has been so far obtained in the state. 6 7 However, we do note that there are no national or state standards for the training of police officers with 8 9 military weapons and the training of police officers with military weapons is not formally monitored or 10 11 coordinated by anyone at the Department of Defense. We make three recommendations in our 12 13 And first is that your Commission we hope will 14 continue to engage in actively monitoring this issue 15 following up on the Commission's report from 1981. 16 No. 2, one barrier that could be removed is 17 a lack of diversity. That is, oftentimes there are 18 problems. perceived or real, or both, regarding a 19 discrepancy between the makeup of the community and the 20 makeup of the police force. Community-oriented 21 policing is one effective solution. 22 Third, our committee remains concerned 23 police training, as I said before. So 24 established board standards for training police

1	officers and the monitoring by federal officials of that
2	training would be steps in the right direction.
3	Those are the main findings and
4	recommendations of our report and I'd be happy to take
5	any questions you might have.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Are there any questions
7	for the professor?
8	(No audible response)
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Anybody?
10	(No audible response)
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: It seems to me,
12	professor; this is the Chairman, that your report you
13	find that there isn't any excessive use or obtaining of
14	these items, but it seems to me as I was reading down
15	the types of items there's clearly two very different
16	kinds. There's the assault vehicles. There's the
17	real military type. And then you've got rescue
18	helicopters and gas masks and things like that you would
19	expect in any emergency situation that would be needed.
20	Is there a difference in the mission when
21	someone does utilize military equipment? Does it
22	change the mission of a civilian police force, did you
23	find, when instead of coming out in a paddy wagon they
24	come out in an armored transport vehicle? Any sense of

1	that in your examination of the topic?
2	DR. FOTT: I think anything that we found
3	would be in the realm of perception, the perceptions of
4	those who have been actively involved in civil rights
5	work in the state, and from the public, too again,
6	as I said the public comment was about equally divided.
7	So, I can't point to anything that happened in any
8	incident to say that this made a real difference in what
9	happened on the street, but perceptions certainly do
10	matter. I think that people feel more secure, and
11	understandably so, when there's a line drawn between the
12	police and the military. And these military vehicles
13	are so impressive that the very sight of them you can
14	understand how the very sight of them would blur the
15	line.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you.
17	Commissioner Kladney, I believe my memory serves you
18	might have been at that briefing? I don't know if
19	there's anything you want to add?
20	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: No, I think the
21	Advisory Commission has done a very great job and I think
22	what the professor says is true. I think most civilians
23	are not around military equipment and when they see
24	military equipment rolling, it's a little bit of a

1 They see that in other countries, but not in 2. America. 3 I know that in Las Vegas they use the 4 helicopters not just for search and rescue. They use 5 the helicopters to apprehend or follow fleeing persons throughout their community. I do have concerns about 6 armored personnel carriers in Elko, Nevada. 7 I don't know what the two military trucks or equipment that was 8 9 purchased up there that the professor was speaking about, but I have a feeling one of them was an armed 10 11 personnel carrier in a community of -- I think it's 12 50,000 people. 13 DR. FOTT: If that. 14 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Αm I correct, 15 professor, that that's what they purchased? 16 DR. FOTT: Two military vehicles, which 17 have been used in recent years by the Elko Special 18 Response Team, the SWAT team in training exercises and 19 active duty situations. 20 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Right. And I also 21 have a concern when we talk about automatic weapons. 22 That's a general term. A rifleman's rifle can be turned 23 into an automatic weapon, but so can 50-caliber machine 24 And I don't know if they're warranted. quns. But

