U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

+ + + + + MEETING

+ + + + +

FRIDAY, JUNE 10, 2011

+ + + + +

The Commission convened in Room 540 at 624 Ninth Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C. at 9:30 a.m., Martin R. Castro, Chairman, presiding. PRESENT:

MARTIN R. CASTRO, Chairman

ABIGAIL THERNSTROM, Vice Chairman

ROBERTA ACHTENBERG, Commissioner

TODD F. GAZIANO, Commissioner

GAIL L. HERIOT, Commissioner

PETER N. KIRSANOW, Commissioner

(via telephone)

DINA TITUS, Commissioner

MICHAEL YAKI, Commissioner

KIMBERLY TOLHURST, Delegated the Authority of the Staff Director

STAFF PRESENT:

KANYDAH BELLAMY, Intern, OCRE

MARGARET BUTLER, Acting Chief, OCRE

CHRISTOPHER BYRNES

IVY DAVIS

DEMITRIA DEAS

BARBARA DE LA VIEZ, ERO

PAMELA A. DUNSTON, Chief, ASCD

BRANDON FOSHEE, Intern, B&F

LATRICE FOSHEE

ALFREDA GREENE

MAX LESKO, Intern, OGC

PETER MINARIK, Acting RPCU Chief

(via telephone)

LENORE OSTROWSKY, Acting Chief, PAU

JOHN RATCLIFFE, Chief, Budget and Finance

EILEEN RUDERT

DAVID SNYDER

KATE THOMPSON, Intern, OGC

## COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

NICHOLAS COLTEN

ALEC DEULL

TIM FAY

DOMINIQUE LUDVIGSON

JOHN MARTIN

ALISON SOMIN

		Page 3
	C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S	
I.	Approval of Agenda	4
II.	Approval of April 8, 2011	
	Meeting Minutes	6
III.	Program Planning: Update and discussion	
	of Projects	8
	- Consideration of statutory report	8
	topic for FY 2012	
	- Eminent Domain Briefing	17
IV.	State Advisory Committee Issues:	18
	- Discussion of Commissioner membership	18
	on SACs	
	- Re-chartering the Connecticut SAC	33
	- Re-chartering the Tennessee SAC	47
V.	Management and Operations:	49
	- Staff Director's report	53
VI.	Announcements	78
VII.	Adjournment	78

## P-R-O-C-E-E-D-T-N-G-S

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

25

2 9:34 a.m.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Good morning, everyone.

The meeting will come to order. This is a business meeting of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. It is currently 9:34 a.m. on June 10, 2011. This meeting is taking place at the Commission's Headquarters located at 624 9th Street, N.W. in Washington, D.C.

I'm Chairman Marty Castro. Commissioners who are present including myself are Vice Chair Thernstrom, Commissioner Heriot, Commissioner Gaziano, Commissioner Yaki, Commissioner Titus, Commissioner Achtenberg and by phone Commissioner Kirsanow will be participating in today's meeting. A quorum of the Commissioners are present.

Is the person delegated the authority of Staff Director present?

DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF
DIRECTOR TOLHURST: I am.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is the court reporter present?

COURT REPORTER: I am.

23 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. And therefore the meeting will come to order.

I. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

	Page 5
1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The first item on the
2	agenda is the approval of the agenda. Do we have any
3	amendments to the agenda?
4	(No response.)
5	Hearing none, do we have a motion on the
6	agenda?
7	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: So moved.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Do we have a second?
9	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Second.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All those in favor
11	please say aye.
12	(Chorus of ayes.)
13	Okay. All those opposed?
14	(No response.)
15	Any abstentions?
16	(No response.)
17	Okay. And with the Chair it's a unanimous
18	vote.
19	IA. ANNOUNCEMENT
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Actually, before I move
21	onto the approval of the minutes, I want to make an
22	important announcement. Today is the birthday of
23	Nicholas Colten. And we want to wish him well and
24	congratulate him.
25	(Off the record comment.)

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Next item on the agenda

25

is the approval of the April 8th meeting minutes. Is there a motion on that?

COMMISSIONER YAKI: So moved.

2.

2.1

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Do we have a second?

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any discussion?

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I have a minor amendment to request hopefully unanimously accepted. On page two of the minutes, there's a discussion of a motion that I made that originally passed and then there was a reconsideration of that motion. And the motion upon reconsideration failed.

There is a sentence that I think is not very clear and somewhat inaccurate. And rather than go through the record on the bottom of page two the discussion regarding reconsideration is "Following discussion on whether the approved motion would merely not alter the draft timeline or whether it would prevent Commissioners from later offering a motion that any comments they have on the draft be incorporated."

I don't know what that means. But as I recall there was disagreement about what the previous motion was and rather than try to sort that all out I would simply suggest that those who want to go back to

agenda is our program planning update and discussion

25

of projects. We have up on our calendar today the consideration of statutory report topics for fiscal year 2012.

2.

2.1

Before we get into the discussion, I just want to let folks know that in light of developments in Alabama and other recent developments for the moment I want to put off the consideration of our proposed 2012 statutory topic until the July meeting.

We're happy to consider your topics today, vote on them or we could kick it over to July. You can make any refinements, changes, provide additional topics if you want. But I want to give you the courtesy of letting you either move forward today or wait until July and have them all consider in an omnibus fashion.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I suppose I have a

question or two.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Sure.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: About could -First, would you mind elaborating how the recent
events that you mentioned I think in Alabama might
affect the proposal and what else -- what do you
suggest is our procedure moving forward to consider
the topic?

And how -- We've done it slightly different ways in the past. Sometimes we've taken

about two months of discussion before we actually come to a vote. I was going to make a suggestion or two along those lines.

2.

2.1

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, the good thing I think is that as far as I know we started a little earlier on this process this year. So it gives us a little leeway.

But Alabama in my view yesterday signed probably one of the more draconian state anti-immigration laws. And I want to take a closer look at that which I have not had a chance to see how -- As you know, from the proposal I put forward there is a big chunk of that reference is state action.

So I want to take a closer look at it and provide maybe some refinements, maybe change that a little bit but also again give us all an opportunity to think about whether we want to or you want to make refinements to yours, whether we want to supplement any potential projects. And I also at some point start looking at what our 2012 briefing topics are going to be.

A question or concern that was raised by one of these kind of have more of a set calendar as we're going into the new year. So it would be a good idea I think for us to even begin to consider what

some 2012 briefing topics might be. Some of these might be support topics that don't get supported this time. So I think it's maybe a little easier to try to deal with those in a context rather than by piecemeal.

2.

2.1

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: One possibility then is I was going to suggest that even if we adopted a topic today and I think it might be better not even to vote on a topic today is that the concept paper of the topic that's selected is not approved anyway.

That concept paper, whatever one it would have been, is redrafted to reflect the additional comments of all the Commissioners.

So I guess that's one possibility. But

I'm willing if other Commissioners are to just

postpone all discussion until July.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: That's what I'd like to do with our topic and again give it a courtesy.

Vice Chair Thernstrom has a comment.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. I mean it is very important to look at the question of the statutory topic in the context of discussing briefing topics. Because I for one would like to think if we do this statutory topic or don't do this statutory topic it affects the scheduled briefing topics in X or Y way.

In other words, it is a package for me.

So I think it's extremely important to put this off and have a bunch of briefing topics in from us, have a bunch of potential statutory topics in front of us and sort it out simultaneously.

2.

2.1

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commission Yaki.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: So, Mr. Chair, I have one comment. I also think that there was also some discussion about why refinement was needed. I think that there was some -- Obviously, I think a lot of us also want to take a look at the Supreme Court certiorari on Hazelwood.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Hazelton.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Hazelton and how that affects things. And I know you brought that us as well. So that's another reason why, Commissioner Gaziano.

I would say -- I want to echo Commissioner Thernstrom's remarks. I think that perhaps the best thing to do would be to also encourage people to put together their briefing proposals as well for the July meeting so that we can sort of start getting a handle on the field of battle, as it were, in terms of how all of this is going to play out.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. Commissioner

1 Gaziano.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I do just want to mention one general concern I had with the way the immigration concept paper was written that might relate to what you mentioned. That's why I asked about it.

We have certainly jurisdiction and authority to investigate civil rights issues regarding state enforcement. We typically do that through a number of means other than the enforcement report.

The Federal enforcement report that's the year long -- And sometimes we put, by the way, too much emphasis on the energy that goes into that one as opposed to some other projects. Just because we're fulfilling that statutory requirement doesn't mean it has to receive 60 percent of the resources of the Commission.

But the primary, the statutory, requirement and the primary is to examine the effectiveness of the Federal law enforcement focus. And I saw much more in the concept paper that looked enforcement examining state across enforcement. Some of those issues -- I don't think all of them -- could certainly be recast. But I'm just telling you all if you're thinking of rewriting that it was going to be one of the lines of concern

1 that I wanted to raise.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

And it doesn't mean that if our primary focus isn't on Federal enforcement we can't or don't need to look at some issue at the state level. But with that, I'm happy to postpone discussion.

But, Pete, there were a couple that you -Pete, you're on I take it.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I am.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Commissioner

Kirsanow. Did you want to discuss -- to begin

discussion of any of your whether they're an

enforcement topic or whether they would be considered

as a briefing topic for the next fiscal year?

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thanks

Commissioner Gaziano. I concur with Commissioner

Thernstrom. I would like to discuss all the these

things as a whole.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I appreciate that.

Commissioner Gaziano, I hear what you're saying. And I'm sure we'll all take that to heart when we're looking at making the revisions. I appreciate your comments on that.

Vice Chair Thernstrom.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: And just to make this absolutely clear, we can come in at the next

meeting and we obviously would have to notify 1 2. Commissioners beforehand of what we're thinking about. 3 But we can come in with new proposals. 4 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Absolutely. Sure. And what I would suggest therefore is that we try to get 5 those to staff by July 1st. 6 DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE 7 STAFF 8 DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Okay. 9 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So that staff can then 10 process them and get them to us in advance of the July 11 meeting for review. 12 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: That's right. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Again a lot of the work 13 has already been done. To the extent something new 14 15 comes up we still want to give folks additional time. 16 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Right. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot. 17 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Just to clarify. 18 19 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Sure. 20 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: New proposals for 2.1 the enforcement report or new briefing proposals?

> COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay. Some of the proposals for an enforcement report I assume that we don't have to submit it both ways.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Either.

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Right. They could be considered for both. You could indicate that you'd like them considered for either or. Right.

2.

2.1

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Exactly.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: But we don't want to make additional work for folks unless they want to do it.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And is it okay -And maybe this is an easier request for you to respond
to since it's in the abstract rather than in concrete.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Because there is a lot of additional resource on the enforcement reports, there's usually discovery, interrogatory, sometimes hearings, depositions, that if we are going to choose an enforcement report at the next meeting that we not necessarily vote on a final concept paper.

The concept paper would be sufficient it seems to me to choose the topic. But then we could put off to a later meeting whatever refinements to whatever concept paper. Part of this is I don't know when we're going to see the next concept paper. And I'm not sure that -- usually discussion is a better way of refining things than emails going back and forth.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I guess the question is 1 2. sufficiently abstract that I can't say yes or no. don't know the answer to that. I think let's revisit 3 4 that in July. Anything else on this topic? 5 (No response.) 6 7 III. PROGRAM PLANNING 8 EMINENT DOMAIN BRIEFING 9 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: If not, we will move 10 onto our next topic and that an update on our briefing on eminent domain. 11 12 Ms. Tolhurst. DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF 13 THE STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: The eminent domain briefing will 14 be held on August 12th. And as you know much of the 15 16 preparation had already been done because this 17 briefing was previously scheduled. OCRE has been kind enough to take over the logistics of the briefing. 18 19 They've sat through three panels and are working on two additional panels. 20 2.1 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any questions on 22 that? 23 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Vice Chair Thernstrom. 24 25 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Have the three

that, I think we circulated a proposed amendment to the AI5-9 Section 2. That would add to the list of general guidelines that apply to all SAC members.

2.

2.1

The language of that amendment which again was circulated a few days ago is "Commissioners shall not serve on state advisory committees." And I'm going to make a motion that we adopt that amendment to our AI. Is there a second before going on to discussion?

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Second.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Second. Just to clarify why I'm putting this forward, there is a reason I mean I was a member of and then ultimately a chair of the Illinois SAC and I felt that I needed to resign before I joined the Commission because I felt that there was an inherent conflict in my mind in serving in both. I know Commissioner Gaziano recently also stepped down from a SAC that he was on.

But in addition since joining the

Commission I became aware of the Federal Advisory

Committee Act which governs SACs and it provides that

"the advice and recommendations of advisory committees

will not be inappropriately influenced by appointing

authorities or by any special interests, but instead

will be the result of the advisory committee's

independent judgment." So since we Commissioners are the appointing authority for the SACs I just think and I feel that's probably more appropriate for the appointing authority not to also serve on the SACs.

And so even if it's not intentional efforts I think it's just an appearance issue at the minimum. What I would like to do is open that up for discussion.

Commissioner Heriot.

Illinois SAC.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I think I'm the only member of the Commission -

- If you resigned from the

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, I was.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I am the only Commissioner who is now on a SAC. I don't have any real conflict with a rule that says Commissioners can't serve on SACs. I don't find that's a terrible thing.

I believe that our former general counsel, David Blackwood gave the opinion that it's not in violation of the statute. But even so we could adopt a policy that prevents Commission members from being voting members of SACs. That said, I think we have a much, much larger problem than the problem of Commission members having more influence than what

somebody might think is appropriate on a state advisory committee.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

it's And remember not true that Commissioners are the appointing authority. The Commission is the appointing authority. And what we have now is a system under which Commission staff members have what I regard as much, much greater influence on what state advisory committees do. fact, if there's a problem with the appointing authority, that is the Commission, having too much influence on SACs it derives from the fact that our staff members actually write the report for the SAC members. And they're frequently the only liaison with state advisory committees.

I believe that the tradition on the Commission was for Commissioners to frequently attend SAC meetings. And I believe this provides a very valuable counterweight to what otherwise could be too much influence by an individual staff member. And again the staff members are actually writing the reports.

When I was chair of the California SAC before I was appointed to the Commission, I was basically just a beginner at this. And there at the time a now retired staff member who basically told me

what to do in ways that upon -- now I know more about how the Commission works I think were actually quite inappropriate. And I think this is something that we have to address and I think it is massively more important than the very minor effect that a Commission member being on the staff.

2.

2.1

If that statute applies to us, I don't think there's any doubt in the world that the appointing authority via the staff has too much effect on state advisory committees. And if we are going to address the problem of Commission members being a member and regard that as a problem then this is the elephant that's in the room.

It cannot be that it's inappropriate for an individual Commissioner to serve on a SAC, but that it's okay for the staff members to be drafting advisory committee reports and having as much effect as they do. And I think it's --

My solution to this is to go back to the system that I'm told that the Commission had in years past and that is that the Commissioners should frequently attend SAC meetings. There isn't any need to be on a SAC and to have a vote. But I think having more than one Commissioner and Commissioners who represent different points of view more routinely

attend SAC meetings. I think the value of having

Commissioners on the SAC is really -- They don't need

to have a vote. There's no need for that.

2.

2.1

I'm not going to defend that. I'm happy to present it to the SAC if that's what the Commission wants to do. But I'm very, very interested in coming up with some way to act as a counterweight to the problem of individual staff members.

And I'm not criticizing particular individual staff members here. I attended a staff meeting that Peter Minarik supervised and I thought he did a great job. Although I did have some disagreements with him that I talked to him about after the meeting.

Peter, are you on the line?

MR. MINARIK: I am.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: For instance, Peter, remember when we had lunch afterwards. One thing that Peter was encouraging, not encouraging, but saying that he didn't want to approve a committee report that wasn't unanimous. I don't actually feel the staff member has the right to make that point.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot, I wanted -- This is a very limited motion.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, but --

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I understand what you're saying.

3 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That I move to

4 amend.

2.1

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, here's what I'm going to suggest because there are a number of other SAC tweaks we might want to do. Let's put together -- If you have a motion on these, we'll put these together and we'll look at them in July. And you and I share the view of getting our Commissioners more active in attending SAC meetings.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Well, we saved a lot of time on the earlier issues on the agenda. I think we should talk about this.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. And I'm responding. I would like to be going and visiting and seeing more of what our SACs are doing. So I don't disagree with you there.