1	that's my own personal opinion.
2	I think the SAC did a great job, especially
3	in a state our size and as diverse as our state is in
4	terms of geography and citizenry, and I'd like to thank
5	them very much for their efforts.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Vice Chair followed by
7	Commissioner Narasaki.
8	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Professor
9	Fott, Commissioner Timmons-Goodson. I was just
10	curious. Did you learn what kinds of filters or ways
11	in which the materials are sold to the various
12	communities? In other words, do I get whatever it is
13	that I request to purchase, or is there someone looking
14	at the request and making a determination about whether
15	or not it would be appropriate for a particular size
16	community to have something? Can you share with us what
17	kinds of standards there would be in connection with
18	issuing this equipment?
19	DR. FOTT: Well, the agency that requests
20	the equipment must be a government agency, and the
21	primary job of that agency must be to enforce laws. The
22	officers of that agency have to be compensated and they
23	have to have arresting powers.
24	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Any other

1	limits?
2	DR. FOTT: I'm sorry?
3	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Any other
4	limits?
5	DR. FOTT: The property has to be drawn
6	from Department of Defense stocks. but as I recall
7	requests are not automatically approved even if they
8	meet those criteria. But at the moment I can't give you
9	a better answer to your question. I'm sorry.
10	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki?
12	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes, I also wanted
13	to commented the State Advisory Committee on the report.
14	Nevada, as you know, has one of the fastest growing
15	Asian-American populations. I think it's somewhere
16	between 8 and 10 percent of the state now, as well as
17	obviously a significant Latino population. So I was
18	interested in your second recommendation around
19	diversity in terms of law enforcement. And I'm
20	wondering what you observed in terms of where the police
21	departments were in terms of having a department that
22	was reflective of the people that they're serving.
23	DR. FOTT: Well, I think that there is a
24	ways to go in that respect. And the agencies are making

1	some efforts in that direction, but I think our
2	impression was that the percentages don't match.
3	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any other questions for
5	Professor Fott?
6	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes, professor,
7	this is Dave Kladney again. My question is is when they
8	receive these weapons or they received these vehicles,
9	are there any procedures or rules or regulations in
10	place, one, when they're used; and two, do they receive
11	training on these weapons from the military?
12	DR. FOTT: All departments have policies
13	for the use of this equipment, but the training does not
14	come from the Department of Defense.
15	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Thank you.
16	DR. FOTT: And we think that there could be
17	more done in this area, at least in the way of
18	monitoring, if not direct training.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Well, thank you,
20	Professor Fott, and please again convey our thanks to
21	the members of the advisory committee for their work on
22	this report and their service on the committee.
23	Appreciate it.
24	DR. FOTT: Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: You're welcome. Thank 2 Next we're going to hear from a member of the Illinois State Advisory Committee, Cynthia Shawamreh, 3 4 who is going to talk to us a little bit about the SAC's 5 report on hate crimes against religious institutions in Illinois. 6 7 Cynthia? MS. SHAWAMREH: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 8 9 appreciate the opportunity to speak to the Commission 10 today. I serve as the co-chair of the Subcommittee 11 12 Hate Crime, generally, and discrimination on 13 specifically, Against Religious Institutions 14 Illinois, along with His Grace Bishop Demetrios at the 15 request of Barbara Abrajano, who was the chair at the 16 time of the Illinois State Advisory Committee to the 17 U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. And we have produced 18 this report as the result of extensive research and 19 numerous working group meetings. 20 We had three outstanding student interns 21 who earned academic credit at the University of Chicago 22 Law School to assist us with this report. And what we 23 did was we looked at the civil rights implications of 24 hate crime and discrimination against religious

institutions in Illinois using a methodology that included both research and a fact finding public hearing.

Now, our research included an analysis of the legal framework of existing hate crime law and federal and state law to protect against religious discrimination in Illinois, along with an examination of the available data on hate crime. Now, the data, we looked in particular at three points: One was the data discrepancies that we found to be very problematic, data discrepancy meaning that the state and the federal data on how many hate crimes had occurred and so on did not line up. We also looked at data deficiency. There is chronic under-reporting of hate crimes. And we looked at thirdly data trend analysis.