But what I think is important is if we have any proposed revisions on any SAC issues that we try to put forward a thoughtful motion and circulate it. Because these issues as you're presenting are much more complex than this and I'd rather consider those at another time. Not to say that they're not issues, but I want to keep this limited right now.

Commissioner Gaziano.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I'd rather not vote on the motion then in isolation if we're going to consider another motion. But I do want to speak to the motion since I was on the Virginia SAC as you know.

When this came up twice in the past few years, I thought the idea that I was continuing as a voting member somehow had more influence than or more inappropriate influence than if I attended as an ex officio member was ridiculous. But I resigned in part for two reasons. One was to allow someone else to be appointed to the Virginia SAC.

But I also made a commitment at that time that I wanted to attend more of the SACs. And I specifically asked twice now at Commission meetings that I be informed of especially Maryland, D.C. and Virginia so that I could attend as many of them. And then I communicated that separately to staff. And I have not received notices of when those meetings were even going to take place.

So I'm seconding Commissioner Heriot's concerns and suggesting that -- I'm not saying that there was a motive to keep me from attending. But my request was not taken sufficiently seriously that it

was followed through. And I found out about SAC in those states near where I live that happened where they were already scheduled and I was not included.

2.

2.1

By the way, the tradition and it's been the advice from staff directors and general counsels as far as I know that Commissioners, ex officio without a vote and deciding on reports or what the reports shall be, can attend any briefings and ask questions and can participate in the discussion. And I think that that informs the work of the committees.

It's consistent as a matter in fact with the Democratic Commissioners' -- one of the recommendations in your concept paper to participate with SACs.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: So when this motion is considered, I would like it to also include the following:

So I think this discussion that notices of SAC meetings or other significant briefings or events be sent to all Commissioners on a regular basis.

Secondly, I think we should be specific about a few types of undue influence. We should probably specify that any reports be actually written by the SAC members and not written in any significant

1 way by staff.

2.

2.1

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. Just a second and I'll recognize you, Madam Vice Chair. I don't accept that as an amendment to this. Again these are --

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I'm suggesting that it could be on this other motion that you're talking about.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Not on this other motion. This is the motion, a very limited motion.

Again, these issues we can bring them up later,

Commissioner.

Vice Chair Thernstrom.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. I want to vote just on this issue at this time because I've got a bunch of issues having to do with SACs and the way they're run also and the funding available for them. And, by the way, I don't believe on the basis of my conversations with the Chair of the Virginia SAC that there has been a Virginia SAC meeting since you left.

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER GAZIANO:} \quad \mbox{No, some of the} \\ \mbox{other SACs.}$ 

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Some of the SACs, okay. But the fact that there hasn't is part of a larger package of SAC problems that I think we should legitimately discuss but not today. And I mean they

really are separate from this.

2.

2.1

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: If there are no other comments, I'm calling for a -- I'm sorry, Commissioner Heriot.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I mean I think these issues are connected. I can't vote for a motion that's going to eliminate what little counterweight we have to staff influence. But I could vote for it easily if it were packaged with a program where we really did try to deal with SAC independence.

I assume you would rather have votes in favor of this. And that can be done. That can be arranged. I'd be happy to vote for this if it was something that deals with the independence issue generally.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The Chair recognizes the Vice Chair and then Commissioner Yaki.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'm a little confused, Commissioner Heriot. I mean we don't -There is in place an open door for any of us to go to the SAC meetings. We don't need to -- If we want to have influence by arriving there and asking questions and participating we can do that.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We're not informed of when the meetings are.

	Page 29
1	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay.
2	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: For goodness sake.
3	DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF
4	DIRECTOR TOLHURST: They're posted in the Federal
5	Register, but we can certainly send you all an email.
6	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: But that commitment
8	has been made before.
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: This is a different
10	staff.
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You've been
12	wonderful. I'm not prepared to vote for something
13	unless I You know, we're dealing with the issue of
14	SAC independence more broadly and I think it can be
15	done. I think it can easily be done.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Vice Chair Thernstrom,
17	are you done with your comments?
18	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, I'm done with
19	my comments.
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki.
21	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Thank you very much,
22	Mr. Chair. I have for several years raised issues
23	regarding SAC and SAC reform. I agree with some of
24	what Commissioner Gaziano has spoken about in regard
25	to and Commissioner Heriot in terms of visiting more

SACs, being able to go see what's going on, getting timely notice, etc. But I think those in many ways are staff related issues that I think under your leadership I'm sure you'll take care of.

But I think we have here a valid, crystal clear, clean amendment to our AIs that I think we should just simply vote and get out of the way and discuss further the other issues because trust me.

This is nowhere near the exhaustion of issues involving SACs that I would want to bring up. But we did not notice it for this time and I'm not prepared to discuss every single nuance of what that is.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you, Commissioner Yaki.

If there are no other comments, I'd like to call this for a question. Vice Chair Thernstrom, how do you vote?

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes.

20 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kirsanow,

how do you vote?

22 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Could you state

23 the motion again?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.1

24 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Sure. The motion is --

25 Bear with me a second.

	Page 32
1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki.
2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I vote yes for the
3	opposite reasons.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Titus.
5	COMMISSIONER TITUS: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Achtenberg.
7	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And the Chair votes yes.
9	We have five yeses and three nos. The motion passes.
10	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Just for the
11	record.
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Gaziano.
13	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I want to reexamine
14	the actual vote. The Federal Advisory The general
15	counsel on this point was actually right. The Federal
16	Advisory Committee doesn't actually bind us. It binds
17	Congress in creating advisory committees. Just for
18	the record.
19	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay. But we can
20	amend our own AI.
21	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Sure. And I would
22	be for that. But the idea that we've been proceeding
23	in illegal path I thought it was worth correcting.
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Not illegal. But maybe
25	something we should take a look at as well.

## IV. STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ISSUES

2.

2.1

RE-CHARTERING THE CONNECTICUT SAC

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The next item is the Connecticut SAC. It's up for consideration. I would move that the Commission re-charter the Connecticut State Advisory Committee.

Under this motion, the Commission appoints the following individuals to that committee based upon the recommendation to our Staff Director. Those individuals are Jay Bergman, Dove Burns, Christine Corgel, Marilyn Ford, Elizabeth Haynes, John Herrington, Adelaide Jones, Brian Langdon, Frederick McKinney, Ingrid Moll, Werner Oyanadel, Melanie Rausch, David Reynolds, John Tanski, Charles Venator-Santiago, Richard Wilson, Margaret Young.

Pursuant to this motion, the Commission appoints Richard Wilson as chair of this re-chartered Connecticut State Advisory Committee. These members will serve as uncompensated government employees. Under this motion, the Commission authorizes the Staff Director to execute the appropriate paperwork for appointment.

Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Second.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any discussion?

Page 34 Vice Chair Thernstrom. 1 2. VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I have a question about that I could have raised a long time ago, but 3 4 I'm raising it now. Why is the religion of SAC members identified? Why is that relevant? 5 6 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Acting Staff 7 Director. 8 DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THESTAFF 9 DIRECTOR TOLHURST: I actually think it's not 10 appropriate to ask that dealing with the origin of --11 I presume someone in good faith was trying to get many 12 types of diversity and that's why they asked. But I 13 would not have approved that. VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. I don't want 14 it included. Why are all these -- always Jewish? 15 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Why is that? Where 16 17 is everything else? COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Chair, I would 18 19 suggest that would be part of the discussion of --20

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: That's fine. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any discussion on the substantive candidates? COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I have two.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Gaziano.

2.1

22

23

24

25

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Two matters to raise. First of all, we've had a tradition and I think a very, very important one of letting Commissioners know -- Our AIs suggest and we've had many discussions over the years and sort of amendments by way of discussion to the AIs that certain efforts should be made, certain groups should be contacted, to solicit names.

One of the most important is

Commissioners. And Commissioners were not told that

this state -- unless I'm mistaken -- but through

diligent search I'm unaware that Commissioners were

told that either of the two states on the agenda today

were going to be re-chartered and to ask for our

suggestions.

We obviously have a lot of contacts and suggestions are only that, of course, like any other group that the staff -- so for that reason alone I would ask that Commissioners be solicited, that the vote be postponed, that we be able to make suggestions. I think one of the states is particularly lopsided.

But I also have particular concern about one of the candidates. And I think that I would request that if my request that we postpone the vote

on these SACs is not that I would ask that we go into executive session and that we explore some concerns I have with at least one of the candidates. And that might or might not be a reason to postpone voting on that particular SAC. So in the interest of time I wonder if we could just postpone voting on both SACs.

2.

2.1

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, you know, in the materials I review every month for this meeting there's an indication of when the SACs are expiring. I think that's one thing that should trigger in my mind as Commissioners to let the Staff Director know that we have some recommendations. We can certainly ask staff to send us an advanced reminder of what SACs may be coming up for re-charter before the next meeting or the next cycle.

But I would like to move forward with these SACs today. So if you do have something you want to discuss in executive session then we'll have to move into executive session and discuss that.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay. Well, let me just -- Without defeating the purpose of executive session, there is one of these candidates, I think, whose writing suggests would be intemperate and possibly unable to work constructively with people on the SAC that he disagrees with. And that's been the

most common ground we have for expressing concern about a SAC member.

2.

2.1

Generally, in that situation, we allow the candidate to respond. And then we make our decision on that particular candidate. Is that sufficient, Ms. Staff Director, Acting as General Counsel, whatever, to go into executive session? Or do you need more information than that?

DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF
DIRECTOR TOLHURST: I may need a little more because
if they're published writing, I don't think they have
any privacy interests which is debating things in the
public forum. If it's a personal --

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes. It's a close call. But it is a -- And the way this rule is operated it could go either way. I want to discuss what I think the writing shows about his or her personality and what the writing suggests about how that might reflect his or her actions on the SAC. But I'm happy to discuss it on the record as well.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I know Commissioner

Heriot had her hand up and then Commissioner Yaki and
then the Vice Chair.

Commissioner Heriot.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I just had a comment

related to what you were saying about whether or not we were alerted to this issue so that we can make proposals for members. We frequently have a long list of SACs that have expired. That doesn't mean they're coming up soon. Some kind of this has expired for quite a long time. So it's not really adequate notice.

2.

2.1

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: That's why I suggested the Staff Director sort of let us know what's coming.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes. I really think it's quite important to know to get a chance to make proposals in the Connecticut and the Tennessee SACs.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commission Yaki and then the Vice Chair.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes. I just wanted to say to the Chair that I disagree somewhat with Commissioner Gaziano's characterization of the history or treatment of these types of cases. One of my continuing problems with SACs over the years has been the rather haphazard treatment of exactly how things did or did not go forward, how individuals did or did not go forward, with procedures.

I think the procedure that Commissioner Gaziano is referring to was rather ad hoc and done in a way that I felt was unfair to some individuals

involved. So I just don't want you to have any impression that there was certainty unanimity in the past about how these would be treated.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I appreciate it.

Madam Vice Chair.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'm happy to go into executive session to talk if we need to about a particular candidate. But I'm a little concerned and maybe Commissioner Gaziano just because he was speaking not in executive session but in the public arena about thinking we can't -- about the notion that we can't have "intemperate people" on the SACs. I'm not sure what that means.

I mean we don't want to start eliminating people with strong, passionate views. And we certainly haven't in the past. And so there's a line that you got in mind that somebody crosses. But I'm not sure we've ever discussed and I'm not sure we could define --

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: There was a particular candidate from Vermont that no one voted for after the issue was raised.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, I remember.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes, we may draw

Yes.

2.

2.1

Well, exactly.

that line differently, but it's sort of -- I hope everyone has passion for its civil rights.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM:

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: It's whether they're name callers in such a way. I'm just using

that as an example.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Right.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Whether they're name callers in such a way. You know, SACs as you know, -- those of us who have served on it -- it's uncompensated. You have to either take vacation or make up the work. You have to travel to the location. And if there's one person who can't get along and engages in name calling and accusations it really ruins it for everyone else.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: I just need to say this on the record because the insinuation that Commissioner Gaziano just made about the person from Vermont is absolutely wrong. This was a person who had been on the SAC and had worked very well with them.

And this had to do with an article that Commissioner Gaziano disagreed with. There were some

-- This may be a close call. You have to give the

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We have to go with

24

25

1 opinion first.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF
DIRECTOR TOLHURST: So I have a suggestion. I'm not
sure what you're going to say. And it may be said
that it should be in an executive session. So why
don't we go into executive session with Commissioners
being warned that after the fact I'll read the
transcript. And if the conversation ends up not
touching on anything that really implicates a privacy
right, we'll then open that part of the transcript and
play it back in the public.

Is that acceptable?

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Sounds good.

DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: And we need a vote to go into

executive session.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So we have a motion.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Second.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Second.

Vice Chair Thernstrom, how do you vote on going into executive session?

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kirsanow,

how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.

25

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'll second that.

	Page 46
1	question on the motion to approve.
2	Vice Chair Thernstrom, how do you vote?
3	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kirsanow,
5	how do you vote?
6	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I vote no again.
7	It's not based on any individual member. It's based
8	on the balance of this particular SAC.
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot, how
10	do you vote?
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I vote no not based
12	on any particular person in the SAC and not just based
13	on the balance but also based on the fact that I was
14	unaware that this SAC was being put together and
15	therefore did not have an opportunity to make
16	proposals.
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Gaziano,
18	how do you vote?
19	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I vote no primarily
20	because of the lack of opportunity to recommend
21	candidates.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, how
23	do you vote?
24	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I vote yes because for
25	six years I never got to vote yes for the SAC.

1 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Titus.

2 COMMISSIONER TITUS: Yes.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Achtenberg.

4 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.

5 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And the Chair votes yes.

We have five yeses. The majority passes. The SAC is approved.

## IV. STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ISSUES

## RE-CHARTERING THE TENNESSEE SAC

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Next we move onto the

Tennessee SAC. As Chair, I move that the Commission

re-charter the Tennessee State Advisory Committee.

Under this motion, the Commission appoints the

following individuals to the committee based upon the

recommendations of our Staff Director: Tiffany Cox,

Diane Di Ianni, Brian Fitzpatrick, Amber Dancy

Gooding, J. Gregory Grisham, John Harris, Mary Jean

Howard-Hill, Nika Jackson, Jason A. Johnson, Bernie

Miller, Eliud Trevino.

Pursuant to this motion, the Commission appoints Bernie Miller as chair of this re-chartered Tennessee State Advisory Committee. These members will serve as uncompensated government employees. Under this motion, the Commission authorizes the Staff Director to execute the appropriate paperwork for the

Page 50
DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Not until it's signed.
CHAIRMAN CASTRO: GSA.
DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF
DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Because there are rules and we'll
have to rebid it if it falls through.
COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We asked for some
conditional input on that before. This sounds like a
commitment that the Commission staff would be making.
DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF
DIRECTOR TOLHURST: It is a commitment and I agree
with you that more input should have been had earlier.
But it was too late in the process by that time.
VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: What are we
talking about
DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF
DIRECTOR TOLHURST: I would have liked to have been
asked as well. Sorry. We have to move in 2012, the
summer of 2012.
VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: The summer.
DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF
DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Because our lease is up in the
building.
COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Then can you
explain to me and you may well be right, but for my
own sake explain to me why we can't be told that this

1 is --

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF
DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Well, we haven't signed and I just
don't want to get public until it's -- I just don't
want to announce where it is until it's signed.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Well, is this something that's appropriate for an executive session again? I mean it really does matter where we're going to be located.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: But are we going to have a choice?

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Well, I don't know.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We can I suppose instruct staff --

COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm sure Heritage has some excess space.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Why don't we raise our hands to be recognized? I don't want everyone to talk over each other.

Commissioner Gaziano.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Let me get -- One concern that someone at staff who will go unnamed mentioned to me is that if it's not near a Metro stop that's really important to them. So we have responsibility for our staff --

DIRECTOR TOLHURST: And OCRE also has an intern. I 1 hope I'm saying this right, Kanydah Bellamy. 2. COMMISSIONER YAKI: You mispronounced her 3 4 name so much --DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE 5 STAFF DIRECTOR TOLHURST: And she's a senior science major 6 7 at the University of North Carolina. 8 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you for being with 9 us. 10 DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF 11 DIRECTOR TOLHURST: And the only thing I have left is it's not the Staff Director. It's the General Counsel 12 13 statutory report update. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: 14 Okay. V. MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS: 15 GENERAL COUNSEL STATUTORY REPORT UPDATE 16 DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF 17 DIRECTOR TOLHURST: So we've received Commissioner 18 19 comments on May 31st. OGC is finishing today incorporating those comments. And they will probably 20 go out for editorial and revisions and review on 2.1 Monday. With that, we're still on target to deliver 22 to the President and Congress by our statutory 23 deadline. 24

I just wanted to note and hopefully next

25

year when there's more time both for staff and more time for Commissioners to work on these reports and have input. Hopefully you'll pick a topic in July. So we all have all the time we need.