So again, we had a fact finding public hearing where we heard testimony from a variety of both government officials and representatives, federal/state law enforcement officers, academics, attorneys, community activists and representatives from a variety of religious communities, along with comments from the public. And what we found in both the research and the testimony from the public hearing was a surprising level of data discrepancy between the

2.

federal and state statistics on hate crime. Those statistics virtually never lined up year after year. And that was completely puzzling to us because the FBI's statistics theoretically are drawn directly from the State of Illinois -- for Illinois, the Illinois State Police statistics. So we struggled to understand why those were not identical statistics. We also found that vulnerable communities faced systemic obstacles to reporting hate crimes and incidents to law enforcement and that no consistent methodology to analyze trends in the data over time was being utilized by policy makers to determine the adequacy of the protection of civil rights of residents in Illinois regarding hate crime. We found also that there was extensive variation in the training levels, compliance and enforcement of the law throughout the state. So these were the concerns that led us to the findings and recommendations in our report, and we have six findings and recommendations that I will just very briefly walk you through. first finding The and related recommendation was that within the State of Illinois there is a Commission on Discrimination and Hate Crimes,

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

but there is no one appointed to that commission and hasn't been for years. So it's completely defunct, which means no party is coordinating or monitoring the situation regarding hate crimes in the State of Illinois. In just a couple months ago, in March of 2015, the Cook County State's Attorney has established a Hate Crimes Advisory Prosecutions Counsel, which is great, but it's only limited to that one county, Cook County, and several of the hate crimes which have occurred in Illinois just this year have occurred in other counties. So what we recommend here is that we really think at the federal level there should be an effort to take an inventory of the various state and local hate crime commissions, councils and their activity status. We're suggesting that the U.S. Department of Justice as part of its work on monitoring hate crimes could be engaged in that effort or any other appropriate federal So again, we need sort of an overarching monitoring group that over time will monitor these issues. Our second finding and recommendation regards the I think most surprising fact that we found, and that is the absence of data that was reconciled.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

think that the most important part of that issue was the need for -- we can't really make effective policy if we don't have reliable data. And there's been a lot in the academic literature on hate crime about data deficiency and that we don't really have a good sense of what the data really represents capturing all of the violence that's based on bias motivation that is out there. to our surprise even the data that we do have that is clear that everybody agrees on isn't reconciled among the different agencies, and specifically between the federal and the state level. So our recommendation here is that the U.S. Department of Justice or another group such as -there's a -just last November the White House established an Interagency Initiative on Hate Crimes. And what we're suggesting here is that we really need a task force like that to look to coordinating all of the different data collections and reporting efforts among federal, state, local law enforcement agencies that would be tasked with reconciling that data. We think that it would be helpful if a task force like that would consider adopting and utilizing a model program that's been developed pro bono by the

International Human Rights Clinic of the University of

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Chicago Law School to actually analyze data trends over time. Is the level of hate crimes increasing or decreasing in the aggregate? Is it more concentrated in this geographical area or that geographical area? Is the number of hate crimes going up or down with respect to particular bias subcategories? should be monitoring that in an overarching way, and we're suggesting that there's a model program for free that could be useful in analyzing those trends over time. So third finding that law our was enforcement training was generally insufficient across the state, but that it was again subject to extensive So in some areas there would be hate crime variation. divisions in the local police department, and in other areas nothing at all. So we think that there really needs to be a Congressional appropriation of funds for assessing -for the FBI to assess and expand the current trainings that it does for law enforcement and that we need in that -- in those trainings that we need to have careful procurement procedures. Another surprising thing that we found at the hearing was that there was the risk of bias in the

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

people who were training law enforcement. So the University of Chicago has also developed a model procurement process pro bono to vet -- in procurement to vet the trainers of law enforcement regarding hate crimes to ensure that you have appropriate standards of competence when you're doing the training.

Finding No. 4 had to do with community education and victim support services. We found that it was just essential that there be public cooperation with law enforcement and that there are significant barriers to that cooperation. We're suggesting that the FBI -- well, the FBI already has hate crime working groups and that should be, we're suggesting utilized, to inventory collaborations and public outreach efforts to look at where gaps exist and then target expansion of the outreach into those under-served areas.

Our fifth finding was that in the State of Illinois we have some pretty good hate crime laws. We were happy to see that laws in Illinois were fairly good at the state level. We had a couple of small changes to the laws that we thought would bring them better in line for protecting categories such as gender identity. That was missing. And I'm happy to say that that's actually already happened now since the report has been

2.

released, that that law has already been amended to now include gender identity.

At the federal level we're recommending that the Commission on Civil Rights take up a study to better understand the vulnerabilities of victims of hate crimes in states that don't have any laws that match at a minimum the federally-protected categories. And based on that study that we're suggesting that the Commission on Civil Rights would make recommendations to Congress and the U.S. Department of Justice regarding strengthening federal jurisdiction to prosecute hate crimes where no adequate state law exists.

Our sixth and final finding was that there federal are protections regarding prohibiting discrimination against religious institutions such as the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons We also have a similar law at the state Act, RLUIPA. level, but we found that a considerable amount of the testimony from religious communities demonstrated that those laws are not being enforced consistently. particular, municipal zoning boards seem not be aware of their responsibility under the federal law or are choosing not to comply with those responsibilities.

And we suggest that there be uniform

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

training materials and that the U.S. Department of Justice would partner with local religious leaders to address complaints and to raise public awareness among both municipal zoning boards of their obligations under the law, as well as how individuals or institutions can address complaints if they feel their rights have been violated.

I'm happy to say that we were able just earlier this week to meet with representatives of the Illinois Governor's Office to present these findings and recommendations as they related to the state level. We met with also along with the Governor's Office the director of the Illinois Department of Human Rights. And the chair of the Illinois Commission on Human Rights joined us for that meeting. And so I'm hopeful that we will be able to implement some of these recommendations here in Illinois.

I think the biggest take-away for us was that we really need to step up coordination and consistency. We need to standardize the laws and enforcement and implementation of those laws, that really there's an urgent need to reconcile data in order to address the situation that we know we have before we can even move on to the deeper questions of what would

2.

1 be better alternatives to this framework that we 2 currently to prevent the crimes from happening in the 3 first place. 4 So with that I'd be delighted to take any 5 questions and get any feedback that any of the Commissioners have. 6 7 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Cynthia, thanks again for your report on this and for the work that you're 8 9 doing, and please extend to our Illinois colleagues my 10 appreciation for the work that you all are doing. 11 First, I have an observation, then I'm 12 going to turn it over to Commissioners for some 13 questions. 14 It's really interesting that you bring up 15 the issue of police training in the context of hate 16 crimes, and obviously we just heard from Nevada about 17 the need for better police training as it relates to the 18 use of this type of equipment, the military type. 19 even as we're doing right now our work on the police use 20 of force, I think when we had our hearing and much of 21 the information that we've been gathering since then 22 talks to the need for improved police training, but 23 there's a common thread that goes through many of these 24 issues that both we at the Commission and you at our

State Advisory Committees are looking at, and a lot of it has to do with police training. And that's maybe something we as a Commission should sort of reflect on is that interesting correlation on so many of our civil rights issues.

But having said that, I have an indication from Commissioner Narasaki, then Commissioner Kirsanow that they have questions.

9 COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Thank you, Mr.
10 Chairman.

I'd like to add my thanks for this work. Hate crimes is actually area that I started my work in over 30 years ago, and sadly some of the realities are still consistent with that experience in terms of the numbers being reported being so heavily dependent on the training of police, as well as outreach to community, particularly immigrant communities who may not know that they can even report these kinds of incidents. So I applaud your efforts to try to figure out what the data is showing and understanding where its weaknesses are. And also the first report the Commission did really focusing on Asian-Americans was a northeast regional report on anti-Asian violence. So this continues a very strong history for the Commission.

2.