2.

2.1

And perhaps this is obvious but I want to point out. Your comments were diametrically opposed.

And a process where Commissioners perhaps talk to each other a little more. I know this time there wasn't time but just for going forward.

A situation where no one is happy and staff is hearing from one side "This data is horrible. Why did you put this data in?"

And we're hearing from another side, "Why isn't there more of this data? We love this data."

So it puts staff in a position of having to make a call which we know three or four people won't like. Or three or four people over here won't like. I'm not sure there's a solution for it.

But again for next year if there's more time if you all want to think about how to approach it and ways that you might want to talk to each other about what you're hoping will be in the report. Maybe those are things you could work out prior to giving your comments to staff and accommodations you could make for each other.

I left feeling that I'm creating a report and I'm trying to be fair and incorporate comments from both sides. It creates a report that no one is going to love.

2.

2.1

 $\label{thm:policy} \mbox{VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM:} \quad \mbox{We don't have to} \\ \mbox{love the report.}$ 

DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF
DIRECTOR TOLHURST: That's true. But it's
unsatisfying.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, do you have a comment?

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes, I just wanted to make this comment because I was not here at the time that the statutory report was approved. But I just want to make this observation.

In looking at it, I always felt all along that the comment period time can sometimes be the tail wagging the dog in terms of how much time and resources staff has to deal with the actual intricacies and analysis that part of this. And in this particular case it's even more so.

And I would just simply note that I think that I doubt we can do -- I doubt we can revisit it for the report as it's approved right now. If we could, I would urge the Commission to do it.

But in looking at the schedule I saw that after the vote on the findings and recommendations there is one week for statements to be made. And then it is a delay of three weeks before rebuttals are to be made. And I have always been against the whole concept of rebuttal. I think it's a waste of time. I think it's a waste of money.

2.

2.1

I think that the history that the Commission does not have a long history of this process. It came about rather hurriedly and certainly over my objection in the last two years of my service on the Commission, perhaps even less. It came about because for lack of a better way of putting it people did not like what I wrote in my statements. And I was stating the fact that the majority at that time had the opportunity in both the actual body of the report itself and in their own individual statements to make their case.

Three weeks is a long time for a rebuttal.

And I think that the arguments, the case to be made,
can all be contained in a Commissioner's statement.

And rebuttals if they do exist at all should be short
and sweet and draw really only upon the data that's
previously presented by a person within their
statement. I think that if you were to take away some

of those three weeks from that report and give it to the staff in order to accomplish their work they would feel a greater sense of relief in their ability to process and get this thing done.

2.

2.1

I just want to state that. I know I wasn't part of what happened during that time. But just my observation for the record and the history of this, I think it's important to make because I just find it astonishing that the amount of time that we are spending in the statement and rebuttal stages is almost equal to if not almost more than the amount of time that we're giving staff to do the writing and the analysis of the statutory report.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you.

Vice Chair Thernstrom is recognized by the Chair.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Mr. Chairman, I realize you have made a commitment on the rebuttal question. But I would nevertheless like us to discuss this matter and there's some middle ground between no rebuttals and full rebuttals and the three weeks and all that. I'd like to put this as an item on the July agenda for discussion simply because -- I mean I also feel if you've got something to say, say it in your statement, write it carefully and never -- the last

word. So if somebody said something, we've got to answer it.

2.

2.1

I'm simply requesting that this be a topic in our next business meeting.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I will take that under advisement. I'm not sure I can do that, but we'll see.

Commissioner Gaziano and then if no one else has any other questions we'll move onto the next item.

Commissioner Gaziano.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I'm going to talk on another subject, but suggest that to Commissioner Yaki the purpose of the rebuttal period was discussed at length and particularly the timing of this particular report. If you have time to go through the record of that date, you can understand.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Especially in the hour long point between when the meeting started, yes.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I have a motion to raise on the enforcement report and this may hopefully help the staff director making the final edits. And I might not have brought the motion but for two reasons.

The first is that the motion regards the most important data that I did not see in the report.

And I'd like the Commission as a whole to take a vote on whether that should be flagged, identified or put in so that that gives clarity to the staff director.

2.

2.1

The other is that it is data that by my count four Commissioners at the briefing constantly requested the witnesses at the briefing to identify.

And I think the problem is made a little bit more acute by the previous vote which I first won and then lost that we be given a second opportunity to look at the changes beforehand.

So with that I'm going to pass out something I finished this morning. My apologies that I did not -- Can you give one copy to the court reporter, too? And as soon as it's passed out let me if you wouldn't mind make my motion.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I don't understand why we're making this motion to add something to the report when other Commissioners put comments that they wanted to see in the report. Otherwise we would have brought those for the motion. I don't understand why this should be treated any different.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Let me make my motion. I invite other Commissioners to make similar motions as well if they want. But this will help the staff director if -- What the vote turns out on this

will help her determine -- I hope she approves 100 percent of my nine pages of recommendations. But this may help her.

2.

2.1

I move to have the 2011 Enforcement Report state in a prominent manner in the chapter where other data and studies on peer-to-peer bullying or harassment is discussed whether or not there is an reliable data that shows and to set forth such data if it exists:

- (a) the frequency or amount of peer-topeer bullying of students in federally-protected
  classes that is severe and pervasive enough to
  constitute prohibited harassment under Federal law,
  i.e., that which denies or limits students' federallyprotected civil rights if schools were to know about
  it and took inadequate steps to address it;
- (b) the frequency or amount of such federally-prohibited peer-to-peer harassment in (a) that the schools did know or should have known about and took or were alleged to have taken insufficient action to address;
- (c) if it is available a breakdown of
  such data for (a) and (b) for each class of students
  protected or allegedly protected under Federal law,
  e.g., severe, pervasive bullying that constitutes

prohibited harassment based on race, ethnicity, gender, disability, failure to conform to stereotypes regarding the same; and

(d) if is available consistent and reliable data that shows changes in (a), (b) and (c) over the relevant time periods as determined by Commission staff, e.g., five or ten year intervals or any other relevant time periods.

If such data does not exist in (a), it probably doesn't exist for (b), (c) and (d). So then the motion if that's the case would just have the effect of requiring that to be disclosed in the Commission report.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN CASTRO:} \mbox{ Is there a second on }$  that motion?

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'll second.

17 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Discussion.

Commissioner Yaki.

2.

2.1

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes. I rise in opposition of this. This is something that Commissioner Gaziano who apparently just lectured me upon reading their discussion about the statutory report should have brought up in that discussion of the statutory report. This is inappropriate at this point in time.

The language itself I think is directive in its nature to the staff while they're trying to do their hard work. And I think that this is something that if he wishes to bring up in his statement to the report he can. But this is something that should have been brought up and should have been discussed at the very outset, not at a critical point in time when we're readying this report for discussion amongst the Commission.

2.

2.1

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The Chair recognizes Commissioner Achtenberg.

COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I want to both oppose the motion for reasons that I will explain and to underscore my own support for the comments made by the Acting Staff Director because I do think that going forward it won't just make the staff's work easier. It will make our deliberations more worthy of the United States Commission on Civil Rights.

So I take the admonition quite seriously.

And if that means we have to devote some meetings to a genuine give-and-take between Commissioners about disparate viewpoints then I think that's part of our job for which we are not particularly handsomely paid.

But it's part of our responsibility.

I view this motion -- I thought we were supposed to provide our comments, our critiques, to the staff draft one of the staff report. That was the forum in which we were to attempt to make our case and that I view this as well consistent with the comments of Commission Yaki as these are interesting points and perhaps they should now be made when we debate whether or not to adopt what will be the final draft by the staff of the staff report. And in some cases, I understand we can substitute our judgment for theirs.

And if we get an majority vote for such substitution then that becomes the body of the statutory report.

2.

2.1

But I view this motion as at least to my understanding of the procedure by which the Commission governs itself wholly inappropriate and designed to influence the staff in ways that are not part of the grand design of the Commission, at least, based on my minimal understanding.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I would just like to speak to Commissioner Achtenberg's point. I think actually regardless of what one thinks of the substance of this motion that this is exactly what the Commission should be doing.

Our Acting Staff Director was I think

rightly a little concerned that with the comments that have been provided. And they disagree with each other. She doesn't know whose comments reflect the consensus of the Commission.

2.

2.1

The staff does not have any power whatsoever under the statute. They are not the independent body that is supposed to make the judgment. The statute only gives power to a Commission consisting of eight members. We are the body. The staff is simply here to assist. We do not defer to the staff. The staff defers to the body.

So, in fact, the notion of making a motion where we determine do we have a majority of the Commission members behind a particular approach to a particular paragraph to a particular sentence that's what we should be doing rather than waiting until the end when it's very difficult to put the stamp of the Commission on.

But again we have a statute. It tells us who has power. It defines the Commission as the eight members of the Commission. It gives absolutely no power to staff. All power has to be delegated from the Commission to the staff.

It is perfectly appropriate for us to go through and to have a majority vote on what the

punctuation should be. It may be stupid for us to do that, but it's not inappropriate. All responsibility is ours. And the staff has only that responsibility that is delegated to it.

2.

2.1

So I think in fact it's not simply that this motion is in order. This is the approach we should be taking to try to help the staff know where the consensus actually is, you know, what the majority actually -- and we can go through the particular comments that were given to the Staff Director and say, "Hey, I think there's a majority behind this but not behind this." That's what we should be doing.

And Commissioner Gaziano is making an effort to do that. If it turns out that his motion is turned down, then that just means that's not where the majority of the Commission is. But it's critically appropriate to do this and we should be doing more of it.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The Chair recognizes in the following order Commissioner Yaki, Commissioner Titus and Commissioner Gaziano.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Just to respond very briefly as much as being a former bench leader I always espoused the view that legislators when it came to matters of legislation, public policy, has final

say. I did not do so in a manner that required my staff to genuflect or do the four Stations of the Cross whenever I walked by.

2.

2.1

This is -- We also have the ability (1) that's a misreading of the statute. The staff director has an immense amount of power under the statute. And in terms of what the staff director is empowered to do by the statute absolutely.

arrogant to assume that just because we have power to do something means that we have absolute right to do whatever it is we want. We can also choose to do which is what I have reflected in my comments and Commissioner Achtenberg reflected in her comments to delegate some of that authority and that power to the staff director or to the staff to continue working on the report in a manner that reflects the outline that was approved by the Commission earlier.

So I don't understand this idea that under your theory that we should be basically camped outside each of their offices watching whatever they do every single moment of the day to make sure they're doing exactly what we want them to do. That's not how this is supposed to work.

That's not how the Commission has ever

worked. The imperial power description of the Commission I think is an offense to all the hard-working people on the staff who do the best that they can to interpret and stay out of the middle of the crossfire that we engage in here at this Commission. And in fact the more that we do our best not involve in that crossfire the better off we are not as Commissioners but as human beings.

2.

2.1

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Titus.

COMMISSIONER TITUS: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman.

I agree that the report should reflect the Commissioners' position or the Commission's position, not staff's interpretation of the Commission's position. But if you go down that road, you can't vote for this separately. You're going to have to pull out every suggestion that was made, all the responses to the reports that came in, your nine pages, reports from Commissioner Achtenberg and vote on every one of those.

And I suspect if you do that you'll find very little left that reflects the majority to put into the report and you'll in effect have two separate reports. So unless you want to do that, I don't see how you can pick and choose what parts you're going to

1 vote for and what you're not.

2.

2.1

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Gaziano and then Commissioner Heriot and then after that I'll call the question.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I hope again that 99.9 percent of my recommendations were so brilliant and so obviously right that the Staff Director would approve them on her own. So, no, I don't think it's necessary to raise everyone to the Commission's attention.

But I did want to respond to two. I'm going to disagree with Commissioner Heriot in one respect. If this motion passes, I think it will be the view of the Commission -- it is an instruction -- to the staff to either include such data or to include a prominent mention that such data cannot be obtained. If this motion fails, then I think it will be up to the Staff Director because different Commissioners who may vote against it have expressed different reasons. It sounds to me like they might want to defer to her.

But as far as the appropriateness of bringing this one to the Commission's attention I think it's most relevant data, maybe the only relevant data on bullying. And as I counted it, there were four Commissioners at the briefing that asked witness

after witness whether such data exists. There was generally silence. Crickets as I characterized them.

2.

2.1

And one witness said that some of this data did not exist. But I don't know if that witness -- I don't know if the question was presented precisely enough because it was my question then.

This presents it a little bit more clearly.

And what I didn't see in the draft report was any acknowledgment of those four Commissioners' request for that in the document. So I think it is of such central importance that I wanted to raise it with you all and get you all's vote.

Commissioner Titus, if you have three or four or two or one of your top things that you wanted our vote on, I would be willing to consider those as well.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I wanted to agree with Commissioner Titus on the notion that it is the Commission, the eight members of the Commission, that ultimately should have their stamp on the report and disagree with Commissioner Yaki that (1) no there is nothing in the statute that gives the staff director power. That's a delegated power and that's fine.

And power needs to be delegated to the staff director. There needs to be a lot of discretion on the part of the staff director. But ultimately the object of the game here is to have a report that reflects the majority of the members of the Commission.

That doesn't mean that everything has to be brought up in a meeting. But when there is something important enough to an individual member of the Commission to bring it up and that gets a second then those are the things that we discuss.

This motion should be a matter of whether you agree with the substance of it or not and not whether it's an appropriate motion to bring. It is certainly an appropriate motion to bring.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you.

I'm calling the question on Commissioner Gaziano's motion. Vice Chair Thernstrom, how do you vote?

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: No.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kirsanow,

how do you vote?

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot, how

do you vote?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

just be able to move on and close the books on this.

1 I'm not in disagreement with the data.

2.

2.1

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I appreciate your clarification. I appreciate your support for the substance.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Let me just add once again my thanks, our thanks, to the staff that put the Herculean effort in putting together the briefing and I want to thank the Commissioners on how that briefing went. I appreciate that.

I think the suggestion of the Commissioners talking with one another on future briefing in terms of how the report looks is a great idea. But I also want to thank the Office of the General Counsel for putting the draft report together so quickly and I thought it was an excellent report even though we all had comments. And I just want to again acknowledge the work of our staff on this.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. Chairman, I have one other question of clarification regarding the enforcement report. I thought we were going to have proposed findings and recommendations in the first draft. When will there be proposed findings and recommendations for the Commission? Will we have any

opportunity other than the final vote since I thought it should have been included and we could have made comments on it previously?

2.

2.1

DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF
DIRECTOR TOLHURST: That's for you all to decide. I
was operating under the assumption that Commissioners
wanted to draft the findings and recommendations
themselves.

 $\label{eq:VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, I assume we} $$\operatorname{do.}$$ 

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: So can we have some understanding about when those are going to be distributed?

DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF

DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Do you want to set a deadline to submit them to me and then I can circulate them?

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: It's not in the calendar.

DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF
DIRECTOR TOLHURST: No. You're voting on August 12th.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So what would be good for you to get our findings and recommendations to give you enough time to incorporate them?

DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF
DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Well, again that depends on your

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes. It's kind of 1 hard to draft findings and recommendations without the 2. 3 report. VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: You know, it might 4 easier if the staff draft some findings and 5 recommendations and then with plenty of time for us to 6 7 put our two cents in. We can scrap all of them. 8 can, you know, submit an alternative list ourselves. 9 We can whatever. But somebody needs to --10 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: That will delay 11 things. VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: No, I think not. 12 I mean I think the problem is somebody needs to get 13 the ball rolling and it can't be eight. 14 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Here's a suggestion. 15 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. Good. 16 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The meeting is July 17 15th. We can send -- Commissioners can send their 18 19 proposed findings and recommendations to the staff by 20 July 10th and she will circulate them to the --2.1 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: All right. That's fine. 22 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: That gives a little 23 extra time. 24 25 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: But when in the

	Page 76
1	schedule do we see the
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: August 12.
3	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: so-called final
4	version of the report.
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The 12th of August.
6	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: No, when do we see
7	it? See a copy of it?
8	DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF
9	DIRECTOR TOLHURST: July 29th.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: July 29th.
11	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: It's hard to be
12	very precise in findings and recommendations without
13	it.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I know.
15	DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY OF THE STAFF
16	DIRECTOR TOLHURST: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: That's unfortunately the
18	circumstances we're under. So we'll do the best we
19	can under the circumstances this time. Okay?
20	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay.
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki.
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm just trying to
23	work out the mechanics of this. So we're going to
24	have a briefing on eminent domain in August. And

we're also going to be discussing the statutory report

25

question for the acting staff director about the

25

	Page 79
1	a second?
2	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All those in favor?
4	(Chorus of ayes.)
5	Any opposed?
6	(No response.)
7	I thought I'd ask. Thank you, everybody.
8	The time is now 11:09 a.m. Eastern Time. And we are
9	adjourned. Off the record.
10	(Whereupon, at 11:09 a.m., the above-
11	referenced matter was concluded.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

A	addition 19:19	allow 25:12 37:3	72:2,3,11	audience 43:18
ABIGAIL 1:10	additional 9:11	<b>all's</b> 69:12	approach 31:20	<b>August</b> 17:15 73:20
ability 57:3 66:4	11:11 15:15 16:6	<b>alter</b> 7:18	54:20 64:14 65:6	74:13,17,19 76:2
able 30:1 35:20	16:13 17:20	alternative 75:8	appropriate 20:3	76:5,24 77:1,14
71:25 74:1 77:10	address 22:4,11	<b>Amber</b> 47:16	21:1 31:20 33:21	77:18
	60:16,21	amend 24:4 31:9	34:10 47:25 51:7	authorities 19:24
<b>absolute</b> 66:11	<b>Adelaide</b> 33:12	31:10 32:20 44:23	64:24 65:17 70:14	<b>authority</b> 1:14 4:16
absolutely 14:25	adequate 38:6	amended 6:1	70:15	4:18 13:8 15:7
15:4 40:21 64:21	adjourn 78:23,25	amendment 7:8	appropriateness	17:13 18:14 20:2
66:8	adjourned 79:9	19:1,4,7 27:4 30:6		20:4 21:4,5,10
<b>abstain</b> 8:10 43:9	adjournment 3:13	31:12	approval 3:2,3 4:25	22:9 29:3 34:8
abstention 8:14,20	78:25	amendments 5:3	5:2,21 6:24 7:1	37:9 42:2,14 44:2
abstentions 5:15		35:5	,	,
<b>abstract</b> 16:10 17:2	adjust 77:13		approve 23:20	44:16 49:12,25
accept 27:3	admonition 62:20	amount 57:9,11	45:24 46:1 68:8	50:3,9,15,20 51:2
acceptable 8:7,9	adopt 19:7 20:21	60:10,17 66:6	approved 7:17 8:3	52:1,13,25 53:5
42:12	63:8	analysis 55:20	11:9 34:13 47:7	53:10,17 55:7
accepted 7:8	adopted 11:6	57:13	49:6 55:14,24	66:15 73:4,14,19
accommodations	advance 15:10	announce 51:5	66:18	73:24 74:15 76:8
54:24	advanced 36:13	announcement	approves 60:1	76:15 77:6 78:4
accomplish 57:2	<b>advice</b> 19:22 26:5	5:19,22 6:2	<b>April</b> 3:3 6:24 7:1	78:10,15
accusations 40:14	advisement 58:6	announcements	<b>arena</b> 39:11	authorizes 33:20
Achtenberg 1:11	<b>advisory</b> 3:7 18:21	3:12 78:17,20,20	arguments 56:20	47:24
4:13 5:7 8:4 32:6	18:22 19:6,20,22	answer 17:3 52:4,4	arranged 28:13	available 27:16
32:7 43:12,13	19:25 21:2,8,14	52:8 58:2	arriving 28:22	60:22 61:4
45:20,21 47:3,4	22:10,17 31:13	<b>anti</b> 10:9	arrogant 66:10	<b>aware</b> 19:20
49:1,3 62:11,12	32:14,16,17 33:1	Anybody 52:9	article 40:24	aye 5:11 8:16
66:14 67:19 71:10	33:6,18 47:8,12	74:23	<b>ASCD</b> 1:20	ayes 5:12 8:17 79:4
71:11 74:5,8	47:22	anyway 11:9	asked 13:5 25:16	<b>a.m</b> 1:8 4:2,6 44:6
75:10	affect 9:21	apologies 59:12	34:12 50:6,17	44:8 79:8,10
Achtenberg's	<b>agenda</b> 3:2 4:25 5:2	apologize 41:10	68:25	
63:21	5:2,3,6 6:1,25	apparently 61:21	asking 28:22	B
acknowledge 72:19	8:25 24:13 35:13	appearance 20:6	<b>assist</b> 64:10	<b>b</b> 60:17,23 61:5,10
acknowledgment	49:10 57:23 78:21	<b>Applause</b> 6:4 52:20	<b>ASSISTANTS</b> 2:1	back 7:25 16:24
69:9	ago 19:5 34:3	52:22	assume 15:24	22:19 42:11 43:23
act 19:21 23:7	agree 29:23 50:10	applies 22:7	28:11 66:10 73:9	44:19 74:21
acting 1:17,22,23	67:12 69:19 70:13	apply 19:3 31:11	assumption 73:6	baked 6:9
34:6 37:6 62:15	<b>AI</b> 19:8 32:20	appointed 21:23	<b>assure</b> 43:16	<b>baker</b> 6:13,18
63:25 71:19 77:25	<b>AIs</b> 30:6 35:4,6	25:13	astonishing 57:9	<b>balance</b> 46:8,13
action 10:13 60:21	<b>AI5-9</b> 19:2 31:10	appointing 19:23	attempt 63:4	<b>ball</b> 75:14
actions 37:19	<b>Alabama</b> 9:6,20	20:2,4 21:4,5,9	attend 21:16 22:22	<b>BARBARA</b> 1:19
active 24:11	10:8	22:9	23:1 25:15,18	barely 45:7
actual 32:14 55:19	ALEC 2:2	appointment 33:22	26:8	<b>based</b> 33:8 44:11
56:16	alerted 38:2	48:1	attended 23:10	46:7,7,11,12,13
acute 59:8	ALFREDA 1:21	appoints 33:7,17	25:10	47:14 61:1 63:17
	ALISON 2:4	47:13,21	attending 24:11	<b>basically</b> 21:24,25
ad 38:24	alleged 60:20	appreciate 14:18	25:24	66:20 77:1
add 19:2 31:11	allegedly 60:24	14:22 39:4 41:21	attention 68:10,22	basis 26:21 27:17
59:17 72:7	unicacuij 00.21	71,22 37,1 11,21	400000000000000000000000000000000000000	
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

<b>battle</b> 12:23	Budget 1:24	19:11 20:13 23:23	19:13 21:22 27:3	46:17,22 47:1,3,5
<b>Bear</b> 30:25	building 50:22	24:1,5,15 26:15	27:12,13,18,22	47:10 48:3,8,11
beginner 21:24	<b>bullying</b> 60:6,11,25	27:2,8 28:2,16	28:16,17,18 29:1	48:15,20,23 49:1
beings 67:8	68:24	29:9,16,20 30:14	29:6,16,18,22	49:4,9 50:2 51:17
<b>believe</b> 20:19 21:15	<b>bunch</b> 12:3,4 27:15	30:20,24 31:9,16	30:17,19 32:8,19	52:6,9 53:8,14
21:17 27:17	<b>Burns</b> 33:10	31:22 32:1,4,6,8	33:17 34:1,2,14	55:10 57:14,17
<b>Bellamy</b> 1:17 53:2	<b>business</b> 4:4 58:4	32:12,24 33:3,25	34:18,21 37:23	58:5 59:16 61:14
<b>belong</b> 44:14	<b>BUTLER</b> 1:17	34:6,20,22,25	38:14,16 39:5,6	61:17 62:10,12
<b>bench</b> 65:23	<b>Byrnes</b> 1:18 78:7	36:7 37:21 38:8	39:23 40:3,7,16	63:19 65:19 67:9
Bergman 33:10	<b>B&amp;F</b> 1:20	38:13 39:4 40:17	42:13,20,22 43:14	67:11 68:2 69:17
<b>Bernie</b> 47:18,21		41:20 42:17,19,23	44:10 45:2,4 46:2	70:16,21,24 71:2
<b>best</b> 12:19 67:3,6	C	43:1,4,6,8,10,12	46:3 47:5,11,21	71:5,8,10,12 72:7
76:18	<b>c</b> 60:22 61:5,10	43:14,22,25 44:4	48:2,6,7 49:4,21	72:20 73:17,21
<b>better</b> 6:23 11:7	<b>cake</b> 6:14,20	44:19 45:1,5,10	50:13,19 51:10	74:3,7,10,12,18
16:23 56:13 67:7	cakes 6:9	45:12,14,16,18,20	55:5 57:15,16,17	74:22 75:15,17,23
77:22	<b>calendar</b> 9:1 10:23	45:22,22 46:4,9	62:10 65:19 70:18	76:2,5,10,14,17
<b>big</b> 6:23 10:13	73:18	46:17,22 47:1,3,5	70:20 71:12,15,24	76:21 77:4,9,16
<b>bind</b> 32:16	California 21:22	47:10 48:3,8,11	72:5 73:9 74:11	77:18,23 78:18,24
<b>binds</b> 32:16	call 30:17 37:15	48:15,20,23 49:1	75:4,12,16,21	79:3
<b>birthday</b> 5:22 6:3	41:25 43:19,22	49:4,9 50:2 51:17	79:2	<b>chance</b> 10:11 38:11
<b>bit</b> 10:16 59:7 69:7	44:21 48:5 54:16	52:6,9 53:8,14	Chairman 1:8,10	<b>change</b> 8:16 10:15
Blackwood 20:20	68:3 69:18	55:10 57:14 58:5	1:10 4:3,9,20,23	changed 18:12
<b>body</b> 56:16 63:12	<b>callers</b> 40:5,9	59:16 61:14,17	5:1,8,10,20 6:6,22	<b>changes</b> 9:11 59:10
64:7,10,11	<b>calling</b> 28:3 40:14	62:10 63:19 65:19	6:25 7:4,6 8:8,12	61:5
books 71:25	45:25 70:17	67:9 68:2 69:17	8:24 9:17 10:4	chapter 60:5
bottom 7:15	<b>camped</b> 66:20	70:16,21,24 71:2	11:16 12:6,13,25	characterization
BRANDON 1:20	candidate 37:4,5	71:5,8,10,12 72:7	14:18 15:4,9,13	38:17 44:11
breakdown 60:22	39:8,21	73:17,21 74:3,7	15:17,19,22 16:1	characterized 69:2
<b>Brian</b> 33:12 47:16	candidates 34:23	74:10,12,18,22	16:5,11 17:1,9,21	Charles 33:14
<b>briefing</b> 3:6 10:20	35:24 36:3,22	75:15,17,23 76:2	17:24 18:3,6,10	<b>Chief</b> 1:17,20,22,23
11:1,21,24 12:3	46:21 49:16	76:5,10,14,17,21	18:16,24 19:11	1:24
12:21 14:13 15:21	care 30:4	77:4,9,16,18,23	20:13 23:23 24:1	choice 51:11
17:8,10,14,17,18	carefully 57:25	78:18,24 79:3	24:5,15 26:15	<b>choose</b> 16:15,19
59:5,6 68:25 72:9	Carolina 53:7	central 69:11	27:2,8 28:2,16	66:12 67:25
72:10,14 76:24	case 55:21 56:18,20	cents 75:7	29:9,16,20 30:14	<b>Chorus</b> 5:12 8:17
77:7	61:11 63:4	<b>certain</b> 35:6,7	30:20,24 31:9,16	79:4
briefings 26:8,20	cases 38:18 63:9	<b>certainly</b> 6:14 13:7	31:22 32:1,4,6,8	<b>Chris</b> 78:6
briefly 65:23	Castro 1:8,10 4:3,9	13:23 29:5 36:12	32:12,24 33:3,25	Christine 33:10
<b>brilliant</b> 68:6	4:20,23 5:1,8,10	39:16 41:18 56:10	34:6,20,22,25	CHRISTOPHER
<b>bring</b> 27:10 30:10	5:20 6:6,22,25 7:4	70:15	36:7 37:21 38:8	1:18
62:4 70:10,14,15	7:6 8:8,12,24 9:17	certainty 39:2	38:13 39:4 40:17	<b>chunk</b> 10:13
bringing 68:22	10:4 11:16 12:6	certiorari 12:12	41:20 42:17,19,23	circulate 24:21
broadly 29:14	12:13,25 14:18	<b>chair</b> 4:10 5:17 6:5	43:1,4,6,8,10,12	73:16 75:20
<b>brought</b> 6:10 12:15	15:4,9,13,17,19	6:19 7:5 11:18,19	43:14,22,25 44:4	circulated 19:1,5
41:4 52:23 58:23	15:22 16:1,5,11	12:7 14:23,24	44:19 45:1,5,10	circumstances
59:20 61:23 62:6	17:1,9,21,24 18:3	15:12,16 17:23,24	45:12,14,16,18,20	76:18,19
70:8	18:6,10,16,24	17:25 18:5,7,11	45:22,22 46:4,9	<b>civil</b> 1:1 4:5 13:8

40:2 49:15 60:15	63.6 14 17 24	18.22.22.25.40.1	committee 3:7	consideration 3:5
62:18	63:6,14,17,24	48:22,23,25 49:1 49:3,23 50:6,23		8:22 9:2,7 33:4
	64:4,9,14,18,20		18:21,22 19:21	,
clarification 72:3	64:21,23 65:16	51:6,12,13,15,20	21:2 22:17 23:20	considered 14:12
clarify 15:18 19:11	66:18,25 67:2,5	51:21 52:3,6,7,23 53:3,18 55:10,12	32:16 33:1,6,8,18	16:2,3 26:17
•	68:14 69:21,21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	47:8,12,14,22	<b>consistent</b> 26:11
clarity 59:3	70:6,10 72:25	58:8,11,12,13,18	committees 19:6,22	61:4 63:5
class 60:23	Commissioner	58:20 59:22 61:16	21:8,14 22:10	consisting 64:9
classes 60:12	1:11,11,12,12,13	61:18,19,21 62:11	26:10 31:14 32:17	constantly 59:5
clean 30:6	1:14 2:1 3:8 4:11	62:12 63:19,20,21	committee's 19:25	constitute 60:13
clear 7:14 14:25	4:11,12,12,12,13	65:13,20,20,21,22	common 37:1	constitutes 60:25
30:6	5:7,9 6:5,7,15,17	66:14 67:9,10,19	communicated	constructive 74:22
clearly 41:8 69:7	7:3,7 8:3,10,13	68:2,3,5,12 69:13	25:19	constructively
close 37:14 41:25	9:15,18 11:5 12:7	69:17,19,20,23	complex 24:23	36:24
71:25	12:14,16,18,25	70:17,21,23,24	concept 11:8,10	contacted 35:7
closer 10:10,14	13:2 14:8,9,9,14	71:1,2,4,5,7,8,9	13:4,20 16:17,18	contacts 35:16
Colten 2:2 5:23 6:9	14:15,15,19 15:17	71:10,11,16,22	16:21,22 26:13	contained 56:21
6:11	15:18,20,23 16:4	72:2,6,20 73:11	56:6	context 11:4,21
combination 78:6	16:8,12 18:23	74:5,8,20,24 75:1	concern 10:22 13:3	continue 52:12
come 4:4,24 10:1	19:10,17 20:9,10	75:10,25 76:3,6	13:25 35:23 37:1	66:16
14:25 15:3	20:14,15 22:15,24	76:11,20,21,22	51:22	continuing 25:8
comes 15:15	23:17,23,25 24:3	77:11,12,17,19,24	concerned 39:8	38:19
coming 23:6 36:14	24:12 25:1,2,22	78:8,9,13	64:1	convened 1:7
38:5,9	26:16 27:5,11,20	Commissioners 4:9	concerns 25:23	conversation 42:8
comment 5:25	28:3,5,17,19,24	4:15 7:19 8:3	36:2 41:4	conversations
11:18 12:8 37:25	29:2,7,11,20,21	11:12,14 15:2	concluded 79:11	27:18
55:11,13,17	29:24,25 30:14,20	19:5 20:1,16 21:4	concrete 16:10	<b>copy</b> 59:13 76:7
comments 7:20	30:22 31:1,1,4,7	21:16 22:21,24	concur 14:15	<b>Corgel</b> 33:11
11:11 14:22 28:3	31:15,17,18,22,24	23:2 24:10 26:6	conditional 50:7	correcting 32:23
29:17,19 30:16	31:25 32:1,2,4,5,6	26:12,21 31:13	conducted 78:2	counsel 20:19
31:6 44:1 53:19	32:7,10,12,13,21	35:4,10,10,12,19	conducting 78:6	32:15 37:6 52:18
53:20 54:6,24	33:24 34:16,18,24	36:11 42:6 43:17	conflict 19:16	53:12,16 72:16
55:2 59:18 62:15	34:25 35:1 36:20	54:2,7 59:5,18,23	20:16	counsels 26:5
63:2,5 64:1,3	37:14,21,22,24,25	62:22 67:8,13	<b>conform</b> 61:2	count 59:5
65:10 66:13,14	38:10,15,17,23	68:18,25 69:9	confused 28:19	counted 68:24
72:18 73:3	39:9,20,25 40:4,8	72:10,13 73:6	congratulate 5:24	counterweight
<b>Commission</b> 1:1,7	40:17,18,20,25	75:18 78:3,13	Congress 32:17	21:18 23:7 28:7
4:5 12:6 13:16	41:4,10,13,14,16	Commissioner's	53:23	country 77:14
19:15,20 20:11,22	41:24 42:18,23,25	56:21	connected 28:6	<b>couple</b> 14:6 49:13
20:25 21:5,6,10	43:1,2,4,5,6,7,8,9	Commission's 4:7	Connecticut 3:9	course 35:17
21:16,23 22:2,5	43:10,11,12,13	67:13,14 68:9,22	33:2,4,5,18 38:12	court 4:20,22 12:11
22:11,20 23:5	44:9,23,25 45:5,7	COMMISSSION	44:21 48:14	59:13
25:16 33:5,7,16	45:11,12,13,14,15	45:25	consensus 64:4	courtesy 9:12
33:20 38:13 41:1	45:16,17,18,19,20	commitment 18:4	65:8	11:17
47:11,13,20,24	45:21,24 46:4,6,9	25:14 29:7 50:8	consent 8:9	Cox 47:15
50:8 55:25 56:9	46:11,17,19,22,24	50:10 57:18	consider 9:9,14,22	creates 55:3
56:12 59:1 61:7	47:1,2,3,4 48:8,10	<b>committed</b> 18:8,13	10:25 24:23 25:4	creating 32:17 55:1
61:13 62:9,18	48:11,13,15,17,20	18:15	69:15	Crickets 69:2
			l	l