I did want to ask, you noted that you met with the Governor's Office and I'm just curious as to the response of the Governor's Office to the report and whether they made any commitments in terms of follow up. There were no commitments MS. SHAWAMREH: made, but I definitely felt that there was a very warm and interested reception that we got. The director of the Illinois Department of Human Rights told us during that meeting that the governor had actually asked him for a study of hate crimes in Illinois. So hopefully our work will be able to inform his work going forward. Commissioner Kirsanow? CHAIRMAN CASTRO: COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you, Mr. And I'd like to thank the Illinois SAC for what Chair. appears to be energetic and comprehensive study. I was curious as to what the nature of the discrepancy is between the state and federal data that you described. Is one of volume? Is it one of And to what you attribute that disparity. category? MS. SHAWAMREH: That's a good question, Commissioner. And the answer is that it is both volume one of and category. Category might be the explanations for the discrepancy. One of the things that we found very humbling was that we would have, say

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 for example, a total number of -- 300 hate crime 2. incidents in Illinois reported for a particular year. Then we would have the FBI reporting 250, for example, 3 4 incidents in the State of Illinois for the same year. And so that we found particularly surprising. 5 Among the explanations that we received was 6 not only were the categories different at the state and 7 federal level of how things were being reported, but we 8 9 also found that some of the timing of reports was part 10 of the problem. So that we had the individual in charge 11 of the Illinois State Police reporting explain to us 12 that it's a chronic problem to get all the various 13 localities to comply with their obligations under the 14 law and report their data. 15 So if nobody is reporting the data from a 16 local community within Illinois as they're expected to, 17 then she had actually held open the reporting time 18 period while she was working with them to try and bring 19 forward that data. And so she wasn't reporting at the 20 same time as the quidelines for publication and 21 reporting were at the federal level. That was one of 22 the changes, or the reasons for the discrepancy. 23 Our hope is that those publication due 24 dates are now going to be aligned. She said that going

1 forward she thinks that that will be cleaned up. 2. again, I feel that that needs to be monitored and 3 consistently reviewed. 4 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any other questions? 5 (No audible response) CHAIRMAN CASTRO: You mentioned something 6 7 interesting about the gathering of the data and I think 8 it's important for so many reasons that we have data as 9 well as consistent data. I quess the next question is what do we do with it? 10 11 And I'm reminded of the law in Illinois, 12 which I think is one of the cutting edge laws, that 13 requires local police departments to track the race and 14 traffic ethnicity in stops. While that's an 15 anti-racial profiling act, my recollection is that when 16 I chaired the Human Rights Commission in Illinois there 17 was a Tribune study indicating that local police 18 departments; in this case I think it was McHenry County 19 Sheriff's Department, were doctoring the data and 20 reporting traffic stops of Hispanics as whites, or not 21 listing the race or ethnicity. 22 And the problem was that there really is no 23 accountability on some of those reports. We requested 24 that the Illinois Attorney General take some action.

That ultimately didn't happen. We were able to engage the individuals at HR over at McHenry County to do some training.

But nonetheless, in terms of the hate crimes data, let's say we collect all this data and it's do? accurate. Then what do we What's accountability there? Is there some further steps that will take place other than saying, well, these are the things that happened? Is there anything that can be done to rectify or to address accountability issues? MS. SHAWAMREH: Yes, so I will break that question apart into two parts that are again excellent and helpful points. One is the accountability. have these laws that require mandatory reporting, but there are no consequences for local governments that do not actually do the reporting. I believe that's the same thing on the federal level as well. So if a particular locality doesn't report anything and just never does it, we don't have any way to correct that behavior. So obviously it would be helpful if we had some strengthening of laws regarding consequences for that.

And then the second point that you're making is what do we do with the data once we have it?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Again, I'm not hopeful that the issues around data deficiency have an easy fix in terms of other reporting vulnerable groups feeling afraid for a variety of reasons to go to local law enforcement or even state or federal law enforcement. But at least to take the data that we do have, clean it up, make sure that it's as accurate as we can possibly have it be, and then to do the trend analysis so that we can direct resources. That would be my answer to your question about what do we do with the data once we have it. We can direct resources if we understand For example, Asian-Americans are experiencing a disproportionate amount of violence and hate crime incidents in a particular area or location that we can direct resources towards that, or that if we see that the LGBT community or the Arab community, or whatever the biased group is that is being targeted. becomes more urgent in a particular location or against a particular group, that we direct -- that policy makers direct resources accordingly. That's the purpose of really having data analysis over time. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you. Any other questions? (No audible response)

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: If not, I want to thank 2. And if you could you again for your service. 3 communicate to your fellow Illinois Advisory Committee 4 members that we appreciate their service and the work 5 on this report. Thank you, Cynthia. 6 MS. SHAWAMREH: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 7 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Now we're going 8 to move on to approve hopefully four State Advisory 9 Committee slates, I believe we have today. 10 IV. STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE (SAC) APPOINTMENTS 11 ILLINOIS CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So I will first make a 12 13 motion that the Commission appoint the following 14 individuals to the Illinois State Advisory Committee 15 based upon the recommendation of your staff director: 16 Carlos Linares, Jonathan Juan Bean, Joanna 17 Bodhdziewicz-Borowiec, James Botana, Cindy 18 Kendric Cobb, Trevor Copeland, Richard Garcia, Salina 19 Tabussum Haleem, William Howard, Greene, 20 Jackson-Green, Reyahd Kazmi, Malik Nevels, Evelyn 21 Rodriguez, Sweta Shah and Ann Wortham. 22 Pursuant to this motion the Commission 23 appoints Juan Carlos Linares as Chair of the Illinois 24 State Advisory Committee. These members will serve as

1	uncompensated government employees. Under this motion
2	the Commission authorizes the staff director to execute
3	the appropriate paperwork for appointments.
4	Do I have a second?
5	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any questions?
7	(No audible response)
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: If not, I'll take a vote.
9	Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?
10	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
12	how do you vote?
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm going to vote no
14	on this one. I don't think that the SAC is properly
15	balanced. I had asked the staff director to put a hold
16	on this one because of that problem. He was disinclined
17	to do so. And upon reflection, I didn't want to
18	disagree with him on that wanting to move forward as
19	quickly as possible. So I'm just going to vote no on
20	this one.
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Commissioner
22	Narasaki, how do you vote?
23	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I wanted to
24	commend the staff for again finding some younger people.

1	There are th	ree under the age of 35. And that's much
2	appreciated	as well as adding a Muslim so that there's
3	a little bit	t more religious diversity. So I have a
4	reservation	about one of the candidates, but like
5	Commissioner	Heriot I don't want to stand in the way of
6	renewal, so	I will vote yes.
7		CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
8	how do you v	rote?
9		COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: (No audible
10	response)	
11		CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney?
12		COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: (No audible
13	response)	
14		CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I'll skip him for now.
15	Commissioner	s
16		COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Oh, yes.
17		CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Oh, yes? Okay.
18		COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes. Yes.
19		CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
20	Achtenberg,	how do you vote?
21		COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: (No audible
22	response)	
23		COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: You might be on
24	mute as well	L.