critical 62:7	defend 23:4	59:21 68:18,19	57:19 70:11	easily 28:9 29:15
critical 02.7	defer 64:11 68:20	differently 40:1	discussed 39:18	Eastern 79:8
criticizing 23:9	defers 64:11	41:19	58:14 60:7 62:6	echo 12:18
critiques 63:2	define 39:19	<b>difficult</b> 64:17	discussing 11:21	editorial 53:21
Cross 66:3	defines 64:20	71:20	76:25	edits 58:22
crosses 39:17	delay 56:4 75:10	diligent 35:12	<b>discussion</b> 3:4,8 7:6	effect 22:5,9,17
crossfire 67:5,7	delegate 66:15	DINA 1:13	7:9,16,17 8:25 9:4	61:12 67:23
crystal 30:5	<b>delegated</b> 1:14 4:16	directed 44:10	10:1 11:15 12:9	effectiveness 13:19
current 78:5	4:18 15:7 17:13	directive 62:1	14:5,11 16:23	effort 65:14 72:9
currently 4:6	18:14 29:3 34:8	directive 02.1 director 1:15 4:17	18:23 19:9 20:8	efforts 20:6 35:6
cycle 36:15	37:9 42:2,14 44:2	4:19 15:8 17:14		
C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S	,	18:15 29:4 33:9	26:9,19 33:25	<b>eight</b> 64:9,20 69:21 75:14
3:1	44:16 49:12,25		34:19,22 35:6	FILEEN 1:24
5:1	50:3,9,15,20 51:2	33:21 34:7,9	44:13,20 48:3	
D	52:1,13,25 53:5	36:11 37:6,10	57:23 61:17,22,23 62:8	<b>either</b> 9:13 15:22 16:3 35:13 37:16
<b>d</b> 61:4,10	53:10,17 55:7 64:22 65:4 69:25	38:9 42:3,15 44:3	discussions 35:5	40:11 68:15
Dancy 47:16	70:1 73:4,14,19	44:10,17 47:15,25 49:13,14 50:1,4	disparate 62:23	elaborating 9:19
data 54:11,12,14	, ,		_	_
54:14 56:23 58:25	73:24 74:15 76:8 76:15 77:6 78:4	50:10,16,21 51:3 52:2,14 53:1,6,11	distributed 73:13	elephant 22:13 eliminate 28:7
59:4 60:6,8,8,23			diversity 34:12	
61:5,9 68:15,16	78:10,15 <b>deliberations</b> 62:17	53:12,18 55:8	<b>document</b> 69:10	eliminating 39:14
68:23,24 69:1,4		58:22 59:3,25	dog 55:18	Eliud 47:19
72:1	delicious 6:9	62:15 63:25 65:10	doing 24:17 63:24	Elizabeth 33:11
date 18:2,9,12	deliver 53:22	66:6,7,16 68:7,18	64:16 65:12,17	email 29:5
58:17 74:23	DEMITRIA 1:19	69:24 70:2,3	66:22	emails 16:24
dates 74:12	Democratic 26:12	71:19 73:5,15,20	domain 3:6 17:8,11	eminent 3:6 17:8
David 1:25 20:20	demonstrated 41:9	73:25 74:16 76:9	17:14 76:24 77:7	17:11,14 76:24
33:14	denies 60:14	76:16 77:7,25	DOMINIQUE 2:3	77:7
<b>DAVIS</b> 1:18	depends 73:25	78:5,11,16,19	door 28:20	Emory 52:21
day 66:22 77:2,3	depositions 16:15	directors 26:5	doubt 22:8 55:23	emphasis 13:12
days 19:5	derives 21:11	director's 3:11	55:23 D 22:10	<b>employees</b> 33:19
<b>DE</b> 1:19	description 67:1	49:8,11	<b>Dove</b> 33:10	47:23
deadline 53:24	71:20	disability 61:2	<b>draconian</b> 10:9	empowered 66:8
73:15	design 63:17	disagree 24:18	draft 7:18,20 63:3	encourage 12:20
<b>deal</b> 11:4 28:10	designed 63:15	38:16 64:2 68:12	63:8 69:8 72:16	encouraging 23:19
55:19	determination	69:23	72:24 73:7 75:2,5	23:19
dealing 29:13	44:13	disagreed 40:25	drafting 22:16	ends 42:8
34:10	determine 60:1	disagreement 7:23	draw 39:25 56:23	energy 13:13
deals 28:14	64:13	71:17 72:1	<b>Dunston</b> 1:20	enforcement 13:9
	determined 61:6	disagreements	43:22	13:10,11,19,21,22
<b>DEAS</b> 1:19	DEULL 2:2	23:13	<b>D.C</b> 1:7 4:8 25:17	14:3,12 15:21,24
debate 63:7	developments 9:5,6	disagrees 36:25		16:13,16 58:21
debating 37:12	devote 62:21	disclosed 61:12		60:4 72:22
decide 73:5	<b>Di</b> 47:16	discovery 16:14	earlier 10:6 18:9	engage 67:5
deciding 26:7	diametrically 54:6	discretion 70:2	24:13 50:11 66:18	engages 40:14
decision 37:4	<b>Diane</b> 47:16	discuss 14:10,16	74:2	English 52:15
defeating 36:21	different 9:25	27:25 30:8,12	easier 11:3 16:9	entire 44:13
defeats 71:13	22:25 29:9 41:18	36:18,19 37:16,20	62:17 75:5	entitled 44:7

	<u> </u>		 	
<b>equal</b> 57:11	<b>fact</b> 6:20 21:9,11	finishing 53:19	<b>Gaziano</b> 1:11 4:11	62:22
<b>ERO</b> 1:19	26:11 27:23 41:1	<b>first</b> 5:1 9:19 35:2	7:7 9:15,18 11:5	<b>giving</b> 54:23 57:12
especially 25:17	42:7 46:13 56:15	42:1 58:24 59:8	12:17 13:1,2 14:9	<b>go</b> 7:15,25 8:5
58:18	64:12 65:5 66:9	71:23 72:23	14:15,19 16:4,8	18:25 22:19 28:20
espoused 65:24	67:6	<b>fiscal</b> 9:2 14:13	16:12 19:17 25:1	30:1 36:1 37:7,16
essentially 44:12	failed 7:12	Fitzpatrick 47:16	25:2 26:16 27:5	38:21,22 39:6
ethnicity 61:1	fails 45:23 68:17	<b>five</b> 32:9 47:6 61:7	27:20 29:24 31:22	41:24 42:6,15
Evaluation 49:15	failure 61:2	flagged 59:2	31:24 32:10,12,13	43:15 45:2 51:22
events 9:20 26:20	<b>fair</b> 55:2	<b>flight</b> 77:20	32:21 34:24,25	53:21 58:16 64:24
everybody 79:7	<b>faith</b> 34:11	<b>focus</b> 13:19 14:3	35:1 36:20 37:14	65:9 67:15
evidence 41:2	<b>falls</b> 50:5	<b>folks</b> 9:5 15:15 16:6	38:24 39:9,20,25	goes 13:13
ex 25:10 26:6	<b>far</b> 10:5 26:6 68:21	74:23	40:4,8,20,25	<b>going</b> 6:19 10:2,21
<b>exactly</b> 16:4 38:20	fashion 9:14	followed 26:1	41:10,14,24 42:18	10:24 11:6 12:24
40:3 63:23 66:23	<b>favor</b> 5:10 8:15	following 7:16	43:2,6,7 44:23	13:25 16:15,22,24
examine 13:18	28:12 45:1 79:3	26:18 33:8 47:14	45:14,15 46:17,19	18:20 19:7,8
examining 13:21	FAY 2:3	65:20	48:15,17 50:6,23	22:10 23:4 24:6
example 40:6	<b>Federal</b> 13:11,19	Ford 33:11	51:13,20,21 52:3	24:16 25:3,21
excellent 72:17	14:3 19:20 29:4	<b>Forgot</b> 43:25	58:8,11,12,20	28:7 30:1 35:14
excess 51:16	32:14,15 60:13,24	<b>former</b> 20:19 65:23	59:22 61:21 65:13	42:4,21 51:8,10
Excuse 6:5	federally 60:14	<b>forth</b> 16:25 60:8	65:21 68:2,5 71:2	54:9 55:4 58:12
execute 33:21	federally-prohibi	<b>forum</b> 37:13 63:4	71:4,16 72:2,6,20	59:11 62:16 67:16
47:25	60:18	forward 9:13,22	74:24 75:25 76:3	67:25 68:12 72:22
executive 36:2,18	federally-protect	10:12 19:12 24:21	76:6,11,20	73:12 76:23,25
36:19,21 37:7	60:11	36:16 38:21,22	Gaziano's 38:17	77:1,2,10,15,20
39:7,10 41:22	<b>feel</b> 20:3 23:21 57:3	54:9 62:16	70:18	<b>good</b> 4:3 6:18 10:4
42:5,6,16,21	57:24	<b>FOSHEE</b> 1:20,21	gender 61:2	10:24 34:11 42:13
43:15 44:14 51:7	<b>feeling</b> 41:17 55:1	<b>found</b> 26:1	<b>general</b> 13:3 19:3	73:21 75:16
exhaustion 30:9	<b>felt</b> 19:14,15 38:25	<b>four</b> 54:16,17 59:5	20:19 26:5 31:11	Gooding 47:17
exist 56:22 61:9,10	55:16	66:2 68:25 69:9	32:14 37:6 52:18	goodness 29:2
69:4	ferociously 31:2	69:14	53:12,16 72:16	government 33:19
exists 60:9 69:1	<b>field</b> 12:23	Frederick 33:12	generally 28:15	47:23
experience 6:14	<b>Fifteen</b> 43:21	<b>frequency</b> 60:10,17	37:3 69:2	governs 19:21
<b>expired</b> 38:4,5	figure 8:5	frequently 21:13	genuflect 66:2	63:15
expiring 36:9	<b>final</b> 16:17 58:22	21:16 22:22 38:3	genuine 62:22	<b>grand</b> 63:17
<b>explain</b> 50:24,25	63:8 65:25 73:1	<b>Friday</b> 1:5 77:14	Georgetown 52:19	<b>great</b> 23:12 72:14
62:14	74:25 76:3	front 12:4	<b>getting</b> 12:22 24:10	<b>greater</b> 21:7 57:3
explore 36:2	finalized 52:16	fulfilling 13:14	30:1	GREENE 1:21
expressed 31:25	Finance 1:24	<b>full</b> 44:17 57:21	<b>give</b> 9:12 10:16	Gregory 47:17
68:19	<b>find</b> 20:17 57:9	<b>funding</b> 27:16	11:17 15:15 41:25	Grisham 47:17
expressing 37:1	67:21	<b>further</b> 18:18 30:8	57:1 59:13 73:23	<b>ground</b> 37:1 57:20
extent 15:14	<b>findings</b> 56:2 72:23	44:20	74:21	<b>group</b> 35:18
extra 75:24	72:24 73:7,22	<b>future</b> 72:13	given 48:18 59:9	groups 35:7
extremely 12:2	74:5 75:2,5,19	<b>FY</b> 3:6 8:23	65:10	<b>GSA</b> 50:2
<b>e.g</b> 60:25 61:7	76:12		<b>gives</b> 10:6 59:3	guess 11:13 17:1
	<b>fine</b> 34:21 69:25	$\frac{\mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{W}} = \mathbf{G}$	64:8,21 69:24	guidelines 19:3
F	75:22 77:4	<b>GAIL</b> 1:12	75:23	31:11
<b>F</b> 1:11	<b>finished</b> 59:12 77:3	<b>game</b> 70:4	give-and-take	