1	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes. I vote
2	yes, Mr. Chairman.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Commissioner
4	Yaki?
5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Madam Vice
7	Chair?
8	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote yes, so we
10	have seven yeses and one no. The Illinois State
11	Advisory Committee passes.
12	MASSACHUSETTS
13	I now make a motion that the Commission
13 14	I now make a motion that the Commission appoint the following individuals to the Massachusetts
14	appoint the following individuals to the Massachusetts
14 15	appoint the following individuals to the Massachusetts State Advisory Committee based upon the recommendation
14 15 16	appoint the following individuals to the Massachusetts  State Advisory Committee based upon the recommendation  of our staff director: Richard Harris, Karen Blum,
14 15 16 17	appoint the following individuals to the Massachusetts  State Advisory Committee based upon the recommendation  of our staff director: Richard Harris, Karen Blum,  Gregory Cote, Martha Davis, Charles Glenn, Wendy
14 15 16 17	appoint the following individuals to the Massachusetts State Advisory Committee based upon the recommendation of our staff director: Richard Harris, Karen Blum, Gregory Cote, Martha Davis, Charles Glenn, Wendy Kaminer, Ronald Marlow, Eva Milona, Allison Phinney
14 15 16 17 18	appoint the following individuals to the Massachusetts State Advisory Committee based upon the recommendation of our staff director: Richard Harris, Karen Blum, Gregory Cote, Martha Davis, Charles Glenn, Wendy Kaminer, Ronald Marlow, Eva Milona, Allison Phinney III, Elbert Robertson, John Sivolella, Siobhan Sweeney, Greer Swiston.
14 15 16 17 18 19	appoint the following individuals to the Massachusetts State Advisory Committee based upon the recommendation of our staff director: Richard Harris, Karen Blum, Gregory Cote, Martha Davis, Charles Glenn, Wendy Kaminer, Ronald Marlow, Eva Milona, Allison Phinney III, Elbert Robertson, John Sivolella, Siobhan Sweeney,
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	appoint the following individuals to the Massachusetts State Advisory Committee based upon the recommendation of our staff director: Richard Harris, Karen Blum, Gregory Cote, Martha Davis, Charles Glenn, Wendy Kaminer, Ronald Marlow, Eva Milona, Allison Phinney III, Elbert Robertson, John Sivolella, Siobhan Sweeney, Greer Swiston.  Pursuant to this motion the Commission

1	the Commission authorizes the staff director to execute
2	the appropriate paperwork for the appointments.
3	Is there a second?
4	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any questions?
6	(No audible response)
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Hearing none, we'll take
8	a roll call. Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?
9	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
11	how do you vote?
12	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: My first
13	substantive yes of the day.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yay. Commissioner
15	Narasaki, how do you vote?
16	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: With reservations
17	I'm voting yes. I note that it's 13 returning members,
18	so I want to thank all of the members for continuing
19	their public service, but I am concerned that given the
20	population of Massachusetts having 10 percent Latinos
21	that there's no Latino representation on this council,
22	as well as a challenge in terms of not having diversity
23	in terms of younger members. But I will vote yes.
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,

1	how do you vote?
2	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
4	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
5	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki
7	PARTICIPANT: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes? Madam Vice Chair,
9	how do you vote?
10	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote yes, so
12	Massachusetts passes unanimously.
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Hey, hey, hey, hey,
14	hey, hey. You didn't ask for my vote.
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I did. I thought you
16	said yes.
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, that was someone
18	else saying yes.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Oh, okay. I'm sorry.
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: That was an imposter
21	Commissioner Yaki.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Oh, yes? Well, okay.
23	Well, Kirsanow is here, so it couldn't have been him.
24	(Laughter)

1 All right. So is that a CHAIRMAN CASTRO: 2 yes then? 3 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I guess so, yes. 4 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. 5 (Laughter) 6 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So now I certify the 7 results as eight yeses. SOUTH DAKOTA 8 9 So we'll now move on to the South Dakota 10 State Advisory Committee So I move that the Commission 11 appoint the following individuals to the South Dakota 12 State Advisory Committee based upon the recommendation 13 of our staff director: Richard Braunstein, Charles 14 Abourezk, Melanie Bliss, Marcia Bunger, Scott German, 15 Arlouine Gay Kingman, Lloyd LaCroix, Mike Levsen, 16 Cassandra McKeown, Renee Olson, Ira Taken Alive and 17 Sheila Woodward. 18 Pursuant to this motion the Commission 19 appoints Richard Braunstein as Chair of the South Dakota 20 State Advisory Committee. These members will serve as 21 uncompensated government entered employees. Under 22 this motion the Commission authorizes the staff 23 director to execute the appropriate paperwork for the 24 appointments.