H	68:3,12 69:17,19	38:11 51:24 57:8	instance 23:17	47:8
	70:24 71:1,22	58:25 70:9	instruct 51:14	IVY 1:18
hand 37:22	73:11 75:1 77:11	impression 39:2	instruction 68:14	i.e 60:14
handle 12:22 41:19	77:12,17,19,24	inability 41:7	insufficient 60:20	1.6 00.14
hands 51:18	78:8,13	inaccurate 7:14	intemperate 36:23	J
handsomely 62:24	Heriot's 25:22	inadequate 60:16	39:12	$\overline{\mathbf{J}}$ 47:17
haphazard 38:20	Heritage 51:15	inappropriate 22:3	<b>intention</b> 41:19	Jackson 47:18
happened 26:2	Herrington 33:12	22:14 25:10 61:24	intentional 20:5	Jason 47:18
57:6	Hey 65:11	63:15 65:2	interest 8:6 36:5	Jay 33:10
happy 6:2 9:9 14:5	hiring 78:1		49:13	Jean 47:17
23:4 28:13 31:19	history 38:17 56:8	inappropriately 19:23	interested 23:6	Jewish 34:15
37:20 39:6 54:10	56:9 57:7			job 6:13 23:12
harassment 60:7		include 26:17	interesting 63:6	49:14 62:24
60:13,18 61:1	hoc 38:24	68:15,15	interests 19:24	John 1:24 2:4
hard 62:3 67:2 75:2	hope 40:1 53:2 60:1	included 26:3	37:12	33:11,14 47:17
76:11 77:5	68:5	34:15 73:2	intern 1:17,20,22	Johnson 47:18
hard-working 6:13	hopefully 7:8 49:17	including 4:10	1:25 53:1	joined 19:15
<b>Harris</b> 47:17	53:25 54:3 58:21	incorporate 55:2	interns 52:17	joined 19:13 joining 19:19 52:17
<b>Haynes</b> 33:11	hoping 54:22	73:23	interpret 67:4	
<b>Hazelton</b> 12:13,14	horrible 54:11	incorporated 7:21	interpretation	Jones 33:12
Hazelwood 12:12	hour 58:18	incorporating	67:14	judgment 20:1
head 78:1	Howard-Hill 47:18	53:20	interrogatory	63:10 64:8
Headquarters 4:7	<b>huge</b> 77:10	independence	16:14	juggling 71:20
hear 14:19 31:3	<b>human</b> 67:8	28:10,14 29:14	intervals 61:7	<b>July</b> 9:8,10,14
45:8	hurriedly 56:10	31:21	interviewing 49:16	11:15 12:21 15:6
hearing 5:5 48:5	т	independent 20:1	interviews 78:2,6	15:10 17:4 24:9
54:11,13	I I	64:7	intricacies 55:20	54:3 57:22 74:2,3
hearings 16:15	IA 5:19	indicate 16:2	introduce 52:17	74:8 75:17,20
heart 14:20	Ianni 47:16	indication 36:9	investigate 13:8	76:9,10
heat 52:24	idea 10:25 25:8	individual 21:19	<b>invite</b> 59:23	June 1:5 4:6
held 17:15	32:22 66:19 72:15	22:15 23:8,10	involve 67:6	jurisdiction 13:7
help 58:22 59:24	<b>identified</b> 34:5 59:2	41:6,11 46:7	involved 39:1	
60:1,3 65:7 74:24	identify 59:6	56:17 70:9	involving 30:10	
helpful 52:4,8	<b>II</b> 3:3 6:24	individuals 33:8,10	isolation 25:3	<b>Kanydah</b> 1:17 53:2
Herculean 72:9	<b>III</b> 3:4 8:21 17:7	38:21,25 47:14	issue 14:4 20:6	<b>KATE</b> 1:25
Heriot 1:12 4:11	<b>ill</b> 41:6	influence 20:25	27:14 28:14 29:13	keep 24:25 25:24
15:17,18,20,23	illegal 32:23,24	21:8,11,19 25:9	38:2 39:22	keeping 18:3
20:9,10,14 23:17	<b>Illinois</b> 19:14 20:12	25:10 26:23 28:8	issues 3:7 13:8,22	kick 9:10
23:23,25 24:3,12	immense 66:6	28:22 63:16	18:21,22 24:13,20	KIMBERLY 1:14
28:4,5,19,24 29:2	immigration 10:10	influenced 19:23	24:22,25 27:10,15	kind 10:23 17:17
29:7,11,25 31:17	13:4	information 37:8	28:6 29:22 30:3,8	38:5 75:1
31:18,25 34:16	imperial 67:1	informed 25:17	30:9 33:1 41:1,12	Kirsanow 1:12
37:22,24,25 38:10	implicates 42:9	28:24	47:8	4:13 14:8,10,14
43:1,4,5 44:25	implication 6:12	<b>informs</b> 26:10	item 5:1 6:25 8:24	30:20,22 31:1,4
45:12,13,24 46:9	importance 69:11	<b>Ingrid</b> 33:13	33:3 49:10,10	31:15 42:23,25
	important 5:22	inherent 19:16	57:22 58:10 78:24	45:5,7,11 46:4,6
46:11 48:11,13	11:20 12:2 22:5	input 50:7,11 54:3	items 49:13	48:8,10 70:21,23
51:6,12 52:6,7	24:19 35:3,9	insinuation 40:19	IV 3:7 18:22 33:1	know 7:22 9:5 10:5
61:16 63:19,20	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			10:12 12:15 16:21
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

17.2 15 10.17	27:9	65.12	22.6 12 22.22	29.6 20.22 24
17:3,15 19:17		65:13	22:6,12 23:22	28:6 30:23,24
22:1 25:6 26:6	limits 60:14	management 3:10	25:9,11 37:2 46:7	31:9,10 32:9 33:7
29:13 35:4 36:7	line 23:15 39:16	49:7,10 53:15	70:9	33:16,20 42:17
36:11 37:21 38:9	40:1 43:3,16	manner 60:5 66:1	members 19:3	45:8,23 46:1
38:11 40:9,10	lines 10:3 13:25	66:17	20:22,23,25 21:7	47:13,20,24 58:20
51:12 54:8,16	list 19:2 31:11 38:3	Margaret 1:17	21:12,13,20 22:11	58:23,24 59:15,17
57:5 60:15,19	75:8	33:15	22:16 23:8,10	59:20,23 61:11,15
64:3 65:7,8 69:4,5	little 10:5,7,16 11:3	Marilyn 33:11	26:25 33:18 34:5	62:13 63:1,13,23
75:4,8 76:14	28:7,18 37:10	<b>Martin</b> 1:8,10 2:4	38:3 47:22 64:9	64:12 65:6,14
77:14,20	39:8 54:8 59:7	78:7	64:14,21 69:21	68:13,17 70:12,14
<b>known</b> 60:19	64:1 67:22 69:7	<b>Marty</b> 4:9	70:5	70:15,18 71:13,23
	75:23	Mary 47:17	membership 3:8	motions 59:24
	live 26:2	Maryland 25:17	18:23	motive 25:24
L 1:12	located 4:7 51:9	massively 22:4	mention 13:3 68:16	move 5:20 9:13
LA 1:19	location 40:12	materials 36:8	mentioned 9:20	17:9 18:20 24:3
lack 46:20 56:13	49:18,20	matter 26:11 44:7	13:5 51:23	33:5 36:16,19
<b>Langdon</b> 33:12	log 43:19	51:8 57:20 70:12	merely 7:17	44:23 45:24 47:10
language 19:4	logistics 17:18	79:11	Metro 51:23	47:11 49:9 50:17
31:12 62:1	long 13:11 34:3	matters 35:1 65:25	MICHAEL 1:14	58:9 60:4 71:25
larger 20:24 27:24	38:3,6 56:9,19	Max 1:22 52:19	<b>middle</b> 57:20 67:4	78:19,25
late 50:12	58:19	McKinney 33:13	<b>Miller</b> 47:19,21	<b>moved</b> 5:7 7:3
LATRICE 1:21	look 10:10,14 11:20	mean 11:19 13:15	<b>Minarik</b> 1:22 23:11	moving 9:22
<b>Laughter</b> 6:21 52:5	12:11 14:4 24:9	14:2 19:12 27:25	23:16 43:21,24	
law 13:19 60:13,24	32:25 41:17 59:9	28:5,19 38:4	<b>mind</b> 9:19 19:16	N
laws 10:10	looked 13:20	39:14 51:8 57:23	36:11 39:17 59:15	N 1:12
leader 65:23	looking 10:20	70:7 71:18 75:13	minimal 63:18	name 40:5,9,14
leadership 30:4	14:21 55:16 56:1	77:19	minimum 20:7	53:4
lease 49:17 50:21	looks 72:14	means 7:22 13:10	minor 7:7 22:5	names 35:8
Leave 77:17	lopsided 35:22	39:13 62:21 65:15	minutes 3:3 5:21	naming 6:12
leaving 77:13,16	<b>lost</b> 59:9	66:11	6:24 7:1,9 8:2,13	nature 62:2
lectured 61:21	<b>lot</b> 12:10 15:13	mechanics 76:23	8:16 43:21	near 26:2 30:9
leeway 10:7	16:13 24:12 35:16	meeting 1:3 3:3 4:4	mispronounced	51:23 52:9
left 27:19 53:11	70:2	4:5,6,14,24 6:24	53:3	necessarily 16:17
55:1 67:22	<b>love</b> 54:14 55:4,6	7:1 9:8 12:22	misreading 66:5	necessary 68:9
legislation 65:25	LUDVIGSON 2:3	15:1,11 16:16,20	mistaken 35:11	need 14:4 18:25
legislators 65:24	lunch 23:18	23:11,14 27:19	<b>Moll</b> 33:13	22:22 23:2,3
legitimately 27:25	7.7	36:8,15 58:4,19	moment 9:7 66:22	28:21 37:7,10
length 58:15	<u> </u>	70:8 71:20 74:14	<b>Monday</b> 53:22	39:7 40:18 42:15
LENORE 1:23	<b>Madam</b> 27:3 34:6	74:17 75:17 77:15	money 56:7	43:19 54:4 77:14
Lesko 1:22 52:19	39:5	78:25	month 36:8 49:18	77:19
<b>letting</b> 9:13 35:3	<b>major</b> 53:6	meetings 21:17	months 10:1	needed 12:9 19:14
let's 17:3 24:7	majority 47:6 49:5	22:22 23:1 24:11	morning 4:3 59:12	needs 70:1,2 75:9
level 14:4	56:15 63:11 64:13	25:16,20 26:20	<b>motion</b> 5:5 7:2,10	75:13
liaison 21:13	64:25 65:8,11,16	28:21,25 62:21	7:11,12,17,19,24	never 6:20 46:25
light 9:5	67:22 70:5 71:13	Melanie 33:13	8:3,15 19:7 23:24	57:25
liked 50:16	making 14:21 50:8	member 19:13	24:8,21 25:3,4,5	nevertheless 57:19
limited 23:24 24:25	58:22 59:17 64:12	20:11 21:19,25	26:16 27:6,9,9,9	new 10:24 15:3,14
	•	•	•	•

15:20.21 49:18 once 72:7 43:19 presented 56:24 part 16:21 25:11 **one-by-one** 45:2 27:23 34:19 42:10 nice 52:4 **phone** 4:13 43:17 69:5 **Nicholas** 2:2 5:23 open 20:7 28:20 44:15.17 55:20 pick 54:3 67:25 presenting 24:22 42:10 Nika 47:18 57:6 62:23,25 **piece** 6:16 presents 69:7 nine 60:2 67:18 **opening** 49:14 piecemeal 11:4 President 53:23 63:16 70:3 78:3 **Ninth** 1:7 operated 37:16 participate 26:9,13 **place** 4:7 25:21 presiding 1:8 **North** 53:7 operating 73:6 participating 4:14 28:20 71:23 **presume** 34:11 operations 3:10 Northwest 1:7 28:23 **plan** 78:5 prevent 7:19 particular 23:9 nos 32:9 49:7.10 53:15 **planning** 3:4 8:21 prevents 20:22 note 53:25 55:22 **opinion** 20:20 42:1 35:23 36:5 37:5 8:25 17:7 **previous** 7:23 8:4 play 12:24 41:3 **notice** 30:2.11 38:7 opportunity 10:16 39:8.21 41:6.11 59:8 46:15,20 48:18 46:8,12 55:21 previously 17:17 **notices** 25:20 26:19 42:11 56:16 59:9 73:1 **notify** 15:1 58:16 64:14.15.15 **please** 5:11 43:18 56:24 73:3 **notion** 39:11 64:12 **oppose** 62:13 65:9 43:20 52:12 primarily 46:19 69:20 **opposed** 5:13 8:18 particularly 35:22 pleased 49:20 **primary** 13:17,18 **nuance** 30:12 13:13 54:6 79:5 58:15 62:24 plenty 75:6 14:2 **printing** 52:16 **number** 13:10 24:6 opposite 32:3 parts 67:25 **point** 8:14 10:19 **N.W** 4:8 opposition 61:20 pass 59:11 23:22 32:15 44:9 **prior** 54:23 order 4:4,24 44:9 passed 7:10 59:14 54:6 58:19 61:25 **privacy** 37:12 42:9 0 57:2 65:6.20 passes 32:9 47:6 62:7 63:21 71:18 **probably** 10:9 20:3 **object** 70:4 **origin** 34:10 68:13 points 22:25 41:20 26:24 44:12 53:20 objection 56:11 originally 7:10 passion 40:2 63:6 61:10 observation 55:15 **OSTROWSKY** passionate 39:15 **policy** 20:22 52:15 **problem** 20:24,24 57:7 1:23 path 32:23 65:25 21:9 22:11,12 **obtained** 68:16 **outline** 66:17 **PAU** 1:23 23:8 31:20 59:7 position 54:15 obvious 54:5 outset 62:7 peer 60:11 67:13,13,15 75:13 obviously 12:10 **outside** 66:20 possibility 11:5,13 problems 27:24 **peer-to** 60:10 15:1 35:16 68:7 Oyanadel 33:13 peer-to-peer 60:6 possibly 36:24 38:19 **OCRE** 1:17.17 posted 29:4 49:14 procedure 9:22 60:18 P 17:17 53:1 78:1 **people** 12:20 36:24 postpone 11:15 38:23 63:14 offense 67:2 package 12:1 27:24 14:5 35:25 36:4,6 procedures 38:22 39:12.15 41:8 offering 7:19 31:19 49:19 54:16,17 postponed 35:20 **proceed** 41:23 **office** 6:10 49:15 packaged 28:9 56:13 67:3 potential 10:19 proceeding 32:22 52:18 72:15 page 7:9,15 **process** 10:6 15:10 **percent** 13:16 60:2 12:4 **offices** 66:21 pages 60:2 67:19 68:6 power 64:5,8,20,22 50:12 54:7 56:10 **officio** 25:11 26:6 paid 62:24 perfectly 64:24 57:4 78:1,1 64:22 66:6,10,15 **OGC** 1:22,25 53:19 **Pam** 43:22 **period** 55:17 58:14 67:1 69:25,25 **program** 3:4 8:21 **PAMELA** 1:20 okay 4:23 5:13,17 **periods** 61:6.8 70:1 8:25 17:7 28:9 15:8,23 16:8,11 **panel** 77:8,9 **person** 4:16 40:13 practice 78:12 prohibited 60:13 17:21 22:16 24:15 panelists 18:1,8 40:20.21 46:12 precise 76:12 61:1 27:23 29:1 32:19 panels 17:19,20 56:24 precisely 69:6 **projects** 3:5 9:1 36:20 40:16 43:24 paper 11:8,10 13:4 personal 37:13 preparation 17:16 10:19 13:14 44:5,19 48:3 52:3 13:20 16:17,18,21 personality 37:18 prepared 29:12 prominent 60:5 52:12 53:14 72:5 16:22 26:13 **pervasive** 60:12,25 30:11 68:16 74:3 76:19.20 paperwork 33:21 **Pete** 14:6,7 52:21 **present** 1:9,16 2:1 proposal 9:21 77:9 47:25 **Peter** 1:12.22 23:11 10:12 4:10,15,17,21 omnibus 9:14 paragraph 64:15 23:15,17,19 43:2 23:5 78:14 proposals 12:21