1	Do I have a second?
2	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any questions?
4	(No audible response)
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Hearing none, I'll call
6	a vote. Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?
7	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
9	how do you vote?
10	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: This one I don't
11	think is balanced very well either, but we had a hard
12	time on this side coming up with nominees, so I vote yes.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Commissioner
14	Narasaki, how do you vote?
15	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I want to thank
16	staff for really looking to increase the Native American
17	representation. South Dakota has a very long history
18	in terms of its treatment of Native Americans,
19	particularly those on reservations. So I think that
20	was an important advance. So thank you. And I vote
21	yes.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Commissioner
23	Kladney, how do you vote?
24	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.

1		CHAIRMAN	CASTRO:	Commissioner
2	Achtenberg,	how do you v	rote?	
3		COMMISSIONER	R ACHTENBERG:	(No audible
4	response)			
5		CHAIRMAN	CASTRO:	Commissioner
6	Achtenberg?			
7		COMMISSIONER	R ACHTENBERG: Y	es.
8		CHAIRMAN CAS	STRO: Commission	ner Yaki, how
9	do you vote	?		
10		COMMISSIONER	R YAKI: Aye.	
11		CHAIRMAN CAS	STRO: Is this r	eally you?
12		COMMISSIONER	R YAKI: Yes.	
13		CHAIRMAN CA	ASTRO: Okay.	Madam Vice
14	Chair, how	do you vote?		
15		VICE CHAIR T	TIMMONS-GOODSON:	Yes.
16		CHAIRMAN CAS	STRO: And I vot	ce yes, so we
17	have unanim	ous passage	for the South	Dakota State
18	Advisory Cor	mmittee.		
19		WI	SCONSIN	
20		CHAIRMAN CA	STRO: Finally	we have the
21	Wisconsin St	tate Advisory	Committee. I	make a motion
22	that the Com	mmission appo	int the followin	g individuals
23	to the Wisco	nsin Dakota S	State Advisory Co	mmittee based
24	upon the red	commendation	of our staff di	rector: Adel

1	Mekraz, Naheed Bleecker, Elsa Diaz-Bautista, Rebecca
2	Bradley, W. Lee Hansen, Angelique Harris, Daniel Kelly,
3	Demond Means, Arthur Pontynen, Jason Rae, Dawn
4	Shelton-Williams, Stacy Taeuber, William Tisdale,
5	Heather Berlinski, Robert Driscoll, May yer Thao, and
6	Yang Xiong.
7	Pursuant to this motion the Commission
8	appoints Adel Mekraz as Chair of the Wisconsin State
9	Advisory Committee. These members will serve as
10	uncompensated government entered employees. Under
11	this motion the Commission authorizes the staff
12	director to execute the appropriate paperwork for the
13	appointments.
14	Do I have a second?
15	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any questions?
17	(No audible response)
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: If not, I'll call it for
19	a vote. Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?
20	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
22	how do you vote?
23	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I think I'll vote
24	

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Good. Commissioner
2	Narasaki, how do you vote?
3	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I vote yes. I
4	want to thank staff for adding representatives from the
5	Hmong community. There have been a lot of instances of
6	hate crimes and other racial issues there, so I think
7	that was an important addition of perspective to the
8	Advisory Council.
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you.
10	Commissioner Kladney, how do you vote?
11	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
13	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
14	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, how
16	do you vote?
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I already voted for
18	Kirsanow. Oh, sorry.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Vice Chair, how do
22	you vote?
23	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote yes, so the
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	Wisconsin State Advisory Committee passes unanimously.
2	V. ADJOURN
3	There being no further business before the
4	Commission today, I hereby adjourn our meeting at 11:44
5	a.m. Eastern Time.
6	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went
7	off the record at 11:44 a.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	