15:3,20,21,24	quorum 4:14	19:22 26:13 36:12	relief 57:3	65:22 68:11
38:3,12 46:16		47:15 48:19 56:2	religion 34:4	responding 24:16
<b>proposed</b> 9:8 19:1	R	60:2 68:6 72:23	remarks 12:19	response 5:4,14,16
24:20 72:23,24	<b>R</b> 1:8,10	72:25 73:7,22	remember 21:3	8:19 17:6 18:17
75:19	race 61:1	74:6 75:2,6,19	23:18 39:23	18:19 48:4 52:11
<b>protected</b> 60:15,24	raise 14:1 35:2	76:12	reminder 36:13	78:22 79:6
60:24	51:17 58:21 68:9	reconsider 8:4	report 3:5,11 8:23	responses 67:18
<b>provide</b> 9:11 10:15	69:11	reconsideration	9:2 13:10,11	responsibility
63:2	raised 10:22 29:22	7:11,12,16	15:21,24 16:16	51:25 62:25 65:2
provided 64:2	34:3 39:22	record 5:25 6:8	21:12 23:20 49:8	65:3
provides 19:21	raising 34:4 41:21	7:15 8:1,1,5 31:6	49:11 52:12,16	rest 45:9
21:17	RATCLIFFE 1:24	32:11,18 37:20	53:13,16 54:22	result 19:25
<b>public</b> 37:13 39:10	<b>Rausch</b> 33:14	40:19 41:5,17	55:1,3,6,14,24	resumed 44:7
42:11 43:16 51:4	read 8:1,2 42:7	44:1,5,7 57:7	56:16 57:1,13	retired 21:25
65:25	reading 61:22	58:17 79:9	58:16,21,25 59:18	review 15:11 36:8
published 37:11	readying 62:8	redrafted 11:11	59:19 60:4 61:13	53:21
<b>pull</b> 67:17	real 20:16	reexamine 32:13	61:23,24 62:5,8	revisions 14:21
punctuation 65:1	realize 57:18	reference 10:13	63:3,9,12 66:17	24:20 53:21
purpose 36:21	really 23:2 28:1,10	referenced 79:11	67:12,23 69:8,22	revisit 17:3 55:23
58:14	38:6,10 40:14	referring 38:24	70:4 71:14 72:14	rewriting 13:24
Pursuant 33:16	42:9 44:14 51:8	refinement 12:9	72:16,17,22 74:16	Reynolds 33:14
47:20	51:24 56:23 71:19	refinements 9:11	74:25 75:3 76:4	re-charter 33:5
<b>put</b> 9:7 10:12 12:2	reason 12:16 19:12	10:15,18 16:20	76:25	36:14 47:12
12:20 13:12 16:20	31:25 35:18 36:4	refining 16:24	<b>reporter</b> 4:20,22	re-chartered 33:17
24:7,8,21 46:14	reasons 25:12 32:3	reflect 6:8 8:13	59:14	35:14 47:21
54:12 57:22 59:2	48:14,18 58:23	11:11 37:19 41:6	reports 16:13	<b>Re-chartering</b> 3:9
59:18 64:17 67:22	62:13 68:19	64:3 67:12	21:21 22:17 26:7	3:9 33:2 47:9
72:8 75:7	rebid 50:5	reflected 6:14	26:8,24 54:2	<b>Richard</b> 33:15,17
puts 54:15	rebuttal 56:6,19	66:13,14	67:18,19,24	ridiculous 25:11
<b>putting</b> 19:12	57:10,18 58:14	reflects 66:17	represent 22:25	right 6:2 15:12,16
56:13 72:9,16	rebuttals 56:4,22 57:21,21	67:22 70:5	request 7:8 16:9	16:1,3 18:10
P-R-O-C-E-E-D	recall 7:23 41:14	reform 29:23	25:25 35:25,25	23:22 24:25 32:15
4:1	recast 13:23	regard 21:7 22:12	69:10	40:7 41:13 42:10
0	receive 13:15	29:24 41:7	requested 59:6	50:24 53:2 55:24
question 9:16	received 25:20	regarding 7:16	requesting 58:3	66:11 68:7 75:21
10:22 11:20 17:1	53:18	13:8 29:23 61:3 72:21	required 66:1	rightly 64:1
30:17 34:2 44:21	rechartered 18:25	regardless 63:22	requirement 13:15 13:18	<b>rights</b> 1:1 4:5 13:8 40:2 49:15 60:15
46:1 48:5 57:19	recognize 27:3	regards 58:24	requiring 61:12	62:19
68:4 69:5,6,18	recognized 51:18	Register 29:5	resign 19:14	rise 61:19
70:17 72:21 77:25	57:15	regular 26:21	resigned 20:11	road 67:15
questions 17:21	recognizes 28:16	44:20	25:11	ROBERTA 1:11
18:16 26:9 28:22	62:10 65:19	relate 13:5	resource 16:13	rolling 75:14
58:9 78:19	recommend 46:20	related 30:3 38:1	resources 13:16	room 1:7 6:12
quickly 72:17	recommendation	relevant 34:5 61:6	55:19	22:13
quite 6:23 22:2	33:9	61:8 68:23,23	respect 68:13	routinely 22:25
38:6,11 62:20	recommendations	reliable 60:8 61:5	respond 16:9 37:4	<b>RPCU</b> 1:22
			_	
	•			•

RUDERT 1:24	scrap 75:7	severe 44:24 60:12	sound 74:4	<b>staff's</b> 62:17 67:14
ruins 40:15	search 35:12	60:25	sounded 31:7	stages 57:10
rule 20:16 37:15	second 5:8,9 7:4,5	share 24:10	sounds 42:13 50:7	stamp 64:17 69:22
rules 50:4	19:8,10,11 27:2	short 56:22	68:20	start 10:20 12:22
run 27:16	30:25 33:23,24	shows 37:17 60:8	<b>so-called</b> 76:3	39:14
	42:18,19 44:25	61:5	space 51:16	started 10:5 58:19
S	48:1,2 59:9 61:14	side 54:11,13 74:1	speak 25:4 63:21	state 3:7 10:9,13
<b>SAC</b> 3:9,9 19:3,14	61:16 70:10 79:1	sides 55:3	speaking 39:10	13:9,21,21 14:4
19:18 20:12,15	79:2	<b>signed</b> 10:8 49:18	special 19:24	18:20,22 19:6
21:12,17,22 22:15	seconding 25:22	50:1 51:3,5	specific 26:22	21:1,8,14 22:10
22:22,23 23:1,2,5	Secondly 26:22	significant 26:20	specifically 25:16	30:22 31:13 33:1
24:7,11,20 25:5	section 6:2 19:2	26:25	specify 26:24	33:6,18 35:11
25:13 26:1,20,25	31:10	signify 8:16	spending 57:10	47:8,12,22 57:5
27:18,19,24 28:10	secured 18:1	<b>signing</b> 49:17	spoken 29:24	60:5 77:12
28:21 29:14,23,23	see 10:11 16:22	silence 69:2	staff 1:15,16 3:11	<b>statement</b> 56:21,25
31:20 33:2,4 34:4	30:1 58:7,25	similar 59:23	4:17,18 15:6,7,9	57:10,25 62:4
36:5,25 37:2,19	59:19 67:24 69:8	simply 7:25 30:7	17:13 18:14 21:6	statements 56:3,14
40:22 44:22 45:9	74:25 76:1,6,7	55:22 57:23 58:3	21:12,19,20,25	56:17 71:21
46:8,12,14,25	seeing 24:17	64:10 65:5	22:6,9,16 23:8,10	states 26:2 35:13
47:6,9,11 48:14	selected 11:9	simultaneously	23:10,21 25:19	35:21 62:18
49:5	send 29:5 36:13	12:5	26:5 27:1 28:8	stating 56:15
<b>SACs</b> 3:8 18:23,24	75:18,18	single 30:12 66:22	29:3,10 30:3 33:9	Stations 66:2
19:21 20:2,4,17	senior 53:6	<b>sir</b> 6:6	33:20 34:6,8	statute 20:21 22:7
20:23 21:11 24:17	sense 57:3	sitting 78:7	35:18 36:11,13	64:6,8,19 66:5,7,8
25:15 26:14 27:15	sent 26:21	situation 37:3	37:6,9 38:9 42:2	69:24
27:21,22 30:1,10	sentence 7:13	54:10	42:14 44:2,10,16	<b>statutory</b> 3:5 8:22
31:12 36:1,6,9,13	64:15	six 46:25	47:15,24 49:8,11	9:2,8 11:21,23,23
36:17 38:4,12,19	separate 28:1	slices 6:22	49:12,25 50:3,8,9	12:4 13:15,17
39:12 40:9	67:23	slightly 9:24	50:15,20 51:2,14	53:13,16,23 55:14
sad 6:8	separately 25:19	SNYDER 1:25	51:22,25 52:1,13	57:13 61:22,24
sake 29:2 50:25	67:16	solicit 35:8	52:25 53:5,10,12	63:12 76:25
Santiago 33:15	seriously 25:25	solicited 35:19	53:17 54:1,11,15	stay 44:5 67:4
45:8	62:20	solution 22:19	54:24 55:7,19	step 43:18
<b>sat</b> 17:19	<b>serve</b> 19:6 20:4,17	54:18	57:2,12 58:22	stepped 19:17
save 6:15	22:15 31:13 33:19	somebody 21:1	59:3,25 61:7 62:2	steps 60:16
saved 24:12	47:23	39:17 58:1 75:9	62:15 63:3,3,9,9	stereotypes 61:2
saw 13:20 56:1	served 6:11 40:10	75:13	63:16,25 64:5,10	stop 51:23
<b>saying</b> 8:16 14:20	service 56:11	somewhat 7:14	64:11,11,22,23	<b>Street</b> 1:7 4:8
23:19 24:2 25:23	serving 19:16	38:16	65:3,7,10 66:2,5,7	strike 8:1
38:1 53:2 71:18	session 36:2,18,19	SOMIN 2:4	66:16,16 67:3	<b>strong</b> 39:15
says 20:16	36:22 37:7 39:7	soon 38:5 59:14	68:7,15,18 69:24	<b>students</b> 60:11,14
<b>schedule</b> 56:1 76:1	39:10 41:22 42:5	74:4,10,11 77:21	70:2,3 71:19 72:8	60:23
scheduled 11:24	42:6,16,21 43:15	sorry 28:3 43:25	72:19 73:4,14,19	studies 60:6
17:17 26:3	44:14,20 51:7	50:17	73:24 74:15 75:5	stupid 65:1
schools 60:15,19	set 10:23 60:8	sort 7:24 12:5,22	75:19 76:8,15	subject 58:13
science 53:6	73:15	35:5 38:9 40:1	77:6,25 78:4,10	<b>submit</b> 15:25 73:16
scope 45:2	sever 45:8,23	77:21	78:15,19	74:2 75:8
	-	-	-	-

	I		I	ı
substance 63:23	14:7,20 17:18	45:3,4 46:2,3 48:2	72:22 73:1 79:7	77:7 78:5,11,16
70:13 71:17 72:4	25:21 30:4 32:25	48:6,7 49:21	thoughtful 24:21	<b>top</b> 69:14
substantive 34:23	40:11 44:11 56:25	50:13,19 51:10	three 17:19,25 32:9	topic 3:6 9:8,23
substitute 63:10	58:5 59:1 62:20	55:5 57:15,17	54:16,17 56:4,19	11:7,8,9,17,21,23
substitution 63:11	taken 9:25 25:25	70:18,20 71:15,24	57:1,21 69:13	11:24 14:12,13
sufficient 16:18	41:21 60:20	72:5 73:9 74:11	<b>Tiffany</b> 47:15	16:19 17:5,10
37:5	talk 24:14 39:7	75:4,12,16,21	<b>TIM</b> 2:3	54:3 58:3
sufficiently 17:2	51:18 54:7,21	79:2	time 8:6 11:3 15:15	topics 8:23 9:2,9,11
25:25	58:12 74:1,13	Thernstrom's	21:25 24:13,24	10:20 11:1,2,22
suggest 7:25 9:22	talked 23:13	12:19	25:14 27:14 30:11	11:24 12:3,4
11:6 15:5 24:6	talking 27:6 49:22	thing 10:4 12:20	34:3 36:5 38:6	touching 42:9
34:19 35:4 58:13	50:14 72:13	20:18 23:18 36:10	50:12 54:1,2,4,8,9	tradition 21:15
suggested 38:8	<b>Tanski</b> 33:14	53:11 57:4 71:16	54:20 55:13,17,18	26:4 35:2
suggesting 25:23	target 53:22	77:2	56:6,15,19 57:6,9	tragic 6:8
27:5	telephone 1:13,23	things 12:15 14:17	57:12 58:16 61:6	transcript 42:8,10
suggestion 10:2	telling 13:24	16:24 37:12 38:20	61:8,25 62:7	44:18
42:3 67:17 72:12	<b>tells</b> 64:19	54:23 69:14 70:11	71:20 73:23 74:21	travel 40:12
74:23 75:15	temperament 41:7	75:11	74:21 75:6,24	treated 39:3 59:21
suggestions 35:15	ten 61:7	think 6:23 7:13 8:8	76:19 79:8,8	<b>treatment</b> 38:18,20
35:17,21	Tennessee 3:9	9:20 10:5,17,25	timeline 7:18	<b>Trevino</b> 47:19
suggests 36:23	38:12 47:9,11,12	11:3,7,22 12:2,8,9	timely 30:2	trigger 36:10
37:18	47:22 49:5	12:10,19 13:22	timing 58:15	true 21:3 55:8
summer 50:18,19	terms 12:23 29:25	17:3 19:1 20:2,6	<b>Tina</b> 78:7	trust 30:8
52:18	41:22 55:18 66:7	20:10,23 21:1	<b>Titus</b> 1:13 4:12	<b>try</b> 7:24 11:3 15:5
supervised 23:11	72:14	22:2,3,4,8,18,23	6:15 32:4,5 43:10	24:21 28:10 65:7
supplement 10:18	terrible 20:17	23:1 24:13,19	43:11 45:18,19	trying 34:11 55:2
<b>support</b> 11:2 62:14	thank 8:12 29:21	26:10,19,22 27:24	47:1,2 48:23,25	62:2 76:22
72:3	30:13,14 52:14	28:5 29:14,15	65:21 67:9,10	turned 65:15
supported 11:2	53:8 57:14 67:10	30:2,3,5,6 34:9	69:13,20 71:8,9	turns 59:25 65:14
<b>suppose</b> 9:15 51:13	70:16 72:6,10,15	35:3,21,24 36:10	today 5:22 9:1,9,13	tweaks 24:7
<b>supposed</b> 63:2 64:7	79:7	36:22 37:11,17	11:7,8 18:24	twice 25:7,16
66:24	thanks 14:14 43:24	38:10,23 41:3	27:25 35:13 36:17	<b>two</b> 7:9,15 9:16
Supreme 12:11	72:8,8	49:19 54:20 55:22	53:19	10:1,2 17:20
sure 9:17 14:20	<b>theirs</b> 63:10	56:6,7,8,20,25	today's 4:14	18:24 25:12 34:24
15:4,19 16:23	<b>theory</b> 66:20	57:8 59:7 62:1,3	<b>TODD</b> 1:11	35:1,13 56:11
18:6,12 30:4,24	Thernstrom 1:10	62:16,23 63:21,25	told 21:25 22:20	58:23 67:23 68:11
31:4 32:21 39:13	4:11 6:19 7:5	65:5,11 66:9 67:2	35:10,13 50:25	69:14 75:7
39:18,18 42:4	11:18,19 14:16,23	68:8,13,17,23	<b>Tolhurst</b> 1:14 4:19	<b>types</b> 26:23 34:12
51:15 54:18 58:6	14:24 15:12,16	69:10 71:18 72:12	15:8 17:12,14	38:18
66:22	17:23,24,25 18:5	75:12,13	18:15 29:4 34:9	typewriter 31:2,8
suspect 67:21	18:7,11 27:12,13	thinking 13:24	37:10 42:3,15	typically 13:9
sweet 56:23	27:22 28:18 29:1	15:2 39:11	44:3,17 49:11,13	<b>typing</b> 31:2
system 21:6 22:20	29:6,16,18 30:17	thinks 63:22	50:1,4,10,16,21	
	30:19 32:19 34:1	Thompson 1:25	51:3 52:2,14 53:1	U
T	34:2,14,21 39:6	52:21	53:6,11,18 55:8	ultimately 19:13
tail 55:17	39:23 40:3,7,16	thought 23:11 25:8	73:5,15,20,25	69:22 70:3
take 10:10,14 12:11	41:4 42:13,20,22	32:23 63:1 72:17	74:16 76:9,16	<b>unable</b> 36:24
	•		•	-

unanimity 39:2	14:23,24 15:12,16	70:25 71:3,6,16	way 11:25 13:3,12	26:10 36:24 40:12
unanimous 5:17	17:23,24,25 18:5	73:1 74:21	16:24 23:7 26:4	41:7 54:2,23 57:2
8:9 23:21	18:7,11 27:3,12	voted 39:21 41:15	27:1,15,17 30:7	62:3,17 66:24
unanimously 7:8	27:13,22 28:17,18	41:16	35:6 37:15,16	72:19 76:23 77:4
unaware 35:12	29:1,6,16,18	votes 28:11 32:8	38:25 40:5,9	77:21
46:14	30:17,19 32:19	43:14 45:22 47:5	41:17 56:13	worked 40:22 67:1
unbeknownst 6:11	34:1,2,14,21	49:4 71:12	ways 9:25 15:25	working 17:19
uncompensated	37:23 38:14 39:5	voting 20:23 25:9	22:1 30:2 54:21	66:16 67:3 74:13
33:19 40:11 47:23	39:6,23 40:3,7,16	36:4,6 73:20	63:16	Workplace 52:15
underscore 62:14	42:13,20,22 45:2	74:16	week 49:16 56:3	works 22:2
understand 18:5	45:4 46:2,3 48:2,6		weeks 56:4,19 57:1	world 22:8
24:1 58:17 59:16	48:7 49:21 50:13	W	57:21	worth 32:23
59:20 63:10 66:19	50:19 51:10 55:5	wagging 55:18	went 44:7 72:11	worthy 62:18
77:23	57:15,17 70:18,20	<b>wait</b> 9:13	weren't 48:18	wouldn't 59:15
understanding	71:15,24 72:5	waiting 64:16	<b>Werner</b> 33:13	write 21:12 57:25
63:14,18 73:12	73:9 74:11 75:4	walk 52:2	<b>we'll</b> 14:20 24:8,9	writing 21:20
<b>undue</b> 26:23	75:12,16,21 79:2	walked 66:3	36:18 42:10 50:4	36:23 37:11,17,18
<b>unfair</b> 38:25	view 10:8 22:25	want 5:21,23 7:25	58:6,9 76:18 77:4	57:12
unfortunately	24:10 63:1,5,13	9:5,7,12,12 10:10	77:10 78:19	written 13:4 26:24
76:17	65:24 68:14	10:14,17,17,18	<b>we're</b> 9:9 10:24	26:25
<b>United</b> 62:18	viewpoints 62:23	12:11,18 13:2	13:14 14:21 15:2	wrong 40:21
University 53:7	views 39:15	14:10 15:15 16:5	16:22 18:20 25:3	wrote 56:14
unnamed 51:22	<b>VIEZ</b> 1:19	16:6 23:20 24:7	28:24 29:13 44:19	
unsatisfying 55:9	<b>VII</b> 3:13 78:23	24:25 25:4 27:13	45:25 51:8 53:22	<u>X</u>
<b>update</b> 3:4 8:25	violation 20:21	28:21 30:10 32:13	54:13 57:12 59:17	<b>X</b> 11:24
17:10 18:18 53:13	<b>Virginia</b> 25:5,13,18	34:14 36:18 37:16	62:8 76:18,23,25	Y
53:16	27:18,19	39:1,14 41:5,5,23	<b>we've</b> 9:24,25 18:1	$\frac{1}{Y_{11:25}}$
<b>urge</b> 55:25	visiting 24:16	51:4,5,18 54:5,20	32:22 35:2,4	Yaki 1:14 4:12 5:9
usually 16:14,23	29:25	54:21 55:15 57:5	39:18 53:18 58:1	6:5,7,17 7:3 8:10
<b>U.S</b> 1:1 4:5	<b>vote</b> 5:18 8:4,13,14	59:24 62:13 66:12	whatsoever 41:2	12:6,7,14 19:10
V	9:9 10:2 11:8	66:23 67:24 68:11	64:6	28:17 29:20,21
	16:17 22:23 23:3	68:20 71:24 72:10	wholly 63:15	30:15 31:1,7 32:1
V 3:10 49:7 53:15	25:2 26:7 27:14	72:15,18 73:15	willing 11:14 69:15	32:2 33:24 34:18
vacation 40:11 valid 30:5	28:6,8,13 29:12	74:1,2,13 77:12 wanted 14:1 18:6	Wilson 33:15,17	37:22 38:13,15
valuable 21:18	30:7,18,21 31:15	18:12 23:24 25:15	wish 5:23	40:17,18 41:13,16
value 23:1	31:18,19,23,24	38:15 53:25 55:12	wishes 62:4	43:8,9 44:9 45:16
various 71:21	32:2,14 35:20,25	59:19 69:11,14,19	witness 68:25 69:1	45:17,25 46:22,24
Venator 33:14	42:15,20,24 44:24	73:7	69:3,4	48:20,22 49:23
Venator-Santiago	45:3,6,11,13 46:2	wants 23:6	witnesses 59:6 won 59:8	51:15 52:23 53:3
44:24	46:5,6,10,11,18 46:19,23,24,25	warned 42:7		55:10,12 58:14,18
Vermont 39:21	48:6,9,12,13,16	Washington 1:7	wonder 36:6 wonderful 29:12	61:18,19 63:6
40:21	48:21,24 49:2,5	4:8	wondering 18:8	65:20,22 69:23
version 76:4	56:2 59:1,8,25	wasn't 23:21 54:9	31:8	71:5,7 74:20
VI 3:12 78:17	63:11 64:25 67:16	57:6	word 58:1	76:21,22
Vice 1:10 4:10 6:19	67:19 68:1,19	waste 56:6,7	words 12:1	<b>Yaki's</b> 8:13
7:5 11:18,19	69:12,15 70:19,22	watching 66:21	work 15:13 16:6	<b>year</b> 9:3 10:6,24
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	07.12,10 10.17,22			13:11 14:13 54:1
		<u> </u>	ı	ı

54:19 61:7 years 6:13,14 22:20 25:8 29:22 35:6 38:19 46:25 56:11 yeses 32:9 47:6 yesterday 6:10 10:8 Young 33:15  1 16:4 69:23 1L 52:19 1st 15:6 74:3,8 10 1:5 4:6 10th 75:20 10:17 44:6 10:30 44:8 10:30 44:8 10:30 44:8 17 3:6 18 3:7,8 2 2 19:2 31:10 66:9 2L 52:21 2011:15 3:3 4:6 624 624 624 624 624 624 624 624 624 624 624 624 624 625 63:3 60 13:16 624 17: 4:8  8 8 3:3,5,5 6:24 8th 7:1  9 9th 4:8 9:30 1:7 9:34 4:2,6 99.9 68:6					Page 92
years 6:13,14 22:20 25:8 29:22 35:5 38:19 46:25 56:11 yeses 32:9 47:6 yesterday 6:10 10:8 Young 33:15  1 166:4 69:23 11.5 2:19 1st 15:6 74:3,8 101:5 4:6 100:60:1 11:90 79:8.10 1276:2 1276:2 122th 17:15 73:20 76:5 77:18 15th 75:18 17 3:6 18 3:7,8  2 2 19:2 31:10 66:9 22 15:2 21:2 01:11 5:3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10 3 31st 53:19 33 3:9 4 4 4:3:2		I	1		1
25:8 9:22 35:5 38:19 46:25 56:11 yeses 32:9 47:6 yesterday 6:10 10:8 33:15  1 166:4 69:23 1L 52:19 18 10:5 74:8 10:15 74:8 10:10:7 44:6 10:30 44:8 10:30 44:8 10:30 48 10:60:1 11:09 79:8.10 1276:2 12th 17:15 73:20 76:5 77:18 173:6 18 3:7,8  2 2 19:2 31:10 66:9 2L 52:21 2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 62:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3.8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9.10 3 31st 53:19 33 3:9 4 4 43:2	54:19 61:7	<b>540</b> 1:7			
25:8 9:-22 35:5 38:19 46:25 56:11 yeses 32:9 47:6 yesterday 6:10 10:8  Young 33:15  1 166:4 69:23 1L 52:19 181:15:6 74:3,8 101:5 4:6 10th 75:20 11:09 79:8.10 1276:2 12th 17:15 73:20 76:5 77:18 173:6 18 3:7,8  2 2 19:2 31:10 66:9 2L 52:21 2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 62:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9.10 3 31st 53:19 33 3:9 4 4 43:2	<b>vears</b> 6:13,14 22:20				
38:19 46:25 56:11 yeses 32:9 47:6 yesterday 6:10 10:8 Young 33:15  1 166:4 69:23 11. 52:19 1st 15:6 74:3,8 10 1:5 4:6 10th 75:20 10:17 44:6 10:30 44:8 100 60:1 11:09 79:8,10 12 76:2 12th 17:15 73:20 76:5 77:18 17 3:6 18 3:7,8  2 19:2 31:10 66:9 21. 52:21 2011 1: 5 3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10 3 31st 53:19 33 3:9 4 4 3:2		6			
yeses 32:9 47:6 yesterday 6:10 10:8 Young 33:15  1 166:4 69:23 1L 52:19 18 3:35.5 6:24 8th 7:1  9 10:17 44:6 10:30 44:8 10:60:1 11:09 79:8,10 12 76:2 12th 17:15 73:20 76:5 77:18 15th 75:18 17 3:6 18 3:7, 8  2 19:2 31:10 66:9 2L 52:21 2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10 3 31st 53:19 33 3:9 4 43:2		63:3			
yesterday 6:10 10:8 Young 33:15  1 166:4 69:23 1L 52:19 1st 15:6 74:3,8 10 1:5 4:6 10:17 44:6 10:10 44:8 10:30 44:8 100 60:1 11:09 79:8,10 1276:2 12th 17:15 73:20 76:5 77:18 15th 75:18 17 3:6 18 3:7,8  2 2 19:2 31:10 66:9 2L 52:21 2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4 6:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10 3 31st 53:19 33 3:9 4 43:2					
10:8 Young 33:15  1 16:4:469:23 8 3:3.5,5 6:24 8th 7:1  9 10:17 44:6 10:30 44:8 9:30 1:7 12:76:2 11:09 79:8,10 12:76:2 12th 17:15 73:20 76:5 77:18 15th 75:18 17 3:6 18 3:7,8  2 2 19:2 31:10 66:9 21. 52:21 2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10  3 31st 53:19 33 3:9 4 4 4 3:2					
Young 33:15  1 166:4 69:23 1L 52:19 8 83:3,5,5 6:24 8th 7:1  9 9th 4:8 9:30 1:7 9:34 4:2,6 99.9 68:6  99.9 68:6  99.9 68:6  99.9 68:6		024 1:7 4:8			
783:12,13  166:469:23 11.52:19 1st 15:674:3,8 101:54:6 10:30 44:8 10:30 44:8 10:30 44:8 10:30 44:8 10:30 44:8 11:09 79:8,10 12 76:2 12th 17:15 73:20 76:5 77:18 15th 75:18 17 3:6 18 3:7,8  2 2 19:2 31:10 66:9 22 1.52:21 2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10  3 31st 53:19 33 3:9  4 43:2		7			
1 1 166:4 69:23 1L 52:19 1st 15:6 74:3,8 10 1:5 4:6 10th 75:20 10:17 44:6 100 60:1 11:09 79:8,10 12 76:2 12th 17:15 73:20 76:5 77:18 15th 75:18 17 3:6 18 3:7,8	<b>Young</b> 33:15				
166:4 69:23   1L 52:19   1st 15:6 74:3,8   10 1:5 4:6   10th 75:20   10:17 44:6   10th 00:1   11:09 79:8,10   12 76:2   12th 17:15 73:20   76:5 77:18   15th 75:18   17 3:6   18 3:7,8   2   2   2011 1:5 3:3 4:6   6:24 60:4   2012 3:6 9:3,8   10:20 11:1 50:17   50:18   2013 8:23   29th 76:9,10   3   31st 53:19   33 3:9   4   4 3:2		<b>78</b> 3:12,13			
1 10:4 09:25 1 1st 15:6 74:3,8 10 1:5 4:6 10:17 44:6 10:30 44:8 100 60:1 11:09 79:8,10 12 76:2 12th 17:15 73:20 76:5 77:18 15th 75:18 17 3:6 18 3:7,8  2 2 19:2 31:10 66:9 2L 52:21 2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10 3 31st 53:19 33 3:9  4 43:2	1				
1L 52:19 1st 15:6 74:3,8 10 1:5 4:6 10th 75:20 10:17 44:6 10:30 44:8 9:30 1:7 9:34 4:2,6 99.9 68:6  9th 4:8 9:30 1:7 9:34 4:2,6 99.9 68:6  91.5 52:12 21th 17:15 73:20 76:5 77:18 17 3:6 18 3:7,8  2 2 19:2 31:10 66:9 2L 52:21 2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10  3 31st 53:19 33 3:9  4 43:2	1 66:4 69:23	8			
1st 15:6 74:3,8 10 1:5 4:6 10th 75:20 9th 4:8 10:30 44:8 10:30 44:8 10:90 79:8,10 12 76:2 12th 17:15 73:20 76:5 77:18 15th 75:18 173:6 18 3:7,8  2 2 19:2 31:10 66:9 2L 52:21 2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10  3 31st 53:19 33 3:9  4 4 43:2		<b>8</b> 3:3,5,5 6:24			
10   1:5   4:6   9   9   9   1   1   1   1   1   1   1					
10th 75:20 10:17 44:6 10:30 44:8 100:60:1 11:09 79:8,10 12 76:2 12th 17:15 73:20 76:5 77:18 15th 75:18 17 3:6 18 3:7,8  2 2 19:2 31:10 66:9 2L 52:21 2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10  3 31st 53:19 33 3:9  4 4 43:2					
10th 75:20 10:17 44:6 10:30 44:8 100 60:1 11:09 79:8,10 12 76:2 12th 17:15 73:20 76:5 77:18 15th 75:18 17 3:6 18 3:7,8  2 2 19:2 31:10 66:9 2L 52:21 2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10  3 31st 53:19 33 3:9  4 4 43:2		9			
10:10 14:8 10:30 44:8 100 60:1 11:09 79:8,10 12 76:2 12th 17:15 73:20 76:5 77:18 15th 75:18 17 3:6 18 3:7,8   2 2 19:2 31:10 66:9 2L 52:21 2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10  3 31st 53:19 33 3:9  4 43:2	<b>10th</b> 75:20				
10:30 44:8 100 60:1 11:09 79:8,10 1276:2 12th 17:15 73:20 76:5 77:18 15th 75:18 17 3:6 18 3:7,8 2 2 19:2 31:10 66:9 2L 52:21 2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10 3 31st 53:19 33 3:9 4 43:2	<b>10:17</b> 44:6				
100 60:1 11:09 79:8,10 1276:2 12th 17:15 73:20 76:5 77:18 15th 75:18 17 3:6 18 3:7,8  2 2 19:2 31:10 66:9 2L 52:21 2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10  3 31st 53:19 33 3:9  4 4 43:2					
11:09 79:8,10 12 76:2 12th 17:15 73:20 76:5 77:18 15th 75:18 17 3:6 18 3:7,8  2 2 19:2 31:10 66:9 2L 52:21 2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10  3 31st 53:19 33 3:9  4 43:2		· ·			
1276:2 12th 17:15 73:20 76:5 77:18 15th 75:18 17 3:6 18 3:7,8  2 2 19:2 31:10 66:9 2L 52:21 2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10  3 31st 53:19 33 3:9  4 4 3:2		<b>99.9</b> 68:6			
12th 17:15 73:20 76:5 77:18 15th 75:18 17 3:6 18 3:7,8  2 2 19:2 31:10 66:9 2L 52:21 2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10  3 31st 53:19 33 3:9  4 43:2					
76:5 77:18  15th 75:18  17 3:6  18 3:7,8   2  2 19:2 31:10 66:9  2L 52:21  2011 1:5 3:3 4:6  6:24 60:4  2012 3:6 9:3,8  10:20 11:1 50:17  50:18  2013 8:23  29th 76:9,10  3  31st 53:19  33 3:9  4  43:2					
15th 75:18 17 3:6 18 3:7,8  2 2 19:2 31:10 66:9 2L 52:21 2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10  3 31st 53:19 33 3:9  4 43:2					
17 3:6 18 3:7,8  2 2 19:2 31:10 66:9 2L 52:21 2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10  3 31st 53:19 33 3:9  4 43:2	76:5 77:18				
17 3:6 18 3:7,8  2 2 19:2 31:10 66:9 2L 52:21 2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10  3 31st 53:19 33 3:9  4 43:2	<b>15th</b> 75:18				
2 2 19:2 31:10 66:9 2L 52:21 2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10 3 31st 53:19 33 3:9 4 43:2					
2 2 19:2 31:10 66:9 2L 52:21 2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10 3 31st 53:19 33 3:9 4 4 3:2					
2 19:2 31:10 66:9  2L 52:21  2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4  2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18  2013 8:23  29th 76:9,10  3  31st 53:19  33 3:9  4  43:2	10 3.7,0				
2 19:2 31:10 66:9  2L 52:21  2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4  2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18  2013 8:23  29th 76:9,10  3  31st 53:19  33 3:9  4  43:2					
2L 52:21 2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10  3 31st 53:19 33 3:9  4 43:2					
2011 1:5 3:3 4:6 6:24 60:4 2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10  3 31st 53:19 33 3:9  4 43:2					
6:24 60:4  2012 3:6 9:3,8	<b>2L</b> 52:21				
6:24 60:4  2012 3:6 9:3,8	<b>2011</b> 1:5 3:3 4:6				
2012 3:6 9:3,8 10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10  3 31st 53:19 33 3:9  4 43:2					
10:20 11:1 50:17 50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10  3 31st 53:19 33 3:9  4 43:2					
50:18 2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10  3 31st 53:19 33 3:9  4 43:2	10.20 11.1 50.17				
2013 8:23 29th 76:9,10  3 31st 53:19 33 3:9  4 43:2					
29th 76:9,10  3 31st 53:19 33 3:9  4 43:2					
3 31st 53:19 33 3:9 4 43:2					
3 31st 53:19 33 3:9 4 43:2	<b>29th</b> 76:9,10				
31st 53:19 33 3:9 4 4 3:2					
33 3:9 	3				
33 3:9 					
<del>4</del> 4 3:2					
43:2	<b>33</b> 3.7				
43:2	1				
<b>47</b> 3·9					
	<b>47</b> 3:9				
<b>49</b> 3:10	<b>49</b> 3:10				
5	5				
<del>53</del> 3:11					
	00 3.11				
		l	l	<u> </u>	

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Commission Meeting

Before: US Commission on Civil Rights

Date: 06-10-11

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

Mac Nous &